

WALTON COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

August 6, 2026

MEMBERS PRESENT: Tim Hinton, Michelle Trammel, Mark Banks, Josh Ferguson & Robert Post

MEMBERS ABSENT: Timothy Kemp and Chris Alexander

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT OFFICIAL: Kristi Parr, Tracie Malcom, Hannah Davis and Ron Smith

Chairman Tim Hinton called the meeting to order.

Josh Ferguson opened with prayer.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Josh Ferguson made a Motion to approve the minutes of July 9, 2026, with a second by Robert Post. The minutes were approved unanimously.

OLD BUSINESS:

1. Z26-0159 – Rezone a portion of Parcel C0590057 (4.00) acres from R1 to A1 to have personal outside animals – Applicant/Owner: Fig Leaf Risk Advisors, LLC - property located at 3084 Brooks Trail - District 1

Presentation: Michael and Katie Campbell represented the case. They would like to rezone 4 acres of the 83 acres that they own from R1 to A1 to have personal outside animals and a small hobby farm. The legal questions of this being in the subdivision or in the homeowner's association are still unresolved. They would like to have a hobby farm in a fenced area close to the residence. It will have low impact on the neighborhood and is compatible with the area. The HOA stated that the property is in the subdivision and they have documentation that shows that their property is in the subdivision, and they have asked to see it, and their request was denied. They have made every effort to be transparent to the neighborhood. There was misinformation going around about what they want to do. They are not having commercial livestock operations or petting zoo. The places where the animals will be fenced will be 200 ft. from the closest residence property line. What they are wanting to do will not increase transportation. They have worked diligently to comply and be respectful to neighbors. The application has low impact; it is agriculture use and they respectfully request that their request be approved.

Josh Ferguson asked the Campbell's when you say hobby farm what does that mean and Ms. Campbell stated about 4 sheep, 4 goats and up to 12 chickens. They have 3 stalls in the barndominium that are about 440 sq. ft. The animals will graze the hill that's steep and they

will not have to use a tractor. They live at 3085 Brooks Trail and there are agricultural properties around this property. The barndominium is going to be used for family members when they are in town and for their aging parents and maybe use it for small bible study groups from church. Josh Ferguson asked, so this is not a permanent residence for you and Ms. Campbell stated no, it will be for family and aging parents. She stated as far as animals their residence at 3085 Brooks Trail is not big enough to have animals.

Mark Banks asked if the only access they have to their property is through the subdivision and Ms. Campbell stated that was correct. They do not have access to their property from Highway 81 or Double Springs Road. They are building a barndominium and they got a permit for it and turned in the barndominium plans to Walton County to approve. Ms. Campbell stated that they had to turn the building around 35 to 40 degrees from where they said it would be and they talked to the homeowner's association board and they went through the HOA as a courtesy to get approval.

Speaking: Ed Farring spoke and stated that he and his mother live at 1620 Bluff Creek and he looked at the application and on page 10 it says tax classification and farm animals. He stated that the barndominium has already been built and it is out of character and the subdivision has livestock restrictions, and it is a problem and he stated that the Campbells could put a cell tower on the property or do an Airbnb and he would like for the application to be denied.

Jessica Seifried, who lives in the community stated that the neighbors have asked her to speak. They are opposing this rezone. We respect private property rights, and they know that the Campbell's have control over their own property and what they want to do will benefit them, but this will affect the whole neighborhood. Any future use of property there is only one way to access it, and they could access their property from outside the road. There are more than 160 people who live in the neighborhood. To allow the rezone sounds harmless but it will have an impact. She understands and has been told that the county does not enforce private covenants. She stated that the Campbells did approach the homeowner's association, and they knew about the barn but did not know they were going to the zoning for animals. She stated that even though the Campbell's contacted the homeowner's association about the barndominium that when it is winter you can see the barndominium. She said that Campbell's state that their property is not part of the subdivision. She stated that she did an open records request to the county and it showed that there is not another barndominium in R1 zoning and they have had a title search done. She stated that the Campbells did not contact the homeowner's association about the rezone.

Daniel Reynolds, who lives at 1429 Bluff Creek Trail, stated that if you allow the rezone in place and you allow large, hoofed animals 2 per fenced acre then that will be a real farm. He has done some research, and it will be 36,000 pounds of waste on 4 fenced acres and where does it go? When the wind blows it will blow the smell to the neighborhood and it will affect elderly people and small children.

