

PLANNING COMMISSION WORK SESSION MEETING

Tuesday, August 27, 2024 at 7:00 PM

AGENDA

CALL TO ORDER.

WORK SESSION ITEMS.

- <u>1</u>. SUP 2024-01: 71 S. 5th Street. The Owner/Applicant, Mr. Robert Samia, seeks a Special Use Permit for the reinstatement of a pre-existing use as a car dealership at 71 S. 5th Street (+/- 0.2869 acres). The Applicant is requesting permission for the reinstatement of the use of the parcel as an auto dealership in an existing building with no physical changes, under Article 3-4.11.1 of the Zoning Ordinance, as the non-conforming use as an auto-dealership lapsed in the last few years. The property is a zoned CBD (Central Business District) and designated Old Town Character District in Plan Warrenton 2040 (GPIN 6984-42-4640-000).
- 2. ZMA 2023-01 Warrenton United Methodist Church/Hero's Bridge The Owner, Trustees of Warrenton United Methodist Church, and the Applicants, Warrenton United Methodist Church and Hero's Bridge, seek a Zoning Map Amendment of approximately 9.8640 acres from R-10 (Residential) and RO (Residential Office) to R-PUD (Residential Planned Unit Development) located on and adjacent to the existing church located at 341 Church Street. The proposal requests approval to develop 22-two-family residential dwelling units for a total of 44 units to provide affordable senior housing to ages 65 and older. The application includes a Small Office/Community Center to be constructed in Phase 1 and a Multipurpose Recreational Center in Phase 2. The Applicant is requesting waivers and modifications. The Future Land Use Map designates the parcels as Medium Density Residential. The GPINS are 6984-16-5101 portion (approximately 5.22 acres of the approximately 6.31 acre parcel), 6984-16-7013, 6984-15-1823 and 6984-15-1930.

ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS.

- 3. Planning Commission Bylaws Update
- 4. Planning Commissioners' APA-VA Conference Briefing provided by Vice Chair Lasher and Secretary Barbour who attended the Williamsburg training.

COMMENTS FROM THE COMMISSION.

COMMENTS FROM THE STAFF.

ADJOURN.



Planning Commission Work Session SUP 24-01: 71 S. Fifth Street Car Dealership August 27, 2024

<u>Request</u>

SUP 24-01 – Work Session

- GPIN: 6984-42-4640-000
- Property Owner: Mr. Robert Samia
- Representative: Mr. Robert Samia
- Zoning: CBD
- Comprehensive Plan: Old Town Mixed Use
- Applicant is requesting permission for the reinstatement of the use of the parcel as an auto dealership in an existing building with no physical changes, under Article 3-4.11.1 of the Zoning Ordinance.



Adjacent Uses

Zoning Map





Zoning Districts



Future Land Use



Staff Review

- Plan Warrenton 2040
 - Old Town Mixed Use
 - Has been operated as a car dealership for decades.
- Zoning Ordinance
 - Parking
 - Ensuring appropriate parking spaces for employees and customers and for other vehicles; and
 - Traffic flow in and out of parcel; and
 - Loading and unloading space.

Existing Parking Spaces

Parking Spaces (Not to Scale)

Employees (4 spaces)

Customers/ Additional (2 spaces)

Other vehicles (12 spaces total)



Existing Traffic Flow/Loading & Unloading



Traffic flow

Loading &















Staff Recommendation

- Hold Work Session
- Direct Applicant/Staff Next Steps
- 100-Day: August 27, 2024 December 5, 2024



Planning Commission Work Session SUP 24-01 71 S. Fifth Street Car Dealership August 27, 2024

S.U.P. Car Dealership 71 South Fifth St

1.Parking Diagram

2. Employees parking can be any of the four spaces in front of building

3. Alternative loading and unloading. I own the lot next door and that

will handle additional loading if needed without using public road.

4.I do not intend to use any additional signage.

5. I do not intend to change the lighting

6. I have 24 hour surveillance on the entire property

7. In the last 40 years I have owned the property there has until recently Always been a dealership on this location.











5th STREET ENTERPRISES, LLC._

Robert B. Samia – Owner (Class A Contractor)

71 South Fifth Street Warrenton, Virginia 20186 Tel: 540-272-4206 rbsamia@gmail.com Item 1.

March 6, 2024

Via Hand-Delivery

Town of Warrenton Dept. of Community Development 21 Main Street Warrenton, Virginia 20186 Tel: 540-347-2405

> Re: Special Use Permit (SUP) Application Statement of Justification 71 South Fifth Street

Town of Warrenton:

I am filing this letter and attached exhibits in support my request for a special use permit (SUP) for an auto dealership on my property. I have owned and maintained the property located at 71 South Fifth Street (hereinafter "property") for forty (40) years. During most of that time, I have had at least one car dealership there, sometimes two. It is zoned for general commercial use and dealerships have been operating on the property prior to the Town requiring a special use permit.

Unfortunately, the last tenant on the property who operated a car dealership was forced to shut down due to the economic hardships caused by the global pandemic. As you know, the Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) operated by appointment only and it was difficult to obtain necessary information and paperwork. I was not made aware of the fact that the tenant's status as a car dealership had expired and, as such, the two-year limit on the dealership SUP expired as well. It is for this reason that I am requesting a renewal/reissuance of a SUP for a car dealership. This request would not require any structural or exterior changes to the current condition of my property.

During the 40 years I have owned this property, I have maintained a good working relationship with all my neighbors. I have ample parking with two municipal parking lots within 300 feet of my property. I also own the adjoining property located at 81 South Fifth Street, which provides additional parking and aids with traffic flow. Moreover, I maintain a 24-hour security system to help ensure the safety of this area to the community.

Many of my current tenants have been there for as long as I have owned the property. I helped many of them out through covid as I could and provide very affordable rents. It is a place that is supportive of entrepreneurs and small businesses which, in turn, provide a solid economic base for the town as well as services to the residents.

The south side of Fifth Street has been the auto repair section of Town as long as I have lived here. It provides convenience to residents who live within the town to be able to walk to this location. It also adjoins the municipal bike trail, that I helped support. This is a property that has long provided a location for car dealerships and I am asking the Town to once again provide a SUP, as it has always done in the past.

Very truly yours,

Robert B. Samia

| VEXYTALENCE | TOWN OF V | VARRENTON | PO BOX 341 WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20188 http://www.warrentonva.gov |
|---|---|--|---|
| WARRENTON | Department of Com | munity Development | Permittech@warrentonva.gov (540) 347-2405 |
| A come of | | | |
| | Land Develop | nent Application | |
| Type of Development [select | type(s) below] | | Permit # |
| Planning | Zoning | Spins, Section of the sec | |
| Commission Permit (§2232) | Administrative Appeal | Concept Plan Review | Record / Vacate Plat |
| Comprehensive Plan | As-Built | Easement Plat | Site Development Plan |
| Amendment | Bond Release/ Reduction | Final Plat | Variance |
| Special Use Permit | Bond Extension | Preliminary Plat | Waiver, Administrative |
| Rezoning | Boundary Adjustment | Re-approval of Plat | Waiver/Exception, Legislative |
| Amendment to Existing Ap | proved Application? If Yes, Li | st Application | |
| Project Description | | and the de- | |
| Project Name: 71 S Fifth St De | alership | | |
| Property Address (if no address | ss, give closest cross street): | 71 S Fifth St Warrenton Va | 20186 |
| Purpose of Request: To reg | | | |
| alpese el hequese. 10 leg. | ain my use as a dealersi | uts | |
| | | | |
| Zoning District: Commercial | Total Acres | : 3 Acres | for Proposed Use: .3 Dealership |
| | a second s | | |
| Dancal Idantitiantian Numbaria | 1.6004 40 4640 000 | | |
| Parcel Identification Number(s |): 6984 42 4640 000 | Charles and | |
| Parcel Identification Number(s |): 6984 42 4640 000 | | |
| | | | |
| Contact Information (Attach s | | | |
| Contact Information (Attach s All Current Owners | eparate page if necessary) | | and the second of the |
| Contact Information (Attach s All Current Owners Name & Company: Robert S | eparate page if necessary) amia | | |
| Contact Information (Attach s All Current Owners Name & Company: Robert S Address: 4206 Mosby St Th | eparate page if necessary) amia ne Plains Va 20198 | | |
| Contact Information (Attach s All Current Owners Name & Company: Robert S Address: 4206 Mosby St Th Phone:540-272-4206 | eparate page if necessary) amia ne Plains Va 20198 Email: rbsamia@g | mail.com | |
| Contact Information (Attach s All Current Owners Name & Company: Robert S Address: 4206 Mosby St Th | eparate page if necessary) amia ne Plains Va 20198 Email: rbsamia@g | mail.com | |
| Contact Information (Attach s All Current Owners Name & Company: Robert S Address: 4206 Mosby St Th Phone:540-272-4206 All Current Applicants (if diffe Name & Company: | eparate page if necessary) amia ne Plains Va 20198 Email: rbsamia@g | mail.com | |
| Contact Information (Attach s All Current Owners Name & Company: Robert S Address: 4206 Mosby St Th Phone:540-272-4206 All Current Applicants (if diffe Name &Company: Address: | eparate page if necessary) amia ne Plains Va 20198 Email: rbsamia@g rent then owner): | mail.com | |
| Contact Information (Attach s All Current Owners Name & Company: Robert S Address: 4206 Mosby St Th Phone:540-272-4206 All Current Applicants (if diffe Name &Company: Address: Phone: | eparate page if necessary) amia ne Plains Va 20198 Email: rbsamia@g rent then owner): Email: | mail.com | |
| Contact Information (Attach s All Current Owners Name & Company: Robert S Address: 4206 Mosby St Th Phone:540-272-4206 All Current Applicants (if diffe Name &Company: Address: Phone: Representative (if different th | eparate page if necessary) amia ne Plains Va 20198 Email: rbsamia@g rent then owner): Email: | mail.com | |
| Contact Information (Attach s All Current Owners Name & Company: Robert S Address: 4206 Mosby St Th Phone:540-272-4206 All Current Applicants (if diffe Name & Company: Address: Phone: Representative (if different th Name & Company: | eparate page if necessary) amia ne Plains Va 20198 Email: rbsamia@g rent then owner): Email: | mail.com | |
| Contact Information (Attach s All Current Owners Name & Company: Robert S Address: 4206 Mosby St Th Phone:540-272-4206 All Current Applicants (if diffe Name & Company: Address: Phone: Representative (if different th Name & Company: Address: | eparate page if necessary) amia ne Plains Va 20198 Email: rbsamia@g rent then owner): Email: nen owner/applicant): | mail.com | |
| Contact Information (Attach s All Current Owners Name & Company: Robert S Address: 4206 Mosby St Th Phone:540-272-4206 All Current Applicants (if diffe Name & Company: Address: Phone: Representative (if different th Name & Company: | eparate page if necessary) amia ne Plains Va 20198 Email: rbsamia@g rent then owner): Email: | mail.com | |
| Contact Information (Attach s All Current Owners Name & Company: Robert S Address: 4206 Mosby St Th Phone:540-272-4206 All Current Applicants (if diffe Name & Company: Address: Phone: Representative (if different th Name & Company: Address: Phone: OWNER(S) AFFIDAVIT (Origina I have read this application, understand it | eparate page if necessary) amia ne Plains Va 20198 Email: rbsamia@g rent then owner): Email: tem owner/applicant): Email: f Signatures Required) s intent and freely consent to its filling. | Furthermore, I have the power to au | ithorize and hereby grant permission for Tow s application. |
| Contact Information (Attach s All Current Owners Name & Company: Robert S Address: 4206 Mosby St Th Phone:540-272-4206 All Current Applicants (if diffe Name & Company: Address: Phone: Representative (if different th Name & Company: Address: Phone: OWNER(S) AFFIDAVIT (Origina I have read this application, understand it Warrenton officials and other authorized APPLICANT(S) AFFIDAVIT (Origina The information provided is accurate to t | eparate page if necessary) amia ne Plains Va 20198 Email: rbsamia@g rent then owner): Email: Email: Signatures Required) s Intent and freely consent to its filling. I government agents on official business final Signatures Required) he best of my knowledge. I acknowledge d other requirements of review/approva | Furthermore, I have the power to au to enter the property to process thi e that all tests, studies, and other re | athorize and hereby grant permission for Tow s application. |
| Contact Information (Attach s All Current Owners Name & Company: Robert S Address: 4206 Mosby St Th Phone:540-272-4206 All Current Applicants (if diffe Name & Company: Address: Phone: Representative (if different th Name & Company: Address: Phone: OWNER(S) AFFIDAVIT (Origina I have read this application, understand it Warrenton officials and other authorized APPLICANT(S) AFFIDAVIT (Orig The Information provided Is accurate to t Ordinance and Subdivision Ordinance and | eparate page if necessary) amia ne Plains Va 20198 Email: rbsamia@g rent then owner): Email: Email: Email: Signatures Required) s intent and freely consent to its filling. I government agents on official business final Signatures Required) the best of my knowledge. I acknowledge of other requirements of review/approva which I am applying. | Furthermore, I have the power to au to enter the property to process thi e that all tests, studies, and other re | s application. quirements of the Town of Warrenton Zonin |

Issues for consideration SUP for Dealership

- 1. The proposed car dealership will stay within the properties current look.
- 2. I plan to abide by all fire codes and measures
- 3. The noise level will stay quiet as not to interfere with the neighbors
- 4. Not planning on adding any exterior lighting
- 5. Planning on a small sign placed in an existing frame on the wall in front of the building
- 6. There was a car dealership 3 years prior and had no conflict
- 7. No changes are planned to exterior of existing buildings or lot
- 8. There is no additional landscaping being considered
- 9. Hours of operation would be 9:00 am to 7:00 pm
- 10. No changes to exterior proposed
- 11. To provide a reasonable priced vehicle to the local public
- 12. The area in question has a minimal amount of daily traffic
- 13. The owner owns lot next store and if needed can use for loading or unloading vehicles
- 14. No structures needed to be converted
- 15. The utilities are sufficient and do not need to be modified
- 16. The entire lot is asphalted with no vegetation
- 17. The dealership will bring tax revenue
- 18. The dealership is not a shelter
- 19. No out door storage is needed
- 20. Out door use is for vehicles for sale and costumers
- 21. Well above flood plain
- 22. There are no non-conforming structures
- 23. Storage of any combustible fuel will abide by fire codes
- 24. No anticipated accessories
- 25. Parking on the existing lot
- 26. Proposed operation time 9:00 am- 7:00 pm
- 27. Any loading or unloading will be conducted within property
- 28. We plan on adding security cameras on premises
- 29. Should not require more than 2 employees
- 30. There are no proposed off-site infrastructures
- 31. No anticipated odors
- 32. I have a dumpster on the adjoining lot if needed

Evaluation Criteria; Issues for Consideration (§11-3.10.3)

In considering a Special Use Permit application, the following factors should be considered. The applicant also shall address these factors in its **statement of justification**:

- 1. Whether the proposed Special Use Permit is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.
- 2. Whether the proposed Special Use Permit will adequately provide for safety from fire hazards and have effective measures of fire control.
- 3. The level and impact of any noise emanating from the site, including that generated by the proposed use, in relation to the uses in the immediate area.
- 4. The glare or light that may be generated by the proposed use in relation to uses in the immediate area.
- 5. The proposed location, lighting and type of signs in relation to the proposed use, uses in the area, and the sign requirements of this Ordinance.
- 6. The compatibility of the proposed use with other existing or proposed uses in the neighborhood, and adjacent parcels.
- The location and area footprint with dimensions (all drawn to scale), nature and height of existing or proposed buildings, structures, walls, and fences on the site and in the neighborhood.
- 8. The nature and extent of existing or proposed landscaping, screening and buffering on the site and in the neighborhood.
- 9. The timing and phasing of the proposed development and the duration of the proposed use.
- 10. Whether the proposed Special Use Permit will result in the preservation or destruction, loss or damage of any significant topographic or physical, natural, scenic, archaeological or historic feature.
- 11. Whether the proposed Special Use Permit at the specified location will contribute to or promote the welfare or convenience of the public.
- 12. The traffic expected to be generated by the proposed use, the adequacy of access roads and the vehicular and pedestrian circulation elements (on and off-site) of the proposed use, all in relation to the public's interest in pedestrian and vehicular safety, efficient traffic movement and access in case of fire or catastrophe.
- 13. Whether the proposed use will facilitate orderly and safe road development and transportation.
- 14. Whether, in the case of existing structures proposed to be converted to uses requiring a Special Use Permit, the structures meet all code requirements of the Town of Warrenton.
- 15. Whether the proposed Special Use Permit will be served adequately by essential public facilities, services and utilities.
- 16. The effect of the proposed Special Use Permit on environmentally sensitive land or natural features, wildlife habitat and vegetation, water quality and air quality.

- 17. Whether the proposed Special Use Permit use will provide desirable employment and enlarge the tax base by encouraging economic development activities consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.
- 18. The effect of the proposed Special Use Permit use in enhancing affordable shelter opportunities for residents of the Town, if applicable.
- 19. The location, character, and size of any outdoor storage.
- 20. The proposed use of open space.
- 21. The location of any major floodplain and steep slopes.
- 22. The location and use of any existing non-conforming uses and structures.
- 23. The location and type of any fuel and fuel storage.
- 24. The location and use of any anticipated accessory uses and structures.
- 25. The area of each proposed use.
- 26. The proposed days/hours of operation.
- 27. The location and screening of parking and loading spaces and/or areas.
- 28. The location and nature of any proposed security features and provisions.
- 29. The number of employees.
- 30. The location of any existing and/or proposed adequate on and off-site infrastructure.
- 31. Any anticipated odors which may be generated by the uses on site.
- 32. Refuse and service areas.



PO BOX 341 TOWN OF WARRENTON WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20188

http://www.warrentonva.gov Department of Community Development LandDevelopment@warrentonva.gov (540) 347-2405

STAFF COMMENTS

TO: Casey Squyres, Historic Preservation Planner

FROM: Amber Heflin, CZA, Zoning Official

DATE: July 17, 2024

SUBJECT: Automotive Dealership, 71 S Fifth Street (6984-42-4640-000) SUP 2024-01 Submission 06/14/2024; 2nd Review

The previous zoning comments provided from the first submission will remain as advisory until after the Town Council public hearing and will need to be addressed at the time of Site Development Plan.



STAFF COMMENTS

| TO: | Amber Heflin, Zoning Official |
|----------|---|
| | Heather Jenkins, Zoning Administrator |
| THROUGH: | John Ward, Director of Public Works (Initial Indicating acceptance/review - JW) |
| | Steven Friend, Director of Public Utilities (Initial Indicating acceptance/review - |
| SF) | |
| FROM: | Paul Bernard, PE, Town Engineer / Kerry Wharton, Stormwater Administrator |
| DATE: | July 15, 2024 |
| SUBJECT: | Special Use Permit 24-1 for 71 S. Fifth Street. |
| | |

Public Works and Utilities Review- Paul Bernard, Town Engineer

SF - No Comments

Public Works- Paul Bernard, Town Engineer

No additional comments.

Stormwater and Erosion and Sediment Control

No E&S or Site improvements planned for this project. No comments.



TOWN OF WARRENTON

Department of Community Development

PO BOX 341 WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20188 http://www.warrentonva.gov TELEPHONE (540) 347-1101 FAX (540) 349-2414

August 8, 2024

Mr. Robert Samia 4206 Mosby Street The Plains, VA 20198

RE: Special Use Permit Application (SUP 24-1) 71 S. Fifth Street Car Dealership – Second Round Agency Comments

Dear Mr. Samia:

The attached comments are for the second round of agency comments for the above referced application.

| REFERRAL AGENCY | COMMENT | SUMMARY | |
|------------------------|----------|---|----------|
| Referral Agency | Date | Outstanding Issues | Attached |
| Planning | 06/21/24 | Consistency with Comprehensive Plan, Parking, Transportation | Х |
| Zoning | 07/17/24 | Multiple SUP Plan and Zoning requirements, primarily Parking | Х |
| PW/PU | 07/17/24 | None -No physical changes to the lot proposed | Х |
| Emergency Service | | None | |
| Town Attorney | | Review with Second Submission | |

General overall comment is the application will need to adhere to Zoning Ordinance requirements in regard to parking for employees, parking for client/customer vehicles, parking for loading and unloading, and parking for any vehicles that are for sale, and how many will be parked on the lot at any given time. This will also include travel-ways in and out of the lot. Although the Applicant stated no new lighting is proposed, Zoning will also need a Site Layout Plan that shows the locations of any and all proposed security lighting within the parking lot or on the building itself.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at (540) 347-1101 if you have any questions or if you would like additional information. Staff is available to meet to review the agency comments if desired.

Sincerely,

quyres

Casey Squyres Community Development Department Historic Preservation Planner

CC: File



PO BOX 341 WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20188 http://www.warrentonva.gov TELEPHONE (540) 347-1101 FAX (540) 349-2414

PLANNING STAFF COMMENTS

| DATE: | June 21, 2024 |
|----------|---|
| SUBJECT: | Special Use Permit Application (SUP 24-1) 71 Fifth Street car dealership - 2 nd Submission |

The Applicant is requesting a Special Use Permit for a car dealership at an existing property located on South Fifth Street. The property is zoned Commercial (C) and is approximately 0.2869 acres. The Future Land Use Map designates the property in the Old Town Character District. Article 3-4.10.3 of the Town of Warrenton's Zoning Ordinance (ZO) allows for automotive sales with the approval of a Special Use Permit by Town Council.

General – Comment Remains

The submitted Zoning Ordinance Criteria for Evaluation and Special Use Permit Plan are lacking in specificity to enable staff to provide useful comments on a number of items. Please revise by fully addressing the requirements of Article 11 for Special Use Permit applications.

Plan Warrenton 2040 - Comment Remains

Plan Warrenton 2040 designates 71 Fifth Street in the Old Town Character District as Old own Mixed Use. The summary of this district states "Warrenton will continue to promote Old Town as the signature cultural, social, and historic hub. The Town will encourage infill housing and other adaptive reuse of structures to bring more foot traffic to Old Town, but maintain the historic character and scale."

After extensive public input and two citizen steering committees, Plan Warrenton 2040 provides specific goals for the Old Town Character District that include:

L-2: Old Town Character District – The Old Town Character District will include a mix of infill and new mixed-use development that is designed to maintain Old Town's historic character.

L-2.1: Maintain Old Town Historic Character through preservation and protection of contributing historic resources.

L-2.2: Create cultural anchors at both ends of Main Street.

L-2.3: Evaluate the feasibility of financing and constructing a structured parking garage that is designed to be compatible to the character of the Historic District and is located behind street facing buildings.

L-2.4: Evaluate the location, design and feasibility of a Pedestrian Mall.

L-2.5: Evaluate the design and feasibility of a traffic circle at the intersection of Culpeper and Shirley streets.

L-2.6: Develop revitalization strategies for Lee and Horner Streets

L-2.7: Promote the possibility of a Bed and Breakfast concept in appropriate locations.

L-2.8: Promote new development typologies and a mix of uses in Old town that includes mixeduse development, adaptive re-use of existing buildings, live-work lofts, restaurants, new infill development on vacant lots and higher density residential development that comport to and maintain the historic character of Old Town.

L-2.9: Locate an event space in the Old Town UDA that can be used to accommodate public and private events, festivals of all types appropriate and other opportunities.

L-2.10: Develop a marketing and branding strategy for Old Town that promotes business and events.

L-2.11: Develop a parking policy that maintains adequate parking supply for businesses, residents, workers, tourists and shoppers.

L-2.12: Develop a marketing and branding strategy for Old Town that promotes business and events. Develop a parking policy that maintains adequate parking supply for businesses, residents, workers, tourists and Create a streetscape plan for the Old Town Character District that promotes a consistent walking experience and maintains the historic character of Old Town. The streetscape plan should define specifications for sidewalk, crosswalks, street trees, seating, lighting, bicycle parking and locations for a pocket park, where appropriate.

L-2.13: Evaluate traffic calming measures at locations where public safety issues are evident.

L-2.14: Develop Traditional Neighborhood Design Guidelines (TND) specific for Old Town, for the design for new buildings, infill development and the adaptive reuse of existing buildings.

L-2.15: Develop a Façade Improvement Program that promotes and incentivizes the improvements of facades as well as the potential of a Business Improvement District (BID) that would finance such a program.

The Zoning Ordinance Article 11-3.10.3 states the applicant should address whether the Special Use Permit is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The current submittal of the application does not address how the proposal meets the policies and goals of Plan Warrenton 2040.

Transportation – Comment Remains

The application does not address the specific number of vehicle trips per day, anticipated deliveries, size of vehicles that will need to access the site, or how vehicles are loaded/unloaded. Staff is unable to assess the transportation impacts of the proposal without more information.

Surrounding Land Uses - Comment Remains

The subject parcel is adjacent to the Central Business District, Commercial, and Residential zoned parcels. The application states there shall be no additional exterior light but does not specify the current lighting. The application also states no additional landscaping is being considered. The application does not include an existing conditions plan that would enable staff to assess if the proposed use's impact on adjacent uses is mitigated.



PO BOX 341 TOWN OF WARRENTON WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20188

http://www.warrentonva.gov Department of Community Development LandDevelopment@warrentonva.gov (540) 347-2405

STAFF COMMENTS

TO: Casey Squyres, Historic Preservation Planner

FROM: Amber Heflin, CZA, Zoning Official

DATE: April 12, 2024

SUBJECT: Automotive Dealership, 71 S Fifth Street (6984-42-4640-000) SUP 2024-01 Submission 03/11/2024; 1st Review

I. Applicant Request

The Applicant is proposing a Special Use Permit (SUP) for a car dealership at an existing property located on South Fifth Street. The square footage of the building proposed for the use was not provided. The property was previously utilized as a legal non-conforming car dealership, but the use lapsed for two years or more per article 11-4.2.3 of the Zoning Ordinance which allows non-conforming uses in existence prior to the adoption of the Ordinance to continue provided they do not cease operation for a period exceeding two years. In order to have a new dealership on the site, the applicant was required to submit a special use permit.

II. Zoning Ordinance Review

The following analysis is based on the relevant Articles of the Zoning Ordinance.

General

| Staff comment: | No site layout plan was provided to allow Zoning staff to perform a complete review of the request for special use permit. This can be provided in the form of a google maps screenshot showing the area with proposed improvements/ proposed layout drawn on, a GIS aerial image, plat, etc. |
|----------------|--|
| | Staff will need to see a layout plan showing how many vehicles will be on the lot for sale at any given time, where customer parking will be designated, where vehicles for sale will be loaded/unloaded, where vehicles for sale will be parked, where employees will be parked, travel ways to navigate the site, etc. |
| Staff comment: | An access easement may be required if the adjacent lot's dumpster will be utilized instead of providing the use's own dumpster for it's trash. |
| | |

Article 6 – Signs

| Staff comment: | A building sign is mentioned in the statement of justification, but no details were provided on the location of the sign, the façade width to determine allowable sign size, or materials proposed. All signage will be required to meet the requirements under article 6 of the Zoning Ordinance and will require separate building and sign permits. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| <u> Article 7 – Parking</u> | |
| Staff comment: | Staff is unable to determine that the site can provide enough parking on the parcel itself to contain the sales vehicles to meet the Zoning Ordinance minimum requirement for auto sales: |
| | "Vehicular sales and/or rental: one (1) space per five hundred (500) square feet enclosed sales area. Plus two and one-half (2.5) spaces per service bay, plus one (1) space per employee, plus one (1) space per three thousand (3,000) square feet of open sales area." |
| | "Zoning Ordinance article 7-2.2- When parking and loading requirements are based on gross leasable area, such area shall include the total area for which a tenant owns or pays rents, and which is designed for the tenant's occupancy and exclusive use. Common hallways, stairwells, elevator shafts, mechanical equipment rooms, and similar non-leasable floor area shall be excluded from parking or loading tabulations." |
| Staff comment: | Loading space location was not identified, as a layout plan for the site was not provided. At least one loading space must be identified and cannot block access to a public street or pedestrian walkway. |
| | If the property owner will be utilizing the adjacent lot for loading/unloading of vehicles, a cooperative parking agreement will be required. |
| Staff comment: | It appears that the statement of justification and the separate evaluation provided are contradicting when involving the loading spaces. It is unclear to staff if the loading and unloading of vehicles will be within the site or utilizing an adjacent site. |
| | Providing a plan for the site showing the proposed location and schedule of loading/unloading of vehicles will be helpful to staff in determining if loading/unloading requirements have been met. |
| Staff comment: | Employee parking was not delineated on a plan. Address where the employees for this business will park. |
| Article 9-8 – Lighting | |
| Staff comment: | Complete lighting plan was not provided with this submittal, and the applicant notes that no new lighting is proposed. Staff will need to see any proposed additional security lighting within parking lots or on the building to support the use. |

Article 9-13 – Outdoor Display

Staff comment: The applicant states that no outdoor storage is requested, but there will be outdoor display of vehicles for sale, as indicated by the statement of justification. Staff was not provided a site plan/google maps imagery showing the areas proposed for the outdoor sale of vehicles. Staff is unable to determine that the requirements of Article 9-13 relating to the storage of merchandise for sale have been met.

Article 11-3.10.3 – Evaluation Criteria; Issues for Consideration

The criteria listed under Article 11-3.10.3 are those items that the Planning Commission and Town Council should consider when reviewing a SUP application. Zoning staff defers to Planning staff on evaluation of these items.



TOWN OF WARRENTON 71 South Fifth Street Adjacent Parcels







TOWN OF WARRENTON 71 South Fifth Street Adjacent Parcels 200 FT





| Date Stamp | TOWN OF WARRENTON P.O. Drawer 341 Warrenton, VA 20188 (540) 347-1101 x106 Permittech@warrentonva.gov |
|--|---|
| | LAND USE APPLICATION: AFFIDAVIT NO. |
| | |
| pplication, has been granted aut e filled out completely by the p | party listed, who is listed as the Applicant's Representative on a land us orization to make an application and act on behalf of a property owner. It mus roperty owner if another party is submitting an application(s) on the owner' arized and must be submitted prior to issuance. Copies of affidavits ar |
| I, Robert Samia | am the owner of the property listed below and I certify that |
| have granted, Robert Samia | as my duly authorized agent and give permission |
| or the land use application of | Auto Dealership |
| | Affin Date: 2/22/24 |
| Signature of Property Owner: | |
| Signature of Property Owner: | City/Town/County of <u>Warrenton</u> resaid hereby certify that the following person: |
| Signature of Property Owner: (FOR NOTARY USE ONLY) State/District of <u>Vi(GNiC</u> a Notary Public in and for the aff <u>Robert</u> Samia | City/Town/County of <u>Warrenton</u> resaid hereby certify that the following person: |

WARRENTON UNITED METHODIST CHURCH / HERO'S BRIDGE ZONING MAP AMENDMENT

STATEMENT OF JUSTIFICATION

June 12, 2024

The Trustees of Warrenton United Methodist Church seek a zoning map amendment from R-10, Residential, and RO, Residential Office, to R-PUD, Residential Planned United Development, on PINs 6984-16-5101 (portion),6984-16-7013, 6984-15-1823 and 6984-15-1930. The area of the zoning map amendment is approximately 9.8640 acres (hereinafter, the "Property").

This Property is currently used for the Warrenton United Methodist Church. Hero's Bridge, in partnership with the Church, proposes the construction of a 44-unit affordable, cottage-like, duplex units of approximately 1,150 square feet each to provide affordable housing to senior veterans 65 and older. Although the Church is the formal applicant, it and Hero's Bridge are referred to herein as the Applicants where appropriate.

REASONS FOR THE PROPOSED ZONING MAP AMENDMENT

Hero's Bridge is a Fauquier-based nonprofit that aids principally those who served in Vietnam, Korea and World War II. Since its inception in 2016, it has assisted nearly 500 seniors in the five county Rappahannock Rapidan Health District. Hero's Bridge uses younger workers with the older clients ("Battle Buddies") using the rapidly growing Community Health Worker philosophy to address social determinants of health in these lower income, and to reach socially isolated senior veterans.¹ This work is important to the overall health and well-being of our local senior population. A recent market study indicated that 24% of our seniors are veterans, and nearly 13% of these live below the poverty line. Addressing isolation, nutrition, transportation, and housing needs in this vulnerable population helps relieve the burden and stress on local emergency services, publicly provided social services, and health systems. All residents are screened as other rental communities screen tenants, and Hero's Bridge does not rent to anyone convicted of a "barrier" crime, or who is listed on the sex offender registry. None of the residents can be active users of illicit drugs.

Since its inception one of the most common reasons the community refers these older veterans to Hero's Bridge is the need to assist those living in substandard or unaffordable housing. For six years, Hero's Bridge has attempted to address individual situations through its HomeFront program, which involves helping clients remain in individual houses that may be in poor condition, and where maintenance is costly. Unfortunately, some of these situations cannot be remediated and there is simply insufficient housing stock available for independent seniors. The market study

¹ Social determinants of health are generally the conditions in the environments where people are born, live, learn, work, play, worship, and age, that affect a wide range of health, functioning, and quality-of-life outcomes and risks.

indicated that "[a]ll market area tax credit communities reported full occupancy with wait lists ranging from three months to over two years." It also says that "[t]he results of the Net Demand analysis indicate demand for 398 additional senior rental units over the next three years, with no identified senior rental projects in the pipeline." (The emphasis is ours).² All of the Hero's Bridge homes will be rental.

It is thus critical to increase the housing stock for seniors in this community. If this is accomplished it will also provide a relief valve on other areas of the housing market by freeing up additional homes for the workforce population.

Hero's Bridge has evaluated numerous locations for these homes. Because the County requires new development to occur within "service districts" and at Vint Hill, there were no properties available, principally because of the lack of water and sewer infrastructure. At Vint Hill, where there is such infrastructure, Hero's Bridge proposal was rejected by the Commonwealth wished to preserve available land for its own purposes. There was also concern about the ready availability of doctors, groceries, and a pharmacy. After many months of searching, the only parcel that is feasible is that which has been made available through the grace of the Warrenton United Methodist Church.

Actual housing is only one part of the problem, however. Many have consequential health needs, and Hero's Bridge provides permanent supportive services through its Community Health Worker model. Necessary care is accomplished through visitations by a variety of health professionals, including daily visits from social workers, assistance with veterans benefits, and a 12 seat van for transport to other care givers and for shopping. These seniors are already living in this broader community and to the extent they require services from EMS companies, this program can relieve some of that burden and it places the residence in close proximity to EMS services when essential, and close to groceries, pharmacies, and the hospital.

CONSISTENCY OF THIS APPLICATION WITH THE TOWN'S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The Property is planned Medium Density Residential on the Town's Future Land Use Plan Map. With an acreage of 9.8640 and 44 homes this equates to a density of 4.5 homes per net acre.³ It is also the case that the R-PUD is intended to be developed at a 0.40 FAR, and this proposal is at a density of 0.14 FAR. This unique proposal is consistent with numerous goals and objectives of the Town's Plan Warrenton 2040. In particular, Housing Recommendation H-1 is to ensure equitable, attainable housing opportunities across residents of all ages, incomes, and abilities by catering to the needs of a diverse community, including young families, professionals early in their careers, essential workforce, and those entering retirement. Recommendation H-4 is to create

² The assisted living centers and the Puller Veterans Care Center at Vint Hill cost between \$3,000 and \$8,000 per month, but the homes at the proposed site will be significantly more affordable.
regional partnerships to address and enhance attainable housing supply.⁴ This proposal not only provides attainable, affordable housing for senior veterans, but essential services, as well.

THE APPROXIMATE TIME SCHEDULE FOR THE COMPLETION OF THE DEVELOPMENT

The 44-unit affordable duplex homes will be constructed in Phase I. The Small Office/Community Center will also be constructed with Phase I. They are both shown on General Development Plan (GDP). The Multipurpose Recreational Center (Phase II) is anticipated to be constructed after Phase I, and timing is not presently known.

IMPACT MITIGATION AND CONSISTENCY WITH R-PUD PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

1. The principal benefit to be derived from the approval of this application, of course, is the ability to fulfill a need for senior veterans in the community, in a safe environment and within the supporting arms of the Church itself.

2. With respect to the signal issues of transportation and parking, few of Hero's residents will have cars, which will result in a low traffic volume. The only other vehicles will be for deliveries, occasional visits (many, if not most, of the residents do not have family to support them), and Hero's Bridge will provide a van service for residents to transport them to shopping and services that cannot be obtained from visiting providers. For Phase I the estimated daily trip generation from that Phase is approximately 303 vehicles. A small office will generate 86 vehicles per day for a total of 389 vehicles per day.

