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TUALATIN, OR 97062
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## CALL TO ORDER \& ROLL CALL

## ANNOUNCEMENTS \& COMMUNICATION

## COMMUNICATION FROM THE PUBLIC (NOT ON THE AGENDA)

## ACTION ITEMS

1. Consideration of an Architectural Review application (AR 22-0008) for 45 new attached townhome units in an existing multi-family development on a 16.7 acre site in the Medium High Density Residential (RMH) zone at 7800 SW Sagert Street and 20400 SW Martinazzi Avenue (Washington County Tax Lot: 2S125BA00100).
2. Consideration of an Architectural Review application (AR 22-0006) requesting approval of a 120,000 square foot office building development on a 58 acre campus in the Manufacturing Park (MP) zone at 11155 SW Leveton Drive. (Tax Lots: 2S122AA 00500, 00800 and 2S122AB 00100).

## COMMUNICATION FROM CITY STAFF

## ADJOURNMENT

CITY OF
TUALATIN
Planning Division

TO:<br>THROUGH:<br>Architectural Review Board<br>Steve Koper, AICP, Assistant Community Development Director<br>FROM:<br>DATE:<br>Keith Leonard, AICP, Associate Planner<br>November 30, 2022

## SUBJECT:

Consideration of an Architectural Review application (AR 22-0008) for 45 new attached townhome units in an existing multi-family development on a 16.7 acre site in the Medium High Density Residential (RMH) zone at 7800 SW Sagert Street and 20400 SW Martinazzi Avenue (Washington County Tax Lot:
2S125BA00100).

## RECOMMENDATION:

Based on the analysis and findings, as well as the application materials demonstrating compliance with the applicable review criteria, staff respectfully recommends approval of the subject Architectural Review application (AR 22-0008), subject to the recommended conditions of approval in the attached Analysis and Findings.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

- The subject proposal is a Type III land use case, subject to a quasi-judicial hearing before the Architectural Review Board.
- The subject site comprises 16.7 acres of land in the Medium High Density Residential zone, located south of SW Sagert Street, east of SW Martinazzi Avenue, north of SW Avery Street and west of I-5 exit to $\mathrm{I}-205$. The land is currently occupied by 211 multiple family units in 26 buildings and abuts the Methodist Church of Tualatin campus along the western property line of the subject property. There are currently 361 parking spaces for the existing development.
- The applicant proposes to demolish two existing buildings and add an additional 12 buildings that will consist of multi-story townhomes. The proposed development will increase the total number of dwelling units to 240 within 36 buildings. The new units will be two and three bedrooms. The applicant is proposing to add 8 carports with 132 spaces for an overall total of 442 off-street parking spaces to serve the entire apartment community. An existing basketball court and other paved play area will be removed for the proposed construction. An existing swimming pool will remain. There will be 5 outdoor play areas to serve all the residents.
- The applicant has also proposing removal of 49 trees and have submitted a Tree Removal Permit in conjunction with this Architectural Review.
- There are existing City utilities that will adequately serve the site.
- Public comments - No public comments were received as off the date this staff report was drafted.


## OUTCOMES OF DECISION:

Approval of AR 22-0008 will facilitate construction of the proposed development.

## ALTERNATIVES TO RECOMMENDATION:

The Architectural Review Board may alternatively:

- Approve AR 22-0008 with conditions of approval and direct staff to provide updated Analysis and Findings;
- Continue the hearing to a later date for further consideration; or
- Deny AR 22-0008.


## ATTACHMENTS:

- Attachment A - Presentation
- Attachment B-Analysis and Findings
- Exhibit A1 - Narrative
- Exhibit A2 - Plan Set and Elevations
- Exhibit A3 - Tree Assessment Report
- Exhibit A4 - Transportation Impact Analysis
- Exhibit A5 - Preliminary Stormwater Report
- Exhibit A6 - Supporting Documents
- Exhibit B - Public Noticing Requirements
- Exhibit C - Tualatin Valley Fire \& Rescue Conditions
- Exhibit D - Clean Water Services Memorandum
- Exhibit E - ODOT Response
- Exhibit F - Figure 73-1 Parking Space Design Standards
- Exhibit G - Figure 73-2 Vision Clearance Area
- Exhibit H - Map 8-1 Tualatin Functional Classification Plan
- Exhibit I - Map 8-4 Tualatin Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan
- Exhibit J - Map 8-5 Tualatin Transit Plan
- Attachment C - Final Order


## AR 22-0008

## Alden Apartments

7800 SW Sagert Street and 20400 SW Martinazzi Avenue


## Tonight's Presentation

## 1. Site Background <br> 2. Project Overview <br> 3. Applicable Criteria <br> 4. Conclusion

## Site Background
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## Project Overview



## Procedures (TDC 32.230)

## Type III Architectural Review:

- Application $1^{\text {st }}$ submitted - September 1, 2022
- Additional Information Submitted on September $27^{\text {th }}$, October $5^{\text {th }}$ and October $10^{\text {th }}$
- Deemed complete - September 29, 2022
- Notice of Hearing sent - November 9, 2022
- Public hearing - November 30, 2022
- Final decision required - January 27, 2023


## Architectural Review (TDC 33.020)

## Architectural Review for Large Multi-family

Developments: Approval criteria listed in Chapter 73A through 73G, including:

- Site Design Standards
- Landscaping Standards
- Parking Standards
- Waste \& Recyclable Management Standards

Conditions of Approval: may implement identified public facilities and services needed to serve the proposed development through Chapters 74 and 75.

## Tree Removal (TDC 33.110)

The application includes tree removal:

Approval Criteria

- The tree is diseased;
- The tree is a hazard;
- Necessary to remove tree to construct proposed improvements

ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD
Alden Apartments
November 30, 2022

## RMH Zone (TDC 42)

## The proposal complies with zoning:

- Permitted uses
- Setbacks
- Building height

| USE CATEGORY | STATUS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Household Living: <br> Multi-family structure | Permitted |  |
| STANDARD | REQUIREMENT | PROPOSED |
| Front <br> (Sagert/Matinazzi) <br> (Min.) | 35 ft | 61.2 ft |
| Side/Rear (Min.) | 12 ft | 20 ft |
| Between Buildings | 10 ft | 15 ft |
| (Min.) | 10 ft | 20 ft |
| Parking Buffer (Min) | 35 ft | 35 ft |
| Building Height (Max): |  |  |

## Site Design (TDC 73A)

## The proposal complies with

 requirements for:- Private Outdoor Areas
- Entry Areas
- Shared Outdoor Areas
- Children's Play Areas
- Storage
- Walkways/Accessways
- Lighting
- Safety \& Security
- Service, Delivery \& Screening



## Proposed Building Design (TDC 73A)
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## Building Design (TDC 73A)




## Building Design (TDC 73A)
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## 聅 <br> Building Design (TDC 73A)



Tualatin Methodist Church (west)
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## Landscaping Standards (TDC 73B)

## The application demonstrates the proposal complies with requirements for:

- Tree preservation
- Irrigation
- Revegetation of disturbed areas
- Minimum standards for plantings


AR 22-0008
Alden Apartments
ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD November 30, 2022

## Parking Standards (TDC 73C)

The application demonstrates the proposal complies with requirements for:

- Minimum parking requirements (361 required* \& 442 provided)
- Bike parking
(45 units, bicycle parking within each units garages)
- Parking / drive aisle standards
- Parking lot landscaping
- 8 carports are proposed for the existing parking lot



## Parking Standards (TDC 73C)

## With conditions, the proposal complies with TDC 73C.210(2):

- Clear vision zone must be maintained at ends of on-site drive aisles and at driveway entrances, vertically between a maximum of 30 inches and a minimum of eight feet as measured from the ground level.



## Waste and Recyclables (TDC 73D)

The application demonstrates the proposal complies with requirements for:

- Minimum Storage Area
- Per Republic Services, Waste and Recyclables placed at the end of each units driveway for pickup


## Public Improvements (TDC 74) and Access Management (TDC 75)

With conditions, the proposal complies with public improvement and access management standards.

- Right-of-Way and easement dedications required
- Street improvements have been conditioned
- Public utility standards met by condition (Water, Sanitary Sewer, Storm Sewer)
- Grading and erosion control standards will apply through construction
- No access location modifications are proposed to SW Martinazzi Avenue or SW Sagert Street.
- Modifications to streets will be required to match existing cross-section


## Conclusion

- The findings demonstrate that the proposal meets the applicable criteria of the Tualatin Development Code with the recommended Conditions of Approval.
- Therefore, staff respectfully recommends approval of the subject Architectural Review application (AR 22-0008), as conditioned.
- Questions?


# ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS <br> ALDEN APARTMENTS 

## ARB Hearing: November 30, 2022

| Case \#: | AR 22-0008 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | Alden Apartments |
| Location: | 7800 SW Sagert Street and 20400 SW Martinazzi Avenue, Tax Map/Lot: |
|  | 2S125BA00100 |
| Representative: | Heather Austin, AICP, 3j Consulting, Inc. |
| Owner: | CR Alden Communities, LLC |
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## I. INTRODUCTION

## A. Applicable Criteria

The following Chapters of the Tualatin Development Code (TDC) are applicable to the subject proposal:

- TDC 32: Procedures
- TDC 33.020: Architectural Review
- TDC 33.110: Tree Removal Permit/Review
- TDC 42: Medium High Density Residential (RH) Zone
- TDC 73A: Site Design Standards
- TDC 73B: Landscaping Standards
- TDC 73C: Parking Standards
- TDC 73D: Waste and Recyclables Management Standards
- TDC 74: Public Improvements
- TDC 75: Access


## B. Site Description

The subject site is a 16.7 acre property with the street addresses of 7800 SW Sagert Street and 20400 SW Martinazzi Avenue (Washington County Tax Lot: 2S125BA00100). As illustrated in Figure 1, the site is zoned Medium High Density Residential (RMH). Access is provided from SW Sagert Street and SW Martinazzi Avenue. SW Avery Street also abuts the site to the south but there is no ingress/egress access. The I-205 eastbound exit ramp from I-5 abuts the property to the east. Alden Apartments currently consists of 26 buildings with 211 dwelling units that are served by 372 off-street parking spaces. The land reaches a high point of 254 feet in elevation near the center of the property and has lower elevations around the perimeter of the property.


## Planning Districts



Figure 1: Aerial view of subject site (highlighted)

## C. Proposed Project

As described in the applicant's narrative and illustrated on their plan set (Exhibit A1 and A2), CR Alden Communities, LLC. proposes to demolish 2 existing buildings and construct 12 new buildings consisting 45 townhouses. There will be four 3 -unit buildings, seven 4 -unit buildings and one 5 -unit building. There are currently 211 dwelling units spread throughout 26 buildings. The proposed development would increase the total number of dwelling units to 240 within 36 buildings (Figure 2). Eight new carports will be constructed within the existing parking lots. A total of 442 parking spaces will be provided for all units within Alden Apartments. An existing basketball court and other paved play areas will be removed for the proposed construction. There will be 5 outdoor play areas to serve the entire development. The existing swimming pool will also remain.

Figure 2: Site Plan (overview)


In conjunction with this Architectural Review application, the applicant has submitted a Tree Removal Permit application. The Arborists' Tree Assessment Report (Exhibit A3) surveyed 88 trees and recommends the preservation of 37 on-site trees that are over 8 " in diameter. There will be a total of 49 trees removed with two other non-regulated trees proposed for removal.

## D. Previous Land Use Actions

- ANN69-01 Property Annexed into Tualatin
- AR78-03 Phase I Maricopa Hills
- AR78-24 Phase II Maricopa Hills


## E. Surrounding Uses

Adjacent land uses and zoning includes:
North: Office Commercial Zone (CO)

- Office
- SW Sagert Street

East: $\quad$ Medium High Density Residential Zone (RMH)

- I-205 On Ramp to I-5

South: Medium Low Density Residential Zone (RML)

- Multi-Family Residential
- SW Avery Street

West: $\quad$ Medium High Density Residential Zone (RMH) and Low Density Residential Zone (RL)

- Single Family Residential Property
- Duplex and Triplex Residential Development
- Tualatin United Methodist Church Campus
- Vacant
- SW Martinazzi Avenue


## F. Exhibit List

Exhibit A1 - Narrative
Exhibit A2 - Plan Set and Elevations
Exhibit A3 - Tree Assessment Report
Exhibit A4 - Transportation Impact Analysis
Exhibit A5 - Preliminary Stormwater Report
Exhibit A6 - Supporting Documents
Exhibit B - Public Noticing Requirements
Exhibit C - Tualatin Valley Fire \& Rescue Conditions
Exhibit D - Clean Water Services Memorandum
Exhibit E - ODOT Response
Exhibit F - Figure 73-1 Parking Space Design Standards
Exhibit G - Figure 73-2 Vision Clearance Area
Exhibit H - Map 8-1 Tualatin Functional Classification Plan
Exhibit I - Map 8-4 Tualatin Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan
Exhibit J - Map 8-5 Tualatin Transit Plan

## II. PLANNING FINDINGS

These findings reference the Tualatin Development Code (TDC), unless otherwise noted.

## Chapter 32: Procedures

Section 32.010 - Purpose and Applicability.
[...]
(2) Applicability of Review Procedures. All land use and development permit applications and decisions, will be made by using the procedures contained in this Chapter. The procedure "type" assigned to each application governs the decision-making process for that permit or application. There are five types of permit/application procedures as described in subsections (a) through (e) below. Table 32-1 lists the City's land use and development applications and corresponding review procedure(s).
[...]
(c) Type III Procedure (Quasi-Judicial Review - Public Hearing). Type III procedure is used when the standards and criteria require discretion, interpretation, or policy or legal judgment. Quasi-Judicial decisions involve discretion but implement established policy. Type III decisions are made by the Planning Commission or Architectural Review Board and require public notice and a public hearing, with an opportunity for appeal to the City Council.
[...]
(3) Determination of Review Type. Unless specified in Table 32-1, the City Manager will determine whether a permit or application is processed as Type I, II, III, IV-A or IV-B based on the descriptions above. Questions regarding the appropriate procedure will be resolved in favor of the review type providing the widest notice and opportunity to participate. An applicant may choose to elevate a Type I or II application to a higher numbered review type, provided the applicant pays the appropriate fee for the selected review type.

Table 32-1 - Applications Types and Review Procedures

| Application / Action | Type | Decision <br> Body* | Pre- <br> Appeal <br> Body* | Application <br> Conference <br> Required | Neighborhood <br> /Developer <br> Mtg Required | Applicable <br> Code <br> Chapter |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Architectural Review |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multifamily Housing <br> Projects 100 units and <br> above (or any number of <br> units abutting a single <br> family district) <br> $\bullet$ as requested by the CM <br> [...] | III | ARB | CC | Yes | Yes | TDC |
| * City Council (CC); Planning Commission (PC); Architectural Review Board (ARB); City Manager or designee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (CM); Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA). |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Finding:

Alden Apartments currently has 211 dwelling units. The proposal is requesting an increase of 29 units for a total of 240 units. Additionally, the subject property abuts RL and RML zoned properties. Therefore the proposed project will require a Type III Review according to Table 32-1. The application has been processed according to the applicable code for Type III procedures. This standard is met.

Section 32.030 - Time to Process Applications.
(1) Time Limit - 120-day Rule. The City must take final action on all Type II, Type III, and Type IV-A land use applications, as provided by ORS 227.178, including resolution of all local appeals, within 120 days after the application has been deemed complete under TDC 32.160, unless the applicant provides written request or consent to an extension in compliance with ORS 227.178. (Note: The 120-day rule does not apply to Type IV-B (Legislative Land Use) decisions.)
[...]

## Finding:

The application was deemed complete on September 29, 2022, while the hearing for AR 22-0008 is scheduled for November 30, 2022. Final action will take place by January 27, 2023 in compliance with ORS 227.178. This standard is met.

Section 32.110 - Pre-Application Conference.
(1) Purpose of Pre-Application Conferences. Pre-application conferences are intended to familiarize applicants with the requirements of the TDC; to provide applicants with an opportunity discuss proposed projects in detail with City staff; and to identify approval criteria, standards, and procedures prior to filing a land use application. The pre-application conference is intended to be a tool to assist applicants in navigating the land use process, but is not intended to be an exhaustive review that identifies or resolves all potential issues, and does not bind or preclude the City from enforcing any applicable regulations or from applying regulations in a manner differently than may have been indicated at the time of the pre-application conference.
(2) When Mandatory. Pre-application conferences are mandatory for all land use actions identified as requiring a pre-application conference in Table 32-1. An applicant may voluntarily request a preapplication conference for any land use action even if it is not required.
(3) Timing of Pre-Application Conference. A pre-application conference must be held with City staff before an applicant submits an application and before an applicant conducts a Neighborhood/Developer meeting.
(4) Application Requirements for Pre-Application Conference.
(a) Application Form. Pre-application conference requests must be made on forms provided by the City Manager.
(b) Submittal Requirements. Pre-application conference requests must include:
(i) A completed application form;
(ii) Payment of the application fee;
(iii) The information required, if any, for the specific pre-application conference sought; and (iv) Any additional information the applicant deems necessary to demonstrate the nature and scope of the proposal in sufficient detail to allow City staff to review and comment.
(5) Scheduling of Pre-Application Conference. Upon receipt of a complete application, the City Manager will schedule the pre-application conference. The City Manager will coordinate the involvement of city departments, as appropriate, in the pre-application conference. Pre-application conferences are not open to the general public.
(6) Validity Period for Mandatory Pre-Application Conferences; Follow-Up Conferences. A follow-up conference is required for those mandatory pre-application conferences that have previously been held when:
(a) An application relating to the proposed development that was the subject of the preapplication conference has not been submitted within six (6) months of the pre-application conference;
(b) The proposed use, layout, and/or design of the proposal have significantly changed; or
(c) The owner and/or developer of a project changes after the pre-application conference and prior to application submittal.

Finding:
The subject land use action is identified as requiring a pre-application conference in Table 32-1. The applicant participated in a pre-application meeting on March 9,2022 , within the six month deadline for application submittal after the applicant and representative of the property owner attended a preapplication meeting. These standards are met.

Section 32.120 - Neighborhood/Developer Meetings.
(1) Purpose. The purpose of this meeting is to provide a means for the applicant and surrounding property owners to meet to review a development proposal and identify issues regarding the proposal so they can be considered prior to the application submittal. The meeting is intended to allow the developer and neighbors to share information and concerns regarding the project. The applicant may consider whether to incorporate solutions to these issues prior to application submittal.
(2) When Mandatory. Neighborhood/developer meetings are mandatory for all land use actions identified in Table 32-1 as requiring a neighborhood/developer meeting. An applicant may voluntarily conduct a neighborhood/developer meeting even if it is not required and may conduct more than one neighborhood/developer meeting at their election.
(3) Timing. A neighborhood/developer meeting must be held after a pre-application meeting with City staff, but before submittal of an application.
(4) Time and Location. Required neighborhood/developer meetings must be held within the city limits of the City of Tualatin at the following times:
(a) If scheduled on a weekday, the meeting must begin no earlier than 6:00 p.m.
(b) If scheduled on a weekend, the meeting must begin between 10:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m.
(5) Notice Requirements.
(a) The applicant must provide notice of the meeting at least 14 calendar days and no more than

28 calendar days before the meeting. The notice must be by first class mail providing the date, time, and location of the meeting, as well as a brief description of the proposal and its location. The applicant must keep a copy of the notice to be submitted with their land use application.
(b) The applicant must mail notice of a neighborhood/developer meeting to the following persons:
(i) All property owners within 1,000 feet measured from the boundaries of the subject property;
(ii) All property owners within a platted residential subdivision that is located within 1,000 feet of the boundaries of the subject property. The notice area includes the entire subdivision and not just those lots within 1,000 feet. If the residential subdivision is one of two or more individually platted phases sharing a single subdivision name, the notice area need not include the additional phases; and
(iii) All designated representatives of recognized Citizen Involvement Organizations as established in TMC Chapter 11-9.
(c) The City will provide the applicant with labels for mailing for a fee.
(d) Failure of a property owner to receive notice does not invalidate the neighborhood/developer meeting proceedings.
(6) Neighborhood/Developer Sign Posting Requirements. The applicant must provide and post on the subject property, at least 14 calendar days before the meeting. The sign must conform to the design
and placement standards established by the City for signs notifying the public of land use actions in TDC 32.150.
(7) Neighborhood/Developer Meeting Requirements. The applicant must have a sign-in sheet for all attendees to provide their name, address, telephone number, and email address and keep a copy of the sign-in sheet to provide with their land use application. The applicant must prepare meeting notes identifying the persons attending, those commenting and the substance of the comments expressed, and the major points that were discussed. The applicant must keep a copy of the meeting notes for submittal with their land use application.

## Finding:

The applicant has provided evidence within Exhibit A6 that they held a Neighborhood/Developer meeting on August 10, 2022, a little over 5 months prior to application submittal. The applicant has provided documentation of sign posting and notification in compliance with this section, as well as a sign-in sheet and notes from the meeting. These standards are met.

Section 32.130 - Initiation of Applications.
(1) Type I, Type II, Type III, and Type IV-A Applications. Type I, Type II, Type III, and Type IV-A applications may be submitted by one or more of the following persons:
(a) The owner of the subject property;
(b) The contract purchaser of the subject property, when the application is accompanied by proof of the purchaser's status as such and by the seller's written consent;
(c) A lessee in possession of the property, when the application is accompanied by the owners' written consent; or
(d) The agent of any of the foregoing, when the application is duly authorized in writing by a person authorized to submit an application by paragraphs (a), (b) or (c) of this subsection, and accompanied by proof of the agent's authority.
[...]
Finding:
The application has been signed by Matthew Moiseve, a representative of Colrich California Construction, LLC., who is the owner of the subject property. Heather Austin of $3 j$ Consulting, Inc. has signed as the applicant representing the property owner. This standard is met.

Section 32.140 - Application Submittal.
(1) Submittal Requirements. Land use applications must be submitted on forms provided by the City. A land use application may not be accepted in partial submittals. All information supplied on the application form and accompanying the application must be complete and correct as to the applicable facts. Unless otherwise specified, all of the following must be submitted to initiate completeness review under TDC 32.160:
(a) A completed application form. The application form must contain, at a minimum, the following information:
(i) The names and addresses of the applicant(s), the owner(s) of the subject property, and any authorized representative(s) thereof;
(ii) The address or location of the subject property and its assessor's map and tax lot number;
(iii) The size of the subject property;
(iv) The comprehensive plan designation and zoning of the subject property;
(v) The type of application(s);
(vi) A brief description of the proposal; and
(vii) Signatures of the applicant(s), owner(s) of the subject property, and/or the duly authorized representative(s) thereof authorizing the filing of the application(s).
(b) A written statement addressing each applicable approval criterion and standard;
(c) Any additional information required under the TDC for the specific land use action sought;
(d) Payment of the applicable application fee(s) pursuant to the most recently adopted fee schedule;
(e) Recorded deed/land sales contract with legal description.
(f) A preliminary title report or other proof of ownership.
(g) For those applications requiring a neighborhood/developer meeting:
(i) The mailing list for the notice;
(ii) A copy of the notice;
(iii) An affidavit of the mailing and posting;
(iv) The original sign-in sheet of participants; and
(v) The meeting notes described in TDC 32.120(7).
(h) A statement as to whether any City-recognized Citizen Involvement Organizations (CIOs) whose boundaries include, or are adjacent to, the subject property were contacted in advance of filing the application and, if so, a summary of the contact. The summary must include the date when contact was made, the form of the contact and who it was with (e.g. phone conversation with neighborhood association chairperson, meeting with land use committee, presentation at neighborhood association meeting), and the result;
(i) Any additional information, as determined by the City Manager, that may be required by another provision, or for any other permit elsewhere, in the TDC, and any other information that may be required to adequately review and analyze the proposed development plan as to its conformance to the applicable criteria;
(2) Application Intake. Each application, when received, must be date-stamped with the date the application was received by the City, and designated with a receipt number and a notation of the staff person who received the application.
(3) Administrative Standards for Applications. The City Manager is authorized to establish administrative standards for application forms and submittals, including but not limited to plan details, information detail and specificity, number of copies, scale, and the form of submittal.

## Finding:

The applicant submitted the subject application on September 1, 2022. The applicant subsequently submitted additional information on September 27, 2022, October 5, 2022 and October 10, 2022. The application was deemed complete on September 29, 2022. The material submitted after the initial application submittal did not change the development plans that were submitted on September 1, 2022. The general land use submittal requirements were included with this application. These standards are met.

## Section 32.150 - Sign Posting.

(1) When Signs Posted. Signs in conformance with these standards must be posted as follows:
(a) Signs providing notice of an upcoming neighborhood/developer meeting must be posted prior to a required neighborhood/developer meeting in accordance with Section 32.120(6); and
(b) Signs providing notice of a pending land use application must be posted after land use application has been submitted for Type II, III and IV-A applications.
(2) Sign Design Requirements. The applicant must provide and post a sign(s) that conforms to the following standards:
(a) Waterproof sign materials;
(b) Sign face must be no less than eighteen (18) inches by twenty-four (24) inches (18" $\times 24^{\prime \prime}$ ); and
(c) Sign text must be at least two (2) inch font.
(3) On-site Placement. The applicant must place one sign on their property along each public street frontage of the subject property. (Example: If a property adjoins four public streets, the applicant must place a sign at each of those public street frontages for a total of four signs). The applicant cannot place the sign within public right of way.
(4) Removal. If a sign providing notice of a pending land use application disappears prior to the final decision date of the subject land use application, the applicant must replace the sign within fortyeight (48) hours of discovery of the disappearance or of receipt of notice from the City of its disappearance, whichever occurs first. The applicant must remove the sign no later than fourteen (14) days after:
(a) The meeting date, in the case of signs providing notice of an upcoming neighborhood/developer meeting; or
(b) The City makes a final decision on the subject land use application, in the case of signs providing notice of a pending land use application.

Finding:
The applicant provided certification within Exhibit A6 that signs were placed on site in accordance with this section. This standard is met.

Section 32.160 - Completeness Review.
(1) Duration. Except as otherwise provided under ORS 227.178, the City Manager must review an application for completeness within 30 days of its receipt.
(2) Considerations. Determination of completeness will be based upon receipt of the information required under TDC 32.140 and will not be based on opinions as to quality or accuracy. Applications that do not respond to relevant code requirements or standards can be deemed incomplete. A determination that an application is complete indicates only that the application is ready for review on its merits, not that the City will make a favorable decision on the application.
(3) Complete Applications. If an application is determined to be complete, review of the application will commence.
(4) Incomplete Applications. If an application is determined to be incomplete, the City Manager must provide written notice to the applicant identifying the specific information that is missing and allowing the applicant the opportunity to submit the missing information. An application which has been determined to be incomplete must be deemed complete for purposes of this section upon receipt of:
(a) All of the missing information;
(b) Some of the missing information and written notice from the applicant that no other information will be provided; or
(c) Written notice from the applicant that none of the missing information will be provided. (5) Vesting. If an application was complete at the time it was first submitted, or if the applicant submits additional required information within 180 days of the date the application was first submitted, approval or denial of the application must be based upon the standards and criteria that were in effect at the time the application was first submitted.
(6) Void Applications. An application is void if the application has been on file with the City for more than 180 days and the applicant has not provided the missing information or otherwise responded, as provided in subsection (4) of this section.

Finding:

The subject application was submitted on September 1, 2022. The application was deemed complete on September 29, 2022. These standards are met.

TDC 32.170. - Revised Applications.
Revisions or alterations of an application may be made following the determination that an application is complete, provided such revisions or alterations do not render the application incomplete and do address applicable requirements. When revisions or alterations are desired by the applicant or required by the City, the applicant must provide fully revised application materials and clearly identifying those application materials which are revised.

## Finding:

The applicant submitted the subject application on September 1, 2022. The applicant submitted additional information on September 27, 2022, October 5, 2022 and October 10, 2022. The application was deemed complete on September 29, 2022. The material submitted after the initial application submittal did not change the development plans or render the application incomplete and do address applicable requirements. This standard is met.

Section 32.230 - Type III Procedure (Quasi-Judicial Review - Public Hearing).
Type III decisions involve the use of discretion and judgment and are made by the Planning Commission or Architectural Review Board after a public hearing with an opportunity for appeal to the City Council. The decision body for each application type is specified in Table 32-1. A hearing under these procedures provides a forum to apply standards to a specific set of facts to determine whether the facts conform to the applicable criteria and the resulting determination will directly affect only a small number of identifiable persons.
(1) Submittal Requirements. Type III applications must include the submittal information required by TDC 32.140(1).
(2) Determination of Completeness. After receiving an application for filing, the City Manager will review the application will for completeness in accordance with TDC 32.160.
(3) Written Notice of Public Hearing - Type III. Once the application has been deemed complete, the City must mail by regular first class mail Notice of a Public Hearing to the following individuals and agencies no fewer than $\mathbf{2 0}$ days before the hearing.
(a) Recipients:
(i) The applicant and, the owners of the subject property;
(ii) All property owners within 1,000 feet measured from the boundaries of the subject property;
(iii) All property owners within a platted residential subdivision that is located within 1,000 feet of the boundaries of the subject property. The notice area includes the entire subdivision and not just those lots within 1,000 feet. If the residential subdivision is one of two or more individually platted phases sharing a single subdivision name, the notice area need not include the additional phases;
(iv) All recognized neighborhood associations within 1,000 feet from the boundaries of the subject property;
(v) All designated representatives of recognized Citizen Involvement Organizations as established in TMC Chapter 11-9;
(vi) Any person who submits a written request to receive a notice;
(vii) Any governmental agency that is entitled to notice under an intergovernmental agreement entered into with the City and any other affected agencies, including but not limited to: school districts; fire district; where the project either adjoins or directly affects a
state highway, the Oregon Department of Transportation; and where the project site would access a County road or otherwise be subject to review by the County, then the County; and Clean Water Services; Tri Met; and, ODOT Rail Division and the railroad company if a railroadhighway grade crossing provides or will provide the only access to the subject property. The failure of another agency to respond with written comments on a pending application does not invalidate an action or permit approval made by the City under this Code;
(viii) Utility companies (as applicable); and,
(ix) Members of the decision body identified in Table 32-1.
(b) The Notice of a Public Hearing, at a minimum, must contain all of the following information: (i) The names of the applicant(s), any representative(s) thereof, and the owner(s) of the subject property;
(ii) The street address if assigned, if no street address has been assigned then Township, Range, Section, Tax Lot or Tax Lot ID;
(iii) The type of application and a concise description of the nature of the land use action;
(iv) A list of the approval criteria by TDC section for the decision and other ordinances or regulations that apply to the application at issue;
(v) Brief summary of the local decision making process for the land use decision being made and a general explanation of the requirements for submission of testimony and the procedure for conduct of hearings;
(vi) The date, time and location of the hearing;
(vii) Disclosure statement indicating that if any person fails to address the relevant approval criteria with enough detail, he or she may not be able to appeal to the Land Use Board of Appeals on that issue, and that only comments on the relevant approval criteria are considered relevant evidence;
(viii) The name of a City representative to contact and the telephone number where additional information may be obtained; and
(ix) Statement that the application and all documents and evidence submitted to the City are in the public record and available for review, and that copies can be obtained at a reasonable cost from the City; and
(x) Statement that a copy of the staff report will be available for inspection at no cost at least seven days prior to the hearing and will be provided at reasonable cost.
(c) Failure of a person or agency to receive a notice, does not invalidate any proceeding in connection with the application, provided the City can demonstrate by affidavit that required notice was given.

## Finding:

After submittal and completeness review as required by this section, notice for the Type III hearing concerning AR 22-0008 was mailed by city staff on November 9, 2022 as Exhibit B, which contained the information required by this section. No public comments were received at the time the Analysis and Findings were drafted. Agency comments were received and are included in Exhibits C, D and E. These standards are met.
(4) Conduct of the Hearing - Type III.

The person chairing the hearing must follow the order of proceedings set forth below. These procedures are intended to provide all interested persons a reasonable opportunity to participate in the hearing process and to provide for a full and impartial hearing on the application before the body. Questions concerning the propriety or the conduct of a hearing will be addressed to the chair with a request for a ruling. Rulings from the chair must, to the extent possible, carry out the stated intention of these procedures. A ruling given by the chair on such question may be modified or
reversed by a majority of those members of the decision body present and eligible to vote on the application before the body. The procedures to be followed by the chair in the conduct of the hearing are as follows:
(a) At the commencement of the hearing, the person chairing the hearing must state to those in attendance all of the following information and instructions:
(i) The applicable substantive criteria;
(ii) That testimony, arguments and evidence must be directed toward the criteria described in paragraph (i) of this subsection or other criteria in the plan or land use regulation which the person believes to apply to the decision;
(iii) That failure to raise an issue accompanied by statements or evidence sufficient to afford the decision maker and the parties an opportunity to respond to the issue precludes appeal to the State Land Use Board of Appeals based on that issue;
(iv) At the conclusion of the initial evidentiary hearing, the decision body must deliberate and make a decision based on the facts and arguments in the public record; and
(v) Any participant may ask the decision body for an opportunity to present additional relevant evidence or testimony that is within the scope of the hearing; if the decision body grants the request, it will schedule a date to continue the hearing as provided in TDC 32.230(4)(e), or leave the record open for additional written evidence or testimony as provided TDC 32.230(4)(f).
(b) The public is entitled to an impartial decision body as free from potential conflicts of interest and pre-hearing ex parte (outside the hearing) contacts as reasonably possible. Where questions related to ex parte contact are concerned, members of the decision body must follow the guidance for disclosure of ex parte contacts contained in ORS 227.180. Where a real conflict of interest arises, that member or members of the decision body must not participate in the hearing, except where state law provides otherwise. Where the appearance of a conflict of interest is likely, that member or members of the decision body must individually disclose their relationship to the applicant in the public hearing and state whether they are capable of rendering a fair and impartial decision. If they are unable to render a fair and impartial decision, they must be excused from the proceedings.
(c) Presenting and receiving evidence.
(i) The decision body may set reasonable time limits for oral presentations and may limit or exclude cumulative, repetitious, irrelevant, or personally derogatory testimony or evidence;
(ii) No oral testimony will be accepted after the close of the public hearing. Written testimony may be received after the close of the public hearing only as provided by this section; and (iii) Members of the decision body may visit the property and the surrounding area, and may use information obtained during the site visit to support their decision, if the information relied upon is disclosed at the beginning of the hearing and an opportunity is provided to dispute the evidence.
(d) The decision body, in making its decision, must consider only facts and arguments in the public hearing record; except that it may take notice of facts not in the hearing record (e.g., local, state, or federal regulations; previous City decisions; case law; staff reports). Upon announcing its intention to take notice of such facts in its deliberations, it must allow persons who previously participated in the hearing to request the hearing record be reopened, as necessary, to present evidence concerning the newly presented facts.
(e) If the decision body decides to continue the hearing, the hearing must be continued to a date that is at least seven days after the date of the first evidentiary hearing (e.g., next regularly scheduled meeting). An opportunity must be provided at the continued hearing for persons to present and respond to new written evidence and oral testimony. If new written evidence is
submitted at the continued hearing, any person may request, before the conclusion of the hearing, that the record be left open for at least seven days, so that he or she can submit additional written evidence or arguments in response to the new written evidence. In the interest of time, after the close of the hearing, the decision body may limit additional testimony to arguments and not accept additional evidence.
(f) If the decision body leaves the record open for additional written testimony, the record must be left open for at least seven days after the hearing. Any participant may ask the decision body in writing for an opportunity to respond to new evidence (i.e., information not disclosed during the public hearing) submitted when the record was left open. If such a request is filed, the decision body must reopen the record, as follows:
(i) When the record is reopened to admit new evidence or arguments (testimony), any person may raise new issues that relate to that new evidence or testimony;
(ii) An extension of the hearing or record granted pursuant to this section is subject to the limitations of TDC 32.030, unless the applicant waives his or her right to a final decision being made within the required timeframe; and
(iii) If requested by the applicant, the decision body must grant the applicant at least seven days after the record is closed to all other persons to submit final written arguments, but not evidence, provided the applicant may expressly waive this right.

## Finding:

The Architectural Review Board will follow the hearing requirements set forth by this section. These standards will be met.
(5) Notice of Adoption of a Type III Decision.

Notice of Adoption must be provided to the property owner, applicant, and any person who provided testimony at the hearing or in writing. The Type III Notice of Adoption must contain all of the following information:
(a) A description of the applicant's proposal and the City's decision on the proposal, which may be a summary, provided it references the specifics of the proposal and conditions of approval in the public record;
(b) The address or other geographic description of the property proposed for development, including a map of the property in relation to the surrounding area;
(c) A statement that a copy of the decision and complete case file, including findings, conclusions, and conditions of approval, if any, is available for review and how copies can be obtained;
(d) The date the decision becomes final, unless a request for appeal is submitted; and
(e) The notice must include an explanation of rights to appeal the decision to the City Council in accordance with TDC 32.310 .
(6) Appeal of a Type III Decision. Appeal of an Architectural Review Board or Planning Commission Type III Decision to the City Council may be made in accordance with TDC 32.310.
(7) Effective Date of a Type III Decision.
(a) The written order is the final decision on the application.
(b) The mailing date is the date of the order certifying its approval by the decision body.
(c) A decision of the Architectural Review Board or Planning Commission is final unless:
(i) a written appeal is received at the City offices within 14 calendar days of the date notice of the final decision is mailed; or
(ii) The City Manager or a member of the City Council requests a review of the decision within 14 calendar days of the date notice of the final decision is mailed.

Finding:
A final decision and any appeal will follow the requirements of this section. These standards will be met.

## Chapter 33: Applications and Approval Criteria

[...]

## Section 33.020 Architectural Review

[...]
(5) Approval Criteria.
(c) Large Commercial, Industrial, and Multifamily Development. Applications for Large Commercial, Industrial, and Multifamily Development must comply with the applicable standards and objectives in TDC Chapter 73A through 73G.

## Finding:

The subject application, which is for multi-family development, and must comply with the standards and objectives in TDC 73A through 73G. These standards are met with findings and conditions for the subject application.

## (9) Permit Expiration.

Architectural Review decisions (including Minor Architectural Review decisions) expire two (2) years from the effective date unless the applicant has received a building, or grading permit submitted in conjunction with a building permit application, substantial construction has occurred pursuant to the building permit, and an inspection has been performed by a member of the Building Division.
(10) Extension of Permit Expiration.
(a) An Architectural Review approval may be extended if the applicant, or successor interest, submits a written request for an extension of time within two (2) years of the effective date.
[...]
(c) Upon receipt of a request for an extension of time, the City will process the extension request as follows:
(i) If the City Manager approved the Architectural Review, then the City Manager will decide the extension request under the Type II procedures in TDC 32.220.
(ii) If the Architectural Review Board (ARB) approved the Architectural Review, then the ARB will decide the extension request under the Type III quasi-judicial procedures in TDC 32.230. (d) The City must provide notice of the extension request to past recipients of the Architectural Review notice of decision and the applicant must post a sign pursuant to TDC 32.150.
(e) The City Manager or Architectural Review Board, as applicable, may grant the extension of time upon finding the following:
(i) The applicant submitted a written extension request prior to the expiration date;
(ii) There have been no significant changes in any conditions, ordinances, regulations or standards of the City or applicable agencies that affect the previously approved project so as to warrant its resubmittal for Architectural Review;
(iii) If the previously approved application included a special study, the applicant provided a status report includes a letter from a recognized professional that states that conditions have not changed after the original approval and that no new study is warranted; and (iv) If the site has been neglected so as to allow the site to become blighted, the deciding party must factor this into its decision.
(f) The City Manager or Architectural Review Board, as applicable, may grant or deny the extension request. The decision must be in writing and must be made within sixty (60) days of
receipt of the request for extension. If the decision is to grant the extension, the extension can be no more than a single one-year extension.
(g) Upon making the decision, the City must provide notice of the extension decision as provided in TDC 32.220 for Type II decisions made by the City Manager and TDC $\mathbf{3 2 . 2 3 0}$ for Type III decisions made by the Architectural Review Board.

## Finding:

The proposed application is approved subject to compliance with the above criteria. With recommended Condition of Approval A1, these standards are met.

## Section 33.110 Tree Removal Permit/Review

(1) Purpose. To regulate the removal of trees within the City limits other than trees within the public right-of-way which are subject to TDC Chapter 74.
(2) Applicability. No person may remove a tree on private property within the City limits, unless the City grants a tree removal permit, consistent with the provisions of this Section.
[...]
(3) Procedure Type. Tree Removal Permit applications are subject to Type II Review in accordance with TDC Chapter 32. Tree Removal Permit applications submitted with an Architectural Review, Subdivision, or Partition application will be processed in conjunction with the Architectural Review, Subdivision, or Partition decision.

Finding:
In conjunction with the Architectural Review, the applicant has submitted a Tree Removal Permit application. The criteria in TDC 33.110, addressed below, are the basis for approval or denial for tree removal as part of this Architectural Review. These standards are met.

## Section 33.110 Tree Removal Permit/Review Approval Criteria

(5) Approval Criteria.
(a) An applicant must satisfactorily demonstrate that at least one of the following criteria are met:
(i) The tree is diseased and:
(A) The disease threatens the structural integrity of the tree; or
(B) The disease permanently and severely diminishes the esthetic value of the tree; or
(C) The continued retention of the tree could result in other trees being infected with a
disease that threatens either their structural integrity or esthetic value.
(ii) The tree represents a hazard which may include but not be limited to:
(A) The tree is in danger of falling; or
(B) Substantial portions of the tree are in danger of falling.
(iii) It is necessary to remove the tree to construct proposed improvements based on Architectural Review approval, building permit, or approval of a Subdivision or Partition Review.
(b) If none of the conditions in TDC 33.110(5)(a) are met, the certified arborist must evaluate the condition of each tree.
(i) Evergreen Trees. An evergreen tree which meets any of the following criteria as determined by a certified arborist will not be required to be retained:
(A) Trunk Condition - extensive decay and hollow; or
(B) Crown Development - unbalanced and lacking a full crown;
(ii) Deciduous Trees. A deciduous tree which meets any of the following criteria as determined by a certified arborist will not be required to be retained:
(A) Trunk Condition - extensive decay and hollow;
(B) Crown Development - unbalanced and lacking a full crown; or
(C) Structure - Two or more dead limbs.

## Finding:

The applicant's Arborists' Tree Assessment Report surveyed a total of 88 trees within site development area (Exhibits A2 and A3). Two of the trees planned to be removed had a diameter less than 8 inches. $A$ total of 35 of 49 regulated tress would be removed in order to construct the project. The other 14 regulated trees planned for removal are all deciduous trees with poor crown development or poor structure including 13 invasive species and one 29 -inch diameter silver maple. The report recommends the preservation of 37 on-site tree that are over $8^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter. There will be a total of 49 trees removed. Of the on-site trees proposed for removal, the majority are to be removed to construct the proposed improvements in accordance with criterion 33.110(5)(a)(iii). There are also eight trees that are either dead or in poor condition, meeting the criterion of 33.110(5)(a)(i).

The Arborists' Tree Assessment Report lists the following tree protection specifications.

1. Preconstruction Conference. The project arborist shall be on site to discuss methods of tree removal and tree protection prior to any construction.
2. Protection Fencing. All trees to be retained shall be protected by 5 -foot-tall metal fencing secured to steel posts placed no further than 8 -feet apart and shall be installed as depicted on the tree preservation plan. Trees located farther than 30 -feet from construction activity do not require tree protection fencing.
3. Tree Protection Zone Maintenance. The protection fencing shall not be moved, removed, or entered by equipment except under direction of the project arborist. The contractor shall not store materials or equipment within the TPZ.
4. Erosion Control. Beneath the dripline of protected trees, erosion control fencing shall not be trenched in per manufacturer's specifications to avoid root impacts. Instead, alternative means of erosion control are required, such as wrapping the base of silt fencing around a straw wattle and staking the wattle into the ground or using compost socks or straw wattles staked into the ground in lieu of silt fencing.
5. Crown Pruning. The project arborist can help identify where crown pruning is necessary to provide construction clearance and remove dead and defective branches for safety once trees planned for removal have been removed and the site is staked and prepared for construction. Pruning shall be performed by a Qualified Tree Service and conducted in accordance with ANSI A300 pruning standards and ISA Best Management Practices for pruning.

With recommended Condition of Approval A10.a., which requires the applicant to provide a tree preservation plan that corresponds to the submitted Tree Assessment Report, and recommended Condition of Approval A11 related to tree protection, these standards are met.

## CHAPTER 42 - Medium High Density Residential (RMH) Zone

## [...]

Section 42.200. - Use Categories.
(1) Use Categories. Table 42-1 lists use categories Permitted Outright (P) or Conditionally Permitted (C) in the RMH zone. Use categories may also be designated as Limited (L) and subject to the limitations listed in Table 42-1 and restrictions identified in TDC 42.210. Limitations may restrict the specific type of use, location, size, or other characteristics of the use category. Use categories which are not listed are prohibited within the zone, except for uses which are found by the City Manager or
appointee to be of a similar character and to meet the purpose of this zone, as provided in TDC 31.070.
(2) Overlay Zones. Additional uses may be allowed in a particular overlay zone. See the overlay zone Chapters for additional uses.

Table 42-1
Use Categories in the RMH Zone

| USE CATEGORY | STATUS | LIMITATIONS AND CODE REFERENCES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RESIDENTIAL USE CATEGORIES |  |  |
| Household Living | P/C | Permitted housing types subject to TDC 43.220. |
| $[\ldots]$ |  |  |

[...]

## Use Category from TDC 39.200:

(1) Characteristics. Household Living is the residential occupancy of an owner-occupied or rented dwelling unit by a family or household. Dwelling units must be self-contained, with cooking, sleeping and bathroom facilities. Occupancy is long-term, 30 days or more, and non-transient.
[...]
Finding:
The proposal would construct 45 self-contained multi-family dwelling units for long-term rental. Refer to housing type discussion below. This standard is met.

## Section 42.220. - Housing Types.

Table 42-2 lists Housing Types permitted in the RMH zone. Housing types may be Permitted Outright (P), Conditionally Permitted (C), or Not Permitted ( N ) in the RMH zone.

Table 42-2
Housing Types in the RMH Zone

| HOUSING TYPE | STATUS | LIMITATIONS AND CODE REFERENCES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| [...] | P | See TDC definition in 31.060. |
| Multi-Family Structure | [...] |  |

## Definition from TDC 31.060:

Multi-Family Structure. A structure containing five or more dwelling units on one lot. The land underneath the structure is not divided into separate lots. Multi-Family Structure includes, but is not limited to structures commonly called apartments, condominiums, and garden apartments.

## Finding:

The applicant proposes to demolish 2 existing buildings and construct 12 new buildings consisting 45 townhouses. There will be four 3-unit buildings, seven 4-unit buildings and one 5-unit building. There are currently 211 dwelling units spread throughout 26 buildings. The proposed development would increase the total number of dwelling units to 240 within 36 buildings.

Section 42.300 - Development Standards.
Development standards in the RMH zone are listed in Table 42-3. Additional standards may apply to some uses and situations, see TDC 42.310.

Table 42-3
Development Standards in the RH Zone

|  |  | Requirement |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| MAXIMUM DENSITY |  | Maximum: $\mathbf{1 5}$ units per acre <br> Minimum: $\mathbf{1 1}$ units per acre |  |  |
| Household Living Uses | 14.4 dwelling units per acre |  |  |  |
| MINIMUM SETBACKS | $\mathbf{3 5}$ feet | 61.2 feet |  |  |
| Front (SW Sagert St. and SW Martinazzi <br> Ave.) | $\mathbf{1 2}$ feet | 20 feet |  |  |
| Side | $\mathbf{1 2}$ feet | 20 feet |  |  |
| Rear | $\mathbf{1 0}$ feet | 15 feet |  |  |
| Between Buildings | $\mathbf{1 0}$ feet | 20 feet |  |  |
| Parking and Circulation Areas | $\mathbf{3 5}$ feet |  |  |  |
| MAXIMUM STRUCTURE HEIGHT | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| All uses | 35 feet |  |  |  |
| MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE | $12 \%$ |  |  |  |
| All Other Permitted Uses |  |  |  |  |

Note: Calculations were based on data illustrated on the Applicant's site plan sheets in Exhibit A2.

## [...]

Finding:
Density, setbacks, parking and circulation areas, and building height are reflected in Exhibits A1 and A2.
The applicant is proposing 3-story attached townhomes. The site plan, Sheet C600 of Exhibit A2, illustrates a portion of the patio and fence of building "K2" being located within the setback, which is permitted. No portion of the "K2" building is located within the required setback. As shown in the table above, these standards are met.

## Section 42.310. - Projections into Required Yards.

The following architectural features may project into a required front or rear yard setback area not more than three feet, and into a required side yard not more than two feet: cornices, eaves, canopies, decks, sun-shades, gutters, chimneys, flues, belt courses, leaders, sills, pilasters, lintels, ornamental features, and other similar architectural features.

## Finding:

No projections into required setbacks are proposed. This provision has not been utilized.
Section 42.320. - Density Bonus or Setback Reduction for Developments Adjacent to Greenways and Natural Areas.

## [...]

Finding:
The proposal is not located adjacent to identified greenways or natural areas. This provision is not applicable.

## Chapter 73A: Site Design

TDC 73A.010. - Site and Building Design Standards Purpose and Objectives.
(1) Purpose. The purpose of the site and building design objectives and standards found in TDC 73A through TDC 73G is to promote functional, safe, innovative, and attractive sites and buildings that are compatible with the surrounding environment, including, but not limited to:
(a) The building form, articulation of walls, roof design, materials, and placement of elements such as windows, doors, and identification features; and
(b) The placement, design, and relationship of proposed site elements such as buildings, vehicular parking, circulation areas, bikeways and bike parking, accessways, walkways, buffer areas, and landscaping.
(2) Objectives. The objectives of site and building design standards in TDC 73A through TDC 73G are to:
(a) Enhance Tualatin through the creation of attractively designed development and streetscapes;
(b) Encourage originality, flexibility, and innovation in building design;
(c) Create opportunities for, or areas of, visual and aesthetic interest for occupants and visitors to the site;
(d) Provide a composition of building elements which responds to function, land form, identity and image, accessibility, orientation and climatic factors;
(e) Conserve, protect, and restore fish and wildlife habitat areas, and maintain or create visual and physical corridors to adjacent fish and wildlife habitat areas;
(f) Enhance energy efficiency through the use of landscape and architectural elements; and
(g) Minimize disruption of natural site features such as topography, trees, and water features.

## Finding:

The Architectural Review Board may review the both building and site development designs for compliance with TDC 73A.010 (1) and (2). Additional Conditions of Approval may result after the ARB reviews the project for compliance with these Objectives.

Section 73A. 200 - Multi-Family Design Standards.
The following standards are the minimum standards for all other residential development in all zones that does not meet the definition of single-family dwelling, duplex, townhouse, triplex, quadplex, or cottage cluster or is 5 or more dwelling units. These standards do not apply to development in the Central Design District and Mixed Use Commercial (MUC) zone, which have separate standards and may be less than the minimums provided below.
(1) Private Outdoor Areas. Multi-family uses must provide private outdoor area features as follows: (a) A separate outdoor area of not less than 80 square feet must be attached to each ground level dwelling unit; and
(b) The private outdoor area must be separated from common outdoor areas with walls, fences or shrubs.

## Finding:

Private outdoor areas are proposed for all proposed units, as shown in Exhibit A2. Each of the twobedroom townhome units have a ground-floor private open area of 157 square feet that includes the required 24 square foot entry area required by subsection (3), below. Each of the three-bedroom townhome units have a ground floor private open area of 103 square feet, including the required 24 square foot entry area required by subsection (3), below. With recommended Condition of Approval A10.b., these standards are met.
(2) Balconies, Terraces, and Loggias. Multi-family uses must provide balconies, terraces, and loggias features as follows:
(a) A separate outdoor area of not less than 48 square feet in the form of balconies, terraces, or loggias must be provided for each unit located above the ground level.

## Finding:

There will be a total of 45 new townhome units with ground level access and a second story balcony. The two-bedroom units will have 64 square feet and the three-bedroom units will have 75 square feet of second-story balcony area (Exhibits A1 and A2). With recommended Condition of Approval A10.c., these standards are met.
(3) Entry Areas. Multi-family uses must provide entry area features as follows:
(a) A private main entry area must be provided as a private extension of each dwelling unit;
(b) The entry area must be separated from on-site parking areas and public streets with landscaping, change of grade, low fences, or walls;
(c) The entry area must be a minimum of 24 square feet in area for each dwelling unit; and
(d) The entry area may be combined to serve more than one unit as determined by the City.

## Finding:

The applicant's narrative points to plan sheets A12 and A13 (see Exhibit A1 and A2) to illustrate the proposed floor plans for the two- and three-bedroom units. Although entry areas are illustrated on these drawings there are no specific dimensions provided. With recommended Condition of Approval A10.d., these standards will be met.
(4) Shared Outdoor Areas. Multi-family uses must provide shared outdoor area features as follows:
(a) Must provide year round shared outdoor areas for both active and passive recreation;
(b) The shared outdoor area must be a minimum of:
(i) Three hundred square feet per dwelling unit; or [...]
(c) Gazebos and other covered spaces are encouraged to satisfy this requirement;
(d) The shared outdoor area must be separated from all entryway and parking areas with a landscaped transition area measuring a minimum of ten feet wide;
(e) The shared outdoor area must have controlled access from off-site as well as from on-site parking and entrance areas with a minimum 4-foot high fence, wall, or landscaping; and
(f) The shared outdoor area standard does not apply to any development with less than 12 dwelling units.

## Finding:

The existing and proposed development will have a combined total of 240 total units, which requires
72,000 square feet of Shared Outdoor Area. As proposed, the project will provide a total of 83,776
square feet of Shared Outdoor Area (Exhibits A1 and A2). Design details of the Shared Outdoor Areas were not provided. With recommended Condition of Approval A10.e., these standards are met.
(5) Children's Play Areas. Multi-family uses must provide children's play area features as follows:
(a) The children's play area must be a minimum of 150 square feet per dwelling unit;
(b) The children's play area must provide a separation from all entryway and parking areas with a landscaped transition area measuring a minimum of ten feet wide;
(c) The children's play area must have controlled access to shared outdoor areas from off-site as well as from on-site parking and entrance areas with a minimum 4 -foot high fence, wall, or landscaping; and
(d) The children's play area must provide a usable floor surface (material such as lawn, decks, wood chips, sand and hard surface materials qualify); and
[...]

## Finding:

Once constructed there will be a total of 240 dwelling units, which requires 36,000 square feet of Children's Play Area. The applicants site plan (Exhibit A2) illustrates that a total of 36,000 square feet square feet of Children's Play Area will be provided in 5 separate locations spread throughout the site. An existing basketball court and another unidentified paved area is proposed for removal. An existing swimming pool would remain. The actual designs of the Children's Play Areas were not provided. Children's Play Areas are located interior to the site and are separated from vehicular circulation areas by building structures or by landscaped areas. With recommended Condition of Approval A10.f., these standards are met.
(6) Storage. Multi-family uses must provide storage features as follows:
(a) Enclosed storage areas are required for each unit.
(i) Garages do not satisfy the storage requirements. An enclosed storage area may be located within the garage of the individual unit. Enclosed storage areas may also be located within commonly accessible shared garage.
(b) Each storage area must be a minimum of six feet in height and have a minimum floor area of: (i) $\mathbf{2 4}$ square feet for studio and one bedroom units;
(ii) 36 square feet for two bedroom units; and
(iii) 48 square feet for greater than two bedroom units.

## Finding:

As described in Exhibit A1 and shown in Exhibit A2, storage areas for each of the proposed 45 units including 40 square feet for the 2 -bedroom units and 49 square feet for the 3 -bedroom units. Design details of the storage areas were not provided. With recommended Condition of Approval A10.g., these standards are met.
(7) Walkways. Multi-family uses must provide walkways as follows: [...]
(b) All other multi-family development must have walkways of a minimum of six feet in width;
(c) Walkways must be constructed of asphalt, concrete, pervious concrete, pavers, or grasscrete.

Gravel or bark chips are not acceptable; and
(d) The walkways must meet ADA standards applicable at time of construction or alteration.

Finding:

As shown in Exhibit A2, walkways are located throughout the site and are a minimum of 6-feet wide, constructed of concrete and ADA compliant. With recommended Condition of Approval A10.h., these standards are met.

## (8)Accessways.

(a) When Required. Accessways are required to be constructed when a multi-family development is adjacent to any of the following:
[...]
(iv) Collector or arterial streets where transit stops or bike lanes are provided or designated.
(b) Design Standard. Accessways must meet the following design standards:
(i) Accessways must be a minimum of eight feet in width;
(ii) Public accessways must be constructed in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code;
(iii) Private accessways must be constructed of asphalt, concrete, pavers or grasscrete. Gravel or bark chips are not acceptable;
(iv) Accessways must meet ADA standards applicable at time of construction or alteration;
(v) Accessways must be provided as a connection between the development's walkway and bikeway circulation system;
(vi) Accessways must not be gated to prevent pedestrian or bike access;
(vii) Outdoor Recreation Access Routes must be provided between the development's walkway and bikeway circulation system and parks, bikeways, and greenways where a bike or pedestrian path is designated; and
(viii) Must be constructed, owned and maintained by the property owner.
(c) Exceptions. The Accessway standard does not apply to the following:
[...]

## Finding:

There are existing bike lanes located along SW Martinazzi Avenue and SW Sagert Street frontages. SW Avery Street is classified as a Local Street, SW Sagert Street is a Minor Arterial and SW Martinazzi Avenue is a Minor Collector (Exhibit K). The SW Avery Street right-of-way east of the SW Martinazzi Avenue Intersection does not have an existing bike lane. Accessways are defined as "...non-vehicular, paved pathway designed for pedestrian and bicycle use and providing convenient linkages between a development and adjacent residential and commercial properties and areas intended for public use, which includes, but is not limited to, schools, parks, and adjacent collector and arterial streets where transit stops or bike lanes are provided or designated. An accessway is not a sidewalk." The narrative states that the existing development has established accessways that will continue to be utilized (Exhibit A1). All accessways must meet current TDC requirements including the design standards under TDC 73A.200.(8)(b) and ADA requirements. Comprehensive Plan Map 8.5 Tualatin Transit Plan and TriMet route maps illustrate the portion of SW Sagert Street that abuts the subject property being on the existing Fixed Route Bus Transit Service for Bus 76. SW Sagert Street along the frontage of the subject property is illustrated as a Potential Future Route Shuttle Service as Demand Grows. Bus 96 has a fixed route on SW Martinazzi Avenue. The applicant is not requesting an exceptions. With recommended Condition of Approval A10.i., these standards are met.
(9) Carports and Garages. Multi-family uses must provide Carports and Garage features as follows: (a) The form, materials, color, and construction must be compatible with the complex they serve.

Finding:

The applicant's overall site plan, Sheet C600 in Exhibit A2, illustrates 8 new carports located throughout the property. Sheet A11 of Exhibit A2 illustrates the proposed carport design. Colors for the proposed carports were noted as "to be determined". With Condition of Approval A10.j., these standards are met.
(10) Safety and Security. Multi-family units must provide safety and security features as follows:
(a) Private outdoor areas must be separated from shared outdoor areas and children's play areas with a minimum 4 -foot high fence, wall, or landscaping;
(b) An outdoor lighting system that does not produce direct glare on adjacent properties and without shining into residential units, public rights-of-way, or fish and wildlife habitat areas; and (c) Building identification must be provided consistent with the Oregon Fire Code.

## Finding:

Exhibits A1 (Narrative) and A2 (Plan Set), describe and illustrate a 4 foot high fence that will be utilized for the ground floors of each of the proposed townhouse units. A scaled elevation drawing illustrating the fence height was not provided. The applicant has provided an outdoor lighting plan that appears to meet lighting requirements within the development area. The applicant has also stated that the Oregon Fire Code will be met for building identification. With recommended Condition of Approval A14, these standards are met.
(11) Service, Delivery and Screening. Multi-family uses must provide service, delivery, and screening features as follows:
(a) Provisions for postal delivery must be made consistent with US Postal Service regulations conveniently located and efficiently designed for residents;
(b) Pedestrian access from unit entries to postal delivery areas, shared activity areas, and parking areas must be provided via accessways; and
(c) Above grade and on-grade electrical and mechanical equipment such as transformers, heat pumps and air conditioners must be screened with sight obscuring fences, walls or landscaping.

## Finding:

A1 (narrative) states that the location of postal delivery will be coordinated with the US Post Office. Additional information pertaining to the onsite postal delivery location was not provided. Details regarding electrical and mechanical screening will be needed to assess adequacy of screening. With recommended Condition of Approval A10.k., these standards will be met.

Chapter 73B: Landscaping Standards
Section 73B.020 - Landscape Area Standards Minimum Areas by Use and Zone.

| Zone | Minimum Area <br> Requirement* | Minimum Area Requirement <br> with dedication for a fish and <br> wildlife habitat* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (1) RL, RML, RMH, RH and RH/HR zones—Permitted Uses | None | None |
| [...] |  |  |

Finding:

While there is no minimum landscape requirement for the RMH zone, there are minimum landscaping requirements for multifamily housing developments that are addressed below. As stated on Sheet A1 in Exhibit A2, approximately 245,007 square feet (33\%) of landscaping is included within the entire site. This standard is not applicable.

Section 73B. 030 - Additional Minimum Landscaping Requirements for Multi-Family Residential Uses.
(1) General. In addition to requirements in TDC 73B.020, Multi-Family Residential Uses must comply with the following additional standards.
(a) All areas not occupied by buildings, parking spaces, driveways, drive aisles, pedestrian areas, or undisturbed natural areas must be landscaped.
(i) This standard does not apply to areas subject to the Hedges Creek Wetlands Mitigation Agreement.

## Finding:

Landscaping appears to be provided in all areas not otherwise occupied by buildings, vehicle areas, or pedestrian amenity areas. The site is not located adjacent to the Hedges Creek Wetland. With recommended Condition of Approval A15, this standard is met.

## Section 73B.080 - Minimum Landscaping Standards for All Zones.

The following are minimum standards for landscaping for all zones.

| (1) Required Landscape Areas | - Must be designed, constructed, installed, and maintained so that within three years the ground must be covered by living grass or other plant materials. <br> - The foliage crown of trees cannot be used to meet this requirement. <br> - A maximum of $10 \%$ of the landscaped area may be covered with un-vegetated areas of bark chips, rock or stone. <br> - Must be installed in accordance with the provisions of the American National Standards Institute ANSI A300 (Part 1) (Latest Edition). <br> - Must be controlled by pruning, trimming, or otherwise so that: <br> - It will not interfere with designated pedestrian or vehicular access; and <br> - It will not constitute a traffic hazard because of reduced visibility. |
| :---: | :---: |

## Finding:

The density of plantings as shown on the Landscape Plans (Exhibit A2) is sufficient to provide full coverage of landscaping within three years. These standards are met.

> | (2) Fences | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Landscape plans that include fences must integrate any fencing into the plan to guide } \\ \text { wild animals toward animal crossings under, over, or around transportation corridors. }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

## Finding:

There are no established wildlife crossings in the vicinity and no Metro riparian and upland wildlife areas mapped within the confines of the property. This standard is not applicable.

|  | - Trees and other plant materials to be retained must be identified on the landscape plan and grading plan. |
| :---: | :---: |
| (3) Tree | During construction: |
| Preservation | - Must provide above and below ground protection for existing trees and plant materials identified to remain; <br> Trees and plant materials identified for preservation must be protected by chain link or other sturdy fencing placed around the tree at the drip line; |


|  | If it is necessary to fence within the drip line, such fencing must be specified by a qualified arborist; <br> - Top soil storage and construction material storage must not be located within the drip line of trees designated to be preserved; <br> - Where site conditions make necessary a grading, building, paving, trenching, boring, digging, or other similar encroachment upon a preserved tree's dripline area, such grading, paving, trenching, boring, digging, or similar encroachment must only be permitted under the direction of a qualified arborist. Such direction must assure that the health needs of trees within the preserved area can be met; and <br> - Tree root ends must not remain exposed. <br> - Landscaping under preserved trees must be compatible with the retention and health of the preserved tree. <br> - When it is necessary for a preserved tree to be removed in accordance with TDC 33.110 (Tree Removal Permit) the landscaped area surrounding the tree or trees must be maintained and replanted with trees that relate to the present landscape plan, or if there is no landscape plan, then trees that are complementary with existing, landscape materials. Native trees are encouraged <br> - $100 \%$ of the area preserved under any tree or group of trees (Except for impervious surface areas) retained in the landscape plan must apply directly to the percentage of landscaping required for a development |
| :---: | :---: |

## Finding:

The Arborist Report (Exhibit A3) surveyed a total of 88 trees on-site development site area. Two the trees planned to be removed had a diameter less than 8 inches. A total of 35 of 49 regulated trees would be removed in order to construct the project. The other 14 regulated trees planned for removal are all deciduous trees with poor crown development or poor structure including 13 invasive species and one 29-inch diameter silver maple. The report recommends the preservation of 37 on-site tree that are over $8^{\prime \prime}$ diameter. There will be a total of 51 trees removed. Of the on-site trees proposed for removal, the majority are to be removed in order to construct the proposed improvements in accordance with criterion 33.110(5)(a)(iii). There are also eight trees that are either dead or in poor condition, meeting the criterion of $33.110(5)(a)(i)$. The Arborist Report also provided recommendation pertaining protections for trees during construction. Sheet C110, C200 and C300 of Exhibit A2 illustrated tree protection fencing will be utilized. With recommended Conditions of Approval A10.I. and A11, these standards are met.

|  | - $\quad$After completion of site grading, top-soil is to be restored to exposed cut and <br> fill areas to provide a suitable base for seeding and planting. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (4) Grading | -All planting areas must be graded to provide positive drainage. <br> - <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> - Soil, water, plant materials, mulch, or other materials must not be allowed to <br> wash across roadways or walkways. |
| Impervious surface drainage must be directed away from pedestrian <br> walkways, dwelling units, buildings, outdoor private and shared areas and <br> landscape areas except where the landscape area is a water quality facility. |  |

Finding:
The applicant is required to obtain an erosion control and grading permit with the City. With recommended Condition of Approval A2, this standard is met.
(5) Irrigation

- Landscaped areas must be irrigated with an automatic underground or drip irrigation system

|  | $\bullet$Exceptions: Irrigation requirement does not apply to duplexes and <br> townhouses. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Finding:

According to the applicant's narrative (Exhibit A1) all landscaped areas will be irrigated. Details of the irrigation system were not provided. With Condition of Approval A10.m., this standards will be met.

|  | $\bullet$ | Vegetation must be replanted in all areas where vegetation has been <br> removed or damaged in areas not affected by the landscaping requirements <br> and that are not to be occupied by structures or other improvements,. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (6) Re-vegetation in |  |  |
| Un-landscaped | $\bullet \quad$Plant materials must be watered at intervals sufficient to ensure survival and <br> Areas | growth for a minimum of two growing seasons. |
|  | The use of native plant materials is encouraged to reduce irrigation and <br> maintenance demands. |  |
| - Disturbed soils should be amended to an original or higher level of porosity to |  |  |
| regain infiltration and stormwater storage capacity. |  |  |

## Finding:

The applicant proposes to landscape all areas not otherwise proposed for development. Drought tolerant plants, as well as some natives, have been selected to reduce irrigation and maintenance needs. With recommended Condition of Approval A16, this standard is met.

## Section 73B. 080 - Minimum Standards Trees and Plants.

The following minimum standards apply to the types of landscaping required to be installed for all zones.

| (1) Deciduous Shade Trees | - One and on-half inch caliper measured six inches above ground; <br> - Balled and burlapped; bare root trees will be acceptable to plant during their dormant season; <br> - Reach a mature height of $\mathbf{3 0}$ feet or more; <br> - Cast moderate to dense shade in summer; <br> - Live over 60 years; <br> - Do well in urban environments, tolerant of pollution and heat, and resistant to drought; <br> - Require little maintenance and mechanically strong; <br> - Insect- and disease-resistant; <br> - Require little pruning; and <br> - Barren of fruit production. |
| :---: | :---: |
| (2) Deciduous Ornamental Trees | - One and on-half inch caliper measured six inches above ground; <br> - balled and burlapped; bare root trees will be acceptable to plant during their dormant season; and <br> - Healthy, disease-free, damage-free, well-branched stock, characteristic of the species |
| (3) Coniferous Trees | - 5 feet in height above ground; <br> - balled and burlapped; bare root trees will be acceptable to plant during their dormant season; and <br> - Healthy, disease-free, damage-free, well-branched stock, characteristic of the species. |


| (4) Evergreen and Deciduous Shrubs | - One to five gallon size; <br> - Healthy, disease-free, damage-free, well-branched stock, characteristic of the species; and <br> - Side of shrub with best foliage must be oriented to public view. |
| :---: | :---: |
| (5) Groundcovers | - Fully rooted; <br> - Well branched or leafed; <br> - Healthy, disease-free, damage-free, well-branched stock, characteristic of the species; and <br> - English ivy (Hedera helix) is prohibited. |
| (6) Lawns | - Consist of grasses, including sod, or seeds of acceptable mix within the local landscape industry; <br> - 100 percent coverage and weed free; and <br> - Healthy, disease-free, damage-free, characteristic of the species. |

## Finding:

Per the Plant Schedule provided on the Landscape Plan included in Exhibit A2, the standards for groundcover, shrubs, and trees to be planted are met.

## Chapter 73C: Parking Standards

TDC 73C.010. - Off-Street Parking and Loading Applicability and General Requirements. [...]
(2) General Requirements. Off-street parking spaces, off-street vanpool and carpool parking spaces, off-street bicycle parking, and off-street loading berths must be as provided as set forth in TDC 73C.100, unless greater requirements are otherwise established by the conditional use permit or the Architectural Review process.
(a) The following apply to property and/or use with respect to the provisions of TDC 73C.100:
(i) The requirements apply to both the existing structure and use, and enlarging a structure or use;
(ii) The floor area is measured by gross floor area of the building primary to the function of the particular use of the property other than space devoted to off-street parking or loading;
[...]
(iv) Calculations to determine the number of required parking spaces and loading berths must be rounded to the nearest whole number;
$(v)$ If the use of a property changes, thereby increasing off-street parking or loading requirements, the increased parking/loading area must be provided prior to commencement of the new use;
[...]
(viii) Off-street parking spaces for dwellings must be located on the same lot with the dwelling. Other required parking spaces may be located on a separate parcel, provided the parcel is not greater than five hundred (500) feet from the entrance to the building to be served, measured along the shortest pedestrian route to the building. The applicant must prove that the parking located on another parcel is functionally located and that there is safe vehicular and pedestrian access to and from the site. The parcel upon which parking facilities are located must be in the same ownership as the structure;
(ix) Required parking spaces must be available for the parking of operable passenger automobiles of residents, customers, patrons and employees and must not be used for storage of vehicles or materials or for the parking of trucks used in conducting the business;
(x) Institution of on-street parking, where none is previously provided, must not be done solely for the purpose of relieving crowded parking lots in commercial or industrial zones; and [...]

## Finding:

The parking requirements were reviewed under TDC 73C.100, which found a minimum of 361 spaces with the applicant proposing 442 spaces. All parking spaces are located within the subject property. These standards are met.

Section 73C. 020 - Parking Lot Design Standards.
A parking lot, whether an accessory or principal use, intended for the parking of automobiles or trucks, must comply with the following:
(1) Off-street parking lot design must comply with the dimensional standards set forth in Figure 73-1; [...]
(2) Parking lot drive aisles must be constructed of asphalt, concrete, or pervious concrete;
(3) Parking stalls must be constructed of asphalt, concrete, previous concrete, or a pervious surface such as pavers or grasscrete, but not gravel or woody material. Pervious surfaces, are encouraged for parking stalls in or abutting the Natural Resource Protection Overlay District, Other Natural Areas, or in a Clean Water Services Vegetated Corridor;
(4) Parking lots must be maintained adequately for all-weather use and drained to avoid water flow across sidewalks;
(5) Parking bumpers or wheel stops or curbing must be provided to prevent cars from encroaching on adjacent landscaped areas, or adjacent pedestrian walkways.
(6) Disability parking spaces and accessibility must meet ADA standards applicable at time of construction or alteration;
(7) Parking stalls for sub-compact vehicles must not exceed 35 percent of the total parking stalls required by TDC 73C.100. Stalls in excess of the number required by TDC 73C. 100 can be sub-compact stalls;
(8) Groups of more than 4 parking spaces must be so located and served by driveways that their use will require no backing movements or other maneuvering within a street right-of-way other than an alley;
(9) Drives to off-street parking areas must be designed and constructed to facilitate the flow of traffic, provide maximum safety of traffic access and egress, and maximum safety of pedestrians and vehicular traffic on the site;
(10) On-site drive aisles without parking spaces, which provide access to parking areas with regular spaces or with a mix of regular and sub-compact spaces, must have a minimum width of 22 feet for two-way traffic and 12 feet for one-way traffic; When 90 degree stalls are located on both sides of a drive aisle, a minimum of 24 feet of aisle is required. On-site drive aisles without parking spaces, which provide access to parking areas with only sub-compact spaces, must have a minimum width of $\mathbf{2 0}$ feet for two-way traffic and $\mathbf{1 2}$ feet for one-way traffic;

## Finding:

Dimensional and design information pertaining to parking stalls and parking lot driving aisle width were not provided. There are 8 new carports being proposed with a total of 132 stalls. Exhibit A1 (narrative) states that each of the units will have two parking spaces within an attached garage. With Condition of Approval A17, these standards are met.
(11) Artificial lighting, must be deflected to not shine or create glare in a residential zones, street right-of-way, a Natural Resource Protection Overlay District, Other Natural Areas, or a Clean Water Services Vegetated Corridor;
(12) Parking lot landscaping must be provided pursuant to the requirements of TDC 73C.200; and
(13) Except for parking to serve residential uses, parking areas adjacent to or within residential zones or adjacent to residential uses must be designed to minimize disturbance of residents.

## Finding:

The applicant provided a lighting diagram for just the proposed development site, sheet EO1 and EO2 of Exhibit A2 lists the proposed light fixtures. The applicant indicated on Sheet EO2 that the lighting requirements of TDC 63.055 will be met, however this section is applicable to Industrial zoned property. TDC $73 A .200$ (10) (b) requires lighting systems that do not produce direct glare on adjacent properties and without shining into residential units, public rights-of-way, or fish and wildlife habitat areas. Compliance with TDC 73C. 210 is discussed in detail below. The applicant is not proposing on-street parking related to the proposed development. With Condition of Approval A10.n., these standards will be met.

Section 73C. 050 - Bicycle Parking Requirements and Standards.
(1) Requirements. Bicycle parking facilities must include:
(a) Long-term parking that consists of covered, secure stationary racks, lockable enclosures, or rooms in which the bicycle is stored;
(i) Long-term bicycle parking facilities may be provided inside a building in suitable secure and accessible locations.
(b) Short-term parking provided by secure stationary racks (covered or not covered), which accommodate a bicyclist's lock securing the frame and both wheels.
(2) Standards. Bicycle parking must comply with the following:
(a) Each bicycle parking space must be at least six feet long and two feet wide, with overhead clearance in covered areas must be at least seven feet;
(b) A five (5) foot-wide bicycle maneuvering area must be provided beside or between each row of bicycle parking. It must be constructed of concrete, asphalt, or a pervious hard surface such as pavers or grasscrete, and be maintained;
(c) Access to bicycle parking must be provided by an area at least three feet in width. It must be constructed of concrete, asphalt, or a pervious hard surface such as pavers or grasscrete, and be maintained;
(d) Bicycle parking areas and facilities must be identified with appropriate signing as specified in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) (latest edition). At a minimum, bicycle parking signs must be located at the main entrance and at the location of the bicycle parking facilities;
(e) Bicycle parking must be located in convenient, secure, and well-lighted locations approved through the Architectural Review process. Lighting, which may be provided, must be deflected to not shine or create glare into street rights-of-way or fish and wildlife habitat areas;
(f) Required bicycle parking spaces must be provided at no cost to the bicyclist, or with only a nominal charge for key deposits, etc. This does not preclude the operation of private for-profit bicycle parking businesses;
[...]
(h) The City Manager or the Architectural Review Board may approve a form of bicycle parking not specified in these provisions but that meets the needs of long-term and/or short-term parking pursuant to Architectural Review.

Finding:

As described in the Narrative (Exhibit A1), the applicant proposes to provide bicycle parking within attached garages for each proposed unit. Per TDC 73.100 there are no separate bicycle facilities required for the proposed townhouses. Because bicycle parking will be provided within each unit's garage, these standards do not apply.

Section 73C. 100 - Off-Street Parking Minimum/Maximum Requirements.

| USE | MINIMUM <br> MOTOR VEHICLE <br> PARKING | MAXIMUM <br> MOTOR VEHICLE <br> PARKING | BICYCLE PARKING | PERCENTAGE OF <br> BICYCLE <br> PARKING TO BE <br> COVERED |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (a) Residential Uses |  |  |  |  |
| (viii) Multi-family <br> dwellings in complexes <br> with private internal <br> driveways | 1.0 space/studio, <br> 1.25 space/1 <br> bedroom, <br> 1.50 space/2 <br> bedroom, <br> 1.75 space/3: <br> bedroom | none | Developments <br> with five or more <br> units; none <br> required if a <br> garage is provided <br> as an integral <br> element of a unit; <br> otherwise 1.00 <br> space per unit | 100 |

## Finding:

The applicant is proposing 45 new townhouse units that will contain two motor vehicle parking spaces within each units attached garage. The applicant's narrative (Exhibit A1) states for the entire Alden Apartment property there will be a total of 65 three-bedroom units requiring a total of 114 off-street parking spaces. There will be 111 two-bedroom units requiring a total of 167 off-street parking spaces. There are 64 one-bedroom units requiring a total of 96 off-street parking spaces. Based on the total number of bedrooms in each unit, a total of 361 off-street parking spaces are required and the applicant is proposing 442 parking spaces. Off-street parking space dimensional information was not provided. With Condition of Approval A10.0, these standards will be met.

Table 1: Minimum and Proposed Parking by Use

| Use | Total Units | Vehicle Parking <br> Min. | Proposed | Bike Parking Min.* | Proposed** |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Multi-family | 240 | 361 | $\mathbf{4 4 2}$ | 195 | 45 |
| * Required for existing units that will remain after construction of new units. |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** Applicant has indicated each of the 45 proposed units will have two parking spaces within each attached |  |  |  |  |  |
| garage. |  |  |  |  |  |

The applicant is proposing 45 new townhome units that will contain two motor vehicle parking spaces within each units attached garage. The application material states there are 65 three-bedroom units requiring a total of 114 off-street parking spaces. There are 111 two-bedroom units requiring a total of 167 off-street parking spaces. There are 64 one-bedroom units requiring a total of 96 off-street parking spaces. A total of 361 off-street parking spaces are required and the applicant is proposing 442 off-street parking spaces. The applicant has proposed to locate bicycle parking in the garages of the new units. It's unclear if the existing units are provided with at least one bicycle parking space. With recommended Condition of Approval A18 and A10.p., which will require additional bike parking details, these standards are met.
(2) In addition to the general parking requirements in subsection (1), the following are the minimum number of off-street vanpool and carpool parking for commercial, institutional, and industrial uses.
[...]
Finding:
The proposal is for a residential use development. This standard does not apply.

## Section 73C.120 - Off-Street Loading Facilities Minimum Requirements.

(1) The minimum number of off-street loading berths for commercial, industrial, and institutional uses is as follows:
[...]
Finding:
The proposal is for a residential use development. This standard does not apply.
Section 73C. 130 - Parking Lot Driveway and Walkway Minimum Requirements. Parking lot driveways and walkways must comply with the following requirements:
(1) Residential Use. Minimum requirements for residential uses:
[...]
(c) Ingress and egress for multi-family residential uses must not be less than the following:

| Dwelling Units Minimum Number <br> Required Minimum Width Walkways, Etc. <br> $50-499$ 1 <br> or <br> 2 32 feet 6-foot walkway, 1 side only; <br> curbs required |
| :--- |
| [..] |

## Finding:

The parking lot driveways, one on SW Sagert Street and a second on SW Martinazzi Avenue, are existing and are not part of the current application. The driveways have existing abutting walkways that appears to be approximately 5 feet in width. Additional findings are provided in Chapter 75. With Condition of Approval A10.q., these standards will be met.
(6) Maximum Driveway Widths and Other Requirements. [...]
(d) There must be a minimum distance of 40 feet between any two adjacent driveways on a single property unless a lesser distance is approved by the City Manager.
(e) Must comply with the distance requirements for access as provided in TDC 75.
(f) Must comply with vision clearance requirements in TDC 75.

Finding:
The driveways are existing and no modifications are being proposed. These standards are not applicable.
Section 73C.210. - Multi-Family Parking Lot Landscaping Requirements. Multi-family residential uses (as defined in TDC 31.060) must comply with the following landscaping requirements for parking lots in all zones:
(1) General. Locate landscaping or approved substitute materials in all areas not necessary for vehicular parking and maneuvering.

## Finding:

The applicant is not proposing an expansion or alteration of the existing parking lot landscaping. This standard is met.
(2) Clear Zone. Clear zone must be provided for the driver at ends of on-site drive aisles and at driveway entrances, vertically between a maximum of 30 inches and a minimum of eight feet as measured from the ground level.
[...]

## Finding:

The landscaping in the area of the driveways is existing and no changes are proposed. Clear vision triangles were not placed landscaping plans (Sheets L101 and L102 of Exhibit A2). With recommended Condition of Approval A10.r. and A19 related to maintenance, this standard is met.
(3) Setback. Minimum 10-foot landscape setback must be provided between the property lines and parking areas and must comply with the following:
(a) Must be planted with deciduous trees an average of not more than 30 feet on center and shrubs at least 30 inches in height which provide screening of vehicular headlights; and (b) Native trees and shrubs are encouraged.

## Finding:

The applicant is not proposing an expansion or alteration of the existing parking lot or parking lot landscaping. This standard is met.
(4) Perimeter. Minimum five feet in width in all off-street parking and vehicular circulation areas, including loading areas and must comply with the following:
(a) Deciduous trees located not more than 30 feet apart on average as measured on center;
(b) Shrubs or ground cover, planted so as to achieve 90 percent coverage within three years;
(c) Plantings which reach a mature height of 30 inches in three years which provide screening of vehicular headlights year round;
(d) Native trees and shrubs are encouraged; and
(e) Exceptions: [...]

## Finding:

The applicant is not proposing an expansion or alteration of the existing parking lot or parking lot landscaping. This standard is met.
(5) Transition. Minimum 10-foot landscaped transition area between parking and vehicle circulation areas and buildings and shared outdoor areas and must comply with the following:
(a) Deciduous shade trees located at not less than 30 feet on center must be located in this transition area;
(b) Groundcover plants mixed with low shrubs must completely cover the remainder of this area within three years;
(c) Native trees and shrubs are encouraged; and
(d) Exceptions: [...]

Finding:

The applicant is not proposing an expansion or alteration of the existing parking lot or parking lot landscaping. This standard is met.
(6) Landscape Island. Minimum 25 square feet per parking stall must be improved with landscape island areas and must comply with the following:
(a) May be lower than the surrounding parking surface to allow them to receive stormwater run-off and function as water quality facilities as well as parking lot landscaping;
(b) Must be protected from vehicles by curbs, but the curbs may have spaces to allow drainage into the islands;
(c) Landscape separation required for every eight continuous spaces in a row;
(d) Must be planted with one deciduous shade trees for every four parking spaces. Required trees must be evenly dispersed throughout the parking lot;
(e) Must be planted with groundcover or shrubs;
(f) Native plant materials are encouraged;
(g) Landscape island areas with trees must be a minimum of five feet in width (from inside of curb to curb);
(h) Required plant material in landscape islands must achieve 90 percent coverage within three years; and
(i) Exceptions: [...]

## Finding:

The applicant is not proposing an expansion or alteration of the existing parking lot or parking lot landscaping. This standard is met.

## Chapter 73D: Waste and Recyclables Management Standards

Section 73D.010 - Applicability and Objectives.
(1) Applicability. The requirements of this Chapter apply to all new or expanded:
(a) Common wall residential developments containing five or more units; [...]

Section 73D. 020 - Design Methods.
An applicant required to provide mixed solid waste and source separated recyclables storage areas must comply with one of following methods:
(1) The minimum standards method in TDC 73D.030;
(2) The waste assessment method in TDC 73D.040;
(3) The comprehensive recycling plan method in TDC 73D.050; or
(4) The franchised hauler review method in TDC 73D.060.

## Finding:

The applicant's narrative proposes to use the Minimum Standards Method (TDC 73D.030), which is most appropriate when a use is not known. The use of the project is known and will follow a waste and recycling program that is similar to single-family residential pickup. The process as described in the Republic Services service provider letter, Exhibit A6, states each unit will have separate waste and recycle containers that will be placed at the end of each unit's driveway for automated side-loaded pickup. With there being one 5-unit building, seven 4-unit buildings and four 3-unit buildings, there is no specific method in the TDC that matches to proposed waste and recycling program described by Republic

Services. There will be no centralized waste collection for the proposed units. As discussed below, these standards are met.

## Section 73D. 030 - Minimum Standards Method.

This method specifies a minimum storage area requirement based on the size and general use category of the new or expanded development. This method is most appropriate when specific use of a new or expanded development is not known. It provides specific dimensional standards for the minimum size of storage areas by general use category.
(1) The size and location of the storage area(s) must be indicated on the site plan. Requirements are based on an assumed storage area height of four feet for mixed solid waste and source separated recyclables. Vertical storage higher than four feet, but no higher than 7 feet may be used to accommodate the same volume of storage in a reduced floor space (potential reduction of 43 percent of specific requirements). Where vertical or stacked storage is proposed, submitted plans must include drawings to illustrate the layout of the storage area and dimensions for containers.
(2) The storage area requirement is based on uses. If a building has more than one use and that use occupies 20 percent or less of the gross leasable area (GLA) of the building, the GLA occupied by that use must be counted toward the floor area of the predominant use(s). If a building has more than one use and that use occupies more than $\mathbf{2 0}$ percent of the GLA of the building, then the storage area requirement for the whole building must be the sum of the area of each use. Minimum storage area requirements by use is as follows:
(a) Common wall residential five to ten units must provide 50 square feet.
(b) Common wall residential greater than ten units must provide 50 square feet plus an (additional five square feet per unit above ten.
[...]

## Finding:

The applicant proposes trash to be picked up via separate trash and recycle cart receptacles. Waste and recyclable pickup will require occupants of each proposed dwelling unit to place the containers at the end of each dwelling unit's driveway in a location accessible for automated side-load service. Republic Service has the franchise agreement to provide waste and recycling services. Republic Services indicated that the proposed method for waste and recycling pick up is acceptable. The proposal includes 45 new residential units and there is no TDC described method that matches the Republic Services approved method. There is one 5 -unit building proposed with the others being 3 - and 4 -unit buildings. Per 73D.020 (b), If all the new units were in one building with a centralized waste and recycling pick up area then a total of 275 square feet would be required. Per TDC 73D.020(a), the one 5 -unit building would require 50 square feet with 90 square feet being proposed. The applicant's narrative (Exhibit A1) states that 18 square feet is proposed for each unit which would total 810 square feet and exceed the minimum required area for the minimum standard method. With recommended Condition of Approval A20, these standards are met.

Section 73D. 070 - Location, Design and Access Standards.
The following location, design, and access standards are applicable to all storage areas:
(1) Location Standards.
(a) The storage area for source separated recyclables may be collocated with the storage area for mixed solid waste.
(b) Storage area space requirements can be satisfied with a single location or multiple locations, and can combine both interior and exterior locations.
(c) Exterior storage areas must:
(i) Be located in central and visible locations on the site to enhance security for users;
(ii) Be located in a parking area; and
(iii) Not be located within a required front yard setback or in a yard adjacent to a public or private street.
(2) Design Standards.
(a) The dimensions of the storage area must accommodate containers consistent with current methods of local collection at time of construction or alteration.
(b) Indoor and outdoor storage areas must comply with Oregon Building and Fire Code requirements.
(c) Exterior storage areas must be enclosed by a sight obscuring fence or wall at least 6 feet in height.
(d) Evergreen plants must be placed around the enclosure walls, excluding the gate or entrance openings for common wall, commercial, and institutional developments.
(e) Gate openings for haulers must be a minimum of 10 feet wide and must be capable of being secured in a closed and open position.
(f) Horizontal clearance must be a minimum of 10 feet and a vertical clearance of 8 feet is required if the storage area is covered.
(g) A separate pedestrian access must also be provided in common wall, commercial, and institutional developments.
(h) Exterior storage areas must have either a concrete or asphalt floor surface.
(i) Storage areas and containers must be clearly labeled to indicate the type of material accepted.

## Finding:

Republic Services has approved a method of waste and recycling storage and pick up which is the same as single-family storage and pickup. All trash and recycle cart receptacles must be placed on a level surface at the end of each unit's driveway, in a location that is accessible for automated side-load service, with a minimum spacing of 2 feet apart for each container and at least 4 feet from any fixed objects including parked vehicles, and with no overhead obstructions. The 41 units accessed by SW Martinazzi Avenue will be accessed by a new 20 foot wide access driveway between the proposed units with a turn radius of 28 feet and beveled curbing on both inside corners of the roadway to allow for truck maneuvering. The remaining 4 units will be accessed by SW Sagert Street with storage and pickup using the existing paved surface. With recommended Condition of Approval A20, these standards are met.
(3) Access Standards.
(a) Storage areas must be accessible to users at convenient times of the day, and to hauler personnel on the day and approximate time they are scheduled to provide hauler service.
(b) Storage areas must be designed to be easily accessible to hauler trucks and equipment, considering paving, grade, gate clearance and vehicle access.
(c) Storage areas must be accessible to hauler trucks without requiring backing out of a driveway onto a public street. If only a single access point is available to the storage area, adequate turning radius must be provided to allow hauler trucks to safely exit the site in a forward motion.
(d) Storage areas must located so that pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement are not obstructed on site or on public streets adjacent to the site.
(e) The following is an exception to the access standard:
(i) Access may be limited for security reasons.

Finding:

As shown in the applicant's submittal, Republic Services, the applicable waste hauler, has indicated that the dimensions and accessibility of the collection containers are acceptable (Exhibit A6). These standards are met.

## Chapter 74: Public Improvement Requirements <br> [...]

TDC 74.120 Public Improvements.
(1) Except as specially provided, all public improvements must be installed at the expense of the applicant. All public improvements installed by the applicant must be constructed and guaranteed as to workmanship and material as required by the Public Works Construction Code prior to acceptance by the City. Work must not be undertaken on any public improvement until after the construction plans have been approved by the City Manager and a Public Works Permit issued and the required fees paid.

## Finding:

All public improvements will be installed by the applicant at their expense after approval of plans and issued Erosion Control, Water Quality, and Public Works Permits. With recommended Conditions of Approval A9 and A12, this standard is met.

TDC 74.130 Private Improvements.
All private improvements must be installed at the expense of the applicant. The property owner must retain maintenance responsibilities over all private improvements.

## Finding:

All private improvements will be installed by the applicant at their expense and will require prior approval of plans and building permits. With recommended Conditions of Approval A9 and A12, this standard is met.

## TDC 74.140 Construction Timing.

(1) All the public improvements required under this chapter must be completed and accepted by the City prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
(2) All private improvements required under this Chapter must be approved by the City prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.

## Finding:

All public and private improvements proposed and modified by conditions of approval will be completed and accepted by the City prior to receiving a Certificate of Occupancy. With recommended Conditions of Approval A9 and A12, this standard is met.

## [...]

## TDC 74.210 Minimum Street Right-of-Way Widths.

The width of streets in feet shall not be less than the width required to accommodate a street improvement needed to mitigate the impact of a proposed development. In cases where a street is required to be improved according to the standards of the TDC, the width of the right-of-way shall not be less than the minimums indicated in TDC Chapter 74, Public Improvement Requirements, Figures 74-2A through 74-2G.
(2) For development applications other than subdivisions and partitions, wherever existing or future streets adjacent to property proposed for development are of inadequate right-of-way width, the additional right-of-way necessary to comply with TDC Chapter 74, Public Improvement Requirements, Figures 74-2A through 74-2G of the Tualatin Community Plan must be dedicated to the City for use by the public prior to issuance of any building permit for the proposed development. This right-of-way dedication must be for the full width of the property abutting the roadway and, if required by the City Manager, additional dedications must be provided for slope and utility easements if deemed necessary.

## Finding:

The proposal is adjacent to SW Avery Street, SW Martinazzi Avenue, and SW Sagert Street. Required dedication of right-of-way and construction of public street surface infrastructure will benefit this development's expected addition of bicycle, pedestrian, and vehicular trips utilizing streets and sidewalks. This includes dedication to enable construction of a sidewalk with planter strip on SW Avery Street, a parking strip on SW Martinazzi Avenue, and widening SW Sagert Street to enable a center-turn lane serving the subject property's driveway and sidewalk to the east. Final plans will include a minimum of half-street right-of-way dedications to preferred cross-sections along with improvements within SW Avery Street, SW Martinazzi Avenue, and SW Sagert Street meeting the requirements of the City of Tualatin. With recommended Conditions of Approval A3 and A8, this standard is met.

## TDC 74.320. - Slope Easements.

(1) The applicant must obtain and convey to the City any slope easements determined by the City Manager to be necessary adjacent to the proposed development site to support the street improvements in the public right-of-way or accessway or utility improvements required to be constructed by the applicant.
[...]
(3) For all other development applications, a slope easement dedication must be submitted to the City Manager; building permits must not be issued for the development prior to acceptance of the easement by the City.

## Finding:

Any required slope easements necessary to support SW Avery Street, SW Martinazzi Avenue, and SW Sagert Street will be granted to the City. With recommended Conditions of Approval A3 and A8, this standard is met.

TDC 74.330. - Utility Easements.
(1) Utility easements for water, sanitary sewer and storm drainage facilities, telephone, television cable, gas, electric lines and other public utilities must be granted to the City.
[...]
(4)For development applications other than subdivisions and partitions, and for both on-site and offsite easement areas, a utility easement must be granted to the City; building permits must not be issued for the development prior to acceptance of the easement by the City. The City may elect to exercise eminent domain and condemn necessary off-site public utility easements at the applicant's request and expense. The City Council must determine when condemnation proceedings are to be used.
(5) The width of the public utility easement must meet the requirements of the Public Works Construction Code. All subdivisions and partitions must have a 6 -foot public utility easement adjacent
to the street and a 5-foot public utility easement adjacent to all side and rear lot lines. Other easements may be required as determined by the City Manager.

## Finding:

Any required public utility easement will be granted to the City. The public utility easement width will be 8-feet-wide adjacent to the final dedicated right-of-way of SW Avery Street, SW Martinazzi Avenue, and SW Sagert Street. Additional width of public utility easement will include accommodation of water system meters and vaults to meet the Public Works Construction Code. With recommended Conditions of Approval A3 and A8, these standards are met.