Clinton Bostwick, who lives at 1609 Bluff Creek Trail, stated that the summary of what you have heard is that they do not want this and they have a petition with 75 signatures opposing the rezone and this was handed to the Planning Commission.

David Johnson, who lives at 1625 Bluff Creek Trail, has lived there for 20 years and before that he lived in a subdivision in Loganville that did not have an HOA and they let people do whatever they wanted to do on their property and they lost 10 thousand dollars when they sold their property. He stated what he had heard of the plans that the applicants would like to do but it has been very vague throughout. He stated the plans may be beneficial to the owner but the other people in the neighborhood will have a negative impact on them.

Linda Dudish, who lives at 1601 Creek Trail, stated that this will impact 3 cul-de-sacs not just one. They were advised that we would not be able to see the barndominium, but it is already built and you can see it.

Rebuttal: Michael and Katie Campbell came back for rebuttal, they stated they appreciate the concerns of the neighbors and homeowners' association and they understand. Mrs. Campbell went on to say that if it comes down to them being in the homeowner's association they won't have any animals. She knows that there is a petition with lots of signatures, but they don't think the neighbors got the whole story and that they received false information. They are rumors that they were doing a petting zoo which was never what they wanted. We also tried to approach the homeowner's association president by phone and have made several attempts, but they will not talk. She stated that they thought about putting up a fence that might help.

Michael Campbell stated that he has had his cell phone on every night for every single neighbor to call but only 2 people have called. They approached the Homeowners Management Company and were advised that they will not share information with the Campbells.

As far as the barndominium we can address that. They will put up a gate to the property if they need to and hopefully, they can talk to the homeowner's association. They did get an evaluation from a real estate person, and the barndominium will be their property and will be valued at a high value. The structure will bring value up in the neighborhood.

There was communication from the Board on the Facebook page advising if anyone wanted the results of the legal opinion that they would release it. Mr. Campbell stated that he requested it 5 minutes after it was posted and he was denied.

This has been a terrible situation, and we are sick over this and nervous. They have talked to the homeowner's association. This is not an easy process for them. We offered them the information that we had. This has not been an easy process for us.

Tim Hinton asked when they purchased the property, did they have an Attorney's office do the

Deed at closing and was it on the entire property or just a parcel. The Cambells stated that they did have an Attorney and the Deed showed 2 separate parcels property. They had plats that showed the 2 parcels that they own.

Tim Hinton asked if they knew if they were identified as a lot and they stated they did not know. Mr. Hinton asked if they were given a title insurance policy at closing and they stated yes. Mr. Hinton asked when they bought the property was the use of the property at the beginning the same use as it is now and they stated that was correct. They knew that they had bought a large piece of property and they wanted to do something with it.

Robert Post asked if they went to the homeowner's association to get approval and tell them that you had to change the direction of the barndominium and Ms. Campbell stated they went to the homeowner's association as a courtesy but they did not go back to the homeowner's association to let them know that they had to change the way the barndominium was sitting because the lay of the land was too sharp and they couldn't get a stable foundation so they had to change the placement. We were under the impression due to Tax Records and Qpublic that their property was not in the subdivision. The Campbells were asked if at any time, did they think they were in the homeowner's association and they stated no.

Tim Hinton stated that he has talked to multiple individuals regarding this case and as chairman of the Planning Commission and being a licensed realtor for 28 years and a member of the community, you would know if you were in the homeowner's association or not.

Tim Hinton asked Kristi Parr, Director of Walton County Planning & Development, was there a final plat and Ms. Parr stated yes but the subdivision is not laid out like it was turned in.

Mr. Hinton asked Ms. Parr if the parcel that is being requested has a lot number and she stated no.

Mr. Hinton stated if you pulled the property or the name of the individual, it would show that neither of these properties are in the subdivision because if it was in a subdivision, it would show that.

