# ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEETING <br> LOCATION: TOWNSHIP ANNEX, 7527 HIGHLAND ROAD, WHITE LAKE, MICHIGAN, 48383 <br> (FORMER WHITE LAKE LIBRARY) <br> THURSDAY, OCTOBER 27, 2022-7:00 PM 

White Lake Township | 7525 Highland Rd | White Lake, MI 48383 | Phone: (248) 698-3300 | www.whitelaketwp.com

## AGENDA

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL
3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
A. Zoning Board of Appeals, Regular Meeting of September 22, 2022
6. CONTINUING BUSINESS
A. Applicant: Alan \& Mary Peltier

9522 Cooley Lake Road
White Lake, MI 48386

## Location: 9522 Cooley Lake Road

White Lake, MI 48386 identified as 12-35-380-018
Request: The applicant requests to construct an accessory building, requiring a variance from Article 5.7.A, Accessory Buildings or Structures in Residential Districts. Variances from Article 3.1.6.E, R1-D Single Family Residential Front-Yard Setback, Side-Yard Setback, Minimum Lot Area, and Minimum Lot Width are also required to construct the accessory building and an addition on the dwelling unit.

## 7. NEW BUSINESS

A. Applicant: Paul Peter<br>9474 Thames Boulevard<br>White Lake, MI 48386

Location: 9474 Thames Boulevard
White Lake, MI 48386 identified as 12-14-203-007
Request: The applicant requests to construct an accessory building, requiring variances from Article 3.1.6.E, R1-D Single Family Residential Front-Yard setback, Maximum Lot Coverage, Minimum Lot Area, and Minimum Lot Width.
B. Applicant: Adam Hufeld 10071 Elizabeth Lake Road White Lake, MI 48386

## Location: 10071 Elizabeth Lake Road

White Lake, MI 48386 identified as 12-27-228-001
Request: The applicant requests to construct a deck, requiring variances from Article 3.10.A, Attached Decks, Porches, and Patios in Residential Districts. Variances from Article 5.12. Fences, Walls, and Other Protective Barriers. Fences, Walls and Other Protective Barriers are requested to install a privacy fence exceeding the allowed height and within the road right-of-way.
C. Applicant: Todd McGeachy

539 Burgess Drive
White Lake, MI 48386

## Location: 539 Burgess Drive

White Lake, MI 48386 identified as 12-27-429-003
Request: The applicant requests to enlarge and alter a nonconforming structure (house) to construct a garage addition, requiring variances from Article 7.23.A, Nonconforming Structures and Article 3.1.5.E, R1-C Single Family Residential Minimum Lot Area.

## 8. OTHER BUSINESS

9. NEXT MEETING DATE:
A. December 8, 2022 - Regular Meeting

## 10. ADJOURNMENT

Procedures for accommodations for persons with disabilities: The Township will follow its normal procedures for individuals with disabilities needing accommodations for effective participation in this meeting. Please contact the Township Clerk's office at (248) 698-3300 X-164 at least two days in advance of the meeting. An attempt will be made to make reasonable accommodations.

# WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP <br> ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS <br> REGULAR MEETING <br> SEPTEMBER 22, 2022 

## CALL TO ORDER

Chairperson Spencer called the meeting to order at 7:00 P.M. She then led the Pledge of Allegiance.

## ROLL CALL

Present:
Clif Seiber
Niklaus Schillack, Vice Chairperson
Mike Powell, Township Board Liaison
Debby Dehart, Planning Commission Liaison
Jo Spencer, Chairperson

## Others:

Justin Quagliata, Staff Planner
Hannah Micallef, Recording Secretary
7 members of the public present

APPROVAL OF AGENDA
MOVED by Member Schillack, SUPPORTED by Member Powell, to approve the agenda as presented. The motion CARRIED with a voice vote: ( 5 yes votes).

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

a. Zoning Board of Appeals Regular Meeting of July 28 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2022$.

MOVED by Member Seiber, SUPPORTED by Member Schillack, to approve the Zoning Board of Appeals Regular Meeting Minutes of July 28 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2022$ as presented. The motion CARRIED with a voice vote: (5 yes votes).

## CONTIUINING BUSINESS:

None.

## NEW BUSINESS:

A. Applicant: Alan \& Mary Peltier

9522 Cooley Lake Road
White Lake, MI 48386
Location: 9522 Cooley Lake Road
White Lake, MI 48386 identified as 12-35-380-018
Request: The applicant requests to construct an accessory building, requiring a variance from Article 5.7.A, Accessory Buildings or Structures in Residential Districts. Variances from Article 3.1.6.E, R1-D Single Family Residential Front-Yard Setback, Side-Yard Setback, Minimum Lot Area, and Minimum Lot Width are also required to construct the accessory building and an addition on the dwelling unit.

Chairperson Spencer noted for the record that 21 owners within 300 feet were notified. 0 letters were received in favor, 0 letters were received in opposition, and 0 letters were returned undeliverable from the U.S. Postal Service.

Staff Planner Quagliata presented his staff report.

Chairperson Spencer asked staff where Orchard Drive ended. Staff Planner Quagliata said Orchard Drive ended at subject site and picked up about 300 feet to the south.

Member Schillack asked staff if a new well was proposed. Staff Planner Quagliata said he did not see a new well proposed; the existing well was under the proposed deck.

Member Schillack asked staff if there was anything on the property that would prevent the garage being moved to the east. Staff Planner Quagliata said there was nothing preventing such a move.

Chairperson Spencer said the proposed garage was large and could be reduced to eliminate a requested variance.

Member Dehart stated she thought Orchard Drive was a private road. Staff Planner Quagliata said it was a public right-of-way. Member Dehart said if the garage was connected to the house, the garage would be pulled away from the west lot line.

Alan Peltier, applicant, was present to speak on his case. He said he wanted the garage because the existing house was old and had no garage. He said the deck would cover a large hole in the ground, and there would be a box in the deck to access the well. His intention was to remove the current shed, build the deck and garage, and ultimately improve the house.

Member Powell asked the applicant his reason for placing the garage where he did.

Mr. Peltier said he wanted everything laid out the way he proposed. He thought it would be better to have access to the deck and the house from the garage.

Member Powell suggested a covered walkway to the garage.
Member Powell said the applicant was not demonstrating a practical difficulty with his request and was asking the ZBA to grant several variances. Alternatives were provided for the applicant to eliminate the number of variances requested.

Chairperson Spencer opened the public hearing at 7:36 P.M.
Robert Peltier, 3197 Shawnee Lane, spoke in favor of the applicant's request.

Chairperson Spencer closed the public hearing at 7:38 P.M.

Member Dehart MOVED to postpone the appeal of Alan and Mary Peltier to a date of a triggering mechanism within six months of today's date for Parcel Number 12-35-380-018, identified as 9522 Cooley Lake Road, to consider comments stated during this hearing.

Member Powell SUPPORTED, and the motion CARRIED with a roll call vote: ( 5 yes votes) (Dehart/yes, Powell/yes, Schillack/yes, Seiber/yes, Spencer/yes)
B. Applicant: Ed Phillips/Phillips Sign \& Lighting

40920 Executive Drive
Harrison, MI 48045
Location: 8545 Highland Road
White Lake, MI 48386 identified as 12-24-126-009
Request: The applicant requests to install a wall sign on the side elevation of the building, requiring a variance from Article 5.9.J.ii.a, Wall Signs.

Chairperson Spencer noted for the record that 11 owners within 300 feet were notified. 0 letters were received in favor, 0 letters were received in opposition, and 0 letters were returned undeliverable from the U.S. Postal Service.

Staff Planner Quagliata presented his staff report.
Member Powell asked staff what was the issue with the sign. Staff Planner Quagliata said the issue was the sign was proposed on the west facade of the building. The existing sign was approved by the previous Building Official, who should have required a variance.

Member Schillack asked staff for clarification regarding the size of the sign. Staff Planner Quagliata said the proposed sign was the same size as the existing sign.

Rebecca Godin, 9601 Dolin, was present to speak on the case. She said she did the original sign job back in the 1990s, and no variance was required then. The logo needed updates.

Chairperson Spencer opened the public hearing at 7:51 P.M. Seeing no public comment, she closed the public hearing at 7:52 P.M.

The ZBA discussed the standards from Article 7, Section 37 from the ClearZoning Ordinance:
A. Practical Difficulty

- Member Dehart said the practical difficulty was the building fronted the side. Chairperson Spencer agreed.
B. Unique Situation
- Chairperson Spencer said the building was located far from the road.
C. Not Self-Created
- Chairperson Spencer said the applicant did not create the problem.
D. Substantial Justice
- Member Schillack said the building was unique, on a unique lot with a unique depth and there was no dramatic change to the signage.
E. Minimum Variance Necessary
- Member Schillack said the applicant was not requesting a larger sign.

Member Schillack MOVED to approve the variance requested by Ed Phillips/Phillips Sign and Lighting from Article 5.9.J.ii.a of the Zoning Ordinance for Parcel Number 12-24-126-009, identified as 8545 Highland Road, in order to install a 33.8 square foot wall sign on the west side elevation of the building. This approval will have the following conditions:

- The Applicant shall obtain all necessary permits from the White Lake Township Building Department.
- No additional signage shall be permitted on the building.
- Any future modification to enlarge signage on the building shall require approval of the Zoning Board of Appeals.

Member Powell SUPPORTED and the motion CARRIED with a roll call vote: (5 yes votes): (Schillack/yes, Powell/yes, Dehart/yes, Spencer/yes, Seiber/yes)
C. Applicant: Mark Johnson

150 Danforth Drive
White Lake, MI 48386
Location: 150 Danforth Drive
White Lake, MI 48386 identified as 12-23-376-004
Request: The applicant requests to construct a garage, requiring variances from Article 3.1.6.E, R1-D Single Family Residential Front-Yard Setback and Maximum Lot Coverage. A variance from Article 7.28.A, Repairs and Maintenance to Nonconforming Structures is required due to both the value of improvements and the increase in cubic content.

Chairperson Spencer noted for the record that 20 owners within 300 feet were notified. 0 letters were received in favor, 0 letters were received in opposition, and 0 letters were returned undeliverable from the U.S. Postal Service.

Staff Planner Quagliata presented his staff report.

Member Schillack asked staff if the plot plan had a raised seal. Staff Planner Quagliata said no, and it was not signed by a professional surveyor. Member Schillack asked staff if a survey was required. Staff Planner Quagliata confirmed. Member Schillack asked staff what the lot coverage would be without the pool. Staff Planner Quagliata said 26 percent. Member Schillack asked staff if the larger lot coverage was granted, could it be used for something other than the pool. Staff Planner Quagliata said the variance would run with the land. Member Schillack stated on the site plan the new well was underneath a proposed wall. Staff Planner Quagliata said he did not think that layout would be permissible by the Oakland County Health Division. Member Schillack asked staff if there was anything on the site plan that would indicate water mitigation. Staff Planner Quagliata said drainage was shown on the site plan.

Member Dehart asked staff if the proposed pool was inground. Staff Planner Quagliata confirmed.

Mark Johnson, applicant, was present to speak on his case. He said he tried to buy the neighboring lot, but the deal fell through. The shed on the property line was removed. He said he only wanted the garage to be 30 feet by 30 feet and it was miscalculated on the site plan. He said he intended to get a survey soon. The western wall would be bumped to the east to keep the well protected.

Chairperson Spencer opened the public hearing at 8:25 P.M. Seeing no public comment, she closed the public hearing at 8:26 P.M.

Member Schillack stated he would be more comfortable making a decision once all of the data was presented. The site plan was not signed and sealed.

The ZBA discussed the standards from Article 7, Section 37 from the ClearZoning Ordinance:
A. Practical Difficulty

- Member Schillack said everything required for the application was not submitted, therefore, he did not have enough data to make a decision.
- Member Powell said he found a practical difficulty with the site as the house was close to the road.
- Member Dehart said she found a practical difficulty due to the topography of the lot and the arch of Danforth Street.
B. Unique Situation
- Member Schillack said everything required for the application was not submitted, including how large the lot was, he did not have enough data to make a decision.
- Member Powell said the unique situation was the drop off from the house to the north, making it impossible to extend the garage to the north, and the proximity of Danforth Street to the front of the house.
C. Not Self-Created
- Member Schillack said everything required for the application was not submitted, including the size of the lot, he did not have enough data to make a decision.
- Member Powell said it was not self-created due to the lot configuration and the topography of the lot.
- Chairperson Spencer said the applicant reduced the size of the garage, and she did not feel there was a self-created hardship.
D. Substantial Justice
- Member Schillack said everything required for the application was not submitted, including the size of the lot, he did not have enough data to make a decision.
- Member Powell said not providing the applicant a garage would deny him substantial justice as many of the other houses on the street were nonconforming or had variances as well.
E. Minimum Variance Necessary
- Member Schillack said everything required for the application was not submitted, including the size of the lot, he did not have enough data to make a decision.
- Member Powell said the variance was the minimum the applicant could ask for.