## [...]

TDC 74.420 Street Improvements.
When an applicant proposes to develop land adjacent to an existing or proposed street, including land which has been excluded under TDC 74.220, the applicant should be responsible for the improvements to the adjacent existing or proposed street that will bring the improvement of the street into conformance with the Transportation Plan (TDC Chapter 11), TDC 74.425 (Street Design Standards), and the City' s Public Works Construction Code, subject to the following provisions:
(1) For any development proposed within the City, roadway facilities within the right-of-way described in TDC $\mathbf{7 4 . 2 1 0}$ must be improved to standards as set out in the Public Works Construction Code.
(2) The required improvements may include the rebuilding or the reconstruction of any existing facilities located within the right-of-way adjacent to the proposed development to bring the facilities into compliance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(3) The required improvements may include the construction or rebuilding of off-site improvements which are identified to mitigate the impact of the development.
(4) Where development abuts an existing street, the improvement required must apply only to that portion of the street right-of-way located between the property line of the parcel proposed for development and the centerline of the right-of-way, plus any additional pavement beyond the centerline deemed necessary by the City Manager to ensure a smooth transition between a new improvement and the existing roadway (half-street improvement). Additional right-of-way and street improvements and off-site right-of-way and street improvements may be required by the City to mitigate the impact of the development. The new pavement must connect to the existing pavement at the ends of the section being improved by tapering in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(5) If additional improvements are required as part of the Access Management Plan of the City, TDC Chapter 75, the improvements must be required in the same manner as the half-street improvement requirements.
(6) All required street improvements must include curbs, sidewalks with appropriate buffering, storm drainage, street lights, street signs, street trees, and, where designated, bikeways and transit facilities.
[...]
(8) For development applications other than subdivisions and partitions, all street improvements required by this section must be completed and accepted by the City prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
[...]
(10) Streets within, or partially within, a proposed development site must be graded for the entire right-of-way width and constructed and surfaced in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(11) Existing streets which abut the proposed development site must be graded, constructed, reconstructed, surfaced or repaired as necessary in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code and TDC Chapter 11, Transportation Plan, and TDC 74.425 (Street Design Standards).
(12) Sidewalks with appropriate buffering must be constructed along both sides of each internal street and at a minimum along the development side of each external street in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(13) The applicant must comply with the requirements of the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), Tri-Met, Washington County and Clackamas County when a proposed development site is adjacent to a roadway under any of their jurisdictions, in addition to the requirements of this chapter.
(14) The applicant must construct any required street improvements adjacent to parcels excluded from development, as set forth in TDC $\mathbf{7 4 . 2 2 0}$ of this chapter.
[...]
(17) Intersections should be improved to operate at a level of service of at least $D$ and $E$ for signalized and unsignalized intersections, respectively.
[...]
Finding:
A Trip Generation Letter from Kittelson \& Associates was submitted with plans focused on onsite redevelopment. City staff have reviewed the proposal against the above requirements. Required dedication of right-of-way and construction of public street surface infrastructure will benefit this development's expected addition of bicycle, pedestrian, and vehicular trips utilizing streets and sidewalks. This includes dedication to enable construction of a sidewalk with planter strip on SW Avery Street, a parking strip on SW Martinazzi Avenue, and widening SW Sagert Street to enable a center-turn lane serving the subject property's driveway and sidewalk to the east. With recommended Conditions of Approval A3, A8, A9 and A12, these standards are met.

## TDC 74.425 Street Design Standards.

(1) Street design standards are based on the functional and operational characteristics of streets such as travel volume, capacity, operating speed, and safety. They are necessary to ensure that the system of streets, as it develops, will be capable of safely and efficiently serving the traveling public while also accommodating the orderly development of adjacent lands.
(2) The proposed street design standards are shown in Figures 72A through 72G. The typical roadway cross sections comprise the following elements: right-of-way, number of travel lanes, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, and other amenities such as landscape strips. These figures are intended for planning purposes for new road construction, as well as for those locations where it is physically and economically feasible to improve existing streets.
[...]
(4) All streets must be designed and constructed according to the preferred standard. The City Manager may reduce the requirements of the preferred standard based on specific site conditions, but in no event will the requirement be less than the minimum standard. The City Manager must take into consideration the following factors when deciding whether the site conditions warrant a reduction of the preferred standard:
(a) Arterials:
(i) Whether adequate right-of-way exists;
(ii) Impacts to properties adjacent to right-of-way;
(iii) Current and future vehicle traffic at the location; and
(iv) Amount of heavy vehicles (buses and trucks).
(b) Collectors:
(i) Whether adequate right-of-way exists;
(ii) Impacts to properties adjacent to right-of-way;
(iii) Amount of heavy vehicles (buses and trucks); and
(iv) Proximity to property zoned manufacturing or industrial.
[...]
Finding:
The proposal is adjacent to SW Avery Street, SW Martinazzi Avenue, and SW Sagert Street. These are designated on Tualatin Comprehensive Plan Map 8-1: Tualatin Functional Classification Plan and Traffic Signal Plan as a Local, Minor Collector, and Minor Arterial classifications, respectively. A Transportation Impact Analysis from Kittelson \& Associates did not recommend additional improvements greater than the preferred cross-sections. With recommended Conditions of Approval A3 and A8, these standards are met.

## TDC 74.440 Streets, Traffic Study Required.

(1) The City Manager may require a traffic study to be provided by the applicant and furnished to the City as part of the development approval process as provided by this Code, when the City Manager determines that such a study is necessary in connection with a proposed development project in order to:
(a) Assure that the existing or proposed transportation facilities in the vicinity of the proposed development are capable of accommodating the amount of traffic that is expected to be generated by the proposed development, and/or
(b) Assure that the internal traffic circulation of the proposed development will not result in conflicts between on-site parking movements and/or on-site loading movements and/or on-site traffic movements, or impact traffic on the adjacent streets.
(2) The required traffic study must be completed prior to the approval of the development application.
(3) The traffic study must include, at a minimum:
(a) an analysis of the existing situation, including the level of service on adjacent and impacted facilities.
(b) an analysis of any existing safety deficiencies.
(c) proposed trip generation and distribution for the proposed development.
(d) projected levels of service on adjacent and impacted facilities.
(e) recommendation of necessary improvements to ensure an acceptable level of service for roadways and a level of service of at least $D$ and $E$ for signalized and unsignalized intersections respectively, after the future traffic impacts are considered.
(f) The City Manager will determine which facilities are impacted and need to be included in the study.
(g) The study must be conducted by a registered engineer.
(4) The applicant must implement all or a portion of the improvements called for in the traffic study as determined by the City Manager.

Finding:
A Trip Generation Letter from Kittelson \& Associates did not recommend any improvements. Their summary included:

ColRich (property owner) is proposing to redevelop a portion of the Alden Apartments located in the southeast corner of the SW Martinazzi Avenue/SW Sagert Street intersection in Tualatin. The development plan proposes to remove 15 apartment units and construct 45 townhome units and associated amenities. Access to the townhomes will be provided by the existing driveways to the Alden Apartments on SW Sagert Street and SW Martinazzi Avenue. No new driveways are proposed nor modifications to off-site intersections.

This letter provides trip generation and trip distribution/assignment estimates for the proposed redevelopment in accordance with Tualatin Development Code Section 74.440. As documented herein, the proposed redevelopment is estimated to generate fewer than 500 daily trips and fewer than 60 morning and evening peak hour trips. In addition, the proposed redevelopment is expected to generate fewer than 20 large truck trips per day. Therefore, a full transportation impact analysis is not expected to be required per Tualatin Development Code Section 74.440 and the following trip generation and trip distribution estimates are expected to satisfy the requirements of the Tualatin Development Code.

City staff have reviewed the subject analysis and have determined that it meets the requirements above. This standard is met.

## [...]

TDC 74.485. - Street Trees.
[...]
(2) In nonresidential subdivisions and partitions street trees must be planted by the owners of the individual lots as development occurs.
(3) The Street Tree Ordinance specifies the species of tree which is to be planted and the spacing between trees.

## Finding:

The applicant will plant street trees as shown within approved permit plans. With recommended Conditions of Approval A3, A9, and A12, this standard is met.

## TDC 74.610 Water Service.

(1) Water lines must be installed to serve each property in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code. Water line construction plans must be submitted to the City Manager for review and approval prior to construction.
[...]
(3) As set forth is TDC Chapter 12, Water Service, the City has three water service levels. All development applicants must be required to connect the proposed development site to the service level in which the development site is located. If the development site is located on a boundary line between two service levels the applicant must be required to connect to the service level with the higher reservoir elevation. The applicant may also be required to install or provide pressure reducing valves to supply appropriate water pressure to the properties in the proposed development site.

## Finding:

Existing services will be improved as needed to meet current code. Separate laterals will serve domestic and fire services. A gate valve will be located near the main for each water lateral. Water meters and fire vaults will be located adjacent to right-of-way. A public utility easement will surround the water meter
and fire vault by five feet. With recommended Conditions of Approval A4, A8, A9 and A12, these standards are met.

## TDC 74.620 Sanitary Sewer Service.

(1) Sanitary sewer lines must be installed to serve each property in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code. Sanitary sewer construction plans and calculations must be submitted to the City Manager for review and approval prior to construction.

## Finding:

Existing services will be improved as needed to meet current code including a cleanout will be installed adjacent to right-of-way. With recommended Conditions of Approval A5, A9, and A12, this standard is met.

## TDC 74.630 Storm Drainage System.

(1) Storm drainage lines must be installed to serve each property in accordance with City standards and Clean Water Services standards. Storm drainage construction plans and calculations must be submitted to the City Manager for review and approval prior to construction.
(2) The storm drainage calculations must confirm that adequate capacity exists to serve the site. The discharge from the development must be analyzed in accordance with the City's Storm and Surface Water Regulations and Clean Water Services standards.
[...]

TDC 74.640 Grading.
(1) Development sites must be graded to minimize the impact of storm water runoff onto adjacent properties and to allow adjacent properties to drain as they did before the new development.
(2) A development applicant must submit a grading plan showing that all lots in all portions of the development will be served by gravity drainage from the building crawl spaces; and that this development will not affect the drainage on adjacent properties. The City Manager may require the applicant to remove all excess material from the development site.

## TDC 74.650 Water Quality, Storm Water Detention and Erosion Control.

The applicant must comply with the water quality, storm water detention and erosion control requirements in the Surface Water Management Ordinance. If required:
[...]
(2)On all other development applications, prior to issuance of any building permit, the applicant must arrange to construct a permanent on-site water quality facility and storm water detention facility and submit a design and calculations indicating that the requirements of the Surface Water Management Ordinance will be met and obtain a Stormwater Connection Permit from Clean Water Services. (3)For on-site private and regional non-residential public facilities, the applicant must submit a stormwater facility agreement, which will include an operation and maintenance plan provided by the City, for the water quality facility for the City's review and approval. The applicant must submit an erosion control plan prior to issuance of a Public Works Permit. No construction or disturbing of the site must occur until the erosion control plan is approved by the City and the required measures are in place and approved by the City.

Finding:

The Utility Plan illustrates capturing stormwater runoff from the sites developed areas with conveyance discharging to an existing vegetated channel. The channel conveys flow to storm drain infrastructure within the ODOT right-of-way which conveys flow easterly for approximately 0.5 miles and discharges to Saum Creek. The submitted Preliminary Stormwater Report prepared by 3J Consulting includes modifying existing and construction of new onsite stormwater facilities to provide treatment, hydromodification, and detention for all private impervious areas including an Underground Infiltration Facility. ODOT submitted a response dated November 14, 2022 requiring a design meeting the ODOT Hydraulics Manual specifications and to obtain an ODOT Miscellaneous Permit. Modified impervious areas within SW Avery Street, SW Martinazzi Avenue, and SW Sagert Street right-of-ways will be addressed by construction of public LIDA street swales as approved by the City Engineer.

Final plans and stormwater calculations will demonstrate that the development has direct access by gravity to public stormwater systems with adequate infiltration and/or downstream capacity in accordance with City of Tualatin, Clean Water Services, DEQ, and ODOT Hydraulics Manual.

The site disturbance is approximately 1.85 acres. Erosion and sediment control plans and permit applications conforming to the requirements of the City of Tualatin, CWS, and Oregon Department of Environmental Quality will be provided with the construction permit submittal documents. The applicant will obtain an erosion control permit from the City of Tualatin for disturbance greater than 500 square feet. In addition these plans must be sufficient to obtain a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200-CN Stormwater Discharge Permit from Clean Water Services as an agent of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality if between 1 and 5 acres of disturbance or a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200-C Construction Erosion Control permit from Oregon DEQ for over 5 acres.

A Clean Water Services' Service Provider Letter and Memorandum were received. After land use decision issuance the applicant will submit final plans complying with the Service Provider Letter conditions and CWS Memorandum that are sufficient to obtain a Stormwater Connection Permit Authorization Letter from Clean Water Services in accordance with TDC 74.650(2) and CWS D\&CS 3.01.2(d). With recommended Conditions of Approval A6, A7, A9, and A12 these standards are met.

## [...]

## Chapter 75 Access Management

[...]

## TDC 75.020. - Permit for New Driveway Approach

(1) Applicability. A driveway approach permit must be obtained prior to constructing, relocating, reconstructing, enlarging, or altering any driveway approach.
(2) Exceptions. A driveway approach permit is not required for:
(a) The construction, relocation, reconstruction, enlargement, or alteration of any driveway approach that requires a state highway access permit; or
(b) The construction, relocation, reconstruction, enlargement or alteration of any driveway approach that is part of the construction of a publicly or privately engineered public improvement project.
[...]
(4) Submittal Requirements. In addition to the application materials required by TDC 32.140, the following application materials are also required:
(a) A site plan, of a size and form and in the number of copies meeting the standards established by the City Manager, containing the following information:
(i) The location and dimensions of the proposed driveway approach;
(ii) The relationship to nearest street intersection and adjacent driveway approaches;
(iii) Topographic conditions;
(iv) The location of all utilities;
(v) The location of any existing or proposed buildings, structures, or vehicular use areas;
(vi) The location of any trees and vegetation adjacent to the location of the proposed driveway approach that are required to be protected pursuant to TDC Chapter 73B or 73C; and
(vii) The location of any street trees adjacent to the location of the proposed driveway approach.
(b) Identification of the uses or activities served, or proposed to be served, by the driveway approach; and
(c) Any other information, as determined by the City Manager, which may be required to adequately review and analyze the proposed driveway approach for conformance with the applicable criteria.
(5) Criteria. A Driveway Approach Permit must be granted if:
(a) The proposed driveway approach meets the standards of this Chapter and the Public Works Construction Code;
(b) No site conditions prevent placing the driveway approach in the required location;
(c) The number of driveway approaches onto an arterial are minimized;
(d) The proposed driveway approach, where possible:
(i) Is shared with an adjacent property; or
(ii) Takes access from the lowest classification of street abutting the property;
(e) The proposed driveway approach meets vision clearance standards;
(f) The proposed driveway approach does not create traffic hazards and provides for safe turning movements and access;
(g) The proposed driveway approach does not result in significant adverse impacts to the vicinity;
(g) The proposed driveway approach minimizes impact to the functionality of adjacent streets and intersections; and
(i)The proposed driveway approach balances the adverse impacts to residentially zoned property and the functionality of adjacent streets.
(6) Effective Date. The effective date of a Driveway Approach Permit approval is the date the notice of decision is mailed.
(7) Permit Expiration. A Driveway Approach Permit approval expires one year from the effective date, unless the driveway approach is constructed within the one-year period in accordance with the approval decision and City standards.
[...]
TDC 75.040. - Driveway Approach Requirements
(1) The provision and maintenance of driveway approaches from private property to the public streets as stipulated in this Code are continuing requirements for the use of any structure or parcel of real property in the City of Tualatin. No building or other permit may be issued until scale plans are presented that show how the driveway approach requirement is to be fulfilled. If the owner or occupant of a lot or building changes the use to which the lot or building is put, thereby increasing driveway approach requirements, it is unlawful and a violation of this code to begin or maintain such altered use until the required increase in driveway approach is authorized by the City.
[...]
(4) Requirements for Development on Less than the Entire Site.
(a) To promote unified access and circulation systems, lots and parcels under the same ownership or consolidated for the purposes of development and comprised of more than one building site must be reviewed as one unit in relation to the access standards. The number of access points permitted must be the minimum number necessary to provide reasonable access to these properties, not the maximum available for that frontage. All necessary easements, agreements, and stipulations must be met. This must also apply to phased development plans. The owner and all lessees within the affected area must comply with the access requirements.
(b) All access must be internalized using the shared circulation system of the principal commercial development or retail center. Driveways should be designed to avoid queuing across surrounding parking and driving aisles.
(5) Lots that front on more than one street may be required to locate motor vehicle accesses on the street with the lower functional classification as determined by the City Manager.
(6) Except as provided in TDC 53.100, all driveway approach must connect directly with public streets.
(7) To afford safe pedestrian access and egress for properties within the City, a sidewalk must be constructed along all street frontage, prior to use or occupancy of the building or structure proposed for said property. The sidewalks required by this section must be constructed to City standards, except in the case of streets with inadequate right-of-way width or where the final street design and grade have not been established, in which case the sidewalks must be constructed to a design and in a manner approved by the City Manager. Sidewalks approved by the City Manager may include temporary sidewalks and sidewalks constructed on private property; provided, however, that such sidewalks must provide continuity with sidewalks of adjoining commercial developments existing or proposed. When a sidewalk is to adjoin a future street improvement, the sidewalk construction must include construction of the curb and gutter section to grades and alignment established by the City Manager.
[...]
(9) Minimum driveway approach width for uses are as provided in Table 75-1 (Driveway Approach Width):

| TABLE 75-1 <br> Driveway Approach Width |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Use | Minimum Driveway <br> Approach Width | Maximum Driveway <br> Approach Width |
| Multi-family | $5-49$ Units = 24 feet <br> $50-499=32$ feet <br> Over 500 = as required by the <br> City Manager | May provide two 16 foot one-way driveways <br> instead of one 24-foot driveway <br> May provide two 24-foot one-way driveways <br> instead of one 32-foot driveway |

(10) Driveway Approach Separation. There must be a minimum distance of 40 feet between any two adjacent driveways on a single property unless a lesser distance is approved by the City Manager.
(11) Distance between Driveways and Intersections. Except for single-family dwellings, duplexes, townhouses, triplexes, quadplexes, and cottage clusters, the minimum distance between driveways and intersections must be as provided below. Distances listed must be measured from the stop bar at the intersection.
(a) At the intersection of collector or arterial streets, driveways must be located a minimum of 150 feet from the intersection.
[...]
(12) Vision Clearance Area.
(a) Local Streets. A vision clearance area for all local street intersections, local street and driveway intersections, and local street or driveway and railroad intersections must be that triangular area formed by the right-of-way lines along such lots and a straight line joining the right-of-way lines at points which are ten feet from the intersection point of the right-of-way lines, as measured along such lines (see Figure 73-2 for illustration).
(b) Collector Streets. A vision clearance area for all collector/arterial street intersections, collector/arterial street and local street intersections, and collector/arterial street and railroad intersections must be that triangular area formed by the right-of-way lines along such lots and a straight line joining the right-of-way lines at points which are 25 feet from the intersection point of the right-of-way lines, as measured along such lines. Where a driveway intersects with a collector/arterial street, the distance measured along the driveway line for the triangular area must be ten feet (see Figure 73-2 for illustration).
(c) Vertical Height Restriction. Except for items associated with utilities or publicly owned structures such as poles and signs and existing street trees, no vehicular parking, hedge, planting, fence, wall structure, or temporary or permanent physical obstruction must be permitted between 30 inches and eight feet above the established height of the curb in the clear vision area (see Figure 73-2 for illustration).
[...]
TDC 75.120. - Collector Streets Access Standards.
[...]
(2) Minor Collectors. Residential, commercial and industrial driveways where the frontage is greater or equal to $\mathbf{7 0}$ feet are permitted. Minimum spacing at 100 feet. Uses with less than 50 feet of frontage shall use a common (joint) access where available.
[...]
TDC 75.140. - Existing Streets Access Standards.
The following list describes in detail the freeways and arterials as defined in TDC 75.050 with respect to access. Recommendations are made for future changes in accesses and location of future accesses. These recommendations are examples of possible solutions and shall not be construed as limiting the City's authority to change or impose different conditions if additional studies result in different recommendations from those listed below.
[...]
(1) INTERSTATE 5 (I-5). I-5 is a State facility and access is controlled by the State.
[...]
(14) SAGERT STREET.
(a) Martinazzi Avenue to 65th Avenue. No new driveways or streets shall be allowed, [...]

Finding:
No modification to existing and no new accesses are proposed. Modifications to the existing streets to match preferred cross-sections will meet vision clearance requirements. With recommended Conditions of Approval A3, A8, A9, and A12, these standards are met.

## III. RECOMMENDATION

Based on the application materials and analysis and findings presented above, staff finds that the applicable criteria have been met relative to AR 22-0001, and therefore recommend approval of this application with the following conditions of approval:

## GENERAL:

A1. This Architectural Review approval shall expire after two years unless a building, or grading permit submitted in conjunction with a building permit application, has been issued and substantial construction pursuant thereto has taken place and an inspection performed by a member of the Building Division, or an extension is granted under TDC 33.020(10).

## PRIOR TO EROSION CONTROL, PUBLIC WORKS, AND WATER QUALITY PERMIT ISSUANCE:

## Submit to eTrakit for review and approval:

A2. The applicant must apply for applicable Engineering Erosion Control, Water Quality, and Public Works permits:
a. Apply using eTrakit. With the initial Engineering permit(s) application(s) include:
i. One combined set of $24^{\prime \prime} \times 36^{\prime \prime}$ plans including all applicable Engineering permits attached to one Engineering permit. Include a note on other Engineering permits stating which application includes the set; and,
ii. Payment for an Erosion Control permit fee per the fee schedule; and,
iii. Engineering estimate and deposit for each Water Quality or Public Works permit per the fee schedule; and,
b. Deliver two $24 " \times 36$ " hard copies of the combined Engineering permit plan sets to:

## City of Tualatin <br> Attn: Engineering Division c/o Principal Engineer 10699 SW Herman Road Tualatin, OR 97062

A3. The applicant must submit Final Street Improvement Plans for SW Avery Street, SW Martinazzi Avenue, and SW Sagert Street adjacent to the lot in accordance with applicable sections of Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74 and 75 and Public Works Construction Code (PWCC) that show:
a. Dedication of half-street right-of-way from centerline totaling:
i. 25 feet for SW Avery Street; and,
ii. 38 feet for SW Martinazzi Avenue; and,
iii. 37 feet for SW Sagert Street; and,
b. Any additional dedication needed for SW Avery Street and SW Martinazzi Avenue and construction:
i. On the north side of SW Avery Street to the Shaniko Greenway Trail:

1. A 4 -foot-wide planter strip; and,
2. Street trees; and,
3. Widened to accommodate any required LIDA street swales for public stormwater to meet current CWS requirements; and,
4. A 5-foot-wide public sidewalk; and,
5. Street lighting improvements as necessary to meet Tualatin standards.
ii. Ramps at the northeast corner of the intersection of SW Avery Street and SW Martinazzi Avenue; and,
c. Ramp replacement at the intersection of SW Avery Street and SW Martinazzi:
i. For the northeast and southeast corners crossing the east side of the intersection; and,
ii. For the northwest and northeast corners crossing the north side of the intersection with curb extensions; and,
d. Continental striping of all four crosswalks of the intersection of SW Avery Street and SW Martinazzi Avenue.
e. SW Martinazzi Avenue on the east side including:
i. Preferred half-street improvements including on-street parallel parking along Martinazzi. This section may be adjusted as necessary (as determined by the City Engineer) to preserve existing large mature trees; and,
ii. Street lighting improvements as necessary to meet City Engineer standards including PGE's Option A.
iii. A planter strip with street trees:
6. With a minimum 6 -foot width where possible; and,
7. Widened to preserve street and private trees or accommodate any required LIDA street swales for public stormwater to meet current CWS requirements; and,
iv. A 6-foot-wide sidewalk meandered as needed for topography, tree preservation, and to match the planter strip; and,
f. SW Sagert Street with:
i. Preferred half-street improvements including a center turn lane extending from the existing center turn lane (near the western edge of the property) east serving the Alden driveway and tapering to meet the existing SW Sagert Street structure at ODOT's bridge over I-5 at the eastern edge of the subject property. and,
ii. Street lighting improvements as necessary to meet City Engineer standards including PGE's Option A.
iii. A planter strip with street trees adjacent to locations of adequate lengths new or replaced sidewalk as determined by the City Engineer:
8. With a minimum 6 -foot width where possible; and,
9. Widened to preserve existing mature trees, match existing topography, or accommodate any required LIDA street swales for public stormwater to meet current CWS requirements; and,
iv. A 6-foot-wide sidewalk extended as far east towards the bridge as possible; and,
g. An 8 -foot-wide public utility easement and any required slope easement, or existing equivalent approved by the City Engineer, adjacent to SW Avery Street, SW Martinazzi Avenue, and SW Sagert Street including:
i. Five feet of public utility easement surrounding water meter, backflow protection, and fire vault; and,
ii. Any proposed private retaining walls must be outside of public utility and slope easements; and,
iii. The City Engineer may allow existing right-of-way in excess of the Preferred half-street to equivalently reduce the required easement width; and,
h. Bring into compliance of ADA standards:
i. All public sidewalks adjacent to the lot; and,
ii. Driveways serving the lot; and,
iii. All ramps adjacent to the lot including receiving ramps at the northwest and southeast corner at the intersection of SW Avery Street and SW Martinazzi Avenue.
A4. The applicant must submit Final Water System Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.610, Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-3, and Public Works Construction Code (PWCC) that show:
a. Separate laterals for domestic and fire services; and,
b. A gate valve at the main for both domestic and fire service laterals; and
c. Adjacent to public right-of-way:
i. Reduced pressure backflow prevention for the domestic lateral; and,
ii. Water meter(s) behind the curb within the planter strip, and
iii. If within final plans, irrigation after a domestic meter and reduced pressure backflow device; and,
iv. Fire vault(s) surrounded by a five foot public utility easement.

A5. The applicant must submit Final Sanitary Sewer System Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.620, Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-2, and Public Works Construction Code (PWCC) that show location of the lines, grade, materials, and other details including cleanout at right-of-way.
A6. The applicant must submit:
a. Proof from DEQ of approval of construction of the Underground Infiltration Facility or accommodation of associated stormwater infiltration volume within detention facilities approvable under City of Tualatin codes and Clean Water Services' Design and Construction Standards; and,
b. Final Stormwater System Calculations and Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.630 and 74.650, Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-5-200 through 3-5-430, Public Works Construction Code (PWCC), and Clean Water Services' (CWS) Design \& Construction Standards (D\&CS) Chapter 4 stamped by an Oregon registered, professional engineer in accordance with TMC 3-5-390(1) that:
i. Provide a downstream analysis, including but not limited to erosion, and include solutions within final plans for $1 / 4$ mile downstream from the release from the private development through the public stormwater system, in accordance with TMC 3-5-210(4); and,
ii. Accommodate up to a 25-year storm event within the City of Tualatin's public stormwater system with a maximum capacity of $82 \%$ for Tualatin's lines in accordance with TDC 74.640, CWS D\&CS 5.05.2.d, and the City Engineer; and,
iii. Evaluate the 100-year check storm for any release directly or indirectly to ODOT's stormwater system in accordance with the ODOT Hydraulics Manual; and
iv. Address runoff from all new and modified private and public impervious areas; and,
v. Prove gravity flow five feet from the outside of the established line of the building to the public stormwater system or as otherwise approved by the City Engineer, in accordance with CWS D\&CS 1.03.39 and 5.09.3(a) (1) and (4); and,
vi. Discharge to an approved public system; and,
vii. Treat new and modified impervious areas in accordance with CWS D\&CS 4.08.1.d meeting phosphorous removal in accordance with TMC 3-5-350 per the design storm in accordance with TMC 3-5-360 and CWS D\&CS 4.08.2; and,
viii. Prove infiltration rates in accordance with CWS D\&CS 4.08.03; and,
ix. Detain as required for conveyance with the City of Tualatin's stormwater system and up to the 50 -year storm event for release to ODOTs stormwater system in accordance with the ODOT Hydraulics Manual, TMC 3-5-220, TMC 3-5-230, and CWS D\&CS 4.08; and,
x. Accommodate hydromodification including post-development runoff rates not exceeding pre-development runoff rates for $1 / 2$ the 2 -year storm event and the 5 -year and 10 -year storm events for proposed new and modified impervious areas in accordance with CWS D\&CS 4.03.5; and,
xi. In accordance with TDC 74.650(2) and CWS D\&CS 3.01.2(d), comply with:
10. The submitted Clean Water Services' Service Provider Letter CWS File Number dated July 19, 2022 conditions to obtain a Stormwater Connection Permit Authorization Letter, and,
11. Requirements stated within the Clean Water Services' Memorandum dated November 10, 2022; and,
c. Financial assurance for construction performance in accordance with TMC 3-390(3), PWCC 102.14.00, and amount per CWS D\&CS 2.07 Table 2-1; and,
d. A copy of the recorded private stormwater maintenance agreement in accordance with TMD 3-5-390(4). The agreement must assure the owner as responsible for maintenance of the constructed portions of private stormwater systems within their lot. The identified system must include all conveyance, detention, hydromodification, and treatment.
A7. The applicant must submit Final Erosion Control Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.640, Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-5-050 and 3-5-060, Public Works Construction Code (PWCC), and Clean Water Services' (CWS) Design \& Construction Standards (D\&CS) Chapters 2 and 6 that:
a. Minimize the impact of stormwater from the development to adjacent properties; and,
b. Are sufficient to obtain a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200CN Stormwater Discharge Permit from Clean Water Services as an agent of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality if disturbance is between 1 and 5 acres.

## PRIOR TO BUILDING PERMIT ISSUANCE:

A8. The applicant must submit copies of recorded deeds of right-of-way dedication along with public utility and slope easements, as approved by the City Engineer, in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.210 and 74.330 which show:
a. Right-of-way dedication including:
i. A half-street from centerline for a total of:

1. 25 feet for SW Avery Street; and,
2. 38 feet for SW Martinazzi Avenue; and,
3. 37 feet for SW Sagert Street; and,
ii. Any additional at the intersection of SW Avery Street and SW Martinazzi Avenue to construct a 5-foot-wide public sidewalk and 4-foot-wide planter strip along with ramps at the northeast corner of the intersection; and,
iii. Any additional to accommodate and any final public street improvements or stormwater LIDA facilities; and,
b. 8-foot-wide public utility and any necessary slope easements, adjacent to SW Avery Street, SW Martinazzi Avenue, and SW Sagert Street including:
i. A 10-foot-wide public utility easement centered on any water lateral extending onsite past the public utility easement adjacent to right-of-way; and,
ii. Five feet of public utility easement surrounding water meters, backflow protection, and fire vaults; and
iii. Reduced width of easements from standard due to existing right-of-way in excess of the Preferred half-street width as determined by the City Engineer; and,
A9. The applicant must obtain:
a. A National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200-CN Stormwater Discharge Permit from Clean Water Services as an agent of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, and,
b. ODOT Miscellaneous Permit
c. Erosion Control, Public Works, and Water Quality Permits from the City of Tualatin.

A10. The applicant must submit a Final Site Plan Set (in PDF format) to the Planning Division that is in substantial conformance to the submitted site plans and includes:
a. Tree Preservation Plan that corresponds to the Tree Assessment Report (submitted as Exhibit A3) that is drawn to scale that includes the location of all trees proposed for removal and preservation that are eight inches or more in diameter, all existing and proposed structures, all existing and proposed public and private improvements, and all existing public and private easements in accordance with TDC 33.110(4)(a).
b. Private outdoor areas of 80 square feet or greater attached to each ground level unit, consistent with TDC 73A.200(1).
c. Balcony areas of 48 square feet or greater provided for each above-ground unit, consistent with TDC 73A.200(2).
d. Entry areas of 24 square feet or greater provided for each unit, or a minimum combined area of 1,392 square feet or greater for each multi-family building, consistent with TDC 73A.200(3).
e. Shared outdoor area of 72,000 square feet or greater with features consistent with TDC 73A.200(4).
f. Children's play area of 36,000 square feet or greater with design features consistent with TDC 73A.200(5).
g. Storage areas for each unit that are a minimum of: 36 square feet for two-bedroom units, and 48 square feet for three-bedroom or greater units, consistent with TDC 73A.200(6).
f. Walkways that are a minimum of 6 feet in width; constructed of asphalt, concrete, pervious concrete, pavers, or grasscrete; and meet ADA standards at time of construction, consistent with TDC 73A.200(7).
g. An accessway that is a minimum 8 feet in width; constructed of asphalt, concrete, pervious concrete, pavers, or grasscrete; meets ADA standards at time of construction; and connects the private on-site walkways to the public sidewalk or multiuse path on Boones Ferry Road, consistent with TDC 73A.200(7). The width may be reduced, as needed to accommodate right-of-way improvements and/or constraints, subject to approval by the City Engineer.
h. The applicant shall provide detailed information including materials and colors proposed for the carports in compliance with TDC 73A.200(9).
i. The applicant or property owner must submit scaled elevations illustrating that demonstrates compliance with TDC 73A.200(11).
j. Trees identified for retention in Tree Assessment Report (Exhibit A3) must be identified on the grading plan, consistent with TDC 73B.080(3) and reflect the applicants Arborist report recommendations. Tree protection fencing and other preservation measures recommended by the Arborist should also be specified on the grading plan.
k. The applicant shall provide and irrigation plan in compliance with 73B.080(5).
I. The applicant must provide information that demonstrates compliance with site lighting requirements of TDC $73 \mathrm{~A} .200(10)(\mathrm{b})$ and parking lot landscaping requirements of TDC 73C.020(11).
m . Parking space dimensional information conforming to TDC Appendix B Figure 73-1 must be provided.
n. Where bicycle parking spaces are not located within a garage of a dwelling unit, the applicant must provide information that demonstrates compliance with 73.050 (2).
o. The applicant shall provide additional information that demonstrates the abutting sidewalk to the existing driveways are at least 6 -feet in width in compliance with TDC 73 C .130 (c).
p. The applicant shall provide landscaping plans that illustrate clear vision requirements of TDC 73C. 210 (2) are met.

## DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY:

A11. The applicant must install the tree protection fencing consistent with the Tree Assessment Report submitted as Exhibit A3 and Section 73B.080(3). Please contact the Planning Division to schedule an inspection with a minimum of 48 hours' notice. Where site conditions make grading or other similar encroachment upon a preserved tree's drip-line area, such grading or similar encroachment must only be permitted under the direction of a qualified arborist.

A12. The applicant must complete all the private stormwater and public improvements as shown on the approved permit plans. All improvements must also be accepted by the City in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.120.

A13. The applicant must submit paper and electronic as-builts of the Engineering permits along with maintenance bonds and any final fees for public and water quality improvements.

A14. The applicant shall provide information that demonstrates compliance with TDC 73A. 200 (10).
A15. Areas impacted by grading and all areas not occupied by buildings, parking spaces, driveways, drive aisles, pedestrian areas, or undisturbed natural areas must be landscaped, pursuant to TDC 73B.030(1).

A16. Areas impacted by grading and all areas not occupied by buildings, parking spaces, driveways, drive aisles, pedestrian areas, or undisturbed natural areas must be landscaped, pursuant to TDC 73B.030(1).

A17. The applicant shall provide information that demonstrates compliance with parking lot design standards and construct any required improvements per TDC 73C. 020 .

A18. The applicant shall provide information that demonstrates the entire development meets the parking requirements 73C. 100.
A19. No vehicular parking, hedge, planting, fence, wall structure, or temporary/permanent physical obstruction is permitted between 30 inches and eight feet above the established height of the curb in the vision clearance area specified in TDC Figure 73-2 (Exhibit G).

A20. The applicant shall follow the method of waste and recycling storage and pickup as described in the letter dated September 2, 2022 from Republic Services.

## THE FOLLOWING ITEMS APPLY TO THE SITE IN AN ON-GOING MANNER:

A21. All mechanical equipment must be screened in accordance with TDC 73A.200(11)(c). Prior to approval of a mechanical permit, the applicant or property owner must submit scaled elevations illustrating that above-grade or on-grade equipment will be screened by parapet, sight-obscuring fence, landscaping, or other method.

A22. All sign permits require separate sign permit approval per TDC Chapter 38. This approval does not constitute sign permit approval.

A23. All site, building exterior, and landscaping improvements approved through the AR process must be continually maintained, so as to remain substantially similar to original approval through the AR process, except as permitted under TDC 33.020(7) (Modifications to Previously Approved Final Architectural Review Decisions).

A24. All parking spaces shall be continuously maintained in compliance with the dimensional standards specified in TDC Figure 73-1 (Exhibit F).

A25. No vehicular parking, hedge, planting, fence, wall structure, or temporary/permanent physical obstruction is permitted between 30 inches and eight feet above the established height of the curb in the vision clearance area specified in TDC Figure 73-2 (Exhibit G).
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GENERAL INFORMATION
Property Owner and Applicant: CR Alden Communities, LLC
444 West Beech St. Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92101
Contact: Matthew Moiseve
Phone: 858-255-9006
Email: matm@colrich.com

Planning Consultant: 3J Consulting, Inc.
9600 SW Nimbus Avenue, Suite 100
Beaverton, OR 97008
Contact: Heather Austin, Senior Planner
Phone: 503-946-9365 ext. 206
Email: heather.austin@3j-consulting.com

## SITE INFORMATION

Parcel Number:
Address:
Gross Site Area:
Zoning Designation:
Existing Use:
Surrounding Zoning:

Street Classification:
2S125BA00100
7800 SW Sagert Street and 20400 SW Martinazzi Avenue 17.09 acres

RMH (Medium High Density Residential)
Apartment Complex
The properties to the north are zoned CO (Commercial Office). The properties to the south are zoned RML (Medium Low Density Residential). The properties to the east are zoned RMH (I-205 Freeway is along the eastern boundary of the site). The properties to the west are zoned RMH and RL (Low Density Residential).

SW Sagert Street is classified as a minor arterial. SW Martinazzi Avenue is classified as a minor collector. SW Avery Street is classified as a local street.

## INTRODUCTION

## APPLICANT'S REQUEST

Colrich California Construction, LLC ("the Applicant") proposes an addition to the existing Alden Apartments site and seeks approval of a Type III Architectural Review Application. In conjunction with the architectural review, the Applicant requests a Tree Removal Permit. This narrative has been prepared to describe the proposed development and to document compliance with the relevant sections of Tualatin's Development Code (TDC) and Municipal Code (TMC). This narrative describes the proposed development and demonstrates compliance with the relevant approval standards of the TDC and TMC.

Architectural Reviews are evaluated under the Type III decision process. Tree Removal Permits require a Type II decision process that are processed concurrently with the Architectural Review process. The Architectural Review Board will render the Type III decision after a public hearing on the application is held.

## SITE DESCRIPTION/SURROUNDING LAND USE

The site is located at 7800 SW Sagert Street in the City of Tualatin. The tax lot ID is 2S125BA00100. The zoning of the property is RMH (Medium High Density Residential). The site is just over 17 acres in size and has frontage on SW Sagert Street (minor arterial), SW Martinazzi Avenue (minor collector) and SW Avery Street (local). The site is developed with the Alden Apartments Complex site topography, surrounding zoning and uses, etc.

## PROPOSAL

This land use application proposes a site addition to the existing Alden Apartments site. The addition includes 45 new townhome units in 12 new buildings. Two existing buildings are proposed for removal for a net gain of 10 buildings on the site. The removal of 49 trees is proposed as part of the development application as described in the tree removal permit application.

## NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING

The Applicant conducted a neighborhood meeting on August 10, 2022, to explain the proposed development and answer questions from the surrounding property owners. The submitted materials include the required documentation in Appendix F-Neighborhood Meeting Materials.

## APPLICABLE ZONING CODE CRITERIA

The following sections of the Tualatin Development Code and Tualatin Municipal Code have been extracted as they have been deemed to be applicable to the proposal. Following each bold applicable criteria or design standard, the Applicant has provided a series of draft findings. The intent of providing code and detailed responses and findings is to document, with absolute certainty, that the proposed development has satisfied the approval criteria for Architectural Review and Tree Permit applications.

## CHAPTER 32 - PROCEDURES

TDC 32.010. - Purpose and Applicability. [City code text omitted for brevity]
Finding: This proposal includes a Multifamily Housing Project abutting a single-family district and therefore requires a Type III architectural review with the Architectural Review Board as the decision authority. The proposed tree removal permit is a Type II but will be reviewed and decided by the Architectural Review Board in conjunction with the multifamily redevelopment. This standard is met.

TDC 32.110. - Pre-Application Conference. [City code text omitted for brevity]
Finding: A pre-application conference was held with City staff on March 9, 2022, in advance of the Neighborhood/Development Meeting and the application submittal. This application is being submitted within 6 months of the pre-application conference. The pre-application notes are included as Appendix E-Pre-Application Notes. This standard is met.

TDC 32.120. - Neighborhood/Developer Meetings. [City code text omitted for brevity]
Finding: A Neighborhood/Developer Meeting was held on August 10, 2022, at the Tualatin Public Library at 6 pm (weekday). Notice of the meeting was posted at the site's driveways on Sagert and Martinazzi and on Avery adjacent to the site and mailed 14 calendar days prior to the meeting. The Notice, sign-in sheet and meeting notes are included with this submittal in Appendix F- Neighborhood Meeting Materials. This standard is met.

TDC 32.130. - Initiation of Applications. [City code text omitted for brevity]
Finding: This application is being initiated by the property owner. This standard is met.

TDC 32.140. - Application Submittal. [City code text omitted for brevity]
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Finding: This submittal is on forms provided by the City and includes all required items, including Appendix A-Land Use Application and Title Report. This standard is met.

TDC 32.150. - Sign Posting. [City code text omitted for brevity]
Finding: The Neighborhood/Developer Meeting sign was posted on all 3 public rights-of-way adjacent to the property and was designed to meet city standards, as shown the pictures included with Appendix F- Neighborhood Meeting Materials. The land use action sign will be similarly designed and posted once the application is submitted. This standard is met.

TDC 32.160. - Completeness Review.
Finding: The Applicant acknowledges the completeness review timeframes and process. This standard is met.

## TDC 32.230. - Type III Procedure (Quasi-Judicial Review—Public Hearing).

Finding: The Applicant acknowledges the Type III Procedure. This standard is met.

## CHAPTER 33 - APPLICATIONS AND APPROVAL CRITERIA

TDC 33.020. - Architectural Review.
(2) Applicability.
(a) The following types of development are subject to Architectural Review:
(i) Any exterior modifications to improved or unimproved real property;
(ii) Any remodeling that changes the exterior appearance of a building;
(iii) Any site alteration which alters the topography, appearance or function of the site; and
(iv) Any change in occupancy from single family use to commercial or industrial use.

Finding: Architectural review is applicable to the proposed site addition. This standard is met.
(3) Types of Architectural Review Applications-Procedure Type.
(g) Large Commercial, Industrial, and Multifamily Development. Development applications that propose any of the following are subject to Type III Review by the Architectural Review Board as the hearing body:
(i) New Commercial Buildings 50,000 square feet and larger;
(ii) New Industrial Buildings 150,000 square feet and larger; and
(iii )New Multifamily Housing Projects with 100 units or more units (or any number of units abutting a single family district).

Finding: The new multifamily units are within a project that has over 100 units and is abutting a single-family district and, therefore, the Type III Review by the Architectural Review Board is applicable. This standard is met.
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(4) Application Materials. The application must be on forms provided by the City. In addition to the application materials required by TDC $\mathbf{3 2 . 1 4 0}$ (Application Submittal), the following application materials are also required:
(a) The project name and the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of the architect, landscape architect, and engineer on the project;
(b) Existing conditions plan, site plan, grading plan, utility plan, Iandscape plan, and lighting plan all drawn to scale;
(c) A building materials plan that includes a written description and image representation of facade, windows, trim, and roofing materials, colors, and textures;
(d) Title report; and
(e) A Service Provider Letter from Clean Water Services.

Finding: This land use application includes all required submittal materials. This standard is met.

## (5) Approval Criteria.

(c) General Development. Applications for General Development must comply with the applicable standards and objectives in TDC Chapter 73A through 73G.
(d) Large Commercial, Industrial, and Multifamily Development. Applications for Large Commercial, Industrial, and Multifamily Development must comply with the applicable standards and objectives in TDC Chapter 73A through 73G.

Finding: This land use application includes all required submittal materials. Compliance with applicable standards is addressed further in this narrative. This standard is met.
(6) Conditions of Approval.
(a) Architectural Review decisions may include conditions of approval that apply restrictions and conditions that:
(i) Implement identified public facilities and services needed to serve the proposed development;
(ii) Implement identified public facilities and services needed to be altered or increased attributable to the impacts of the proposed development; and
(iii) Implement the requirements of the Tualatin Development Code.
(b) Types of conditions of approval that may be imposed include, but are not limited to:
(i) Development Schedule. A reasonable time schedule placed on construction activities associated with the proposed development, or portion of the development. (ii) Dedications, Reservation. Dedication or reservation of land, or the granting of an easement for park, open space, rights-of-way, bicycle or pedestrian paths, Greenway, Natural Area, Other Natural Area, riverbank, the conveyance of title or easements to the City or a non-profit conservation organization, or a homeowners' association. (iii) Construction and Maintenance Guarantees. Security from the property owners in such an amount that will assure compliance with approval granted.
(iv) Plan Modifications. Changes in the design or intensity of the proposed development, or in proposed construction methods or practices, necessary to assure compliance with this chapter.
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(v) Other Approvals. Evaluation, inspections or approval by other agencies, jurisdictions, public utilities, or consultants, may be required for all or any part of the proposed development.
(vi) Access Limitation. The number, location and design of street accesses to a proposed development may be limited or specified where necessary to maintain the capacity of streets to carry traffic safely, provided that sufficient access to the development is maintained.

Finding: The Applicant acknowledges that an Architectural Review decision may include conditions of approval. This standard is met.

TDC 33.110. - Tree Removal Permit/Review.
(3) Procedure Type. Tree Removal Permit applications are subject to Type II Review in accordance with TDC Chapter 32. Tree Removal Permit applications submitted with an Architectural Review, Subdivision, or Partition application will be processed in conjunction with the Architectural Review, Subdivision, or Partition decision.

Finding: This architectural review submittal includes application for a tree removal permit. This standard is met.
(4) Specific Submittal Requirements. In addition to the general submittal requirements in TDC 32.140 (Application Submittal), an applicant must submit the following:
(a) Tree Preservation Plan. A tree preservation plan drawn to scale must include:
(i) The location, size, species, and tag identification number of all trees on-site eight inches or more in diameter;
(ii) All trees proposed for removal and all trees proposed to be preserved;
(iii) All existing and proposed structures;
(iv) All existing and proposed public and private improvements; and
(v) All existing public and private easements.
(b) Tree Assessment Report. A tree assessment prepared by a certified arborist must include:
(i) An analysis as to whether trees proposed for preservation may be preserved in light of the development proposed, are healthy specimens, and do not pose an imminent hazard to persons or property if preserved;
(ii) An analysis as to whether any trees proposed for removal could reasonably be preserved in light of the development proposed and health of the tree;
(iii) a statement addressing the approval criteria set forth in TDC 33.110(5);
(iv) the name, contact information, and signature of the arborist preparing the report; and
(v) The tree assessment report must have been prepared and dated no more than one calendar year preceding the date the development or Tree Removal Permit application is deemed complete by the City.
(c) Tree Tags. All trees on-site must be physically identified and numbered in the field with an arborist-approved tagging system that corresponds to the Tree Preservation Plan and Tree Assessment Report.

Finding: This land use application includes a tree preservation plan and tree assessment report, included in Appendix D.1- Arborist Report and meeting the criteria of this section. This standard is met.

## (5) Approval Criteria.

(a) An applicant must satisfactorily demonstrate that at least one of the following criteria are met:
(i) The tree is diseased and:
(A) The disease threatens the structural integrity of the tree; or
(B) The disease permanently and severely diminishes the esthetic value of the tree; or
(C) The continued retention of the tree could result in other trees being infected with a disease that threatens either their structural integrity or esthetic value.
(ii) The tree represents a hazard which may include but not be limited to:
(A) The tree is in danger of falling; or
(B) Substantial portions of the tree are in danger of falling.
(iii) It is necessary to remove the tree to construct proposed improvements based on Architectural Review approval, building permit, or approval of a Subdivision or Partition Review.
(b) If none of the conditions in TDC 33.110(5)(a) are met, the certified arborist must evaluate the condition of each tree.
(i) Evergreen Trees. An evergreen tree which meets any of the following criteria as determined by a certified arborist will not be required to be retained:
(A) Trunk Condition-extensive decay and hollow; or
(B) Crown Development-unbalanced and lacking a full crown;
(ii) Deciduous Trees. A deciduous tree which meets any of the following criteria as determined by a certified arborist will not be required to be retained:
(A) Trunk Condition-extensive decay and hollow;
(B) Crown Development-unbalanced and lacking a full crown; or
(C) Structure-Two or more dead limbs.

Finding: Removal of 35 of the 49 regulated trees planned for removal is necessary to construct proposed improvements. The tree preservation plan included in Appendix D.1-Arborist Report of this submittal, shows that these trees are within the footprint of proposed buildings, drive aisles, sidewalks and retaining walls or within areas of required grading with severe impacts within critical root zones. The other 14 regulated trees planned for removal are all deciduous trees with poor crown development or poor structure including 13 invasive species trees and one 29-inch diameter silver maple, tree \#1122, which is in poor condition with very poor structure including multiple upright leaders, a history of branch failure and numerous epicormic sprouts. The following table provides a summary of the number of inventoried trees planned for retention and removal. This standard is met.
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| Treatment |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Retain | Total | Percent |
| Remove | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ |
| Remove to Construct Proposed Improvements (criteria a-iii) | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ |
| Remove for Poor Crown Development (criteria b-ii-B) | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ |  |
| Remove for Poor Structure (criteria b-ii-C) | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| Trees <8" DBH Planned for Removal Two or more dead limbs | 2 | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| Percent of Total | $\mathbf{8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

(6) Emergencies. [City code text omitted for brevity]

Finding: There are no tree emergencies identified with this submittal and therefore this standard is not applicable.
(7) Conditions of Approval. Any tree required to be retained must be protected in accordance with the TDC 73B and 73C.

Finding: All retained trees will be protected as identified in Appendix D.1-Arborist Report, and the Tree Inventory and Protection Plan, Sheet C110 of Appendix C- Land Use Plans-Civil. This standard is met.
(8) Permit Expiration. A Tree Removal Permit is valid for one year from the date of issue. A Tree Removal Permit approved in conjunction with an Architectural Review, Subdivision, or Partition decision is valid as provided in the terms of the Architectural Review, Subdivision, or Partition decision.

Finding: The Applicant acknowledges the approval timeline. This standard is met.
(9) Tree removal in violation of Zone Standards. [City code text omitted for brevity]

Finding: This proposal does not include tree removal in violation of Zone Standards and therefore this standard is not applicable.

CHAPTER 42 - MEDIUM HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL ZONE (RMH)
TDC 42.200. - Use Categories.
(1) Use Categories. Table 42-1 lists use categories Permitted Outright (P) or Conditionally Permitted (C) in the RMH zone. Use categories may also be designated as Limited (L) and subject to the limitations listed in Table 42-1 and restrictions identified in TDC 42.210. Limitations may restrict the specific type of use, location, size, or other characteristics of the use category. Use categories which are not listed are prohibited within the zone, except for uses which are found by the City Manager or appointee to be of a similar character and to meet the purpose of this zone, as provided in TDC 31.070.

TDC 42.220. - Housing Types.
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Table 42-2 lists Housing Types permitted in the RMH zone. Housing types may be Permitted Outright $(P)$, Conditionally Permitted (C), or Not Permitted (N) in the RMH zone.

Finding: This application proposes multi-family structures, which are permitted outright in the RMH zoning district. This standard is met.

TDC 42.300. - Development Standards.
Development standards in the RMH zone are listed in Table 42-3. Additional standards may apply to some uses and situations, see TDC 42.310 .
Table 42-3 Development Standards in the RMH Zone

| STANDARD | REQUIREMENT | LIMITATIONS AND CODE REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum DensityHousehold Living Uses | Maximum: 15 units per acre <br> Minimum: 11 units per acre |  |
| Min. Lot Size- MultiFamily Structure and Duplex (1+ acre site) | 2,904 square feet per unit |  |
| Mini. Avg. Lot Width Multi-Family Structure | 75 feet | May be 40 feet on a cul-de-sac street. |
| Min. Front Setback |  | Minimum setback to a garage door must be 20 feet. |
| - 1 story structure <br> - 1.5 story structure <br> - 2 story structure <br> - 2.5 story structure | 20 feet <br> 25 feet <br> 30 feet <br> 35 feet |  |
| Min. Side and Rear Setback |  | Where living spaces face a side yard, the minimum setback must be 20 feet |
| - 1 story structure <br> - 1.5 story structure <br> - 2 story structure <br> - 2.5 story structure | 5 feet <br> 7 feet <br> 10 feet <br> 12 feet |  |
| Min. Distance Between Buildings w/in One Development | 10 feet | For Townhouses (or Rowhouse), determined through the Architectural Review process. |
| Parking and Vehicle Circulation Areas | 10 feet |  |
| Max. height- All Uses | 35 feet | May be increased to a maximum of 50 feet with a conditional use permit, if all setbacks are not less than $11 / 2$ times the height of the building. |
| Max. Lot Coverage | 40\% |  |

Finding: The addition of 30 dwelling units on this site will result in a total number of 240 dwelling units on 17.09 acres, or 14.04 dwelling units per acre, falling between the minimum of 11 and maximum of 15 . The 3,102 square feet per dwelling unit on this site exceeds the minimum of 2,904 square feet per unit. As demonstrated on the submitted site plan, Sheet C601 of Appendix C- Land Use Plans-Civil, all required setbacks are met. The new structures will be 35 feet in height, not exceeding the maximum. As shown on Sheet A1 Appendix B- Land Use Plans-Architectural, total lot coverage is $12 \%$, below the maximum of $40 \%$. This standard is met.

TDC 42.310. - Projections Into Required Yards.
The following architectural features may project into a required front or rear yard setback area not more than three feet, and into a required side yard not more than two feet: cornices, eaves, canopies, decks, sun-shades, gutters, chimneys, flues, belt courses, leaders, sills, pilasters, lintels, ornamental features, and other similar architectural features.

TDC 42.320. - Density Bonus or Setback Reduction for Developments Adjacent to Greenways and Natural Areas.

Finding: This application does not propose any projections into required yards. This application does not include a request for density bonus or setback reduction for developments adjacent to Greenways and Natural Areas. As such, these standards are not applicable.

## CHAPTER 73A - SITE DESIGN STANDARDS

## TDC 73A.200. - Multi-Family Design Standards

The following standards are the minimum standards for all other residential development in all zones that does not meet the definition of single-family dwelling, duplex, townhouse, triplex, quadplex, or cottage cluster or is 5 or more dwelling units. These standards do not apply to development in the Central Design District and Mixed Use Commercial (MUC) zone, which have separate standards and may be less than the minimums provided below.
(1) Private Outdoor Areas. Multi-family uses must provide private outdoor area features as follows: (a) A separate outdoor area of not less than 80 square feet must be attached to each ground level dwelling unit; and
(b) The private outdoor area must be separated from common outdoor areas with walls, fences or shrubs.

Finding: Each of the two-bedroom townhome units includes a ground-floor, private open area of 157 square feet, including the required 24 square foot entry area required by subsection (3), below. Each of the three-bedroom townhome units includes a groundfloor, private open area of 103 square feet, including the required 24 square foot entry area required by subsection (3), below. These ground-floor private open areas are shown on Sheets A12 and A13 of Appendix B- Land Use Plans-Architectural. This standard is met.
(2) Balconies, Terraces, and Loggias. Multi-family uses must provide balconies, terraces, and loggias features as follows:
(a) A separate outdoor area of not less than 48 square feet in the form of balconies, terraces, or loggias must be provided for each unit located above the ground level.

Finding: Though each of the 45 proposed units are ground-level, they do include second-story decks. Two-bedroom unit decks are 64 square feet and three-bedroom unit decks are 75 square feet, as shown on Sheets A12 and A13 of Appendix B- Land Use PlansArchitectural. This standard, although not strictly applicable, is met.
(3) Entry Areas. Multi-family uses must provide entry area features as follows:
(a) A private main entry area must be provided as a private extension of each dwelling unit;
(b) The entry area must be separated from on-site parking areas and public streets with landscaping, change of grade, low fences, or walls;
(c) The entry area must be a minimum of 24 square feet in area for each dwelling unit; and
(d) The entry area may be combined to serve more than one unit as determined by the City.

Finding: As discussed above, entry areas meeting this standard are shown on Sheets A12 and A13 of Appendix B- Land Use Plans-Architectural. This standard is met.
(4) Shared Outdoor Areas. Multi-family uses must provide shared outdoor area features as follows:
(a) Must provide year round shared outdoor areas for both active and passive recreation;
(b)The shared outdoor area must be a minimum of:
(i) Three hundred square feet per dwelling unit; or
(ii) Four hundred fifty square feet per dwelling unit for 55 and older communities.
(c) Gazebos and other covered spaces are encouraged to satisfy this requirement;
(d) The shared outdoor area must be separated from all entryway and parking areas with a landscaped transition area measuring a minimum of ten feet wide;
(e) The shared outdoor area must have controlled access from off-site as well as from onsite parking and entrance areas with a minimum 4-foot high fence, wall, or landscaping; and (f) The shared outdoor area standard does not apply to any development with less than 12 dwelling units.

Finding: The existing Alden Apartments development has shared outdoor areas meeting these criteria that will be maintained. The 240 total units requires 72,000 square feet of outdoor area. At build out, Alden Apartments will provide 83,776 square feet of shared outdoor areas in compliance with these criteria, as shown on Sheet A1 of Appendix BLand Use Plans-Architectural. This standard is met.
(5) Children's Play Areas. Multi-family uses must provide children's play area features as follows:
(a) The children's play area must be a minimum of 150 square feet per dwelling unit;
(b) The children's play area must provide a separation from all entryway and parking areas with a landscaped transition area measuring a minimum of ten feet wide;
(c) The children's play area must have controlled access to shared outdoor areas from offsite as well as from on-site parking and entrance areas with a minimum 4-foot high fence, wall, or landscaping; and
(d) The children's play area must provide a usable floor surface (material such as lawn, decks, wood chips, sand and hard surface materials qualify); and
(e) The children's play area standard does not apply to:
(i) Duplexes and townhouses;
(ii) Fifty-five and older communities; and
(iii) Any development with less than 12 dwelling units.

Finding: As shown on Sheet A1 of Appendix B- Land Use Plans-Architectural, a minimum of 36,000 square feet of children's play area meeting these design standards will be provided at full build out. This standard is met.
(6) Storage. Multi-family uses must provide storage features as follows:
(a) Enclosed storage areas are required for each unit.
(i) Garages do not satisfy the storage requirements. An enclosed storage area may be located within the garage of the individual unit. Enclosed storage areas may also be located within commonly accessible shared garage.
(b) Each storage area must be a minimum of six feet in height and have a minimum floor area of:
(i) 24 square feet for studio and one bedroom units;
(ii) 36 square feet for two bedroom units; and
(iii) 48 square feet for greater than two bedroom units.

Finding: Each of the proposed 45 units is provided with enclosed storage, 40 square feet for the 2-bedroom units and 49 square feet for the 3-bedroom units, as shown on Sheets A12 and A13 of Appendix B- Land Use Plans-Architectural. This standard is met.
(7) Walkways. Multi-family uses must provide walkways as follows:
(a) Walkways for duplexes and townhouses must be a minimum of three feet in width;
(b) All other multi-family development must have walkways of a minimum of six feet in width;
(c) Walkways must be constructed of asphalt, concrete, pervious concrete, pavers, or grasscrete. Gravel or bark chips are not acceptable; and
(d) The walkways must meet ADA standards applicable at time of construction or alteration.

Finding: As shown on Sheet C601 of Appendix C- Land Use Plans-Civil, the walkways are a minimum of 6 feet in width, are constructed of concrete and meet ADA standards. This standard is met.
(8) Accessways.
(a) When Required. Accessways are required to be constructed when a multi-family development is adjacent to any of the following:
(i) Residential property;
(ii) Commercial property;
(iii) Areas intended for public use, such as schools and parks; and
(iv) Collector or arterial streets where transit stops or bike lanes are provided or designated.
(b) Design Standard. Accessways must meet the following design standards:
(i) Accessways must be a minimum of eight feet in width;
(ii) Public accessways must be constructed in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code;
(iii) Private accessways must be constructed of asphalt, concrete, pavers or grasscrete. Gravel or bark chips are not acceptable;
(iv) Accessways must meet ADA standards applicable at time of construction or alteration;
(v) Accessways must be provided as a connection between the development's walkway and bikeway circulation system;
(vi) Accessways must not be gated to prevent pedestrian or bike access;
(vii) Outdoor Recreation Access Routes must be provided between the development's walkway and bikeway circulation system and parks, bikeways, and greenways where a bike or pedestrian path is designated; and
(viii) Must be constructed, owned and maintained by the property owner.
(c) Exceptions. [City code text omitted for brevity]

Finding: The additional structures being added to this site are internal to the Alden Apartments and do not impact any areas where accessways would be required. As such, this standard is not applicable.
(9) Carports and Garages. Multi-family uses must provide Carports and Garage features as follows:
(a) The form, materials, color, and construction must be compatible with the complex they serve.

Finding: Carports are shown on the Overall Site Plan, Sheet C600 of Appendix C-Land Use PlansCivil, adjacent to some of the existing apartment units. The design is shown on Sheet A11 of Appendix B-Land Use Plans-Architectural. The carports are compatible with the complex in that the form matches the modern townhouse/multi-family design, materials and color reflect those utilized on the multi-family buildings and construction will occur concurrently for the townhomes and carports. This standard is met.
(10) Safety and Security. Multi-family units must provide safety and security features as follows:
(a) Private outdoor areas must be separated from shared outdoor areas and children's play areas with a minimum 4-foot high fence, wall, or landscaping;
(b) An outdoor lighting system that does not produce direct glare on adjacent properties and without shining into residential units, public rights-of-way, or fish and wildlife habitat areas; and
(c) Building identification must be provided consistent with the Oregon Fire Code.

Finding: As shown on Sheets A2, A3, A5 and A10 of Appendix B-Land Use Plans-Architectural, the private outdoor areas are separated by a minimum 4-foot-high wall. Photometrics demonstrating that outdoor lighting will be directed at pathways and other appropriate areas and will not produce direct glare on adjacent properties or shine into residential units, public right-of-way or fish and wildlife habitat areas are included as Appendix D.2-

Photometrics. Building identification will be provided consistent with the Oregon Fire Code, as reviewed, approved and inspected with the building permit. This standard is met.
(11) Service, Delivery and Screening. Multi-family uses must provide service, delivery, and screening features as follows:
(a) Provisions for postal delivery must be made consistent with US Postal Service regulations conveniently located and efficiently designed for residents;
(b) Pedestrian access from unit entries to postal delivery areas, shared activity areas, and parking areas must be provided via accessways; and
(c) Above grade and on-grade electrical and mechanical equipment such as transformers, heat pumps and air conditioners must be screened with sight obscuring fences, walls or landscaping.

Finding: Provisions for postal delivery will be coordinated with the US Postal Service. Pedestrian access from each unit entry to the postal delivery areas, shared activity areas and parking areas is provided via accessways, as shown on Sheet C601 of Appendix C-Land Use Plans-Civil. All equipment will be screened from view by being located on the side or rear of the buildings and with landscaping, as shown on Sheets A6 and A7 of Appendix B-Land Use Plans-Architectural. This standard is met.

CHAPTER 73B - LANDSCAPING STANDARDS
TDC 73B.020. - Landscape Area Standards Minimum Areas by Use and Zone.
The following are the minimum areas required to be landscaped for each use and zone:
Finding: There is no minimum area requirement in the RMH zone for permitted uses, including this multi-family development. This standard is met.

## TDC 73B.030. - Additional Minimum Landscaping Requirements for Multi-Family Residential

 Uses.(1) General. In addition to requirements in TDC 73B.020, Multi-Family Residential Uses must comply with the following additional standards.
(a) All areas not occupied by buildings, parking spaces, driveways, drive aisles, pedestrian areas, or undisturbed natural areas must be landscaped.
(i) This standard does not apply to areas subject to the Hedges Creek Wetlands Mitigation Agreement.

Finding: All areas of the Alden Apartments site that are not occupied by buildings, parking spaces, driveways, drive aisles, pedestrian areas, or undisturbed natural areas are or will be landscaped at the conclusion of construction of this site addition in a way similar to the existing site, as demonstrated in Appendix D.3-Landscaping Plans. This standard is met.

TDC 73B.080. - Minimum Landscaping Standards for All Zones. The following are minimum standards for landscaping for all zones.

Finding: Appendix D.3-Landscaping Plans, demonstrates compliance with the landscaping requirements. The landscaping is planned and will be installed so as to be fully groundcovering in 3 years, of appropriate materials and maintainable as directed. Tree preservation is identified in Appendix D.1-Arborist Report. The landscape plan does not include fences. Grading, irrigation and re-vegetation area all proposed to meet these criteria. This standard is met.

TDC 73B.090. - Minimum Standards Trees and Plants.
The following minimum standards apply to the types of landscaping required to be installed for all zones. [City code text omitted for brevity]

Finding: Appendices D.1-Arborist Report and D.3-Landscaping Plans demonstrate compliance with the minimum standards for installation of trees, shrubs, groundcover and lawns. This standard is met.

## CHAPTER 73C - PARKING STANDARDS

TDC 73C.010. - Off-Street Parking and Loading Applicability and General Requirements. Applicability. Off-street parking and loading is required to be provided by the owner and/or developer, in all zones, whenever the following occurs:
(a) Establishment of a new structure or use;
(b) Change in use; or
(c) Change in use of an existing structure.
(2) General Requirements. Off-street parking spaces, off-street vanpool and carpool parking spaces, off-street bicycle parking, and off-street loading berths must be as provided as set forth in TDC 73C.100, unless greater requirements are otherwise established by the conditional use permit or the Architectural Review process.
(a) The following apply to property and/or use with respect to the provisions of TDC 73C.100:
(i) The requirements apply to both the existing structure and use, and enlarging a structure or use;
(ii) The floor area is measured by gross floor area of the building primary to the function of the particular use of the property other than space devoted to off-street parking or loading;
(iii) Where employees are specified, the term applies to all persons, including proprietors, working on the premises during the peak shift;
(iv) Calculations to determine the number of required parking spaces and loading berths must be rounded to the nearest whole number;
(v) If the use of a property changes, thereby increasing off-street parking or loading requirements, the increased parking/loading area must be provided prior to commencement of the new use;
(vi) Parking and loading requirements for structures not specifically listed herein must be determined by the City Manager, based upon requirements of comparable uses listed;
(vii) When several uses occupy a single structure, the total requirements for offstreet parking may be the sum of the requirements of the several uses computed separately or be computed in accordance with TDC 73.370(1)(m), Joint Use Parking; (viii) Off-street parking spaces for dwellings must be located on the same lot with the dwelling. Other required parking spaces may be located on a separate parcel, provided the parcel is not greater than five hundred (500) feet from the entrance to the building to be served, measured along the shortest pedestrian route to the building. The applicant must prove that the parking located on another parcel is functionally located and that there is safe vehicular and pedestrian access to and from the site. The parcel upon which parking facilities are located must be in the same ownership as the structure;
(ix) Required parking spaces must be available for the parking of operable passenger automobiles of residents, customers, patrons and employees and must not be used for storage of vehicles or materials or for the parking of trucks used in conducting the business;
(x) Institution of on-street parking, where none is previously provided, must not be done solely for the purpose of relieving crowded parking lots in commercial or industrial zones;
(xi) Required vanpool and carpool parking must meet the 9-foot parking stall standards in Figure 73-1 and be identified with appropriate signage;
(xii) Where uses are mixed in a single building, parking must be a blend of the ratio required less ten percent for the minimum number of spaces. The maximum number of spaces must be ten percent less than the total permitted maximum for each use; and
(xiii) If the applicant demonstrates that too many or too few parking spaces are required, applicant may seek a variance from the minimum or maximum by providing evidence that the particular use needs more or less than the amount specified in this Code.

Finding: The total amount of parking proposed at full build-out meets the code requirement for the entire site, the existing apartments and the new townhouse-style apartments. No joint-use parking is proposed. Parking calculations are rounded up to the nearest whole. Required parking spaces will be available for operable passenger vehicles, as ensured by the property management team. These parking-related standards are met.

TDC 73C.020. - Parking Lot Design Standards.
A parking lot, whether an accessory or principal use, intended for the parking of automobiles or trucks, must comply with the following: [City code text omitted for brevity]

Finding: There are no new parking lots proposed with this site re-development. Each of the units will have two parking spaces within an attached garage. This standard is not applicable to the proposed addition to this site.

TDC 73C.050. - Bicycle Parking Requirements and Standards.
(1) Requirements. Bicycle parking facilities must include: [City code text omitted for brevity]

Finding: TDC 73C. 100 exempts multi-family dwellings where garages are provided as an integral element of a unit from providing bicycle parking and as such, no bicycle parking is required.

TDC 73C.100. - Off-Street Parking Minimum/Maximum Requirements.
(1) The following are the minimum and maximum requirements for off-street motor vehicle parking in the City, except these standards do not apply in the Core Area Parking District. The Core Area Parking District standards are in TDC 73C.110.

| USE | MINIMUM MOTOR VEHICLE PARKING | MAXIMUM MOTOR VEHICLE PARKING | BICYCLE PARKING | PERCENTAGE OF <br> BICYCLE PARKING <br> TO BE COVERED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) Residential Uses |  |  |  |  |
| (viii) Multi-family dwellings in complexes with private internal driveways | 1.0 space/studio, <br> 1.25 space/1 <br> bedroom, <br> 1.50 space/2 <br> bedroom, <br> 1.75 space/3= <br> bedroom | None | Developments with five or more units; none required if a garage is provided as an integral element of a unit; otherwise 1.00 space per unit | 100 |

Finding: Each of the 45 proposed townhome units contain two motor vehicle parking spaces in an attached garage. At full build-out, as identified on Sheet A1 of Appendix B-Land Use Plans-Architectural, the Alden Apartments site will contain 442 parking spaces, far exceeding the code requirement of 361 :
65 3br units*1.75 + 111 2br units*1.5 + 64 1br units*1.25 =
$113.75(114)+166.5(167)+80=361$ required parking spaces.
The proposal meets the parking requirement for the additional townhouse-style units and meets the overall parking requirements for the site. This standard is met.

TDC 73C.130. - Parking Lot Driveway and Walkway Minimum Requirements.
Parking lot driveways and walkways must comply with the following requirements:
(1) Residential Use. Minimum requirements for residential uses:
(c) Ingress and egress for multi-family residential uses must not be less than the following:
[City code text omitted for brevity]
Finding: No changes are proposed to the two existing driveways, one to Martinazzi and one to Sagert. The proposed units will utilize the same driveways utilized by the current residents. This standard is met.

## PARKING LOT LANDSCAPING
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TDC 73C.200. - Parking Lot Landscaping Standards
TDC 73C.210. - Multi-Family Parking Lot Landscaping Requirements.
Multi-family residential uses (as defined in TDC 31.060) must comply with the following landscaping requirements for parking lots in all zones:
[City code text omitted for brevity]
Finding: No parking lots are proposed with the addition of these units as all new parking is provided in attached garages. This standard is not applicable.

## CHAPTER 73D - WASTE AND RECYCLABLES MANAGEMENT STANDARDS

TDC 73D.020. - Design Methods.
An applicant required to provide mixed solid waste and source separated recyclables storage areas must comply with one of following methods:
(1) The minimum standards method in TDSC 73D.030;
(2) The waste assessment method in TDC 73D.040;
(3) The comprehensive recycling plan method in TDC 73D.050; or
(4) The franchised hauler review method in TDC 73D.060.

Finding: This proposal provides mixed solid waste and source separated recyclables storage areas in compliance with the minimum standards method, as detailed below and supported by Appendix D.4-Republic Services Service Provider Letter. This standard is met.

TDC 73D.030. - Minimum Standards Method.
This method specifies a minimum storage area requirement based on the size and general use category of the new or expanded development. This method is most appropriate when specific use of a new or expanded development is not known. It provides specific dimensional standards for the minimum size of storage areas by general use category.
(1) The size and location of the storage area(s) must be indicated on the site plan. Requirements are based on an assumed storage area height of four feet for mixed solid waste and source separated recyclables. Vertical storage higher than four feet, but no higher than seven feet may be used to accommodate the same volume of storage in a reduced floor space (potential reduction of 43 percent of specific requirements). Where vertical or stacked storage is proposed, submitted plans must include drawings to illustrate the layout of the storage area and dimensions for containers.
(2) The storage area requirement is based on uses. If a building has more than one use and that use occupies 20 percent or less of the gross leasable area (GLA) of the building, the GLA occupied by that use must be counted toward the floor area of the predominant use(s). If a building has more than one use and that use occupies more than 20 percent of the GLA of the building, then the storage area requirement for the whole building must be the sum of the area of each use. Minimum storage area requirements by use is as follows:
(a) Common wall residential five to ten units must provide 50 square feet.
(b) Common wall residential greater than ten units must provide 50 square feet plus an (additional five square feet per unit above ten.
(3) Mixed solid waste and source separated recyclables storage areas for multiple tenants on a single site may be combined and shared.

Finding: Appendix D.4-Republic Services Service Provider Letter demonstrates that storage is proposed for each individual dwelling unit. An 18-square-foot storage area is proposed for each unit. Therefore, for a 3-unit building, the total size of storage is 54 square feet and for a 4-unit building, the total size of storage is 72 square feet, both of which exceed the 50 square foot minimum for common wall residential. This standard is met.

TDC 73D.070. - Location, Design and Access Standards.
The following location, design, and access standards are applicable to all storage areas:
(1) Location Standards.
(a) The storage area for source separated recyclables may be collocated with the storage area for mixed solid waste.
(b) Storage area space requirements can be satisfied with a single location or multiple locations, and can combine both interior and exterior locations.
(c) Exterior storage areas must:
(i) Be located in central and visible locations on the site to enhance security for users;
(ii) Be located in a parking area; and
(iii) Not be located within a required front yard setback or in a yard adjacent to a public or private street.
(2) Design Standards.
(a) The dimensions of the storage area must accommodate containers consistent with current methods of local collection at time of construction or alteration.
(b) Indoor and outdoor storage areas must comply with Oregon Building and Fire Code requirements.
(c) Exterior storage areas must be enclosed by a sight obscuring fence or wall at least six feet in height.
(d) Evergreen plants must be placed around the enclosure walls, excluding the gate or entrance openings for common wall, commercial, and institutional developments.
(e) Gate openings for haulers must be a minimum of ten feet wide and must be capable of being secured in a closed and open position.
(f) Horizontal clearance must be a minimum of ten feet and a vertical clearance of eight feet is required if the storage area is covered.
(g) A separate pedestrian access must also be provided in common wall, commercial, and institutional developments.
(h) Exterior storage areas must have either a concrete or asphalt floor surface.
(i) Storage areas and containers must be clearly labeled to indicate the type of material accepted.
(3) Access Standards.
(a) Storage areas must be accessible to users at convenient times of the day, and to hauler personnel on the day and approximate time they are scheduled to provide hauler service.
(b) Storage areas must be designed to be easily accessible to hauler trucks and equipment, considering paving, grade, gate clearance and vehicle access.
(c) Storage areas must be accessible to hauler trucks without requiring backing out of a driveway onto a public street. If only a single access point is available to the storage area, adequate turning radius must be provided to allow hauler trucks to safely exit the site in a forward motion.
(d) Storage areas must located so that pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement are not obstructed on site or on public streets adjacent to the site.
(e) The following is an exception to the access standard:
(i) Access may be limited for security reasons.

Finding: Appendix D.4-Republic Services Service Provider Letter, identifies the size and location of the storage areas. Storage is proposed for each individual dwelling unit. An 18-square-foot storage area is proposed for each unit. Therefore, for a 3-unit building, the total size of storage is 54 square feet and for a 4-unit building, the total size of storage is 72 square feet, both of which exceed the 50 square foot minimum for common wall residential. In addition, Republic Services provided a Service Provider Letter supporting this proposal. This standard is met.

## CHAPTER 74 - PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT REQUIREMENTS

TDC 74.210. - Minimum Street Right-of-Way Widths.
The width of streets in feet must not be less than the width required to accommodate a street improvement needed to mitigate the impact of a proposed development. In cases where a street is required to be improved according to the standards of the TDC, the width of the right-of-way must not be less than the minimums indicated in TDC Chapter 74, Public Improvement Requirements, Figures 74-2A through 74-2G.
(2) For development applications other than subdivisions and partitions, wherever existing or future streets adjacent to property proposed for development are of inadequate right-of-way width, the additional right-of-way necessary to comply with TDC Chapter 74, Public Improvement Requirements, Figures 74-2A through 74-2G must be dedicated to the City for use by the public prior to issuance of any building permit for the proposed development. This right-of-way dedication must be for the full width of the property abutting the roadway and, if required by the City Manager, additional dedications must be provided for slope and utility easements if deemed necessary.
(3) For development applications that will impact existing streets not adjacent to the applicant's property, and to construct necessary street improvements to mitigate those impacts would require additional right-of-way, the applicant must be responsible for obtaining the necessary right-of-way from the property owner. A right-of-way dedication deed form must be obtained from the City Manager and upon completion returned to the City Manager for acceptance by the City. On subdivision and partition plats the right-of-way dedication must be accepted by the City prior to acceptance of the final plat by the City. On other development applications the right-ofway dedication must be accepted by the City prior to issuance of building permits. The City may elect to exercise eminent domain and condemn necessary off-site right-of-way at the applicant's request and expense. The City Council must determine when condemnation proceedings are to be used.
(4) If the City Manager deems that it is impractical to acquire the additional right-of-way as required in subsections (1)-(3) of this section from both sides of the center-line in equal amounts, the City Manager may require that the right-of-way be dedicated in a manner that would result in unequal dedication from each side of the road. This requirement will also apply to slope and utility easements as discussed in TDC 74.320 and 74.330. The City Manager's recommendation must be presented to the City Council in the preliminary plat approval for subdivisions and partitions, and in the recommended decision on all other development applications, prior to finalization of the right-of-way dedication requirements.
(5) Whenever a proposed development is bisected by an existing or future road or street that is of inadequate right-of-way width according to TDC Chapter 74, Public Improvement

Requirements, Figures 74-2A through 74-2G, additional right-of-way must be dedicated from both sides or from one side only as determined by the City Manager to bring the road right-of-way in compliance with this section.
(6) When a proposed development is adjacent to or bisected by a street proposed in the Transportation System Plan and no street right-of-way exists at the time the development is proposed, the entire right-of-way as shown in TDC Chapter 74, Public Improvement Requirements, Figures 74-2A through 74-2G must be dedicated by the applicant. The dedication of right-of-way required in this subsection must be along the route of the road as determined by the City.

Finding: The three streets abutting this property are developed and as stated in Appendix D.5Trip Generation Letter, additional improvements are not needed to accommodate the additional units on this existing multi-family residential site. The existing access points will continue to operate at acceptable levels as will nearby street intersections. The addition of 30 residential units ( 45 new, 15 to be removed) does not warrant right-ofway improvements beyond what was originally completed with the initial 210 multifamily residential units. This standard is met.

TDC 74.330. - Utility Easements.
(1) Utility easements for water, sanitary sewer and storm drainage facilities, telephone, television cable, gas, electric lines and other public utilities must be granted to the City.
(2) For subdivision and partition applications, the on-site public utility easement dedication area must be shown to be dedicated to the City on the final subdivision or partition plat prior to approval of the plat by the City; and
(3) For subdivision and partition applications which require off-site public utility easements to serve the proposed development, a utility easement must be granted to the City prior to approval of the final plat by the City. The City may elect to exercise eminent domain and condemn necessary off-site public utility easements at the applicant's request and expense. The City Council must determine when condemnation proceedings are to be used.
(4) For development applications other than subdivisions and partitions, and for both on-site and off-site easement areas, a utility easement must be granted to the City; building permits must not be issued for the development prior to acceptance of the easement by the City. The City may elect to exercise eminent domain and condemn necessary off-site public utility easements at the applicant's request and expense. The City Council must determine when condemnation proceedings are to be used.
(5) The width of the public utility easement must meet the requirements of the Public Works Construction Code. All subdivisions and partitions must have a 6-foot public utility easement adjacent to the street and a 5-foot public utility easement adjacent to all side and rear lot lines. Other easements may be required as determined by the City Manager.

Finding: Appendix E-Pre-Application Notes identifies an 8 -foot public utility easement to be provided along all street frontages. The Applicant will provide this PUE prior to issuance of building permits. This standard is met.

TDC 74.420. - Street Improvements.

When an applicant proposes to develop land adjacent to an existing or proposed street, including land which has been excluded under TDC 74.220, the applicant should be responsible for the improvements to the adjacent existing or proposed street that will bring the improvement of the street into conformance with the Transportation Plan (TDC Chapter 11), TDC 74.425 (Street Design Standards), and the City' s Public Works Construction Code, subject to the following provisions:
(1) For any development proposed within the City, roadway facilities within the right-of-way described in TDC 74.210 must be improved to standards as set out in the Public Works Construction Code.
(2) The required improvements may include the rebuilding or the reconstruction of any existing facilities located within the right-of-way adjacent to the proposed development to bring the facilities into compliance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(3) The required improvements may include the construction or rebuilding of off-site improvements which are identified to mitigate the impact of the development.
(4) Where development abuts an existing street, the improvement required must apply only to that portion of the street right-of-way located between the property line of the parcel proposed for development and the centerline of the right-of-way, plus any additional pavement beyond the centerline deemed necessary by the City Manager to ensure a smooth transition between a new improvement and the existing roadway (half-street improvement). Additional right-of-way and street improvements and off-site right-of-way and street improvements may be required by the City to mitigate the impact of the development. The new pavement must connect to the existing pavement at the ends of the section being improved by tapering in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(5) If additional improvements are required as part of the Access Management Plan of the City, TDC Chapter 75, the improvements must be required in the same manner as the half-street improvement requirements.
(6) All required street improvements must include curbs, sidewalks with appropriate buffering, storm drainage, street lights, street signs, street trees, and, where designated, bikeways and transit facilities.
(7) For subdivision and partition applications, the street improvements required by TDC Chapter $\underline{\mathbf{7 4}}$ must be completed and accepted by the City prior to signing the final subdivision or partition plat, or prior to releasing the security provided by the applicant to assure completion of such improvements or as otherwise specified in the development application approval.
(8) For development applications other than subdivisions and partitions, all street improvements required by this section must be completed and accepted by the City prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
(9) In addition to land adjacent to an existing or proposed street, the requirements of this section must apply to land separated from such a street only by a railroad right-of-way.
(10) Streets within, or partially within, a proposed development site must be graded for the entire right-of-way width and constructed and surfaced in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(11) Existing streets which abut the proposed development site must be graded, constructed, reconstructed, surfaced or repaired as necessary in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code and TDC Chapter 11, Transportation Plan, and TDC 74.425 (Street Design Standards).
(12) Sidewalks with appropriate buffering must be constructed along both sides of each internal street and at a minimum along the development side of each external street in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(13) The applicant must comply with the requirements of the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), Tri-Met, Washington County and Clackamas County when a proposed development site is adjacent to a roadway under any of their jurisdictions, in addition to the requirements of this chapter.
(14) The applicant must construct any required street improvements adjacent to parcels excluded from development, as set forth in TDC 74.220 of this chapter.
(15) Except as provided in TDC 74.430 , whenever an applicant proposes to develop land with frontage on certain arterial streets and, due to the access management provisions of TDC Chapter 75, is not allowed direct access onto the arterial, but instead must take access from another existing or future public street thereby providing an alternate to direct arterial access, the applicant must be required to construct and place at a minimum street signage, a sidewalk, street trees and street lights along that portion of the arterial street adjacent to the applicant's property. The three certain arterial streets are S.W. Tualatin-Sherwood Road, S.W. Pacific Highway (99W) and S.W. 124th Avenue. In addition, the applicant may be required to construct and place on the arterial at the intersection of the arterial and an existing or future public non-arterial street warranted traffic control devices (in accordance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, Iatest edition), pavement markings, street tapers and turning lanes, in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(16) The City Manager may determine that, although concurrent construction and placement of the improvements in (14) and (15) of this section, either individually or collectively, are impractical at the time of development, the improvements will be necessary at some future date. In such a case, the applicant must sign a written agreement guaranteeing future performance by the applicant and any successors in interest of the property being developed. The agreement must be subject to the City's approval.
(17) Intersections should be improved to operate at a level of service of at least D and E for signalized and unsignalized intersections, respectively.
(18) Pursuant to requirements for off-site improvements as conditions of development approval, proposed multi-family residential, commercial, or institutional uses that are adjacent to a major transit stop will be required to comply with the City's Mid-Block Crossing Policy.

Finding: As identified in Appendix D.5-Trip Generation Letter, the added units (minus the units being removed) are anticipated to add an additional 16 AM Peak trips and 18 PM Peak trips to the site. The other, existing 295 apartment units account for approximately 78 AM Peak trips and 104 PM Peak Trips, demonstrating that the increased trips are not anticipated to create a significant impact on the surrounding roadway system and therefore street improvements are not warranted as part of this site re-development. This standard is not applicable.

TDC 74.430. - Streets, Modifications of Requirements in Cases of Unusual Conditions.
(1) When, in the opinion of the City Manager, the construction of street improvements in accordance with TDC 74.420 would result in the creation of a hazard, or would be impractical, or would be detrimental to the City, the City Manager may modify the scope of the required improvement to eliminate such hazardous, impractical, or detrimental results. Examples of conditions requiring modifications to improvement requirements include but are not limited to horizontal alignment, vertical alignment, significant stands of trees, fish and wildlife habitat areas, the amount of traffic generated by the proposed development, timing of the development or other conditions creating hazards for pedestrian, bicycle or motor vehicle traffic. The City Manager may determine that,
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although an improvement may be impractical at the time of development, it will be necessary at some future date. In such cases, a written agreement guaranteeing future performance by the applicant in installing the required improvements must be signed by the applicant and approved by the City.
(2) When the City Manager determines that modification of the street improvement requirements in TDC 74.420 is warranted pursuant to subsection (1) of this section, the City Manager must prepare written findings of modification. The City Manager must forward a copy of said findings and description of modification to the applicant, or his authorized agent, as part of the Utility Facilities Review for the proposed development, as provided by TDC Chapter 32 (Procedures). The decision of the City Manager may be appealed to the City Council in accordance with TDC Chapter $\underline{32}$ (Procedures).
(3) To accommodate bicyclists on streets prior to those streets being upgraded to the full standards, an interim standard may be implemented by the City. These interim standards include reduction in motor vehicle lane width to ten feet (the minimum specified in AASHTO's A Policy on Geo-metric Design of Highways and Streets (1990)), a reduction of bike lane width to 4-feet (as measured from the longitudinal gutter joint to the centerline of the bike lane stripe), and a paint-striped separation two to four feet wide in lieu of a center turn lane. Where available roadway width does not provide for these minimums, the roadway can be signed for shared use by bicycle and motor vehicle travel. When width constraints occur at an intersection, bike lanes should terminate 50 feet from the intersection with appropriate signing.

Finding: TDC 74.430 grants the City Manager the ability to "modify the scope of the required improvement to eliminate such hazardous, impractical or detrimental results" based on "the amount of traffic generated by the proposed development". It is the Applicant's understanding based on Appendix D.5-Trip Generation Letter, that the amount of traffic that will be generated by the site redevelopment will not be significant enough to warrant right-of-way improvements adjacent to (or off-site from) this development, thus meeting this standard.

TDC 74.440. - Streets, Traffic Study Required.
(1) The City Manager may require a traffic study to be provided by the applicant and furnished to the City as part of the development approval process as provided by this Code, when the City Manager determines that such a study is necessary in connection with a proposed development project in order to:
(a) Assure that the existing or proposed transportation facilities in the vicinity of the proposed development are capable of accommodating the amount of traffic that is expected to be generated by the proposed development; and/or
(b) Assure that the internal traffic circulation of the proposed development will not result in conflicts between on-site parking movements and/or on-site loading movements and/or onsite traffic movements, or impact traffic on the adjacent streets.
(2) The required traffic study must be completed prior to the approval of the development application.
(3) The traffic study must include, at a minimum:
(a) An analysis of the existing situation, including the level of service on adjacent and impacted facilities.
(b) An analysis of any existing safety deficiencies.
(c) Proposed trip generation and distribution for the proposed development.
(d) Projected levels of service on adjacent and impacted facilities.
(e) Recommendation of necessary improvements to ensure an acceptable level of service for roadways and a level of service of at least $D$ and $E$ for signalized and unsignalized intersections respectively, after the future traffic impacts are considered.
(f) The City Manager will determine which facilities are impacted and need to be included in the study.
(g) The study must be conducted by a registered engineer.
(4) The applicant must implement all or a portion of the improvements called for in the traffic study as determined by the City Manager.

Finding: Appendix D.5-Trip Generation Letter finds that a full traffic study is not warranted with this site redevelopment application. This standard is met.

TDC 74.450. - Bikeways and Pedestrian Paths.
(1) Where proposed development abuts or contains an existing or proposed bikeway, pedestrian path, or multi-use path, as set forth in TDC Chapter 11, Transportation Figure 11-4, the City may require that a bikeway, pedestrian path, or multi-use path be constructed, and an easement or dedication provided to the City.
(2) Where required, bikeways and pedestrian paths must be provided as follows:
(a) Bike and pedestrian paths must be constructed and surfaced in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(b) The applicant must install the striping and signing of the bike lanes and shared roadway facilities, where designated.

Finding: The Applicant is not aware of bikeways or pedestrian paths abutting or within this site and as such, this standard is not applicable.

TDC 74.470. - Street Lights.
(1) Street light poles and luminaries must be installed in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(2) The applicant must submit a street lighting plan for all interior and exterior streets on the proposed development site prior to issuance of a Public Works Permit.

TDC 74.485. - Street Trees.
(1) Prior to approval of a residential subdivision or partition final plat, the applicant must pay the City a non-refundable fee equal to the cost of the purchase and installation of street trees. The location, placement, and cost of the trees must be determined by the City. This sum must be calculated on the interior and exterior streets as indicated on the final subdivision or partition plat. (2) In nonresidential subdivisions and partitions street trees must be planted by the owners of the individual lots as development occurs.
(3) The Street Tree Ordinance specifies the species of tree which is to be planted and the spacing between trees.

Finding: As stated above, right-of-way improvements are not warranted with this site redevelopment and therefore, neither are street lights or street trees. These standards are not applicable.

TDC 74.610. - Water Service.
(1) Water lines must be installed to serve each property in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code. Water line construction plans must be submitted to the City Manager for review and approval prior to construction.
(2) If there are undeveloped properties adjacent to the subject site, public water lines must be extended by the applicant to the common boundary line of these properties. The lines must be sized to provide service to future development, in accordance with the City's Water System Master Plan, TDC Chapter 12.
(3) As set forth is TDC Chapter 12, Water Service, the City has three water service levels. All development applicants must be required to connect the proposed development site to the service level in which the development site is located. If the development site is located on a boundary line between two service levels the applicant must be required to connect to the service level with the higher reservoir elevation. The applicant may also be required to install or provide pressure reducing valves to supply appropriate water pressure to the properties in the proposed development site.

TDC 74.620. - Sanitary Sewer Service.
(1) Sanitary sewer lines must be installed to serve each property in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code. Sanitary sewer construction plans and calculations must be submitted to the City Manager for review and approval prior to construction.
(2) If there are undeveloped properties adjacent to the proposed development site which can be served by the gravity sewer system on the proposed development site, the applicant must extend public sanitary sewer lines to the common boundary line with these properties. The lines must be sized to convey flows to include all future development from all up stream areas that can be expected to drain through the lines on the site, in accordance with the City's Sanitary Sewer System Master Plan, TDC Chapter 13.

Finding: Sheet C400 Utility Plan of Appendix C-Land Use Plans-Civil identifies water and sanitary sewer serving each unit and meeting city standards. This standard is met.

TDC 74.630. - Storm Drainage System.
(1) Storm drainage lines must be installed to serve each property in accordance with City standards. Storm drainage construction plans and calculations must be submitted to the City Manager for review and approval prior to construction.
(2) The storm drainage calculations must confirm that adequate capacity exists to serve the site. The discharge from the development must be analyzed in accordance with the City's Storm and Surface Water Regulations.
(3) If there are undeveloped properties adjacent to the proposed development site which can be served by the storm drainage system on the proposed development site, the applicant must extend storm drainage lines to the common boundary line with these properties. The lines must be sized to convey expected flows to include all future development from all up stream areas that will drain through the lines on the site, in accordance with the Tualatin Drainage Plan in TDC Chapter 14.

Finding: The Applicant proposes a storm drainage system meeting city standards. The plans currently identify a combination of infiltration planters and underground stormwater storage. However, Sheet C400 Utility Plan, of Appendix C-Land Use Plans-Civil identifies a potential stormwater facility at ground level that may be utilized (identified as "Stormwater Alternative: Infiltration Rain Garden"). The Applicant proposes to establish the final storm drainage system configuration at time of engineering review. The application includes Appendix D.6-Preliminary Stormwater Report, demonstrating compliance with city standards. This standard is met.

TDC 74.640. - Grading.
(1) Development sites must be graded to minimize the impact of storm water runoff onto adjacent properties and to allow adjacent properties to drain as they did before the new development.
(2) A development applicant must submit a grading plan showing that all lots in all portions of the development will be served by gravity drainage from the building crawl spaces; and that this development will not affect the drainage on adjacent properties. The City Manager may require the applicant to remove all excess material from the development site.

Finding: Sheet C500 of Appendix C-Land Use Plans-Civil identifies site grading meeting all applicable standards. This standard is met.

TDC 74.650. - Water Quality, Storm Water Detention and Erosion Control.
The applicant must comply with the water quality, storm water detention and erosion control requirements in the Surface Water Management Ordinance. If required:
(2) On all other development applications, prior to issuance of any building permit, the applicant must arrange to construct a permanent on-site water quality facility and storm water detention facility and submit a design and calculations indicating that the requirements of the Surface Water Management Ordinance will be met and obtain a Stormwater Connection Permit from Clean Water Services.
(3) For on-site private and regional non-residential public facilities, the applicant must submit a stormwater facility agreement, which will include an operation and maintenance plan provided by the City, for the water quality facility for the City's review and approval. The applicant must submit an erosion control plan prior to issuance of a Public Works Permit. No construction or disturbing of the site must occur until the erosion control plan is approved by the City and the required measures are in place and approved by the City.

Finding: As stated above, the Applicant intends to arrange to construct a permanent on-site water quality facility and storm water detention facility prior to issuance of any building permit. This standard is met.

TDC 74.660. - Underground.
(1) All utility lines including, but not limited to, those required for gas, electric, communication, lighting and cable television services and related facilities must be placed underground. Surfacemounted transformers, surface-mounted connection boxes and meter cabinets may be placed above ground. Temporary utility service facilities, high capacity electric and communication feeder lines, and utility transmission lines operating at 50,000 volts or above may be placed above ground. The
applicant must make all necessary arrangements with all utility companies to provide the underground services. The City reserves the right to approve the location of all surface-mounted transformers.
(2) Any existing overhead utilities may not be upgraded to serve any proposed development. If existing overhead utilities are not adequate to serve the proposed development, the applicant must, at their own expense, provide an underground system. The applicant must be responsible for obtaining any off-site deeds and/or easements necessary to provide utility service to this site; the deeds and/or easements must be submitted to the City Manager for acceptance by the City prior to issuance of the Public Works Permit.

Finding: All utilities located within the disturbance area of this site will be placed underground. No existing overhead utilities will be upgraded to serve the redevelopment. This standard is met.

TDC 74.670. - Existing Structures.
(1) Any existing structures requested to be retained by the applicant on a proposed development site must be connected to all available City utilities at the expense of the applicant.
(2) The applicant must convert any existing overhead utilities serving existing structures to underground utilities, at the expense of the applicant.
(3) The applicant must be responsible for continuing all required street improvements adjacent to the existing structure, within the boundaries of the proposed development site.

Finding: The existing apartments on site are connected to all available City utilities. All utilities serving the apartments are underground. The adjacent street improvements are complete. This standard is met.

