

BUDGET & FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA

Online via Zoom and In Person at Tumwater City Hall, Council Conference Room, 555 Israel Rd. SW, Tumwater, WA 98501

> Friday, April 25, 2025 12:00 PM

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Roll Call
- 3. Approval of Minutes: Budget and Finance Committee, March 28, 2025
- 4. Monthly Financial Update (Finance Department)
- 5. Community Human Services Program Funding (Finance Department)
- 6. Ordinance No. O2025-006 Amending TMC 2.14 Execution of Contracts and Other Legal Documents (Executive Department)
- 7. Additional Items
- 8. Adjourn

Meeting Information

All committee members will be attending remotely. The public are welcome to attend in person, by telephone or online via Zoom.

Watch Online

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87675798413?pwd=a10MsIfiuPzfABSFX844VzlflzxbFB.1

Listen by Telephone

Call (253) 215-8782, listen for the prompts and enter the Webinar ID 876 7579 8413 and Passcode 417979.

Public Comment

The public may submit comments by sending an email to council@ci.tumwater.wa.us, no later than 5:00 p.m. the day before the meeting. Comments are submitted directly to the Committee members and will not be read individually into the record of the meeting.

Post Meeting

Video of this meeting will be recorded and posted on our City Meeting page: https://tumwater-wa.municodemeetings.com.

Accommodations

The City of Tumwater takes pride in ensuring that people with disabilities are able to take part in, and

benefit from, the range of public programs, services, and activities offered by the City. To request an accommodation or alternate format of communication, please contact the City's ADA Coordinator directly, call (360) 754-4129 or email ADACoordinator@ci.tumwater.wa.us. For vision or hearing impaired services, please contact the Washington State Relay Services at 7-1-1 or 1-(800)-833-6384.

CONVENE: 12:00 p.m.

PRESENT: Chair/Mayor Debbie Sullivan and Councilmembers Peter Agabi, Michael

Althauser, and Eileen Swarthout.

Staff: City Administrator Lisa Parks, City Attorney Karen Kirkpatrick, Finance Director Troy Niemeyer, and Deputy Finance Director Shelly

Carter.

Others: Morgan Shook and Zoe Fairlie, ECONorthwest.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: BUDGET & FINANCE COMMITTEE, FEBRUARY 28, 2025:

MOTION:

Councilmember Agabi moved, seconded by Councilmember Swarthout, to approve the minutes of February 28, 2025 as presented. A voice vote approved the motion. Councilmember Althauser abstained.

TEN-YEAR FINANCIAL PLAN UPDATE: Morgan Shook, Director & Partner, ECONorthwest, updated the committee on the status of developing a fiscal model for the City. The model provides scenario analysis, evaluates current funding and spending priorities, explores future funding needs and spending scenarios, and tests potential strategies to align budget decisions with community goals.

The model represents the City's financial structure of personnel, non-labor investments, and capital outlays. The combined departments are folded into two different funds of general purchase funds and enterprise funds and/or restricted funds. Following the input of department spending, the funds are indentified in terms of how they interrelate. For example, the model deciphers how the public safety fund relates to the general fund to determine within the 2025 budget the trajectory in terms of funding commitments and capital facility projects. The information enables the evaluation of a set of scenarios that have long-standing impact on expenditures and delivery of services and revenues.

Following work to build the model, the team is engaging different constituents and sources around fiscal opportunities and challenges to consider different scenarios for how the City envisions the delivery of services. The approach involves three inputs: (1) current commitments in the 2025/2026 budget, (2) engaging department leadership to consider a

strategic plan for the delivery of services from a financial perspective, e.g. need for additional FTEs, need for non-labor spending, or important capital projects, and (3) alignment with the Council's strategic planning process and input from the community.

City Administrator Parks advised that at the Council's April 8, 2025 meeting, more information will be presented on the status of the work plan for the Council's strategic planning process. The intent is obtaining an understanding of the totality of City actions that are mandated by law and support Council and community priorities. The financial component and the Community Survey will be important background information as the Council undertakes a process to prioritize City actions to assist staff in organizing and prioritizing work plans and budgets.

