

DIVERSITY, EQUITY & INCLUSION COMMITTEE AGENDA

September 13, 2022 at 6:00 PM

City Council Chambers - City Hall or Zoom (https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83159324538)

CALL TO ORDER, WELCOME & OVERVIEW OF THE MEETING

- 1. Roll Call
- 2. Community Input

CONSENT AGENDA

- 3. <u>Revised May 10, 2022 Minutes</u>
- 4. August 9, 2022 Minutes

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION & RECOMMENDATION

- 5. Select Representative for SMART Workshop
- 6. Flag Pole Policy
- 7. Engagement Framework Follow Up
- 8. Cultural Calendar Development

ADJOURN

Next Meeting: October 11, 2022 at 6:00 PM

A DEI COMMITTEE - LECTURE SERIES SUBCMOMMITTEE MEETING WILL IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THE DEI COMMITTEE MEETING

To submit public comments, please email comments to <u>mombert@ci.wilsonville.or.us</u> by 12:00 PM on the day before the meeting date.

For more information, contact Zoe Monahan Mombert, Assistant to the City Manager, at 503-570-1503 or **mombert@ci.wilsonville.us**.

9. PARTICIPANTS MAY ATTEND THE MEETING AT: City Hall, 29799 SW Town Center Loop East, Wilsonville, Oregon Zoom: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83159324538 TO PARTICIPATE REMOTELY OR PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT: Register with Zoe Mombert: Mombert@ci.wilsonville.or.us or 503-570-1503 Individuals may submit comments by 12:00 PM on the day before the meeting date via email to the address above, or may mail written comments to: Zoe Mombert - Wilsonville City Hall 29799 SW Town Center Loop East, Wilsonville, OR 97070



DIVERSITY, EQUITY & INCLUSION COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

May 10, 2022 at 6:00 PM

Zoom Link: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88077295096

CALL TO ORDER, WELCOME & OVERVIEW OF THE MEETING

Imran Haider called the meeting to order at 6:12 pm. Committee members are being asked to share their story at each meeting. This month Joni McNeill shared her story.

PRESENT

Chair Imran Haider Vice-Chair Jay Edwards Member Camryn Lau Member Diane Imel

ABSENT

Member Erika Pham Member Malak El Manhawym Member Santiago Landazuri

STAFF PRESENT

Bill Evans Miranda Bateschell Zoe Mombert Member Fay Gyapong-Porter Member Luis Gonzalez Member Joni McNeil

Member Sudeep Taksali Member Tracy Hester

GUEST

Bill Dela Cruz - Consultant Courtney Neron Pat Noyels - Consultant Kristin Gustoff Sabina Pariser

CONSENT AGENDA

1. Jay Edwards made a motion to approve the March 8, 2022 DEI Committee Minutes. Imran Haider seconded the motion. Motion passed with unanimous consent.

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION & RECOMMENDATIONS

2. **Strategic Planning Subcommittee** – Subcommittee shared focus group findings. Worked through the objectives and discussed tactics.

Fay Gyapong-Porter made a motion to approve the DEI Strategic Plan. Diane Imel seconded the motion. Motion passed with unanimous consent.

3. Update on Juneteenth Subcommittee gave an event update. Looking for volunteers

4. **Planning Department Discussion** regarding the draft framework for more inclusive engagement. Planning staff and their consultants outlined the project and sought input from the committee.

ADJOURN

Meeting adjourned at 8:04 pm.

Next Meeting: June 14, 2022 at 6:00 PM

Respectfully submitted by Zoe Mombert

Revised 8.22.22



DIVERSITY, EQUITY & INCLUSION COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

August 9, 2022 at 6:00 PM

City Hall - City Council Chambers (29799 SW Town Center Loop E) and Remote (https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83159324538)

CALL TO ORDER, WELCOME & OVERVIEW OF THE MEETING

Imran Haider called the meeting to order at 6:06 pm.

PRESENT

Chair Imran Haider Vice-Chair Jay Edwards Member Camryn Lau Member Diane Imel Member Erika Pham

ABSENT

Member Fay Gyapong-Porter Member Malak El Manhawym

STAFF PRESENT

Bill Evans Amanda Guile-Hinman Maria Mironova Zoe Mombert Dustin Schull Jeanna Troha

CONSENT AGENDA

Member Joni McNeil Member Luis Gonzalez Member Santiago Landazuri Member Tracy Hester

Member Sudeep Taksali

GUEST Ramiro Diaz

1. Committee Member Erika Pham made a motion to approve the May 10, 2022 DEI Committee Minutes. Vice-Chair Jay Edwards seconded the motion. Motion passed with unanimous consent.

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION & RECOMMENDATION

2. Motion made by Erika Pham to recommend Imran Haider as Committee Chair and Jay Edwards as Committee Vice-Chair. Diane Imel seconded the motion. Motion passed with unanimous consent.

- 3. Presentation on the Frog Pond Park Project and the metro local share program being evaluated for funding. The parks team is trying to reach a broader audience so they asked the DEI committee to share the survey with their peers networks.
- 4. Discussed the Flag Pole Policy and outlined four reasons for the policy and discussion:
 - > DEI strategic plan identifies visual representation including flags
 - Supreme Court Case by the Government versus non-government groups.
 - > Three factor test: government control over the message
 - Internal Banner Policy fold together. (Special Event Permit allows for banner use.)

The committee provided feedback to the City Attorney regarding the policy. One specific item was to give City Council the authority to determine which flags should be allowed on City Property.

The committee also asked for more content under the purpose statement. The City Attorney will work the purpose statement and get Citi Council before seeing a recommendation from the DEI Committee at the Sept 13 meeting.

5. Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Committee Strategic Plan Prioritization.

The committee used a dot exercise to identify their preferences for their top three priority actions for the committee's work plan.

These three actions which rose to the top were:

- Lecture series: Develop community conversations on DEI-related topics (i.e. racism, sexism, ageism, classism, heterosexism, access, prejudice, discrimination, bias, naming etc.); address topics with sensitivity, conviction, and compassion. Collaborate with City boards and commissions, community, school district, other cities.
- Develop a cultural calendar (highlight events, holidays, etc.)
- Work with other cities/school district to elevate, promote, support or partner in the development of cultural events (Pride, Dia de los Muertos, etc.)

The committee was agreeable to these top priorities and did a second round of the dot exercise to select their priority two actions.

These three actions which rose to the top were:

- Provide recommendations on meeting and event accessibility, as well as ADA enforcement
- Engage youth to provide regular social media content (to share on City platforms)
- Provide recommendations regarding existing programs, meetings, events, etc. to make them more inclusive to all community members.

After some discussion, the committee felt that two levels were enough to prioritize and they will revisit the remaining actions outlined in their strategic plan in the future.