TDC 74.720. - Protection of Trees During Construction.
(1) During the erection, repair, alteration or removal of a building or structure, it is unlawful for the person in charge of such erection, repair, alteration or removal to leave a tree in or upon a public right-of-way in the vicinity of the building or structure without a good and sufficient guard or protectors to prevent injury to the tree arising out of or by reason of such erection, repair, alteration or removal.
(2) Excavations and driveways must not be placed within six feet of a tree in or upon a public right-of-way without written permission from the City Manager. During excavation or construction, the person must guard the tree within six feet and all building material or other debris must be kept at least four feet from any tree.

Finding: Sheet C110 of Appendix C-Land Use Plans-Civil details the tree protection plan that is consistent with Appendix D.1-Arborist Report. This standard is met.

TDC 74.725. - Maintenance Responsibilities.
Trees, shrubs or plants standing in or upon a public right-of-way, on public or private grounds that have branches projecting into the public street or sidewalk must be kept trimmed by the owner of the property adjacent to or in front of where such trees, shrubs or plants are growing so that:
(1) The lowest branches are not less than 12 feet above the surface of the street, and are not be less than 14 feet above the surface of streets designated as state highways.
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(2) The lowest branches are not less than eight feet above the surface of a sidewalk or footpath.
(3) A plant, tree, bush or shrub must not be more than 24 inches in height in the triangular area at the street or highway corner of a corner lot, or the alley-street intersection of a lot, such an area defined by a line across the corner between the points on the street right-of-way line measured ten feet back from the corner, and extending the line to the street curbs or, if there are no curbs, then to that portion of the street or alley used for vehicular traffic.
(4) Newly planted trees may remain untrimmed if they do not interfere with street traffic or persons using the sidewalk or obstruct the light of a street electric lamp.
(5) Maintenance responsibilities of the property owner include repair and upkeep of the sidewalk in accordance with the City Sidewalk Maintenance Ordinance.

Finding: The Applicant acknowledges responsibility for maintenance of trees, shrubs and plants that stand or project into a public right-of-way. This standard is met.

## CHAPTER 75 - ACCESS MANAGEMENT

[City code text omitted for brevity]
Finding: As discussed previously, no changes are proposed to the existing access points to the site and as such, this standard is not applicable.

TDC 75.070. - Existing Driveways and Street Intersections.
(1) Existing driveways with access onto arterials on the date this chapter was originally adopted are allowed to remain. If additional development occurs on properties with existing driveways with access onto arterials then this Chapter applies and the entire site must be made to conform with the requirements of this chapter.
(2) The City Manager may restrict existing driveways and street intersections to right-in and rightout by construction of raised median barriers or other means.

Finding: No changes are proposed to the existing driveways. No additional driveways are proposed. This standard is met.

TDC 75.120. - Collector Streets Access Standards.
(1) Major Collectors. Direct access from newly constructed single family homes, duplexes or triplexes are not permitted. As major collectors in residential areas are fully improved, or adjacent land redevelops, direct access should be relocated to the nearest local street where feasible.
(2) Minor Collectors. Residential, commercial and industrial driveways where the frontage is greater or equal to $\mathbf{7 0}$ feet are permitted. Minimum spacing at 100 feet. Uses with less than 50 feet of frontage shall use a common (joint) access where available.
(3) If access is not able to be relocated to the nearest local street, the City Manager may allow interim access in accordance with 75.060 of this chapter to provide for the eventual implementation of the overall access plan.

Finding: This site has existing access onto Martinazzi, a minor collector at this location. The site has greater than 70 feet of frontage and the existing access is a minimum of 100 feet from the nearest access. This standard is met.

TDC 75.140. - Existing Streets Access Standards.
The following list describes in detail the freeways and arterials as defined in TDC 75.050 with respect to access. Recommendations are made for future changes in accesses and location of future accesses. These recommendations are examples of possible solutions and shall not be construed as limiting the City' s authority to change or impose different conditions if additional studies result in different recommendations from those listed below.
(14) SAGERT STREET.
(a) Martinazzi Avenue to 65th Avenue. No new driveways or streets shall be al-lowed, except the City Manager may allow one driveway from the SE corner lot of Sagert and Martinazzi. This driveway may be restricted to right-in, right-out.

Finding: This site has existing access onto Sagert Street. No new access is proposed to this portion of Sagert Street. This standard is met.

## TUALATIN MUNICIPAL CODE

TITLE 3- UTILITIES AND WATER QUALITY
CHAPTER 3-02 - SEWER REGULATIONS; RATES

TMC 3-2-020 - Application, Permit and Inspection Procedure. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-2-030 - Materials and Manner of Construction. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-2-040 - Restrictions As to Use of Sanitary Sewer System. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-2-060 - Use of Public Sewers Required. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-2-160 - Construction Standards. [City code text omitted for brevity]

Finding: Compliance with the applicable City of Tualatin and Clean Water Services standards for sanitary sewer will be demonstrated at the time of building and construction permit applications. The applicable standards will be met. Refer to the TDC 74.620 response and utility drawings in the application, as well as Appendix D.7-Clean Water Services Service Provider Letter, for additional information. This standard is met.

## CHAPTER 3-03 - WATER SERVICE

TMC 3-3-040 - Separate Services Required. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-3-050 - Regular Service. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-3-080 - Fire Protection Service. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-3-100 - Meters. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-3-110 - Construction Standards. [City code text omitted for brevity]
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TMC 3-3-120 - Backflow Prevention Devices and Cross Connections. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-3-130 - Control Valves. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-3-240 - Construction. [City code text omitted for brevity]

Finding: Compliance with the applicable City of Tualatin standards for water service will be demonstrated at the time of building and construction permit applications. Refer to the TDC 74.610 response and utility drawings in the application, Sheet FS-1 of Appendix CLand Use Plans-Civil and Appendix D.8-TVF\&R Service Provider Letter for additional information. This standard is met.

## CHAPTER 3-05 - SOIL EROSION, SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT, WATER QUALITY FACILITIES, AND BUILDING AND SEWERS

TMC 3-5-040 - Erosion Prohibited. [City code text omitted for brevity]
TMC 3-5-050 - Erosion Control Permits. [City code text omitted for brevity]
TMC 3-5-060 - Permit Process. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-5-090 - Physical Erosion. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-5-110 - Air Pollution—Dust, Fumes, Smoke and Odors. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-5-120 - Maintaining Water Quality. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-5-130 - Fish and Wildlife Habitat. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-5-140 - Control of Noise Levels. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-5-150 - Natural Vegetation. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-5-160 - Historical and Archeological Areas. [City code text omitted for brevity]
TMC 3-5-170 - Pesticides, Fertilizers. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-5-180 - Contaminated Soils. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-5-190 - Soil Erosion Control Matrix and Methods. [City code text omitted for brevity]

Finding: Compliance with the applicable City of Tualatin standards for erosion control will be demonstrated at the time of building and construction permit applications. Refer to Sheet C310 of Appendix C-Land Use Plans-Civil for further details. This standard is met.

## ADDITIONAL SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT STANDARDS

TMC 3-5-200 - Downstream Protection Requirement. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-5-210 - Review of Downstream System. [City code text omitted for brevity]
TMC 3-5-220 - Criteria for Requiring On-Site Detention to be Constructed. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-5-230 - On-Site Detention Design Criteria. [City code text omitted for brevity]
TMC 3-5-240 - On-Site Detention Design Method. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-5-250 - Floodplain Design Standards. [City code text omitted for brevity]
TMC 3-5-260 - Floodway Design Standards. [City code text omitted for brevity]
TMC 3-5-280 - Placement of Water Quality Facilities. [City code text omitted for brevity]

Finding: Compliance with the applicable City of Tualatin standards for surface water management will be demonstrated at the time of building and construction permit applications. This standard is met.

## PERMANENT ON-SITE WATER QUALITY FACILITIES

TMC 3-5-330 - Permit Required. [City code text omitted for brevity]
TMC 3-5-340 - Facilities Required. [City code text omitted for brevity]
TMC 3-5-345- Inspection Reports. [City code text omitted for brevity]
TMC 3-5-350 - Phosphorous Removal Standard. [City code text omitted for brevity]
TMC 3-5-360 - Design Storm. [City code text omitted for brevity]

TMC 3-5-370 - Design Requirements. [City code text omitted for brevity]
TMC 3-5-390 - Facility Permit Approval. [City code text omitted for brevity]
TMC 3-5-430 - Placement of Water Quality Facilities. [City code text omitted for brevity]

Finding: Compliance with the applicable City of Tualatin standards for on-site water quality facilities will be demonstrated at the time of building and construction permit applications. As stated previously in this narrative in TDC 74.630, the Applicant is considering below surface and above ground water quality treatment and storage. This standard is met.

## STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR BUILDING AND SIDE SEWERS

TMC 3-5-440 - General Provisions. [City code text omitted for brevity]
TMC 3-5-450 - Building Sewers. [City code text omitted for brevity]
TMC 3-5-460 - Installation of Side Sewers. [City code text omitted for brevity]
Finding: All sewers will be built and installed to city standards as demonstrated at the time of building and construction permit applications. This standard is met.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Based upon the materials submitted herein, the Applicant respectfully requests approval from the Tualatin Architectural Review Board for this Type III Architectural Review application and associated Tree Removal Permit.
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## PROJECT SUMMARY

Approximate Lot Area: 727,859 sq.ft. | 16.7 acres
Zoning Designation: RMH (Medium High Density)
Maximum Density: 250 units (at 15 units/acre) Minimum Density: 183 units (at 11 units/acre)
Minimum Open Space Requirements: 450 sq.ft./dwelling unit
breakdown-
breakdown-
Common Space Required: 300 sq.ft./d.u.
Common Space Required: 300 sq.ft./d.u.
Children Play Area Required: 150 sq.ft./d.u
does not apply to duplexes/townhomes
*the following numbers are estimates*
As Built Project Stats:
Number of Units: 211
Number of Parking Spaces: 372
Coverage Provided: 85,251 sq.ft. ( $12 \%$ )
Common Space Required. 87750 sq.
Common Space Required: 87,750 sq.ft.
All Open Space (inlcuding landscape): $\sim 246,473$ sq.ft.
Proposal Project Stats:
*proposing to demolish two existing buildings
Number of Units: 240
Number of Buildings: 36
Coverage Provided: 90,223 sq.ft. (12\%)
Shared Outdoor Area Required: 108,000 sq.t. reakdown--Children Play Area Required: 36,000 sq.ft.
Shared Outdoor Area Provided: 122,521 sq.ft breakdown
Common Space Provided: 83,776 sq.ft. Children Play Area Provided: 36,000 sq.ft.
Approximate Landscaping Area: 245,007 sq.ft. (33\%)
key
$\square$ LandscapinCommon Space
$\square$ Children Play Area

SITE PLAN
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| Jов No. $\quad$ 127.013 |
| :--- | :--- |
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SITE
PERSPECTIVE


SITE
PERSPECTIVE

ALDEN APARTMENTS - TUALATIN, OREGON
COLRICH COMMUNITIES
scale: n.t.

DATE 09.01.2022 | DATE | 09.0.1.2022 |
| :--- | :--- |
| I27.013 |  |
| JOB NO. |  | ${ }^{5} 505 \mathrm{~W}$, Broadway, Ste 1080




FRONT
PERSPECTIVE


REAR
PERSPECTIVE

505 W, Broadway, Ste 108
Sos Wi. Broadway, Ste
San
858.350 .0544


1. FIBER CEMENT LAP SIDING - 6" EXPOSURE
2. FIBER CEMENT BOARD AND BATTEN SIDING
3. COMPOSITION ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOFING
4.VINYL WINDOW
5.ORNAMENTAL WOOD KICKER
4. WOOD POST
7.METAL DECK RAILING 8. METAL ENTRY AWNING
5. META SECTONAL GARAGE DOOR 11. DECORATIVE ENTRY LIGHT 12. PATIO WALL WITH METAL GATE 13. UTILITIES

BUILDING TYPE A -3-PLEX ELEVATIONS

(A) FRONT EXTERIOR ELEVATION

(C) REAR EXTERIOR ELEVATION

(B) LEFT EXTERIOR ELEVATION

(D) RIGHT EXTERIOR ELEVATION

KEYNOTES:

1. FIBER CEMENT LAP SIDING - $6^{\prime \prime}$ EXPOSURE
2. FIBER CEMENT BOARD AND BATTEN SIDING - 16" O.C.
3. COMPOSITION ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOFING
4.VINYL WINDOW
5.ORNAMENTAL W
4. ORNAMENTAL
6.WOOD POST
7.METAL DECK RAILING
5. METAL ENTRY AWNING 9.METAL SECTIONAL GARAGE DOOR 10. FIBERGLASS ENTRY DOOR
6. DECORATIVE ENTRY LIGH 12. PATIO WALL WITH METAL GATE 13. UTILITIES

BUILDING TYPE B -
4-PLEX ELEVATIONS

(A) FRONT EXTERIOR ELLEVation

(C) REAR EXTERIOR ELEVATION

(B) Left Exterior elevation


D RIGHT EXTERIOR ELEVATION
KEYNOTES:

1. FIBER CEMENT LAP SIDING - $6^{\prime \prime}$ EXPOSURE
2. FIEER CEMENT BOARD AND BATTEN SIDING - 16" 0.C
3. COMPOSITION ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOFING
4.VINYL WINDOW
4. ORNAMENTAL
6.WOOD POST
5. METAL DECK RAILING 8. METAL ENTRY AWNING 9. METAL SECTIONAL GARAGE DOOR 11. DECORATIVE ENTRY LIGHT 12. PATIO WALL WITH METAL GATE 13. UTILITIES
$\qquad$ 1 EXTERIOR SIDING 1
SMOOTH FIBER CEMENT SIDING - 6" REVEAL
Extra White SW 7006 by Sherwin Williams


2 EXTERIOR SIDING 2
SMOOTH FIBER CEMENT SIDING -
BOARD AND BATTEN
Iron Ore SW 7069 by Sherwin Williams


3 EXTERIOR SIDING 3
CEDARMILL FIBER CEMENT SIDING 6" REVEAL
Mountain Cedar by Woodtone

4 ACCENT COLOR 1
ENTRY DOOR
Seaworthy SW 7620 by Sherwin Williams


5 ROOFING
COMPOSITION SHINGLE ROOF
Driftwood by Owens Corning

COLORS +
MATERIALS

(A)

PERSPECTIVE AT BUILDINGS J1 \& K1

## KEYNOTES:

1. EXISTING LANDSCAPE AND HARDSCAPE TO REMAIN
2. EXISTING BUILDINGS TO REMAIN
3. NEW CARPORT STRUCTURE BY OTHERS, STANDING SEAM METAL ROOF O/ ROOF DECK, PAINT COLOR T.B.D.
4. EXISTING PARKING TO BE RESTRIPED

(C)

ROOF PLAN PER MANUF.


B SECTION PER MANUF

SITE CARPORT

ALDEN APARTMENTS - TUALATIN, OREGON COLRICH COMMUNITIES
sCale NTS

| DATE | 09.0 .12022 |
| :--- | :--- |

505 W Broadway Ste 1080


A11

(3) UNIT PLAN A - THIRD FLOOR


UNIT FLOOR PLANS

(3) UNIT PLAN B - THIRD FLOOR

$2 \frac{\text { UNIT PLAN B - SECOND FLOOR }}{1 / 4^{\prime \prime}=1-1.00^{\prime \prime}}$

(1) UNIT PLAN B - FIRST FLOOR

(2) ${ }_{\left.118^{8}=1.0\right)^{\prime}}^{\text {BUILDING TYPE A - 3-PLEX - FIRST FLOOR }}$

(3) $\frac{\text { BUILDING TYPE A }}{1 / 8^{n}=1-0.0}$ - 3-PLEX - THIRD FLOOR

高
$\qquad$

(1) $\frac{\text { BUILDING }}{1 / 8^{\circ}=1-00^{\prime \prime}}$ TYPE A - 3-PLEX - SECOND FLOOR

BUILDING TYPE A -
3-PLEX (BLDGS O2,
P2, Q2, R2)


BUILDING TYPE B -4-PLEX (BLDGS K2,
L2, M2, N2, R1, S2,
T2)



3) $\frac{\text { BUILD }}{1 / 8^{" 1}=-0.0}$ TING TYPE C - 5-PLEX - THIRD FLOOR



# Alden Apartments - Tualatin, Oregon Tree Assessment Report August 24, 2022 

MHA22026

## Purpose

This Tree Assessment Report for the Alden Apartments project site located at 7800 SW Sagert Street in Tualatin, Oregon, is provided pursuant to City of Tualatin Development Code (TDC) Chapter 33.110. This report describes the existing trees located on the project site, as well as recommendations for tree removal, retention and protection during construction. This report is based on observations made by International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) Board Certified Master Arborist (PN-6145B) and Qualified Tree Risk Assessor Morgan Holen during a site visit conducted on July 27, 2022 and subsequent coordination with the design team.

## Scope of Work and Limitations

Morgan Holen \& Associates, LLC, was contracted by 3J Consulting to collect tree inventory data, assist 3J Consulting in developing the tree preservation plan drawing, and prepare this tree assessment report.

Prior to our fieldwork, an existing conditions survey was provided by 3 J Consulting illustrating the location of existing trees and tree survey point numbers. The survey crew physically marked the existing trees with numbered aluminum tree tags corresponding with each survey point number. We performed Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) on each surveyed tree. VTA is the standard process whereby the inspector visually assesses the tree from a distance and up close, looking for defect symptoms and evaluating overall condition and vitality of individual trees. Trees were evaluated in terms of species, size, general condition and potential construction impacts. This level of assessment does not constitute a tree risk assessment.

Following our fieldwork, the tree inventory data was submitted to 3J Consulting to develop the proposed tree preservation plan. The driplines of individual trees are plotted to scale on the drawing based on our crown radius data in order to identify the critical root zone of each tree. We coordinated with 3J Consulting to review and comment on several iterations of the tree preservation plan; this coordination included recommendations for tree removal and protection, as well as site plan modifications to allow for tree protection. The final plan is described in this report.

The client may choose to accept or disregard the recommendations contained herein, or seek additional advice. Neither this author nor Morgan Holen \& Associates, LLC, have assumed any responsibility for liability associated with the trees on or adjacent to this site.

## General Description

The site is an existing apartment complex with trees scattered around parking lots, buildings, and small open space areas. Most of the trees appear to have been planted for landscaping purposes. The project proposes the addition of 12 new apartment buildings with drive aisles and sidewalks.

In all, 88 trees were inventoried, including two trees measuring smaller than eight inches in diameter (\#8417 and \#8435) and 86 trees measuring eight inches and larger in diameter, the City's threshold diameter for regulated trees. Thirteen different tree species were identified. Table 1 provides a summary of the number of inventoried trees by species.

Table 1. Number of Inventoried Trees by Species - Alden Apartments, Tualatin.

| Common Name | Species Name | Total | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Austrian pine | Pinus nigra | $\mathbf{2}$ | $9 \%$ |
| Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $13 \%$ |
| English hawthorn | Crataegus monogyna | $\mathbf{2}$ | $2 \%$ |
| London plane | Platanus $\times$ acerifolia | $\mathbf{3}$ | $3 \%$ |
| Norway maple | Acer platanoides | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $20 \%$ |
| paper birch | Betula papyrifera | $\mathbf{2}$ | $2 \%$ |
| red oak | Quercus rubra | $\mathbf{9}$ | $10 \%$ |
| scarlet oak | Quercus coccinea | $\mathbf{8}$ | $5 \%$ |
| serviceberry | Amelanchier alnifolia | $\mathbf{1}$ | $1 \%$ |
| shore pine | Pinus contorta | $\mathbf{4}$ | $5 \%$ |
| silver maple | Acer saccharinum | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $13 \%$ |
| sweet cherry | Prunus avium | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $16 \%$ |
| weeping willow | Salix babylonica | $\mathbf{1}$ | $1 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{8 8}$ | $100 \%$ |

Trees widely accepted as being invasive species in our region were most common, accounting for 34 (39\%) of the inventoried trees, including: two English hawthorns (Crataegus monogyna) and 14 sweet cherries (Prunus avium - including the two trees smaller than eight inches) that appear to have sprouted from natural regeneration; and, 18 Norway maples (Acer platanoides) that appear to have been planted for landscaping purposes. The other 54 (61\%) trees include a diverse mix of species that appear to have been planted for landscaping purposes. In terms of general condition, 64 ( $73 \%$ ) trees are in fair condition, while two (2\%) are dead, $10(11 \%)$ are in poor condition, and $12(14 \%)$ are in good condition.

A complete description of individual trees is provided in the enclosed tree data.

## Tree Plan Recommendations

Prior to preparation of this report we coordinated with 3J Consulting, Inc. in regard to the best existing trees and potential construction impacts, and reviewed and considered the approval criteria identified in the Tualatin Development Code Section 33.110 .5 which requires a detailed justification for proposed tree removal. The enclosed tree data and this written report address the relevant criteria.

The two invasive sweet cherries smaller than eight inches in diameter are both planned for removal because of poor structure including extensive ivy and unbalanced crowns; however, these trees are too small to be regulated by the City's tree removal requirements.

Of the 86 regulated trees, 37 are planned for retention with tree protection measures. The tree preservation plan depicts the location of tree protection fencing and tree protection specifications are provided at the end of this report. The other 49 trees are planned for removal with the proposed development. Note that there are numerous other existing trees located on the Alden Apartments property which are well beyond the limits of proposed work and unaffected by the project.

Individual trees recommended for removal were assigned a reason for removal (shown for each tree to be removed under "criteria" in the enclosed tree inventory data) based on the City's tree removal criteria as follows:

## Approval Criteria for Tree Removal per TDC 33.110.5:

(a) An applicant must satisfactorily demonstrate that at least one of the following criteria are met:
(i) The tree is diseased and:
(A) The disease threatens the structural integrity of the tree; or
(B) The disease permanently and severely diminishes the esthetic value of the tree; or
(C) The continued retention of the tree could result in other trees being infected with a disease that threatens either their structural integrity or esthetic value.
(i) The tree represents a hazard which may include but not be limited to:
(A) The tree is in danger of falling; or
(B) Substantial portions of the tree are in danger of falling.
(ii) It is necessary to remove the tree to construct proposed improvements based on Architectural Review approval, building permit, or approval of a Subdivision or Partition Review.
(b) If none of the conditions in TDC 33.110(5)(a) are met, the certified arborist must evaluate the condition of each tree.
(i) Evergreen Trees. An evergreen tree which meets any of the following criteria as determined by a certified arborist will not be required to be retained:
(A) Trunk Condition-extensive decay and hollow; or
(B) Crown Development-unbalanced and lacking a full crown;
(ii) Deciduous Trees. A deciduous tree which meets any of the following criteria as determined by a certified arborist will not be required to be retained:
(A) Trunk Condition-extensive decay and hollow;
(B) Crown Development-unbalanced and lacking a full crown; or
(C) Structure-Two or more dead limbs.

Removal of 35 of the 49 regulated trees planned for removal is necessary to construct proposed improvements. The tree preservation plan shows that these trees are within the footprint of proposed buildings, drive aisles, sidewalks and retaining wall or within areas of required grading with severe impacts within critical root zones. The other 14 regulated trees planned for removal are all deciduous trees with poor crown development or poor structure including 13 invasive species trees and one 29inch diameter silver maple (Acer saccharinum), tree \#1122. Tree \#1122 is in poor condition and with very poor structure including multiple upright leaders, a history of branch failure, and numerous epicormic sprouts; it is not suitable for preservation with removal of the row of trees to the south which are all well within the proposed building footprint.

Table 2 provides a summary of the number of inventoried trees planned for retention and removal.

Table 2. Number of Inventoried Trees by Proposed Treatment - Alden Apartments, Tualatin.

| Treatment |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Retain | Total | Percent |
| Remove | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ |
| Remove to Construct Proposed Improvements (criteria a-iii) | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ |
| Remove for Poor Crown Development (criteria b-ii-B) | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ |  |
| Remove for Poor Structure (criteria b-ii-C) | 8 | $9 \%$ |
| Trees <8" DBH Planned for Removal Two or more dead limbs | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| Percent of Total | $\mathbf{8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |

## Tree Protection Recommendations

Trees recommended for preservation will need special consideration to assure their protection during construction. We coordinated with 3J Consulting to specify the proposed location of tree protection fencing, which is proposed at the dripline of protected trees where feasible and with very minor encroachments at the outer edges of critical root zones otherwise. The following tree protection specifications are consistent with the tree preservation standards provided in TDC 73B.080 and should be copied onto construction documents as direction to the contractor.

## Tree Protection Specifications

1. Preconstruction Conference. The project arborist shall be on site to discuss methods of tree removal and tree protection prior to any construction.
2. Protection Fencing. All trees to be retained shall be protected by 5 -foot-tall metal fencing secured to steel posts placed no further than 8 -feet apart and shall be installed as depicted on the tree preservation plan. Trees located farther than 30 -feet from construction activity do not require tree protection fencing.
3. Tree Protection Zone Maintenance. The protection fencing shall not be moved, removed, or entered by equipment except under direction of the project arborist. The contractor shall not store materials or equipment within the TPZ.
4. Erosion Control. Beneath the dripline of protected trees, erosion control fencing shall not be trenched in per manufacturer's specifications to avoid root impacts. Instead, alternative means of erosion control are required, such as wrapping the base of silt fencing around a straw wattle and staking the wattle into the ground or using compost socks or straw wattles staked into the ground in lieu of silt fencing.
5. Crown Pruning. The project arborist can help identify where crown pruning is necessary to provide construction clearance and remove dead and defective branches for safety once trees planned for removal have been removed and the site is staked and prepared for construction. Pruning shall be performed by a Qualified Tree Service and conducted in accordance with ANSI A300 pruning standards and ISA Best Management Practices for pruning.
6. Excavation. Excavation beneath protected tree driplines shall be avoided if alternatives are available. If excavation is unavoidable, the project arborist shall evaluate the proposed excavation to determine methods to minimize impacts to trees. Root pruning shall be directed and documented by the project arborist.
7. Landscaping. Following construction and where landscaping is desired, apply approximately 3 -inches of mulch beneath the dripline of protected trees, but not directly against tree trunks. If irrigation is used, use drip irrigation installed at native grade only (no trenching) beneath the driplines of protected trees.

Please contact us if you have questions or need any additional information. Thank you for choosing Morgan Holden \& Associates, LLC, to provide consulting arborist services for the Alden Apartments project in Tualatin.

Thank you,
Morgan Hole \& Associates, LLC


Morgan E. Holden, Member
ISA Board Certified Master Arborist, PN-6145B
ISA Tree Risk Assessment Qualified
Forest Biologist
Enclosures: MHA22026 Alden Apartments - Tree Data 07-27-2022

| No. | Sheet | Common Name | Species Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{2}$ | Cond ${ }^{3}$ | Comments | Treatment | Criteria ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1044 | 1 | Austrian pine | Pinus nigra | 18 | 14 | F | Codominant stems with included bark, ivy | Retain |  |
| 1057 | 1 | red oak | Quercus rubra | 28 | 18 | F | Multiple leaders, upright crown, numerous epicormic sprouts | Remove | a-iii |
| 1062 | 1 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 22 | F | Dead branches | Retain |  |
| 1063 | 1 | red oak | Quercus rubra | 20 | 22 | F | Codominant leaders, dead branches, epicormic sprouts | Retain |  |
| 1064 | 1 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 20 | F | Lower trunk swelling, crown asymmetry | Retain |  |
| 1065 | 1 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 16 | F | Crown asymmetry | Retain |  |
| 1066 | 1 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | F | Crook in lower trunk, high live crown | Retain |  |
| 1078 | 1 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 19 | 24 | F | Codominant leaders, one-sided crown with lean west | Remove | b-ii-B |
| 1079 | 1 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 10 | 14 | F | Small and high live crown | Remove | b-ii-B |
| 1081 | 1 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 15 | 16 | F | Mostly one-sided crown to north | Remove | a-iii |
| 1085 | 1 | scarlet oak | Quercus coccinea | 32 | 30 | F | History of branch failure, dead branches, high live crown, large diameter scaffold leaders, numerous epicormic sprouts, extensive ivy | Remove | a-iii |
| 1086 | 1 | scarlet oak | Quercus coccinea | 29 | 22 | F | History of branch failure, dead branches, high live crown, large diameter scaffold leaders, numerous epicormic sprouts, extensive ivy | Remove | a-iii |
| 1110 | 2 | silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 40 | 35 | F | History of large branch failure, poor crown structure, numerous epicormic sprouts, expansive surface roots | Remove | a-iii |
| 1111 | 2 | silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 14 | 6 | P | Small and high live crown | Remove | a-iii |

Morgan Holen \& Associates
Consulting Arborists and Urban Forest Management
3 Monroe Parkway, Suite P220, Lake Oswego, OR 97035
morgan@mholen.com | 971.409.9354

| No. | Sheet | Common Name | Species Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{2}$ | Cond ${ }^{3}$ | Comments | Treatment | Criteria ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1115 | 2 | silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 28 | 42 | F | Multiple attachments, included bark, numerous epicormic sprouts, expansive surface roots | Remove | a-iii |
| 1122 | 2 | silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 29 | 24 | P | Multiple upright leaders, history of branch failure, numerous epicormic sprouts; not suitable for retention with adjacent tree removal | Remove | b-ii-B |
| 1297 | 3 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 19 | 22 | G | Crimson King variety | Remove | a-iii |
| 1380 | 3 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 14 | 17 | F | Crook in lower trunk, self-correcting lean, some twig dieback and small broken branches | Retain |  |
| 1381 | 3 | scarlet oak | Quercus coccinea | 28 | 32 | F | History of large branch failure, numerous epicormic sprouts | Remove | a-iii |
| 1382 | 3 | scarlet oak | Quercus coccinea | 30 | 18 | F | Codominant leaders with included bark and tight attachment | Remove | a-iii |
| 1494 | 2 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 18 | 18 | F | Crimson King variety, surrounded by dense invasive vegetation | Retain |  |
| 1497 | 2 | silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 23 | 28 | F | Multiple leaders, history of branch failure, surrounded by dense invasive vegetation | Retain |  |
| 1499 | 2 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 18 | 12 | F |  | Retain |  |
| 1502 | 2 | Austrian pine | Pinus nigra | 16 | 16 | F | Multiflora rose infesting crown | Retain |  |
| 1504 | 2 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 16 | 14 | F | Codominant stems with included bark | Retain |  |
| 1506 | 2 | silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 31 | 30 | F | History of branch failure, multiple upright leaders with included bark | Retain |  |
| 1508 | 2 | silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 18 | 20 | F | History of branch failure, poor crown structure | Retain |  |
| 1511 | 2 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 16 | 20 | F | Crimson King variety, self-correcting lean | Retain |  |

Morgan Fiolen \& Associates
Consulting Arborists and Urban Forest Management
3 Monroe Parkway, Suite P220, Lake Oswego, OR 97035
morgan@mholen.com | 971.409.9354

| No. | Sheet | Common Name | Species Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{2}$ | Cond ${ }^{3}$ | Comments | Treatment | Criteria ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1513 | 2 | silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 32 | 34 | F | Multiple stems and leaders with included bark | Retain |  |
| 1519 | 3 | Austrian pine | Pinus nigra | 14 | 12 | F | Dead and broken branches, surrounded by dense invasive vegetation, multiflora rose growing up trunk | Retain |  |
| 1521 | 3 | Austrian pine | Pinus nigra | 16 | 14 | F | Surrounded by dense invasive vegetation, multiflora rose growing up trunk | Retain |  |
| 1524 | 3 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 20 | 24 | G |  | Retain |  |
| 1673 | 2 | silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 34 | 18 | F | History of large branch failure, poor crown structure, numerous epicormic sprouts | Remove | a-iii |
| 1674 | 2 | silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 26 | 32 | F | Multiple attachments, history of branch failure, mostly one-sided crown to west with excessive lean and crown weight | Remove | a-iii |
| 1675 | 2 | silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 26 | 30 | F | Codominant stems, one failed leaving large torn wound, other with codominant leaders | Remove | a-iii |
| 1676 | 2 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 22 | 32 | F | Multiple leaders | Retain |  |
| 1682 | 2 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 28 | G |  | Remove | a-iii |
| 1683 | 2 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 20 | G | Trunk is off-center at about 25' a.g.l. | Remove | a-iii |
| 1685 | 2 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 18 | G |  | Remove | a-iii |
| 1687 | 2 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 15 | 16 | F | Some dieback | Retain |  |
| 1689 | 2 | weeping willow | Salix babylonica | 7,14,20 | 30 | F | Codominant stems, one-sided with lean south, crossing and rubbing branches | Retain |  |
| 1692 | 2 | shore pine | Pinus contorta | 15,17 | 12 | F | Codominant stems and leaders, one with previous top failure, sequoia pitch moth | Retain |  |
| 1693 | 2 | Austrian pine | Pinus nigra | 21 | 16 | F | Codominant stems and leaders with included bark and tight attachments | Retain |  |

Morgan Fiolen \& Associates
Consulting Arborists and Urban Forest Management
3 Monroe Parkway, Suite P220, Lake Oswego, OR 97035
morgan@mholen.com | 971.409.9354

| No. | Sheet | Common Name | Species Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{2}$ | Cond ${ }^{3}$ | Comments | Treatment | Criteria ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1694 | 2 | Austrian pine | Pinus nigra | 2×22 | 20 | F | Codominant stems with included bark | Retain |  |
| 2001 | add | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 9,14 | 14 | F | Suppressed spur leader, crown asymmetry | Retain |  |
| 2329 | 2 | English hawthorn | Crataegus monogyna | 8,2×14 | 18 | F | Codominant stems | Retain |  |
| 2334 | 2 | serviceberry | Amelanchier alnifolia | 7,8,12 | 18 | F | Multiple stems, surrounded by dense invasive vegetation, ivy up trunks | Retain |  |
| 2459 | 1 | London plane | Platanus $\times$ acerifolia | 32 | 26 | G | Expansive surface roots | Remove | a-iii |
| 2460 | 1 | paper birch | Betula papyrifera | 22 | 28 | G |  | Remove | a-iii |
| 7544 | 1 | shore pine | Pinus contorta | 13 | 18 | F | One-sided crown to south | Remove | a-iii |
| 7545 | 1 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 17 | 22 | G |  | Remove | a-iii |
| 7546 | 1 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 18 | 26 | G |  | Remove | a-iii |
| 7547 | 1 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 24 | F | Self-correcting lean, spur leader, history of branch failure, reduced vigor | Remove | a-iii |
| 7549 | 1 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 10 | 7 | F |  | Remove | a-iii |
| 7550 | 1 | shore pine | Pinus contorta | 15 | 10 | F | Codominant leaders, dead lower branches | Remove | a-iii |
| 7551 | 1 | shore pine | Pinus contorta | 14 | 10 | F | Dead branches, small and high live crown | Remove | a-iii |
| 7552 | 1 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 13 | 11 | F | Self-correcting lean, spur leader, history of branch failure, reduced vigor | Remove | a-iii |
| 7553 | 1 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 16 | F | Crown asymmetry | Remove | a-iii |
| 7554 | 1 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 26 | 22 | F | Missing bark 0-5' south face, codominant leaders, large and expansive surface roots | Retain |  |
| 7556 | 1 | Austrian pine | Pinus nigra | 24 | 26 | F | Crown asymmetry, crooked leader | Retain |  |
| 7557 | 1 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 22 | 0 | D | Dead | Remove | b-ii-C |
| 7558 | 1 | Austrian pine | Pinus nigra | 20 | 20 | F | Codominant stems with included bark, codominant leaders | Retain |  |
| 7559 | 1 | red oak | Quercus rubra | 25 | 30 | F | Numerous epicormic sprouts | Remove | a-iii |
| 7560 | 1 | red oak | Quercus rubra | 39 | 44 | G | Codominant stems with included bark | Remove | a-iii |
| 7561 | 1 | red oak | Quercus rubra | 31 | 22 | G | Crown asymmetry | Remove | a-iii |

Morgan Holen \& Associates
Consulting Arborists and Urban Forest Management
3 Monroe Parkway, Suite P220, Lake Oswego, OR 97035
morgan@mholen.com | 971.409.9354

| No. | Sheet | Common Name | Species Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{2}$ | Cond ${ }^{3}$ | Comments | Treatment | Criteria ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8317 | 1 | paper birch | Betula papyrifera | 17 | 22 | P | Twig dieback, poor crown structure with leaning over-extended lateral limbs | Remove | a-iii |
| 8318 | 2 | London plane | Platanus $\times$ acerifolia | 22 | 22 | F | Reduced vigor, expansive surface roots | Remove | a-iii |
| 8319 | 2 | London plane | Platanus $\times$ acerifolia | 29 | 23 | F | Codominant stems, one topped leader | Remove | a-iii |
| 8320 | 1 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 22 | 24 | G | Codominant leaders, very large and expansive surface root extending west | Remove | a-iii |
| 8401 | 1 | red oak | Quercus rubra | $2 \times 22$ | 14 | F | Codominant stems, upright crown, numerous epicormic sprouts | Retain |  |
| 8403 | 1 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 8 | 12 | F | One-sided crown with lean east | Retain |  |
| 8405 | 1 | red oak | Quercus rubra | 25 | 22 | F | Codominant leaders, numerous epicormic sprouts | Retain |  |
| 8407 | 1 | red oak | Quercus rubra | 25 | 15 | F | Upright crown, numerous epicormic sprouts | Retain |  |
| 8408 | 1 | red oak | Quercus rubra | 24 | 14 | F | Self-correcting lean, numerous epicormic sprouts | Retain |  |
| 8411 | 1 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 32 | 18 | F | Self-correcting lean, crown asymmetry | Retain |  |
| 8416 | 2 | English hawthorn | Crataegus monogyna | 7,8 | 12 | F | Invasive species; extensive ivy | Remove | b-ii-B |
| 8417 | 2 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 7 | 10 | F | Invasive species; small and high live crown, ivy | Remove | b-ii-B |
| 8418 | 2 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 10 | 8 | F | Invasive species; small and high live crown, ivy | Remove | b-ii-B |
| 8419 | 2 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 10 | 14 | F | Invasive species | Remove | a-iii |
| 8420 | 2 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 12 | 8 | P | Invasive species; mostly dead | Remove | b-ii-C |
| 8421 | 2 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 10 | 8 | P | Invasive species; mostly dead | Remove | b-ii-C |
| 8422 | 2 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 7,8 | 10 | P | Invasive species; very extensive ivy infestation | Remove | b-ii-B |


| No. | Sheet | Common Name | Species Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{2}$ | Cond ${ }^{3}$ | Comments | Treatment | Criteria ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8430 | 2 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 16 | 16 | P | Invasive species; very extensive ivy infestation, dead and broken branches | Remove | b-ii-C |
| 8432 | 2 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 22 | 0 | D | Invasive species; Dead | Remove | b-ii-C |
| 8433 | 2 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 14 | 12 | P | Invasive species; very extensive ivy infestation, dead and broken branches | Remove | b-ii-C |
| 8434 | 2 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 8 | 10 | P | Invasive species; very extensive ivy infestation | Remove | b-ii-B |
| 8435 | 2 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 7 | 8 | F | Invasive species; extensive ivy | Remove | b-ii-B |
| 8436 | 2 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 10 | 12 | P | Invasive species; very extensive ivy infestation | Remove | b-ii-B |

${ }^{1}$ DBH is tree diameter measured at 4.5 -feet above the ground level, in inches.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{C}$-Rad is crown radius measured in feet.
${ }^{3}$ Cond is an arborist assigned rating to generally describe the condition of individual trees as follows- Dead; Poor; Fair; Good; or, Excellent.
${ }^{4}$ Criteria identifies the applicable approval criteria for proposed tree removal per TDC 33.110(5)):
(a) An applicant must satisfactorily demonstrate that at least one of the following criteria are met:
(i) The tree is diseased and:
(A) The disease threatens the structural integrity of the tree; or
(B) The disease permanently and severely diminishes the esthetic value of the tree; or
(C) The continued retention of the tree could result in other trees being infected with a disease that threatens either their
(ii) The tree represents a hazard which may include but not be limited to:
(A) The tree is in danger of falling; or
(B) Substantial portions of the tree are in danger of falling.
(iii) It is necessary to remove the tree to construct proposed improvements based on Architectural Review approval, building permit,
(b) If none of the conditions in $\operatorname{TDC} 33.110(5)($ a) are met, the certified arborist must evaluate the condition of each tree.
(i) Evergreen Trees. An evergreen tree which meets any of the following criteria as determined by a certified arborist will not be
(A) Trunk Condition-extensive decay and hollow; or
(B) Crown Development-unbalanced and lacking a full crown;
(ii) Deciduous Trees. A deciduous tree which meets any of the following criteria as determined by a certified arborist will not be
(A) Trunk Condition-extensive decay and hollow;
(B) Crown Development-unbalanced and lacking a full crown; or
(C) Structure-Two or more dead limbs.

## Morgan Holen \& Associates

Consulting Arborists and Urban Forest Management
3 Monroe Parkway, Suite P220, Lake Oswego, OR 97035
morgan@mholen.com | 971.409.9354

Tony Doran
City of Tualatin
18880 SW Martinazzi Avenue
Tualatin, OR 97206
RE: Alden Apartments Townhome Redevelopment - Trip Generation and Distribution/Assignment Letter

Dear Tony:
ColRich (applicant) is proposing to redevelop a portion of the Alden Apartments located in the southeast corner of the SW Martinazzi Avenue/SW Sagert Street intersection in Tualatin. The development plan proposes to remove 15 apartment units and construct 45 townhome units and associated amenities. Access to the townhomes will be provided by the existing driveways to the Alden Apartments on SW Sagert Street and SW Martinazzi Avenue. No new driveways are proposed nor modifications to off-site intersections.

This letter provides trip generation and trip distribution/assignment estimates for the proposed redevelopment in accordance with Tualatin Development Code Section 74.440. As documented herein, the proposed redevelopment is estimated to generate fewer than 500 daily trips and fewer than 60 morning and evening peak hour trips. In addition, the proposed redevelopment is expected to generate fewer than 20 large truck trips per day. Therefore, a full transportation impact analysis is not expected to be required per Tualatin Development Code Section 74.440 and the following trip generation and trip distribution estimates are expected to satisfy the requirements of the Tualatin Development Code.

## TRIP GENERATION

Trip generation estimates were prepared for the proposed redevelopment based on information provided in the standard reference, Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE, Reference 1). ITE land use code 220 (multi-family housing) was used as a basis for the existing apartments and ITE land use code 215 (single-family attached housing) was used as a basis for the proposed townhomes. Table 1 summarizes the vehicle trip generation estimates for the daily, weekday AM, and weekday PM peak hours.

Table 1: Vehicle Trip Generation Estimates

| Land Use | ITE Code | Size(Units) | Daily Trips | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Existing Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apartments | 220 | 15 | 101 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 3 |
| Proposed Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Townhomes | 215 | 45 | 324 | 22 | 7 | 15 | 26 | 15 | 11 |
| Net New Trips (Proposed - Existing) |  |  | 223 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 18 | 10 | 8 |

As shown in Table 1, the proposed redevelopment is expected to result in a net increase of 223 daily trips, including 16 trips ( 6 inbound, 10 outbound) during the AM peak hour and 18 trips ( 10 inbound, 8 outbound) during the PM peak hour.

Table 2 summarizes the walk + bike + transit trip generation estimates for the daily, weekday AM, and weekday PM peak hours.

Table 2: Walk + Bike + Transit Trip Generation Estimates

| Land Use | ITE <br> Code | $\begin{gathered} \text { Size } \\ \text { (Units) } \end{gathered}$ | Daily Trips | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Existing Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apartments | 220 | 15 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proposed Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Townhomes | 215 | 45 | - | 5 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Net New Trips (Proposed - Existing) |  |  | - | 5 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 5 |

1. ITE does not provide daily walk + bike + transit trip rates for ITE land use code 220 or 215.

## TRIP DISTRIBUTION/ASSIGNMENT

The net new vehicle trips shown in Table 1 were distributed onto the study area roadways based on a review of major trip origins and destinations in the study area. Figure 1 shows the estimated trip distribution pattern for the proposed redevelopment. Figure 1 also shows assignment of the net new vehicle trips at the existing driveways and the SW Martinazzi Avenue/SW Sagert Street intersection during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

## SIGHT DISTANCE EVALUATION

A sight distance evaluation was conducted at the existing site-access driveways on SW Martinazzi Avenue and SW Sagert Street based on guidance provided in A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets (AASHTO, Reference 2). Per AASHTO, minimum intersection sight distance (ISD) recommendations are determined by several factors, including the design speed of the respective roadways. The posted speed limit on SW Martinazzi Avenue is 25 miles per hour (mph) and the posted speed limit on SW Sagert Street is 35 mph . Table 3 summarizes the minimum ISD recommendations for the site-access driveways based on the posted speed limit.

Table 3: Sight Distance Evaluation Summary

| Intersection | Posted Speed Limit | AASHTO Minimum ISD Recommendations |  | Field <br> Measurements | Met? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Case B1, Left Turn from the Minor Road | Case B2, Right Turn from Stop |  |  |
| SW Martinazzi Avenue/ Site-Access Driveway | 25 MPH | 280 Feet | 240 Feet | 450 feet (north) 280 feet (south) | Yes |
| SW Sagert Street/ Site-Access Driveway | 35 MPH | 390 Feet | 335 Feet | 390 Feet (east) <br> 390 Feet (west) | Yes |

ISD was measured at the site-access driveways in July 2022. Per AASHTO, ISD was measured 14.5 feet from the edge of the nearest travel lane, from a driver's eye height of 3.5 feet, to an object height of 3.5 feet above the roadway surface. As shown in Table 3, field measurements indicate that the minimum ISD requirements are met at both site-access driveways. The following photographs illustrate ISD at the existing site-access driveways.



## NEXT STEPS

We trust this letter provides you with sufficient information on the trip generation and distribution/ assignment estimates associated with the proposed redevelopment and sight distance at the existing siteaccess driveways. Based on the findings herein, Tualatin Development Code Section 74.440 appears to be met. Please confirm that this letter satisfies applicable code criteria and that a full transportation impact analysis is not required.

Sincerely,
KITTELSON \& ASSOCIATES, INC.

## Matt bell

Matt Bell

Associate Planner
503.535.7435
mbell@kittelson.com

## REFERENCES

1. Institute of Transportation Engineers. Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2021.
2. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, $7^{\text {th }}$ Edition. 2018
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## DESIGNER'S CERTIFICATION \& STATEMENT

I hereby certify that this Preliminary Stormwater Management Report for the Alden Apartments development has been prepared by me or under my supervision and meets minimum standards of the City of Tualatin, Clean Water Services, ODOT, and normal standards of engineering practice. I hereby acknowledge and agree that the jurisdiction does not and will not assume liability for the sufficiency, suitability, or performance of drainage facilities designed by me.

## EXECUTIVESUMMARY

The Alden Apartments project is proposed at 7800 SW Sagert Street \& 20400 SW Martinazzi Avenue (tax lot 2S125BA0100), Tualatin, Washington County, Oregon. The property is 16.53 ac in size. This project is within the jurisdictions of City of Tigard and CWS. The project discharges to storm drain infrastructure within ODOT ROW.

This project proposes to redevelop 1.85 acres of the 16.53 -ac lot. Proposed improvements include twelve (12) new apartment buildings, parking lots, other hardscaping, landscaping, and appurtenant utility improvements. Due to the amount of impervious area modified/created, stormwater management approaches must be proposed and will be addressed as follows:

- Water Quality Treatment
- Two (2) Infiltration Planters are proposed to treat runoff from post-developed basins in the northern and southern portions of the site.
- A Proprietary Treatment Device (BayFilter Manhole) is proposed to treat runoff from the postdeveloped basin consisting of the centrally located, main redevelopment area.
- Hydromodification Management
- The proposed Infiltration Planters mentioned above will provide hydromodification management for their contributing basins.
- A 10,500-cf Underground Infiltration Facility is proposed to provide hydromodification management for its contributing basin (main redevelopment area).
- Water Quantity Management
- A Downstream Analysis will be included in the Final Stormwater Report. If downstream deficiencies exist, proposed detention/retention facilities will be designed to mitigate the $25-\mathrm{yr}$ storm.
- Since the project discharges to ODOT storm drain infrastructure, proposed detention/retention facilities will be designed to mitigate the $50-\mathrm{yr}$ storm.

An Operations \& Maintenance Plan will be provided in the Final Stormwater Report for all stormwater management facilities.

A Conveyance Analysis will be provided in the Final Stormwater Report demonstrating sufficient flow capacity in the proposed private storm drain systems.

Please refer to this project's Construction Plans for locations and construction details of all stormwater management facilities.
The purpose of this report is to accomplish the following.

- Describe pre- and post-developed basins and drainage;
- Describe the design and analysis of the proposed stormwater management facilities; and,
- Demonstrate compliance with City of Tualatin, Clean Water Services, and ODOT standards pertaining to stormwater management.


## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Alden Apartments project is proposed at 7800 SW Sagert Street \& 20400 SW Martinazzi Avenue, Tualatin, Oregon. The property is 16.53 ac in size. This project is within the jurisdictions of City of Tigard and Clean Water Services (CWS). The project will also discharge to storm drain infrastructure within ODOT right-of-way (ROW).

This project proposes to redevelop 1.85 acres of the $16.53-\mathrm{ac}$ lot. Proposed improvements include new apartment buildings, parking lots, other hardscaping, landscaping, and appurtenant utility improvements. Due to the amount of impervious area modified/created, stormwater management approaches must be proposed. Runoff from the project site ultimately discharges to Saum Creek.

The design and analysis of required stormwater management approaches will be per City of Tualatin standards, CWS' Design \& Construction Standards for Sanitary Sewer \& Surface Water Management (CWS D\&C; 2019), and ODOT's Hydraulics Design Manual (Apr 2014).


Figure 1 - Vicinity Map


Figure 2 - Site Location

## EXISTINGCONDITIONS

## Site

In existing conditions, the project site is occupied by The Alden apartment complex, consisting of multiple apartment buildings, parking lots, driveways, other hardscaping, and landscaping. The property has a size of 16.53 ac ; however, this project will result in redeveloping 1.85 acres onsite (project site). The two onsite basketball courts, two adjacent apartment buildings, and the parking lot nearest the court will be demolished for this redevelopment.
The project site is noncontiguous and was divided into three (3) basins for design and analysis (see Technical Appendix: Exhibits - Existing Conditions). The basins were denoted as North Basin, Main Basin, and South Basin.

## Flood Map

The site is located within Zone $X$ (unshaded) per flood insurance rate map (FIRM) community-panel number 41067C0607E (See Technical Appendix: Exhibits - FIRMette). FEMA's definition of Zone $X$ (un-shaded) is an area of minimal flood hazard.

## Soil Type \& Infiltration

USDA Web Soil Survey indicates that the project site is underlain with Hillsboro Loam, which is categorized as hydrologic soil group B (See Technical Appendix: Exhibits - Hydrologic Soil Group). Per CWS D\&C, Hillsboro Loam is expected to have an infiltration rate of approximately $2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$; therefore, infiltration-based facilities will be modeled with this design rate for preliminary sizing. Infiltration rates will be confirmed with further testing.

## Drainage

The project site either drains directly to the existing vegetated channel to the east or to the southeast corner of the property to two (2) existing catch basins, which proceed to discharge to the vegetated channel. The channel conveys flow to storm drain infrastructure within the ODOT right-of-way, which conveys flow easterly for approximately 0.5 miles and discharges to Saum Creek.

## Basin Areas

Table 1 shows the existing impervious and pervious areas for each basin (See Technical Appendix: Exhibits Existing Conditions). All existing impervious areas in the basins are expected to be modified.

| Basin | Impervious Area |  | Pervious Area |  | Subtotal Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{s f}$ | $\mathbf{a c}$ | $\mathbf{s f}$ | $\mathbf{a c}$ | $\mathbf{s f}$ | $\mathbf{a c}$ |
| North | 967 | 0.02 | 5,921 | 0.14 | 6,888 | 0.16 |
| Main | 30,356 | 0.70 | 35,260 | 0.81 | 65,616 | 1.51 |
| South | 1,907 | 0.04 | 6,000 | 0.14 | 7,907 | 0.18 |
| Total | 33,230 | 0.76 | 47,181 | 1.08 | 80,411 | 1.85 |

## Table 1 - Existing Basin Areas

## POST-DEVELOPED CONDITIONS

## Site \& Drainage

This project proposes twelve (12) new apartment buildings, parking lots, other hardscaping, landscaping, and appurtenance utilities. The project also proposes storm drain infrastructure to capture and convey runoff from the post-developed basins to stormwater management facilities before discharging to the vegetated channel to the east as in existing conditions (see Technical Appendix: Exhibits - Post-Developed Conditions).

## Basin Areas

Table 2 shows the post-developed impervious and pervious areas for each basin (See Technical Appendix: Exhibits - Post-Developed Conditions).

| Basin | Impervious Area |  | Pervious Area |  | Subtotal Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{s f}$ | $\mathbf{a c}$ | $\mathbf{s f}$ | $\mathbf{a c}$ | $\mathbf{s f}$ | $\mathbf{a c}$ |
| North | 6,428 | 0.15 | 460 | 0.01 | 6,888 | 0.16 |
| Main | 58,146 | 1.33 | 7,470 | 0.17 | 65,616 | 1.51 |
| South | 6,836 | 0.16 | 1,071 | 0.02 | 7,907 | 0.18 |
| Total | 71,410 | 1.64 | 9,001 | 0.21 | 80,411 | 1.85 |

Table 2 - Post-Developed Basin Areas
When comparing Tables $1 \& 2$, the project proposes 38,180 sf (i.e., $71,410-33,230$ ) of new impervious area.

## HYDROLOGICANALYSIS

## Design Guidelines

The site is located within the jurisdictions of the City of Tualatin and Clean Water Services (CWS), and discharges to storm drain infrastructure under ODOT jurisdiction. The guidelines used for the design of this project reflect current City of Tualatin standards, CWS D\&C, and ODOT Hydraulics Design Manual.

## Hydrograph Method

Naturally occurring rainstorms dissipate over long periods of time. An effective way of estimating storm rainfall is by using the hydrograph method. The Santa Barbara Urban Hydrograph (SBUH) method was used to develop runoff rates, which follows City, CWS, and ODOT standards. The computer software XPSTORM was used to perform SBUH calculations to compare predeveloped and post-developed runoff responses.

## Design Storms

The Type 1A rainfall distribution (24-hr duration) was used in conjunction with the SBUH. Table 3 shows total precipitation depths referenced from the CWS D\&C, which were used as multipliers for the Type 1A distribution to develop the rainfall distribution for each recurrence interval.

| Recurrence <br> Interval (yr) | Precipitation <br> Depth (in) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2.50 |
| 5 | 3.10 |
| 10 | 3.45 |
| 25 | 3.90 |
| 50 | 4.20 |

Table 3 - Design Storms

## Curve Number

The curve number represents runoff potential from the ground. The major factors for determining runoff curve numbers (CN) are hydrologic soil group, cover type, treatment, hydrologic condition, and antecedent runoff condition. Table 2-2a from the TR-55 Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds manual was used to determine the appropriate curve numbers (See Technical Appendix: Exhibits - Curve Numbers).

As indicated previously, the site is underlain by soil type B. In predeveloped conditions, pervious areas were modeled with a CN of 55, which is associated with woods in good condition. Per CWS D\&C, modified impervious areas were modeled with a CN of 75. In post-developed conditions, pervious areas were modeled with a CN of 61, which is associated with lawn in good condition. Impervious areas were modeled with a CN of 98 .

## Time of Concentration

In accordance with the CWS D\&C, the predeveloped time of concentration (Tc) was evaluated per the USDA's TR-55 manual. The Tc's for North, Main, and South Basins were calculated to be 9, 7, and 8 minutes, respectively (See Technical Appendix: Calculations - Time of Concentration). For conservativeness, a Tc of 10 minutes was assumed for all predeveloped basins. The post-developed Tc for all basins was assumed to be 5 minutes.

## Basin Runoff

Pre- and post-developed peak runoff rates for each basin, evaluated using SBUH, are shown in Table 4 (See Technical Appendix: Hydrographs).

| Recurrence <br> Interval (yr) | North Basin Peaks (cfs) |  |  | Main Basin Peaks (cfs) |  |  | South Basin Peaks (cfs) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre | Post | Incr. | Pre | Post | Incr. | Pre | Post | Incr. |
| 2 | 0.004 | 0.090 | 0.086 | 0.063 | 0.769 | 0.706 | 0.002 | 0.085 | 0.083 |
| 5 | 0.008 | 0.113 | 0.105 | 0.125 | 0.964 | 0.839 | 0.004 | 0.107 | 0.103 |
| 10 | 0.010 | 0.127 | 0.117 | 0.166 | 1.079 | 0.913 | 0.005 | 0.120 | 0.115 |
| 25 | 0.014 | 0.145 | 0.001 | 0.222 | 1.232 | 1.010 | 0.007 | 0.136 | 0.129 |
| 50 | 0.018 | 0.157 | 0.139 | 0.271 | 1.335 | 1.064 | 0.010 | 0.147 | 0.137 |

## Table 4 - Peak Runoff Rates

## WATER QUALITY TREATMENT

## Design Criteria

Per CWS D\&C, stormwater treatment facilities are required to be designed to treat all runoff produced during the water quality storm event. CWS defines this event as $0.36^{\prime \prime}$ of precipitation falling over 4 hours with a return period of 96 -hours.

## Required Treatment Area

Per CWS D\&C, the impervious area requiring water quality treatment is evaluated as the new impervious area plus three times the modified impervious area; the calculation is shown below. It was previously indicated that the project results in 38,180 and 33,230 sf of new and modified impervious area, respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Required Treatment Area } & =\text { New Impervious Area }+3 \times \text { Modified Impervious Area } \\
& =38,180 \mathrm{sf}+3 \times 33,230 \mathrm{sf}=137,870 \mathrm{sf}
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculated treatment area exceeds the post-developed impervious area (i.e., $71,410 \mathrm{sf}$ ); therefore, the required treatment area is $\underline{71,410} \underline{s f}$.

## LIDA Feasibility

Per Section 4.05 of the CWS D\&C, new development shall reduce its hydrologic impacts through Low Impact Development Approaches (LIDA) unless the criteria in 4.05 .2 apply.

## Water Quality Approaches

## Infiltration Planters

Infiltration Planters are proposed to treat runoff from North \& South Basins (see Technical Appendix: Exhibits - Post-Developed Conditions). The facilities were modeled in XPSTORM to demonstrate that all runoff produced during the water quality storm will be filtered through the growing medium with no overflow bypass.

Each Planter will consist of $18^{\prime \prime}$ of surface ponding, $18^{\prime \prime}$ of growing medium, and $18^{\prime \prime}$ of drain rock. Overflow will be managed by an $18^{\prime \prime}$-diameter beehive structure with RIM $12^{\prime \prime}$ above the bottom of the surface pond; this provides $6^{\prime \prime}$ of freeboard. The infiltration rate for the growing medium is assumed to be $2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$. The porosity of the drain rock is assumed to be $40 \%$. Table 5 outlines the resulting ponding depths within the Planters.

| Post-Dev. | CIA <br> (sf) | Infiltration Planters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Area (sf) | WQ Ponding (in) ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| North | 6,428 | 520 | 0.6 |
| South | 6,836 | 500 | 0.6 |

Table 5 - Infiltration Planters (WQ Compliance)
${ }^{(1)}$ Ponding during WQ storm (see Technical Appendix: Hydrographs - Stage Hydrographs)
The table above demonstrates that all runoff during the water quality storm is expected to infiltrate through the growing medium without bypass.

## Proprietary Treatment Device

Due to site constraints, a BayFilter Manhole (Proprietary Treatment Device) is proposed to treat runoff from the Main Basin prior to discharging to an Underground Infiltration Facility (see Technical Appendix: Exhibits -Post-Developed Conditions). The treatment manhole will be equipped with BayFilter 545 cartridges, which have a treatment capacity of $45 \mathrm{gpm}(0.10 \mathrm{cfs})$. The following equation was used in conjunction with the water quality storm event to determine the water quality flow rate for the treatment manhole.

Water Quality Flow (WQF) $=($ Required Treatment Area, sf $) \times 0.36^{\prime \prime} \times(1 \mathrm{ft} / 12 \mathrm{in}) /(4 \mathrm{hr} \times 3600 \mathrm{sec} / 1 \mathrm{hr})$

$$
=(58,146 \mathrm{sf}) \times 0.36^{\prime \prime} \times(1 \mathrm{ft} / 12 \mathrm{in}) /(4 \mathrm{hr} \times 3600 \mathrm{sec} / 1 \mathrm{hr})=\underline{0.12 \mathrm{cfs}}
$$

Two (2) BayFilter 545 cartridges can be implemented to treat the WQF above. The treatment capacity of this facility is 0.20 cfs .

## Summary of Approaches

Table 6 summarizes the provided treatment by each proposed approach.

| Post-Dev. <br> Basin | Water Quality Approach | Impervious <br> Area (sf) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | Infiltration Planter | 6,428 |
| Main | Proprietary Treatment Device | 58,146 |
| South | Infiltration Planter | 6,836 |
| Total | - | 71,410 |

Table 6 - Summary of Approaches
The table indicates that the proposed water quality approaches are expected to sufficiently treat the Required Treatment Area.

## Pretreatment Manhole

A pretreatment manhole, per CWS Standard Dwg. No. 250, is proposed upstream of the BayFilter Manhole. Inline pretreatment manholes are sized using the 25 -year post-developed runoff rate for the contributing drainage area. As indicated in Table 4, the $25-\mathrm{yr}$ peak flow for Main Basin was evaluated to be 1.23 cfs. Per CWS D\&C, the following equation was used to size the manhole.

Sump Volume $=(20 \mathrm{cf} / 1 \mathrm{cfs}) \times(25-\mathrm{yr}$ Peak Flow $)=(20 \mathrm{cf} / 1 \mathrm{cfs}) \times 1.23 \mathrm{cfs}=24.6 \mathrm{cf}$
Assuming a 60" manhole, this sump volume results in a required sump depth of 1.25 ft . The sump depth will be rounded up to minimum 3 ft , which will be proposed below the invert of the snout.

## HYDROMODIFICATION MANAGEMENT

## Hydromodification Assessment

Per the CWS D\&C, a Hydromodification Assessment was performed to determine the Project Category of the project site. It was established previously that runoff from the project site ultimately discharges to Saum Creek. The assessment was based on the following factors.

- Reach-Specific Risk Level - The CWS Hydromod Planning Tool indicates that the receiving reach within Saum Creek has a "Moderate" Risk Level.
- Development Class - The CWS Hydromod Planning Tool indicates that the entire project site has a Development Class of "Developed".
- Project Size - Project Size is based on the new \& modified impervious areas created by the project. The total new and modified impervious area results in a "Medium" Project Size.

Based on the contributing factors above, this project is considered to be Category 2.

## Hydromodification Approaches

Infiltration Planters
Infiltration LIDA Facilities will be implemented to the maximum extent practicable. The two (2) Infiltration Planters per Table 5 will also serve as hydromodification approaches and be designed per Standard Sizing. Each Planter will capture runoff generated from the 10-yr, 24-hr storm from its contributing basin and

infiltrate the volume within 36 hours. Table 7 shows the evaluated peak ponding depths during the $10-\mathrm{yr}$ storm for each Planter.

| Post-Dev. <br> Basin | CIA <br> (sf) | Infiltration Planters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Area (sf) | 10-yr Ponding <br> (in) |
| North | 520 | 10.1 |  |
| South | 6,836 | 500 | 9.6 |

Table 7 - Infiltration Planters (Hydromod Compliance)
${ }^{(1)}$ Ponding during 10-yr storm (see Technical Appendix: Hydrographs - Stage Hydrographs)
The table above demonstrates that there is no expected overflow bypass during 10-yr storm in each Planter; all flow is expected to infiltrate through the growing medium and into the underlying soil

## Underground Infiltration Facility

Runoff from Main Basin will be managed by a proposed Underground Infiltration Facility. Assuming a design infiltration rate of $2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ for the native soil, it was demonstrated that a facility with an area of $2,100 \mathrm{sf}$ and maximum depth of 5 ft (i.e., 10,500-cf storage capacity) would sufficiently detain the 10-yr runoff volume and infiltrate it within 36 hours. The $10-\mathrm{yr}$ peak ponding depth within this facility was evaluated to be 3.90 ft (see Technical Appendix: Hydrographs - Stage Hydrographs).

## DOWNSTREAM ANALYSIS

Per TMC 3-5-210, a Review of the Downstream System must be performed to demonstrate public storm lines flowing at a maximum $82 \%$ full. The analysis will extend downstream to a point at which the runoff from the development in a build out condition is less than $10 \%$ of the total runoff of the basin in its current development status; the analysis will extend downstream for at least $1 / 4$-mile. The downstream system will be analyzed for the $2-5-, 10-$ and $25-y r$ storm events.

Data on the downstream system has been requested and the Review of the Downstream System will be provided in the Final Stormwater Report. If downstream deficiencies exist, onsite detention/retention facilities will be sized to mitigate the $25-\mathrm{yr}$, 24 -hr peak flow in addition to other water quantity management requirements.

## WATER QUANTITY MANAGEMENT

All runoff for up to and including the $10-\mathrm{yr}$ storm event is expected to be infiltrated in the Planters and Underground Infiltration Facility to comply with hydromodification requirements. Results of the Downstream Analysis may require detention of the $25-\mathrm{yr}$, 24 -hr storm event. Furthermore, since the project is discharging to ODOT storm drain infrastructure, the post-developed $50-\mathrm{yr}, 24-\mathrm{hr}$ peak flow must be mitigated to predeveloped levels.

Table 8 outlines the required release rates for each basin (or cumulatively if over-detention is needed). Full details of the detention/retention facilities will be provided in the Final Stormwater Report.

| Post-Dev. <br> Basin | Predev. Runoff Rates (cfs) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 5 - y r}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}-\mathbf{y r}$ |
| North | 0.014 | 0.018 |
| Main | 0.222 | 0.271 |
| South | 0.007 | 0.010 |
| Total | 0.243 | 0.299 |

## Table 8 - Required Release Rates

## CONVEYANCEANALYSIS

Conveyance calculations will be provided in the Final Stormwater Report that demonstrates sufficient flow capacity in proposed private storm drain systems during the $25-\mathrm{yr}$ storm and overland flow to the public stormwater system during the 100-yr storm in accordance with City and CWS standards.

## OPERATIONS \& MAINTENANCE

An Operations \& Maintenance (O\&M) Plan will be prepared and provided in the Final Stormwater Report for any proposed privately maintained stormwater management facilities. The O\&M Plan will be prepared per CWS D\&C.

## REFERENCES

1. Design \& Construction Standards for Sanitary Sewer \& Surface Water Management. December 2019, Clean Water Services
2. Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds (Technical Release 55). June 1986, U.S. Department of Agriculture
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## National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette
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## $\therefore$ Digital Data Available <br> No Digital Data Available <br>  Unmapped

The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location.

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards
The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 7/5/2022 at 5:23 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time.

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images fo unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.
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## MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.
Soil Survey Area: Washington County, Oregon
Survey Area Data: Version 21, Oct 27, 2021
Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Apr 16, 2021—Apr 18, 2021

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

## Hydrologic Soil Group

| Map unit symbol | Map unit name | Rating | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 21B | Hillsboro loam, 3 to 7 <br> percent slopes | B | 0.9 | $12.3 \%$ |
| 21C | Hillsboro loam, 7 to 12 <br> percent slopes | B | 6.5 | $87.7 \%$ |
| Totals for Area of Interest |  |  |  |  |

## Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

## Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified
Tie-break Rule: Higher

## Chapter 2

Estimating Runoff
Technical Release 55
Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds

Table 2-2a $\quad$ Runoff curve numbers for urban areas $\underline{1}$


[^0]
## Chapter 2

## Estimating Runoff

Table 2-2c Runoff curve numbers for other agricultural lands $\frac{1 /}{}$

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |




CALCULATIONS

## TIME <br> CONCENTRATION

| PROJECT NO. 22791 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |


| SHEET FLOW |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INPUT | Predev. North Basin | Predev. Main Basin | Predev. <br> South Basin |
| Surface Description | Type 9 Woods (light_underbrush) | Type ${ }^{9}$ Woods (light_underbrush) | Type 9 Woods (light_underbrush) |
| Manning's "n" | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Flow Length, L | 50 ft | 50 ft | 50 ft |
| 2-Yr 24 Hour Rainfall, $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2.5 in | 2.5 in | 2.5 in |
| Land Slope, s | $0.070 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ | $0.120 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ | $0.110 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| OUTPUT |  |  |  |
| Travel Time | 0.14 hr | 0.11 hr | 0.12 hr |
| SHALLOW CONCENTRATED FLOW |  |  |  |
| INPUT | VALUE | VALUE | VALUE |
| Surface Description | Unpaved | Unpaved | Unpaved |
| Flow Length, L | 26 ft | 175 ft | 120 ft |
| Watercourse Slope*, s | $0.090 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ | $0.080 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ | $0.050 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| OUTPUT |  |  |  |
| Average Velocity, V | $4.84 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | $4.56 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | $3.61 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Travel Time | 0.001 hr | 0.011 hr | 0.009 hr |
| CHANNEL FLOW |  |  |  |
| INPUT | VALUE | VALUE | VALUE |
| Cross Sectional Flow Area, a | $0 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $0 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $0 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 0 ft | 0 ft | 0 ft |
| Channel Slope, s | $0 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ | $0 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ | $0 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Manning's "n" | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| Flow Length, L | 0 ft | 0 ft | 0 ft |
| OUTPUT |  |  |  |
| Average Velocity | $0.00 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | $0.00 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | $0.00 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Hydraulic Radius, $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 1.00 ft | 1.00 ft | 1.00 ft |
| Travel Time | 0.00 hr | 0.00 hr | 0.00 hr |
| Watershed or Subarea $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 0.14 hr | 0.12 hr | 0.13 hr |
| Watershed or Subarea $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 9 minutes | 7 minutes | 8 minutes |

HYDROGRAPHS

## Predeveloped Runoff Hydrographs

North Basin


Main Basin


South Basin


## Post-Developed Runoff Hydrographs

## North Basin



Main Basin


South Basin


## Stage Hydrographs

A design infiltration rate of $2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ is assumed for both growing medium (in Planters) and native soil. The Infiltration Planters for the North \& South Basins assume:

- Elevation of bottom of surface ponding is 10 ft as reference for modeling purposes.
- $18^{\prime \prime}$ each for surface ponding, growing medium, and drain rock depths.
- Overflow Beehive RIM is $12^{\prime \prime}$ above bottom of surface ponding providing 6 " of freeboard.
- Drain rock has a porosity of $40 \%$.

The Underground Infiltration Facility for Main Basin assumes:

- Elevation of bottom of facility is 0 ft
- Maximum depth of 5 ft .

Infiltration Planter - North Basin
Planter Area $=520$ sf


## Underground Infiltration Facility - Main Basin

Facility Area $=2,100$ sf; Facility Volume $=10,500 \mathrm{cf}$


Hydrographs
1 of 2
Stage Hydrographs

Infiltration Planter - South Basin
Planter Area $=500$ sf


DOWNSTREAMANALYSIS
(Will be included in Final Stormwater Report)

OPERATIONS \& MAINTENANCE PLAN
(Will be included in Final Stormwater Report)

## Land Use Application

Project Information


AS THE PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS APPLICATION, I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I HAVE READ THIS APPLICATION AND STATE THAT THE INFORMATION IN AND INCLUDED WITH THIS APPLICATION IN ITS ENTIRETY IS CORRECT. I AGREE TO COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE CITY AND COUNTY ORDINANCES AND STATE LAWS REGARDING BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AND LAND USE.
Applicant's Signature:
Date:

Land Use Application Type:


## Land Use Application

## Project Information

Project Title:Alden Apartments Site Re-Development
Rrief Description: Aproposes to remove 15 apartment units and construct 45 townhouse-style multi-family units on the existing Alden Apartments site.

| Property Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address:7800 SW Sagert Street and 20400 SW Martinazzi Avenue |  |  |
| Assessor's Map Number and Tax Lots: Map Number 2S125BA00 Tax Lot 00100 |  |  |
| Applicant/Primary Contact |  |  |
| Name: Heather Austin, AICP | Company Name:3J Consulting, Inc. |  |
| Address:9600 SW Nimbus Avenue, Suite 100 |  |  |
| City: Beaverton | State: OR | ZIP:97 |
| Phone: 503-946-9365 ext. 206 | Email:heather.austin@3j-consulting.com |  |
| Property Owner |  |  |
| Name: Colrich California Construciton, LLC (Matthew Moiseve) |  |  |
| Address:444 West Beech Street, Suite 300 |  |  |
| City: San Diego | State: CA | ZIP:92 |
| Phone: 858-255-9006 | Email: matm@colrich.com |  |
| Property Owner's Signature: |  | Date: |

Note.Letter of authoization is required hot signed by ownen
AS THE PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS APPLICATION, I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I HAVE READ THIS APPLICATION AND STATE THAT THE INFORMATION IN AND INCLUDED WITH THIS APPLICATION IN ITS ENTIRETY IS CORRECT. I AGREE TO COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE CITY AND COUNTY ORDINANCES AND STATE LAWS REGARDING BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AND LAND USE.

Applicant's Signature:
Heather $M$ Austin

Date:September 27, 2022

Land Use Application Type:

| $\square$ Annexation (ANN) | $\square$ Historic Landmark (HIST) | $\square$ Minor Architectural Review (MAR) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Architectural Review (AR) | $\square$ Industrial Master Plan (IMP) | $\square$ Minor Variance (MVAR) |
| $\square$ Architectural Review—Single Family (ARSF) | $\square$ Plan Map Amendment (PMA) | $\square$ Sign Variance (SVAR) |
| $\square$ Architectural Review—ADU (ARADU) | $\square$ Plan Text Amendment (PTA) | $\square$ Variance (VAR) |
| $\square$ Conditional Use (CUP) | $\square$ Tree Removal/Review (TCP) |  |

Office Use

| Case No: | Date Received: | Received by: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fee: | Receipt No: |  |

## SENSITIVE AREA PRE-SCREENING SITE ASSESSMENT

## Clean Water Services File Number 22-001989

1. Jurisdiction:Tualatin
2. Property Information (example: 1S234AB01400)

Tax lot ID(s):
$2 S 125 B A 00100$

OR Site Address: 20400 SW MARTINAZZI AVE
City, State, Zip:Tualatin,OR,97062
Nearest cross street:
4. Development Activity (check all that apply)
$\square$ Addition to single family residence (rooms, deck, garage)
$\square$ Lot line adjustment $\quad \square$ Minor land partition
区 Residential condominium $\square$ Commercial condominium
$\square$ Residential subdivision $\square$ Commercial subdivision
$\square$ Single lot commercial $\square$ Multi lot commercial
Other
3. Owner Information

Name: Mathew Moiseve
Company: CoIRich California Construction, INC.
Address: 444 West Beech Street, Ste. 300
City, State, Zip: San Diego,CA,92101
Phone/fax: (858)490-2300
Email:
4. Applicant Information

Name: Heather Austin
Company: 3J Consulting
Address: 9600 SW Nimbus Ave, Suite 100
City, State, Zip: Beaverton,OR,97008
Phone/fax: (503)946-9365 x206
Email: heather.austin@3j-consulting.com
6. Will the project involve any off-site work? $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$ Unknown

Location and description of off-site work:
7. Additional comments or information that may be needed to understand your project:

This application does NOT replace Grading and Erosion Control Permits, Connection Permits, Building Permits, Site Development Permits, DEQ 1200-C Permit or other permits as issued by the Department of Environmental Quality, Department of State Lands and/or Department of the Army COE. All required permits and approvals must be obtained and completed under applicable local, state, and federal law.
By signing this form, the Owner or Owner's authorized agent or representative, acknowledges and agrees that employees of Clean Water Services have authority to enter the project site at all reasonable times for the purpose of inspecting project site conditions and gathering information related to the project site. I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in this document, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, this information is true, complete, and accurate.

Print/type name Heather Austin
Print/type titleSenior Planner
signature ONLINE SUBMITTAL
Date 7/19/2022

## FOR DISTRICT USE ONLY

$\square$ Sensitive areas potentially exist on site or within 200' of the site. THE APPLICANT MUST PERFORM A SITE ASSESSMENT PRIOR TO
ISSUANCE OF A SERVICE PROVIDER LETTER. If Sensitive Areas exist on the site or within 200 feet on adjacent properties, a Natural Resources Assessment Report may also be required.
$\square$ Based on review of the submitted materials and best available information sensitive areas do not appear to exist on site or within 200' of the site. This Sensitive Area Pre-Screening Site Assessment does NOT eliminate the need to evaluate and protect water quality sensitive areas if they are subsequently discovered. This document will serve as your Service Provider Letter as required by Resolution and Order 19-5, Section 3.02.1, as amended by Resolution and Order 19-22. All required permits and approvals must be obtained and completed under applicable local, State and federal law.
$\square$ Based on review of the submitted materials and best available information the above referenced project will not significantly impact the existing or potentially sensitive area(s) found near the site. This Sensitive Area Pre-Screening Site Assessment does NOT eliminate the need to evaluate and protect additional water quality sensitive areas if they are subsequently discovered. This document will serve as your Service Provider Letter as required by Resolution and Order 19-5, Section 3.02.1, as amended by Resolution and Order 19-22. All required permits and approvals must be obtained and completed under applicable local, state and federal law.

## $\square$ THIS SERVICE PROVIDER LETTER IS NOT VALID UNLESS ___ CWS APPROVED SITE PLAN(S) ARE ATTACHED.

$\square$ The proposed activity does not meet the definition of development or the lot was platted after 9/9/95 ORS 92.040(2). NO SITE ASSESSMENT OR SERVICE PROVIDER LETTER IS REQUIRED.



|  | Code | Existing | Proposal 2 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Density (units): | 250 max. | 195 | $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ |
| Parking (spots): | ${ }^{* * *}$ | 352 | $\mathbf{4 4 2}$ |
| Coverage (sq.ft.): | 291,144 max. | 80,323 | $\mathbf{9 0 , 2 2 3}$ |
| Open Space( sq.ft.): | 108,000 min. | 246,473 | $\mathbf{2 0 3 , 9 1 2}$ |

FIRE CODE／LAND USE／BUILDING REVIEW APPLICATION

North Operating Center
11945 SW 70 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue
Tigard，OR 97223
Phone：503－649－8577

## South Operating Center

 8445 SW Elligsen Rd Wilsonville，OR 97070 Phone：503－649－8577
## Project Information

Applicant Name：Brian O＇Rourke
Address： 9600 SW Nimbus Ave，Suite 100
Phone：503－946－9365 $\times 209$
Email：brian．orourke＠3j－consulting．com
Site Address： 7800 SW Sagert St \＆ 20400 SW Martinazzi Ave
City：Tualatin
Map \＆Tax Lot \＃：2S125BA00100
Business Name：Alden Apartments
Land Use／Building Jurisdiction：City of Tualatin
Land Use／Building Permit \＃Pre－App 22－0004
Choose from：Beaverton，Tigard，Newberg，Tualatin，North Plains，West Linn，Wilsonville，Sherwood，Rivergrove， Durham，King City，Washington County，Clackamas County， Multnomah County，Yamhill County

## Project Description

Removal of two existing apartment buildings and associated site features． Addition of 12 buildings（ 45 total townhome style apartment units）including associated roads，pedestrian paths，and site utilities．

## Permit／Review Type（check one）：

（⿴囗⿱一𧰨丶⿳亠二口丿 Land Use／Building Review－Service Provider Permit
Emergency Radio Responder Coverage Install／Test
－LPG Tank（Greater than 2，000 gallons）
－Flammable or Combustible Liquid Tank Installation （Greater than 1,000 gallons）
＊Exception：Underground Storage Tanks（UST） are deferred to $D E Q$ for regulation．
－Explosives Blasting（Blasting plan is required）
口 Exterior Toxic，Pyrophoric or Corrosive Gas Installation （in excess of 810 cu．ft．）
$\square$ Tents or Temporary Membrane Structures（in excess of 10,000 square feet）
－Temporary Haunted House or similar
－OLCC Cannabis Extraction License Review
－Ceremonial Fire or Bonfire
（For gathering，ceremony or other assembly）
For Fire Marshal＇s Office Use Only
Assigned To： $\qquad$

Due Date： $\qquad$
Fees Due： $\qquad$
Fees Paid：

## Approval／Inspection Conditions

（For Fire Marshal＇s Office Use Only）


This section used when site inspection is required Inspection Comments：

September 2, 2022

Ashley Doty
Re: Alden Apartments
7800 SW Sagert St. \& 20400 SW Martinazzi Ave.
Tualatin, OR 97062

Dear Ashley,

Thank you, for sending us the preliminary site plans for this proposed development in Tualatin, Oregon.

My Company: Republic Services of Clackamas and Washington Counties has the franchise agreement to service this area with the City of Tualatin. We will provide complete waste and commingle recycling removal services one time per week for this planned development. Service day will be determined by Republic Services at its sole discretion and in accordance with the City of Tualatin franchise agreement. Landscape service and yard debris removal at this site is provided by a third-party contractor and there will not be a need for residential yard debris cart service for this development.

All residential trash and recycle cart receptacles must be placed on a level surface of each unit's driveway, in a location that is accessible for automated side-load service, with minimum spacing of 2' Ft. apart and at least 4' Ft. from any fixed objects including parked vehicles, and with no overhead obstructions. The access road must be free of any vehicles or other obstructions that would prevent safe passage of Republic Services collection vehicles

SW Martinazzi Ave. - 41 livable units, will be serviced by automated side load collection vehicles using the paved alley, which will have a width of $20^{\prime} \mathrm{Ft}$. with a turn radius of $28.0^{\prime} \mathrm{Ft}$. and will include beveled curbing on both inside corners of the roadway to allow our trucks to safely navigate this development.

SW Sagert St. - 4 livable, units will be serviced by automated side load collection vehicles using the existing paved driveway.

Thanks Ashley, for your help and concerns for our services prior to this project being developed.

Sincerely,


Kelly Herod
$\emptyset$ perations Supervisor
Republic Services Inc.

PRELIMINARY REPORT
In response to the application for a policy of title insurance referenced herein Chicago Title Company of Oregon hereby reports that it is prepared to issue, or cause to be issued, as of the specified date, a policy or policies of title insurance describing the land and the estate or interest hereinafter set forth, insuring against loss which may be sustained by reason of any defect, lien or encumbrance not shown or referred to as an exception herein or not excluded from coverage pursuant to the printed Schedules, Conditions and Stipulations or Conditions of said policy forms.

The printed Exceptions and Exclusions from the coverage of said policy or policies are set forth in Exhibit One. Copies of the policy forms should be read. They are available from the office which issued this report.

This report (and any supplements or amendments hereto) is issued solely for the purpose of facilitating the issuance of a policy of title insurance and no liability is assumed hereby.

The policy(s) of title insurance to be issued hereunder will be policy(s) of Chicago Title Insurance Company, alan Florida corporation.

Please read the exceptions shown or referred to herein and the Exceptions and Exclusions set forth in Exhibit One of this report carefully. The Exceptions and Exclusions are meant to provide you with notice of matters which are not covered under the terms of the title insurance policy and should be carefully considered.

It is important to note that this preliminary report is not a written representation as to the condition of title and may not list all liens, defects and encumbrances affecting title to the land.

This preliminary report is for the exclusive use of the parties to the contemplated transaction, and the Company does not have any liability to any third parties nor any liability until the full premium is paid and a policy is issued. Until all necessary documents are placed of record, the Company reserves the right to amend or supplement this preliminary report.

Countersigned
$\qquad$

1433 SW 6th Avenue, Portland, OR 97201
(503)646-4444 FAX (503)469-4198

PRELIMINARY REPORT
TITLE OFFICER: Tony Schadle
ORDER NO.: 472521006912
tony.schadle@titlegroup.fntg.com (503)469-4150

TO: Chicago Title Insurance Company
Siu Y. Cheung
711 Third Avenue, 8th Floor New York, NY 10017
OWNER/SELLER: AMFP IV Alden LLC
BUYER/BORROWER: TBD
PROPERTY ADDRESS: 20323 SW Martinazzi Avenue, Tualatin, OR 97062
EFFECTIVE DATE: October 19, 2021, 08:00 AM

1. THE POLICY AND ENDORSEMENTS TO BE ISSUED AND THE RELATED CHARGES ARE:

|  | AMOUNT | PREMIUM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALTA Owner's Policy 2006 | \$ 61,150,000.00 | \$ | 82,731.00 |
| Owner's Extended Coverage Policy - (Short Term Rate) std ptn \$48,909.00 \& ext ptn \$33,822.00 |  |  |  |
| OTIRO 203.1-06-*M* - Zoning - Improved Land (ALTA 3.1-06) |  | \$ | 1,000.00 |
| OTIRO 208.2-06 - Commercial Environmental Protection Lien (ALTA 8.2-06) |  | \$ | 1,000.00 |
| OTIRO 209.2-06 - Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions - Improved Land (ALTA 9.2-06) |  | \$ | 1,500.00 |
| OTIRO 209.9-06- Private Rights (ALTA 9.9-06) |  | \$ | 250.00 |
| OTIRO 217.2-06-Utility Access (ALTA 17.2-06) |  | \$ | 275.00 |
| OTIRO 217-06- Access and Entry (ALTA 17-06) |  | \$ | 125.00 |
| OTIRO 218-06 - Single Tax Parcel (ALTA 18-06) |  | \$ | 50.00 |
| OTIRO 225-06-*M* - Same as Survey (ALTA 25-06) |  | \$ | 100.00 |
| OTIRO 228.1-06 - Encroachments - Boundaries and Easements (ALTA 28.1-06) |  | \$ | 1,000.00 |
| OTIRO 228-06 - Easement - Damage or Enforced Removal (ALTA $28-06$ ) |  | \$ | 100.00 |
| OTIRO 239-06-Policy Authentication (ALTA 39-06) |  | \$ | 0.00 |
| Government Lien Search |  | \$ | 30.00 |

2. THE ESTATE OR INTEREST IN THE LAND HEREINAFTER DESCRIBED OR REFERRED TO COVERED BY THIS REPORT IS:
Fee Simple
3. TITLE TO SAID ESTATE OR INTEREST AT THE DATE HEREOF IS VESTED IN:

AMFP IV AIden LLC, a Delaware limited liability company

## PRELIMINARY REPORT

(continued)
4. THE LAND REFERRED TO IN THIS REPORT IS SITUATED IN THE CITY OF TUALATIN, COUNTY OF WASHINGTON, STATE OF OREGON, AND IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

SEE EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF

## EXHIBIT "A"

Legal Description

A tract of land situated in Section 25, Township 2 South, Range 1 West of the Willamette Meridian, in the City of Tualatin, County of Washington and State of Oregon, described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the North line of said Section 25, Township 2 South, Range 1 West, North $89^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 40$ " East 1010.00 feet from the Northwest corner of said Section 25; thence South $0^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 52$ " West along the center line of a 40.0 foot County Road, $1,319.60$ feet; thence North $89^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 20$ " East, 798.61 feet to an iron pipe in the Northwesterly right-of-way line of the Baldock Freeway; thence North $15^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$ East along said Northwesterly right-of-way $1,294.5$ feet to an iron rod; thence North $74^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ West 160.28 feet to an iron rod; thence North $0^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ $20^{\prime \prime}$ West 30.41 feet to the North line of said Section 25 ; thence South $89^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ West along said North line of Section 25 and the center line of County Road No. 327, a distance of 995.89 feet to the point of beginning.

EXCEPT those Parcels conveyed by deeds to the State of Oregon, by and through its State Highway Commission, and Recorded January 22, 1952 in Volume 328, Page 431 and Recorded December 5, 1952 in Volume 339, Page 375, Deed Records of Washington County, Oregon.

AND ALSO EXCEPTING that Parcel conveyed by deed to the State of Oregon, by and through its State Highway Commission and Recorded September 24, 1968 in Volume 717, Page 82, Deed Records of Washington County, Oregon.

AND ALSO EXCEPTING those Parcels conveyed by deed to Diamond Investment Co., a Corporation, by deeds Recorded May 24, 1961 in Volume 444, Page 517 and Recorded January 15, 1965 in Volume 537, Page 487, Deed Records of Washington County, Oregon.

AND ALSO EXCEPTING that portion as dedicated for street and utility purposes to the City of Tualatin by Resolution No. 389 78, Recorded June 14, 1978, as Fee No. 78 26691, Deed Records of Washington County, Oregon.

## AS OF THE DATE OF THIS REPORT, ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED AND EXCEPTIONS TO COVERAGE IN ADDITION TO THE PRINTED EXCEPTIONS AND EXCLUSIONS IN THE POLICY FORM WOULD BE AS FOLLOWS:

## GENERAL EXCEPTIONS:

1. Taxes or assessments which are not shown as existing liens by the records of any taxing authority that levies taxes or assessments on real property or by the Public Records; proceedings by a public agency which may result in taxes or assessments, or notices of such proceedings, whether or not shown by the records of such agency or by the Public Records.
2. Any facts, rights, interests or claims, which are not shown by the Public Records but which could be ascertained by an inspection of the Land or by making inquiry of persons in possession thereof.
3. Easements, or claims of easement, which are not shown by the Public Records; reservations or exceptions in patents or in Acts authorizing the issuance thereof; water rights, claims or title to water.
4. Any encroachment (of existing improvements located on the Land onto adjoining land or of existing improvements located on adjoining land onto the subject Land), encumbrance, violation, variation or adverse circumstance affecting the Title that would be disclosed by an accurate and complete land survey of the subject Land.
5. Any lien or right to a lien for services, labor, material, equipment rental or workers compensation heretofore or hereafter furnished, imposed by law and not shown by the Public Records.

SPECIFIC ITEMS AND EXCEPTIONS:
6. *** AMENDED ***

Unpaid Real Property Taxes for the fiscal year 2021-2022. as follows:
Levied Amount: $\$ 209,547.12$
Levy Code: 023.76
Account No.: R536076
Map No.: 2S125BA00100
Prior to close of escrow, please contact the Tax Collector's Office to confirm all amounts owing, including current fiscal year taxes, supplemental taxes, escaped assessments and any delinquencies.
7. City Liens, if any, in favor of the City of Tualatin. None found as of September 17, 2021 posted to the main account addressed as 7800 SW Sagert Street.

We find an additional 212 accounts- no inquiry has been directed to the City Clerk, and a fee of $\$ 30.00$ per lien account will be charged if an inquiry is to be made.
8. Limited access provisions contained in Deed to the State of Oregon, by and through its State Highway Commission, which provides that no right or easement of right of access to, from or across the State Highway other than expressly therein provided for shall attach to the abutting property:
Recording Date: January 22, 1952
Recording No.: Book: 328 Page: 431
Excepted Portion from legal description as shown on ALTA/NSPS Land Title Survey, prepared by Duryea Associates as Job No. 12-1685 dated November 2017, last revised February 15, 2018.
9. Limited access provisions contained in Deed to the State of Oregon, by and through its State Highway Commission, which provides that no right or easement of right of access to, from or across the State Highway other than expressly therein provided for shall attach to the abutting property:
Recording Date: September 24, 1968
Recording No.: Book: 717 Page: 82
Excepted Portion from legal description as shown on ALTA/NSPS Land Title Survey, prepared by Duryea Associates as Job No. 12-1685 dated November 2017, last revised February 15, 2018.
10. An easement created by instrument, including the terms and provisions thereof:

Dated: March 31, 1978
Recording Date: June 14, 1978
Recording No.: 78-026690
In Favor Of: City of Tualatin
For: Public Utility Lines
Affects: The Northerly portion
Said easement was re-recorded:
Recording Date: September 11, 1978
Recording No.: 78-040450
As shown on ALTA/NSPS Land Title Survey, prepared by Duryea Associates as Job No. 12-1685 dated November 2017, last revised February 15, 2018.
11. An easement created by instrument, including the terms and provisions thereof:

Dated: February 5, 1979
Recording Date: September 6, 1979
Recording No.: 79-036431
In Favor Of: City of Tualatin
For: Public Utility Lines
As shown on ALTA/NSPS Land Title Survey, prepared by Duryea Associates as Job No. 12-1685 dated November 2017, last revised February 15, 2018.
12. An easement created by instrument, including the terms and provisions thereof:

Dated: October 11, 1979
Recording Date: November 20, 1979
Recording No.: 79-047980
In Favor Of: City of Tualatin
For: Sidewalk
Affects: The Southwesterly portion adjacent to SW Martinazzi Avenue
As shown on ALTA/NSPS Land Title Survey, prepared by Duryea Associates as Job No. 12-1685 dated November 2017, last revised February 15, 2018.
13. Easement(s) for the purpose(s) shown below and rights incidental thereto, as granted in a document: Granted to: Comcast of Tualatin Valley, Inc., Purpose: Broadband communications system
Recording Date: April 24, 2015
Recording No.: 2015-029996
14. Any rights, interests, or claims which may exist or arise by reason of the following matters disclosed by survey,
Job No.: 12-1685

Dated: $\quad$ November 2017, last revised February 15, 2018
Prepared by: Duryea \& Associates, P.S.
Matters shown:
A.) Right-of-way Fence overlaps 0.2 onto subject property
B.) Right-of-way Fence overlaps 0.8 ' onto subject property
C.) Asphalt Path overlaps $1.8^{\prime}$ onto adjoining property
15. Multifamily Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents and Security Agreement , including the terms and provisions thereof, given to secure an indebtedness with interest thereon and such future advances as may be provided therein;
Dated as of: December 29, 2020
Recording Date: December 30, 2020
Recording No.: 2020-135353
Amount: $\quad \$ 30,660,000.00$
Grantor: $\quad$ AMFP IV ALDEN LLC, a Delaware limited liability company
Trustee: Chicago Title Company of Oregon
Beneficiary: Grandbridge Real Estate Capital LLC
An assignment of the beneficial interest under said deed of trust which names:
Assignee: Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation
Recording Date: December 30, 2020
Recording No.: 2020-135415
An assignment of the beneficial interest under said deed of trust which names:
Assignee: U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee For The Registered Holders of GS
Mortgage Securities Corporation II, Multifamily Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2021-KF107
Recording Date: April 26, 2021
Recording No.: 2021-050051
16. A UCC financing statement as follows:

Debtor: AMFP IV ALDEN LLC
Assignee Secured Party: Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation
Assignor Secured Party: Grandbridge Real Estate Capital LLC
Recording Date:
December 30, 2020
2020-135354
Said Financing Statement was assigned by instrument,
Assignee: U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee For The Registered Holders of GS
Mortgage Securities Corporation II, Multifamily Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2021-KF107
Recording Date: April 26, 2021
Recording No.: 2021-050052
17. Rights of tenants, as tenants only, in unrecorded leaseholds.
18. The Company has on file a copy of the Operating Agreement for AMFP IV Alden LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, dated February 14, 2017. A copy of any amendments subsequent to the date of said Operating Agreement should be furnished for review prior to closing.