Mr. Hinton stated that after attending hundreds of closings there are things that have to be adhered to. He stated that final plats that have all of the lots, refers the subdivision name on the final plat. The deed is necessary because on deeds and plats it would show if a property was in a subdivision. What is applicable here is that the Deed and Plat does not show it is in the subdivision and if the subdivision wanted to impose covenants on me then that would be a no. The only assessment that can be made is if the subdivision is not on their plats then they are not in the subdivision. As far as their intent to have animals, people have a right to change their mind because you have a right to do that. Mr. Hinton stated that the rest of the agenda tonight has subdivisions. He said 4 years ago there was a requested rezone for 140 acres on Highway 81 and Double Springs Road that someone wanted to rezone to develop a subdivision. He stated that they wanted to rezone the property to R1 and develop and people from this neighborhood came out and spoke against approving the subdivision. It is ironic that the people in this

neighborhood didn't want that either. He stated that they did rezone this property back to A2 zoning. He went on to say that if the Campbells wanted to, they could further utilize their property to have a minimum of 70 lots and that would mean 70 more families in the subdivision and you will have traffic from them and traffic from the development and it may take the construction of the housing 5 to 6 years. He stated that 84 acres for some animals would be much less evasive than 70 more homes. I have given this a whole lot of thought. If sewers ever become available to the county there could be 200 houses down the road, but you are against a homeowner that wants a few animals. He does have concerns about the way that the subdivisions impose their covenants. He stated that this case will go to the Board of Commissioners, and he hopes that they all will communicate and he hopes for more common ground.

Josh Ferguson stated that in considering this application and not weighing whether it is in the homeowner's association or not and the appropriateness of the request for the neighborhood this does not fit in this neighborhood. Whether it is in a homeowner's association or not is something for the Attorneys to hash out. He stated that this property was zoned R1 and was included in the subdivision. Whether or not it was developed in the neighborhood, in his opinion he believes that the property is in the subdivision.

Recommendation: Josh Ferguson made a motion to recommend denial but there was no second. Tim Hinton made a motion to approve with the following conditions: No more than four sheep, four goats and twelve chickens ever with a second by Mark Banks. Tim Hinton stated that the motion passed 3 to 2 however, Michelle Tammel voted to approve so the motion passed 4-1 with Josh Ferguson voting to deny and Tim Hinton, Robert Post, Mark Banks and Michelle Trammel voted to approve the rezone.

NEW BUSINESS:

2. LU26-0188 & Z26-0187 – Land Use Change from Rural Residential and Agriculture to Suburban and Rezone 5.99 acres from A1 to R1 to create a 5-lot residential subdivision – Applicant/Owner: Mark Sanchez – property located on Locklin Road – Map/Parcel C1780012Z00 - District 4

Presentation: Mark Sanchez, who lives at 313 Milledge, represented the case. He is asking for 5.99 acres on Locklin Road to be rezoned from A1 to R1 for a 5-lot subdivision with 1-acre sites. The property is raw land. The lots will have a residential character. He stated that 500 feet from this property, water has been installed to a 24-lot subdivision and he would like to bring water to be installed in this subdivision and he will have a proposed road with a cul-de-sac. The subdivision's name will be Locklinburg. The types of homes will match the surrounding areas.

Mark Banks asked if the property was to the west of the new subdivision and were the lots 1 acre

and he stated that they were.

Michelle Trammel asked about larger tracts, and he stated that the homes would be 3,200 to 3,800 sq. ft.

Josh Ferguson asked if there was a pond on the property and Mr. Sanchez stated there it wasn't.

Tim Hinton asked if he was going to do a detention pond and he was advised that a detention pond would need to be done and that would be on the civil drawings.

Tim Hinton advised Mr. Sanchez that he had a ton of frontage, and he could build houses off the property instead of 5 lots and a street. If he does a subdivision there would be some of the property donated to the county that the county has to maintain. The detention pond will probably take one of the lots and that will be the burden of the homeowners.

Michelle Trammel stated that on the paperwork it shows that public works stated that he may need a decel lane.

He was advised that the subdivision will be curb and gutter and he will be able to put whatever lots due to the density of the land.

Mr. Sanchez stated that he did not know he needed a decel lane.

Michelle Trammel asked about septic on the lots because the paperwork says that it is needed.

Speaking: Doug Fisher spoke and stated that he wanted to know the sizes of the homes and prices of the homes.

Rebuttal: Mark Sanchez came back for rebuttal. Mark Banks asked at 1141 Locklin Road how much square footage is in the homes and Mr. Sanchez stated that the size of the homes would be 3,200 to 3,800 sq. ft. and the prices would be compatible with the nearby homes.

Recommendation: Michelle Trammel made a motion to recommend denial with a second by Josh Ferguson. Michelle Trammel, Josh Ferguson and Tim Hinton voted to deny. Robert Post and Mark Banks voted to approve. The motion passed 3 to 2 to deny the rezone.