Imran Haider moved to prioritize these actions as level 1:

• Lecture series: Develop community conversations on DEI-related topics (i.e. racism, sexism, ageism, classism, heterosexism, access, prejudice, discrimination, bias, naming etc.); address

topics with sensitivity, conviction, and compassion. Collaborate with City boards and commissions, community, school district, other cities.

- Develop a cultural calendar (highlight events, holidays, etc.)
- Work with other cities/school district to elevate, promote, support or partner in the development of cultural events (Pride, Dia de los Muertos, etc.)

And these actions as level 2.

- Provide recommendations on meeting and event accessibility, as well as ADA enforcement
- Engage youth to provide regular social media content (to share on City platforms)
- Provide recommendations regarding existing programs, meetings, events, etc. to make them more inclusive to all community members.

The motion was seconded by Jay Edwards and the motion passed unanimously.

The committee discussed next steps and it was determined that subcommittee would be formed with up to five committee meetings to lead the lecture series work. The whole committee will work on developing the cultural calendar at their September meeting.

ADJOURN

Meeting adjourned at 7:56 pm.

Next Meeting: September 13, 2022 at 6:00 PM

Respectfully submitted by Zoe Mombert



Item 6.

City of Wilsonville Flag Policy

1. Purpose

The City of Wilsonville seeks to codify a flag policy for City-owned property that incorporates federal and State of Oregon flag display requirements, along with City-specific requirements. Additionally, the City adopted the Diversity, Equity and Inclusion (DEI) Strategic Plan on July 18, 2022 via Resolution No. 2979. The DEI Strategic Plan includes a strategic action item of "visual representation," and references flags in that strategic action item. This Flag Policy establishes the framework for the City to engage in visual representation through flags.

2. United States Flag

2.1. General Requirements

The City must procure for each City-owned building a United States flag ("US Flag") of suitable size, as determined by the City Manager or designee. The City will comply with the federal flag regulations as provided in 4 USC § 1-10, as may be amended and as summarized herein. The City may also consult the US Department of Veterans Affairs' *Guidelines for Display of the Flag* attached as **Exhibit 1** for any further guidance regarding displaying the US Flag.

2.2. Time and Occasions for Display

2.2.1. Outdoor Flags

The US Flag must be placed upon or near each City-owned building and displayed from sunrise to sunset and on stationary flagstaffs in the open, except as otherwise provided herein. The US Flag may be displayed for 24 hours a day if properly illuminated during the hours of darkness. The US Flag should not be displayed on days of inclement weather unless an all-weather US Flag is displayed.

2.2.2. Indoor Flags

The US Flag must be displayed, at a minimum, in the City Council Chambers. The City Manager or designee may authorize the display of the US Flag in other City buildings.

2.2.3. Displaying at Half-Staff

The US Flag should be displayed at half-staff on each Memorial Day until noon and should be displayed at half-staff when otherwise ordered by the President of the United State or the Oregon Governor.

2.3. Position and Manner of Display

When displayed on the same staff, the US Flag must be at the peak, followed by the Oregon Flag, then the POW/MIA Flag, then the Wilsonville Flag (if one is created), and finally any other authorized flag. If one other flag is displayed on a staff next to the US Flag, the US Flag should be displayed on the left-most staff and positioned higher than the other flag. In a group of flags displayed from staffs, the US Flag should be at the center and the highest point. When the flags are flown from adjacent staffs, the US Flag should be hoisted first and lowered last.

The US Flag should be hoisted briskly and lowered ceremoniously.

3. State of Oregon Flag and POW/MIA Flag

3.1. General Requirements

The City must procure for each City-owned building a State of Oregon flag ("Oregon Flag") and, except as provided herein, a National League of Families' POW/MIA flag ("POW/MIA Flag"), each of suitable size, as determined by the City Manager or designee. The City will comply with the Oregon flag regulations as provided in ORS 186.110 and 186.120, as may be amended and as summarized herein.

The City is required to purchase and display a POW/MIA Flag only with respect to public buildings that have existing flagpoles or other infrastructure installed to properly display all three flags (US Flag, Oregon Flag, and POW/MIA Flag), except that any newly constructed City building must include sufficient infrastructure to properly display all three flags.

3.2. Time and Occasion for Display

3.2.1. Outdoor Flags

The City will display the Oregon Flag and the POW/MIA Flag with the US Flag upon or near the City buildings during the hours when the US Flag is customarily displayed, except in inclement weather, and at such other times as seems proper, as determined by the City Manager or designee.

3.2.2. Indoor Flags

The Oregon Flag must be displayed, at a minimum, in the City Council Chambers. The City Manager or designee may authorize the display of the Oregon Flag in other City buildings.

3.2.3. Displaying at Half-Staff

The Oregon Flag and POW/MIA Flag should be displayed at half-staff when the US Flag is also displayed at half-staff or if otherwise ordered to be displayed at half-staff by the Oregon Governor.

3.3. Position and Manner of Display

When displayed on the same staff, the US Flag must be at the peak, followed by the Oregon Flag, then the POW/MIA Flag, then the Wilsonville Flag, and finally any other authorized flag. In a group of flags displayed from staffs, the US Flag should be at the center and the highest point.

4. City of Wilsonville Flag

If the City approves an official City of Wilsonville flag, the following regulations will apply to such flag.

4.1. General Requirements

The City must procure for City Hall and, as authorized by the City Manager or designee, at any other City-owned building a City of Wilsonville flag ("Wilsonville Flag") of suitable size, as determined by the City Manager or designee.

4.2. Time and Occasion for Display

4.2.1. Outdoor Flags

Where the City displays the Wilsonville Flag, it must be displayed with the US Flag upon or near the City building during the hours when the US Flag is customarily displayed, except in inclement weather, and at such other times as seems proper, as determined by the City Manager or designee.

4.2.2. Indoor Flags

The City Manager or designee may authorize the display of the Wilsonville Flag in any City buildings.

4.2.3. Displaying at Half-Staff

The Wilsonville Flag should be displayed at half-staff when the US Flag is also displayed at halfstaff or if otherwise ordered to be displayed at half-staff by the Mayor or the City Manager.

4.3. Position and Manner of Display

When displayed on the same staff, the US Flag must be at the peak, followed by the Oregon Flag, then the POW/MIA Flag, then the Wilsonville Flag, and finally any other authorized flag. In a group of flags displayed from staffs, the US Flag should be at the center and the highest point.

5. Commemorative Flags

A commemorative flag is a flag that identifies with a specific historical event, cause, nation, or group of people that the City Council chooses to honor or commemorate consistent with the City's mission and priorities. A commemorative flag may include, but is not limited to, a Sister City flag; the World flag; flags received for awards for which the City has applied (e.g., Tree City USA flag); or flags displayed in conjunction with official actions, ceremonies, or proclamations of the City.