Once Phase II, the Recreation Center, is completed, that will add only 53 more vehicle trips per day and including two small offices, total trip generation at full buildout several years hence will be 1,259 vehicles per day.⁵

The proposal only requires 1 parking space per home, even with the occasional outside vehicle. Because of the low traffic volume, there will be little impact on the existing roads surrounding the site. Principal access will be by Church Street and Moser Road, but Church is not a signalized intersection at Broadview and so it can be assumed that vehicles may also use Sullivan Street to access Waterloo. The total number of parking spaces provided in Phase I is 219, and in Phase II, 243.

3. The buildings in both Phases will be within the height permitted in the R-PUD. The only exception is the existing Church.

⁴ The Plan also references the achievement of "attainable housing for all ages, incomes, and needs." Plan, Executive Summary, p. 5.

⁵ Both total vehicles per day, and parking spaces, include the Church.

4. The engineering of the site will, by law, be required to provide stormwater management according to regulations far stricter today than were in place when any of the existing homes in the neighborhoods surrounding, or the Church, were built. This should improve existing conditions in the area.

5. The Applicant is proposing landscaping as depicted on the GDP. The Property is otherwise currently home to the Church, and the remainder of the Property is largely cleared, graded, and maintained as a lawn. The Plans meet the 25% open space requirement.

6. There will little in the way of additional burden on community facilities or public services, because Hero's Bridge will effectively become an adjunct of those facilities by providing the services that are its core mission.

7. The development would be served by public water and sewer and understand that both are available.

COMMUNITY OUTREACH

Even before submitting an application for this Rezoning, the Church and Hero's Bridge actively engaged with adjacent property owners and the neighborhood for about a year. The goal was to understand anticipated impacts and to address them at the outset of the application. To that end, the Hero's Bridge website reflects the substance of those discussions and has both updates and a FAQ page that can be readily accessed by interested persons. This Application seeks to accommodate the major issues that the community has brought to the Applicants' attention.

THE CHARACTER OF THE SURROUNDING AREA

The surrounding area is zoned residential and is so developed. The Property is near the Broadview Avenue Corridor, which is commercial. Below is a zoning map that shows the surrounding residential and nearby commercial. The proposal corresponds with the Town's Future Land Use Plan Map.

Zoning Map:



MODIFICATIONS TO THE R-PUD REQUIRED

The Applicants have evaluated the Town's different zoning classifications in detail to determine which might be suitable for this proposal. None of the existing districts are a perfect match for this use, even though it would advance a Comprehensive Plan goal and would offer a needed service to a worthy community. No residential district permits development as proposed. The C (Commercial) District has a Mixed-Use Option by Special Use Permit, but there is no secondary use proposed that would satisfy the requirements of that Option, and the Applicants are concerned that it would propose a commercially zoned property in the midst of these otherwise residential neighborhoods, and could require consideration of a Comprehensive Plan amendment. The use that is offered here is residential and not commercial.

Ultimately, and after discussions with Staff, the Applicants determined that they should apply for a proffered R-PUD. At present, however, the Zoning Ordinance requires a minimum lot of 25 acres for such a rezoning. This site is just over 9 acres in size. Staff has suggested that there are two options to pursue, either a zoning text amendment to alter the minimum lot size generally or tailored to specific conditions, or employment of the Council's power to use proffers to amend the Zoning Ordinance in a given case, as the Supreme Court has decided is lawful and proper with

Page 5 | 6

Council concurrence in <u>Rowland v. Town Council of Warrenton</u>, 298 Va. 703 (2020). The staff has not expressed to the Applicants a preference either way, believing that to be a question for the Council.

The Applicants suggest that <u>Rowland</u> means what it says, that the conditional zoning system is intended to address each property according to its needs and that, as the Court wrote, "we conclude that the General Assembly intended for these statutes to grant localities the authority to permit deviations from the requirements of a zoning ordinance by accepting voluntary proffers as part of a rezoning application. . . [W]e are of opinion . . . the zoning applicant may make, or the locality may suggest, <u>any</u> proffer which can be viewed as beneficial to the community, even if that proffer creates a condition 'not generally applicable to land similarly zoned.'" <u>Id</u>. at 717-18, and the emphasis is in the original.

Therefore, the Applicants propose that the Council consider, and if it is disposed to grant the rezoning applied for, approve a proffer statement that alters the minimum required acreage for an R-PUD and those requirements that would otherwise preclude this proposal, leaving it subject to all other applicable provisions of the Town's Ordinances.

NOTES:

- THE PARCEL IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS FOR THE PROPERTY SHOWN HEREON ARE 6984-16-5101 AND 6984–16–7013. THE PROPERTIES SHOWN HEREON ARE CURRENTLY ZONED R-10 AND ARE RECORDED IN IN THE FAUQUIER COUNTY DEED BOOK 189 PG 311, AND BOOK 716 PG 1919, RESPECTIVELY. THE PROPERTIES SHOWN HEREON ARE NOW IN THE NAME OF WARRENTON METHODIST CHURCH TEES AND WARRENTON UNITED METHODIST CHURCH, RESPECTIVELY, AS RECORDED AMONG THE LAND RECORDS OF FAUQUIER COUNTY, VIRGINIA.
- BOUNDARY BASED ON A FIELD RUN SURVEY BY RDA, DATED JUNE 8, 2023.
- THIS PLAN IS SUBJECT TO ANY DEEDS AND RESTRICTIONS OF RECORD.
- CONTOUR INTERVAL 2 FEET, TAKEN FROM SURVEY BY RDA, DATED JUNE 8, 2023 AND IS BASED ON NAVD ZONING 1988 DATUM (NAVD88 1988 DATUM.)
- ACCORDING TO THE DEEDS OF RECORD FOR THIS PROPERTY. THE TOWN'S PUBLISHED ZONING AND TOPOGRAPHY MAPS, AND FROM AN ON-SITE VISIT, NO DELINEATION OF ANY GRAVE, OBJECT, OR STRUCTURE MARKING A PLACE OF BURIAL WAS INDICATED ON-SITE.
- NO WETLANDS, RPA, OR 100 YEAR FLOODPLAINS EXIST ON SITE.

OWNER D.B./PG. AREA (AC.) SYMBOL P.I.D. WARRENTON UNITED $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 6984–15–1823 732/1688 0.1855 METHODIST CHUCH WARRENTON UNITED (2) 6984–15–1930 732/1688 0.2623 METHODIST CHUCH WARRENTON UNITED 6984–15–1967 732/1688 0.2623 METHODIST CHUCH HELEN J. GRIMSLEY, TRUSTEE & (4)6984–16–1084 1435/1454 0.2623 JOESEPH O. GRIMSLEY, TRUSTEE (5)HELEN J. GRIMSLEY, TRUSTEE & 6984–16–2102 1435/1454 0.2623 JOESEPH O. GRIMSLEY, TRUSTEE 6 953/1189 0.2627 6984–16–2149 KENNETH W. DOWSON 953/1189 0.2627 6984–16–2267 KENNETH W. DOWSON 8 6984–16–3313 RELYANCE PROPERTIES, LLC. 1601/1481 0.2627 9 6984–16–2482 REYLANCE PROPERTIES, LLC. 1601/1481 0.2928 10 6984–16–3427 0.0052 COLUMBIA GAS OF VIRGINIA, INC. 204/526 (11)105/333 0.2660 6984–16–3465 TOWN OF WARRENTON 12 MALCOLM W. ALLS & 6984–16–3113 1301/1492 0.4113 PRISCILLA G. HOTTLE 13 1*332/2*407 6984–16–3568 WOODWARD ESTATE, LLC. 0.4588 (14)6984–16–4564 755/982 0.4542 MELANIE J. REIL (15) 1718/1717 6984–16–5551 JOLIE J. SMITH 0.4542 16 1194/1626 0.4542 6984–16–6468 STUYVESANT LLC. (17)6984–16–7459 MELISSA A. HUNT 1660/1651 0.4542 18 241/199 & 6984–16–8433 GEORGE W. SOUTHARD 0.4500 1691/1894 (19)PATRICIA S. FRANSELLA & 1459/193 6984–16–9430 0.4521 PAUL FRANSELLA SR. \bigcirc 20 6984–26–0337 1440/248 0.4600 MICHAEL & TERRI CHURCH 21 656/1505 0.7078 6984–26–1376 WILLIAM W. SANDERS, III 22 6984–16–8079 1429/1757 JANET L. THOMPSON 0.2295 23 6984–16–8042 JAMES & KATHLEEN CALDWELL 1569/511 0.2295 24 6984–26–1109 MARGARET A. HODGE 1467/2163 0.2420 25) GREGORY ALAN GEORGE 1488/550 0.2515 6984–26–0174 26) 6984–26–0141 GREGORY ALAN GEORGE 1488/550 0.1255 27) OWEN V. CUMMINGS & 6984–26–0047 1568/821 0.1300 MARY M. NEWMAN 28) OWEN V. CUMMINGS & 6984-26-0032 1568/821 0.2460 MARY M. NEWMAN 29 AMANDA BERNADETTE HAMMACK TRUSTED 6984–25–0916 1742/667 0.2352 & STEPHEN LEE HAMMACK TRUSTEE 30 CARRIE J. BANKS & 1423/1479 0.2126 6984–25–0900 PHILLIP BANKS 31) MATTHEW D. BIERLEIN 1694/1102 0.2150 6984–15–9864 32 MARCIA P. SOUTHERN & 6984–15–9769 1683/2354 0.1941 MICHAEL L. SOUTHERN 33 MARCIA P. SOUTHERN & 1683/2354 6984–15–9734 0.0980 MICHAEL L. SOUTHERN STEPHEN T. SHIPE & 34 6984–15–9712 282/2220 0.1002 THOMAS M. SHIPE

STEPHEN T. SHIPE &

THOMAS M. SHIPE

RIGOBERTO CASTANEDA &

MARIA MEZA SANTOS

DARYLE W. HAWKINS &

PEGGY SUE HAWKINS

WILLIAM EDLOE SUDDUTH JR.

REVOC. LVG. TRUST

WILLIAM EDLOE SUDDUTH JR.

CHRISTOPHER KENNETH GATTI &

NANCY HAYNES GATTI

DONALD BROMLEY &

360 CHURCH STREET LLC.

MIYUKI SUMI MALONEY

REVOC. LVG. TRUST

282/2220

1657/1669

1347/60

253/1250

253/1250

811/42

1735/1555

1301/2414

35

36)

37

38)

39

(40)

(41)

(42)

6984–15–9616

6984–15–8670

6984–15–8552

6984–15–6256

6984–15–6437

6984–15–5531

6984–15–3575

6984–15–0772

ADJACENT OWNER INFORMATION

| IATION | | | |
|------------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| AREA (AC.) | EX. ZONE | EX. CLASS | HISTORIC BUILDING OR STRUCTURE |
| 0.1855 | RO | RELIGIOUS | NO |
| 0.2623 | RO | RELIGIOUS | NO |
| 0.2623 | RO | RELIGIOUS | NO |
| 0.2623 | RO | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.2623 | RO | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.2627 | С | COMMERCIAL & INDUSTRIAL | NO |
| 0.2627 | С | COMMERCIAL & INDUSTRIAL | NO |
| 0.2627 | RO | COMMERCIAL & INDUSTRIAL | NO |
| 0.2928 | RO | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.0052 | RO | INTERSTATE PIPELINE TRANS. CO. | NO |
| 0.2660 | RO | TOWN OF WARRENTON | NO |
| 0.4113 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.4588 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.4542 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.4542 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.4542 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.4542 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.4500 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.4521 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.4600 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.7078 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.2295 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.2295 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.2420 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.2515 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.1255 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.1300 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.2460 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.2352 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.2126 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.2150 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.1941 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.0980 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.1002 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.2549 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.1876 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.2539 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.7400 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.7800 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.8081 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 1.0000 | R-10 | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |
| 0.3900 | RO | SINGLE FAMILY-URBAN | NO |





| STREET ADDRESS Art (Descript Stress) Add Adde (14) Adde (14) Add Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Add Adde (14) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Add (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Add (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Add (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Add (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Add (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Add (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Add (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Add (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Add (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Add (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) Stable (15) | Integra See 10 State Action Integration state Action Rest See Action Rest Integration Rest See Action Rest Integratin Rest <td< th=""><th></th><th>TABULATIONS 341 CHURCH STREET</th><th></th></td<> | | TABULATIONS 341 CHURCH STREET | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| DDM CPUE Diskey Addes Diskey Addes DR D | Difference Output and the second of the | P.I.D PROP | 984-16-5101, 6984-16-7013 6984-10-5101, 6984-16-7013 R_PIID | |
| PR000000 000 MRL MARKAN MRL ADJ LOT DIZ MARKAN 10,000 57 LOT DIZ MARKAN 10' LOT DIZ MARKAN 10' | <pre>employing universe that and universe the second of th</pre> | TOTAL R-PUD AREA | 9.8640 ACRES | Fy 15" DX |
| BULLING SCHEMARS DV SUD DS SUD DS < | During the structure M* State M* | | | 4 2 |
| TODIT | The second se | LOT SIZE MINIMUM LOT WIDTH MINIMUM | 10,000 SF 80' | |
| RAM 33 FA.H. ALCONDUC (PEP 200000) 0.40 (0.40*420.875 SF=171.870 SF) PROPOSED 0.13 (50.780 SF/120.075 SF) EURLING HEREHT 0.13 (50.780 SF/120.075 SF) MANDAM ALLONED 35' CHREPCH (20057840) 17' EURLING HEREHT -17' MANDAM ALCONED 35' CHREPCH (20057840) 17' EURLING HEREHT -55' EURLING HERE -55' EURLING LINE (CUSTING) -76 ZONING OFDIANCE AFTICLE 7-4 EURLING LINE (CUSTING) -76 ZONING OFDIANCE AFTICLE 7-5 EURLING LINE -75 STACES EURLING LINE -76 STATIS STATIS ADAMOT REQUIRED MERT 22 SPACES EURLING LINE (CUSTING) 22 SPACES EURLING FRET KOLING 12 SPACES | AND AND STREET ANALY GALL DELAND STREET STREET, 20 301 CONTROL OF STRE | RI III DING SETBACKS | | |
| HAR | Mail All All </td <td>FRONT</td> <td></td> <td></td> | FRONT | | |
| ALLOWARLE (PCP ZONING) 0.40 (240422.675 ST-171.870 ST) 0.15 (56.768 ST/226.675 ST) BULLING RECHT 0.15 (56.768 ST/226.675 ST) BULLING RECHT 47' MORADAN ALLOWED 35' BURLING RECHT 47' GROSS FLOOR AREA (GPL) 24.368 ST BURLING RECHT 47' GROSS FLOOR AREA (GPL) 24.368 ST BURLING RECHT 47' GROSS FLOOR AREA (GPL) 24.368 ST BURLING RECHT 47' GROSS FLOOR AREA (GPL) 24.368 ST BURLING RECHT 47' GROSS FLOOR AREA (GPL) 24.368 ST DIR DAMG 600 ST44 UNITS-44 BEDROOMS GROSS FLOOR AREA (GPL) 600 ST44 UNITS-26,400 ST (APPROX) OTTEC BRIDME 600 ST44 UNITS-26,400 ST (APPROX) OTTEC BRIDME 600 ST (APPROX) OTTEC BRIDME 600 ST (APPROX) OTTEC BRIDME 6100 ST (APPROX) DIFLE CENTRO (DROMANCE ARTICLE 7-4 I PER SECTION CAMPARTY 75 SFATS BURLINE UNIT 100 SPACES DI PER CONTO 12 SFACES | International Dis Concessions (Concession) International Dis Concessions (Concession) International Disconsistential Median Academic St International Disconsistential International St Intereconsistent <td>REAR</td> <td>35'</td> <td></td> | REAR | 35' | |
| FAPO2520 0.13 (56,768 SF/128,675 SF) BURLING FRECHT | Participal and a second provide and a second p | ALLOWABLE (PER ZONING) | 0.40 (0.40*429,675 SF=171,870 SF) | |
| MARAMA ALLORED 35' CHIMENT (ZXUSTAR) 47' DRUBING (PERCHT | Media Cristeri St Media Cristeri (************************************ | PROPOSED | 0.13 (56,768 SF/429,675 SF) | |
| BURDING FAISHT 47' GROSS FLOOP AREA (0FA) 24,368 SF BURNEY 25' BURNEY 25' BURNEY 25' BURNEY 25' BURNEY 25' BURNEY 25' BURNEY 26' BURNEY 27' BURNEY <td>Recently lefter designed the set of the set</td> <td></td> <td>35'</td> <td></td> | Recently lefter designed the set of the set | | 35' | |
| BURLING HAIGHT 47' CROSS FLOOR AREA (GR.) 24,368,55 DURLEY 23' BURLING HAIGHT 600 S' 44 UNITS-26 400 S' (APPROX) CHERCE BURLING 6000 S' (APPROX) CHERCE BURLING 6000 S' (APPROX) CHERCH [EXISTING] 6000 S' (APPROX) CHURCH (EXISTING) PER ZOHING GROMMACE ARTICLE 7-4 I PER S GENS DESIGN CAPACITY 100' PRO 3. I PER S SENS DESIGN CAPACITY 100' PRO 3. I PER SON SF OF GROSS FLOOP ARA (DEN) PLH ZOHING ORDINANCE ARTICLE 7-3 LOS FER WHELING UNTS) 22 SPACES PREVIEWED 10' SPACES CHIAL PARVAC PROVIDED 10' SPACES REQUIRED RAPKING PROVIDED 10' SPACES CHIAL PARVAC PROVIDED 10' SPACES EXISTUS BRAPES PERVIDENT <td>Mail 2019 Host A. Gutz -47 MAIL 2019 Host A. Gutz -478 MAIL 2019 Host</td> <td>CHURCH (EXISTING)</td> <td></td> <td></td> | Mail 2019 Host A. Gutz -47 MAIL 2019 Host A. Gutz -478 MAIL 2019 Host | CHURCH (EXISTING) | | |
| DURLEY: <35' | Description -SS Statistics Description -SS Statisti | BUILDING HEIGHT | 47' 24,368 SF | |
| FIREDRAG 45' EURPOONS 1 PER UNIT EDROCKS 144 UNITS-44 BEDROCMS TOTAL BEDROCMS 144 UNITS-44 BEDROCMS TOTAL BEDROCMS 144 UNITS-44 BEDROCMS TOTAL GA 600 SI' 44 UNITS-42 BEDROCMS TOTAL GA 600 SI' 44 UNITS-42 BEDROCMS TOTAL GA 600 SI' 44 UNITS-42 BEDROCMS BUILDING BUILDING BUILDING HEICHT <35' | <pre>Internation</pre> | <u>DUPLEX:</u> | | · |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | One of | BUILDING HEIGHT | <35' 1 PER UNIT | |
| $ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{loc} Fill (1) \label{eq:constraint} \\ \mbox{loc} Fil$ | add on | GFA (UNIT) TOTAL BEDROOMS | 600 SF (APPROX.) 1*44 UNITS=44 BEDROOMS | |
| BUILDING HEICHT | definition | TOTAL GFA | 600 SF*44 UNITS=26,400 SF (APPROX.) | |
| GFA 6,000 SF (APPROX) PARKING: REQUIRED PIN: 6984-16-5101 Warrenton Units CHURCH (IXISTING): PER ZONING_ORDINANCE ARTICLE 7-4 1 PEF 5 SERIEN CARACITY 75 SPACES REQUIRED (375 SEATS) 75 SPACES DUPLEX: PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE 7-3 0.5 PER DWELLING UNIT 22 SPACES OFFICE: PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE 7-6 I PER 50 SF OF GROSS FLOOR AREA (GFA) 12 SPACES REQUIRED (6,000 SF GFA) 12 SPACES REQUIRED (6,000 SF GFA) 208 SPACES HANDICAP SPACES REQUIRED 109 SPACES HANDICAP SPACES PROVIDED 211 SPACES REQUIRED (6,000 KF GFA) 219 SPACES HANDICAP SPACES PROVIDED 219 SPACES < | GR | | <35' | |
| PARKING REQUIRED Warrenton United CHURCH (EXISTING): PER ZONING_ORDINANCE ARTICLE 7-4 1 PER'S SATS DESIGN (CAPACITY 75 SPACES DUPLEK: PER ZONING_ORDINANCE ARTICLE 7-3 0.5 PER OWELLING UNIT 22 SPACES OFFICE: PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE 7-6 1 PER'S 500 SF OF GROSS FLOOR AREA (GFA) 12 SPACES PREULAR PARKING REQUIRED 109 SPACES HANDICAP SPACES REQUIRED 20 SPACES HANDICAP SPACES REQUIRED 20 SPACES HANDICAP SPACES REQUIRED 11 SPACES EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUME 219 SPACES EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUME 219 SPACES EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUME PER' THIS APPLICATION TIPE' SMALL OFFICE/COMMUNITY CENTER (TE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 560) TOTAL BARLING UNIS': 44 = 4/211 = 37 VPD (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) ESTIMATED TRAFFIC VOLUME PER' THIS APPLICATION TIPE' SMALL OFFICE/COMMUNITY CENTER (TE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 223) TOTAL EMPLOYCES: 4 = 31 VPD (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) TOTAL ADDITIONAL VPD PER' THIS APPLICATION: 68 (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) | BARRAN MELLERS GREAT (ASTRON) HALL LINE CHARMEN AND INTERPORTED AND LACE AND | GFA | 6,000 SF (APPROX.) | DINI- 6084 16 5101 |
| CHURCH (EXISTING): PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE Z-4 Methodist Church I PER 5 SEATS DESIGN CAPACITY REQUIRED (375 SEATS) 75 SPACES Deed Book 189 Page 3. 1.090 LACRES (6.3139 Acres Total) DUPLEX: PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE Z-3 6.5 PER DWELLING UNIT REQUIRED (44 UNITS) 22 SPACES OFFICE: PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE Z-6 6.3139 Acres Total) DUPLEX: PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE Z-6 6.3139 Acres Total) DUPLEX: PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE Z-6 6.3139 Acres Total) DUPLEX: PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE Z-6 6.3139 Acres Total) DUPLEX: PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE Z-6 | CREAT ONTINCE PRAY CONTROL PRAY CONSIGNATION AND CONTINUES | PARKING REQUIRED | | Warrenton Unite |
| 1 PER 5 SEXIS DESIGN CAPACITY REDUIRED (375 SEATS) | 1 FE 3 SURS UNKON 75 SUNS 1200 SUNS PERFORMENT OF SUNS PERFORMENT COMMENT 1200 SUNS DURING PERFORMENT COMMENT PERFORMENT COMMENT DURING PERFORMENT PERFORMENT PERFORMENT DURING PERFOR | | PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE 7-1 | |
| DUPLEX: PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE 7-3 0.5 FER DWELLING UNIT 22 SPACES OFFICE: PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE 7-6 1 PER 500 SF OF GROSS FLOOR AREA (GFA) 12 SPACES REQUIRED (6,000 SF GFA) 12 SPACES REQUIRED (6,000 SF GFA) 12 SPACES REQUIRED (6,000 SF GFA) 208 SPACES HANDICAP SPACES REQUIRED 5 SPACES REGULAR PARKING REOURD 208 SPACES HANDICAP SPACES REVORDED 11 SPACES TOTAL PARKING PROVIDED 219 SPACES EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUME 219 SPACES TYPE: CHURCH 219 SPACES EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUME 219 SPACES TYPE: CHURCH 219 SPACES EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUME 219 SPACES TYPE: CHURCH (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 560) TOTAL GFA: 24,368 SF = 182 VPD (WEEKDAY), 767 (SUNDAY) | Units НАК КОЛИН CALL | 1 PER 5 SEATS DESIGN CAPACITY | | 1.0901 Acres |
| 0.5 PER DWELLING UNIT REQUIRED (44 UNITS)22 SPACES OFFICE: | Contraction (H Hand) | | | (b.3139 Acres lotal) |
| OFFICE: PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE 7-6 1 PER 500 SF OF GROSS FLOOR AREA (GFA) REQUIRED (6,000 SF GFA)12 SPACES REGULAR PARKING REQUIRED109 SPACES HANDICAP SPACES REQUIRED208 SPACES HANDICAP SPACES REVUIDED219 SPACES EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUME TYPE: OHURCH (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 560) TOTAL GFA: 24,368 SF = 182 VPD (WEEKDAY), 767 (SUNDAY) ESTIMATED TRAFFIC VOLUME PER THIS APPLICATION TYPE: AFFORDABLE HOUSING (SENIOR) (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 223) TOTAL DWELLING UNITS: 44 = 4/0.11 = 37 VPD (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) TYPE: SMALL OFFICE/COMMUNITY CENTER (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 712) TOTAL EMPLOYEES: 4 = 31 VPD (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) TOTAL ADDITIONAL VPD PER THIS APPLICATION: TYPE: SMALL OFFICE/COMMUNITY CENTER (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 712) TOTAL ADDITIONAL VPD PER THIS APPLICATION: 68 (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) | OPPE IPPE COMPACE APRILIES IPPE CONST CO | 0.5 PER DWELLING UNIT | | |
| 1 PER 500 SF OF GROSS FLOOR AREA (GFA) REQUIRED (6,000 SF GFA)12 SPACES REGULAR PARKING REQUIRED109 SPACES HANDICAP SPACES REQUIRED5 SPACES REGULAR PARKING PROVIDED208 SPACES HANDICAP SPACES PROVIDED11 SPACES TOTAL PARKING PROVIDED19 SPACES EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUME TYPE: CHURCH (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 560) TOTAL GFA: 24,368 SF = 182 VPD (WEEKDAY), 767 (SUNDAY) ESTIMATED TRAFFIC VOLUME PER THIS APPLICATION TYPE: AFFORDABLE HOUSING (SENIOR) (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 223) TOTAL DWELLING UNITS: 44 = 4/0.11 = 37 VPD (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) TYPE: SMALL OFFICE/COMMUNITY CENTER (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 712) TOTAL ADDITIONAL VPD PER THIS APPLICATION: 68 (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) | I PAR SO S GO GARGE HOLE ADA (04) RECERED (ROD S GAR) IS SPECTS REGIME PROVED IS SPECTS REGIME REPORT REGIME PROVEDIS SPECTS REGIME REFERENCE RESIDENT (REFERENCE) (RE 11HE REFERENCE RESIDENT (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE RESIDENT (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE RESIDENT (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE RESIDENT (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE RESIDENT (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE RESIDENT (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE RESIDENT (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE RESIDENT (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE RESIDENT (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE RESIDENT (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REFERENCE) (REF | | | |
| REGULAR PARKING REQUIRED | IDP SPACES HORDRAGE STACES PERIODED IDP STACES PERIODED IDP STACES HORDRAGE STACES PERIODED IDP STACES PERIODES PER | 1 PER 500 SF OF GROSS FLOOR AREA | 1 (GFA) | |
| EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUME TYPE: CHURCH (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 560) TOTAL GFA: 24,368 SF = 182 VPD (WEEKDAY), 767 (SUNDAY) ESTIMATED TRAFFIC VOLUME PER THIS APPLICATION TYPE: AFFORDABLE HOUSING (SENIOR) (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 223) TOTAL DWELLING UNITS: 44 = 4/0.11 = 37 VPD (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) TYPE: SMALL OFFICE/COMMUNITY CENTER (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 712) TOTAL EMPLOYEES: 4 = 31 VPD (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) TOTAL ADDITIONAL VPD PER THIS APPLICATION: 68 (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) | PRSTME FRATE, VOLUME THE CONTROL (ILE TITM EDITOR, LAND USE CODE SED) (ILE TITM EDITOR, VARUE AND SET THE APPLICATION FREE ANTONOME HOUSE ONE CODE 2231 ICOL UNELLING UNIES 44 = 4/2.11 = 5/ HPU (INEERDAY AND SOMEAG) TYPE COMUL OFFIC/COMMANY CONTRE (ILE INTELEDITOR, VARUE GE CODE 7.12) ICOL ENDEDITOR, VARUE GE CODE 7.12) ICOL ENDEDITOR, VARUE GE CODE 7.12) ICOL ENDEDITOR, VARUE GE CODE 7.12 ICOL STE YEL 250 (INEERDAY), ESS (SURLAG) FREE TO (INEEDITOR), ESS | | | |
| EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUME TYPE: CHURCH (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 560) TOTAL GFA: 24,368 SF = 182 VPD (WEEKDAY), 767 (SUNDAY) ESTIMATED TRAFFIC VOLUME PER THIS APPLICATION TYPE: AFFORDABLE HOUSING (SENIOR) (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 223) TOTAL DWELLING UNITS: 44 = 4/0.11 = 37 VPD (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) TYPE: SMALL OFFICE/COMMUNITY CENTER (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 712) TOTAL EMPLOYEES: 4 = 31 VPD (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) TOTAL ADDITIONAL VPD PER THIS APPLICATION: 68 (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) | EXSTRUE FRONTLY UND USE COCE SOLD THE: CHARGE (IN: 11/10 EARCH, UND USE COCE SOLD (IN: 11/10 EARCH, UND USE COCE SOLD FROM THE ADDRESS AF = 4/0.11 = 3/ HP (REFERENT AND SURRENT) FROM ADDRESS (SURRENT) TOTA ADDRESS (S | HANDICAP SPACES REQUIRED | 5 SPACES 5 SPACES 208 SPACES | |
| EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUME TYPE: CHURCH (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 560) TOTAL GFA: 24,368 SF = 182 VPD (WEEKDAY), 767 (SUNDAY) ESTIMATED TRAFFIC VOLUME PER THIS APPLICATION TYPE: AFFORDABLE HOUSING (SENIOR) (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 223) TOTAL DWELLING UNITS: 44 = 4/0.11 = 37 VPD (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) TYPE: SMALL OFFICE/COMMUNITY CENTER (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CODE 712) TOTAL EMPLOYEES: 4 = 31 VPD (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) TOTAL ADDITIONAL VPD PER THIS APPLICATION: 68 (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) | ERSTING FERFIC VOLUME THE CONTROL (I) II THE HILMEL LAND USE CODE SUD) (I) II THE HILMEL LAND USE CODE SUD) (III III HILMEL LAND USE CODE 223) (III III A COMELINE CONTROL (III III A COMELINE CONTROL (SENDER) (III IIII A COMELINE CONTROL (SENDER) (III IIII A COMELINE CONTROL (SENDER) (III IIII A COMELINE CONTROL (SENDER) (IIII IIII A COMELINE CONTROL (SENDER) (IIII IIIII A COMELINE CONTROL (SENDER) (IIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII | HANDICAP SPACES PROVIDED | 11 SPACES 219 SPACES | |
| | PIN: 6984-16-7013 Warrenton Units Methodist Chur Deed Book 716 Page 18 39302 Acres GRAPHIC SCALE | TYPE: AFFORDABLE HOUSING (SET (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CO TOTAL DWELLING UNITS: 44 = 4, TYPE: SMALL OFFICE/COMMUNITY (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE CO TOTAL EMPLOYEES: 4 = 31 VPD TOTAL ADDITIONAL VPD PER THIS | NIOR) ODE 223) 70.11 = 37 VPD (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) CENTER ODE 712) (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) APPLICATION: 68 (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) | |
| | GRAPHIC SCALE | | | |
| | GRAPHIC SCALE | | | |
| Warrenton United Methodist Chur Deed Book 716 Page 19 3.9302 Acres | GRAPHIC SCALE | | | Warrenton Unite Methodist Chur Deed Book 716 Page 19 |
| J.3J02 Actes | | UCS NORTH 1983 | | Warrenton Unite Methodist Chur Deed Book 716 Page 19 |
| Warrenton United Methodist Chur Deed Book 716 Page 19 3.9302 Acres | | US NORTH 1983 | | Warrenton Unite Methodist Chur Deed Book 716 Page 19 |
| - DENOTES PROPOSED R-PUD AREA VCS NORTH 1983 VCS NORTH 1983 | | | 3 | Warrenton Unite Methodist Chur Deed Book 716 Page 19 |
| VCS NORTH 1983 GRAPHIC SCALE | | GRAPHIC ⁰ ²⁰ ⁴⁰ | SCALE 80 160 | Warrenton Unite Methodist Chur Deed Book 716 Page 19 |

et

Stre

Church (R/W 1



| <u>JIL I</u> | <u>ABULATIONS</u> | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|
| STREET ADDRESS | | |
| P.I.D. PROP. ZONE TOTAL R-PUD AREA | 9.864 ACRES | |
| PROPOSED USE: MULTIFAMILY WITH ADU | OR 429,680 SF | (4) Ex. 15" |
| T SIZE MINIMUM WIDTH MINIMUM | 10,000 SF 80' | |
| BUILDING SETBACKS | | |
| FRONT SIDE | 20 15` 35` | |
| <i>REAR</i> | | |
| ALLOWABLE (PER ZONING) PROPOSED | 0.40 (0.40*429,680 SF=171,872 SF) 0.18 (75,768 SF/429,680 SF) | |
| BUILDING HEIGHT MAXIMUM ALLOWED | .35' | |
| <u>CHURCH (EXISTING)</u> | | |
| BUILDING HEIGHT GROSS FLOOR AREA (GFA) | 47' 24,368 SF | |
| <u>DUPLEX:</u> BUILDING HEIGHT | <35' | |
| BEDROOMS GFA (UNIT) | 1 PER UNIT 600 SF (APPROX.) | |
| TOTAL BEDROOMS TOTAL GFA | | |
| <u>OFFICE BUILDING:</u> BUILDING HEIGHT | <35' | |
| GFA | | PIN: 6984-16-51 |
| <u>RECREATIONAL CENTER:</u> BUILDING HEIGHT GFA | <35' | Warrenton Un Methodist Chi |
| FITNESS CLUB OFFICE SPACE | 10,500 SF (APPROX.) | Deed Book 189 Page 1.0901 Acres |
| TOTAL | | (6.3139 Acres Toto |
| PARKING REQUIRED | | |
| 1 PER 5 SEATS DESIGN CAPACITY | PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE 7-4 | |
| REQUIRED (375 SEATS) | 75 SPACES PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE 7-3 | |
| 0.5 PER DWELLING UNIT REQUIRED (44 UNITS) | | |
| OFFICE: | <u>PER ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE 7–6</u> (GFA) | |
| REQUIRED (6,000 SF GFA) | <u>1</u> 2 SPACES | |
| RECREATIONAL CENTER: 1 PER 200 SF OF GFA (FITNESS CLUB) REQUIRED (8,500 SF GFA) | | |
| 1 PER 500 SF OF GFA (OFFICE) REQUIRED (10,500 SF GFA) | | |
| REGULAR PARKING REQUIRED | 173 SPACES | |
| HANDICAP SPACES REQUIRED REGULAR PARKING PROVIDED HANDICAP SPACES PROVIDED | 6 SPACES 232 SPACES 11 SPACES | |
| TOTAL PARKING PROVIDED | 243 SPACES | |
| EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUME TYPE: CHURCH (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE COL | DE 560) | |
| TOTAL GFA: 24,368 SF = 182 VP | D (WEEKDAY), 767 (SUNDAY) | |
| ESTIMATED TRAFFIC VOLUME PER THIS A. TYPE: AFFORDABLE HOUSING (SEN. (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE COL | IOR) | |
| TOTAL DWELLING UNITS: 44 = 4/0 | 0.11 = 37 VPD (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) | |
| TYPE: SMALL OFFICE/COMMUNITY ((ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE COL | DE 712) | |
| TOTA EMPLOYEES: 4 = 31 VPD (V TYPE: SMALL OFFICE | WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) | |
| (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE COL TOTAL GFA: 10,500 SF = 151 VP | | |
| TYPE: MULTIPURPOSE RECREATIONA (ITE 11TH EDITION, LAND USE COL | | |
| TOTAL GFA: 8,500 SF = 273 VPD | (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) | |
| TOTAL ADDITIONAL VPD PER THIS , TOTAL SITE VPD: 674 (WEEKDAY), | APPLICATION: 492 (WEEKDAY AND SUNDAY) 1,259 (SUNDAY) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | PIN: 6984-16-70 |
| | | Warrenton Un Methodist Ch |
| | – DENOTES PROPOSED R-PUD AREA | Deed Book 716 Page 3.9302 Acres |
| | | |
| VCS NORTH 1983 | | |
| VCS NOT | | |
| | | |
| GRAPHIC S | | |



ENHANCE SITE LANDSCAPING.