Mr. Shook described the framework for Council decision-making as core and required services (essential services required by law or necessary for basic community function), current community commitments (existing programs and services reflecting past community decisions and commitments), and enhanced service and infrastructure investments (proposed improvements or expansions in service quality, scope, or scale that would require new or increased revenues).

Information from the framework is used to develop different scenarios:

- Scenario A: Status Quo
 - Maintain current service levels and revenue structures.
 - Evaluate sustainability and financial stability if current spending patterns continue.
- Scenario B: Service Expansion with Revenue Adjustments
 - Identify areas where service expansion may be desirable (e.g. public safety, parks, or infrastructure).
 - Pair expansions explicitly with revenue options (e.g., property taxes, utility fees, or user charges).
 - Discuss trade-offs: affordability, community expectations, and impacts on fiscal stability.
- Scenario C: Prioritizing Large Capital Investments
 - Focus resources on major capital projects and infrastructure improvements.
 - Evaluate funding strategies (bonds, grants, partnerships, etc.).
 - Explore long-term impacts: debt capacity, operational costs, and benefits of strategic investments.
- Scenario D: Economic Stress Scenario
 - Evaluate the City's financial resilience under economic downturn conditions (recession, decreased tax revenue).
 - Identify essential services and potential budget adjustments

or emergency reserve needs to withstand downturn periods.

Mr. Shook said efforts are moving to the next phase of the project to address many of the issues as part of the project. He invited questions from the committee.

Councilmember Agabi said the modeling process is both user-friendly and visual. He asked whether the model can evaluate long-term consequences to the general fund when a position is filled. Mr. Shook said the construction of the model includes trade-offs in terms of forecasting accuracy when hiring FTEs. The model is intended to forecast longer-term issues such as what impact would result if the City added 10 FTEs today without any revenue or minimal adjustments in revenue to gauge how it might change the City's baseline. The model could reveal that the City has capacity moving forward or it could foretell potential cost issues moving forward. The model is a tool to assist the Council in assessing commitments for current expenses that are tied to specific existing revenues, as well as assessing how the addition of FTEs could affect future Council decisions for balancing the budget.

YEAR-TO-DATE BUDGET UPDATE:

Director Niemeyer provided an update on the 2023-2024 biennial budget and the status of the 2025-2026 budget. The Council is scheduled to receive a briefing on the 2023-2024 biennial budget at an upcoming work session.

At the last meeting, Councilmember Agabi asked several questions requiring some follow-up. One question pertained to sales tax revenue in 2023 and 2024 and potential outcomes to the budget if collections are less than forecasted moving forward. Director Niemeyer cited some examples. If the City forecasts some dips in sales tax and other taxes, potential actions to accommodate a decrease in revenue could include a hiring freeze, delaying projects, or reducing costs. If revenues reduce dramatically because of a recession or a downturn in the economy, the City could consider reducing positions or implementing more serious spending cuts. Staff monitors revenues closely and will provide monthly updates to the committee.

Director Niemeyer reviewed the 2023-2024 general fund reflecting budget versus actual for revenues and expenditures. The forecast for property tax was accurate nearly to the dollar. Sales tax revenues were much higher than budgeted. The forecast was conservative based on the assumption the nation would experience a recession, which did not occur. Business and Occupation (B&O) tax was on track with the forecast as well as utility tax. Building permits exceeded the forecast with Medic One revenue less than forecasted. Miscellaneous revenues account for other types of revenue, such as motor vehicle fuel tax, liquor tax, marijuana tax, leasehold tax, etc.

Actual receipts for miscellaneous revenues exceeded the budget by approximately 11% in 2023.

During 2024, revenues were similar to 2023 revenues. Medic One revenue was less than forecasted. In total, all revenues combined exceeded budgeted revenues by 10%.