Member Powell MOVED to approve the variances requested by Mark Johnson from Article 3.1.6.E and Article 7.28.A of the Zoning Ordinance for Parcel Number 12-23-376-004, identified as 150 Danforth Drive, in order to construct a two-car attached garage addition that would encroach 7.6 feet into the required front yard setback, and exceed the allowed lot coverage by potentially 8 percent. A variance from Article 7.28.A is also granted to exceed the allowed value of improvements to a nonconforming structure by $102 \%$. This approval will have the following conditions:

- The Applicant shall obtain all necessary permits from the White Lake Township Building Department.
- No mechanical units, including HVAC system or generator, shall be placed within the side yard setbacks.
- A foundation certificate shall be required prior to the backfill inspection by the Building Department.
- A revised plot plan signed and sealed by a licensed professional surveyor shall be submitted to the Building Department showing all of the proposed improvements.
- An as-built survey shall be required to verify setbacks and lot coverage.

Member Dehart SUPPORTED and the motion CARRIED with a roll call vote: (4 yes votes): (Powell/yes, Dehart/yes, Spencer/yes, Seiber/yes, Schillack/no).

## OTHER BUSINESS

None.

## ADJOURNMENT

MOVED by Member Powell, SUPPORTED by Member Dehart to adjourn the meeting at 9:01 P.M. The motion CARRIED with a voice vote ( 5 yes votes).

NEXT MEETING DATE:

## WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

## REPORT OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

TO: Zoning Board of Appeals
FROM: Justin Quagliata, Staff Planner
DATE: October 27, 2022

Agenda item: 6a

Appeal Date: $\quad$ October 27, 2022 (Tabled from September 22, 2022)

Applicant: $\quad$ Alan \& Mary Peltier

Address: $\quad 9522$ Cooley Lake Road
White Lake, MI 48386

Zoning: R1-D Single Family Residential

Location: 9522 Cooley Lake Road
White Lake, MI 48386

## Property Description

The approximately 0.219 -acre $(9,560.83$ square feet) parcel identified as 9522 Cooley Lake Road is located on the west side of Cooley Lake Road, south of Round Lake, and zoned R1-D (Single Family Residential). The existing 1.5-story house on the property (approximately 1,398 square feet in size) utilizes a private well for potable water and a private septic system for sanitation.

## Applicant's Proposal

Alan and Mary Peltier, the applicants, are proposing to construct an enclosed porch addition on the east side of the house. The previously requested variances related to the garage are no longer necessary as the applicant submitted plans to the Building Official to construct the garage in compliance with setbacks.

## Planner's Report

The existing house was built in 1938 and is nonconforming because it is located 7.78 feet from the south side property line. A minimum 10 -foot side yard setback is required in the R1-D zoning district. The parcel is also nonconforming due to a $2,439.17$ square foot deficiency in lot area and an eight-foot deficiency in lot width (approximately 72 feet in width); in the R1-D zoning district the minimum lot area requirement is 12,000 square feet and the minimum lot width requirement is 80 feet.

The proposed enclosed porch would be added to the front (east side) of the house and located 8.63 feet from the south side property line and 24.26 feet from the front (east) property line. Therefore, a 1.5 -foot variance is required to allow the porch to encroach into the south side yard setback and a six-foot variance is required to allow the porch to encroach into the east front yard setback.

If the variances are approved, a shed on the property would be removed in order to maintain compliance with the lot coverage standard ( 20 percent maximum lot coverage). The shed would need to be removed prior to the final building inspection.

The requested variances are listed in the following table.

| Variance \# | Ordinance <br> Section | Subject | Standard | Requested <br> Variance | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Article 3.1.6.E | Front yard <br> setback | 30 feet | 6 feet (east) | 24 feet (east) |
| 2 | Article 3.1.6.E | Side yard setback | 10 feet | 1.5 feet (south) | 8.5 feet (south) |
| 3 | Article 3.1.6.E | Minimum lot area | 12,000 <br> square feet | $2,439.17$ square <br> feet | $9,560.83$ square <br> feet |
| 4 | Article 3.1.6.E | Minimum lot <br> width | 80 feet | 8 feet | 72 feet |

## Zoning Board of Appeals Options:

Approval: I move to approve the variances requested by Alan and Mary Peltier from Article 3.1.6.E of the Zoning Ordinance for Parcel Number 12-35-380-018, identified as 9522 Cooley Lake Road, in order to construct a covered porch addition that would encroach 6 feet into the required east front yard setback and 1.5 feet into the required south side yard setback. An 8 -foot variance from the required lot width and a 2,439.17 square foot variance from the required lot area are also granted from Article 3.1.6.E. This approval will have the following conditions:

- The Applicant shall obtain all necessary permits from the White Lake Township Building Department.
- An as-built survey shall be required to verify setbacks and lot coverage.
- The shed shall be removed from the property prior to the final building inspection.
- The unlawful fence/screen shall be removed from the property prior to the issuance of a building permit.

Denial: I move to deny the variances requested by Alan and Mary Peltier for Parcel Number 12-35-380-018, identified as 9522 Cooley Lake Road, due to the following reason(s):

Postpone: I move to postpone the appeal of Alan and Mary Peltier to a date certain or other triggering mechanism for Parcel Number 12-35-380-018, identified as 9522 Cooley Lake Road, to consider comments stated during this hearing.

## Attachments:

1. Variance application dated August 10, 2022.
2. Certificate of survey dated July 25, 2022 (revision date July 27, 2022).
3. Certificate of survey dated July 25, 2022 (revision date October 7, 2022).
4. Letter of denial from the Building Official dated August 24, 2022.

### 7.37 STANDARDS

General variances: The Zoning Board of Appeals may authorize a variance from the strict application of the area or dimensional standard of this Ordinance when the applicant demonstrates all of the following conditions "A - E" or condition F applies.
A. Practical difficulty: A practical difficulty exists on the subject site (such as exceptional narrowness, shallowness, shape or area; presence of floodplain; exceptional topographic conditions) and strict compliance with the zoning ordinance standards would unreasonably prevent the owner from using of the subject site for a permitted use or would render conformity unnecessarily burdensome. Demonstration of a practical difficulty shall have a bearing on the subject site or use of the subject site, and not to the applicant personally. Economic hardship or optimum profit potential are not considerations for practical difficulty.
B. Unique situation: The demonstrated practical difficult results from exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applying to the subject site at the time the Ordinance was adopted or amended which are different than typical properties in the same zoning district or the vicinity.
C. Not self created: The applicants problem is not self created.
D. Substantial justice: The variance would provide substantial justice by granting the property rights similar to those enjoyed by the majority of other properties in the vicinity, and other properties in the same zoning district. The decision shall not bestow upon the property special development rights not enjoyed by other properties in the same district, or which might result in substantial adverse impacts on properties in the vicinity (such as the supply of light and air, significant increases in traffic, increased odors, an increase in the danger of fire, or other activities which may endanger the public safety, comfort, morals or welfare).
E. Minimum variance necessary: The variance shall be the minimum necessary to grant relief created by the practical difficulty.
F. Compliance with other laws: The variance is the minimum necessary to comply with state or federal laws, including but not necessarily limited to:
i. The Michigan Right to Farm Act (P.A. 93 of 1981) and the farming activities the Act protects;
ii. The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (as amended), and the needs of handicapped individuals the Act protects, including accessory facilities, building additions, building alterations, and site improvements which may not otherwise meet a strict application of the standards of this Ordinance.

Under no circumstances shall the Board of Appeals grant a variance to allow a use not permissible under the terms of this Ordinance in the district involved, or any use expressly or by implication prohibited by the terms of this Ordinance in said district.
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 ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION Community Development Department, 7525 Highland Road, White Lake, Michigan, 48383 (248) 698-3300 x5

$$
\text { ADDRESS OF AFFECTED PROPERTY: } 9522 \text { COoley LKikd } \text { Whitelk }_{\text {PARCEL\# } 12-35-380-018 ~}^{\text {1 }}
$$ cURRENT ZONING: $R / D$ Parcel size: $9501.58 . F l$

```
STATE REQUESTED VARIANCE AND ORDINANCE SECTION: \(\square\) 6
```

VALUE OF IMPROVEMENT: $\$ \frac{20,000}{\text { earacee }}$
SUV OF EXISTING STRUCTURE: \$ $\square$

STATE REASONS TO SUPPORT REQUEST: (ATTACH WRITTEN STATEMENT TO APPLICATION)


RECEIVED
AUG 162002

## CERTIFICATE OF SURVEY

LOTS 186 \& 187 OF "ROUND LAKE OVERLOOK NO. 3" SUBDIVISION OF OUTLOT NO. 3 OF ROUND LAKE OVERLOOK NO. 2, SECTION 35, T.3N., R.8E., WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP, OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN AS RECORDED IN L. 46 OF PLATS, P.6, O.C.R.
ADDRESS: 9522 COOLEY LAKE ROAD, WHITE LAKE, MI 48386; PARCEL\#:12-35-380-018


THIS SURVEY WAS DONE WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF A TITLE POLICY OR DEED
THEREFORE, ALL EASEMENT OF RECORD AND OTHER FACTORS RELEVANT TO TITLE MAY NOT BE SHOWN. CLIENT PROVIDED DESCRIPTION FOR PROPERTY.

I HEREBY STATE THAT I HAVE SURVEYED AND MAPPED THE LAND PLATTED AND/OR DESCRIBED ABOVE ON JUNE 30, 2022 AND THAT ALL OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF PUBLIC ACT 132 OF 1970, AS AMENDED, HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH.

BASIS OF BEARING: THE EAST LINE OF LOTS 186 \& 187 PER PLAT PREPARED FOR
ALAN PELTIER
9522 COOLEY LAKE RD.
WHITE LAKE, MI 48386
(248) 914-9586

SHEET: 1 OF \& BRADLEY GEORGF REICHERT P.S. \#4001055923

| Scale: | $1 "=30^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Date: | $7 / 25 / 22$ |
| Job No. | $22-082$ |
| Drawn: | B.G.R. |$\quad \square$ REACNERT


| Date: | Rev. By: |
| :--- | :--- |
| $7 / 27 / 22$ | BGR |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## CERTIFICATE OF SURVEY

LOTS 186 \& 187 OF "ROUND LAKE OVERLOOK NO. 3" SUBDIVISION OF OUTLOT NO. 3 OF ROUND LAKE OVERLOOK NO. 2, SECTION 35, T.3N., R.8E., WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP, OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN AS RECORDED IN L. 46 OF PLATS, P.6, O.C.R.
ADDRESS: 9522 COOLEY LAKE ROAD, WHITE LAKE, MI 48386; PARCEL\#:12-35-380-018


## LEGEND:

(0) FOUND IRON

- SET IRON
$R$ RECORD DISTANCE
M MEASURED DISTANCE
THIS SURVEY WAS DONE WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF A TITLE POLICY OR DEED
THEREFORE, ALL EASEMENT OF RECORD AND OTHER FACTORS RELEVANT TO TITLE MAY NOT BE SHOWN. CLIENT PROVIDED DESCRIPTION FOR PROPERTY.

I HEREBY STATE THAT I HAVE SURVEYED AND MAPPED THE LAND PLATTED AND/OR DESCRIBED ABOVE ON JUNE 30, 2022 AND THAT ALL OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF PUBLIC ACT 132 OF 1970, AS AMENDED, HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH.

BASIS OF BEARING: THE EAST LINE OF LOTS 186 \& 187 PER PLAT PREPARED FOR:
ALAN PELTIER
9522 COOLEY LAKE RD.


WHITE LAKE, MI 48386
(248) 914-9586

| Scale: $1^{\prime \prime}=30^{\prime}$ |  |  | Date: | Rev. By: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date: 7/25/22 | D | P 248.651.0592 F 248.656.7099 | 7/27/22 | BGR |
| Job No. 22-082 |  | Mail@ ReichertSurveying.com <br> 140 Flumerfelt Lane - Rochester, MI 48 | 10/7/22 | GHR |
| Drawn: B.G.R. |  |  |  |  |

Trustees

WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP
7525 Highland Road . White Lake, Michigan 48383-2900 • (248) 698-3300 • www.whitelaketwp.com

August 24, 2022

## Alan Peltier

9522 Cooley Lake Rd
White Lake, MI 48386

## RE: Proposed garage and addition

Based on the submitted plans, the proposed residential structure does not satisfy the White Lake Township Clear Zoning Ordinance for R1-D zoning district.

Article 3.1.6 of the White Lake Township Clear Zoning Ordinance: Requires a minimum side yard setback of 10 ft each side and total of 20 ft , minimum lot area of $12,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$, and minimum lot width of 80 ft .

Article 5.7 (A) of the White Lake Township Clear Zoning Ordinance: No detached garage or accessory building or structure shall be located closer than ten (10) feet to any principal structure or building, unless it conforms to all regulations of this Ordinance applicable to principal structures and buildings.

The existing lot and structure are legal non-conforming with a lot area of 9,560.83 sq ft . The proposed garage does not meet the required 10 ft offset from the principal structure to be exempt from meeting the required setbacks. The proposed structure would have an 8.2 ft side yard setback on the north side and 20.4 rear yard setback. Additionally, the proposed addition shown on the survey would have an 8.6 ft side yard setback on the south side and 24.2 front yard setback. If approved, the shed would also need to be removed prior to final building inspection to meet the $20 \%$ maximum lot coverage.