3. Z26-0189 – Rezone 5.70 acres from A1/B3/M1/M2 to M2 & B3 for Motor Vehicle Sales, Auto Repair & Maintenance, Salvage Yard, Warehouse and outdoor storage and Variances on setbacks, buffers and eliminate the 10 ft landscape strip along Highway 11 –

Applicant/Owner: Reyes Autos & Trucks, LLC – property located at 2412 Highway 11 – Map/Parcels C0850109, C0850109B00 & C0850111 - District 5

Presentation: Mr. Kahn represented the case on behalf of Reyes Autos & Trucks, LLC. There are 10.4 acres, but they would like to only rezone for a salvage yard and vehicle storage. They are planning on doing motor vehicles sales, minor and major maintenance on cars on the property that is to be rezoned to B3 and the M2 will be for the salvage yard and a warehouse. The surrounding properties are zoned A1 and there is commercial B2 across the street. The property is in the Highway Corridor, and it is consistent with the Future Land Use Map. The current zonings are A1, B3, M1 and M2 and they are going to B3. Proposed zoning for motor vehicles sales and minor and major automobile repair. The remaining property will be zoned M2 for warehouse and salvage yard. The property in the front is proposed for a building and parking. The driveway will be removed, and a driveway will be modified subject to GDOT approval. They will need a buffer reduction where it is B3 on the north side and south side. The buffer was an easement before. They are making improvements to the south B3 zoning buffer on the existing barn. Those that are requesting not to have the buffers will put up a fence. 50 ft from the property line there are 2 existing properties.

They are also requesting to do away with the 10 ft landscape strip on Highway 11. They also have an existing detention pond.

Existing zoning conditions are consistent with the Walton County Land Use Map.

They are requesting the Board approve the zoning.

Tim Hinton asked in the back if it is M2 what is the proposed use and Mr. Kahn stated it will be screened storage area for salvage vehicles. Mr. Kahn was asked if they would be inoperable vehicles and the reply was yes.

Mr. Hinton asked if he sought GDOT approval and if they had given him the 2 entrances. The entrance they talked to GDOT is the 2 entry points and Mr. Kahn stated GDOT has not given them approval. The existing building will be for tire repair, car dealership and auto repair.

Tim Hinton asked if they were asking for major and minor auto repair and what type of vehicles they repair and they answered regular vehicles and salvage vehicles.

Mark Banks asked if they were doing a wrecker service and they stated yes. Mr. Banks asked if it was going to be 24-hour call and they stated that it would just be during working hours.

Robert Post asked if the A1 in the back is now M2. Salvage vehicles are already on this property.

Tim Hinton asked if this was a code enforcement case. Kristi Parr stated that it is a result of

code enforcement to get the property in compliance. In A1 they have acquired how to bring the property up to code.

The warehouse office is in the existing building with office space and a salvage portion.

Josh Ferguson asked if the M1 was the salvage yard and Kristi Parr stated that its pre-dates the ordinance and when Mr. Harwell came in earlier about the property, he was advised that if he intended to use the property then he needed to bring it into compliance and the salvage yard had previously been approved.

Tim Hinton stated that he understands that they are requesting that the landscape strip be removed but Mr. Hinton stated that there is a purpose for a landscape strip. Southside of the property is the existing detention pond. Site plan or detention pond may not be good for the future, and the owner will need to prove that. Where the tire repair is going is what the zoning of the adjacent property to the north is. A1 is the piece of property last building existing tire asking for a zero buffer.

Speaking: No one

Recommendation: Tim Hinton made a motion to recommend approval with the following conditions: On the southside of the property where the current retention is, any area being shown that is in the color brown on the site plan because the back portion says screened salvage so therefore there is a line that circles around the warehouses and office area that is not within the portion that would be deemed as screened salvage so anything from where the retention pond does not intersect the adjoining property anything from that point all the way back past the warehouses will be screened in the same manner as the salvage storage in the rear that would be at a minimum of a 6 ft opaque fence along the whole south boundary back to what they have already indicated as screened storage will also need to be screened with a 6ft opaque fence. The portion on the north end where that is indicated as a tire repair there would be a 6 ft opaque fence to go from the section showing as salvage storage screening all the way to that abutment up at Highway 11 and all behind that building. On the front of the property a portion being used and identified as a car dealership showing parking spaces out near the street that section and that section alone, I am recommending that the landscape strip requirement be removed for the part there where the car dealership is identified and only that portion. Any other portion fronting Highway 11 for auto repair, tire repair, the required landscape strip would have to be maintained as the code has identified with a second by Michelle Trammel. The motion passed unanimously.