LANDSCAPING TABULATIONS INTERIOR PARKING LOT LANDSCAPING (MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS) _1 TREE AND 3 SHRUBS FOR EVERY 8 SP. REQUIRED (PLANTING)_ 40 SP./8=5 TREES, 40 SP.*3/8=15 SHRUBS _6 TREES, 15 SHRUBS PROVIDED BUFFER YARD LANDSCAPING (MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS) FROM SINGLE FAMILY DETACHED DWELLINGS: REQUIRED____ _A FENCE WITH MIN. 6' HEIGHT, WITH EVERGREEN TREES INTERSPERSED EVERY 25' ALONG THE THE SIDE OF THE WALL FACING THE RESIDENTIAL USE. 677'/25'=28 TREES PROVIDED_ _28 TREES ROM ADJACENT MAJOR COLLECTOR OR ARTERIAL STREETS __1 LARGE EVERGREEN TREE FOR EVERY 15'. REQUIRED (PLANTING)_ 709'/15'=48 TREES _48 TREES PROVIDED____ OPEN SPACE (MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS) ___25% (0.25*9.8640 AC=2.4660 AC OR 107,420 SF) REQUIRED _ 65% (6.4295 AC/9.8640 AC) PROVIDED _ *NO PUBLIC STREET IS BEING PROPOSED AND THE EXISTING PUBLIC STREETS ARE NOT BEING EXTENDED OR WIDENED WITH THIS PROJECT. THEREFORE, NO STREET TREES ARE REQUIRED PER TOWN OF WARRENTON ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE 8.5.1 BUT ARE STILL BEING PROPOSED TO

| | <u>BUFFER AREA LEGE</u> | <u>ND</u> | |
|------------|---|-----------|----------|
| LABEL | TYPE | LENGTH | WIDTH |
| BUFFER "A" | FROM ADJACENT MAJOR COLLECTOR OR ARTERIAL STREET | 164' | 25' MIN. |
| BUFFER "B" | FROM ADJACENT MAJOR COLLECTOR OR ARTERIAL STREET | 369' | 25' MIN. |
| BUFFER "C" | FROM SINGLE–FAMILY DETACHED DWELLINGS | 125' | 15' MIN. |
| BUFFER "D" | FROM SINGLE—FAMILY DETACHED DWELLINGS | 160' | 15' MIN. |
| BUFFER "E" | FROM SINGLE–FAMILY DETACHED DWELLINGS | 125' | 15' MIN. |
| BUFFER "F" | FROM ADJACENT MAJOR COLLECTOR OR ARTERIAL STREET | 176' | 25' MIN. |
| BUFFER "G" | FROM SINGLE–FAMILY DETACHED DWELLINGS | 267' | 15' MIN. |





| SIDE OF THE WALL FACING THE RESIDENTIAL USE: 410'/25'=17 TREES PROVIDED | LANDSCAPINO | <u>G TABULATIONS</u> |
|--|--|--|
| PROVIDED 28 TREES, 84 SHRUBS BUFFER YARD LANDSCAPING (MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS) FROM SINGLE FAMILY DETACHED DWELLINGS: REQUIRED | | 1 TREE AND 3 SHRUBS FOR EVERY 8 SP. |
| FROM SINGLE FAMILY DETACHED DWELLINGS: REQUIREDA FENCE WITH MIN. 6' HEIGHT, WITH EVERGREEN TREES INTERSPERSED EVERY 25' ALONG THE THE SIDE OF THE WALL FACING THE RESIDENTIAL USE. 410'/25'=17 TREES PROVIDED | PROVIDED | |
| REQUIRED A FENCE WITH MIN. 6' HEIGHT, WITH EVERGREEN TREES INTERSPERSED EVERY 25' ALONG THE THE SIDE OF THE WALL FACING THE RESIDENTIAL USE. 410'/25'=17 TREES PROVIDED 17 TREES FROM ADJACENT MAJOR COLLECTOR OR ARTERIAL STREETS REQUIRED (PLANTING) 1 LARGE EVERGREEN TREE FOR EVERY 15'. 710'/15'=48 TREES PROVIDED 48 TREES STREET TREE PLANTING (MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS) REQUIRED 1 CANOPY TREE FOR EVERY 50' OF STREET | BUFFER YARD LANDSCAPING (MINIMUM REQUIREME | <u>ENTS)</u> |
| PROVIDED | | TREES INTERSPERSED EVERY 25' ALONG THE THE SIDE OF THE WALL FACING THE RESIDENTIAL USE. |
| REQUIRED (PLANTING)1 LARGE EVERGREEN TREE FOR EVERY 15'. 710'/15'=48 TREES PROVIDED48 TREES STREET TREE PLANTING (MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS) REQUIRED1 CANOPY TREE FOR EVERY 50' OF STREET | PROVIDED | |
| <u>STREET TREE PLANTING (MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS)</u> REQUIRED1 CANOPY TREE FOR EVERY 50' OF STREET | REQUIRED (PLANTING) | 1 LARGE EVERGREEN TREE FOR EVERY 15'. 710'/15'=48 TREES |
| REQUIRED1 CANOPY TREE FOR EVERY 50' OF STREET | PROVIDED | 48 IREES |
| EACH CANOPY TREE REQUIRED. 200' FRONTAGE/50=4 CANOPY TREES | | _1 CANOPY TREE FOR EVERY 50' OF STREET FRONTAGE OR 2 ORNAMENTAL TREES FOR EACH CANOPY TREE REQUIRED. |
| PROVIDED7 CANOPY TREES, 20 ORNAMENTAL TREES | PROVIDED | , |
| <u>OPEN SPACE (MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS)</u> REQUIRED25% (0.25*9.8640 AC=2.4660 AC OR 107,420 SF) | | (0.25*9.8640.4C=2.4660.4C.0R.107.420.SE) |
| $\frac{23\%}{6.2075} = \frac{23\%}{6.2075} = \frac{63\%}{6.2075} = \frac{100\%}{6.2075} = \frac{100\%}{6.2075$ | | |

| BUFFER AREA LEGEND | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|--------|----------|--|--|--|
| LABEL | ΤΥΡΕ | LENGTH | WIDTH | | | |
| BUFFER "A" | FROM ADJACENT MAJOR COLLECTOR OR ARTERIAL STREET | 164' | 25' MIN. | | | |
| BUFFER "B" | FROM ADJACENT MAJOR COLLECTOR OR ARTERIAL STREET | 369' | 25' MIN. | | | |
| BUFFER "C" | FROM SINGLE–FAMILY DETACHED DWELLINGS | 125' | 15' MIN. | | | |
| BUFFER "D" | FROM SINGLE—FAMILY DETACHED DWELLINGS | 160' | 15' MIN. | | | |
| BUFFER "E" | FROM SINGLE–FAMILY DETACHED DWELLINGS | 125' | 15' MIN. | | | |
| BUFFER "F" | FROM ADJACENT MAJOR COLLECTOR OR ARTERIAL STREET | 176' | 25' MIN. | | | |
| BUFFER "G" | FROM SINGLE–FAMILY DETACHED DWELLINGS | 267' | 15' MIN. | | | |













WARRENTON UNITED METHODIST CHURCH

WATERS OF THE U.S. (WOTUS) AND WETLAND DELINEATION REPORT APRIL 29, 2024



PREPARED BY:



InterAgency, Inc. 80 M St., SE, Suite 100 Washington, DC 20003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENTS

| 1.0 INTRODUCTION | 4 |
|---------------------|----|
| 2.0 Methodology | 4 |
| 2.1 Database Review | 4 |
| 2.2 Field Methods | 5 |
| 3.0 RESULTS | 7 |
| 4.0 CONCLUSION | 8 |
| 5.0 References | 10 |

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

- 1. Vicinity Map
- 2. USGS Topographic Quadrangle Map
- 3. NAIP Aerial Imagery
- 4. NWI Map
- 5. NRCS Custom Soil Resource Report
- 6. FEMA FIRMette Map
- 7. DEQ WetCAT Report
- 8. Antecedent Precipitation Tool Report
- 9. Study Area Photographs
- 10. Wetland Determination Data Forms
- 11. Stream Assessment Forms
 - a. Virginia DEQ Stream Identification Form
 - b. Fairfax County DPWES Methodology
 - c. North Carolina NCDWQ Methodology
- 12. Wetlands and Waters of the U.S. (WOTUS) Delineation Map

52

LIST OF ACRONYMS

| 17B | Middleburg Loam |
|--------|---|
| AOI | Area of Interest |
| APT | Antecedent Precipitation Tool |
| CWA | Clean Water Act |
| DEQ | Department of Environmental Quality |
| DPWES | Department of Public Works and Environmental Services |
| EPA | Environmental Protection Agency |
| FEMA | Federal Emergency Management Agency |
| FGDC | Federal Geographic Data Committee |
| GPS | Global Positioning System |
| IA | InterAgency, Inc. |
| NAIP | National Agriculture Imagery Program |
| NCDWQ | North Carolina Division of Water Quality |
| NRCS | National Resources Conservation Service |
| NWI | National Wetland Inventory |
| NWS | National Weather Service |
| OHWM | Ordinary High-Water Mark |
| PWD | Professional Wetland Delineator |
| PWS | Professional Wetland Scientist |
| R4 | Intermittent Stream Channel |
| SSWD | State Surface Water Determination |
| USACE | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |
| USFWS | U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service |
| USDA | U.S. Department of Agriculture |
| USGS | U.S. Geological Survey |
| VSWD | Virginia State Waters Delineator |
| WetCAT | Wetland Condition Assessment Tool |
| WOTUS | Waters of the U.S. |

53

1.0 INTRODUCTION

InterAgency, Inc. (IA) performed a wetland and waters of the U.S. (WOTUS) delineation within the Warrenton United Methodist Church (WUMC) study area to document current site conditions. As noted below, an unnamed intermittent tributary conveying stormwater within a manmade stormwater swale was documented within the study area.

The approximately 11-acre study area is located within the Town of Warrenton, Virginia and can be accessed from Church Street along the southern boundary. A vicinity map is included as <u>Exhibit 1</u>. The centroid of the study area is located at approximately 38.7201 N, -77.8038 W and is included within the Warrenton, Virginia U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Quadrangle Map (<u>Exhibit 2</u>).

The study area comprises the WUMC church and parking lot surrounded by a maintained lawn and forested cover. The natural slight topography has been altered by development activities in the past. A summer natural color image from the National Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP) is included within Exhibit 3.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

The wetland delineation field work was conducted by Lauren Conner, PWS, PWD, VSWD¹. Methodology and data review notes are outlined below in support of this study.

2.1 DATABASE REVIEW

Prior to conducting field work, IA conducted database reviews to determine the existing documented resources within the study area. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetland Inventory (NWI) database (<u>Exhibit 4</u>) was reviewed to determine if any wetland or stream features have been documented within the study area. As noted in this exhibit, no wetland or stream features have been documented on or within 100-feet of the study area.

U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) (<u>Exhibit 5</u>) data was reviewed to determine the soil characteristics within the study area. The central portion of the Area of Interest (AOI) developed on the NRCS database is mapped as Middleburg loam (17B), a mapped hydric soil. The remaining soils mapped within the NRCS AOI are mapped as nonhydric.

The study area is located within the Great Run - Rappahannock River watershed. The Federal Emergency Management Association (FEMA) National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette panel 51061C0306C (Effective 2/6/2008) (Exhibit 6) documents that the majority of the study area is located within Zone X: Area of Minimal Flood Hazard.

¹ Certified Professional Wetland Scientist (PWS #2766) through the Society of Wetland Scientists, licensed Professional Wetland Delineator (PWD #3402-000155) through the Virginia Department of Professional and Occupational Regulation and Virginia State Waters Delineator (VSWD #0045), Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ).

However, one swale within the central portion of the study area is documented as an area of 0.2% Annual Chance of Flood.

In preparation for field work, the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) Wetland Condition Assessment Tool (WetCAT) database (<u>Exhibit 7</u>) was reviewed for documented features and watershed data surrounding the study area. No stream or wetland features have been mapped within the study area by DEQ.

2.2 FIELD METHODS

This delineation was performed in accordance with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual – Technical Report 87-1 (USACE, 1987) and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region (Version 2.0) (USACE, 2012). In addition, this wetland delineation was performed in compliance with the Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC) Wetlands Classification Standard (FGDC, 2013) and the Virginia DEQ State Surface Waters Determination (SSWD) guidance. Wetlands are classified as features that meet the wetland hydrology, hydrophytic vegetation, and hydric soil parameters outlined by the USACE and DEQ in the above-mentioned guidance.

Field work was performed on April 20, 2024 to document current site conditions. As the study area is approximately eleven acres, transects were developed to verify that the site was thoroughly searched for wetlands and other WOTUS. Jurisdictional features were flagged with vinyl pink-glo flagging and were subsequently located via Global Positioning System (GPS) methods in the field on April 20, 2024.

IA reviewed observed weather data from the National Weather Service (NWS) prior to field work to review current hydrologic conditions. Precipitation and temperature data were reviewed for two weeks prior to field work, as noted in **Table 1** below.

| Manth | Dav | | Temperatu | Precipitation | |
|-------|-----|---------|-----------|---------------|----------|
| Month | Day | Maximum | Minimum | Average | (Inches) |
| | 6 | 57 | 38 | 47.5 | 0.00 |
| | 7 | 65 | 34 | 49.5 | 0.00 |
| | 8 | 75 | 35 | 55.0 | 0.00 |
| | 9 | 80 | 49 | 64.5 | 0.00 |
| | 10 | 80 | 61 | 70.5 | Trace |
| | 11 | 71 | 64 | 67.5 | 0.05 |
| 4 | 12 | 67 | 57 | 62.0 | 0.10 |
| 4 | 13 | 67 | 46 | 56.5 | Trace |
| | 14 | 84 | 42 | 63.0 | 0.00 |
| | 15 | 85 | 25 | 71.5 | Trace |
| | 16 | 78 | 48 | 63.0 | 0.00 |
| | 17 | 74 | 59 | 66.5 | Trace |
| | 18 | 79 | 53 | 66.0 | Trace |
| | 19 | 57 | 53 | 55.0 | 0.01 |

Table 1. National Weather Service Observed Weather Data

Source: National Weather Service (<u>https://www.weather.gov/wrh/Climate?wfo=lwx</u>)

IA reviewed the Antecedent Precipitation Tool (APT) developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the USACE was utilized to determine whether field data was collected during normal climatic conditions (<u>Exhibit 8</u>). This tool calculates precipitation normalcy data and documents patterns from a 30-year record. The observed conditions and analysis of precipitation data documents that the delineation field work was conducted under a mild drought.

Study area photographs are included within <u>Exhibit 9</u>. Wetland delineation data points (<u>Exhibit 10</u>) were conducted utilizing the USACE Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region Automated Wetland Determination Data Sheet (version 2.0) and reference the current 2020 National Wetland Plant List, version 3.5, to document the indicator status of individual plant species. In accordance with the USACE guidance, the vegetative sampling plot consists of a 30' radius for the tree and woody vine strata, a 15' radius for the sapling/shrub stratum and a 5' radius for the herbaceous stratum. Primary and secondary wetland hydrology indicators were accessed at the data point location. A soil profile was classified to a depth of 18" denoting the soil matrix and presence or absence of redoximorphic features.

Assessments of the delineated stream channel and swales were conducted in the field to determine the flow regime within each reach. Methodologies utilized for these assessments were the DEQ Stream Identification Field Data Form (Exhibit 11A), the Fairfax County Department of Public Works and Environmental Services (DPWES) Perennial Stream Field Identification Protocol (Exhibit 11B), and the North Carolina Division of Water Quality (NCDWQ) Methodology for Identification of Intermittent and

56

Perennial Streams and Their Origins (<u>Exhibit 11C</u>), Version 4.11, effective September 1, 2010.

These assessments are based on hydrological, physical and biological characteristics within a stream channel. The Fairfax County DPWES protocol is utilized to determine if a stream channel is perennial or intermittent based on the overall score along with supporting information. Per this protocol, a perennial stream channel is defined as a 'body of water flowing in a natural or man-made channel year-round, except during periods of drought.' In addition, an intermittent stream channel is defined as a 'body of water flowing in a natural or man-made channel that contains water for only part of the year.' Based on the Fairfax County DPWES protocol, a stream channel is perennial if the overall score is greater than or equal to 25 points (FC DPWES, 2003).

The NCDWQ methodology was developed to identify ephemeral, intermittent and perennial stream channel using geomorphic, hydrologic and biological characteristics. Based on this methodology, an ephemeral stream channel is 'a feature that carries only stormwater in direct response to precipitation with water flowing only during and shortly after large precipitation events.' This stream classification 'lacks the biological, hydrological, and physical characteristics commonly associated with the continuous or intermittent conveyance of water' (NCDWQ, 2010). Based on the NCDWQ methodology, a stream channel is at least intermittent if the overall score is greater than or equal to 19 points and perennial if the score is over 30 points.

3.0 RESULTS

One intermittent stream channel located within a manmade stormwater swale and retention feature was located within the study area. This feature, as well as study area photographs and data point locations, is depicted within the Wetlands and WOTUS Delineation Map (Exhibit 12). Feature-specific descriptions are included in Table 2 and in the narrative below.

| | WARRENTON UMC | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|-----------|-------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--|
| | SUMMARY OF WETLANDS AND WOTUS WITHIN STUDY AREA | | | | | | |
| Water ID | Latitude | Longitude | Cowardin Class | Stream (Linear Feet) | Area (Square Feet) | Area (Acres) | Class of Aquatic Resource (Tidal/Non- tidal, Section 10/404, etc. |
| S-1 | 38.71970 | -77.80290 | R4 | 272 | 973 | 0.02 | Non-tidal, Section 401 |
| S-2 | 38.71990 | -77.80530 | R4 | 120 | 202 | <0.01 | Non-tidal, Section 401 |
| TOTAL | | | | 392 | 1,175 | 0.03 | |

Table 2. Summary of Wetlands and WOTUS Within Study Area

• Feature S-1: This feature is located in the eastern portion of the study area and is located within a manmade stormwater swale constructed during the development of the property (Exhibit #9, Photos 5-8). This feature exhibits a discontinuous ordinary

high-water mark (OHWM) and was identified as a stream channel utilizing the DEQ Stream Identification Field Data Form. An assessment utilizing the Fairfax County DPWES and NCDWQ protocols identified the stream as intermittent, with scores of 9 and 16.5, respectively.

A wetland delineation data point (<u>Exhibit #10</u>, Data Point #1) was conducted to document the conditions at the downslope end of this feature where an OHWM is absent. As noted within this data point, hydric soil and wetland hydrology parameters were satisfied at this location. However, the feature lacks hydrophytic vegetation. Therefore, this feature was classified as an intermittent (R4) stream channel.

• Feature S-2: This feature, located within a stormwater retention basin, was determined to be an R4 stream channel. Due to obstruction by a security fence, the approximate limit of the stream channel within the retention basin is depicted on the Wetland and WOTUS Delineation Map (Exhibit #12).

Several upland swales are located within and immediately adjacent to the study area. These topographic features lack hydric soils and a continuous OHWM. These features are noted on the Wetland and WOTUS Delineation Map and shown in the Study Area Photographs (Exhibit 9).

As previously noted, these stormwater conveyance and retention features were constructed at the time of site development. The intermittent stream channel developed within these manmade features.

4.0 CONCLUSION

IA conducted a wetland delineation within the WUMC study area in the Town of Warrenton Virginia. Upon completion of the field work, an unnamed R4 stream channel located within manmade stormwater features was delineated within the study area. Offsite stormwater pipes and collected surface runoff provides the hydrologic input for this R4 stream channel. As described in detail above, a total of 392 linear feet (LF), 1,175 square feet (SF) and 0.03 acre (AC) of R4 stream channel is located within the study area.

This wetland delineation was completed pursuant to guidance issued by the USACE, FGDC, and DEQ. Per the United States Supreme Court *Sackett v. Environmental Protection Agency (Sackett)* decision in May 2023, the definition of WOTUS has been revised. The Supreme Court determined that federal jurisdiction of features under the Clean Water Act (CWA) "extends only to those wetlands with a continuous surface connection to bodies that are waters of the United States in their own right, such that they are indistinguishable from those waters."

Based on IA's best professional judgement and the guidance noted above, it is anticipated that the R4 stream channel within the WUMC study area is jurisdictional to DEQ only as it lacks a continuous surface connection to bodies of water that can be classified as WOTUS under the *Sackett* Decision. Coordination with the USACE and DEQ to confirm each agency's determination on the jurisdiction of these features is strongly advised. This study documents current field conditions as of April 2024 and available agency database information at the time of the study. Such information and field conditions may be subject to change in the future. Pursuant to DEQ guidance, this study has been conducted by a certified PWD and VSWD.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

| Professional Name: | Lauren Conner |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Certification No.: | VSWD No. 0045 |
| Signature: | Lauren L. Conner |
| Date: | April 29, 2024 |

59

5.0 REFERENCES

- Fairfax County Department of Public Works and Environmental Services (DPWES). 2003. Perennial Stream Field Identification Protocol. Available online at <u>https://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/publicworks/sites/publicworks/files/assets/documents/</u> pdf/03 ps protocol ada.pdf
- Federal Geographic Data Committee. 2013. Classification of wetland and deepwater habitats of the United States. FGDC-STD-004-2013. Second Edition. Wetlands Subcommittee, Federal Geographic Data Committee and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC.
- NC Division of Water Quality. 2010. *Methodology for Identification of Intermittent and Perennial Streams and their Origins, Version 4.11*. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Water Quality, Raleigh, NC. Available online at <u>https://files.nc.gov/ncdeq/Water%20Quality/Surface%20Water%20Protection/401/Poli</u> <u>cies Guides Manuals/StreamID v 4point11 Final sept 01 2010.pdf</u>
- Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey. Available online at the following link: <u>http://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/</u>.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1987. Army Corps of Engineers Environmental Laboratory Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Wetland Research Program. Technical Report 87-1.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2012. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region (Version 2.0). ERDC/EL TR-10-20. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
- U.S. Department of Homeland Security. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). FEMA Flood Map Service Center. Available online at <u>https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home</u>.









U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service **National Wetlands Inventory**

Warrenton UMC



April 20, 2024

Wetlands



Estuarine and Marine Deepwater

Estuarine and Marine Wetland

- Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland
 - **Freshwater Pond**

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Lake Other Riverine This map is for general reference only. The US Fish and Wildlife Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.

64



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Item 2. **Custom Soil Resource Report for** Fauquier County, Virginia

Study Area



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

| Preface | 2 |
|--|----|
| How Soil Surveys Are Made | 5 |
| Soil Map | 8 |
| Soil Map | 9 |
| Legend | 10 |
| Map Unit Legend | 11 |
| Map Unit Descriptions | 11 |
| Fauquier County, Virginia | 13 |
| 17B—Middleburg loam, 2 to 7 percent slopes, frequently flooded | 13 |
| 45B—Fauquier silt loam, 2 to 7 percent slopes | 14 |
| References | 16 |

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.


MAP LEGEND



MAP INFORMATION

Map Unit Legend

| Map Unit Symbol | Map Unit Name | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------|----------------|
| 17B | Middleburg loam, 2 to 7 percent slopes, frequently flooded | 4.3 | 40.6% |
| 45B | Fauquier silt loam, 2 to 7 percent slopes | 6.3 | 59.4% |
| Totals for Area of Interest | | 10.7 | 100.0% |

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Item 2.

Fauquier County, Virginia

17B—Middleburg loam, 2 to 7 percent slopes, frequently flooded

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 21m5c
Elevation: 160 to 1,510 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 34 to 46 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 43 to 66 degrees F
Frost-free period: 174 to 211 days
Farmland classification: Prime farmland if protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season

Map Unit Composition

Middleburg and similar soils: 85 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Middleburg

Setting

Landform: Drainageways Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Alluvium derived from igneous and metamorphic rock and/or colluvium derived from igneous and metamorphic rock

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 9 inches: loam H2 - 9 to 48 inches: silty clay loam H3 - 48 to 61 inches: silt loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 7 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: Frequent
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 10.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2w Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: F148XY027PA - Moist, Piedmont - felsic, Riparian Zone, Ecotonal Meadow-Shrub-Forest Hydric soil rating: No

45B—Fauquier silt loam, 2 to 7 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 21m76 Elevation: 310 to 1,200 feet Mean annual precipitation: 34 to 46 inches Mean annual air temperature: 43 to 66 degrees F Frost-free period: 174 to 211 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Fauquier and similar soils: 85 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Fauquier

Setting

Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Residuum weathered from greenstone

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 6 inches: silt loam *H2 - 6 to 36 inches:* clay *H3 - 36 to 60 inches:* silt loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 7 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 9.2 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F148XY026PA - Moist, High Base-Saturation, Upland, Mixed Oak - Hickory - Conifer Forest Hydric soil rating: No

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084 United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend

Item 2.



Basemap Imagery Source: USGS National Map 2023

WetCat Report



April 19, 2024 - Created from the Wetland Condition Assessment Tool Viewer (WetCAT)





| | - | | | |
|----|----|-----|----|--|
| Во | un | da | ry | |
| Со | ml | oin | ed | |
| | | | | |

Hydrologic Units (12-digit)

| 0 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 mi |
|----------|------|------|-------------|
| \vdash | | | |
| ò | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.09 km |

Maxar, Microsoft Esri Community Maps Contributors, VGIN, West Virginia GIS, © OpenStreetMap, Microsoft, Esri, TomTom, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/ NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, USFWS



VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



SPERRYVILLE

THE PLAINS 2 NNE

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center

| orical Climatology Network |
|---|
| Daily Total Item 2. 30-Day Rolling Total 30-Year Normal Range |
| |
| |
| |

| | 1 | 1 |
|------|------|------|
| Jun | Jul | Aug |
| 2024 | 2024 | 2024 |

| Condition Value | Month Weight | Product |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | | Drier than Normal - 9 |

| dent | Days Antece | Days Normal | Weighted Δ | evation Δ |
|------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 88 | | 6145 | 5.793 | 54.727 |
| 0 | | 270 | 1.599 | 40.026 |
| 0 | | 65 | 1.769 | 10.171 |
| 2 | | 2 | 4.102 | 25.919 |
| 0 | | 89 | 4.346 | 46.26 |
| 0 | | 2547 | 4.658 | 97.769 |
| 84 | | 2205 | 5.384 | 7.874 |
| | | 27 | 10.019 | 257.874 |
| 0 | | 2 | 10.933 | 37.73 |

750.0

529.856

14.154

22.416

38.6553, -78.2272

38.8947, -77.7547



1. Looking southeast at the upland swale located in the northwestern corner of the study area. No state waters or Waters of the U.S. (WOTUS) are located in this portion of the study area.



2. Looking south at the maintained lawn located in the northern portion of the study area. No state waters or WOTUS are located in this portion of the study area.



3. Looking south at the swale located in the northeastern corner of the study area. No state waters or WOTUS are located in this portion of the study area.



4. Looking at the yard inlet located to the east, upslope, of the stormwater swale located in the eastern portion of the study area. This inlet conveys stormwater flow along Moser Road and connected to underground stormwater pipes and outfalls west of Moser Road.



5. Looking east (upslope) at the stormwater swale (Feature S-1) located along the eastern portion of the study area. Flow observed within this feature is conveyed under Moser Road onto the study area. This portion of the stormwater swale contains a contiguous ordinary high water mark (OHWM) and has been classified as an intermittent stream channel.



6. Looking west (downslope) at the stormwater swale (Feature S-1) located along the eastern portion of the study area. This portion of the stormwater swale exhibits a discontinuous OHWM; however, it has been classified as an intermittent stream channel.



7. Looking west (downslope) at Data Point #1 located within the stormwater swale (Feature S-1) located in the eastern portion of the study area. Wetland hydrology and hydric soil indicators are satisfied; however, hydrophytic vegetation is not present within this swale. A continuous OHWM is lacking in this portion of this feature. However, this feature has been classified as an intermittent stream channel due to the upslope characteristics.



8. Looking east (upslope) at Data Point #2 located adjacent to the stormwater swale located in the eastern portion of the study area. The hydric soil indicator is satisfied at this location; however, wetland hydrology and hydrophytic vegetation is not present within this swale.



9. Looking north at the upland swale located in the central portion of the study area. Hydric soils and an ordinary high water mark (OHWM) are absent. No state waters or other WOTUS are located in this portion of the study area.



10. Looking at a yard inlet located within the parking lot in the central portion of the study area.



11. Looking southwest at the swale located in the southwestern portion of the study area. No state waters or WOTUS are located in this portion of the study area.



12. Looking east at the stormwater swale (Feature S-2) located within a constructed stormwater retention feature located at the western portion of the study area. A continuous ordinary high water mark and hydrophytic vegetation are not present within this feature. No wetlands or WOTUS are located within this portion of the study area.



13. Looking south at the upland swale located along Sullivan Street. No OHWM or wetland indicators are present within this swale. No state waters or other WOTUS are located in this portion of the study area.



14. Looking northeast at the Church located within the southern portion of the study area. No state waters or other WOTUS are located in this portion of the study area.

| U.S. Army WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SI See ERDC/EL TR-12-9; th | - | OMB Control #: 0710 Requirement Contr (Authority: AR 335- | ol Symbol EXEMP | ' Т : | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------|---|-------------------|-------------|--|
| Project/Site: <u>Warrenton UMC</u> City/County: <u>Town of Warrenton</u> Sampling Date: <u>4/20/24</u> Applicant/Owner: RDA Civil State: VA Sampling Point: 1 | | | | | | | |
| Investigator(s): LLC | | Section, Towns | ship, Range: | | | | |
| Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Swale | Lo | ocal relief (conca | ive, convex, none |): Concave | Slope (%): | 2-7 | |
| Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR S, MLRA 14 | | , | Long: -77.80 | | Datum: NAI | | |
| Soil Map Unit Name: 17B - Middleburg Loam | | | | NWI classification: | | | |
| · | | | | _ | | | |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site | | | | lo (If no, explai | | | |
| Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrol | | | | nstances" present? | | | |
| Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrol | ogy naturally probl | lematic? (If | needed, explain | any answers in Remark | s.) | | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach | site map showing | sampling po | oint locations | , transects, impor | tant features | s, etc. | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Yes No X | Is the Sampl | | Mar Na | X | | |
| | Yes X No Yes X No | within a Wet | land ? | Yes No | <u> </u> | | |
| Two of the three wetland parameters (i.e., hy located in the esatern portion of the study are | | | | | | | |
| HYDROLOGY | | | | | | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Indicators: | | | Sec | ondary Indicators (minir | | <u>red)</u> | |
| Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require | | | | Surface Soil Cracks (B | | | |
| X Surface Water (A1) | True Aquatic Plants | . , | | Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) | | | |
| X High Water Table (A2) X Saturation (A3) | Hydrogen Sulfide Oo Oxidized Rhizosphere | | | Drainage Patterns (B10 Moss Trim Lines (B16) |)) | | |
| Water Marks (B1) | Presence of Reduce | - | | Dry-Season Water Tab | le (C2) | | |
| Sediment Deposits (B2) | Recent Iron Reductio | | (C6) | Crayfish Burrows (C8) | () | | |
| Drift Deposits (B3) | Thin Muck Surface (| | . , | Saturation Visible on A | erial Imagery (C9 |)) | |
| Algal Mat or Crust (B4) | Other (Explain in Re | | | Stunted or Stressed Pla | ants (D1) | | |
| Iron Deposits (B5) | | | | Geomorphic Position (| 02) | | |
| Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7 |) | | | Shallow Aquitard (D3) | | | |
| Water-Stained Leaves (B9) | | | | Microtopographic Relie | f (D4) | | |
| Aquatic Fauna (B13) | | | | FAC-Neutral Test (D5) | | | |
| Field Observations: | | | | | | | |
| Surface Water Present? Yes X Water Table Present? Yes X | NoDepth (inchNoDepth (inch | · | | | | | |
| Saturation Present? Yes X | No Depth (inch | | Wetland Hvdro | ology Present? | Yes X No | | |
| (includes capillary fringe) | | | , , . | | | | |
| Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mol Date of latest rainfall: 4/19/24 0.01". | nitoring well, aerial photos | s, previous inspe | ections), if availab | le: | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point:

Item 2.

| Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30) | Absolute % Cover | Dominant Species? | Indicator Status | Dominance Test worksheet: |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| 1 | | | | Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:1 (A) |
| 3 | | | | Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) |
| 5. 6. | | | | Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B) |
| 7. | | | | Prevalence Index worksheet: |
| | | =Total Cover | | Total % Cover of: Multiply by: |
| 50% of total cover: | 20% | of total cover: | | OBL species 20 x 1 = 20 |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 |) | | | FACW species 5 x 2 = 10 |
| 1. | | | | FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$ |
| 2 | | | | FACU species 70 x 4 = 280 |
| 3. | | | | UPL species $15 \times 5 = 75$ |
| 4. | | | | Column Totals: 110 (A) 385 (B |
| | | · | | |
| 5. | | . <u> </u> | | Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.50 |
| 6 | | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: |
| 7 | | | | 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation |
| 8 | | | | 2 - Dominance Test is >50% |
| 9 | | | | 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ |
| | | =Total Cover | | 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supportin |
| 50% of total cover: | 20% | of total cover: | | data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5) | | | | Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) |
| 1. Eleocharis obtusa | 20 | Yes | OBL | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must t |
| 2. Ludwigia alternifolia | 5 | No | FACW | present, unless disturbed or problematic. |
| 3. Poa pratensis | 45 | Yes | FACU | Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: |
| 4. Glechoma hederacea | 15 | No | FACU | |
| | 10 | | | Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) c more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless o |
| 5. Taraxacum officinale | | No | FACU | height. |
| 6. Lamium purpureum | 15 | No | UPL | 5 |
| 7 | | | | Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less |
| 8 | | | | than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft |
| 9 | | | | m) tall. |
| 10 | | | | Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless |
| 11. | | | | of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. |
| | 110 | =Total Cover | | Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in |
| 50% of total cover: | 55 20% | of total cover: | 22 | height. |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3. | | <u> </u> | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | Hydrophytic |
| | | =Total Cover | | Vegetation |
| 50% of total cover: | 20% | of total cover: | | Present? Yes No X |
| Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa | rate sheet) | | | • |

No tree, sapling/shrub or woody vine strata present.

Item 2.

| Depth | Matrix | | Redo | ox Featur | es | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| (inches) | Color (moist) | % | Color (moist) | % | Type ¹ | Loc ² | Texture | Remarks | |
| 0-4 | 10YR 3/2 | 90 | 5YR 5/8 | 10 | С | Μ | Loamy/Claye | y Prominent redox concentrations | |
| | | | | | | | | Many small rocks | |
| 4-18 | 5Y 4/2 | 95 | 10YR 4/3 | 5 | С | М | Loamy/Claye | y Distinct redox concentrations | |
| | | | | _ | _ | | | Gravel present | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | oncentration, D=Depl | etion, RM | =Reduced Matrix, N | /IS=Mask | ed Sand | Grains. | | ation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. | |
| - | Indicators: | | Debarelue P | | face (50) | | | Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils | |
| Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MI Histic Epipedon (A2) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 14 | | | - | 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | istic (A3) | | Loamy Muc | • | | LKA 130 | Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) | | |
| | en Sulfide (A4) | | | | (MLRA 136, 147) | | | | |
| | d Layers (A5) uck (A10) (LRR N) | | X Redox Dark | | | | | Red Parent Material (F21) | |
| | d Below Dark Surface | (A11) | Depleted Dark | | . , | | - | (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148) | |
| | ark Surface (A12) | | Redox Depr | | . , | | | Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) | |
| | lucky Mineral (S1) | | Iron-Mangal | | . , | | - | Other (Explain in Remarks) | |
| | Gleyed Matrix (S4) | | MLRA 13 | | 5000 (1 12 | | , _ | | |
| | Redox (S5) | | | | | 122, 136 | 5) | ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and | |
| | <u> </u> | | wetland hydrology must be present, | | | | | | |
| | | unless disturbed or problematic. | | | | | | | |
| Restrictive | Layer (if observed): | | | | | | | | |
| Type: | | | | | | | | | |
| Depth (ii | nches) | | | | | | Hydric Soil P | Present? Yes X No | |

| U.S. Army Corps of Engineers | OMB Control #: 071 |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region See ERDC/EL TR-12-9; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R | Requirement Cont (Authority: AR 33 |
| | |

| Project/Site: Warrenton UMC | City/County: Town of Warrenton Sampling Date: 4/20/24 |
|---|---|
| Applicant/Owner: RDA Civil | State: VA Sampling Point: 2 |
| Investigator(s): LLC | Section, Township, Range: |
| Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Swale Loc | cal relief (concave, convex, none): <u>Concave</u> Slope (%): <u>2-7</u> |
| Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR S, MLRA 148 Lat: 38.719826 | Long: -77.803393 Datum: NAD83 |
| Soil Map Unit Name: 17B - Middleburg Loam | NWI classification: None |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year | ar? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.) |
| Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignificantly dis | sturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No |
| Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally proble | ematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing s | ampling point locations, transects, important features, etc. |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X | Is the Sampled Area |
| Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No | within a Wetland? Yes No X |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X | |
| Remarks: Only one of the three wetland parameters (i.e., hydric soil) is satisfied a the eastern portion of the study area. | at this location, which documents the constructed stormwater swale located in |

HYDROLOGY

| Wetland Hydrology Indicators: | Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) | |
|---|--|---|
| Primary Indicators (minimum of one is requi | Surface Soil Cracks (B6) | |
| Surface Water (A1) | Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) | |
| High Water Table (A2) | Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) | Drainage Patterns (B10) |
| Saturation (A3) | Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living R | bots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16) |
| Water Marks (B1) | Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) | Dry-Season Water Table (C2) |
| Sediment Deposits (B2) | Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil | s (C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8) |
| Drift Deposits (B3) | Thin Muck Surface (C7) | Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) |
| Algal Mat or Crust (B4) | Other (Explain in Remarks) | Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) |
| Iron Deposits (B5) | | Geomorphic Position (D2) |
| Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B | 7) | Shallow Aquitard (D3) |
| Water-Stained Leaves (B9) | | Microtopographic Relief (D4) |
| Aquatic Fauna (B13) | | FAC-Neutral Test (D5) |
| Field Observations: | | |
| Surface Water Present? Yes | No X Depth (inches): | |
| Water Table Present? Yes | No X Depth (inches): | |
| Saturation Present? Yes | No X Depth (inches): | Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X |
| (includes capillary fringe) | | |
| Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, me | onitoring well, aerial photos, previous insp | ections), if available: |
| Date of latest rainfall: 4/19/24 0.01". | | |
| | | |
| Remarks: | | |
| | | |

Sampling Point

Item 2.