Approval of the building plans is subject to a variance to the schedule of regulations, Article 7 of the White Lake Township Clear Zoning Ordinance. To be eligible for the September $22^{\text {nd }}$ Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) meeting, application must be submitted to the White Lake Township Planning Department no later than August $25^{\text {th }}$ at 4:30 PM. A certified boundary and location survey, which should include lot area and coverage, will be required by the ZBA. The Planning Department can be reached at (248)698-3300, ext. 5


[^0]White Lake Township

## WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

## REPORT OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

TO: Zoning Board of Appeals
FROM: Justin Quagliata, Staff Planner
DATE: October 27, 2022

Agenda item: 7a

Appeal Date: October 27, 2022

Applicant: Paul Peter

Address: $\quad 9474$ Thames Boulevard
White Lake, MI 48386

Zoning: R1-D Single Family Residential

Location: 9474 Thames Boulevard
White Lake, MI 48386

## Property Description

The approximately 0.15 -acre ( 6,607 square feet) parcel identified as 9474 Thames Boulevard is located on Pontiac Lake and zoned R1-D (Single Family Residential). The existing house on the property (approximately 914 square feet in size) utilizes a private well for potable water and the public sanitary sewer system for sanitation.

## Applicant's Proposal

Paul Peter, the applicant, is proposing to construct an accessory building (garage).

## Planner's Report

A two-car, two-story detached garage is proposed. The first-floor footprint of the garage would be 24 feet by 24 feet ( 576 square feet) in size. No information on the size of the second-story was provided with the variance application or building permit application. At its closest point the garage would be located 8.8 feet from the front lot line, requiring a variance of 21.2 feet from the required 30 -foot front yard setback.

Currently the house (with sunroom) and shed cover $15.6 \%$ of the lot. The proposed lot coverage is $24.3 \%$ ( 1,612 square feet), which is $4.3 \%$ ( 290.6 square feet) beyond the allowable limit ( $1,321.4$ square feet).

The requested variances are listed in the following table.

| Variance \# | Ordinance <br> Section | Subject | Standard | Requested <br> Variance | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Article 3.1.6.E | Front yard <br> setback | 30 feet | 21.2 feet | 8.8 feet |
| 2 | Article 3.1.6.E | Maximum lot <br> coverage | $20 \%$ <br> $(1,321.4$ <br> square feet $)$ | $4.3 \%$ <br> $(290.6$ square feet $)$ | $24.3 \%(1,612$ <br> square feet $)$ |
| 3 | Article 3.1.6.E | Minimum lot <br> area | 12,000 <br> square feet | 5,393 square feet | 6,607 square <br> feet |
| 4 | Article 3.1.6.E | Minimum lot <br> width | 80 feet | 40 feet | 40 feet |

## Zoning Board of Appeals Options:

Approval: I move to approve the variances requested by Paul Peter from Article 3.1.6.E of the Zoning Ordinance for Parcel Number 12-14-203-007, identified as 9474 Thames Boulevard, in order to construct a detached garage that would exceed the allowed lot coverage by $4.3 \%$ and encroach 21.2 feet into the required front yard setback. A 40foot variance from the required lot width and 5,393 square foot variance from the required lot area are also granted from Article 3.1.6.E. This approval will have the following conditions:

- The Applicant shall obtain all necessary permits from the White Lake Township Building Department.
- The second-story of the garage shall not be used as living space.
- No sanitary sewer service shall be extended to the garage
- Architectural plans drawn to scale shall be submitted to meet requirements of the Building Official.
- The foundation including rat wall shall be made available for inspection by the Building Official.
- An as-built survey shall be required to verify setbacks and lot coverage.

Denial: I move to deny the variances requested by Paul Peter for Parcel Number 12-14-203-007, identified as 9474 Thames Boulevard, due to the following reason(s):

Postpone: I move to postpone the appeal of Paul Peter to a date certain or other triggering mechanism for Parcel Number 12-14-203-007, identified as 9474 Thames Boulevard, to consider comments stated during this public hearing.

## Attachments:

1. Variance application dated September 7, 2022.
2. Applicant's written statement.
3. Sketch of Survey dated August 22, 2022 (revision date October 7, 2022).
4. Building Permit application dated May 28, 2022.
5. Letter of denial from the Building Official dated June 3, 2022.

### 7.37 STANDARDS

General variances: The Zoning Board of Appeals may authorize a variance from the strict application of the area or dimensional standard of this Ordinance when the applicant demonstrates all of the following conditions "A - E" or condition F applies.
A. Practical difficulty: A practical difficulty exists on the subject site (such as exceptional narrowness, shallowness, shape or area; presence of floodplain; exceptional topographic conditions) and strict compliance with the zoning ordinance standards would unreasonably prevent the owner from using of the subject site for a permitted use or would render conformity unnecessarily burdensome. Demonstration of a practical difficulty shall have a bearing on the subject site or use of the subject site, and not to the applicant personally. Economic hardship or optimum profit potential are not considerations for practical difficulty.
B. Unique situation: The demonstrated practical difficult results from exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applying to the subject site at the time the Ordinance was adopted or amended which are different than typical properties in the same zoning district or the vicinity.
C. Not self created: The applicants problem is not self created.
D. Substantial justice: The variance would provide substantial justice by granting the property rights similar to those enjoyed by the majority of other properties in the vicinity, and other properties in the same zoning district. The decision shall not bestow upon the property special development rights not enjoyed by other properties in the same district, or which might result in substantial adverse impacts on properties in the vicinity (such as the supply of light and air, significant increases in traffic, increased odors, an increase in the danger of fire, or other activities which may endanger the public safety, comfort, morals or welfare).
E. Minimum variance necessary: The variance shall be the minimum necessary to grant relief created by the practical difficulty.
F. Compliance with other laws: The variance is the minimum necessary to comply with state or federal laws, including but not necessarily limited to:
i. The Michigan Right to Farm Act (P.A. 93 of 1981) and the farming activities the Act protects;
ii. The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (as amended), and the needs of handicapped individuals the Act protects, including accessory facilities, building additions, building alterations, and site improvements which may not otherwise meet a strict application of the standards of this Ordinance.

Under no circumstances shall the Board of Appeals grant a variance to allow a use not permissible under the terms of this Ordinance in the district involved, or any use expressly or by implication prohibited by the terms of this Ordinance in said district.

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION Community Development Department, 7525 Highland Road,

White Lake, Michigan, 48383
(248) 698-3300 x5

APPLICANTS NAME: $\qquad$ Paul Peter PHONE: 248$) 8028224$ ADDRESS: 9474 Thames Blvd APPLICANT'S EmALLADRESS: Popcorn paul 19 e yahoo.com APPLICANTS INTEREST IN PROPERTY: OWNER $\square$ BUILDER $\square$ OTHER: $\qquad$

ADDRESS OF AFFECTED PROPERTY: 9474 Thames BludPARCEL\# $12-14-203-007$ CURRENT ZONING: $\qquad$ $\Omega(-1)$ PARCEL SIZE: $\qquad$ $2,057 \mathrm{sqft}$

STATE REQUESTED VARIANCE AND ORDINANCE SECTION: Article 3.1 .6 of the W) hire lake Township Clear Zoning ordinance VALUE OF IMPROVEMENT: $\$ 18,000$ GEV OF EXISTING STRUCTURE: $\$ 133,190$

STATE REASONS TO SUPPORT REQUEST: (ATTACH WRITTEN STATEMENT TO APPLICATION)
APPLICATION FEE: $\$ 385 . \frac{00}{(C A L C U L A T E D ~ B Y ~ T H E ~ C O M M U N I T Y ~ D E V E L O P M E N T ~ D E P A R T M E N T ~}$ applicant's signature: Saul meta date: 9/7/2022

Letter of Intent
In Support of Request for Variance
9474 Thames Blvd White Lake MI 48386

This letter of intent is in support of my request for a variance to my front and side setbacks, as well as, my maximum lot coverage. Regarding Article 3.1.6 of the White Lake Township Clear Zoning Ordinance; the garage plans I would like to submit to the township requires a minimum front yard setback of 30 feet, minimum side yard setback of 10 feet each side for a total of 20 feet, and a minimum lot area of $12,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$.

I ask the township to consider my request for variance based on the following:

1. The new garage is inline with my current home and the proposed setbacks are less than the current structure.
2. Due to the location of my well between the proposed garage and the existing house, $I$ am forced to encroach further towards the front of the property.
a. My other option might be to replace a perfectly good well.
3. As seen in the survey and topographical map, Thames Blvd is actually much further from the proposed garage. All existing driveways on Thame expand past the stakes to meet the road.
4. The property lines around the lake are quite irregular and there is already precedence for recent variances granted in the neighborhood.

My goal is to continue to improve my property both visually and structurally. The new garage will help me accomplish that goal by providing a clean storage solution and will increase the monetary and aesthetic values of the properties around it.

I appreciate your time and consideration.

Respectfully,
Paul Peter


PART OF THE NORTHEAST $1 / 4$ OF SECTION 14, T. 3N, R. 8E., WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP, OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN.


NOTES:

1. NO TITLE POLICY SUPPLIED BY THE CLIENT. EXISTING EASEMENTS MAY EXIST AND ARE NOT SHOWN.
2. BEARINGS ARE ASSUMED
LEGEND
(M) MEASURED
(R) RECORD
(C) CNLCULATED
O FOUND IRON
SET IRON


LOT COVERAGE CALCULATION:
LOT AREA $=6,607$ SQ. FT. $/ 0.15$ ACRES (AREA OF LAND TO WATER'S EDGE)

EX. HOUSE (754 SQ. FT.) $=11.4 \%$
EX. SUNROOM (160 SQ. FT.) $=2.4 \%$
EX. SHED ( 122 SQ. FT.) $=1.8 \%$
EX. PAVER WALK ( 32 SQ. FT.) $=0.5 \%$
EX. CONC. DRIVE ( 265 SQ. FT.) $=4.0 \%$
PROPOSED 24'x24' GARAGE
(OUTSIDE EDGE 576 SQ. FT.) $=8.7 \%$

SURVAYOR'S CERTITCATE
1 HEEEBY CERTIFY THKT I HAVE SURVEYED AD MPPED THE LAND ABOVE PLATIED ADD/OR DESCREED ON 8/20/2022 MDD THT THE RATO OF CLOSURE ON TIE UNOUSTED FEED COSERMATOUS OF SUCH SLREEY WS LESS THW $1 / 5000$.

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:
PARCEL NO. 12-14-203-007 \#9474 THAMES BOULEVARD

( IN FHEET )
1 inch $=20 \mathrm{ft}$

LOT 171 OF "ENGLSH VILAS SUBDMISION", AS RECORDED IN LIBER 51 OF PLATS, PAGE 22, OAKLAND COUNTY RECORDS, PART OF THE NORTHEAST $1 / 4$ OF SECTION 14, TOWN 3 NORTH, RANGE 8 EAST, WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP, OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN.

REV. 10-7-2022


LINE \& DISTANCE, LLC.
P.O. BOX 851122 WESTLAND, MI 48186 PH: 313.350 .0412 Email: pwk_2190@yahoo.com

Charter Township of White Lake
7525 Highland Road • White Lake, MI 48383 (248) 698-3300

| Authority: | P.A. 230 OF 1972, as amended |
| :--- | :--- |
| Completion: | Mandatory to obtain permit |
| Penalty: | Permit will not be issued |

This department will not discriminate against any individual or group because of race, sex, religion, age, national origin, color, marital status, handicap, or political beliefs.

APPLICANT TO COMPLETE ALL ITEMS
NOTE: SEPARATE APPLICATIONS MUST BE COMPLETED FOR PLUMBING, MECHANICAL, AND ELECTRICAL PERMITS
APPLICATION DATE:
IS OWNER APPLICANT?
12812022
PROPERTY INFORMATION

| STREET ADDRESS OF PROJECT | APT.\# | PARCEL ID. NUMBER |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 9474 Thames Bud |  | $y-12-14-203-00\rangle$ | Res |

OWNER INFORMATION


APPLICANT INFORMATION


| DESCRIBE IN DETAIL WORK TO BE DONE: <br> Propesed oddetton of new garage. Garage will be detached Size of gerage will be $24 \times 24$. Foundation will consist of a conorete stab with a rat wall. Detalled garage slans are attached. |  |  | TYPE OF IMPROVEMENT: Item $A$. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | NCE <br> ECK <br> OOF <br> EW SINGLE FAMILY HOME <br> LTERATION/REPARR <br> DDITION <br> EMOLITION <br> ARAGE <br> acessory structure <br> INDOWS/DOORS |
| USE GROUP: DORESIDENTIAL $\square$ COMMERCIAL $\square$ OTHER: $\qquad$ CONSTRUCTION TYPE: N Jed |  |  | VALUE OF IMPROVEMENT: \$ |  |
| LOT SIZE -FRONT: 45.00 | REAR: 50.00 | SIDE: 149.30 |  | SIDE: 142.80 |
| TOTALSQ. FT. OF LOT:$6935$ |  |  |  |  |
| PROPOSED SETBACKS -FRONT: 19' | REAR: $93^{\prime}$ | SIDE: $7^{\text {a }}$ |  | SIDE: 9 |
| SQ.FT. OF PROPOSED CONST.-GARAGE: 576 | $1^{\text {ST FLOOR: }}$ S ${ }^{\circ}$ | $2^{\text {ND FLOOR: }}$ |  | TOTAL: S 76 |
| SQ. FT. OF EXISTING STRUCTURES -GARAGE: | $1^{\text {ST }}$ FLOOR: | $2^{\text {ND FLOOR: }}$ |  | TOTAL: |
| TOTAL HEIGHT \& OF STORIES: | NO. OF EXISTING BEDROOMS: | NO. OF PROPOSED BEDROOMS: |  | TOTAL NO. OF BEDROOMS: |

I hereby certify that the proposed work is authorized by the owner of record and that I have been authorized by the owner to make this application as his/her authorized agent; and we agree to conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. The applicant signing this application is aware that (s) he assumes full responsibility for insuring that all work done complies with all applicable codes and ordinances.