4. Z26-0192 – Rezone 103.56 acres from A2 to R1OSC to create a 57-lot residential subdivision – Applicant: Baldpates General Contracting, LLC/Owner: Grady Thompson Enterprises, LLLP – property located on Nicholasville Road – Map/Parcel C0850158 –

District 5

Presentation: Andrea Gray from 300 East Church Street represented the case on behalf of Baldpates General Contracting, LLC. Her client would like to rezone 103.56 acres from A2 to R1OSC for a 57-lot subdivision with 49.32 acres of open space. There will be 49.2 acres of open space. They would like to rezone a portion of Parcel C0850158 from A1 to R1OSC. They would like to expand this development with the Bison Landing Subdivision that was approved last year. This will create a cohesive, conservation-focused residential neighborhood which will be a total of 221.75 acres with 149 homes and a total of 82 acres of open space. On the site plan the dark green space shows the open space. There will be an amenity lake, pavilion and a walking path. There will be landscape strips and 50 ft buffers between the houses on Nicholsville Road. Trails and the property on Nicholsville Road will have a 50 ft. transitional buffer. This will be a combination development with the one on George Williams Road which the property is in Neighborhood Residential which is consistent with the area. Adjoining properties are primarily single-family residential and the proposed lots will continue the quality of approved Bison Landing. This is a strategic location which is approximately equal distance from Monroe and Bethlehem. It will be well-positioned for a conservation oriented residential community. The residential subdivision is going to have a broad residential appeal with lower-maintenance homes and yards support younger households with demanding work and family schedules, as well as older residents seeking reduced maintenance time and cost. The signature amenities will be an amenity lake, community pavilion, walking trail, sidewalks and streetlights. Connecting neighborhood's will be cul-de-sac street design with sidewalks on both sides and streetlights throughout the subdivision. There will be a homeowner's association which will maintain open space, landscaping and community amenity areas. The minimum house size will be 2,000 sq. ft., which is well above the ordinance minimum. The houses will be consistent in quality, lot size and home size with the previously approved Bison Landing Community. The exterior materials will be fiber cement siding and brick or stone accents. There will be sodded yards, sidewalks on both sides, and streetlights. This subdivision will be a high-quality continuation of Bison Landing designed to create an attractive, cohesive neighborhood with durable materials and a well-maintained public realm. The proposed pictures of housing were shown. There will be a 50 ft. transitional buffer surrounding the property perimeter and the existing homes around the development will be protected by buffers, wooded open space and landscaping. There will be significant wooded open space buffers residents along Fannie Thompson Road and landscape strips along all road frontages. The majority of the 50-ft. buffer in other areas is also wooded. There will be attractive signage consistent with the application rendering. A traffic analysis was conducted by an engineer. There will be 2 access points, one on Nicholsville Road and the other on George Williams Road. Using GDOT thresholds, the estimated left-turn volumes do not require center turn lanes. They plan to construct right-turn deceleration lanes at entrance on Nicholsville Road and George Williams Road.

Speaking: Kelley Davis Connerly spoke and stated that she is speaking on behalf of her two Assisted Living Homes on Nicholsville Road. In 1985 she became a citizen at 1250 Nicholsville Road. She owns Doster and Miller Manor and wanted to share the love of the soil.

She is not opposing the subdivision, but her concern is safety. The traffic backs all the way up to Junior & Cunoy Parker's house which is about a mile and a half long. For a total of 157 houses is a lot. She has called GDOT three times within the last 3 months and at first, they said that they would put a roundabout. She also said that there are gas pumps under the road that have been there since the 1960's and they have little frontage there. She also said that there is a 94-year-old man that comes to Miller/Manor and he drives there everyday to visit his wife. He wanted her there so he could travel to see her. She said George Williams at the curve is dangerous and Judge Sorrells who stayed at her home stated he was going to get a red light put there. She said she doesn't know how many people have gotten hit on Nicholasville and Highway 78 and how many times Campton Restaurant has been hit. She said between 4 and 6 o'clock the traffic is way backed up and you cannot get out onto the road. She also said that there is 1 entrance that already comes out on Nicholasville Road. She said that David Thompson's mother filed her first taxes. He said if Junior Parker was alive, he would come down here and say that there is not enough room for another subdivision. She also said that there is some property that James Sells is selling, and he was told the property will not perk. She said that the property is the Thompsons. David Thompson does not want to sell but David's brother and sister want their inheritance.