Director Niemeyer reviewed a graph comparison of actual revenue for 2023 and 2024. Over the biennium, the City gained \$569,000 in property tax (+6%) with sales tax revenue experiencing a loss of nearly \$1 million from 2023 to 2024 although higher than historical norms. In 2024, the City began receiving Public Safety Sales Tax revenue (County's Proposition 1). The City created a special revenue fund to track the sales tax separately.

Director Niemeyer explained how historical budgets were reviewed to assist in establishing revenue projections from 2006 to the present. He reviewed a graph of property tax revenue over 18 years reflective of an overall increase over the years. The City is restricted to a 1% increase in property tax each year; however, new construction is also added.

Director Niemeyer displayed a similar graph for sales tax revenue from 2006 to the present. The City was fortunate through the COVID pandemic in both sales tax collections and internet shopping. Sales tax revenue spiked in 2020, reduced slightly in 2021, and began increasing in 2022, 2023 with a small drop in 2024. B&O tax continued to reflect steady revenue throughout the historical record. Building permit revenue varies based on the amount and type of development activity. Utility tax revenues reflect some variance over the years. Utility tax also includes private utilities in addition to City utilities. Variances in revenue are likely due to weather of either hot summers or colder winters. Medic One revenues reflect a steady trajectory with some dips in revenue. In 2025, Medic One revenue will increase because of the new medic unit. Other revenues from 60+ sources reflect a strong trajectory with large spikes in 2020 and 2021 because of stimulus funds received from the federal government.

Director Niemeyer reviewed a tax remittance from the Office of the State Treasurer. Typically, sales tax revenues remitted by the state are delayed because of business reporting deadlines. In March, the City received \$769,000 for February sales tax activity.

Director Niemeyer reviewed the status of the 2025-2026 budget. Property tax is collected in April and October and the figures reflect a prorated amount. Sales tax is based on actual receipts reflecting a small dip in sales tax revenue or 22.4% of the budget forecast for the first quarter. B&O tax is on track with the budget forecast. Utility tax is lagging to some degree in part due to lag time reporting as February billings are not paid until March.

Overall, the budget forecast through the end of March for expenditures should reflect 25% with actual amounts ranging from 17% to 22%.

Director Niemeyer reviewed expenditures and revenues to date for Utilities and the Golf Course.

Councilmember Agabi noted that although property tax increases are capped at 1% each year, the trend graph from 2006 to 2024 reflects a steady increase in property tax revenue. He asked why staff indicates property tax revenue is not sufficient despite the trend reflecting an increase each year. Director Niemeyer replied that the City is restricted to a 1% increase in property tax each year; however, new construction contributes more property tax revenues. Revenue trends are influenced by inflation and wages, which exceed more than 1% each year.

ORDINANCE NO.
O2025-006
AMENDING TMC
2.14 EXECUTION
OF CONTRACTS
AND OTHER
LEGAL
DOCUMENTS:

City Administrator Parks explained that state law authorizes cities to delegate authority for some decisions to the Mayor. In Tumwater, the code authorizes the Mayor to delegate authority to the City Administrator or Department Directors. The proposed ordinance is intended to keep pace with organizational growth and economic realities. The intent is to update the thresholds for contracting and other decision-making that is authorized to be delegated to improve efficiency. The ordinance identifies Council authority. Dollar thresholds are commensurate with the RCWs. She cited the types of contracts and agreements that fall under the authority of the Council. Some exceptions are included for delegation of authority. The primary change pertains to the execution of documents by expanding the authority to Department Directors to render decisions that are commensurate with the Mayor's delegation of authority. Some special delegation of authority is requested for financial services, legal services, and some collective bargaining agreements for amendments or memorandums of understanding (MOUs) with one of the City's unions as long as the action does not obligate the City to more than what is allowed to be delegated by statute. All actions are within the approved budget regardless of delegated decision-making.

City Attorney Kirkpatrick explained that the proposal is to improve internal efficiency within the organization. The Council approval authority remains unchanged with several exceptions applicable to interlocal agreements (ILAs) under \$100,000 or within the existing budget and grants. Staff forwards many requests for grants and often the process encounter delays as grant applications are processed for approval.