5.1. General Requirements

As an expression of the City's official government speech, the City Council may authorize the display of a commemorative flag to be displayed at City buildings. The City's flagpoles are not to serve as a forum for free expression by the public. The City Council will only consider a request to display a commemorative flag if the request is made by any one of the following: (1) the City Manager; (2) a member of Council; or (2) a recommendation from one of the City's committees, boards, or commissions. Requests directly by members of the public to display a commemorative flag will not be considered.

5.2. Time and Occasion for Display

5.2.1. Generally

Commemorative flags will be displayed for a period of time that is reasonable or customary for the subject that is be commemorated, which period of time may be permanent or temporary. Commemorative flags must be either purchased by the City or temporarily donated for the City's use and must be clean, without holes and tears. Commemorative flags must be the same size or smaller than the US Flag and Oregon Flag that are displayed.

5.2.2. Outdoor Flags

If a commemorative flag is displayed with the US Flag, it must be displayed for no longer than the hours when the US Flag is customarily displayed, except in inclement weather, and at such other times as seems proper, as determined by the City Manager or designee.

5.2.3. Indoor Flags

The City Manager or designee may authorize the display of any approved commemorative flag in any City buildings.

5.2.4. Displaying at Half-Staff

If any other flag is displayed at half-staff, the commemorative flag will also be displayed at half-staff.

5.3. Position and Manner of Display

When displayed on the same staff, the US Flag must be at the peak, followed by the Oregon Flag, then the POW/MIA Flag, then the Wilsonville Flag, and finally any other authorized flag. In a group of flags displayed from staffs, the US Flag should be at the center and the highest point.

6. Banners

6.1. Generally

As an expression of the City's official government speech, the City Manager may authorize the display of banners to be displayed adjacent to City streets attached to public street lights or utility poles. The street lights and utility poles are not to serve as a forum for free expression by the public, except as otherwise provided in WC 6.150(2) for certain permitted Large Special Events. The length of display of banners is at the discretion of the City Manager.

6.1.1. Location of Public Streetlights and Utility Poles

The City has three districts/corridors where banners are displayed by the City or allowed on public streetlight or utility poles:

6.1.1.1. Wilsonville Road Gateway Corridor

This corridor between the railroad tracks on the west side of I-5 and Boeckman Creek Bridge on the east side of I-5 serves as a Gateway to the City. The City installs and rotates City-owned seasonal banners along this corridor to enhance the gateway. However, the City may select to substitute the seasonal banners with another theme. Select streetlight poles along the corridor may be available to organizations hosting Large Special Events pursuant to WC 6.150 (2).

6.1.1.2. Town Center Loop

Town Center Park is one of the City's premier gathering places and the space is used for many of the City's Large Special Events. Pursuant to WC 6.150 (2) organizations requesting Large Special Events are eligible to place banners on certain streetlight poles along SW Town Center Loop East and West, as well as Memorial Drive and Courtside Drive. Spacing is determined by the Public Works Department based on the number of banners being installed.

6.1.1.3. Villebois Village

Villebois has a specific banner program approved as part of the Master Sign and Wayfinding Plans approved as a component of each Sub Area Plan (SAP). Outside of ensuring compliance with the Master Sign and Wayfinding Plans, the City does not operate, manage, or maintain banners within Villebois.

6.1.2. Banner Design

- 6.1.2.1. Special Event banners will be designed and produced in a color scheme complementary to the existing city seasonal banners.
- 6.1.2.2. City seasonal banners will have the following color schemes, which may be modified as authorized by the City Manager or designee:
 - 6.1.2.2.1. Spring: Lavender, Yellow, White
 - 6.1.2.2.2. Summer: Dark Blue, Sky Blue, Yellow
 - 6.1.2.2.3. Fall: Yellow, Purple, Rust, Orange
 - 6.1.2.2.4. Winter: Blue, Yellow, White

6.1.3. Banner Production

- 6.1.3.1. Sizes
 - 6.1.3.1.1. Wilsonville Road (West of I-5), Boones Ferry Road: Banners must meet the following dimensional requirements: 28.5 inches wide and 48 inches long.
 - 6.1.3.1.2. Wilsonville Road (East of I-5), Town Center Loop, Elligsen Road, Courtside Drive, Memorial Drive: Banners must meet the following dimensional requirements: 28.5 inches wide and 96 inches long.

6.1.4. Post Sleeves

Banners shall be installed on upper and lower posts securely attached to the pole. All banners will include an upper and lower sleeve of 4 to 6 inches wide, double stitched, for banners being installed by Public Works, and widths as required by the installer for signs being installed by contractors. Banners shall include grommets on side of banner that will be next to pole for attachment to pole with zip ties. There must be one grommet on top and one on the bottom, 4 inches from the top or bottom of the banner.

6.1.5. Clearance Requirements

For banners extending over a vehicle travel lane, bike lane, or curb area, the minimum clearance is fourteen feet (14'). For all other banners the minimum clearance is eight feet (8').

6.2. Time and Occasion for Display

- 6.2.1. Special Event banners must be installed no earlier than fourteen days before the start of the Special Event and removed no later than fourteen days after the end of the Special Event, unless as otherwise provided in the Special Event Permit.
- 6.2.2. City seasonal banners will be installed consistent with the following schedule:
 - 6.2.2.1. Spring: March 1
 - 6.2.2.2. Summer: June 1
 - 6.2.2.3. Fall: September 1
 - 6.2.2.4. Winter: December 1
- 6.2.3. Except in Villebois, placement and removal of all banners will be done only by Public Works employees or contractors agreed upon by the Public Works Department.

6.3. Installation Fee and Responsibility.

The City Manager may charge a fee for the installation of Special Event banners, which is currently set at \$1,300 per Special Event (\$650 to install and \$650 to remove), payable in advance. This fee covers installation and removal only, and does not cover banner construction, maintenance, or storage, which the City does not provide. Banners must be installed by City Public Works employees only, as provided in WC 6.150(2)(b). The City of Wilsonville is not responsible for any damage to non-City banners from vehicles, vandalism, or any other cause.

7. Amendments to Flag Policy and Other Regulations

The City Manager is authorized to amend this Flag Policy to reflect any changes in federal or state law regarding the U.S. Flag, the State of Oregon Flag, or the POW/MIA Flag. Any other revisions to this Flag Policy must be approved by the City Council.



DEI Committee

Meeting Date: August 9, 2022	Subject: Wilsonville Framework for Inclusive Engagement
	Staff Member: Daniel Pauly, Planning Manager
	Department: Community Development

ISSUE BEFORE COMMITTEE:

Discuss the Wilsonville Framework for Inclusive Engagement (Attachment 1) which will be an important resource for the City's ongoing public engagement efforts.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The City has long valued public input and included public engagement as a key part of its work, especially for legislative and policy items that come before the City Council. Policy 1.1.1 of the Comprehensive Plan states "The City of Wilsonville shall provide opportunities for a wide range of public involvement in City planning programs and processes."