The Company reserves the right to add additional items or make further requirements after review of the requested documentation.
19. The transaction contemplated in connection with this Report is subject to the review and approval of the Company's Corporate Underwriting Department. The Company reserves the right to add additional items or make further requirements after such review.
20. If requested to issue an extended coverage ALTA loan policy, the following matters must be addressed:
a) The rights of tenants holding under unrecorded leases or tenancies
b) Matters disclosed by a statement as to parties in possession and as to any construction, alterations or repairs to the Land within the last 75 days. The Company must be notified in the event that any funds are to be used for construction, alterations or repairs.
c) Any facts which would be disclosed by an accurate survey of the Land

## ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS/NOTES:

A. In addition to the standard policy exceptions, the exceptions enumerated above shall appear on the final 2006 ALTA Policy unless removed prior to issuance.
B. Note: The name(s) of the proposed insured(s) furnished with this application for title insurance is/are:

No names were furnished with the application. Please provide the name(s) of the buyers as soon as possible.
C. Note: No utility search has been made or will be made for water, sewer or storm drainage charges unless the City/Service District claims them as liens (i.e. foreclosable) and reflects them on its lien docket as of the date of closing. Buyers should check with the appropriate city bureau or water service district and obtain a billing cutoff. Such charges must be adjusted outside of escrow.
D. Note: Effective January 1, 2008, Oregon law (ORS 314.258) mandates withholding of Oregon income taxes from sellers who do not continue to be Oregon residents or qualify for an exemption. Please contact your Escrow Closer for further information.
E. Notice: Please be aware that due to the conflict between federal and state laws concerning the cultivation, distribution, manufacture or sale of marijuana, the Company is not able to close or insure any transaction involving Land that is associated with these activities.
F. THE FOLLOWING NOTICE IS REQUIRED BY STATE LAW: YOU WILL BE REVIEWING, APPROVING AND SIGNING IMPORTANT DOCUMENTS AT CLOSING. LEGAL CONSEQUENCES FOLLOW FROM THE SELECTION AND USE OF THESE DOCUMENTS. YOU MAY CONSULT AN ATTORNEY ABOUT THESE DOCUMENTS. YOU SHOULD CONSULT AN ATTORNEY IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS OR CONCERNS ABOUT THE TRANSACTION OR ABOUT THE DOCUMENTS. IF YOU WISH TO REVIEW TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS THAT YOU HAVE NOT SEEN, PLEASE CONTACT THE ESCROW AGENT.
G. Note: This map/plat is being furnished as an aid in locating the herein described Land in relation to adjoining streets, natural boundaries and other land. Except to the extent a policy of title insurance is expressly modified by endorsement, if any, the Company does not insure dimensions, distances or acreage shown thereon.

## H. NOTE: IMPORTANT INFORMATION REGARDING PROPERTY TAX PAYMENTS

Fiscal Year:
Taxes become a lien on real property, but are not yet payable:
Taxes become certified and payable (approximately on this date):
First one third payment of taxes is due:
Second one third payment of taxes is due:
Final payment of taxes is due:

July $1^{\text {st }}$ through June $30^{\text {th }}$
July $1^{\text {st }}$
October 15th
November 15th
February 15th
May $15^{\text {th }}$

Discounts: If two thirds are paid by November 15th, a $2 \%$ discount will apply. If the full amount of the taxes are paid by November $15^{\text {th }}$, a $3 \%$ discount will apply.

Interest: Interest accrues as of the $15^{\text {th }}$ of each month based on any amount that is unpaid by the due date. No interest is charged if the minimum amount is paid according to the above mentioned payment schedule.
I. Recording Charge (Per Document) is the following:

| County | First Page | Each Additional Page |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Multnomah | $\$ 86.00$ | $\$ 5.00$ |
| Washington | $\$ 81.00$ | $\$ 5.00$ |
| Clackamas | $\$ 93.00$ | $\$ 5.00$ |
| Yamhill | $\$ 81.00$ | $\$ 5.00$ |

Note: When possible the company will record electronically. An additional charge of $\$ 5.00$ applies to each document that is recorded electronically.

Note: Please send any documents for recording to the following address:
Portland Title Group
Attn: Recorder
1433 SW 6th Ave.
Portland, OR. 97201

## EXHIBIT ONE <br> 2006 AMERICAN LAND TITLE ASSOCIATION LOAN POLICY (06-17-06) EXCLUSIONS FROM COVERAGE

The following matters are expressly excluded from the coverage of this policy and the Company will not pay loss or damage, costs, attorneys' fees or expenses that arise by reason of:

1. (a) Any law, ordinance or governmental regulation (including but not limited to building and zoning) restricting, regulating, prohibiting or relating to
(i) the occupancy, use, or enjoyment of the Land;
(ii) the character, dimensions or location of any improvement erected on the land;
(iii) the subdivision of land; or
(iv) environmental protection;
or the effect of any violation of these laws, ordinances or governmental regulations. This Exclusion 1(a) does not modify or limit the coverage provided under Covered Risk 5.
(b) Any governmental police power. This Exclusion 1(b) does not modify or limit the coverage provided under Covered Risk 6.
2. Rights of eminent domain. This Exclusion does not modify or limit the coverage provided under Covered Risk 7 or 8
3. Defects, liens, encumbrances, adverse claims, or other matters
(a) created, suffered, assumed or agreed to by the Insured Claimant;
(b) not known to the Company, not recorded in the Public Records at Date of Policy, but known to the Insured Claimant and not disclosed in writing to the Company by the Insured Claimant prior to the date the Insured Claimant became an Insured under this policy;
(c) resulting in no loss or damage to the Insured Claimant;
(d) attaching or created subsequent to Date of Policy (however, this does not modify or limit the coverage provided under Covered Risk 11, 13, or 14); or
(e) resulting in loss or damage that would not have been sustained if the Insured Claimant had paid value for the Insured Mortgage.
4. Unenforceability of the lien of the Insured Mortgage because of the inability or failure of an Insured to comply with the applicable doing-business laws of the state where the Land is situated.
5. Invalidity or unenforceability in whole or in part of the lien of the Insured Mortgage that arises out of the transaction evidenced by the Insured Mortgage and is based upon usury or any consumer credit protection or truth-in-lending law.
6. Any claim, by reason of the operation of federal bankruptcy, state insolvency or similar creditors' rights laws, that the transaction creating the lien of the Insured Mortgage, is
(a) a fraudulent conveyance or fraudulent transfer, or
(b) a preferential transfer for any reason not stated in the Covered Risk 13(b) of this policy.
7. Any lien on the Title for real estate taxes or assessments imposed by governmental authority and created or attaching between Date of Policy and the date of recording of the Insured Mortgage in the Public Records. This Exclusion does not modify or limit the coverage provided under Covered Risk 11(b).

The above policy form may be issued to afford either Standard Coverage or Extended Coverage. In addition to the above Exclusions from Coverage, the Exceptions from Coverage in a Standard Coverage policy will also include the following Exceptions from Coverage.

## SCHEDULE B - GENERAL EXCEPTIONS FROM COVERAGE

This policy does not insure against loss or damage (and the Company will not pay costs, attorneys' fees or expenses) which arise by reason of:

1. Taxes or assessments which are not shown as existing liens by the records of any taxing authority that levies taxes or assessments on real property or by the Public Records; proceedings by a public agency which may result in taxes or assessments, or notices of such proceedings, whether or not shown by the records of such agency or by the Public Records.
2. Facts, rights, interests or claims which are not shown by the Public Records but which could be ascertained by an inspection of the Land or by making inquiry of persons in possession thereof.
3. Easements, or claims of easement, not shown by the Public Records; reservations or exceptions in patents or in Acts authorizing the issuance thereof, water rights, claims or title to water.
4. Any encroachment, encumbrance, violation, variation, or adverse circumstance affecting the Title that would be disclosed by an accurate and complete land survey of the Land. The term "encroachment" includes encroachments of existing improvements located on the Land onto adjoining land, and encroachments onto the Land of existing improvements located on adjoining land.
5. Any lien for services, labor or material heretofore or hereafter furnished, or for contributions due to the State of Oregon for unemployment compensation or worker's compensation, imposed by law and not shown by the Public Records.

## 2006 AMERICAN LAND TITLE ASSOCIATION OWNER'S POLICY (06-17-06) EXCLUSIONS FROM COVERAGE

The following matters are expressly excluded from the coverage of this policy and the Company will not pay loss or damage, costs, attorneys' fees or expenses that arise by reason of:

1. (a) Any law, ordinance or governmental regulation (including but not limited to building and zoning) restricting, regulating, prohibiting or relating to
(i) the occupancy, use, or enjoyment of the Land;
(ii) the character, dimensions or location of any improvement erected on the land;
(iii) the subdivision of land; or
(iv) environmental protection;
or the effect of any violation of these laws, ordinances or governmental regulations. This Exclusion 1(a) does not modify or limit the coverage provided under Covered Risk 5.
(b) Any governmental police power. This Exclusion 1(b) does not modify or limit the coverage provided under Covered Risk 6.
2. Rights of eminent domain. This Exclusion does not modify or limit the coverage provided under Covered Risk 7 or 8.
3. Defects, liens, encumbrances, adverse claims, or other matters
(a) created, suffered, assumed or agreed to by the Insured Claimant
(b) not known to the Company, not recorded in the Public Records at Date of Policy but known to the Insured Claimant and not disclosed in writing to the Company by the Insured Claimant prior to the date the Insured Claimant became an Insured under this policy;
(c) resulting in no loss or damage to the Insured Claimant;
(d) attaching or created subsequent to Date of Policy (however, this does not modify or limit the coverage provided under Covered Risk 9 and 10); or
(e) resulting in loss or damage that would not have been sustained if the Insured Claimant had paid value for the Title.
4. Any claim, by reason of the operation of federal bankruptcy, state insolvency or similar creditors' rights laws, that the transaction creating the lien of the Insured Mortgage, is
(a) a fraudulent conveyance or fraudulent transfer, or
(b) a preferential transfer for any reason not stated in the Covered Risk 9 of this policy.
5. Any lien on the Title for real estate taxes or assessments imposed by governmental authority and created or attaching between Date of Policy and the date of recording of the deed or other instrument of transfer in the Public Records that vests Title as shown in Schedule A

The above policy form may be issued to afford either Standard Coverage or Extended Coverage. In addition to the above Exclusions from Coverage, the Exceptions from Coverage in a Standard Coverage policy will also include the following Exceptions from Coverage.

## SCHEDULE B - GENERAL EXCEPTIONS FROM COVERAGE

This policy does not insure against loss or damage (and the Company will not pay costs, attorneys' fees or expenses) which arise by reason of:

1. Taxes or assessments which are not shown as existing liens by the records of any taxing authority that levies taxes or assessments on real property or by the Public Records; proceedings by a public agency which may result in taxes or assessments, or notices of such proceedings, whether or not shown by the records of such agency or by the Public Records
2. Facts, rights, interests or claims which are not shown by the Public Records but which could be ascertained by an inspection of the Land or by making inquiry of persons in possession thereof.
3. Easements, or claims of easement, not shown by the Public Records; reservations or exceptions in patents or in Acts authorizing the issuance thereof, water rights, claims or title to water.
4. Any encroachment, encumbrance, violation, variation, or adverse circumstance affecting the Title that would be disclosed by an accurate and complete land survey of the Land. The term "encroachment" includes encroachments of existing improvements located on the Land onto adjoining land, and encroachments onto the Land of existing improvements located on adjoining land.
5. Any lien for services, labor or material heretofore or hereafter furnished, or for contributions due to the State of Oregon for unemployment compensation or worker's compensation, imposed by law and not shown by the Public Records.

## WIRE FRAUD ALERT

This Notice is not intended to provide legal or professional advice.
If you have any questions, please consult with a lawyer.
All parties to a real estate transaction are targets for wire fraud and many have lost hundreds of thousands of dollars because they simply relied on the wire instructions received via email, without further verification. If funds are to be wired in conjunction with this real estate transaction, we strongly recommend verbal verification of wire instructions through a known, trusted phone number prior to sending funds.

In addition, the following non-exclusive self-protection strategies are recommended to minimize exposure to possible wire fraud.

- NEVER RELY on emails purporting to change wire instructions. Parties to a transaction rarely change wire instructions in the course of a transaction.
- ALWAYS VERIFY wire instructions, specifically the ABA routing number and account number, by calling the party who sent the instructions to you. DO NOT use the phone number provided in the email containing the instructions, use phone numbers you have called before or can otherwise verify. Obtain the number of relevant parties to the transaction as soon as an escrow account is opened. DO NOT send an email to verify as the email address may be incorrect or the email may be intercepted by the fraudster.
- USE COMPLEX EMAIL PASSWORDS that employ a combination of mixed case, numbers, and symbols. Make your passwords greater than eight (8) characters. Also, change your password often and do NOT reuse the same password for other online accounts.
- USE MULTI-FACTOR AUTHENTICATION for email accounts. Your email provider or IT staff may have specific instructions on how to implement this feature.

For more information on wire-fraud scams or to report an incident, please refer to the following links:

Federal Bureau of Investigation:
http://www.fbi.gov

Internet Crime Complaint Center:
http://www.ic3.gov

## FIDELITY NATIONAL FINANCIAL PRIVACY NOTICE

Effective January 1, 2021
Fidelity National Financial, Inc. and its majority-owned subsidiary companies (collectively, "FNF," "our," or "we") respect and are committed to protecting your privacy. This Privacy Notice explains how we collect, use, and protect personal information, when and to whom we disclose such information, and the choices you have about the use and disclosure of that information.
A limited number of FNF subsidiaries have their own privacy notices. If a subsidiary has its own privacy notice, the privacy notice will be available on the subsidiary's website and this Privacy Notice does not apply.

## Collection of Personal Information

FNF may collect the following categories of Personal Information:

- contact information (e.g., name, address, phone number, email address);
- demographic information (e.g., date of birth, gender, marital status);
- identity information (e.g. Social Security Number, driver's license, passport, or other government ID number);
- financial account information (e.g. loan or bank account information); and
- other personal information necessary to provide products or services to you.

We may collect Personal Information about you from:

- information we receive from you or your agent;
- information about your transactions with FNF, our affiliates, or others; and
- information we receive from consumer reporting agencies and/or governmental entities, either directly from these entities or through others.


## Collection of Browsing Information

FNF automatically collects the following types of Browsing Information when you access an FNF website, online service, or application (each an "FNF Website") from your Internet browser, computer, and/or device:

- Internet Protocol (IP) address and operating system;
- browser version, language, and type;
- domain name system requests; and
- browsing history on the FNF Website, such as date and time of your visit to the FNF Website and visits to the pages within the FNF Website.
Like most websites, our servers automatically log each visitor to the FNF Website and may collect the Browsing Information described above. We use Browsing Information for system administration, troubleshooting, fraud investigation, and to improve our websites. Browsing Information generally does not reveal anything personal about you, though if you have created a user account for an FNF Website and are logged into that account, the FNF Website may be able to link certain browsing activity to your user account.


## Other Online Specifics

Cookies. When you visit an FNF Website, a "cookie" may be sent to your computer. A cookie is a small piece of data that is sent to your Internet browser from a web server and stored on your computer's hard drive. Information gathered using cookies helps us improve your user experience. For example, a cookie can help the website load properly or can customize the display page based on your browser type and user preferences. You can choose whether or not to accept cookies by changing your Internet browser settings. Be aware that doing so may impair or limit some functionality of the FNF Website.
Web Beacons. We use web beacons to determine when and how many times a page has been viewed. This information is used to improve our websites.
Do Not Track. Currently our FNF Websites do not respond to "Do Not Track" features enabled through your browser.

Links to Other Sites. FNF Websites may contain links to unaffiliated third-party websites. FNF is not responsible for the privacy practices or content of those websites. We recommend that you read the privacy policy of every website you visit.

## Use of Personal Information

FNF uses Personal Information for three main purposes:

- To provide products and services to you or in connection with a transaction involving you.
- To improve our products and services.
- To communicate with you about our, our affiliates', and others' products and services, jointly or independently.


## When Information Is Disclosed

We may disclose your Personal Information and Browsing Information in the following circumstances:

- to enable us to detect or prevent criminal activity, fraud, material misrepresentation, or nondisclosure;
- to nonaffiliated service providers who provide or perform services or functions on our behalf and who agree to use the information only to provide such services or functions;
- to nonaffiliated third party service providers with whom we perform joint marketing, pursuant to an agreement with them to jointly market financial products or services to you;
- to law enforcement or authorities in connection with an investigation, or in response to a subpoena or court order; or
- in the good-faith belief that such disclosure is necessary to comply with legal process or applicable laws, or to protect the rights, property, or safety of FNF, its customers, or the public.
The law does not require your prior authorization and does not allow you to restrict the disclosures described above. Additionally, we may disclose your information to third parties for whom you have given us authorization or consent to make such disclosure. We do not otherwise share your Personal Information or Browsing Information with nonaffiliated third parties, except as required or permitted by law. We may share your Personal Information with affiliates (other companies owned by FNF) to directly market to you. Please see "Choices with Your Information" to learn how to restrict that sharing.
We reserve the right to transfer your Personal Information, Browsing Information, and any other information, in connection with the sale or other disposition of all or part of the FNF business and/or assets, or in the event of bankruptcy, reorganization, insolvency, receivership, or an assignment for the benefit of creditors. By submitting Personal Information and/or Browsing Information to FNF, you expressly agree and consent to the use and/or transfer of the foregoing information in connection with any of the above described proceedings.


## Security of Your Information

We maintain physical, electronic, and procedural safeguards to protect your Personal Information.

## Choices With Your Information

If you do not want FNF to share your information among our affiliates to directly market to you, you may send an "opt out" request as directed at the end of this Privacy Notice. We do not share your Personal Information with nonaffiliates for their use to direct market to you without your consent.
Whether you submit Personal Information or Browsing Information to FNF is entirely up to you. If you decide not to submit Personal Information or Browsing Information, FNF may not be able to provide certain services or products to you.
For California Residents: We will not share your Personal Information or Browsing Information with nonaffiliated third parties, except as permitted by California law. For additional information about your California privacy rights, please visit the "California Privacy" link on our website (https://fnf.com/pages/californiaprivacy.aspx) or call (888) 413-1748.

For Nevada Residents: You may be placed on our internal Do Not Call List by calling (888) 934-3354 or by contacting us via the information set forth at the end of this Privacy Notice. Nevada law requires that we also provide you with the following contact information: Bureau of Consumer Protection, Office of the Nevada Attorney General, 555 E. Washington St., Suite 3900, Las Vegas, NV 89101; Phone number: (702) 486-3132; email: BCPINFO@ag.state.nv.us.
For Oregon Residents: We will not share your Personal Information or Browsing Information with nonaffiliated third parties for marketing purposes, except after you have been informed by us of such sharing and had an opportunity to indicate that you do not want a disclosure made for marketing purposes.
For Vermont Residents: We will not disclose information about your creditworthiness to our affiliates and will not disclose your personal information, financial information, credit report, or health information to nonaffiliated third parties to market to you, other than as permitted by Vermont law, unless you authorize us to make those disclosures.

## Information From Children

The FNF Websites are not intended or designed to attract persons under the age of eighteen (18). We do not collect Personal Information from any person that we know to be under the age of thirteen (13) without permission from a parent or guardian.

## International Users

FNF's headquarters is located within the United States. If you reside outside the United States and choose to provide Personal Information or Browsing Information to us, please note that we may transfer that information outside of your country of residence. By providing FNF with your Personal Information and/or Browsing Information, you consent to our collection, transfer, and use of such information in accordance with this Privacy Notice.

## FNF Website Services for Mortgage Loans

Certain FNF companies provide services to mortgage loan servicers, including hosting websites that collect customer information on behalf of mortgage loan servicers (the "Service Websites"). The Service Websites may contain links to both this Privacy Notice and the mortgage loan servicer or lender's privacy notice. The sections of this Privacy Notice titled When Information is Disclosed, Choices with Your Information, and Accessing and Correcting Information do not apply to the Service Websites. The mortgage loan servicer or lender's privacy notice governs use, disclosure, and access to your Personal Information. FNF does not share Personal Information collected through the Service Websites, except as required or authorized by contract with the mortgage loan servicer or lender, or as required by law or in the good-faith belief that such disclosure is necessary: to comply with a legal process or applicable law, to enforce this Privacy Notice, or to protect the rights, property, or safety of FNF or the public.

## Your Consent To This Privacy Notice; Notice Changes; Use of Comments or Feedback

By submitting Personal Information and/or Browsing Information to FNF, you consent to the collection and use of the information in accordance with this Privacy Notice. We may change this Privacy Notice at any time. The Privacy Notice's effective date will show the last date changes were made. If you provide information to us following any change of the Privacy Notice, that signifies your assent to and acceptance of the changes to the Privacy Notice.

## Accessing and Correcting Information; Contact Us

If you have questions, would like to correct your Personal Information, or want to opt-out of information sharing for affiliate marketing, visit FNF's Opt Out Page or contact us by phone at (888) 934-3354 or by mail to:

> Fidelity National Financial, Inc. 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204
> Attn: Chief Privacy Officer

From: Heather Austin [heather.austin@3j-consulting.com](mailto:heather.austin@3j-consulting.com)
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2022 2:58 PM
To: Keith Leonard [kleonard@tualatin.gov](mailto:kleonard@tualatin.gov)
Subject: RE: Check-in on Alden Apartments

Hi Keith-

Attached you will find a copy of the land use application with applicant's (my) signature (sorry about that!). Also attached is our affidavit of posting and pictures of the 3 signs. And below is a statement regarding TDH 32.140(1)(h).

TDC 32.140(1)(h), "A statement as to whether any City-recognized Citizen Involvement Organizations (CIOs) whose boundaries include, or are adjacent to, the subject property were contacted in advance of filing the application and, if so, a summary of the contact. The summary must include the date when contact was made, the form of the contact and who it was with (e.g. phone conversation with neighborhood association chairperson, meeting with land use committee, presentation at neighborhood association meeting), and the result;"

Finding: The applicant contacted the Martinazzi Woods CIO, a City-recognized Citizen Involvement Organizations (CIO) whose boundaries include, or are adjacent to, the subject property. The applicant e-mailed a notice to the Martinazzi Woods CIO on July 29, 2022, announcing the August 10, 2022 neighborhood meeting, via the following e-mail addresses:

To: martinazziwoodscio@gmail.com
Cc: solson.1827@gmail.com; delmoore@frontier.com; jamison.l.shields@gmail.com; claudiasterling68@gmail.com; janet7531@gmail.com; roydloop@gmail.com

No response was received. This standard is met.

Please let me know if you need anything else, or if you'd like me to update the narrative with the finding above (to keep things cleaner).

Thanks!
Heather

Heather Austin, AI CP | Senior Planner | 3J Consulting she/her | O: 503.946.9365 x206 | C: 503.887.2130

## AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING NOTICE

## STATE OF OREGON )

## COUNTY OF WASHINGTON )

 being first duly sworn, depose and say:

That on the 27 day of $J v / y, 20 \_2$, I served upon the persons shown on Exhibit " $A$ " (Mailing Area List), attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein, a copy of the Notice of Neighborhood/Developer Meeting marked Exhibit "B," attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein, by mailing to them a true and correct copy of the original hereof. I further certify that the addresses shown on said Exhibit " A " are their regular addresses as determined from the books and records of the Washington County and/or Clackamas County Departments of Assessment and Taxation Tax Rolls, and that said envelopes were placed in the United States Mail with postage fully prepared thereon.


SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this $\qquad$ day of
 2022


RE:


Affidavit of Mailing- Exhibit A

| Introduction | Address | City | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8390 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20222 Sw 72Nd Ave. | Tigard | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8320 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8489 Sw Umatilla St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 21110 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20873 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20150 Sw 72Nd Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8105 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20980 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20905 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20973 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8153 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8310 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8330 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20249 Sw 85Th Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8235 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8312 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8447 Sw Iroquois Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8304 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20104 Sw Tillamook Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8370 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 21125 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20016 Sw 86Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 9801 Ranch Hand Ave. | Las Vegas | NV |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8485 Sw Iroquois Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8404 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20208 Sw 85Th Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8540 Sw Modoc Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 5185 Carman Dr. | Lake Oswego | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8368 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8360 Sw Chelan St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8332 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8332 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8336 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20351 Sw 72Nd Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8565 Sw Modoc Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 7392 Sw Tenino Ln. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8446 Sw Umatilla St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8228 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8700 Sw Comanche Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 7313 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20335 Sw 86Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8487 Sw Huron Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8456 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20176 Sw 72Nd Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8380 Se Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20601 Sw Colville Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8740 Sw Comanche Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8408 Sw Umatilla St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 7476 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 7288 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8462 Sw Umatilla St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8448 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8344 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8486 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 21233 Sw Iroquis Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8472 Sw Nestucca Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20577 Sw Colville Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 22350 Sw 102Nd PI. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20350 Sw 72Nd Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 3809 Ne 73Rd Ave. | Portland | OR |

## Zip
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To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at To Our Neighbors at
8160 Sw Shenandoah Way.
8315 Sw Shenandoah Way.
8252 Sw Shenandoah Way.
8522 Sw Mohawk St.

Po Box 230698. Po Box 230698. 18880 Sw Martinazzi Ave. 18880 Sw Martinazzi Ave. 18880 Sw Martinazzi Ave. 18880 Sw Martinazzi Ave. 18880 Sw Martinazzi Ave. 18880 Sw Martinazzi Ave. 8372 Sw Mohawk St.

710 Holladay St. 10735 Sw Bannoch St. 20222 Sw Tillamook Ct. 8375 Sw Seminole Trl.
9500 Sw Barbur Blvd Ste 300.
9500 Sw Barbur Blvd Ste 300. 6195 Sw 150Th Ave. 21199 Sw Martinazzi Ave. 21233 Sw Martinazzi Ave. 20222 Sw 72Nd Ave.
8175 Sw Shenandoah Way. 7321 Sw Delaware Cir. 8464 Sw Iroquois Dr. 8685 Sw Seminole TrI. 8535 Sw Seminole Trl.
1220 Sw 3Rd Ave Rm 1616. 19775 Sw Taposa PI. 8510 Sw Mohawk St. 7251 Sw Delaware Cir. 8105 Sw Seminole TrI. 8240 Sw Shenandoah Way. 8450 Sw Mohawk St. 7311 Sw Tenino Ln. 8274 Sw Mohawk St. 20628 Sw 84Th Ct. 20917 Sw Martinazzi Ave. 8334 Sw Mowhawk St.
8178 Sw Shenandoah Way. 20948 Sw 84Th Ave. 21306 Sw Iroquois Dr. 20569 Sw 84Th Ct. 7401 Sw Washo Ct \#200. 2982 Winkel Way. 21285 Sw Martinazzi Ave. 8280 Sw Mohawk St. 8685 Sw Comanche Way. 8384 Sw Mohawk St. 8501 Sw Iroquois Dr. 8278 Sw Mohawk St. 20270 Sw 86Th Ave. 7991 Sw Mohawk St.

1930 16Th Ave. 8201 Sw Seminole Trail. 8475 Sw Avery St. 19800 Spring Ridge Dr. 7343 Sw Tenino Ln.
19760 Sw Boones Ferry Rd. 8400 Sw Seminole Trl.

| Tualatin | OR |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
|  | OR |
| Portland | OR |
| Portland | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Portland | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Portland | OR |
| Portland | OR |
| Beaverton | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Portland | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Beaverton | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| West Linn | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Forest Grove | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| West Linn | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
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97062
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97007
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97062
97062
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97062
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97062
97062
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97062
97062
97062
97062
97062
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97062
97062
97062
97062
97062
97068
97062
97062
97062
97062
97062
97062
97062
97062
97116
97062
97062
97068
97062
97062
97062

Mailing List_Alden Apartments (002)-Lables-Cleaned
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| 28686 Sw Paris Ave. | Wilsonville | OR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20487 Sw 69Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8121 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20779 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7401 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20445 Sw 86Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8500 Sw Iroquois Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20527 Sw 84Th Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| Po Box 824. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7375 Sw Tenino. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20173 Sw Tenino Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20028 Sw 72Nd Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 21250 Sw Makah St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8520 Sw Modoc Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 180 Calico Lake Dr. | Brevard | NC |
| 14595 Sw 144Th Ave. | Tigard | OR |
| 8735 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8455 Sw Seminole Trail. | Tualatin | OR |
| 22082 Oak Grove. | Mission Viejo | CA |
| 8210 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20100 Sw 72Nd Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8520 Sw Sagert St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8488 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20682 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8454 Sw Chelan Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8675 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 21198 Sw Iroquois Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8460 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20182 Sw Tillamook Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8354 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8204 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7455 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| 4214 Woodside Cir. | Lake Oswego | OR |
| 8451 Sw Umatilla St. | Tualatin | OR |
|  |  | OR |
| 21267 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20935 Sw 90Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 18840 Sw Boones Ferry Rd Ste 216. | Tualatin | OR |
| 18840 Sw Boones Ferry Rd Ste 216. | Tualatin | OR |
| 18840 Sw Boones Ferry Rd Ste 216. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20164 Sw 85Th Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8137 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| 2843 Sw Plum Ct. | Portland | OR |
| 61690 Summer Shade Dr. | Bend | OR |
| 8305 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20124 Sw 72Nd Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7275 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8665 Sw Seminole Trail. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8172 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8715 Sw Comanche Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7242 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8520 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20248 Sw Tenino Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20475 Sw 86Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20221 Sw Tenino Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8550 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20553 Sw Colville Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 15253 Se Pebble Beach Dr. | Happy Valley | OR |
| 8398 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20480 Sw 86Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 17477 N 101St Way. | Scottsdale | AZ |
| 8515 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |

28686 Sw Paris Ave. Sw

20779 Sw 84Th Ave. 7401 Sw Delaware Cir. 20445 Sw 86Th Ave. 8500 Sw Iroquois Dr. 20527 Sw 84Th Ct. 7375 Sw Tenino. 20173 Sw Tenino Ct. 20028 Sw 72Nd Ave. 21250 Sw Makah St. 8520 Sw Modoc Ct. 14595 Sw 144 Th Ave

8735 Sw Avery St. 8455 Sw Seminole Trail. 8210 Sw Seminole Trl. 20100 Sw 72Nd Ave. 8488 Sw Mohawk St. 20682 Sw Martinazzi Ave. 8454 Sw Chelan Ct. 21198 Sw Iroquois Dr 8460 Sw Seminole Trl. 20182 Sw Tillamook Ct. 8354 Sw Mohawk St. Sw Daw Way. 4214 Woodside Cir. 8451 Sw Umatilla St.

21267 Sw Martinazzi Ave. 20935 Sw 90Th Ave. 18840 Sw Boones Ferry Rd Ste 216. 8840 Sw Boones Ferry Rd Ste 216. 20164 Sw 85Th Ct. Sw Seminole Trl. 61690 Summer Shade Dr. 8305 Sw Shenandoah Way. 20124 Sw 72Nd Ave. 7275 Sw Delaware Cir. 8172 Sw Shenandoah Way. 7242 Sw Delaware Cir. 8520 Sw Seminole Trl. 20248 Sw Tenino Ct. 20475 Sw 86Th Ave. 20221 Sw Tenino Ct. 20553 Sw Colville Ct. Pebble Beach Dr 20480 Sw 86Th Ave. 8515 Sw Seminole Trl.
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97702
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97086
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85255
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| 8375 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7296 Sw Tenino Ln. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8374 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8535 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20148 Sw Tenino Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20196 Sw Tenino Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20834 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20300 Sw Nancy Ln. | Beaverton | OR |
| 10335 Sw Hoodview Dr. | Tigard | OR |
| 10335 Sw Hoodview Dr. | Tigard | OR |
| 21274 Sw Makah St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20390 Sw 86Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 121 Sw Salmon St. | Portland | OR |
| 8442 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 19740 Sw Boones Ferry Rd. | Tualatn | OR |
| 21216 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 12801 Nw 40Th Ave. | Vancouver | WA |
| 8302 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20084 Sw Tillamook Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8137 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8196 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8245 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 23662 Stafford Hill Dr. | West Linn | OR |
| 8224 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8670 Sw Comanche Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 21207 Sw Iroquois Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| 21012 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8328 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| Po Box 1632. | Tualatin | OR |
| 28916 La Carreterra. | Laguna Niguel | CA |
| 20167 Sw 85Th Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8300 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 19745 Sw 49Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8335 Sw Seminole Trail. | Tualatin | OR |
| 5167 Metolius Ave Se. | Salem | OR |
| 20036 Sw Tillamook Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8295 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7415 Sw 37Th Ave. | Portland | OR |
| 8164 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8428 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8480 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8524 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8388 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 4040 Fairview Industrial Dr Se Ms \#2. | Salem | OR |
| 8476 Sw Huron Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20753 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20012 Sw Tillamook Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8235 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8440 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7768 Sw Red Hawk Ct. | Durham | OR |
| 8416 Sw Iroquois Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8492 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8352 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8208 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 21224 Sw Iroquois Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8320 Sw Chelan St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8625 Sw Comanche Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8488 Sw Huron Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8464 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 601 Quail Dr. | Newberg | OR |
| 8290 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8700 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
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| To Our Neighbors at | 1619 Se 176Th Ave. | Portland | OR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To Our Neighbors at | 32590 Sw Arbor Lake Dr. | Wilsonville | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8512 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8435 Sw Umatilla St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | Po Box 733. | Beaverton | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8462 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8264 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20995 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | Po Box 730. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8525 Sw 165Th Ave. | Beaverton | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 2121 Rosecrans Ave Ste 4325. | El Segundo | CA |
| To Our Neighbors at | 2121 Rosecrans Ave Ste 4325. | El Segundo | CA |
| To Our Neighbors at | 2121 Rosecrans Ave Ste 4325. | El Segundo | CA |
| To Our Neighbors at | 2121 Rosecrans Ave Ste 4325. | El Segundo | CA |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8490 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8414 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8278 Sw Chelan St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 4849 Waylon St. | Eau Claire | WI |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8460 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20400 Sw 72Nd Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8120 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 7448 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20200 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8346 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 21268 Sw Iroquois Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8376 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8488 Sw Iroquois Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 19165 Sw 51St Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 21521 Sw 91St Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | Po Box 2862. | Hillsboro | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8472 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8451 Sw Nestucca Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20708 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8498 Sw Santiam Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8430 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8280 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8436 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8344 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8630 Sw Comanche Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8518 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8266 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8345 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8275 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8320 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8355 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 9500 Sw Barbur Blvd Ste 300. | Portland | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20653 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 17367 Lake Haven Dr. | Lake Oswego | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 17367 Lake Haven Dr. | Lake Oswego | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8064 Sw Woody End St. | Portland | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 9839 Sw Siuslaw Ln. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8311 Sw Chelan St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8348 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 26951 S Bolland Rd. | Canby | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20154 Sw Tillamook Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20578 Sw Colville Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8331 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20244 Sw Tenino Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20086 Sw 86Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8129 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8151 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8386 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
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20062 Sw Tillamook Ct.
8340 Sw Shenandoah Way.
8505 Sw Avery St.
6941 Sw 148Th Ct.
20737 Sw Martinazzi Ave.
1532 Sunlight Dr.

8336 Sw Shenandoah Way. 8365 Sw Shenandoah Way. 8245 Sw Seminole Trl. 8555 Sw Seminole Trail. 7424 Sw Tenino Ln. 8410 Sw Seminole Trl.
1481 Nw 13Th Ave Apt 732. 8660 Sw Seminole Trl. 14919 Ne Lawnview Cir. 19738 Sw Boones Ferry Rd. 19770 Sw Boones Ferry Rd.

20817 Sw Martinazzi Ave.
21762 Sw Mountain Home Rd. 8360 Sw Shenandoah Way. 8444 Sw Mohawk St. 20602 Sw Colville Ct. 8452 Sw Mohawk St. 8220 Sw Shenandoah Way. 21044 Sw 84Th Ave.
8125 Sw Shenandoah Way. 8499 Sw Huron Ct. 8552 Sw Santiam Dr. 8477 Sw Nestucca Ct. 8214 Sw Shenandoah Way. 7367 Sw Delaware Cir. 8347 Sw Avery St. 20947 Sw 84Th Ave. 8298 Sw Mohawk St. 8350 Sw Seminole Trl. 20044 Sw 86Th Ave. 8165 Sw Shenandoah Way. 20551 Sw Martinazzi Ave. 20211 Sw 85Th Ct. 20292 Sw Tenino Ct. 4218 Ne 41St Ave.
11970 Sw Hazelwood Loop. 7414 Sw Delaware Cir. 8268 Sw Mohawk St. 7328 Sw Tenino Ln. 7293 Sw Delaware Cir. 8138 Sw Seminole TrI. 8680 Sw Seminole Trl. 8520 Sw Mohawk St. 8408 Sw Mohawk St. 7439 Sw Tenino Ln. 8325 Sw Shenandoah Way. 8466 Sw Chelan Ct. 20350 Sw 86Th Ave. 8395 Sw Seminole Trl. 8325 Sw Seminole TrI.
21711 Sw Martinazzi Ave. 8265 Sw Seminole TrI.

8392 Sw Mohawk St. 3468 Ala Haukulu. 21265 Sw Iroquois Dr. 8545 Sw Modoc Ct.

| Tualatin | OR |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Beaverton | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Fairbanks | AK |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Portland | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Aurora | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Sherwood | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Portland | OR |
| Tigard | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Honolulu | HI |
| Tualatin | OR |
| Tualatin | OR |
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| 8364 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8420 Sw Umatilla St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7327 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| 17547 N Somerset Dr. | Surprise | AZ |
| 8355 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7205 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| 10 Goodrich Trl. | Carmel | CA |
| 2305 W I20 Ste 140 \#172. | Grand Prairie | TX |
| 8490 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20532 Sw 84Th Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8452 Sw Iroquois Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8400 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| Po Box 2690. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7355 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8503 Sw Santiam Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20564 Sw 84Th Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8492 Sw Umatilla St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8246 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8474 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20276 72Nd Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7223 Sw Tenino Ln. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7407 Sw Tenino Ln. | Tualatin | OR |
| 21333 Sw Makah St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 21885 Ne Alton St. | Fairview | OR |
| 8410 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20376 Sw 72Nd Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20750 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 12424 Se Winter Creek Ct. | Happy Valley | OR |
| 20124 Sw Tillamook Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8485 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20002 Sw 86Th St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20922 Sw Winema Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20679 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20795 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7456 Sw Tenino Ln. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8484 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7229 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| 5223 Ne 47Th Ave. | Portland | OR |
| 8625 Sw Seminole Trail. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8645 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8119 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 4800 Sw Meadows Rd Ste 300. | Lake Oswego | OR |
| 8360 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 104 South Aspen Ct. | Chandler | AZ |
| 8514 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8426 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 4914 E Quien Sabe Way. | Cave Creek | AZ |
| 8690 Sw Comanche Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 21183 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20776 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 19767 Sw 72Nd Ave Ste 100. | Tualatin | OR |
| 21313 Sw Makah St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 476 Sw Brookwood Ave. | Hillsboro | OR |
| 14510 Sw Chesterfield Ln. | Tigard | OR |
| 20052 Sw 72Nd Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8415 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8487 Sw Chelan Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8487 Sw Chelan Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7345 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| 16745 Sw Stellar Dr. | Sherwood | OR |
| 20532 Sw 84Th Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20230 Sw Tillamook Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
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| 7426 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8385 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8316 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8500 Sw Modoc Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8380 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20705 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7434 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20269 Sw Tenino Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8412 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8446 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20300 Sw 72Nd Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7305 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| 34580 Ne Wilsonville Rd. | Newberg | OR |
| 20621 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 200 Granada Dr. | Corte Madera | CA |
| 8260 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8402 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8233 Sw Seminole Tr. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8476 Sw Iroquois Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8640 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8228 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7360 Sw Tenino Ln. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8314 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8147 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8705 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7281 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8358 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8438 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20724 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20015 Sw Tillamook Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8406 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8500 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8470 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 16543 S Harding Rd. | Oregon City | OR |
| 8300 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8458 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8212 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 10685 Sw Clay. | Sherwood | OR |
| 10685 Sw Clay St. | Sherwood | OR |
| 20969 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8465 Sw Chelan Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| Po Box 1816. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7190 Sw Delaware St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8705 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| 2875 Marylhurst Dr. | West Linn | OR |
| 8296 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20624 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8490 Sw Nestucca Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 21246 Sw Iroquois Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8239 Cahmpoeg Rd Ne. | Saint Paul | OR |
| 8402 Sw Santiam Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| 9795 Sw lowa Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20101 Sw Tenino Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 4641 Firtree Ln. | Sparks | NV |
| 20076 Sw 72Nd Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 4856 Sw Wembley PI. | Beaverton | OR |
| 8390 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 4165 Imperial Dr. | West Linn | OR |
| 8418 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20771 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7335 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7007 Sw 7Th Ave. | Portland | OR |
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| To Our Neighbors at | 8448 Sw Chelan Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20317 Sw Tenino Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 7365 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20055 Sw Tillamook Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20821 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8143 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8232 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8325 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 7488 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 18264 Holly Ln. | Oregon City | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20579 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 21150 Sw Iroquois Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8270 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8285 Sw Chelan St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8300 Sw Chelan St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8248 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 21180 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8466 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8340 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 448 Tenney Dr. | Rogue River | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8508 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 7237 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8504 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 10345 W Olympic Blvd. | Los Angeles | CA |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8475 Sw Huron Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8510 Sw Sagert St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20373 Sw 72Nd Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20788 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8378 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8131 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20884 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 21109 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20126 Sw Tenino Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 2706 Gilbert St S. | Salem | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 2823 San Ardo. | Belmont | CA |
| To Our Neighbors at | 2823 San Ardo. | Belmont | CA |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20695 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20170 Sw 86Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 5870 Sw Wichita St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 5870 Sw Wichita St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 7224 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 678 Gemstone Dr. | San Marcos | CA |
| To Our Neighbors at | 19030 Sw Chesapeake Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 21265 S Makah St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8270 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20863 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20136 Sw 85Th Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20989 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 7404 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8465 Sw Umatilla St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 7392 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8107 Sw Avery St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20366 Sw Tenino Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 15650 Sw 133Rd Ave. | Tigard | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20603 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20168 Sw Tillamook Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8660 Sw Comanche Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8284 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 21137 Sw Martinazz1 Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 20726 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8430 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| To Our Neighbors at | 8425 Sw Seminole Trail. | Tualatin | OR |
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| 20847 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8441 Sw Chelan Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8188 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20212 Sw 86Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8324 Sw Shenandoah Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 20846 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7201 Sw Tenino Ln. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8680 Sw Comanche Way. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7468 Sw Delaware Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| 10440 Sw Susquehanna Dr. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8422 Sw Chelan Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8485 Sw Nestucca Ct. | Tualatin | OR |
| 160 Mckenzie Creek Rd. | Scotts Valley | CA |
| 20692 Sw 84Th Ave. | Tualatin | OR |
| 5100 Sw Greenwood Cir. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8366 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 7247 Sw Tenino Ln. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8185 Sw Seminole Trl. | Tualatin | OR |
| 8390 Sw Mohawk St. | Tualatin | OR |
| 81234 Sw Martinazzi Ave. | Lake Oswego | OR Mohawk St. |
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8565 Sw Avery St.
18725 Sw Boones Ferry Rd. 8324 Sw Maxine Ln Unit \#46.

Tualatin
OR
Tualatin
OR
Wilsonville OR

To Our Neighbors at 8390 Sw Seminole Trl. Tualatin, OR 97062

3J Consulting acts on behalf of Colrich California Construction, INC. regarding a proposal for the development of an additional 45 townhomes in Tualatin. The site is 16.53 acres in size and is located at 7800 SW Sagert St, Tualatin, OR 97062. The cross streets are SW Sagert St. and SW Martinazzi Ave. The site consists of one tax lot, identified as 2S125BA00100. The site is zoned Medium High Density Residential (RMH). The site location of the proposed project is shown on the attached vicinity map. The proposal includes applications for an Architectural Review.

Prior to applying to the City of Tualatin for the necessary land use approvals, I would like to discuss the proposal in more detail with the surrounding property owners and residents.

You are cordially invited to attend an In-person Neighborhood Meeting:
Wednesday, August 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2022$ at 6:00pm
The meeting will be held at the Tualatin Public Library in the Community Room.
18878 SW Martinazzi Ave. Tualatin, OR 97062
Please note that this will be an informational meeting on preliminary plans. These plans may be altered prior to the submittal of the application to the City. The purpose of this meeting is to provide a forum for the applicant and surrounding property owners/residents to review and consider the proposal. The meeting gives you the opportunity to share with us any special information you know about the property.

I look forward to more specifically discussing the proposal with you. If you have any questions on how to participate in the proposed meeting, please contact us at ashley.doty@3j-consulting.com or (503) 946.9365 x. 223.

Sincerely,


Project Manager
3J Consulting, Inc.

VICINITY MAP


CERTIFICATION OF SIGN POSTING
NOTICE NEIGHBORHOOD / DEVELOPER MEETING /2010 _: _ _m. sW $\qquad$ 503- $\qquad$ $-$ $\qquad$

In addition to the requirements of TDC 32.150 , the $18^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime}$ sign must display the meeting date, time, and address as well as a contact phone number. The block around the word "NOTICE" must remain orange composed of the RGB color values Red 254, Green 127, and Blue 0 . A PowerPoint template of this sign is available at: https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/planning/land-use-application-sign-templates.

As the applicant for the $\qquad$ Alden Apartments project, I hereby certify that on this day, $\qquad$ signs) was/were posted on the subject property in accordance with the requirements of the Tualatin Development Code and the Community Development Division.



NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING
Alden Apartments
August 10, 2022
6:00pm - Tualatin Public Library

3JCONSULTING
9600 SW NIMBUS AVENUE, SUITE 100 BEAVERTON, OREGON 97008

PH: (503) 946.9365 WWW.3JCONSULTING.COM

| NAME | ADDRESS | PHONE \# | EMAIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sam Cole | 20126 sus Terino \& Tualatim | 503806358 |  |
| Tyler (1rah) | 444 West Beech St. 5 jite $300 \begin{gathered}\text { San Diesg } \\ \text { CAprol }\end{gathered}$ | 8584902355 |  |
| Elreabeth Tobin |  | 583-705-8274 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING
Alden Apartments
August 10, 2022
6:00 pm - Tualatin Public Library

3J CONSULTING
9600 SW NIMBUS AVENUE, SUITE 100
BEAVERTON, OREGON 97008
PH: (503) 946.9365 WWW.3JCONSULTING.COM


NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING
Alden Apartments
August 10, 2022
6:00 pm - Tualatin Public Library

3J CONSULTING
9600 SW NIMBUS AVENUE, SUITE 100
BEAVERTON, OREGON 97008
PH: (503) 946.9365 WWW.3JCONSULTING.COM


# Neighborhood Meeting Notes - Alden Apartments 

| Date: | August 10 ${ }^{\text {th }, 2022}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | Alden Apartments |
| 3J No.: | 22791 |
| Presenters: | Heather Austin, 3J Consulting, Inc. <br> Ashley Doty, 3J Consulting, Inc. |

In compliance with the requirements for the submission of a land use application for Architectural Review for the development of a Multifamily Housing project, the applicant conducted a neighborhood meeting with notice provided to neighboring property owners within 1,000 feet of the subject site, designated Citizen Involvement Organization representatives, and the Tualatin Community Development Department.

3J Consulting hosted the meeting in the Community Room of the Tualatin Public Library located at 18878 SW Martinazzi Ave. The meeting began at 6:00 PM on August 10, 2022. A sign in sheet was provided for attendees to provide their name, address, telephone number, and email address. The presentation included an overview of the proposed development, zoning requirements and land use process. A site plan, various renderings, and floor plans of the proposed development were available for attendees to view. The following is a list of questions which were asked during the meeting. Names of attendees with comments/questions are included per Tualatin Development Code section 32.120.

| Question | Answer |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bob Kern: What will the heights of the <br> buildings be? Code used to be 45'. | The building heights will be maximum 35', which is the <br> maximum height allowed by City code. |
| Is the church next door staying? | Yes. The proposed development is completely within the <br> current Alden Apartments site. |
| What is the design of the landscaping <br> going to be? | The proposal does not yet have a landscape designed. The <br> renderings just show concept landscaping. |
| Linda Weland: How many units will be <br> removed? | 15 units are being removed (two buildings total). 45 units <br> are being added. |
| Wes Davis: Will there be 2 cars per <br> units? What will the street access be? <br> Will cars be exiting on Sagert? Is it <br> right turn out only? | The units each have a car garage. Most units are on the <br> loop drive that access the property from Martinazzi. One <br> new building (4 units) will have access from Sagert. <br> Currently there are no proposed restrictions to turning <br> movements into or out of the property. |
| Bob: Are there any new entrances to <br> the site? | There are no new proposed vehicular accesses to the site <br> at this time. The existing a vehicular access to the site will <br> remain. |


| Bob: How many units will there be per acre? | The overall property site is 17.09 acres. There will be 240 units total after construction. density. The maximum density per code is 250 units. This meets the City code for density requirements. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tim: Are the new units townhomes? Are the current ones staying on the site? | The proposed new buildings are townhomes. The development would remove two existing buildings that are apartments, but no other changes to the existing apartments will occur. |
| Tim: Are there any traffic impacts? Where will new units be accessing from? | There are no expected major traffic impacts. This was already looked at by the consulting team. <br> Additional info: A trip generation study has been conducted by the consulting traffic engineer, Kittelson \& Associates. The proposed development is expected to generate fewer than 500 daily drips, fewer than 60 morning and evening peak trips, and fewer than 20 large truck trips per day, and therefore a full transportation impact analysis is not expected for Tualatin Development Code Section 74.440. This report will be included in the land use application. |
| Gayle: Will there be overflow parking for guests? | The proposed development will be meeting City code requirements for off-street parking. |
| Linda: Will there be play areas or open spaces for the new units? | These areas have not been finalized but the intent is to meet City code requirements for site design standards. |
| Gayle: When is the estimated completion date? | Spring/Summer 2024 |
| Linda: Are these townhomes going to be to own or to rent? | All of the new townhome style apartments will be for rent from the same property managements company as the rest of the complex. No private ownership of individual units. |
| Wally: Are the common areas public or just for the residents? Are there any public funds involved? | The common areas will be private and just for the use of residents and guests. There is no public funding involved for the common areas. |
| Linda: Will there be affordable housing? | No, the new units will not be considered Affordable Housing per the State definition of "regulated affordable housing". New units will be market rate set by the property owner. |
| Bob: Are there low cost units on site? What is the current market rate of the units now? | Current rates for existing units are unknown to the consultant team. Please contact the property management company for more information on unit rates. |
| Wes: What is the different between townhomes and apartments? The project is called Alden Apartments but these are townhomes? | The property is called Alden Apartments and contains various sized apartment units each on individual floors. The proposed units will be townhome style apartments, with |


|  | each units spread across 3 vertical floors, separated by <br> shared walls between units. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wally: What are the targeted <br> demographics of the new units? Will <br> there be multi-generational style <br> units with bedrooms on the first <br> floor? Can multiple levels be accessed <br> from outside or are there stairs inside <br> to each level. | The units will all only have access from the ground floor. <br> There are currently two proposed unit floorplans. <br> Floorplan A has a bedroom on the first floor. Floorplans are <br> available to view at this meeting. |
| Linda: What will the unit layouts be? <br> How many bedrooms? | There are currently two different proposed floorplans. <br> Floorplan A has 3 bedrooms and 3 bathrooms. Floorplan B <br> has 2 bedrooms and 2.5 bathrooms. |
| Barb: How many car spaces will the <br> garages be? | All units are proposed to have a 2 car garage. |

The meeting concluded at approximately 6:30 PM.

Appendix F. Neighborhood Meeting Materials
Signs posted along property's frontage on Sagert, Martinazzi and Avery, and close-up of sign designed to city standards.


## CERTIFICATION OF SIGN POSTING

> 篒 NOTICE ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW AR -[YY]-
> For more information call 503-691-3026 or visit www.tualatinoregon.gov

The applicant must provide and post a sign pursuant to Tualatin Development Code (TDC 32.150). The block around the word "NOTICE" must remain yellow composed of the RGB color values Red 255, Green 255, and Blue 0 . A template is available at:
https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/planning/land-use-application-sign-templates
NOTE: For larger projects, the Community Development Department may require the posting of additional signs in conspicuous locations.

As the applicant for the Alden Apartments AR -22-0008 project,
I hereby certify that on this day, $\quad 3 \quad \operatorname{sign}(\mathrm{~s})$ was/were posted on the subject property in accordance with the requirements of the Tualatin Development Code and the Community Development Division.


Date: $\qquad$





## ALDEN APARTMENTS

7800 SW Sagert Street and 20400 SW Martinazzi Avenue
Pre-Application Meeting Summary

Thank you for discussing your proposed multiple family development project. Below you will find a summary of our discussion points. If there is anything else from our meeting that you wish to document, please respond with your notes as well. Thank you.

## Required Land Use Reviews

Submit electronically via eTrakit:https://permits.ci.tualatin.or.us/eTrakit/.

## Neighborhood/Developer meeting

- Holding a Neighborhood/Developer meeting is required for the Annexation, Plan Map Amendment (Zone Change), and Architectural Review applications. The same meeting may be used for both applications.
- Neighborhood/Developer meetings should generally be held no more than six months prior to application. More detailed information about this meeting, is online here: https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/planning/neighborhood-developer-meetings
- Applicants are responsible for mailing and posting notice of your Neighborhood Developer meeting. The City can provide a list of addresses for your notice letters. This mailing list includes neighboring property owners. Please email us at planning@tualatin.gov to request a Mailing List for a $\$ 32$ fee.


## Architectural Review Application:

Type III Land Use Decision - See TDC 33.020(3)
https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/sites/default/files/fileattachments/planning/page/5081/ar instruction s 2019 withforms.pdf

Type III AR applications and examples for industrial development found here: https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/planning/ar-19-0008-tualatin-industrial-park

Criteria to address for your AR narrative includes:

- Tualatin Municipal Code:
o 03-02: Sewer Regulations;
o 03-03: Water Service;
o 03-05: Soil Erosion, Surface Water Management, Water Quality Facilities, and Building \& Sewers;
- Tualatin Development Code:

0 32: Procedures;
0 33.020: Architectural Review;
0 33.110: Tree Removal Permit/Review;
0 42: Medium High Density Residential Zone (RMH);
0 73A, 73B, 73C and 73D: Design Standards;
0 74: Public Improvements
0 75: Access Management

## Type III Timeline:

- Decided by Architectural Review Board, meets as needed on Wednesdays:
https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/arb
o 30 day Completeness Review.
O Hearing typically scheduled within 60 days of complete application
o Notice of Hearing:
- 20 day prior to hearing
- Those who comment gain standing for potential appeal
- Notice of Decision:
- 14 day appeal period - opportunity to appeal decision to City Council
- Decision is good for two years (TDC33.020(9)) with an opportunity to request a one-time decision extension (TDC 33.020(8)) of one (1) additional year, if approved. Extensions require a Type II review process.


## Required Service Provider Letters

Clean Water Services will comment on additional natural resource, through their Review process. The Service Provider Letter from CWS is a requirement of a complete land use or Engineering permit submittal. For more information, see http://www.cleanwaterservices.org/permits-development/step-by-step-process/environmental-review/ This letter will specify any required wetland and buffer mitigation.

Coordination with Republic Services, the City's waste disposal service, is required as part of the Architectural Review process. To obtain a service provider letter for proposed development, please work directly with John Olivares, Operations Manager: jolivares@republicservices.com and (503) 826-7139.

Coordination with TVF\&R, the City's emergency and fire protection service, is required as part of the Architectural Review process. To obtain a service provider letter for proposed development, please work directly with TVF\&R: https://www.tvfr.com/FormCenter/Public-Records-7/Service-provider-permit-for-Tualatin-73

## Highlighted Site Design Standards

- Ordinance 1463-21: The Middle Housing ordinance is effective but the online development code may not have been updated at this time.
- TDC 73A.200(1) Common Wall Design Standards:
- Walkways must be provided between the main building entrances and other on-site buildings, accessways, and sidewalks along the public right-of-way;
- Phasing of Improvements - Phasing of required improvements are regulated in


## Tree Removal:

Four or fewer trees may be removed within a single calendar year from a single parcel of property or contiguous parcels of property under the same ownership without a permit. Tree is defined as: a living, standing, woody plant having a trunk eight inches or more in diameter, widest cross section, at a point four feet above mean ground level.

If required, tree removal is reviewed under the Architectural Review application. A tree preservation plan and a tree assessment report prepared by a certified arborist are required to address the approval criteria for tree removal found in TDC 33.110(5).

## Public Utilities and Other Site Development

- Request available public utility as-builts by emailing tdoran@tualatin.gov. Washington County can provide public as-builts adjacent to your site.
- Apply for Hydraulic Modeling and Tualatin Erosion Control, Public Works, and Water Quality Permits electronically via eTrakit: https://permits.ci.tualatin.or.us/eTrakit/.
- An Erosion Control permit is required from Tualatin for projects disturbing over 500 square feet.

0 Additionally, if between one and five acres are disturbed, a 1200 CN is needed from CWS.
0 If over five acres are disturbed, a 1200 C is needed from DEQ.

- A Water Quality Permit is needed for construction and modification of public and private impervious areas. The permit will include wetland mitigation/revegetation required by CWS SPL in addition to treatment, detention as required for conveyance, and hydromodification per CWS D\&CS Ch 4.
o Any additional permits from regulating agencies such as CWS Environmental Services
o Include all private stormwater treatment and conveyance within a maintenance agreement including existing facilities.
0 For water quality permit application completeness submit stormwater plans and calculations certified by an Oregon registered, professional engineer in accordance with TMC 3-5-390(1) proving proposed systems:
- In accordance with TMC 3-5-200 through 3-5-430, TDC 74.630 and 74.650, Public Works Construction Code (PWCC), and Clean Water Services' (CWS) Design and Construction Standards (D\&CS) Chapter 4.
- Show onsite facilities for proposed new and modified impervious areas.
- Address runoff from all new and modified private impervious areas.
- Treat new and modified impervious areas in accordance with CWS D\&CS 4.08.1.d meeting phosphorous removal in accordance with TMC 3-5-350 per the design storm in accordance with TMC 3-5-360 and CWS D\&CS 4.08.2.
- Detain as needed TMC 3-5-220, TMC 3-5-230, and CWS D\&CS 4.08.
- Accommodate hydromodification in accordance with CWS D\&CS 4.03.5.
- Include conveyance calculations that accommodates up to a 25-year storm event with 100-year overland flow to the public stormwater system in accordance with TDC 74.640 and CWS D\&CS 5.05.2.d.
- Downstream evaluation with a maximum of $82 \%$ capacity within public lines per TMC 3-5-210 - Review of Downstream System
- Demonstrate compliance with the Clean Water Services' Service Provider Letter CWS conditions sufficient to obtain a Stormwater Connection Permit Authorization Letter in accordance with TDC 74.650(2) and CWS D\&CS 3.01.2(d).

0 If the proposed water quality facility includes infiltration in the design, a Geotech/soil/infiltration report will need to be submitted to Engineering for a complete land use application.

- A Public Works Permit is needed for any sanitary sewer, stormwater, or water line work within right-of-way or public easements.
- Record an 8-foot wide public utility easement adjacent to right-of-way. Underground utilities unless over 50kv (then associated existing utilities may remain above).

0 Work directly with PGE regarding any existing lines and poles vs what they will require to serve your site.
o Your conversations with PGE may result in their request of special circumstances to the City. Please provide us PGE's response early so we can provide any needed input.
o Private retaining walls must be located outside of the public utility easement.
0 The maximum allowed slope within the public utility easement is per:

- Washington County standards for SW Grahams Ferry Road.
- Tualatin Public Works Construction Code 203.2.07 Slope Design 3:1 standard for local streets.
- Hydraulic Modeling is required for over 48,300 square footage of new building area, 870 gallons/acre/day use, and/or more than 49 residential units. Hydraulic Modeling may be requested in advance of application for a land use to confirm availability and requirements, but may need to be updated depending on changes due to conditions of approval. When submitting a modeling application include:
o Requirements/alternatives allowed by TVF\&R. Apply for a TVF\&R service provider letter via https://www.tvfr.com/FormCenter/Public-Records-7/Service-provider-permit-for-Tualatin-73.
o Hydrant flow test results. Request testing via https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/publicworks/hydrant-flow-tests. For questions contact Terrance Leahy, Water Division Manager, (503) 691-3095; tleahy@tualatin.gov.
0 After submittal Staff will coordinate with you regarding payment of the fee per the current fee schedule. The fee is currently $\$ 300 /$ building.


## Transportation and Site Access

- Your transportation engineer must email Mike McCarthy, Principal Traffic Engineer, mmccarthy@tualatin.gov (please also copy tdoran@tualatin.gov) to confirm proposed Traffic Impact Analysis scope including site plan, building sizes, etc. and estimated trip generation. Staff will coordinate with any other applicable agencies and jurisdictions. Mike may also be reached at (503) 691-3674.
- Additional ROW may be required to permit the construction of public transportation improvements (Traffic Impact Analysis will identify mitigation measures).


## Fire

- Drew Dubois, TVF\&R (503) 259-1404; drew.debois@tvfr.com
- Flow testing: Terrance Leahy, Water Division Manager, (503) 691-3095; tleahy@tualatin.gov


## Building

- At the conclusion of the AR appeal period, please contact Building Services at (503) 691-3044 to schedule a pre-submittal meeting to discuss the permit process with Building Division staff.
- Current fee schedule: https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/finance/fee-schedule
- For calculating SDC fees, please work with Lauren Gonzalez, Igonzalez@tualatin.gov


## AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

STATE OF OREGON<br>)<br>SS<br>COUNTY OF WASHINGTON )

I, $\qquad$ , being first duly sworn, depose and say:

That on the 9th_day of November 2022, I served upon the persons shown on Exhibit A, attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein, a copy of a Notice of Hearing marked Exhibit B, attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein, by mailing to them a true and correct copy of the original hereof. I further certify that the addresses shown on said Exhibit A are their regular addresses as determined from the books and records of the Washington County and/or Clackamas County Departments of Assessment and Taxation Tax Rolls, and that said envelopes were placed in the United States Mail at Tualatin, Oregon, with postage fully prepared thereon.
$\qquad$ of $\qquad$ 2022


SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this $\wedge$ eth
$\qquad$ day of November, 2022.


My commission expires:


RE: AR 22-0008 - ALDEN APARTMENTS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a public hearing before the Architectural Review Board will be held:

## Wednesday, November 30, 2022 at 6:30 pm

 Location: Tualatin Service Center10699 SW Herman Road, Tualatin, OR 97062
Zoom Teleconference: Link with log-in instructions available www.tualatinoregon.gov/meetings

## AR 22-0008

Alden Apartments
3j Consulting, on behalf of CR Alden Communities, LLC., is requesting approval to construct 45 new townhome units in 12 new buildings. The 16.7 acre property is located in the Medium High Density Residential Zone (RMH). Two existing buildings are proposed for removal for a net gain of 10 buildings on the site.
To view the application materials, visit. www.tualatinoregon.gov/projects

Comments and questions may be submitted to:
kleonard@tualatin.gov and 503-691-3029

Located at: 7800 SW Sagert Street and 20400 SW Martinazzi Avenue with the Tax Map/Lot: 2S125BA00100


- Type III Architectural Review Criteria: Tualatin Development Code Chapters: 32, 33, 42, 73A-D, 74, 75
- Staff report will be available at least seven days before the hearing for inspection at no cost, and copies will be provided at a reasonable cost.
- Print copies of the application are available at a reasonable cost.
- Individuals wishing to comment on the application must do so in writing to the Planning Division prior to the hearing, or in writing and/or orally at the hearing. Materials must be received by November 16, 2022, to be included in the hearing packet.
- The public hearing will begin with a staff presentation, followed by testimony by proponents, testimony by opponents, and rebuttal. The time of individual testimony may be limited. If a participant requests, before the hearing is closed, the record shall remain open for at least 7 days after the hearing.
- All citizens are invited to attend and be heard: Failure of an issue to be raised in the hearing, in person, or by letter, or failure to provide sufficient specificity to afford the decision maker an opportunity to respond to the issue precludes appeal to the State Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) based on that issue. The failure of the applicant to raise constitutional or other issues relating to the proposed conditions of approval with sufficient specificity to the decision maker to respond to the issue precludes an action for damages in circuit court.
- Notice of the Decision will only be provided to those who submit written comments regarding that application or testify at the hearing.

You received this mailing because you own property within 1,000 feet (ft) of the site or within a residential subdivision which is partly within $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$.

For additional information contact:
Keith Leonard, Associate Planner, kleonard@tualatin.gov and 503-691-3029

"OWNER1" "OWNERADDR" "OWNERCITY», "OWNERSTATE» «OWNERZIP"

8292 MOHAWK LLC
8324 SW MAXINE LN UNIT \#46
WILSONVILLE, OR 97070

8482 MOHALWK LLC
18725 SW BOONES FERRY RD TUALATIN, OR 970

ADOLPHSON CHRIS L \& ADOLPHSON MARIA F
21234 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

AHREND MINDY L
8468 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

AMINI MITRA
8342 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

ANDREWS PATRICK \& ANDREWS GAIL 8295 SW AVERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

APOTHECA PROPERTIES LLC
8685 SW SAGERK ST
TUALATIN, OR 970\&?

ASHIMINE ELLIOTT SEIJI \& ASHIMINE CORINNE
8306 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

AVERY LONDON NEWTON
PO BOX 1790
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BAILEY SUSANNE J
8424 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

## 8292 MOHAWK LLC <br> 8324 SW MAXNE LN UNIT \#46 <br> WILSONVILLE, OR 97070

ADAMS ERIKKA
8300 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

ADR INVESTMENTS I LLC
PO BOX 1567
LAKE OSWEGO, OR 97035

ALGER APRIL E
8182 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

AN IVETH ELIZHBA \& GARFIAS MIRNA G MONTIEL

19790 SW BOONES FERRY RD
TUALATIN, OR 97062

ANTHONY WILMA
8494 SW MOHAWK
TUALATIN, OR 97062

ARBUCKLE MATTHEW D \& ARBUCKLE TAUNDRA S
20916 SW 84TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

ATKINS CAROLYN M
7266 SW DELAWARE CIRCLE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

AVERY LONDON
8470 SW AVERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BAILEY KEVIN RYAN
7471 SW TENINO LN
TUALATIN, OR 97062

8292 MOHAWK LLC 8324 SW MAXINE LN UNIT \#46 WILSONVILLE, Op 97070

ADAMS DONALD S \& C DIANE LIV TRUST
8565 SW AVERY ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

AGUIRRE CHRISTIAN GODOY 20852 SW 84TH AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

ALLEN PAUL M \& ALLEN ALEXANDRA MANNING 8533 SW SANTIAM DR TUALATIN, OR 97062

ANDERSEN SCOTT \& ANDERSEN JOCELYN
7279 SW TENINO LN
TUALATIN, OR 97062

APOTHECA PROPERTIES LLC
8685 SW SAGERT ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

ARIZMENDI-VASQUEZ SIMON \& PEREZ
ESMERALDA FRIAS
8255 SW AVERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

ATMORE BENJAMIN J \& ATMORE
KATHERINE M
20820 SW 84TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BAEZ MANUEL \& BAEZ SUSANA G 7380 SW DELAWARE CIR TUALATIN, OR 97062

BALDUS ANN E<br>8478 SW MOHAWK ST<br>TUALATIN, OR 97062

BALTAZAR RAQUEL BAILON \& PALAFOX YERANIA \& GALEANA MARIA NANCY BALTAZAR ET AL 8264 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

BARBOUR SARAH J
8326 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BARRAGAN KAYLA B \& SIMIANO MACEDONIO DEJESUS BARRAGAN 7306 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

## BATES DEBRA M

8350 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BAYERN LLC 61690 SUMMER SHADE DR BEND, OR 97702

BEENY LIVING TRUST
8710 SW COMANCHE WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062
BEHRENDS SYDNEY ELIZABETH
YOUNGBLOOD \& CHRISTENSEN WADE
TODD
20939 SW MARTINAZZMAVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062
BENNETT LEANN RENE' REV LIV TRUST
16840 SW PARRETT MOUNTAIN RD
SHERWOOD, OR 97140

BILDSTEIN TIMOTHY D
7247 SW TENINO LN TUALATIN, OR 97062

BLANEY PATRICK E 20692 SW 84TH AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062
baltazarrbaquel bailon \& palafox YERANIA \& GALEANA MARIA NANCY BALTAZAR ET AL 8264 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

BARKHOEFER DANIEL \& BARKHOEFER KIRSTEN
8490 SW CHELAN CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BARTLETT CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL \& BARTLETT CARLI JAYNE 8385 SW IROQUOIS DR TUALATIN, OR 97062

BAUMAN MATTHEW WILLIAM 8256 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

BECKERS NICHOLAS ALAN
7456 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BEES DANIEL \& BEES ROYALETTA
8502 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BEIKMAN STEPHEN RAY
22760 SW 87TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BERRY KATHIE A 8390 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BISON DAVID P
8366 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BLUMENTHAL CHRISTOPHER E \& BYRON-BLUMENTHAL LORRAINE C 160 MCKENZIE CREEK RD SCOTTS VALLEY, CA 95066

BALTAZAAR RAQUEL BAILON \& PALAFOX YERANIA \& GALEANA MARIA NANCY BALTAZAR ET AL 8264 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

BARNS DAVID \& BARNS MEGAN 8328 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

BASSETT JEFFREY E 8394 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

BAXTER KARA
8434 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BECKER HENRY \& BECKER CAROLYN 8295 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

BEHRENDS SYDNEY ELIZABETH YOUNGBLOOD \& CHRISTENSEN WADE TODD
20939 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

BENGSTON JOANNE
8192 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BETTENCOURT LAWRENCE J 8185 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

BLACKMER GARY \& MARIA L JOINT REV TRUST
5100 SW GREENWOOD CIR TUALATIN, OR 97062

BOECHLER ROBIN J \& BOECHLER ROSANNE
8485 SW NESTUCCA CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BOOK HERBERT \& BOOK PATRICIA 10440 SW SUSQUEHANNA DR TUALATIN, OR 97062

BOOKER CHEN SUSAN
7468 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BRABHAM STACY R \& BRABHAM WAYNE S
20846 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

BRENNER MARK A 8188 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

BRUDVIG CONNIE N
8425 SW SEMINOLE TRAIL TUALATIN, OR 97062

BULLARD MARK \& BULLARD SALLY 21137 SW MARTINAZZ1 AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

BUTLER-KAREN L 20168 SW TILLAMOOK CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

BYRON STUART
15650 SW 133RD AVE
TIGARD, OR 97224

CAMACHO FRITZ J PASSI 7392 SW DELAWARE CIR TUALATIN, OR 97062

CARBAJAL MICHELE C \& MERCADO BOGAR E CARBAJAL 20989 SW 84TH AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

BOOR HERBERT \& BOOK PATRICIA 10440 SW SUSQUEHANNA DR TUALATIN, OR 97062

BOWES JOSHUA
8680 SW COMANCHE WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

BRANDON DIANE J
8324 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BRITT PAUL R \& BRITT CARLEANA O 8441 SW CHELAN CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

BRYANT SIMON C \& HONEYMAN ADELE K
8430 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

BUTCHER BOYD
8284 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BUTLER IAN O \& BUTLER ANGELICA L 8660 SW COMANCHE WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

CADY BRIAN LEE \& CADY KATHLEEN 20366 SW TENINO CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

CANEDO JOSEPH \& CANEDO L SUSAN
8465 SW UMATILLA ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

CARLTON-FRANCO CHRISTOPHER J
20136 SW 85TH CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BOOK HERBERT \& BOOK PATRICIA 10440 SW SUSQUEHANNA DR TUALATIN, OR 97062

BOYCE TAMMY C REV LIV TRUST 7201 SW TENINO LN TUALATIN, OR 97062

BRASK KAREN M 20212 SW 86TH AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

BROOKS TRAVIS H \& BROOKS KRISTI J 20847 SW 84TH AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

BUCHANAN AARON \& BUCHANAN KAYLIE
20726 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

BUTLER KAREN L
20168 SW TILLAMOOK CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

BYRD ANTHONY 20603 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

CALLAHAN MICHAEL G \& CALLAHAN CYNTHIA L 8107 SW AVERY ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

CAPUTO-BLAGGE DIANNE E TRUST 7404 SW DELAWARE CIR TUALATIN, OR 97062

CARON WANDA \& CARON KENNETH 20863 SW 84TH AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

CATHERWOOD ARTHUR FRANKLYN \& MERCEDES ANN REV TRUST
21265 S MAKAH ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

CERES PLAZA LLC \& HERITAGE PLAZALMR LLC PO BOX 513
WILSONVILLE, OR 97070

CHENG BETTY KWOK FONG 7224 SW DELAWARE CIR TUALATIN, OR 97062

CHRISTOPHER PATRICIA N \&
CHRISTOPHER LDENNIS
20170 SW 86TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

CIRA FAMILY TRUST
2823 SAN ARDQ
BELMONT, CA 940Q2

COLLINSWORTH KATHERINE IRENE \& COLLINSWORTH MATTHEW JAMES 21109 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

COOR KENNETH \& COOK LETA M 8131 SW AVERY ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

COPSEY KATHIE ANN
20373 SW 72ND AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

CR ALDEN COMMUNITIES LLC
10345 W OLYMPIC BLVD
LOS ANGELES, CA 90064

CUELLO DAMIEN C JR
8508 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

CATHERWOOD ARTHUR FRANKLYN \& MERCEDESANN REV TRUST
21265 S MAKAHSST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

CHAN FAMILY TRUST
19030 SW CHESAPEAKE DR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

CHONG CHRIS \& CHONG KIRSTEN

## 5870 SW WICHITA ST

TUALATIN, OR 97062

CHUMAK NATALIYA
20695 SW 84TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

CLARK SARAH LIV TRUST
2706 GILBERT ST S
SALEM, OR 97302

CONNELL MICHAEL F \& DEBRA A TRUST 20884 SW 84TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

COOKE GLENNA A
8378 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

COREY RUSSELL \& COREY FRANCESCA 8510 SW SAGERT ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

CRISMON RACHEL
8504 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

CURRY SCOTT G \& BECKER TAUSHA A 448 TENNEY DR
ROGUE RIVER, OR 97537

CATHERWOOD ARTHUR FRANKLYN \& MERCEDESANN REV TRUST 21265 S MAKAH ST TUALATIN, OR 970 Gु2

CHAO ANDY
678 GEMSTONE DR
SAN MARCOS, CA 90278

CHONG CHRIS \& CHONG KIRSTEN 5870 SW WICHITA ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

CIRA FAMILY TRUST
2823 SAN ARDO
BELMONT, CA 94002

COLE SAMUELJ \& MARY GAYLE FURLOW-COLE LIV TRUST 20126 SW TENINO CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

COOK KENNETH \& COOK LETA M 8131 SW AVERY ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

COPE ERIN M \& COPE BARBARA J 20788 SW 84TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

CORREIA KELLY 8475 SW HURON CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

CROWELL MARGARITA 7237 SW DELAWARE CIR TUALATIN, OR 97062

DALLAL CLAIRE Y 8340 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

## DANIELS MARK L <br> 21180 SW MARTINAZZI AVE

 TUALATIN, OR 97062DARLING STACY
8248 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

DAVIDSON SHANNON P \& DAVIDSON JONATHAN G 8285 SW CHELAN ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

DAVIS WILLIAM B 21150 SW IROQUOIS DR TUALATIN, OR 97062

DENOVA YOANDA CELINA GUTIERREZ 8232 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

DEXTER ROBERT W \& DEXTER CATHERINE M 20055 SW TILLAMOOK CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

DIGGSRQSA
20317 SW TENINO CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

DISSMORE CHARLOTTE
7335 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

DANIELS MARK L
21180 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

DAVEY JOSEPH \& HOWE SOPHIA N 8300 SW CHELAN ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

DAVIS ROBERT $M$ \& DAVIS BARBARA $K$ 18264 HOLLY LN
OREGON CITY, OR 97045

DAY WILLIAM R 7488 SW DELAWARE CIR TUALATIN, OR 97062

DESSAUER SUZANNA COLVIN \& DESSAUER RICHARD KENNETH 8143 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

DICKERSON HARRY L \& DICKERSON DIANA R
7365 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

DIGIOVANNA KENNETH J
8448 SW CHELAN CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

DIVINE GERALD \& DIVINE PATRICIA 20771 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

DORAN YVONNE REV LIV TRUST 4856 SW WEMBLEY PL BEAVERTON, OR 97005

DOYLE TREVOR \& DOYLE ELIZABETH 4641 FIRTREE LN SPARKS, NV 89436

DANIEESMARK L 21180 SW MTARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

DAVIDSON FRANCES M 8270 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

DAVIS WESLEY L \& DAVIS JOYCE F 20579 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

DELANEY JAMES W \& CRESTA-DELANEY KIMBERLY MARIE
8325 SW AVERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

DEVAULT MOIRA
20821 SW 84TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

DIGGS ROSA
20317 SW TENINO CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

DIGREGORIO RICHARD C
7007 SW 7TH AVE
PORTLAND, OR 97219

DOBBINS 1998 FAMILY TRUST
8418 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

DORAN YVONNE REV LIV TRUST 8390 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

DRUSE STEPHEN E \& DRUSE ANNA BAIOCCO
20101 SW TENINO CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

DULL DAVID \& DULL JORDAN
8239 CAHMPOEG RD NE
SAINT PAUL, OR 97137

DULL DAVID \& DULL JORDAN 8239 CAHMPQEG RD NE SAINT PAUL, OR97137

DUNCAN COLLEEN \& DUNCAN IAN ROBERT MICHAEL 8490 SW NESTUCCA CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

DUSEK RONALD E 2875 MARYLHURST DR WEST LINN, OR 97068

ECONE WADE \& ECONE LINDSAY 8465 SW CHELAN CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

EISERT STEPHANIE 10685 SW CLAY ST SHERWOOD, OR 97140

ESQUIVEL GUADALUPE PENA \& MIRANDA ANDRES SALCEDO 8300 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

FAGERQUIST AMBRE 8470 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

FARNSWORTH STEVEN L \& FARNSWORTH BEVERLY J
20015 SW TILLAMOOK CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

FEHLMAN STEVEN D \& FEHLMAN MELISSA J
8358 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

FISHER ROBIN L \& LINDA L TRUST 8147 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

DULL DAYID \& DULL JORDAN 8239 CAHMPQEG RD NE SAINT PAUL, OR 97137

DUNIGAN SHAWN P \& DUNIGAN CHRISTINE A 20624 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

DVORAK DALE R
8705 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

EDISON LINDAY NOELLE \& EDISON CHRISTOPHER MARTIN
20969 SW 84TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

ELLIOTT RAYMOND
8458 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

FABRYCKI HAL
16543 S HARDING RD
OREGON CITY, OR 97045

FAIRCHILD DENA
8500 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

FASTENAU NATHAN \& FASTENAU JORDAN
20724 SW 84TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

FIDURA MATTHEW F \& FIDURA TRACI S 7281 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

FLANNERY FAMILY TRUST
8314 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

FODGE JEANINE
8228 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

FOLEY KERRY
8640 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

FRANK REVOCABLE TRUST
8233 SW SEMINOLE TR TUALATIN, OR 97062

FROHBERG DALE Q 20621 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

FULTZ ANDRIA T 20300 SW 72ND AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

GALLETTA TRACY 20269 SW TENINO CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

GANNQN JONATHAN M \& GANNON JENNIFER A
20705 SW MARTTNAZZI AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

GEARHART JASON D
8316 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

GIRARDI WESLEY THOMAS \& GIRARD MARGARET
20230 SW TILLAMOOK CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

GOFF SEAN J \& GOFF HEATHER D
7345 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

FODGE jEANINE
8228 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 9\}062

FOX WILLIAM N \& SANDRA P FOX TRUST
8476 SW IROQUOIS DR TUALATIN, OR 97062

FREITAS KATHERINE L LIV TRUST 8260 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

FUCHS NADINE K 34580 NE WILSONVILLE RD NEWBERG, OR 97132

FURTNEY JOSEPH C 8446 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

GAMBEE ERICA
7434 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

GARNER SYLVIA E
8380 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

## GEER VINCENT LYNN

8385 SW AVERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

GLASS SARAH P
20532 SW 84TH CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

GOLDSBY KATHLEEN M
8487 SW CHELAN CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

FODGE JEANINE
8228 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR Op062

FRANKS JONNIE A JR 8402 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

FRICK PROPERTIES INVESTMENTS LLC 200 GRANADA DR CORTE MADERA, CA 94925

FUENTES ROLANDO FERRER \& FERRER LUCRECIA MARTINEZ
7305 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

GALLAGHER RONALD A \& GALLAGHER KATIE L
8412 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

GANNON JONATHAN M \& GANNON JENNIFER A
20705 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

GAVIC SCOT R \& GAVIC CAROL
8500 SW MODOC CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

## GIBSON KAREN

7426 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

GODARD JIMMY J \& GODARD STA'CEE
A
16745 SW STELLAR DR
SHERWOOD, OR 97140

GOLDSBY GARY L \& GOLDSBY KATHLEEN M 8487 SW CHELAN CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

GOLPHENEE RONALD B \& GOLPHENEE CAROL D
20052 SW 72ND AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

GORDON JEREMIAH D \& GORDON
AMBER R
14510 SW CHESTERFIELD LN
TIGARD, OR 97224

GRANDJEAN BRANDO \& GRANDJEAN LINDA
20776 SW MARTINAZZI AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

GRUEN MARY M 8426 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062
GUPTA SAMIR
104 SOUTH ASPEN CT
CHANDLER, AZ 85226

HAAG CONNIE G
8119 SW AVERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HALLOSHUA A \& HALL CASSANDRA R 5223 NE 4XTH AVE PORTLAND, OR27218

HAMILTON MARY A 8484 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

HARLEY CHRISTOPHER I \& HARLEY TEENA
20679 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

HASKIN KEVIN A \& HASKIN EMMA K 8485 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

GOLPHENEE RONALD B \& GOLPHENEE CAROL D
20052 SW 72ND AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

GOTCHA COVERED RENTALS LLC 476 SW BROOKWOOD AVE HILLSBORO, OR 97123

GRANT NORMAN R \& GRANT LORETTA 21183 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

GRUEN HARDY \& GRUEN INGE 4914 E QUIEN SABE WAY
CAVE CREEK, AZ 85311

```
GUTIERREZ DAVID \& GUTIERREZ
NORMA
8360 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062
```

HALBERG SADIE M \& HALBERG
NICHOLAS R
8645 SW AVERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HALL JONATHAN A \& HALL KATIE M
8625 SW SEMINOLE TRAIL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HANNA RAHWA
7456 SW TENINO LN
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HARNSBERGER DAVID \& HARNSBERGER ARIN K
20922 SW WINEMA CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HASTIN MICHAEL CRAIG \& HASTIN PATRICIA ANNE
20124 SW TILLAMOOK CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

GOLPHENEE RONALD B \& GOLPHENEE CAROLD
20052 SW 72ND AVE
TUALATIN, OR 9J062
GOTLIB CYNTHIA L 21313 SW MAKAH ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

GREEN CYNTHIA B 8690 SW COMANCHE WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

GUDEKUNST ELAINE 8514 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

H E PROPERTIES INC 4800 SW MEADOWS RD STE 300 LAKE OSWEGO, OR 97035

HALL JOSHUA A \& HALL CASSANDRA R 5223 NE 47TH AVE PORTLAND, OR 97218
halme timothy 7229 SW DELAWARE CIR TUALATIN, OR 97062

HANVICHID SAM \& HANVICHID TRACY 20795 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

HARROW JAMES C \& HARROW LINDA J 20002 SW 86TH ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HAVEN HOMES II LLC 12424 SE WINTER CREEK CT HAPPY VALLEY, OR 97086

HAZELETT NARY \& HAZELETT STEVEN 20376 SW 72ND AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

HEATH LORI L
8410 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HELZER KIRK D \& HELZER KRISTI L
7407 SW TENINO LN
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HERKOMER TAMMI
8474 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HODSON DAVID M
20564 SW 84TH CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HORIZON COMMUNITY CHURCH
PO BOX 2690
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HOTGHKISS DEREK M \& HOTCHKISS
CANDICEQ
8452 SW IROQUOIS DR
TUALATIN, OR 97Q@2

HUETHER TANYA LEILANI \& HUETHER JERRY DEAN
2305 W I20 STE 140 \#172
GRAND PRAIRIE, TX 75052

HUNT JAYSON \& HUNT AMBER
8355 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

IMBACH TERRI A 8420 SW UMATILLA ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

HAZELETो NARY \& HAZELETT STEVEN 20376 SW 72NR AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

HEBERT GERALD \& HEBERT HUNG CHEN
21885 NE ALTON ST
FAIRVIEW, OR 97024

HENRY MICHAEL H \& HENRY DEBORAH
A
7223 SW TENINO LN
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HERNANDEZ BERNARDO DELACRUZ 8246 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HOLTGRAVES VICTORIA C
8503 SW SANTIAM DR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HORN MARTHA JENEANE
8400 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HOUSTON BRENDA L 20532 SW 84TH CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HUEY DAVID G \& CARLA S HUDSON REV TRUST
10 GOODRICH TRL
CARMEL, CA 93923

HUTCHINS CALVIN \& LARAYNE REV LIV TRUST
17547 N SOMERSET DR
SURPRISE, AZ 85374

INGMAN SCOTT M
8364 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HAZELEXN NARY \& HAZELETT STEVEN 20376 SW $72 N D$ AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

HEGEDUS ZOLTAN \& HEGEDUS ENIKO 21333 SW MAKAH ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HENSON WENDY J 20276 72ND AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

HINKLE MELISSA \& HINKLE ANDREW 8492 SW UMATILLA ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

HOPKINS DANIEL E \& HOPKINS EMELYN C

7355 SW DELAWARE CIR TUALATIN, OR 97062

HOTCHKISS DEREK M \& HOTCHKISS
CANDICE D
8452 SW IROQUOIS DR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HUANG CHEN \& KAN-HUANG LYNDA L 8490 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

HUFFMAN RUSSELL T \& MOORE REBECCA A
7205 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

HYLANDS SHELLI D
7327 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

INKENS BEVERLY M REV LIV TRUST
8545 SW MODOC CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

IWASAKI RANDOLPH I
3468 ALA HAUKULU
HONOLULU, HI 96818

JACKSON KRYSTAL L
8392 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

JANSEN JOSEPH JAMES \& BOWMAN
ELISE DAWN
8395 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

JENKINS MICHAEL T \& JENKINS TRACY L 8466 SW'CHELAN CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

JOHNSON BRANDON D \& JOHNSON GRETCHEN S

7439 SW TENINO LN
TUALATIN, OR 97062

JUDD STEVEN W \& MALONEY
KATHERINE E
8138 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

KARAPONDO KATHLEEN ANN
7328 SW TENINO LN
TUALATIN, OR 97062

KEEN ANNETTE M
11970 SW HAZELWOOD LOOP TIGARD, OR 97223

KINDER JAMES W \& PEDROJA TERRI 」
20211 SW 85TH CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

KISER DAVID R \& KISER MARCEY A 20044 SW 86TH AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

IWASARKRANDOLPH I 3468 ALA HAUKULU HONOLULU, HI 96818

JACOBSEN AMY BETH 8265 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

JANSEN MATTHEW I \& JANSEN ELIZABETH A 8325 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

JOHNSON SCOTT GLENN \& JOHNSON SHELLEY L
8520 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

JOHNSON MICHAEL
8325 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

KABLI MOHAMED \& KABLI HEATHER ANN
7293 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

KAUFFMAN SHAWNA DAY
8268 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

KERN ROBERT G \& KERN BARBARA L 4218 NE 41ST AVE
PORTLAND, OR 97211

KING SHERRI D
20551 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

KITCH TIMOTHY B \& KITCH SUZANN P LIVING TRUST
8350 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

JAMES TYLER \& JAMES KELSEY 21711 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

JENISON KATIE 20350 SW 86TH AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

JOHNSON JAMIE A \& DRAKE DAVID A 8408 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

JONES KAREN J REV TRUST 8680 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

KARAPONDO KATHLEEN ANN 7328 SW TENINO LN

TUALATIN, OR 97062

KEARNEY RONALD R \& KEARNEY CAROLE J
7414 SW DELAWARE CIR TUALATIN, OR 97062

KHAN SHAD
20292 SW TENINO CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

KIRKPATRICK ELIZABETH C 8165 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

KLUPENGER MORGAN WATKINS 8298 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

KNOLL DOREEN LIVING TRUST 8347 SW AVERY ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

KOBA DENNIS
7367 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

KOLB MICHAEL E \& KOLB LAURA B
8552 SW SANTIAM DR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

KOVACH BRIAN
21044 SW 84TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

KRONSER FAMILY TRUST
20602 SW COLVILLE CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

LANDAU AUSTIN JENS
21762 SW MOUNTAIN HOME RD
SHERWOOD, OR 97140

LARSEN MARIO K \& LARSEN REBECCA L 19738 SW BOOAES FERRY RD TUALATIN, PR 97062

LATSHAW DEBBIE M 8660 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

LEE ELSA MARIA
7424 SW TENINO LN
TUALATIN, OR 97062

LEMON KIRK D
8365 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

KNOLL QOREEN LIVING TRUST 8347 SW ADERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 9 J̧062

KOCHHEIM COURTNEY 8214 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

KORNBERG ERIC DALE \& KORNBERG DEBRA PERKO 8499 SW HURON CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

KOYFMAN GENRIKH \& KOYFMAN
LYUBOV \& KOYFMAN IGOR 8220 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

KRUSINSKI JANICE L 8444 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

LANE ADAM THOMAS
20817 SW MARTINAZZI AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

LARSEN DWAYNE L \& LARSEN KAREN G ESTATE OF
19770 SW BOONES FERRY RD
TUALATIN, OR 97062

LAZAR GABRIEL
1481 NW 13TH AVE APT 732
PORTLAND, OR 97209

LEIGH ASHLEY \& LEIGH PETER
8555 SW SEMINOLE TRAIL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

LEQUIN MICHELLE
8336 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

KNOLL DQREEN LIVING TRUST 8347 SW AVERY ST TUALATIN, OR 9 X062

KOHLER GRANT \& KOHLER SHELBY 8477 SW NESTUCCA CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

KOTILA CHERYL A 8125 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

KRAUSE DERALD E 8452 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

## KURTTI REBECCA

8360 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

LARSEN MARIO K \& LARSEN REBECCA L 19738 SW BOONES FERRY RD TUALATIN, OR 97062

LARSON ROBERT F \& LARSON ASHLEY N 14919 NE LAWNVIEW CIR AURORA, OR 97002

LEBOEUF PATRICK \& LEBOEUF COURTNEY 8410 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

LEMME RONALD
8245 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

LEWIS SANDRA
1532 SUNLIGHT DR
FAIRBANKS, AK 99709

LIBERTY HILL LLC
6941 SW 148TH CT
BEAVERTON, OR 97007

LIPMAN THOMAS HAWLEY \& LIPMAN HILARY JANE
8505 SW AVERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

LOCKHART JANET L
8386 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

LUCAS JAMES VANCE EDWARD \&
LUCAS NANCI G
20086 SW 86TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MADLEM MEAGAN K
20578 SW COLVILLE CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MALOS NORINE E
8348 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MAR MAR PROPERTIES LLC 9839 SW STUSLAW LN
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MARSH CHRISTOPHER L REV TRUST
17367 LAKE HAVEN DR
LAKE OSWEGO, OR 97035

MARTINSON MELANIE A
8355 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MAY TIMOTHY M
8345 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

## LIBERTYNILL LLC

6941 SW 148 TH CT
BEAVERTON, ORO97007

LIPTAU KURT IVAN EDWARD \& LIPTAU CYNTHIA JOANN
8340 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

LORENZO ELDER ALCOCER
8151 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

LUCE JANINE R \& LUCE KERRY
20244 SW TENINO CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MALDONADO EMILY K \& MALDONADO JONATHAN S
20154 SW TILLAMOOK CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MANN KEITH D \& MANN MARIANNE R 8311 SW CHELAN ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MARSDEN DARREN B
8064 SW WOODY END ST
PORTLAND, OR 97224

MARSACHRISTOPHER L REV TRUST
17367 LAKE HAVEN DR
LAKE OSWEGO, QR 97035

MARTINAZZI VILLAGE 95 LLC
9500 SW BARBUR BLVD STE 300
PORTLAND, OR 97219

MCCAUSLAND MAUREEN E
8266 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

LIBERTMHILL LLC 6941 SW 148TH CT BEAVERTON, OR 97007

## LLOYD HOLLY

 20062 SW TILLAMOOK CT TUALATIN, OR 97062LOUIS JR REV LIV TRUST 8129 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

LYONS ANDREW J \& JENNY F REV LIV TRUST
8331 SW AVERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MALONEY SAUNDRA E 26951 S BOLLAND RD CANBY, OR 97013

MAR MAR PROPERTIES LLC 9839 SW SIUSLAW LN
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MARSH JASON \& MARSH TAWNYA 20653 SW 84TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MARTINSON ALAN \& MARTINSON MARY
8320 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

MASSAAD JOINT REV TRUST
8275 SW AVERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MCCLANAHAN MATTHEW E 8518 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

MCDUFFEE JAMES F
8344 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MCGEORGE JO ANN REV TRUST
8436 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MCKENZIE SHAWN G \& MCKENZIE KELSIE H
8498 SW SANTIAM DR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MCSWAIN DAVID CORNELL II
8472 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MELLAND MICHELE M
19165 SW 51ST AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MERKLIN DANIEL J \& MERKLIN KELLY J
21268 SW IROQUOIS DR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

METHOEIST CHURCH OF TUALATIN
20200 SW MAARTINAZZI AVE
TUALATIN, OR $97 Q 62$

MILLER DAVID JOHN 4849 WAYLON ST
EAU CLAIRE, WI 54703

MILLS SANDRA M
8414 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MOHAWK ST PROPERTY LLC 2121 ROSECRANS AVE STE 4325 EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245

MCDUFFEE JAMES F 8344 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

MCGRAW KATHLEEN M 8280 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

MCKILLIP MICHAEL LEE \& MCKILLIP HEATHER H
20708 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

MEHARRY DEE ANN \& MEHARRY JOHN M
PO BOX 2862
HILLSBORO, OR 97123

MELLINGER MATTHEW \& MELLINGER HEATHER
8488 SW IROQUOIS DR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MERRIMAN KEVIN LEE 8346 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

MICHAELS JOSEPH \& MICHAELS ALENE 7448 SW DELAWARE CIR TUALATIN, OR 97062

MILLER SANDRA K \& HOLT TROY M 8460 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MILLS JORDAN \& MILLS BRIAN
8278 SW CHELAN ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MOHAWKK SF PROPERTY LLC 2121 ROSECRANS AVE STE 4325
EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245

MCDUFFEE JAMES F 8344 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

MCHUGH TIMOTHY 8430 SW AVERY ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

MCMAHAN MARY L 8451 SW NESTUCCA CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

MELHEM SAMER M 21521 SW 91ST AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

MERCADO GUILLERMINA 8376 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

METHODIST CHURCH OF TUALATIN 20200 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

MIESSAU MARCHELL M \& MIESSAU LENNY L
8120 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MILLER JEREMY WAYNE \& MILLER
ROBIN RENEE
20400 SW 72ND AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MITSVOTAI MELANIE E
8490 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MOHAWK ST PROPERTY LLC 2121 ROSECRANS AVE STE 4325
EL SEGUNDO, CAg0245

MOMARLS LLC
8525 SW 165TH AVE
BEAVERTON, OR 97007

MOORE RONALD D \& MOORE CHRIS M PO BOX 730
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MORGAN JAY C \& MORGAN AIKO
8264 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MOTA MIGUEL JAQUIZ
8512 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

MURPHY BYRON K \& WIKSTROM
SAMANTHA A
601 QUAIL DR
NEWBERG, OR 97132

NAN-BELIGRAD MARIANA
8464 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

NAUGLE CHAD \& JANA NAUGLE-WONG LIV TRUST
8625 SW COMANCHE WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

NICHOLSON DEBRA M
8208 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

NOEL CAROL MARIE
8416 SW IROQUOIS DR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

OJEDA ANA IRIS URIOSTEGUI \& CASARRUBIAS LUIS ALBERTO RADILLA 8235 SW AVERY ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

Easy Peel Address Labels
Bend afong line to expose Pop-up Edge

```
MOMARLS LLC
8525 SW 165TH AVE BEAVERTON, OR 97007
```

MORGAN MICHAEL 8462 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

MOSES PAISLEY \& LEAF JARED
8435 SW UMATILLA ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

MUILENBURG SCOTT E \& MUILENBURG MARILYN
1619 SE 176TH AVE PORTLAND, OR 97223

MURPHEY WILLIAM H \& MURPHEY EDWINA D 8700 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