Councilmember Althauser cited an example of interlocal agreement with the Regional Housing Council (RHC) for participation with no funding associated with the ILA other than staff support from the City. He asked whether that circumstance requires the Council's approval. City Attorney

Kirkpatrick said the proposal contemplates not only expenditures of funds but also in-kind and staff participation. Any type of match or contribution is not considered monetary within the ILA. Councilmember Althauser questioned the number of ILAs that did not require Council approval. City Attorney Kirkpatrick responded that more often, the issue surrounds MOUs that are less formal and typically apply to service agreement with neighboring jurisdictions for fleet services or other types of services that are routine.

City Administrator Parks added that the RHC ILA includes a financial obligation in addition to staff resources. An example of an MOU is the two MOUs with the LOTT Clean Water Alliance last year to evaluate the potential purchase and sale of LOTT properties. In those examples, no monetary obligation in excess of \$100,000 was included. Later, staff had to request amendments to the MOUs to extend time for the evaluation process. Those are examples of the type of activity that would be covered in a MOU that would not need to be approved by the Council.

Councilmember Althauser asked whether the proposal is tied to emergent need or timing or whether the proposal only speaks to the monetary threshold. City Administrator Parks explained that although the proposal involves the financial threshold, staff cannot expend more than the approved budget authority. Councilmember Althauser said he is more comfortable with the proposal applicable to MOUs rather than ILAs, as they tend to be more policy-related.

City Attorney Kirkpatrick added that another consideration for the proposal was a request for the City to align its procedures with neighboring jurisdictions.

Councilmember Swarthout asked whether the proposal would increase the threshold for the Mayor from \$50,000 to \$100,000. City Attorney Kirkpatrick advised that the current threshold is \$100,000. Currently, the Council is required to review all ILAs. The proposal would change the review process to enable all ILAs under \$100,000 to be under the Mayor's authority.

City Administrator Parks pointed out that the monetary amount is dependent upon the contract or ILA. Any obligation of financial resources is limited to \$100,000 by state statute to the Mayor. The City's current code limits the amount to \$100,000 as well as limiting what can delegated to Department Directors. The proposal would amend the code to be commensurate with the Mayor's authority for Department Directors.

Councilmember Swarthout questioned how the proposal would affect public works contracts. City Attorney Kirkpatrick said the proposal affects ILAs,

grants, and other provisions. However, the Council retains authority for real estate documents that often involve public works projects or Community Development permit applications. The proposal is to ensure those types of processes are completed correctly and quickly. Directors are currently authorized to approve change orders within a 10% threshold. The proposal expands that authority to public works contracts as well as other contracts to improve the efficiency of the process.

Councilmember Agabi asked whether the impetus of the proposal is because of the delays in contracts because the Council was unable to timely review contracts. City Administrator Parks conceded that it is part of the reason because there are often delays in executing contracts because of the Council's meeting schedule and the Council's standard review process. The Council's committee structure has improved the process; however, in some circumstances the timing is not consistent with a committee meeting date. The proposal is intended to provide some efficiencies, particularly in terms of minor contracts and change orders that occur frequently.

The committee agreed to defer action on the proposal until the committee's next meeting to afford additional time to review the proposal.

Councilmember Agabi disconnected from the meeting.

ADJOURNMENT: With there being no further business, Chair Sullivan adjourned the meeting at 1:01 p.m.