Section 23 a of the state construction code act of 1972, 1972 PA 230, MCL 125.1523a, prohibits a person from conspiring to circumvent the licensing requirements of this state relating to persons who are to perform work on a residential building or a residential structure. Violators of section 23a are subject to civil fines.


## BUILDING AND ZONING PERMIT REGULATIONS

- A Certificate of Occupancy must be obtained before occupying the building.
* The Builder and property owner are responsible for drainage and grade on the construction site.
* The Builder and property owner are responsible for lot line and proper setbacks as approved by White Lake Township.
- The lot is properly marked (properly marked is a visible address with the lot number and permit number that can be clearly seen from the road)
- The job site must be clean with a safe access for the inspectors. The road must be cleaned and maintained properly (this includes snow removal).


## HOMEOWNER AFFIDAVIT

As the bona fide owner of the above mentioned property which is a single residence, and which is, or will be on completion, my place of residence and no part of which is used for rental or commercial purposes nor is now contemplated for such purpose, I hereby make application for an owner's permit to install:


I certify that I am familiar with the provisions of the applicable Ordinance and the rules governing the type of installation which is contemplated at the above mentioned location and hereby agree to make the installation in conformance with the Ordinance. In making the application, I realize I am assuming the responsibility of a licensed contractor for the installation of the work mentioned in the permit application and for putting the equipment in operation. I further agree that I shall neither hire any other person for the purpose of installing any portion of the Csaracu or related equipment at the above premises, nor sub-contract to any other person, firm or cofporation the installation of any portion of the above equipment.



O Elevation reference mark shown (NAVD 88 Datum)
O Plan signed AND sealed by a State of Michigan licensed engineer or surveyor
O Location map with major cross streets indicated
O Title block shows professional preparer's name, address, and phone number

- Title block shows current revision date

O Easements are shown
O Plan shows setback lines. Setbacks measured from cantilevers, when proposed. Rear setback for lake lot should be from high water level.
Building is dimensioned to property lines

- Septic field or sanitary sewer lead is shown

O Well or water service lead is shown
Proposed spot finish grade elevations are shown for each corner of the building and as otherwise needed to indicate other grade features such as walkouts
Existing and proposed contours are shown at maximum two-foot intervals
O Show as-built road and structure (storm, water, sanitary if any) elevations

- Show finish grade of adjacent structures, drive elevation, or note any construction that is underway
- Plan drawn to scale
- Title block shows applicant name, address, and phone number

O Title block shows Subdivision/Condominium name and loc/unit number
O Plan shows property lines and dimensions
O On site watermains, sanitary sewers, and storm sewers are shown (As-built location)

- Plan shows building outline with general dimensions

O Driveway is shown; slope $8 \%$ maximum if possible

- Sump pump leads shown, if any

O Floor elevations are shown for the first floor, garage, and basement
O Retaining wall height and type is shown
S Sidewalk shown (if required per approved site plan or preliminary plat), $2 \%$ cross slope at drive. ADA plate at road intersection for comer lots.

O Design water level of on-site ponds, if any. Show FEMA flood elevations if applicable
O Show existing contours or spot grades on adjacent parcels
O Location, diameter, and elevations at base of significant saved trees within grading limits

- Plot plan indicates positive drainage away from all sides of house and garage






## Dimensions

## Wall Configurations

*llustration may not depict all options selected.



SIDEWALL C
Mastercraft\® 36"W x 80"H Primed Steel External Three-Quarter Lite 24"W x 24"H JELD-WEN\® Vinyl Slider


ENDWALL A
JELD-WEN\® $30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \times 40$ "H Good Series Vinyl Double Hung Window with Nailing Flange
*Some items like wainscot, gutter, gable accents, are not displayed if selected.


How to recall and purchase your design at home:


1. On Menards.com, enter "Design \& Buy" in the search bar OR 2. Select the Garage Designer
2. Recall your design by entering Design ID: 336252521827 4. Follow the on-screen purchasing instructions

## How to purchase your design at the store:

1. Enter Design ID: 336252521827 at the Design-It Center Kiosk in the Building Materials Department
2. Follow the on-screen purchasing instructions



 on this form. The availability of materials is subject to inventory conditions.



 REGULATIONS MAY REQUIRE SUCH STRUCTURES TO BE PROFESSIONALLY ENGINEERED AND CERTIFIED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP

## REPORT OF THE

## COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

TO: Zoning Board of Appeals
FROM: Justin Quagliata, Staff Planner
DATE: October 27, 2022

Agenda item: 7b

Appeal Date: October 27, 2022

Applicant: Adam Hufeld

Address: $\quad 10071$ Elizabeth Lake Road

Zoning: R1-C Single Family Residential

Location: 10071 Elizabeth Lake Road White Lake, MI 48386

## Property Description

The approximately 0.16 -acre ( $6,969.6$ square feet) parcel identified as 10071 Elizabeth Lake Road is zoned R1-C (Single Family Residential). The existing house on the property is approximately 1,150 square feet in size.

## Applicant's Proposal

Adam Hufeld, the applicant, is proposing to construct a deck and privacy fence in the road right-of-way.

## Planner's Report

The applicant removed a dilapidated deck from the house. When the applicant submitted a residential deck permit application to the Township to rebuild the deck, staff informed the applicant the deck was located in the road right-of-way and could not be rebuilt without approval from the Road Commission for Oakland County (RCOC) and the Zoning Board of Appeals. The RCOC approved the replacement of the deck in the right-of-way. Section 3.10.A of the Zoning Ordinance limits deck projection into a required front yard to 10 feet. Therefore, a variance is requested to allow a zero-foot setback from the right-of-way.

The applicant is also requesting to replace a privacy fence along Elizabeth Lake Road. The applicant communicated to staff the fence was damaged during a storm this summer. Fencing cannot be installed in the right-of-way without approval by the RCOC (its approval was limited to the deck; the applicant did not request approval to install a privacy fence in the right-of-way). The applicant informed staff the proposed privacy fence would be six feet in height. Section 5.12.D.ii of the Zoning Ordinance states in no instance shall a fence over four feet high be placed in the front yard of a residence. Therefore, a two-foot variance is requested to exceed the allowed fence height.

The requested variances are listed in the following table.

| Variance \# | Ordinance <br> Section | Subject | Standard | Requested Variance | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Article 3.10.A | Attached <br> Decks, <br> Porches, and <br> Patios in <br> Residential <br> Districts | May project <br> into a <br> required <br> front yard <br> 10 feet | Deck in Elizabeth <br> Lake Road right-of- <br> way | 0-foot setback |
| 2 | Article 5.12 | Fences, Walls <br> and Other <br> Protective <br> Barriers | 4-foot <br> height in <br> front yard | 2 feet | 6 feet |

## Zoning Board of Appeals Options:

Approval: I move to approve the variances requested by Adam Hufeld from Articles 3.10.A and 5.12 of the Zoning Ordinance for Parcel Number 12-27-228-001, identified as 10071 Elizabeth Lake Road. A variance from Article 3.10.A is granted in order to construct a deck that would encroach into the road right-of-way ( 0 -foot setback). A 2foot variance to install a six-foot-tall privacy fence is also granted from Article 5.12. This approval will have the following conditions:

- The Applicant shall obtain all necessary permits from the White Lake Township Building Department.
- The Applicant shall obtain the required Road Commission for Oakland County (RCOC) permit and provide a copy of said permit to the Building Department prior to installation of the fence.

Denial: I move to deny the variances requested by Adam Hufeld for Parcel Number 12-27-228-001, identified as 10071 Elizabeth Lake Road, due to the following reason(s):

Postpone: I move to postpone the appeal of Adam Hufeld to a date certain or other triggering mechanism for Parcel Number 12-27-228-001, identified as 10071 Elizabeth Lake Road, to consider comments stated during this public hearing.

## Attachments:

1. Variance application dated September 22, 2022.
2. Residential Deck Permit application dated August 16, 2022.
3. RCOC Permit Application approval dated September 14, 2022.

### 7.37 STANDARDS

General variances: The Zoning Board of Appeals may authorize a variance from the strict application of the area or dimensional standard of this Ordinance when the applicant demonstrates all of the following conditions "A - E" or condition F applies.
A. Practical difficulty: A practical difficulty exists on the subject site (such as exceptional narrowness, shallowness, shape or area; presence of floodplain; exceptional topographic conditions) and strict compliance with the zoning ordinance standards would unreasonably prevent the owner from using of the subject site for a permitted use or would render conformity unnecessarily burdensome. Demonstration of a practical difficulty shall have a bearing on the subject site or use of the subject site, and not to the applicant personally. Economic hardship or optimum profit potential are not considerations for practical difficulty.
B. Unique situation: The demonstrated practical difficult results from exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applying to the subject site at the time the Ordinance was adopted or amended which are different than typical properties in the same zoning district or the vicinity.
C. Not self created: The applicants problem is not self created.
D. Substantial justice: The variance would provide substantial justice by granting the property rights similar to those enjoyed by the majority of other properties in the vicinity, and other properties in the same zoning district. The decision shall not bestow upon the property special development rights not enjoyed by other properties in the same district, or which might result in substantial adverse impacts on properties in the vicinity (such as the supply of light and air, significant increases in traffic, increased odors, an increase in the danger of fire, or other activities which may endanger the public safety, comfort, morals or welfare).
E. Minimum variance necessary: The variance shall be the minimum necessary to grant relief created by the practical difficulty.
F. Compliance with other laws: The variance is the minimum necessary to comply with state or federal laws, including but not necessarily limited to:
i. The Michigan Right to Farm Act (P.A. 93 of 1981) and the farming activities the Act protects;
ii. The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (as amended), and the needs of handicapped individuals the Act protects, including accessory facilities, building additions, building alterations, and site improvements which may not otherwise meet a strict application of the standards of this Ordinance.
Under no circumstances shall the Board of Appeals grant a variance to allow a use not permissible under the terms of this Ordinance in the district involved, or any use expressly or by implication prohibited by the terms of this Ordinance in said district.

# CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF WHITE LAKE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION <br> Community Development Department, 7525 Highland Road, White Lake, Michigan, 48383 (248) 698-3300 x5 

applicant's name: Adam Hufell PHONE: 734-679-0788

ADDRESS: $\qquad$ 10071 Elizabeth Lake Ry.
APPLICANT'S EMAILADDRESS: Adamhufeld GMAル.COM APPLICANTS INTEREST IN PROPERTY: (OWNER $\square$ BUILDER $\square$ OTHER: $\qquad$ ADDRESS OF AFFECTED PROPERTY: 1007 Elıabeth lake rd. PARCEL\# 12-27-228-001 CURRENT ZONING: $\qquad$ PARCEL SIZE: $\qquad$
state requested variance and ordinance section: Deck of Privacy Fence (Alan

VALUE OF IMPROVEMENT: \$ 8,000 SUV OF EXISTING STRUCTURE: \$

STATE REASONS TO SUPPORT REQUEST: (ATTACH WRITTEN STATEMENT TO APPLICATION)

APPLICATION FEE: $\$ 385.00$ (CALCULATED BY THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT APPLICANTS SIGNATURE: $\qquad$ DATE: 9-22-22

# RECEIVED 

## APPLICATION FOR RESIDENTIAL DECK PERMIT

Application must include:
$\square 2$ sets each detailed construction plans $\square$ Building and zoning permit regulations form2 sets each plot plans
*Note: Graspable handrails required where applicable. Residential Wood Deck Construction Guide available on website.

| Address of new deck: 10071 ELI2ABETH CAKE Rd. |  | Application Date: $8-16 \cdot 22$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zoning District: | Sidwell \#: | Subdivision: |
| Lot \#: | Construction Type: | Use Group: |
| Lot size: | Front: Rear: | Side: Side: |
| Required setbacks: | Front: Rear: | Side: Side: |
| Proposed setbacks: | Front: Rear: | Side: Side: |
| Deck size: | Sq. ft. proposed deck: | Max. height off grade: |
| Post size: | Beam size: | Joist size: |
| Max. beam spacing: | Max. post spacing: | Max. joist spacing: |
| Is a spa or hot tub planned to be on deck? | deck? NO 'ri | Value of deck: 4,000 |