2

| VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use | scientific names of a | olants. |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|

| | | or plants. | | |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|
| <u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u>) | Absolute % Cover | Dominant Species? | Indicator Status | Dominance Test worksheet: |
| 1. 2. | | | | Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0 (A) |
| 3. 4. | | | | Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B) |
| 5. 6. | | | | Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B) |
| 7. | | | | Prevalence Index worksheet: |
| | | =Total Cover | | Total % Cover of: Multiply by: |
| 50% of total cover: | 20% | of total cover: | | OBL species 0 x 1 = 0 |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15) | | | | FACW species 0 x 2 = 0 |
| 1 | | | | FAC species 5 x 3 = 15 |
| 2. | | | | FACU species 125 x 4 = 500 |
| 3. | | | | UPL species 15 x 5 = 75 |
| 4. | | | | Column Totals: 145 (A) 590 (B) |
| 5. | | | | Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.07$ |
| 6. | | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: |
| 7. | | | | 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation |
| | | | | 2 - Dominance Test is >50% |
| 8. | | | | |
| 9 | | | | 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$ |
| | | =Total Cover | | 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) |
| 50% of total cover: | 20% | of total cover: | | |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5) | | | | Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) |
| 1. Oxalis sp. | 15 | No | | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be |
| 2. Rumex crispus | 5 | No | FAC | present, unless disturbed or problematic. |
| 3. Poa pratensis | 95 | Yes | FACU | Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: |
| 4. Glechoma hederacea | 10 | No | FACU | Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or |
| 5. Taraxacum officinale | 10 | No | FACU | more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of |
| 6. Lamium purpureum | 15 | No | UPL | height. |
| 7. Anthoxanthum odoratum | 10 | No | FACU | Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less |
| 8 | | | | than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 |
| 9. | | | | m) tall. |
| 10 | | | | Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. |
| | 160 : | =Total Cover | | Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in |
| 50% of total cover: 80 | | of total cover: | 32 | height. |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30) | <u> </u> | | | |
| 1. | | | | |
| 2. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3. | | | | |
| 4. | | | | |
| 5 | | | | Hydrophytic |
| | | =Total Cover | | Vegetation |
| 50% of total cover: | 20% | of total cover: | | Present? Yes No X |
| Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ | ate sheet.) | | | |

No tree, sapling/shrub or woody vine strata present.

Item 2.

| Depth | Matrix | | Redo | ox Featur | es | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--|--|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| (inches) | Color (moist) | % | Color (moist) | % | Type ¹ | Loc ² | Texture | Remarks | | |
| 0-2 | 10YR 2/2 | 100 | | | | | Loamy/Clayey | Many fine roots | | |
| 2-8 | 10YR 4/2 | 75 | 7.5YR 4/4 | 15 | С | PL/M | Loamy/Clayey | , | | |
| | | | 10YR 4/1 | 10 | D | M | | | | |
| 8-18 | 10YR 4/4 | 85 | 5YR 4/6 | 5 | C | M | Loamy/Clayey | | | |
| 0-10 | 101K 4/4 | 65 | 51R 4/0 | | <u> </u> | IVI | LUamy/Clayey | | | |
| | | | 10YR 4/1 | 10 | | <u>M</u> | | | | |
| ¹ Type: C=C | oncentration, D=Depl | etion, RM | =Reduced Matrix, N | /S=Masł | ked Sand | Grains. | ² Loca | ition: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. | | |
| Hydric Soil | Indicators: | | | | | | li li | ndicators for Problematic Hydric Soils | | |
| Histosol | (A1) | | Polyvalue B | elow Sur | face (S8) | (MLRA | 147, 148) | 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) | | |
| Histic Epipedon (A2) | | | Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) | | | | | Coast Prairie Redox (A16) | | |
| Black Hi | stic (A3) | | Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136) | | | | | (MLRA 147, 148) | | |
| Hydroge | n Sulfide (A4) | | Loamy Gley | ed Matrix | (F2) | | _ | Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) | | |
| Stratified | l Layers (A5) | | X Depleted Ma | atrix (F3) | | | | (MLRA 136, 147) | | |
| 2 cm Mu | ıck (A10) (LRR N) | | Redox Dark | Redox Dark Surface (F6) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Redox Depressions (F8) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, | | | | Red Parent Material (F21) | | |
| X Depleted | d Below Dark Surface | e (A11) | Depleted Da | | | | | (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148) | | |
| Thick Da | ark Surface (A12) | | Redox Depr | | | | | Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) | | |
| Sandy M | lucky Mineral (S1) | | Iron-Mangar | | | | | Other (Explain in Remarks) | | |
| Sandy G | Bleyed Matrix (S4) | | MLRA 13 | 6) | | | | | | |
| Sandy F | ledox (S5) | | Umbric Surf | ace (F13 |) (MLRA | 122, 136 | 5) ³ | Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and | | |
| Stripped | Matrix (S6) | | Piedmont FI | oodplain | Soils (F | 9) (MLR | A 148) | wetland hydrology must be present, | | |
| Dark Su | rface (S7) | | Red Parent | Material | (F21) (M | LRA 127 | , 147, 148) | unless disturbed or problematic. | | |
| | Layer (if observed): | | | | | | | | | |
| Type: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | nches): | | | | | | Hydric Soil P | resent? Yes X No | | |

DEQ Stream Identification Field Data Form

Project/Site: <u>WUMC</u> City/County: <u>Town of Warrenton</u> Date: <u>4/20/2024</u> Applicant/Owner: <u>RDA Civil</u> Investigator(s): <u>Lauren Conner</u> Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): <u>Stream</u> <u>Valley/Terrace</u> Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>Concave</u> Slope (%): <u>0-3</u> Lat: <u>38.7197</u> Long: <u>-77.8028</u> Datum: <u>NAD 83</u>

| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing | Stream Identified: 🛛 Yes 🗆 No | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| sampling point locations within and upstream of the head | | | | | | | |
| of the stream. | Stream Reach 1-A (A/B Flagging | | | | | | |
| Stream bed present: 🛛 Yes 🗆 No | Series in eastern portion of study | | | | | | |
| Stream bank present: ⊠ Yes □ No | area). | | | | | | |
| OHWM present: ⊠ Yes □ No | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Site overview from remote and online resources. | Describe land use and flow regime | | | | | | |
| Check boxes for online resources used to evaluate site: | conditions from online resources. | | | | | | |
| □ Gage data | Were there any recent extreme | | | | | | |
| □ Regional Curve Data ⊠ Soil Survey | events (flooding or drought)? | | | | | | |
| □ Climatic data | Per the National Weather Service, the | | | | | | |
| ⊠ Aerial photos ⊠ Other | last rainfall event was 0.01" on | | | | | | |
| | 4/19/2024. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Check the boxes next to the field indicators used in stream | determination: | | | | | | |
| Geomorphic Indicators: | | | | | | | |
| 🖾 Channel Bank Features | | | | | | | |
| □ Natural line impressed on bank (above or below bankfull) | | | | | | | |
| □ Undercut bank | | | | | | | |
| □ Break in slope (on bank or at valley bottom) | | | | | | | |
| □ Continuous bed and bank | | | | | | | |
| Shelving (Top of bank, natural levee, or other) | | | | | | | |
| □ Clear bankfull storm event indicators present | | | | | | | |
| Channel Bars | | | | | | | |
| □ Shelving (on bar) | | | | | | | |
| □ Unvegetated (on bar) | | | | | | | |
| □ Vegetation transition (on bar) | | | | | | | |
| □ Sediment transition or sorting (on bar) | | | | | | | |
| □ Upper limit of deposition (on bar) | | | | | | | |

| Channel Bed / Bedload Transport Evidence |
|--|
| Depositional (deposited sediment, lateral bars, mid channel bars, etc.) |
| □ Bedform features (riffle, pool, steps, etc.) |
| ⊠ Erosional (scour, smoothing, etc.) |
| □ Secondary channel (lateral or parallel along the same valley or floodplain) |
| ☑ Evidence of thalweg |
| □ Headcuts (with other evidence) |
| □ Hydric soil development (changes in the character of soil) |
| □ Mudcracks (found within an unvegetated flow path/channel) |
| □ Changes in particle-size distribution (sediment sorting) |
| Vegetation Indicators: |
| □ Change in Vegetation Type / Density |
| □ Vegetation absent (channel bed) |
| ☑ Vegetation matted down or bent (channel bed or bars) |
| □ Exposed roots below intact soil layer (channel banks) |
| □ Destruction of terrestrial vegetation (channel banks, top of bank, etc.) |
| □ Change in plant community (transition from channel bed to floodplain) |
| Ancillary Indicators: |
| □ Wracking/presence of organic litter (along channel banks or floodplain) |
| □ Presence of obstacle marks (i.e. erosion/sedimentation around large obstacles in flow path) |
| ☑ Leaf litter disturbed or absent |
| □ Water staining |
| □ Weathered clast or bedrock |
| Deposited sediment within leaf pack (floodplain) |
| Other observed Indicators and/or additional observations? |
| Stormwater swale connecting a yard inlet across Moser Road and an inlet at the parking lot in the |
| central portion of the study area. This stormwater feature is located within a manmade swale at this |
| location. Feature lacks a continuous ordinary high water mark (OHWM). A data point conducted within this feature documents the presence of hydric soils and hydrology due to surface water after |
| recent precipitation event. |
| Describe rational for location of stream and provide supporting evidence for stream |
| identification: |
| |
| Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act Flow Regime Determination (if applicable): Site within |
| CBPA locality? Yes No |
| If Yes, which flow regime determination was used: |
| 🗆 NC DWQ 🛛 Fairfax 🗆 James City County |
| Flow Regime Determination Results: 🗆 Ephemeral 🛛 Intermittent 🗆 Perennial |
| |
| |

Note: Please refer to the "USACE 2022 National OHWM Field Delineation Manual for Rivers and Streams: Interim Version" at <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.21079/11681/46102</u> for detail on terminology.

| Fairfax County DPWES Per | ennial Strear | n Field Ide | entification P | rotocol | | |
|--|---------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|--|
| Site ID: 1-A | Flags: | A-1 to A- | -12 | | | |
| Date: 4/20/2024 | Recorder: | LLC | | | | |
| Time: 14:00 | Evaluators: | LLC | | | | |
| Field Indicators: | | | | | Parameter | |
| I.) Streamflow and Hydrology | Absent | Weak | Moderate | Strong | Score: | |
| 1.) Presence or absence of flowing water | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | |
| and >48 hrs since last rainfall | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | |
| 2.) Presence of high groundwater table or | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| seeps and springs | U | T | Z | 5 | 1 | |
| 3.) Leaflitter in streambed | 1.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | |
| 4.) Drift Lines | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | |
| 5.) Sediment on debris or plants | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 0 | |
| | Total St | treamflow | v and Hydrolo | ogy Points: | 3.5 | |
| II.) Geomorphology | Absent | Weak | Moderate | Strong | | |
| 1.) Riffle-pool sequence | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | |
| 2.) Sequence Sorting (USDA texture) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | |
| 3.) Natural Levees | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | |
| 4.) Sinuosity | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | |
| 5.) Active or Relic Floodplain | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | |
| 6.) Braided Channel | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | |
| 7.) Recent Alluvial Deposits | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | |
| 8.) Bankfull Bench present | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | |
| 9.) Continuous Bed and Bank | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | |
| 10.) 2nd order or greater channel present | Yes = 3 | | No = 0 | | 0 | |
| | | Total (| Geomorpholo | ogy Points: | 4 | |
| III.) Streambed Soils | | | | | | |
| 1.) Redoximorphic features present in sides | Prese | nt = 0 | Absen | t = 1.5 | | |
| of channel or head cut. | | | | . 1.0 | 0 | |
| 2.) Chroma | Gleyed = 3 | 1 = 2 | 2 = 1 | >2 = 0 | 2 | |
| | | | Streambed S | | 2 | |
| IV.) Vegetation | Absent | Weak | Moderate | | | |
| 1.) Rooted Aquatic Plants in Streambed | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | |
| 2.) Presence of Periphyton/green algae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | |
| 3.) Iron Oxidizing Bacteria/Fungus | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | |
| 4.) Wetland Plants in Streambed (Skip if no p Mostly | plants presen | t in strean | | CU, UPL, or | | |
| SAV = 3 Mostly OBL = 2 FACW = 1 | Mostly FAC | = 0.5 | Non | e = 0 | 1 | |
| | | Т | otal Vegetati | ion Points: | 1.5 | |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| Blunt spike rush (<i>Eleocharis obtusa</i> ; OBL) and marsh seedbox (<i>Ludwigia alternifolia</i> ; FACW) observed in channel. Note that most of the plants observed within the channel were FACW. | | | | | | |

Front Page Total 9 Points

| Fairfax County DPWES Perennial Stream Field Identification Protocol | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Site ID: 1-A | Flags: | A-1 to A | -12 | | | | |
| V.) Benthic Macroinvertebrates | Absent | Weak | Moderate | Strong | Parameter Score: | | |
| 1.) Benthic Macroinvertebrates | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | C | | |
| 2.) Bivalves | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | (| | |
| 3.) EPT Taxa | Pres | sent = 3 | Abse | nt = 0 | (| | |
| | Total | Benthic M | acroinvertebi | | C | | |
| VI.) Vertebrates | Absent | Weak | Moderate | | | | |
| 1.) Fish | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | (| | |
| 2.) Amphibians | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 0 | | |
| Douthing (Amphibiang Found) | | • | Total Vertebr | ate Points: | (| | |
| Benthics/Amphibians Found: Comments: No fish, amphibians or other animals found. | | | | | | | |
| | | | Тс | otal Points: | ç | | |

| Weather Observations | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Date of Last Rainfall: | 4/19/2024 | |
| | | |
| Rainfall Amount: | 0.01" | |
| Weather Data Source: | National Weather Service | |
| | | |

NC Division of Water Quality –Methodology for Identification of Intermittent and Perennial Streams and Their Origins v. 4.11

| Date: 4/20/2024 | Project/Site: War Stream Reach 1-/ | | Latitude: 38.7196 | | | |
|---|--|------------|--|-----------|---------------------|--|
| Evaluator: Lauren Conner | County: Town o | Longitude: | | | | |
| Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent if \ge 19 or perennial if \ge 30* 16.5 | Stream Determi one) Ephemera Perennial | | Other e.g. Quad Name : Warrenton, Virginia | | | |
| A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>4.5</u>) | Absent | Weak | Moderate | Strong | Parameter Score: | |
| 1 ^a Continuity of channel bed and bank | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | |
| 2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | |
| 3. In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-poo | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | |
| sequence | | | _ | | 0 | |
| 4. Particle size of stream substrate | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | |
| 5. Active/relict floodplain | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | |
| 6. Depositional bars or benches | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | |
| 7. Recent alluvial deposits | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | |
| 8. Headcuts | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | |
| 9. Grade control | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 0 | |
| 10. Natural valley | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | |
| 11. Second or greater order channel No = 0 Yes = 3 | | | | | | |
| ^a artificial ditches are not rated; see discussions in manual B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>6.5</u>) | | | | | | |
| 12. Presence of Baseflow | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | |
| 13. Iron oxidizing bacteria | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | |
| 14. Leaf litter | 1.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | |
| 15. Sediment on plants or debris | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 0 | |
| 16. Organic debris lines or piles | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | |
| 17. Soil-based evidence of high water table? | No | = 0 | Yes | = 3 | 3 | |
| C. Biology (Subtotal = <u>5.5</u>) | | | | | | |
| 18. Fibrous roots in streambed | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | |
| 19. Rooted upland plants in streambed | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | |
| 20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | |
| 21. Aquatic Mollusks | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | |
| 22. Fish | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 0 | |
| 23. Crayfish | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 0 | |
| 24. Amphibians | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 0 | |
| 25. Algae | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 0 | |
| 26. Wetland plants in streambed | | FACW = | 0.75; OBL = | | 1.5 | |
| *perennial streams may also be identified using other methods. | See p. 35 of manua | | | | - | |
| | | | Total Read | ch Score: | 16 | |

Notes: Blunt spike rush (*Eleocharis obtusa*; OBL), marsh seedbox (*Ludwigia alternifolia*; FACW), Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*; FACU), ground ivy (*Glechoma hederacea*; FACU), dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale; FACU*) and purple dead nettle (*Lamium purpureum*; UPL) observed in stream channel. No macrobenthos, fish or amphibians observed within the channel.





Coward R4 = Inte

Notes:

- (copyright 2023) was utilized as a base for this exhibit.
- searched for wetlands and other WOTUS.

- 8.

Draft: LLC

| | LEGEND |
|---|---|
| | STUDY AREA |
| | INTERMITTENT STREAM CHANNEL (R4) |
| | APPROXIMATE INTERMITTENT STREAM CHANNEL (R4) |
| + | DATA POINT |
| | |

| | WARRENTON UMC | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|----|-----|-------|-------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| | SUMMARY OF WETLANDS AND WOTUS WITHIN STUDY AREA | | | | | | | | | |
| r ID Latitude Longitude Cowardin Class Cowardin Class Stream Area (Linear (Square Feet) Feet) Feet) Class Class of Aquatic Reso (Tidal/Non-tidal, Section etc. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 38.71970 | -77.80290 | R4 | 272 | 973 | 0.02 | Non-tidal, Section 401 | | | |
| | 38.71990 | -77.80530 | R4 | 120 | 202 | <0.01 | Non-tidal, Section 401 | | | |
| AL | | | | 392 | 1,175 | 0.03 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| din (| Classification | | | | | | | | | |
| itern | nittent Stream Ch | annel | | | | | | | | |

1. Wetland and waters of the U.S. (WOTUS) delineation field work was completed on April 20, 2024 by Lauren Conner, PWS, PWD, VSWD. Wetland and stream delineation flagging was located by InterAgency, Inc. (IA) via GPS (with submeter accuracy) on April 20, 2024.

The horizontal datum is based on the Virginia South State Plane Coordinate System, North American Datum, 1983. The vertical datum was established using GPS methods and is based on the National Geodetic Vertical Datum NAVD 1988. Topographic and base information provided to InterAgency, Inc. by RDA Civil on March 19, 2024. Natural color aerial imagery from Microsoft Bing

3. The wetland delineation has been completed pursuant to Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 1987 wetland delineation manual and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region (Version 2.0), dated November 2010.

As the study area is ±11 acres, transects were established and the study area was systematically

Jurisdictional features within the study area were flagged with pink-glo vinyl flagging. The data point location was denoted using pink-glo and orange-glo vinyl flagging.

This jurisdictional feature originates upslope, outside of the study area.

This jurisdictional feature continues downslope, outside of the study area.

Upland swale feature lacks hydric soil and a contiguous ordinary high water mark (OHWM);

therefore, this is not a jurisdictional feature to DEQ or the USACE.

| ар | GRAPHIC SCALE |
|----|--------------------------------|
| | (IN FEET) 1 inch = 50 ft. |



WALSH COLUCCI LUBELEY & WALSH PC

Jessica L. Pfeiffer (703) 680-4664 Ext. 5119 jpfeiffer@thelandlawyers.com Fax: (703) 680-6067

June 14, 2024

Via Hand Delivery and E-mail (dharris@warrentonva.gov)

Denise M. Harris Planning Manager 21 Main Street Warrenton, VA 20186

> Re: ZMA 2023-01 Zoning Map Amendment, Warrenton United Methodist Church/Hero's Bridge Resubmission and Comment Response Letter

Dear Mrs. Harris:

In response to the comments received to date and our meeting with you, please find the following resubmission materials compiled into twelve (12) individual packages.

- 1. Revised, executed Land Development Application. Please note one package includes the original and the rest are copies;
- 2. Revised, executed Land Use Application Affidavits. Please note one package includes the original and the rest are copies;
- 3. Copy of the Warrenton United Methodist Church / Hero's Bridge Statement of Justification, dated November 30, 2023, revised June 12, 2024;
- 4. Full size copy and reduction of the general development plan entitled "Warrenton United Methodist Church / Hero's Bridge Zoning Map Amendment," prepared by Rinker Design Associates, P.C., dated June 3, 2024 and consisting of the following sheets (hereinafter, the "GDP"):
 - Existing Conditions Plat
 - Zoning Plat
 - Concept Plan Phase I
 - Concept Plan Phase II

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

703 680 4664 WWW.THELANDLAWYERS.COM 4310 PRINCE WILLIAM PARKWAY SUITE 300 WOODBRIDGE, VA 22192-5199 Denise M. Harris June 14, 2024 Page 2

- Landscape Plan Phase I
- Landscape Plan Phase II
- Pre & Post Development Drainage Map Phase I
- Pre & Post Development Drainage Map Phase II
- Vehicle Turning Analysis Ambulance Phase I
- Vehicle Turning Analysis Fire Truck Phase I;
- Copy of the preliminary environmental analysis entitled "Warrenton United Methodist Church – Waters of the U.S. (WOTUS) and Wetland Delineation Report," prepared by InterAgency, Inc., dated April 29, 2024 (hereinafter, the "Preliminary Environmental Analysis");
- 6. Copy of the draft Proffer Statement, dated June 12, 2024;
- 7. Copy of the redlined proffers reflecting revisions from the version dated November 30, 2023.

The following are the Applicant's responses to the comments that they received. Please note that only weaknesses/items to be addressed are listed below.

As an initial statement with respect to these responses, the Applicant observes, as the Staff is aware, that there is no existing zoning classification in the Town that fits the use proposed in this application entirely. Because it does not seem prudent or advisable to create a new zoning classification that can be applied in other locations, the Applicant has chosen to employ the flexibility provided to all applicants under the Virginia conditional zoning statutes and Supreme Court decisions to use proffers to create an enforceable framework for this unique and valuable use. It has been with this in mind, and the sound comments received, that these responses have been prepared.

| Planning Staff, dated January 19, 2024 | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Agency Comment | Applicant's Response | | | |
| Please update the Statement of Justification to address these components of the comprehensive plan and address how the Concept Development Plan and elevations meet the comprehensive plan goals. Of particular concern is the varying descriptions of the rezoning proposal makes it is impossible at this time to determine if the application is in conformance with the Future Land Use Map Medium Density designation that | Please see the revised Statement of Justification included with this resubmission. As discussed at the meeting on March 7, 2024, the density is within that of the Medium Density designation. The approximate density is 4.5 dwelling units per acre. | | | |

| states up to 5 dwelling units per acre. Please advise as a Comprehensive Plan Amendment may be required with the rezoning application. | |
|---|---|
| The Applicant should review these goals and address them in the resubmission. In addition, the Applicant should further explain the provided bus/van service, how it will be utilized, and if infrastructure (shelters, etc) will be provided for it. Likewise, the Statement of Justification indicates "daily visits from social workers, assistance with veterans' benefits, visitors. The Applicant states there will be a total of 947 vehicle trips per day, an increase from the estimated current volume of 182 trips per day generated by the Church. This is a substantial increase on neighborhood streets with no proposed improvements. | According to the project engineer, the proposed Phase I vehicles per day are estimated to be an additional 68 trips for both weekday and weekend (Sunday) scenarios. The proposed additional trips added are the equivalent of 7 single-family homes, which is equal to the by right number of homes that could be constructed in the same development area without a rezoning. The proposed Phase II vehicle trips are an additional 492 trips for both weekday and weekend (Sunday) scenarios. These trips are higher than anticipated because the project engineer had to use , the most similar options in the ITE Manual. |
| | As discussed at our meeting with the Staff on March 7, 2024, Hero's Bridge plans to use a 12- person van for the residents. The van would be run approximately two times a week to take residents to shop for groceries, medicine, etc. A dedicated parking spot for this van is depicted on the revised GDP. |
| | There may be four staff for Hero's Bridge, which will include one full time community health care worker, one property manager, and two volunteers. There will likely be visits from doctors and other health care providers about once a month. |
| The Applicant seeks to locate a residential development near community facilities. Specific to the site, the Applicant needs to assess how emergency service vehicles will access the units, the existing infrastructure and water pressure requirements for fire suppression, and the, as proposed, off-site stormwater facility. The Applicant should consider bringing all | Please see the revised GDP, which includes two sheets that show how fire trucks and ambulance can maneuver the property and access the proposed units. This has been done. Further water analysis and SWM engineering calculations will be included in connection with site plan review. |

| associated parcels into the proposal for a proper PUD. Please address. | |
|--|---|
| As stated above, bungalow courts, as defined in the Housing Chapter, are recommended as a potentially appropriate land use in R-10. However, the Zoning Ordinance has not yet been updated to incorporate this. The Applicant is proposing a form of goal H-4; however, it is unclear who will maintain control of the property the residential units will be built upon. Likewise, it is unclear who is responsible for the building and maintaining of the community building or where the proposed senior services will be provided on site (e.g. the offices, the community building, the church, etc). Finally, the Applicant should take into account the existing character of the neighborhood and consider if the proposed elevations are in keeping with the scale, character, and heritage. | The units in connection with Phase I will be maintained by Hero's Bridge under a written agreement with the Church. The Multipurpose Recreational Center proposed with Phase II would be maintained by both the Church and Hero's Bridge. The property will continue to be owned by the Church, and the Church will continue as a fully functioning Methodist Church. The Applicant maintains that the design of the facilities is consistent with the community, but in order to accommodate the purpose of the proposal they cannot be designed as individual single- family residences that are identical to those already existing in the community. These homes will not adversely affect the surrounding area. |
| Proffer 1.2 contains the unclear phrase "22 duplex residential homes in quadplexes." Note that the PUD zoning district provides at 3-5.2.4.1, "Uses within the R-PUD shall emphasize residential uses permitted in the underlying base zoning district." However, section 3-5.2.5.1 (9) does allow Two-, Three-, and Four- Family dwelling units in an R-PUD. The phrase "22 duplex residential homes in a quadplexes" is unclear, then, for the following reasons: Its inconsistent with itself: duplexes are not quadplexes. The phrase "a quadplexes" is ungrammatical. R-PUD doesn't allow duplexes or quadplexes – did the Applicant mean two- and four- family dwelling units? Because the proposed development isn't divided into lots, the proposed dwelling | Please see the revised proffers where this has been clarified. The GDP references these units as duplexes. |

| family dwelling" or "four-family dwelling" in the Zoning ordinance. By failing to "emphasize residential uses permitted in the underlying base zoning district," the proposed rezoning is arguably inconsistent with the purposes of R-PUD. | |
|--|--|
| Proffer 1.2 fails to provide a bedroom count or other basis for determining density. The Town should therefore require additional information to determine if the proposed development is consistent with the PUD density standard set out in Zoning Ordinance 3-5.2.3.1 (1). | Please see the revised proffers where this has been clarified. Each of the units will have one bedroom. |
| Because there is only one dwelling type, the proposed development also is inconsistent with 3-5.2.4.2 (3). | Please see the proffers where this is addressed in Proffer #2. |
| Proffer 1.4 purports to make the existing Church a permitted use, as opposed to a nonconforming use, after the rezoning. Is this due to a desire to be able to expand the Church in the future without complying with the rules governing expansion of a non-conforming use? | Please see the proffers where this is addressed in Proffer #2. The Church has no expansion plans, except to the extent that it will wish to participate in the Multipurpose Recreational Center when it is constructed with Phase II. Counsel for the Applicant has recommended in circumstances that are similar to this that an ongoing use become a proffered permitted use in order to avoid any possible issues that may arise in the event of a catastrophic loss and attendant limitations on reconstruction. |
| Proffer 2.2 does <i>not</i> limit residency to veterans, the elderly, or any group of people. It references the Applicant's housing program but does not incorporate it by reference. | It is legally permissible to limit housing to older persons under the federal Housing for Older Persons Act, an element of the Fair Housing Act, at both state and federal levels. It is not, however, lawful to proffer to restrict housing to any other group because that would constitute a discriminatory housing practice. |
| | It is permissible for an organization like Hero's Bridge to develop and implement a program that focuses on a group of needful persons. As a matter of policy, it serves veterans 65 years of age and |
| | older and the proffers have been revised accordingly. |
|--|--|
| Proffer 3.1 would allow Phase II's recreation center to occupy much of the area now provided for parking. Please provide an analysis of the parking needs that would justify this. | Please see the revised GDP which includes a parking analysis under the site tabulations. |
| Proffer 4.1 does not require maintenance of landscaping. It should identify the party responsible for maintenance, the standard for maintenance, and the inspection schedule. | Hero's Bridge and the Church will both be responsible for the maintenance of the Property, including its landscaping, based on their private agreement. There will be a 49-year long term ground lease with two 10-year renewal periods, effectively a 69-year ground lease. Hero's Bridge will own all of the structures and will be responsible for the upkeep of the structures and the landscaping/maintenance of Phase I. The church will continue to be responsible for all of the upkeep and maintenance of the other parcels. Hero's Bridge and the Church will share maintenance of common areas which would mainly be the shared drive that enters the community. All of these upkeep and maintenance plans are in the legally binding lease. |
| Proffer 4.2 does not include any enforceable criteria for amenities. It references "walking trails, recreation areas, and other active recreation facilities as depicted on the CDP," but the CDP does not show any such facilities unless you count the Phase II recreational center. Nor does proffer 4.2 provide any timelines or specifications for creating any such facilities. | Please see the revised GDP. A Small Office/Community Center will be constructed with Phase I. This will be for the residents and contain amenities. This Small Office/Community Center is intended to have a movie viewing area, a senior technology library, an arts and crafts area, a music area, an area for pet therapy for residents, and a fitness room. |
| | The outdoor amenities with Phase I will include walking trails with senior appropriate fitness trail signage, a wildlife sanctuary, gazebos, and an existing flagstone garden for meditation purposes. |
| | In addition to the amenities in Phase I, a separate Multipurpose Recreational Center is proposed to be constructed in Phase II. The Multipurpose |

| | Recreational Center will potentially include multi-purpose gym/event space, meeting areas, a kitchen, educational space, an indoor walking track, and multi-purpose office space. |
|---|---|
| Proffer 5.1 requires that outdoor lighting be "so designed as to avoid light spillover," but doesn't require it to be built to match the design. Cf. proffer 6.1 ("design and construct"). | The proposed lighting will be limited to parking and travelways, as required. The buildings will likely have security lighting, and the trail may have ground mounted lighting. A detailed lighting plan/design will be provided in connection with site plan review. It will all be so designed as to avoid any light spillover. |
| Police Department, dated February 7, 2024 | |

| Agency Comment Applicant's Response |
|-------------------------------------|
|-------------------------------------|

As a note to the below security related recommendations. Many of these recommendations are currently being built into Hero's Bridge's owner's specifications that will go to all potential design/building contractors. More details can be provided in connection with site plan review.

