

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MEETING

Tuesday, January 07, 2025 at 5:00 PM

AGENDA

CALL TO ORDER.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS.

DETERMINATION OF A QUORUM.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

1. Draft Minutes- December 3, 2024

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

2. BZA-24-4-57 Madison Street

UPDATES.

3. 2024 BZA Annual Report

ADJOURN.



Item 1.

2

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF THE TOWN OF WARRENTON TOWN HALL 21 MAIN STREET WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20186

MINUTES

A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS WAS HELD ON DECEMBER 3, 2024, AT 5:00 P.M. IN WARRENTON, VIRGINIA

PRESENT Mr. Larry Kovalik, Chair; Ms. Melea Maybach, Vice Chair; Mr. Geoffrey Fiutak; Ms. Susan Helander; Mr. Van Baggett; Ms. Amber Heflin, Zoning Official

ABSENT Ms. Heather Jenkins, Zoning Administrator

CALL TO ORDER AND DETERMINATION OF A QUORUM

The meeting was called to order at 5:00pm. There was a quorum of members present.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Mr. Kovalik asked for a motion.

Mr. Fiutak motioned to approve the agenda. Ms. Maybach seconded. All in favor. The vote was as follows:

Ayes:

Mr. Larry Kovalik, Chair; Ms. Melea Maybach, Vice Chair; Mr. Geoffrey Fiutak; Ms. Susan Helander; Mr. Van Baggett

Nays: Absent During Vote:

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Draft Minutes - October 1, 2024, Meeting

Ms. Maybach motioned to approve the minutes as presented, and Mr. Fiutak seconded. All in favor. The vote was as follows:

Ayes:

Mr. Larry Kovalik, Chair; Ms. Melea Maybach, Vice Chair; Mr. Geoffrey Fiutak; Ms. Susan Helander; Mr. Van Baggett

Nays: Absent During Vote:

PUBLIC HEARING

BZA-24-4: 57 Madison Street

Mr. Kovalik requested an overview from staff.

Ms. Heflin gave a brief presentation on the proposed variance request, advising the request was for a 2' variance from the 10' minimum required side-yard setback to allow the construction of a 2,926 square foot home.

Ms. Heflin advised the applicant's representative, Mr. Lee Baines, was present for questions from the Board.

Mr. Fiutak asked if the zoning ordinance re-write will include modifications to the existing setbacks in the R-10 District.

Ms. Heflin responded she did not believe so.

Mr. Kovalik asked if the definition of capricious used in recent case law is applied to the applicant only or if it can be applied to how the variance affects the community as a whole.

Ms. Heflin advised the case law could be applied to the variance request itself.

Mr. Baggett asked for additional requirements for cluster development.

Ms. Heflin advised cluster developments are typically subdivisions of larger parcels into smaller lot sizes, with smaller setbacks, and with open space to be used by the residents for their enjoyment and potential stormwater lots.

Mr. Kovalik asked for clarification of the proposed approval condition regarding the rear porch not being allowed to be covered if it was within the rear setback.

Ms. Heflin advised the portion of the deck that extends within the 10' side yard setback by 2' would not be able to be covered or enclosed, but the remainder of the deck would be allowed to be enclosed if desired in the future.

Mr. Kovalik asked if the living area calculation of comparable homes on the street provided by staff included the basement.

Ms. Heflin advised the calculation of square footage was utilizing the Fauquier County Real Estate

Records, and included all livable space, which included finished basements only.

Mr. Kovalik pondered on the height of the proposed home in comparison to neighboring properties, noting he did not see an indication of the height on the elevation drawings provided by the applicant.

Ms. Heflin advised she did not see the measurement noted on the plan set provided, but the applicant may be able to weigh in.

There were no further questions for staff.

Mr. Kovalik asked the applicant to approach the dais.

Lee Baines, the applicant's representative, reiterated the reasoning for the variance and the reasoning for the home being larger than adjacent properties. He added the difference in size is due to the design of the home, a first-floor master suite, which is popular currently with home buyers.

Mr. Baines apologized for the height not being included on the architectural plans, but added the home appears to be roughly 30' in height.

Mr. Kovalik stated his concern was the board sees sets of drawings that state they are preliminary and wanted to make sure that the design the board is seeing is what is being proposed.

He added the roof appears to be quite steep.

Mr. Baines noted the house being proposed is of the same general design as the homes constructed on Green Street by Mr. Atkins.

There were no further questions for the applicant's representative.

Mr. Kovalik opened the public hearing at 5:17pm.

No one spoke.

Mr. Kovalik closed the public hearing at 5:17pm.

Mr. Kovalik asked for a motion.

Mr. Fiutak moved to table variance application BZA-24-4 until the Board's next meeting on January 7, 2025, and requested the applicant provide a shadow diagram, and a rendering/elevation of the proposed home situated on the lot to show its appearance and massing in comparison to adjacent homes.

Ms. Helander seconded.

Mr. Kovalik asked for discussion, and asked Mr. Fiutak if additional information was needed from the applicant.

Mr. Fiutak asked the applicant for a shading diagram of the proposed home to ensure that adjacent properties are not substantially affected by the proposal.

Mr. Fiutak advised the main purpose of setbacks in Zoning is to maintain access to light and air, and a tall home would cast a shadow on the adjacent homes and possibly more so when moved closer within the required setback.

He added the applicant could provide a shading diagram or a solar plot diagram showing the affect the home would have on adjacent property for the life of the structure.

Ms. Helander requested a rendering of the home on the lot to show what the proposal would look like next to adjacent homes on either side.

She added she spoke with some of the Townhouse owners on the corner of Madison Street, and they did not have concerns with the proposal.

Mr. Baggett asked if the neighboring homes encroached on the required setback, noting the homes are older.

He added his concern is for fire-safety separation, noting the older homes are already encroaching and allowing a new home to encroach might place homes at risk.

Mr. Fiutak added he agreed with Mr. Baggett and asked that the Building Official review the proposal for fire-safety separation and building code requirements now, as well as when the building permits come in for review.

Ms. Heflin advised she would send this information to the Building Official and Fire Marshal for review and opinion.

Mr. Kovalik advised he spoke to the neighbor that lives behind the property in question and the neighbor really did not have comments on the proposal.

Mr. Kovalik stated he also spoke with one of the next-door neighbors, whose house appears to encroach into the setback. He added there was a language barrier, but the owner does have chickens in the backyard.

Mr. Kovalik said he spoke with the neighbor on the other side of the lot, to an elderly woman whose family expressed deep concerns about the size of the home.

Mr. Kovalik stated a neighbor across the street from the proposed home does not feel the home will fit in the existing Madison Town community, and the homes constructed on Washington and Green Street was an injustice to the Town.

Mr. Kovalik stated that the homes constructed on Washington and Green Street were huge homes on very small lots and are almost overwhelming to the community.

Mr. Kovalik added he noticed applicants are trying to bring much needed housing within infill areas but feels that applicants are using the variance process to build as big homes as possible to shoehorn large homes on lots.

He stated again that he feels this process is an injustice to the community surrounding the subject property.

Mr. Kovalik restated his opinion on utilizing the variance process as a matter of convenience, and that he did not feel a deferral of the application was necessary.

There was no further discussion on the motion.

The vote was as follows:

Ayes:	Ms. Melea Maybach, Vice Chair; Mr. Geoffrey Fiutak Ms.
-	Susan Helander;
Nays:	Mr. Larry Kovalik, Chair; Mr. Van Baggett
Absent During Vote:	

Ms. Heflin clarified the request with the board to defer the application until the January meeting, and the items requested from the applicant.

UPDATES FROM STAFF

January Board Meeting Discussion

Ms. Heflin advised the next regular meeting date for the Board is to be January 7, 2025. She stated this is mostly an organizational meeting with the election of officers, and the presentation of the annual report for the BZA. However, due to the deferral earlier in the evening, there would also be a public hearing.

Ms. Heflin asked if all Board members would be able to be present at this meeting.

All members of the Board indicated they were available to attend the January meeting.

Vacancy on the BZA

Ms. Heflin advised the Board will have a vacancy as of December 31st, due to Mr. Kovalik's resignation from his position in order to begin serving on the Town Council in January. She added staff are currently advertising for the vacancy and staff hope to have an appointment in January or February, but advised the timeline is up to the Court system.

Changes to the Staff Report and Requesting additional documents during the application process

Mr. Fiutak asked staff to include a statement that adjacent property owners were notified of the application, and whether comments were received as part of the staff report with all future applications.

Ms. Heflin agreed, but advised the statement would only reflect comments received or not as of

the date of the staff report.

Mr. Fiutak acknowledged this was acceptable.

Mr. Fiutak asked if staff could collect a shading diagram as part of the application process for applicants requesting a variance of setbacks in all cases in the future.

Ms. Heflin advised staff cannot require the submission of the diagram but could certainly advise the applicant that the diagram may be something the board would like to see at the meeting, should they wish to provide it in advance.

Mr. Fiutak acknowledged this was acceptable.

There were no further questions or updates from staff or the Board.

ADJOURNMENT

Ms. Maybach motioned to adjourn. Mr. Fiutak seconded, all in favor. No discussion. The vote was as follows:

Ayes:

Mr. Larry Kovalik, Chair; Ms. Melea Maybach, Vice Chair; Mr. Geoffrey Fiutak; Ms. Susan Helander; Mr. Van Baggett

Nays: Absent During Vote:

The meeting was adjourned at 5:31 pm.

I hereby certify that this is a true and exact record of actions taken by the Board of Zoning Appeals of the Town of Warrenton on December 3, 2024.