Prepared by Valerie L. Gow, Recording Secretary/President Puget Sound Meeting Services, psmsoly@earthlink.net

TO: FROM DATE: SUBJE		Budget and Finance Committee Troy Niemeyer, Finance Director April 25, 2025 Monthly Financial Update	
1)		mmended Action: tem is informational only.	
2)	Background: Staff will provide regular budget-to-actual reports.		
3)		<u>r Support:</u> cally responsible and develop sustainable financial strategies.	
4)	Altern	atives:	
5)	<u>Fiscal</u> n/a	l Notes:	
6)		nments:	

TO:		Budget and Finance Committee	
FROM	/ 1:	Troy Niemeyer, Finance Director	
DATE	:	April 25, 2025	
SUBJECT:		Community Human Services Program Funding	
1)	Reco	mmended Action:	
	Provi	de guidance to staff prior to opening the application process for the 2025 awards.	
2)	Back	ground:	
	Staff recently presented funding options at a Council Worksession. Staff have adjusted the contracts based on that feedback and discussion with the City Attorney. We would like to finalize the plan for the 2025 awards and open the application process.		
3)		y Support: scally responsible and develop sustainable financial strategies.	
4)	Alterr	natives:	
	☐ n	/a	
5)	<u>Fisca</u>	ıl Notes:	
	n/a		
6)	Attac	hments:	
	A. n	one	

TO: Budget & Finance Committee

FROM: Lisa Parks, City Administrator

DATE: April 25, 2025

SUBJECT: Ordinance No. O2025-006 Amending TMC 2.14 Execution of Contracts and Other

Legal Documents

1) Recommended Action:

Place Ordinance No. O2025-006 Amending TMC 2.14 Execution of Contracts and Other Legal Documents on the May 6, 2025, City Council consent calendar with a recommendation to adopt.

2) <u>Background</u>:

This is a continuation of the discussion at the March 28, 2025 Budget and Finance Committee meeting. Staff will have additional information at this meeting to demonstrate which types of agreements and actions would be affected by this policy.

Pursuant to RCW 35A.12.190 and RW 35A.11.010, the City, by and through its legislative body, may contract and be contracted with and may purchase, lease, receive or otherwise acquire real and personal property. The City Council may delegate such authority to the Mayor, City Administrator, or other department heads. In 1996, the City Council passed provisions and procedures for approval and execution of City contracts and other documents including delegation for execution of minor contracts. In 2009, the City Council passed Ordinance No. O2009-006, further defining and delegating authority for executing contracts and other legal documents. The City has more than doubled in size since the contracting provisions were reviewed and revised, and the corresponding workload and number of contracts and legal documents has increased. The proposed amendments to the thresholds for contracting and delegation of authority attached as Exhibit A would allow for more timely and efficient government operations.

3) Policy Support:

Revising our contracting and delegation of authority thresholds will increase efficiency and help to refine and sustain a great organization.

4) Alternatives:

Direct staff to revise the proposed ordinance to address committee members' concerns.

5) <u>Fiscal Notes</u>:

N/A – this does not change the City's budget. It revises contracting and delegation of authority thresholds.

6) Attachments:

A - Ordinance No. O2025-006

ORDINANCE NO. O2025-006

AN ORDINANCE of the City Council of the City of Tumwater, Washington, amending Tumwater Municipal Code Section 2.14, Execution of Contracts and Other Legal Documents, relating to the execution of contracts and other legal documents as more particularly described herein.

WHEREAS, pursuant to RCW 35A.12.190 and RCW 35A.11.010, the City, by and through its legislative body, may contract and be contracted with and may purchase, lease, receive or otherwise acquire real and personal property; and

WHEREAS, the City Council may delegate such authority to the mayor, city administrator or other department heads; and

WHEREAS, in 1996, the City Council passed provisions and procedures for approval and execution of City contracts and other documents including delegation for execution of minor contracts; and

WHEREAS, in 2009, the City Council passed Ordinance No. O2009-006 further defining and delegating authority for executing contracts and other legal documents; and

WHEREAS, the City has more than doubled in size since the contracting provisions were reviewed and revised; and

WHEREAS, the corresponding work load and number of contracts and legal documents has increased; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the thresholds for contracting and the delegation of execution authority is in need of revision to allow for more efficient government operations;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TUMWATER, STATE OF WASHINGTON, DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

<u>Section 1</u>. Chapter 2.14, Execution of Contracts and Other Legal Documents, of the Tumwater Municipal Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

Chapter 2.14

EXECUTION OF CONTRACTS AND OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS

Sections:	
2.14.010	Purpose.
2.14.020	Council approval.
2.14.030	Mayor approval.
2.14.040	Execution of documents – Delegation authorized.
2.14.050	Minor purchases – Supplies.
2.14.060	Public works - Change orders authority or contract amendments
2.14.070	Rules and regulations for contracting.
2.14.080	Responsibility of city clerk.