| Traffic: | |
|--|--|
| There would be a minor effect to vehicular traffic during construction. Once construction is complete, the entrances on Moser Rd. and Church St. will direct traffic into and out of the complex. As designed, the exit from the facility onto Frazier Rd. will alleviate traffic backup for persons exiting onto Broadview Ave. by providing an alternate exit route. | Acknowledged. Acknowledged. |
| • Should the parking lot not be sufficient for residents and Church goers, overflow parking will be on Church St. and Moser Rd, which present a safety issue. There is space near the playground where additional parking could be accommodated. | Please see the revised GDP, which includes a parking analysis under the site tabulations. There is no intention to use on-street parking. The traffic generation and parking requirements likely overestimate the number of vehicles per day and parking required based on the nature of the use. |

| <u>Pedestrian:</u> Pedestrian safety will not be affected by this construction. | Acknowledged. |
|--|--|
| • A sidewalk is recommended around the facility on Church St. and Moser Rd. | Please see the revised GDP, where pedestrian connectivity is shown. |
| Lighting: | |
| A detailed lighting plan has not been submitted at this time. CPTED best practices show lighting should be LED or OLED with a | The proposed lighting will be limited to parking and travelways, as required. The buildings will likely have security lighting and the trail may have ground mounted lighting. A detailed lighting plan/design will be provided in connection with |
| correlated color temperature of between 2700 and 3000 Kelvin. After installation a night-time lighting study should be done to check illumination, uniformity, and brightness and to ensure the lights are properly shielded so glare doesn't affect traffic or existing properties on Moser Rd. and Church St. Lighting should be of an unbreakable material and be tamperproof to prevent vandalism and pockets of shadows. There will be multiple pathways throughout this facility; lighting at night is a safety concern and should be a priority. Residents may have a significant distance to walk from the parking lot to their unit. | site plan review and not only will there be no light spillover, but the Police Department comments will be taken into consideration during the site development plan process. |
| Landscaping: The construction will result in new landscaping being installed. Tree type and placement should be planned so the canopy doesn't interfere with the lights in the parking lot or along pathways as they grow. | Please see the revised GDP where landscaping is depicted. The Applicant will provide more landscaping detail in connection with site plan review. |

| Tree type and shrubbery should not prevent line of sight access to the property or provide concealment. Tree placement should be considered so as not to allow access onto the roofs. | |
|--|--|
| CPTED best practices for schools include considerations for both interior and exterior construction. This special use permit does not include interior plans. I have included some recommendations for school safety considerations: | This is not a school, and while these comments are appreciated, they do not appear applicable to this application. |
| Fewer entrances. Once school is in session, only the main front entrance can be opened from the outside. All visitors must use the front entrance. Provide a separate, controlled entrance for staff. Can the school office staff clearly see the entrance area and can they observe approaching visitors before they reach the entrance? Main entrance should be secured with a man trap. Do office staff have the ability to physically deny entry to visitors by remotely locking/unlocking the man trap? Do staff members have immediate lockdown capability in classrooms and other locations? Clearly establish and define school property lines. Enclose the campus; this is more a measure to keep outsiders out than insiders in. Besides defining property boundaries, a robust fence forces a perpetrator to consciously trespass, rather than allowing casual entry. Ensure that classrooms and administrative areas can be closed off and | |

| locked from the gym and other facilities that might be used after hours. Restrict external access to parking areas. Have clearly defined areas for staff and visitor parking. Staff parking can be secured by controlled entrances. Prohibit through-traffic on campus. Minimize secluded hiding spaces for unauthorized persons. Avoid blocking lines of sight with landscaping. Provide clear signage and posted rules as to who is allowed to use parking facilities and when they are allowed to do so. | |
|--|--|
| Zoning, dated January 12, 2024 | |
| Agency Comment | Applicant's Response |
| General | |
| The plan does not address the current conditions of Church Street, Moser Road, or the intersection of Church Street with Sullivan Street/Broadview Ave.; address. The existing edge of pavement, pavement markings, signage, curb/gutter and sidewalk, existing drainage features, etc. should be shown so that the proposed impacts to area roadways can be evaluated. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.03 and C.04) where these items are now shown, as requested. |
| Aerial imagery and topography show a significant drainage feature running across the width of the 3.9-acre portion of the property; Soil Survey maps show that soil type 17B, described as having potential hydric inclusions and an elevated ground water table, are located in a broad swath following the general location of this drainage feature. | Please see the Preliminary Environmental Analysis that is provided with this resubmission. |
| a. Provide a site-specific evaluation of the property to include the location of any wetlands and/or stream channels, evaluation data points, the survey- | |

| accurate location of wetlands and stream channel banks, along with a determination of the type of water flow (ephemeral, intermittent, perennial). b. Staff notes that should any hydric soils be found, that a Jurisdictional Determination by the USACE will be required as a part of any future Site Development Plan application, to include required permits for wetland/stream channel disturbance from the USACE and DEQ. | |
|---|---|
| Property records show the presence of a waterline easement in the north-eastern property corner, near Unit 44; show the easement and any existing waterline. Revise the plan as necessary to address the utility. | The project engineer has confirmed that we do not possess any records that show that easement, but if it does exist, there is no waterline associated with The easement would be vacated if necessary. |
| The application does not address adequate water and sewer service. a. Show existing water lines, and approximate locations of all proposed connections and new lines, to include fire hydrants and adequate fire service lines. b. Show existing sewer lines and manholes, as well as approximate locations of all proposed new lines. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.03 and C.04) where the approximate locations of the existing and proposed waterlines, proposed hydrants, and existing and proposed sanitary sewers have been added. The locations of the proposed fire service lines and sanitary building connections will be provided in connection with site plan review once the architectural layout of the proposed buildings has been determined. The Applicant has no reason to believe there is insufficient sewer and water service available for the project. |
| The application does not provide sufficient information to show that adequate stormwater management can be provided for the property. a. Provide a preliminary/conceptual SWM plan, to include major drainage sheds. b. Label existing drainage facilities with type, size, and invert information. c. Staff notes that the existing outfall on PIN 6984-15-1967 is known to have | Storm sewer labels and a Storm As-Built table have been added to the GDP for clarification. Pre- and post-development drainage maps for the Phase I and Phase II designs have also been added to the GDP (Sheets. C.07 and C.08). The SWM design depicted is preliminary, and a more detailed SWM design will be included with the site development plan. |

| issues with adequate conveyance of storm flows; the proposed development will add a significant amount of new impervious area leading to an increase in runoff volume that could negatively impact neighboring properties and existing drainage facilities. Ensuring the adequacy of the off-site receiving facilities and structures must be considered. | |
|---|--|
| The application does not address how mail service and package deliveries will be accommodated; address. | This has not been determined at this time; however, the Applicant anticipates that mail will be received in the Small Office/Community Center. Hero's Bridge will work with the appropriate Town Staff in the USPS in connection with site plan and building permit review. |
| The property currently exists as two separate parcels, however the statement of justification speaks to cross-use of facilities and services; either show the separating parcel line as "To be Vacated" or revise the plan and application materials to show that the residential/office component are stand-alone facilities that are completely separate from the Church and recreational facility. | The Applicant cannot consolidate the parcels because of United Methodist Church restrictions. |
| Proffer Statement | |
| The proffer statement provided for review includes multiple statements that repeat minimum zoning ordinance requirements that must be addressed by all development projects and are not proffers as such. | 1 1 |
| The elevation drawings that were submitted as a part of the application materials are not addressed as part of the proffer statement, in terms of proffered materials, color palette, architectural treatments, or style. Either remove the elevations from the application as extraneous | Please see the revised proffers where the elevation drawings have been added. |

| or include the elevations as a part of the plan set and address the elevations as a part of the proffer statement in defined, enforceable terms. | |
|---|---|
| The proffer statement does not provide details as to the homes, such as number of bedrooms, number of levels, square footage, ADA accessibility, etc.; address. | The proffers and GDP provide the level of detail that is known at this point. The homes will be one bedroom, one level and ADA accessible and/or aging in place friendly. |
| Staff notes that the statement of justification speaks to the intent to serve older and/or disable veterans, however nothing in the proffer statement speaks to this intent, nor to how the Church use is an integral part of the services to be provided to the residents. | Please see the revised Statement of Justification where this has been added. |
| The statement of justification includes the provision of bus service, and nursing, physical and mental health services, however the proffer statement does not include any of these services. Either amend the statement of justification to remove these items or revise the proffer statement to include these services as integral to the intent and function of the project. | The Applicant did not remove this from the Statement of Justification because it is an important aspect of the use; however, we did provide additional information regarding parking, trip generation and uses to address this comment. |
| Should the project be intended to serve the elderly, disabled persons, veterans, or other groups with identifiable needs, then the proffers and plan drawing should include facilities that cater to the needs of persons that meet these demographic characteristics such as accessible/universal design features for both outdoor facilities and interior building design. | Please see the proffers and GDP where the information that is known is included. The Small Office/Community Center and Multipurpose Recreational Center will be ADA compliant. |
| Section 1, proffer 1.2 states that there are 2 single family dwellings that are part of this application, however these dwellings are not indicated in the plan set; address. | Please see the revised proffers where this has been addressed. These 2 homes are not part of the application. |
| Section 1, proffer 1.4 is unclear in intent, as the Church structure and use is part of the overall | Please see the revised proffers where the height has been clarified as 35 feet. |

| rezoning application per the statement of justification. Additionally, the height limitation is a standard found in the zoning ordinance and not a proffer. | |
|--|--|
| Section 1, proffer 1.5 states that " shall ensure separation between those homes as depicted on the CDP", however staff does not understand the intent of this statement; resolve. | Please see the revised proffers where this has been removed. |
| Section 1, proffer 1.6 does not provide a defined trigger for when the office or recreation center will be constructed in relation to construction of the residential units; either address by including a defined, enforceable trigger, or remove the office and recreation center from the application materials altogether. | A Small Office/Community Center will be constructed in connection with the units in Phase I. The Multipurpose Recreational Center in Phase II does not have a defined trigger, at this time, quite simply because the Applicant and Hero's Bridge cannot predict when the funds will be available to proceed with Phase II. Please see the revised proffers where more information on timing has been added. |
| Section 2, proffers 2.1 and 2.2 are unclear, lacking detail or an explanation of intent; address. | Please see the revised proffers where these have been clarified. |
| Section 3, proffer 3.1 is unclear in intent, as the plan drawing does not provide sufficient detail to ensure that all zoning ordinance requirements are met for on-site parking and loading. The proffer should either be deleted so as to not seem to be allowing the developer to meet a standard that may be less than the minimum requirements of the ordinance, or revised so as to actually proffer some site improvements that is more than the absolute ordinance minimum. | Please see the revised proffers where this proffer has been removed. |
| Section 4, proffer 4.1 does not address any buffering or screening beyond the minimum requirements of the zoning ordinance that must be met by all projects, and the landscaping shown on the plan does not meet minimum ordinance requirements; address. | Please see the revised GDP where buffering and screening have been revised/clarified. |

| Section 4, 4.2 does not specify a minimum number or type of amenities to be provided, nor are any such shown on the plan drawing; revise. | Please see the revised GDP. A Small Office/ Community Center and amenities will be constructed with the Phase I. This Small Office/Community Center is intended to have a movie viewing area, a senior technology library, an arts and crafts area, a music area, an area for pet therapy for residents, and a fitness room. |
|---|--|
| | The outdoor amenities will include walking trails with senior appropriate fitness trail signage, a wildlife sanctuary, gazebos, and an existing flagstone garden for meditation purposes. |
| | In addition to the amenities in Phase I, a separate Multipurpose Recreational Center is proposed to be constructed in Phase II. The Multipurpose Recreational Center will potentially include multi-purpose gym/event space, meeting areas, a kitchen, educational space, an indoor walking track, and multi-purpose office space. |
| Section 5, proffer 5.1 is a minimum zoning ordinance requirement and not a proffer. | Please see the revised proffers where this proffer has been clarified. |
| Section 6, proffers 6.1 and 6.2 are minimum requirements that all developments must provide, and not a proffer. | - |

| Article 3-5.2.3.1 – General Planning Considera | tions (R-PUD) |
|---|--|
| The plan does not clearly illustrate the use of open space required, but the statement of justification does not address the use of open space by the residents. | Please see the revised GDP where the use of open space is further detailed. |
| The application does not address pedestrian connectivity; resolve. a. Provide crosswalk connections from the residential area to the Church and recreational center across the internal accessway. b. Provide sidewalk connections from the proposed development areas to the outer perimeter of the site. c. Provide sidewalk along all street frontages. d. Address how residents will access the larger pedestrian network outside of the project boundaries. e. Provide a bike rack or other facilities to address multi-modal transportation. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.03 and C.04) which includes cross walk connection, additional sidewalks and a bike rack has been added. Upon consideration of the provision of pedestrian walkability, it would appear most prudent to provide a sidewalk connection along Church Street, to connect to the existing sidewalk from the intersection of Church Street and Sullivan Street to Broadview Avenue. Discussions with members of the surrounding community have indicated a general unwillingness to see sidewalk installed elsewhere on Moser or Sullivan itself. |
| The internal accessways are narrow and appear to have tight turn radii; adequate access by EMS vehicles must be provided to all areas within the project. a. Provide a turn radius/travel path diagram to show that large EMS vehicles can maneuver throughout the site. b. The existing public roadways appear to be narrow; show that EMS vehicles can access the site from Church Street and Moser Road, to include the Church St./Moser Rd. intersection and the Moser Rd./Frazier Rd. intersection. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.09 and C.10), which includes a sheet depicting vehicle turning analyses for EMS vehicles. |
| As a recommendation, the applicant should indicate how fire suppression/protection will be addressed, such as building construction | The Applicant will address this in connection with site plan review. |

Г

| methods, sprinklering of residential/office/recreational structures, fire hydrants, fire lanes and accessways, etc. | |
|---|--|
| Article 3-5.2.4.1 – Standards and Criteria for I | Planned Unit Developments |
| The proposal appears to meet the minimum 50% residential use required for an R-PUD. | Acknowledged. |
| Staff is unable to determine that open space requirements are met at this time. The 25% minimum required open space is not delineated on the plan nor is the use of the open space for amenities for the residents. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.05 and C.06) where the open space delineation has been added. |
| Article 3-5.2.4.2 – Other Criteria for Residential Planned Unit Developments | |
| The proposal does not meet the minimum required 25 acres; however, the applicant has requested a waiver of this requirement from the Town Council. | Acknowledged. |
| The proposal does not meet the requirement for two housing types. The applicant has requested a waiver of this requirement from the Town Council. | Acknowledged. |
| The proposal exceeds the maximum allowable 50% multi-family units. | Acknowledged. |
| The required open space is not delineated on the plan. Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.C. C.06) where the open space delineation has added. | |
| The applicants have indicated that the proposed recreational facility may or may not be constructed in phase II dependent on funding; however, applicable recreational facilities must be constructed prior to construction of the next phase. | Please see the revised proffers, which includes more information regarding phasing. |

| Article 3-5.2.5 – Use Regulations – Residential | Planned Unit Developments (R-PUD) |
|--|---|
| The zoning ordinance does not specifically allow the proposed use. The applicant is requesting consideration from the Town Council to allow the use within the R-PUD district. Similar by- right uses are an apartment building, Senior/disability housing, and two, three, and four-family dwelling units. In comparison, a similar use that would require approval of a special use permit is affordable dwelling units (ADU) within areas designated for multifamily development. | This is a use that can be allowed by means of a proffer. See the comment at the beginning of this letter. |
| Article 3-5.2.5.7 – Density/Intensity and Area I | Regulations |
| The Comprehensive Plan identifies the property as medium density residential. This designation encourages higher density areas near major thoroughfares and commercial areas. The medium density areas are intended to permit densities up to five dwelling units per acre; The applicant has requested approximately 4.8 dwelling units per acre. | The proposal is within the density range in the Comprehensive Plan. |
| The proposed floor area ratio (FAR) is far less than the maximum allowable FAR within the Zoning Ordinance. | With Phase II, the proposed FAR is 0.18. |
| Ingress/egress easements will be required to utilize the emergency access areas shown on adjacent property belonging to the Church. | Ingress and egress easements will be included in connection with site plan and plat review and approval. |
| Interior side yard setback adjacent to the Church is not provided. This must be a minimum of 15' unless a waiver of setback is requested from the Town Council. | Please see the revised GDP where the side yard setback has been added. The project's civil engineer has confirmed that the Church building does not lie within the setback and therefore a waiver will not be required. |

| Article 6 – Signs | |
|---|---|
| No signs included as part of the application. Any proposed signs will need to meet the regulations noted under Article 6 of the Zoning Ordinance. | Acknowledged. |
| Article 7 – Parking | |
| Staff is unable to verify that parking requirements are met with this submission. The plan mentions a parking demand study, but staff did not receive a copy of this. Parking requirements must be met at the time of site development plan. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.03 and C.04) where more information on parking is shown. These sheets show the required parking for each proposed use per the Zoning Ordinance. The total parking proposed exceeds the minimum required amount per the Zoning Ordinance. |
| On sheet 2, revise the VPD calculation to address the following: a. The VPD calculations for the Church use appear to not be based off of the peak generator, which is typically Sunday; address. b. Provide all calculations used to determine the traffic volume, such as AM/PM Peak, Peak Day, Peak Hour, and other information as necessary for justification. c. Show VPD trips at each entrance, exiting the property. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.03 and C.04) where VPD calculations have been revised to reflect weekday and Sunday trips. The VPD have been included at each site entrance. |
| The parking calculations provided as based on the unprovided parking demand study; Staff is unable to verify. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.03 and C.04) where more information on parking is shown. These sheets show the required parking for each proposed use per the Zoning Ordinance. The total parking proposed exceeds the minimum required amount per the Zoning Ordinance. |
| | |

The applications Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.03 and documents include а description of a bus service; however, the plan C.04) where a van loading area has been added sheets do not indicate how this will be for clarification. accommodated on site with adequate loading There is no public bus service planned at this time. spaces and facilities. Hero's Bridge currently uses a 12-seat passenger

| | van and a smaller transport van to serve veterans. Hero's Bridge plans to continue to use both of these vehicles to support weekly trips to the store, pharmacies, doctor's offices etc. |
|--|---|
| Article 8 – Landscaping | |
| Staff is unable to verify landscaping requirements are met and the statement of justification does not adequately address this requirement. No landscaping details have been provided at this time. Conformance with Landscaping requirements will be required as part of the site development plan. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.05 and C.06) where sheets have been added to show this information. Further details will be provided in connection with site plan review. |
| Landscaping for parking area calculations is not provided, but will be required at time of SDP submission. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.05 and C.06) where sheets have been added to show this information. Further details will be provided in connection with site plan review. |
| The project area abuts two existing single-family homes off of Moser Road, PIN 6984-16-8079 (Thompson) and PIN 6984-16-8042 (McLaughlin), but does not address how any impacts to these two property owners will be addressed. As a recommendation, the applicant should consider enhanced screening and buffering between the two uses. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.05 and C.06) where a fence and buffer has been added to screen these homes from the project area. These homes will be adjacent to the residential portion of the proposal. |
| The project area abuts four single family homes to the north, PIN 6984-16-7459 (Hunt), PIN 6984-16-8433 (Southard), PIN 6984-16-9430 (Fransella), and PIN 6984-26-0337 (Church), but does not address how any impacts to these property owners will be addressed. As a recommendation, the applicant should consider enhanced screening and buffering between the access road and the property boundary. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.05 and C.06) where a buffer per the Zoning Ordinance has been added. |
| The application does not clearly describe how refuse will be addressed on site for all uses. Refuse facilities but must be screened from view | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.03 and C.04) where a proposed dumpster area has been added. A trash removal service will be contracted |

| of adjacent properties, the public right-of-way, and from within the lot per Article 8-8.2. See Public Utilities Public Works for when refuse pick-up is provided by the Town. | for the homes/uses with the expense being incurred by the owner/Applicant. |
|--|---|
| a. Provide adequate dumpster areas that are convenient to the differing uses.b. Address refuse area screening via landscaping, solid walls or fencing, and gates. | |
| Article 9-8 – Lighting | |
| The Statement of Justification acknowledges all lighting must meet requirements of Article 9 of the Zoning Ordinance, but overall does not address site lighting. All fixtures on site will require conformance to current lighting standards. Staff suggests that the applicant should consider how sidewalk/trail lighting and parking area lighting will be provided, the general type and height of outdoor lighting fixtures, and the potential for glare, light trespass, and light pollution affecting surrounding properties. | The proposed pole lighting will be limited to parking and travelways, as required. The buildings will likely have security light. There may be ground mounted lighting on the trails, if required. Further details will be provided in connection with site plan review. |
| Article 9-3 – Affordable Dwelling Unit Provisio | DNS |
| The proposal does not meet the eligibility requirements as stated under Article 9-3.1 of the Zoning Ordinance. The applicant has suggested a "proffer" for offering these ADU to qualifying individuals based on Hero's Bridge rules and regulations. This may be considered as a waiver, but not a proffer. | Acknowledged. This has been done. |
| The plans do not indicate how many bedrooms the units are intended to have, and staff is not able to determine the parking reduction allowance as provided for in Article 9-3.6. | The homes will be one bedroom, and this is reflected in the proffers. Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.03 and C.04) where parking information has been provided. |

| Article 9-12 – Open Space | | |
|---|---|--|
| Open space must be used for the benefit of the residents. The plan does not indicate what recreational facilities will be used within the open space for the enjoyment of the residents. The applicant should ensure that adequate facilities will be provided to support intended outdoor recreation uses. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.03 and C.04) and above where open space and amenities have been addressed. | |
| Provide additional detail on the small circular areas that are shown along the 12' asphalt trail; what purpose do these objects serve? | Please see the revised GDP where these have been removed. | |
| Article 11-3.10.3 | | |
| The criteria listed under Article 11-3.10.3 are those items that the Planning Commission and Town Council should consider when reviewing a SUP application. Zoning staff defers to Planning staff in the compliance assessment of these criteria. | Acknowledged. | |
| Public Works and Utilities, dated January 22, 2024 | | |
| Agency comment | Applicant's Response | |
| Article 4 – Site Conservation Manual (SCM) | | |
| Conformance with erosion and sediment control (ESC) requirements is required at the time of SDP submission. The concept plan does not appear to show ESC measures and/or calculations. They will need to meet the requirements of the Site Conservation Manual Article 4, 9VAC25-840, Virginia and Erosion and Sediment Control Law, and State Regulations. | Acknowledged. These items, if required, will be provided in connection with site plan review. | |

| Article 5 – Stormwater Management (SWM) | |
|--|---|
| Conformance with stormwater requirements is required at the time of SDP submission. No calculations were provided with the concept plan. They will need to meet the requirements of the Stormwater Management Ordinance Article 5, 9VAC25-870, and the Virginia Stormwater Management Regulation for new development. This will be critical to ensure the size and area of the two SWM locations will be adequate to meet the regulations for both quantity and quality of surface water runoff. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.07 and C.08) depicting this information. A more detailed SWM design and adequate outfall analysis will be provided in connection with site plan review. |
| The existing Stormwater Management Facility located on PIN#6984-15-1967-000 will need to be upgraded to meet the stormwater requirements for quality and quantity. A new Stormwater Management Agreement will be required. | A more detailed SWM analysis and design will be provided in connection with site plan review. The existing Stormwater Management Facility located on PIN 6984-15-1967 will be upgraded, if necessary or required. |
| There are existing flooding and drainage concerns at the intersection of Sullivan and Church Street that could be exacerbated. Hydrology and hydraulics grade line calculations will need to be provided to ensure adequacy and prevent further flooding and drainage issues. | Per the project engineer, all development will be designed to current standards ensuring that further drainage issues do not occur. |
| The project is being shown to be built over an existing channel. How will water conveyance be addressed with this project since it is taking flow from the neighborhood? The existing channel will need to be evaluated to determine if any additional federal or state permitting is required. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.03 and C.04) where a preliminary storm sewer alignment has been added. |
| A portion of this project is shown to be in the 500-year floodplain. While this is does not inhibit the potential for developing and making improvements to the property, it created the need for special considerations in the design of any building foundations under the ground surface | A preliminary environmental analysis has been provided with this resubmission. |

| and the infrastructure to convey drainage through and off the site. | |
|--|---|
| Water and Sanitary Sewer | |
| The site proposes 44 Senior Living rental units, a. recreational building, and the existing Church remaining in place. AtR-10. this, the existing Church uses about 29,000 gallons a month which equates to approximately 960 gallons a day. The application indicates the total site land area is 9.14 acres and is zoned R-10. Based on this, the by-right water and wastewater use for up to 39 residential units would be around 11,700 gallons per day. Under the proposed use, maintaining the existing Church, 44 elderly/age restricted apartment units, and a 2-story 19,000 square foot Recreational Center with a non-defined water demand, is estimated to require a water demand between 10,000 and 13,000 gallons per day. | Acknowledged. Further water demand information can be provided in connection with site plan review. |
| The proposed plan would be reviewed in more detail when final plans are submitted should this permit be approved. | Acknowledged. |
| Public Works | |
| Parking for units 13 through 24 and 37 through 44 is questionable as currently shown. Based on this layout, it would appear there would be strong motivation for routine parking along Moser Road, which the current street design would not support. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.03 and C.04) where more information on parking is shown. These sheets show the required parking for each proposed use per the Zoning Ordinance. The total parking proposed exceeds the minimum required amount per the Zoning Ordinance. |
| Storm drainage will be a major concern during design development. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.03 and C.04) where a preliminary storm sewer alignment has been added. |

| Fire Company, dated January 18, 2024 | |
|--|--|
| Consider wider walkways that will support fire apparatus. We need a width of at least 20' to ensure we can operate an apparatus in the back parts of the development. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.03 and C.04) where the asphalt trail has been widened to 20 feet. |
| Consider additional fire hydrants to ensure ease of active fire operations. | Please see the revised GDP (Sheets C.03 and C.04) where additional fire hydrants have been added. |
| Consider requiring residential sprinklers due to the closeness of the occupancies. | If required, sprinklers will be required in connection with site plan and/or building permit review. |
| Will this space be rentals/transient living or special use? | The homes will be rented. |

We trust these responses address your comments. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or need additional information. Thank you for your assistance in connection with this application.

Very truly yours,

WALSH, COLUCCI, LUBELEY & WALSH, P.C.

Jessica L. Pfeiffer

Jessica L. Pfeiffer

JLP/mac

cc: Carl Chapman Molly Brooks Rob Seidel Chip Carson Jeremy Karls John Foote

P1437792.DOCX

DRAFT

PROFFER STATEMENT WARRENTON UNITED METHODIST CHURCH / HERO'S BRIDGE 9.154 ACRES

| Rezoning: | ZMA 2023-01 Warrenton United Methodist Church / Hero's Bridge |
|----------------------|---|
| Record Owner: | Trustees of the Warrenton United Methodist Church |
| Property: | PINs 6984-16-5101 (portion),6984-16-7013, 6984-15-1823 and 6984-15-1930,approximately 9.8640 acres (hereinafter the "Property") |
| Zoning: | R-10 (Residential) and RO (Residential Office) to R-PUD (Residential Planned Unit Development) |
| Project Name: | Warrenton United Methodist Church / Hero's Bridge |
| Date: | June 12, 2024 |

Preliminary Matters:

Pursuant to Va. Code Ann. §§ 15.2-2296, 15.2-2297, 15.2-2298, 15.2-2303 or 15.2-2303.1, and § 11.3.9.17 of the Town of Warrenton Zoning Ordinance, the undersigned hereby voluntarily proffers that the development and use of the Property shall be in substantial conformance with the following conditions unless an amendment thereto is approved by the Town Council, upon proper application. In the event this rezoning is granted as applied for by the Applicant, then these Proffers shall supersede and replace in their entirety all other Proffers made prior hereto with respect to the Property. In the event this rezoning is not granted as applied for by the Applicant, then these Proffers shall be null and void, and any existing Proffers will remain in full force and effect.

The headings of the Proffers set forth below have been prepared for convenience or reference only and shall not control or affect the meaning or be taken as an interpretation of any provision of the Proffers. Any improvements proffered herein below shall be provided at the time of development of the portion of the site served by the improvement, unless otherwise specified. The terms "Applicant" and "Developer" shall include all future owners and

successors in interest. These Proffers shall apply to the entirety of the Property and the development referenced in these Proffers is the "Project."

For purposes of this Proffer Statement, the "General Development Plan" or "GDP" shall be sheets 3,4, 5 and 6 of the plan entitled "Warrenton United Methodist Church / Hero's Bridge Zoning Map Amendment," prepared by Rinker Design Associates, PC, dated April 26, 2024.

DEVELOPMENT AND USE

- 1. <u>Development</u>: The Property, comprised of approximately 9.8640 acres, shall be developed as a Residential Planned Unit Development (R-PUD), notwithstanding any requirement for a larger parcel size for rezoning to that zoning, in substantial conformance with the GDP and these proffers. Upon submission of final site development plans, minor changes and adjustments may be made to the road and street alignments, entrances, parking, dimensions and location of SWM/BMP facilities, the exact configuration and location of building footprints, and other similar features as shown on the CDP, provided they meet the intent of these Proffers and are approved by the Director of Community Development.
- 2. <u>Land Use Mix</u>: Notwithstanding the provisions of §§ 3-5.2.4.1 and 3-5.4.2.2 of the Zoning Ordinance, the land use mix on the Property shall consist of the following, as depicted on the GDP:
 - a. <u>Residential</u>:
 - i. The maximum number of duplex units shall be forty-four (44), which shall be in 22 one-story homes and available for rent only. The Applicant shall not be required to subdivide these duplex units and said duplex units will be provided as depicted on the GDP.
 - ii. Each individual residential unit shall have one bedroom and all units shall be ADA accessible.
 - iii. The Property may be developed with one unit type.
 - iv. The Applicant shall, to the extent permitted by federal and state law, rent homes on the Property to veterans 65 years of age and older who served in Vietnam, Korea, and World War II, in

accordance with its mission as set out in the Statement of Justification submitted with this rezoning.

- b. <u>Small Office / Community Center</u>: A Small Office / Community Center will be constructed during Phase I of the project in the location shown on the GDP. The Small Office / Community Center may include, but shall not be limited to, a movie viewing area, a senior technology library, an arts and crafts area, a music area, an area for pet therapy for residents, and a fitness room.
- c. <u>Multipurpose Recreational Center</u>: A Multipurpose Recreational Center may be constructed on the Property and shall not exceed 19,000 square feet. The Multipurpose Recreational Center may include, but shall not be limited to, multi-purpose gym/event space, meeting areas, a kitchen, educational space, an indoor walking track, and multi-purpose office space.
- d. <u>Existing Uses and Structures</u>: The existing uses and structures (including, but not limited to, the church and associated houses) on the Property may remain.
- 3. <u>Height</u>: No new structure on the Property shall exceed 35 feet in height.
- 4. <u>Phasing</u>: Development of the Property may be phased as generally depicted on the GDP. The Applicant may construct Phase I initially and thereafter construct Phase II improvements at any time, provided that parking, landscaping and stormwater management improvements are provided at the time each phase is constructed.
- 5. <u>Affordable Dwelling Units</u>:
 - a. The homes constructed on the Property shall be deemed Affordable Dwelling Units pursuant to the provisions of § 9-3 of the Zoning Ordinance for the period of time set forth in that Section. The Applicant shall record a restrictive covenant or other form of legal and binding agreement approved by the Town Attorney and Town Council to ensure that the homes are so maintained, under approved terms and conditions.
 - b. Because of the nature and purpose of the Applicant's housing program, it shall not be required to make the homes available first to persons residing or working in the Town of Warrenton or Fauquier

County but shall be permitted to rent to persons qualifying under its rules and regulations developed for that purpose.

COMMUNITY DESIGN

6. <u>Architecture</u>:

- a. <u>Duplex Units</u>: The level of quality of the duplex units shall be in general conformance with the elevation entitled "Warrenton UMC Proposed Housing Elevation," prepared by Design Concepts Architecture + Interiors, and dated March 16, 2023 (hereinafter, the "Housing Elevations"), provided that minor modifications may be made in connection with final design.
- b. <u>Small Office / Community Center</u>: The level of quality of the Small Office / Community Center shall be compatible with the Housing Elevations.
- c. <u>Multipurpose Recreational Center</u>: The level of quality of the Multipurpose Recreational Center shall be in general conformance with the elevations entitled "Warrenton UMC Multi-Purpose Building," prepared by Design Concepts Architecture + Interiors, dated November 18, 2022 and consisting of two sheets, provided that minor modifications may be made in connection with final design.
- 7. <u>Landscaping</u>: Landscaping of the Property shall be provided in general conformance with the GDP. All new landscaping/plantings shall be non-invasive, indigenous species, native to Virginia. All landscaping shall be maintained by the Owner/Applicant.
- 8. <u>Outdoor Amenities</u>: Outdoor amenities constructed with Phase I, shall include, but shall not be limited to walking trails, senior appropriate fitness trail signage, a gazebo, and an existing flagstone garden.
- 9. <u>Lighting</u>: All outdoor lighting shall conform to the requirements of Article 9 of the Warrenton Zoning Ordinance and shall be designed as to avoid light spillover onto adjacent properties.

WATER AND SEWER AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

10. <u>Public Water and Sewer</u>: The Property shall be served by public water and sewer. The Applicant shall design and construct all on-site and off-site

Item 2.

improvements necessary to provide such service for the demand generated by development on the Property in accordance with applicable laws, ordinances, and regulations, and at its expense.

11. <u>Stormwater Management</u>: Stormwater management for Phases I and II shall be provided as generally shown on the GDP and s required by applicable laws, ordinances, and regulations.

TRANSPORTATION

- 12. <u>Phase I Pedestrian Improvements</u>: In connection with Phase I the Applicant shall install all the internal pedestrian improvements that are depicted on the GDP.
- 13. <u>Phase II Pedestrian Improvements</u>: In Phase II the Applicant shall construct pedestrian improvements within existing right-of-way along Church Street from the western most access along Church Street to Sullivan Street, as generally depicted on the GDP. The final design and location of the sidewalk and road improvements shall be determined in connection with site plan review for Phase II.
- 14. <u>Bike Rack</u>: The Applicant shall provide a bike rack in the location generally depicted on the GDP in connection with Phase I.

[Signatures on following pages]

DRAFT

PROFFER STATEMENT WARRENTON UNITED METHODIST CHURCH / HERO'S BRIDGE 9.154 ACRES

| Rezoning: | <u>ZMA 2023-01 Warrenton United Methodist Church /</u> <u>Hero's Bridge</u> |
|----------------------|---|
| Record Owner: | Trustees of the Warrenton United Methodist Church |
| Property: | PINs 6984-16-5101 (portion) and 6984-16-7013 approximately 9.154 <u>,6984-16-7013</u> , 6984-15-1823 and <u>6984-15-1930,approximately 9.8640</u> acres (hereinafter the "Property") |
| Zoning: | R-10 (Residential)(Residential) and RO (Residential) Office) to R-PUD (Residential Planned Unit Development) |
| Project Name: | Warrenton United Methodist Church / Hero's Bridge |
| Date: | November 30 <u>June 12, 2023 2024</u> |

Preliminary Matters:

Pursuant to Va. Code Ann. §§ 15.2-2296, 15.2-2297, 15.2-2298, 15.2-2303 or 15.2-2303.1, and § 11.3.9.17 of the Town of Warrenton Zoning Ordinance, the undersigned hereby voluntarily proffers that the development and use of the Property shall be in substantial conformance with the following conditions unless an amendment thereto is approved by the Town Council, upon proper application. In the event this rezoning is granted as applied for by the Applicant, then these Proffers shall supersede and replace in their entirety all other Proffers made prior hereto with respect to the Property. In the event this rezoning is not granted as applied for by the Applicant, then these Proffers shall be deemed withdrawn and shall be null and void, and any existing Proffers will remain in full force and effect.

The headings of the Proffers set forth below have been prepared for convenience or reference only and shall not control or affect the meaning or be taken as an interpretation of any provision of the Proffers. Any improvements proffered herein below shall be provided at the time of development of the

portion of the site served by the improvement, unless otherwise specified. The terms "Applicant" and "Developer" shall include all future owners and successors in interest. These Proffers shall apply to the entirety of the Property and the development referenced in these Proffers is the "Project."

For purposes of this Proffer Statement, the "Concept <u>General</u> Development Plan" or "CDPGDP" shall be sheets 3-<u>,4, 5</u> and 4-<u>6</u> of the plan entitled "Warrenton United Methodist Church / Hero's Bridge Zoning Map Amendment,-<u>"</u> prepared by Rinker Design Associates, PC, dated <u>November</u> <u>29April 26, 2023 2024</u>.

1.DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF THE PROPERTY

1. Development: 1.1. The Property, comprised of approximately 9.154-9.8640 acres, shall be developed as a Residential Planned Unit Development (R-PUD), notwithstanding any requirement for a larger parcel size for rezoning to that zoning, in substantial conformance with the CDP GDP and these proffers. Notwithstanding the provisions of §§ 3-5.2.4.1 and 3-5.4.2.2 of the Zoning Ordinance, the land use mix on the Property shall consist of the land uses depicted on the CDP, including 1.2. not more than 22 duplex residential homes in a quadplexes comprising 44 individual living homes substantially conforming to the CDP, 2 single-family dwellings, offices, a community center, and/or a recreation building, and a church. There need not be two dwelling types, and all may be duplexes as set forth herein. Upon submission of final site development plans, minor changes and adjustments may be made to the road and street alignments, entrances, parking, dimensions and location of SWM/BMP facilities, the exact configuration and location of building footprints, and other similar features as shown on the CDP, provided they meet the intent of these Proffers and are approved by the Director of Community Development.

structure on the Property shall exceed 35 feet in height; provided that this shall not apply to the existing church on the Property as of the date of approval of this Rezoning, which shall remain as a permitted and not as a nonconforming structure.1.5. The homes shall be available for rent and not for sale. The Applicant shall therefore not be required to subdivide the property into individual lots of any minimum size, establish minimum lot frontage, require compliance with minimum setbacks, or lot coverage, and shall not be required to create setbacks between homes within each grouping of duplex homes, but shall ensure separation between those homes as depicted on the CDP. Item 2.

- 2. 1.4.No Land Use Mix: Notwithstanding the provisions of §§ 3-5.2.4.1 and 3-5.4.2.2 of the Zoning Ordinance, the land use mix on the Property shall consist of the following, as depicted on the GDP:
 - <u>a.</u> <u>Residential:</u>
 - i. The maximum number of duplex units shall be forty-four (44), which shall be in 22 one-story homes and available for rent only. The Applicant shall not be required to subdivide these duplex units and said duplex units will be provided as depicted on the GDP.
 - <u>ii.</u> Each individual residential unit shall have one bedroom and all units shall be ADA accessible.
 - iii. The Property may be developed with one unit type.

1.6. The Project may be developed in Phases such that the dwelling homes and office building may be constructed in Phase I without a requirement for commercial construction. Further Phases of the Project may be constructed at any time. All site development shall require a Site Development Plan and other required permits prior to the initiation of construction.

2. AFFORDABLE DWELLING HOMES

- iv. The Applicant shall, to the extent permitted by federal and state law, rent homes on the Property to veterans 65 years of age and older who served in Vietnam, Korea, and World War II, in accordance with its mission as set out in the Statement of Justification submitted with this rezoning.
- b. Small Office / Community Center: A Small Office / Community Center will be constructed during Phase I of the project in the location shown on the GDP. The Small Office / Community Center may include, but shall not be limited to, a movie viewing area, a senior technology library, an arts and crafts area, a music area, an area for pet therapy for residents, and a fitness room.
- c. <u>Multipurpose Recreational Center: A Multipurpose Recreational</u> <u>Center may be constructed on the Property and shall not exceed</u> <u>19,000 square feet. The Multipurpose Recreational Center may</u> <u>include, but shall not be limited to, multi-purpose gym/event space,</u>

Item 2.

<u>meeting areas, a kitchen, educational space, an indoor walking track,</u> <u>and multi-purpose office space.</u>

- <u>d.</u> Existing Uses and Structures: The existing uses and structures (including, but not limited to, the church and associated houses) on the Property may remain.
- 3. <u>Height: No new structure on the Property shall exceed 35 feet in height.</u>
- 4. Phasing: Development of the Property may be phased as generally depicted on the GDP. The Applicant may construct Phase I initially and thereafter construct Phase II improvements at any time, provided that parking, landscaping and stormwater management improvements are provided at the time each phase is constructed.
- 5. Affordable Dwelling Units:
 - a. 2.1. The homes constructed on the Property shall be <u>deemed</u> Affordable Dwelling Units pursuant to the provisions of § 9-3 of the Zoning Ordinance for the period of time set forth in that Section. The Applicant shall record a restrictive covenant or other form of legal and binding agreement approved by the Town Attorney and Town Council to ensure that the homes are so maintained, under approved terms and conditions.
 - **b. 2.2.** Because of the nature and purpose of the Applicant's housing program, it shall not be required to make the homes available first to persons residing or working in the Town of Warrenton or Fauquier County but shall be permitted to rent to persons qualifying under its rules and regulations developed for that purpose.

3. PARKING

3.1. Parking requirements for the Project shall be as set forth on the Concept Development Plan.

4.