## APPLICANT INFORMATION

|  | OWNER OR LESSEE | CONTRACTOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OWNER OR COMPANY NAME | Aclam Hufeld |  |
| CONTACT NAME | cr |  |
| LICENSE NUMBER | - (License \# is N/A for homeowner)- |  |
| STREET ADDRESS | 1.0071 ELIZABETHLALE RD. |  |
| CITY/STATE/ZIP | WHITE CAKE |  |
| PHONE | 734-679-0798 |  |
| EMAIL | Adamhufelel@gmail.com |  |
| FEDERAL ID NUMBER |  |  |
| WORKERS COMP. INS. CARRIER |  |  |
| SIGNATURE: | Tolan thape |  |

> Section 23 a of the state construction code act of 1972,1972 PA $230, \mathrm{MCL} 125.1523 \mathrm{a}$, prohibits a person from conspiring to circumvent the licensing requirements of this state relating to persons who are to perform work on a residential building or a residential structure. Violators of section 23 a are subject to civil fines.
$\qquad$ Date:

## Your Planned Deck Design

Plan view construction


# RECEIVED 

AUG 182022
BUILDING
DEPARTMENT

Page 2

# Glossary of Decking Terms 



1. Risers: The vertical boards attached to the stair stringers. Many localities require risers to prevent possible trip hazards.
2. Stringers: The structural support for stairs. They have limits on how much weight they can carry, so size and spacing are important considerations. Composite manufacturers provide recommended stringer spacing to support the tread material.
3. Treads: The horizontal stair surfaces on which deck users walk.
4. Rim Joist: Also known as edge bands, the rim joist wraps the deck and keeps the joists standing on edge, while also providing a solid surface for attaching railing posts. Proper attachment is critical to installing a safe railing.
5. Rail Post: Vertical lumber member that supports the handrail and resists the outward force of people leaning on the railings.
6. Bottom Rails: Lumber members that connect to the rail posts and provide a solid surface for securing the infills.
7. In fills: Also known as balusters or pickets, the infills are connected to the top and bottom rails and provide a barrier against falls.
8. Decking: When properly attached to each joist and rim joist, the decking surface helps unify the entire structure.
9. Rail Cap: Much like the decking, the rail cap unifies the railing system and provides a decorative feature.
10. Top Rails: These members have the same stabilizing function as the bottom rails.
11. Post: Vertical structural member that supports the beams and attaches the deck to the footings using a post base.
12. Footing: Concrete element that serves as the foundation of the deck.
13. Beams: Structural members that support the decking floor joists. Beams are made of doubling $2 x$ material and can be installed as a laminate, sandwiched, or notched into the post.

It is important to have a post of sufficient size and streng th to support the beam. The beam should not be any wider than the thickness of the post, and should be secured with the correct post cap.
14. Joists: Wood members installed across the beams and spaced to accommodate the decking material. The joist spacing may depend on the angle at which the deck boards are applied.
15. Ledger: The ledger is a crucial connection because it attaches the deck to the house. The material used to construct the house may determine the type of connection. Consult local building officials on the recommended connection.
16. Fascia: Vertical boards that face outwards from the edges of the deck, attached to the rim joists. Fascia boards typically consist of a lumber species that matches the appearance of the decking material.
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## A Complete Connector System for Building Safer, Code-Compliant Decks
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# Platform Decks and Flush Beams 



## Flush Beam Decks

## Post Wase To Deam

The beams sit in the post base comnector and the connector is updated to fit the heam. Posts are adjusted so that the post base connectors

Labgers lemaven
Ledgers are not used with flush beam deeks, no ledger matarials or BVIZ connectors will be added.


## Installation Considerations

## Building Code and Zoning Requirements

Check deed restrictions, building codes and zoning laws to make sure your deck complies. The local building jurisdiction will require a minimum setback from property lines. Check with local utility companies to make sure deck construction will not disturb underground piping or wiring (dial 811 before you dig).

A resource for general residential deck codes and building practices is the Prescriptive Residential Deck Construction Guide, by the American Wood Council (free download from www awcora).

The local building jurisdiction should be consulted to verify any building code requirements specific to the area.

## Deck Function

While planning your deck, consider how it will be used. Sun/shade areas and possible views are common considerations.

## Lumber

Pressure- or preservative-treated lumber, or lumber that is naturally decay resistant, should be used for durability. Cut edges should be field-treated with preservative.

## Fasteners and Connectors

To resist corrosion, fasteners and connectors in contact with treated lumber should be ZMAX®, hot-dip galvanized (HDG) or made with stainless steel.

Consult with the building code, the preservative treatment manufacturer and strongtie com to get recommendations for your conditions. Fasteners and connectors should be made of the same material (i.e. both of them galvanized, both of them HDG or both in stainless steel).

## Ledger

Proper corrosion-resistant flashing should be installed between a deck ledger and the house. The ledger should be installed directly to the framing, with any siding removed.

## Deck Area and Footing Layout

Batter boards (temporary wood supports, such as $2 \times 4$ s), mason's string and a plumb bob can be used to lay out the deck area and footings. For a rectangular shape, the corners will be square when the lengths of the two diagonals are equal.

## Footings

Holes for footings will need to be dug to a depth below the frost line.

## Post Bracing

Diagonal bracing between posts and joists/beams should be installed according to the building code.

## Posts and Beams

Allow an additional margin in length to the posts. Determine the desired deck floor height on the post and then cut to the appropriate length.

## Attaching Joists

Attach joists to the ledger board with joist hangers.

## Laying Decking

Drill pilot holes into the ends of boards to prevent splitting. Allow space between boards.

## Guardrails

Guardrails must be adequately attached to the framing members of the deck. The building code has limits on the size of openings that are permitted in the guard system.

## Stairs and Handrails

Stairs should be at least $36^{\prime \prime}$ wide. The building code has limits on the size of openings in a flight of stairs and specific directions for providing handrails.

## Tools Required

The checklist provided should be used as a quick guide only, and we highly recommend consulting some additional resources listed here:

## Concrete Work

## Concrete Layout

- Pick
- Stakes or batter boards


## Safety

- Post hole digger
- String
- Eye Protection
- Shovel
- Transit
- Hearing protection
- Wheeibarrow
- Hoe and hose (to mix concrete)
- Tamper


## Wood Work

## Wood Layout

- Extension cord
- Tape measure
- Circular saw
- Squares: Rafter/Speed, Framing
- Drills and bits
- Level/Levels
- Hammer
- Chalk line
- Nailset
- Pencils
- Chisel
- Plumb bob
- Handsaw
- Ladder
- Mallet
- Tool belt


## Tips for the DIYer

- When cutting or drilling, always wear eye protection to prevent injury from flying particles.
- If cutting pressure treated material, wearing a fabric breathing mask will help to avoid ingestion of the dust.
- Wear gloves to protect from splinters.
- Invest in a pair of kneepads if you are doing floor jobs or working on a deck.
- Dispose of scraps in the regular trash or take to a landfill - do not burn pressure treated materials.


## Permit Info

Plan view construction (blocking not shown for clarity)

LEVEL 1


## Structural Information: Level 1

| Height of level (top of decking) | $24^{\prime \prime}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Max. joist span | $1283 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Max. joist cantilever | $301 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Max. beam span | $871 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Max. beam cantilever | $6^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Footing depth | $36^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Footing area (ea.) | $91 / 2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Designed live load | $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Designed dead load | $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |

## Deck and Post Height

Your design height is $24^{\prime \prime}$ from the top of the decking to the ground level. The top of the deck support posts will therefore be $13^{\prime \prime}$ above ground level.

## Joists

Set joists on top of beams, $16^{\prime \prime}$ center-to-center.

## Materials Drawing

Plan view, beams and joists
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## Materials Cut List

LeVEL 1

| Label | Description | Qty | Length | Usage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}$-Wood SP (Square) | 2 | $16^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | Rim Joists |
| B | 2" $\times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}$ - Wood SP (Square) | 13 | 15.9" | Joists |
|  | $2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}$-Wood SP (Square) | 11 | $1^{1} 21 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ | Blocking |
|  | $2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}-$ Wood SP (Square) | 1 | $1^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Blocking |
| c | $2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}-$ Wood SP (Square) | 6 | $16^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | Beams |
|  | $1^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}-$ Wood SP (Grooved) | 4 | $3^{\prime} 61 / 2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Risers |
|  | $1^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime}$-Wood SP (Grooved) | 2 | 3' $61 / 2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Risers |
|  | $2 " \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 14^{\prime}-$ Wood DF/HF/SPF (Square) | 4 | $6^{1} 13 / 4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Stringers |
|  | $1^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}-$ Wood SP (Grooved) | 12 | 3' $61 / 2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Treads |
|  | $2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime}-$ Wood SP | 2 | $4^{\prime} 11^{3 / 4}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Stair Fascia |

## Railing Kit List

## All Materials

| Usage | Sub Product | Qty | SKU | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stick Built Items |  |  |  |  |
|  | Railing Posts | 19/19 |  | Ball Cap - Cedar |
|  | Top Rails | 14/14 |  | Top Rail $2 \times 46 \mathrm{ft}$ - Cedar |
|  | Bottom Rails | 14/14 |  | Bottom Rail $2 \times 46 \mathrm{ft}$ - Cedar |
|  | Infill | 210/210 |  | Square Baluster $2 \times 2$ 29in-Cedar |
|  | Railing Posts | 6/6 |  | $4 \times 4 \times 16$-Cedar |
|  | Railing Posts | 1/1 |  | $4 \times 4 \times 8$-Cedar |
|  | Railing Posts | 17/17 | DTT2Z | DTT2Z Connector (ZMAX) (Fasteners and Washers included) |

## Estimated Materials List

## All Materials

| Usage | Qty | SKU | Description | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decking | 35 |  | $1^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}-$ Wood SP (Grooved) | Lumber |
| Fascia | 7 |  | $2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime}-$ Wood SP | Lumber |
| Rim Joists | 2 |  | $2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}-$ Wood SP (Square) | Lumber |
| Joists | 13 |  | $2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}-$ Wood SP (Square) | Lumber |
| Blocking | 1 |  | $2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}-$ Wood SP (Square) | Lumber |
| Beams | 6 |  | $2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}-$ Wood SP (Square) | Lumber |
| Joists | 26 | H2.5AZ | H2.5AZ Hurricane Tie (ZMAX ${ }^{\text {( }}$ ) | Connector |
| Joists | 22 | LUS2107 | LUS210Z Joist Hanger with Double-Shear Nailing (ZMAX ${ }^{(B)}$ | Connector |
| Joists | 4 | LS50Z | LS50Z Skewable Angle (ZMAX*) | Connector |
| Posts/Footings | 6 | ABU66Z | ABU66Z Adjustable Post Base with Standoff (ZMAX ${ }^{(8)}$ ) | Connector |
| Posts/Footings | 1 |  | 5/8" Diameter Straight Shank Carbide Drill Bit for Concrete \& Masonry | Concrete |
| Posts/Footings | 78 |  | 80 lbs Bag (0.60 CF) Concrete Mix | Concrete |
| Posts/Footings | 2 |  | $12^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ Construction Tube | Construction Tube |
| Risers | 1 |  | $1^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}-$ Wood SP (Grooved) | Lumber |
| Risers | 1 |  | $1^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime}-$ Wood SP (Grooved) | Lumber |
| Stringers | 2 |  | $2^{\prime \prime} \times 12 " \times 14^{\prime}-$ Wood DF/HF/SPF (Square) | Lumber |
| Treads | 3 |  | $1^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}-$ Wood SP (Grooved) | Lumber |
| Stair Fascia | 1 |  | $2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime}-$ Wood SP | Lumber |
| Stringers | 4 | LSCZ | LSCZ Adjustable Stair-Stringer Connector (ZMAX ${ }_{(\otimes)}$ ) | Connector |
| Decking, <br> Treads, Risers | 2 | S10250WPP | DWP \#10 2-1/2IN 305SS FLAT T25 350CT | Fastener |
| Decking, <br> Treads, Risers | 5 | S10250WP1 | DWP \#10 2-1/2IN 305SS FLAT T25 \#\# | Fastener |
| Fascia, Stair Fascia | 3 | T08175FS75TN02 | \#8X1.75 316SS FASCIA SCREW T20 TN02 75CT | Fastener |
| Fasteners | 1 | fsbit | FASCIA SCREW COUNTERSINK BIT | Fastener |
| Rim Joists | 2 | SDWS16300QR75 | 3 IN SDW Framing Screw 75 ct | Fastener |
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| Usage | Qty | SKU | Description | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Joists, Stringers | 3 | N10DHDG | Strong-Drive ${ }^{8}$ SCN 11/2" x.148", 9 gauge, SmoothShank Connector Nail, Hot Dip Galvanized - 1 LB | Fastener |
| Joists | 2 | 10DHDG | Strong-Drive ${ }^{*}$ SCN 3"x.148", 9 gauge, Smooth Shank Connector Nail, Hot Dip Galvanized - 1 LB | Fastener |
| Beams | 3 | SDWS22300DB- <br> RC12 | SDW S22300DB Structural Wood Screw (12) | Fastener |
| Posts/Footings | 6 | THDB62600H4SSF 1 | 5/8" $\times 6^{\prime \prime}$ Type 304 Stainless Steel Titen HD © HeavyDuty Screw Anchor | Fastener |

## Dealer Locations

## Approved dealers local to your ZIP code.

| Dealer Name | Address | Phone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOME DEPOT \#2729 (WHITE LAKE) | 9078 Highland Rd, White Lake, 48386-2030, US | (248) 698-4801 |
| LOWE'S \#1823 (WHITE LAKE) | 8550 Highland Rd, White Lake, 48386-2020, US | (248) 674-6510 |
| Carter Lumber \#59-20 WHITE LAKE | 6600 Highland Rd, White Lake, 48383-2840, US | (248) 887-8853 |
| Great Lakes Ace \#18109 | 8030 Cooley Lake Rd, White Lake, 48386-4308, US | (248) 363-8334 |
| Great Lakes Ace \#18999 | 5070 Highland Rd, Waterford, 48327-1911, US | (248) 674-3168 |

Please re-enter your ZIP code in the user settings of Deck planner and re publish a report if the results are not desirable.