NAUGLE CHAD \& JANA NAUGLE-WONG LIV TRUST
8625 SW COMANCHE WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

NEWBERRY STEPHEN B \& NEWBERRY DEBRA L
21224 SW IROQUOIS DR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

NIELSON DARCY
8352 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

NOTTINGHAM RAYMOND H \&
NOTTINGHAM MARDI D
8440 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

OLMEDO JORGE E \& MARIA A F LIV TRUST

20753 SW MARTINAZZI AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

AVERY 5160
OREGON DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION 4040 FAIRVIEW INDUSTRIAL DR SE MS \#2
SALEM, OR 97302

ORSBURN ANITA
8524 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

OSBORNE NOELLE
8480 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

OSTRANDER JANNA K TRUST \& COFFEY
VICKI LTRUST
8295 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

PALMER-DUPRAU TABITHA \& DUPRAU JEFFREY
8335 SW SEMINOLE TRAIL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

PARSONS SUSAN J
8300 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

## PATKON CHARLES S \& PATTON JENNIFERR <br> PO BOX 1632 <br> TUALATIN, OR 97062

PAULY JONI C \& PAULY EDWARD G 21207 SW IROQUOIS DR TUALATIN, OR 97062

PERKINS EDWARD G TRUST 8224 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

PETERSEN JOSHUA A \& PETERSEN REBECCA A
20084 SW TILLAMOOK CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062
tasy Heel Fadress Labels
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OREGON DERT OF TRANSPORTATION 4040 FAIRVIEW INDUSTRIAL DR SE MS \#2
SALEM, OR 97302

ORSBURN ANITA J \& GARRIETY SUSAN J 8388 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

OSLER DAVID \& OSLER DEBRA D 8164 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

OTIS JULIE ROSE 20036 SW TILLAMOOK CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

PALUMBIS JASON N TRUST \& KARAMBELAS GEORGE \& KARAMBELAS MARI-FAYE
19745 SW 49TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062
PATEL REV TRUST
28916 LA CARRETERRA
LAGUNA NIGUEL, CA 92677

PAUL IRENE E
8328 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

PAYNE JEFFERY LEE
8670 SW COMANCHE WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

PERRY SCOTT B \& PERRY CHARISSA 」
8245 SW AVERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

PETERSON KATHY J
8137 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

OREGONYEPT OF TRANSPORTATION 4040 FAIRVIEW INDUSTRIAL DR SE MS \#2
SALEM, OR 97302

OSBORNE JUDITH E 8428 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

OSMOSYS LLC
7415 SW 37TH AVE PORTLAND, OR 97219

OUSTERHOUT SALLY M \& OUSTERHOUT GERALD C
5167 METOLIUS AVE SE
SALEM, OR 97306

PARSONS FAMILY REV TRUST 20167 SW 85TH CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

PATTON CHARLES S \& PATTON JENNIFER R
PO BOX 1632
TUALATIN, OR 97062

PAULINO JORDAN N \& PAULINO DANA
R
21012 SW 84TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

PERKINS SHELLY KAY \& LANGE VERA maxine
23662 STAFFORD HILL DR WEST LINN, OR 97068

PETERSON MARTHA K 8302 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

PETERSON BARBARA 8196 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

PLAGGMIER JOHN R JR TRUST 19740 SW BOONES FERRY RD TUALATN, OR 97062

POTTS DALE GREGORY \& MARIANNE REV LIV TRUST
20390 SW 86TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

PRESLEY'TMM R \& PRESLEY TEMARA E 10335 SW HOQQVIEW DR
TIGARD, OR 97224

PRICE MOIRA \& WILSON WILLIAM E \& LYNDA T
20196 SW TENINO CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

RADANOVIC DIANNE M
8374 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

RAMIREZ MIRNA Z \& RIVERA JESUS RAMIREZ 8375 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

REESE DOUGLAS \& COFFMAN NICOLE 20480 SW 86TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

RENWICK JEAN C 20553 SW COLVILLE CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

REYES MANOLO B \& REYES CARLOTA F DE LOS
20475 SW 86TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

PHUONG THAO \& PHUONG KHANG 21216 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 9X062

POOLE KIMBELRY K 8442 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

PRECI JOSEPH H \& PRECI CONNIE E 21274 SW MAKAH ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

PRESTON WILLIAM M \& WOOD CLINTON A 20300 SW NANCY LN BEAVERTON, OR 97007

PRICE NOLAN
20834 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

RADFORD JOHN J \& RADFORD LEAH E 7296 SW TENINO LN TUALATIN, OR 97062

REAMES BRIAN \& REAMES KATELYN 8515 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

REID MICHAEL ALLEN \& REID KRISTI R 8398 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

REPP SCOTT T \& REPP SHARISSE M
8550 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

RHAY PATRICIA C
20248 SW TENINO CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

PHUONG THAO \& PHUONG KHANG 21216 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC CO 121 SW SALMON ST
PORTLAND, OR 97204

PRESLEY TIMOTHY RYAN \& PRESLEY TEMARA ELIZABETH 10335 SW HOODVIEW DR TIGARD, OR 97224

PRICE SHIRLEY M \& PRICE ROGER D 20148 SW TENINO CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

PRIES FAMILY TRUST 8535 SW AVERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

RAMIREZ MIRNA Z \& RIVERA JESUS RAMIREZ
8375 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

REED BRENT GRANT \& REED KRISTA ANNE
17477 N 101ST WAY SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255

REKSOPURO MARJONO \& NAGARIA JULIANTI
15253 SE PEBBLE BEACH DR
HAPPY VALLEY, OR 97086

REUTHER ERICJ
20221 SW TENINO CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

RICHARDS AHREN \& RICHARDS KIMBERLY
8520 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

ROBSON MARILYN ELIZABETH LIVING TRUST
8715 SW COMANCHE WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

ROLFE CHARLENE C 8172 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

ROSSOL KATHY S
20124 SW 72ND AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

RUMPF JESSICA
2843 SW PLUM CT
PORTLAND, OR 97219

SAGERT PLAZA LLC
18840 SW BOONES FERRY RD STE 216 TUALATIN, OR 97062

## SANDALWOOD CONDO COMMUNITY UNIT OWNERS , OR 00000

SANTA GYORGYI
4214 WOODSIDE CIR
LAKE OSWEGO, OR 97035

SCHEER HILARY JANAYE
8354 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SCHRIEVER LISA A 21198 SW IROQUOIS DR TUALATIN, OR 97062

SEBASTIAN THEODORE ANDREW 20682 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

ROBSON MARILYN ELIZABETH LIVING TRUST 8715 SW COMANCHE WAY TUALATIN, OR $97062^{\circ}$

ROLLINS CHARLIE K \& LATSHAW JANICE K

8665 SW SEMINOLE TRAIL TUALATIN, OR 97062

ROTH GARY L
8305 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

RYAN ROBERT COLIN \& RYAN
STEPHANIE R
8137 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SALDIVAR ANGELICA
20935 SW 90TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SANDER ALEX J
8451 SW UMATILLA ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SARDAM VINCENT ROSS \& DYSON
KAITLYNN RAE
7455 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SCHMITZ MATTHEW D \& SCHMITZ KRISTA J
20182 SW TILLAMOOK CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SCHWEITZER LESLIE ANNE
8675 SW AVERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SEED LEVI P \& SEED KIMBERLEY A 8488 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

ROBSONMMARILYN ELIZABETH LIVING TRUST 8715 SW COMANCHE WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

ROSHAN RAKESH \& PRASAD BENITA D 7275 SW DELAWARE CIR TUALATIN, OR 97062

RUDOLF WOLFGANG 61690 SUMMER SHADE DR BEND, OR 97702

SAECHAO KATIE \& SAECHAO CHIOFOU 20164 SW 85TH CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SALTER ZACHERY ELWIN 21267 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

SANTA GYORGYI
4214 WOODSIDE CIR LAKE OSWEGO, OR 97035

SARGENT JOAN ALICE 8204 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

SCHNEIDER BRENT \& SCHNEIDER TRACY A
8460 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SCOTT LIVING TRUST 8454 SW CHELAN CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

SEFRANEK ROBERT \& SEFRANEK REIKO 8520 SW SAGERT ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

SHAFER JIMMY WAYNE \& SHAFER
AMANDA GAIL
8210 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SHANAHAN KENNETH D \& SHIRLEY A
REV TRUST
8455 SW SEMINOLE TRAIL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SHUMWAY DEAN \& SHUMWAY LAURA 180 CALICO LAKE DR
BREVARD, NC 28712

SILLIMAN DAVID J \& SILLIMAN
SHANNON L
20028 SW 72ND AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SIMPSON SHELLEY
PO BOX 824
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SLOAN EUPHEMIA M R
20445 SW 86TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062
SMNH MEGHAN LACY \& SMITH JOHN
PAUL
8121 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 99062

SNYDER STEVEN A
28686 SW PARIS AVE
WILSONVILLE, OR 97070

SORRENTINO MARIA LAURA
7343 SW TENINO LN
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SPRAIN MICHELLE ANN 8201 SW SEMINOLE TRAIL TUALATIN, OR 97062

SHAFER JIMMY WAYNE \& SHAFER AMANDA GAIL
8210 SW SEMMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SHELDON WILLIAM C 8735 SW AVERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SIKSTROM MARY ANN \& SIKSTROM DAVID C
8520 SW MODOC CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SIMNITT MAXIMILIAN
20173 SW TENINO CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SIVAM SATYA \& VASUKI
20527 SW 84TH CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SMALL JASON ALAN
7401 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SMITH JASON
20779 SW 84TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SOLL KAREN
8400 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SOUTHARDS CLIFFORD J \& SOUTHARDS
CARLA M
19800 SPRING RIDGE DR
WEST LINN, OR 97068

SPRATTLER SUE I LIVING TRUST 1930 16TH AVE
FOREST GROVE, OR 97116

SHAFER JIMMY WAYNE \& SHAFER
AMANDA GAIL 8210 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SHOLES RANDY \& SHOLES KELLY 14595 SW 144TH AVE
TIGARD, OR 97224

SILEG STEPHANIE M 21250 SW MAKAH ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

SIMONSEN JAMES A 7375 SW TENINO
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SKEEN DELORIS J
8500 SW IROQUOIS DR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SMITH MEGHAN LACY \& SMITH JOHN PAUL
8121 SW SEMINOLE TRL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SNELL SUSAN E
20487 SW 69TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

SOLTERO ALFONSO \& SANCHEZ
FRANCISCO SOLTERO
19760 SW BOONES FERRY RD TUALATIN, OR 97062

SOWA DARLA
8475 SW AVERY ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

ST PAUL PROPERTIES INC
7991 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

STANLEY MATTHEW E 8278 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

STANSFIELD MICHAEL 8501 SW IROQUOIS DR TUALATIN, OR 97062

STELL THOMAS C \& STELL DAWN R 8280 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

STONE JOANNA \& STONE JOSHUA 20569 SW 84TH CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

SULLIVAN WILLIAM S 8178 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

TAAFFE WILLIAM PATRICK \& COE AMBER
20628 SW 84TH CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

TAMURA LINDA GAYLE 7311 SW TENINO LN TUALATIN, OR 97062

TAYLOR STERLING D 8105 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

TENCE DAVID A \& TENCE IVANA B 19775 SW TAPOSA PL
TUALATIN, OR 97062

THIRDGILL AMY
8685 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

STANLEK MATTHEW E 8278 SW MQHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 9 ZO62

STARK MYLON K 8384 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

STENEK TERESA C 21285 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

STORKSON NICHOLAS 21306 SW IROQUOIS DR TUALATIN, OR 97062

SWANSON LEGACY IRREV TRUST
8334 SW MOWHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

TAFUA COLETTE L
8274 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

TAUTENHAN DANIEL C 8450 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

TAYLOR STEVEN MICHAEL \& STROM DIANA \& BURT AMY LYNN 8240 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

TEWINPAGTI ITTI
1220 SW 3RD AVE RM 1616
PORTLAND, OR 97204

THOMAS JENEVA TRUST
7321 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

STANLEY MATTHEW E 8278 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

STATON HELEN M 8685 SW COMANCHE WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

STEWART BRANDON J \& STEWART VIVIANA P 2982 WINKEL WAY WEST LINN, OR 97068

STUTEVOSS FRANKLIN G 20948 SW 84TH AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

SWEARINGEN THOMAS R \& SWEARINGEN CARLA E 20917 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

TAMURA LINDA GAYLE 7311 SW TENINO LN TUALATIN, OR 97062

TAYLOR EMILY HAVEN 7251 SW DELAWARE CIR BEAVERTON, OR 97062

TEEL SHELLEY A 8510 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

THIEL PHILIP \& THIEL CATHERINE 8535 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

THOMAS JOSEPH \& MOSS AMY 8464 SW IROQUOIS DR TUALATIN, OR 97062

THOMPSON ANITA L 8175 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

THORSON SHARON M 21233 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

TODD VILLAGE-285 LLC 9500 SW BARBUR BLVD STE 300 PORTLAND, OR 97219

TOW DAVID F \& TOW DAWN M 20222 SW TILLAMOOK CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

TRYSIL MAYA \& FLANAGAN
CHRISTOPHER
8372 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

TUALATINL CITY OF 18880 SW MÁRTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

TUALATINCITY OF 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

TUCKER SHERRY D 8522 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

VALENTIN RODOLFO 3809 NE 73RD AVE PORTLAND, OR 97213

THOMASON ANITA L 8175 SW SAENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

> TOBIE GENE A \& TOBIE TAMMY J 21199 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

TODD $\times \angle L L A G E-285$ LLC 9500 SW BARBUR BLVD STE 300 PORTLAND, OR 27219

TREMAIN JUNE E \& HUPPERTZ NANCY I 10735 SW BANNOCH ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

TUALATIN VILLAGE CONDO PH II OWNERS OF UNITS
, OR 00000

TUALATIN CITY OF 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97862

TUALATIMCITY OF 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

TURNER JAMES W
8252 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

VALENTINE FRIDAY
8160 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

VANDERHEIDEN STEVEN M \& VANDERHEIDEN SHERYL D 20577 SW COLVILLE CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

THOMPSQN ANITA L 8175 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

TOBIN ROGER PAUL \& TOBIN KAREN BRAUCHER \& TOBIN ELIZABETH LI BAI 6195 SW 150TH AVE BEAVERTON, OR 97007

TONE CASEY J \& TONE LISA K 8375 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

TRI-COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT OF OREGON
710 HOLLADAY ST PORTLAND, OR 97232

TUALANIN CITY OF 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, ORYु7062

TUALATIN CITY OF 18880 SUMARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR97062

TUALATIN CITY OF 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

UJAKOVICH MEGAN B 8315 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

VALO VALORIE L 20350 SW 72ND AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

VANNORTWICK JOHN E JR \& VANNORTWICK MARY JO 8472 SW NESTUCCA CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

VENTI KATHERINE M 8486 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

VITERITTI TRACY A
8344 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

WAGNER DANIELLE R \& WAGNER JARED
7288 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WALSH JAMES ROBERT REV LIV TRUST
8740 SW COMANCHE WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WARN RICHARD \& SUZANNE TINKER
WARN LIV TRUST
20176 SW 72ND AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WEAVER JOSHUA M \& WEAVER EMMA
C
20335 SW 86TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WECKERT WENDELL W II \& WECKERT
VIVIAN I
8700 SW COMANCHE WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WEILAND LINDA A
7392 SW TENINO LN
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WENDT GLADYS T LIVING TRUST
8336 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

WESTON BENJAMIN R \& WESTON TARA
E
8360 SW CHELAN ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

VENTKKATHERINE M 8486 SW MMGHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR'97062

VONTUNGEIN RITA K 8448 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

WAHED WALI \& WAHED CHRISTI
7476 SW DELAWARE CIR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WALTER KENNETH L 20601 SW COLVILLE CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

WARNEKE SPENCER 8456 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

WEBBER MICHELE A \& WEBBER GREGORY S
7313 SW DELAWARE CIR TUALATIN, OR 97062

WEGENER LOIS M 8228 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

WELLS JONAH \& KIEU CHRISTINE 20351 SW 72ND AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

WEST TREVOR M 8332 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

WHITEMAN BETTY J
5185 CARMAN DR
LAKE OSWEGO, OR 97035

VENTKKATHERINE M
8486 SWMOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

## WADE DIANA

8462 SW UMATILLA ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

WALKER-LIDDELL JENNIFER JEAN 8408 SW UMATILLA ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

WARD JUSTIN C \& WARD TIFFANY L 8380 SE SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

WAXENFELTER ROYCE \& WAXENFELTER BESS
8487 SW HURON CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WECKERT WENDELL W II \& WECKERT VIVIAN I
8700 SW COMANCHE WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WEGNER WILLIAM DAVID \& WEGNER LINDA
8446 SW UMATILLA ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WELLS BARBARA J TRUST
8565 SW MODOC CT
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WESTFALL SANDRA C
8332 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WHITE SUZANNE B LIVING TRUST
8368 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WILBOURN SAMUEL R \& WILBOURN LAURA N 20208 SW 85TH CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

WILENT SHERRI LYNN \& WILENT
STEVEN WILLIAM 8404 SW MOHAWK ST TUALATIN, OR 97062

WILLIAMS JOAN E TRUST 9801 RANCH HAND AVE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117

WINN REVOCABLE TRUST 20104 SW TILLAMOOK CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

WOOD SHARON F
8235 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WOOLFE JANIE L
8330 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WRAYMATHEW E \& WRAY ASHLEY D 8153 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 9 XV62

WYLAND DANIEL J \& WYLAND SHARLA L

PO BOX 2268
TUALATIN, OR 97062

YOUNKER JASON L \& YOUNKER EMILY 20150 SW 72ND AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

ZELINSKY SHARON P REV LIV TRUST<br>8489 SW UMATILLA ST<br>TUALATIN, OR 97062

WILBQURN SAMUEL R \& WILBOURN
LAURA N
20208 SW $85 \dagger H C T$
TUALATIN, OR $97 \$ 62$

WILHELM MICHAEL S \& WILHELM MARGARITA R
8485 SW IROQUOIS DR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WILSON BYRON
8370 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WINTERS TERRY M
8304 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WOOD KATHRYN A REV TRUST
8312 SW SHENANDOAH WAY
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WOOTEN SHAWN
8310 SW MOHAWK ST
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WRIGHT JENNIFER A
20973 SW MARTINAZZI AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

YARMAN JERRY L \& YARMAN JENNIFER A

20980 SW 84TH AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

YU KE
20873 SW MARTINAZZI AVE
TUALATIN, OR 97062

ZHU HE<br>8320 SW MOHAWK ST<br>TUALATIN, OR 97062

WILBOLRN SAMUEL R \& WILBOURN LAURA N
20208 SW 85 TH CT
TUALATIN, OR 97Q62

WILLIAMS-ANDERSON NICOLE D \& ANDERSON KYLE CA 20016 SW 86TH AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

WILSON NANCY SILLER \& WILSON GREG H
21125 SW MARTINAZZI AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

WOLL ANNA \& WOLL JAMES
8447 SW IROQUOIS DR
TUALATIN, OR 97062

WOODS KEITH A 20249 SW 85TH CT TUALATIN, OR 97062

WRAY MATHEW E \& WRAY ASHLEY D 8153 SW SEMINOLE TRL TUALATIN, OR 97062

WURGLER CATHALYN C 20905 SW 84TH AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

YOUNG SAMUEL J \& YOUNG ANNE B 8105 SW SHENANDOAH WAY TUALATIN, OR 97062

ZAMORA OSCAR \& TORRES IRMA 21110 SW 84TH AVE TUALATIN, OR 97062

ZIMEL RANDI N
20222 SW 72ND AVE
TIGARD, OR 97223

3J Consulting
Attn: Heather Austin, AICP
9600 SW Nimbus Ave., Ste. 100
Beaverton, OR 97008

Colrich California Construction, LLC
Attn: Matthew Moiseve
444 West Beech St., Ste. 300
San Diego, CA 92101

From:
Sent:
To:

Keith Leonard
Thursday, October 13, 2022 1:27 PM
riverparkcio@gmail.com; jasuwi7@gmail.com; famtunstall1@frontier.com; dan@danhardyproperties.com; katepinamonti@hotmail.com; cynmartz12@gmail.com; daniel@bachhuber.co; cio.east.west@gmail.com; doug_ulmer@comcast.net; keenanwoods7@gmail.com; keenanwoods7@gmail.com; dana476@gmail.com; mcrowell248@comcast.net; tualatinmidwestcio@gmail.com; dikkusan@live.com; cniew@yahoo.com; tmpgarden@comcast.net; snoelluwcwle@yahoo.com; MartinazziWoodsCIO@gmail.com; solson.1827@gmail.com; delmoore@frontier.com; jamison.l.shields@gmail.com; ClaudiaSterling68@gmail.com; janet7531@gmail.com; roydloop@gmail.com; Tualatinibachcio@gmail.com; edkcnw@comcast.net; patricia.parsons@ctt.com; rwcleanrooms@gmail.com; byromcio@gmail.com; mwestenhaver@hotmail.com; tualatincommercialcio@gmail.com; tualatincommercialcio@gmail.com; scottm@capacitycommercial.com; robertekellogg@yahoo.com; famtunstall1@frontier.com; tualatincio@gmail.com; Megan George
Subject: Notice of Hearing November 30th: AR22-0008 7800 SW Sagert St. and 20400 SW Martinazzi Ave.- Alden Apartments

## NOTICE OF HEARING AND OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a public hearing will be held before the City of Tualatin Architectural Review Board on Wednesday November 30, 2022 at 6:30 p.m. All are invited to attend the hearing and testify verbally. The hearing will be held at the Tualatin Service Center, 10699 SW Herman Road, Tualatin, OR 97062, and a Zoom meeting link will be published with the meeting agenda and packet materials at: www.tualatinoregon.gov/meetings.
$3 j$ Consulting, on behalf of CR Alden Communities, LLC., is requesting approval to construct 45 new townhome units in 12 new buildings. The 16.7 acre property is located in the Medium High Density Residential Zone (RMH) at 7800 SW Sagert Street and 20400 SW Martinazzi Avenue, Tax Lot 2S125BA00100. Two existing buildings are proposed for removal for a net gain of 10 buildings on the site. Removal of an existing basketball court is proposed. There will be a total of 5 shared outdoor play areas for the overall development.

You may view the application materials on our Projects web page:
https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/planning/ar22-0008-alden-apartments-0.

Individuals wishing to comment may do so in writing to the Planning Division prior to the hearing and/or present written and/or verbal testimony to the Architectural Review Board at the hearing. To be
included in the materials packet published ahead of the hearing, comments must be received by
November 16, 2022. Hearings begin with a staff presentation, followed by testimony by proponents, testimony by opponents, and rebuttal. The time of individual testimony may be limited. If a participant requests before the hearing is closed, the record shall remain open for at least 7 days after the hearing.

All citizens are invited to attend and be heard upon the Architectural Features application: Failure of an issue to be raised in the hearing, in person, or by letter, or failure to provide sufficient specificity to afford the decision maker an opportunity to respond to the issue precludes appeal to the State Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) based on that issue. The failure of the applicant to raise constitutional or other issues relating to the proposed conditions of approval with sufficient specificity to the decision maker to respond to the issue precludes an action for damages in circuit court.

Type III Architectural Review Criteria: Tualatin Development Code Chapters: 32, 33, 42, 73A-D, 74, 75
A staff report will available seven day prior to the public hearing, published at www.tualatinoregon.gov/meetings. This meeting and any materials being considered can be made accessible upon request.

Written comments and questions can be submitted to: kleonard@tualatin.gov.

## Keith Leonard, AICP

Associate Planner
City of Tualatin | Planning
503.691.3029 | www.tualatinoregon.gov
f $\mathbf{y}$ ©

| From: | Keith Leonard <br> Sent: <br> To: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Thursday, October 13, 2022 1:35 PM |  |
| camila.garrido@dahlingroup.com; troym@mearsdesigngroup.com; Ashley |  |
| Doty; matm@colrich.com; Heather Austin |  |
| Alyssa Kerr; Don Hudson; Erin Engman; Jonathan Taylor; Kim McMillan; |  |
| Martin Loring; Mike McCarthy; Rich Mueller; Sherilyn Lombos; Steve Koper; |  |
| Terrance Leahy; Tom Scott; Tom Steiger; Hayden Ausland; Tony Doran; |  |
| Lindsey Hagerman; Madeleine Nelson; Keith Leonard; Suzanne Tyler; Edward |  |
| Jones; naomi_vogel@co.washington.or.us; |  |
| theresa_cherniak@co.washington.or.us; deqinfo@deq.state.or.us; |  |
| landusenotifications@oregonmetro.gov; |  |
| ODOT_R1_DevRev@odot.oregon.gov; baldwinb@trimet.org; |  |
| LUComments@cleanwaterservices.org; Ty.Darby@tvfr.com; |  |
| KHerrod@republicservices.com; trose1@ttsd.k12.or.us; |  |
| info@theintertwine.org; Anneleah@tualatinchamber.com; |  |

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a public hearing will be held before the City of Tualatin Architectural Review Board on Wednesday November 30, 2022 at 6:30 p.m. All are invited to attend the hearing and testify verbally. The hearing will be held at the Tualatin Service Center, 10699 SW Herman Road, Tualatin, OR 97062, and a Zoom meeting link will be published with the meeting agenda and packet materials at: www.tualatinoregon.gov/meetings.

3j Consulting, on behalf of CR Alden Communities, LLC., is requesting approval to construct 45 new townhome units in 12 new buildings. The 16.7 acre property is located in the Medium High Density Residential Zone (RMH) at 7800 SW Sagert Street and 20400 SW Martinazzi Avenue, Tax Lot 2S125BA00100. Two existing buildings are proposed for removal for a net gain of 10 buildings on the site. Removal of an existing basketball court is proposed. There will be a total of 5 shared outdoor play areas for the overall development.

You may view the application materials on our Projects web page:
https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/planning/ar22-0008-alden-apartments-0.

Individuals wishing to comment may do so in writing to the Planning Division prior to the hearing and/or present written and/or verbal testimony to the Architectural Review Board at the hearing. To be included in the materials packet published ahead of the hearing, comments must be received by

November 16, 2022. Hearings begin with a staff presentation, followed by testimony by proponents, testimony by opponents, and rebuttal. The time of individual testimony may be limited. If a participant requests before the hearing is closed, the record shall remain open for at least 7 days after the hearing.

All citizens are invited to attend and be heard upon the Architectural Features application: Failure of an issue to be raised in the hearing, in person, or by letter, or failure to provide sufficient specificity to afford the decision maker an opportunity to respond to the issue precludes appeal to the State Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) based on that issue. The failure of the applicant to raise constitutional or other issues relating to the proposed conditions of approval with sufficient specificity to the decision maker to respond to the issue precludes an action for damages in circuit court.

Type III Architectural Review Criteria: Tualatin Development Code Chapters: 32, 33, 42, 73A-D, 74, 75

A staff report will available seven day prior to the public hearing, published at www.tualatinoregon.gov/meetings. This meeting and any materials being considered can be made accessible upon request.

Written comments and questions can be submitted to: kleonard@tualatin.gov.

Keith Leonard, AICP<br>Associate Planner<br>City of Tualatin | Planning<br>503.691.3029 | www.tualatinoregon.gov<br>f 1 (0)

FIRE CODE／LAND USE／BUILDING REVIEW APPLICATION

North Operating Center
11945 SW 70 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue
Tigard，OR 97223
Phone：503－649－8577

## South Operating Center

 8445 SW Elligsen Rd Wilsonville，OR 97070 Phone：503－649－8577
## Project Information

Applicant Name：Brian O＇Rourke
Address： 9600 SW Nimbus Ave，Suite 100
Phone：503－946－9365 x209
Email：brian．orourke＠3j－consulting．com
Site Address： 7800 SW Sagert St \＆ 20400 SW Martinazzi Ave
City：Tualatin
Map \＆Tax Lot \＃：2S125BA00100
Business Name：Alden Apartments
Land Use／Building Jurisdiction：City of Tualatin
Land Use／Building Permit \＃Pre－App 22－0004
Choose from：Beaverton，Tigard，Newberg，Tualatin，North Plains，West Linn，Wilsonville，Sherwood，Rivergrove， Durham，King City，Washington County，Clackamas County， Multnomah County，Yamhill County

## Project Description

Removal of two existing apartment buildings and associated site features． Addition of 12 buildings（ 45 total townhome style apartment units）including associated roads，pedestrian paths，and site utilities．

## Permit／Review Type（check one）：

（⿴囗⿱一𧰨丶⿳亠二口丿 Land Use／Building Review－Service Provider Permit
DEmergency Radio Responder Coverage Install／Test
－LPG Tank（Greater than 2，000 gallons）
－Flammable or Combustible Liquid Tank Installation （Greater than 1,000 gallons）
＊Exception：Underground Storage Tanks（UST） are deferred to DEQ for regulation．
－Explosives Blasting（Blasting plan is required）
口Exterior Toxic，Pyrophoric or Corrosive Gas Installation （in excess of 810 cu．ft．）
$\square$ Tents or Temporary Membrane Structures（in excess of 10,000 square feet）
－Temporary Haunted House or similar
－OLCC Cannabis Extraction License Review
－Ceremonial Fire or Bonfire
（For gathering，ceremony or other assembly）
For Fire Marshal＇s Office Use Only


Due Date： $\qquad$
Fees Due： $\qquad$
Fees Paid：

## Approval／Inspection Conditions

（For Fire Marshal＇s Office Use Only）


This section used when site inspection is required Inspection Comments：

# MEMORANDUM 

Date: November 10, 2022
To: Keith Leonard, Associate Planner, City of Tualatin
From: Jackie Sue Humphreys, Clean Water Services (CWS)
Subject: Alden Apartments New Buildings, AR22-0008, 2S125BA00100

Please include the following comments when writing your conditions of approval:

## PRIOR TO ANY WORK ON THE SITE

A Clean Water Services (CWS) Storm Water Connection Permit Authorization must be obtained. Application for CWS Permit Authorization must be in accordance with the requirements of the Design and Construction Standards, Resolution and Order No. 19-5 as amended by R\&O 19-22, or prior standards as meeting the implementation policy of R\&O 1828 , and is to include:
a. Detailed plans prepared in accordance with Chapter 2, Section 2.04.
b. Detailed grading and erosion control plan. An Erosion Control Permit will be required. Area of Disturbance must be clearly identified on submitted construction plans. If site area and any offsite improvements required for this development exceed one-acre of disturbance, project will require a 1200-CN Erosion Control Permit. If site area and any offsite improvements required for this development exceed five-acres of disturbance, project will require a 1200-C Erosion Control Permit.
c. Detailed plans showing the development having direct access by gravity to public storm and sanitary sewer.
d. Provisions for water quality in accordance with the requirements of the above named design standards. Water Quality is required for all new development and redevelopment areas per R\&O 19-5, Section 4.04. Access shall be provided for maintenance of facility per R\&O 19-5, Section 4.07.6.
e. If use of an existing offsite or regional Water Quality Facility is proposed, it must be clearly identified on plans, showing its location, condition, capacity to treat this site and, any additional improvements and/or upgrades that may be needed to utilize that facility.
f. If private lot LIDA systems proposed, must comply with the current CWS Design and Construction Standards. A private maintenance agreement, for the proposed private lot LIDA systems, needs to be provided to the City for review and acceptance.
g. Show all existing and proposed easements on plans. Any required storm sewer, sanitary sewer, and water quality related easements must be granted to the City.
h. Application may require additional permitting and plan review from CWS Source Control Program. For any questions or additional information, please contact Source Control at (503) 681-5175.
i. Any proposed offsite construction activities will require an update or amendment to the current Service Provider Letter for this project.

## CONCLUSION

This Land Use Review does not constitute CWS approval of storm or sanitary sewer compliance to the NPDES permit held by CWS. CWS, prior to issuance of any connection permits, must approve final construction plans and drainage calculations.

Kate Brown, Governor

Department of Transportation
Region 1 Headquarters
123 NW Flanders Street
Portland, Oregon 97209
(503) 731.8200

FAX (503) 731.8259

November 14, 2022
ODOT \#12791

## ODOT Response

| Project Name: Alden Apartments Addition | Applicant: Alden Apartments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction: City of Tualatin | Jurisdiction Case \#: AR22-0008 |
| Site Address: 20400 SW MARTINAZZI AVE, <br> 7800 SW Sagert St, Tualatin, Oregon | State Highway: I-5 |

The site of this proposed land use action is in the vicinity of I-5. ODOT has permitting authority for this facility and an interest in ensuring that this proposed land use is compatible with its safe and efficient operation. Please direct the applicant to the District Contact indicated below to determine permit requirements and obtain application information.

## COMMENTS/FINDINGS

ODOT has reviewed the formal application materials submitted for the additions to Alden Apartments including new apartment buildings, parking lots, other hardscaping, and utility improvements. The project site is located in the vicinity of ODOT's I-5 facility and discharges to storm drain infrastructure within ODOT right-of-way. Due to the amount of impervious area modified, storm water management approaches are proposed. The applicant is indicating detention to 50 year events to accommodate their release to ODOT.

ODOT recommends the City of Tualatin require the applicant to obtain a Miscellaneous Permit from ODOT for connection to state highway drainage facilities as a condition of the land use approval. Please direct the applicant to the District 2B contact indicated below to determine permit requirements and obtain application information. All ODOT permits and approvals must reach $100 \%$ plans before the District Contact will sign-off on a local jurisdiction building permit, or other necessary requirement prior to construction.
Below is a summary of ODOT's initial technical review comments for the Preliminary Stormwater Report submitted in the formal application materials, however formal review of site drainage and storm water management plans will occur through the permitting process with ODOT Geo-Hydro staff.

1. The use of 50 year storm for detention is correct. ODOT will need to review analysis for the 100 year storm verifying that the facilities will safely store and release the check storm to approximate the risk to ODOT downstream facilities. What is the likelihood of impact to ODOT facilities?
2. Two of the three proposed storm facility outfalls are shown on sheet C400 of the submitted materials. For the proposed building \#J2, there is a proposed connection to an existing storm line. Where does this line outfall? All outfalls/scour pads need to be on the private property and maintained by the property owner.
3. What is the allowable freeboard per CWS standards? Shown are 6-inch proposed on plans for the infiltration ponds. Our standard for ODOT facilities is 12-inch minimum.

This may not be required in this case, however the applicant will need to show the overflow risk.
4. In the pending final storm report, ODOT will need to review the pre and post development total volume of water contributing to ODOT's system.
5. Please present the full hydro-modification impacts to ODOT system and identify changes.
6. Hydrographs: The Post development hydrographs do not show the impact of the detention facility, only the increased runoff. ODOT will need to receive post development runoff hydrographs with the inclusion of the detention to understand the impact to the ODOT facility. The current provided post-development hydrographs only illustrate an increased peak and volume. The axis should have consistent and labeled units on both axis, i.e (CFS) and time (hours) not with days of the week (both on some charts).

## ODOT RECOMMENDED LOCAL CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

$\boxtimes \quad$ An ODOT Miscellaneous Permit is required for connection to state highway drainage facilities. Connection will only be considered if the site's drainage naturally enters ODOT right of way. The applicant must provide ODOT District with a preliminary drainage plan showing impacts to the highway right of way.

A drainage study prepared by an Oregon Registered Professional Engineer is usually required by ODOT if:

1. Total peak runoff entering the highway right of way is greater than 1.77 cubic feet per second; or
2. The improvements create an increase of the impervious surface area greater than 10,758 square feet.

## Please send a copy of the Notice of Decision including conditions of approval to:

ODOT Region 1 Planning
Development Review
123 NW Flanders St
Portland, OR 97209
ODOT_R1_DevRev@odot.oregon.gov

| Development Review Planner: Diana Powers | Diana.Powers@ odot.oregon.gov |
| :--- | :--- |
| District Contact: District 2B | D2BUP@ odot.oregon.gov |

Tualatin Development Code - Figure 73-1 Parking Space Design Standards for 9-Foot stalls


## Dimension

On Diagram
Stall width parallel to aisle
Stall Length of line
Stall depth to wall
Aisle width between stall lines
Stall depth, interlock
Module, wall to interlock
Module, interlocking
Module, interlocking to curb face
Bumper overhang (typical)
Offset
Setback
Cross aisle, one-way
Cross aisle, two way
$45^{\circ} 60^{\circ} \quad 75^{\circ} \quad 90^{\circ}$
$\begin{array}{llll}12.7 & 10.4 & 9.3 & 9.0\end{array}$
$25.0 \quad 22.0 \quad 20.0 \quad 18.5$
17.519 .019 .518 .5
$12.016 .021 .0 \quad 24.0$
15.317 .518 .818 .5
44.852 .561 .363 .0
42.651 .061 .063 .0
$42.850 .258 .8 \quad 60.5$
$\begin{array}{llll}2.0 & 2.3 & 2.5 & 2.5\end{array}$
$6.3 \quad 2.7 \quad 0.5 \quad 0.0$
$11.0 \quad 8.3 \quad 5.0 \quad 0.0$
12.012 .012 .012 .0
22.022 .022 .022 .0
$X=$ stall not accessible in some cases.
Parking Dimensions for Subcompact Parking

Stall Width
Aisle Width per Stall
Depth of Stalls at right angle to aisle Aisle Width
Wall-to-Wall module
$45^{\circ} 60^{\circ} \quad 75^{\circ} \quad 90^{\circ}$
$\begin{array}{llll}7.5 & 7.5 & 7.5 & 7.7\end{array}$
$10.5 \quad 8.7 \quad 7.8 \quad 7.5$
$16.016 .716 .3 \quad 15.0$
$11.0 \quad 14.0 \quad 17.4 \quad 20.0$
$43.0 \quad 47.4 \quad 50.0 \quad 50.0$

## VISION CLEARANCE AREA



LOCAL STREETS





# ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD DECISION 

November 30, 2022

| Case \#: | AR 22-0008 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | Alden Apartments |
| Location: | 7800 SW Sagert Street and 20400 SW Martinazzi Avenue, Tax Map/Lot: |
|  | 2S125BA00100 |
| Representative | Heather Austin, AICP, 3j Consulting, Inc. |
| Owner: | CR Alden Communities, LLC |

## I.FINDINGS

A. An application for Architectural Review (AR 22-0008) was filed by 3 j Consulting, Inc., requesting approval of a 45 unit multi-family development addition to the Alden Apartments.
B. The Architectural Review Board (ARB) conducted a noticed quasi-judicial public hearing on November 30, 2022 in conformance with the laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Tualatin.
C. At the November 30,2022 public hearing, the ARB found that with conditions of approval to further the implementation of the requirements of the Tualatin Development Code, and in order to meet purpose and objectives of community design standards to achieve pleasant environments for living and ensure all public facilities are adequate to serve the development, as described in TDC 33.020 .

## II.ACTION

The Architectural Review Board Decision approves AR 22-0008 and adopted the staff analysis and findings, dated November 30, 2022, with the following Conditions of Approval:

## GENERAL:

A1. This Architectural Review approval shall expire after two years unless a building, or grading permit submitted in conjunction with a building permit application, has been issued and substantial construction pursuant thereto has taken place and an inspection performed by a member of the Building Division, or an extension is granted under TDC 33.020(10).

## PRIOR TO EROSION CONTROL, PUBLIC WORKS, AND WATER QUALITY PERMIT ISSUANCE:

## Submit to eTrakit for review and approval:

A2. The applicant must apply for applicable Engineering Erosion Control, Water Quality, and Public Works permits:
a. Apply using eTrakit. With the initial Engineering permit(s) application(s) include:
i. One combined set of 24 " $\times 36$ " plans including all applicable Engineering permits attached to one Engineering permit. Include a note on other Engineering permits stating which application includes the set; and,
ii. Payment for an Erosion Control permit fee per the fee schedule; and,
iii. Engineering estimate and deposit for each Water Quality or Public Works permit per the fee schedule; and,
b. Deliver two 24 " $x 36$ " hard copies of the combined Engineering permit plan sets to:

## City of Tualatin

## Attn: Engineering Division c/o Principal Engineer 10699 SW Herman Road Tualatin, OR 97062

A3. The applicant must submit Final Street Improvement Plans for SW Avery Street, SW Martinazzi Avenue, and SW Sagert Street adjacent to the lot in accordance with applicable sections of Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74 and 75 and Public Works Construction Code (PWCC) that show:
a. Dedication of half-street right-of-way from centerline totaling:
i. 25 feet for SW Avery Street; and,
ii. 38 feet for SW Martinazzi Avenue; and,
iii. 37 feet for SW Sagert Street; and,
b. Any additional dedication needed for SW Avery Street and SW Martinazzi Avenue and construction:
i. On the north side of SW Avery Street to the Shaniko Greenway Trail:

1. A 4 -foot-wide planter strip; and,
2. Street trees; and,
3. Widened to accommodate any required LIDA street swales for public stormwater to meet current CWS requirements; and,
4. A 5-foot-wide public sidewalk; and,
5. Street lighting improvements as necessary to meet Tualatin standards.
ii. Ramps at the northeast corner of the intersection of SW Avery Street and SW Martinazzi Avenue; and,
c. Ramp replacement at the intersection of SW Avery Street and SW Martinazzi:
i. For the northeast and southeast corners crossing the east side of the intersection; and,
ii. For the northwest and northeast corners crossing the north side of the intersection with curb extensions; and,
d. Continental striping of all four crosswalks of the intersection of SW Avery Street and SW Martinazzi Avenue.
e. SW Martinazzi Avenue on the east side including:
i. Preferred half-street improvements including on-street parallel parking along Martinazzi. This section may be adjusted as necessary (as determined by the City Engineer) to preserve existing large mature trees; and,
ii. Street lighting improvements as necessary to meet City Engineer standards including PGE's Option A.
iii. A planter strip with street trees:
6. With a minimum 6 -foot width where possible; and,
7. Widened to preserve street and private trees or accommodate any required LIDA street swales for public stormwater to meet current CWS requirements; and,
iv. A 6-foot-wide sidewalk meandered as needed for topography, tree preservation, and to match the planter strip; and,
f. SW Sagert Street with:
i. Preferred half-street improvements including a center turn lane extending from the existing center turn lane (near the western edge of the property) east serving the Alden driveway and tapering to meet the existing SW Sagert Street structure at ODOT's bridge over I-5 at the eastern edge of the subject property. and,
ii. Street lighting improvements as necessary to meet City Engineer standards including PGE's Option A.
iii. A planter strip with street trees adjacent to locations of adequate lengths new or replaced sidewalk as determined by the City Engineer:
8. With a minimum 6 -foot width where possible; and,
9. Widened to preserve existing mature trees, match existing topography, or accommodate any required LIDA street swales for public stormwater to meet current CWS requirements; and,
iv. A 6-foot-wide sidewalk extended as far east towards the bridge as possible; and,
g. An 8-foot-wide public utility easement and any required slope easement, or existing equivalent approved by the City Engineer, adjacent to SW Avery Street, SW Martinazzi Avenue, and SW Sagert Street including:
i. Five feet of public utility easement surrounding water meter, backflow protection, and fire vault; and,
ii. Any proposed private retaining walls must be outside of public utility and slope easements; and,
iii. The City Engineer may allow existing right-of-way in excess of the Preferred half-street to equivalently reduce the required easement width; and,
h. Bring into compliance of ADA standards:
i. All public sidewalks adjacent to the lot; and,
ii. Driveways serving the lot; and,
iii. All ramps adjacent to the lot including receiving ramps at the northwest and southeast corner at the intersection of SW Avery Street and SW Martinazzi Avenue.
A4. The applicant must submit Final Water System Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.610, Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-3, and Public Works Construction Code (PWCC) that show:
a. Separate laterals for domestic and fire services; and,
b. A gate valve at the main for both domestic and fire service laterals; and
c. Adjacent to public right-of-way:
i. Reduced pressure backflow prevention for the domestic lateral; and,
ii. Water meter(s) behind the curb within the planter strip, and
iii. If within final plans, irrigation after a domestic meter and reduced pressure backflow device; and,
iv. Fire vault(s) surrounded by a five foot public utility easement.

A5. The applicant must submit Final Sanitary Sewer System Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.620, Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-2, and

Public Works Construction Code (PWCC) that show location of the lines, grade, materials, and other details including cleanout at right-of-way.
A6. The applicant must submit:
a. Proof from DEQ of approval of construction of the Underground Infiltration Facility or accommodation of associated stormwater infiltration volume within detention facilities approvable under City of Tualatin codes and Clean Water Services' Design and Construction Standards; and,
b. Final Stormwater System Calculations and Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.630 and 74.650 , Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-5-200 through 3-5-430, Public Works Construction Code (PWCC), and Clean Water Services' (CWS) Design \& Construction Standards (D\&CS) Chapter 4 stamped by an Oregon registered, professional engineer in accordance with TMC 3-5-390(1) that:
i. Provide a downstream analysis, including but not limited to erosion, and include solutions within final plans for $1 / 4$ mile downstream from the release from the private development through the public stormwater system, in accordance with TMC 3-5-210(4); and,
ii. Accommodate up to a 25 -year storm event within the City of Tualatin's public stormwater system with a maximum capacity of $82 \%$ for Tualatin's lines in accordance with TDC 74.640, CWS D\&CS 5.05.2.d, and the City Engineer; and,
iii. Evaluate the 100-year check storm for any release directly or indirectly to ODOT's stormwater system in accordance with the ODOT Hydraulics Manual; and
iv. Address runoff from all new and modified private and public impervious areas; and,
v. Prove gravity flow five feet from the outside of the established line of the building to the public stormwater system or as otherwise approved by the City Engineer, in accordance with CWS D\&CS 1.03.39 and 5.09.3(a) (1) and (4); and,
vi. Discharge to an approved public system; and,
vii. Treat new and modified impervious areas in accordance with CWS D\&CS 4.08.1.d meeting phosphorous removal in accordance with TMC 3-5-350 per the design storm in accordance with TMC 3-5-360 and CWS D\&CS 4.08.2; and,
viii. Prove infiltration rates in accordance with CWS D\&CS 4.08.03; and,
ix. Detain as required for conveyance with the City of Tualatin's stormwater system and up to the 50-year storm event for release to ODOTs stormwater system in accordance with the ODOT Hydraulics Manual, TMC 3-5-220, TMC 3-5-230, and CWS D\&CS 4.08; and,
x. Accommodate hydromodification including post-development runoff rates not exceeding pre-development runoff rates for $1 / 2$ the 2 -year storm event and the 5-year and 10-year storm events for proposed new and modified impervious areas in accordance with CWS D\&CS 4.03.5; and,
xi. In accordance with TDC 74.650(2) and CWS D\&CS 3.01.2(d), comply with:

1. The submitted Clean Water Services' Service Provider Letter CWS File Number dated July 19, 2022 conditions to obtain a Stormwater Connection Permit Authorization Letter, and,

## 2. Requirements stated within the Clean Water Services' Memorandum dated November 10, 2022; and,

c. Financial assurance for construction performance in accordance with TMC 3390(3), PWCC 102.14.00, and amount per CWS D\&CS 2.07 Table 2-1; and,
d. A copy of the recorded private stormwater maintenance agreement in accordance with TMD 3-5-390(4). The agreement must assure the owner as responsible for maintenance of the constructed portions of private stormwater systems within their lot. The identified system must include all conveyance, detention, hydromodification, and treatment.
A7. The applicant must submit Final Erosion Control Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.640, Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-5-050 and 3-5-060, Public Works Construction Code (PWCC), and Clean Water Services' (CWS) Design \& Construction Standards (D\&CS) Chapters 2 and 6 that:
a. Minimize the impact of stormwater from the development to adjacent properties; and,
b. Are sufficient to obtain a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200-CN Stormwater Discharge Permit from Clean Water Services as an agent of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality if disturbance is between 1 and 5 acres.

## PRIOR TO BUILDING PERMIT ISSUANCE:

A8. The applicant must submit copies of recorded deeds of right-of-way dedication along with public utility and slope easements, as approved by the City Engineer, in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.210 and 74.330 which show:
a. Right-of-way dedication including:
i. A half-street from centerline for a total of:

1. 25 feet for SW Avery Street; and,
2. 38 feet for SW Martinazzi Avenue; and,
3. 37 feet for SW Sagert Street; and,
ii. Any additional at the intersection of SW Avery Street and SW Martinazzi Avenue to construct a 5 -foot-wide public sidewalk and 4 -foot-wide planter strip along with ramps at the northeast corner of the intersection; and,
iii. Any additional to accommodate and any final public street improvements or stormwater LIDA facilities; and,
b. 8-foot-wide public utility and any necessary slope easements, adjacent to SW Avery Street, SW Martinazzi Avenue, and SW Sagert Street including:
i. A 10-foot-wide public utility easement centered on any water lateral extending onsite past the public utility easement adjacent to right-of-way; and,
ii. Five feet of public utility easement surrounding water meters, backflow protection, and fire vaults; and
iii. Reduced width of easements from standard due to existing right-of-way in excess of the Preferred half-street width as determined by the City Engineer; and,
A9. The applicant must obtain:
a. A National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200-CN Stormwater Discharge Permit from Clean Water Services as an agent of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, and,
b. ODOT Miscellaneous Permit
c. Erosion Control, Public Works, and Water Quality Permits from the City of Tualatin.

A10. The applicant must submit a Final Site Plan Set (in PDF format) to the Planning Division that is in substantial conformance to the submitted site plans and includes:
a. Tree Preservation Plan that corresponds to the Tree Assessment Report (submitted as Exhibit A3) that is drawn to scale that includes the location of all trees proposed for removal and preservation that are eight inches or more in diameter, all existing and proposed structures, all existing and proposed public and private improvements, and all existing public and private easements in accordance with TDC 33.110(4)(a).
b. Private outdoor areas of 80 square feet or greater attached to each ground level unit, consistent with TDC 73A.200(1).
c. Balcony areas of 48 square feet or greater provided for each above-ground unit, consistent with TDC 73A.200(2).
d. Entry areas of 24 square feet or greater provided for each unit, or a minimum combined area of 1,392 square feet or greater for each multi-family building, consistent with TDC 73A.200(3).
e. Shared outdoor area of 72,000 square feet or greater with features consistent with TDC 73A.200(4).
f. Children's play area of 36,000 square feet or greater with design features consistent with TDC 73A.200(5).
g. Storage areas for each unit that are a minimum of: 36 square feet for twobedroom units, and 48 square feet for three-bedroom or greater units, consistent with TDC 73A.200(6).
h. Walkways that are a minimum of 6 feet in width; constructed of asphalt, concrete, pervious concrete, pavers, or grasscrete; and meet ADA standards at time of construction, consistent with TDC 73A.200(7).
i. An accessway that is a minimum 8 feet in width; constructed of asphalt, concrete, pervious concrete, pavers, or grasscrete; meets ADA standards at time of construction; and connects the private on-site walkways to the public sidewalk or multiuse path on Boones Ferry Road, consistent with TDC 73A.200(7). The width may be reduced, as needed to accommodate right-of-way improvements and/or constraints, subject to approval by the City Engineer.
j. The applicant shall provide detailed information including materials and colors proposed for the carports in compliance with TDC 73A.200(9).
k. The applicant or property owner must submit scaled elevations illustrating that demonstrates compliance with TDC 73A.200(11).
I. Trees identified for retention in Tree Assessment Report (Exhibit A3) must be identified on the grading plan, consistent with TDC 73B.080(3) and reflect the applicants Arborist report recommendations. Tree protection fencing and other preservation measures recommended by the Arborist should also be specified on the grading plan.
m . The applicant shall provide and irrigation plan in compliance with 73B.080(5).
n . The applicant must provide information that demonstrates compliance with site lighting requirements of TDC 73A.200(10)(b) and parking lot landscaping requirements of TDC 73C.020(11).
o. Parking space dimensional information conforming to TDC Appendix B Figure 73-1 must be provided.
p. Where bicycle parking spaces are not located within a garage of a dwelling unit, the applicant must provide information that demonstrates compliance with 73.050 (2).
q. The applicant shall provide additional information that demonstrates the abutting sidewalk to the existing driveways are at least 6 -feet in width in compliance with TDC 73C. 130 (c).
r. The applicant shall provide landscaping plans that illustrate clear vision requirements of TDC 73C. 210 (2) are met.

## DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY:

A11. The applicant must install the tree protection fencing consistent with the Tree Assessment Report submitted as Exhibit A3 and Section 73B.080(3). Please contact the Planning Division to schedule an inspection with a minimum of 48 hours' notice. Where site conditions make grading or other similar encroachment upon a preserved tree's dripline area, such grading or similar encroachment must only be permitted under the direction of a qualified arborist.

## PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY AND/OR CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION:

A12. The applicant must complete all the private stormwater and public improvements as shown on the approved permit plans. All improvements must also be accepted by the City in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.120.

A13. The applicant must submit paper and electronic as-builts of the Engineering permits along with maintenance bonds and any final fees for public and water quality improvements.

A14. The applicant shall provide information that demonstrates compliance with TDC 73A. 200 (10).

A15. Areas impacted by grading and all areas not occupied by buildings, parking spaces, driveways, drive aisles, pedestrian areas, or undisturbed natural areas must be landscaped, pursuant to TDC 73B.030(1).

A16. Areas impacted by grading and all areas not occupied by buildings, parking spaces, driveways, drive aisles, pedestrian areas, or undisturbed natural areas must be landscaped, pursuant to TDC 73B.030(1).

A17. The applicant shall provide information that demonstrates compliance with parking lot design standards and construct any required improvements per TDC 73C.020.
A18. The applicant shall provide information that demonstrates the entire development meets the parking requirements 73C.100.

A19. No vehicular parking, hedge, planting, fence, wall structure, or temporary/permanent physical obstruction is permitted between 30 inches and eight feet above the established height of the curb in the vision clearance area specified in TDC Figure 73-2 (Exhibit G).

A20. The applicant shall follow the method of waste and recycling storage and pickup as described in the letter dated September 2, 2022 from Republic Services.

A21. All mechanical equipment must be screened in accordance with TDC 73A.200(11)(c). Prior to approval of a mechanical permit, the applicant or property owner must submit scaled elevations illustrating that above-grade or on-grade equipment will be screened by parapet, sight-obscuring fence, landscaping, or other method.

A22. All sign permits require separate sign permit approval per TDC Chapter 38. This approval does not constitute sign permit approval.

A23. All site, building exterior, and landscaping improvements approved through the AR process must be continually maintained, so as to remain substantially similar to original approval through the AR process, except as permitted under TDC 33.020(7) (Modifications to Previously Approved Final Architectural Review Decisions).

A24. All parking spaces shall be continuously maintained in compliance with the dimensional standards specified in TDC Figure 73-1 (Exhibit F).

A25. No vehicular parking, hedge, planting, fence, wall structure, or temporary/permanent physical obstruction is permitted between 30 inches and eight feet above the established height of the curb in the vision clearance area specified in TDC Figure 73-2 (Exhibit G).

## III.APPEAL

The applicant or any person who submitted written comments or testified orally or in writing at the Tualatin Architectural Review Board hearing and who may be adversely affected by the Board's decision may file a request for review of the final decision of the Tualatin Architectural Review Board to the City Council.

The Tualatin Architectural Review Board's decision will be final after 14 calendar days from the mailing of this order, unless a written appeal is received by the Tualatin Planning Division at 10699 SW SW Herman Road, Tualatin, Oregon, before 5:00 p.m., November __, 2022. The appeal must be submitted on the City appeal form with all the information requested provided thereon, signed by the appellant, and include the applicable appeal fee. The plans and appeal forms are available at the Planning Division offices. The appeal forms must include reasons, current appeal fee, and meet the requirements of Section 32.310 of the Tualatin Development Code. The City Council will review and make a decision. The parties will be notified of the Council meeting date.

ADOPTED THIS ___ DAY OF November.

ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD
CITY OF TUALATIN

BY:
Nancy Grimes, Acting Chair
Architectural Review Board

CITY OF
TUALATIN
Planning Division

TO:<br>THROUGH:<br>Architectural Review Board<br>Steve Koper, AICP, Assistant Community Development Director<br>FROM:<br>Erin Engman, Senior Planner<br>DATE:<br>November 30, 2022

## SUBJECT:

Consideration of an Architectural Review application (AR 22-0006) requesting approval of a 120,000 square foot office building development on a 58 acre campus in the Manufacturing Park (MP) zone at 11155 SW Leveton Drive. (Tax Lots: 2S122AA 00500, 00800 and 2S122AB 00100).

## RECOMMENDATION:

Based on the analysis and findings, as well as the application materials demonstrating compliance with the applicable review criteria, staff respectfully recommends approval of the subject Architectural Review application (AR 22-0006), subject to the recommended conditions of approval in the attached written order.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

- The subject proposal is a Type III land use case, subject to a quasi-judicial hearing before the Architectural Review Board.
- The subject site is comprised of three parcels that total 58 acres of land in the Manufacturing Park zone, located on SW Leveton Drive, west of $108^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, and south of SW Tualatin Road. The land is currently occupied by Lam Research Corporation and is improved with five buildings and associated parking.
- The applicant requests approval to construct a four-story, 120,000 square foot office building, two new access drives off of SW 108th, and parking lot expansions by approximately 549 stalls. The applicant has also submitted a tree removal permit for 80 trees to construct the improvements.
- The proposed development was granted an industrial master plan through IMP 22-0001 that established appropriate building materials and colors, modified setback standards, and modified parking lot landscaping standards for the south half of the site (Exhibit D).
- There are existing City utilities that will adequately serve the site.
- Two public comments were received (Exhibit F) which inquired about the existing street trees and screening along SW Tualatin Road. The subject application is not seeking to modify any site frontage along SW Tualatin Road.


## OUTCOMES OF DECISION:

Approval of AR 22-0006 will facilitate construction of the proposed development.

## ALTERNATIVES TO RECOMMENDATION:

The Architectural Review Board may alternatively:

- Approve AR 22-0006 with amended conditions of approval and direct staff to provide updated Analysis and Findings;
- Continue the hearing to a later date for further consideration; or
- Deny AR 22-0006.


## ATTACHMENTS:

- Attachment A - Presentation
- Attachment B - Analysis and Findings
- Exhibit A1 - Narrative
- Exhibit A2 - Plan Set and Elevations
- Exhibit A3 - Tree Assessment Report
- Exhibit A4 - Transportation Impact Analysis
- Exhibit A5 - Preliminary Stormwater Report
- Exhibit A6 - Supporting Documents
- Exhibit B - Public Noticing Requirements
- Exhibit C - Clean Water Services Memorandum
- Exhibit D - IMP 22-0001 Written Order
- Exhibit E - Water System Capacity Analysis
- Exhibit F - Public Comment
- Exhibit G - Map 8-5 Transit Plan
- Exhibit H - TDC Figure 73-1
- Exhibit I - TDC Figure 73-2
- Exhibit J - Map 8-1
- Attachment C - Written Order
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## 処 <br> Site Background
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## Past Decision

## IMP 22-001 Approved:

- Established appropriate building materials and colors;
- Modified setback standards; and
- Modified parking lot landscaping standards for the south half of the site


## Project Overview



## Procedures (TDC 32.230)

## Type III Architectural Review:

- Application submitted - August 17, 2022
- Forced complete - September 15, 2022
- Notice of Hearing sent - October 28, 2022
- Public hearing - November 30, 2022
- Final decision required - January 13, 2023


## Architectural Review (TDC 33.020)

## Architectural Review for Large Commercial Developments:

Approval criteria listed in Chapter 73A through 73G, including:

- Site Design Standards
- Landscaping Standards
- Parking Standards
- Waste \& Recyclable Management Standards

Conditions of Approval: may implement identified public facilities and services needed to serve the proposed development through Chapters 74 and 75.

ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD
November 30, 2022

## Tree Removal (TDC 33.110)

## The application includes

 tree removal:Approval Criteria

- The tree is diseased;
- The tree is a hazard;
- Necessary to remove tree to construct proposed improvements

ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD
Lam Office Building
November 30, 2022

## MP Zone (TDC 62) \& IMP 22-0001

## The proposal complies with zoning:

- Setbacks
- Building height
- Permitted uses
* IMP 22-0001

| USE CATEGORY | STATUS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Office | Accessory to a permitted industrial use |  |
| STANDARD | REQUIREMENT | PROPOSAL |
| Building Setbacks: |  |  |
| Leveton Drive | $68 \mathrm{ft}^{*}$ | 89 ft |
| Side/Rear | $0 \mathrm{ft}^{*}$ | 56 ft |
| Parking and Circulation Setbacks: |  |  |
| Leveton Drive | 50 ft | 58 ft |
| 108 |  |  |
| Tualatin Road | 43 ft |  |
| Building Height: | 70 ft | 43 ft |

## Site Design (TDC 73A)

## The proposal complies with requirements <br> for:

- Walkways
- Safety and Security
- Service, Delivery, and Screening


# * 

AR 22-0006
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## Building Design (TDC 73A)
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## 㮃 <br> Building Design (TDC 73A)
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## Landscaping Standards (TDC 73B)

## The application

 demonstrates the proposal complies with requirements for:- 25\% of development area
- Building perimeter
- Tree preservation/ revegetation
- Minimum standards for plantings
- Irrigation



## Parking Standards (TDC 73C)

With conditions the application complies with requirements for:

- Minimum stall requirements
- Bike parking
- Vanpool / Carpool
- Dimensional Requirements

| USE | MINIMUM VEHICLE PARKING | BIKE PARKING | COVERED BIKE PARKING |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office supporting <br> manufacturing | 1.60 spaces / 1,000 SF of GFA | 0.10 spaces / 1,000 GFA | First 5 |
| REQUIRED | 192 | 12 | 5 |
| PROVIDED | $\mathbf{5 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |

AR 22-0006
Lam Office Building
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## Parking Lot Landscaping (TDC 73C)

With conditions the application complies with requirements for:

- Minimum landscape square footage
- Island separation every 8 stalls for north lots
- Island separation every 12 stalls for south lots*
* Under IMP 22-0001

ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD
Lam Office Building
November 30, 2022

## Loading Standards (TDC 73C)

## With conditions, the proposal complies with TDC 73C.120(1):

- Three loading facilities: 12 feet x 35 feet; or
- Evidence that adequate loading facilities exist on the same parcel



## Waste and Recyclables (TDC 73D)

With conditions, the proposal complies with requirements for:

- Minimum Storage Area
- Location
- Screening
- Access


Lam Office Building
ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD November 30, 2022

## Public Improvements (TDC 74)

With conditions, the proposal complies with public improvement standards:

- Right-of-Way and Easement Dedication
- Street Improvements
- Utilities: Water, Sanitary Sewer, Storm Sewer
- Stormwater: Water Quality Detention Facility
- Grading and Erosion Control


## Access Management (TDC 75)

## With conditions, the proposal complies with access standards:

- New driveway approach
- Vision Clearance



## Conclusion

- The findings demonstrate that the proposal meets the applicable criteria of the Tualatin Development Code with the recommended Conditions of Approval.
- Therefore, staff respectfully recommends approval of the subject Architectural Review application (AR 22-0006), as conditioned.
- Questions?


# ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS <br> LAM RESEARCH CAMPUS 

## ARB Hearing: November 30, 2022
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| Case \#: | AR 22-0006 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | Lam Research Corporation Campus |
| Location: | 11155-11361 SW Leveton Drive; Tax Lots: 2S122AA 500 and 800; 2S122AB 100 |
| Representative: | Suzannah Stanley, Mackenzie |
| Owner: | Lam Research Corporation |
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## I. INTRODUCTION

## A. Applicable Criteria

The following Chapters of the Tualatin Development Code (TDC) are applicable to the subject proposal:

- TDC 33.020: Architectural Review
- TDC 33.050: Industrial Master Plan
- TDC 33.110: Tree Removal Permit/Review
- TDC 62: Manufacturing Park (MP) Zone
- TDC 73A: Site Design Standards
- TDC 73B: Landscaping Standards
- TDC 73C: Parking Standards
- TDC 73D: Waste and Recyclables Management Standards
- TDC 74: Public Improvements
- TDC 75: Access


## B. Site Description

The subject site is a 58 acre campus located at 11155 SW Leveton Drive (Washington County Tax Lots: 2S122AA 500 and 800; 2S122AB 100), and is zoned Manufacturing Park (MP).

The site currently consists of three lots, five buildings, and associated parking. This property is located in the former Leveton Taxing District; north of SW Leveton Drive, west of SW 108th Avenue, and south of SW Tualatin Road. The land reaches a high point of 188 feet in elevation in the northwest corner and slopes down to a low point of 146 feet near the southern end of the property.


```
Planning Districts
Commercial Office (CO)
```

```Central Commercial (CC)
```

```General Commercial (CG)
```

```Recreational Commercial (CR)
\(\square\) Medical Commercial (MC)
\(\square\) Light Manufacturing (ML)
\(\square\) General Manufacturing (MG)
\(\square\) Manufacturing Park (MP)
```

```Manufacturing Business Park (MBP)
\(\square\) Low Density Residential (RL)
```

```Medium Low Density Residential \(\square\) Medium High Density Residential (RMH)
\(\square\) High Density Residential (RH)
\(\square\) High Density/High Rise Residential (RH/HR)
```

Figure 1: Aerial view of subject site (highlighted)

## C. Proposed Project

As described in the applicant's narrative (Exhibit A1), Lam Research Corporation proposes to construct a four-story, 120,000 square foot office building sited on the south end of the campus and east of the main entrance. The new building will be connected to existing Building C by a skybridge. The scope also includes an expansion of parking areas located on the northwest and eastern portions of the overall site. The new eastern parking area is proposed to be served by two access drives located off of SW 108th Avenue. The applicant also proposes to convert the east access on SW Leveton Drive to a truck-only access with appropriate signage. The campus development was granted modified development standards for building setbacks and parking and circulation setbacks through IMP 22-0001 (Exhibit D).

Figure 2: Site Plan (overview)

D. Previous Land Use Actions

- IMP 22-0001 - Modifications to Setback Standards
- AR 20-0001 - Lam Building D Addition
- AR 16-0010 - Lam Campus Parking Master Plan
- PLA 16-006 - Property Line Adjustment
- AR 15-0029 - Building D Expansion
- PAR 00-04 - Partition
- AR 00-03 - Novellus Phase 1
- IMP 00-01 - Novellus
- AR 89-24 - Oki Semiconductor


## E. Surrounding Uses

Surrounding areas indicate a transitional area including industrial and residential use. Adjacent land uses include:

North: Residential Medium-Low Density (RML)

- SW Tualatin Road
- Fox Run Subdivision

South: Manufacturing Park (MP)

- SW Leveton Drive
- Fujimi Corporation

West: $\quad$ Manufacturing Park (MP)

- JAE Corporation
- Vacant land (Phight LLC)

East: Light Manufacturing (ML)

- SW 108 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue
- Ascentec Engineering LLC
- Olympus Controls


## F. Exhibit List

Exhibit A1 - Narrative
Exhibit A2 - Plan Set and Elevations
Exhibit A3 - Tree Assessment Report
Exhibit A4 - Transportation Impact Analysis
Exhibit A5 - Preliminary Stormwater Report
Exhibit A6 - Supporting Documents
Exhibit B - Public Noticing Requirements
Exhibit C - Clean Water Services Memorandum
Exhibit D - IMP 22-0001 Written Order
Exhibit E - Water System Capacity Analysis
Exhibit F - Public Comment
Exhibit G - Map 8-5 Transit Plan
Exhibit H - TDC Figure 73-1
Exhibit I - TDC Figure 73-2
Exhibit J - Map 8-1

## II. PLANNING FINDINGS

These findings reference the Tualatin Development Code (TDC), unless otherwise noted.

## Chapter 32: Procedures

Section 32.010 - Purpose and Applicability.
[...]
(2) Applicability of Review Procedures. All land use and development permit applications and decisions, will be made by using the procedures contained in this Chapter. The procedure "type" assigned to each application governs the decision-making process for that permit or application. There are five types of permit/application procedures as described in subsections (a) through (e) below. Table 32-1 lists the City's land use and development applications and corresponding review procedure(s).
[...]
(c) Type III Procedure (Quasi-Judicial Review - Public Hearing). Type III procedure is used when the standards and criteria require discretion, interpretation, or policy or legal judgment. Quasi-Judicial decisions involve discretion but implement established policy. Type III decisions are made by the Planning Commission or Architectural Review Board and require public notice and a public hearing, with an opportunity for appeal to the City Council.
[...]
(3) Determination of Review Type. Unless specified in Table 32-1, the City Manager will determine whether a permit or application is processed as Type I, II, III, IV-A or IV-B based on the descriptions above. Questions regarding the appropriate procedure will be resolved in favor of the review type providing the widest notice and opportunity to participate. An applicant may choose to elevate a Type I or II application to a higher numbered review type, provided the applicant pays the appropriate fee for the selected review type.

Table 32-1 - Applications Types and Review Procedures

| Application / Action | Type | Decision <br> Body* | Pre- <br> Appeal <br> Body* | Application <br> Conference <br> Required | Neighborhood <br> /Developer <br> Mtg Required | Applicable <br> Code <br> Chapter |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Architectural Review |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Buildings <br> $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ square feet and <br> larger | III | ARB | CC | Yes | Yes | TDC <br> 33.020 |
| [...] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * City Council (CC); Planning Commission (PC); Architectural Review Board (ARB); City Manager or designee <br> (CM); Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA). |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Finding:
The proposal is for a 120,000 square foot office building, and is therefore classified as a Type III Procedure Types according to Table 32-1. The application has been processed according to the applicable code for Type III procedures. This standard is met.

## Section 32.030 - Time to Process Applications.

(1) Time Limit - 120-day Rule. The City must take final action on all Type II, Type III, and Type IV-A land use applications, as provided by ORS 227.178, including resolution of all local appeals, within 120 days after the application has been deemed complete under TDC 32.160, unless the applicant provides
written request or consent to an extension in compliance with ORS 227.178. (Note: The 120-day rule does not apply to Type IV-B (Legislative Land Use) decisions.)
[...]

## Finding:

The application was forced complete on September 15, 2022, and the hearing for AR 22-0006 is scheduled for November 30, 2022. Final action will take place by January 13, 2023 in compliance with ORS 227.178. This standard is met.

## Section 32.110 - Pre-Application Conference.

(1) Purpose of Pre-Application Conferences. Pre-application conferences are intended to familiarize applicants with the requirements of the TDC; to provide applicants with an opportunity discuss proposed projects in detail with City staff; and to identify approval criteria, standards, and procedures prior to filing a land use application. The pre-application conference is intended to be a tool to assist applicants in navigating the land use process, but is not intended to be an exhaustive review that identifies or resolves all potential issues, and does not bind or preclude the City from enforcing any applicable regulations or from applying regulations in a manner differently than may have been indicated at the time of the pre-application conference.
(2) When Mandatory. Pre-application conferences are mandatory for all land use actions identified as requiring a pre-application conference in Table 32-1. An applicant may voluntarily request a preapplication conference for any land use action even if it is not required.
(3) Timing of Pre-Application Conference. A pre-application conference must be held with City staff before an applicant submits an application and before an applicant conducts a
Neighborhood/Developer meeting.
(4) Application Requirements for Pre-Application Conference.
(a) Application Form. Pre-application conference requests must be made on forms provided by the City Manager.
(b) Submittal Requirements. Pre-application conference requests must include:
(i) A completed application form;
(ii) Payment of the application fee;
(iii) The information required, if any, for the specific pre-application conference sought; and
(iv) Any additional information the applicant deems necessary to demonstrate the nature and scope of the proposal in sufficient detail to allow City staff to review and comment.
(5) Scheduling of Pre-Application Conference. Upon receipt of a complete application, the City Manager will schedule the pre-application conference. The City Manager will coordinate the involvement of city departments, as appropriate, in the pre-application conference. Pre-application conferences are not open to the general public.
(6) Validity Period for Mandatory Pre-Application Conferences; Follow-Up Conferences. A follow-up conference is required for those mandatory pre-application conferences that have previously been held when:
(a) An application relating to the proposed development that was the subject of the preapplication conference has not been submitted within six (6) months of the pre-application conference;
(b) The proposed use, layout, and/or design of the proposal have significantly changed; or
(c) The owner and/or developer of a project changes after the pre-application conference and prior to application submittal.

## Finding:

The subject land use action is identified as requiring a pre-application conference in Table 32-1. The applicant participated in a pre-application meeting on July 22, 2022, less than one month prior to submittal. These standards are met.

## Section 32.120 - Neighborhood/Developer Meetings.

(1) Purpose. The purpose of this meeting is to provide a means for the applicant and surrounding property owners to meet to review a development proposal and identify issues regarding the proposal so they can be considered prior to the application submittal. The meeting is intended to allow the developer and neighbors to share information and concerns regarding the project. The applicant may consider whether to incorporate solutions to these issues prior to application submittal.
(2) When Mandatory. Neighborhood/developer meetings are mandatory for all land use actions identified in Table 32-1 as requiring a neighborhood/developer meeting. An applicant may voluntarily conduct a neighborhood/developer meeting even if it is not required and may conduct more than one neighborhood/developer meeting at their election.
(3) Timing. A neighborhood/developer meeting must be held after a pre-application meeting with City staff, but before submittal of an application.
(4) Time and Location. Required neighborhood/developer meetings must be held within the city limits of the City of Tualatin at the following times:
(a) If scheduled on a weekday, the meeting must begin no earlier than 6:00 p.m.
(b) If scheduled on a weekend, the meeting must begin between 10:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m.
(5) Notice Requirements.
(a) The applicant must provide notice of the meeting at least 14 calendar days and no more than

28 calendar days before the meeting. The notice must be by first class mail providing the date, time, and location of the meeting, as well as a brief description of the proposal and its location. The applicant must keep a copy of the notice to be submitted with their land use application.
(b) The applicant must mail notice of a neighborhood/developer meeting to the following persons:
(i) All property owners within 1,000 feet measured from the boundaries of the subject property;
(ii) All property owners within a platted residential subdivision that is located within $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ feet of the boundaries of the subject property. The notice area includes the entire subdivision and not just those lots within 1,000 feet. If the residential subdivision is one of two or more individually platted phases sharing a single subdivision name, the notice area need not include the additional phases; and
(iii) All designated representatives of recognized Citizen Involvement Organizations as established in TMC Chapter 11-9.
(c) The City will provide the applicant with labels for mailing for a fee.
(d) Failure of a property owner to receive notice does not invalidate the neighborhood/developer meeting proceedings.
(6) Neighborhood/Developer Sign Posting Requirements. The applicant must provide and post on the subject property, at least 14 calendar days before the meeting. The sign must conform to the design and placement standards established by the City for signs notifying the public of land use actions in TDC 32.150.
(7) Neighborhood/Developer Meeting Requirements. The applicant must have a sign-in sheet for all attendees to provide their name, address, telephone number, and email address and keep a copy of the sign-in sheet to provide with their land use application. The applicant must prepare meeting notes
identifying the persons attending, those commenting and the substance of the comments expressed, and the major points that were discussed. The applicant must keep a copy of the meeting notes for submittal with their land use application.

## Finding:

The applicant has provided evidence within Exhibit A3 that they held a Neighborhood/Developer meeting on August 16, 2022, one day prior to application submittal. The applicant has provided documentation of sign posting and notification in compliance with this section, as well as a sign-in sheet and notes from the meeting. These standards are met.

Section 32.130 - Initiation of Applications.
(1) Type I, Type II, Type III, and Type IV-A Applications. Type I, Type II, Type III, and Type IV-A applications may be submitted by one or more of the following persons:
(a) The owner of the subject property;
(b) The contract purchaser of the subject property, when the application is accompanied by proof of the purchaser's status as such and by the seller's written consent;
(c) A lessee in possession of the property, when the application is accompanied by the owners' written consent; or
(d) The agent of any of the foregoing, when the application is duly authorized in writing by a person authorized to submit an application by paragraphs (a), (b) or (c) of this subsection, and accompanied by proof of the agent's authority.
[...]
Finding:
The application has been signed by a representative of Lam Research Corporation, who is the owner of the subject property. This standard is met.

Section 32.140 - Application Submittal.
(1) Submittal Requirements. Land use applications must be submitted on forms provided by the City. A land use application may not be accepted in partial submittals. All information supplied on the application form and accompanying the application must be complete and correct as to the applicable facts. Unless otherwise specified, all of the following must be submitted to initiate completeness review under TDC 32.160:
(a) A completed application form. The application form must contain, at a minimum, the following information:
(i) The names and addresses of the applicant(s), the owner(s) of the subject property, and any authorized representative(s) thereof;
(ii) The address or location of the subject property and its assessor's map and tax lot number;
(iii) The size of the subject property;
(iv) The comprehensive plan designation and zoning of the subject property;
(v) The type of application(s);
(vi) A brief description of the proposal; and
(vii) Signatures of the applicant(s), owner(s) of the subject property, and/or the duly authorized representative(s) thereof authorizing the filing of the application(s).
(b) A written statement addressing each applicable approval criterion and standard;
(c) Any additional information required under the TDC for the specific land use action sought;
(d) Payment of the applicable application fee(s) pursuant to the most recently adopted fee schedule;
(e) Recorded deed/land sales contract with legal description.
(f) A preliminary title report or other proof of ownership.
(g) For those applications requiring a neighborhood/developer meeting:
(i) The mailing list for the notice;
(ii) A copy of the notice;
(iii) An affidavit of the mailing and posting;
(iv) The original sign-in sheet of participants; and
(v) The meeting notes described in TDC 32.120(7).
(h) A statement as to whether any City-recognized Citizen Involvement Organizations (CIOs) whose boundaries include, or are adjacent to, the subject property were contacted in advance of filing the application and, if so, a summary of the contact. The summary must include the date when contact was made, the form of the contact and who it was with (e.g. phone conversation with neighborhood association chairperson, meeting with land use committee, presentation at neighborhood association meeting), and the result;
(i) Any additional information, as determined by the City Manager, that may be required by another provision, or for any other permit elsewhere, in the TDC, and any other information that may be required to adequately review and analyze the proposed development plan as to its conformance to the applicable criteria;
(2) Application Intake. Each application, when received, must be date-stamped with the date the application was received by the City, and designated with a receipt number and a notation of the staff person who received the application.
(3) Administrative Standards for Applications. The City Manager is authorized to establish administrative standards for application forms and submittals, including but not limited to plan details, information detail and specificity, number of copies, scale, and the form of submittal.

## Finding:

The applicant submitted the subject application on March 4 2022. The applicant submitted additional information on March 30, 2022 and the application was deemed complete on April 12, 2022. The general land use submittal requirements were included with this application. These standards are met.

Section 32.150-Sign Posting.
(1) When Signs Posted. Signs in conformance with these standards must be posted as follows:
(a) Signs providing notice of an upcoming neighborhood/developer meeting must be posted prior to a required neighborhood/developer meeting in accordance with Section 32.120(6); and
(b) Signs providing notice of a pending land use application must be posted after land use application has been submitted for Type II, III and IV-A applications.
(2) Sign Design Requirements. The applicant must provide and post a sign(s) that conforms to the following standards:
(a) Waterproof sign materials;
(b) Sign face must be no less than eighteen (18) inches by twenty-four (24) inches (18" $\times \mathbf{2 4 \prime}$ ); and
(c) Sign text must be at least two (2) inch font.
(3) On-site Placement. The applicant must place one sign on their property along each public street frontage of the subject property. (Example: If a property adjoins four public streets, the applicant must place a sign at each of those public street frontages for a total of four signs). The applicant cannot place the sign within public right of way.
(4) Removal. If a sign providing notice of a pending land use application disappears prior to the final decision date of the subject land use application, the applicant must replace the sign within fortyeight (48) hours of discovery of the disappearance or of receipt of notice from the City of its
disappearance, whichever occurs first. The applicant must remove the sign no later than fourteen (14) days after:
(a) The meeting date, in the case of signs providing notice of an upcoming neighborhood/developer meeting; or
(b) The City makes a final decision on the subject land use application, in the case of signs providing notice of a pending land use application.

## Finding:

The applicant provided certification within Exhibit A6 that signs in conformance with this section were placed on site in accordance with this section. This standard is met.

## Section 32.160 - Completeness Review.

(1) Duration. Except as otherwise provided under ORS 227.178, the City Manager must review an application for completeness within 30 days of its receipt.
(2) Considerations. Determination of completeness will be based upon receipt of the information required under TDC 32.140 and will not be based on opinions as to quality or accuracy. Applications that do not respond to relevant code requirements or standards can be deemed incomplete. A determination that an application is complete indicates only that the application is ready for review on its merits, not that the City will make a favorable decision on the application.
(3) Complete Applications. If an application is determined to be complete, review of the application will commence.
(4) Incomplete Applications. If an application is determined to be incomplete, the City Manager must provide written notice to the applicant identifying the specific information that is missing and allowing the applicant the opportunity to submit the missing information. An application which has been determined to be incomplete must be deemed complete for purposes of this section upon receipt of:
(a) All of the missing information;
(b) Some of the missing information and written notice from the applicant that no other information will be provided; or
(c) Written notice from the applicant that none of the missing information will be provided. (5) Vesting. If an application was complete at the time it was first submitted, or if the applicant submits additional required information within 180 days of the date the application was first submitted, approval or denial of the application must be based upon the standards and criteria that were in effect at the time the application was first submitted.
(6) Void Applications. An application is void if the application has been on file with the City for more than 180 days and the applicant has not provided the missing information or otherwise responded, as provided in subsection (4) of this section.
[...]

Finding:
The subject application was submitted on August 17, 2022. The application was forced complete on September 15, 2022. These standards are met.

Section 32.230 - Type III Procedure (Quasi-Judicial Review - Public Hearing).
Type III decisions involve the use of discretion and judgment and are made by the Planning Commission or Architectural Review Board after a public hearing with an opportunity for appeal to the City Council. The decision body for each application type is specified in Table 32-1. A hearing under these procedures provides a forum to apply standards to a specific set of facts to determine
whether the facts conform to the applicable criteria and the resulting determination will directly affect only a small number of identifiable persons.
(1) Submittal Requirements. Type III applications must include the submittal information required by TDC 32.140(1).
(2) Determination of Completeness. After receiving an application for filing, the City Manager will review the application will for completeness in accordance with TDC 32.160.
(3) Written Notice of Public Hearing - Type III. Once the application has been deemed complete, the

City must mail by regular first class mail Notice of a Public Hearing to the following individuals and agencies no fewer than $\mathbf{2 0}$ days before the hearing.
(a) Recipients:
(i) The applicant and, the owners of the subject property;
(ii) All property owners within 1,000 feet measured from the boundaries of the subject property;
(iii) All property owners within a platted residential subdivision that is located within 1,000 feet of the boundaries of the subject property. The notice area includes the entire subdivision and not just those lots within 1,000 feet. If the residential subdivision is one of two or more individually platted phases sharing a single subdivision name, the notice area need not include the additional phases;
(iv) All recognized neighborhood associations within 1,000 feet from the boundaries of the subject property;
(v) All designated representatives of recognized Citizen Involvement Organizations as established in TMC Chapter 11-9;
(vi) Any person who submits a written request to receive a notice;
(vii) Any governmental agency that is entitled to notice under an intergovernmental agreement entered into with the City and any other affected agencies, including but not limited to: school districts; fire district; where the project either adjoins or directly affects a state highway, the Oregon Department of Transportation; and where the project site would access a County road or otherwise be subject to review by the County, then the County; and Clean Water Services; Tri Met; and, ODOT Rail Division and the railroad company if a railroadhighway grade crossing provides or will provide the only access to the subject property. The failure of another agency to respond with written comments on a pending application does not invalidate an action or permit approval made by the City under this Code;
(viii) Utility companies (as applicable); and,
(ix) Members of the decision body identified in Table 32-1.
(b) The Notice of a Public Hearing, at a minimum, must contain all of the following information: (i) The names of the applicant(s), any representative(s) thereof, and the owner(s) of the subject property;
(ii) The street address if assigned, if no street address has been assigned then Township, Range, Section, Tax Lot or Tax Lot ID;
(iii) The type of application and a concise description of the nature of the land use action;
(iv) A list of the approval criteria by TDC section for the decision and other ordinances or regulations that apply to the application at issue;
(v) Brief summary of the local decision making process for the land use decision being made and a general explanation of the requirements for submission of testimony and the procedure for conduct of hearings;
(vi) The date, time and location of the hearing;
(vii) Disclosure statement indicating that if any person fails to address the relevant approval criteria with enough detail, he or she may not be able to appeal to the Land Use Board of

Appeals on that issue, and that only comments on the relevant approval criteria are considered relevant evidence;
(viii) The name of a City representative to contact and the telephone number where additional information may be obtained; and
(ix) Statement that the application and all documents and evidence submitted to the City are in the public record and available for review, and that copies can be obtained at a reasonable cost from the City; and
(x) Statement that a copy of the staff report will be available for inspection at no cost at least seven days prior to the hearing and will be provided at reasonable cost.
(c) Failure of a person or agency to receive a notice, does not invalidate any proceeding in connection with the application, provided the City can demonstrate by affidavit that required notice was given.

## Finding:

After submittal and completeness review as required by this section, notice for the Type III hearing concerning AR 22-0006 was mailed by city staff on October 28, 2022 as Exhibit B, which contained the information required by this section. One public comment was received and has been included as Exhibit F. These standards are met.
(4) Conduct of the Hearing - Type III.

The person chairing the hearing must follow the order of proceedings set forth below. These procedures are intended to provide all interested persons a reasonable opportunity to participate in the hearing process and to provide for a full and impartial hearing on the application before the body. Questions concerning the propriety or the conduct of a hearing will be addressed to the chair with a request for a ruling. Rulings from the chair must, to the extent possible, carry out the stated intention of these procedures. A ruling given by the chair on such question may be modified or reversed by a majority of those members of the decision body present and eligible to vote on the application before the body. The procedures to be followed by the chair in the conduct of the hearing are as follows:
(a) At the commencement of the hearing, the person chairing the hearing must state to those in attendance all of the following information and instructions:
(i) The applicable substantive criteria;
(ii) That testimony, arguments and evidence must be directed toward the criteria described in paragraph (i) of this subsection or other criteria in the plan or land use regulation which the person believes to apply to the decision;
(iii) That failure to raise an issue accompanied by statements or evidence sufficient to afford the decision maker and the parties an opportunity to respond to the issue precludes appeal to the State Land Use Board of Appeals based on that issue;
(iv) At the conclusion of the initial evidentiary hearing, the decision body must deliberate and make a decision based on the facts and arguments in the public record; and
(v) Any participant may ask the decision body for an opportunity to present additional relevant evidence or testimony that is within the scope of the hearing; if the decision body grants the request, it will schedule a date to continue the hearing as provided in TDC $32.230(4)(e)$, or leave the record open for additional written evidence or testimony as provided TDC 32.230(4)(f).
(b) The public is entitled to an impartial decision body as free from potential conflicts of interest and pre-hearing ex parte (outside the hearing) contacts as reasonably possible. Where questions related to ex parte contact are concerned, members of the decision body must follow the
guidance for disclosure of ex parte contacts contained in ORS 227.180. Where a real conflict of interest arises, that member or members of the decision body must not participate in the hearing, except where state law provides otherwise. Where the appearance of a conflict of interest is likely, that member or members of the decision body must individually disclose their relationship to the applicant in the public hearing and state whether they are capable of rendering a fair and impartial decision. If they are unable to render a fair and impartial decision, they must be excused from the proceedings.
(c) Presenting and receiving evidence.
(i) The decision body may set reasonable time limits for oral presentations and may limit or exclude cumulative, repetitious, irrelevant, or personally derogatory testimony or evidence;
(ii) No oral testimony will be accepted after the close of the public hearing. Written testimony may be received after the close of the public hearing only as provided by this section; and
(iii) Members of the decision body may visit the property and the surrounding area, and may use information obtained during the site visit to support their decision, if the information relied upon is disclosed at the beginning of the hearing and an opportunity is provided to dispute the evidence.
(d) The decision body, in making its decision, must consider only facts and arguments in the public hearing record; except that it may take notice of facts not in the hearing record (e.g., local, state, or federal regulations; previous City decisions; case law; staff reports). Upon announcing its intention to take notice of such facts in its deliberations, it must allow persons who previously participated in the hearing to request the hearing record be reopened, as necessary, to present evidence concerning the newly presented facts.
(e) If the decision body decides to continue the hearing, the hearing must be continued to a date that is at least seven days after the date of the first evidentiary hearing (e.g., next regularly scheduled meeting). An opportunity must be provided at the continued hearing for persons to present and respond to new written evidence and oral testimony. If new written evidence is submitted at the continued hearing, any person may request, before the conclusion of the hearing, that the record be left open for at least seven days, so that he or she can submit additional written evidence or arguments in response to the new written evidence. In the interest of time, after the close of the hearing, the decision body may limit additional testimony to arguments and not accept additional evidence.
(f) If the decision body leaves the record open for additional written testimony, the record must be left open for at least seven days after the hearing. Any participant may ask the decision body in writing for an opportunity to respond to new evidence (i.e., information not disclosed during the public hearing) submitted when the record was left open. If such a request is filed, the decision body must reopen the record, as follows:
(i) When the record is reopened to admit new evidence or arguments (testimony), any person may raise new issues that relate to that new evidence or testimony;
(ii) An extension of the hearing or record granted pursuant to this section is subject to the limitations of TDC 32.030, unless the applicant waives his or her right to a final decision being made within the required timeframe; and
(iii) If requested by the applicant, the decision body must grant the applicant at least seven days after the record is closed to all other persons to submit final written arguments, but not evidence, provided the applicant may expressly waive this right.

Finding:
The Architectural Review Board will follow the hearing requirements set forth by this section. These standards will be met.

## (5) Notice of Adoption of a Type III Decision.

Notice of Adoption must be provided to the property owner, applicant, and any person who provided testimony at the hearing or in writing. The Type III Notice of Adoption must contain all of the following information:
(a) A description of the applicant's proposal and the City's decision on the proposal, which may be a summary, provided it references the specifics of the proposal and conditions of approval in the public record;
(b) The address or other geographic description of the property proposed for development, including a map of the property in relation to the surrounding area;
(c) A statement that a copy of the decision and complete case file, including findings, conclusions, and conditions of approval, if any, is available for review and how copies can be obtained;
(d) The date the decision becomes final, unless a request for appeal is submitted; and
(e) The notice must include an explanation of rights to appeal the decision to the City Council in accordance with TDC 32.310 .
(6) Appeal of a Type III Decision. Appeal of an Architectural Review Board or Planning Commission Type III Decision to the City Council may be made in accordance with TDC 32.310.
(7) Effective Date of a Type III Decision.
(a) The written order is the final decision on the application.
(b) The mailing date is the date of the order certifying its approval by the decision body.
(c) A decision of the Architectural Review Board or Planning Commission is final unless:
(i) a written appeal is received at the City offices within 14 calendar days of the date notice of the final decision is mailed; or
(ii) The City Manager or a member of the City Council requests a review of the decision within

14 calendar days of the date notice of the final decision is mailed.
Finding:
A final decision and any appeal will follow the requirements of this section. These standards will be met.

## Chapter 33: Applications and Approval Criteria <br> [...]

## Section 33.020 Architectural Review

[...]
(5) Approval Criteria.
(c)Large Commercial, Industrial, and Multifamily Development. Applications for Large Commercial, Industrial, and Multifamily Development must comply with the applicable standards and objectives in TDC Chapter 73A through 73G.

## Finding:

The subject application, which is for a large commercial development, which must comply with the standards and objectives in TDC 73A through 73G. These standards are met by findings and conditions of approval for the subject application.

## (9) Permit Expiration.

Architectural Review decisions (including Minor Architectural Review decisions) expire two (2) years from the effective date unless the applicant has received a building, or grading permit submitted in conjunction with a building permit application, substantial construction has occurred pursuant to the building permit, and an inspection has been performed by a member of the Building Division.

## (10) Extension of Permit Expiration.

(a) An Architectural Review approval may be extended if the applicant, or successor interest, submits a written request for an extension of time within two (2) years of the effective date.
[...]
(c) Upon receipt of a request for an extension of time, the City will process the extension request as follows:
(i) If the City Manager approved the Architectural Review, then the City Manager will decide the extension request under the Type II procedures in TDC 32.220.
(ii) If the Architectural Review Board (ARB) approved the Architectural Review, then the ARB will decide the extension request under the Type III quasi-judicial procedures in TDC 32.230.
(d) The City must provide notice of the extension request to past recipients of the Architectural Review notice of decision and the applicant must post a sign pursuant to TDC 32.150.
(e) The City Manager or Architectural Review Board, as applicable, may grant the extension of time upon finding the following:
(i) The applicant submitted a written extension request prior to the expiration date;
(ii) There have been no significant changes in any conditions, ordinances, regulations or standards of the City or applicable agencies that affect the previously approved project so as to warrant its resubmittal for Architectural Review;
(iii) If the previously approved application included a special study, the applicant provided a status report includes a letter from a recognized professional that states that conditions have not changed after the original approval and that no new study is warranted; and (iv) If the site has been neglected so as to allow the site to become blighted, the deciding party must factor this into its decision.
(f) The City Manager or Architectural Review Board, as applicable, may grant or deny the extension request. The decision must be in writing and must be made within sixty (60) days of receipt of the request for extension. If the decision is to grant the extension, the extension can be no more than a single one-year extension.
(g) Upon making the decision, the City must provide notice of the extension decision as provided in TDC 32.220 for Type II decisions made by the City Manager and TDC $\mathbf{3 2 . 2 3 0}$ for Type III decisions made by the Architectural Review Board.

## Finding:

The proposed application is approved subject the compliance with the above criteria. With recommended Condition of Approval A1, these standards are met.

## Section 33.110 Tree Removal Permit/Review

(1) Purpose. To regulate the removal of trees within the City limits other than trees within the public right-of-way which are subject to TDC Chapter 74.
(2) Applicability. No person may remove a tree on private property within the City limits, unless the City grants a tree removal permit, consistent with the provisions of this Section.
[...]
(3) Procedure Type. Tree Removal Permit applications are subject to Type II Review in accordance with TDC Chapter 32. Tree Removal Permit applications submitted with an Architectural Review, Subdivision, or Partition application will be processed in conjunction with the Architectural Review, Subdivision, or Partition decision.

## Finding:

The applicant has submitted for tree removal in conjunction with the Architectural Review application. The criteria in TDC 33.110, addressed below, are the basis for approval or denial for tree removal as part of this Architectural Review. These standards are met.

## Section 33.110 Tree Removal Permit/Review Approval Criteria

(5) Approval Criteria.
(a) An applicant must satisfactorily demonstrate that at least one of the following criteria are met:
(i) The tree is diseased and:
(A) The disease threatens the structural integrity of the tree; or
(B) The disease permanently and severely diminishes the esthetic value of the tree; or
(C) The continued retention of the tree could result in other trees being infected with a disease that threatens either their structural integrity or esthetic value.
(ii) The tree represents a hazard which may include but not be limited to:
(A) The tree is in danger of falling; or
(B) Substantial portions of the tree are in danger of falling.
(iii) It is necessary to remove the tree to construct proposed improvements based on Architectural Review approval, building permit, or approval of a Subdivision or Partition Review. (b) If none of the conditions in TDC 33.110(5)(a) are met, the certified arborist must evaluate the condition of each tree.
[...]

## Finding:

The applicant's arborist surveyed trees on-site and adjacent to the site. The report, submitted as Exhibit A3, recommends the preservation of 175 trees, as well as removal of 80 trees that are over $8^{\prime \prime}$ dbh. Of the on-site trees proposed for removal, the majority are to be removed to construct the proposed improvements in accordance with criterion 33.110(5)(a)(iii). The report also noted that some trees that are either dead or in poor condition are proposed for retention, as an example: Trees 23419 and 23479.

Tree protection measures for are identified in Attachment 1 and 4 of the submitted tree assessment. With recommended Conditions of Approval A11.a. and A12 related to tree removal as well as tree protection, these standards are met.