Melea Maybach BZA Vice Chair



TOWN OF WARRENTON

Community Development Department

PO BOX 341 WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20188 http://www.warrentonva.gov Landdevelopment@warrentonva.gov (540) 347-2405

STAFF REPORT

January 7, 2025

Property Owner(s) / Applicant:	ATKINS HOMES, LLC/ Dan Atkins
Application #	BZA #2024-4
Location:	57 Madison Street
PIN:	6984-50-4270-000
Acreage:	0.2097 Acres (9,136 Square Feet)
Zoning:	Residential (R-10)
Comprehensive Plan Designation:	Low Density Residential; Old Town Character District Overlay
Land Use:	Vacant
Request:	The Applicant is seeking approval of a Variance from Zoning Ordinance Article 3-4.2.4 to allow construction of a single-family home within the required side yard setback area.

REQUEST

The applicant is requesting a variance from Article 3-4.2.4 of the Zoning Ordinance to construct a 2,926 square foot single-family home, and a 192 square foot attached rear deck within the required 10-foot side yard setbacks resulting in a variance of approximately 2 feet on each side.

Staff are unable to administratively approve requests for encroachments into required setbacks for primary structures. Staff can only administratively approve encroachments for accessory structures such as decks, porches, and HVAC equipment as permitted by article 2-18 of the Zoning Ordinance.

UPDATES TO APPLICATION

At the December 3rd, 2024, meeting of the Board, the Board voted to defer the variance request until the January 7th, 2025, meeting, and requested a rendering of the home, a solar diagram, and an opinion from the Building Official/Fire Marshal regarding fire safety separation. The Board held a public hearing and closed that public hearing at the last meeting. Re-advertising of the meeting was not required due to the closure of the public hearing at the December meeting.


Staff Report, Board of Zoning Appeals BZA #2024-4 January 7, 2025

The applicant has provided a rendering of the proposed home as the Board requested at their December meeting. The applicant has also provided two sets of elevation drawings with the structure height noted on them. The Browning Model elevation, included as attachment K, the applicant states, could be achieved without a variance from the Board.

Staff has also consulted with the Building Official/Fire Marshal regarding fire safety separation, and he has indicated that fire safety separation does not appear to be a concern because the structures will be more than 5' apart.

House Location Plan


Submitted by Dan Atkins, Applicant



This image shows the proposed home location on the existing lot, with the proposed 8-foot side yard setback shown in red in the image above.

Proposed Elevations (Revised 12/19/2024 to show roof height)

Submitted by Dan Atkins, Applicant



The images above show the proposed elevations for the home, and include square footage data for each floor, and all accessory structures. The first and second floors of the home are 2,926

Staff Report, Board of Zoning Appeals BZA #2024-4 January 7, 2025

square feet combined, the unfinished basement is 1,326 square feet, the garage is 440 square feet, and both front and rear porches are 222 square feet combined. The total structure height is proposed at roughly 30', which is less than the 35' maximum allowable height in the R-10 District.

BACKGROUND

The subject property was originally part of an estate sale in the early 1900's but has been a legal lot of record since 1958, as shown in Deed Book 201 Pages 479-480, and is identified as 9,136 square feet or 0.2097 acres. The lot remained as so in a most recent and subsequent sale of the parcel in 2000, to Levi and Lucia Byrd, as shown in Deed Book 865, Page 862.

In 1958, shown in Deed Book 201 Pages 479-480, the lot is described as having a 58.99-foot frontage along Madison Street, which did not conform to the 1955 Zoning Ordinance's minimum required lot frontage of 75 feet. This frontage requirement (75 feet) did not change in subsequent Zoning Ordinance adoptions in 1959, 1976, and 1991, making the existing lot legally non-conforming to the current (2006) Zoning Ordinance requirements.

The applicant, Mr. Dan Atkins, purchased the property in September of 2024 from the Byrd's with the intent to develop the property with a single-family home.

Madison St Va R11103 \bigcirc 2 0 rod rod Rebecca Madison North Magnetic Ernest Rowe 9136 Sq.Ft. 0.2097Ac - Iron Post Verod-0 3 Lewis

Deed book 201, page 479-480 February 27, 1958

Staff Report, Board of Zoning Appeals BZA #2024-4 January 7, 2025

Deed book 865, Page 862 April 10, 2000

ALL OF THAT certain lot or parcel of land being situate in Centre Magisterial District, Fauquier County, Virginia, near the Town of <u>Warrenton</u> and fronting on <u>Madison Street</u>. Virginia, Route 1103, and described by metes and bounds on that certain plat and survey prepared by Fred Bartenstein, S.F.C., dated February 24, 1958, and attached to deed of trust recorded in Deed Book 201, page 479, in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Fauquier County, Virginia, containing 9,136 square feet, more or less or 0.2097 acre, more or less.



Zoning Map and Location

The property is located on Madison Street, within the R-10 Zoning District, and is surrounded by existing single-family homes, also zoned R-10. There are existing townhomes down the street on the corner of Madison and Falmouth Street.

Existing Conditions



The property is currently vacant and surrounded by existing single-family homes on both sides.

ANALYSIS

Recent Case Law

Staff recently attended a presentation by Sans Anderson, PC, a local legal practice, regarding recent case law updates for variances, and the ruling made by the court system. The *Vallerie Holdings vs. County of Louisa* case represents a turning point in how local governments are able to define a "reasonable utilization of the property" when granting or denying a variance application.

This case law, which was agreeable with the Supreme Court of Virginia, indicated that the 2015 update to Virginia State Code Section § 15.2-2309 was intended to expand the availability of a variance, setting a lower bar for the property owner. The case law indicated the BZA shall issue a variance if the effect of the Zoning Ordinance is to limit the use or enjoyment of the property in a way that is irrational, capricious, or not fair or sensible under the circumstances in which it is presented.

Virginia State Code and the Town of Warrenton Zoning Ordinance

Staff has reviewed the requested variance against the Virginia State Code and the Town of Warrenton Zoning Ordinance to determine if the request meets the criteria required to grant the variance. Below are the variance criteria with the staff's opinion on how the application meets each criterion. The BZA will need to determine if the application has provided sufficient proof that the request meets the standards for a variance as defined by Virginia State Code. Virginia State Code and the Zoning Ordinance define a variance as:

Variance – In the application of a zoning ordinance, a reasonable deviation from those provisions regulating the shape, size, or area of a lot or parcel of land or the size, height, area, bulk, or location of a building or structure when the strict application of the Ordinance would unreasonably restrict the utilization of the property, and such need for a variance

would not be shared generally by other properties, and provided such variance is not contrary to the purpose of the Ordinance. It shall not include a change in use, which change shall be accomplished by a rezoning or by a conditional zoning.

In granting a variance, the BZA may impose such conditions regarding a proposed structure's location, character, and other features or use as it may deem necessary in the public interest. The BZA may require a guarantee or bond to ensure compliance with the imposed conditions. The property upon which a property owner has been granted a variance shall be treated as conforming for all purposes under state law and local ordinances. Per the Virginia State Code § 15.2-2309,

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, general or special, a variance shall be granted if the evidence shows that the strict application of the terms of the Ordinance would:


- 1. Unreasonably restrict utilization of the property, or
 - a. The proposed 2,926 square foot single-family home averages about 1,348 square feet larger than neighboring homes on Madison Street with similar lot sizes.

Address	Lot Size	Living Area	Difference
53 Madison Street	10,890 square feet	1,636 square feet	1,290 square feet
44 Madison Street	10,890 square feet	1,408 square feet	1,518 square feet
54 Madison Street	11,264 square feet	1,688 square feet	1,238 square feet

In addition, a neighboring property across the street from the subject property, 54 Madison Street, has a 18.2' side yard setback for the existing home as shown on a 1981 Boundary Survey.

Plat of 54 Madison Street


November 16, 1981; Deed Book 424, Page 137



- b. The *Vallerie Holdings v. County of Louisa* case supported fair, sensible, and reasonable utilization of the property; The applicant could reasonably place a smaller home within the required setbacks, effectively eliminating the need for a variance from the Board of Zoning Appeals.
- c. The applicant would like to apply the lot regulations and setbacks as permitted by a cluster development, which is a by-right permitted use in the Residential R-10 Zoning District; However, the applicant is not proposing to provide the additional requirements for cluster development under Article 9-6, such as open space. Staff does not feel that this is adequate justification for the variance request.
- d. The applicant has also noted the existing non-conforming setbacks for the adjacent property, 63 Madison Street. Upon staff research, the property appears to meet current lot size requirements (10,000 square feet), but the dwelling itself was constructed in the 1940's, prior to the Town's first Zoning Ordinance in 1955, making the lot legal non-conforming due to pre-existing setbacks.

Plat of 63 Madison Street

April 4, 2023; Deed Book 1746, Pages 2444-2450



OR

2. that granting the variance would alleviate a hardship due to a physical condition relating to the property or improvements thereon at the time of the effective date of the Ordinance, or

The property seems to have a slight downward slope, but the ground appears to be largely level across the rear of the lot. The property is not encumbered by any existing utility or drainage easements.

OR

3. alleviate a hardship by granting a reasonable modification to a property or improvements thereon requested by, or on behalf of, a person with a disability."

The variance request is not being requested by or on behalf of a person with a disability.

In addition to the three points above, no variance shall be authorized by the BZA unless it is determined that the request meets all five of the following criteria as listed in Zoning Ordinance Section 11-3.11.1 2. - *Standards for Variances*:

a) The property interest for which the variance is being requested was acquired in good faith, and any hardship was not created by the Applicant for the variance.

The property was acquired in good faith by the applicant in 2024 by purchase of the property recorded in Deed Book 1776 Pages 238-240. The hardship appears to have been created by the applicant, as the size of the home is significantly larger than neighboring homes with similar lot sizes, and a smaller footprint may allow the existing setbacks to be met on the lot.

Address	Lot Size	Living Area	Difference
53 Madison Street	10,890 square feet	1,636 square feet	1,290 square feet
44 Madison Street	10,890 square feet	1,408 square feet	1,518 square feet
54 Madison Street	11,264 square feet	1,688 square feet	1,238 square feet

b) The granting of the variance will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and nearby properties in the proximity of that geographical area.