2.14.010 Purpose.

The following provisions and procedures establish a uniform practice for the approval and execution of city of Tumwater contracts, ensure city council review of important and legally required contracts and other legal instruments, provide for efficient, streamlined preparation and execution of contracts not requiring council review, while also ensuring accountability by designating the individuals authorized to execute contracts on the city's behalf.

This chapter does not create any enforceable rights or causes of action in third parties.

(Ord. O2009-006, Amended, 06/02/2009; Ord. O96-043, Added, 12/03/1996)

2.14.020 Council approval.

The following documents and instruments shall be presented to the city council for approval prior to execution by the mayor or city administrator:

- A. Interlocal agreements. All contracts, agreements, memoranda of understanding, or other documents between the city of Tumwater and any other governmental agency that involves the payment or receipt of money, or the dedication of city resources, in excess of \$100,000, including agreements entered into under the Interlocal Cooperation Act chapter 39.34 RCW, or that involves a payment obligation the city is unable to meet under the existing budget.
- B. Grants. All grants, bequests, donations, or other gifts in excess of \$100,000. In determining the total grant, bequest, donation, or gift amount for application of this section, the cost of any matching funds and the cost of fulfilling all conditions placed on the city's acceptance must be included in the calculation and any matching funds required must be available within the existing budget.

Ordinance No. O2025-006 - Page 2 of 6

BC. Instruments conveying pertaining to real property. Any contract, agreement, lease, easement, bill of sale, or other instrument pertaining to the city's disposition, conveyance or acquisition of real property, except to the extent these documents pertain to the acquisition of real property for purposes of an approved public works project in which case they shall not require council approval.

Exceptions:

- 1. Acceptance by the city in its regulatory capacity of a dedication from a development is not subject to council approval.
- 2. Temporary construction licenses and rights of entry are not subject to council approval.
- 3. Instruments pertaining to the use, disposition, conveyance or acquisition of real property by whatever method, provided that such transaction is associated with a capital improvement project that has been previously approved by council, are not subject to council approval.
- <u>CD</u>. Any contract, agreement, or other document, not otherwise specifically <u>delegated within this chapter</u>, with a cost of more than \$100,000.

(Ord. O2009-006, Amended, 06/02/2009; Ord. O96-043, Added, 12/03/1996)

2.14.030 Mayor approval.

The mayor, or the mayor's designee, is authorized to approve and execute on behalf of the city of Tumwater any contract, agreement, document or instrument not otherwise subject to TMC 2.14.020.

(Ord. O2009-006, Amended, 06/02/2009; Ord. O96-043, Added, 12/03/1996)

2.14.040 Execution of documents - Delegation authorized.

A. ___The mayor is authorized, but not required, to delegate to a department director, or the director's designee, the authority to approve and execute on behalf of the city of Tumwater any contract, agreement, document or instrument which does not exceed \$50,000 with an amount equal to or less than the amount delegated to the Mayor and is not otherwise subject to TMC 2.14.020.