Section 33.050. - Industrial Master Plans.
(1)Purpose. The Industrial Master Plan sets particular standards for development within the Industrial Master Plan Area (defined by such plan), in accordance with the Tualatin Comprehensive Plan, the Southwest Tualatin Concept Plan (SWCP) and the Leveton Tax Increment Plan. Such approved plans are intended to achieve a campus-like setting within an Industrial Master Plan Area, while allowing development to occur independently on a number of smaller parcels within that area. It is the intent of this chapter to provide procedures and criteria for the submission and review of such Industrial Master Plan applications. Development standards approved through a Master Plan process establishes alternative development standards that supersede conflicting provisions in the Tualatin Development Code.
(2)Applicability.
[...]
(b)An Industrial Master Plan is optional for any development in the Manufacturing Park (MP) Zone or Manufacturing Business Park (MBP) Zone. An Industrial Master Plan is required to do any of the following:
(i)Modify the requirements for internal circulation, building location and orientation, street frontage, parking, setbacks, building height, or lot size as provided in TDC Chapter 62 for the Manufacturing Park (MP) Zone and TDC Chapter 64 for the Manufacturing Business Park (MBP) Zone; and
(ii)Provide for individual parcels of less than 40 acres in the Manufacturing Park Zone.

However, the parcels must not be less than 15 acres north of SW Leveton Drive and five acres south of SW Leveton Drive, unless otherwise provided under TDC 62.050(1).
(c)An Industrial Master Plan must be submitted for the entire Industrial Master Plan Area and include all owners of property within the area.

## Finding:

The campus development was granted modified standards for building and parking and circulation setbacks, as well as the allowance of 15 acre parcels through IMP 22-0001, included as Exhibit D. This Architectural Review submittal will be subject to the modified standards memorialized under IMP 220001 in Exhibit D.

## Chapter 62: Manufacturing Park Zone (MP)

 [...]TDC 62.200. - Use Categories.
(1)Use Categories. Table 62-1 lists use categories Permitted Outright (P) or Conditionally Permitted (C) in the MP zone. Use categories may also be designated as Limited (L) and subject to the limitations listed in Table 62-1 and restrictions identified in TDC 62.210. Limitations may restrict the specific type of use, location, size, or other characteristics of the use category. Use categories which are not listed are prohibited within the zone, except for uses which are found by the City Manager or appointee to be of a similar character and to meet the purpose of this zone, as provided in TDC 31.070.
(2)Overlay Zones. Additional uses may be allowed in a particular overlay zone. See the overlay zone Chapters for additional uses.

Table 62-1
Use Categories in the MP Zone

| USE CATEGORY | STATUS | LIMITATIONS AND CODE REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial USE CATEGORIES |  |  |
| Office | P (L) | Permitted uses limited, see TDC 62.210(2). |
| INDUSTRIAL USE CATEGORIES |  |  |
| Light Industrial | P (L) | Permitted uses limited to: <br> - Manufacture or assembly of electronic or optical instruments, equipment, devices [...] <br> - Research and development laboratories. |

Finding:
The project area is within the Manufacturing Park (MP) Planning District. Lam designs and manufactures equipment used in the fabrication of semiconductor products. The proposed office building is an accessory use to permitted light manufacturing uses, subject to limitations found in TDC 62.210(2). While
the proposed office building will include a fitness facility and cafeteria/café on the main level, these uses are not open the public and are considered accessory to the primary use. As a result, the use limitations found in TDC 62.210(4) do not apply to the accessory amenities. This standard is met.

## TDC 62.210. - Additional Limitations on Uses.

[...]
(2)Offices. Office uses are a permitted as specified below.
[...]
(b)Accessory Uses to an Industrial Use. Office uses accessory to a permitted industrial use are permitted.

## Finding:

As mentioned previously, Lam designs and manufactures equipment used in the fabrication of semiconductor products. The proposed office building is accessory to permitted light industrial uses, including the manufacturing of electronic instruments or equipment and research and development laboratories. Therefore the proposed office use meets the standard.

TDC 62.300. - Development Standards.
Development standards in the MP zone are listed in Table 62-2. Additional standards may apply to some uses and situations, see TDC 62.310.

| STANDARD | IMP 22-0001 DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS | PROPOSED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINIMUM SETBACKS |  |  |
| Minimum Building Setback for Yards Adjacent to SW Leveton Drive | 68 feet | 89 feet |
| Minimum Building Setback for Yards Adjacent to SW 108th Drive | 98 feet | 600 feet |
| Minimum Building Setback for Yards Adjacent to SW Tualatin Road | Subject to Table 62-2 Development Standards in the MP Zone (100 feet) | 1,105 feet |
| Minimum Setback for Side and Rear Yards not Adjacent to Streets or Alleys | 0 feet from side and rear yards under common ownership <br> From Lot 2S122BA00100 (currently owned by JAE Oregon Inc.): Subject to Table 62-2 Development Standards in the MP Zone ( 50 feet) | 56 feet from internal property line <br> 1,015 feet from Lot 2S122BA00100 |
| Parking and Circulation Areas Adjacent to SW Leveton Drive | 50 feet | 58 feet |


| STANDARD | IMP 22-0001 DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS | PROPOSED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parking and Circulation Areas Adjacent to SW $108^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | 43 feet | 43 feet |
| Parking and Circulation Areas Adjacent to SW Tualatin Road | 35 feet | 107 feet |
| Parking and Circulation Areas Adjacent to Private Property Line | 0 feet from property lines under common ownership <br> 9.5 feet from Lot 2S122BA00100 (currently owned by JAE Oregon Inc.) | No proposed changes |
| Fences | Subject to Table 62-2 Development Standards in the MP Zone ( 50 feet from public right-of-way) | None proposed outside of safety fence around Stormwater facility |
| STRUCTURE HEIGHT |  |  |
| Maximum Height | Subject to Table 62-2 Development Standards in the MP Zone (70 feet May be increased to 85 feet if yards adjacent to structure are not less than a distance equal to one and one-half times the height of the structure) | 67 feet |

## [...]

## Finding:

The Lam campus has an approved Industrial Master Plan IMP 22-0001, included as Exhibit D, that modified setbacks as reflected in the table above. And as shown in the table above, the development standards are met.

## TDC 62.210. - Additional Limitations on Uses.

(5)Outdoor Uses. All uses must be conducted wholly within a completely enclosed building, except as provided by this section.
(a)Permitted Uses. Off-street parking and loading, utility facilities, wireless communication facilities, and outdoor storage occupying less than ten (10) percent of the total site area, are permitted outright as outdoor uses.

## Finding:

The applicant has not proposed outdoor uses outside of parking, loading, and utilities. With recommended Condition of Approval A19, this standard is met.

## TDC 62.310. - Additional Development Standards.

(1)Industrial Master Plan. Minimum lot size, setbacks, maximum height, and other development standards may be modified by submittal of an Industrial Master Plan application. See TDC 33.050.

## [...]

Finding:
As mentioned in the previous finding, standards modified by IMP 22-0001 have been addressed. This standard is met.

## Chapter 63: Industrial Uses and Utilities and Manufacturing Zones Environmental Regulations

## Section 63.020 - Applicability.

The regulations of this Chapter apply to:
(1) All industrial uses and utilities, regardless of the Planning District in which they are located, and
(2) All Manufacturing Planning Districts, regardless of the use category
[...]

## Finding:

The site is located in the Manufacturing Park District and the proposal includes industrial uses. Therefore the noise, vibration, air quality, odor, heat and glare, materials storage, waste disposal, and dangerous substances regulations of this Chapter apply. With recommended Condition of Approval A20, these standards are met.

## Chapter 73A: Site Design

TDC 73A.300. - Commercial Design Standards.
The following standards are minimum requirements for commercial development in all zones, except the Mixed-Use Commercial (MCU) zone, which has its own standards:
(1)Walkways. Commercial development must provide walkways as follows:
(a)Walkways must be a minimum of six feet in width;
(b)Walkways must be constructed of asphalt, concrete, pervious concrete, pavers, or grasscrete. Gravel or bark chips are not acceptable;
(c)Walkways must meet ADA standards applicable at time of construction or alteration;
(d)Walkways must be provided between the main building entrances and other on-site buildings, accessways, and sidewalks along the public right-of-way;
(e)Walkways through parking areas, drive aisles, and loading areas must be visibly raised and of a different appearance than the adjacent paved vehicular areas;
(f)Bikeways must be provided that link building entrances and bike facilities on the site with adjoining public right-of-way and accessways; and
(g)Outdoor Recreation Access Routes must be provided between the development's walkway and bikeway circulation system and parks, bikeways and greenways where a bike or pedestrian path is designated.

## Finding:

As shown in Exhibit A2, walkways throughout the site are a minimum of 6 -feet wide and concrete. A walkway is provided between the main entrances of the proposed building and other on-site buildings, accessways, and sidewalks. Bicycle facilities have been provided near entrances of the proposed. Further evaluation for ADA standards will be conducted during the building permit phase. There are no outdoor recreation access routes required for this site. With recommended Condition of Approval A11.b., these standards are met.
(2)Accessways.
(a)When Required. Accessways are required to be constructed when a multi-family development is adjacent to any of the following:
[...]
Finding:
The proposal is not adjacent to multi-family development. This standard does not apply.
(3)Drive-up Uses. Drive-up uses must comply with the following: [...]

## Finding:

The proposal does not include a drive-up use. This standard does not apply.
(4)Safety and Security. Commercial development must provide safety and security features as follows: (a)Locate windows and provide lighting in a manner that enables tenants, employees, and police to watch over pedestrian, parking, and loading areas;
(b)Locate windows and interior lighting to enable surveillance of interior activity from the public right-of-way;
(c)Locate, orient, and select exterior lighting to facilitate surveillance of on-site activities from the public right-of-way without shining into public rights-of-way or fish and wildlife habitat areas;
(d)Provide an identification system which clearly locates buildings and their entries for patrons and emergency services; and
(e)Above ground sewer or water pumping stations, pressure reading stations, water reservoirs, electrical substations, and above ground natural gas pumping stations must provide a minimum six foot tall security fence or wall..

## Finding:

As shown in Exhibit A2, the proposed building has windows along all sides and all floors. A photometric plan demonstrates full cutoff light fixtures have been selected to reduce light pollution from shining into public rights-of-way. No new above ground sewer or water pumping stations, pressure reading stations, water reservoirs, electrical substations, or above ground natural gas pumping stations are proposed. With recommended Condition of Approval A13 to address (d), these standards are met.
(5)Service, Delivery and Screening. Commercial development must provide service, delivery, and screening features as follows:
(a)Above grade and on-grade electrical and mechanical equipment such as transformers, heat pumps and air conditioners must be screened with sight obscuring fences, walls or landscaping;
(b)Outdoor storage must be screened with a sight obscuring fence, wall, berm or dense evergreen landscaping; and
(c)Above ground pumping stations, pressure reading stations, water reservoirs; electrical substations, and above ground natural gas pumping stations must be screened with sight-obscuring fences or walls and landscaping.

## Finding:

As shown in Exhibit A2, the rooftop mechanical equipment will be located behind a screen wall. The narrative included as Exhibit A1 states: the transformer will be in a below grade vault near the trash enclosure. No new outdoor storage is proposed. With recommended Condition of Approval A21, these standards are met.
(6)Adjacent to Transit. Commercial development adjacent to transit must comply with the following:
(a)Development on a transit street designated in TDC Chapter 11 (Figure 11-5) must provide either a transit stop pad on-site, or an on-site or public sidewalk connection to a transit stop along the subject property's frontage on the transit street.
[...]

## Finding:

As shown on Comprehensive Plan Map 8-5 (Exhibit G), the subject site is located along the Blue Line shuttle route with a stop located near the main driveway entrance on SW Leveton Drive. Public sidewalks along SW Leveton Drive and SW 108th Avenue connect the campus to this stop. There is no other plan in place for additional transit along either frontage. This standard is met.

## Chapter 73B: Landscaping Standards

Section 73B.020 - Landscape Area Standards Minimum Areas by Use and Zone.

| Zone | Minimum Area Requirement* | Minimum Area Requirement with <br> dedication for a fish and wildlife habitat* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (5) IN, CN, CO/MR, MC <br> and MP zones-All uses | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ of the total area to be developed | $\mathbf{2 2 . 5 \%}$ of the total area to be developed |
| * For properties within the Hedges Creek Wetland Protection District which have signed the "Wetlands <br> Mitigation Agreement," the improved or unimproved wetland buffer area may reduce the required landscaping <br> to 12.5 percent as long as all other landscape requirements are met. |  |  |

Finding:
As shown in Exhibit A2 states the proposal will include 26.4\% landscape area. This standard is met.

TDC 73B.040. - Additional Minimum Landscaping Requirements for Commercial Uses..
(1)General. In addition to requirements in TDC 73B.020, commercial uses, except those located in the Mixed-Use Commercial (MUC) zone, must comply with the following:
(a)All areas not occupied by buildings, parking spaces, driveways, drive aisles, pedestrian areas, or undisturbed natural areas must be landscaped.
(i)This standard does not apply to areas subject to the Hedges Creek Wetlands Mitigation Agreement.
(b)Minimum 5-foot-wide landscaped area must be located along all building perimeters viewable by the general public from parking lots or the public right-of-way, but the following may be used instead of the 5-foot-wide landscaped area requirement:
(i)Pedestrian amenities such as landscaped plazas and arcades; and
(ii)Areas developed with pavers, bricks, or other surfaces, for exclusive pedestrian use and contain pedestrian amenities, such as benches, tables with umbrellas, children's play areas, shade trees, canopies.
(c)Five-foot wide landscaped area requirement does not apply to:
(i)Loading areas;
(ii)Bicycle parking areas;
(iii)Pedestrian egress/ingress locations; and
(iv)Where the distance along a wall between two vehicle or pedestrian access openings (such
as entry doors, garage doors, carports and pedestrian corridors) is less than eight feet.
(d)Development that abuts an RL or MP Zone must have landscaping approved through Architectural Review and must provide and perpetually maintain dense, evergreen landscaped buffers between allowed uses and the adjacent RL and MP zones.

Finding:
Landscaping is provided in all areas not otherwise occupied by buildings, vehicle areas, or pedestrian amenity areas. The site is not located adjacent to the Hedges Creek Wetland. All building elevations will be viewable from either parking areas or the public right of way. As shown in Exhibit A2, a combination of existing lawn and patio is proposed on the south elevation. The east elevation serves as the main entrance with pedestrian arcade and bike parking and the west elevation includes loading areas and bike parking. And while the north elevation directly abuts a walkway to a parking area, a landscaped plaza with seating is proposed at the northwest corner of the building instead of the traditional perimeter landscape treatment. With recommended Conditions of Approval A11.c. and A14, this standard is met.

Section 73B. 080 - Minimum Landscaping Standards for All Zones.
The following are minimum standards for landscaping for all zones.

| (1) Required <br> Landscape Areas | - Must be designed, constructed, installed, and maintained so that within three years the ground must be covered by living grass or other plant materials. <br> - The foliage crown of trees cannot be used to meet this requirement. <br> - A maximum of $10 \%$ of the landscaped area may be covered with un-vegetated areas of bark chips, rock or stone. <br> - Must be installed in accordance with the provisions of the American National Standards Institute ANSI A300 (Part 1) (Latest Edition). <br> - Must be controlled by pruning, trimming, or otherwise so that: <br> - It will not interfere with designated pedestrian or vehicular access; and <br> - It will not constitute a traffic hazard because of reduced visibility. |
| :---: | :---: |

## Finding:

The density of plantings as shown on Landscape Plans (Exhibit A2) is sufficient to provide full coverage of landscaping within three years. These standards are met.
(2) Fences

Landscape plans that include fences must integrate any fencing into the plan to guide wild animals toward animal crossings under, over, or around transportation corridors.

## Finding:

No fencing is proposed, and there are no established wildlife crossings in the vicinity. This standard is met.

| (3) Tree Preservation | - Trees and other plant materials to be retained must be identified on the landscape plan and grading plan. <br> During construction: Must provide above and below ground protection for existing trees and plant materials identified to remain; <br> - Trees and plant materials identified for preservation must be protected by chain link or other sturdy fencing placed around the tree at the drip line; <br> - If it is necessary to fence within the drip line, such fencing must be specified by a qualified arborist; <br> - Top soil storage and construction material storage must not be located within the drip line of trees designated to be preserved; <br> - Where site conditions make necessary a grading, building, paving, trenching, boring, digging, or other similar encroachment upon a preserved tree's dripline area, such grading, paving, trenching, boring, digging, or similar encroachment must only be permitted under the direction of a qualified arborist. Such direction must assure that the health needs of trees within the preserved area can be met; and <br> - Tree root ends must not remain exposed. <br> - Landscaping under preserved trees must be compatible with the retention and health of the preserved tree. <br> - When it is necessary for a preserved tree to be removed in accordance with TDC 33.110 (Tree Removal Permit) the landscaped area surrounding the tree or trees must be maintained and replanted with trees that relate to the present landscape plan, or if there is no landscape plan, then trees that are complementary with existing, landscape materials. Native trees are encouraged <br> - $100 \%$ of the area preserved under any tree or group of trees (Except for impervious surface areas) retained in the landscape plan must apply directly to the percentage of landscaping required for a development |
| :---: | :---: |

## Finding:

The Arborist Report (Exhibit A3) calls for preserving 175 on-site trees. With recommended Conditions of Approval A11.a. and A12, these standards are met.

| (4) Grading | - After completion of site grading, top-soil is to be restored to exposed cut and <br> - fill areas to provide a suitable base for seeding and planting. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | - All planting areas must be graded to provide positive drainage. <br> Soil, water, plant materials, mulch, or other materials must not be allowed to <br> wash across roadways or walkways. |


|  | $\bullet \quad$Impervious surface drainage must be directed away from pedestrian <br> walkways, dwelling units, buildings, outdoor private and shared areas and <br> landscape areas except where the landscape area is a water quality facility. |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |

Finding:
The applicant is required to obtain an erosion control and grading permit with the City. With recommended Conditions of Approval A2 and A7, this standard is met.

| (5) Irrigation | $\bullet$ | Landscaped areas must be irrigated with an automatic underground or drip <br> irrigation system |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\bullet \quad$Exceptions: Irrigation requirement does not apply to duplexes and <br> townhouses. |  |

Finding:
Irrigation is proposed in new landscaping areas as detailed in the Notes on the Landscape Plan (Exhibit A2). This standard is met.

| (6) Re-vegetation in Un-landscaped Areas | - Vegetation must be replanted in all areas where vegetation has been removed or damaged in areas not affected by the landscaping requirements and that are not to be occupied by structures or other improvements,. <br> - Plant materials must be watered at intervals sufficient to ensure survival and growth for a minimum of two growing seasons. <br> - The use of native plant materials is encouraged to reduce irrigation and maintenance demands. <br> - Disturbed soils should be amended to an original or higher level of porosity to regain infiltration and stormwater storage capacity. |
| :---: | :---: |

## Finding:

The applicant proposes to landscape all areas not otherwise proposed for development. Drought tolerant plants, as well as some natives, have been selected to reduce irrigation and maintenance needs. With recommended Condition of Approval A14, this standard is met.

## Section 73B. 080 - Minimum Standards Trees and Plants.

The following minimum standards apply to the types of landscaping required to be installed for all zones.

| (1) Deciduous Shade Trees | - One and on-half inch caliper measured six inches above ground; <br> - Balled and burlapped; bare root trees will be acceptable to plant during their dormant season; <br> - Reach a mature height of $\mathbf{3 0}$ feet or more; <br> - Cast moderate to dense shade in summer; <br> - Live over 60 years; <br> - Do well in urban environments, tolerant of pollution and heat, and resistant to drought; <br> - Require little maintenance and mechanically strong; <br> - Insect- and disease-resistant; <br> - Require little pruning; and <br> - Barren of fruit production. |
| :---: | :---: |


| (2) Deciduous Ornamental Trees | - One and on-half inch caliper measured six inches above ground; <br> - balled and burlapped; bare root trees will be acceptable to plant during their dormant season; and <br> - Healthy, disease-free, damage-free, well-branched stock, characteristic of the species |
| :---: | :---: |
| (3) Coniferous Trees | - 5 feet in height above ground; <br> - balled and burlapped; bare root trees will be acceptable to plant during their dormant season; and <br> - Healthy, disease-free, damage-free, well-branched stock, characteristic of the species. |
| (4) Evergreen and Deciduous Shrubs | - One to five gallon size; <br> - Healthy, disease-free, damage-free, well-branched stock, characteristic of the species; and <br> - Side of shrub with best foliage must be oriented to public view. |
| (5) Groundcovers | - Fully rooted; <br> - Well branched or leafed; <br> - Healthy, disease-free, damage-free, well-branched stock, characteristic of the species; and <br> - English ivy (Hedera helix) is prohibited. |
| (6) Lawns | - Consist of grasses, including sod, or seeds of acceptable mix within the local landscape industry; <br> - 100 percent coverage and weed free; and <br> - Healthy, disease-free, damage-free, characteristic of the species. |

## Finding:

Per the Plant Schedule provided on the Landscape Plan included in Exhibit A2, the standards for groundcover, shrubs, and trees to be planted are met.

## Chapter 73C: Parking Standards <br> TDC 73C.010. - Off-Street Parking and Loading Applicability and General Requirements. [...]

(2)General Requirements. Off-street parking spaces, off-street vanpool and carpool parking spaces, offstreet bicycle parking, and off-street loading berths must be as provided as set forth in TDC 73C.100, unless greater requirements are otherwise established by the conditional use permit or the Architectural Review process.
(a)The following apply to property and/or use with respect to the provisions of TDC 73C.100:
(i)The requirements apply to both the existing structure and use, and enlarging a structure or use;
(ii)The floor area is measured by gross floor area of the building primary to the function of the particular use of the property other than space devoted to off-street parking or loading;
[...]
(iv)Calculations to determine the number of required parking spaces and loading berths must be rounded to the nearest whole number;
(v)If the use of a property changes, thereby increasing off-street parking or loading requirements, the increased parking/loading area must be provided prior to commencement of the new use;
(vi)Parking and loading requirements for structures not specifically listed herein must be determined by the City Manager, based upon requirements of comparable uses listed (vii)When several uses occupy a single structure, the total requirements for off-street parking may be the sum of the requirements of the several uses computed separately or be computed in accordance with TDC 73.370(1)(m), Joint Use Parking;
[...]
(ix)Required parking spaces must be available for the parking of operable passenger automobiles of residents, customers, patrons and employees and must not be used for storage of vehicles or materials or for the parking of trucks used in conducting the business;
(x)Institution of on-street parking, where none is previously provided, must not be done solely for the purpose of relieving crowded parking lots in commercial or industrial zones;
(xi)Required vanpool and carpool parking must meet the 9 -foot parking stall standards in Figure 73-1 and be identified with appropriate signage;
[...]
(xiii)If the applicant demonstrates that too many or too few parking spaces are required, applicant may seek a variance from the minimum or maximum by providing evidence that the particular use needs more or less than the amount specified in this Code.

## Finding:

While the campus has an industrial master plan, no modified parking standard has been requested or approved. That said, case files IMP 00-01 and AR16-0010 made findings that the manufacturing parking rate is acceptable for determining minimum parking requirements for the campus development. Under (vi), staff finds that a rate of 1.6 spaces per 1,000 square feet of gross floor area is acceptable for determining parking requirements for the proposed office that supports a research and development campus that is primarily dedicated to specialized manufacturing. No on-street parking is proposed. Additionally the applicant has not requested a parking variance. With recommended Conditions of Approval A11.d, standard (vi) is met and A15, standard (xi) is met.

Section 73C. 020 - Parking Lot Design Standards.
A parking lot, whether an accessory or principal use, intended for the parking of automobiles or trucks, must comply with the following:
(1) Off-street parking lot design must comply with the dimensional standards set forth in Figure 73-1; [...]
(2) Parking lot drive aisles must be constructed of asphalt, concrete, or pervious concrete;
(3) Parking stalls must be constructed of asphalt, concrete, previous concrete, or a pervious surface such as pavers or grasscrete, but not gravel or woody material. Pervious surfaces, are encouraged for parking stalls in or abutting the Natural Resource Protection Overlay District, Other Natural Areas, or in a Clean Water Services Vegetated Corridor;
(4) Parking lots must be maintained adequately for all-weather use and drained to avoid water flow across sidewalks;
(5) Parking bumpers or wheel stops or curbing must be provided to prevent cars from encroaching on adjacent landscaped areas, or adjacent pedestrian walkways.

Finding:

As shown on the Site Plan (Exhibit A2), most stalls are proposed at 9 feet wide and are either 16 feet long with landscape overhang or 18.5 feet long. Drive aisles are proposed between 24 to 30 feet. Both aisles and stalls are proposed to be comprised of asphalt. Concrete curbs are also proposed. Wheel stops are proposed for parking stalls adjacent to pedestrian walkways to prevent encroachment. With recommended Condition of Approval A24, these standards are met.
(6) Disability parking spaces and accessibility must meet ADA standards applicable at time of construction or alteration;
(7) Parking stalls for sub-compact vehicles must not exceed 35 percent of the total parking stalls required by TDC 73C.100. Stalls in excess of the number required by TDC 73C. 100 can be sub-compact stalls;

Finding:
The Site Plan (Exhibit A2) shows a total of eight ADA-compliant parking spaces planned near building entrances. ADA standards will be reviewed in greater detail during the building permit phase. No compact stalls are included in the proposal. These standards are met.
(8) Groups of more than 4 parking spaces must be so located and served by driveways that their use will require no backing movements or other maneuvering within a street right-of-way other than an alley;
(9) Drives to off-street parking areas must be designed and constructed to facilitate the flow of traffic, provide maximum safety of traffic access and egress, and maximum safety of pedestrians and vehicular traffic on the site;
(10) On-site drive aisles without parking spaces, which provide access to parking areas with regular spaces or with a mix of regular and sub-compact spaces, must have a minimum width of 22 feet for twoway traffic and 12 feet for one-way traffic; When 90 degree stalls are located on both sides of a drive aisle, a minimum of $\mathbf{2 4}$ feet of aisle is required. On-site drive aisles without parking spaces, which provide access to parking areas with only sub-compact spaces, must have a minimum width of $\mathbf{2 0}$ feet for two-way traffic and $\mathbf{1 2}$ feet for one-way traffic;

## Finding:

The design of the parking lot will not require movement on the public street. Drive aisles with parking are at between 24 to 30 feet wide as proposed. These standards are met.
(11) Artificial lighting, must be deflected to not shine or create glare in a residential zones, street right-of-way, a Natural Resource Protection Overlay District, Other Natural Areas, or a Clean Water Services Vegetated Corridor;
(12) Parking lot landscaping must be provided pursuant to the requirements of TDC 73C.200; and
(13) Except for parking to serve residential uses, parking areas adjacent to or within residential zones or adjacent to residential uses must be designed to minimize disturbance of residents.

## Finding:

All exterior site lighting fixtures that have been selected are compliant with The Dark Sky Society lighting standards. As shown on the Site Lighting Plan (Exhibit A2), lighting will primarily be focused toward the building entrances, loading, and interior parking areas. These standards are met.

Section 73C. 050 - Bicycle Parking Requirements and Standards.
(1) Requirements. Bicycle parking facilities must include:
(a) Long-term parking that consists of covered, secure stationary racks, lockable enclosures, or rooms in which the bicycle is stored;
(i) Long-term bicycle parking facilities may be provided inside a building in suitable secure and accessible locations.
(b) Short-term parking provided by secure stationary racks (covered or not covered), which accommodate a bicyclist's lock securing the frame and both wheels.
(2) Standards. Bicycle parking must comply with the following:
(a) Each bicycle parking space must be at least six feet long and two feet wide, with overhead clearance in covered areas must be at least seven feet;
(b) A five (5) foot-wide bicycle maneuvering area must be provided beside or between each row of bicycle parking. It must be constructed of concrete, asphalt, or a pervious hard surface such as pavers or grasscrete, and be maintained;
(c) Access to bicycle parking must be provided by an area at least three feet in width. It must be constructed of concrete, asphalt, or a pervious hard surface such as pavers or grasscrete, and be maintained;
(d) Bicycle parking areas and facilities must be identified with appropriate signing as specified in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) (latest edition). At a minimum, bicycle parking signs must be located at the main entrance and at the location of the bicycle parking facilities;
(e) Bicycle parking must be located in convenient, secure, and well-lighted locations approved through the Architectural Review process. Lighting, which may be provided, must be deflected to not shine or create glare into street rights-of-way or fish and wildlife habitat areas;
(f) Required bicycle parking spaces must be provided at no cost to the bicyclist, or with only a nominal charge for key deposits, etc. This does not preclude the operation of private for-profit bicycle parking businesses;
[...]
(h) The City Manager or the Architectural Review Board may approve a form of bicycle parking not specified in these provisions but that meets the needs of long-term and/or short-term parking pursuant to Architectural Review.

Finding:
As shown in Exhibit A2, the applicant proposes a combination of short-term and long-term bike parking areas; however dimensioned details of the bike parking furnishings were not included in the application materials. With recommended Conditions of Approval A11.e. and A15 which will show compliance with standards (a), (b), (c), and (d), these standards are met.

Section 73C.100 - Off-Street Parking Minimum/Maximum Requirements.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { USE } & \begin{array}{l}\text { MINIMUM } \\ \text { MOTOR VEHICLE } \\ \text { PARKING }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { MAXIMUM } \\ \text { MOTOR VEHICLE } \\ \text { PARKING }\end{array} & \text { BICYCLE PARKING } & \begin{array}{l}\text { PERCENTAGE OF } \\ \text { BICYCLE } \\ \text { PARKING TO BE } \\ \text { COVERED }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { (f) Industrial } & & \begin{array}{l}\text { (i) Manufacturing } \\ 1,00 \text { spaces per square feet } \\ \text { of gross floor area }\end{array} & \text { None } & \begin{array}{l}\text { 2 spaces, or 0.10 } \\ \text { spaces per 1,000 } \\ \text { gross square feet, } \\ \text { whichever is } \\ \text { greater }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { First five spaces or } \\ \text { 30 percent, } \\ \text { whichever is } \\ \text { greater }\end{array}\right]$

## Finding:

While the campus has an industrial master plan, no modified parking standard has been requested or approved. As previously mentioned under TDC 73C.010, staff finds that the manufacturing rate of 1.6 spaces per 1,000 square feet of gross floor area is acceptable for determining parking requirements for the proposed office that supports a research and development campus that is primarily dedicated to specialized manufacturing. As shown in Exhibit A2, the site currently has 1,377 stalls and the proposal includes 549 additional stalls for a total of 1,926 stalls. An analysis of required parking for the proposed use is provided in the table below.

Table 1: Minimum and Proposed Parking by Use

| Use | GFA | Minimum <br> Required Parking | Proposed <br> Parking | Required Bike <br> Parking | Required <br> Covered Bike <br> Parking |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Office to support <br> specialized <br> manufacturing | 120,000 | 192 | 549 | 12 | 5 |

With recommended Conditions of Approval A 11.d-e, these standards are met.
(2) In addition to the general parking requirements in subsection (1), the following are the minimum number of off-street vanpool and carpool parking for commercial, institutional, and industrial uses.

| Number of Required Parking Spaces | Number of Vanpool or Carpool Spaces |
| :--- | :--- |
| 26 and greater | 1 for each 25 spaces |

## Finding:

The proposal is for a commercial uses which will require a minimum of eight vanpool and carpool spaces, and as shown in Exhibit A2, 12 spaces are proposed. With recommend Condition of Approval A11.f., this standard is met.

Section 73C.120 - Off-Street Loading Facilities Minimum Requirements.
(1) The minimum number of off-street loading berths for commercial, industrial, and institutional uses is as follows:

| Use | Square Feet of <br> Floor Area | Number of <br> Berths | Dimensions of <br> Berth | Unobstructed <br> Clearance of Berth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Commercial | 60,000 and over | 3 | 12 feet $\times 35$ feet | 14 feet |

(2)Loading berths must not use the public right-of-way as part of the required off-street loading area. (3)Required loading areas must be screened from public view, public streets, and adjacent properties by means of sight-obscuring landscaping, walls or other means, as approved through the Architectural Review process.
(4)Required loading facilities must be installed prior to final building inspection and must be permanently maintained as a condition of use.
(5)The off-street loading facilities must in all cases be on the same lot or parcel as the structure they are intended to serve. In no case must the required off-street loading spaces be part of the area used to satisfy the off-street parking requirements.
(6)A driveway designed for continuous forward flow of passenger vehicles for the purpose of loading and unloading children must be located on the site of a school or child day care center having a capacity greater than $\mathbf{2 5}$ students.

## Finding:

The campus site includes a number of existing buildings and loading dock facilities. As shown in Exhibit A2, the proposed office building is 120,000 square feet and includes two loading docks. The proposed loading docks are approximately 13 feet x 43 feet and 10 feet $\times 43$ feet. The loading area is located on the east elevation of the proposed building and will be screened from public view by landscaping and parking areas. While the overall site will include a total of 13 loading docks, the applicant has not provided sufficient evidence to satisfy the requirement that adequate loading facilities will be located on the same lot as the proposed office building. With recommended Conditions of Approval A11.g., these standards are met.

Section 73C. 130 - Parking Lot Driveway and Walkway Minimum Requirements.
Parking lot driveways and walkways must comply with the following requirements:
[...]
(2)Commercial Uses. Ingress and egress for commercial and institutional uses must not be less than the following:

| Required Parking <br> Spaces | Minimum Number <br> Required | Minimum Pavement Width | Minimum Pavement <br> Walkways, etc. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-250$ | 1 | 36 feet for first 50' from ROW, 24 <br> feet thereafter | No curbs or walkway <br> required |

## [...]

Finding:
As shown in Exhibit A2, the proposal includes two new access driveways located off of SW $108^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to serve the expanded parking lots on the eastern side of the campus. The driveways are 36' wide for the first 50' from right of way and more than 24' wide thereafter. A sidewalk is provided near the northern access. Additional findings are provided in Chapter 75.

## (6) Maximum Driveway Widths and Other Requirements.

[...]
(d) There must be a minimum distance of 40 feet between any two adjacent driveways on a single property unless a lesser distance is approved by the City Manager.
(e) Must comply with the distance requirements for access as provided in TDC 75.
(f) Must comply with vision clearance requirements in TDC 75.

## Finding:

Proposed driveway are located approximately 395 feet apart. With recommended Condition of Approval A3 standard (e) is met and Condition of Approval A25 standard (f) is met.

TDC 73C.220. - Commercial Parking Lot Landscaping Requirements.
Commercial uses must comply with the following landscaping requirements for parking lots in all zones:
(1)General. Locate landscaping or approved substitute materials in all areas not necessary for vehicular parking and maneuvering.

## Finding:

The parking lot contains landscaping in areas not used for vehicle and pedestrian movement. This standard is met.
(2)Clear Zone. Clear zone must be provided for the driver at ends of on-site drive aisles and at driveway entrances, vertically between a maximum of 30 inches and a minimum of eight feet as measured from the ground level.
[...]

## Finding:

As shown in the Landscape Plans (Exhibit A2), the proposed plantings will provide for visual clearance at the end of drive aisles and drive entrances. With recommended Condition of Approval A25 related to maintenance, this standard is met.
(3)Perimeter. Minimum five feet in width in all off-street parking and vehicular circulation areas, including loading areas and must comply with the following.
(a)Deciduous trees located not more than 30 feet apart on average as measured on center;
(b)Shrubs or ground cover, planted so as to achieve 90 percent coverage within three years;
(c)Plantings which reach a mature height of 30 inches in three years which provide screening of vehicular headlights year round;
(d)Native trees and shrubs are encouraged; and
(e)Exception: Not required where off-street parking areas on separate lots are adjacent to one another and connected by vehicular access.

## Finding:

As shown in the Landscape Plans (Exhibit A2), perimeter landscaping is proposed around all parking, circulation, and loading areas. Trees are located less than 30 feet on center. This standard is met.
(4)Landscape Island. Minimum 25 square feet per parking stall must be improved with landscape island areas and must comply with the following:
(a)May be lower than the surrounding parking surface to allow them to receive stormwater runoff and function as water quality facilities as well as parking lot landscaping;
(b)Must be protected from vehicles by curbs, but the curbs may have spaces to allow drainage into the islands;
(c)Landscape separation required for every eight continuous spaces in a row;
(d)Must be planted with one deciduous shade trees for every four parking spaces. Required trees must be evenly dispersed throughout the parking lot;
(e)Must be planted with groundcover or shrubs;
(f)Native plant materials are encouraged;
(g)Landscape island areas with trees must be a minimum of five feet in width (from inside of curb to curb);
(h)Required plant material in landscape islands must achieve 90 percent coverage within three years; and
(i)Exceptions: [...]

## Finding:

The campus has an approved Industrial Master Plan IMP 22-0001 that established modified parking lot landscaping standards for the south half of the site to accommodate the natural grade under Condition of Approval A3.e. Given that a minimum of 192 parking spaces are required for the proposed use, 4,800 square feet of parking lot landscape island area and 48 trees are required. While the application materials are silent on the square footage of parking lot landscaping included in the proposal, there are 83 trees proposed throughout the parking areas. Staff did note that the southwestern bank of parking illustrated on Sheet C1.14 proposed a landscape separation every 13 stalls as opposed to the 12 stalls approved under the modified standards of IMP 22-0001. With recommended Condition of Approval A11.h., these standards are met.
(5)Driveway Access. For lots with 12 or more parking spaces, site access from the public street must be defined by:
(a)Landscape area at least five feet in width on each side of the site access;
(b)Landscape area must extend 25 feet from the right-of-way line; and
(c)Exceptions: [...]

## Finding:

As shown in Exhibit A2, the proposed driveways are flanked by a five foot wide landscape area that extends more than 25 feet from the right-of-way line. This standard is met.

## Chapter 73D: Waste and Recyclables Management Standards

## Section 73D.010 - Applicability and Objectives.

(1) Applicability. The requirements of this Chapter apply to all new or expanded:
(a) Common wall residential developments containing five or more units;
[...]
Section 73D. 020 - Design Methods.
An applicant required to provide mixed solid waste and source separated recyclables storage areas must comply with one of following methods:
(1) The minimum standards method in TDC 73D.030;
(2) The waste assessment method in TDC 73D.040;
(3) The comprehensive recycling plan method in TDC 73D.050; or
(4) The franchised hauler review method in TDC 73D.060.

## Finding:

The applicant proposes to use the Minimum Standards Method (TDC 73D.030) and has verified that the location and configuration of the proposed waste facility and access will satisfy Republic Services in Exhibit A6. As discussed below, these standards are met.

## Section 73D. 030 - Minimum Standards Method.

This method specifies a minimum storage area requirement based on the size and general use category of the new or expanded development. This method is most appropriate when specific use of a new or expanded development is not known. It provides specific dimensional standards for the minimum size of storage areas by general use category.
(1) The size and location of the storage area(s) must be indicated on the site plan. Requirements are based on an assumed storage area height of four feet for mixed solid waste and source separated recyclables. Vertical storage higher than four feet, but no higher than $\mathbf{7}$ feet may be used to accommodate the same volume of storage in a reduced floor space (potential reduction of 43 percent of specific requirements). Where vertical or stacked storage is proposed, submitted plans must include drawings to illustrate the layout of the storage area and dimensions for containers.
(2) The storage area requirement is based on uses. If a building has more than one use and that use occupies 20 percent or less of the gross leasable area (GLA) of the building, the GLA occupied by that use must be counted toward the floor area of the predominant use(s). If a building has more than one use and that use occupies more than 20 percent of the GLA of the building, then the storage area requirement for the whole building must be the sum of the area of each use. Minimum storage area requirements by use is as follows:
[...]
(c)Commercial, industrial, and institutional developments must provide a minimum storage area of ten square feet plus:
(i)Office-Four square feet/1,000 square feet gross leasable area (GLA);
[...]

## Finding:

The proposal is for a 120,000 square foot office building, which requires 490 square feet. As shown on the site plan included in Exhibit A2, both a trash enclosure and trash compactor is proposed. A 203 square foot trash enclosure is located along the eastern elevation of the proposed. With recommended Condition of Approval A11.i. demonstrating that the development includes an acceptable waste and recyclables management solution, these standards are met.

Section 73D. 070 - Location, Design and Access Standards.
The following location, design, and access standards are applicable to all storage areas:
(1) Location Standards.
(a) The storage area for source separated recyclables may be collocated with the storage area for mixed solid waste.
(b) Storage area space requirements can be satisfied with a single location or multiple locations, and can combine both interior and exterior locations.
(c) Exterior storage areas must:
(i) Be located in central and visible locations on the site to enhance security for users;
(ii) Be located in a parking area; and
(iii) Not be located within a required front yard setback or in a yard adjacent to a public or private street.
(2) Design Standards.
(a) The dimensions of the storage area must accommodate containers consistent with current methods of local collection at time of construction or alteration.
(b) Indoor and outdoor storage areas must comply with Oregon Building and Fire Code requirements.
(c) Exterior storage areas must be enclosed by a sight obscuring fence or wall at least 6 feet in height.
(d) Evergreen plants must be placed around the enclosure walls, excluding the gate or entrance openings for common wall, commercial, and institutional developments.
(e) Gate openings for haulers must be a minimum of 10 feet wide and must be capable of being secured in a closed and open position.
(f) Horizontal clearance must be a minimum of 10 feet and a vertical clearance of $\mathbf{8}$ feet is required if the storage area is covered.
(g) A separate pedestrian access must also be provided in common wall, commercial, and institutional developments.
(h) Exterior storage areas must have either a concrete or asphalt floor surface.
(i) Storage areas and containers must be clearly labeled to indicate the type of material accepted.

## Finding:

As shown in Exhibit A2, trash areas will be located near the loading dock on the east side of the building and will not be located in the setback area. The trash enclosure is approximately 25 feet wide by 10 feet deep and is not covered. The trash compactor is a 265XP-30, self-contained 30 cubic yard compactor. The trash enclosure will be enclosed by an eight foot high concrete wall panel and chain link gate. Each gate door is 10 feet wide and can be secured in an open position. The trash enclosure is located near the loading area and is screened by sight obscuring walls as permitted under TDC 73C.120(3). Access to the trash compactor and trash enclosure is through the loading dock and there are dedicated personnel doors from both the commercial kitchen and circulation spaces to avoid needing to pass through the main building entrance. Trash enclosure area will be concrete floor surface and bins will be labeled by the hauler service. With recommended Condition of Approval A11.i., these standards are met.
(3) Access Standards.
(a) Storage areas must be accessible to users at convenient times of the day, and to hauler personnel on the day and approximate time they are scheduled to provide hauler service. (b) Storage areas must be designed to be easily accessible to hauler trucks and equipment, considering paving, grade, gate clearance and vehicle access.
(c) Storage areas must be accessible to hauler trucks without requiring backing out of a driveway onto a public street. If only a single access point is available to the storage area, adequate turning radius must be provided to allow hauler trucks to safely exit the site in a forward motion.
(d) Storage areas must located so that pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement are not obstructed on site or on public streets adjacent to the site.
(e) The following is an exception to the access standard:
(i) Access may be limited for security reasons.

Finding:
As shown in the applicant's submittal, Republic Services, the applicable waste hauler, has indicated that the dimensions and accessibility of the enclosures meet their service needs (Exhibit A6). These standards are met.

## Chapter 74: Public Improvement Requirements

[...]
TDC 74.120 Public Improvements.
(1) Except as specially provided, all public improvements must be installed at the expense of the applicant. All public improvements installed by the applicant must be constructed and guaranteed as to workmanship and material as required by the Public Works Construction Code prior to acceptance by the City. Work must not be undertaken on any public improvement until after the construction plans have been approved by the City Manager and a Public Works Permit issued and the required fees paid.

## Finding:

All public improvements will be installed by the applicant at their expense after approval of plans and issued Erosion Control, Water Quality, and Public Works Permits. With recommended Conditions of Approval A2 and A8, this standard is met.

TDC 74.130 Private Improvements.
All private improvements must be installed at the expense of the applicant. The property owner must retain maintenance responsibilities over all private improvements.

## Finding:

All private improvements will be installed by the applicant at their expense and will require prior approval of plans and building permits. With recommended Conditions of Approval A17 and A23, this standard is met.

TDC 74.140 Construction Timing.
(1) All the public improvements required under this chapter must be completed and accepted by the City prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
(2) All private improvements required under this Chapter must be approved by the City prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.

## Finding:

All public and private improvements proposed and modified by conditions of approval will be completed and accepted by the City prior to receiving a Certificate of Occupancy. With recommended Conditions of Approval A16 and A17, this standard is met.

## [...]

TDC 74.210 Minimum Street Right-of-Way Widths.
The width of streets in feet shall not be less than the width required to accommodate a street improvement needed to mitigate the impact of a proposed development. In cases where a street is required to be improved according to the standards of the TDC, the width of the right-of-way shall not be less than the minimums indicated in TDC Chapter 74, Public Improvement Requirements, Figures 74-2A through 74-2G.
(2) For development applications other than subdivisions and partitions, wherever existing or future streets adjacent to property proposed for development are of inadequate right-of-way width, the additional right-of-way necessary to comply with TDC Chapter 74, Public Improvement Requirements, Figures 74-2A through 74-2G of the Tualatin Community Plan must be dedicated to the City for use by the public prior to issuance of any building permit for the proposed development. This right-of-way
dedication must be for the full width of the property abutting the roadway and, if required by the City Manager, additional dedications must be provided for slope and utility easements if deemed necessary.

## Finding:

The proposal is adjacent to SW 108th Avenue, SW Leveton Drive, and SW Tualatin Road. Final plans will include a minimum of half-street right-of-way dedications to preferred cross-sections along with improvements within SW 108th Avenue, SW Leveton Drive, and SW Tualatin Road meeting the requirements of the City of Tualatin. With recommended Conditions of Approval A3 and A8, this standard is met.

## TDC 74.320. - Slope Easements.

(1)The applicant must obtain and convey to the City any slope easements determined by the City Manager to be necessary adjacent to the proposed development site to support the street improvements in the public right-of-way or accessway or utility improvements required to be constructed by the applicant.
[...]
(3)For all other development applications, a slope easement dedication must be submitted to the City Manager; building permits must not be issued for the development prior to acceptance of the easement by the City.

## Finding:

Any required slope easements along SW 108th Avenue, SW Leveton Drive, and SW Tualatin Road will be provided and completed prior to Building Permit issuance. With recommended Conditions of Approval A3 and $A 8$, this standard is met.

## TDC 74.330. - Utility Easements.

(1) Utility easements for water, sanitary sewer and storm drainage facilities, telephone, television cable, gas, electric lines and other public utilities must be granted to the City.
[...]
(4)For development applications other than subdivisions and partitions, and for both on-site and offsite easement areas, a utility easement must be granted to the City; building permits must not be issued for the development prior to acceptance of the easement by the City. The City may elect to exercise eminent domain and condemn necessary off-site public utility easements at the applicant's request and expense. The City Council must determine when condemnation proceedings are to be used.
(5) The width of the public utility easement must meet the requirements of the Public Works Construction Code. All subdivisions and partitions must have a 6 -foot public utility easement adjacent to the street and a 5 -foot public utility easement adjacent to all side and rear lot lines. Other easements may be required as determined by the City Manager.

## Finding:

Any required utility easements will be granted to the City, with required widths to meet the Public Works Construction Code. With recommended Conditions of Approval A3 and A8, these standards are met.

When an applicant proposes to develop land adjacent to an existing or proposed street, including land which has been excluded under TDC 74.220, the applicant should be responsible for the improvements to the adjacent existing or proposed street that will bring the improvement of the street into conformance with the Transportation Plan (TDC Chapter 11), TDC 74.425 (Street Design Standards), and the City' s Public Works Construction Code, subject to the following provisions:
(1) For any development proposed within the City, roadway facilities within the right-of-way described in TDC $\mathbf{7 4 . 2 1 0}$ must be improved to standards as set out in the Public Works Construction Code.
(2) The required improvements may include the rebuilding or the reconstruction of any existing facilities located within the right-of-way adjacent to the proposed development to bring the facilities into compliance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(3) The required improvements may include the construction or rebuilding of off-site improvements which are identified to mitigate the impact of the development.
(4) Where development abuts an existing street, the improvement required must apply only to that portion of the street right-of-way located between the property line of the parcel proposed for development and the centerline of the right-of-way, plus any additional pavement beyond the centerline deemed necessary by the City Manager to ensure a smooth transition between a new improvement and the existing roadway (half-street improvement). Additional right-of-way and street improvements and off-site right-of-way and street improvements may be required by the City to mitigate the impact of the development. The new pavement must connect to the existing pavement at the ends of the section being improved by tapering in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(5)If additional improvements are required as part of the Access Management Plan of the City, TDC Chapter 75, the improvements must be required in the same manner as the half-street improvement requirements.
(6) All required street improvements must include curbs, sidewalks with appropriate buffering, storm drainage, street lights, street signs, street trees, and, where designated, bikeways and transit facilities.
[...]
(8) For development applications other than subdivisions and partitions, all street improvements required by this section must be completed and accepted by the City prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
[...]
(10)Streets within, or partially within, a proposed development site must be graded for the entire right-of-way width and constructed and surfaced in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(11) Existing streets which abut the proposed development site must be graded, constructed, reconstructed, surfaced or repaired as necessary in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code and TDC Chapter 11, Transportation Plan, and TDC 74.425 (Street Design Standards). [...]
(14) The applicant must construct any required street improvements adjacent to parcels excluded from development, as set forth in TDC $\mathbf{7 4 . 2 2 0}$ of this chapter.
[...]
(17) Intersections should be improved to operate at a level of service of at least $D$ and $E$ for signalized and unsignalized intersections, respectively.
[...]

## Finding:

A Traffic Study conducted by Mackenzie was submitted as Exhibit A4. Plans show two new driveways off of SW 108th Avenue, as well as a modification to the existing eastern driveway off of SW Leveton Drive. The sidewalk on the west side of SW 108th Avenue and select locations on SW Leveton Drive are shown to be improved to bring into compliance with ADA specifications. Additionally the City Engineer has reviewed the proposal against the above requirements. Required dedication of right-of-way and construction of public street surface infrastructure will benefit this development's expected addition of bicycle, pedestrian, and vehicular trips utilizing streets and sidewalks. With recommended Conditions of Approval A3, A8, A9 and A10, these standards are met.

## TDC 74.425 Street Design Standards.

(1) Street design standards are based on the functional and operational characteristics of streets such as travel volume, capacity, operating speed, and safety. They are necessary to ensure that the system of streets, as it develops, will be capable of safely and efficiently serving the traveling public while also accommodating the orderly development of adjacent lands.
(2) The proposed street design standards are shown in Figures 72A through 72G. The typical roadway cross sections comprise the following elements: right-of-way, number of travel lanes, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, and other amenities such as landscape strips. These figures are intended for planning purposes for new road construction, as well as for those locations where it is physically and economically feasible to improve existing streets.
[...]
(4) All streets must be designed and constructed according to the preferred standard. The City Manager may reduce the requirements of the preferred standard based on specific site conditions, but in no event will the requirement be less than the minimum standard. The City Manager must take into consideration the following factors when deciding whether the site conditions warrant a reduction of the preferred standard:
(a)Arterials:
(i)Whether adequate right-of-way exists;
(ii)Impacts to properties adjacent to right-of-way;
(iii)Current and future vehicle traffic at the location; and
(iv)Amount of heavy vehicles (buses and trucks).
(b) Collectors:
(i) Whether adequate right-of-way exists;
(ii) Impacts to properties adjacent to right-of-way;
(iii) Amount of heavy vehicles (buses and trucks); and
(iv) Proximity to property zoned manufacturing or industrial.
[...]

Finding:
The proposal is adjacent to SW 108th Avenue, SW Leveton Drive, and SW Tualatin Road which are designated a Minor Collector, Minor Arterial, and Major Collector, respectively on the Tualatin Comprehensive Plan Map 8-1 (Exhibit J). A Traffic Study conducted submitted as Exhibit A4 did not recommend additional improvements greater than the planned cross-sections. With recommended Condition of Approval A3, these standards are met.

TDC 74.430. - Streets, Modifications of Requirements in Cases of Unusual Conditions.

## Finding:

The City Engineer has found that no modifications are required. This section does not apply.

## TDC 74.440 Streets, Traffic Study Required.

(1) The City Manager may require a traffic study to be provided by the applicant and furnished to the City as part of the development approval process as provided by this Code, when the City Manager determines that such a study is necessary in connection with a proposed development project in order to:
(a) Assure that the existing or proposed transportation facilities in the vicinity of the proposed development are capable of accommodating the amount of traffic that is expected to be generated by the proposed development, and/or
(b) Assure that the internal traffic circulation of the proposed development will not result in conflicts between on-site parking movements and/or on-site loading movements and/or on-site traffic movements, or impact traffic on the adjacent streets.
(2) The required traffic study must be completed prior to the approval of the development application.
(3) The traffic study must include, at a minimum:
(a) an analysis of the existing situation, including the level of service on adjacent and impacted facilities.
(b) an analysis of any existing safety deficiencies.
(c) proposed trip generation and distribution for the proposed development.
(d) projected levels of service on adjacent and impacted facilities.
(e) recommendation of necessary improvements to ensure an acceptable level of service for roadways and a level of service of at least D and E for signalized and unsignalized intersections respectively, after the future traffic impacts are considered.
(f) The City Manager will determine which facilities are impacted and need to be included in the study.
(g) The study must be conducted by a registered engineer.
(4) The applicant must implement all or a portion of the improvements called for in the traffic study as determined by the City Manager.

## Finding:

A Traffic Study conducted by Mackenzie was submitted as Exhibit A4 and did not recommend any improvements. The study recommendations and mitigation stated:

> All study area intersections currently operate within City of Tualatin mobility standards except the SW Tualatin Road/SW Teton Avenue intersection. The northbound left-turn movement at this location currently has a delay greater than 90 seconds which exceeds the City's LOS E standard for an unsignalized intersection, as reported by Synchro software; however, video observations of existing conditions show delays for this movement are closer to 14 seconds. Similarly, the delay reported by SimTraffic for existing conditions is approximately 27 seconds. Therefore, we estimate the delay under 2024 post-development conditions will be approximately 40 seconds as reported by SimTraffic software, and corresponding with an LOS E.

> All other study area intersections are projected to operate at acceptable levels, as reported by Synchro software. While queues during the peak 15-minute periods of the morning and afternoon show some queuing that exceeds available storage, queues for the remainder of the $A M$ and $P M$ peak hours are expected to be accommodated within existing queue storage areas. Therefore, no other improvements are recommended at this time.

City staff has reviewed the subject analysis and has determined that it meets the above requirements. This standard is met.

TDC 74.450. - Bikeways and Pedestrian Paths.
(1) Where proposed development abuts or contains an existing or proposed bikeway, pedestrian path, or multi-use path, as set forth in TDC Chapter 11, Transportation Figure 11-4, the City may require that a bikeway, pedestrian path, or multi-use path be constructed, and an easement or dedication provided to the City.
(2) Where required, bikeways and pedestrian paths must be provided as follows:
(a) Bike and pedestrian paths must be constructed and surfaced in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(b) The applicant must install the striping and signing of the bike lanes and shared roadway facilities, where designated.

## Finding:

The proposal is adjacent to SW 108th Avenue, SW Leveton Drive, and SW Tualatin Road. All roadways require a sidewalk and bike lane on Tualatin Comprehensive Plan Map 8-4. The City Engineer has reviewed the proposal and found required bikeways are existing. These standards are met.

## [...]

TDC 74.470 Street Lights.
(1) Street light poles and luminaries must be installed in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(2) The applicant must submit a street lighting plan for all interior and exterior streets on the proposed development site prior to issuance of a Public Works Permit.

## Finding:

The proposal abuts SW 108th Avenue, SW Leveton Drive, and SW Tualatin Road which requires street lights. With recommended Conditions of Approval A3 and A17, these standards are met.

## [...]

## TDC 74.485. - Street Trees.

[...]
(2)In nonresidential subdivisions and partitions street trees must be planted by the owners of the individual lots as development occurs.
(3)The Street Tree Ordinance specifies the species of tree which is to be planted and the spacing between trees.

## Finding:

The Landscape Plan submitted as Exhibit A2, illustrates selected street tree species and spacing. With recommended Condition of Approval A3, these standards are met.

TDC 74.610 Water Service.
(1) Water lines must be installed to serve each property in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code. Water line construction plans must be submitted to the City Manager for review and approval prior to construction.
[...]
(3) As set forth is TDC Chapter 12, Water Service, the City has three water service levels. All development applicants must be required to connect the proposed development site to the service level in which the development site is located. If the development site is located on a boundary line between two service levels the applicant must be required to connect to the service level with the higher reservoir elevation. The applicant may also be required to install or provide pressure reducing valves to supply appropriate water pressure to the properties in the proposed development site.

## Finding:

Utility Plans, submitted as Exhibit A2, illustrate the proposed office building will be served by a 3-inch diameter domestic and an 8-inch diameter fire service lateral with associated meter and fire vault to the public main north of SW Leveton Drive.

A gate valve will be located near the main for each water lateral. A public utility easement will surround the water meter and fire vault by five feet.

The City's consultant Consor provided a Water System Capacity Analysis (Exhibit E) which did not recommend additional public water infrastructure improvements were required to serve this development. With recommended Condition of Approval A4 these standards are met.

## TDC 74.620 Sanitary Sewer Service.

(1) Sanitary sewer lines must be installed to serve each property in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code. Sanitary sewer construction plans and calculations must be submitted to the City Manager for review and approval prior to construction.
(2) If there are undeveloped properties adjacent to the proposed development site which can be served by the gravity sewer system on the proposed development site, the applicant must extend public sanitary sewer lines to the common boundary line with these properties. The lines must be sized to convey flows to include all future development from all up stream areas that can be expected to drain through the lines on the site, in accordance with the City's Sanitary Sewer System Master Plan, TDC Chapter 13.

Finding:
Utility Plans, submitted as Exhibit A2, illustrate the proposed office building will be served by a six-inch sanitary sewer lateral connected to an existing stub off a manhole to serve the proposed building. With recommended Condition of Approval A5, this standard is met.

## TDC 74.630 Storm Drainage System.

(1)Storm drainage lines must be installed to serve each property in accordance with City standards and Clean Water Services standards. Storm drainage construction plans and calculations must be submitted to the City Manager for review and approval prior to construction.
(2)The storm drainage calculations must confirm that adequate capacity exists to serve the site. The discharge from the development must be analyzed in accordance with the City's Storm and Surface Water Regulations and Clean Water Services standards.
[...]
Finding:
As shown on the Utility Plans, submitted as Exhibit A2, the proposal includes a connection to stormwater lines within SW 108th Avenue right-of-way that flow south towards a public drywell at the intersection of SW 108th Avenue and SW Leveton Drive. Final plans and stormwater calculations will demonstrate that the development has direct access by gravity to the public stormwater system with adequate infiltration and/or downstream capacity in accordance with City of Tualatin and Clean Water Service standards.

A Clean Water Services' Service Provider Letter and Memorandum were received. After land use decision issuance the applicant will submit final plans complying with the Service Provider Letter conditions and CWS Memorandum that are sufficient to obtain a Stormwater Connection Permit Authorization Letter from Clean Water Services in accordance with TDC 74.650(2) and CWS D\&CS 3.01.2(d). With recommended Condition of Approval A6 these standards are met.

## TDC 74.640 Grading.

(1) Development sites must be graded to minimize the impact of storm water runoff onto adjacent properties and to allow adjacent properties to drain as they did before the new development. (2) A development applicant must submit a grading plan showing that all lots in all portions of the development will be served by gravity drainage from the building crawl spaces; and that this development will not affect the drainage on adjacent properties. The City Manager may require the applicant to remove all excess material from the development site.

## Finding:

The plans indicate disturbance of approximately 4.27 acres. Final plans may include over 5 acres of disturbance based on conditions of approval. Erosion and sediment control plans and permit applications conforming to the requirements of the City of Tualatin, CWS, and Oregon Department of Environmental Quality must be provided with the construction permit submittal documents. The applicant must obtain an erosion control permit from the City of Tualatin for disturbance greater than 500 square feet and a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200-C Construction Erosion Control permit from Oregon DEQ for over 5 acres.

With recommended Conditions of Approval A6 and A7, these standards are met.

## TDC 74.650 Water Quality, Storm Water Detention and Erosion Control.

The applicant must comply with the water quality, storm water detention and erosion control requirements in the Surface Water Management Ordinance. If required:
[...]
(2)On all other development applications, prior to issuance of any building permit, the applicant must arrange to construct a permanent on-site water quality facility and storm water detention facility and submit a design and calculations indicating that the requirements of the Surface Water Management Ordinance will be met and obtain a Stormwater Connection Permit from Clean Water Services. (3)For on-site private and regional non-residential public facilities, the applicant must submit a stormwater facility agreement, which will include an operation and maintenance plan provided by the

City, for the water quality facility for the City's review and approval. The applicant must submit an erosion control plan prior to issuance of a Public Works Permit. No construction or disturbing of the site must occur until the erosion control plan is approved by the City and the required measures are in place and approved by the City.

Finding:
A Stormwater Report has been submitted as Exhibit A5 which proposes both modifying existing stormwater facilities and constructing new stormwater facilities to provide treatment, hydromodification, and detention for all private impervious areas.

A Clean Water Services Service Memorandum was received and included as Exhibit C. After land use decision issuance, the applicant must submit final plans complying with the Service Provider Letter conditions and CWS Memorandum that are sufficient to obtain a Stormwater Connection Permit Authorization Letter from Clean Water Services in accordance with TDC 74.650(2) and CWS D\&CS 3.01.2(d).

With recommended Conditions of Approval A2, A6, and A9 this standard is met.

## TDC 74.660 Underground.

(1) All utility lines including, but not limited to, those required for gas, electric, communication, lighting and cable television services and related facilities must be placed underground. Surfacemounted transformers, surface-mounted connection boxes and meter cabinets may be placed above ground. Temporary utility service facilities, high capacity electric and communication feeder lines, and utility transmission lines operating at 50,000 volts or above may be placed above ground. The applicant must make all necessary arrangements with all utility companies to provide the underground services. The City reserves the right to approve the location of all surface-mounted transformers.
(2)Any existing overhead utilities may not be upgraded to serve any proposed development. If existing overhead utilities are not adequate to serve the proposed development, the applicant must, at their own expense, provide an underground system. The applicant must be responsible for obtaining any off-site deeds and/or easements necessary to provide utility service to this site; the deeds and/or easements must be submitted to the City Manager for acceptance by the City prior to issuance of the Public Works Permit.

## Findings:

The Utility Plan illustrates reconstruction of the west side of SW 108th Avenue to include a planter strip and ADA compliant sidewalk. The improvements will extend from SW Leveton Drive to SW Tualatin Road including undergrounding of overhead utilities as approved by the City Engineer. With recommended Conditions of Approval A3 and A17 these standards are met.

TDC 74.765. - Street Tree Species and Planting Locations.
All trees, plants or shrubs planted in the right-of-way of the City must conform in species and location and in accordance with the street tree plan and City standards, including Table 74-1. If the City Manager determines that none of the species in City standards, including Table 74-1 is appropriate or finds appropriate a species not listed, the City Manager may substitute an unlisted species.

\left.| Species Common Names | Planting Strip Width (feet) |  |  | Power line | Spacing on center (feet) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | compatible |  |  |  |  |$\right)$

## Finding:

The Landscape Plan submitted as Exhibit A2, illustrates Persian Parrotia street trees along SW 108 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. With recommended Condition of Approval A3, this standard is met.

## [...]

TDC 75.020. - Permit for New Driveway Approach
(1) Applicability. A driveway approach permit must be obtained prior to constructing, relocating, reconstructing, enlarging, or altering any driveway approach.
(3) Procedure Type. A Driveway Approach Permit is processed as a Type II procedure under TDC 32.220 (Type II).
(4) Submittal Requirements. In addition to the application materials required by TDC 32.140, the following application materials are also required:
(a)A site plan, of a size and form and in the number of copies meeting the standards established by the City Manager, containing the following information:
(i)The location and dimensions of the proposed driveway approach;
(ii)The relationship to nearest street intersection and adjacent driveway approaches;
(iii)Topographic conditions;
(iv)The location of all utilities;
(v)The location of any existing or proposed buildings, structures, or vehicular use areas;
(vi)The location of any trees and vegetation adjacent to the location of the proposed driveway approach that are required to be protected pursuant to TDC Chapter 73B or 73C; and (vii)The location of any street trees adjacent to the location of the proposed driveway approach.
(b) Identification of the uses or activities served, or proposed to be served, by the driveway approach; and
(c) Any other information, as determined by the City Manager, which may be required to adequately review and analyze the proposed driveway approach for conformance with the applicable criteria.
(5) Criteria. A Driveway Approach Permit must be granted if:
(a) The proposed driveway approach meets the standards of this Chapter and the Public Works Construction Code;
(b) No site conditions prevent placing the driveway approach in the required location;
(c) The number of driveway approaches onto an arterial are minimized;
(d) The proposed driveway approach, where possible:
(i)ls shared with an adjacent property; or
(ii)Takes access from the lowest classification of street abutting the property;
(e) The proposed driveway approach meets vision clearance standards;
(f) The proposed driveway approach does not create traffic hazards and provides for safe turning movements and access;
(g) The proposed driveway approach does not result in significant adverse impacts to the vicinity;
(g) The proposed driveway approach minimizes impact to the functionality of adjacent streets and intersections; and
(i)The proposed driveway approach balances the adverse impacts to residentially zoned property and the functionality of adjacent streets.
[...]

## Finding:

Plans submitted under Exhibit A2 indicate that two driveways are proposed to SW $108^{\text {th }}$ Avenue which is designated a collector street. These driveways were also reviewed in the traffic study submitted as Exhibit A4. With recommended Condition of Approval A3 these standards are met.

## TDC 75.040. - Driveway Approach Requirements

(1)The provision and maintenance of driveway approaches from private property to the public streets as stipulated in this Code are continuing requirements for the use of any structure or parcel of real property in the City of Tualatin. No building or other permit may be issued until scale plans are presented that show how the driveway approach requirement is to be fulfilled. If the owner or occupant of a lot or building changes the use to which the lot or building is put, thereby increasing driveway approach requirements, it is unlawful and a violation of this code to begin or maintain such altered use until the required increase in driveway approach is authorized by the City.
(2) Owners of two or more uses, structures, or parcels of land may agree to utilize jointly the same driveway approach when the combined driveway approach of both uses, structures, or parcels of land satisfies their combined requirements as designated in this code; provided that satisfactory legal evidence is presented to the City Attorney in the form of deeds, easements, leases or contracts to establish joint use. Copies of said deeds, easements, leases or contracts must be placed on permanent file with the City Recorder.
(3) Joint and Cross Access.
[...]
(b)A system of joint use driveways and cross access easements may be required and may incorporate the following:
(i)A continuous service drive or cross access corridor extending the entire length of each block served to provide for driveway separation consistent with the access management classification system and standards;
(ii)A design speed of ten mph and a maximum width of 24 feet to accommodate two-way travel aisles designated to accommodate automobiles, service vehicles, and loading vehicles;
(iii)Stub-outs and other design features to make it visually obvious that the abutting properties may be tied in to provide cross access via a service drive; and
(iv)An unified access and circulation system plan for coordinated or shared parking areas.
(c)Pursuant to this section, property owners may be required to:
(i)Record an easement with the deed allowing cross access to and from other properties served by the joint use driveways and cross access or service drive;
(ii)Record an agreement with the deed that remaining access rights along the roadway will be dedicated to the city and pre-existing driveways will be closed and eliminated after construction of the joint-use driveway;
(iii)Record a joint maintenance agreement with the deed defining maintenance responsibilities of property owners; and(iv)If subsection(i) through (iii) above involve access to the state highway system or county road system, ODOT or the county must be contacted and must approve changes to subsection(i) through (iii) above prior to any changes.
[...]
(4) Requirements for Development on Less than the Entire Site.
(a) To promote unified access and circulation systems, lots and parcels under the same ownership or consolidated for the purposes of development and comprised of more than one building site must be reviewed as one unit in relation to the access standards. The number of access points permitted must be the minimum number necessary to provide reasonable access to these properties, not the maximum available for that frontage. All necessary easements, agreements, and stipulations must be met. This must also apply to phased development plans. The owner and all lessees within the affected area must comply with the access requirements.
(b) All access must be internalized using the shared circulation system of the principal commercial development or retail center. Driveways should be designed to avoid queuing across surrounding parking and driving aisles.
(5) Lots that front on more than one street may be required to locate motor vehicle accesses on the street with the lower functional classification as determined by the City Manager.
(6) Except as provided in TDC 53.100, all driveway approach must connect directly with public streets.
(7) To afford safe pedestrian access and egress for properties within the City, a sidewalk must be constructed along all street frontage, prior to use or occupancy of the building or structure proposed for said property. The sidewalks required by this section must be constructed to City standards, except in the case of streets with inadequate right-of-way width or where the final street design and grade have not been established, in which case the sidewalks must be constructed to a design and in a manner approved by the City Manager. Sidewalks approved by the City Manager may include temporary sidewalks and sidewalks constructed on private property; provided, however, that such sidewalks must provide continuity with sidewalks of adjoining commercial developments existing or proposed. When a sidewalk is to adjoin a future street improvement, the sidewalk construction must include construction of the curb and gutter section to grades and alignment established by the City Manager.
(8) The standards set forth in this Code are minimum standards for driveway approaches, and may be increased through the Architectural Review process in any particular instance where the standards provided herein are deemed insufficient to protect the public health, safety, and general welfare. (9) Minimum driveway approach width for uses are as provided in Table 75-1 (Driveway Approach Width):

| TABLE 75-1 <br> Driveway Approach Width | Minimum Driveway <br> Approach Width | Maximum Driveway <br> Approach Width |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Use | 36 feet | Over 250 Parking Spaces = As Required by <br> the City Manager, but not exceeding 40 feet |
| Industrial |  |  |

(10) Driveway Approach Separation. There must be a minimum distance of 40 feet between any two adjacent driveways on a single property unless a lesser distance is approved by the City Manager.
(11) Distance between Driveways and Intersections. Except for single-family dwellings, duplexes, townhouses, triplexes, quadplexes, and cottage clusters, the minimum distance between driveways and intersections must be as provided below. Distances listed must be measured from the stop bar at the intersection.
(a) At the intersection of collector or arterial streets, driveways must be located a minimum of 150 feet from the intersection.
[...]
(12) Vision Clearance Area.
[...]
(b) Collector Streets. A vision clearance area for all collector/arterial street intersections, collector/arterial street and local street intersections, and collector/arterial street and railroad intersections must be that triangular area formed by the right-of-way lines along such lots and a straight line joining the right-of-way lines at points which are $\mathbf{2 5}$ feet from the intersection point of the right-of-way lines, as measured along such lines. Where a driveway intersects with a collector/arterial street, the distance measured along the driveway line for the triangular area must be ten feet (see Figure 73-2 for illustration).
(c) Vertical Height Restriction. Except for items associated with utilities or publicly owned structures such as poles and signs and existing street trees, no vehicular parking, hedge, planting, fence, wall structure, or temporary or permanent physical obstruction must be permitted between 30 inches and eight feet above the established height of the curb in the clear vision area (see Figure 73-2 for illustration).

## Finding:

Plans (Exhibit A2) new accesses are proposed to SW 108th Avenue. Condition A3 will necessitate moving the southern proposed driveway to 108th to be opposite an existing driveway more than 300 feet (centerline to centerline) from the intersection of 108th Ave with Leveton Drive. These accesses were evaluated within the traffic report included as Exhibit A4. With recommended Conditions of Approval A3 and A25, these standards are met.
[...]

TDC 75.140. - Existing Streets Access Standards.
The following list describes in detail the freeways and arterials as defined in TDC 75.050 with respect to access. Recommendations are made for future changes in accesses and location of future accesses. These recommendations are examples of possible solutions and shall not be construed as limiting the City's authority to change or impose different conditions if additional studies result in different recommendations from those listed below.
[...]
(15) LEVETON DRIVE.
(a) 108th Avenue to 118th Avenue.
(i) On the north side of Leveton Drive, [...] Novellus (2S122AA 500 and 2S122AB 100) shall be permitted three driveways located approximately 25 feet and 950 feet from the west property line for Tax Lot 100 and 600 feet west of 108th Avenue for Tax Lot 500.

Finding:
The Site Plan illustrates multiple existing accesses: one to SW 108th Avenue, three to SW Leveton Drive, and one emergency vehicle only to SW Tualatin Road via a lot to the west. This standard is met.

## III. RECOMMENDATION

Based on the application materials and analysis and findings presented above, staff finds that the applicable criteria have been met relative to AR 22-0006, and therefore recommend approval of this application with the following conditions of approval:

## GENERAL:

A1. This Architectural Review approval shall expire after two years unless a building, or grading permit submitted in conjunction with a building permit application, has been issued and substantial construction pursuant thereto has taken place and an inspection performed by a member of the Building Division, or an extension is granted under TDC 33.020(10).

## PRIOR TO EROSION CONTROL, PUBLIC WORKS, AND WATER QUALITY PERMIT ISSUANCE:

## Submit to e Trakit for review and approval:

A2. The applicant must apply for applicable Engineering Erosion Control, Water Quality, and Public Works permits:
a. Apply using eTrakit. With the initial Engineering permit(s) application(s) include:
i. One combined set of 24 "x36" plans including all applicable Engineering permits attached to one Engineering permit. Include a note on other Engineering permits stating which application includes the set.
ii. Payment for an Erosion Control permit fee per the fee schedule.
iii. Engineering estimate and deposit for each Water Quality or Public Works permit per the fee schedule.
b. Deliver two $24 " \times 36$ " hard copies of the combined Engineering permit plan sets to:

City of Tualatin
Attn: Engineering Division c/o Hayden Ausland, Principal Engineer, PE 10699 SW Herman Road
Tualatin, OR 97062

A3. The applicant must submit Final Street Improvement Plans for SW 108th Avenue, SW Leveton Drive, and SW Tualatin Road in accordance with applicable sections of Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74 and 75 and Public Works Construction Code (PWCC) that show:
a. Dedication of half-street right-of-ways to total 37 feet from centerline for SW Leveton Drive and SW Tualatin Road.
b. For SW 108th Avenue from SW Leveton Drive to SW Tualatin Road:
i. Dedication of adequate right-of-way to construct required public improvements with a minimum of a half-street total of 38 feet from centerline for SW 108th Avenue;
ii. A minimum 6 -foot-wide planter strip on the west side including:

1. Curb;
2. Replace existing street lights with the LED, Option A standard;
3. Street trees; and
4. Public LIDA stormwater street swales within an adequately wide planter strip or:
a. Proof that the existing public drywell at the intersection of SW 108th Avenue and SW Leveton Drive has capacity to accommodate stormwater requirement due to addition and modification of public impervious area; and,
b. Meet any and all requirements from DEQ for continued use of said public drywell.
iii. A 6-foot-wide sidewalk;
iv. Undergrounding overhead utilities as approved by the City Engineer; and
v. Ramp replacement on the west side of the intersection of SW 108th Avenue and SW Leveton Drive for both north (sending) and south (receiving).
c. An 8-foot-wide public utility easement, or existing equivalent approved by the City Engineer, and any required slope easements adjacent to SW 108th Avenue, SW Leveton Drive, and SW Tualatin Road including five feet of public utility easement surrounding water meter, backflow protection, and fire vault;
d. All adjacent public sidewalks for all lots involved with this development within compliance of ADA standards or replacement of necessary driveways, ramps, and panels to bring into compliance;
e. All proposed driveways:
i. A minimum distance of 300 feet from intersections of SW 108th Avenue \& SW Leveton Drive and SW 108th Avenue \& SW Tualatin Road; and
ii. Opposing existing driveways or offset a minimum of 150 feet.
f. Turning movement diagrams showing all existing and proposed driveways operate without adverse impact to public rights-of-way as determined by the City Engineer:
i. Identify any driveways privately restricted for specific passenger vehicles or truck use, proposed private signage necessary to control movement, and a circulation plan;
ii. Onsite signage and maintenance plan for onsite signage as approved by the City Engineer; and
iii. Show existing and proposed curb radii are able to accommodate associated allowed vehicular movements.
g. Replacement of concrete doweled panels impacted by construction as determined by the City Engineer.

A4. The applicant must submit Final Water System Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.610, Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-3, and Public Works Construction Code (PWCC) that show:
a. A gate valve at the main for both domestic and fire service laterals; and
b. Adjacent to SW Leveton Drive right-of-way:
i. A reduced pressure backflow prevention and water meter for the domestic lateral;
ii. The water meter behind the curb within the planter strip;
iii. If within final plans, irrigation after a domestic meter and reduced pressure backflow device; and
iv. The fire vault surrounded by a five foot public utility easement.

A5. The applicant must submit Final Sanitary Sewer System Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.620, Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-2, and Public Works Construction Code (PWCC) that show location of the lines, grade, materials, and other details.

A6. The applicant must submit:
a. A DEQ Rule Authorization letter with associated plans indicating approval and any and all required modifications to accommodate stormwater from new and modified public impervious areas within the existing public drywell at the intersection of SW 108th Avenue and SW Leveton Drive.
b. Final Stormwater System Calculations and Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.630 and 74.650, Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-5-200 through 3-5-430, Public Works Construction Code (PWCC), and Clean Water Services' (CWS) Design \& Construction Standards (D\&CS) Chapter 4 stamped by an Oregon registered, professional engineer in accordance with TMC 3-5-390(1) that:
i. Provide a downstream analysis, including but not limited to erosion, and include solutions within final plans for $1 / 4$ mile downstream from the release from the private development through the public stormwater system, in accordance with TMC 3-5210(4);
ii. Accommodate up to a 25 -year storm event within the public stormwater system with a maximum capacity of $82 \%$ in accordance with TDC 74.640 and CWS D\&CS 5.05.2.d and the City Engineer;
iii. Address runoff from all new and modified private and public impervious areas; and,
iv. Prove gravity flow five feet from the outside of the established line of the building to the public stormwater system or as otherwise approved by the City Engineer, in accordance with CWS D\&CS 1.03.39 and 5.09.3(a) (1) and (4);
v. Discharge to an approved public system;
vi. Treat new and modified impervious areas in accordance with CWS D\&CS 4.08.1.d meeting phosphorous removal in accordance with TMC 3-5-350 per the design storm in accordance with TMC 3-5-360 and CWS D\&CS 4.08.2;
vii. Detain up to the 25 -year storm event in accordance with TMC 3-5-220, TMC 3-5-230, and CWS D\&CS 4.08;
viii. Accommodate hydromodification including post-development runoff rates not exceeding pre-development runoff rates for $1 / 2$ the 2 -year storm event and the 5 -year and 10 -year storm events for proposed new and modified impervious areas in accordance with CWS D\&CS 4.03.5; and
ix. In accordance with TDC 74.650(2) and CWS D\&CS 3.01.2(d), comply with:

1. The submitted Clean Water Services' Service Provider Letter CWS File Number dated July 12, 2022 conditions to obtain a Stormwater Connection Permit Authorization Letter; and
2. Requirements stated within the Clean Water Services' Memorandum dated November 8, 2022.
c. Financial assurance for construction performance in accordance with TMC 3-390(3), PWCC 102.14.00, and amount per CWS D\&CS 2.07 Table 2-1; and
d. A copy of the recorded private stormwater maintenance agreement in accordance with TMD 3-5-390(4). The agreement must assure the owner as responsible for maintenance of the
constructed portions of private stormwater systems within their lot. The identified system must include all conveyance, detention, hydromodification, and treatment.

A7. The applicant must submit Final Erosion Control Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.640, Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-5-050 and 3-5-060, Public Works Construction Code (PWCC), and Clean Water Services' (CWS) Design \& Construction Standards (D\&CS) Chapters 2 and 6 that:
a. Minimize the impact of stormwater from the development to adjacent properties; and
b. Plans sufficient to either:
i. Obtain a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200-CN Stormwater Discharge Permit from Clean Water Services as an agent of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality if disturbance is between 1 and 5 acres; or,
ii. Obtain a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200-C Construction Erosion Control permit from Oregon DEQ.

## PRIOR TO BUILDING PERMIT ISSUANCE:

## Submit to eTrakit for review and approval:

A8. The applicant must submit a copy of recorded public utility and slope easements, as approved by the City Engineer, and deeds of right-of-way dedication in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.210 and 74.330 which show:
a. An 8-foot-wide public utility and any necessary slope easement, adjacent to SW 108th Avenue, SW Leveton Drive, and SW Tualatin Road including five feet of public utility easement surrounding proposed water meter, backflow protection, and fire vault; and
b. Half-street right-of-way dedication from centerline for a total of 38 feet for SW 108th Avenue and 37 feet for both SW Leveton Drive and SW Tualatin Road and any additional dedication for SW 108th Avenue to accommodate and any final public stormwater LIDA facilities.

A9. The applicant must obtain:
a. A National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200-C Construction Erosion Control permit from Oregon DEQ; and
b. Erosion Control, Public Works, and Water Quality Permits from the City of Tualatin.

A10. The applicant must pay a fee-in-lieu of construction, as determined by the City Engineer, for any new PGE Option A street lights associated with reconstruction of the west side of SW $108^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, and any other street lights (associated with the development) that will be in public right-of-way and/or city responsibility.

A11. The applicant must submit a Final Site Plan Set (in PDF format) to the Planning Division that is in substantial conformance to the submitted site plans and includes:
a. Trees identified in Tree Assessment Report (Exhibit A3) must be identified on the landscaping and grading plan, consistent with TDC 73B.080(3). Tree protection fencing and other preservation measures recommended by the Arborist should also be specified on the grading plan.
b. Walkways that are a minimum of 6 feet in width; constructed of asphalt, concrete, pervious concrete, pavers, or grasscrete; and meet ADA standards at time of construction, consistent with TDC 73A.300(1).
c. As a substitute for building perimeter landscaping, plazas that are developed with pavers, bricks, or other surfaces and contain pedestrian amenities, such as: benches, tables with umbrellas, shade trees, and canopies must be provided along building perimeters viewable by the general public from parking lots or the public right-of-way, in conformance with TDC 73B.040(1). This requirement does not apply to loading areas, bicycle parking areas, and pedestrian entrances.
d. A minimum of 192 parking spaces at an applied rate of 1.6 spaces per 1,000 square feet of gross floor area, consistent with TDC 73C.010(2)(a)(iv).
e. Details to demonstrate that proposed bicycle parking meets the standards of TDC 73C.050(2)(a)-(c), and that a minimum of $\underline{12}$ short-term and $\underline{5}$ long-term bicycle parking spaces are provided, in conformance with TDC 73C.100(1).
f. A minimum of $\underline{8}$ vanpool or carpool parking spaces, consistent with TDC 73C.100(2).
g. A minimum of 3 loading facilities that are a no less than 12 feet wide $\times 35$ feet long with an unobstructed height of 14 feet, or evidence that adequate loading facilities exist on the same lot as the proposed office building, consistent with TDC 73C.120.
h. In accordance with IMP 22-0001, parking lot landscaping for the north-half of the site must follow the standard requirements of TDC Chapter 73C. To accommodate grade changes, an alternative method of parking lot landscaping is acceptable for terraced parking lots proposed for the south-half of the site. These lots must provide a minimum landscape island area of 25 square feet per parking stall and comply with the following:
i. Landscape separation that is a minimum of five feet in width is required for every twelve continuous spaces in a row;
ii. Landscaping strip that is a minimum of ten feet in width must be placed in between rows of facing vehicles;
iii. Must be planted with one deciduous shade trees for every four parking spaces, with required trees evenly dispersed throughout the parking lot;
iv. Must be planted with groundcover or shrubs; and
v. Native plant materials are encouraged.
i. Demonstrate that an adequate waste and recyclables management solution is provided in compliance with TDC 73D. If the minimum standards method is chosen, a minimum of 490 square feet of trash enclosure area must be shown on the plans. These facilities must comply with the location, design, and access standards in TDC 73D.070.
j. In accordance with IMP 22-0001, building materials must consist of, or be complimentary to: masonry, sandstone, architectural metal siding, and window glazing. Color palettes must remain complimentary to earth toned shades.

## DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY:

A12. The applicant must install tree protection fencing consistent with the Tree Assessment Report submitted as Exhibit A3 and Section 73B.080(3). Please contact the Planning Division to schedule an inspection with a minimum of 48 hours' notice. Where site conditions make grading or other
similar encroachment upon a preserved tree's drip-line area, such grading or similar encroachment must only be permitted under the direction of a qualified arborist.

## PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY:

A13. Provide an identification system which clearly locates buildings and their entries for patrons and emergency services, pursuant to TDC 73A.300(4)(d). Building identification approved by TVF\&R must be placed in a position that is plainly legible and visible from the street fronting the property. Numbers must contrast with their background, be a minimum of 4 inches high, and have a minimum stroke width of $1 / 2$ inch.

A14. Areas impacted by grading and all areas not occupied by buildings, parking spaces, driveways, drive aisles, pedestrian areas, or undisturbed natural areas must be landscaped, pursuant to TDC 73B.040(1)(a).

A15. The applicant must install required vanpool and carpool signage, pursuant to TDC 73C.010(2)(a)(xi) and bicycle parking signage per MUTCD standards, pursuant to TDC 73C.050(2)(d).

A16. The applicant must construct proposed buildings and all site improvements as illustrated on the approved Final Site Plan and Final Color Architectural Elevations. The applicant must contact the Planning Division for a site inspection at least 72 hours prior to requesting a certificate of occupancy. This inspection is separate from inspection(s) done by the Building Division.

A17. The applicant must complete all the private stormwater and public improvements as shown on the approved permit plans. All improvements must also be accepted by the City in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.120.

A18. The applicant must submit paper and electronic as-builts of the Engineering permits along with maintenance bonds and any final fees for public and water quality improvements.

## THE FOLLOWING ITEMS APPLY TO THE SITE IN AN ON-GOING MANNER:

A19. All uses must be conducted within a completely enclosed building, except off-street parking and loading, and basic utilities, pursuant to TDC 62.210(5).

A20. The proposed development must comply with the Environmental Regulations of TDC 63.
A21. All mechanical equipment must be screened in accordance with TDC 73A.300(5). Prior to approval of a mechanical permit, the applicant or property owner must submit scaled elevations illustrating that above-grade or on-grade equipment will be screened by parapet, sight-obscuring fence, landscaping, or other method.

A22. All sign permits require separate sign permit approval per TDC Chapter 38. This approval does not constitute sign permit approval.

A23. All site, building exterior, and landscaping improvements approved through the AR process must be continually maintained, so as to remain substantially similar to original approval through the AR process, except as permitted under TDC 33.020(7) (Modifications to Previously Approved Final Architectural Review Decisions).
A24. All parking spaces shall be continuously maintained in compliance with the dimensional standards specified in TDC Figure 73-1 (Exhibit H).

A25. No vehicular parking, hedge, planting, fence, wall structure, or temporary/permanent physical obstruction is permitted between 30 inches and eight feet above the established height of the curb in the vision clearance area specified in TDC Figure 73-2 (Exhibit I).
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## I. PROJECT SUMMARY

| Applicant: | Lam Research Corporation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Owner: | Lam Research Corporation 11155 SW Leveton Drive Tualatin, OR 97062 |
| Site Address: | 11155-11361 SW Leveton Drive <br> (West of SW 108th Avenue between SW Tualatin Road and SW Leveton Drive) |
| Assessor Site Acreage: | 2S122AA00500-15.75 acres 2S122AA00800-15.03 acres 2S122AB00100-27.23 acres Total: 58.0 acres |
| Zoning: | Industrial, Manufacturing Park (MP) |
| Comprehensive Plan: | Manufacturing Park (MP) |
| Adjacent Zoning: | Industrial, Manufacturing Park (MP) Industrial, Light Manufacturing (ML) Low Density Residential (RL) |
| Request: | Approval of Type III Architectural Review for new office building (Building G) and associated parking lots and driveways |
| Project Contact: | Suzannah Stanley <br> Mackenzie <br> 1515 SE Water Avenue, Suite 100 <br> Portland, OR 97214 <br> 971-346-3808 <br> sstanley@mcknze.com |

## II. INTRODUCTION

## Description of Request

The applicant is requesting approval of a Type III Architectural Review for a new building and additional parking on this previously developed site, located in the Leveton Industrial District and the Manufacturing Park (MP) Planning District.

## Site and Surrounding Land Use

The existing site consists of three lots containing several buildings and associated facilities, parking areas, and landscaping. There are three main driveways into the campus from SW Leveton Drive, and one additional driveway entrance from Tualatin Road. To the west and south are additional MP-designated lots. On the southeast corner and to the east are Light Manufacturing (ML)-designated lots. To the north, across SW Leveton Drive, there is residential development in the is Low Density Residential (RL) Planning District, and in the Medium High Density Residential (RMH) District to the northwest.

## Description of Proposed Development

The proposal is for a new four-story building containing 120,000 SF of floor area in the southern part of the existing site, to the east and south of existing buildings. The new office building will be connected to the existing officing building to the west (Building C) via a skybridge). The proposed parking expansion of approximately 578 stalls will be east and northeast of the proposed building, as well as to the north of Building $F$. The new building and parking addition will allow Lam to increase employment at this location by approximately 600 employees. Two new access points will be provided on SW 108th Avenue, to provide direct access to the new parking area. The existing east access on SW Leveton Drive is proposed to be converted to a truck-only access with appropriate signage.


## III. ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW APPROVAL CRITERIA

This application addresses the necessary approval standards of the Tualatin Development Code relevant to Architectural Review for industrial development. As described in the following narrative, the proposal meets the standards of TDC Chapter 62: Manufacturing Park Planning District (MP), Chapter 73: Community Design Standards, Chapter 74: Public Improvement Requirements, Chapter 75: Access Management, and specific standards applicable to the subject property under the approved Industrial Master Plan, IMP 00-001.

## Chapter 33-Applications and Approval Criteria

## Section 33.020. - Architectural Review.

(2) Applicability.
(a) The following types of development are subject to Architectural Review:
(i) Any exterior modifications to improved or unimproved real property;
(ii) Any remodeling that changes the exterior appearance of a building;
(iii) Any site alteration which alters the topography, appearance or function of the site; and
(iv) Any change in occupancy from single family use to commercial or industrial use.
(b) Examples of development subject to Architectural Review, include but are not limited to the following:
(i) New buildings, condominiums, townhouse, single family dwellings, or manufactured dwelling park;
(ii) Construction, installation, or alteration of a building or other structure;
(iii) Landscape improvements;
(iv) New, improved, or expanded parking lots;
(v) New, or alterations to, above ground public utility facilities, pump stations, pressure reading stations, water reservoirs, electrical substations, and natural gas pumping stations;
(vi) New wireless communication facilities, and new attached wireless communication;
(vii) Installation of decorative lighting; and
(viii) Exterior painting, awnings, or murals.

Response: The proposal will include improving real property, altering the site, and adding a new building, landscape improvements, and new parking. See project description for detail. Therefore, the proposal is subject to Architectural Review.
(3) Types of Architectural Review Applications-Procedure Type.
(f) General Development. All development applications, (except Single Family Dwelling, duplex, townhouse, triplex, quadplex, or cottage cluster, Clear and Objective and Large Commercial, Industrial, and Multifamily Development) are subject to Type II Review.
(g) Large Commercial, Industrial, and Multifamily Development. Development applications that propose any of the following are subject to Type III Review by the Architectural Review Board as the hearing body:
(i) New Commercial Buildings 50,000 square feet and larger;
(ii) New Industrial Buildings 150,000 square feet and larger; and
(iii) New Multifamily Housing Projects with 100 units or more units (or any number of units abutting a single family district).
Response: The proposed development includes a new 120,000 SF commercial office building which falls into the category of Large Commercial Buildings, requiring a Type III Review.
(4) Application Materials. The application must be on forms provided by the City. In addition to the application materials required by TDC 32.140 (Application Submittal), the following application materials are also required:
(a) The project name and the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of the architect, landscape architect, and engineer on the project;
(b) Existing conditions plan, site plan, grading plan, utility plan, landscape plan, and lighting plan all drawn to scale;
(c) A building materials plan that includes a written description and image representation of facade, windows, trim, and roofing materials, colors, and textures;
(d) Title report; and
(e) A Service Provider Letter from Clean Water Services.

Response: All required forms, information, plans, reports, and service provider letters as described above are attached and submitted with this narrative. This standard is met.
(5) Approval Criteria.
(c) General Development. Applications for General Development must comply with the applicable standards and objectives in TDC Chapter 73A through 73G.
(d) Large Commercial, Industrial, and Multifamily Development. Applications for Large Commercial, Industrial, and Multifamily Development must comply with the applicable standards and objectives in TDC Chapter 73A through 73G.
Response: As shown in this narrative, the proposed new development complies with the applicable standards of TDC Chapter 73A through 73G. The approval criteria is met.
(6) Conditions of Approval.
(a) Architectural Review decisions may include conditions of approval that apply restrictions and conditions that:
(i) Implement identified public facilities and services needed to serve the proposed development;
(ii) Implement identified public facilities and services needed to be altered or increased attributable to the impacts of the proposed development; and
(iii) Implement the requirements of the Tualatin Development Code.
(b) Types of conditions of approval that may be imposed include, but are not limited to:
(i) Development Schedule. A reasonable time schedule placed on construction activities associated with the proposed development, or portion of the development.
(ii) Dedications, Reservation. Dedication or reservation of land, or the granting of an easement for park, open space, rights-of-way, bicycle or pedestrian paths, Greenway, Natural Area, Other Natural Area, riverbank, the conveyance of title or easements to the City or a non-profit conservation organization, or a homeowners' association.
(iii) Construction and Maintenance Guarantees. Security from the property owners in such an amount that will assure compliance with approval granted.
(iv) Plan Modifications. Changes in the design or intensity of the proposed development, or in proposed construction methods or practices, necessary to assure compliance with this chapter.
(v) Other Approvals. Evaluation, inspections or approval by other agencies, jurisdictions, public utilities, or consultants, may be required for all or any part of the proposed development.
(vi) Access Limitation. The number, location and design of street accesses to a proposed development may be limited or specified where necessary to maintain the capacity of streets to carry traffic safely, provided that sufficient access to the development is maintained.
Response: These provisions authorize the City to impose conditions of approval on Architectural Review approvals, and require no evidence submittal or response from the applicant.
(7) Modifications to Previously Approved Final Architectural Review Decisions. An applicant who wishes to modify a previously approved final Architectural Review decision may utilize one of the following procedures: ...
Response: The subject property is the site of multiple AR approvals under which all the existing buildings and other improvements have been constructed; however, the proposed building and parking improvements are in a site sub-area that is vacant but was previously identified for future development. Because the sub-area was not the subject of any specific requirements in previous AR approvals, it is not necessary or appropriate to process this application as a modification of any existing AR decision. It is preferable to process this application as a new AR for the previously undeveloped sub-area, while ensuring that the review and approval are informed by and consistent with existing AR approvals for the property as a whole. As noted above, the scale of the proposed development requires Type III review.
(8) Effective Date. The effective date of an Architectural Review decision or Minor Architectural Review decision is the date the notice of decision is mailed.
(9) Permit Expiration. Architectural Review decisions (including Minor Architectural Review decisions) expire two years from the effective date unless the applicant has received a building, or grading permit submitted in conjunction with a building permit application, substantial construction has occurred pursuant to the building permit, and an inspection has been performed by a member of the Building Division.
Response: These provisions govern the validity period of an AR approval, and require no evidence or response from the applicant.
(10) Extension of Permit Expiration...

Response: This request is not an application for an extension. These provisions may become applicable if the applicant needs to request an extension following approval.

## Section 33.030. - Permit for New Driveway Approach and Closure Decisions.

All requests for driveway approaches and closures are as provided in TDC 75.020 and TDC 75.030.
Response: See the responses to Chapter 75 below.