The variance will not be detrimental to the adjacent properties, as the adjacent properties are also zoned R-10 and all are currently single-family detached dwellings, though smaller in stature. However, there may be additional concerns with fire safety separation and drainage due to the close proximity of the proposed home to the property line.

c) The condition or situation of the property is not of so general or recurring of a nature as to be adopted as an amendment to the Ordinance.

This property is unique in that the lot has never met the minimum required lot size (10,000 square feet, Per Section 3-4.2.4 of the Zoning Ordinance) for any of the previous zoning districts in 1955,1959, 1991, nor the current minimum lot size in the Residential R-10 Zoning District.

d) The granting of the variance does not result in a use that is not otherwise permitted on such property or a change in the zoning classification of the property.

Single-family detached dwellings are a by-right permitted use in the R-10 zoning district. Granting the variance would not affect the current Zoning designation for the property.

e) The relief or remedy sought by the variance application is not available through a special use permit process that is authorized in the Ordinance or the process for modification to the Zoning Ordinance at the time of the filing of the variance application.

A Special Use Permit cannot provide relief from the setback requirements, nor are any waivers available for homes unable to meet setback requirements.

CONCLUSION

The applicant has requested a side-yard setback variance of approximately 2 feet from Article 3-4.2.4 of the Zoning Ordinance to construct a single-family home.

The BZA, per Virginia State Code § 15.2-2309, must hear and decide whether the evidence submitted by the applicant shows that the strict application of the Ordinance would unreasonably restrict the utilization of the property or that the granting of the variance would alleviate a substantial hardship. No variance shall be authorized merely for the purpose of convenience or economic hardship.

Pattern motions for approval and denial have been provided as attachments for consideration.

ATTACHMENTS

- A. Proposed Conditions of Approval / Proposed Motion for Denial
- B. Maps Location, Zoning, Aerial Imagery
- C. Photographs Existing Conditions
- D. Variance Application Materials
- E. Deed of purchase Atkins-2024 (Deed Book 1776, Pages 238-240)
- F. Deed Book 201, Pages 479-480
- G. Deed Book 865, Page 862
- H. Deed Book 424, Page 137
- I. Deed Book 1746, Pages 2444-2450
- J. Revised elevation showing roof height
- K. Browning Model elevation
- L. Rendering
- M. Email from the Building Official/Fire Marshal

18

Attachm Item 2. Pattern Motion to Grant/Deny Variance JANUARY 7, 2025

PATTERN MOTION TO APPROVE VARIANCE

VARIANCE

BZA #2024-4- ATKINS HOMES, LLC

BZA MEETING DATE: JANUARY 7, 2025

In Application BZA #2024-4, I move to grant the Variance, after due notice and hearing as required by Code of Virginia §15.2-2204 and Article 11-3.11 of the Town of Warrenton Zoning Ordinance, based on upon the following Board findings:

- 1. The strict application of the Ordinance would unreasonably restrict the utilization of the property, the need for the variance will not be shared generally by other properties, and the variance is not contrary to the purpose of the ordinance.
- 2. The property interest for which the variance is being requested was acquired in good faith and any hardship was not created by the applicant for the variance; and
- 3. The granting of the variance will not be of substantial detriment to the adjacent property and nearby properties in the proximity of that geographical area; and
- 4. The condition or situation of the property concerned is not of so general or recurring a nature as to make reasonably practicable the formulation of a general regulation to be adopted as an amendment to the Ordinance; and
- 5. The granting of the variance does not result in a use that is not otherwise permitted on such property or a change in the zoning classification of the property; and
- 6. The relief or remedy sought by the variance application is not available through a Special Exception or Special Permit process that is authorized in the Ordinance or the process for a modification from a provision of the Zoning Ordinance at the time of the filing of the variance application.

The Variance is granted subject to the following conditions, safeguards, and restrictions upon the proposed uses, as are deemed necessary in the public interest to secure compliance with the provisions of this Ordinance:

- 1. The site shall be in substantial conformance with the information and drawings submitted with the variance application except as specifically modified by the conditions below or as necessary to meet Zoning Ordinance requirements.
- 2. The side-yard setback is reduced to 8'. No additional accessory structures shall encroach beyond the 8' side-yard setback, and the portion of the proposed rear deck within the setback is not permitted to be covered or enclosed in the future.

PATTERN MOTION FOR DENIAL

VARIANCE

BZA #2024-4- ATKINS HOMES, LLC

BZA MEETING DATE: JANUARY 7, 2025

In Application BZA #2024-4, I move to deny the Variance, after due notice and hearing, as required by Code of Virginia §15.2-2204 and Article 11-3.11 of the Town of Warrenton Zoning Ordinance, based on upon the following Board findings:

- 1. The strict application of the Ordinance inconveniences the Applicant but does not unreasonably restrict the utilization of the property.
- 2. The strict application of the Ordinance does not alleviate a hardship due to a physical condition relating to the property or improvements thereon at the time of the effective date of the Ordinance.
- 3. The strict application of the Ordinance does not alleviate a hardship by granting a reasonable modification to a property or improvements thereon requested by, or on behalf of, a person with a disability.
- 4. The variance would be contrary to the intent and purpose of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 5. The granting of the variance would not result in substantial justice being done.
- 6. The relief requested can be granted only through modification of the zoning ordinance.
- 7. _____
- 1.
- 8. _____

Attachment B Maps January 7, 2025 Item 2.

Zoning and Location



ltem 2.

Aerial Imagery



Attachment C Photographs January 7, 2025

2024 Photographs





Attachment C Photographs January 7, 2025



2022 view of the property from google maps



TOWN OF WARRENTON

Department of Community Development

PO BOX 341 WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20188 http://www.warrentonva.gov Permittech@warrentonva.gov (540) 347-2405

Land Development Application

Type of Development [select	type(s) below]	Permit #
Planning	Zoning	
Commission Permit (§2232) Comprehensive Plan Amendment Special Use Permit Rezoning		Concept Plan ReviewRecord / Vacate PlatEasement PlatSite Development PlanFinal PlatVariancePreliminary PlatWaiver, AdministrativeRe-approval of PlatWaiver/Exception, Legislative
Amendment to Existing Ap	proved Application? If Yes, List	t Application
Project Description		
	nge side line s	set back from 10-feet to 8-feet
Zoning District: Parcel Identification Number(s)		0:2117 Acres for Proposed Use:
Contact Information (Attach se	eparate page if necessary)	
All Current Owners	A.	
Name & Company: HKin Address: 2534 (cod 5 Phone: 540 222-2	17 PEmail: Gybin	shomesincegmail.com
All Current Applicants (if differ	ent then owner):	
Name &Company: Address:		
Phone:	Email:	
Representative (if different the	en owner/applicant):	
Name & Company:		
Address:		
Phone:	Email:	

OWNER(S) AFFIDAVIT (Original Signatures Required)

I have read this application, understand its intent and freely consent to its filing. Furthermore, I have the power to authorize and hereby grant permission for Town of Warrenton officials and other authorized government agents on official business to enter the property to process this application.

APPLICANT(S) AFFIDAVIT (Original Signatures Required)

The information provided is accurate to the best of my knowledge. I acknowledge that all tests, studies, and other requirements of the Town of Warrenton Zoning Ordinance and Subdivision Ordinance and other requirements of review/approval agencies will be carried out at my expense. I understand that the Town may deny, approve or conditionally approve that for which I am applying.

Owner's Signature & Date 000000000000000000000000000000000000	2 Applicant's Signature & Date:
Print Owner's Name: Don AHKing	Print Applicant's Name:

57 Madison Street PIN 6984-50-4270 Town of Warrenton

Variance Request Statement of Justification Reduction of Side Yard

Prepared By:

Hinchey & Baines, PLC R. Lee Baines, P.E. 125 East Davis Street, Suite 201 Culpeper, Virginia 22701 (540) 829-2220

Prepared For:

Atkins Homes, LLC 2534 Cool Springs Court Amissville, Virginia 20106

November 4, 2024

Project Description

PIN:	6984-50-4270
Owner/Applicant:	Atkins Homes LLC
Street Address:	57 Madison Street Warrenton VA 20186
Zoning District:	R-10
Lot Size:	0.2117 AC (9,221 SF)

The applicant requests a variance to reduce the R-10 single-family dwelling side setback requirement from 10 feet to 8 feet.

	Minimum	Minimum	Maximum	Minim	um Set	backs
Use	Lot Size	Lot Frontage	Lot Coverage	Front	Side	Rear
10	(sq.ft.)	(at front setback)	(impervious surfaces)			
Single-Family	10,000	75 ft.	65%	25 ft	10 ft	20 ft
Cluster Development	6,000	55 ft	65%	25 ft	8 ft	15 ft

R-10 Lot and Yard Regulations (Z.O. Sec. 3-4.2.4)

Plan Reference

The subject lot was created prior to 1958. According to Google Earth Historical Imagery and other historical aerial photography resources, a single-family house stood on the property from sometime prior 1952 until at least 1994 but was removed sometime between 1994 and 2002. The subject parcel has been vacant since. The owner/applicant acquired the parcel in September of 2024.

The subject parcel lot size is 9,221 SF and its lot frontage is approximately 59 feet. It does not meet the current minimum lot size (10,000 SF) or lot frontage requirements (75 feet) for a single-family lot. It does, however, meet the current minimum lot size (6,000 SF) and minimum frontage (55 feet) for a cluster lot.

Lots adjoining the subject parcel are similar in that they consist of minimum required lot sizes and lot frontages or less. Also, it appears adjoining dwellings may not, in some cases, meet the current single-family setback requirements. For example, according to a survey by Dodd & Associates, the dwelling at 63 Madison Street is setback 4.5' from the side property line common with the subject parcel.