B. The mayor is additionally specifically authorized to approve and execute and may, but is not required to, delegate to the appropriate department directors the following categories of agreements:

Ordinance No. O2025-006 - Page 3 of 6

1. Contracts for financial services, including:

- a. expenses related to approved payroll costs, previously approved debt payments, and investment transactions made in accordance with city policy;
- b. all expenses, transfers, or journals related to banking, debt, and investments necessary to implement the city's financial program consistent with city policy and state law;
- c. city accounts, provided that prior to signing on behalf of a department, the finance director will make all efforts to coordinate with the department director to secure a signature from an approved delegate and the finance director may approve temporary delegation rights within the department for approval of a payment; and
- d. all audit related documents and costs associated with required audits provided funding is available within the existing budget.
- 2. Contracts for legal services, including attorneys and expert witnesses to assist with litigation or anticipated litigation, or help with areas in which additional or specialized attorney services are necessary for the protection of the city's legal interests provided funding is available within the existing budget.
- 3. Collective bargaining agreements, including all agreements, letters of understanding, memoranda, or other documents, or subsequent amendments to those agreements, with the city's bargaining units if the financial impact of the agreement or amendment is valued at \$100,000 or less and sufficient funds are available within the existing budget unless the terms of a collective bargaining agreement provide otherwise.

(Ord. O2009-006, Amended, 06/02/2009; Ord. O96-043, Added, 12/03/1996)

2.14.050 Minor purchases - Supplies.

A department director, or their designee, acting within the department budget, is authorized to approve and execute documents for purchases of routine office and operating supplies.

(Ord. O2009-006, Amended, 06/02/2009; Ord. O96-043, Added, 12/03/1996)

2.14.060 Public works - Change orders authority or contract amendments.

The director of public works or the In addition to, and without limiting, the authority granted elsewhere in this chapter, a department director of the project department is authorized to approve and sign any contract change orders or

Ordinance No. O2025-006 - Page 4 of 6

contract amendments, provided the total construction costs with the change order or contract amendment amount does not exceed the contract bidoriginal contract amount by more than ten percent and sufficient funds are available within the existing budget. Total construction costs are the construction costs to date plus the anticipated construction costs (including deducts from savings or additions from quantity increases) plus the proposed change order.

(Ord. O2009-006, Amended, 06/02/2009; Ord. O96-043, Added, 12/03/1996)

2.14.070 Rules and regulations for contracting.

The city administrator is authorized to establish written rules and regulations for the acquisition of public works and the procurement of goods, services, and materials by the city. Such rules and regulations shall be governed by sound management practices designed to secure the best quality at a fair price. The city administrator is authorized to revise the rules and regulations from time to time as circumstances change or as the city administrator may, in his or her discretion, deem appropriate.

The exercise of the powers, duties and procedures related to contracting by the city shall comply with the Revised Code of Washington, Washington Administrative Code and all other applicable local, state and federal laws and regulations.

(Ord. O2009-006, Amended, 06/02/2009; Ord. O96-043, Added, 12/03/1996)

2.14.080 Responsibility of city clerk.

It shall be the responsibility of the city clerk to retain signed originals of all contracts, agreements, documents, and instruments to which the city is a party. The failure to retain any such document shall not invalidate or excuse performance of any obligations contained therein.

(Ord. O2019-027, Amended, 09/17/2019; Ord. O2009-006, Added, 06/02/2009)

<u>Section 2.</u> Corrections. The City Clerk and codifiers of this ordinance are authorized to make necessary corrections to this ordinance including, but not limited to, the correction of scrivener/clerical errors, references, ordinance numbering, section/subsection numbers and any references thereto.

<u>Section 3</u>. <u>Ratification</u>. Any act consistent with the authority and prior to the effective date of this ordinance is hereby ratified and affirmed.

<u>Section 4.</u> <u>Severability.</u> The provisions of this ordinance are declared separate and severable. The invalidity of any clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section, or portion of this ordinance or the invalidity of the application

Ordinance No. O2025-006 - Page 5 of 6

thereof to any person or circumstance, shall not affect the validity of the remainder of the ordinance, or the validity of its application to other persons or circumstances.

Section 5. Effective Date. This days after passage, approval and public	s ordinance shall become effective thirty (30) eation as provided by law.
ADOPTED thisday of	, 2025.
	CITY OF TUMWATER
ATTEST:	Debbie Sullivan, Mayor
Melody Valiant, City Clerk	
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
Karen Kirkpatrick, City Attorney	
Published:	
Effective Date:	