-COMMUNITY DESIGN

<u>6.</u> <u>Architecture:</u>

- a. Duplex Units: The level of quality of the duplex units shall be in general conformance with the elevation entitled "Warrenton UMC Proposed Housing Elevation," prepared by Design Concepts Architecture + Interiors, and dated March 16, 2023 (hereinafter, the "Housing Elevations"), provided that minor modifications may be made in connection with final design.
- <u>b.</u> <u>Small Office / Community Center: The level of quality of the Small</u> <u>Office / Community Center shall be compatible with the Housing</u> <u>Elevations.</u>
- c. Multipurpose Recreational Center: The level of quality of the Multipurpose Recreational Center shall be in general conformance with the elevations entitled "Warrenton UMC Multi-Purpose Building," prepared by Design Concepts Architecture + Interiors, dated November 18, 2022 and consisting of two sheets, provided that minor modifications may be made in connection with final design.
- 7. 4.1.Landscaping: Landscaping of the Property shall be provided in general conformance with the CDPGDP. All new landscaping/plantings shall be non-invasive, indigenous species, native to Virginia. <u>All landscaping shall be maintained by the Owner/Applicant.</u>
- 8. <u>Outdoor Amenities: Outdoor amenities constructed with Phase I, shall</u> <u>include, but shall not be limited to walking trails, senior appropriate fitness</u> <u>trail signage, a gazebo, and an existing flagstone garden.</u>

4.2. The Project shall include Healthy Lifestyle Amenitics, including but not limited to walking trails, recreation areas, and other active recreation facilities as depicted on the CDP.

5. LIGHTING

<u>9.</u> <u>5.1.Lighting:</u> All outdoor lighting shall conform to the requirements of Article 9 of the Warrenton Zoning Ordinance and shall be so-designed as to avoid light spillover onto adjacent properties.

Item 2.

6.WATER AND SEWER AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

- <u>10.6.1.Public Water and Sewer:</u> The Property shall be served with by public water and public sewer. The Applicant shall design and construct all onsite and off-site improvements necessary to provide such service for the demand generated by development on the Property in accordance with applicable laws, ordinances, and regulations, and at its expense.
- <u>11.6.2.Stormwater Management: Stormwater management for all-Phases of the Project I and II shall be provided to accommodate the site as as generally shown on the GDP and s required by applicable laws, ordinances, and regulations.</u>

TRANSPORTATION

- <u>12. Phase I Pedestrian Improvements: In connection with Phase I the</u> <u>Applicant shall install all the internal pedestrian improvements that are</u> <u>depicted on the GDP.</u>
- 13. Phase II Pedestrian Improvements: In Phase II the Applicant shall construct pedestrian improvements within existing right-of-way along Church Street from the western most access along Church Street to Sullivan Street, as generally depicted on the GDP. The final design and location of the sidewalk and road improvements shall be determined in connection with site plan review for Phase II.
- <u>14. Bike Rack: The Applicant shall provide a bike rack in the location generally</u> <u>depicted on the GDP in connection with Phase I.</u>

[Signatures on following pages]



PO BOX 341 http://www.warrentonva.gov TELEPHONE (540) 347-1101 FAX (540) 349-2414

July 19, 2024

John Foote/Jessica Pfeiffer Walsh, Colucci, Lubeley & Walsh 4310 Prince William Parkway, Suite 300 Prince William, VA 22192

ZMA 2023-01 Zoning Map Amendment Application for R-PUD - Warrenton United Methodist Re: Church/Hero's Bridge - Second Round Agency Comments

Dear Mr. Foote/Ms. Pfeiffer:

The attached comments are for the above refenced application that was officially accepted as of this date, December 21, 2023.

| Referral Agency | Date | Outstanding Issues | Attached |
|-----------------|---------|--|----------|
| Planning | 7/19/24 | Multiple; incorporates Town Attorney | Х |
| Zoning | 07/2/24 | Multiple | X |
| PW/PU | 7//24 | Offsite stormwater facility; hydrology and flooding; existing water/sewer lines; parking; water and sewer capacity | x |

General overall comment is the zoning request is a land use decision and, if approved, an entitlement to the property that runs with the land. The application should distinguish between the mission of the property owner and applicant vs the property entitlement in case there is a different property owner in the future.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at (540) 347-1101 X313 if you have any questions. Staff is available for post comment review meeting, if desired.

Respectfully,

erise Harris

Denise M. Harris, AICP Planning Manager

141



PO BOX 341 WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20188 http://www.warrentonva.gov FAX (540) 349-2414

PLANNING STAFF COMMENTS

DATE: July 15, 2024

ZMA 2023-01 Zoning Map Amendment Application for R-PUD - Warrenton United SUBJECT: Methodist Church/Hero's Bridge – Second Submission Agency Comments

General

Va. Code section 57-8 et seq. pertains to any encumbrance or conveyance of a church property requires the execution of a deed by all trustees appointed by the Circuit Court at the request of church authorities. The submission includes the signed affidavit's from two trustees; however, the a copy of the latest appointment order is needed to ensure the rezoning application is authorized. Please provide.

The Statement of Justification states that residents are screened for barrier crimes, sex offenses, and active drug use (page 4 of 6, #7), however those restrictions are not enforceable unless proffered.

The Applicant clarified the unit type in Proffer 2.a.i and the cover letter at page 4, stating that the units are duplexes, however R-PUD doesn't allow duplexes. The Council can craft a waiver for this provision, but it adds to the impression that this proposal is contrary to the Comprehensive Plan. This impression is increased by the failure to include any residential uses permitted in the underlying base zoning district and by the failure to provide more than one dwelling type.

As worded, Proffers 2.b. and 2.c. make no commitments, merely referencing what the small office/community center and the multipurpose recreational center "may include."

In the cover letter, at pages 5-6, the Applicant disclaims any ability under applicable law to limit residency to veterans. Despite this, the Application contains many references to services to veterans - even though the Applicant admits that it has no ability to limit its services to that population. The Applicant has offered no age-restricted covenant to be recorded as a property restriction. Virginia Code § 36-96.7 (A) provides for three different ways to lawfully limit housing for older persons, and the Applicant has not indicated which it seeks to use. There is a reference to age 65, but § 36-96.7 does not offer that as an option. One provision of Section 36-96.7, namely (A) (iii), merely requires one person 55 or older to occupy a unit, and the other occupants can be of any age.

Please call out the bicycle rack identified in the proffers in the plans. Or revise proffer 14 to remove the reference to the plans.

There is no commitment to build Phase II in the proffers. In the cover letter on page 3, Hero's Bridge proposes but does not commit to any staffing levels, rendering any discussion about services irrelevant to consideration of the rezoning.

The Statement of Justification at page 3 says that "few of Hero's residents will have cars," but offers no support for such a statement. Other such observations could be made, such as the limited amenities, the failure to proffer against spillover lighting, and the lack of a commitment to screening for illegal drug use, and all these observations lead to one key question. The Application speaks to the mission of the applicants; however, please keep in mind this is a land use entitlement request that runs with the land. If approved, what would happen to the residential units should the church or non-profit cease to be involved in the land?

Please clarify the "portion" of GPIN 6984-16-5101 being proposed to be rezoned. Will this create a split zone parcel or is the Applicant proposing a different solution. Staff recommends the entirety of a parcel be contained in a rezoning request.

The Applicant should carefully review the Zoning Ordinance to ensure all waivers and modifications requests are captured.

Please provide information regarding the existing Special Use Permit for the Planning Commission and how it relates to the current proposal.

Plan Warrenton 2040

The subject parcel is located outside of the Character Districts and is designated as Medium Density Residential on the Future Land Use Map. Below are excerpts from the comprehensive plan that relate to this designation.

"This designation includes single family detached residential dwellings at densities up to five dwellings per net acre, contingent upon pedestrian and vehicular access, compatibility with surrounding properties and mitigation of potential impacts."

"The designation of medium density residential is also applied to established residential neighborhoods which should be conserved and/or expanded in a manner similar to, and compatible with, the existing surroundings. Many neighborhoods in these areas have older homes and are characterized by mature vegetation and social interaction between neighbors. Medium density areas are intended to permit densities of up to five dwelling units per net acre, and new lots within established subdivisions should contain an area that approximates the size and configuration of existing lots in the neighborhood. The higher densities should be considered as more appropriate near major thoroughfares and commercial areas. Recreational facilities and other neighborhood amenities should be provided in developments when densities exceed three units per net acre."

"New subdivisions and lots within this designation should complement and enhance the area in which it occurs. Residential infill areas should be compatible in density, lot size, and placement of structures on the lots with existing neighboring structures and lots. The exterior elevations of the structures should complement and respect the surrounding neighborhood's existing design and architectural elements." "Retaining the high quality of established neighborhoods is a continual challenge. Since the low density and the medium density areas are a desirable place to live, they are becoming attractive for infill development. The medium density residential areas are located so as to protect the character of existing neighborhoods and to provide quiet residential area attractive for single family housing. Where site characteristics permit and where negative impact to adjacent properties is minimal, non-residential, home occupations and businesses may be permitted as provided for in the Zoning Ordinance. Mature vegetation should be retained."

"In order to support the goals and objectives of medium density residential areas, the Plan seeks to preserve the integrity of existing residential neighborhoods; limit and discourage incompatible uses into established residential neighborhoods; and maintain and improve neighborhood qualities by eliminating substandard housing and improving its physical features that include streets, sidewalks, street lights, and other public improvements."

For existing zoning districts, the comprehensive plan states for R-10 zoning "Single-family, detached homes and limited groups are allowed. Limited service uses are allowed with a special use permit. This zone allows for smaller lot sizes and setbacks than R-15." It goes on to recommend "Bungalow Court to be allowed by-right and ADUs by special permit."

Bungalow Court is defined in the Housing Section under goal H-1.1 as "a series of small, detached structures providing multiple units arranged to define a shared court that is typically perpendicular to the street. The shared court takes the place of a private rear yard and is an important community-enhancing element."

Staff Comment:

Please update the Statement of Justification to address these components of the comprehensive plan and address how the Concept Development Plan and elevations meet the comprehensive plan goals. Of particular concern is the varying descriptions of the rezoning proposal makes it is impossible at this time to determine if the application is in conformance with the Future Land Use Map Medium Density designation that states up to 5 dwelling units per acre. Please advise as a Comprehensive Plan Amendment may be required with the rezoning application.

Update: The resubmission states the Application meets the medium density identified in the Comprehensive Plan. There are no elevations included in the Concept Development Plan resubmission to assess if the proposal meets attractive infill development that protects the existing character if the neighborhood.

Transportation and Circulation Goals

Sullivan and Church Street are designated as Neighborhood Streets in the Warrenton Street Typology. Desired multi-modal improvements of the Complete Street in Plan Warrenton 2040. Include sidewalks as the highest priority element with street trees, slow design speeds, bicycle facilities, and traffic calming.

Transportation goals include:

T-1: Improve multi-modal capacity and safety that encourages trips by walking, bicycling, and transit.

T-2 Enhance the Traveling Experience by Creating Great Streets.
T-3 Promote livability in the Town by creating great places for residents and visitors to feel welcome and safe.

T-4 Provide an Equitable and Connected Multi-Modal Network.

Moser Road to Frazier Road is listed as a priority project segment requiring improvement with "Significant Benefit."

Staff Comment:

The Applicant should review these goals and address them in the resubmission. In addition, the Applicant should further explain the provided bus/van service, how it will be utilized, and if infrastructure (shelters, etc) will be provided for it. Likewise, the Statement of Justification indicates "daily visits from social workers, assistance with veterans' benefits, visitors. The Applicant states there will be a total of 947 vehicle trips per day, an increase from the estimated current volume of 182 trips per day generated by the church. This is a substantial increase on neighborhood streets with no proposed improvements.

Update: The Town has a goal of walkability. While the resubmission addresses sidewalks to the west of the property, it does not include sidewalks around the entire proposed R-PUD. There remains concerns about the amount of new traffic this site may generate. Although the Applicant states few residents will drive, there is nothing to substantiate this statement leaving staff to use the ITE Trip Generation manual to determine potential outcomes. Assuming the Applicant will guarantee in some fashion the age restriction, the following is an example from the 9th Edition of the ITE Trip Generation Manual (there are newer editions of the ITE Manual that should be utilized):

- Senior Adult Housing Attached Average Rate 3.44 Per Dwelling Unit
- Church 1000 square feet Average Rate 9.11
- Private School (K-8) for the SUP Approved Private Pre-School Needs to be Calculated on number of students and employees.
- Office General/Medical/Community Center needs to be developed on number of employees and square footage.
- Recreational Community Center please determine appropriate category and trip generations for proposed use.

Please reference how the vehicle trips were developed and the break down of proposed uses with peak hours in more specificity to be reviewed.

Community Facilities Goals

The Community Facilities chapter of the comprehensive plan speaks to both public and private investments to ensure a high quality of life.

CF-1: Serve as the central, inviting public service center for Town and County residents with a proportionate share of community services provided by other governments, including a fair and reasonable balance in funding sources for community facilities.

CF-2: Public safety services and policies are viewed as amongst the best in similar Virginia towns for the responsiveness, community trust, and effectiveness.

CF-3: Green infrastructure and sustainability are incorporated into community facilities to promote energy efficiency and environmental protections.

CF-4: Ensure healthy, safe, adequate water and wastewater services.

Staff Comment:

The Applicant seeks to locate a residential development near community facilities. Specific to the site, the Applicant needs to assess how emergency service vehicles will access the units, the existing infrastructure and water pressure requirements for fire suppression, and the, as proposed, off-site stormwater facility. The Applicant should consider bringing all associated parcels into the proposal for a proper PUD. Please address.

Update: The Applicant widened the trail to 20' to accommodate emergency services. The water and sewer capacity remains a key issue that needs to be addressed at this time. Public Utilities needs an assessment of the impacts this proposal may have above the by-right capacity.

Housing Goals

The Housing Chapter's vision states "In 2040, Warrenton will have inclusive and attainable housing for all ages, incomes, and needs that is compatible with existing Town character to create walkable communities with shared open space and a sense of place.

Existing housing stock is improved and maintained to preserve established residential neighborhoods, while expanding housing options in Character Districts to ensure that the Town supports infill development that contributes to the Town's small town feeling where neighbors know their neighbors."

The Housing Chapter goals state:

H-1.1: Encourage development of the "Missing Middle" housing types beyond traditional single family homes, townhouses, and apartments by updating the Zoning Ordinance to create a beneficial mix.

H-2: Character Districts will accommodate a balance of available housing typologies that are compatible to existing neighborhoods in scale, character, and transition.

H-3: Preserve existing neighborhoods and promote infill that supports the character and heritage of Warrenton.

H-3: Preserve existing neighborhoods and promote infill that supports the character and heritage of Warrenton.

H-4: Create regional partnerships to address and enhance attainable housing supply.

Staff Comment:

As stated above, bungalow courts, as defined in the Housing Chapter, are recommended as a potentially appropriate land use in R-10. However, the Zoning Ordinance has not yet been updated to incorporate this. The Applicant is proposing a form of goal H-4; however, it is unclear who will maintain control of the property the residential units will be built upon. Likewise, it is unclear who is responsible for the building and maintaining of the community building or where the proposed senior services will be provided on site (e.g. the offices, the community building, the church, etc). Finally, the Applicant should take into account the existing character of the neighborhood and consider if the proposed elevations are in keeping with the scale, character, and heritage.

Update: As mentioned above, comment remains. Concerns about the land use entitlement, elevations, and long term use need to be further detailed.

STAFF COMMENTS

TO:Denise Harris, AICP, Planning ManagerFROM:Heather Jenkins, PLA, CZA, Zoning AdministratorDATE:July 2, 2024SUBJECT:Warrenton United Methodist Church/ Hero's Bridge (6984-16-7013-000,6984-16-
5101-000)
ZMA 2023-1
Submission 6/20/2024; 2nd Review

I. Zoning Ordinance Review

The application contains a Land Development Application, Statement of Justification, Zoning Map Amendment checklist, proffer statement, and a rezoning plan. The following analysis is based on the relevant Articles of the Zoning Ordinance/Town Code.

In General

| Staff comment: | Advisory Comment remains: Aerial imagery and topography show a significant drainage feature running across the width of the 3.9-acre portion of the property; Soil Survey maps show that soil type 17B, described as having potential hydric inclusions and an elevated ground water table, are located in a broad swath following the general location of his drainage feature. | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|
| | a. Staff notes that should any hydric soils be found, that a Jurisdictional Determination by the USACE will be required as a part of any future Site Development Plan application, to include required permits for wetland/stream channel disturbance from the USACE and DEQ. | | |
| | Clarification : A Jurisdictional Determination will be required at the time of Site Development Plan. This comment remains advisory. | | |
| Staff comment: | Advisory Comment remains: The application does not provide sufficient information to show that adequate stormwater management can be provided for the property. | | |
| | c. Staff notes that the existing outfall on PIN 6984-15-1967 is known to have issues with adequate conveyance of storm flows; the proposed development will add a significant amount of new impervious area leading to an increase in runoff volume that could negatively impact neighboring properties and existing drainage facilities. Ensuring the | | |

Item 2.

Item 2.

| ZMA 2023-1 | Zoning Review pg.2 |
|-------------------|--|
| | adequacy of the off-site receiving facilities and structures must be considered. |
| | Clarification: Staff acknowledges the drainage plan provided by the engineer shows no increase in post-development flows. However, the submitted materials do not appear to show adequacy of the existing downstream drainage facilities. |
| Staff comment: | Advisory Comment remains : The plan does not address the current conditions of Church Street, Moser Road, or the intersection of Church Street with Sullivan Street/Broadview Ave.; address. The existing edge of pavement, pavement markings, signage, curb/gutter and sidewalk, existing drainage features, etc. should be shown so that the proposed impacts to area roadways can be evaluated. |
| | Clarification : Staff notes that the proposal does not provide continuing sidewalk and curb and gutter along the Moser Road and Church Street road frontages. (10-6.10 ZO) |
| Staff comment: | Advisory comment remains: The application does not address how mail service and package deliveries will be accommodated; address. |
| | Clarification : The applicant has acknowledged staff's concern, and this issue will be addressed at the time of site plan. This comment remains advisory. |
| Staff comment: | Comment remains : The property currently exists as two separate parcels, however the statement of justification speaks to cross-use of facilities and services; either show the separating parcel line as "To be Vacated" or revise the plan and application materials to show that the residential/office component are stand-alone facilities that are completely separate from the church and recreational facility. |
| | Clarification : The uses must operate independently of one another if the parcels cannot be consolidated. All amenities and open space must be provided on each individual lot. If the church lot were to sell in the future, the residential component risks losing access to all amenities provided on the adjacent parcel. |
| Proffer Statement | |
| Staff comment: | Comment remains : The proffer statement provided for review includes multiple statements that repeat minimum zoning ordinance requirements that must be addressed by all development projects and are not proffers as such. |
| | Clarification : The revised proffer statement continues to state that the project will provide items as required by the Zoning Ordinance. Revise. |
| Staff comment: | Comment remains : The elevation drawings that were submitted as a part of the application materials are not addressed as a part of the proffer statement, in terms of proffered materials, color palette, architectural |

| ZMA 2023-1 | Zoning Review | pg.3 |
|----------------|--|---|
| | treatments, or style. Either remove the elevations from the extraneous or include the elevations as a part of the plan so the elevations as a part of the proffer statement in defined, terms. | et and address |
| | Clarification : The "Architecture" proffer (#6) states that the proposed duplex units shall be in general conformance with elevations prepared by Design Concepts, but the elevations provided for review. Define "Quality" as used in the proffers statement of justification. | n the s were not |
| Staff comment: | Comment remains : Staff notes that the statement of justifit to the intent to serve older and/or disabled veterans, however the proffer statement speaks to this intent, nor to how the c an integral part of the services to be provided to the resider | ver nothing in hurch use is |
| | Clarification : Partially addressed via proffer 2.a.iv. The chird to no longer be utilized as an integral part of the project; How land area of the church is used to provide justification for the density and a future phase II multipurpose recreational center appears to be an expansive existing church use; justify. An expansion of the church use Zoning District requires the approval of a Special Use Permitting Church use the approval of a Special Use Permitted action. | owever, the e proposed ter. The on of the e in the R-10 |
| Staff comment: | Comment remains : The statement of justification includes of bus service, and nursing, physical and mental health ser the proffer statement does not include any of these service amend the statement of justification to remove these items proffer statement to include these services as integral to the function of the project. | vices, however s. Either or revise the |
| | Clarification: Not addressed. | |
| Staff comment: | Comment remains : Should the project be intended to serve disabled persons, veterans, or other groups with identifiable the proffers and plan drawing should include facilities that of needs of persons that meet these demographic characteris accessible/universal design features for both outdoor facility building design. | e needs, then cater to the tics such as |
| | Clarification : Not addressed. No elevations have been pro the interior/exterior building design or accessibility features | |
| Staff comment: | Comment remains : Section 1, proffer 1.6 does not provide trigger for when the office or recreation center will be const relation to construction of the residential units; either addre a defined, enforceable trigger, or remove the office and record from the application materials altogether. | ructed in ss by including |
| | Clarification : Not addressed. The enforceable trigger for the of the recreation center is not defined. (How many duplexe | |

| ZMA 2023-1 | Zoning Review | pg.4 |
|----------------|---|-----------------------------|
| | prior to the recreation center?) In addition, the square foota facility is broadly defined. Provide separate square footage of the building used for each use (office and recreation cent | for the portion |
| Staff comment: | Comment remains : Section 2, proffers 2.1 and 2.2 are unc detail or an explanation of intent; address. | lear, lacking |
| | Clarification : Proffers have been revised to be "5a and 5b" proposed proffers are unclear in their intent, and do not app proffers but rather, a waiver request of the requirements of tarticles of the Zoning Ordinance. Revise. | ear to be |
| Staff comment: | Comment remains : Section 4, proffer 4.1 does not address or screening beyond the minimum requirements of the zonin that must be met by all projects, and the landscaping shown does not meet minimum ordinance requirements; address. | ng ordinance |
| | Clarification : Proffer has now been revised to be number " original comment remains. The landscaping shown within th buffer areas (C, D,E,G) do not meet minimum Zoning Ordin requirements. Staff is unable to determine that minimum Zo Ordinance requirements have been met. Revise. (8-8.5 ZO) | ne proposed ance ning |
| Staff comment: | Comment remains : Section 4, proffer 4.2 does not specify number or type of amenities to be provided, nor are any suc the plan drawing; revise. | |
| | Clarification : Proffer has now been revised to be number "a original comment remains. Outdoor amenities are not define not shown/labeled on the plan. Revise. In addition, "senior a fitness trail signage" is not an amenity. Revise. | ed, and are |
| Staff comment: | Comment remains : Section 5, proffer 5.1 is a minimum zor requirement and not a proffer. | ning ordinance |
| | Clarification : Proffer has now been revised to be number "so original comment remains. The "proffer" is stating minimum Ordinance requirements, and as such, is not a proffer. | |
| Staff comment: | Comment remains : Section 6, proffers 6.1 and 6.2 are min requirements that all developments must provide, and not a | |
| | Clarification: Not addressed. | |

pg.5

Article 3-5.2.3.1 - General Planning Considerations (R-PUD)

Staff comment: Comment remains: The plan does not clearly illustrate the use of open space required, but the statement of justification does not address the use of open space by the residents. Clarification: An open space calculation has been provided on the plan, but labels are not indicated showing the open space areas and the amenities within these areas for use by the residents. Revise. Comment remains: The application does not address pedestrian Staff comment: connectivity; resolve. a. Provide crosswalk connections from the residential area to the church and recreational center across the internal accessway. a. Clarification: One crosswalk does not appear sufficient. b. Provide sidewalk connections from the proposed development areas to the outer perimeter of the site. a. Clarification: Curb cuts and crosswalks are not provided at the sidewalk connections for Church Street and Moser Road. Revise. c. Provide sidewalk along all street frontages. a. Clarification: Not addressed. d. Address how residents will access the larger pedestrian network outside of the project boundaries. a. Clarification: Not addressed. Article 3-5.2.4.1 - Standards and Criteria for Planned Unit Developments Comment remains: Staff is unable to determine that open space Staff comment: requirements are met at this time. The 25% minimum required open space is not delineated on the plan nor is the use of the open space for amenities for the residents. Clarification: Unaddressed. Article 3-5.2.4.2 – Other Criteria for Residential Planned Unit Developments **Comment remains**: The proposal exceeds the maximum allowable 50% Staff comment: multi-family units. **Clarification:** A detailed list of all requested waivers of Zoning Ordinance standards is required. Comment remains: The required open space is not delineated on the Staff comment: plan. Clarification: Unaddressed. Comment remains: The applicants have indicated that the proposed Staff comment: recreational facility may or may not be constructed in phase II dependent

ZMA 2023-1

Zoning Review

pg.6

on funding; However, applicable recreational facilities must be constructed prior to construction of the next phase.

Clarification: Proffer #4, phasing, does not tie construction of the recreational facilities to a specific number of dwelling units or other enforceable trigger.

Article 3-5.2.5 – Use Regulations- Residential Planned Unit Developments (R-PUD)

Staff comment: **Advisory Comment remains**: The Zoning Ordinance does not specifically allow the proposed use. The applicant is requesting consideration from the Town Council to allow the use within the R-PUD district. Similar by-right uses are an apartment building, Senior/disability housing, and two, three, and four-family dwelling units. In comparison, a similar use that would require approval of a special use permit is affordable dwelling units (ADU) within areas designated for multifamily development.

Article 3-5.2.7 – Density/Intensity and Area Regulations

Staff comment: **Advisory comment remains**: Ingress/egress easements will be required to utilize the emergency access areas shown on adjacent property belonging to the church.

Clarification: Applicant has acknowledged this requirement and will address at the time of Site Development Plan.

Staff comment: **Comment remains**: Interior side yard setback adjacent to the church is not provided. This must be a minimum of 15' unless a waiver of setback is requested from the Town Council.

Clarification: Add setback line labels throughout the plan to aid review.

Article 6 - Signs

Staff comment: **Advisory comment remains**: No signs included as part of the application. Any proposed signs will need to meet the regulations noted under Article 6 of the Zoning Ordinance.

Article 7 – Parking

Staff comment: **Comment remains**: Staff is unable to verify that parking requirements are met with this submission. The plan mentions a parking demand study, but staff did not receive a copy of this. Parking requirements must be met at the time of site development plan.

Clarification: The parking calculations depicted on sheet C.05 of the plan do not show the minimum required parking for one-bedroom units let alone the parking needed for the office use. If a waiver of parking requirements is desired, the request must be made to the Town Council.

Staff comment: **Comment remains**: The parking calculations provided as based on the unprovided parking demand study; Staff is unable to verify.

| pg. | 7 |
|-----|---|
| | |

Zoning Review

Clarification: Unaddressed,

Staff comment: **Comment remains**: The application documents include a description of a bus service; however, the plan sheets do not indicate how this will be accommodated on site with adequate loading spaces and facilities.

Clarification: Only one loading area is designated on site. Staff suggests adding at least one additional area on site. Staff is also unable to determine that the size of the loading area will meet minimum requirements per article 7-18 of the Zoning Ordinance.

Article 8 – Landscaping

ZMA 2023-1

Staff comment: **Advisory comment remains**: Staff is unable to verify landscaping requirements are met and the statement of justification does not adequately address this requirement. No landscaping details have been provided at this time. Conformance with Landscaping requirements will be required as part of the site development plan.

Staff comment: **Comment remains**: Landscaping for parking area calculations is not provided but will be required at time of SDP submission.

Clarification: Landscaping calculations are provided but are incorrect based on the number of required parking spaces. A minimum of one space per one-bedroom unit must be provided, equivalent to 44 spaces for this development.

- Staff comment: **Advisory Comment remains**: The project area abuts two existing singlefamily homes off of Moser Road, PIN 6984-16-8079 (Thompson) and PIN 6984-16-8042 (McLaughlin), but does not address how any impacts to these two property owners will be addressed. As a recommendation, the applicant should consider enhanced screening and buffering between the two uses.
- Staff comment: **Comment remains:** The project area abuts four single family homes to the north, PIN 6984-16-7459 (Hunt), PIN 6984-16-8433 (Southard), PIN 6984-16-9430 (Fransella), and PIN 6984-26-0337 (Church), but does not address how any impacts to these property owners will be addressed. As a recommendation, the applicant should consider enhanced screening and buffering between the access road and the property boundary.

Clarification: A stronger buffer in this area is advisable, such as a double-staggered row of evergreen trees to provide adequate screening.

- Staff comment: **Comment remains**: The application does not clearly describe how refuse will be addressed on site for all uses. Refuse facilities but must be screened from view of adjacent properties, the public right-of-way, and from within the lot per Article 8-8.2. See Public Utilities Public Works for when refuse pick-up is provided by the Town.
 - a. Provide adequate dumpster areas that are convenient to the differing uses.

ZMA 2023-1

Item 2.

- b. Address refuse area screening via landscaping, solid walls or fencing, and gates.
 - a. **Clarification**: The potential dumpster area labeled on sheet C.03 does not show a parking area/loading zone for a trash service. Provide dimensions and turn radius for a trash truck to service the dumpster.

Article 9-8 – Lighting

Staff comment: **Advisory comment remains**: The Statement of Justification acknowledges all lighting must meet requirements of Article 9 of the Zoning Ordinance, but overall does not address site lighting. All fixtures on site will require conformance to current lighting standards. Staff suggests that the applicant should consider how sidewalk/trail lighting and parking area lighting will be provided, the general type and height of outdoor lighting fixtures, and the potential for glare, light trespass, and light pollution affecting surrounding properties.

Article 9-3 – Affordable Dwelling Unit Provisions

Staff comment: **Comment remains**: The proposal does not meet the eligibility requirements as stated under Article 9-3.1 of the Zoning Ordinance. The applicant has suggested a "proffer" for offering these ADU to qualifying individuals based on Hero's Bridge rules and regulations. This may be considered as a waiver, but not a proffer.

Clarification: Not addressed. Revise proffer statement and include waiver request for consideration by the Planning Commission and Town Council.

Staff comment: **Comment remains**: The plans do not indicate how many bedrooms the units are intended to have, and staff is not able to determine the parking reduction allowance as provided for in Article 9-3.6.

Clarification: The proposed parking on site does not meet the minimum required for 44 one-bedroom units. Advise if a waiver of parking requirements is requested from the Town Council.

Article 9-12 - Open Space

Staff comment: **Comment remains**: Open space must be used for the benefit of the residents. The plan does not indicate what recreational facilities will be used within the open space for the enjoyment of the residents. The applicant should ensure that adequate facilities will be provided to support intended outdoor recreation uses.

Clarification: The open space calculation has been provided, but the areas have not been labeled on the plan. Revise.



STAFF COMMENTS

| TO: | Denise Harris, Planning Manager |
|----------|---|
| THROUGH: | John Ward, Director of Public Works QDW 7-15-24 |
| | Steven Friend, Director of Public Utilities (SF) |
| FROM: | Paul Bernard, PE, Town Engineer |
| | Kerry Wharton, Stormwater Administrator |
| DATE: | July 11, 2024 |
| SUBJECT: | ZMA 2023-01 Warrenton United Methodist Church/Hero's Bridge – 2 nd |
| | Submission |

Grading, E&S and Stormwater Management- Kerry Wharton, Stormwater Administrator

- SW1. Conformance with erosion and stormwater requirements is required at the time of SDP submission. No calculations were provided with the concept plan. They will need to meet the requirements of the Erosion and Stormwater Management Ordinance, 9VAC25-875-210, and the Virginia Erosion and Stormwater Management Regulation for erosion control and new development. This will be critical to ensure the size and area of the two SWM locations will be adequate to meet the regulations for both quantity and quality of surface water runoff.
- SW2. The existing Stormwater Management Facility located on PIN#:6984-15-1967-000 will need to be upgraded to meet the stormwater requirements for quality and quantity. A new Stormwater Management Agreement will be required.
- SW3. There are existing flooding and drainage concerns at the intersection of Sullivan and Church Street that could be exacerbated. Hydrology and hydraulics grade line calculations will need to be provided to ensure adequacy and prevent further flooding and drainage issues.
- SW4. The project is being shown to be built over an existing channel. How will water conveyance be addressed with this project since it is taking flow from the neighborhood? The existing channel will need to be evaluated to determine if any additional federal or state permitting is required.
- SW5. A portion of this project is shown to be in the 500year floodplain. While this is does not inhibit the potential for developing and making improvements to the property, it creates the need for special considerations in the design of any building foundations under the ground surface and the infrastructure to convey drainage through and off the site.

Page 2 of 2

Public Utilities - Paul Bernard, Town Engineer

- PU1. The site proposes 44 Senior Living rental units, a recreational building, and the existing church remaining in place. At this time, the existing church uses about 29,000 gallons a month which equates to approximately 960 gallons a day. The application indicates the total site land area is 9.14 acres and is zoned R-10. Based on this, the by-right water and wastewater use for up to 39 residential units would be around 11,700 gallons per day. Under the proposed use, maintaining the existing church, 44 elderly/age restricted apartment units, and a 2-story 19,000 square foot Recreational Center with a non-defined water demand, is estimated to require a water demand between 10,000 and 13,000 gallons per day.
- PU2. The proposed plan would be reviewed in more detail when final plans are submitted should this permit be approved.

Public Utilities – Steven Friend, Director of Public Utilities

1. It is my recommendation that council take careful consideration in approving any increase to density that results in the increase of water demand that is above what the current by-right amount is. See above comment PU1 for reference to overage of existing by-right amount, which is an approximation using the industries 300 gallons per day per home for an amount of 1,300 gallons per day, or an extra 4.3 -5 homes.

Public Works- Paul Bernard, Town Engineer

- PW1. Parking for units 13 through 24 and 37 through 44 is questionable as currently shown. Based on this layout, it would appear there would be strong motivation for routine parking along Moser Road, which the current street design would not support.
- PW2. Storm drainage will be a major concern during design development.



Walsh Colucci Lubeley & Walsh pc

John H. Foote (703) 680-4664 Ext. 5114 jfoote@thelandlawyers.com Fax: (703) 680-2161

August 23, 2024

RECEIVED TOWN OF WARRENTON

AUG 2 3 2024

Via Hand Delivery

Denise M. Harris Town of Warrenton P.O. Box 341 Warrenton, VA 20188 **Community Development**

Re: ZMA 2023-01, Warrenton United Methodist Church/Hero's Bridge Resubmission and Comment Response Letter

Dear Denise:

As a response to the agency comments received to date, and in preparation for the Planning Commission hearing on September 17, 2024, please find the following resubmission materials compiled into ten (10) individual packages.

- Copy of the draft Proffer Statement, dated August 13, 2024, with copies of the executed signatured pages. Please note that the top Proffer Statement is an original and fully executed document;
- 2. Copy of the redlined proffers reflecting revisions from the version dated June 12, 2024;
- 3. Copy of the Order of Confirmation of Current Church Trustees and Authorization of Trustees to Sign Land Use Application Affidavit; and
- 4. Copy of the approval documentation associated with the special use permit for Warrenton United Methodist Church to operate a pre-school program.