Analysis and Justification

The Town of Warrenton Zoning Ordinance requires analysis and justification of the following criteria in order to warrant approval of a variance:


- a) The property interest for which the variance is being requested was acquired in good faith and any hardship was not created by the applicant for the variance. *The applicant acquired the parcel in 2024 in good faith via an "arm's length" transaction. Any hardship is due to the subject parcel's size and configuration inherent to the parcel's creation prior to the establishment of zoning in the Town of Warrenton and its partial non-conformance with current zoning requirements.*
- b) The granting of the variance will not be of substantial detriment to the adjacent property and nearby properties in the proximity of that geographical area.


A dwelling of similar size previously stood on the subject parcel (at least between 1952 and 2002) in a similar location as proposed. The reduction of side setbacks to 8 feet on the subject parcel will reflect similar side setbacks for dwellings on adjacent parcels. The requested reduced side setbacks will allow for any proposed dwelling to be constructed at a similar size and location as those on adjacent parcels, thereby preserving streetscape uniformity.

c) The condition or situation of the property concerned is not of so general or recurring a nature as to make reasonably practicable the formulation of a general regulation to be adopted as an amendment to the Ordinance.

The current ordinance provides for reduced setbacks in cases where the lot was created with a "cluster development". The subject and adjoining parcels pre-date any "cluster development" ordinance, however the parcels and existing dwellings within the neighborhood exhibit setbacks which tend to align with the cluster use classification.

- d) The granting of the variance does not result in a use that is not otherwise permitted on such property or a change in the zoning classification of the property. Single-family dwelling and cluster development uses are permitted in the R-10 District.
- e) The relief or remedy sought by the variance application is not available through a special use permit process that is authorized in the Ordinance of the process for modification to the Zoning Ordinance at the time of the filing of the variance application. *The remedy sought by this variance request is not available through a special use permit.*







GENERAL CONSTRUCTION NOTES


BUILDING CODE: 2018 VA RESIDENTIAL CODE INSULATION CODE: AS PER CHAPTER 11, TABLE N1102.1.2 (R402.1.2)

- 1. ANY REPRODUCTION OF THESE PLANS WITH OUT PERMISSION IS A VIOLATION OF COPYRIGHT LAWS. COPIES OF THESE PLANS ARE AVAILABLE FROM DESIGN OPTIONS Inc. (540) 825–4656.
- 2. THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS MUST BE REVIEWED PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION AND FOLLOWED BY THE CONTRACTORS AND HIS AGENTS. ESTABLISHMENT AND VERIFICATION OF ALL ON-SITE CONDITIONS IS THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR. ANY DEVIATIONS MUST BE APPROVED BY THE BUILDING INSPECTOR AND THE DESIGNERS. THE DESIGNERS ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY OR LIABILITY FOR ANY STRUCTURE THAT IS NOT CONSTRUCTED UNDER HIS DIRECT SUPERVISION.
- 3. ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO ALL APPLICABLE LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERAL BUILDING CODES WHETHER SHOWN ON PLAN OR NOT, INCLUDING MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, & PLUMBING.
- 4. FOUNDATION WALL FOOTING WIDTH, DEPTH, & REINFORCEMENT TO COMPLY WITH TABLES WITHIN SECTION R403.1 (3000 PSI CONCRETE PER R402.2). CARRY ALL FOOTINGS TO UN-DISTURBED SOLID BEARING & TO CONFORM WITH FROST LINE REQUIREMENTS. FOOTING TO CAST FOUNDATION WALL CONNECTION: PROVIDE MIN OF 1.5"x1.5" FOOTING KEY WAY OR PROVIDE #4 VERTICAL BAR @ 24" O.C., EMBED 6" INTO FOOTING & 18" INTO FOUNDATION
- 5. FOUNDATION WALL REINFORCEMENT & BACKFILL TO COMPLY WITH TABLES WITHIN SECTION R404.1 MINIMUM COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH: 3000 PSI CONCRETE PER R402.2 (SEVERE), MANUFACTURED PRE-CAST FOUNDATION PER R402.3, MASONRY PER R402.4 FOUNDATION DAMP PROOFING TO COMPLY WITH R406, DRAIN TILE TO COMPLY W/ R405 UNDER FLOOR SPACE VENTING, ACCESS, & DRAINAGE PER R408
- 6. CONCRETE SLABS 3500 PSI (PER R402.2) MIN. 4" CONCRETE WITH 6x6-10-10 WWM OR FIBERGLASS REINFORCEMENT. GRAVEL UNDER SLAB FILL SHALL NOT EXCEED 24" WITHOUT ENGINEERING. PROVIDE THERMAL BREAK FOR HEATED SLABS PER M2103.2
- 7. PROVIDE 1/2"ø GALV. ANCHOR BOLTS (EMBED: 7" MIN.) FOR SECURING WOOD SILL PLATES TO MASONRY/CONCRETE: 6'-0" MAX - 12" FROM CORNERS. (PER R403.1.6)
- 8. LUMBER TO BE S.P.F. #2 CONSTRUCTION GRADE OR BETTER UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. PRESSURE TREATED LUMBER: ALL SCREWS & NAILS TO BE HOT-DIPPED GALVANIZED OR STAINLESS STEEL. ALL HARDWARE (HANGERS, ANCHORS) TO BE GALVANIZED WITH 1.85 OZ/SF OF ZINC OR STAINLESS STEEL.
- 9. FLOOR JOISTS AS NOTED BRIDGING AT ALL MID-SPANS (NOMINAL LUMBER) FOR ENGINEERED JOISTS/LVLS, REFER TO THE MNFR JOIST LAYOUT (PROVIDED BY OTHERS)
- 10. FRAMING 16" O.C. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ALL EXTERIOR WALLS TO BE WIND BRACED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION R602.10, HEADERS PER R602.7(1) OR R605.7(2), JACK STUDS PER R602.7.(1), RAFTERS PER R802.4.1, CEILING JOISTS PER R802.5, TRUSSES PER R802.10.1, FLOOR & ROOF SHEATHING PER R503.2.1.1(1), ROOF ICE SHIELD PER R905.2.1 & R905.2.7 & UNDERLAYMENT PER 905.2.3 PROVIDE SOLID BEARING AT ENDS OF ALL GIRDER TRUSSES, JOISTS, BEAMS, & LINTELS.
- 11. FLOOR LOADING: LIVE 30/40 DEAD 10 DECK LOADING : LIVE 40 DEAD 10 STAIR LOADING : LIVE 40 DEAD 10 GARAGE LOADING: LIVE 50 DEAD 10 ATTIC LOADING: LIVE 10/20/30 DEAD 10 ROOF LOADING: LIVE 30 DEAD 17 WIND PRESSURE: NOMINAL 90 MPH ULTIMATE 115 MPH (3 SEC GUST) SEISMIC ZONE: B (LOUISA: C) LATERAL SOIL PRESSURE: 45 PCF WEATHER: SEVERE FROST LINE: 24" GROUND SNOW LOAD: 30 P.S.F.
- SOIL BEARING VALUE: 2000 P.S.F. (ASSUMED)
- 12. ALL DECKS & PORCHES TO COMPLY WITH SECTION R507. POST TO BEAM ATTACHMENTS TO COMPLY WITH R507.5.2, FLOOR ELEVATIONS TO COMPLY WITH SECTION R311.3, ALL STAIRWAYS TO BE ILLUMINATED PER R303.7 OR R303.8
- 13. MIN 50/20 CFM BATHROOM EXHAUST TO EXTERIOR PER M1505, MIN 100/25 CFM COOKING EXHAUST TO EXTERIOR PER M1503
- 14. GARAGES SHALL BE SEPARATED FROM THE RESIDENCE AND ITS ATTIC WITH NO LESS THAN 1/2" D.W. APPLIED TO GARAGE SIDE. GARAGES BELOW HABITABLE ROOM SHALL BE SEPARATED WITH 5/8" TYPE "X" DRYWALL ON CEILING AND 1/2" D.W. ALL OTHER WALLS, PER TABLE R302.6
- 15. FIREPLACE COMBUSTION AIR TO COMPLY W/ R1006.1, AIR SPACE CLEARANCE TO COMPLY W/ R1001.11 AND/OR R1003.18, AND CHIMNEY TERMINATION TO COMPLY WITH R1003.9
- 16. DOOR & WINDOW GLAZING TO BE MAXIMUM 0.32 U-FACTOR AND 0.40 SHGC WINDOW & DOORS TO BE READ AS FOOT/INCHES (I.E. 30 68 = $3'-0'' \times 6'-8''$) INTERIOR PASSAGE PER SECTION R332 EGRESS WINDOWS TO COMPLY WITH R310.2.1: CLEAR OPENING 5.7 SQ FT, EXEMPTION- GRADE FLOOR 5.0 SQ FT



SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"





		MINIMUM VERTICAL REINFORCEMENT-BAR SIZE AND SPACING (inches) Soll classes" and design lateral soil (psf per foot of depth)								
WALL HEIGHT	MAXIMUM UNBALANCED BACKFILL HEIGHT [®]									
(feet)	(feet)	GW, GP, SW, SP 30	GM, GC, SM, SM-SC and ML 45	SC, ML-CL and inorganic Cl 60						
ĺ	4	NR	NR	NR						
	5	NR	NR	NR						
8	6	NR	NR	6 @ 37						
	7	NR	6 (<u>à)</u> 36	6 @ 35						
	8	6 @ 41	6 @ 35	6 @ 26						
9	4	NR	NR	NR						
	5	NR	NR	NR						
	6	NR	NR	6 @ 35						
· *	7	NR	6 @ 35	6 @ 32						
	8	6 @ 36	6 @ 32	6 @ 23						
	9	6 @ 35	6 @ 25	6 @ 18						
	4	NR	NR	NR						
10	5	NR	NR	NR						
	6	NR	NR	6 @ 35						
	7	NR	6 @ 35	6 @ 29						
	8	6 @ 35	6 @ 29	6 @ 21						
	9	6 @ 34	6 @ 22	6 @ 16						
	10	6 @ 27	6 @ 17	6 @ 13						

For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm; 1 foot = 304.8 mm; 1 pound per square foot per foot = 0.1571 kPa³/m, 1 pound per square inch = 6.895 kPa. NR = Not Required. a. Soil classes are in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System. Refer to Table R405.1.

b. Table values are based on reinforcing bars with a minimum yield strength of 60,000 psi, concrete with a minimum specified compressive strength of 2,500 psi and vertical reinforcement being located at the centerline of the wall. See Section R404.1.3.3.7.2. c. Vertical reinforcement with a yield strength of less than 60,000 psi and bars of a different size than specified in the table are permitted in accordance with Section R404.1.3.3.7,6 and Table R404.1.2(9).

d. NR indicates vertical reinforcement is not required. e. Deflection criterion is L/240, where L is the height of the basement wall in inches.