Tim Hinton says that he drives this road and he has been eating at Campton Restaurant for 30 years. He stated that he appreciates Kelley's concern. He stated that he was up at his dad's house and change is relevant and use is the other thing. He stated that he does not care who the landowner is and we have no right to tell one person yes and one person no. He stated that he has a hard time getting out of his driveway and onto Bold Springs Road. Mark Banks stated that GDOT might promise you a red light but if you talk to them doesn't mean that you will get it.

Recommendation: Tim Hinton made a motion to recommend approval with the following conditions: For the disturbed entry and also that portion between single family homes and the commercial properties on the corner all of that would have to be inclusive of both the fencing, shrubs, and trees all included in entry as a buffer between Nicholasville Road to this development to grow up in the future and give a good screen to that neighborhood with a second by Josh Ferguson. Tim Hinton, Josh Ferguson, Mark Banks and Robert Post voted to approve, and Michelle Trammel voted to deny. The motion passed 4 to 1 to approve the rezone.

5. Z26-0195 – Rezone 14.97 acres from A1 to A2 to create two 1.50-acre buildable lots for his children – Applicant/Owner: Sunil G. Rampersad – property located at 2940 Fannie Thompson Road – Map/Parcel C0850028B00 – District 5

Presentation: Sunil Rampersad represented the case. He lives at 2940 Fannie Thompson Road. He is a resident and also owns a business in Walton County. He has lived on Fannie Thompson

Road for 20 years. All of his children live in the community. They went to school here and they served at church and in the community. When his children advised him that they wanted to build on his property he was very happy. He would be going to deed off 1 acre a piece, but Ms. Malcom stated that he needed to at least deed off 1 ½ acres a piece so that is what he is wanting to do.

Speaking: No one

Recommendation: Tim Hinton made a motion to recommend approval as submitted with a second by Mark Banks. The motion passed unanimously.

6. LU26-0179 and Z26-0177 – Land Use Change from Employment Center to Neighborhood Residential and Rezone 32.479 acres from A1 to R1OSC to create a 20-lot residential subdivision – Applicant: Reliant Homes GA, LLC/Owner: 81 Investment Company, LLC – property located on Cedar Ridge Road – Map/Parcel C0910004 - District 5

Presentation: Ned Butler with Reliant Homes represented the case. He said that they would like to do a Land Use Change from a Employment Center to Neighborhood Residential and rezone 32+ acres from A1 to R1OSC to develop a 20-lot subdivision. He stated that the dark green shaded space on the site plan is open space. They are also going to put in the 50 ft. transitional buffer. He stated that a couple of years ago the developer was going to do 50 ft. buffer but put in 300 lots.

Speaking: John Barrientos, who owns 599 Cedar Ridge Rd, stated that the biggest thing did they do a traffic report. He said it is a nightmare at this intersection and is a danger. He is also concerned about county and city students leaving schools and trees falling down and he feels that adding 20 more lots on Cedar Ridge Road would be a lot more dangerous.

Rebuttal: Ned Butler came back for rebuttal and stated that they did not do traffic study for 20 lots. He stated that they were not going to do a decel lane, but the county is requiring it then they will do it. He is asking for much less intensive zoning and in the long run it will put less traffic on Cedar Ridge Road.

Tim Hinton stated that he is very familiar with this area and it has open exposure and there needs to be trees, fencing and landscaping.

Recommendation: Tim Hinton made a motion to recommend approval with the following conditions: For the disturbed area for the entry that anything that has or is currently tree-lined along the right of way will be left except for what needs to be disturbed for the entry and that disturbed entry will be fenced have shrubs and trees planted and trees for future screening of that neighborhood from the public right of way with a second by Robert Post. The motion passed unanimously.

OTHER BUSINESS: None

PUBLIC COMMENT – None

With no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully Submitted,

Tim Hinton – Chairman


TIM K HINTON

W.C. Planning Commission

Tracie Malcom, Executive Secretary



W.C. Planning Commission