## Section 33.050. - Industrial Master Plans.

Response: The project is part of Phase 1 of IMP 00-01, approved in 2001. A new IMP is proposed to modify the minimum parking area setback from SW Leveton Drive in condition of approval 1.b. of that IMP; see the IMP narrative submitted concurrently.

## Section 33.080. - Signs—Permits, Design Review, and Variances.

(1) Purpose. To implement the standards of TDC Chapter 38. Sign Variance review provides a public hearing process to review special situations that are not anticipated by the Sign Regulations in TDC Chapter 38, including TDC 38.100, 38.110, 38.120 and 38.140-38.240.
(2) Applicability. The requirements of this section apply to sign permits, sign design review and sign variances as required in accordance with TDC Chapter 38.
(3) Procedure Type. Sign permits, sign design review and variances are processed in accordance with the procedures in TDC Chapter 32 as follows:
(a) Sign Permits are subject to Type I review.
(b) Sign Design Reviews are subject to Type I review.
(c) Sign Variances are subject to Type III review.
(4) Specific Submittal Requirements. In addition to the general submittal requirements in TDC 32.140 (Application Submittal), the applicant must submit the information required by TDC 38.070 (Sign Permit Process).
(5) Approval Criteria.
(a) A Sign Permit may be granted if the City Manager finds that the proposed sign is in compliance with the regulations in TDC Chapter 38.
(b) Sign Design Review may be approved if the City Manager finds that the proposed sign is in compliance with the regulations in TDC Chapter 38 and the clear and objective standards in TDC 38.075.
(c) Sign Variances. All six of the following criteria must be met before a variance can be granted:
(i) A hardship is created by exceptional or extraordinary conditions applying to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in the same zone, and such conditions are a result of lot size or shape or topography over which the applicant or owner has no control;
(ii) The hardship does not result from actions of the applicant, owner or previous owner, or from personal circumstances, or from the financial situation of the applicant or owner or the company, or from regional economic conditions;
(iii) The variance is the minimum remedy necessary to eliminate the hardship;
(iv) The variance is necessary for the preservation of a property right of the owner substantially the same as is possessed by owners of other property in the same zone however, nonconforming or illegal signs on the subject property or on nearby properties does not constitute justification to support a variance request;
(v) The variance must not be detrimental to the general public health, safety and welfare, and not be injurious to properties or improvements in the vicinity; and
(vi) The variance must not be detrimental to any applicable Comprehensive Plan goals and policies.

Response: Building signage will be limited to address " $B$ " signage, which will match what is currently on Building C. Two new monument signs will be added to the new entrances located off 108th which will match what is currently in use at all other driveway entrances. The monument sign on the far eastern drive from Leveton will be modified to indicate "deliveries only" from the current "Building A \& B."

## Section 33.110. - Tree Removal Permit/Review.

(2) Applicability. No person may remove a tree on private property within the City limits, unless the City grants a tree removal permit, consistent with the provisions of this Section.
(3) Exemptions. The following actions are exempt from the requirements of a tree removal permit.
(a) General Exemption. Four or fewer trees may be removed within a single calendar year from a single parcel of property or contiguous parcels of property under the same ownership without a permit, if the tree is:
(i) Not located in the Natural Resource Protection Overlay District (NRPO);
(ii) Not located in the Wetlands Protection Area (WPA) of the Wetlands Protection District (WPD);
(iii) Not a Heritage Tree; and
(iv) Not previously required to be retained or planted under an approved Architectural Review decision.
(b) Forest Harvesting Exemption. Forest Harvesting Uses, as provided by Agricultural Uses in TDC 39.300 are exempt.
(c) Orchard Exemption. Orchards Uses, as provided by Agricultural Uses in TDC 39.300, are exempt.
(d) Public Property Exemption. Tree removal on federal, state, county, or City property is exempt from the requirements of a tree removal permit. This exemption includes, but is not limited to road, improvements and maintenance to City parks, rights-of-way, water, sanitary sewer, and stormwater facilities. (Removal of trees from public right-of-way are governed by TDC Chapter 74.)
Response: The proposal does not fall under a subparagraph (2) exemption. This section applies.
(3) Procedure Type. Tree Removal Permit applications are subject to Type II Review in accordance with TDC Chapter 32. Tree Removal Permit applications submitted with an Architectural Review, Subdivision, or Partition application will be processed in conjunction with the Architectural Review, Subdivision, or Partition decision.
(4) Specific Submittal Requirements. In addition to the general submittal requirements in TDC 32.140 (Application Submittal), an applicant must submit the following:
(a) Tree Preservation Plan. A tree preservation plan drawn to scale must include:
(i) The location, size, species, and tag identification number of all trees on-site eight inches or more in diameter;
(ii) All trees proposed for removal and all trees proposed to be preserved;
(iii) All existing and proposed structures;
(iv) All existing and proposed public and private improvements; and
(v) All existing public and private easements.

Response: A Tree Preservation Plan is being submitted with this Architectural Review; see sheets C 1.01 and C1.02 of Attachment 2. This standard is met.
(b) Tree Assessment Report. A tree assessment prepared by a certified arborist must include:
(i) An analysis as to whether trees proposed for preservation may be preserved in light of the development proposed, are healthy specimens, and do not pose an imminent hazard to persons or property if preserved;
(ii) An analysis as to whether any trees proposed for removal could reasonably be preserved in light of the development proposed and health of the tree;
(iii) a statement addressing the approval criteria set forth in TDC 33.110(5);
(iv) the name, contact information, and signature of the arborist preparing the report; and
(v) The tree assessment report must have been prepared and dated no more than one calendar year preceding the date the development or Tree Removal Permit application is deemed complete by the City.
Response: As provided in subsection (5)(b) below, an arborist's evaluation is necessary "if none of the conditions in TDC 33.110(5)(a) are met." Construction of the proposed improvements requires removing identified certain trees whose locations within the site conflict with the proposed location of the building, the parking configuration, and the required site grading for those features. The Approval Criterion in Subsection (5)(a)(iii) allows a tree to be removed when
"[i]t is necessary to remove the tree to construct proposed improvements based on Architectural Review approval," and Approval Criterion (5)(b) requires an arborist evaluation "if none of the conditions in TDC 33.110(5)(a) are met." Because the condition in TDC 33.110(5)(a)(iii) is met, an arborist's assessment is not required in this case.
(c) Tree Tags. All trees on-site must be physically identified and numbered in the field with an arborist-approved tagging system that corresponds to the Tree Preservation Plan and Tree Assessment Report.
Response: All trees near the area of work will be identified and numbered per this method.
(5) Approval Criteria.
(a) An applicant must satisfactorily demonstrate that at least one of the following criteria are met:
(i) The tree is diseased and:
(A) The disease threatens the structural integrity of the tree; or
(B) The disease permanently and severely diminishes the esthetic value of the tree; or
(C) The continued retention of the tree could result in other trees being infected with a disease that threatens either their structural integrity or esthetic value.
(ii) The tree represents a hazard which may include but not be limited to:
(A) The tree is in danger of falling; or
(B) Substantial portions of the tree are in danger of falling.
(iii) It is necessary to remove the tree to construct proposed improvements based on Architectural Review approval, building permit, or approval of a Subdivision or Partition Review.
Response: Tree removal is necessary to construct proposed improvements to the site, consistent with the anticipated development pattern previously approved in the IMP. The removal is necessary for the efficient use of the site. Criterion (a)(iii) is satisfied.
(b) If none of the conditions in TDC 33.110(5)(a) are met, the certified arborist must evaluate the condition of each tree.
(i) Evergreen Trees. An evergreen tree which meets any of the following criteria as determined by a certified arborist will not be required to be retained:
(A) Trunk Condition-extensive decay and hollow; or
(B) Crown Development—unbalanced and lacking a full crown;
(ii) Deciduous Trees. A deciduous tree which meets any of the following criteria as determined by a certified arborist will not be required to be retained:
(A) Trunk Condition-extensive decay and hollow;
(B) Crown Development-unbalanced and lacking a full crown; or
(C) Structure - Two or more dead limbs.

Response: Condition TDC 33.110(5)(a)(iii) is met as noted above. This standard does not apply.
(6) Emergencies. If emergency conditions occur requiring the immediate cutting or removal of trees to avoid danger or hazard to persons or property, an emergency permit must be issued by the City Manager without payment of a fee and without formal application, provided the owner provides enough information to the City Manager to document that an emergency exists. If an emergency exists and the City Offices are closed, the emergency condition may be abated provided the person files information documenting the emergency and necessity of immediate removal of the tree as soon as practical after the City Offices reopen. An "emergency condition" for purposes of this
section is when a tree presents an immediate danger of collapse, and represents a clear and present hazard to persons or property. For the purposes of this section, "immediate danger of collapse" means that the tree is already leaning, and there is a significant likelihood that the tree will topple or otherwise fail and cause damage before a tree cutting permit could be obtained through the nonemergency process. "Immediate danger of collapse" does not include hazardous conditions that can be alleviated by pruning or treatment. Examples of emergency conditions include:
(a) A tree leaning on a structure;
(b) A tree leaning on another tree and there is a significant likelihood that the tree will topple or otherwise fail; or
(c) If a utility service has been interrupted and repairs cannot be completed without the removal of a tree.
Response: No emergency tree conditions exist. This standard does not apply.
(7) Conditions of Approval. Any tree required to be retained must be protected in accordance with the TDC 73B and 73C.
Response: All trees required to be retained will be protected in accordance with TDC 73B and C. This standard is met.
(8) Permit Expiration. A Tree Removal Permit is valid for one year from the date of issue. A Tree Removal Permit approved in conjunction with an Architectural Review, Subdivision, or Partition decision is valid as provided in the terms of the Architectural Review, Subdivision, or Partition decision.
Response: Any tree removal will be completed within a year of the Tree Removal Permit issuance. This standard is met.
(9) Tree removal in violation of Zone Standards.
(a) In addition to any applicable civil violation penalties, any property owner who removes, or causes to be removed, one or more trees in violation of applicable TDC provisions must pay an Enforcement Fee and a Restoration Fee to the City of Tualatin, as follows:
(i) Enforcement Fee of $\$ 837.00$ per incident, plus $\$ 10.00$ for each tree removed; and (ii) Restoration Fee of $\$ 2,000.00$ per tree removed.
(b) The City Manager may administratively reduce or waive these fees based upon a demonstration of hardship, adequate mitigation, or other good cause shown.
Response: This Section provides guidance and penalties for enforcement actions and requires no response from the applicant. No tree removal in violation of Zone Standards is proposed.

## Chapter 62: Manufacturing Park Planning District

## Section 62.200. - Use Categories

(1) Use Categories. Table 62-1 lists use categories Permitted Outright (P) or Conditionally Permitted (C) in the MP zone. Use categories may also be designated as Limited (L) and subject to the limitations listed in Table 62-1 and restrictions identified in TDC 62.210. Limitations may restrict the specific type of use, location, size, or other characteristics of the use category. Use categories which are not listed are prohibited within the zone, except for uses which are found by the City Manager or appointee to be of a similar character and to meet the purpose of this zone, as provided in TDC 31.070.
(2) Overlay Zones. Additional uses may be allowed in a particular overlay zone. See the overlay zone Chapters for additional uses.

| Table 62-1: Use Categories in the MP Zone (Excerpt) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Use Category | Status | Limitations and Code References |$|$| Commercial Use Categories |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Commercial Parking | P | - |
| Commercial <br> Recreation | P(L) | Permitted uses limited to a health or fitness facility as a limited use <br> subject to TDC 62.210(4). |
| Eating and Drinking <br> Establishments | P(L) | Permitted uses limited to a restaurant or deli as a limited use and <br> subject to TDC 62.210(4). |
| Marijuana Facilities | P(L) | Subject to TDC Chapter 80. |
| Office | P(L) | Permitted uses limited, see TDC 62.210(2). |
| Other Educational <br> and <br> Vocational Services | P(L) | Permitted uses limited to: <br> - Correspondence, trade, or vocational school as a limited use <br> subject to TDC 62.210(4); <br> - Job training or related services as a limited use subject to <br> TDC 62.210(4). |
| Retail Sales and <br> Services | P(L) | Permitted uses limited to: <br> - Sale of goods produced on-site subject to TDC 62.210(1); <br> - Child day care center, subject to TDC 34.200; <br> - Food or convenience store, mailing operations, reproduction or <br> photocopying services, bank, and medical services as limited uses <br> subject to TDC 62.210(2). |
| Industrial Use Categories | Light Manufacturing | P(L) |
| Permitted uses limited to: <br> - Manufacture or assembly of electronic or optical instruments, <br> equipment, devices; musical instruments; toys; and sporting goods. <br> - Production of textiles or apparel; <br> - Printing, publishing, and lithography shops; and <br> - Research and development laboratories. <br> Primary processing of organic materials, such as tanning of leather, is <br> prohibited. |  |  |


| INFRASTRUCTURE AND UTILITIES USE CATEGORIES |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Basic Utilities | $P$ | - |
| Greenways and <br> Natural <br> Areas | $P$ | - |
| Public Safety Facilities | C(L) | Conditional uses limited to a fire station. |
| Transportation <br> Facilities | P | - |
| Wireless <br> Communication <br> Facility | P(L) | Subject to maximum height and minimum setback standards defined <br> by TDC Chapter 73F. |

Response: The proposed office building within a large manufacturing campus site will be accessory to the primary use of the property for a permitted Light Manufacturing use, "Manufacture or assembly of electronic or optical instruments, equipment, devices." The proposed office building is part of Phase 1 of the buildout of IMP 00-01 for the manufacturing campus. The building will also include a fitness facility and cafeteria/café on the main level, in support of the employees at the office building and manufacturing campus. See TDC 62.210 below for detailed discussion of how applicable standards are met.

## Section 62.210. - Additional Limitations on Uses

(2) Offices. Office uses are a permitted as specified below.
(a) Permitted Uses. The following are permitted uses:
(i) Offices for chemical and physical sciences, engineering, cartography, or other research functions;
(ii) Shared service facilities (as defined by TDC 31.060); and
(iii) Corporate, regional, or district headquarter offices if:
(A) The headquarters is for a permitted use in this Code;
(B) The offices occupy at least 20,000 square feet; and
(C) Manufacturing is not conducted, unless the manufacturing is a permitted use in the MP zone.
Response: As noted above, the proposed building will be used as offices for engineering activities in conjunction with a permitted use in the MP zone, "[m]anufacture or assembly of electronic or optical instruments, equipment, devices..." Therefore, the use is permitted. Additionally, some manufacturing would be allowed within the office building as a permitted use in the MP zone, per subsection (2)(a)(iii)(C).
(b) Accessory Uses to an Industrial Use. Office uses accessory to a permitted industrial use are permitted.
Response: The proposed building is for an office use accessory to the manufacturing buildings. This standard is met.
(c) Limited Uses. Offices located on the same site as a permitted industrial use may be permitted, subject to TDC 62.210(4).
Response: The proposed office building is located on the same site as existing manufacturing buildings and meets standards of TDC 62.210(4):
(4) Limited Commercial Uses. Commercial uses permitted as limited uses, as specified in Table 62-1, must be located on the same site as a permitted industrial use. The site must be used primarily for industrial purposes and the commercial use is subject to the following limitations. The office, retail, and service uses may be located in a stand-alone building or combined in a building with other permitted uses.
(a) Offices. Office uses must not exceed 25 percent of the total gross floor area of all buildings on the site...

Response: The proposed building was planned as part of Phase 1 of the approved IMP 00-01, which included a mix of manufacturing, office, research and development, training, and other related components of the Lam Research manufacturing use. The use allowances and limitations of this section are superseded by the IMP. This standard does not apply. Section 62.300. - Development Standards

Development standards in the MP zone are listed in Table 62-2. Additional standards may apply to some uses and situations, see TDC 62.310.

| Table 62-2: Section 62.300 Development Standards |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MP District Standards |  |  | IMP Conditions | Proposed |
| Setback Requirements |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Building setback for Yards Adjacent to Streets or Alleys, <br> North of SW Leveton Drive | $100^{\prime}$ |  | 68' from Leveton Drive and 98 ' from 108th Avenue. | 89' from Leveton Drive and 600' from 108th Avenue. COMPLIES |
| Minimum Setback for Side and Rear Yards not Adjacent to Streets or Alleys, north of SW Leveton Drive | 50' | No minimum setback if adjacent to railroad right-ofway or spur track. |  | 50' from west side yard. 500' from rear yard. COMPLIES |
| Parking and Circulation Areas Adjacent to Public Right-of-Way | $50^{\prime}$ | No minimum setback required adjacent to joint access approach in accordance with TDC 73C. | 108' from Leveton Drive and 43' from 108th Avenue. | 58' from Leveton Drive and 43' from 108 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. <br> The concurrent IMP request proposes to reduce Leveton Drive minimum setback to 50' to maintain compliance. |


| Parking and Circulation Areas Adjacent to Private Property Line | 5-25' | Determined through Architectural Review Process. <br> No minimum setback required adjacent to joint access approach in accordance with TDC 73C. |  | Proposed new parking in northwest corner of the site is set back 152' from western property line (also internal to Lam site). COMPLIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fences | 50 feet | From public right-ofway. |  | No proposed fences other than required safety fence around detention pond. COMPLIES |
| Structure Height |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Height | 70 feet | May be increased to 85 feet if yards adjacent to structure are not less than a distance equal to one and one-half times the height of the structure. |  | 67' to the parapet. COMPLIES |

Response: As shown in the table above, all standards from Table 62-2 (Development Standards) and the approved IMP are met, with the exception of the minimum parking lot setback from Leveton Drive. The concurrent IMP application proposes to reduce the minimum parking area setback along Leveton Drive to 50', which will remain in compliance with the 50' minimum standard in the MP zone.

## Section 62.310. - Additional Development Standards

(1) Industrial Master Plan. Minimum lot size, setbacks, maximum height, and other development standards may be modified by submittal of an Industrial Master Plan application. See TDC 33.050.
Response: An IMP application is being submitted concurrent to this AR to modify the prior IMP 00-01 condition of approval 1.b, which requires a 108' setback from Leveton Drive. Instead, 50' (standard for the zone) is proposed through that IMP.
(2) Spur Rail Tracks. Spur rail tracks are not permitted within 200 feet of an adjacent residential district.
Response: No spur rail tracks are present on the site nor being proposed. This standard does not apply.
(3) Wetland Conservation Lots. Minimum lot size, width, or frontage requirement do not apply to wetland conservation lots.

Response: The site is not a Wetland Conservation Lot. This standard does not apply.

## Chapter 63: Industrial Uses and Utilities and Manufacturing Zones - Environmental Regulations

## Section 63.051. - Noise.

All uses and development must comply with the Oregon State Department of Environmental Quality standards relating to noise and the City of Tualatin noise ordinance in, TMC 6-14.
Response: The proposed new building will be used as offices and will comply with all DEQ and City of Tualatin noise standards. This standard is met.

## Section 63.052. - Vibration.

(1) Restrictions. All uses and development must not cause or permit ground vibration into the property of another person that exceeds the limits set forth below in this section. (Shortened for brevity)
Response: The proposed new building will be used as office space and will not create ground vibration. This standard does not apply.

## Section 63.053. - Air Quality.

(1) Restrictions. All uses and development must comply with the most recent air quality standards adopted by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality. Plans of construction and operations must comply with the recommendations and regulations of the State Department of Environmental Quality. (Shortened for brevity)
Response: The proposed new building will be used as office space and will not produce air pollution. Construction will comply with DEQ regulations. This standard is met.

Section 63.054. - Odors.

All uses and development must not emit odors in such quantities as to create a nuisance condition at any point beyond the subject property line of the emitting use.
Response: The proposed new building will be used as office space and will not emit odors. This standard is met.

## Section 63.055. - Heat and Glare.

(1) All uses and development must conduct all operations producing heat or glare entirely within an enclosed building.
(2) All uses and development may utilize exterior lighting, but the exterior lighting must be screened, baffled or directed away from residential planning districts.
Response: The proposed new building will be used as office space and will not produce heat or glare. All exterior lighting will be screened, baffled, or directed away from residential planning districts according to TDC standards, as shown in photometrics plans, sheets C2.10-C2.15 in Attachment 2. This standard is met.

## Section 63.056. - Storage and Stored Materials.

(1) All uses and development must store all materials, including wastes, in a manner that will not attract or aid the propagation of insects or rodents, or in any other way create a health or safety hazard.
(2) All uses and development that utilize open storage that would otherwise be visible at the property line must conceal it from view at the abutting property line by a sight obscuring fence not less than six feet high and not accessible to the general public to protect public safety.
Response: The proposed new building will store waste and recyclables in accordance with TDC waste and recyclables storage management standards as shown in Chapter 73D: Waste and Recyclable Management Standards; see sheet A4.10 for waste storage plans. No other open storage will be associated with the development of the proposed new building. This standard is met.

## Section 63.057. - Liquid or Solid Waste Materials.

All uses and development are prohibited from disposing waste onto the site or into adjacent drainage ditches, creeks or other natural waterways in violation of State of Oregon DEQ standards, Clean Water Services Standards, City Standards, or in a manner that causes harm to wildlife.
Response: There will be no disposal of liquid or solid waste materials onto the site or into adjacent drainage ditches or waterways in either the use or development of the proposed new building. This standard is met.

## Section 63.058. - Dangerous Substances.

All uses and development are prohibited from the storage, transfer, or processing of hazardous, toxic, or radioactive waste.
Response: There will be no hazardous, toxic, or radioactive waste involved in the proposed development. This standard is met.

## Chapter 73A: Site Design Standards

## Commercial Design Standards

## Section 73A.300. - Commercial Design Standards

The following standards are minimum requirements for commercial development in all zones, except the Mixed-Use Commercial (MUC) zone, which has its own standards:
(1) Walkways. Commercial development must provide walkways as follows:
(a) Walkways must be a minimum of six feet in width;
(b) Walkways must be constructed of asphalt, concrete, pervious concrete, pavers, or grasscrete. Gravel or bark chips are not acceptable;
(c) Walkways must meet ADA standards applicable at time of construction or alteration;
(d) Walkways must be provided between the main building entrances and other on-site buildings, accessways, and sidewalks along the public right-of-way;
(e) Walkways through parking areas, drive aisles, and loading areas must be of a different appearance than the adjacent paved vehicular areas;
(f) Bikeways must be provided that link building entrances and bike facilities on the site with adjoining public right-of-way and accessways; and
(g) Outdoor Recreation Access Routes must be provided between the development's walkway and bikeway circulation system and parks, bikeways and greenways where a bike or pedestrian path is designated.
Response: Proposed walkways are a minimum of 7 ' in width and constructed of asphalt, as shown on C1.11. All new walkways will meet ADA standards as of July 2022. A walkway is provided between the proposed new building main entrances and other on-site buildings, accessways, and sidewalks. New
walkways through parking areas are made of concrete with a different appearance than the paving of the parking areas. Bicycle facilities have been provided near entrances of the proposed new building near sidewalks and drive aisles with easy access to public right-of-way (see sheet L1.15). No parks, bikeways, or greenways abut this property. Applicable elements of these standards are met.
(2) Accessways.
(a) When Required. Accessways are required to be constructed when a multi-family development is adjacent to any of the following:
(i) Residential property;
(ii) Commercial property;
(iii) Areas intended for public use, such as schools and parks; and
(iv) Collector or arterial streets where transit stops or bike lanes are provided or designated.
Response: The proposal is not for a multifamily development and no multi-family development is adjacent to the property. This standard does not apply.
(3) Drive-up Uses. Drive-up uses must comply with the following:
(a) Must provide a minimum stacking area clear of the public right-of-way and parking lot aisles from the window serving the vehicles as follows:
(i) Banks-each lane must be 100 feet long;
(ii) Restaurants-each lane must be 160 feet long; and
(iii) Other uses-each lane must be between 80 and 160 feet long, as determined by the City.
Response: No drive-up uses are proposed. This standard does not apply.
(4) Safety and Security. Industrial development must provide safety and security features as follows:
(a) Locate windows and provide lighting in a manner that enables tenants, employees, and police to watch over pedestrian, parking, and loading areas;
Response: The proposed building has windows along all sides and all floors. The proposed pedestrian, parking, and loading areas provide lighting which enables visibility of the spaces from windows.
(b) Locate windows and interior lighting to enable surveillance of interior activity from the public right-of-way;
Response: As mentioned above, the proposed building has windows along all sides and all floors, and interior lighting will be located to enable visibility from public rights-of-way.
(c) Locate, orient, and select exterior lighting to facilitate surveillance of on-site activities from the public right-of-way without shining into public rights-of-way or fish and wildlife habitat areas;
Response: Lighting has been selected and located to facilitate surveillance of on-site activities from the public right-of-way without shining into public rights-of-way or habitat areas. See photometrics plans in sheets C2.10-C2.15 of Attachment 2. This standard is met.
(d) Provide an identification system which clearly locates buildings and their entries for patrons and emergency services; and
Response: A new wayfinding monument sign is being added near a driveway for vehicles inbound from SW 108th Avenue. Directions to the new building will also be added to existing signs. This standard is met.
(e) Above ground sewer or water pumping stations, pressure reading stations, water reservoirs, electrical substations, and above ground natural gas pumping stations must provide a minimum six foot tall security fence or wall.
Response: No new above ground sewer or water pumping stations, pressure reading stations, water reservoirs, electrical substations, or above ground natural gas pumping stations are proposed. This standard does not apply.
(5) Service, Delivery, and Screening. Commercial development must provide service, delivery, and screening features as follows:
(a) Above grade and on-grade electrical and mechanical equipment such as transformers, heat pumps and air conditioners must be screened with sight obscuring fences, walls or landscaping;
Response: There will be mechanical equipment on the roof (screened); see sheet A2.10. The transformer will be in a below grade vault near the trash enclosure; see sheet C1.11. This standard is met.
(b) Outdoor storage must be screened with a sight obscuring fence, wall, berm or dense evergreen landscaping; and
Response: No new outdoor storage is proposed. This standard does not apply.
(c) Above ground pumping stations, pressure reading stations, water reservoirs; electrical substations, and above ground natural gas pumping stations must be screened with sightobscuring fences or walls and landscaping.
Response: No new above-ground pumping stations, pressure reading stations, water reservoirs, electrical substations, or above ground natural gas pumping stations are proposed. This standard does not apply.
(6) Adjacent to Transit. Commercial development adjacent to transit must comply with the following:
(a) Development on a transit street designated in TDC Chapter 11 (Figure 11-5) must provide either a transit stop pad on-site, or an on-site or public sidewalk connection to a transit stop along the subject property's frontage on the transit street; and
Response: There is a Tualatin Shuttle (Blue Line) stop across the street from the main driveway entrance on SW Leveton Drive, with connecting public sidewalks. There is a public sidewalk along the entire property's frontage on SW Leveton Drive and SW 108th Avenue, and the sidewalks along SW 108th Avenue will be improved by this project. There is no other plan in place for additional transit along either frontage. This standard is met.
(b) Development abutting major transit stops as designated in TDC Chapter 11 (Figure 11-5) must:
(i) Locate any portion of a building within 20 feet of the major transit stop or provide a pedestrian plaza at the transit stop;
(ii) Provide a reasonably direct pedestrian connection between the major transit stop and a building entrance on the site;
(iii) Provide a transit passenger landing pad accessible to disabled persons;
(iv) Provide an easement or dedication for a passenger shelter as determined by the City; and
(v) Provide lighting at the major transit stop.

Response: The proposed development does not abut any major transit stops. This standard does not apply.

## Chapter 73B: Landscaping Standards

## Section 73B.020. - Landscape Area Standards Minimum Areas by Use and Zone

The following are the minimum areas required to be landscaped for each use and zone: (excerpt)

| Zone | Minimum Area <br> Requirement* | Minimum Area Requirement <br> with dedication for a fish and <br> wildllife habitat* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (5) IN, CN, CO/MR, MC and MP zones- <br> All uses | 25 percent of the total <br> area to be developed | 22.5 percent of the total area <br> to be developed |

Response: The site overall, including the proposed new building and parking, will contain $45.5 \%$ landscape area. This standard is met.

## Section 73B.040. - Additional Minimum Landscaping Requirements for Commercial Uses

(1) General. In addition to requirements in TDC 73B.020, commercial uses, except those located in the Mixed-Use Commercial (MUC) zone, must comply with the following:
(a) All areas not occupied by buildings, parking spaces, driveways, drive aisles, pedestrian areas, or undisturbed natural areas must be landscaped.
(i) This standard does not apply to areas subject to the Hedges Creek Wetlands Mitigation Agreement.
Response: All areas in the proposed development not occupied by buildings, parking spaces, driveways, drive aisles, pedestrian areas, or undisturbed natural areas will be landscaped. See landscape plan, sheets L0.01 through L1.15. This standard is met.
(b) Minimum 5-foot-wide landscaped area must be located along all building perimeters viewable by the general public from parking lots or the public right-of-way, but the following may be used instead of the 5-foot-wide landscaped area requirement:
(i) Pedestrian amenities such as landscaped plazas and arcades; and
(ii) Areas developed with pavers, bricks, or other surfaces, for exclusive pedestrian use and contain pedestrian amenities, such as benches, tables with umbrellas, children's play areas, shade trees, canopies.
(c) Five-foot wide landscaped area requirement does not apply to:
(i) Loading areas;
(ii) Bicycle parking areas;
(iii) Pedestrian egress/ingress locations; and
(iv) Where the distance along a wall between two vehicle or pedestrian access openings (such as entry doors, garage doors, carports and pedestrian corridors) is less than eight feet.
Response: There is one new building proposed with landscaping wider than 5' along all applicable site perimeters. See sheet L1.14 in Attachment 2. This standard is met.
(d) Development that abuts an RL or MP Zone must have landscaping approved through Architectural Review and must provide and perpetually maintain dense, evergreen landscaped buffers between allowed uses and the adjacent RL and MP zones.

Response: The proposed development abuts MP-zoned land to the east, west, and south of the subject property. There is RL-zoned property to the north of the Lam property, on the opposite side of SW Tualatin Road). A landscape plan is included in this Architectural Review application. See sheets L0.01 through L1.15. This standard is met.
(2) Manufacturing Park (MP)—Wetland Buffer. Wetland buffer areas up to 50 feet in width may be counted toward the required percentage of site landscaping, subject to the following:...
Response: No wetland buffers exist on the site. This standard does not apply.

## Section 73B.080. - Minimum Landscaping Standards for All Zones

The following are minimum standards for landscaping for all zones.

| (1) Required Landscape Areas | - Must be designed, constructed, installed, and maintained so that within three years the ground must be covered by living grass or other plant materials. <br> - The foliage crown of trees cannot be used to meet this requirement. <br> - A maximum of ten percent of the landscaped area may be covered with un-vegetated areas of bark chips, rock or stone. <br> - Must be installed in accordance with the provisions of the American National Standards Institute ANSI A300 (Part 1) (Latest Edition). <br> - Must be controlled by pruning, trimming, or otherwise so that: <br> - It will not interfere with designated pedestrian or vehicular access; and <br> - It will not constitute a traffic hazard because of reduced visibility. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Response: | Landscape areas will be designed, constructed, installed, and maintained so that within three years, the ground will be covered with living grass or other plant material. Less than $10 \%$ of the landscaped area will be covered with bark chips, rock, or stone. All landscaping will be installed in accordance with the provisions of the ANSI A300. All will be controlled with pruning and trimming. No landscaping will interfere with pedestrian or vehicular access and will not create reduced visibility for traffic. These are all shown in landscape plans and discussed in Planting Notes on L0.01. This standard is met. |
| (2) Fences | - Landscape plans that include fences must integrate any fencing into the plan to guide wild animals toward animal crossings under, over, or around transportation corridors. |
| Response: | No landscape fencing is proposed. This standard does not apply. |
| (3) Tree Preservation | - Trees and other plant materials to be retained must be identified on the landscape plan and grading plan. <br> - During construction: <br> - Must provide above and below ground protection for existing trees and plant materials identified to remain; <br> - Trees and plant materials identified for preservation must be protected by chain link or other sturdy fencing placed around the tree at the drip line; |


|  | - If it is necessary to fence within the drip line, such fencing must be specified by a qualified arborist; <br> - Top soil storage and construction material storage must not be located within the drip line of trees designated to be preserved; <br> - Where site conditions make necessary a grading, building, paving, trenching, boring, digging, or other similar encroachment upon a preserved tree's drip-line area, such grading, paving, trenching, boring, digging, or similar encroachment must only be permitted under the direction of a qualified arborist. Such direction must assure that the health needs of trees within the preserved area can be met; and <br> - Tree root ends must not remain exposed. <br> - Landscaping under preserved trees must be compatible with the retention and health of the preserved tree. <br> - When it is necessary for a preserved tree to be removed in accordance with TDC $\mathbf{3 3 . 1 1 0}$ (Tree Removal Permit) the landscaped area surrounding the tree or trees must be maintained and replanted with trees that relate to the present landscape plan, or if there is no landscape plan, then trees that are complementary with existing, landscape materials. Native trees are encouraged. <br> - 100 percent of the area preserved under any tree or group of trees (Except for impervious surface areas) retained in the landscape plan must apply directly to the percentage of landscaping required for a development |
| :---: | :---: |
| Response: | Trees and plant materials to be retained are identified in the Tree Preservation plan. During construction, all preservation standards will be followed. Landscaping under preserved trees will be compatible with the preserved tree. Tree removal will only be necessary for the proposed building and parking areas. All landscaping requirements will be followed for these areas in accordance with the TDC landscaping standards. See tree preservation plan (sheets C1.01 and C1.02) and landscape plans. This standard is met. |
| (4) Grading | - After completion of site grading, top-soil is to be restored to exposed cut and fill areas to provide a suitable base for seeding and planting. <br> - All planting areas must be graded to provide positive drainage. <br> - Soil, water, plant materials, mulch, or other materials must not be allowed to wash across roadways or walkways. <br> - Impervious surface drainage must be directed away from pedestrian walkways, dwelling units, buildings, outdoor private and shared areas and landscape areas except where the landscape area is a water quality facility. |
| Response: | After grading, topsoil will be restored to provide a suitable base for seeding and planting. All planting areas will be graded to provide positive drainage. Soil, water, plant material, and mulch will not be allowed to wash across roadways and walkways. Impervious surface drainage will be directed away from walkways, buildings, outdoor shared areas, and landscape areas. This standard is met. |
| (5) Irrigation | - Landscaped areas must be irrigated with an automatic underground or drip irrigation system. |


| Response: | Landscape areas will be irrigated with an automatic underground or drip irrigation system, as shown <br> in landscape notes on sheet L0.01. This standard is met. |
| :---: | :--- |
| (6) Re-vegetation |  |
| in Un-landscaped |  |
| Areas |  |$\quad$| - Vegetation must be replanted in all areas where vegetation has been removed or damaged in |
| :--- |
| areas not affected by the landscaping requirements and that are not to be occupied by structures |
| or other improvements. |
| - minimum of two growing seasons. |
| The use of native plant materials is encouraged to reduce irrigation and maintenance demands. |
| - Disturbed soils should be amended to an original or higher level of porosity to regain infiltration |
| and stormwater storage capacity. |

Section 73B.090. - Minimum Standards Trees and Plants

The following minimum standards apply to the types of landscaping required to be installed for all zones.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { - One and on-half inch caliper measured six inches above ground; } \\ \text { - Balled and burlapped; bare root trees will be acceptable to plant during their } \\ \text { dormant season; }\end{array} \\ \text { (1) Deciduous Shade Trees } \\ \text { - Reach a mature height of } 30 \text { feet or more; } \\ \text { - Cast moderate to dense shade in summer; } \\ \text { - Live over } 60 \text { years; } \\ \text { - Do well in urban environments, tolerant of pollution and heat, and resistant to } \\ \text { drought; } \\ \text { - Require little maintenance and mechanically strong; } \\ \text { - Insect- and disease-resistant; } \\ \text { - Require little pruning; and }\end{array}\right\}$

|  | dormant season; and <br> - Healthy, disease-free, damage-free, well-branched stock, characteristic of the <br> species. |
| :--- | :--- |
| (4) Evergreen and Deciduous <br> Shrubs | - One to five gallon size; <br> - Healthy, disease-free, damage-free, well-branched stock, characteristic of the <br> species; and <br> - Side of shrub with best foliage must be oriented to public view. |
| (5) Groundcovers | - Fully rooted; <br> - Well branched or leafed; <br> - Healthy, disease-free, damage-free, well-branched stock, characteristic of the <br> species; and <br> - English ivy (Hedera helix) is prohibited. |
| (6) Lawns | - Consist of grasses, including sod, or seeds of acceptable mix within the local <br> landscape industry; <br> - 100 percent coverage and weed free; and <br> - Healthy, disease-free, damage-free, characteristic of the species. |

Response: All proposed trees, groundcover, and lawn meet the standards of the trees and plants table above, as shown in the planting schedule on sheet L.01. This standard is met.

## Chapter 73C: Parking Standards

## General

## Section 73C.010. - Off-Street Parking and Loading Applicability and General Requirements

(1) Applicability. Off-street parking and loading is required to be provided by the owner and/or developer, in all zones, whenever the following occurs:
(a) Establishment of a new structure or use;
(b) Change in use; or
(c) Change in use of an existing structure.

Response: The proposal includes the net addition of approximately 545 parking spaces in conjunction with the new building. The standards for parking will be met as shown in the following sections.
(2) General Requirements. Off-street parking spaces, off-street vanpool and carpool parking spaces, off-street bicycle parking, and off-street loading berths must be as provided as set forth in TDC 73C.100, unless greater requirements are otherwise established by the conditional use permit or the Architectural Review process.
(a) The following apply to property and/or use with respect to the provisions of TDC 73C.100:
(i) The requirements apply to both the existing structure and use, and enlarging a structure or use;
(ii) The floor area is measured by gross floor area of the building primary to the function of the particular use of the property other than space devoted to offstreet parking or loading;
(iii) Where employees are specified, the term applies to all persons, including proprietors, working on the premises during the peak shift;
(iv) Calculations to determine the number of required parking spaces and loading berths must be rounded to the nearest whole number;
(v) If the use of a property changes, thereby increasing off-street parking or loading requirements, the increased parking/loading area must be provided prior to commencement of the new use;
(vi) Parking and loading requirements for structures not specifically listed herein must be determined by the City Manager, based upon requirements of comparable uses listed;
(vii) When several uses occupy a single structure, the total requirements for off-street parking may be the sum of the requirements of the several uses computed separately or be computed in accordance with TDC 73.370(1)(m), Joint Use Parking;
Response: The parking requirements for the site were set by IMP 00-01. Per that IMP, 2,750 spaces were approved for full build-out, with the entire campus to be parked at the Manufacturing ratio of 1.6 spaces per 1,000 SF of gross floor area. Based on that approach, the minimum required parking associated with the new building is 192 spaces.

As shown on sheet C1.10:

- The existing site has total parking of 1,377 spaces, 29 of which are accessible.
- The proposed development will eliminate 37 of those (four accessible).
- It will add a total of 586 spaces (eight accessible).
- The project will bring total on-site parking to 1,926 spaces, of which 33 will be accessible.

Therefore, the resulting on-site parking count remains below the planned campuswide total, and the applicable parking standards are satisfied. Specific additional standards of this chapter are addressed below.
(viii) Off-street parking spaces for dwellings must be located on the same lot with the dwelling. Other required parking spaces may be located on a separate parcel, provided the parcel is not greater than five hundred (500) feet from the entrance to the building to be served, measured along the shortest pedestrian route to the building. The applicant must prove that the parking located on another parcel is functionally located and that there is safe vehicular and pedestrian access to and from the site. The parcel upon which parking facilities are located must be in the same ownership as the structure;
Response: The proposal is not for dwelling units. This standard does not apply.
(ix) Required parking spaces must be available for the parking of operable passenger automobiles of residents, customers, patrons and employees and must not be used for storage of vehicles or materials or for the parking of trucks used in conducting the business;
Response: All proposed parking will be available for automobiles of customers, patrons, and employees. They will not be used for storage of vehicles or materials, or for the parking of trucks. This standard is met.
(x) Institution of on-street parking, where none is previously provided, must not be done solely for the purpose of relieving crowded parking lots in commercial or industrial zones;
Response: No on-street parking is proposed. This standard does not apply.
(xi) Required vanpool and carpool parking must meet the 9-foot parking stall standards in Figure 73-1 and be identified with appropriate signage;
Response: All vanpool and carpool parking spaces are 9 ' in width, as shown on sheets C1.11-C1.14. This standard is met.
(xii) Where uses are mixed in a single building, parking must be a blend of the ratio required less ten percent for the minimum number of spaces. The maximum number of spaces must be ten percent less than the total permitted maximum for each use; and
Response: Mixed uses are not proposed for the new building. This standard does not apply.
(xiii) If the applicant demonstrates that too many or too few parking spaces are required, applicant may seek a variance from the minimum or maximum by providing evidence that the particular use needs more or less than the amount specified in this Code.
Response: No variance is being sought. This standard does not apply.

## Section 73C.020. - Parking Lot Design Standards

A parking lot, whether an accessory or principal use, intended for the parking of automobiles or trucks, must comply with the following:
(1) Off-street parking lot design must comply with the dimensional standards set forth in Figure 73-1;
(a) Exception: Parking structures and underground parking where stall length and width requirements for a standard size stall must be reduced by one-half feet and vehicular access at the entrance if gated must be a minimum of 18 feet in width.
Response: This standard applies and will be met as shown in the following sections of Chapter 73C. The exception in subparagraph (a) is not applicable as no parking structures or underground parking are proposed.
(2) Parking lots and parking areas must be constructed of asphalt, concrete, pervious concrete, pavers, or grasscrete. Gravel is not an acceptable material;
Response: All proposed parking areas will be constructed of asphalt. This standard is met.
(3) Parking stalls must be constructed of asphalt, concrete, pervious concrete, pavers, or grasscrete. Gravel or woody material are not an acceptable materials. Pavers, pervious concrete, or grasscrete are encouraged for parking stalls in or abutting the Natural Resource Protection Overlay District, Other Natural Areas, or in a Clean Water Services Vegetated Corridor;
Response: All proposed parking stalls will be constructed of asphalt. There is no Natural Resource Protection Overlay, Natural Area, or CWS Vegetated Corridor present on the site. This standard is met.
(4) Parking lots must be maintained adequately for all-weather use and drained to avoid water flow across sidewalks;

Response: New basins and swales will be located near the new parking. Detention will be provided through the existing four storm ponds with enlargement of the eastern pond as needed (see Attachment 10). This standard is met.
(5) Parking bumpers or wheel stops or curbing must be provided to prevent cars from encroaching on adjacent landscaped areas, or adjacent pedestrian walkways.
Response: Vertical curbs are proposed along all landscaped and pedestrian areas adjacent to the proposed parking. See sheet C1.13. This standard is met.
(6) Disability parking spaces and accessibility must meet ADA standards applicable at time of construction or alteration;
Response: There are 33 existing accessible parking spaces across the site as a whole. The proposal includes the removal of four accessible spaces and the addition of eight new accessible spaces, resulting in a total of 33 accessible parking stalls. Compliance with building code accessibility requirements can be verified during the building permit approval process. This standard is met.
(7) Parking stalls for sub-compact vehicles must not exceed 35 percent of the total parking stalls required by TDC 73C.100. Stalls in excess of the number required by TDC 73C. 100 can be subcompact stalls;
Response: The proposal does not include sub-compact parking stalls. This standard does not apply.
(8) Groups of more than four parking spaces must be so located and served by driveways that their use will require no backing movements or other maneuvering within a street right-of-way other than an alley;
Response: None of the proposed parking will require maneuvering within a street right-of-way (see sheet C1.13). This standard is met.
(9) Drives to off-street parking areas must be designed and constructed to facilitate the flow of traffic, provide maximum safety of traffic access and egress, and maximum safety of pedestrians and vehicular traffic on the site;
Response: Proposed drives have been designed by certified civil engineers in coordination with the City. This standard is met.
(10) On-site drive aisles without parking spaces, which provide access to parking areas with regular spaces or with a mix of regular and sub-compact spaces, must have a minimum width of 22 feet for two-way traffic and 12 feet for one-way traffic; When 90 degree stalls are located on both sides of a drive aisle, a minimum of 24 feet of aisle is required. On-site drive aisles without parking spaces, which provide access to parking areas with only sub-compact spaces, must have a minimum width of 20 feet for two-way traffic and 12 feet for one-way traffic;
Response: All proposed drive aisles are a minimum of 24 ' wide. This standard is met.
(11) Artificial lighting, must be deflected to not shine or create direct glare on adjacent properties, street right-of-way, a Natural Resource Protection Overlay District, Other Natural Areas, or a Clean Water Services Vegetated Corridor;
Response: No proposed lighting will shine or create direct glare on adjacent properties, street rights of way, a Natural Resource Protection Overlay District, Other Natural Areas, or a Clean Water Services Vegetated Corridor. See photometric plans on sheets C2.10 to C2.15 of Attachment 2. This standard is met.
(12) Parking lot landscaping must be provided pursuant to the requirements of TDC 73C.200; and

Response: See section 73C. 200 responses. This standard is met.
(13) Except for parking to serve residential uses, parking areas adjacent to or within residential zones or adjacent to residential uses must be designed to minimize disturbance of residents.
Response: The proposed parking in the northwest corner of the site (across the street from a residential zone) has a large setback of over 107' with existing drainage channels that will remain. Access to this proposed lot will be by way of a private drive on the western border of the lot. This design approach minimizes disturbance of nearby residents and meets this standard.

## Section 73C.030. - Shared Parking Requirements

Parking facilities may be shared by users on adjacent parcels if the following standards are met:
...
Response: The site is part of an IMP which includes three parcels, all of which are under the same ownership and are used as an industrial campus for Lam Research. Existing and proposed parking facilities meet all standards, and shared parking facilities are not needed. This standard does not apply.

## Section 73C.040. - Joint Use Parking Requirements

Response: As mentioned above, the site is part of an IMP which includes three parcels under the same ownership in use as an industrial campus for Lam Research. This standard does not apply.

## Section 73C.050. - Bicycle Parking Requirements and Standards

(1) Requirements. Bicycle parking facilities must include:
(a) Long-term parking that consists of covered, secure stationary racks, lockable enclosures, or rooms in which the bicycle is stored;
(i) Long-term bicycle parking facilities may be provided inside a building in suitable secure and accessible locations.
(b) Short-term parking provided by secure stationary racks (covered or not covered), which accommodate a bicyclist's lock securing the frame and both wheels.
Response: Based on bicycle requirements for 120,000 SF of new floor area, the proposal includes 5 new long-term bike lockers and 14 new short-term bike spaces with racks. See sheet L1.15 for details. This standard is met.
(2) Standards. Bicycle parking must comply with the following:
(a) Each bicycle parking space must be at least six feet long and two feet wide, with overhead clearance in covered areas must be at least seven feet;
(b) A five-foot-wide bicycle maneuvering area must be provided beside or between each row of bicycle parking. It must be constructed of concrete, asphalt, or a pervious hard surface such as pavers or grasscrete, and be maintained;
(c) Access to bicycle parking must be provided by an area at least three feet in width. It must be constructed of concrete, asphalt, or a pervious hard surface such as pavers or grasscrete, and be maintained;
(d) Bicycle parking areas and facilities must be identified with appropriate signing as specified in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) (latest edition). At a minimum, bicycle parking signs must be located at the main entrance and at the location of the bicycle parking facilities;
(e) Bicycle parking must be located in convenient, secure, and well-lighted locations approved through the Architectural Review process. Lighting, which may be provided, must be
deflected to not shine or create glare into street rights-of-way or fish and wildlife habitat areas;
(f) Required bicycle parking spaces must be provided at no cost to the bicyclist, or with only a nominal charge for key deposits, etc. This does not preclude the operation of private forprofit bicycle parking businesses;
(g) Bicycle parking may be provided within the public right-of-way in the Core Area Parking District subject to approval of the City Engineer and provided it meets the other requirements for bicycle parking; and
(h) The City Manager or the Architectural Review Board may approve a form of bicycle parking not specified in these provisions but that meets the needs of long-term and/or short-term parking pursuant to Architectural Review.
Response: As shown on sheet L1.15, all bicycle spaces are 6 ' long and 2 ' wide with 5 ' maneuvering space. All access is at least $3^{\prime}$ in width and located on hard surface. The bike spaces are conveniently located near entrances and sidewalks. Signs will be located at the main entrance and at the location of the bike parking itself. This standard is met.

## Section 73C.100. - Off-Street Parking Minimum/Maximum Requirements

(1) The following are the minimum and maximum requirements for off-street motor vehicle parking in the City, except these standards do not apply in the Core Area Parking District. The Core Area Parking District standards are in TDC 73C.110.
Response: As described above in the response to 73C.010, the parking requirements for the site were set by IMP 00-01. Per that IMP, 2,750 spaces were approved for full build-out, with the entire campus to be parked at the Manufacturing ratio of 1.6 spaces per 1,000 SF of gross floor area. Based on that approach, the minimum required parking associated with the new building is 192 spaces. This section does not apply to vehicle parking.

Bicycle parking at the Manufacturing ratio of 0.10 per 1,000 SF of floor area will require a minimum of 12 additional bike parking spaces, of which five need to be long-term spaces. The proposal includes the addition of 14 new short-term and 5 new long-term spaces. The proposed provision of vehicle and bicycle parking is therefore consistent with the approved IMP.
(2) In addition to the general parking requirements in subsection (1), the following are the minimum number of off-street vanpool and carpool parking for commercial, institutional, and industrial uses.

| Number of Required Parking Spaces | Number of Vanpool or Carpool Spaces |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 to 10 | 1 |
| 10 to 25 | 2 |
| 26 and greater | 1 for each 25 spaces |

Response: The proposed building requires 192 parking spaces. As such, 8 vanpool/carpool spaces are required for the new parking areas. As shown on sheet C1.10, 12 spaces are provided. This standard is met.

## Section 73C.120. - Off-Street Loading Facilities Minimum Requirements

(1) The minimum number of off-street loading berths for commercial, industrial, and institutional uses is as follows:

| Use | Square Feet of <br> Floor Area | Number of <br> Berths | Dimensions of <br> Berth | Unobstructed <br> Clearance of Berth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Commercial | Less than 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $5,000-25,000$ | 1 | 12 feet $\times 25$ feet | 14 feet |
|  | $25,000-60,000$ | 2 | 12 feet $\times 35$ feet | 14 feet |
| Industrial | Less than 5,000 | 0 | 12 feet $\times 35$ feet | 14 feet |
|  | $5,000-25,000$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $25,000-60,000$ | 2 | 12 feet $\times 60$ feet | 14 feet |
|  | 60,000 and over | 3 | 12 feet $\times 60$ feet | 14 feet |
|  |  | 12 feet $\times 60$ feet | 14 feet |  |

Response: The existing site development includes numerous existing buildings and loading dock facilities, such that the property complies with the minimum three loading berth requirement for industrial facilities exceeding 60,000 SF. The proposed new building will add 120,000 SF and will have two loading docks; with that addition the property will remain in compliance with this standard. Compliant dimensions for the proposed loading docks are provided on sheet A4.10. This standard is met.
(2) Loading berths must not use the public right-of-way as part of the required off-street loading area. Response: Proposed loading berths do not use public right of way. This standard is met.
(3) Required loading areas must be screened from public view, public streets, and adjacent properties by means of sight-obscuring landscaping, walls or other means, as approved through the Architectural Review process.
Response: Existing and proposed loading docks are not visible from public areas or adjacent properties. This standard is met.
(4) Required loading facilities must be installed prior to final building inspection and must be permanently maintained as a condition of use.
Response: Proposed loading facilities will be installed prior to final building inspection and will be permanently maintained. This standard is met.
(5) The off-street loading facilities must in all cases be on the same lot or parcel as the structure they are intended to serve. In no case must the required off-street loading spaces be part of the area used to satisfy the off-street parking requirements.

Response: All proposed and existing off-street loading facilities associated with the proposed new building are on the same lot as the structure they will serve and are not part of the area used to satisfy off-street parking. See sheet C1.11 for loading dock locations. This standard is met.
(6) A driveway designed for continuous forward flow of passenger vehicles for the purpose of loading and unloading children must be located on the site of a school or child day care center having a capacity greater than 25 students.
Response: The site does not have or propose a school or childcare center. This standard does not apply.

## Section 73C.130. - Parking Lot Driveway and Walkway Minimum Requirements

Parking lot driveways and walkways must comply with the following requirements:
(2) Commercial Uses. Ingress and egress for commercial and institutional uses must not be less than the following:

| Required <br> Parking <br> Spaces | Minimum <br> Number <br> Required | Minimum Pavement <br> Width | Minimum Pavement <br> Walkways, etc. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-99$ | 1 | 32 feet for first 50 feet from ROW, <br> 24 feet thereafter | Curbs required; walkway 1 side <br> only |
| $100-249$ | 2 | 32 feet for first 50 feet from ROW, <br> 24 feet thereafter | Curbs required; walkway 1 side <br> only |
| Over 250 | As required by <br> City Manager | As required by <br> City Manager | As required by <br> City Manager |

(3) Industrial Use. Ingress and egress for industrial uses must not be less than the following:

| Required <br> Parking <br> Spaces | Minimum <br> Number <br> Required | Minimum Pavement <br> Width | Minimum Pavement <br> Walkways, etc. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-250$ | 1 | 36 feet for first 50 ' from ROW, 24 <br> feet thereafter | No curbs or walkway required |
| Over 250 | As required by <br> City Manager | As required by <br> City Manager | As required by <br> City Manager |

Response: The proposal is for an office building accessory to a permitted manufacturing use on an industrial site in the MP District. Driveways have been designed in coordination with City Engineering staff and have been sized to accommodate anticipated traffic. Proposed new driveways are more than 36 ' wide for the first 50' from ROW and more than 24 ' wide thereafter. See sheets C 1.13 and C 1.14 . This standard is met.
(4) Institutional Uses. Ingress and egress must not be less than 24 feet. In all other cases, ingress and egress for institutional uses must not be less than the following: ...
Response: The proposal is for an office building on a manufacturing site. This section does not apply.
(5) One-way Ingress or Egress. When approved through the Architectural Review process, one-way ingress or egress may be used to satisfy the requirements. However, the hard surfaced pavement of one-way drives must not be less than 16 feet for multi-family residential developments (as defined in TDC 31.060), commercial, or industrial uses.
Response: A one-way ingress or egress is not proposed. This standard does not apply.
(6) Maximum Driveway Widths and Other Requirements.
(a) Unless otherwise provided in this chapter, maximum driveway widths for Commercial, Industrial, and Institutional uses must not exceed 40 feet.
Response: As mentioned in responses to Sections 73C. 130 (2) and (3) above, driveways are a maximum of 36 ' wide.
(b) Driveways must not be constructed within five feet of an adjacent property line, unless the two adjacent property owners elect to provide joint access to their respective properties, as provided by TDC73C. 040 .
Response: No driveways are proposed within 5' of an adjacent property line. This standard is met.
(c) The provisions of subsection (b) do not apply to townhouses, duplexes, triplexes, quadplexes, and cottage clusters which are allowed to construct driveways within five feet of adjacent property lines.
Response: The proposal is not residential. This standard does not apply.
(d) There must be a minimum distance of 40 feet between any two adjacent driveways on a single property unless a lesser distance is approved by the City Manager.
Response: No proposed driveway is within 40' of another driveway. This standard is met.
(e) Must comply with the distance requirements for access as provided in TDC 75.

Response: The proposal complies with distance requirements of TDC 75. See Section 75. This standard is met.
(f) Must comply with vision clearance requirements in TDC 75.

Response: The proposal complies with the requirements of TDC 75. See Section 75. This standard is met.

## Parking Lot Landscaping

## Section 73C.220. - Commercial Parking Lot Landscaping Requirements

Response: IMP 00-01 modified some parking lot landscaping requirements. Where the current TDC standards do not conflict, they are addressed below and met.

Commercial uses must comply with the following landscaping requirements for parking lots in all zones:
(1) General. Locate landscaping or approved substitute materials in all areas not necessary for vehicular parking and maneuvering.

Response: As shown on Landscape plans, sheets L0.01 through L1.15, landscaping or approved materials will be located in all areas not necessary for vehicular parking and maneuvering. This standard is met.
(2) Clear Zone. Clear zone required for the driver at ends of on-site drive aisles and at driveway entrances, vertically between a maximum of 30 inches and a minimum of eight feet as measured from the ground level.
(a) Exception: does not apply to parking structures and underground parking.

Response: Clear zones are present at the ends of all on-site drive aisles and driveway entrances between $30 "$ and $8^{\prime}$ from the ground level. See sheets L0.01 through L1.15. This standard is met.
(3) Perimeter. Minimum five feet in width in all off-street parking and vehicular circulation areas, including loading areas and must comply with the following.
(a) Deciduous trees located not more than 30 feet apart on average as measured on center;
(b) Shrubs or ground cover, planted so as to achieve 90 percent coverage within three years;
(c) Plantings which reach a mature height of 30 inches in three years which provide screening of vehicular headlights year round;
(d) Native trees and shrubs are encouraged; and
(e) Exception: Not required where off-street parking areas on separate lots are adjacent to one another and connected by vehicular access.
Response: More than 5' of perimeter landscaping exists around all parking, circulation, and loading areas, as shown on L1.10-L1.14. Deciduous trees are located less than 30' apart on average, shrubs and ground cover will achieve $90 \%$ coverage within three years, and plantings with 30 " mature height within three years will provide screening of vehicular light year around. See landscape plans. This standard is met.
(4) Landscape Island. Minimum 25 square feet per parking stall must be improved with landscape island areas and must comply with the following.
(a) May be lower than the surrounding parking surface to allow them to receive stormwater run-off and function as water quality facilities as well as parking lot landscaping;
Response: No below-grade islands are proposed. This option is not used.
(b) Must be protected from vehicles by curbs, but the curbs may have spaces to allow drainage into the islands;
Response: All landscape islands will have curbs, as shown on C1.11-C1.14. This standard is met.
(c) Islands must be utilized at aisle ends to protect parked vehicles from moving vehicles and emphasize vehicular circulation patterns;
Response: Landscape islands are proposed at every aisle end. This standard is met.
(d) Landscape separation required for every eight continuous spaces in a row.

Response: The south end of the site has landscape islands at least every 12 continuous spaces per the approved IMP 00-01 alternative method for parking lot landscaping. The north side of the site has landscape islands every 8 spaces. See Landscape plans L1.10-L1.16. This standard is met.
(e) Must be planted with one deciduous shade trees for every four parking spaces; Required trees must be evenly dispersed throughout the parking lot;
Response: Per the IMP 00-01 alternative parking landscape methods, more than one deciduous tree is proposed for every 9 parking stalls in the south half of the site ( 83 trees proposed for 578 new stalls, in excess of the minimum of 65 for these stalls). This standard is met.
(f) Must be planted with groundcover or shrubs;

Response: All landscape islands are planted with groundcover and shrubs. See sheets L1.10-L1.16. This standard is met.
(g) Native plant materials are encouraged;

Response: Many native plants are used.
(h) Landscape island areas with trees must be a minimum of five feet in width (from inside of curb to curb);
(i) Required plant material in landscape islands must achieve 90 percent coverage within three years; and
(j) Exceptions:
(i) Landscape island requirements do not apply to Duplexes and Townhouses; and
(ii) Landscape square footage requirements do not apply to parking structures and underground parking.
Response: As shown in the civil and landscape plans, landscape islands are at least 5' wide. This standard is met.
(5) Driveway Access. For lots with 12 or more parking spaces, site access from the public street must be defined by:
(a) Landscape area at least five feet in width on each side of the site access;
(b) Landscape area must extend 25 feet from the right-of-way line; and
(c) Exceptions: Does not apply to parking structures and underground parking which must be determined through the Architectural Review process.
Response: All proposed driveway accesses have more than 5' of landscape area on each side which extends more than 2' from the right-of-way line, as shown on L1.13 and L1.14. This standard is met.

## Chapter 73D: Waste and Recyclables Management Standards

## Section 73D.020. - Design Methods

An applicant required to provide mixed solid waste and source separated recyclables storage areas must comply with one of following methods:
(1) The minimum standards method in TDSC 73D.030;
(2) The waste assessment method in TDC 73D.040;
(3) The comprehensive recycling plan method in TDC 73D.050; or
(4) The franchised hauler review method in TDC 73D.060.

Response: The proposed new building will comply with the minimum standards method of 73D.030 as discussed below. This standard is met.

## Section 73D.030. - Minimum Standards Method

This method specifies a minimum storage area requirement based on the size and general use category of the new or expanded development. This method is most appropriate when specific use of a new or expanded development is not known. It provides specific dimensional standards for the minimum size of storage areas by general use category.
(1) The size and location of the storage area(s) must be indicated on the site plan. Requirements are based on an assumed storage area height of four feet for mixed solid waste and source separated
recyclables. Vertical storage higher than four feet, but no higher than seven feet may be used to accommodate the same volume of storage in a reduced floor space (potential reduction of 43 percent of specific requirements). Where vertical or stacked storage is proposed, submitted plans must include drawings to illustrate the layout of the storage area and dimensions for containers.
(2) The storage area requirement is based on uses. If a building has more than one use and that use occupies 20 percent or less of the gross leasable area (GLA) of the building, the GLA occupied by that use must be counted toward the floor area of the predominant use(s). If a building has more than one use and that use occupies more than 20 percent of the GLA of the building, then the storage area requirement for the whole building must be the sum of the area of each use. Minimum storage area requirements by use is as follows:
(a) Common wall residential five to ten units must provide 50 square feet.
(b) Common wall residential greater than ten units must provide 50 square feet plus an (additional five square feet per unit above ten.
(c) Commercial, industrial, and institutional developments must provide a minimum storage area of ten square feet plus:
(i) Office-Four square feet/1,000 square feet gross leasable area (GLA);
(ii) Retail—Ten square feet/1,000 square feet GLA;
(iii) Wholesale/Warehouse/Manufacturing-Six square feet/1,000 square feet GLA;
(iv) Educational and Institutional-Four square feet/1,000 square feet GLA; and
(v) All other uses-Four square feet/1,000 square feet GLA.

Response: The proposed new office building has 200 SF of standard storage area plus a 160 SF, 30 CU YD trash compactor as shown on A4.10. This standard is met.
(3) Mixed solid waste and source separated recyclables storage areas for multiple tenants on a single site may be combined and shared.
Response: The site is occupied by a single tenant/owner. This provision is not applicable.

## Section 73D.070. - Location, Design and Access Standards

The following location, design, and access standards are applicable to all storage areas:
(1) Location Standards.
(a) The storage area for source separated recyclables may be collocated with the storage area for mixed solid waste.
Response: Combined waste storage areas are proposed. This option is proposed.
(b) Storage area space requirements can be satisfied with a single location or multiple locations, and can combine both interior and exterior locations.
Response: As shown on A4.10, an exterior trash enclosure and trash compactor are proposed.
(c) Exterior storage areas must:
(i) Be located in central and visible locations on the site to enhance security for users;
(ii) Be located in a parking area; and
(iii) Not be located within a required front yard setback or in a yard adjacent to a public or private street.
Response: As shown on A4.10, trash areas will be located near the loading dock on the east side of the building and will not be located in the setback area.
(2) Design Standards.
(a) The dimensions of the storage area must accommodate containers consistent with current methods of local collection at time of construction or alteration.
Response: The trash enclosure is $25^{\prime}$ wide and 10' deep with two 8 cubic yard bins plus excess space for pallet storage from the kitchen area. The trash compactor is a 265XP-30, self-contained 30 cubic yard compactor. This standard is met.
(b) Indoor and outdoor storage areas must comply with Oregon Building and Fire Code requirements.
Response: The construction type of the building is IIA, which does not require a fire rating for nonbearing exterior walls. The exterior wall construction is concrete tilt up which is noncombustible per 304.3 of the OFC. This standard is met.
(c) Exterior storage areas must be enclosed by a sight obscuring fence or wall at least six feet in height.
Response: The trash enclosure will be enclosed by a minimum 6' height wall matching the building construction. This standard is met.
(d) Evergreen plants must be placed around the enclosure walls, excluding the gate or entrance openings for common wall, commercial, and institutional developments.
Response: As shown on L1.15, landscaping is shown around the loading dock and trash enclosure.
This standard is met.
(e) Gate openings for haulers must be a minimum of ten feet wide and must be capable of being secured in a closed and open position.
Response: As shown on C1.11, there will be two sets of double gates each 10' wide. These gates will be locked with padlocks for building users only. This standard is met.
(f) Horizontal clearance must be a minimum of ten feet and a vertical clearance of eight feet is required if the storage area is covered.
Response: The storage area will not be covered and is about $24^{\prime}$ wide. This standard is met.
(g) A separate pedestrian access must also be provided in common wall, commercial, and institutional developments.
Response: Access to the trash compactor and trash enclosure is through the loading dock and there are dedicated personnel doors from both the commercial kitchen and circulation spaces to avoid needing to pass through the main building entrance. This standard is met.
(h) Exterior storage areas must have either a concrete or asphalt floor surface.
(i) Storage areas and containers must be clearly labeled to indicate the type of material accepted.
Response: Trash enclosure area will be concrete floor surface and bins will be labeled by the hauler service. This standard is met.
(3) Access Standards.
(a) Storage areas must be accessible to users at convenient times of the day, and to hauler personnel on the day and approximate time they are scheduled to provide hauler service.
Response: Clear circulation paths will be provided to both building users and hauler provider that will not be blocked by any other delivery services and access is always provided. This standard is met.
(b) Storage areas must be designed to be easily accessible to hauler trucks and equipment, considering paving, grade, gate clearance and vehicle access.
Response: As the trash enclosure will be located immediately next to the loading dock and the trash compactor is located in the loading dock, the hauler provider will have the same maneuvering clearances that delivery trucks are provided. This standard is met.
(c) Storage areas must be accessible to hauler trucks without requiring backing out of a driveway onto a public street. If only a single access point is available to the storage area, adequate turning radius must be provided to allow hauler trucks to safely exit the site in a forward motion.
Response: The loading area/trash enclosure is accessed via a one-way delivery drive off Leviton, and then trucks will egress through the southern new driveway to 108th. The provided truck court will provide ample maneuvering clearance on site and not require any backing onto a driveway or public street. This standard is met.
(d) Storage areas must located so that pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement are not obstructed on site or on public streets adjacent to the site.
Response: The dedicated loading/trash enclosure has separate truck/pedestrian accesses which is independent from driveways and public streets. This standard is met.
(e) The following is an exception to the access standard:
(i) Access may be limited for security reasons.

Response: Gate will be locked to the trash enclosure. This standard is met.

## Chapter 74: Public Improvement Requirements

## Section 74.110. - Phasing of Improvements.

The applicant may build the development in phases. If the development is to be phased the applicant must submit a phasing plan to the City Manager for approval with the development application. The timing and extent or scope of public improvements and the conditions of development must be determined by the City Council on subdivision applications and by the City Manager on other development applications.
Response: No phasing is proposed. This standard does not apply.

## Section 74.120. - Public Improvements.

(1) Except as specially provided, all public improvements must be installed at the expense of the applicant. All public improvements installed by the applicant must be constructed and guaranteed as to workmanship and material as required by the Public Works Construction Code prior to acceptance by the City. Work must not be undertaken on any public improvement until after the construction plans have been approved by the City Manager and a Public Works Permit issued and the required fees paid.
(2) In accordance with the Tualatin Basin Program for fish and wildlife habitat the City intends to minimize or eliminate the negative impacts of public streets by modifying right-of-way widths and street improvements when appropriate. The City Manager is authorized to modify right-of-way widths and street improvements to address the negative impacts on fish and wildlife habitat.
Response: All public improvements will be installed at the expense of the applicant and constructed according to the Public Works Construction Code. Plans will be approved prior to construction. Any authorized modifications to street improvements will be followed. This standard is met.

## Section 74.130. - Private Improvements.

All private improvements must be installed at the expense of the applicant. The property owner must retain maintenance responsibilities over all private improvements.
Response: All private improvements will be maintained by property owner. This standard is met.

## Section 74.140. - Construction Timing.

(1) All the public improvements required under this chapter must be completed and accepted by the City prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy; or, for subdivision and partition applications, in accordance with the requirements of the Subdivision regulations.
(2) All private improvements required under this Chapter must be approved by the City prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy; or for subdivision and partition applications, in accordance with the requirements of the Subdivision regulations.
Response: All public and private improvements will be completed and approved before Certificate of Occupancy. This standard is met.

## Right-of-Way

## Section 74.210. - Minimum Street Right-of-Way Widths.

Response: Dedication is proposed along SW 108th Avenue to accommodate the new sidewalk and planter strip to City standards. This standard is met.

## Section 74.220. - Parcels Excluded from Development.

On subdivision development applications ...
Response: The proposal is not for a subdivision. This standard does not apply.

## Easements and Tracts

Section 74.310. - Greenway, Natural Area, Bike, and Pedestrian Path Dedications and Easements.

Response: No greenway, natural area, bike, or pedestrian path dedications or easements are proposed. This standard does not apply.

## Section 74.320. - Slope Easements.

Response: No slope easements are proposed. This standard does not apply.
Section 74.330. - Utility Easements.

Response: No new utility easements are proposed. This standard does not apply.

## Section 74.340. - Watercourse Easements.

Response: No watercourse easements are proposed or required. This standard does not apply.

## Section 74.350. - Maintenance Easement or Lots.

Response: No maintenance easements are proposed or required. This standard does not apply.

## Section 74.410. - Future Street Extensions.

Response: No future street extensions are proposed or required. This standard does not apply.

## Section 74.420. - Street Improvements.

When an applicant proposes to develop land adjacent to an existing or proposed street, including land which has been excluded under TDC 74.220, the applicant should be responsible for the improvements to the adjacent existing or proposed street that will bring the improvement of the street into conformance with the Transportation Plan (TDC Chapter 11), TDC 74.425 (Street Design Standards), and the City's Public Works Construction Code, subject to the following provisions:...
(1) For any development proposed within the City, roadway facilities within the right-of-way described in TDC 74.210 must be improved to standards as set out in the Public Works Construction Code.
(2) The required improvements may include the rebuilding or the reconstruction of any existing facilities located within the right-of-way adjacent to the proposed development to bring the facilities into compliance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(3) The required improvements may include the construction or rebuilding of off-site improvements which are identified to mitigate the impact of the development.
(4) Where development abuts an existing street, the improvement required must apply only to that portion of the street right-of-way located between the property line of the parcel proposed for development and the centerline of the right-of-way, plus any additional pavement beyond the centerline deemed necessary by the City Manager to ensure a smooth transition between a new improvement and the existing roadway (half-street improvement). Additional right-of-way and street improvements and off-site right-of-way and street improvements may be required by the City to mitigate the impact of the development. The new pavement must connect to the existing pavement at the ends of the section being improved by tapering in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(5) If additional improvements are required as part of the Access Management Plan of the City, TDC Chapter 75, the improvements must be required in the same manner as the half-street improvement requirements.
(6) All required street improvements must include curbs, sidewalks with appropriate buffering, storm drainage, street lights, street signs, street trees, and, where designated, bikeways and transit facilities.
(7) For subdivision and partition applications, the street improvements required by TDC Chapter 74 must be completed and accepted by the City prior to signing the final subdivision or partition plat, or prior to releasing the security provided by the applicant to assure completion of such improvements or as otherwise specified in the development application approval.
(8) For development applications other than subdivisions and partitions, all street improvements required by this section must be completed and accepted by the City prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
(9) In addition to land adjacent to an existing or proposed street, the requirements of this section must apply to land separated from such a street only by a railroad right-of-way.
(10) Streets within, or partially within, a proposed development site must be graded for the entire right-of-way width and constructed and surfaced in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(11) Existing streets which abut the proposed development site must be graded, constructed, reconstructed, surfaced or repaired as necessary in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code and TDC Chapter 11, Transportation Plan, and TDC 74.425 (Street Design Standards).
(12) Sidewalks with appropriate buffering must be constructed along both sides of each internal street and at a minimum along the development side of each external street in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(13) The applicant must comply with the requirements of the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), Tri-Met, Washington County and Clackamas County when a proposed development site is adjacent to a roadway under any of their jurisdictions, in addition to the requirements of this chapter.
(14) The applicant must construct any required street improvements adjacent to parcels excluded from development, as set forth in TDC 74.220 of this chapter.
(15) Except as provided in TDC 74.430, whenever an applicant proposes to develop land with frontage on certain arterial streets and, due to the access management provisions of TDC Chapter 75, is not allowed direct access onto the arterial, but instead must take access from another existing or future public street thereby providing an alternate to direct arterial access, the applicant must be required to construct and place at a minimum street signage, a sidewalk, street trees and street lights along that portion of the arterial street adjacent to the applicant's property. The three certain arterial streets are S.W. Tualatin-Sherwood Road, S.W. Pacific Highway (99W) and S.W. 124th Avenue. In addition, the applicant may be required to construct and place on the arterial at the intersection of the arterial and an existing or future public non-arterial street warranted traffic control devices (in accordance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, latest edition), pavement markings, street tapers and turning lanes, in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(16) The City Manager may determine that, although concurrent construction and placement of the improvements in (14) and (15) of this section, either individually or collectively, are impractical at the time of development, the improvements will be necessary at some future date. In such a case, the applicant must sign a written agreement guaranteeing future performance by the applicant and any successors in interest of the property being developed. The agreement must be subject to the City's approval.
(17) Intersections should be improved to operate at a level of service of at least D and E for signalized and unsignalized intersections, respectively.
(18) Pursuant to requirements for off-site improvements as conditions of development approval, proposed multi-family residential, commercial, or institutional uses that are adjacent to a major transit stop will be required to comply with the City's Mid-Block Crossing Policy.
Response: The only required street improvement is the sidewalk improvements on the collector SW 108th Avenue. The improvements are in accordance with the Transportation Plan (TDC Chapter 11), TDC 74.425 (Street Design Standards), and the City's Public Works Construction Code. The improved sidewalk will be 5.5 ' wide and include a planter strip with trees from the PGE approved tree list. See plans for details. This standard is met.

## Section 74.425. - Street Design Standards.

(1) Street design standards are based on the functional and operational characteristics of streets such as travel volume, capacity, operating speed, and safety. They are necessary to ensure that the system of streets, as it develops, will be capable of safely and efficiently serving the traveling public while also accommodating the orderly development of adjacent lands.
(2) The proposed street design standards are shown in Figures 72A through 72G. The typical roadway cross sections comprise the following elements: right-of-way, number of travel lanes, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, and other amenities such as landscape strips. These figures are intended for planning purposes for new road construction, as well as for those locations where it is physically and economically feasible to improve existing streets.
(3) In accordance with the Tualatin Basin Program for fish and wildlife habitat it is the intent of Figures 74-2A through 74-2G to allow for modifications to the standards when deemed appropriate by the City Manager to address fish and wildlife habitat.
(4) All streets must be designed and constructed according to the preferred standard. The City Manager may reduce the requirements of the preferred standard based on specific site conditions, but in no event will the requirement be less than the minimum standard. The City Manager must take into consideration the following factors when deciding whether the site conditions warrant a reduction of the preferred standard:...
Response: Sidewalk improvements are required on SW 108th Avenue. The improved sidewalk will be $5.5^{\prime}$ wide and include a planter strip with trees from the PGE approved tree list. The sidewalk improvements will all be in accordance with TDC code. See civil and landscape plans for details (Attachment 2). This standard is met.

## Section 74.430. - Streets, Modifications of Requirements in Cases of Unusual Conditions.

Response: No modifications are required. This standard does not apply.

## Section 74.440. - Streets, Traffic Study Required.

(1) The City Manager may require a traffic study to be provided by the applicant and furnished to the City as part of the development approval process as provided by this Code, when the City Manager determines that such a study is necessary in connection with a proposed development project in order to:...
(2) The required traffic study must be completed prior to the approval of the development application.
(3) The traffic study must include, at a minimum:
(a) An analysis of the existing situation, including the level of service on adjacent and impacted facilities.
(b) An analysis of any existing safety deficiencies.
(c) Proposed trip generation and distribution for the proposed development.
(d) Projected levels of service on adjacent and impacted facilities.
(e) Recommendation of necessary improvements to ensure an acceptable level of service for roadways and a level of service of at least $D$ and $E$ for signalized and unsignalized intersections respectively, after the future traffic impacts are considered.
(f) The City Manager will determine which facilities are impacted and need to be included in the study.
(g) The study must be conducted by a registered engineer.

Response: The Transportation Impact Analysis is Attachment 9 and includes minimum requirements (a) (g). This standard is met.
(4) The applicant must implement all or a portion of the improvements called for in the traffic study as determined by the City Manager.
Response: The applicant will implement required improvements. This standard is met.

## Section 74.450. - Bikeways and Pedestrian Paths.

(1) Where proposed development abuts or contains an existing or proposed bikeway, pedestrian path, or multi-use path, as set forth in TDC Chapter 11, Transportation Figure 11-4, the City may require that a bikeway, pedestrian path, or multi-use path be constructed, and an easement or dedication provided to the City.

Response: The proposed development does not abut or contain an existing or proposed bikeway, pedestrian path, or multi-use path. This standard does not apply.

## Section 74.460. - Accessways in Residential, Commercial and Industrial Subdivisions and Partitions.

Response: No accessways are proposed and the project is not a subdivision or partition. This standard does not apply.

## Section 74.470. - Street Lights.

(1) Street light poles and luminaries must be installed in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code.
(2) The applicant submit a street lighting plan for all interior and exterior streets on the proposed development site prior to issuance of a Public Works Permit.
Response: No street lights are required. This standard does not apply.

## Section 74.475. - Street Names.

Response: No new streets or street names are proposed. This standard does not apply.

## Section 74.480. - Street Signs.

Response: No new street names signs are proposed. This standard does not apply.

## Section 74.485. - Street Trees.

(1) Prior to approval of a residential subdivision or partition final plat, the applicant must pay the City a non-refundable fee equal to the cost of the purchase and installation of street trees. The location, placement, and cost of the trees must be determined by the City. This sum must be calculated on the interior and exterior streets as indicated on the final subdivision or partition plat.
(2) In nonresidential subdivisions and partitions street trees must be planted by the owners of the individual lots as development occurs.
(3) The Street Tree Ordinance specifies the species of tree which is to be planted and the spacing between trees.
Response: The proposal is not for a subdivision. This standard does not apply.

## Utilities

## Section 74.610. - Water Service.

(1) Water lines must be installed to serve each property in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code. Water line construction plans must be submitted to the City Manager for review and approval prior to construction.
(2) If there are undeveloped properties adjacent to the subject site, public water lines must be extended by the applicant to the common boundary line of these properties. The lines must be sized to provide service to future development, in accordance with the City's Water System Master Plan, TDC Chapter 12.
(3) As set forth is TDC Chapter 12, Water Service, the City has three water service levels. All development applicants must be required to connect the proposed development site to the service level in which the development site is located. If the development site is located on a boundary line between two service levels the applicant must be required to connect to the service level with the
higher reservoir elevation. The applicant may also be required to install or provide pressure reducing valves to supply appropriate water pressure to the properties in the proposed development site.
Response: New water service is proposed for the new building. Lines will be installed in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code and connected to appropriate water service levels. See utility plan for details. There are not undeveloped properties adjacent to the subject site. This standard is met.

## Section 74.620. - Sanitary Sewer Service.

(1) Sanitary sewer lines must be installed to serve each property in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code. Sanitary sewer construction plans and calculations must be submitted to the City Manager for review and approval prior to construction.
(2) If there are undeveloped properties adjacent to the proposed development site which can be served by the gravity sewer system on the proposed development site, the applicant must extend public sanitary sewer lines to the common boundary line with these properties. The lines must be sized to convey flows to include all future development from all up stream areas that can be expected to drain through the lines on the site, in accordance with the City's Sanitary Sewer System Master Plan, TDC Chapter 13.
Response: New sanitary sewer lines will be connected to existing on-site sewer system. No new laterals to the public system are proposed. See utility plan (C1.30-C1.33) for details. No undeveloped properties are adjacent. This standard is met.

## Section 74.630. - Storm Drainage System.

(1) Storm drainage lines must be installed to serve each property in accordance with City standards. Storm drainage construction plans and calculations must be submitted to the City Manager for review and approval prior to construction.
(2) The storm drainage calculations must confirm that adequate capacity exists to serve the site. The discharge from the development must be analyzed in accordance with the City's Storm and Surface Water Regulations.
(3) If there are undeveloped properties adjacent to the proposed development site which can be served by the storm drainage system on the proposed development site, the applicant must extend storm drainage lines to the common boundary line with these properties. The lines must be sized to convey expected flows to include all future development from all up stream areas that will drain through the lines on the site, in accordance with the Tualatin Drainage Plan in TDC Chapter 14.
Response: New storm drain system will be connected to existing on-site storm system with modifications to accommodate needed drainage; however, no new connections to the public system are proposed. See utility plan for details. No undeveloped properties are adjacent. This standard is met.

## Section 74.640. - Grading.

(1) Development sites must be graded to minimize the impact of storm water runoff onto adjacent properties and to allow adjacent properties to drain as they did before the new development.
(2) A development applicant must submit a grading plan showing that all lots in all portions of the development will be served by gravity drainage from the building crawl spaces; and that this development will not affect the drainage on adjacent properties. The City Manager may require the applicant to remove all excess material from the development site.
Response: The development site will be graded to minimize the impact of storm runoff. This application includes a grading plan on sheets $\mathrm{C1} .21-\mathrm{C} 1.24$ (plus grading notes on $\mathrm{C0} .01$ ) showing that the proposed development will not affect the drainage on adjacent properties. This standard is met.

## Section 74.650. - Water Quality, Storm Water Detention and Erosion Control.

The applicant must comply with the water quality, storm water detention and erosion control requirements in the Surface Water Management Ordinance. If required:
(1) On subdivision and partition development applications...

Response: The proposal is not for a subdivision. This standard does not apply.
(2) On all other development applications, prior to issuance of any building permit, the applicant must arrange to construct a permanent on-site water quality facility and storm water detention facility and submit a design and calculations indicating that the requirements of the Surface Water Management Ordinance will be met and obtain a Stormwater Connection Permit from Clean Water Services.
Response: The existing water quality, storm water detention, and erosion control facilities will be modified to handle additional runoff per CWS standards. See plans for details. This standard is met.
(3) For on-site private and regional non-residential public facilities, the applicant must submit a stormwater facility agreement, which will include an operation and maintenance plan provided by the City, for the water quality facility for the City's review and approval. The applicant must submit an erosion control plan prior to issuance of a Public Works Permit. No construction or disturbing of the site must occur until the erosion control plan is approved by the City and the required measures are in place and approved by the City.
Response: The stormwater facility agreement and erosion control plan will be submitted with the construction permit. This standard is met.

## Section 74.660. - Underground.

(1) All utility lines including, but not limited to, those required for gas, electric, communication, lighting and cable television services and related facilities must be placed underground. Surfacemounted transformers, surface-mounted connection boxes and meter cabinets may be placed above ground. Temporary utility service facilities, high capacity electric and communication feeder lines, and utility transmission lines operating at 50,000 volts or above may be placed above ground. The applicant must make all necessary arrangements with all utility companies to provide the underground services. The City reserves the right to approve the location of all surfacemounted transformers.
(2) Any existing overhead utilities may not be upgraded to serve any proposed development. If existing overhead utilities are not adequate to serve the proposed development, the applicant must, at their own expense, provide an underground system. The applicant must be responsible for obtaining any off-site deeds and/or easements necessary to provide utility service to this site; the deeds and/or easements must be submitted to the City Manager for acceptance by the City prior to issuance of the Public Works Permit.
Response: The existing utility lines do not need to be upgraded for the proposed development and no undergrounding is currently required. This standard is met.

## Section 74.670. - Existing Structures.

(1) Any existing structures requested to be retained by the applicant on a proposed development site must be connected to all available City utilities at the expense of the applicant.
(2) The applicant must convert any existing overhead utilities serving existing structures to underground utilities, at the expense of the applicant.
(3) The applicant must be responsible for continuing all required street improvements adjacent to the existing structure, within the boundaries of the proposed development site.
Response: There are no existing structures to be retained in the area of the proposed new building and parking. This standard does not apply.

## Section 74.700. - Removal, Destruction or Injury of Trees.

It is unlawful for a person, without a written permit from the City Manager, to remove, destroy, break or injure a tree, plant or shrub, that is planted or growing in or upon a public right-of-way within the City, or cause, authorize, or procure a person to do so, authorize or procure a person to injure, misuse or remove a device set for the protection of any tree, in or upon a public right-of-way.
Response: No trees or plants in the public right-of-way will be removed, destroyed, or broken during development. This standard is met.

## Section 74.705. - Street Tree Removal Permit.

Response: No street trees are being removed. This standard does not apply.

## Section 74.710. - Open Ground.

When impervious material or substance is laid down or placed in or upon a public right-of-way near a tree, at least nine square feet of open ground for a tree up to three inches in diameter must be provided about the base of the trunk of each tree.
Response: No impervious material is proposed to be laid down in the public right-of-way. This standard does not apply.

## Section 74.720. - Protection of Trees During Construction.

(1) During the erection, repair, alteration or removal of a building or structure, it is unlawful for the person in charge of such erection, repair, alteration or removal to leave a tree in or upon a public right-of-way in the vicinity of the building or structure without a good and sufficient guard or protectors to prevent injury to the tree arising out of or by reason of such erection, repair, alteration or removal.
(2) Excavations and driveways must not be placed within six feet of a tree in or upon a public right-ofway without written permission from the City Manager. During excavation or construction, the person must guard the tree within six feet and all building material or other debris must be kept at least four feet from any tree.
Response: The only proposed work near trees in the right-of-way is the alteration of one driveway off SW Leveton. All trees will be properly protected according to code requirements, as shown in Landscape Notes on LO.01. This standard is met.

## Section 74.740. - Prohibited Trees.

It is unlawful for a person to plant a tree within the right-of-way of the City of Tualatin that is not in conformance with City standards, including Table 74-1. Any tree planted subsequent to adoption of this Chapter not in compliance with City standards, including Table 74-1, must be removed at the expense of the property owner.
Response: The proposed trees being planted in the public right-of-way on SW 108th Avenue have been selected from the PGE list of approved trees so as not to conflict with the powerlines above. This standard is met.

## Section 74.745. - Cutting and Planting Specifications.

The following regulations are established for the planting, trimming and care of trees in or upon the public right-of-way of the City.
(1) When trees are cut down, the stump must be removed to a depth of six inches below the surface of the ground or finish grade of the street, whichever is of greater depth.
Response: No trees are proposed to be cut. This standard does not apply.
(2) Trees must be planted in accordance with City standards, Table 74-1, except when a greater density is allowed under a special permit from the City Manager.
Response: The proposed trees to be planted in the public right-of-way on SW 108th Avenue have been selected from the PGE list of approved trees so as not to conflict with the powerlines above. See landscape plans in Attachment 2. This standard is met.

## Section 74.765. - Street Tree Species and Planting Locations.

All trees, plants or shrubs planted in the right-of-way of the City must conform in species and location and in accordance with the street tree plan and City standards, including Table 74-1. If the City Manager determines that none of the species in City standards, including Table 74-1 is appropriate or finds appropriate a species not listed, the City Manager may substitute an unlisted species.
Response: The proposed trees to be planted in the public right-of-way on SW 108th Avenue have been selected from the PGE list of approved trees so as not to conflict with the powerlines above. See landscape plans in Attachment 2. This standard is met.

## Chapter 75: Access Management

## Section 75.020. - Permit for New Driveway Approach

(1) Applicability. A driveway approach permit must be obtained prior to constructing, relocating, reconstructing, enlarging, or altering any driveway approach.
(2) Exceptions. A driveway approach permit is not required for:
(a) The construction, relocation, reconstruction, enlargement, or alteration of any driveway approach that requires a state highway access permit; or
(b) The construction, relocation, reconstruction, enlargement or alteration of any driveway approach that is part of the construction of a publicly or privately engineered public improvement project.
(3) Procedure Type. A Driveway Approach Permit is processed as a Type II procedure under TDC 32.220 (Type II).
Response: Driveway Approach Permit applies. See 75.020.4-5 below showing standards are met.
(4) Submittal Requirements. In addition to the application materials required by TDC 32.140 (Application Submittal), the following application materials are also required:
(a) A site plan, of a size and form and in the number of copies meeting the standards established by the City Manager, containing the following information:
(i) The location and dimensions of the proposed driveway approach;
(ii) The relationship to nearest street intersection and adjacent driveway approaches;
(iii) Topographic conditions;
(iv) The location of all utilities;
(v) The location of any existing or proposed buildings, structures, or vehicular use areas;
(vi) The location of any trees and vegetation adjacent to the location of the proposed driveway approach that are required to be protected pursuant to TDC Chapter 73B or 73C; and
(vii) The location of any street trees adjacent to the location of the proposed driveway approach.
Response: Proposed driveways are in the submitted plans which also show items (i)-(vii). This standard is met.
(b) Identification of the uses or activities served, or proposed to be served, by the driveway approach; and
(c) Any other information, as determined by the City Manager, which may be required to adequately review and analyze the proposed driveway approach for conformance with the applicable criteria.
Response: See Traffic Study (Attachment 9) for more information including review of site distance at the proposed new driveway locations.
(5) Criteria. A Driveway Approach Permit must be granted if:
(a) The proposed driveway approach meets the standards of this Chapter and the Public Works Construction Code;
(b) No site conditions prevent placing the driveway approach in the required location;

Response: The proposed new driveways meet standards of the TDC and the Public Works Construction Code and no site conditions have been found that prevent placing the driveway approaches in the required locations. This standard is met.
(c) The number of driveway approaches onto an arterial are minimized;

Response: The proposed driveway approaches are located on a collector street. This standard is met.
(d) The proposed driveway approach, where possible:
(i) Is shared with an adjacent property; or
(ii) Takes access from the lowest classification of street abutting the property;

Response: Per the IMP, the accesses are on both 108th and Leveton. This standard is met.
(e) The proposed driveway approach meets vision clearance standards;
(f) The proposed driveway approach does not create traffic hazards and provides for safe turning movements and access;
(g) The proposed driveway approach does not result in significant adverse impacts to the vicinity;
Response: These were reviewed in the Traffic Study dated August 12, 2022. The approaches meet the standards of $(\mathrm{e})-(\mathrm{g})$ above; see Attachment 9. These standards are met.
(h) The proposed driveway approach minimizes impact to the functionality of adjacent streets and intersections; and
(i) The proposed driveway approach balances the adverse impacts to residentially zoned property and the functionality of adjacent streets.
Response: The proposed driveway approaches are on a collector street in an industrial area with no direct impact to residentially zoned areas. This standard is met.
(6) Effective Date. The effective date of a Driveway Approach Permit approval is the date the notice of decision is mailed.
(7) Permit Expiration. A Driveway Approach Permit approval expires one year from the effective date, unless the driveway approach is constructed within the one-year period in accordance with the approval decision and City standards.
Response: The effective date and expiration are noted and will be followed.

## Section 75.030. - Driveway Approach Closure.

(1) The City Manager may require the closure of a driveway approach where:
(a) The driveway approach is not constructed in conformance with this Chapter and the Public Works Construction Code;
(b) The driveway approach is not maintained in a safe manner;
(c) A public street improvement project is being constructed, and closure of the driveway approach will more closely conform to the current driveway approach standards;
(d) A new building or driveway is constructed on the property;
(e) A plan text amendment or zone change is proposed for the property served by the driveway;
(f) A change of use or activity in an existing building increases the amount of required parking;
(g) The driveway approach has been abandoned; or
(h) There is a demonstrated safety issue.

Response: All existing driveways have been constructed in accordance with TDC standards and were reviewed for traffic and safety, no issues were found. This standard does not apply.
(2) Notice. Notice of driveway approach closure must be given in writing to the property owner and any affected tenants stating the grounds for closure, the date upon which the closure becomes effective, and the right to appeal.
(3) Appeals. Any person entitled to notice under subsection (2) of this section may appeal the decision to the City Council.
(4) Effect. Closure is effective immediately upon the mailing of notice of the decision. Unless otherwise provided in the notice, closure terminates all rights to continue the use the driveway approach for which the notice of closure has been issued.
(5) Failure to Close Driveway. If the owner fails to close the driveway approach to conform to the notice within 90 days, the City Manager may cause the closure to be completed and all expenses assessed against the property owner.
Response: It is noted that if a driveway closure is needed, the above standards would apply.

## Section 75.040. - Driveway Approach Requirements.

(1) The provision and maintenance of driveway approaches from private property to the public streets as stipulated in this Code are continuing requirements for the use of any structure or parcel of real property in the City of Tualatin. No building or other permit may be issued until scale plans are presented that show how the driveway approach requirement is to be fulfilled. If the owner or occupant of a lot or building changes the use to which the lot or building is put, thereby increasing driveway approach requirements, it is unlawful and a violation of this code to begin or maintain such altered use until the required increase in driveway approach is authorized by the City.
Response: All proposed driveway approaches will be authorized by the City before construction and maintained in accordance with the TDC. This standard is met.
(2) Owners of two or more uses, structures, or parcels of land may agree to utilize jointly the same driveway approach when the combined driveway approach of both uses, structures, or parcels of land satisfies their combined requirements as designated in this code; provided that satisfactory legal evidence is presented to the City Attorney in the form of deeds, easements, leases or contracts to establish joint use. Copies of said deeds, easements, leases or contracts must be placed on permanent file with the City Recorder.
Response: All three lots are owned by Lam. This standard does not apply.
(3) Joint and Cross Access....