MAXIMUM UNSUPPORTED

f. Interpolation is not permitted.

g. Where walls will retain 4 feet or more of unbalanced backfill, they shall be laterally supported at the top and bottom before backfilling. h. See Section R404.1.3.2 for minimum reinforcement required for basement walls supporting above-grade concrete walls.

i. See Table R608.3 for tolerance from nominal thickness permitted for flat walls. j. The use of this table shall be prohibited for soil classifications not shown.

TABLE R404.1.2(1) MINIMUM HORIZONTAL REINFORCEMENT FOR CONCRETE BASEMENT WALLS**

LOCATION OF HORIZONTAL REINFORCEMENT

T OF BASEMENT WAI (feet) ≤ 8 One No. 4 bar within 12 inches of the top of the wall story and one No. 4 bar near mid-height of the wall story. One No. 4 bar within 12 inches of the top of the wall story and one No. 4 bar near third points in the wall story. > 8For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm, 1 foot = 304.8 mm, 1 pound per square inch = 6.895 kPa. a. Horizontal reinforcement requirements are for reinforcing bars with a minimum yield strength of 40,000 psi and concrete with a minimum concrete

compressive strength of 2,500 psi. b. See Section R404.1.3.2 for minimum reinforcement required for foundation walls supporting above-grade concrete walls.

TABLE R404.1.2(4) MINIMUM VERTICAL REINFORCEMENT FOR 10-INCH NOMINAL FLAT CONCRETE BASEMENT WALLS^{b, c, d, e, f, b, l, l}

		MINIMUM VERTIC	AL REINFORCEMENT-BAR SIZE A	ND SPACING (inches)
WALL HEIGHT	MAXIMUM UNBALANCED BACKFILL HEIGHT ^I	Soil class	es" and design lateral soil (pst per	foot of depth)
(feet)	(feet)	GW, GP, SW, SP 30	GM, GC, SM, SM-SC and ML 45	SC, ML-CL and inorganic C 60
	4	NR	NR	NR
	5	NR	NR	NR
8	6	NR	NR	NR
	7	NR	NR	NR
	8	6 @ 48	6 @ 35	6 @ 28
9	4	NR	NR	NR
	5	NR	NR	NR
	6	NR	NR	NR
	7	NR	NR	6 @ 31
	8	NR	6 @ 31	6 @ 28
	9	6 @ 37	6 @ 28	6 @ 24
	4	NR	NR	NR
	5	NR	NR	NR
	6	NR	NR	NR
10	7	NR	NR	6 @ 28
	8	NR	6 @ 28	6 @ 28
	9	6 @ 33	6 @ 28	6 @ 21
	10	6 @ 28	6 @ 23	6 @ 17

For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 nm; 1 foot = 304.8 nm; 1 pound per square foot per foot = 0.1571 kPa²/m, 1 pound per square inch = 6.895 kPa. NR = Not Required.

a. Soil classes are in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System. Refer to Table R405.1.

b. Table values are based on reinforcing bars with a minimum yield strength of 60,000 psi concrete with a minimum specified compressive strength of 2,500 psi and vertical reinforcement being located at the centerline of the wall. See Section R404.1.3.3.7.2. c. Vertical reinforcement with a yield strength of less than 60,000 psi and bars of a different size than specified in the table are permitted in accordance with Section R404.1.3.3.7.6 and Table R404.1.2(9).

d. NR indicates vertical reinforcement is not required.

e. Deflection criterion is L/240, where L is the height of the basement wall in inches. f. Interpolation is not permitted.

g. Where walls will retain 4 feet or more of unbalanced backfill, they shall be laterally supported at the top and bottom before backfilling.


h. See Section R404.1.3.2 for minimum reinforcement required for basement walls supporting above-grade concrete walls. i. See Table R608.3 for tolerance from nominal thickness permitted for flat walls.


j. The use of this table shall be prohibited for soil classifications not shown.

TABLE R404.1.2(9) MINIMUM SPACING FOR ALTERNATE BAR SIZE AND ALTERNATE GRADE OF STEEL^{a, b, c}


DAD OBLODIO FROM			#4		í			#5					#6		
BAR SPACING FROM APPLICABLE TABLE IN	9				Altern	ate bar s	size and	alternate	e grade o	of steel d	lesired				
SECTION R404.1.3.2	Grad	de 60		Grade 44	0	Grad	de 60		Grade 40)	Grad	de 60	1	Grade 4	D
(inches)	#5	#6	#4	#5	#6	#4	#6	#4	#5	#6	#4	#5	#4	#5	1
	97 - P			Aaximun	n spacin	g for alte	rnate ba	r size a	nd altern	ate grad	e of stee	l (inches	s)	100	-
8	12	18	5	8	12	5	11	3	5	8	4	6	2	4	
9	14	20	6	. 9	13	6	13	- (4)	6	9	4	6	3	4	1
10	16	22	7	10	15	6	14	4	7	9	5	7	3	5	ं
11	17	24	7	11	16	7	16	5	7	10	5	8	3	5	1
12	19	26	8	12	18	8	17	5	8	11	5	8	4	6	- 3
13	20	29	9	13	19	8	18	6	9	12	6	9	4	6	
14	22	31	9	14	21	9	20	6	9	13	6	10	4	7	
15	23	33	10	16	22	10	21	6	10	-14	7	-11	5	7	1
16	25	35	11	17	23	10	23	7	11	15	2	-11	5	8	1
17	26	37	11	18	25	11	24	7	11	16	8	12	5	8	1
18	28	40	12	19	26	12	26	8	12	17	8	13	5	8	1
19	29	42	13	20	28	12	27	8	13	18	9	13	6	9	
20	31	44	13	21	29	13	28	9	13	19	9	14	6	9	1
21	33	46	. 14	22	31	14	30	.9	14	20	10	15	6	10	а
22	34	48	15	23	32	14	31	9	15	21	10	16	7	10	1
23	36	48	15	24	34	15	33	10	15	22	10	16	7	-11	1
24	37	48	16	25	35	15	34	10	16	23	11	17	7	11	
25	39	48	17	26	37	16	35	11	17	24	11	18	8	12	
26	40	48	17	27	38	17	37	11	17	25	12	18	8	12	
27	42	48	18	28	40	17	38	12	18	26	12	19	8	13	1
28	43	48	19	29	41	18	40	12	19	26	13	20	8	13	1
29	45	48	19	30	43	19	41	12	19	27	13	20	9	14	1
30	47	48	20	31	44	19	43	13	20	28	14	21	9	14	2
31	48	48	21	32	45	2.0	44	13	21	29	14	22	9	15	1
32	48	48	21	33	47	21	45	14	21	30	15	23	10	15	2
33	48	48	22	34	48	21	47	14	22	31	15	23	10	16	2
34	48	48	23	35	48	22	48	15	23	32	15	24	10	16	2
35	48	48	23	36	48	23	48	15	23	33	16	25	11	16	2
36	48	48	24	37	48	23	48	15	24	34	16	25	11	17	2
37	48	48	25	38	48	2.4	48	16	25	35	17	26	11	17	2
38	48	48	25	39	48	25	48	16	25	36	17	27	12	18	2
39	48	48	26	40	48	25	48	17	26	37	18	27	12	18	2
40	48	48	27	41	48	26	48	17	27	38	18	28	12	19	1
41	48	48	27	42	48	26	48	18	27	39	19	29	12	19	2
42	48	48	28	43	48	2.7	48	18	28	40	19	30	13	20	2
43	48	48	29	44	48	28	48	18	29	41	20	30	13	20	2
44	48	48	29	45	48	28	48	19	29	42	20	31	13	21	2
45	48	48	30	47	48	2.9	48	19	30	43	20	32	14	21	3
46	48	48	31	48	48	30	48	20	31	44	21	32	14	22	3
47	48	48	31	48	48	30	48	20	31	44	21	33	14	22	3
48	48	48	32	48	48	31	48	21	32	45	22	34	15	23	3

a. This table is for use with tables in Section R404.1.3.2 that specify the minimum bar size and maximum spacing of vertical wall reinforcement for foundation walls and above-grade walls. Reinforcement specified in tables in Section R404.1.3.2 is based on Grade 60 steel reinforcement, b. Bar spacing shall not exceed 48 inches on center and shall be not less than one-half the nominal wall thickness. c. For Grade 50 steel bars (ASTM A996, Type R), use spacing for Grade 40 bars or interpolate between Grades 40 and 60.