Recent projects, exemplified by the Town Center Plan, have made substantial efforts to hear a wide range of voices using a variety of public engagement methods. Currently, efforts are being redoubled to make sure historically underrepresented groups have meaningful impact on City decision making. This is driven locally by Council and others, exemplified by the efforts to set up and support the Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) Committee. It is also driven by requirements of grant funding agencies including Metro and the State of Oregon. Strong momentum exists to do the best ever on public engagement to understand historic inequities, address them, and remove barriers historically faced by different minority groups.

Using Metro grant funding, the City initiated a project to further develop and refine initial ideas from a planning project around middle housing for a framework for inclusive public engagement that could be applied by various City departments and initiatives.

Bill de la Cruz and Pat Noyes have provided technical assistance and support to City staff for the completion of the framework. Since beginning their work in February, Mr. de la Cruz and Ms. Noyes reviewed the City's past public engagement efforts including Town Center and the Middle Housing project, coordinated with and advised the team working on Frog Pond East and

South public engagement, interviewed a number of past outreach participants who are members of historically underrepresented groups, held four hours of training with a large group of City staff. One product of their effort is the attached Wilsonville Framework for Inclusive Engagement (Attachment 1). The DEI Committee provided input on a draft version of Framework during in May. The attached version incorporates Committee comments along with those from City Council, Planning Commission, and staff from a number of City departments.

The intent of this project and the attached Framework is to provide a strong foundation on which City public engagement efforts can be based across a variety of projects to substantially increase diversity, equity, and inclusion in decisions by bringing meaningful engagement to all members of the community, particularly members of the community historically marginalized and underrepresented in public engagement efforts. The Framework provides resources, steps, and questions to consider to answer how to do improved public engagement. In addition, it lists barriers to engagement and actions to remove or minimize the barriers.

While the current consultant contract has concluded, the City is committed to continuing this work and welcomes City Council's feedback) on ideas for implementing the Framework. City staff will had or will have similar discussions with the City Council and Planning Commission.

As noted in the report, City staff is particularly interested in hearing from the DEI Committee about groups, communities, organizations that should be considered in developing an engagement approach that includes historically underrepresented groups. The Committee can share at the meeting or can share outside of the meeting by contacting Daniel Pauly, Planning Manager, at 503-570-1536 or <u>pauly@ci.wilsonville.or.us</u>

EXPECTED RESULTS:

Continue momentum to raise the bar for inclusive public engagement building upon and implementing the Wilsonville Framework for Inclusive Public Engagement.

TIMELINE:

While the consultant contract has concluded as of the end of June, this will be an ongoing effort across various City projects involving public engagement.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS or BENEFIT TO THE COMMUNITY:

A strong foundation on which City public engagement efforts can be based across a variety of projects to substantially increase diversity, equity, and inclusion in decisions by bringing meaningful engagement to all members of the community, particularly members of the community historically underrepresented in public engagement efforts. This work can help the City further its values of equity and inclusion through the reversal and establishment of policies and programs that enable, support, and celebrate diversity.

ATTACHMENTS:

Attachment 1 Wilsonville Framework for Inclusive Engagement (dated June 23, 2022)

Wilsonville Framework for Inclusive Engagement

June 23, 2022

Purpose

The City of Wilsonville is committed to engaging residents, businesses, property owners, and other stakeholders in planning and decision making that impacts them. This includes planning, policy, and project decisions related to land use, housing, parks and recreation, transportation, and other community issues. The City is also committed to increasing and supporting the involvement of historically underrepresented community members through consistent, fair, and accessible public engagement activities that encourage participation by all members of the community.

This framework was developed to provide a foundation on which City outreach and involvement efforts can be based across a variety of projects to substantially increase diversity, equity, and inclusion in decisions by bringing meaningful engagement to all members of the community. The approach outlined here brings the community into the process early and is designed to engage them collaboratively to define the issues to be addressed and to develop potential solutions and recommendations. Inclusive engagement is fundamentally different from traditional public outreach as it engages interested parties directly in the decision-making process, rather than asking for feedback on decisions the City is making or has already made.

Inclusive engagement brings in community members with a broad range of perspectives, experiences, needs and preferences to be active participants at each step of decision making, from defining the problem or issues, to defining a successful outcome, generating and evaluating potential solutions, and advancing recommendations. It encourages all members of the community to work with the City to develop plans, projects, policies and other actions that represent the diversity of interests and needs in Wilsonville.

Benefits of Engaging the Public

Broad community involvement in City decisions provides a number of significant benefits:

- Legitimacy and increased support for plans and projects. With the substantive engagement of affected communities, developed actions will reflect legitimacy, community support, and equitable outcomes. Legitimacy builds trust, political will, and ownership for effective implementation.
- Improved community/government relations. Community engagement can build trust between diverse stakeholders and help improve the quality of difficult discussions about racial disparities, economic conditions, and community development needs. By creating a multifaceted process built upon relationship building, trust, respect, and affirmation of community knowledge and power, more effective ways of dealing with differences will emerge.
- Deeper understanding of the issues. City initiatives will be stronger with the input of the people potentially affected by the decisions and actions. Plans, projects, policies and initiatives will benefit by significant engagement of residents and organizations that have knowledge of the existing challenges and opportunities, and experience to create solutions to these challenges.

- Increase in community capacity. A meaningful engagement strategy will improve the capacity for problem solving. Engagement builds stronger networks across racial, ethnic, generational, gender, and socioeconomic divides, an essential component to achieving equitable outcomes and leveraging additional resources.
- Reduced long-term costs. Plans, projects and policies that are supported by the community can
 generally be funded and implemented faster than those that experience resistance. Additional
 costs associated with redesign, extended negotiations, or even litigation can result from lack of
 community consensus. While conflicts may arise during planning (especially when there is a
 history of failed projects or unrealized promises), the community engagement process creates
 an environment of positive communication where creative and inclusive solutions can be found
 to resolve conflicts.
- *Democracy in action*. Community engagement is, in many ways, a microcosm of our American democratic system of government. It is one of the best ways community residents can connect to and shape local and regional decision-making processes.