# Legal Disclaimer 

Effective Date: August 17, 2022

## LEGAL DISCLAIMER

We want you to have fun using the Simpson Strong-Tie (8) Deck Planner Software ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (the "App") as a tool that may assist you in selecting Simpson Strong-Tie ${ }^{\circledR}$ and other specified products for your structure. However, we care about safety. The output from the App, including any designs, specifications, product selection and reports (collectively, the "Report") is a product selection guide and is not a finished building plan. You may proceed and use the App and the Report only if you have carefully read this Disclaimer and agree to its terms.

The App is not designed to perform any of the engineering calculations or structural design required for building construction. Due to the size, shape, location or other considerations, the design set forth in the Report may require supporting structures (such as knee braces and bridging between joists and posts) that are not included in the Report. The App and Report are intended to be used only in conjunction with Simpson Strong-Tie ${ }^{\circledR}$ products. Before beginning any project, you should review all of the information about the Simpson Strong-Tie ${ }^{\circledR}$ products that is available on www. strongtie.com. Any use of the App or the Report is at your own risk.

You should secure professional technical and/or engineering expertise to review and confirm the appropriateness and accuracy of all information in the Report, including, without limitation, all input and output from the App. You should consult with a trained professional to ensure that the products are properly specified for your particular environment (including, without limitation, that the products have the proper level of corrosion resistance based on your particular environmental conditions, materials, construction design and other factors) and to ensure that the products are used in accordance with the design limits and the structural, technical and environmental specifications set forth on wow strongtiecom. The App is not a substitute for professional judgment or for independent design and testing for stress, safety and utility. You are responsible for ensuring that all measurements are correct and for verifying the Report's accuracy, completeness and suitability for your particular site conditions. Before beginning any project, you should confirm that the design set forth in the Report is safe and structurally sound for its size, location and anticipated use. If you have any doubts, concerns or questions, you should consult local experts, builders, architects and structural and soil engineers.

You should consult with local authorities to ensure that your project complies with all applicable zoning and building codes, requirements and practices, which vary greatly depending on your location. You are responsible for ensuring that the project (including the design set forth in the Report and any substitutions or modifications you make) complies with all applicable zoning and building codes, requirements and practices (including requirements for lighting).

Simpson Strong-Tie Company Inc. ("Simpson") cannot anticipate all of your working conditions or the characteristics of your materials and tools. You should seek professional assistance with respect to the construction of the structure. If you are involved in the construction of the project, for your safety you should consider your own skill level and use caution, care and good judgment when following the instructions. Always read and observe instructions and safety precautions provided by any tool or equipment manufacturer, and follow all accepted safety procedures.

Simpson assumes no responsibility for any damages, including direct or consequential, personal injuries suffered, or property or economic losses incurred as a result of any information available through the App or the Report). Simpson assumes no liability or responsibility for your design, construction or use of the Report or any other information supplied through the App.

YOU ASSUME TOTAL RESPONSIBILITY AND RISK FOR YOUR USE OF THE APP, THE REPORT AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN. SIMPSON PROVIDES THE APP AND THE REPORT (AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN) "AS IS" AND, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED UNDER APPLICABLE LAW, DOES NOT MAKE ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, REPRESENTATIONS, OR ENDORSEMENTS OF ANY KIND WHATSOEVER (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULARPURPOSE). SIMPSON DOES NOT WARRANT THAT YOUR USE OF THE APP WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, THAT THE APP IS FREE OF VIRUSES OR OTHER HARMFUL COMPONENTS, THAT THE APP OR THE REPORT WILL FREE FROM ERRORS OR DEFECTS, OR THAT ANY ERRORS OR DEFECTS WILL BE CORRECTED. IN NO EVENT WILL SIMPSON BE LIABLE FOR DAMAGES OF ANY KIND, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION ANY SPECIAL, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, EVEN IF SIMPSON HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

You agree, at your own expense, to indemnify, defend and hold harmless Simpson, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, directors, employees, agents, distributors and licensees, from and against any judgments, losses, deficiencies, damages, liabilities, costs, claims, demands, suits, and expenses (including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses) incurred in, arising out of or in any way related to your breach of these terms or your use of the App or the Report.

All trademarks contained in the App and the Report are the property of Simpson or its licensors and may not be used for any purpose without the written permission of their respective owners.
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## Satellite photo images

Find a satellite image photo of your home. Free aerial view of property.
Adjust the map scale by using the + sign at the side of the map or Double Click on the map, or use two fingers. Do this to home in on your country, city, town, street and finally your home! If you are using a laptop or PC put your mouse cursor near your location, click down and drag to the middle.

( Latitude $=42.6409$, Longitude $=-83.4829$ )
Miles $=0.0$
$\mathrm{km}=0.0$
Please read these instructions to find a satellite photo image of your home town.
Repeat the process of enlarging or dragging to centre the map as necessary. Choose the Satellite view or Map view. In Satellite View you have the option of text Labels displayed or not. In Map View you have the option of Terrain display which shows height contour lines as you get closer. For terrain height at specific places go to terrain height of the ground

## Enter Your Location

Enter Your Location And Get Earth \& Street Views. Directions Driving Maps

Look for your town or house. Try for the maximum possible expansion of the satellite image photo. In many cases, where higher resolution images exist, it is possible to see cars, in good lighting and clear sky.

The images here on this website provide you with a free aerial view of property. There is a cost however to me, Google have started charging me since July 2018, so I am cutting back on what is displayed. I have cut out the orange stick-man icon used to see street views and also removed the search box where you could type in the name of the place. This was called Geocoding and cost extra to provide.

If you see a message about this page having been downloaded too many times, this is because the number of times has exceeded my quota daily or 1 per 100 seconds per user limit. In this case I suggest you wait, or download the Google Earth software package and use that instead. These two links may help: https://www.google.com/int/en uk/earth/versions/ for desktop and https://www.google.co.uk/int//en uk/earth/ for mobiles. Google Earth has the added advantage that you can sometimes see historical images taken a while ago. Do View and then click Historical Imagery. I have several times had people ask about where they can get old pictures of their house. The images may be from various dates and some may be poor quality due to cloud cover or poor sun angle lighting.

The Google satellite map database already includes detailed mapping information such as town, road and street maps for the US, UK, Ireland, Europe and Japan. In these cases you can use the detailed road and street maps to home in on your location.

For some selected examples of satellite remote-sensing/satellite imagery, such as satellite photos taken from Landsat see Landsat for satellite images in the infrared, water vapour and visible spectrum images for weather purposes


## PERMIT APPLICATION

MAKE CHECK PAYABLE TO ROAD COMMISSION FOR OAKLAND COUNTY
ROAD COMMISSION FOR OAKLAND COUNTY
RECEIVED
Aug 252022
DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMER
SERVICES PERMITS

|  | FOR R.C.O.C. USE ONLY |
| :--- | :--- |
| Application No. | $22-1586$ |
| Date Received | $08-25-22$ |
| Permit E No. |  |
| Date of Issuance |  |

APPLICANT: ADAM HUFELD
hereby makes APPLICATION for a permit to CONSTRUCT, OPERATE, USE and/or MAINTAIN or to TEMPORARILY CLOSE A COUNTY ROAD within the part of the right-of-way of road(s) 10071 ELIZABETH LAKE RD under the jurisdiction of the Board: a detailed description of the desired facility and/or activity is required in the space provided below: (include size, length, type of facility; - if underground, indicate depth below surface; if parallel to road, indicate distance from inside edge of facility to edge of pavement, if crossing under roadbed, describe method). THE FOLLOWING MUST BE ATTACHED TO THE APPLICATION WHEN APPLICABLE: 1. Plans, specifications and location of facility. 2. Traffic plan and detour route in cases of street closures.

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX(ES) THAT APPLY TO THE TYPE OF WORK YOU WISH TO OBTAIN A PERMIT FOR:

| $\square$ Annual | $\square$ Community Event | $\square$ Public Utility (i.e. electric, gas, telephone) | $\square$ Soil Boring/Monitoring Well |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Approach/Private Road Access | $\square$ Landscaping/Grading | $\square$ Sanitary/Storm Sewer | $\square$ Watermain |
| $\square$ Cable TV | $\square$ Pathway/Sidewalk | $\square$ Sign/Subdivision Entrance Marker | $\square$ Other DECK |

there was a $16 \times 16$ deck off of my master bedroom downstairs. it was dilapidated. i tore it down and intended to rebuild without knowing there was a ROW there. The township approved the plans but needs a waiver form from the road commission in order to allow me to proceed with the new deck

City or Township
WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP
Section No.
The above activities will be carried out in accordance with plans, specifications, maps and statements filed with the R.C.O.C. as part of this application, and if said application is approved, the above named applicant agrees to abide by the CONDITIONS contained on the reverse side. Since a permit will have to be secured from the Board prior to the start of any construction or maintenance operations proposed by this application, it is intended that the SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATIONS, on the reverse side, are to be incorporated as part of the plans or specifications required for this proposed work.


This application is approved subject to CONDITIONS and SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATIONS contained on the reverse side of this application.
Approval of this application does not relieve applicant from meeting any applicable requirements or duties of law or other public bodies or agencies including but not limited to the Michigan Department of Natural Resources.
APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION EXPIRES IN ONE YEAR IF A PERMIT HAS NOT BEEN ISSUED. RESUBMITTAL OF CURRENT PLANS, PERMIT APPLICATION REVIEW FEES AND PERMIT APPLICATION IS REQUIRED IF APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION HAS EXPIRED.

## REFER ALL INQUIRIES TO (248) 858-4835

## DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMER SERVICES

 PERMITS2420 PONTIAC LAKE ROAD
WATERFORD, MI 48328
APPLICATION APPROVED:


1. The application agrees to secure, or cause to be secured, a permit from the Board prior to the commencement of construction or maintenance operations. If a contractor is to perform the construction or maintenance entailed in this application, the contractor shall secure the permit from the Board prior to the commencement of construction or maintenance operations and thereby assumes responsibility, along with the applicant, for any provisions of this application which apply to them.
2. Any and all construction proposed under this application will mect all requirements of the Board, together with the Supplemental Specifications as set forth bclow.
3. The applicant agrees to save harmless, indemnify, defend, and represent the Board and its employecs against any and all claims for bodily injury or property damage, or any other claim arising out of or related to the creation, operation, use, or continuing existence of the structure or facility covered by the permit or for any other work done within county road right-of-way whether or not specifically authorized or in conformance with the description of activitics for which the permit was issucd. Applicant agrecs and understands that the obligations set forth herein are binding upon their successors, transferors, assigns, surctics, and guarantors. The Applicant shall maintain insurance of a type and in an amount in conformance with the Board's established requirements and provide certificates of insurance in a type and amount which shall assure conformance with the Board's established requirements is curently in force for any and all facilities installed in the Board's right of way.
4. The applicant agrees to surrender the permit herein applied for; surrender all rights hercunder; cease operations; and remove, alter, relocate at applicant's own expense, the facilities for which this permit is granted whenever ordered to do so by the Board because of the need for the area covered by this permit for public uses or because of a default in the conditions of the permit. Upon failure to remove, alter, relocate or surrender the facilitics pursuant to the order of the Board, the applicant agrees to reimburse the Board for its cost in doing same.
5. Nothing in this application shall be construed to grant any rights whatsocver to any public utilitics whatsocver execpt as to the consent hercin specifically given, nor to otherwise impair any existing rights granted in accordance with the constitution or laws of this State.
6. The obligation to construct, operate, use and/or maintain the facility to the satisfaction of the Board remains in force as long as the facility exists and is within the right-of-way under the jurisdiction of the Board. The applicant is obliged to repair any damage to the road and right of way which is a result of the facility and which occurs or appears after the permit lieensee is released.
7. The neccssary construction zone signing for the protection of traffic shall be in accordance with the most current edition of the Michigan Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices, Part 6. All such devices shall be fumished, installed and maintained by the Permit Holder. All construction signage shall have black lettering on a reflective florescent orange background, unless otherwise specified. The Permit Holder shall provide any additional signs, barricades and/or lights, at the Permit Holder's expense, required to protect the general motoring public and the work zone when requested by the Road Commission.
8. ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS: As will be identified in approved permit description.

## SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATIONS

1. EXCAVATION AND DISPOSAL OF EXCAVATED MATERIAL: The contractor and/or the utility company shall provide and place the necessary sheeting, shoring and bracing required to prevent caving, loss or settlement of foundation material supporting the pavement, or any other highway installation such as sewers, culverts, etc. The contractor and/or utility company shall assume the full responsibility for this protection. Excavated material shall be stocked in such locations that it does not obstruct vision on the traveled portion of the highway and in such a manner that it will not interfere with the flow of traffic. Sod and topsoil shall be stocked separately from other excavated material. The applicant shall dispose of all surplus and unsuitable material outside of the limits of the right-of-way unless the permit provides for, and with approval of abutting property owners, disposal at approved locations with the right-of-way. In the latter case, the material shall be leveled and trimmed in a manner approved by the Road Commission for Oakland County.
2. BACKFILLING AND COMPACTING BACKFILL: All trenches, holes, pits, and other excavations shall be filled with approved excavated earth or with MDOT Class II granular material if so provided, placed in successive layers not more than 9 inches in depth, loose measure, and each layer shall be thoroughly compacted by tamping and all backfill compaction will be subject to check by the Controlled Density Method (minimum 95\%). Restoration shall be such that it will provide a condition equal to or better than the original condition and in accordance with current Road Commission for Oakland County standards. Any excavation within the right of way outside traveled portion of road must be maintained until all settlement has occurred and must be restored and seeded or sodded as directed. The permit will not be released until the Road Commission is satisfied that no further settlement will occur.
3. CROSSING ROADBED BY TUNNELING, DIRECTIONAL BORE, JACK CASING AND BORE: When the pipe is installed by tunneling without cutting the existing pavement, the tunnel shall be adequately sheeted or shored to prevent the sides and top from collapsing or the pavement from settling or cracking. Directional bore shall be at least 4 diameters under the pavement or 48 inches, whichever is greater. When the pipe is installed by boring and jacking, the leading edge of the pipe must always precede the auger. The tunnel backfill shall be made by tamping a dry mix of lean concrete into place so as to completely fill any voids remaining around the installation. The concrete shall be composed of one part of Portland cement and 10 parts of sand-gravel by volume. Tunnel and boring pits shall be at least 10 feet from the edge of the pavement unless otherwise approved.
4. CROSSING BY CUTTING GRAVEL ROADS: All trenches are to be backfilled with approved material to within 12 inches of surface within the limits of the roadbed. Backfill methods will be as described in paragraph 2 above. All surplus excavated material will be disposed of as described in paragraph 1. The top 12 inches within the roadbed will be backfilled with processed road gravel (MDOT 22A or 23A). Trenches outside of the roadbed will be backfilled in accordance with paragraph 2 above.
5. CROSSING BY CUTTING PAVEMENT AND TRENCHING: When this method is approved by the Road Commission, the pavement shall be cut so that the opening is a minimum of 5 feet wide and at least 1 foot wider on each side than the trench. In no case shall an open cut result in a remaining slab width of less than 5 feet from patch to an existing joint. The cut shall be made by sawing to a full pavement depth. Cuts in concrete residential and commercial drives shall be as above except that the patch width shall be a minimum of 3 feet and the remaining slab from patch to existing joint a minimum of 3 feet. Backfill shall be made with MDOT Class II granular material. After the backfill has been placed by control density method and thoroughly compacted, the pavement shall be replaced with a temporary surface of approved hot-mixed bituminous material and later replaced with new pavernent of the original type and quality by the Permit Licensee unless other provisions are included in the permit.
6. DEPTH OF COVER MATERIAL: Pipes shall be placed to a depth that will provide not less than 4 feet of cover between the top of roadway surface and top of the pipe.
7. TREE TRIMMING OR REMOVAL: All trees that may be affected by the proposed construction shall be shown on the plans. The plan must clearly indicate which trees are proposed to be removed, trimmed and/or tunneled. This work, if approved, must be done in accordance with current Board standards, including advance notification of abutting property owners. Wood Disposal License Agreement(s) will be required for any tree work
8. DISPOSAL OF GROUND WATER: Roadside drainage systems - open ditches, storm sewers, etc. - shall not be used for the disposal of water pumped from below the surface of the ground unless specifically allowed by the Road Commission. Details such as volume and frequency of discharge, erosion control, duration of use, NPDES permit and other pertinent information as may be required must be submitted with the application for such permission.
9. Any proposed operation in the right of way not covered by the above specifications, submitted with this application, shall be done in accordance with any additional specifications deemed necessary by the Board or as outlined in the Permit Rules, Specifications and Guidelines.

LANE CLOSURES RESTRICTED TO 9-3 MON - FRI

FIXED OBJECTS TO BE MINIMUM 6 FEET OFF BACK OF CURB OR 12 FEET OFF EDGE OF PAVEMENT
"PROPER SIGNING" IS REQUIRED BEFORE ANY WORK IN R.O.W. IS STARTED

KEEP ROADS CLEAN OF DIRT AND DEBRIS

NOT ENCROACH ONTO ROADWAY.

## APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION PERMIT

ROAD COMMISSION FOR OAKLAND COUNTY PERMITS OFFICE

BY:
09/14/2022 10:48:58 AM 10071 ELIZABETH LAKE RD



QUALITY LIFE THROUGH GOOD ROADS: ROAD COMMISSION FOR OAKLAND COUNTY "WE CARE."

September 15, 2022

Board of Road Commissioners
Ronald J. Fowkes
Commissioner
Andrea LaLonde
Commissioner
Nancy Quarles
Commissioner

Dennis G. Kolar, P.E. Managing Director

Gary Piotrowicz, P.E., P.T.O.E. Deputy Managing Director
County Highway Engineer
ADAM HUFELD
WHITE LAKE, MI 48386

Dear Applicant:

Department of Customer Services Permits

2420 Pontiac Lake Road Waterford, MI 48328

248-858-4835

FAX
248-858-4773

248-858-8005
www.rcocweb.org

10071 ELIZABETH LAKE ROAD

RE: Application Number 22-1586

Your application for a permit has been approved. Prior to issuing a permit, the attached requirements must be met by you or your contractor. If the proposed work will be conducted by a contractor you hire, please provide them with the attached requirement sheet and bond form. The permit will be issued in the contractor's name.

The items required prior to permit issuance must be delivered IN PERSON by someone authorized to sign the permit on you or your contractor's behalf. Failure to satisfy these requests will delay permit issuance.

Thank you for your cooperation regarding this matter. If you have any questions, please feel free to the Department of Customer Services - Permits at (248) 858-4835.

## Scott Sintkowski, <br> Permit Engineer

Enclosures

QUALITY LIFE THROUGH GOOD ROADS: ROAd COMMISSION FOR OAKLAND COUNTY "WE CARE."

Board of Road Commissioners
Ronald J. Fowkes Commissioner

Andrea LaLonde Commissioner

Nancy Quarles Commissioner

Dennis G. Kolar, P.E. Managing Director

Gary Piotrowicz, P.E., P.T.O.E. Deputy Managing Director County Highway Engineer

THE ENCLOSED ROAD COMMISSION FOR OAKLAND COUNTY PERMIT APPLICATION NUMBER 22-1586 HAS BEEN APPROVED.

PERMIT ISSUANCE IS CONTINGENT UPON THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS BEING MET AND DELIVERED IN PERSON TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMER SERVICES - PERMITS, AS THE PERMIT MUST BE SIGNED BY AN AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE.

## **CHECK REMITTER OR CREDIT CARD HOLDER, PRINCIPAL ON BOND, AND INSURED MUST ALL BE IN THE SAME NAME!**

1. CONSTRUCTION BOND AMOUNT OF: $\$ 0.00$

EITHER by your insurance or bonding company on enclosed FORM 75 (must have an embossed or sticker seal and power of attorney attached); OR a cash bond using a company check, cashier's check, certified check, money order, credit card or cash.

## 2. FEES

A. PERMIT FEE
B. DEPOSIT
$\$ 100.00$
C. ESTIMATED INSPECTION FEE
$\$ 0.00$
D. PAINT FEE
$\$ 0.00$
E. SIGN FEE
$\$ 0.00$
F. SIGNAL FEE
$\$ 0.00$
TOTAL FEES DUE AT PERMIT ISSUANCE
$\$ 0.00$
$\$ 100.00$
3. METHOD OF PAYMENT:

COMPANY CHECK - NAME MUST BE THE SAME AS PRINCIPAL ON BOND AND NAMED INSURED
CASH - EXACT CASH ONLY, WE ARE UNABLE TO MAKE CHANGE
MONEY ORDER - BANK MUST SUPPLY COMPANY NAME AS REMITTER
CASHIERS CHECK - BANK MUST SUPPLY COMPANY NAME AS REMITTER CERTIFIED CHECK - BANK MUST SUPPLY COMPANY NAME AS REMITTER
CREDIT CARD - PICTURE ID REQUIRED (ENHANCED ACCESS FEES APPLY)
MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO: Road Commission for Oakland County
4. CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE:

A current, valid certificate of insurance on an ACORD form is required. The certificate must name "The Road Commission for Oakland County" as a certificate holder and as an additional insured. The insurance must include the following coverage:

## General Liability Coverage

| Bodily Injury and |  |  | or: Combined Single Limit (CSL): |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Property Damage Liability: | Aggregate | $\$ 2,000,000$ |  |  |
| Each Person | $\$ 1,000,000$ |  |  |  |
| Each Occurance | $\$ 1,000,000$ |  |  |  |
| Aggregate | $\$ 2,000,000$ |  |  |  |

## PERMIT DESCRIPTION

The following is a permit description ONLY. It shall not be construed to be a permit and shall become valid only after the permit is acquired.

This is a permit to:

REMOVE AND REPLACE EXISTING WOODEN DECK WITHIN THE SOUTH SIDE OF ELIZABETH LAKE ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY EAST OF OXBOW LAKE ROAD FOR \#10071 ADDRESS.
PROPER SIGNING IS REQUIRED BEFORE BEGINNING WORK WITHIN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY. MAINTAIN TWO-WAY TRAFFIC AT ALL TIMES. LANE CLOSURES SHALL BE RESTRICTED TO THE HOURS OF 9 AM TO 3 PM MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY, OR DURING DAYLIGHT HOURS ON WEEKENDS.
FIXED OBJECTS SHALL BE NO NEARER THAN 6 FEET FROM BACK OF FINISHED CURB OR 12 FEET OFF EDGE OF PAVEMENT. REMOVE OR RELOCATE EXISTING FIXED OBJECTS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
IN THE EVENT DECK BECOMES A TRAFFIC OR SIGHT DISTANCE PROBLEM, OR INTERFERES WITH MAINTENANCE OF THE ROAD OR RIGHT-OF-WAY, OR IF THE RIGHT-OF-WAY IS REQUIRED FOR FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS BY THE ROAD COMMISSION FOR OAKLAND COUNTY OR OTHER PUBLIC AGENCY OR UTILITY, APPLICANT WILL PERFORM MAINTENANCE ON, RELOCATE OR REMOVE THE DECK AT THE REQUEST OF THE ROAD COMMISSION FOR OAKLAND COUNTY AT NO COST TO THE ROAD COMMISSION FOR OAKLAND COUNTY OR OTHER PUBLIC AGENCY.
All construction shall be in accordance with the attached approved plan and application on file for ADAM HUFELD.
as prepared by ADAM HUFELD
and with the RCOC Supplementary Permit Specifications as follows:
ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ATTACHED APPROVED PLAN AND APPLICATION ON FILE WITH THE ROAD COMMISSION FOR OAKLAND COUNTY PERMIT RULES, SPECIFICATIONS, AND GUIDELINES DATED MARCH 14, 2013.

WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP

## REPORT OF THE

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

| TO: | Zoning Board of Appeals |
| :--- | :--- |
| FROM: | Justin Quagliata, Staff Planner |
| DATE: | October 27, 2022 |

Agenda item: 7c

Appeal Date: October 27, 2022

Applicant: Todd McGeachy

Address: 539 Burgess Drive
White Lake, MI 48386

Zoning: R1-C Single Family Residential

Location: 539 Burgess Drive
White Lake, MI 48386

## Property Description

The approximately 0.33 -acre ( 14,237 square feet) parcel identified as 539 Burgess Drive is located on Cedar Island Lake and zoned R1-C (Single Family Residential). The existing house on the property (approximately 2,894 square feet in size) utilizes a private well for potable water and a private septic system for sanitation.

## Applicant's Proposal

Todd McGeachy, the applicant, is proposing to construct an addition to the existing attached garage.