SECOND FLOOR PLAN

(SHOWN W/ PRACTICAL WIND BRACING) SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

(Deck	TABLE R507.9.1.3(1) DECK LEDGER CONNECTION TO BAND JOIST ^{*, 5} live load = 40 psf, deck dead load = 10 psf, snow load ≤ 40 psf)
	JOIST SPAN

	JOIDT SPAN								
TION DETAILS	6' and less	6'1" to 8'	8'1" to 10'	10'1" to 12'	12'1" to 14'	14'1" to 16'	16'1" to 18'		
	On-center spacing of fasteners								
screw with $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch $g^{k,d}$	30	23	18	15	13	ti	10		
with η_{i} -inch maximum	36	36	34	29	24	21	19		
with 1-inch maximum	36	36	29	24	21	18	16		

	MINIMUM END AND EDGE DISTANCES AND SPACING BETWEEN ROWS					
	TOP EDGE	BOTTOM EDGE	ENDS	ROW SPACING		
	2 inches ⁴	V_4 inch	2 inches ^b	1 ^s / ₁ inches ^b		
-	³ / ₄ inch	2 inches	2 inches ^b	1 ⁵ /, inches ^b		

REAGAN MODEL (LOT 3)

DRAWINGS BY: DESIGN OPTIONS INC (540) 825-4656 410 N WEST ST, CULPEPER, VA 22701 S-REAGANMUULL. SHEET 4 OF 4 ED DRAWING FILE: C: \DWG\DO\ATKINS-REAGANMODEL.DWG © NOVEMBER 13, 2023

AGA RE.

Prepared By: Thomas James Ross II, Esquire VSB#22360 TAX MAP PIN NO: 6984-50-4270-000 CONSIDERATION: \$125,000.00 ASSESSED VALUE: \$95,000.00 Title Insurance Underwriter: Stewart Title Guaranty Company GRANTEE ADDRESS: 2534 Cool Springs Ct AMSSULL, MACOCOG



THIS DEED

made and entered into this \underline{q}^{k} day of September, 2024, by and between LEVI T. BYRD and LUCIA N. BYRD, husband and wife, Grantors; and ATKINS HOMES, LLC,, a Virginia limited liability company, Grantee;

WITNESSETH

that for and in consideration of the sum of Ten Dollars (\$10.00) cash in hand paid, and

other good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the

Grantors, do hereby GRANT and CONVEY with GENERAL WARRANTY unto the

Grantee, the following described real property located in Fauquier County, Virginia, to-

wit:

ALL OF THAT certain lot or parcel of land being situate in Centre Magisterial District, Fauquier County, Virginia, near the Town of Warrenton and fronting on Madison Street, Virginia, Route 1103, and described by metes and bounds on that certain plat and survey prepared by Fred Bartenstein, S.F.C., dated February 24, 1958, and attached to deed of trust recorded in Deed Book 201, page 479, in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Fauquier County, Virginia, containing 9,136 square feet, more or less or 0.2097 acre, more or less.

AND BEING the same property conveyed to Levi T. Byrd and Lucia N. Byrd by deed from James H. Franklin dated April 10, 2000 and recorded in Deed Book 865, Page 862 in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Fauquier County, Virginia.

This conveyance is subject expressly to easements, restrictions, and rights-


of-way of record.

35

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said land and premises together with all rights, ways, appurtenances and easements thereto belonging, or in anywise appertaining unto the said Grantee and its successors, in fee simple, forever.

The Grantors covenant that they have the right to convey said land, that the Grantee will have quiet possession of the same, free from all encumbrances, and that they, the Grantors, will execute such further assurances as may be deemed requisite, and that they have done no act to encumber the said land.

WITNESS the following signatures and seals:




COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA COUNTY OF FAUQUIER, to-wit:

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 9th day of September, 2024, by Levi T. Byrd and Lucia N. Byrd.

Eric Marshall Brindley SIGNED

My Commission Expires: 10/31/2027 Registration No.: 7643524



Notary Public

Online Notary Public. This notarial act involved the use of online audio/video communication technology. Notarization facilitated by SIGNiX®

36

Affidavit of Submitter

The Undersigned affiant, being first duly sworn, deposes and states as follows, prepared pursuant to 17.1-223 of the code of Virginia, that the attached electronic document is a true and correct copy of the electronic original.

(Name of Submitter): ERIC MARSHALL BRINDLEY

(Signature of Submitter)_

(Address of Submitter): 31 GARRETT STREET, WARRENTON, VA 20186

(Telephone of Submitter): 540-349-1010


(Email of Submitter): ERIC@BRINDLEYROSS.COM

The foregoing affidayit was acknowledged before me this 16TH day of SEPTEMBER 2024. By,

Notary Public: Kina

My Commission Expires:

Notary Registration Number: 7100817



RECORDED IN CLERK'S OFFICE OF FAUQUIER ON SEPTEMBER 16, 2024 at 1:12:54 PM AS REQUIRED BY VA CODE \$58.1-802 STATE: \$62:50 LOCAL: \$62:50 FAUQUIER COUNTY, VA GAIL H BARB CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT

Bail HBarb


1				FRANKLIN, GERTRU	TO (TRUST) LARCOMBE, J RAY, TEE	tar a aunour	8.3.2°.8	2					
	$\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{M}}$ day of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}}$ of certify that Edward A. Glapp, whose name is signed to the foregoing Power of Attorney, dated the $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{A}}$ day of October, 1957, has acknowledged the same before me in my State and County	aforesaid. Given under my hand and notarial seal this $\frac{\tau_{k}}{2}$ day of $\frac{1}{0}$	Clerk's Office of Manguise Curcuit Court Reviews 194010 This doed P a Music Curcuit Court Reviewed in Said This doed P a Music day received in Said Office and with cortificate admitted to record at 10:10-4. M. Tester N X Coveres_ Cy. Clerk	ないいいんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんんん	party of the first part J. ^H ay Larcombe , trustee party of the second part; and The Peoples National Bank of Warrenton, Warrenton, Virginia, party of the third part:	WITNESSETH: That the said party of the first part, in consideration of TEN DOLLARS, in hand paid by the party of the second part, the receipt of which before the sealing and delivery of these presents, is hereby acknowledged, does hereby grant and convey with GENERAL WARRANTY OF TITLE, unto the said party of the second part, the following	described land and premises, to-wit: terial District that certain lot or percess of land being situate in Centre Maria- ting on Madison's treet, Virginia Noute 1103, and described by metes and bounds in that certain plat, and survey fittania houte 1105, and described by metes and bounds in that certain plat, and survey fittania houte 1105, and described by metes and bounds in that certain plat, and survey fittaneoute 12058, as follows: Befinning at (1) a point in the genter of Virginia Route 1103, (the road the fitter the center of the strenge before the former to the besca Madison theory with Madison's follows: No of 1 m fitter at (1) a point in the genter of Virginia Route 1103, (the road the besca Madison theory with Madison's follows: No of 1 m fitter at the score at a corner to (1) corner to No of 1 m fitter fitter to the beginning, containing 9.136 square feet or 0.2097		D HAVE AND TO HOLD, the said land and penances thereto belonging, unto the only use of successors, in fee simple. HIS CONVEYANCE IS IN TRUST, HOWE to wit: To secure to The Peoples Nation	part the payment of the full sum of \$ an by the party of the firs HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$2,500.00) srest from date at the rate vable to the order of the vable to the order of the stallments of FORTY EIGHT D i including interest, begi and continuing monthly th interest of said bond are of however, to the maker of aid bond in the amount of iples thereof, at any month	The party of the first part hereby gr try, removal or absence from the State ity, removal or absence from the State 9 of the Code of Virginia and any am The party of the first part coven	together with all interest interest, mereoli, when and as the same stan perome use and payance. And it is further covenanted and agreed by and between the parties hereto that this deed of trust is made subject to and imposes upon the parties all of the rights, duties and obligations as are set forth in Sections 55-59 & 60 of the Code of Virginia, and amendments thereto now in force, to the same extent as if the same were herein expressly provided for.	Should the property hereby conveyed be advertised for sale hereunder and not sold, then the Trustee shall be entitled to a commission of $2/_2\%$ to be computed upon the total amount of the indebtedness then due, plus advertising and any other expenses incurred incident thereto; such sums to be a lien upon said property.

Deed book

201 479 -480

ltem 2.

100 M



The above lot, standing in the name of Gertrude Franklin, as shown in Will Book 59, page 522, of the Fauguier County records, was surveyed at her request, and is bounded as follows:

feet to (3) an iron rod, corner to Madison and in Lewis' line, thence with Ernest Rowe, thence with the center of the street S $89^{\circ}23^{\circ}05^{\circ}W$ 58.99 feet and in Rowe's line, thence with Rowe N 1º6'11"E 153.90 feet to the begin-Beginning at (1) a point in the center of Virginia Route 1103, (the Lewis N $\partial 7^0 30^1 \text{CO}^{-1}\text{E}$ 55.45 feet to (4) a T shaped iron lost, set as corner to (2) corner to Rebecca Madison, thence with Madison S 0^{0} II'20"E 160.66 road leading from East Main Street to Rosenwald School) and corner to ning, containing 9,136 square feet or 0.2097 Acres.

BY Fred Bartenstein Va. Cer. Sur. No. 315

NOTE:

specified in Annie Dorums Will, above mentioned, and is portion of Lot 5, Iron rods are set 15 feet from (1) in line (4-1) and 15 feet from The lines as surveyed, follow existing fences as as set forth in Deeu Book 100, page 402. (2) in line (2-3).

It is further understood and agreed that insurance is required in at least the sum of \$ 2,500.00

. of the first part the of the party... WITNESS the following signature and seal day, month and year first hereinabove written.

(TYSEAL) Bulant lunt.

(SEAL)

STATE OF VIRGINIA, COUNTY OF FAUQUIER, to-wit: Ruth H. Smith

, a Notary Public for the county and state aforesaid, whose commission expires. Way. 18th, 1959... Delois United that Gertrude/Franklin, widow,

signed to the foregoing deed of trust dated the 27th day of 18. se name.

acknowledged the same before me in my Februery, 1958, has county afor

GIVEN under my hand this 28th day of February, 1958.