Principles for Effective Outreach

Community engagement should take a comprehensive approach, creating practices and institutionalized mechanisms that share power and vest decision-making control in all members of the community, including historically overlooked and marginalized groups and individuals. When utilized for the purpose of increasing community power and agency for problem solving, community engagement is guided by a few key principles:

- Honor the wisdom, voice, and experience of the community
- Involve diverse and representative community interests
- Treat participants with integrity and respect
- Be transparent about the process, motives and power dynamics
- Share decision making and initiative leadership
- Engage in continuous reflection and willingness to change course

Transformative engagement can be the difference between a successful initiative and one that falls well short of its potential. It enables highly technical or routine projects and processes to produce real, tangible and lasting benefits for communities. To be transformative and achieve the City's objective of being inclusive, engagement should be:

- Collaborative work together with the community to generate ideas and develop solutions
- Outcome-driven focus on solving a problem
- Inclusive involve stakeholders in defining the problem, the desired outcome, and the process for decision making
- Fair clearly define decision-making process
- Trackable document all input and decisions
- Accessible make meetings and information accessible for all

How to Use the Framework

The framework provided here offers general guidance for effective public decision making and engagement. It includes a six-step process that guides the focus of public engagement at each step of

the process. It is intended to be a flexible, principle-driven process that can be easily followed by the City and the public to track the decisions and focus of each step, creating a fair and transparent process. This requires documentation of all input and decisions at each step of the process to allow the community to track how their perspectives are considered and addressed. The framework can be used as the foundation for designing public outreach for all City activities that include a public outreach or engagement component. The process is flexible and adaptable to the complexity and timeframes of different types of policy, planning, and project initiatives.

Questions to Consider

In applying the framework to your public initiative, it may be helpful to consider the following questions to set the context for the public outreach design:

- What would a successful public engagement effort look like for this initiative?
- Is the City starting from a relatively blank slate to understand the full set of needs or is it focused on specific solutions or constraints?
- What is the timeline and decision-making structure that will drive the process?
- What is your understanding of the community landscape? Who is affected? Which community groups or other stakeholders can help engage the most affected community members? Consider individuals and groups that have been historically underrepresented in community engagement.
- What are the core questions and tradeoffs associated with the project? What are the most important questions and tradeoffs stakeholders and decision makers must consider? Are there segments of the community that will be particularly interested in those questions?

Designing the Process

Establish Goals for Community Engagement

It is important to be clear about why you are doing public engagement to ensure that the public outreach effort is designed to meet your intended outcome. The purpose can range from providing information to the public, to obtaining input on a project or decision, to involving the community in decisions. It is always better to look to a more inclusive approach if you are unsure how much interest or controversy there is around a decision. Starting with more outreach and then backing off if the level of interest is not there is better than starting with an information campaign and being met with community resistance or controversy; such an approach does not engender trust in the process.

Establishing goals for engagement is not focused on a solution, it is focused on what the public process brings to developing a solution. The goal of community engagement is to provide opportunities for the public to gain information, provide input, and influence the outcome at whatever level necessary to support the final recommendation. Understanding the nature of the decisions being made, the opportunities to enhance decisions through community dialogue, and awareness of the challenges and community concerns is essential to designing an effective engagement process.

Framework for Engagement

The framework outlined below is easily adapted to a wide variety of applications to provide a structure to public engagement on a City-wide basis. Consistency in the approach allows the community to recognize the steps of the process and how their participation will be used in the City's decision making. This builds trust and confidence in the process and encourages broad public involvement.

Item 7.

Key Steps, Strategies, and Considerations

The steps outlined here are general in nature and can be adapted to meet the complexity and context of any decision. They are designed to make the process transparent and understandable to all interested parties, focus on developing a fair process that reflects community values from a broad range of interests, facilitate creative problem solving, and engage the community in weighing tradeoffs and values.

The framework for engaging the community in a fair and transparent decision-making process is developed around the six steps for public decision making, shown in Table 1.

Step 1	Define the problem and identify desired outcome for the project or initiative
Step 2	Determine criteria and measures for the desired outcomes
Step 3	Brainstorm potential solutions to the problem
Step 4	Evaluate the alternatives using the agreed upon criteria
Step 5	Consider tradeoffs among alternatives
Step 6	Develop recommendations to the decision makers

Table 1: Steps for Public Decision Making

The framework is designed to engage stakeholders early and allow them to participate throughout the process. It is built on a proactive approach that involves the community as active partners, rather than simply being asked to react to City-generated solutions. It is important to document and report back to the community the perspectives, ideas, and input they bring at each step of the process, and to show how these are used to define the problem, develop the evaluation criteria, generate ideas or solutions, evaluate potential solutions, and develop recommendations.

Step 1: Define the problem and identify desired outcome for the project or initiative

The first step of any process is to define the problem to be addressed. For most planning and policy decisions, it is important for the City to explore a problem through the broader lens of public engagement. Gaining the perspective of directly and potentially affected parties adds depth and dimension to the problem definition. What may seem like a problem for City officials may have unseen benefits to the community. Similarly, information gathered by the City about an issue may not include challenges obvious to those who live and work in or with the issue. By mutually defining a problem, the City is better prepared to develop solutions that are supported by the community and those directly affected by them.

Similarly, a mutually defined desired outcome is important to knowing what is important to the community in developing a plan or project that all parties can support. Answering the question: This project/plan will be success if...? helps to frame community values and desired outcomes. It also provides the basis for developing an evaluation process in Step 2. It is important to discern between interests and solutions when exploring desired outcomes, and to redirect suggested solutions to a discussion about what they achieve or deliver. For example, in a planning effort someone might say that a new park is the desired outcome. The underlying interest may be a place for children to play or friends to gather or the creation of green space or aesthetics. Teasing out the underlying interests creates an opportunity to achieve an outcome without limiting it to a single solution.

Mutually defining the desired outcome(s) in Step 1 provides the foundation for developing criteria and measures for comparing and selecting alternative solutions or ideas. It is important to design and gain endorsement for an evaluation process that reflects community values before brainstorming potential solutions. This demonstrates the City's commitment to a fair and transparent process and a way to track and evaluate what is most important to the community.

The purpose of the evaluation process is to provide a structure for comparing options across values. It is not intended to numerically rank each option or alternative; rather, it is designed to provide information on the tradeoffs across several key values and criteria. The evaluation process is a tool for understanding the tradeoffs and looking for a balance the community can support. What might be a disadvantage to one person or group may be an advantage to another. Through this process all interested parties have an opportunity to share their perspective and look for ways to find mutually beneficial solutions.

Step 3: Brainstorm potential solutions to the problem

The process of brainstorming potential solutions is generally the most fun part of a decision process and one stakeholders want to jump into from the beginning of the process. In many cases, the City has identified a range of options before going to the public in a planning process. It is important to complete Steps 1 and 2 before getting into potential solutions to provide an opportunity for potential solutions to evolve out of a broader perspective based on the desired outcomes and community values identified in Step 1. Brainstorming should be as creative as possible and not be incumbered by discussion of why things will or will not work. On plans or projects where the City is looking for public input and involvement, the structure of this activity would be as inclusive and interactive as possible. If the City has made decisions or commitments, or there are parameters or limitations to what is to be considered, those should be shared. If there are examples from other plans, projects, or communities the City would like to present to generate ideas or get feedback, those can also be shared to stimulate discussion.

There are several techniques for engaging the community in the brainstorming phase. These include workshops, charrettes, online interactive activities, interactive displays in public areas, surveys, and others. As with other activities, the more interactive the better with opportunities for the community to share and hear a wide range of perspectives and interests.