## Planner's Report

The existing house was built in 1956 and is nonconforming to setbacks; the structure is located 2.4 feet from the west side lot line, 8.1 feet from the east side lot line, and approximately 12 feet from the front lot line (not dimensioned on the plan prepared by the surveyor). A minimum 10 -foot side yard setback and 35 -foot front yard setback are required in the R1-C zoning district. The parcel is also nonconforming due to a 1,763 square foot deficiency in lot area; in the R1-C zoning district the minimum lot area requirement is 16,000 square feet.

The garage addition would be 540 square feet in size. At its closest point the garage would be located 9.3 -feet from the front lot line, encroaching 25.7 feet into the required 35 -foot front yard setback. Additionally, the garage would be located 8.1 -feet from the east side lot line, encroaching 1.7 feet into the required 10 -foot side yard setback. Based on the proposed garage addition plan prepared by the surveyor, the proposed lot coverage is $24.12 \%$ ( 3,434 square feet), which is $4.12 \%$ ( 566.6 square feet) beyond the $20 \%$ maximum lot coverage allowed ( $2,847.4$ square feet).

Based on the submitted plans and scope of the project staff believes the valuation of work is underestimated and would exceed $\$ 10,000$. For reference, the 2022 Building Valuation Data published by the International Code Council estimates cost of construction at $\$ 66.48$ per square foot for private garages. An estimate for the value of improvement for a 540 square foot garage is $\$ 35,899.20$. If the variance is approved, the aforementioned valuation should be used to calculate the building permit fee.

The requested variances are listed in the following table.

| Variance \# | Ordinance <br> Section | Subject | Standard | Requested Variance | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Article 7.23.A | Nonconforming <br> structure | No <br> enlargement <br> or alteration | Enlarge and alter <br> nonconforming house | Increased <br> nonconformities |
| 2 | Article 3.1.5.E | Minimum lot <br> area | 16,000 <br> square feet | 1,763 square feet | 14,237 square <br> feet |

## Zoning Board of Appeals Options:

Approval: I move to approve the variances requested by Todd McGeachy from Articles 3.1.5.E and 7.23.A of the Zoning Ordinance for Parcel Number 12-27-429-003, identified as 539 Burgess Drive, in order to construct a garage addition. A variance from Article 7.23.A is granted to allow the addition to exceed the allowed lot coverage by $4.12 \%$, encroach 1.7 feet into the required east side yard setback, and encroach 25.7 feet into the required front yard setback. A 1,763 square foot variance from the required lot area is also granted from Article 3.1.5.E. This approval will have the following conditions:

- The Applicant shall obtain all necessary permits from the White Lake Township Building Department.
- No mechanical units, including HVAC system or generator, shall be placed within any side yard setbacks or the front yard.
- A foundation certificate shall be required prior to the backfill inspection by the Building Department.
- An as-built survey shall be required to verify setbacks and lot coverage.
- The shed on the west side of the house shall be removed from the property.

Denial: I move to deny the variances requested by Todd McGeachy for Parcel Number 12-27-429-003, identified as 539 Burgess Drive, due to the following reason(s):

Postpone: I move to postpone the appeal of Todd McGeachy to a date certain or other triggering mechanism for Parcel Number 12-27-429-003, identified as 539 Burgess Drive, to consider comments stated during this public hearing.

## Attachments:

1. Variance application dated September 22, 2022.
2. Applicant's written statement.
3. Proposed garage addition plan dated August 5, 2022.
4. Building Permit application dated September 16, 2022.
5. Building Permit plan set September 12, 2022.
6. Letter of denial from the Building Official dated September 22, 2022.

### 7.37 STANDARDS

General variances: The Zoning Board of Appeals may authorize a variance from the strict application of the area or dimensional standard of this Ordinance when the applicant demonstrates all of the following conditions "A - E" or condition F applies.
A. Practical difficulty: A practical difficulty exists on the subject site (such as exceptional narrowness, shallowness, shape or area; presence of floodplain; exceptional topographic conditions) and strict compliance with the zoning ordinance standards would unreasonably prevent the owner from using of the subject site for a permitted use or would render conformity unnecessarily burdensome. Demonstration of a practical difficulty shall have a bearing on the subject site or use of the subject site, and not to the applicant personally. Economic hardship or optimum profit potential are not considerations for practical difficulty.
B. Unique situation: The demonstrated practical difficult results from exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applying to the subject site at the time the Ordinance was adopted or amended which are different than typical properties in the same zoning district or the vicinity.
C. Not self created: The applicants problem is not self created.
D. Substantial justice: The variance would provide substantial justice by granting the property rights similar to those enjoyed by the majority of other properties in the vicinity, and other properties in the same zoning district. The decision shall not bestow upon the property special development rights not enjoyed by other properties in the same district, or which might result in substantial adverse impacts on properties in the vicinity (such as the supply of light and air, significant increases in traffic, increased odors, an increase in the danger of fire, or other activities which may endanger the public safety, comfort, morals or welfare).
E. Minimum variance necessary: The variance shall be the minimum necessary to grant relief created by the practical difficulty.
F. Compliance with other laws: The variance is the minimum necessary to comply with state or federal laws, including but not necessarily limited to:
i. The Michigan Right to Farm Act (P.A. 93 of 1981) and the farming activities the Act protects;
ii. The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (as amended), and the needs of handicapped individuals the Act protects, including accessory facilities, building additions, building alterations, and site improvements which may not otherwise meet a strict application of the standards of this Ordinance.
Under no circumstances shall the Board of Appeals grant a variance to allow a use not permissible under the terms of this Ordinance in the district involved, or any use expressly or by implication prohibited by the terms of this Ordinance in said district.

CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF WHITE LAKE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION Community Development Department, 7525 Highland Road, White Lake, Michigan, 48383
(248) 698-3300 x5

APPLICANTS NAME:
 PHONE: $\qquad$ $218 \cdot 76.2-099$

ADDRESS: $\qquad$ 539 B
APPLICANTS EMAILADDRESS: $\qquad$ to del. Mtg BUILDER $\square$ OTHER: $\qquad$
adDress of afFected property: 539 Burgess Dr.
$\qquad$ PARCEL \# $12-27-429-803$ CURRENT ZONING: $27-c$ PARCEL SIZE: 14,274
$\qquad$
$\qquad$元

STATE REQUESTED VARIANCE AND ORDINANCE SECTION: $\qquad$

VALUE OF IMPROVEMENT: \$ $\qquad$ 10,000 SUV OF EXISTING STRUCTURE: \$ $\qquad$ 184,020

STATE REASONS TO SUPPORT REQUEST: (ATTACH WRITTEN STATEMENT TO APPLICATION)

APPLICATION FEE:
 DATE:


I am requesting a va rance to nemea. build a $18 \times 30$ a tlached gi rage addition to store a classic automobile. al believe numerous properties on my area have been granted these variances. F would arts that my egest be approved based upon thin precedent.

Thant you for your consideration Tubal Mil

APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT AND PLAN EXAMINATION.


APPLICANT TO COMPLETE ALL ITEMS
NOTE: SEPARATE APPLICATIONS MUST BE COMPLETED FOR PLUMBING, MECHANICAL, AND ELECTRICAL PERMITS
APPLICATION DATE:
IS OWNER APPLICANT?


PROPERTY INFORMATION

| STREET ADDRESS OF PROJECT |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 539 Burgess Dr, wm te Lake, m $z$ | APT. \# | PARCELI.D. NUMBER |
| $12-27-429-003$ | ZONING |  |

OWNER INFORMATION

| LAST NAME OR BUSINESS NAME <br> $m<$ peachy | FIRST NAME <br> STREET ADDRESS | PHONE NUMBER <br> 539 Burgess Dr | $248-762-0995$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

APPLICANT INFORMATION


BUILDING AND PROPERTY CHARACTERISTICS


I hereby certify that the proposed work is authorized by the owner of record and that I have been authorized by the owner to make this application as his/her authorized agent; and we agree to conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction. The applicant signing this application is aware that (s)he assumes full responsibility for insuring that all work done complies with all applicable codes and ordinances.


## BUILDING AND ZONING PERMIT REGULATIONS

- A Certificate of Occupancy must be obtained before occupying the building.
- The Builder and property owner are responsible for drainage and grade on the construction site.
- The Builder and property owner are responsible for lot line and proper setbacks as approved by White Lake Township.
- The lot is properly marked (properly marked is a visible address with the lot number and permit number that can be clearly seen from the road)
- The job site must be clean with a safe access for the inspectors. The road must be cleaned and maintained properly (this includes snow removal).


## HOMEOWNER AFFIDAVIT

As the bona fide owner of the above mentioned property which is a single residence, and which is, or will be on completion, my place of residence and no part of which is used for rental or commercial purposes nor is now contemplated for such purpose, I hereby make apglication for an owner's permis to install:


I certify that I am familiar with the provisions of the applicable Ordinance and the rules governing the type of installation which is contemplated at the above mentioned location and hereby agree to make the installation in conformance with the Ordinance. In making the application, I realize I am assuming the responsibility of a licensed contractor for the installation of the work mentioned in the permit application and for putting the equipment in operation. I further agree that I shall neither hire any other person for the purpose of installing any portion of the Garage or related equipment at the above premises, nor sub-contract to any other person, firm of corporation the installation of any portion of the above equipment.

HOMEOWNER NAME/SIGNATURE:
LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY TO COMPLETE THIS SECTION


## WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP PLOT PLAN SUBMITTAL CHECKLIST

New homes are required to have the plot plan prepared and signed and sealed by a licensed professional surveyor or engineer. Incomplete resubmittals require additional review and will be subject to an additional fee in the amount of $50 \%$ of the original review fee.
$\square$ Elevation reference mark shown (NAVD 88 Datum)
$\square$ Plan signed AND sealed by a State of Michigan licensed engineer or surveyor
$\square$ Location map with major cross streets indicated
$\square$ Title block shows professional preparer's name, address, and phone number
$\square$ Title block shows current revision date
$\square$ Easements are shown
$\square$ Plan shows setback lines. Setbacks measured from cantilevers, when proposed. Rear setback for lake lot should be from high water level.
$\square$ Building is dimensioned to property lines
$\square$ Septic field or sanitary sewer lead is shown
$\square$ Well or water service lead is shown
$\square$ Proposed spot finish grade elevations are shown for each corner of the building and as otherwise needed to indicate other grade features such as walkouts
$\square$ Existing and proposed contours are shown at maximum two-foot intervals
$\square$ Show as-built road and structure (storm, water, sanitary if any) elevations
$\square$ Show finish grade of adjacent structures, drive elevation, or note any construction that is underway
$\square$ Plan drawn to scale
$\square$ Title block shows applicant name, address, and phone number
$\square$ Title block shows Subdivision/Condominium name and lot/unit number
$\square$ Plan shows property lines and dimensions
$\square$ On site watermains, sanitary sewers, and storm sewers are shown (As-built location)
$\square \quad$ Plan shows building outline with general dimensions
$\square$ Driveway is shown; slope $8 \%$ maximum if possible
$\square$ Sump pump leads shown, if any
$\square$ Floor elevations are shown for the first floor, garage, and basement
$\square$ Retaining wall height and type is shown
$\square$ Sidewalk shown (if required per approved site plan or preliminary plat); $2 \%$ cross slope at drive. ADA plate at road intersection for corner lots.
$\square$ Design water level of on-site ponds, if any. Show FEMA flood elevations if applicable
$\square$ Show existing contours or spot grades on adjacent parcels
$\square$ Location, diameter, and elevations at base of significant saved trees within grading limits
Plot plan indicates positive drainage away from all sides of house and garage
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WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP<br>7525 Highland Road • White Lake, Michigan 48383-2900 • (248) 698-3300 • www.whitelaketwp.com

September 22, 2022
Todd McGeachy
539 Burgess Dr
White Lake, MI 48386

## RE: Proposed Attached Garage Addition

Based on the submitted plans, the proposed accessory structure does not satisfy the White Lake Township Clear Zoning Ordinance for R1-C zoning district.

Article 3.1.5 of the White Lake Township Clear Zoning Ordinance: Requires a minimum front yard setback of 35 ft , minimum side yard setback of 10 ft each side and total of 20 ft , minimum lot area of $16,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$, and maximum lot coverage of $20 \%$.

The existing lot and structure are legal non-conforming. The 14,237 sq ft lot contains a residential structure, covered front porch and shed that do not meet the required side yard and front yard setbacks. The proposed garage addition would add 540 sq ft , have a 9.3 ft front yard setback, 8.3 ft side yard setback on the north side with an existing 2.4 ft setback on the south side, for a total side yard setback of 10.7 ft . Furthermore, based on provided and estimated data from the submitted plans, the lot coverage of all covered structures would be approximately $24.5 \%$.

Approval of the building plans is subject to a variance to the schedule of regulations, Article 7 of the White Lake Township Clear Zoning Ordinance. To be eligible for the October $27^{\text {th }}$ Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) meeting, application must be submitted to the White Lake Township Planning Department no later than September $29^{\text {th }}$ at 4:30 PM. The Planning Department can be reached at (248)698-3300, ext. 5

The certified survey will need to be updated to include lot coverage of all covered structures, including sheds and covered porches so the ZBA can make a ruling based on accurate information.

Sincerely,


Nick Spencer, Building Official
White Lake Township


[^0]:    Nick Spencer, Building Official