Kuth. N. Smith. Notary Public

as Elise Ruth Haun • expires Max 18, 1959 Ruth H. Smith, Notary Pucifi Commissioned as Fe

Item 2.

180

1

PTH

pose

39

201

seed book

Page 1 of 2

0

「イント・

6984-50

12

12

Item 2.

BK0865P60862

00 03111 THIS DEED, made and entered into this 10th day of April, 2000, by and between JAMES H. FRANKLIN, GRANTOR, party of the first part, and LEVI T. BYRD and LUCIA N. BYRD, husband and wife as tenants by the entirety with the common law right of survivorship, GRANTEES, partice of the second part, whose address is P.O. Box 6944, Las Cruces, New Mexico, 88005.

•••

WTTNESSETH: That for and in consideration of the sum of Ten Dollars (\$10.00), cash in hand paid, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged by the Grantor, the party of the first pert does hereby grant, bargain, sell and convey with General Warranty and English Covenants of Title, unto the parties of the second part, Levi T. Byrd and Lucia N. Byrd, jointly, as tenants by the entirety with the common law right of survivorship, it being intended that the part of the one dying should then belong to the other, his or her heirs or assigns, the following described property and appurtenances thereusto belonging:, to wit:

> ALL OF THAT certain lot or parcel of land being situate in Centre Magisterial District, Fauquier County, Virginia, near the Town of <u>Warrenton</u> and fronting on <u>Madison Street</u>, Virginia, Route 1103, and described by metes and bounds on that certain plat and survey prepared by Fred Bartenstein, S.F.C., dated February 24, 1958, and stached to deed of trust recorded in Deed Book 201, page 479, in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Fauquier County, Virginia, containing 9,136 square feet, more or less or 0.2097 acre, more or less.

> AND BEING the same property devised to Annie M. Dorum, pursuant to Last Will and Testament of James S. Dorum, deceased August 20, 1928 and probated in Will Book 50, page 468, in the aforesaid Clerk's Office. The said Annie M. Dorum died May 17, 1945 and pursuant to her Last Will and Testament probated in Will Book 39, page 552, devised this property to Gertrude Delois Franklin. The said Gertrude Delois Franklin died intestate on August 27, 1967, survived by James H. Frankin, her one and only heir at law as set forth in Heirs Affidavit recorded in Will Book 179, page 331, in the aforesaid Clerk's Office.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the above described land and premises, together with all

Examined and Rolumed to: PIELLODT

unto the said Levi T. Byrd and Lucia N. Byrd, husband and wife as tenants by the entirety with the common law right of survivorship, parties of the second part, and their successors, in

rights-of-way, easements and appurtenances thereunto belonging, or in anywise appertaining,

Title Set. Attorney and pearson, P.C. Attorney at Luw a CLLASTER ST. WWW.MICH, W SUIG-SHI BOD SIT-5000

APR 10 2000

-MD

fee simple forever.

41

BK 0865P60863

WITNESS the following signature and seal.

JAMES H. FRANKLIN

STATE OF VIRGINIA COUNTY OF FAUQUIER, to-wit:

: t

age 2 of 2


The foregoing Deed was acknowledged before me by James H. Franklin this. 10th day of April, 2000.

Motary Publi


My commission expires ft. 28. 1004

VIRGENIA: IN THE CLEMES OFFICE OF This instrument was southed certificate admitted to second on AP	the PADQUEER CERCUIT COURT in this Office and with 2 1 0 2000
at 2:22 m. Tax of \$ 16.99 58.1-502 Paid Consideration: \$ 16.0	imposed by Section
State Tar S 24.00 County	Tur \$ 8.00 SLF \$1.00 Technology Fee \$3.00
Trender Pee \$ 1.00 V Clark's Pee \$ 12.00	Total: \$ 65.9
Ten: Bail HOarb	Cierk

Page 2 of 4



Item 2.



Property Address: **63 Madison Street** Warrenton, VA 20186

Grantee Address: 63 Madison Street Warrenton, VA 20186

File# 2023-00002076 BK 1746 PG 2444-2450 Document Prepared By: The Lindsey Law Firm, PLC Cybill Sison, VSB #91651 11110 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 2752

Cardinal Title Group, LLC File No. 23A-1477-RZ

Underwriter: Old Republic National Title Insurance Company

Doc ID: 009283500007 Type:

Recorded: 04/10/2023 at 01 Fee Amt: \$1,823.67 Page 1 of 7 Fauquier County, VA Gail H Barb Clerk of Circuit Court

Item 2

This Deed, made this April <u>4</u>, 2023, by and between Victoria <u>ABRAHAM-PAUL</u>,

Reston, VA 20190

married, Grantor, and Cesy Azucena ESCOBAR JIMENEZ, married, Grantee.

-Witnesseth-

That for and in consideration of the sum of Ten Dollars (\$10.00), cash in hand paid, and

other good and valuable consideration, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Grantor does hereby

grant, bargain, sell and convey, in fee simple, with GENERAL WARRANTY and English Covenants of

title, unto the Grantee, as sole owner, all the following-described lot or parcel of land together with

improvements thereon, situate, lying and being in the **County of Fauquier**, Commonwealth of Virginia:

Beginning at a point at the northeast corner of the tract herein described. Said point lying in the centerline of Madison Street, being a 30-foot prescriptive right-of-way and being the northwest corner to Levi T. Byrd and Luca N. Byrd;

Thence departing Madison Street and running with Byrd, S 00° 11' 20" E, passing through and iron pipe set at 16.00 feet, for a total distance of 162.31 feet to an iron pipe set lying in the northerly line of 337 Curtis Street, LLC;

Thence departing Byrd and running with 337 Curtis Street, LLC, S 85° 31' 20" W, passing through an iron rod found at 64.36 feet, for a total distance of 80.75 feet to a point lying in the centerline of Curtis Street, being a 30-foot prescriptive right-of-way;

Thence departing 337 Curtis Street, LLC and running with Curtis Street, N 02° 52' 05" W 45.94 feet to a point and N 00° 24' 28" E 119.39 feet to a point being the centerline intersection with the aforementioned Madison Street;



Thence departing Curtis Street and running with Madison Street, N 87° 38' 54" E 81.49 feet to the point of beginning and containing 13,423 square feet or 0.30815 acres of land, more or less, as shown on the survey plat, which was prepared by Edwin J. Dodd, Jr., L.S., of Dodd & Associates, PLLC, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

TITLE SOLUTIONS, INC.

Page 1 of 2

AND BEING the same property conveyed to Victoria Abraham-Paul by deed from Lawrence M. Cook dated February 10, 2022 and recorded with Fauquier County, Virginia Recording Office on February 11, 2022 in Book 1717, Page 2127.

This conbepance is made expressly subject to those restrictions, conditions, and easements of

record to the extent that they lawfully apply to the property hereby conveyed.

The Grantor covenants that she is seized in fee simple of the property herein conveyed, has the

right to convey the said land to the Grantee and has done no act to encumber the lands. The Grantor covenants that the Grantee shall have quiet possession of the land free from all encumbrances, except as mentioned herein, and that she, the Grantor, will execute such further assurances of the lands as may be requisite.

Ditness the following signature and seal.

Victoria Abraham-Paul

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA CITY/COUNTY OF PRINCE WILLIAM

The foregoing deed was acknowledged before me on <u>APRIL 4</u>, <u>ADA3</u> by Victoria Abraham-Paul.


IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and official seal.




01 Notary Public My Commission Expires:


After recording, please return to: Cardinal Title Group, LLC 14291 Park Meadow Drive Suite 400 Chantilly, VA 20151 Reserved for Recording Clerk:


Page 2 of 2







Book: 1746 Page: 2444 File No: 2023-00002076 Seq: 4







Item 2.




RECORDED IN CLERK'S OFFICE OF FAUQUIER ON APRIL 10, 2023 at 1:06:44 PM AS REQUIRED BY VA CODE §58.1-802 STATE: \$205.00 LOCAL: \$205.00 FAUQUIER COUNTY, VA GAIL H BARB CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT

Bail HBarb


GENERAL CONSTRUCTION NOTES BUILDING CODE: 2018 VA RESIDENTIAL CODE


INSULATION CODE: AS PER CHAPTER 11, TABLE N1102.1.2 (R402.1.2)