Please note that only weaknesses/items to be addressed are listed below.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

703 680 4664 # WWW.THELANDLAWYERS.COM 4310 PRINCE WILLIAM PARKWAY # SUITE 300 # WOODBRIDGE, VA 22192-5199

ARLINGTON 703 528 4700 1 LOUDOUN 703 737 3633

| Planning Staff, dated July 15, 2024 | | |
|--|---|--|
| Agency Comment | Applicant's Response | |
| General Va. Code section 57-8 et seq. pertains to any encumbrance or conveyance of a church property requires the execution of a deed by all trustees appointed by the Circuit Court at the request of church authorities. The submission includes the signed affidavits from two trustees; however, a copy of the latest appointment order is needed to ensure the rezoning application is authorized. Please provide. | A copy of the Order of Confirmation of Current Church Trustees and Authorization of Trustees to Sign Land Use Application Affidavit is included with this resubmission. The executed proffers are signed by all trustees. Trustee Harry Zullo is deceased and Trustee John Urego has resigned. | |
| General The Statement of Justification states that residents are screened for barrier crimes, sex offenses, and active drug use (page 4 of 6, #7), however those restrictions are not enforceable unless proffered. | The proffers have been amended to accommodate this comment. Please see Proffer #2.a.v. | |
| General The Applicant clarified the unit type in Proffer 2.a.i and the cover letter at page 4, stating that the units are duplexes, however R-PUD doesn't allow duplexes. The Council can craft a waiver for this provision, but it adds to the impression that this proposal is contrary to the Comprehensive Plan. This impression is increased by the failure to include any residential uses permitted in the underlying base zoning district and by the failure to provide more than one dwelling type. | Please see the revised proffers. The residential unit has been further clarified. Proffer #2.a. now says "twenty-two (22) two-family residential dwelling units (forty-four (44) dwelling units total)." | |
| General As worded, Proffers 2.b. and 2.c. make no commitments, merely referencing what the small office/community center and the multipurpose recreational center "may include." | This language was used to allow for flexibility for Warrenton United Methodist Church and Hero's Bridge. The Applicant has changed "may" to "shall" for the Small Office / Community Center, but still requires flexibility for the Multipurpose | |

| | Recreational Center. The Center may not be built for years yet to come, and is dependent upon the financial ability of the parties to construct it. |
|---|---|
| General In the cover letter, at pages 5-6, the Applicant disclaims any ability under applicable law to limit residency to veterans. Despite this, the Application contains many references to services to veterans – even though the Applicant admits that it has no ability to limit its services to that population. The Applicant has offered no agerestricted covenant to be recorded as a property restriction. Virginia Code § 36-96.7 (A) provides for three different ways to lawfully limit housing for older persons, and the Applicant has not indicated which it seeks to use. There is reference to age 65, but § 36-96.7 does not offer that as an option. One provision of Section 36-96.7, namely (A)(iii), merely requires one person 55 or older to occupy a unit, and the other occupants can be of any age. | Additional research into the Housing for Older Persons Act suggests that it is possible to limit the class of tenants to veterans, so long as there is no discrimination on any statutorily or constitutionally prohibited basis. This firm has extensive experience with age restricted housing in Virginia. Virginia Code § 36-96.7 is modeled directly on federal law. Without these statutes, age discrimination would be illegal under both federal and state law. Under both, there are two principal classifications for housing that may be lawfully restricted to older persons. The first is a restriction of at least 80% of the occupied units such that they are occupied by "at least" one person 55 years of age or older per unit, and the second is housing intended for and solely occupied by persons 62 years of age or older. A revised proffer has been drafted to reflect the Applicant's commitment to the latter of these provisions, and a commitment that all such units will be so restricted. Please see Proffer #2.a.iv. |
| General Please call out the bicycle rack identified in the proffers in the plans. Or revise proffer 14 to remove the reference to the plans. | Proffer #14 has been revised. The location of the bike rack will be shown with future revisions to the plans. |
| General There is no commitment to build Phase II in the proffers. In the cover letter on page 3, Hero's | This language was used to allow for flexibility for Warrenton United Methodist Church and Hero's Bridge. The Applicant |

Denise M. Harris August 23, 2024 Page 4

| Bridge proposes but does not commit to any staffing levels, rendering any discussion about services irrelevant to consideration of the rezoning. | has changed may to shall for the Small Office / Community Center, but still requires flexibility for the Multipurpose Recreational Center. That Center may not be built for years yet to come, and is dependent upon the financial ability of the parties to construct it. |
|---|---|
| General The Statement of Justification at page 3 says that "few of Hero's residents will have cars," but offers no support for such a statement. Other such observations could be made, such as the limited amenities, the failure to proffer against spill over lighting, and the lack of a commitment to screening for illegal drug use, and all these observations lead to one key question. The Application speaks to the mission of the applicants; however, please keep in mind this is a land use entitlement requires that runs with the land. If approved, what would happen to the residential units should the church or non-profit cease to be involved in the land? | In Hero Bridge's extensive experience, it has observed that few of its clients have cars. As its engineer has reported, there is no ITE classification for this use so he has employed the closest equivalents possible to estimate trip generation. With respect to what might happen to this property should Hero's Bridge or the Church cease to own and operate the homes that are proposed in this rezoning, the answer is that another user would be at liberty to acquire the site — in the recognition that it could not be used for any other purpose than that which is proffered in this application, and that the land would have to be used consistently with those proffers or that a proffer amendment would be required. |
| General Please clarify the "portion of GPIN 6984-16- 5101 being proposed to be rezoned." Will this create a split zone parcel or is the Applicant proposing a different solution. Staff recommends the entirety of a parcel be contained in a rezoning request. | We do not have the ability to include all of GPIN 6984-16-5101 in the rezoning. This has not been amended. |
| <u>General</u> The Applicant should carefully review the Zoning Ordinance to ensure all waivers and modifications requests are captured. | Please see the earlier comments with respect to the effect of the Rowland opinion, which holds that accepted proffers are not waivers or modifications of a zoning ordinance, but become |

| | provisions of the zoning ordinance applicable to a given parcel. It should be noted that all proffers must, of course, be approved by the Town Council. The same would be the case with respect to those matters that the Town considers waivers and modifications, but waivers and modifications are not part of the zoning ordinance. A proffer is a part of the zoning ordinance, once accepted by the Town Council. |
|--|---|
| General Please provide information regarding the existing Special Use Permit for the Planning Commission and how it relates to the current proposal. | Approval documentation for the existing special use permit for Warrenton United Methodist Church to operate a pre-school program dated August 1, 1989, is included with this resubmission. There are no restrictions on the use. Following this rezoning the Church and pre-school program will be permitted by-right. |
| Plan Warrenton 2040 Staff Comment: Please update the Statement of Justification to address these components of the comprehensive plan and address how the Concept Development Plan and elevations meet the comprehensive plan goals. Of particular concern is the varying descriptions of the rezoning proposal makes it is impossible at this time to determine if the application is in conformance with the Future Land Use Map Medium Density designation that states up to 5 dwelling units per acre. Please advise as a Comprehensive Plan Amendment may be required with the rezoning application. Update: The resubmission states the Application meets the medium density identified in the Comprehensive Plan. There are no elevations included in the Concept Development Plan resubmission to assess if the proposal meets | The proposal allows for a transitional area between the existing Church and residential homes. The proposal is context sensitive and respects the existing character of the area. The Comprehensive Plan states that "the Town supports infill development that contributes to the Town's small town feeling where neighbors know their neighbors." The Church is partnering with Hero's Bridge to provide a needed service with the community, and the Applicant has met with the neighbors to discuss the proposal. Hero's Bridge wants to become part of the community the way the Church and residents nearby have done. |

| attractive infill development that protects the existing character of the neighborhood. | |
|--|---|
| Transportation and Circulation Goals Staff Comment: The Applicant should review these goals and address them in the resubmission. In addition, the Applicant should further explain the provided bus/van service, how it will be utilized, and if infrastructure (shelters, etc.) will be provided for it. Likewise, the Statement of Justification indicates "daily visits from social workers, assistance with veterans' benefits, visitors. The Applicant states there will be a total of 947 vehicle trips per day, an increase from the estimated current volume of 182 trips per day generated by the church. This is a substantial increase on neighborhood streets with no proposed improvements. Update: The Town has a goal of walkability. While the resubmission addresses sidewalks to the west of the property, it does not include sidewalks around the entire proposed R-PUD. There remain concerns about the amount of new traffic this site may generate. Although the Applicant states few residents will drive, there is nothing to substantiate this statement leaving staff to use the ITE Trip Generate manual to determine potential outcomes. Assuming the Applicant will guarantee in some fashion the age restriction, the following is an example from the 9th Edition of the ITE Trip Generation Manual (there are newer editions of the ITE Manual that should be utilized): Senior Adult Housing – Attached Average Rate 3.44 per Dwelling Unit Church 1000 square feet Average Rate 9.11 Private School (K-8) for the SUP Approved Private Pre-School Needs to be Calculated on number of students and | The ITE numbers that are referenced in this comment do not apply to the use proposed. Thus, for example, the senior adult housing trip generation assumes, at the very least, a husband and wife in independent living. That is not what is proposed here, and that trip generation estimate has no application. Single resident units, and few vehicles owned, will not generate the vehicle trips referenced in the comment. The Applicant's engineer has already engaged in the exercise of calculating estimated traffic generation from each of the other buildings in determining the trip generation from the site. |

| Office General/Medical/Community Center needs to be developed on number of employees and square footage Recreational Community Center please determine appropriate category and trip generations for proposed use Please reference how the vehicle trips were developed and the break down of proposed uses with peak hours in more specificity to be reviewed. | |
|--|--|
| Community Facilities Goals Update: The Applicant widened the trail to 20' to accommodate emergency services. The water and sewer capacity remains a key issue that needs to be addressed at this time. Public Utilities needs an assessment of the impacts this proposal may have above the by-right capacity. | The Applicant is working with Public Utilities to develop a comparison of the existing / contemplated versus proposed water and sewer capacity. As proposed, these units are most like senior homes, which use only 100 GPD. Further analysis will be provided. |
| Housing Goals Staff Comment: As stated above, bungalow courts, and defined in the Housing Chapter, are recommended as a potentially appropriate land use in R-10. However, the Zoning Ordinance has not yet been updated to incorporate this. The Applicant is proposing a form of goal H-4; however, it is unclear who will maintain control of the property the residential units will be built upon. Likewise, it is unclear who is responsible for the building and maintaining of the community building or where the proposed senior services will be provided on site (e.g., the offices, the community building, the church, etc.). Finally, the Applicant should take into account the existing character of the neighborhood and consider if the proposed elevations are in keeping with the scale, character, and heritage. Update: As mentioned above, comment remains. Concerns about the land use entitlement, | As the Applicant has previously stated, the land will continue to be owned by the Warrenton United Methodist Church, and it will enter into a 79-year ground lease with Hero's Bridge. The housing units that are proposed are intended to be one- bedroom units in what are essentially duplex arrangements (twenty-two (22) two-family residential dwelling units (forty-four (44) dwelling units total). They will be under the operation, maintenance, and control of Hero's Bridge, which will fund their construction. The Church will maintain that portion of the property that it retains for its own use. With respect to the long-term use of the property, as noted above its use as proposed will be governed by the approved proffers, any other zoning ordinance provisions not altered or added to thereby, and there is no evident reason why the long-term use of the property would not be |

| elevations, and long-term use need to be further detailed. | as proposed, unless the Town Council simply prefers not to have the use in this location. |
|--|---|
| Zoning Comments, dated July 2, 2024 | |
| Agency Comment | Applicant's Response |
| General – Staff Comment:Advisory Comment remains: Aerial imagery and topography show a significant drainage feature running across the width of the 3.9-acre portion of the property; Soil Survey maps show that soil type 17B, described as having potential hydric inclusions and an elevated ground water table, are located in a broad swath following the | If required, a Jurisdictional Determination will be provided at the time of Site Development Plan. The Applicant's engineer is well aware of this feature. |
| Determination will be required at the time of Site Development Plan. This comment remains advisory. | |
| General – Staff Comment: Advisory Comment remains: The application does not provide sufficient information to show that adequate stormwater management can be provided for the property. a. Staff notes that the existing outfall on PIN 6984-15-1967 is known to have issues with adequate conveyance of storm flows; the proposed development will add a significant amount of new | The Applicant's civil engineer will contact staff directly regarding this. |

| impervious area leading to an increase in runoff volume that could negatively impact neighboring properties and existing drainage facilities. Ensuring the adequacy of the off-site receiving facilities and structures must be considered. Clarification: Staff acknowledges the drainage plan provided by the engineer shows no increase in post-development flows. However, the submitted materials do not appear to show adequacy of the existing downstream drainage facilities. | |
|--|---|
| General – Staff Comment: Advisory Comment remains: The plan does not address the current conditions of Church Street, Moser Road, or the intersection of Church Street with Sullivan Street/Broadview Ave.; address. The existing edge of pavement, pavement markings, signage, curb/gutter and sidewalk, existing drainage features, etc. should be shown so that the proposed impacts to area roadways can be evaluated. | The Applicant has provided sidewalk in the locations that will provide the most connectivity. The connection from the westernmost access to the Church along Church Street to Sullivan Street will bridge an existing gap in pedestrian connectivity. |
| Clarification: Staff notes that the proposal does not provide continuing sidewalk and curb and gutter along the Moser Road and Church Street Road frontages. (10-6.10 ZO) | |
| General – Staff Comment: Comment remains: The property currently exists as two separate parcels, however the statement of justification speaks to cross-use of facilities and services; either show the separating parcel line as "To be Vacated" or revise the plan and application materials to show that the residential/office component are stand-alone facilities that are completely sperate from the church and recreational facility. | Tabulations can be provided in connection with Site Development Plans for Phase I and II. It is physically impossible to provide all amenities and open space on each individual lot and to develop the property as proposed. It must also be kept in mind that all of the land that will be subjected to the proffers will be subject to a 79 year ground lease, effectively eliminating any risk of sale such as the comment references for the next century. |

| Clarification: The uses must operate independently of one another if the parcels cannot be consolidated. All amenities and open space must be provided on each individual lot. If the church lot were to sell in the future, the residential component risks losing access to all amenities provided on the adjacent parcel. | |
|--|--|
| Proffer – Staff Comment: Comment remains: The proffer statement provided for review includes multiple statements that repeat minimum zoning ordinance requirements that must be addressed by all development projects and are not proffers as such. | The Applicant has revised Proffer #9 regarding lighting accordingly. The Applicant did not revise Proffer #5.a. regarding affordable dwelling units because this is an important commitment. |
| Clarification: The revised proffer statement continues to state that the project will provide items as required by the Zoning Ordinance. Revise. | |
| Proffer – Staff Comment: Comment remains: The elevation drawings that were submitted as a part of the application materials are not addressed as a part of the proffer statement, in terms of proffered materials, color palette, architectural treatments, or style. Either remove the elevations from the application as extraneous or include the elevations as a part of the plan set and address the elevations as a part of the proffer statement in defined, enforceable terms. Clarification: The "Architecture" proffer (6) states that the quality of the proposed duplex units shall be in general conformance with the elevations were not provided for review. Define "Quality" as used in the proffers and statement of justification. | The elevations were not provided with the resubmission dated June 14, 2024 because there were no revisions made to the elevations after the initial submission. The elevations are those entitled "Warrenton UMC Proposed Housing Elevation" prepared by Design Concepts architecture + interiors, dated March 16, 2023 and those entitled "Warrenton UMC Multi-Purpose Building" prepared by Design Concepts architecture + interiors, dated November 18, 2022 and consisting of two sheets. |

| Proffer – Staff Comment: Comment remains: Staff notes that the statement of justification speaks to the intent to serve older and/or disabled veterans, however nothing in the proffer statement speaks to this intent, nor to how the church use is an integral | The proffers have been revised to make provide greater specificity as to what these housing units are designed for. The Church is an active participant in this project both as an advocate for its approval, and as its landlord. It is leasing all of the area necessary for the conduct of the Hero's |
|--|---|
| part of the services to be provided to the residents. Clarification: Partially addressed via proffer 2.a.iv. The church appears to no longer be utilized as an integral part of the project; However, the land area of the church is used to provide justification for the proposed density and a future phase II multipurpose recreational center. The multipurpose recreational center appears to be an expansion of the existing church use; justify. An expansion of the church use in the R-10 Zoning District requires the approval of a Special Use Permit. | Bridge mission. Consequently, the property may be used as justification for the proposed density and for a future Phase II. The Multipurpose Recreational Center would be a shared use between Hero's Bridge and the Church. If the entire property is rezoned to R-PUD as the Staff has suggested, the Church will no longer require a Special Use Permit and will become a lawful use under that zoning since churches are a permitted use in R- PUD. |
| <u>Proffer – Staff Comment:</u> <u>Comment remains:</u> The statement of justification includes the provision of bus service, and nursing, physical and mental health services, however the proffer statement does not include any of these services. Either amend the statement of justification to remove these items or revise the proffer statement to include these services as integral to the intent and function of the project. <u>Clarification:</u> Not addressed. | These items were included in the Statement of Justification as part of the description of Hero's Bridge. It is what Hero's Bridge does, and it was appropriate to include that information. How it provides its services may change over time, and it would be imprudent to freeze those services by proffer, and thereby require a proffer condition amendment should those changes occur. |
| Proffer – Staff Comment: | The elevations were not provided with the |
| Comment remains: Should the project be intended to serve the elderly, disabled persons, veterans, or other groups with identifiable needs, then the proffers and plan drawing should include facilities that cater to the needs of persons that meet those demographic | resubmission dated June 14, 2024 because there were no revisions made to the elevations after the initial submission. The elevations are those entitled "Warrenton UMC Proposed Housing Elevation" prepared by Design Concepts architecture + |

Denise M. Harris August 23, 2024 Page 12

| characteristics such as accessible/universal design features for both outdoor facilities and interior building design. Clarification: Not addressed. No elevations have been provided to show the interior/exterior building design or accessibility features. | interiors, dated March 16, 2023 and those entitled "Warrenton UMC Multi-Purpose Building" prepared by Design Concepts architecture + interiors, dated November 18, 2022 and consisting of two sheets. |
|---|---|
| Proffer – Staff Comment: Comment remains: Section 1, proffer 1.6 does not provide a defined trigger for when the office or recreation center will be constructed in relation to construction of the residential units; either address by including a defined, enforceable trigger, or remove the office and recreation center from the application materials altogether. | The maximum square footage for the Multipurpose Recreational Center is 19,000 square feet. Please see Proffer #2.c. |
| Clarification: Not addressed. The enforceable trigger for the construction of the recreation center is not defined. (How many duplexes will be built prior to the recreation center?). In addition, the square footage of the facility is broadly defined. Provide separate square footage for the portion of the building used for each use (office and recreation center). | |
| Proffer – Staff Comment: Comment remains: Section 2, proffers 2.1 and 2.2 are unclear, lacking detail or an explanation of intent; address. Clarification: Proffers have been revised to be "5a and 5b". The proposed proffers are unclear in their intent, and do not appear to be proffers but rather, a waiver request of the requirements of the referenced articles of the Zoning Ordinance. Revise. | Please see other responses to these comments with respect to whether proffers constitute waivers or modification requests. The Applicant request further clarification from the Staff as to what lack of clarity is perceived in these proffers since they seem clear enough to it. |
| Proffer – Staff Comment: Comment remains: Section 4, proffer 4.1 does not address any buffering or screening beyond the minimum requirements of the zoning | Landscaping tabulations were included on the plan that was part of the resubmission from June 14, 2024. |

| ordinance that must be met by all projects, and the landscaping shown on the plan does not meet minimum ordinance requirements; address. | |
|--|---|
| Clarification: Proffer has now been revised to be number "7", but the original comment remains. The landscaping shown within the proposed buffer areas (C, D, E, G) do not meet minimum Zoning Ordinance requirements. Staff is unable to determine that minimum Zoning Ordinance requirements have been met. Revise. (8-8.5 ZO) | |
| <u>Proffer – Staff Comment:</u> <u>Comment remains:</u> Section 4, proffer 4.2 does not specify a minimum number of type of amenities to be provided, nor are any such shown on the plan drawing; revise. | Proffer #2.b. has been revised to change "may" to "shall." |
| Clarification: Proffer has now been revised to be number "8", but the original comment remains. Outdoor amenities are not defined, and are not shown/labeled on the plan. Revise. In addition, "senior appropriate fitness trail signage" is not an amenity. Revise. | |
| Proffer – Staff Comment: | This is now Proffer #9 and the reference to |
| Comment remains: Section 5, proffer 5.1 is a minimum zoning ordinance requirement and not a proffer. | the Zoning Ordinance has been removed. |
| Clarification: Proffer has now been revised to be number "9", but the original comment remains. The "proffer" is stating minimum Zoning Ordinance requirements, and as such, is not a proffer. | |
| Proffer – Staff Comment: | These have been removed. |
| Comment remains: Section 6, proffers 6/1 and 6/2 are minimum requirements that all developments must provide, and not a proffer. | |
| Clarification: Not addressed. | |

| Article 3-5.2.3.1 – General Planning Considerations (R-PUD) – Staff Comment: | The Applicant has shown what is known at this time. Additional details will be |
|--|---|
| Comment remains: The plan does not clearly illustrate the use of open space required, but the statement of justification does not address the use of open space by the residents. | provided in connection with Site Development Plan for each phase. |
| Clarification: An open space calculation has been provided on the plan, but labels are not indicated showing the open space areas and the amenities within these areas for use by the residents. Revise. | |
| <u>Article 3-5.2.3.1 – General Planning</u> | The Applicant has provided sidewalk in |
| <u>Considerations (R-PUD) – Staff Comment:</u> Comment remains: The application does not address pedestrian connectivity; resolve. | the locations that will provide the most connectivity. The connection from the westernmost access to the Church along Church Street to Sullivan Street will bridge |
| a. Provide crosswalk connections from the residential area to the church and recreational center across the internal accessway. i. Clarification: One crosswalk does not appear sufficient. | an existing gap in pedestrian connectivity |
| b. Provide sidewalk connections from the proposed development areas to the outer perimeter of the site. i. Clarification: Curb cuts and crosswalks are not provided at the sidewalk connections for Church Street and Moser Road. Revise. | |
| c. Provide sidewalk along all street frontages. i. Clarification: Not addressed. | |
| d. Address how residents will access the larger pedestrian network outside of the project boundaries. i. Clarification: Not addressed. | |

| Article 3-5.2.4.1 – Standards and Criteria for Planned Unit Developments – Staff Comment:Comment:Comment remains: Staff is unable to determine that open space requirements are met at this time. The 25% minimum required open space is not delineated on the plan nor is the use of the open space for amenities for the residents.Clarification: Unaddressed. | An open space calculation was provided on the plan. Additional details including open space tabulations will be provided in connection with Site Development Plan for each phase. |
|---|---|
| Article 3-5.2.4.2 – Other Criteria for Residential Planned Unit Developments – Staff Comment: Comment remains: The proposal exceeds the maximum allowable 50% multi-family units. Clarification: A detailed list of all requested waivers of Zoning Ordinance standards is required. | As discussed, these units are twenty-two (22) two-family residential dwelling units (forty-four (44) dwelling units total). This has been revised in the proffers. |
| Article 3-5.2.4.2 – Other Criteria for Residential Planned Unit Developments – Staff Comment:Comment remains: The required open space is not delineated on the plan.Clarification: Unaddressed. | An open space calculation was provided on the plan. Additional details including open space tabulations will be provided in connection with Site Development Plan for each phase. |
| Article 3-5.2.4.2 – Other Criteria for <u>Residential Planned Unit Developments –</u> <u>Staff Comment:</u> Comment remains: The applicants have indicated that the proposed recreational facility may or may not be constructed in Phase II dependent on funding; However, applicable recreational facilities must be constructed prior to construction of the next phase. Clarification: Proffer #4, phasing, does not tie construction of the recreational facilities to a | Proffer #2.b. has been revised to clarify that the Small Office / Community Center must be shown on the Site Development Plan for the twenty-two two-family residential dwelling units. The proffers are specifically written so that there is no commitment to construct the Phase II Facilities as a trigger to any specific number of dwelling units, since the purpose that Hero's Bridge serves would be largely defeated by requiring it to build, for example, one half of the units, and then being required to raise funds for |

| specific number of dwelling units or another enforceable trigger. | recreational facilities as a precondition to building the second half. It is imperative that if this project is approved it construct all of its units in one phase. |
|---|---|
| <u>Article 3-5.2.5 – Use Regulations-Residential</u> <u>Planned Unit Developments (R-PUD) – Staff</u> <u>Comment</u> : | Pursuant to the Rowland decision proffers become a part of the zoning ordinance applicable to a given parcel. |
| Advisory Comment remains: The Zoning Ordinance does not specifically allow the proposed use. The applicant is requesting consideration from the Town Council to allow the use within the R-PUD district. Similar by- right uses are an apartment building, Senior/disability housing, and two, three, and four-family dwelling units. In comparison, a similar use that would require approval of a special use permit is affordable dwelling units (ADU) within areas designated for multifamily development. | |
| | |
| Article 3-5.2.7 – Density/Intensity and Area Regulations – Staff Comment: Comment remains: Interior side yard setback adjacent to the church is not provided. This must be a minimum of 15' unless a waiver of setback is requested from the Town Council. Clarification: Add setback line labels throughout the plan to aid review. | The property that will be subjected to the proffers will be subject to a 79-year ground lease, effectively eliminating any risk of sale such as the comment references for the next century. The parcels are both owned by the church. |
| Regulations – Staff Comment:Comment remains: Interior side yard setbackadjacent to the church is not provided. This mustbe a minimum of 15' unless a waiver of setbackis requested from the Town Council.Clarification: Add setback line labels | proffers will be subject to a 79-year ground lease, effectively eliminating any risk of sale such as the comment references for the next century. The parcels are both owned |
| Regulations – Staff Comment:Comment remains: Interior side yard setbackadjacent to the church is not provided. This mustbe a minimum of 15' unless a waiver of setbackis requested from the Town Council.Clarification: Add setback line labelsthroughout the plan to aid review. | proffers will be subject to a 79-year ground lease, effectively eliminating any risk of sale such as the comment references for the next century. The parcels are both owned by the church. |

| demand study, but staff did not receive a copy of this. Parking requirements must be met at the time of site development plan. | Pursuant to the Rowland decision proffers become a part of the Zoning Ordinance applicable to a given parcel. |
|---|---|
| Clarification: The parking calculations depicted on sheet C.05 of the plan do not show the minimum required parking for one-bedroom units let alone the parking needed for the office use. If a waiver of parking requirements is desired, the request must be made to the Town Council. | |
| Article 7 – Parking – Staff Comment: Comment remains: The parking calculations provided as based on the unprovided parking demand study; Staff is unable to verify. Clarification: Unaddressed. | Further information regarding parking can be provided in connection with Site Development Plan. Pursuant to the Rowland decision proffers become a part of the Zoning Ordinance applicable to a given parcel. |
| <u>Article 7 – Parking – Staff Comment:</u> <u>Comment remains:</u> The application documents include a description of a bus service; however, the plan sheets do not indicate how this will be accommodated on site with adequate loading spaces and facilities. <u>Clarification:</u> Only one loading area is designated on site. Staff suggests adding at least | According to the Applicant's engineer, there is no loading requirement for residential. The closest loading requirement is that for the Multipurpose Recreational Center, which could require 1 loading space. The size of that loading space would need to be 300 total square feet. We are proposing a loading space that is 12' by 40' or 480 square feet. We will |
| one additional area on site. Staff is unable to determine that the size of the loading area will meet minimum requirements per article 7-18 of the Zoning Ordinance. | add this to the plan with our next set of plan revisions. |
| Article 8 – Landscaping – Staff Comment: Advisory comment remains: Staff is unable to verify landscaping requirements are met and the statement of justification does not adequately address this requirement. No landscaping details have been provided at this time. Conformance with Landscaping requirements will be required as part of the site development plan. | More details can be provided in connection with Site Development Plan review. |

| Article 8 – Landscaping – Staff Comment: | More details can be provided in connection |
|--|--|
| Comment remains: Landscaping for parking area calculations is not provided but will be required at time of SDP submission. | with Site Development Plan review. |
| Clarification: Landscaping calculations are provided but are incorrect based on the number of required parking spaces. A minimum of one space per one-bedroom unit must be provided, equivalent to 44 spaces for this development. | |
| Article 8 – Landscaping – Staff Comment: Advisory comment remains: This project area abuts two existing single-family homes off of Moser Road, PIN 6984-16-8079 (Thompson) and PIN 6984-16-8042 (McLaughlin), but does not address how any impacts to these two property owners will be addressed. As a recommendation, the applicant should consider enhanced screening and buffering between the two uses. | The Applicant is providing a fence, landscaping, and retaining existing vegetation where feasible. |
| Article 8 – Landscaping – Staff Comment: Comment remains: The project area abuts four single family homes to the north, PIN 6984-16- 7459 (Hunt), PIN 6984-16-8433 (Southard), PIN 6984-16-9430 (Fransella), and PIN 6984-26- 0337 (Church), but does not address how any impacts to these property owners will be addressed. As a recommendation, the applicant should consider enhanced screening and buffering between the access road and the property boundary. Clarification: A stronger buffer in this area is advisable, such as a double-staggered row of evergreen trees to provide adequate screening. | The Applicant is providing a fence, landscaping, and retaining existing vegetation where feasible. |
| Article 8 – Landscaping – Staff Comment: Comment remains: The application does not clearly describe how refuse will be addressed on | If required, this will be addressed in connection with Site Development Plan review. |

| site for all uses. Refuse facilities but must be screened from view of adjacent properties, the public right-of-way, and from within the lot per Article 8-8.2. See Public Utilities Public Works for when refuse pick-up is provided by the Town. a. Provide adequate dumpster areas that are convenient to the differing uses. b. Address refuse area screening via landscaping, solid walls or fencing, and gates. i. Clarification: The potential dumpster area labeled on sheet C.03 does now show a parking area/loading zone for a trash service. Provide dimensions and turn radius for a trash truck to service the dumpster. | |
|---|--|
| Article 9-8 – Lighting– Staff Comment: Advisory comment remains: The Statement of Justification acknowledges all lighting must meet requirements of Article 9 of the Zoning Ordinance, but overall does not address site lighting. All fixtures on site will require conformance to current lighting standards. Staff suggests that the applicant should consider how sidewalk/trail lighting and parking area lighting will be provided, the general type and height of outdoor lighting fixtures, and the potential for glare, light trespass, and light pollution affecting surrounding properties. | If required, this will be addressed in connection with Site Development Plan review. |
| Article 9-3 – Affordable Dwelling Unit Provisions – Staff Comment: Comment remains: The proposal does not meet the eligibility requirements as stated under Article 9-3.1 of the Zoning Ordinance. The applicant has suggested a "proffer" for offering these ADU to qualifying individuals based on | This reflects a misunderstanding of the holding of the Rowland decision. It is not a waiver. Please see above. |

| Hero's Bridge rules and regulations. This may be considered as a waiver, but not a proffer. | | |
|---|--|--|
| Clarification: Not addressed. Revise proffer statement and include waiver request for consideration by the Planning Commission and Town Council. | | |
| <u>Article 9-3 – Affordable Dwelling Unit</u> <u>Provisions – Staff Comment</u> : | For reasons that have been previously stated, the Applicant does not believe that | |
| Comment remains: The plans do not indicate how many bedrooms the units are intended to have, and staff is not able to determine the parking reduction allowance as provide for in Article 9-3.6. | the parking for the project will remotely approach the Town's estimates as to the required parking. As noted elsewhere, this is not a waiver when using proffers. | |
| Clarification: The proposed parking on site does not meet the minimum required for 44 one- bedroom units. Advise if a waiver of parking requirements is requested form the Town Council. | | |
| <u>Article 9-12 – Open Space – Staff Comment:</u> | Further details can be provided in | |
| Comment remains: Open space must be used | connection with Site Development Plan | |
| for the benefit of the residents. The plan does not indicate what recreational facilities will be used within the open space for the enjoyment of the residents. The applicant should ensure that adequate facilities will be provided to support intended outdoor recreation uses. | review. | |
| indicate what recreational facilities will be used within the open space for the enjoyment of the residents. The applicant should ensure that adequate facilities will be provided to support | review. | |
| indicate what recreational facilities will be used within the open space for the enjoyment of the residents. The applicant should ensure that adequate facilities will be provided to support intended outdoor recreation uses.Clarification: The open space calculation has been provided, but the areas have not been | | |
| indicate what recreational facilities will be used within the open space for the enjoyment of the residents. The applicant should ensure that adequate facilities will be provided to support intended outdoor recreation uses. Clarification: The open space calculation has been provided, but the areas have not been labeled on the plan. Revise. | | |
| indicate what recreational facilities will be used within the open space for the enjoyment of the residents. The applicant should ensure that adequate facilities will be provided to support intended outdoor recreation uses. Clarification: The open space calculation has been provided, but the areas have not been labeled on the plan. Revise. Public Works and Utilities, dated July 11, 2024 | L | |

| submission. No calculations were provided with the concept plan. They will need to meet the requirements of the Erosion and Stormwater Management Ordinance, 9VAC25-875-210, and the Virginia Erosion Stormwater Management Regulation for erosion control and new development. This will be critical to ensure the size and area of the two SWM locations will be adequate to meet the regulations for both quantity and quality of surface water runoff. | |
|---|---|
| Grading, E&S and Stormwater Management – Kerry Wharton, Stormwater Administrator | If required, this will be addressed in connection with Site Development Plan. |
| SW2. The existing Stormwater Management Facility located on PIN#6984-15-1967-000 will need to be upgraded to meet the stormwater requirements for quality and quantity. A new Stormwater Management Agreement will be required. | |
| <u>Grading, E&S and Stormwater Management</u> <u>– Kerry Wharton, Stormwater Administrator</u> | If required, this will be addressed in connection with Site Development Plan. |
| SW3. There are existing flooding and drainage concerns at the intersection of Sullivan and Church Street that cold be exacerbated. Hydrology and hydraulics grade line calculations will need to be provided to ensure adequacy and prevent further flooding and drainage issues. | |
| <u>Grading, E&S and Stormwater Management</u> <u>– Kerry Wharton, Stormwater Administrator</u> | If required, this will be addressed in connection with Site Development Plan. |
| SW4. The project is being shown to be built over an existing channel. How will water conveyance be addressed with this project since it is taking flow from the neighborhood? The existing channel will need to be evaluated to determine if any additional federal or state permitting is required. | |
| <u>Public Utilities – Paul Bernard, Town</u> <u>Engineer</u> | The Applicant is discussing this with Public Facilities and will have more |

| PU1. The site proposes 44 Senior Living rental units, a recreational building, and the existing church remaining in place. At this time, the existing church uses about 29,000 gallons a month which equates to approximately 960 gallons a day. The application indicated the total site land area is 9.14 acres and is zoned R-10. Based on this, the by-right water and wastewater use for up to 39 residential units would be around 11,700 gallons per day. Under the proposed use, maintaining the existing church, 44 elderly/age restricted apartment units, and a 2-story 19,000 square foot Recreational Center with a non- defined water demand, is estimated to require a water demand between 10,000 and 13,000 gallons per day. | information to share regarding the existing and planned capacities versus the proposed capacity. The proposed use is not the same as a single-family dwelling (300 GPD), but more in line with a senior home (100 GPD). |
|--|---|
| Public Utilities – Steven Friend, Director of Public Utilities1. It is my recommendation that council take careful consideration in approving any increase to density that results in the increase of water demand that is above what the current by-right amount is. See above comment PU1 for reference to overage of existing by-right amount, which is an approximation using the industries 300 gallons per day per home for an amount of 1,300 gallons per day, or an extra 4.3-5 homes. | The Applicant is discussing this with Public Facilities and will have more information to share regarding the existing and planned capacities versus the proposed capacity. The proposed use is not the same as a single-family dwelling (300 GPD), but more in line with a senior home (100 GPD). |
| Public Utilities – Paul Bernard, Town EngineerEngineerPW1. Parking for units 13 through 24 and 37 through 44 is questionable as currently shown. Based on this layout, it would appear there would be strong motivation for routine parking along Moser Road, which the current street design would not support. | For reasons that have been previously stated, the Applicant does not believe that the parking for the project will remotely approach the Town's estimates as to the required parking. Consequently, it does not have reason to believe that there will be routine parking along Moser Road. |
| <u>Public Utilities – Paul Bernard, Town</u> <u>Engineer</u> | This is acknowledged and will be addressed in connection with Site Development Plan. |

| PW2. Storm drainage will be a major concern | |
|---|--|
| during design development. | |

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or need additional information. Thank you for your assistance in connection with this application.

Very truly yours,

WALSH, COLUCCI, LUBELEY & WALSH, P.C.

John

John H. Foote

JLP/mac

cc: Pastor Davies Sarah Newton Molly Brooks Rob Seidel Jeremy Karls
PROFFER STATEMENT WARRENTON UNITED METHODIST CHURCH / HERO'S BRIDGE

| Rezoning: | ZMA 2023-01 Warrenton United Methodist Church / Hero's Bridge |
|----------------------|--|
| Record Owner: | Trustees of the Warrenton United Methodist Church |
| Property: | PINs 6984-16-5101 (portion),6984-16-7013, 6984-15-1823 and 6984-15-1930, approximately 9.8640 acres (hereinafter the "Property") |
| Zoning: | R-10 (Residential) and RO (Residential Office) to R-PUD (Residential Planned Unit Development) |
| Project Name: | Warrenton United Methodist Church / Hero's Bridge |
| Date: | August 16, 2024 |

Preliminary Matters:

Pursuant to Va. Code Ann. §§ 15.2-2296, 15.2-2297, 15.2-2298, 15.2-2303 or 15.2-2303.1, and § 11.3.9.17 of the Town of Warrenton Zoning Ordinance, the undersigned hereby voluntarily proffers that the development and use of the Property shall be in substantial conformance with the following conditions unless an amendment thereto is approved by the Town Council, upon proper application. In the event this rezoning is granted as applied for by the Applicant, then these Proffers shall supersede and replace in their entirety all other Proffers made prior hereto with respect to the Property. In the event this rezoning is not granted as applied for by the Applicant, then these Proffers shall be null and void, and any existing Proffers will remain in full force and effect.