After the initial brainstorming, the City develops alternative solutions for evaluation. These can include any ideas the City has and should include the ideas generated by the public brainstorming process. They should also be distinctive from each other to test alternatives against different criteria and values. Ideas should be tracked and mapped to alternatives so the public can easily see how their ideas were incorporated into alternatives. If some ideas are not viable or realistic and cannot be used, they should also be documented with the rationale for not moving them into an alternative.

Step 4: Evaluate the alternatives using the agreed upon criteria

In Step 4, alternatives are evaluated in the preestablished evaluation process. For more complex projects, this may need to be a multistep process or ideas may need to be combined into packages of improvements that can be added to different alternatives. For most decisions, a range of three to five alternatives can be evaluated to provide a comparison between them. Criteria may be quantitative or

Item 7.

qualitative, as designed in Step 2. The purpose of this step is to provide enough information about how each alternative addresses the values and criteria, and to share the evaluation results in a clear way. The easiest way to provide these results for comparison is in a matrix or table that allows the public and decision makers to see and compare how well each alternative meets the desired outcomes.

Step 5: Consider tradeoffs among alternatives

Step 5 shares the evaluation of the alternatives to open discussion and understanding of how different options impact desired outcomes. It helps the community see where ideas are mutually exclusive or contradictory and how they may positively or negatively affect interest groups or stakeholders. The goal of this step is not to rank or vote on an alternative, it is to use what it learned through discussions of tradeoffs to guide the selection of a preferred alternative, either one of the evaluated alternatives or one that evolves out of the community dialogue. If this step leads to the development of one or more new alternatives, Steps 4 and 5 are repeated to identify community preferences and determine a preferred alternative.

Step 6: Develop recommendations to the decision makers

The preferred alternative will be the basis for a recommendation to City decision makers. City interests and limitations should be included in Steps 1 through 5 to ensure that they are considered throughout the process. Recommendations should document the process the City followed to develop the recommended alternative, including the activities for involving the community, a summary of each step of the process, and any unresolved issues or challenges. If the process was followed and City and community criteria were addressed, the recommendation should meet the City's desired outcomes and limitations.

Modular and Flexible

Each of the steps is critical to a fair and transparent decision process; however, the time needed for each step and the number of meetings or activities devoted to each step should be adapted to the nature and complexity of the project or decision. For example, if the problem is well understood and agreed upon by all stakeholders, Step 1 can be a quick review and confirmation of the problem definition and desired outcomes, accomplished in the same meeting as developing the evaluation criteria and measures. For more complex and potentially controversial projects, several outreach activities and discussions may be needed to develop consensus on the problem definition and desired outcomes. Process design should consider the appropriate and reasonable number of meetings and activities needed to move the process forward in a way that keeps stakeholders engaged and does not feel like it is missing any of the key steps. Process design should include a timeline that shows the steps and activities, allowing the community to see how long the process will take and when key milestones of decision making are anticipated.

Every public action needs to consider the appropriate level of engagement and document all activities to engage the public, including any constraints and limitations on engagement. It may not be realistic to implement an inclusive engagement process for every City initiative due to budget, timing, legislative requirements, or staffing constraints. Each City action should include engagement considerations and document constraints and activities.

In-person and Virtual Community Engagement

Community engagement should be structured to encourage the sharing of perspectives across interest groups and individuals. In-person events are easily structured to encourage dialogue and conversation. Where in-person meetings are not feasible or appropriate, efforts should be made to create virtual environments that are as interactive as possible to encourage the community to share and understand a broad range of perspectives. It is important to provide interpretation services as needed to reduce language barriers and support communication between stakeholders.

There are times when virtual meetings, or a combination of virtual and in-person meetings provide greater flexibility to working families with children, who have limited time, transportation, or child care. Virtual meetings were also essential to continue public engagement during the COVID-19 pandemic, providing a safe option for participation. Whether in-person or virtual, forums should be structured to encourage interaction between community members and groups. Formal presentations by agency and subject experts should be minimized and opportunities to share ideas and perspectives should be maximized.

Identifying Key Stakeholders and Audiences

Effective community engagement is broad and deep. It allows all potentially interested or affected parties to be involved at the level appropriate to their interest. It should cast a broad net to identify stakeholders and meet the full range of levels of interest. Some residents or businesses may want to be kept informed while others have a vested interest in the outcome and want to influence the decisions that are made. It is important to understand the range of audiences, stakeholder, and interested and affected parties to develop outreach activities that meet their needs.

Some of the critical considerations for identifying and engaging stakeholders include:

- What level of interest does the general community have in this policy, plan or project, and how does that vary across different groups?
- What groups or individuals are potentially affected by the development of this policy, plan, or project?
- How can we engage the most affected community members from the beginning?
- What is the City asking of participants in the public process (e.g. time, input, resources, expertise, etc.) and is it clear to the participants what they are being asked to provide?

Considerations for Engaging Underrepresented Stakeholders

Engaging traditionally marginalized communities in decision-making processes is critical to realizing the full and authentic potential of sustainability and prosperity in Wilsonville. Public participation processes that are perfunctory and superficial do not include opportunities to share stories, access community assets and knowledge, or include all community members and organizations in shaping the agenda, the process, and the ultimate decisions. To be truly inclusive, the City must treat all members of the community as an asset and understand that community-based organizations bring important capacities and relationships that the City can leverage to produce more effective community outcomes. However, not all underrepresented members of the community are part of an organization. It is important to identify and engage all potentially interested or affected parties during outreach design and throughout

Item 7.

the process. One way to do that is to continually ask, "who are we missing, who else should be involved," in the early public meetings and as new issues arise.

The City's DEI Committee serves to connect Wilsonville to the diverse perspectives and lived experiences of its people. The committee advocates for equitable access and opportunity for every community member. It identifies barriers to participation and inclusion, and pursues programs, policies, partnerships and ideas that remove those barriers. City projects, plans or other actions should engage the DEI Committee in identifying potentially affected, historically underrepresented parties and stakeholders as part of developing an inclusive engagement strategy and activities.

It is essential to build bridges to underrepresented groups by creating a safe space conducive to sharing experiences, ideas, and preferences. Overcoming cultural and language challenges that may limit engagement should be a priority in the design and implementation of public outreach and engagement. This can be done through identifying and working with community ambassadors or advocates to directly address obstacles to participation. Clearly defining the purpose of involvement and how community involvement will be used to shape decisions is important.

It may be necessary to engage intermediaries to facilitate the inclusion of traditionally underrepresented parties. Intermediaries can help bridge the gap between the groups who trust them and other stakeholders. They can also support coalition building and information sharing between experts and partners to reach underrepresented communities. If groups are not represented and intermediaries cannot be identified, City staff should acknowledge and document the perspectives which are not represented in the conversation and the process.