- 1. ANY REPRODUCTION OF THESE PLANS WITH OUT PERMISSION IS A VIOLATION OF COPYRIGHT LAWS. COPIES OF THESE PLANS ARE AVAILABLE FROM DESIGN OPTIONS Inc. (540) 825-4656.
- 2. THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS MUST BE REVIEWED PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION AND FOLLOWED BY THE CONTRACTORS AND HIS AGENTS. ESTABLISHMENT AND VERIFICATION OF ALL ON-SITE CONDITIONS IS THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR. ANY DEVIATIONS MUST BE APPROVED BY THE BUILDING INSPECTOR AND THE DESIGNERS. THE DESIGNERS ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY OR LIABILITY FOR ANY STRUCTURE THAT IS NOT CONSTRUCTED UNDER HIS DIRECT SUPERVISION.
- 3. ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO ALL APPLICABLE LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERAL BUILDING CODES WHETHER SHOWN ON PLAN OR NOT, INCLUDING MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, & PLUMBING.
- 4. FOUNDATION WALL FOOTING WIDTH, DEPTH, & REINFORCEMENT TO COMPLY WITH TABLES WITHIN SECTION R403.1 (3000 PSI CONCRETE PER R402.2). CARRY ALL FOOTINGS TO UN-DISTURBED SOLID BEARING & TO CONFORM WITH FROST LINE REQUIREMENTS. FOOTING TO CAST FOUNDATION WALL CONNECTION: PROVIDE MIN OF 1.5"x1.5" FOOTING KEY WAY OR PROVIDE #4 VERTICAL BAR @ 24" O.C., EMBED 6" INTO FOOTING & 18" INTO FOUNDATION
- 5. FOUNDATION WALL REINFORCEMENT & BACKFILL TO COMPLY WITH TABLES WITHIN SECTION R404.1 MINIMUM COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH: 3000 PSI CONCRETE PER R402.2 (SEVERE), MANUFACTURED PRE-CAST FOUNDATION PER R402.3, MASONRY PER R402.4 FOUNDATION DAMP PROOFING TO COMPLY WITH R406, DRAIN TILE TO COMPLY W/ R405 UNDER FLOOR SPACE VENTING, ACCESS, & DRAINAGE PER R408
- 6. CONCRETE SLABS 3500 PSI (PER R402.2) MIN. 4" CONCRETE WITH 6x6-10-10 WWM OR FIBERGLASS REINFORCEMENT. GRAVEL UNDER SLAB FILL SHALL NOT EXCEED 24" WITHOUT ENGINEERING. PROVIDE THERMAL BREAK FOR HEATED SLABS PER M2103.2
- 7. PROVIDE 1/2"Ø GALV. ANCHOR BOLTS (EMBED: 7" MIN.) FOR SECURING WOOD SILL PLATES TO MASONRY/CONCRETE: 6'-0" MAX - 12" FROM CORNERS. (PER R403.1.6)
- 8. LUMBER TO BE S.P.F. #2 CONSTRUCTION GRADE OR BETTER UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. PRESSURE TREATED LUMBER: ALL SCREWS & NAILS TO BE HOT-DIPPED GALVANIZED OR STAINLESS STEEL. ALL HARDWARE (HANGERS, ANCHORS) TO BE GALVANIZED WITH 1.85 OZ/SF OF ZINC OR STAINLESS STEEL.
- 9. FLOOR JOISTS AS NOTED BRIDGING AT ALL MID-SPANS (NOMINAL LUMBER) FOR ENGINEERED JOISTS/LVLS, REFER TO THE MNFR JOIST LAYOUT (PROVIDED BY OTHERS)
- 10. FRAMING 16" O.C. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ALL EXTERIOR WALLS TO BE WIND BRACED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION R602.10, HEADERS PER R602.7(1) OR R605.7(2), JACK STUDS PER R602.7.(1), RAFTERS PER R802.4.1, CEILING JOISTS PER R802.5, TRUSSES PER R802.10.1, FLOOR & ROOF SHEATHING PER R503.2.1.1(1), ROOF ICE SHIELD PER R905.2.1 & R905.2.7 & UNDERLAYMENT PER 905.2.3 PROVIDE SOLID BEARING AT ENDS OF ALL GIRDER TRUSSES, JOISTS, BEAMS, & LINTELS.
- 11. FLOOR LOADING: LIVE 30/40 DEAD 10 DECK LOADING : LIVE 40 DEAD 10 STAIR LOADING : LIVE 40 DEAD 10 GARAGE LOADING: LIVE 50 DEAD 10 ATTIC LOADING: LIVE 10/20/30 DEAD 10 ROOF LOADING: LIVE 30 DEAD 17 WIND PRESSURE: NOMINAL 90 MPH ULTIMATE 115 MPH (3 SEC GUST) SEISMIC ZONE: B (LOUISA: C) LATERAL SOIL PRESSURE: 45 PCF WEATHER: SEVERE FROST LINE: 24" GROUND SNOW LOAD: 30 P.S.F. SOIL BEARING VALUE: 2000 P.S.F. (ASSUMED)
- 12. ALL DECKS & PORCHES TO COMPLY WITH SECTION R507. POST TO BEAM ATTACHMENTS TO COMPLY WITH R507.5.2, FLOOR ELEVATIONS TO COMPLY WITH SECTION R311.3, ALL STAIRWAYS TO BE ILLUMINATED PER R303.7 OR R303.8
- 13. MIN 50/20 CFM BATHROOM EXHAUST TO EXTERIOR PER M1505, MIN 100/25 CFM COOKING EXHAUST TO EXTERIOR PER M1503
- 14. GARAGES SHALL BE SEPARATED FROM THE RESIDENCE AND ITS ATTIC WITH NO LESS THAN 1/2" D.W. APPLIED TO GARAGE SIDE. GARAGES BELOW HABITABLE ROOM SHALL BE SEPARATED WITH 5/8" TYPE "X" DRYWALL ON CEILING AND 1/2" D.W. ALL OTHER WALLS, PER TABLE R302.6
- 15. FIREPLACE COMBUSTION AIR TO COMPLY W/ R1006.1, AIR SPACE CLEARANCE TO COMPLY W/ R1001.11 AND/OR R1003.18, AND CHIMNEY TERMINATION TO COMPLY WITH R1003.9
- 16. DOOR & WINDOW GLAZING TO BE MAXIMUM 0.32 U-FACTOR AND 0.40 SHGC WINDOW & DOORS TO BE READ AS FOOT/INCHES (I.E. 30 68 = $3'-0'' \times 6'-8''$) INTERIOR PASSAGE PER SECTION R332 EGRESS WINDOWS TO COMPLY WITH R310.2.1: CLEAR OPENING 5.7 SQ FT, EXEMPTION- GRADE FLOOR 5.0 SQ FT



SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"











Amber Heflin

From: Sent: To: Subject: Hunter Digges Wednesday, December 4, 2024 7:49 AM Amber Heflin RE: BZA- 57 Madison Street

Amber,

Fire/Building have no concerns with the proposed location of the house. Fire resistance construction dose not come into effect until the structure is equal to or less then 5 feet from the property line. If you have any additional questions, please let me know.

Thanks

Hunter Digges Fire Official Building Official Property Maintenance Official



From: Amber Heflin <aheflin@warrentonva.gov> Sent: Wednesday, December 4, 2024 7:36 AM To: Hunter Digges <hdigges@warrentonva.gov> Subject: BZA- 57 Madison Street

Hey Hunter,

Here's the plan set and a plat. The BZA has asked for an opinion from you regarding fire safety due to the proximity to the property line.

(They also wanted to see a shadow diagram and a rendering of the proposed home situated on the lot to show its size compared to adjacent homes. FYI.)

Thank you,

Amber N. Heflin, CZA, COSS

Zoning Official Community Development Department



21 Main Street Warrenton, VA 20186 (540) 347-1101 x142 <u>warrentonva.gov</u>

See the progress on the Town's Zoning Ordinance update here:

https://www.warrentonzoningordinanceupdate.com/



PO BOX 341 WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20188 http://www.warrentonva.gov LandDevelopment@warrentonva.gov (540) 347-2405

January 7, 2024

TO: Members, Board of Zoning AppealsFROM: Heather E. Jenkins, PLA, CZA; Zoning AdministratorSUBJECT: Annual Report, 2024

Dear Board Members:

The Town Zoning Ordinance requires that the Board submit a report of its activities to the Town Council at least once per year. This letter is the summary of the Board's activities for calendar year 2024, serving as the annual report that will be transmitted to Town Council at their next regular meeting.

2024 Cases


During the period from January 1, 2024, to December 31, 2024, the Board held six meetings, where three Variance cases were heard. One of the Variance requests heard by the Board was to increase the maximum height of a fence from a maximum height of 4 feet, up to 8 feet in height to allow adequate screening of an existing storage building. The two other cases were for a Variance of setback requirements to allow construction of single-family detached dwellings. The Variances and their case numbers are listed below:

Case Number	Location	Z.O. Section	Decision
BZA-24-1	719 Blackwell Road		*Pending Litigation
BZA-24-2	130-134 Haiti Street	3-4.3.4	Approved
BZA-24-3	671 Falmouth Street	2-19.1	Approved
BZA-24-4	57 Madison Street	3-4.2.4	Deferred to 1/7/2025


*The Board did receive an appeal for the Zoning Administrator's approval of the Site Development Plan for the Amazon Data Center on Blackwell Road, but due to pending litigation, this appeal has not been heard by the Board at this time.

Five-Year Trends

Over a five-year period, the Board has heard twelve cases, consisting of nine Variances, and three Appeals of a Zoning Administrator's decision.



The Variance cases heard by the Board include four reductions in required setbacks for residential structures and five variances to increase the height of a fence. The three appeal cases heard in the past five years consist of appeals of a Zoning Administrator's Notice of Violation and Corrective Order, for the impermissible use of a structure for rental apartments, removal of required street trees, and construction of a 6-foot fence in a front yard setback without the approval of a Variance.



2020-2024 Board of Zoning Appeals Cases

2024 Outlook

Membership

The Board has maintained five members for the 2024 calendar year but will begin 2025 with four members due to a resignation from the Chairman, Mr. Kovalik, so that he is able to serve on the Town Council. Staff has begun advertising for this vacancy and expects to fill the position at the beginning of 2025.

Member Name	Term End		
	December 31, 2024 *Resignation to serve on Town Council		
Larry Kovalik, Chair			
Melea Maybach, Vice Chair	April 2026		
Geoff Fiutak	March 14, 2029		
A Van Baggett	March 14, 2029		
Susan Helander	June 19, 2029		



Zoning Ordinance

The Town has begun the process to update the Zoning Ordinance, working with the consulting firm Clarion. This process is expected to take approximately two years to complete, including multiple public outreach opportunities and review and revision of the draft ordinance. Should any Board members wish to discuss specific Ordinance provisions or concerns with the Clarion project manager, I am happy to forward those comments or coordinate a discussion opportunity.

Conclusion

Thank you for the opportunity to provide you with a brief summary of Board activities. This report will be transmitted to the Town Council at the next available meeting for that body. Should you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

inkins Heather E. Jenkins, PLA, CZA

Zoning Administrator Community Development Department