The headings of the Proffers set forth below have been prepared for convenience or reference only and shall not control or affect the meaning or be taken as an interpretation of any provision of the Proffers. Any improvements proffered herein below shall be provided at the time of development of the portion of the site served by the improvement, unless otherwise specified. The terms "Applicant" and "Developer" shall include all future owners and successors in interest. These Proffers shall apply to the entirety of the Property and the development referenced in these Proffers is the "Project." For purposes of this Proffer Statement, the "General Development Plan" or "GDP" shall be sheets 3,4, 5 and 6 of the plan entitled "Warrenton United Methodist Church / Hero's Bridge Zoning Map Amendment," prepared by Rinker Design Associates, PC, dated April 26, 2024.

DEVELOPMENT AND USE

- 1. <u>Development</u>: The Property, comprised of approximately 9.8640 acres, shall be developed as a Residential Planned Unit Development (R-PUD), notwithstanding any requirement for a larger parcel size for rezoning to that zoning, in substantial conformance with the GDP and these proffers. Upon submission of final site development plans, minor changes and adjustments may be made to the road and street alignments, entrances, parking, dimensions and location of SWM/BMP facilities, the exact configuration and location of building footprints, and other similar features as shown on the CDP, provided they meet the intent of these Proffers and are approved by the Director of Community Development.
- 2. <u>Land Use Mix</u>: Notwithstanding the provisions of §§ 3-5.2.4.1 and 3-5.4.2.2 of the Zoning Ordinance, the land use mix on the Property shall consist of the following, as depicted on the GDP:

a. <u>Residential</u>:

- i. The maximum number of duplex units shall be forty-four (44), which shall be in twenty-two (22) two-family residential dwelling units (forty-four (44) dwelling units total) and available for rent only. The Applicant shall not be required to subdivide these duplex units and said duplex units will be provided as depicted on the GDP. For the purposes of these proffers a "duplex" shall mean a building containing two dwelling units with not more than one person occupying each dwelling unit.
- ii. Each individual residential unit shall have one bedroom and all units shall be ADA accessible.
- iii. The Property may be developed with one unit type.

Item 2.

- iv. The Applicant shall, pursuant to the provisions of the federal Housing for Older Persons Act, 42 U.S.C. § 3601, et seq., and the Virginia Fair Housing Law, Va. Code Ann. § 36-96.1 et seq., rent homes on the Property only to veterans 65 years of age and older, in accordance with its mission as set out in the Statement of Justification submitted with this rezoning.
- v. All prospective tenants shall be screened for any record of having convicted of a "barrier crime" as defined in Va. Code Ann. §19.2-392.02. No person who has been convicted of such a crime shall be accepted as a tenant.
- b. <u>Small Office / Community Center</u>: A Small Office / Community Center will be constructed during Phase I of the project in the location shown on the GDP. The Small Office / Community Center shall include, but shall not be limited to, a movie viewing area, a senior technology library, an arts and crafts area, a music area, an area for pet therapy for residents, and a fitness room. The Small Office / Community Center shall be shown on the Site Development Plan for the twenty-two (22) two-family residential dwelling units.
- c. <u>Multipurpose Recreational Center</u>: A Multipurpose Recreational Center may be constructed during Phase II of the project in the location shown on the GDP. The Multipurpose Recreational Center shall not exceed 19,000 square feet and may include, but shall not be limited to, multi-purpose gym/event space, meeting areas, a kitchen, educational space, an indoor walking track, and multi-purpose office space.
- d. <u>Existing Uses and Structures</u>: The existing uses and structures (including, but not limited to, the church and associated houses) on the Property may remain.
- 3. <u>Height</u>: No new structure on the Property shall exceed 35 feet in height.
- 4. <u>Phasing</u>: Development of the Property may be phased as generally depicted on the GDP. The Applicant may construct Phase I initially and thereafter construct Phase II improvements at any time, provided that parking, landscaping and stormwater management improvements are provided at the time each phase is constructed.

- 5. <u>Affordable Dwelling Units</u>:
 - a. The homes constructed on the Property shall be deemed Affordable Dwelling Units pursuant to the provisions of § 9-3 of the Zoning Ordinance for the period of time set forth in that Section. The Applicant shall record a restrictive covenant or other form of legal and binding agreement approved by the Town Attorney and Town Council to ensure that the homes are so maintained, under approved terms and conditions.
 - b. Because of the nature and purpose of the Applicant's housing program, it shall not be required to make the homes available first to persons residing or working in the Town of Warrenton or Fauquier County but shall be permitted to rent to persons qualifying under its rules and regulations developed for that purpose.

COMMUNITY DESIGN

- 6. <u>Architecture</u>:
 - a. <u>Duplex Units</u>: The level of quality of the duplex units shall be in general conformance with the elevation entitled "Warrenton UMC Proposed Housing Elevation," prepared by Design Concepts Architecture + Interiors, and dated March 16, 2023 (hereinafter, the "Housing Elevations"), provided that minor modifications may be made in connection with final design.
 - b. <u>Small Office / Community Center</u>: The level of quality of the Small Office / Community Center shall be compatible with the Housing Elevations.
 - c. <u>Multipurpose Recreational Center</u>: The level of quality of the Multipurpose Recreational Center shall be in general conformance with the elevations entitled "Warrenton UMC Multi-Purpose Building," prepared by Design Concepts Architecture + Interiors, dated November 18, 2022 and consisting of two sheets, provided that minor modifications may be made in connection with final design.
- 7. <u>Landscaping</u>: Landscaping of the Property shall be provided in general conformance with the GDP. All new landscaping/plantings shall be non-invasive, indigenous species, native to Virginia. All landscaping shall be maintained by the Owner/Applicant.

- 8. <u>Outdoor Amenities</u>: Outdoor amenities constructed with Phase I, shall include, but shall not be limited to walking trails, senior appropriate fitness trail signage, a gazebo, and an existing flagstone garden.
- 9. <u>Lighting</u>: All outdoor lighting shall be designed as to avoid light spillover onto adjacent properties.

TRANSPORTATION

- 10. <u>Phase I Pedestrian Improvements</u>: In connection with Phase I the Applicant shall install all the internal pedestrian improvements that are depicted on the GDP.
- 11. <u>Phase II Pedestrian Improvements</u>: In Phase II the Applicant shall construct pedestrian improvements within existing right-of-way along Church Street from the western most access along Church Street to Sullivan Street, as generally depicted on the GDP. The final design and location of the sidewalk and road improvements shall be determined in connection with site plan review for Phase II.
- 12. <u>Bike Rack</u>: The Applicant shall provide a bike rack in connection with Phase I.

[Signatures on following pages]

Signature Page

ltem 2.

PROFFER STATEMENT WARRENTON UNITED METHODIST CHURCH / HERO'S BRIDGE +/- 9.864 Acres

Signature Page

Harold Peters as Trustee of Warrenton United Methodist Church

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA COUNTY OF Fanquier , to wit:

Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>15</u> day of <u>August</u>, 2024, in my county and state aforesaid, by the aforenamed principal.

TARY PUBLIC



#

Signature Page

Louis McDonald as Trustee of Warrenton United Methodist Church

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA COUNTY OF <u>Fauguics</u>, to wit:

Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>13</u> day of <u>August</u>, 2024, in my county and state aforesaid, by the aforenamed principal.

NOTARY PUBLIC



Signature Page

Melanie Sanfilippo as Trustee of Warrenton United Methodist Church

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA COUNTY OF Faughier, to wit:

Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>13</u> day of <u>August</u>, 2024, in my county and state aforesaid, by the aforenamed principal.

NOTARY PUBLIC



Signature Page

Gail Lane as Trustee of Warrenton United Methodist Church

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA COUNTY OF <u>Fauguier</u>, to wit:

Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>12</u> day of <u>August</u>, 2024, in my county and state aforesaid, by the aforenamed principal.

OTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: _____ O3 3/3/



Item 2.

PROFFER STATEMENT WARRENTON UNITED METHODIST CHURCH / HERO'S BRIDGE +/- 9.864 Acres

Signature Page

Sarah-Cooper as Trustee of Warrenton United Methodist Church

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA Fauguics _, to wit: COUNTY OF

Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>13</u> day of <u>August</u>, 2024, in my county and state aforesaid, by the aforenamed principal.

NOTARY PUBLIC

03 31 28 My Commission Expires:



Page 11 of 15

Item 2.

PROFFER STATEMENT WARRENTON UNITED METHODIST CHURCH / HERO'S BRIDGE +/- 9.864 Acres

Signature Page

Kayla Leggett as Trustee of Warrenton United Methodist Church

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA ____, to wit: Fanghier COUNTY OF

Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>13</u> day of <u>August</u>, 2024, in my county and state aforesaid, by the aforenamed principal.

NOTARY PUBI

03 31 My Commission Expires:



Page 12 of 15

Signature Page

Marcia Payne as Trustee of Warrenton United Methodist Church

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA _____, to wit: Fauquier COUNTY OF _

Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>13</u> day of <u>August</u>, 2024, in my county and state aforesaid, by the aforenamed principal.

TARY PUBLIC



Signature Page

Michael Shankle as Trustee of Warrenton United Methodist Church

| COMMONWEALT | H OF VIRGINIA | |
|-------------|---------------|-----------|
| COUNTY OF | Fauguier | , to wit: |

Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>13</u> day of <u>August</u>, 2024, in my county and state aforesaid, by the aforenamed principal.

NOTARY PUBLIC



Signature Page

Cerethia Cynthia Myhre as Trustee of Warrendon United

Methodist Church

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA COUNTY OF <u>Fauguier</u> , to wit:

Subscribed and sworn to before me this **12** day of _______ county and state aforesaid, by the aforenamed principal. August , 2024, in my

NOTARY PUBLIC

11111111 03 31 28 My Commission Expires:

DRAFT

PROFFER STATEMENT WARRENTON UNITED METHODIST CHURCH / HERO'S BRIDGE

9.154 ACRES

| Rezoning: | ZMA 2023-01 Warrenton United Methodist Church / Hero's Bridge |
|----------------------|--|
| Record Owner: | Trustees of the Warrenton United Methodist Church |
| Property: | PINs 6984-16-5101 (portion),6984-16-7013, 6984-15-1823 and 6984-15-1930,6984-15-1930, approximately 9.8640 acres (hereinafter the "Property") |
| Zoning: | R-10 (Residential) and RO (Residential Office) to R-PUD (Residential Planned Unit Development) |
| Project Name: | Warrenton United Methodist Church / Hero's Bridge |
| Date: | June 12<u>August 16</u>, 2024 |

Preliminary Matters:

Pursuant to Va. Code Ann. §§ 15.2-2296, 15.2-2297, 15.2-2298, 15.2-2303 or 15.2-2303.1, and § 11.3.9.17 of the Town of Warrenton Zoning Ordinance, the undersigned hereby voluntarily proffers that the development and use of the Property shall be in substantial conformance with the following conditions unless an amendment thereto is approved by the Town Council, upon proper application. In the event this rezoning is granted as applied for by the Applicant, then these Proffers shall supersede and replace in their entirety all other Proffers made prior hereto with respect to the Property. In the event this rezoning is not granted as applied for by the Applicant, then these Proffers shall be null and void, and any existing Proffers will remain in full force and effect.

The headings of the Proffers set forth below have been prepared for convenience or reference only and shall not control or affect the meaning or be taken as an interpretation of any provision of the Proffers. Any improvements proffered herein below shall be provided at the time of development of the

#

portion of the site served by the improvement, unless otherwise specified. The terms "Applicant" and "Developer" shall include all future owners and successors in interest. These Proffers shall apply to the entirety of the Property and the development referenced in these Proffers is the "Project."

For purposes of this Proffer Statement, the "General Development Plan" or "GDP" shall be sheets 3,4, 5 and 6 of the plan entitled "Warrenton United Methodist Church / Hero's Bridge Zoning Map Amendment," prepared by Rinker Design Associates, PC, dated April 26, 2024.

DEVELOPMENT AND USE

- 1. <u>Development</u>: The Property, comprised of approximately 9.8640 acres, shall be developed as a Residential Planned Unit Development (R-PUD), notwithstanding any requirement for a larger parcel size for rezoning to that zoning, in substantial conformance with the GDP and these proffers. Upon submission of final site development plans, minor changes and adjustments may be made to the road and street alignments, entrances, parking, dimensions and location of SWM/BMP facilities, the exact configuration and location of building footprints, and other similar features as shown on the CDP, provided they meet the intent of these Proffers and are approved by the Director of Community Development.
- 2. <u>Land Use Mix</u>: Notwithstanding the provisions of §§ 3-5.2.4.1 and 3-5.4.2.2 of the Zoning Ordinance, the land use mix on the Property shall consist of the following, as depicted on the GDP:
 - a. <u>Residential</u>:
 - i. The maximum number of duplex units shall be forty-four (44), which shall be in 22 one story homes twenty-two (22) twofamily residential dwelling units (forty-four (44) dwelling units total) and available for rent only. The Applicant shall not be required to subdivide these duplex units and said duplex units will be provided as depicted on the GDP. For the purposes of these proffers a "duplex" shall mean a building containing two dwelling units with not more than one person occupying each dwelling unit.
 - ii. Each individual residential unit shall have one bedroom and all units shall be ADA accessible.

#

Item 2.

#

- iii. The Property may be developed with one unit type.
- iv. The Applicant shall, to the extent permitted by federal and state lawpursuant to the provisions of the federal Housing for Older Persons Act, 42 U.S.C. § 3601, et seq., and the Virginia Fair Housing Law, Va. Code Ann. § 36-96.1 et seq., rent homes on the Property <u>only</u> to veterans 65 years of age and older-who served in Vietnam, Korea, and World War II, in accordance with its mission as set out in the Statement of Justification submitted with this rezoning.
- v. All prospective tenants shall be screened for any record of having convicted of a "barrier crime" as defined in Va. Code Ann. §19.2-392.02. No person who has been convicted of such a crime shall be accepted as a tenant.
- b. <u>Small Office / Community Center</u>: A Small Office / Community Center will be constructed during Phase I of the project in the location shown on the GDP. The Small Office / Community Center <u>may-shall</u>include, but shall not be limited to, a movie viewing area, a senior technology library, an arts and crafts area, a music area, an area for pet therapy for residents, and a fitness room. <u>The Small</u> <u>Office / Community Center shall be shown on the Site Development</u> <u>Plan for the twenty-two (22) two-family residential dwelling units.</u>
- c. <u>Multipurpose Recreational Center</u>: A Multipurpose Recreational Center may be constructed on the Property and shall not exceed 19,000 square feet<u>during Phase II of the project in the location shown</u> on the GDP. The Multipurpose Recreational Center <u>shall not exceed</u> <u>19,000 square feet and</u> may include, but shall not be limited to, multipurpose gym/event space, meeting areas, a kitchen, educational space, an indoor walking track, and multi-purpose office space.
- d. <u>Existing Uses and Structures</u>: The existing uses and structures (including, but not limited to, the church and associated houses) on the Property may remain.
- 3. <u>Height</u>: No new structure on the Property shall exceed 35 feet in height.

#

4. <u>Phasing</u>: Development of the Property may be phased as generally depicted on the GDP. The Applicant may construct Phase I initially and thereafter construct Phase II improvements at any time, provided that parking, landscaping and stormwater management improvements are provided at the time each phase is constructed.

5. <u>Affordable Dwelling Units</u>:

- a. The homes constructed on the Property shall be deemed Affordable Dwelling Units pursuant to the provisions of § 9-3 of the Zoning Ordinance for the period of time set forth in that Section. The Applicant shall record a restrictive covenant or other form of legal and binding agreement approved by the Town Attorney and Town Council to ensure that the homes are so maintained, under approved terms and conditions.
- b. Because of the nature and purpose of the Applicant's housing program, it shall not be required to make the homes available first to persons residing or working in the Town of Warrenton or Fauquier County but shall be permitted to rent to persons qualifying under its rules and regulations developed for that purpose.

COMMUNITY DESIGN

- 6. <u>Architecture</u>:
 - a. <u>Duplex Units</u>: The level of quality of the duplex units shall be in general conformance with the elevation entitled "Warrenton UMC Proposed Housing Elevation," prepared by Design Concepts Architecture + Interiors, and dated March 16, 2023 (hereinafter, the "Housing Elevations"), provided that minor modifications may be made in connection with final design.
 - b. <u>Small Office / Community Center</u>: The level of quality of the Small Office / Community Center shall be compatible with the Housing Elevations.
 - c. <u>Multipurpose Recreational Center</u>: The level of quality of the Multipurpose Recreational Center shall be in general conformance with the elevations entitled "Warrenton UMC Multi-Purpose Building," prepared by Design Concepts Architecture + Interiors,

ltem 2.

PROFFER STATEMENT

WARRENTON UNITED METHODIST CHURCH / HERO'S BRIDGE +/- 9.864 Acres

#

dated November 18, 2022 and consisting of two sheets, provided that minor modifications may be made in connection with final design.

- 7. <u>Landscaping</u>: Landscaping of the Property shall be provided in general conformance with the GDP. All new landscaping/plantings shall be non-invasive, indigenous species, native to Virginia. All landscaping shall be maintained by the Owner/Applicant.
- 8. <u>Outdoor Amenities</u>: Outdoor amenities constructed with Phase I, shall include, but shall not be limited to walking trails, senior appropriate fitness trail signage, a gazebo, and an existing flagstone garden.
- 9. <u>Lighting</u>: All outdoor lighting shall conform to the requirements of Article 9 of the Warrenton Zoning Ordinance and shall be designed as to avoid light spillover onto adjacent properties.

WATER AND SEWER AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

- 10. <u>Public Water and Sewer</u>: The Property shall be served by public water and sewer. The Applicant shall design and construct all on-site and off-site improvements necessary to provide such service for the demand generated by development on the Property in accordance with applicable laws, ordinances, and regulations, and at its expense.
- 11. <u>Stormwater Management</u>: Stormwater management for Phases I and II shall be provided as generally shown on the GDP and s required by applicable laws, ordinances, and regulations.

TRANSPORTATION

- <u>10.</u><u>12.Phase I Pedestrian Improvements</u>: In connection with Phase I the Applicant shall install all the internal pedestrian improvements that are depicted on the GDP.
- <u>11.</u>13.Phase II Pedestrian Improvements: In Phase II the Applicant shall construct pedestrian improvements within existing right-of-way along Church Street from the western most access along Church Street to Sullivan Street, as generally depicted on the GDP. The final design and location of the sidewalk and road improvements shall be determined in connection with site plan review for Phase II.

Item 2.

PROFFER STATEMENT WARRENTON UNITED METHODIST CHURCH / HERO'S BRIDGE +/- 9.864 Acres

#

<u>12.</u>14.<u>Bike Rack</u>: The Applicant shall provide a bike rack in the location generally depicted on the GDP in connection with Phase I.

[Signatures on following pages]

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAUQUIER COUNTY

IN RE: WARRENTON UNITED) METHODIST CHURCH) CL_33-532 WARRENTON, VIRGINIA)

ORDER OF CONFIRMATION OF CURRENT CHURCH TRUSTEES AND AUTHORIZATION OF TRUSTEES TO SIGN LAND USE APPLICATION AFFIDAVIT

THIS CAUSE came this day by Petition of the Trustees of the Warrenton United Methodist Church (the "Church"), by counsel, who petitioned this Court pursuant to Virginia Code §§'s 57-8 and 57-15, requesting that this Court confirm the current slate of Trustees of the Church and further confirm and/or authorize those Trustees to endorse on behalf of the Church a Land Use Application Affidavit and such further documents as may be necessary to pursue the rezoning of certain Church property; and

IT APPEARING that the Church is a church as defined by the Code of Virginia; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING that the Trustees of the Church hold and are responsible for overseeing the Church Property for the benefit of the Church and its Congregation; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING that the last order confirming Church Trustees was entered by this Court on December 7, 2021; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING that at a duly called Charge Conference of the Church the following were confirmed or re-confirmed to serve as Church Trustees: Louis McDonald, Melanie Sanfilippo, Gail Lane, John Urego, Sarah Cooper, Harry Zullo, Kayla Leggett, Marcia Payne, Harold Peters, Michael Shankle and Cynthia Myhre; and

1

IT FURTHER APPEARING that by vote of the Church Trustees as authorized by the Church Conference that the Trustees desire to pursue a rezoning of Church Property at 341 Church Street, Warrenton (Map Numbers 6984-16-5101 and 6984-16-7013); and

IT FURTHER APPEARING that there appears good cause for this Order to enter; it is therefore

ADJUDGED, ORDERED and DECREED that Louis McDonald, Melanie Sanfilippo, Gail Lane, John Urego, Sarah Cooper, Harry Zullo, Kayla Leggett, Marcia Payne, Harold Peters, Michael Shankle and Cynthia Myhre are hereby confirmed as Trustees of the Warrenton United Methodist Church of Warrenton, Virginia; and it is further

ADJUDGED, ORDERED and DECREED that the Trustees, or any number of them that may be required, may enter a Land Use Application Affidavit or any such further documents, agreements, applications, or other forms that may be necessary to pursue the rezoning of the

Church's Property held in their Trust.

day of Nov. , 20 23 Entered this

Fauquier Circuit Court Judge

James P. Fisher, Judge

WE ASK FOR THIS:

WALSH, COLUCCI, LUBLEY, & WALSH, P.C.

Michael Kalish, VSB #73090 4310 Prince William Parkway, Suite, 300 Woodbridge, VA 22192 Tel. 703-680-4664 Fax 703-680-2161 Counsel for Petitioners

GAILA BARB. CLERK Deputy Clerk FAUQUIER COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT. VA

Next on the agenda was the Public Hearing on the request of Mr. and Mrs. George Godfrey for a Special Use Permit to operate a home professional office (CPA) at their residence at 341 Chappell Street.

Mr. George Godfrey stated his wife wanted to operate a CPA business from the home. He stated there would be no employees, no signs, and no mailing correspondence to that address. He stated there would be adequate off-street parking. He stated all neighbors had been notified prior to the Planning Commission hearing and no one had an objection.

The Mayor called for others to speak for the request. There being none, he called for those to speak against. There were none so the Mayor declared the Public Hearing closed at 7:23 p.m.

On a motion by Miss Carter, seconded by Mr. Mann, the request of Mr. and Mrs. George Godfrey for a Special Use Permit to operate a home professional office (CPA) at their residence at 341 Chappell Street was unanimously approved.

Next item on the agenda was the Public Kearing on the request of Warrenton United Methodist Church for a Special Use Permit to operate a pre-school program.

The Nøyor declared the Public Hearing open at 7:24 p.m. Mr. Herbert Brynildsen, Director of Christian Education at the Warrenton Methodist Church, requested permission to operate a pre-school at the church. He stated a fence would be installed around the outside play area. He stated the program would eventually be five days a week and they hoped to open in September.

The Mayor called for those to speak for the request. There were none, so he called for those opposed. There were none. The Mayor declared the Public Hearing closed at 7:25 p.m.

On a motion by Mr. Austin, seconded by Miss Carter, the request of Warrenton United Methodist Church for a Special Use Permit to operate a pre-school program was unanimously approved.

Next was the Public Hearing on the request of Nr. Frank Culley to subdivide what is currently 2 lots of record containing 5 dwelling units into 5 lots of record. Dwelling units are located at 97, 101, 105, 107 and 109 Fisher Lane.

The Planning Director stated that Mr. Culley had been approached by someone who wanted to purchase the property to build offices. Mr. Culley felt it more appropriate that it remain residential. The Planning Director stated that houses could not be sold unless each house was on its own lot and this is what Mr. Culley was proposing to do.

The Planning Director stated all lots are standard with the exception of lot 2. She further stated that Mr. Culley has agreed to restrict the use to residential and three of the existing tenants wish to purchase their houses.

Mr. Finn inquired what the zoning would change to. The Planning Director stated it would be residential restricted. The Town Attorney explained that it was residential restricted and when the zoning was next changed the zoning would be recognized as residential at that time.

The Planning Director stated Mr. Samuel Jordan was in attendance on behalf of Mr. Culley if there were questions.

Mr. Lewis stated that the 20 foot access was acceptable until it reached lots 4 and 5 and then there was only a 10 foot entrance into each lot. The Town Attorney stated that it should be extended 10 additional feet on lots 4 and 5.

Mr. Jordan stated that Mr. Culley was willing to accept a restriction for residential use in perpetuity and he was attempting to provide affordøble housing.

Mr. Finn inquired if they would be owner-occupied. Mr. Jordan stated this was planned.

The Mayor called for anyone to speak for. There were none, so he called for those opposed. There were none and the Public Hearing was closed at 7:35 p.m.

Mr. Finn made a motion that the request of Mr. Frank Culley to subdivide 2 lots into 5 be approved. Mr. Mann seconded the motion.

Hr. Lewis requested amending the motion that the right of way going through lot 1 be extended ten feet into lots 4 and 5.

The Town Attorney stated the right of way should be extended to the level of the front of the houses.

Hr. Finn restated his motion. Mr. Finn moved that the Council approve the request of Mr. Culley to subdivide the lots based on the provisions that the residential office cluster be approved and the property be restricted to residential use and the easements to the driveways be extended to 20 feet-an additional ten feet into lots 4 and 5. Mr. Mann seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

62

ZMA 2023-01, WARRENTON UNITED METHODIST CHURCH / HERO'S BRIDGE



August 27, 2024

PLANNING COMMISSION WORK SESSION 204



PROPERTY LOCATION

ZONING & FUTURE LANE USE

ZONING RO and R-10

3

Future Land Use Re-Planned Commercial and Medium Density Residential





Re-Planned Commercial

Medium Density Residential

206



AERIAL

Δ



PHASE I ILLUSTRATIVE PLAN



WARRENTON UMC PROPOSED HOUSING ELEVATION MARCH 16, 2023



architecture + interiors 131 South Loudoun Street Winchester, VA 22601 Phone: (540) 722-7247; Fax: (540) 722-7248 architect@1designconcepts.com

HOUSING ELEVATION

6



PHASE II ILLUSTRATIVE PLAN



MULTI PURPOSE RECREATIONAL CENTER 211

PEDESTRIAN CONNECTIVITY



Item 2.

9



Ð

SHRUBS

LARGE EVERGREEN TREES



DENOTES TREES COUNTING TOWARDS INTERIOR PARKING LOT LANDSCAPING)



PHASE I LANDSCAPE PLAN

Item 2.



ILLUSTRATIVE PLAN

- Proffer #2.a.i. clarification on the unit
 - 22 two-family residential dwelling units (44 dwelling units total)
- Proffer #2.a.v. barrier crime screen as defined by Virginia State Code, as requested
- Proffer #2.b. Small Office / Community Center
 - Amenities "shall" include instead of "may"
 - Timing tied to Site Development Plan for units
- Proffers #9, previously10 and previous #11 removed as requested in comments

THANK YOU

August 27, 2024

PLANNING COMMISSION WORK SESSION 216

Table 5.1: Residential Water Demands per Unit

| Residential | Water Demand per Unit (GPD) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Single-Family (units) | 300 |
| Multifamily (units) | 300 |
| Apartment (units) | 300 |
| Townhouse (units) | 300 |
| Senior Home (units) | 100 |
| Hotel (rooms) | 100 |

14



TOWN OF WARRENTON, VIRGINIA

PLANNING COMMISSION

BY-LAWS

PREAMBLE- These By-laws set forth the rules for the transactions of business by the Planning Commission of the Town of Warrenton which operates under the authority of the laws of Virginia and the ordinances of the Town of Warrenton.

ARTICLE 1 – OBJECTIVES

- 1-1 Per §15.2-2210 of the Code of Virginia, every locality shall by resolution or ordinance create a local planning commission in order to promote the orderly development of the locality and its environs. In accomplishing the objectives of § <u>15.2-2200</u> the local planning commissions shall serve primarily in an advisory capacity to the governing bodies.
- 1-2 The Planning Commission, as established by the Town Council, has adopted the subsequent Articles in order to facilitate its powers and duties under Title 15.2, Chapter 22, 2, Code of Virginia.
- 1-3 The official title of this Commission shall be the Town of Warrenton Planning Commission.

ARTICLE 2 – MEMBERS

- 2-1 The Warrenton Planning Commission shall consist of not less than five nor more than fifteen members, appointed by the Town Council all of whom shall be residents of the locality, qualified by knowledge and experience to make decisions on questions of community growth and development; provided, that at least one-half of the members so appointed shall be owners of real property. Advisory non-voting members shall include one member of Town Council.
- 2-2 The members shall be appointed for terms of four years. Any vacancy in membership shall be filled by appointment by the Town Council and will fulfill the unexpired term of the member being replaced. Any member missing three consecutive meetings or four meetings within a twelve month period may be removed from office by the Town Council and may be replaced after proper advertising. Members may be removed for malfeasance in office.
- 2-3 The Planning Commission shall inform the Town Council when a member's term is expiring to allow time for the Town Council to advertise a new Planning Commission term

appointment. Seated Planning Commission members may choose to reapply for a new term but reappointment is not assumed without Town Council action.

2-4 The Commission members are strongly encouraged to attend training sessions sponsored by the State of Virginia or other planning agencies, in order to more effectively carry out their responsibilities to meet the objectives of the Planning Commission.

ARTICLE 3 – OFFICERS

- 3-1 The Commission shall appoint a Secretary who need not be a member of the Commission.
- 3-2 Nomination of officers shall be made from the floor of the regular meeting held at the first meeting of the calendar year.

3-2-1 Each candidate for office shall be nominated by and seconded by one member of the Commission.

3-3-2 A candidate for an office of the Commission receiving a majority vote of the members shall be declared elected and shall take office immediately upon the conclusion of the regular meeting and serve for one year or until his successor shall take office.

ARTICLE 4 – DUTIES OF OFFICERS

- 4-1 The Chairman shall:
 - 4-1-1 Preside at all meetings and call the meetings to order at the appointed time;
 - 4-1-2 Announce the business in its proper order;
 - 4-1-3 Preserve order and decorum;
 - 4-1-4 State and put all questions properly brought before the Commission;
 - 4-1-5 Rule on all procedural questions. Such rulings may be reversed by a majority vote of the members present.
 - 4-1-6 Be informed immediately of any official communication and report the same at the next regular meeting;
 - 4-1-7 Affix his/her signature to all correspondence issued by the commission and all official minutes; and
 - 4-1-8 Appoint committees as necessary.

- 4-2 The Vice Chairman shall assume the duty of the Chairman in the Chairman's absence or in the Chairman's inability to act.
- 4-3 The Secretary or the Secretary's appointee shall:
 - 4-3-1 Keep a written record of all business transacted by the Commission;
 - 4-3-2 Notify all members of all meetings;
 - 4-3-3 Keep a file of all official records and reports of the Commission;
 - 4-3-4 Certify all records and reports of the Commission;
 - 4-3-5 Attend to correspondence of the Commission;
 - 4-3-6 Serve notice of all hearings and public hearings;
 - 4-3-7 Keep a set of minutes of all meetings which shall become a public record; and
 - 4-3-8 Prepare and be responsible for publishing of advertisements relating to public hearings.
 - 4-3-9 Ensure all meeting packet materials are submitted to Planning Commission members one week prior to a meeting.
 - 4-3-10 Submit minutes of Planning Commission meetings to the Town Council.

ARTICLE 5 – DUTIES OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

To effectuate this chapter, the local planning commission shall:

- 5-1. Exercise general supervision of, and make regulations for, the administration of its affairs;
- 5-2. Prescribe rules pertaining to its investigations and hearings;
- 5-3. Supervise its fiscal affairs and responsibilities, under rules and regulations as prescribed by the governing body;
- 5-4. Keep a complete record of its proceedings; and be responsible for the custody and preservation of its papers and documents;

- 5-5. Make recommendations and an annual report to the governing body concerning the operation of the commission and the status of planning within its jurisdiction;
- 5-6. Prepare, publish and distribute reports, ordinances and other material relating to its activities;
- 5-7. Prepare and submit an annual budget in the manner prescribed by the governing body of the county or municipality; and
- 5-8. If deemed advisable, establish an advisory committee or committees.

ARTICLE 6 – MEETINGS

- 6-1 When applications or other Commission business are pending, regular meetings of the Commission shall be held the third Tuesday of each month. Work sessions will be held the fourth Tuesday of each month. As a general practice, regular meetings and work sessions shall not be held on the same night unless approved by the Chair of the Planning Commission prior to public notice requirements.
- 6-2 When a meeting falls on a legal holiday, the meeting shall be held on the following Tuesday unless otherwise designated by the Chairman or by a vote of the Commission.
- 6-3 When no application or other business is pending, no meeting will be held. The Commission shall meet at least once a year.
- 6-4 The meetings shall begin at 7:00 p.m.
- 6-5 A regular meeting may be adjourned if all business cannot be addressed on the meeting date set. The meeting may be reconvened at a later date, as set at the meeting, or properly advertised.
- 6-6 Special meetings of the commission may be called by the Chairman or by two members upon written request to the Secretary. The Secretary shall mail to all members, at least five days in advance of a special meeting, a written notice fixing the time and place of the meeting and the purpose thereof. Written notice of a special meeting is not required if the time of the special meeting has been fixed at a regular meeting, or if all members are present at the special meeting or file a written waiver of notice.
- 6-7 A member, other than the Chairman, may introduce a motion. Any member of the Commission may second a motion. Motions shall be restated by the Chairman before a vote is taken. The names of persons making and seconding motions shall be recorded.

- 6-8 Parliamentary procedure in Commission meetings shall be governed by Robert's Rules of Order, revised.
- 6-9 A quorum of the Commission shall consist of majority of the members, and no action of the Commission is valid unless authorized by a majority vote of those present and voting.

ARTICLE 7 - ORDER OF BUSINESS REGULAR MEETING

- 7-1 The order of business for a regular meeting shall be:
 - A. Call to order by the Chairman and determination of a quorum;
 - B. Adoption of minutes;
 - C. Hearing of public hearing items;
 - D. New Business;
 - E. Worksession items (if approved by the Chair of the Planning Commission);
 - F. Comments from the Commission;
 - G. Comments from the Staff;
 - H. Adjournment.

ARTICLE 8 - PROCEDURES FOR HEARING ITEMS

- 8-1 The order for the public hearing shall be:
- 8-2 A staff presentation on each item prior to the applicant's comments.
- 8-3 The applicant or his representative should appear at the public hearing and shall be afforded the privilege of making a statement.
- 8-4 All interested parties desiring to be heard shall have an opportunity to speak at the public hearing.
- 8-5 The applicant or his representative may have the opportunity for rebuttal and answer further questions by the Planning Commission.
- 8-6 The Chairman shall then close the public hearing and the Planning Commission shall deliberate on the application and make its recommendation to the Town Council. Only input from the staff shall be permitted at this time, however, the Commission may ask specific questions of the applicant or his representative.
- 8-7 The Chairman may impose time limits for presentations by the applicant and other persons wishing to speak at the public hearing. All information relating to a public hearing must be submitted to staff at least eighteen calendar days prior to the public hearing. Any new information submitted after that time will not be considered by the Planning Commission until the next scheduled Regular Public Hearing Meeting, unless waived by the Planning

Commission Chair.

ARTICLE 9 - ORDER OF BUSINESS FOR WORK SESSIONS

- 9-1 The order of business for work sessions shall be:
 - A. Call to order by the Chairman
 - B. Work Session Items
 - C. Administrative Items
 - D. Comments from Commission
 - E. Comments from Staff
 - F. Adjournment

ARTICLE 10 – AMENDMENTS

These by-laws may be amended by a majority vote of the entire membership of the Commission at a regularly scheduled meeting, provided notice of intent to amend these by-laws has been given at a prior regularly scheduled meeting by at least two members.

ADOPTED: December 17, 1996 Revised December 16, 1997 Revised December 20, 2013 Revised September 20, 2016



Community Development Department

STAFF REPORT

| Meeting Date: | August 27, 2024 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Agenda Title: | Update Planning Commission Bylaws |
| Requested Action: | Hold a Work Session |
| Staff Lead: | Denise Harris, Planning Manager |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The last update of the Planning Commission Bylaws occurred in 2016. With changes to the Code of Virginia, it is important that the bylaws are reviewed periodically for compliance.

BACKGROUND

The Planning Commission Bylaws, sometimes also referred to as Rules of Procedures, cover the Planning Commission membership and terms, the election of officers, duties and responsibilities, how meetings are run and business if conducted, and basic procedures. The purpose of the bylaws is to govern the transaction of business and prescribe the rules pertaining to the work of the Commission. As an appointed body of the Warrenton Town Council, the Planning Commission is also subject to policies and procedures as adopted by the governing body.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Hold a work session and provide direction to staff.