It is important to work directly with historically underrepresented groups to learn the best ways to reach them and identify what circumstances or accommodations would make them more comfortable in engaging. This may include finding points of influence in different groups and asking them for strategies for engagement. Implementing this approach will require that City officials invest their time in the process and appreciate that meaningful community engagement requires commitment to the principles outlined in this framework.

The following groups, communities, and organizations should be considered in developing an engagement approach that includes historically underrepresented groups:

Insert list from the DEI Committee

Some barriers to engaging traditionally underrepresented stakeholders and potential actions for overcoming the barriers are provided in 2 below.

Barrier	Potential Action
Participant resources	
Time needed to participate	Offer a variety of times and amount of time required. Streamline
	the process. Offer incentives to participate.
Ability to travel to meetings	Locate activities close to underrepresented communities, near
	bus service, and provide or subsidize transportation to meetings.
	Provide a hybrid model for online and in person engagement.

Table 2: Barriers and Actions

Barrier	Potential Action		
Childcare	Provide onsite childcare and activities to engage youth in the		
	project.		
Limited knowledge of, or access			
to technology			
Internet access	Provide computer and internet access at public facilities.		
Comfort with online platforms	Simplify access and provide support. Provide training on different		
	platforms through the school Family Empowerment Center or		
	County fund for technology training and access for seniors.		
Lack of trust in government			
Past experiences with	Document the range of past negative experiences and actively		
government	address concerns.		
Fairness of the process	Clearly define the process and maintain transparency.		
Fear of government	Hold meetings in safe environments (schools, churches,		
	neighborhood meeting places).		
Language	Provide translation services and community liaisons. Use a		
	variety of media – spoken, written, graphical – to overcome		
	language barriers. Include information on how to request		
	translation services in a variety of languages. Identify languages		
	in targeted areas to include languages besides English and		
	Spanish.		
Cultural	Make accommodations for cultural and religious holidays and		
	norms. Include members of diverse groups as information		
	resources at events (familiar faces). Consider differences in		
	government processes from countries of origin for immigrants		
	and provide support for understanding differences (e.g., citizens		
	academy).		
Physical	Provide accommodations for varying physical abilities and		
	limitations. Check facilities in person for accessibility prior to		
	scheduling meetings or events there.		
Lack of project awareness	Provide information across a wide range of media, formal and		
	informal, including traditional media, printed mailings and social		
	media. Post notices in parks, libraries, schools, SMART buses,		
	apartment complexes, senior housing. Use radio and word of		
	mouth in targeted communities.		
Power differentials and	Assess, document, and address full range of potential power		
dynamics	dynamics related to the initiative. Reach out and personally invite		
	underrepresented individuals and groups. Meeting facilitators		
	should be aware of power differentials and ensure participants		
	are given an opportunity and made comfortable to speak up.		

Questions to Consider

In developing an outreach strategy and identifying tools, consider the following questions:

- How does the overall demographic makeup of those who are engaged in the public process compare to the overall makeup of the city?
- Who is underrepresented and how does the proposed policy, plan, or project potentially affect them?

Item 7.

- Are there historic and current power imbalances that should be considered in the design of the public engagement process to be inclusive?
- Who are the key organizational partners and intermediaries? Are specific community leaders, business associations, or activists engaged? Are these partners aware of and actively addressing historic inequities?
- What background information will historically underrepresented groups need to participate effectively? How will that information be prepared and delivered?
- Are there power dynamics based on historic, financial, political, or other advantages that may impact an individual's or group's ability to influence decision making?

Actions to Overcome Barriers

The following are general principles to guide City actions to overcome barriers to inclusive public engagement:

- Create welcoming, safe environments by asking the underrepresented communities how this can be achieved
- Design a process that is friendly to working families
- Go to the community (work places, public gatherings, social and religious organizations, schools)
- Be transparent and open throughout the process by engaging the community in how the City can build trust in the engagement processes
- Explain how public engagement is used in decision making
- Be accessible and responsive
- Use a variety of low-tech/high touch and high-tech opportunities to participate
- Provide information through a wide range of media
- Build community connections for ongoing engagement
- Provide language translation services for all potentially affected parties

Strategies for Outreach and Engagement

This section discusses a range of strategies for public outreach and engagement. In addition to the tools described below, the City should consider the capacity of staff and the community to engage in an effective outreach effort. Outreach and engagement activities should be included in the scope of work for all City initiatives to ensure that it is a formal part of the process and adequate resources are available for effective engagement.

From the City's perspective, the following questions should be considered in designing and implementing a public outreach process:

- Does the City have the resources to design and facilitate an effective public process?
- Does the staff have the appropriate training and skillset to engage a diverse set of community members in the decision-making process?
- Does the staff need trainings on racial disparities, equitable practices, and other topics to help understand and respond to what they are hearing from community groups?
- Does the staff represent and/or have a history of working with the community groups that need to be included in the process?

An honest assessment of these questions at the outset can prepare the City for challenges and allow additional resources and capabilities to be brought into the process from the beginning.

Similarly, the City should consider the community's capacity to engage effectively in a process. If the issues are complex or historically underrepresented groups with little experience engaging in public processes are involved, there may be a need to support them. The City should consider:

- What kinds of training or materials will community members need to engage in the decisionmaking process comfortably and meaningfully?
- How will the materials and information be delivered in a way that ensures accessibility for a diverse range of community groups?
- Are translation services or other communication supports needed to engage a broader community?

Menu of Outreach Activities

The following is a list of public outreach activities that can be used to inform, solicit input, or engage the public. The list is not exhaustive and is provided as examples of ways to engage or share information with the public. There is a general description of each and discussion of how and when they are applicable. A summary table of the application of each tool is shown in Table 3. In selecting tools for public outreach, it is important to consider the average age or digital literacy of targeted groups and potential barriers of each tool to engaging historically underrepresented groups.

Public Meetings

Public meetings can be used to provide information, solicit input, and engage the public depending on how they are structured. They can vary in the size and formality of the meeting. Meetings that are intended to engage the public in a dialogue and sharing of ideas and perspectives should minimize presentations by the City (talking at the public) and maximize opportunities for interaction (dialogue, brainstorming, breakout groups – listening to the public). Specific types of public meetings are discussed below. Each brings a different focus or structure to enhance interaction with the community.

Workshops

Workshops are a particular type of public meeting used to encourage collaboration between the City and the community. They are generally focused in terms of their scope and structured to allow cooperative problem solving. Workshops can be designed using a wide variety of interactive formats: breakout group, stations focused on specific issues or aspects of a plan or project, tabletop exercises, brainstorming sessions, presentations and videos, community-driven dialogues, and others. The main purpose of workshops is for the City and the community to work together and to share ideas and perspectives.

Focus/Community Interest Groups

Focus groups or interest groups are smaller public meetings focused on a specific issue, interest, or stakeholder group. These groups can be formed to engage a specific or diverse set of interests throughout a planning process or can be formed ad hoc as issues arise that need input and involvement by targeted groups. Focus groups can also be used to engage traditionally underrepresented stakeholders to ensure that their interests are included in the process.

Charettes

Charettes bring together City officials, planners, designers, and public stakeholders in a collaborative working meeting to address planning and design issues. Charettes may be time intensive, bringing stakeholders together to solve problems over one or more days. These can be held at key steps in the process to support the problem definition or the development and revision of potential solutions.

Visioning Workshop

Visioning or future search workshops are useful in identifying community values and preferences. They should include a broad range of interests and disciplines in support of strategic planning or policy development. These workshops allow participants to share what is important to them, what they want to change, and what they want to build on in the future.

Open Houses

Open houses are one of the least structured public meeting options. They allow the public to drop-in and interact at their level of interest. Open houses should provide information about a policy, plan, or project; include opportunities for the public to ask question and give input on what is presented; and allow participants to interact with City officials involved in the process. Open houses should provide a variety of ways for gaining and documenting input through comment forms or recorders to capture comments. Information is provided through displays and handouts, with opportunities to discuss issues directly with City officials involved in the policy, plan, or project development.

Social/Community Events

Information about City initiatives can be brought to social and community events to provide information about policies, plans, or projects the City is working on. Information displays at community events increase the visibility of the initiative and allow interested citizens to learn about the effort, talk to City staff, provide input, and follow-up by accessing online information or getting involved in community engagement activities. Targeting a variety and diversity of events, the City can inform and potentially engage interested parties that are not traditionally engaged in policy and planning activities. An important event to focus on is the City's annual block party which in the past has brought diverse members of the community. The Farmers Market and cultural celebrations are also good places to reach the community through information tables and flyers.

Websites

Websites specific to City initiatives can provide 24/7 access to information. They can be designed to include surveys, subscription push notifications of updates and key decisions, and interactive tools that allow the public to engage in the project. For complex policy issues, agencies have developed games that allow users to make choices and indicate priorities through fun and simple exercises. The results can be compiled to give decision makers a better sense of community values. Websites should be up to date and clearly track the status of the process. Let's Talk, Wilsonville is a "virtual City Hall" that features City projects and provides opportunities to provide input. Project sites on Let's Talk, Wilsonville! Include a brief description and survey questions that change over the life of the project to allow interested parties to provide focused input.

Surveys

Surveys are a tool for sharing information with, and gaining input from, the public. They can be conducted in-person, by phone, online, and by mail. Surveys can be included in other activities such as

community events, open houses, project websites, or newsletters. Surveys are most helpful when there is a need to gain input on what is important to the community. Surveys should be short, focused, and easy to complete. They should be designed to collect input rather than as a voting tool and should include opportunities for comments or open-ended questions. Use paper surveys as well as electronic surveys to reach those who are not comfortable with or do not have access to technology.

Mailings

Mailings can be targeted or general to provide information on a project or invite participation in public engagement activities. Targeting mailings about a policy, plan, or project can be used to reach groups that may have a specific potential interest, those who may need additional encouragement to participate, or those who do not have internet access or have language limitations. Developing targeted mailings in Spanish or other languages, and mailing lists of those who are unlikely to receive emails or visit websites is important to reaching those who are traditionally underrepresented in City processes. The information used in mailings can also be used as flyers and posted in libraries, schools, parks, SMART buses, apartment complexes, and senior housing. Including a QR code to access the website makes it easy to capture the information quickly.

Emails

The City maintains a number of public email lists that can be used to provide updates on City activities. These should be used to deliver information on policies, plans, and projects with an option to opt out of future emails. Email can be used to notify the public of outreach activities and linked to project websites.

Newsletters

Newsletters can be electronic and delivered through email and websites, or printed and mailed or distributed at public meetings, community events, or public venues such as libraries and recreation centers. Newsletters provide information to the public and should document the public process and direct readers to websites, events, and City contacts. The City can also work with homeowners associations, business groups, and community organizations to include project updates in their member newsletters.

Social Media

Social media provides a format for quick updates and information about events and key milestones in a public process. It can be used to augment other information sources and direct readers to more comprehensive sources such as project websites. Social media is a good way to reach younger community members.

News Articles

Articles in the Spokesman and Boones Ferry Messenger can help disseminate information about policies, plans, and projects that are newsworthy. Media releases should be coordinated through the City's Communication and Marketing Manager.

Wilsonville TV

Wilsonville TV provides an opportunity to share information through live and recorded videos of committee meetings and planning efforts, such as this video on the <u>Frog Pond planning conversation</u>. This information is easily accessed on the Wilsonville YouTube channel 24/7 and can be more engaging

than a static website. Links to process-specific videos should be included on the project website and in other information pieces.

Table 3: J	Application	of Outreach	Tools
------------	-------------	-------------	-------

Activity	Information	Input	Engagement
Public Meetings	✓	√	✓
Workshops	√	√	√
Focus/Community Interest Groups	✓	✓	✓
Charettes	√	√	✓
Visioning Workshop	√	√	✓
Open Houses	√	√	√
Social/Community Events	√	√	
Websites	√	√	
Surveys	√	√	
Mailings	√		
Emails	√		
Newsletters	√		
Social Media	✓		
News Articles	✓		
Wilsonville TV	\checkmark		

Public hearings are not included in this list. Although a formal public hearing may be a required final step to adopt or approve a policy or plan, public hearings should not be considered a tool for public engagement. By working collaboratively throughout the process, the City should be able to address public concerns in developing a final policy or plan. This should lead to final recommendations that are accepted or supported by the community. There should be no surprises by the time a policy or plan gets to final approval or adoption. Time should be provided during the hearing for public comment for interested parties to express their concerns or support; however, if issues are raised that were not addressed during the public process, the process itself was not as robust as it needed to be.

Measure Success

After each public outreach or engagement process, it is important to assess effectiveness and document what worked, what could have worked better, what did not work, and why. This information can be used to improve the outreach framework and future outreach efforts. Some of the questions to consider in determining how success the public outreach process was include:

- Did Wilsonville officials learn new information about the needs or priorities of the community, particularly from segments of the community that have historically been excluded from, or marginalized in, government decision making?
- Did community participants learn about the constraints Wilsonville officials face, such as limited resource or legal barriers, the unintended consequences of certain policies, or conflicting community needs?
- Were the organizations, participants, and City officials involved able to explore new and creative solutions through dialogue, listening, and learning from each other?

- Are there concrete ways that the community involvement influenced the final strategy?
- Did the City explain why some community recommendations or requests were not included?
- Did participants, especially those from low-income communities of color and other vulnerable or disinvested communities, build political power and gain more access to government decision makers that they can leverage for influencing future processes or decisions?
- Was the recommended policy, plan, or project adopted and implemented?