Response: Lam is owner of all joint and cross access; no additional access easements are needed. This standard is met.
(4) Requirements for Development on Less than the Entire Site.
(a) To promote unified access and circulation systems, lots and parcels under the same ownership or consolidated for the purposes of development and comprised of more than one building site must be reviewed as one unit in relation to the access standards. The number of access points permitted must be the minimum number necessary to provide reasonable access to these properties, not the maximum available for that frontage. All necessary easements, agreements, and stipulations must be met. This must also apply to phased development plans. The owner and all lessees within the affected area must comply with the access requirements.
Response: Per the IMP, all existing access points were found to provide reasonable access. The proposed new building and parking accesses have been designed to accommodate the added traffic and parking. This standard is met.
(b) All access must be internalized using the shared circulation system of the principal commercial development or retail center. Driveways should be designed to avoid queuing across surrounding parking and driving aisles.
Response: This provision is not applicable because the site is an industrial campus owned and operated by a single manufacturing user/tenant.
(5) Lots that front on more than one street may be required to locate motor vehicle accesses on the street with the lower functional classification as determined by the City Manager.
Response: The proposed accesses have been located in accordance with a previously approved access management plan in IMP 00-01. This standard is met.
(6) Except as provided in TDC 53.100, all driveway approaches must connect directly with public streets.
Response: The proposed driveway approaches connect directly with public streets. This standard is met.
(7) To afford safe pedestrian access and egress for properties within the City, a sidewalk must be constructed along all street frontage, prior to use or occupancy of the building or structure proposed for said property. The sidewalks required by this section must be constructed to City standards, except in the case of streets with inadequate right-of-way width or where the final street design and grade have not been established, in which case the sidewalks must be constructed to a design and in a manner approved by the City Manager. Sidewalks approved by the City Manager may include temporary sidewalks and sidewalks constructed on private property; provided, however, that such sidewalks must provide continuity with sidewalks of adjoining commercial developments existing or proposed. When a sidewalk is to adjoin a future
street improvement, the sidewalk construction must include construction of the curb and gutter section to grades and alignment established by the City Manager.
Response: All street frontages have existing or proposed sidewalks that meet City standards. This standard is met.
(8) The standards set forth in this Code are minimum standards for driveway approaches, and may be increased through the Architectural Review process in any particular instance where the standards provided herein are deemed insufficient to protect the public health, safety, and general welfare.
(9) Minimum driveway approach width for uses are as provided in Table 75-1 (Driveway Approach Width): ... (Table withheld for brevity, Industrial standard minimum is $36^{\prime}$ and maximum is $40^{\prime}$ )
Response: All proposed driveway approaches are 36 '. See sheet C1.13, C1.14. This standard is met.
(10) Driveway Approach Separation. There must be a minimum distance of 40 feet between any two adjacent driveways on a single property unless a lesser distance is approved by the City Manager.
Response: All proposed driveway approaches are more than 40 ' apart. See sheets C 1.13 and C 1.14 . This standard is met.
(11) Distance between Driveways and Intersections. Except for single-family dwellings, duplexes, townhouses, triplexes, quadplexes, and cottage clusters, the minimum distance between driveways and intersections must be as provided below. Distances listed must be measured from the stop bar at the intersection.
(a) At the intersection of collector or arterial streets, driveways must be located a minimum of 150 feet from the intersection.
(b) At the intersection of two local streets, driveways must be located a minimum of 30 feet from the intersection.
(c) If the subject property is not of sufficient width to allow for the separation between driveway and intersection as provided, the driveway must be constructed as far from the intersection as possible, while still maintaining the 5-foot setback between the driveway and property line.
(d) When considering a driveway approach permit, the City Manager may approve the location of a driveway closer than 150 feet from the intersection of collector or arterial streets, based on written findings of fact in support of the decision.
Response: All proposed driveways are located at least 150' from the intersection of 108th and Leveton. See sheet C1.13. This standard is met.
(12) Vision Clearance Area.
(a) Local Streets. A vision clearance area for all local street intersections, local street and driveway intersections, and local street or driveway and railroad intersections must be that triangular area formed by the right-of-way lines along such lots and a straight line joining the right-of-way lines at points which are ten feet from the intersection point of the right-of-way lines, as measured along such lines (see Figure 73-2 for illustration).
(b) Collector Streets. A vision clearance area for all collector/arterial street intersections, collector/arterial street and local street intersections, and collector/arterial street and railroad intersections must be that triangular area formed by the right-of-way lines along such lots and a straight line joining the right-of-way lines at points which are 25 feet from the intersection point of the right-of-way lines, as measured along such lines. Where a driveway intersects with a collector/arterial street, the distance measured along the driveway line for the triangular area must be ten feet (see Figure 73-2 for illustration).
(c) Vertical Height Restriction. Except for items associated with utilities or publicly owned structures such as poles and signs and existing street trees, no vehicular parking, hedge,
planting, fence, wall structure, or temporary or permanent physical obstruction must be permitted between 30 inches and eight feet above the established height of the curb in the clear vision area (see Figure 73-2 for illustration).
Response: The proposal includes driveways on 108th Avenue. Neither standard (a) nor (b) above applies. No obstructions are proposed above 30" near driveway corners, as shown in Attachment 2.|This standard is met.

## IV. ORIGINAL MASTER PLAN CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL (IMP 00-01) COMPLIANCE

The following presents the original conditions of approval for the campus's master plan, with applicable requirements addressed.

1. Alternative Methods
a. To meet the requirements of the TDC, through the Architectural Review process, building setbacks shall not be approved less than 68 feet to SW Leveton Drive and 98 feet to SW 108th Avenue after required dedication of right-of-way, turn lane and intersection improvements. Building setbacks to SW Tualatin Road shall meet the requirements of TDC 62.060(2)(a) and 62.080(2). Interior side yard building setbacks shall be no less than 20 feet, except setbacks to the common property line with JAE shall be no less than 100 feet.
Response: This condition is met by the existing development and will be met by the proposed building.
b. To meet the requirements of the TDC, through the Architectural Review process parking/circulation setbacks shall not be approved less than 108 feet to SW Leveton Drive and 43 feet to SW 108th Avenue after required dedication of right-of-way, turn lane and intersection improvements. Interior side yard parking and circulation setbacks shall be as shown on Exhibit 2, Sheet SD-5, dated December 22, 2000.
Response: This condition is proposed to be modified by a separate, concurrent IMP application, which requests parking/circulation setbacks of at least 50' to SW Leveton Drive and 43' to SW 108th Avenue. See IMP application submitted concurrently.
c. As mitigation for a reduced parking and circulation setbacks to SW Tualatin Road an earthen berm with landscaping consisting of deciduous street trees, evergreen trees and shrubs shall be provided along SW Tualatin Road, the large evergreen trees along the roadway shall be retained and the berm and landscaping shall be installed as part of the Phase 1 development. The final design of the berm and landscaping shall be reviewed through the Architectural Review process.
Response: This was constructed following the 2001 AR approval and will not be affected by the proposal. This condition is met.
d. Through the Partition and Architectural Review processes shared parking and circulation easements shall be reviewed and evaluated. Where necessary, shared parking and circulation easements shall be established.
Response: The Partition and AR following the IMP established these. This condition is met.
k. To meet the requirements of the TDC, through the Architectural Review process final parking areas shall be determined and the stand of trees behind the former Oki manufacturing building shall be retained or integrated into the parking lot design as determined appropriate.
Response: This stand of trees was and will remain preserved. This condition is met.
f. To ensure that vehicular access requirements meet the TDC, through the Partition and Architectural Review processes shared site vehicular accesses will need to be reviewed and evaluated. Where necessary, shared site vehicular access easements shall be established.
Response: No site access easements are necessary because the three subject parcels are used by the owner as a single manufacturing operation.
g. To meet the requirements of the TDC, through the Architectural Review processes loading areas that encroach onto a separate adjoining lot, excluding JAE, will need to be reviewed and evaluated. If encroachments off-site and into parking areas are necessary the Architectural Review process shall determine the appropriateness.
Response: No such encroachments are proposed. This condition is met.
k. Through the Partition and Architectural Review processes shared onsite utilities shall be reviewed and evaluated. Where necessary, easements shall be established.
Response: The Partition and AR following this IMP established these if required. This condition is met.
i. The applicant shall submit a partition application to the City to partition the site into the proposed three individual lots.
Response: The Partition was completed following the approval of the IMP to meet this condition.
j. The applicant's request for an alternative method in parking lot landscaping requirements is acceptable for surface parking proposed for the south-half of the site as depicted in the applicant's proposal (Exhibit 2). Proposed parking lot landscaping for the north-half of the site shall follow the standard requirements of Chapter 73 of the TDC.
Response: Development on the site has continued to meet the alternative or standard parking lot requirements, as appropriate. Much of the proposed parking is on the south half of the site and proposes the alternative landscaping. This condition is met.
k. The applicant shall be required to submit an Architectural Review application meeting the requirements of the TDC and include the alternative methods approved through the Industrial Master Plan. If future modifications to an approved Industrial Master Plan are necessary, a new Industrial Master Plan application shall be submitted to the City for review.
Response: AR applications following the approval of IMP 00-01 have met this condition. This AR application addresses this condition. The concurrently submitted IMP application proposes a modification to condition 1.b. above. This condition is met.

## 2. Public Facilities

a. Through the Architectural Review process Novellus shall address the ODOT recommended condition of approval concerning the 99W/124th Avenue intersection contained in Exhibit D.

Response: This intersection has been improved since the approval of this IMP.
b. At the time of submittal of Architectural Review applications for each phase of on-site development a traffic analysis report shall be submitted and improvements identified. The traffic analysis report shall be reviewed through the Public Facilities component of the Architectural Review process and conditions applied.
Response: The TIA in Attachment 9 meets this condition for this application.
c. Construction of Phases 2-4, when proposed, shall be evaluated through the Architectural Review process on the availability of an adequate City water supply to service the proposed development phase.
Response: Construction of phases 2-4 is not yet proposed. This condition does not apply.
d. Through the Architectural Review process the volume and pressure needs for each development phase shall be evaluated. Based on calculations, the water transmission line may be required to be extended to serve the development.
Response: The Lam Research campus is currently served by City of Tualatin Water from two transmission mains in SW Leveton Drive, a low-pressure main which predated the 2001 IMP, and a high-pressure main which was installed after IMP approval. The proposed office building will connect to the high-pressure main which is expected to provide adequate flow and pressure for the new building.
e. Through the Architectural Review process the applicant shall submit evaluations on the downstream sanitary sewer system and the discharge rates and volumes per proposed development phase and participate where required in mitigation measures. Recycling and reuse options shall be considered by the applicant to reduce the discharge rates and volumes. The applicant shall work with the City to develop a program to release the sanitary sewer discharge during off-peak hours.
Response: The Lam Research campus is currently served with municipal sewer by City of Tualatin from a main within SW Leveton Drive. Campus managers indicate no current issues or required sewer flow rate mitigation based on the existing campus development and uses. The proposed office building is not expected to generate significant sewer demands and is not expected to require any flow reduction or mitigation.
f. Through the Architectural Review process the adequacy of on-site stormwater detention shall be evaluated and Novellus shall provide supporting documentation and calculations showing adequacy of the storm system. At the time road widening for SW Leveton Drive or SW 108th Avenue is required, Novellus shall submit drawings and calculations for water quality and detention for review and approval as part of the Architectural Review process.
Response: The stormwater report in Attachment 10 demonstrates compliance with stormwater requirements. This condition is met. Road widening is not yet required.
3. Location, Design, Color and Materials
a. Through the Architectural Review process, final material colors shall be presented for approval based on the pallet of colors identified in the Industrial Master Plan.
b. Through the Architectural Review process, final building materials shall be presented for approval based on the pallet of materials identified in the Industrial Master Plan.
Response: The exterior materials information on sheets A2.10 and A2.11 of Attachment 2 show the proposed building will be of similar materials to the existing buildings on the campus, identified in the original IMP. These conditions are met.

## V. CONCLUSION

As demonstrated in the narrative above and referenced attachments, this AR application meets the relevant criteria and warrants approval.
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## LAM RESEARCH TUALATIN <br> EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN
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NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS DEVELOPED CONDITIONS
 NATURE OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY AND ESTIMATED TIME -

 SITE SOIL CLASSIFICATION:
 RECEIVING WATER BODIES: SITE AREA
 IMPROVEMENTS:
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WASHINGTON COUNTY OREGON

|  |
| :--- | :--- |

$\frac{\text { BMP MATRIX FOR CONSTRUCTION PHASES }}{\text { Refer To }}$

|  | Clearng | ${ }_{\text {mass }}^{\text {graing }}$ | \|mstalation |  | $\mathrm{stan}_{\text {stanal }}^{\text {Fition }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EResosonereventow |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preserve matranl vegation | - $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Craouv cover }}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |
| Pastic ste ETM |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |
|  | $\times$ | x |  | x | $x$ |
| Temorai |  | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Buffer 2one | - $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (emen | ${ }^{-\times}$ | $\times$ | x | x | x |
| straw mamtes |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| FILTR begm |  | $\times$ | x | $\times$ |  |
| MLET Poorecerow | -x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |
| OEmatence |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| NATURAL BUFFER ENCROACHMENT <br> SEDIMENT BAG |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |
| RuNOFF C Control |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pres sope bram |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SURFACE ROUGHENING |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poulution reverition |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\times}$ | ${ }_{\times}^{\times}$ | ${ }_{\text {x }}$ | ${ }_{\text {x }}$ | ${ }_{\text {x }}$ |
| Splukr onste | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |
| ERR |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

SHEET INDEX EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLANS



 wwumexnzecom MACKENZIE: cham research
$\underset{\substack{\text { Peneal } \\ \text { LAM RESEARCH }}}{\text { LAM }}$ LAM RESEAR
TACC.1446 new office bulding $\square$



NEAREST OFFICIAL RAIN GAUGE

TYPICAL WORKING HOURS
7ans.sen meeroars

## KEYNOTES

$\qquad$





ESC SITE
CLEARING
vaemege: sis

C1.41

| LEGEND |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | semment fencerer aeceso |
|  | Lmms of frome |
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| KEYNotes |  |
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| ${ }^{0.1040}$ | AREA FOR TEMPORARY SOIL STOCKPILE FROM． EARTHWORK CUTTINGS．COVER STOCKPILE PER |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { ander }}$ |  |
| 00.10 |  |
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| $\bigodot$ | BOTANICAL / COMMON NAME CERCIDIPHYLLUM JAPONICUM KATSURA TREE - |
| :---: | :---: |
| $+$ |  |
| $\bigcirc$ |  |
| strues | Qotancal / common Name |
| 8 |  |
| (1) | cistus fouligulevur sousser |
| $\bigcirc$ |  |
| $\%$ |  |
| (1) |  |
| $\oplus$ |  |
| creown covers | botancal / commor Name |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Stoemmater | Botancal / Common Name |
| Wh |  |
| $\ldots$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { STopumater zone } \\ \text { crouncoler Mx }}}{\text { M }}$ |




D (1.12) PLANTING PLAN-NORTHEAST




 gotancal / common Name






beoraccal $/$ common nane

 gotraccal / convor Nane




ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW: 8/17/2022

L1.12





botancal / common Nane

asclat $\times$ granorioora kallios






getancol / common NME


gotancal / comoon Nene

$\underset{\substack{\text { stopunater zone } \\ \text { crouncoure Mix }}}{ }$
(10)
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| (.) |  |
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| (0) |  |
| \% |  |
| (1) |  |
| $\oplus$ |  |
| 数 |  |
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| grouno coveres | Botancal / Commor Nans |
|  |  |
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|  |  |
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## MEMORANDUM

## DATE: $\quad$ September 16, 2022

TO: $\quad$ Mike Rueter (Mackenzie)
FROM: $\quad$ Christine Johnson, ISA Certified Arborist ${ }^{\circledR}$ PN-8730A
Todd Prager, RCA \#597, ISA Board Certified Master Arborist ${ }^{\circledR}$
RE: $\quad$ Tree Removal and Protection Plan for Lam Research Project

## Summary

This report includes tree removal and protection recommendations for construction of a new building, parking, and associated improvements at the Lam Research campus at 11361 SW Leveton Drive in Tualatin.

## Background

Lam Research Corporation is proposing to construct a new building, parking, and associated improvements at their campus at 11361 SW Leveton Drive in Tualatin. The proposed site plan with existing trees and proposed grading is provided in Attachment 1.

The purpose of this report is to:

1. Provide tree removal findings and recommendations based on the proposed site and grading plan; and
2. Provide recommendations for adequately protecting the trees to be retained during construction.

## Tree Assessment

On September 7 and 8, 2022, our firm completed an inventory of all trees in the vicinity of the proposed construction. The complete inventory data is provided in the tree inventory spreadsheet in Attachment 3. The data collected for each tree includes the tree number, species (common and scientific names), trunk diameter (DBH), crown radius, tree health condition, tree structural condition, pertinent comments, exempt status (less than 8 -inches DBH or dead), and treatment (remove/retain). The tree numbers in the tree inventory in Attachment 3 correspond to the tree numbers on the proposed site plan/grading plan in Attachment 1 and the existing conditions survey in

Attachment 2. The trees were also tagged with their corresponding numbers in the field.

## Proposed Tree Removal

A typical minimum root protection zone allows encroachments no closer than a radius from a tree of 0.5 feet per inch of DBH if no more than 25 percent of the root protection zone area (estimated at one foot radius per inch of DBH) is impacted. Figure 1 illustrates this concept. This standard may need to be adjusted on a case-by-case basis due to tree health, species, root distribution, whether the tree will be impacted on multiple sides, the specific development proposed, and other factors.

Attachment 1 illustrates the proposed construction and grading impacts in relation to the existing trees. Based on the construction and grading


Figure 1: Typical minimum protection zone impacts, 80 trees over 8 -inch DBH are proposed for removal because they are either within the construction and grading footprint or their root zones would be severely impacted by construction and grading. Additional tree removal findings are provided in the next section of this report.

Protection recommendations for the $175^{1}$ trees over 8 -inch DBH to be retained at the edges of the construction and grading impacts are provided in the Tree Protection Recommendations section of this report.

## Tree Removal Findings

This section of the report provides finding for the Tree Assessment Report criteria in Section 33.110(4)(b) of the Tualatin Development Code. The code criteria are listed followed by my findings in italics.
(b) Tree Assessment Report. A tree assessment prepared by a certified arborist must include:

This report has been prepared by Christine Johnson and Todd Prager, both ISA certified arborists. This criterion is met.
(i) An analysis as to whether trees proposed for preservation may be preserved in light of the development proposed, are healthy specimens, and do not pose an imminent hazard to persons or property if preserved;

The health and structural conditions of the trees to be preserved in the vicinity of the proposed development have been evaluated by our firm. A summary of the tree conditions is provided in the tree inventory in Attachment 3. The preserved trees are

[^1]healthy specimens and are not imminent hazards to persons or property as of our assessment date. The preserved trees will need to be protected during construction as detailed in the Tree Protection Recommendations Section of this report so they remain healthy and viable for the foreseeable future. This criterion is met.
(ii) An analysis as to whether any trees proposed for removal could reasonably be preserved in light of the development proposed and health of the tree;

Our firm coordinated with the project design team at Mackenzie to consider design options for preserving healthy trees. Based on the project design along with site constraints, stormwater requirements, utility and site access connections, parking requirements, and client needs, tree preservation has been maximized to the extent practicable. This criterion is met.
(iii) a statement addressing the approval criteria set forth in TDC 33.110(5);

The reason for the proposed tree removals is to construct proposed improvements based on Architectural Review approval (TDC Subsection 33.110(5)(iii)). This criterion is met.
(iv) the name, contact information, and signature of the arborist preparing the report; and

The name, contact information, and signatures of the arborists that prepared this report are provided. This criterion is met.
(v) The tree assessment report must have been prepared and dated no more than one calendar year preceding the date the development or Tree Removal Permit application is deemed complete by the City.

This report has been prepared and provided less than one calendar year preceding the date the development application has been deemed complete. This criterion is met.

## Tree Protection Recommendations

The following tree protection measures will be necessary to protect the trees during construction:

- Tree Protection Fencing: Erect six-foot metal tree protection fencing in the locations shown in Attachment 1 to protect the trees from construction.
- Shift Grading Near Protected Trees: Proposed grading near trees 20371 through 20375 and 20378, shall be adjusted to protect existing trees.
- Curb demolition and repair: Several existing curbs in existing parking lots are slated for demolition and/or repair. Curbs shall be demolished under arborist supervision.
- Sidewalk improvements: Sidewalk improvements near trees 3036 through 3038 are slated for demolition and/or repair. Demolition should occur under arborist supervision.
- Stump Removal: The stumps of trees 21525 and 21526 shall be carefully ground out rather than pulled with an excavator to minimize impacts to the adjacent trees to be retained.
- Pruning of Trees: Some of the trees may need to be clearance and/or reduction pruned to allow for construction access. Any reduction and/or clearance pruning shall occur prior to construction in accordance with ANSI A300 pruning standards the minimum necessary to allow for construction. Reduction cuts shall be made to lateral branches that are at least one-third to one-half the sizes of the parent branches. All cuts shall be made just outside the branch collars.

Existing parking lots that will be in use for non-construction parking do not have tree protection fencing at this time (parking lot south of building ' B '). Additional tree protection recommendations that are consistent with City of Tualatin standards are provided in Attachment 4.

## Conclusion

Eight (80) trees over 8-inch DBH are recommended for removal with construction. The 175 trees to be retained will be protected during construction by adhering to the recommendations in this report. Any change to the tree protection plan shall be completed by the project arborist to ensure that the trees to be retained are properly protected.

Please contact me if you have questions, concerns, or need any additional information.

Sincerely,


Christine Johnson
ISA Certified Arborist ${ }^{\circledR}$, PN-8730A
ISA Qualified Tree Risk Assessor
Member, American Society of Consulting Arborists


Todd Prager
ASCA Registered Consulting Arborist \#597 ISA Board Certified Master Arborist ${ }^{\circledR}$, WE-6723B ISA Qualified Tree Risk Assessor AICP, American Planning Association

Enclosures: Attachment 1 - Site/Grading Plan with Existing Tree Locations
Attachment 2 - Existing Conditions Survey with Tree Locations
Attachment 3 - Tree Inventory
Attachment 4 - Tree Protection Recommendations
Attachment 5 - Assumptions and Limiting Conditions
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Todd Prager \& Associates
Attachment 3-Tree Inventory - All Trees
LAM Research

| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DB4 ${ }^{1}$ | Single DBH $^{2}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{3}$ | Condition ${ }^{4}$ | Structure ${ }^{4}$ | Comments | Exempt <br> (less than 8 -inches DBH or <br> dead) | Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20226 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 14 | 14 | 22 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 20287 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 11 | 11 | 18 | fair | fair | deadwood, one-sided, thin, high crown |  | retain |
| 20288 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 11 | 11 | 15 | good | fair | high crown |  | retain |
| 20294 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 14 | 14 | 20 | fair | fair | lean, thin |  | retain |
| 20295 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 7 | 7 | 10 | good | good |  | exempt ( < $8^{\prime \prime}$ DBH) | retain |
| 20313.01 | Street Keepere ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 1 | 1 | 5 | poor | fair | deadwood, thin, near EV charging station, at end of second stall, east line | exempt ( <8" DBH) | retain |
| 20313.02 | Autumn Blaze red maple | Acer $\times$ freemanii | 3 | 3 | 5 | good | good | location approximated by arborist, likely Rocky Mountain or Bowhall | exempt ( <8" DBH) | remove |
| 20335 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 14 | 14 | 22 | good | fair | heavy end weight |  | retain |
| 20336 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 3 | 3 | 5 | fair | fair | dead tops, trunk flare wound, good response growth | exempt ( < $8^{\prime \prime}$ DBH) | retain |
| 20339 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 8 | 8 | 14 | good | fair | one-sided |  | retain |
| 20340 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 11 | 11 | 15 | good | fair | lean, one-sided |  | retain |
| 20344 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 9 | 9 | 15 | good | fair | heavy end weight |  | retain |
| 20359 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 13 | 13 | 15 | good | fair | heavy end weight |  | retain |
| 20361 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 38 | 38 | 20 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 20362 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 56 | 56 | 30 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 20371 | Blue atlas cedar | Cedrus atlantica | 48 | 48 | 35 | good | fair | codominant leaders, two sets of codominant leaders at 40' and 60', history of failure |  | retain |
| 20372 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 35 | 35 | 30 | good | fair | one-sided, heavy epicormic branches on limbs |  | retain |
| 20373 | ponderosa pine | Pinus ponderosa | 26 | 26 | 15 | fair | fair | sweeping trunk, high crown |  | retain |
| 20374 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 10 | 10 | 12 | fair | fair | deadwood, one-sided, thin |  | retain |
| 20374 | siver maple | Acer saccharinum | 26 | 26 | 25 | fair | fair | deadwood, lean |  | retain |
| 20375 | Horse chestnut | Aesculus hippocastanum | 27 | 27 | 15 | fair | fair | lean, trunk decay, $3^{\prime}$ by $2^{\prime}$ cavity at $5^{\prime}$ on north side of trunk |  | retain |
| 20378 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 41 | 41 | 30 | good | fair | codominant leaders with inclusion, diameter measured at 1.5', possible Crimson King variety that has converted |  | retain |
| 20622 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 9 | 9 | 13 | fair | fair | deadwood, lean, one-sided, thin |  | retain |
| 20629 | Paperbark maple | Acer griseum | 1 | 1 | 0 | dead | dead |  | exempt ( < $8^{\prime \prime}$ DBH) | retain |
| 20630 | Paperbark maple | Acer griseum | 1 | 1 | 2 | poor | fair | deadwood, thin, 50 percent live canopy | exempt (<8" DBH) | retain |
| 20631 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 7 | 7 | 10 | fair | fair | deadwood, thin | exempt ( <8" DBH) | retain |
| 20632 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 9 | 9 | 12 | fair | fair | codominant leaders, thin |  | retain |
| 20633 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 5 | 5 | 7 | poor | fair | deadwood, one-sided, thin, trunk decay, Central leader cut, two lateral leaders remain | exempt (<8" DBH) | retain |
| 20634 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 8 | 8 | 12 | fair | poor | one-sided, thin, central leader cut, two lateral leaders remain |  | retain |
| 20635 | elm | Ulmus sp. | 2 | 2 | 8 | good | good |  | exempt (<8" DBH) | retain |
| 20636 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 16 | 16 | 25 | fair | fair | lean, one-sided, heavy end weight, trunk wound south side |  | retain |
| 20654 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 29 | 29 | 26 | good | fair | crossing leaders |  | retain |
| 20655 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 13 | 13 | 14 | good | fair | one-sided |  | retain |
| 20656 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 15 | 15 | 15 | good | good |  |  | remove |
| 20657 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 26 | 26 | 25 | fair | poor | deadwood, one-sided, trunk decay, depressed soil in west side |  | remove |
| 20658 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 23 | 23 | 18 | good | fair | crossing leaders, epicormic branches |  | remove |
| 20659 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 23 | 23 | 15 | fair | fair | fewer leaders than neighboring cherry trees, epicormic branches |  | retain |
| 20660 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 21 | 21 | 16 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 20661 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 26 | 26 | 16 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 20662 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 30 | 30 | 20 | good | fair | lean, lacks buttress roots on northeast side |  | retain |
| 20711 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 4 | 4 | 8 | good | good |  | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 20712 | Street Keepere ${ }^{\text {® }}$ honey locust | Gleditisia tricanthos 'Draves' | 3 | 3 | 7 | fair | good | thin | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 20713 | Street Keepere ${ }^{\text {® }}$ honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 4 | 4 | 8 | fair | good | thin | exempt ( < $8^{\prime \prime}$ DBH) | remove |
| 20714 | Street Keepere ${ }^{\text {® }}$ honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 4 | 4 | 8 | fair | good | thin | exempt ( < $8^{\prime \prime}$ DBH) | remove |
| 20762 | Autumn Blaze red maple | Acer $\times$ freemanii | 5 | 5 | 8 | good | good |  | exempt ( < $8^{\prime \prime}$ DBH) | remove |
| 21015 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 22 | 22 | 22 | good | fair | codominant leaders |  | remove |
| 21120 | white poplar | Populus alba | 8 | 8 | 8 | fair | poor | lean, one-sided, inaccessible, diameter estimated |  | remove |
| 21121 | white poplar | Populus alba | 12 | 12 | 12 | fair | fair | lean, one-sided, inaccessible, diameter estimated |  | remove |
| 21122 | white poplar | Populus alba | 12 | 12 | 12 | fair | fair | lean, one-sided, inaccessible, diameter estimated |  | remove |
| 21123 | white poplar | Populus alba | 12 | 12 | 12 | fair | fair | lean, one-sided, inaccessible, diameter estimated |  | remove |
| 21124 | white poplar | Populus alba | 10,8 | 13 | 14 | fair | poor | codominant leaders, lean, one-sided, inaccessible, diameter estimated |  | remove |

Attachment 3-Tree Inventory - All Trees
LAM Research

| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | Single DBH $^{2}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{3}$ | Condition ${ }^{4}$ | Structure ${ }^{4}$ | Comments | Exempt <br> (less than 8 -inches DBH or <br> dead) | Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21125 | white poplar | Populus alba | 12 | 12 | 10 | fair | fair | lean, one-sided, inaccessible, diameter estimated |  | remove |
| 21137 | fruiting cherry | Prunus sp. | 22 | 22 | 16 | fair | poor | deadwood, thin, diameter measured at $2^{\prime}$ |  | remove |
| 21138 | European white birch | Betula pendula | 16 | 16 | 10 | fair | fair | deadwood, lean, dead top |  | remove |
| 21142 | pear | Pyrus sp. | 17,13 | 21 | 15 | poor | poor | codominant leaders, deadwood, lean, thin, surrounded by small diameter English hawthorn and English ivy |  | remove |
| 21144 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 9,8,8 | 14 | 8 | very poor | very poor | codominant leaders, thin, not tagged, inaccessible, overgrown with English hawthorn and Himalayan blackberry | exempt (dead) | remove |
| 21159 | Street Keepere ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 3 | 3 | 8 | fair | good | thin | exempt ( < 8 " DBH) | remove |
| 21160 | Street Keepere honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 4 | 4 | 8 | fair | good | thin | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21162 | Street Keeper® honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 4 | 4 | 8 | fair | good | thin | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21163 | Street Keepere ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 5 | 5 | 12 | fair | good | thin | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21166 | Street Keepere ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 3 | 3 | 8 | fair | good | thin | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21167 | Street Keepere ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 4 | 4 | 8 | fair | good | thin | exempt ( < $8^{\prime \prime}$ DBH) | remove |
| 21168 | Street Keepere ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 5 | 5 | 10 | good | good |  | exempt ( <8" DBH) | remove |
| 21170 | Street Keepere honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 3 | 3 | 8 | fair | good | thin | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21171 | Street Keepere honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 4 | 4 | 10 | fair | good | thin | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21173 | Street Keeper® honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 4 | 4 | 10 | fair | good | thin | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21174 | Street Keepere ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ oney locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 4 | 4 | 10 | fair | good | thin | exempt ( < $8^{\prime \prime}$ DBH) | remove |
| 21176 | Street Keepere ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 4 | 4 | 10 | fair | good | thin | exempt ( < $8^{\prime \prime}$ DBH) | remove |
| 21177 |  | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 4 | 4 | 10 | fair | good | thin | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21195 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 4 | 4 | 8 | good | good |  | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21211 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 4 | 4 | 8 | good | good |  | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21229 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 4 | 4 | 8 | good | good |  | exempt ( <8" DBH) | remove |
| 21272 | Street Keepere honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 3 | 3 | 8 | poor | good | thin | exempt ( <8" DBH) | retain |
| 21291 | Street Keeper* honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 2 | 2 | 8 | poor | good | thin | exempt ( <8" DBH) | retain |
| 21297 | Street Keepere ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ honey locust | Gleditisia tricanthos 'Draves' | 1 | 1 | 5 | very poor | poor | deadwood, thin | exempt ( <8" DBH) | retain |
| 21300 | Street Keepere ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ doney locust | Gleditsia tricanthos 'Draves' | 1 | 1 | 3 | very poor | poor | deadwood, thin | exempt ( < $8^{\prime \prime}$ DBH) | retain |
| 21303 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 3 | 3 | 3 | very poor | poor | deadwood, thin, dead top | exempt (<8" DBH, dead) | remove |
| 21409 | western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 13,7,6 | 17 | 15 | good | fair | codominant leaders |  | retain |
| 21412 | western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 9 | 9 | 12 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 21415 | western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 7 | 7 | 9 | good | good |  | exempt (<8" DBH) | retain |
| 21418 | incense cedar | Calocedrus decurrens | 15 | 15 | 12 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 21422 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 8 | 8 | 6 | poor | poor | deadwood, lean, trunk decay, diameter measured at 3.5' |  | remove |
| 21423 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 6 | 6 | 12 | fair | fair | deadwood, lean, one-sided, thin, diameter measures at $4.0^{\prime}$ | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21425 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 8 | 8 | 6 | fair | poor | deadwood, trunk decay, diameter measured at 3.0 , diameter measured at $3.5{ }^{\prime}$ |  | remove |
| 21426 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 7 | 7 | 12 | fair | good | deadwood | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21486 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 16 | 16 | 16 | fair | fair | deadwood, lean, one-sided, thin |  | remove |
| 21487 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 16 | 16 | 15 | poor | poor | deadwood, one-sided, only two leaders |  | remove |
| 21488 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 11 | 11 | 12 | good | fair | one-sided |  | remove |
| 21489 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 14 | 14 | 12 | fair | fair | thin, only two leaders |  | remove |
| 21490 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 15 | 15 | 12 | poor | poor | one-sided, thin |  | remove |
| 21491 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 15 | 15 | 12 | fair | fair | deadwood, one-sided |  | remove |
| 21492 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 15 | 15 | 15 | fair | fair | deadwood, one-sided |  | remove |
| 21493 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 14 | 14 | 15 | good | fair | lean |  | remove |
| 21494 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 15 | 15 | 15 | good | good |  |  | remove |
| 21495 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 18 | 18 | 15 | good | fair | lean |  | remove |
| 21496 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 12 | 12 | 10 | good | good |  |  | remove |
| 21497 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 15 | 15 | 12 | good | fair | crossing leaders |  | remove |
| 21498 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 18 | 18 | 16 | good | good |  |  | remove |
| 21499 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 19 | 19 | 15 | good | good |  |  | remove |
| 21500 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 3 | 3 | 4 | good | good |  | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |

Todd Prager \& Associates
Attachment 3-Tree Inventory - All Trees
LAM Research
$9 / 7 / 20229 / 8 / 2022$

| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | Single $\mathrm{DBH}^{2}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{3}$ | Condition ${ }^{4}$ | Structure ${ }^{4}$ | Comments | Exempt <br> (less than 8-inches DBH or <br> dead) | Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21501 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 17 | 17 | 15 | fair | fair | deadwood, lean, one-sided, thin |  | remove |
| 21502 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 11 | 11 | 12 | good | good |  |  | remove |
| 21503 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 21 | 21 | 20 | good | good |  |  | remove |
| 21504 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 21 | 21 | 20 | good | fair | trunk flare oddities |  | remove |
| 21505 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 3 |  | 3 | good | good |  | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21506 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 21 | 21 | 20 | fair | fair | deadwood, trunk decay |  | remove |
| 21507 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 3 | 3 | 4 | good | good |  | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21508 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 26 | 26 | 22 | good | good |  |  | remove |
| 21514 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 25 | 25 | 22 | poor | fair | deadwood, one-sided, thin |  | retain |
| 21515 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 24 | 24 | 15 | fair | fair | deadwood, one-sided, thin, epicormic branches |  | retain |
| 21516 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 18 | 18 | 15 | very poor | poor | deadwood, one-sided, thin | exempt (dead) | retain |
| 21517 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 16 | 16 | 16 | poor | fair | deadwood, one-sided, thin |  | remove |
| 21518 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 17 | 17 | 16 | fair | poor | one-sided, thin, only two leaders |  | remove |
| 21520 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 25 | 20 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 21521 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 16 | 16 | 16 | fair | fair | one-sided, thin, crossing leaders |  | retain |
| 21522 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 24 | 18 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 21523 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 23 | 20 | good | fair | one-sided |  | retain |
| 21524 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 21 | 18 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 21525 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 20 | 15 | poor | fair | deadwood, thin, high crown |  | remove |
| 21526 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 16 | 16 | 12 | poor | poor | deadwood, one-sided, thin, lower trunk oddity, only two leaders |  | remove |
| 21527 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 15 | 15 | 18 | fair | fair | one-sided, thin, only two leaders |  | remove |
| 21528 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 17 | 17 | 20 | good | fair | one-sided |  | remove |
| 21529 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 25 | 25 | 18 | good | fair | only two leaders |  | remove |
| 21530 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 21 | 20 | good | fair | one-sided |  | remove |
| 21531 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 21 | 15 | good | fair | high crown |  | remove |
| 21532 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 22 | 22 | fair | fair | lean, one-sided, thin |  | remove |
| 21533 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 18 | 18 | 14 | poor | poor | deadwood, lean, one-sided, thin |  | remove |
| 21534 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 24 | 25 | good | fair | lean, one-sided |  | remove |
| 21535 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 27 | 20 | good | fair | one-sided |  | remove |
| 21641 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 4 | 4 | 6 | good | good |  | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21642 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 15 | 15 | 12 | good | fair | trunk decay |  | remove |
| 21643 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 15 | 15 | 15 | fair | good | deadwood |  | remove |
| 21645 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 14 | 14 | 8 | fair | fair | deadwood, lacks buttress roots on west side |  | remove |
| 21646 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 15 | 15 | 12 | good | fair | one-sided, lacks buttress roots on east side |  | remove |
| 21647 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 10 | 10 | 10 | fair | fair | thin, two leaders |  | remove |
| 21660 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 2 | 2 | 2 | good | good |  | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21661 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 2 | 2 | 2 | good | good |  | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 21663 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 14 | 14 | 10 | fair | poor | basal decay, deadwood, thin, trunk decay |  | remove |
| 21664 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 14 | 14 | 10 | good | fair | burls at trunk base |  | remove |
| 21664 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 15 | 15 | 12 | good | fair | deadwood, trunk decay, surface root damage and possibly lifting on east side |  | remove |
| 21665 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 12 | 12 | 15 | good | fair | lean, lacks buttress roots on east side |  | remove |
| 21743 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 21 | 21 | 25 | fair | fair | deadwood, one-sided, thin |  | retain |
| 21744 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 23 | 23 | 25 | fair | fair | deadwood, lean, one-sided, thin |  | retain |
| 21797 | zelkova | Zelkova serrulata | 20 | 20 | 25 | good | fair | codominant leaders, diameter measured at $2.5{ }^{\prime}$, epicormic branches |  | retain |
| 21800 | zelkova | Zelkova serrulata | 19 | 19 | 25 | good | fair | codominant leaders, one-sided, diameter measured at $3.5{ }^{\prime}$ |  | retain |
| 21935 | Honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos | 5 | 5 | 5 | poor | fair | deadwood, lean, one-sided, thin | exempt (<8" DBH) | retain |
| 21938 | Honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos |  | 2 | 8 | fair | good | deadwood, thin | exempt (88" DBH) | retain |
| 21939 | Honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos | 4 | 4 | 10 | good | fair | lean | exempt (<8" DBH) | retain |
| 21940 | Honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos | 5 | 5 | 10 | fair | fair | deadwood, lean | exempt ( $88^{\prime \prime}$ DBH) | retain |
| 21941 | Honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos | 5 | 4 |  | fair | fair | deadwood, thin | exempt ( $88^{\prime \prime}$ DBH) | retain |
| 21942 | Honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos | 5 | 5 | 10 | fair | fair | deadwood, thin | exempt ( <8" DBH) | retain |
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| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | Single DBH $^{2}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{3}$ | Condition ${ }^{4}$ | Structure ${ }^{4}$ | Comments | Exempt <br> (less than 8 -inches DBH or <br> dead) | Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22042 | Honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos | 3 | 3 | 1 | very poor | very poor | deadwood, lean, trunk decay, Irreversible state of decline | exempt (<8" DBH, dead) | retain |
| 22043 | Honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos | 6 | 6 | 8 | good | good |  | exempt (<8" DBH) | retain |
| 22044 | Honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos | 6 | 6 | 8 | fair | fair | deadwood, lean | exempt ( <8" DBH) | retain |
| 22045 | Honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos | 3 | 3 | 4 | poor | poor | deadwood, lean, thin | exempt (<8" DBH) | retain |
| 22074 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 12 | 12 | 15 | fair | fair | deadwood, lean, thin, lacks trunk flare |  | retain |
| 22075 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 11 | 11 | 15 | fair | good | deadwood, thin |  | retain |
| 22076 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 9 | 9 | 15 | fair | good | basal decay, deadwood, thin, missing bark on west side |  | retain |
| 22077 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 13 | 13 | 16 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 22131 | Honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos | 5 | 5 | 7 | fair | poor | deadwood, lean, thin | exempt ( <8" DBH) | retain |
| 22132 | Honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos | 4 | 4 | 10 | fair | fair | deadwood, lean, thin | exempt (<8" DBH) | retain |
| 22133 | Honey locust | Gleditsia tricanthos | 6 | 6 | 10 | fair | fair | deadwood, lean, thin | exempt (<8" DBH) | retain |
| 22233 | London planetree | Platanus $\times$ acerifolia | 16 | 16 | 25 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 22291 | London planetree | Platanus $\times$ acerifolia | 19 | 19 | 20 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 22390 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 11 | 11 | 14 | fair | fair | deadwood, lean |  | remove |
| 22390 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 15 | 15 | 28 | good | fair | one-sided |  | remove |
| 22395 | Raywood ash | Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood' | 14 | 14 | 18 | good | good |  |  | remove |
| 22564 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 14 | 14 | 18 | fair | fair | deadwood, one-sided, thin |  | remove |
| 22565 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 23 | 23 | 12 | fair | fair | deadwood, thin |  | remove |
| 22566 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 21 | 21 | 15 | fair | fair | deadwood, thin |  | remove |
| 22567 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 17 | 17 | 16 | good | good |  |  | remove |
| 22568 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 22 | 22 | 18 | fair | fair | deadwood, thin |  | remove |
| 22569 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 22 | 22 | 18 | fair | fair | deadwood, thin, trunk wound on south side |  | remove |
| 22575 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 17 | 17 | 20 | good | good |  |  | remove |
| 22581 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 19 | 19 | 14 | fair | good | deadwood |  | remove |
| 22582 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 23 | 23 | 15 | fair | fair | deadwood, crossing and fused leaders |  | remove |
| 22583 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 3 | 3 | 5 | good | good |  | exempt ( < $8^{\prime \prime}$ DBH) | remove |
| 22584 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 3 | 3 | 4 | good | good |  | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 22585 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 3 | 3 | 4 | good | good |  | exempt ( <8" DBH) | remove |
| 22586 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 16 | 16 | 16 | good | fair | Crossing leaders, fused leaders, surface root damage |  | remove |
| 22610 | London planetree | Platanus $\times$ acerifolia | 15 | 15 | 22 | good | good |  |  | remove |
| 22633 | London planetree | Platanus $\times$ acerifolia | 13 | 13 | 18 | good | good |  |  | remove |
| 22688 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 16 | 16 | 16 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 22688.01 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 17 | 17 | 25 | good | fair | codominant leaders, epicormic branches |  | retain |
| 22688.02 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 13 | 13 | 15 | good | fair | one-sided, epicormic branches off of trunk |  | retain |
| 22688.03 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 15 | 15 | 18 | good | fair | codominant leaders, lean, location approximated by arborist, closed trunk wound southeast side |  | retain |
| 22688.04 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 12 | 12 | 18 | fair | fair | one-sided, location approximated by arborist, epicormic branches off trunk |  | retain |
| 22701 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 26 | 26 | 25 | fair | good | thin, epicormic branches |  | retain |
| 22702 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 27 | 27 | 30 | good | fair | one-sided |  | retain |
| 22774 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 11 | 11 | 20 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 22791 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 25 | 25 | 18 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 22792 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 16 | 16 | 26 | good | fair | codominant leaders |  | retain |
| 22819 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 26 | 26 | 20 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 22830 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 13 | 13 | 20 | good | fair | uneven bark on northwest side, three codominant leaders at 10' |  | retain |
| 22833 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 14 | 14 | 20 | good | fair | lean, one-sided |  | retain |
| 22837 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 30 | 30 | 32 | good | fair | girdling root northwest side, large diameter lateral leaders |  | retain |
| 22870 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 13 | 13 | 20 | good | fair | lean |  | retain |
| 22871 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 14 | 14 | 20 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 22898 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 15 | 15 | 18 | good | fair | lean |  | retain |
| 22940 | London planetree | Platanus $\times$ acerifolia | 10 | 10 | 15 | fair | good | twig dieback |  | retain |
| 22959 | London planetree | Platanus $\times$ acerifolia | 12 | 12 | 18 | fair | good | thin, twig dieback |  | retain |
| 22976 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 15 | 15 | 18 | good | fair | one-sided |  | retain |
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| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | Single $\mathrm{DBH}^{2}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{3}$ | Condition ${ }^{4}$ | Structure ${ }^{4}$ | Comments | Exempt <br> (less than 8 -inches DBH or <br> dead) | Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22980 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 16 | 16 | 20 | good | fair | codominant leaders |  | retain |
| 22985 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 14 | 14 | 16 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 22987 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 15 | 15 | 18 | good | fair | one-sided |  | retain |
| 23097 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 14 | 14 | 20 | good | fair | codominant leaders with inclusion, lean, one-sided |  | retain |
| 23098 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 14 | 14 | 22 | good | fair | codominant leaders with inclusion, one-sided |  | retain |
| 23117 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 14 | 14 | 20 | good | fair | one-sided, lacks trunk flare |  | retain |
| 23120 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 13 | 13 | 18 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23276 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 16 | 16 | 22 | good | fair | lean, one-sided, girdling roots |  | retain |
| 23283 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 13 | 13 | 15 | good | fair | codominant leaders, lean |  | retain |
| 23284 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 13 | 13 | 20 | good | fair | overextended limb |  | retain |
| 23285 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 14 | 14 | 22 | good | fair | codominant leaders, girdling roots |  | retain |
| 23286 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 12 | 12 | 18 | good | fair | codominant leaders |  | retain |
| 23307 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 15 | 15 | 20 | good | fair | fused and crossing leaders |  | retain |
| 23307.01 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 14 | 14 | 18 | good | fair | location approximated by arborist, fused and crossing leaders |  | retain |
| 23308 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 13 | 13 | 18 | good | fair | girdling roots |  | retain |
| 23326 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 15 | 15 | 18 | good | fair | one-sided |  | retain |
| 23339 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 12 | 12 | 18 | good | fair | codominant leaders, one-sided |  | retain |
| 23389 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 17 | 17 | 25 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23391 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 16 | 16 | 23 | good | fair | codominant leaders, girdling roots |  | retain |
| 23392 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 16 | 16 | 20 | good | fair | one-sided |  | retain |
| 23393 | litteleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 12 | 12 | 18 | good | fair | lean, one-sided |  | retain |
| 23394 | littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 16 | 16 | 20 | good | fair | codominant leaders, lean, one-sided |  | retain |
| 23406 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 21 | 25 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23407 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 23 | 25 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23408 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 29 | 29 | 26 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23410 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 29 | 29 | 30 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23411 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 11 | 11 | 10 | fair | fair | deadwood, thin, suppressed |  | retain |
| 23412 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 27 | 25 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23413 | Oregon white oak | Quercus garryana | 32 | 32 | 25 | fair | fair | trunk cavity, crowded leaders at 20, flush cuts |  | retain |
| 23415 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 22 | 22 | 25 | poor | fair | lean, trunk decay, overextended branches |  | retain |
| 23416 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 23 | 23 | 30 | poor | fair | lean, thin, overextended branches |  | retain |
| 23417 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 25 | 25 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23418 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 17 | 17 | 16 | fair | fair | lean, one-sided |  | retain |
| 23419 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 20 | 20 | 10 | very poor | very poor | Fungal conk at base, two live leaders | exempt (dead) | retain |
| 23420 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 17 | 17 | 20 | fair | fair | basal decay, multiple burls |  | retain |
| 23421 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 14 | 16 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23422 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 22 | 22 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23429 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 14 | 15 | good | good |  |  | remove |
| 23432 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 26 | 25 | good | good |  |  | remove |
| 23433 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 44 | 44 | 28 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23434 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 45 | 45 | 28 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23435 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 31 | 30 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23474 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 20 | 20 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23475 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 12 | 12 | 15 | good | fair | one-sided |  | retain |
| 23476 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 24 | 18 | poor | good | thin |  | retain |
| 23477 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 20 | 18 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23478 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 26 | 20 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23479 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 17 | 17 | 15 | poor | poor | basal decay, deadwood, one-sided, thin, three leaders, change icon to deciduous |  | retain |
| 23480 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 25 | 25 | 15 | fair | fair | one-sided, thin |  | retain |
| 23509 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 12 | 12 | 16 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23613 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 25 | 25 | 35 | good | good |  |  | retain |

Todd Prager \& Associates
Attachment 3-Tree Inventory - All Trees
LAM Research

| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | Single DBH $^{2}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{3}$ | Condition ${ }^{4}$ | Structure ${ }^{4}$ | Comments | Exempt <br> (less than 8-inches DBH or <br> dead) | Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23613.01 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 20 | 20 | 25 | fair | fair | lean, one-sided, thin, location approximated by arborist, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 23613.02 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 21 | 21 | 28 | good | fair | one-sided, location approximated by arborist, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 23614 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 30 | 30 | 28 | good | fair | one-sided |  | retain |
| 23614.01 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 22 | 22 | 28 | good | fair | one-sided, location approximated by arborist, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 23615 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 27 | 27 | 32 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23693 | littleeaf linden | Tilia cordata | 1 | 1 | 3 | fair | good | thin, recommend removal of planting stakes and ties | exempt (<8" DBH) | retain |
| 23715 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 11 | 11 | 12 | poor | fair | codominant leaders, deadwood, thin, chlorotic |  | retain |
| 23715.01 | Japanese maple | Acer palmatum | 11 | 11 | 12 | good | good | location approximated by arborist, diameter measured at $1^{\prime}$ |  | retain |
| 23800 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 49 | 49 | 25 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23801 | bigleaf maple | Acer macrophylum | 6 | 6 | 15 | fair | fair | lean, one-sided | exempt ( <8" DBH) | retain |
| 23803 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 45 | 45 | 20 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 23807 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 18 | 18 | 10 | poor | fair | deadwood, lean, one-sided, thin |  | retain |
| 24041 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 17 | 17 | 10 | poor | fair | deadwood, lean, one-sided, thin |  | retain |
| 24041.01 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 10 | 10 | 12 | good | good | location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 24041.02 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 18 | 18 | 15 | fair | fair | lean, one-sided, heavy ivy load |  | retain |
| 24042 | bigleaf maple | Acer macrophylum | 10 | 10 | 20 | good | fair | lean, one-sided |  | retain |
| 24042.01 | bigleaf maple | Acer macrophylum | 10,7 | 12 | 10 | fair | fair | codominant leaders with inclusion, lean, thin, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 24042.02 | bigleaf maple | Acer macrophylum | 17 | 17 | 28 | fair | fair | basal decay, lean, one-sided, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 24042.03 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 14 | 14 | fair | fair | lean, thin |  | retain |
| 24049 | cottonwood | Populus trichocarpa | 30 | 30 | 20 | good | good |  |  | retain |
| 24049.01 | cottonwood | Populus trichocarpa | 18 | 18 | 15 | good | fair | lean |  | retain |
| 24049.02 | cottonwood | Populus trichocarpa | 26 | 26 | 20 | good | fair | high crown |  | retain |
| 24056 | bigleaf maple | Acer macrophyllum | 22 | 22 | 20 | fair | fair | lean, one-sided, thin |  | retain |
| 24057 | bigleaf maple | Acer macrophylum | 10 | 10 | 15 | fair | fair | one-sided, sweeping trunk |  | retain |
| 24057.01 | bigleaf maple | Acer macrophylum | 25 | 25 | 25 | fair | poor | basal decay, codominant leaders, lean, trunk decay, location approximated by arborist, crossing leaders, standing leader, failed leader is a nurse log |  | retain |
| 24057.02 | Scoulers willow | Salix scouleriana | 8,6,6 | 12 | 15 | fair | poor | codominant leaders, lean, one-sided, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 24061 | bigleaf maple | Acer macrophylum | 31 | 31 | 20 | fair | fair | heavy ivy load distorts tree structure, diameter approximate |  | retain |
| 24073 | Scoulers willow | Salix scouleriana | 18 | 18 | 10 | good | fair | high crown |  | retain |
| 3001 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 26 | 32 | good | fair | location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3002 | bigleaf maple | Acer macrophylum | 16 | 16 | 30 | poor | poor | location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3003 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 26 | 20 | good | good | location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3004 | cottonwood | Populus trichocarpa | 50 | 50 | 25 | good | fair | location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3005 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 16 | 16 | 15 | fair | fair | location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3006 | bigleaf maple | Acer macrophylum | 8 | 8 | 12 | fair | fair | location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3007 | bigleaf maple | Acer macrophylum | 8 | 8 | 12 | fair | fair | location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3008 | Scoulers willow | Salix scouleriana | 9 | 9 | 10 | fair | fair | location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3009 | Scoulers willow | Salix scouleriana | 7 | 7 | 10 | fair | poor | location approximated by arborist | exempt ( (8" DBH) | retain |
| 3010 | bigleaf maple | Acer macrophylum | 17 | 17 | 20 | poor | poor | location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3011 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 17 | 20 | poor | fair | location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3012 | bigleaf maple | Acer macrophylum |  |  | 10 | fair | poor | location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3013 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 11 | 11 | 8 | poor | poor | location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3014 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 42 | 42 | 25 | poor | poor | location approximated by arborist | exempt (dead) | retain |
| 3015 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 13 | 13 | 10 | fair | fair | location approximated by arborist |  | remove |
| 3016 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 11 | 11 | 12 | good | poor | location approximated by arborist |  | remove |
| 3017 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 17 | 17 | 10 | fair | fair | location approximated by arborist |  | remove |
| 3018 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 14 | 14 | 120 | good | fair | location approximated by arborist |  | remove |
| 3019 | flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 12 | 12 | 10 | good | good | location approximated by arborist |  | remove |
| 3020 | Autumn Blaze red maple | Acer $\times$ freemanii |  | 3 | 5 | good | good | location approximated by arborist | exempt (<8" DBH) | remove |
| 3021 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 26 | 26 | 35 | good | good | location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3022 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 27 | 27 | 35 | good | fair | codominant leaders with inclusion, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |

Todd Prager \& Associates
Attachment 3-Tree Inventory - All Trees
LAM Research

| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DB4 ${ }^{1}$ | Single $\mathrm{DBH}^{2}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{3}$ | Condition ${ }^{4}$ | Structure ${ }^{4}$ | Comments | Exempt <br> (less than 8 -inches DBH or <br> dead) | Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3023 | zelkova | Zelkova serrulata | 23 | 23 | 25 | good | fair | diameter measured at $2^{\prime}$, one-sided, crowded leaders at $6^{\prime}$, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3024 | zelkova | Zelkova serrulata | 14 | 14 | 16 | good | fair | diameter measured at $4^{\prime}$, codominant leaders, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3025 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 22 | 22 | 28 | good | fair | one-sided, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3026 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 24 | 30 | 30 | good | fair | one-sided, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3027 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 19 | 19 | 20 | fair | good | twig dieback, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3028 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 25 | 25 | 30 | good | fair | one-sided, crowded leader at $8^{\prime}$, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3029 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 19 | 19 | 28 | fair | good | twig dieback, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3030 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 20 | 20 | 35 | good | fair | codominant leaders, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3031 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 25 | 25 | 35 | fair | good | twig dieback, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3032 | zelkova | Zelkova serrulata | 18 | 18 | 30 | fair | fair | diameter measured at 2', crowded leaders at $6^{\prime}$, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3033 | zelkova | Zelkova serrulata | 21 | 21 | 15 | fair | fair | diameter measured at 2', crowded leaders at $6^{\prime}$, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3034 | zelkova | Zelkova serrulata | 22 | 22 | 30 | good | fair | diameter measured at 3.5', crowded leaders at $6^{\prime}$, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3035 | zelkova | Zelkova serrulata | 18 | 18 | 30 | good | fair | diameter measured at $4^{\prime}$, crowded leaders at $6^{\prime}$, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3036 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 29 | 29 | 40 | fair | fair | codominant leaders, twig dieback, location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3037 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 15 | 15 | 30 | good | good | location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| 3038 | northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 18 | 18 | 25 | fair | fair | twig dieback, one-sided, crowded leaders at 12', location approximated by arborist |  | retain |
| DBH is the trunk diameter in inches. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single DBH is the trunk diameter of a multi-trunked tree converted to a single number according to the following formula: square root of the sum of all squared trunk diameters. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C-Rad is the approximate crown radius in feet. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {chendition and Structure ratings range from very poor, poor, fair, to good. }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Attachment 4 Tree Protection Recommendations

The following recommendations will help to ensure that the trees to be retained are adequately protected:

## Before Construction Begins

1. Notify all contractors of tree protection procedures. For successful tree protection on a construction site, all contractors must know and understand the goals of tree protection.
a. Hold a tree protection meeting with all contractors to explain the goals of tree protection.
b. Have all contractors sign memoranda of understanding regarding the goals of tree protection. The memoranda should include a penalty for violating the tree protection plan. The penalty should equal the resulting fines issued by the local jurisdiction plus the appraised value of the tree(s) within the violated tree protection zone per the current Trunk Formula Method as outlined in the current edition of the Guide for Plant Appraisal by the Council of Tree \& Landscape Appraisers. The penalty should be paid to the owner of the property.
2. Fencing
a. Trees to remain on site will be protected by installation of tree protection fencing as shown in Attachment 1.
b. The fencing should be put in place before the ground is cleared to protect the trees and the soil around the trees from disturbances.
c. Fencing should be established by the project arborist based on the needs of the trees to be protected and to facilitate construction.
d. Fencing should consist of 6 -foot high steel fencing on concrete blocks or 6foot metal fencing secured to the ground with 8 -foot metal posts to prevent it from being moved by contractors, sagging, or falling down.
e. Fencing should remain in the position that is established by the project arborist and not be moved without approval from the project arborist until final project approval.
3. Signage
a. All tree protection fencing should have signage as follows so that all contractors understand the purpose of the fencing:
TREE PROTECTION ZONE
DO NOT REMOVE OR ADJUST THE LOCATION OF THIS
URAUTHORIZED ENCROACHMENT MAY RESULT IN FINES

Please contact the project arborist if alterations to the location of the tree protection fencing are necessary.

Todd Prager, Project Arborist, 971-295-4835
b. Signage should be placed every 75 -feet or less.

## During Construction

1. Protection Guidelines Within the Tree Protection Zones:
a. No new buildings; grade change or cut and fill, during or after construction; new impervious surfaces; or utility or drainage field placement should be allowed within the tree protection zones.
b. No traffic should be allowed within the tree protection zones. This includes but is not limited to vehicle, heavy equipment, or even repeated foot traffic.
c. No storage of materials including but not limiting to soil, construction material, or waste from the site should be permitted within the tree protection zones. Waste includes but is not limited to concrete wash out, gasoline, diesel, paint, cleaner, thinners, etc.
d. Construction trailers should not to be parked/placed within the tree protection zones.
e. No vehicles should be allowed to park within the tree protection zones.
f. No other activities should be allowed that will cause soil compaction within the tree protection zones.
2. The trees should be protected from any cutting, skinning or breaking of branches, trunks or woody roots.
3. The project arborist should be notified prior to the cutting of woody roots from trees that are to be retained to evaluate and oversee the proper cutting of roots with sharp cutting tools. Cut roots should be immediately covered with soil or mulch to prevent them from drying out.
4. Trees that have woody roots cut should be provided supplemental water during the summer months.
5. Any necessary passage of utilities through the tree protection zones should be by means of tunneling under woody roots by hand digging or boring with oversight by the project arborist.
6. Any deviation from the recommendations in this section should receive prior approval from the project arborist.

## After Construction

1. Carefully landscape the areas within the tree protection zones. Do not allow trenching for irrigation or other utilities within the tree protection zones.
2. Carefully plant new plants within the tree protection zones. Avoid cutting the woody roots of trees that are retained.
3. Do not install permanent irrigation within the tree protection zones unless it is drip irrigation to support a specific planting or the irrigation is approved by the project arborist.
4. Provide adequate drainage within the tree protection zones and do not alter soil hydrology significantly from existing conditions for the trees to be retained.
5. Provide for the ongoing inspection and treatment of insect and disease populations that are capable of damaging the retained trees and plants.
6. The retained trees may need to be fertilized if recommended by the project arborist.
7. Any deviation from the recommendations in this section should receive prior approval from the project arborist.

## Attachment 5

## Assumptions and Limiting Conditions

1. Any legal description provided to the consultant is assumed to be correct. The site plans and construction information provided by Mackenzie was the basis of the information provided in this report.
2. It is assumed that this property is not in violation of any codes, statutes, ordinances, or other governmental regulations.
3. The consultant is not responsible for information gathered from others involved in various activities pertaining to this project. Care has been taken to obtain information from reliable sources.
4. Loss or alteration of any part of this delivered report invalidates the entire report.
5. Drawings and information contained in this report may not be to scale and are intended to be used as display points of reference only.
6. The consultant's role is only to make recommendations. Inaction on the part of those receiving the report is not the responsibility of the consultant.
7. The purpose of this report is to:

- Provide tree removal findings and recommendations based on the proposed site and grading plans; and
- Provide recommendations for adequately protecting the trees to be retained during construction.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

This Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) has been prepared in support of the proposed new office building (Building G) at the Lam Research campus in Tualatin, Oregon. Figure 1 (in Appendix A) presents a vicinity map indicating the project location.

## Project Description

An approximately 120,000-square-foot (SF) office building is proposed just north of SW Leveton Drive between the existing Center and East Accesses. Up to 600 office staff are planned to occupy the proposed building. Fewer than $10 \%$ of the new office staff will work remotely. Surface parking for approximately 530 spaces is proposed along SW 108th Avenue. The buildout year for the new office building is assumed to be 2024.

The existing East Access on SW Leveton Drive is proposed to be limited to truck access. To accommodate the additional office trips, two (2) new driveways are proposed on SW 108th Avenue with direct access to the expanded parking area. The North Access is proposed to be aligned opposite the north driveway serving Olympic Controls. The South Access is proposed approximately 445 feet south of the North Access.

## Scope of Analysis

This TIA has been prepared in accordance with the City of Tualatin Traffic Study Requirements (updated March 16, 2022), Tualatin Development Code (TDC) Section 74.440, and the Oregon Department of Transportation’s (ODOT) Analysis Procedures Manual (APM) Version 2. This study includes a summary of existing traffic conditions, crash review, proposed trip generation, and an analysis of intersection operations, sight distance, queuing, and signal and turn-lane warrants.

A TIS scoping letter dated June 30, 2022 was submitted to City staff and approved in a July 15, 2022 email. An additional study area intersection was requested in an August 2, 2022 email. The scoping letter and corresponding communications are provided in Appendix B for reference.

## Study Area

The City's Traffic Study Requirements document requires all intersections within a 1/4-mile radius of the project site be included as part of the study area. Washington County requires analysis for all intersections where project trips will exceed $10 \%$ of the existing average daily traffic (ADT). No Washington County intersections were found to meet this threshold. The following intersections are located within the 1/4mile radius and were included in the study area:

1. Pacific Highway W (OR-99W)/SW 124th Avenue
2. SW Tualatin Road/SW 124th Avenue
3. SW Tualatin Road/SW 108th Avenue
4. SW 108th Avenue/North Access
5. SW 108th Avenue/South Access
6. SW Leveton Drive/SW 124th Avenue
7. SW Leveton Drive/SW 118th Avenue
8. SW Leveton Drive/West Access
9. SW Leveton Drive/Center Access
10. SW Leveton Drive/East Access
11. SW Leveton Drive/SW 108th Avenue
12. SW Herman Road/SW 108th Avenue
13. SW Tualatin Road/SW Teton Avenue

All study area intersections are located within City of Tualatin jurisdiction. The OR 99W/SW 124th Avenue intersection is located on an ODOT facility.

## Analysis Scenarios

This TIS addresses AM and PM peak hour conditions for the following analysis scenarios:

- 2022 Seasonally Adjusted
- 2024 Pre-Development without proposed Office
- 2024 Post-Development with proposed Office


## II. EXISTING CONDITIONS

The existing conditions analysis is based on a current year 2022 inventory of transportation facilities and traffic data.

## Site Conditions

The project site is in Tualatin, Oregon within the Portland metropolitan area. The site is approximately 58.01 acres and consist of tax lots 100 of Washington County tax map 2 S 122 AB , and tax lots 500 and 800 of tax map 2S1 22AA. The site is part of the City's Manufacturing Park (MP) Planning District. The Novellus Industrial Master Plan (IMP) was approved in 2001 as a four-phase development consisting of 1,440,000 SF. The proposed office building is considered to be the last building of the IMP's Phase 1. The proposed site plan is presented in Figure 2.

## Vehicular Transportation Facilities

Figure 3 presents existing lane configurations and traffic control devices for all study area intersections. Table 1 below summarizes roadway characteristics within the study area.

| TABLE 1 - ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway | Functional Classification | Posted <br> Speed | Travel <br> Lanes | Bike <br> Lanes | On-Street <br> Parking | Sidewalks |  |
| OR 99W <br> (Pacific Highway W) | Major Arterial/ <br> (Urban Principal Arterial) | $45 / 55 \mathrm{mph}$ | 4 | Yes | None | Intermittent |  |
| SW 124th Avenue | Major Arterial | 45 mph | $4 / 5$ | Yes | None | Yes |  |
| SW Tualatin Road | Major Collector | 35 mph | 3 | Yes | None | Yes |  |
| SW Leveton Drive | Minor Arterial | 35 mph | 2 | Yes | None | Yes |  |
| SW 108th Avenue | Minor Collector (north of <br> SW Leveton Drive) | 35 mph | 2 | Yes | None | Yes |  |
| SW Herman Road | Minor Arterial | 35 mph | 2 | Yes | None | Yes |  |
| SW Teton Avenue | Minor Arterial | 35 mph | 2 | Yes | None | Yes |  |

## Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities

The study area has nearly complete bicycle and pedestrian networks. Clearly marked bike lanes are provided on all study area roadways. Curb-tight sidewalks are provided on SW 108th Avenue and SW Tualatin Road, as well as some segments of the north side of SW Herman Road. Separated sidewalks are provided on all other study roadways and segments.

## Transit Facilities

The study area is served by TriMet Bus Lines 94 and 97 with stops on Pacific Highway W (OR 99W) and SW Tualatin Road. The Tualatin Shuttle also has a stop on SW Leveton Drive just south of the site. Transit maps and bus schedules are provided in Appendix C for reference.

## Existing Traffic Counts

Existing turning movement counts were collected on Thursday, June 9, 2022, during the AM and PM peak periods.

Historical traffic counts from Tuesday, May 11, 2021 for the SW Tualatin Road/SW Teton Avenue intersection were utilized as this intersection was requested for analysis by City staff while school was not in session. An adjustment of 1.30 was applied to the AM peak hour counts and an adjustment of 1.05 was applied to the PM peak hour counts at this location to reflect the growth from 2021 to 2022.

Figure 4 presents the existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes. Raw traffic count summaries are provided in Appendix D.

## Seasonal Adjustment

Pacific Highway W (OR 99W) is a state facility which requires a seasonal adjustment as specified in the APM. There is no seasonal adjustment data available for this location as there is no nearby Automatic Traffic Recorder (ATR). Therefore, a seasonal adjustment of 1.01 derived from data presented in ODOT's 2020 Seasonal Trend Table for the "Commuter" trend was applied to 2022 existing through volumes on OR 99W. The 2020 Seasonal Trend Table relies on pre-COVID 2019 volumes and is therefore the best available data for 2022 traffic. The 2022 seasonally adjusted traffic volumes are presented in Figure 5. The seasonal adjustment calculation is provided in Appendix E for reference.

## Adjustment for Telecommuting

Existing traffic counts collected on Thursday, June 9, 2022 reflect a portion of Lam office staff telecommuting. While a review of historical and existing traffic counts on I-5 just north of the Nyberg Street exit shows that existing traffic in the greater Tualatin area may be comparable to pre-COVID traffic, existing counts adjacent to the Lam site are lower due to some staff currently telecommuting.

Lam Research does not currently have a permanent hybrid work plan. Therefore, we propose to growth adjust existing traffic counts to match $100 \%$ on-site attendance by applying an adjustment factor of 1.92 in the AM peak hour and 1.28 in the PM peak hour to site trips. These adjustments were based on the actual 2018 and 2022 turning movement volumes at the site driveways. The AM peak adjustment is higher than the PM peak adjustment, likely due to office staff entering the site later in the day, outside the morning peak between 7 AM and 9 AM , while continuing to exit the site during the afternoon peak between 4 PM and 6 PM. These modified site trips were carried through the adjacent roadway network as needed, similar to in-process trips, to estimate traffic volumes without the current remote work scenario.

| TABLE 2 - TRAFFIC ADJUSTMENT FOR TELECOMMUTING |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour Site Trips |  |  | PM Peak Hour Site Trips |  |  |
| 2018 Historical | 2022 Existing | Adjustment Factor | 2018 Historical | 2022 Existing | Adjustment Factor |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Entering - } 422 \\ \text { Exiting - } 26 \\ \text { Total }-448 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Entering - } 221 \\ \text { Exiting - } 50 \\ \text { Total - } 271 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.92 \text { (Entering } \\ & \text { Only) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Entering - } 168 \\ \text { Exiting - } 445 \\ \text { Total }-613 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Entering - } 149 \\ \text { Exiting - } 349 \\ \text { Total - } 498 \end{gathered}$ | 1.28 |

Figure 6 presents the additional 2022 site trips for remote workers during the AM and PM peak hours.

## SW 108th Avenue Driveways

This TIA reviews the impact the proposed driveways on SW 108th Avenue will have on existing, nearby driveways. In order to estimate the possible queues along SW 108th Avenue, the trips generated by the existing Olympus Controls building were estimated using the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition data for a "Warehouse" use (LUC 150). The proposed North Access will be aligned opposite the northern access serving Olympus Controls. The proposed South Access will be spaced approximately 130 feet north of the southern access serving Ascentec Engineering. The Olympus Controls building is estimated to generate 33 AM and 35 PM peak hour trips. These trip generation estimates and the existing traffic counts were used to estimate the volumes at the Olympus Controls driveways. Existing peak hour counts for the southern Ascentec Engineering access were collected and are provided in Appendix D.

## Crash Analysis

Historical crash data reported for the study area intersections were evaluated to identify patterns that might indicate a safety concern. Crash data for the 5 -year period of 2016 through 2020 were obtained from ODOT's online crash data system and used to review crash patterns and estimate intersection crash rates.

The crash evaluation is summarized in Table 3. The raw crash data are provided in Appendix F.

| Intersection <br> (Traffic Control Type) | TABLE 3 - INTERSECTION CRASH RATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year |  |  |  |  | Total Crashes | ADT | Crash <br> Rate |
|  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |  |  |  |
| Pacific Highway W/ <br> SW 124th Avenue (Signalized) | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 29 | 49,000 | 0.32 |
| SW Tualatin Road/ SW 124th Avenue (Signalized) | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 25,800 | 0.21 |
| SW Tualatin Road/ SW 108th Avenue (TWSC) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 13,100 | 0.13 |


| TABLE 3 - INTERSECTION CRASH RATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection <br> (Traffic Control Type) | Year |  |  |  |  | Total Crashes | ADT | Crash Rate |
|  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |  |  |  |
| SW Leveton Drive/ SW 124th Avenue (Signalized) | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 17,500 | 0.25 |
| SW Leveton Drive/ SW 118th Avenue (AWSC) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,900 | 0.00 |
| SW Leveton Drive/ SW 108th Avenue (TWSC) | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3,100 | 0.53 |
| SW Herman Road/ SW 108th Avenue (Signalized) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 11,200 | 0.10 |
| SW Tualatin Road/ SW Teton Avenue (TWSC) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 14,600 | 0.19 |

## Crash Data Summary

During the five-year study period, there were 29 collisions reported at the intersection of Pacific Highway W (OR 99W) and SW 124th Avenue. 21 of these were rear-end collisions, the majority of these being in the northbound through direction. Five (5) of the other crashes were turning movement collisions. These collisions were reported to be caused by drivers failing to avoid the vehicle ahead or improper turns and other improper driving. The remainder of crashes include two (2) angle collisions and one (1) fixed object collision. All of these collisions were reported to cause property damage ( 12 collisions) or minor injuries (15 collisions), with two (2) Injury B type crashes in 2016 and 2020.

Ten (10) collisions were reported at the intersection of SW Tualatin Road and SW 124h Avenue. Six (6) of these were turning movement collisions caused by a failure to yield by drivers completing the southbound left-turn movement. This may be the result of drivers running red lights due to a high turn volume and a short green phase. Five (5) of these collisions caused possible injuries (Injury Type C). There was one collision involving a pedestrian; a driver completing a westbound right turn failed to yield to a pedestrian in the crosswalk at the intersection. The other reported crashes were rear-end and fixed-object type collisions, mostly in the westbound direction.

At the intersection of SW Tualatin Road and SW 108th Avenue, all three (3) reported crashes were turning movement collisions caused by drivers failing to yield or drivers disregarding a traffic control device, and mostly by drivers completing the northbound left-turn movement. Again, this may be due to drivers running red lights due to a high turn volume and short green phase.

At the intersection of SW Leveton Drive and SW 124th Avenue, there were eight (8) reported crashes, five (5) of which were rear-end collisions. Four (4) of these crashes caused injuries of Type B or C. Six (6) of these collisions occurred in the southbound direction. Rear-end collisions are typical at signalized locations where drivers may stop abruptly at the onset of a yellow light.

At the intersection of SW Leveton Drive and SW 108th Avenue, there were three (3) reported crashes in the past five (5) years. Two (2) were turning movement collisions and the other one (1) was a rear-end collision. All three crashes occurred in the eastbound and westbound directions, and were caused by inattention or failure to yield.

During the study period, there have been two (2) rear-end collisions at the SW Herman Road/SW 108th Avenue intersection. These occurred in the eastbound and westbound directions, and were caused by inattention.

At the intersection of SW Tualatin Road and SW Teton Avenue, there have been five (5) reported crashes in the last five (5) years. Three (3) of these collisions were turning movement collisions for the northbound left-turn movement, caused by a failure to yield. This is likely due to drivers taking shorter gaps in traffic due to heavy through volumes on SW Tualatin Road. All of the crashes which occurred in the northbound left turn caused "property damage only" and no injury. The other two (2) collisions were one (1) rear-end in the northbound movement and one (1) fixed-object collision.

Overall, there were no fatalities or serious injury crashes reported in the least five (5) years at any study area intersections. There appear to be no safety deficiencies at any study area intersections that contribute to the historical crashes reviewed.

## Intersection Crash Rates

Intersection crash rates were calculated as a measure of the number of crashes occurring per one million entering vehicles (MEV) per year. The intersection crash rate is calculated by dividing the average number of crashes per year by the MEV per year. An average daily traffic (ADT) volume was estimated by dividing the PM peak hour volume at each intersection by a peak-to-daily factor, or $k$-factor, of 0.09 obtained from ODOT's 2020 traffic flow data on OR 99W just west of SW 124th Avenue.

All intersections have crash rates below 1.0 MEV. Therefore, no further analysis is recommended.

## III. PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

The pre-development conditions reflect build-out year conditions without the proposed development. This scenario includes existing year 2022 traffic volumes, a seasonal adjustment to traffic on OR 99W, a growth adjustment factor to account for telecommuting staff, background growth to year 2024, and inprocess trips from nearby approved developments. The pre-development traffic without project trips will indicate if traffic issues are present before the addition of the proposed development.

## Planned Transportation Improvements

The City of Tualatin Capital Improvement Plan 2021-2025 (CIP) was reviewed for any planned transportation improvements in the area that may affect capacity. The City plans to add a northbound turn lane at the SW Herman Road/SW 118th Avenue intersection. While this improvement is near the development site, it does not impact future capacity or trip routing for any study area intersections in this report.

## Background Traffic Growth

Background traffic growth was applied to adjusted year 2022 traffic volumes to forecast future traffic demand. A linear 1\% annual growth rate over two (2) years was applied to year 2022 traffic volumes to estimate 2024 background traffic volumes. This growth adjustment was based on ODOT traffic volume projections for OR 99W just south of SW 124th Avenue between years 2019 and 2040. Background growth was applied to all movements at all intersections, except driveways. Figure 7 presents the background growth from 2022 to 2024 for the AM and PM peak hours.

## In-Process Traffic

In-process traffic volumes account for developments that have been approved or that are under construction at the time of a traffic study. These traffic volumes account for traffic that will be added to the external roadway network before buildout of the proposed development. Traffic volumes for the following developments were included as in-process:

- Tualatin Logistics Park
- Lu Pacific Development (Herman Road Industrial)
- Hedges Creek Industrial

Four (4) access scenarios were provided in the TIA prepared for the Tualatin Logistics Park project. The inprocess trips included in this TIA reflect the volumes provided in Scenario 2, which is consistent with the approved access configuration. Figure 8 presents the in-process trips during the AM and PM peak hours.

## Pre-Development Traffic

The 2024 pre-development analysis scenario is a combination of existing year 2022 traffic volumes, a seasonal adjustment factor on OR 99W, a growth adjustment factor to account for telecommuting staff, background growth of $1 \%$ over two (2) years, and in-process trips from nearby approved developments. Figure 9 presents the 2024 pre-development traffic volumes during the AM and PM peak hours.

## IV. SITE DEVELOPMENT

The trip-making characteristics of the proposed development are described below.

## Trip Generation

The proposed 120,000 SF office building will provide space for office staff generally working between 8 AM and 5 PM. Up to 600 employees will be added to the campus with the new office building. Most new office staff are anticipated to work from the office in the future. Trip generation estimates were developed with the use of the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition. The City requires the reasonable worst case for trip generation be analyzed. Therefore, trip rates for ITE's "General Office Building" (LUC 710) using building area were utilized in this study.

Table 4 presents the trip generation estimates for the proposed office building.

| TABLE 4 - TRIP GENERATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITE <br> Code | ITE Land Use | Size | Trip Type | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Daily |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| 710 | General Office Building | 120.0 KSF | Total | 172 | 24 | 196 | 33 | 160 | 193 | 1,360 |

## Trip Distribution and Assignment

Trip distribution for the proposed office building was estimated by reviewing the existing distribution from recent and existing counts at the site driveways in conjunction with review of previous trip distribution assumptions for the Lam Research campus. The following trip distribution was assumed:

- $15 \%$ to/from the south on Highway 99w
- $25 \%$ to/from the north on Highway 99w
- $5 \%$ to/from the east on SW Tualatin Road
- $15 \%$ to/from the south on SW 124th Avenue
- $5 \%$ to/from the south on SW 118th Avenue
- $35 \%$ to/from the east on SW Herman Road

Figure 10 presents the trip distribution and traffic assignment for the AM and PM peak hours.

## East Access Reroutes

With the proposed building, other site changes including additional parking along SW 108th Avenue, two (2) new driveways on SW 108th Avenue, and limiting the East Access on SW Leveton Drive to trucks are proposed. With the closure of the East Access to passenger vehicle traffic, existing site trips that currently utilize this driveway are anticipated to reroute to the proposed driveways on SW 108th Avenue to access the expanded parking area. Figure 11 presents the East Access trip reroutes for the AM and PM peak hours.

## Post-Development Traffic

Post-Development traffic volumes are the sum of the project trips and the pre-development traffic volumes. Figure 12 presents the 2024 post-development traffic volumes for the AM and PM peak hours.

## V. SITE ACCESS AND CIRCULATION

The on-site evaluation of traffic access and circulation and a review of sight distance at the existing site driveways are presented below.

## Site Access

The proposed development will have access to two (2) existing, full-movement driveways on SW Leveton Drive and two (2) proposed, full-movement driveways on SW 108th Avenue. The third driveway on SW Leveton Drive will be limited to trucks and will become directional (inbound or outbound only).

## Access Standards

The TDC includes several sections related to access standards. Chapter 75 of the TDC presents access standards relative to driveway widths and spacing on the site. Per Table 75-1 of the TDC, minimum driveway approach width for industrial driveways is 36 feet and the maximum is 40 feet for driveways providing access for over 250 parking spaces. The existing driveways for the site meet these standards. The proposed driveways on SW 108th Avenue will meet these standards at a proposed width of 36 feet.

Per TDC 75.120, driveways on Minor Collectors must be spaced a minimum of 100 feet. Driveways must be located at least 150 feet from the intersection of Collector or Arterial streets, as measured from the stop bar, per TDC 75.040(11)(a). Additionally, driveways must provide a minimum distance of 40 feet between on-site driveways per TDC 75.040(10).

| TABLE 5 - ACCESS SPACING SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Access | Roadway | Functional Classification | Spacing Standard | Access <br> Spacing Measured Edge-to-Edge |  |
|  |  |  |  | To North | To South |
| North Access | SW 108th Avenue | Minor Collector | 150' to Arterial or Collector intersections/ 100' between driveways | 635 feet | 445 feet |
| South Access |  |  |  | 445 feet | 150 feet |

The proposed site driveways on SW 108th Avenue will meet the City's access spacing standards as summarized in Table 5.

## On-Site Circulation

The site currently provides access to staff via three full-movement driveways on SW Leveton Drive. A fire access is provided on SW Tualatin Road opposite SW 115th Avenue and a construction access is provided on SW 108th Avenue approximately 300 feet south of SW Tualatin Road. Both of these driveways are gated.

With the proposed office building, the East Access on SW Leveton Drive will be limited to truck use. Two (2) new full-movement driveways are proposed on SW 108th Avenue. The North Access will be provided opposite the northern access to Olympus Controls. The South Access will be provided approximately 445 feet south of the North Access. Trucks will navigate to the new office building by entering the East Access on SW Leveton Drive and exiting the proposed South Access on SW 108th Avenue. All new office staff are
anticipated to access the site via the two (2) proposed driveways on SW 108th Avenue where an additional approximately 500 new parking spaces will be provided.

## Sight Distance Evaluation

Intersection sight distance was evaluated for the proposed site driveway locations. The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' (AASHTO) A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 7th Edition provides recommendations for intersection sight distance (ISD) based on roadway design speed. At minimum, stopping sight distance (SSD), also based on roadway design speed, must be provided.

A time gap of 7.5 seconds and 11.5 seconds were assumed for passenger vehicles and combination trucks completing a left turn from stop, respectively. SW 108th Avenue is relatively flat. Therefore, no grade adjustments were made for the ISD and SSD calculations. There is no posted speed on SW 108th Avenue north of SW Herman Road. Therefore, the design speed on SW 108th Avenue was assumed to be 5 mph over the posted speed of 35 mph for other Minor Collectors in the area, or 40 mph . The recommendations for ISD have been noted for left turns from stop on a stop-controlled minor approach (driveway). The sight distance evaluation for the site driveways is presented in Table 6.

| TABLE 6-SIGHT DISTANCE EVALUATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Access/ <br> Intersection | Design <br> Speed <br> (mph) | Design Vehicle | Recommended <br> Intersection <br> Sight Distance <br> (feet) | Required <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance (feet) | Available Sight Distance <br> (feet) |  |
| SW 108th Avenue/ <br> North Access | 40 | Passenger | 445 | 305 | 430 | $>500$ |
| SW 108th Avenue/ <br> South Access | 40 | Passenger | 445 |  |  |  |

As presented in Table 6, the recommended ISD is available to the south from both proposed driveway locations, as well as to the north from the South Access for both passenger vehicles and combination trucks. From the proposed North Access location, there is a vertical crest to the north on SW 108th Avenue that precludes meeting the recommended ISD by 15 feet. However, both proposed site driveway locations are projected to meet the SSD requirement in both directions along SW 108th Avenue.

## VI. OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

Two aspects of operational analysis were evaluated for the study area intersections: 1) intersection operations analysis, which evaluates how well an intersection processes traffic demand, and 2) queuing analysis, which compares intersection queues with available storage for different travel lanes.

## Intersection Operation Analysis

Intersection operations are generally measured by three (3) mobility standards: volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio, level-of-service (LOS), and delay (measured in seconds). Signalized and all-way, stop-controlled (AWSC) intersections are measured by one (1) overall v/c ratio, LOS, and delay. Two-way, stop-controlled (TWSC) intersections are typically measured by a single v/c ratio, LOS, and delay representative of the worst stopped movement.

## Performance Measures

All study area intersections are located within City of Tualatin jurisdiction but OR 99W is under ODOT's jurisdiction.

## City of Tualatin

The TDC Section $74.440(3)(e)$ requires the following mobility standards for intersections within City jurisdiction:

- LOS D or better for signalized intersections
- LOS E or better for unsignalized intersections

ODOT

The Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) designates OR 99W as a Principal Arterial Route at SW 124th Avenue. Table 7 of the OHP establishes a v/c target of 0.99 for the OR 99W/SW 124th Avenue intersection.

## Methodology

Intersection operations were analyzed with the use of Synchro 11 software, which utilizes the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) 2000, HCM 2010, and HCM 6 methodologies. Signalized study area intersections were reported using HCM 2000 reports for overall v/c ratio and HCM 6 reports for delay and LOS. Two-way, stop-controlled (TWSC) and AWSC intersections were reported using HCM 6 reports. Signal timing plans were obtained from the Washington County traffic plans database, as well as from ODOT staff, and are provided in Appendix H for reference.

## Findings

The operations results for the intersection or critical movement at each study area intersection are presented in Table 7. The detailed Synchro capacity results are provided in Appendix I for reference.

| TABLE 7 - PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection (Control) | Peak <br> Hour | Analysis Results (v/c-LOS-Delay in seconds) |  |  |
|  |  | 2022 Existing | 2024 PreDevelopment | 2024 PostDevelopment |
| Pacific Highway (OR99)/SW 124th Avenue (Signalized) | AM | 0.76-C-33.9 | 0.80-D-38.4 | 0.80-D-40.3 |
|  | PM | 0.79-D-36.4 | 0.84-D-39.6 | 0.86-D-41.3 |
| SW Tualatin Road/ SW 124th Avenue (Signalized) | AM | 0.65-B-10.4 | 0.68-B-10.8 | 0.69-B-11.1 |
|  | PM | 0.51-B-12.8 | 0.56-B-14.6 | 0.58-B-15.9 |
| SW Tualatin Road/ SW 108th Avenue (TWSC) | AM | 0.10-C-22.8 (NB) | 0.11-C-24.7 (NB) | 0.13-D-25.8 (NB) |
|  | PM | 0.24-C-24.6 (NB) | 0.31-D-27.2 (NB) | 0.37-D-29.1 (NB) |
| SW 108th Avenue/ North Access (TWSC) | AM | N/A | N/A | 0.01-B-13.3 (EBL) |
|  | PM | N/A | N/A | 0.17-A-9.4 (EBL) |
| SW 108th Avenue/ South Access (TWSC) | AM | N/A | N/A | 0.00-B-11.6 (EBL) |
|  | PM | N/A | N/A | 0.02-B-10.2 (EB) |
| SW 124th Avenue/ SW Leveton Drive (Signalized) | AM | 0.36-B-10.4 | 0.40-B-10.8 | 0.42-B-11.6 |
|  | PM | 0.32-B-14.4 | 0.37-B-15.4 | 0.40-B-16.4 |
| SW Leveton Drive/ SW 118th Avenue (AWSC) | AM | 0.28-A-8.5 (EB) | 0.42-A-9.9 (EB) | 0.54-B-11.8 (EB) |
|  | PM | 0.32-A-9.1 (WB) | 0.40-A-10.0 (WB) | 0.52-B-11.8 (WB) |
| SW Leveton Drive/ West Access (TWSC) | AM | 0.03-B-12.0 (SBL) | 0.04-C-15.8 (SBL) | 0.05-C-18.3 (SBL) |
|  | PM | 0.14-B-11.8 (SBL) | 0.20-B-13.3 (SBL) | 0.23-C-15.2 (SBL) |
| SW Leveton Drive/ Center Access (TWSC) | AM | 0.01-B-10.1 (SBL) | 0.02-B-11.4 (SBL) | 0.02-B-12.9 (SBL) |
|  | PM | 0.05-B-10.5 (SBL) | 0.07-B-11.1 (SBL) | 0.08-B-12.3 (SBL) |
| SW Leveton Drive/ East Access (TWSC) | AM | 0.01-A-9.8 (SB) | 0.01-B-10.8 (SB) | N/A |
|  | PM | 0.11-B-10.4 (SB) | 0.15-B-11.1 (SB) | N/A |
| SW Leveton Drive/ SW 108th Avenue (TWSC) | AM | 0.08-A-7.6 (NBL) | 0.14-A-7.8 (NBL) | 0.44-C-17.5 (EB) |
|  | PM | 0.18-A-9.5 (EB) | 0.24-B-10.0 (EB) | 0.27-B-11.8 (EB) |
| SW Herman Road/ SW 108th Avenue (Signalized) | AM | 0.43-A-6.3 | 0.50-A-6.6 | 0.55-A-7.3 |
|  | PM | 0.55-B-11.2 | 0.60-B-12.6 | 0.64-B-14.6 |


| TABLE 7 - PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection (Control) | Peak <br> Hour | Analysis Results (v/c-LOS-Delay in seconds) |  |  |
|  |  | 2022 Existing | 2024 PreDevelopment | 2024 PostDevelopment |
| SW Tualatin Road/ SW Teton Avenue | AM | $\begin{gathered} 0.32-D-25.8 \\ \text { (NBL) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.35-D-27.7 (NBL) | 0.35-D-28.3 (NBL) |
|  | PM | $\begin{gathered} 0.96-F-99.1 \text { (NBL, } \\ \text { Synchro) } \\ \text { LOS E-26.5 (NBL, } \\ \text { SimTraffic) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1.03-F-122.6 } \\ \text { (NBL, Synchro) } \\ \text { LOS E-29.9 (NBL, } \\ \text { SimTraffic) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1.05-F-128.2 } \\ \text { (NBL, Synchro) } \\ \text { LOS E-38.5 (NBL, } \\ \text { SimTraffic) } \end{gathered}$ |

The East Access on SW Leveton Drive will be restricted to truck use, which will primarily occur outside the typical peak of the street. Therefore, site operations are listed as " $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ " under post-development conditions.

As presented in Table 7, all study area intersections currently meet the City's mobility standards except the SW Tualatin Road/SW Teton Avenue intersection. The northbound left-turn movement currently operates at an LOS F during the PM peak hour and is projected to continue to fail in the future, per the Synchro analysis results. All other study intersections are projected to continue meeting standards with the proposed office building.

The estimated delay provided by Synchro software at the intersection of SW Tualatin Road/SW Teton Avenue appears to provide a conservative estimate of approximately 99 seconds for the northbound leftturn movement during the PM peak hour; however, a review of PM peak hour traffic at the intersection shows an observed delay of approximately 14 seconds. Additionally, the delay reported by SimTraffic software for this movement was approximately 27 seconds under existing conditions. The delay reported by SimTraffic appears to more accurately reflect actual conditions in the field. This may be because drivers completing the northbound left-turn movement at this intersection are taking shorter gaps due to the high volume on SW Tualatin Road than the gaps assumed in Synchro software. Therefore, we estimate this northbound left-turn movement will operate at an LOS E (corresponding with a 40 -second delay) under 2024 post-development conditions, as reported by SimTraffic software.

## Intersection Queuing Analysis

An intersection queuing analysis was conducted for the study area intersections for both the AM and PM peak hours to evaluate any potential queue spillbacks.

## Methodology

The 95th percentile queues during the AM and PM peak hours were estimated using Synchro and SimTraffic software. Queue demand results were rounded to the nearest 25 feet to represent average vehicle lengths. Because queues are based on an average of five (5) traffic simulations using random arrivals, some fluctuation in results can be anticipated, particularly for movements that are near or overcapacity.

Available queue lengths were estimated using Google Earth Pro software and rounded to the nearest 5 feet. For turn lanes, two (2) available storage values are stated: the first represents the striped storage and the second is the effective storage, or the length physically available regardless of striping, such as a center turn lane upstream of a striped left-turn lane at an intersection. Although travel lanes have no storage defined by striping, two (2) values are reported for through travel lane storage at signalized locations: the first is the distance to an upstream driveway; the second is the distance to an upstream public street intersection.

## Findings

The 95th percentile queues obtained from SimTraffic for the AM and PM peak hours are presented in Table 8. The detailed SimTraffic reports are provided in Appendix J for reference.

| TABLE 8 - 95TH PERCENTILE QUEUING ANALYSIS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection (Control) | Approach/ Movement | Striped/ <br> Effective Storage (Feet) | AM/PM Peak Hour Queue (feet) |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2022 Existing | 2024 PreDevelopment | 2024 PostDevelopment |
| Highway 99W/ SW 124th Avenue (Signalized) | WBL | 315/475 | 100/350 | 125/450 | 125/450 |
|  | WBL | 315/475 | 125/350 | 125/475 | 125/475 |
|  | WBR | 295/330 | 150/300 | 150/400 | 150/425 |
|  | WBR | 295/315 | 150/250 | 150/325 | 150/350 |
|  | NBT | 500 | 525/400 | 500/450 | 600/425 |
|  | NBT | 500 | 500/375 | 475/425 | 675/400 |
|  | NBR | 225/250 | 375/150 | 400/175 | 450/175 |
|  | SBL | 550/770 | 500/350 | 700/400 | 800/400 |
|  | SBL | 550/690 | 400/325 | 700/375 | 800/350 |
|  | SBT | 50/>1,000 | 175/275 | 175/275 | 750/275 |
|  | SBT | 50/>1,000 | 175/275 | 150/300 | 700/300 |
| SW 124th Avenue/ SW Tualatin Road (Signalized) | WBL | 310/350 | 100/50 | 100/150 | 100/75 |
|  | WBR | 285/500 | 75/250 | 75/400 | 75/325 |
|  | NBT | 995 | 100/200 | 100/250 | 100/250 |
|  | NBT | 995 | 150/275 | 200/375 | 175/450 |
|  | NBR | 145/230 | 50/75 | 50/150 | 50/150 |
|  | SBL | 200/300 | 300/300 | 300/325 | 300/325 |
|  | SBT | 450 | 200/75 | 175/75 | 200/100 |
|  | SBT | 450 | 150/100 | 175/75 | 150/100 |


| TABLE 8 - 95TH PERCENTILE QUEUING ANALYSIS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection (Control) | Approach/ Movement | Striped/ <br> Effective Storage (Feet) | AM/PM Peak Hour Queue (feet) |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2022 Existing | 2024 PreDevelopment | 2024 PostDevelopment |
| SW Tualatin Road/ SW 108th Avenue (TWSC) | WBL | 140 | 50/25 | 50/25 | 50/25 |
|  | NB | 330 | 50/50 | 50/75 | 50/75 |
| SW 108th Avenue/ <br> North Access (TWSC) | EBL | 60 | N/A | N/A | 25/50 |
|  | EBR | 60 | N/A | N/A | 50/75 |
|  | NB | 190/620 | N/A | N/A | 50/25 |
|  | SB | 160/630 | N/A | N/A | 25/25 |
| SW 108th Avenue/ South Access (TWSC) | EBL | 60 | N/A | N/A | 25/25 |
|  | EBR | 60 | N/A | N/A | 25/50 |
|  | NB | 110/200 | N/A | N/A | 25/25 |
|  | SB | 100/>1,000 | N/A | N/A | 25/25 |
| SW 124th Avenue/ SW Leveton Drive (Signalized) | EBL | 100/130 | 25/50 | 25/50 | 25/50 |
|  | EBT+R | 270/580 | 75/75 | 75/75 | 75/75 |
|  | WBL | 145/185 | 50/50 | 50/75 | 50/100 |
|  | WBT+R | 490/>1,000 | 25/100 | 25/125 | 50/125 |
|  | NBL | 155/230 | 50/50 | 50/50 | 50/50 |
|  | NBT | >1,000 | 75/125 | 75/125 | 75/125 |
|  | NBT+R | >1,000 | 125/175 | 175/200 | 175/225 |
|  | SBL | 165/245 | 75/50 | 100/75 | 125/75 |
|  | SBT | >1,000 | 125/125 | 150/150 | 150/125 |
|  | SBT+R | 995 | 150/150 | 175/175 | 175/175 |
| SW Leveton Drive/ SW 118th Avenue (AWSC) | EB | 240/>1,000 | 75/50 | 75/50 | 100/75 |
|  | WB | +1,000 | 50/75 | 50/75 | 50/75 |
|  | NB | 525/>1,000 | 50/25 | 50/50 | 50/50 |
|  | SB | 650 | 25/25 | 25/50 | 25/50 |
| SW Leveton Drive/ West Access (TWSC) | EB | >1,000 | 25/50 | 50/50 | 50/50 |
|  | SBL | 135 | 50/50 | 50/50 | 50/75 |
|  | SBR | 135 | 50/75 | 50/75 | 50/100 |


| TABLE 8 - 95TH PERCENTILE QUEUING ANALYSIS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection (Control) | Approach/ Movement | Striped/ <br> Effective Storage (Feet) | AM/PM Peak Hour Queue (feet) |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2022 Existing | 2024 PreDevelopment | 2024 PostDevelopment |
| SW Leveton Drive/ Center Access (TWSC) | EB | 890 | 25/25 | 25/25 | 25/25 |
|  | SBL | 125 | 25/50 | 25/50 | 25/50 |
|  | SBR | 125 | 25/50 | 25/50 | 25/50 |
| SW Leveton Drive/ <br> East Access (TWSC) | EB | 400 | 25/25 | 25/25 | N/A |
|  | WB | 550 | 25/25 | 25/25 | 25/25 |
|  | NB | 25 | 25/50 | 25/50 | 25/50 |
|  | SB | 105 | 25/50 | 25/75 | N/A |
| SW Leveton Drive/ SW 108th Avenue (TWSC) | EB | 270 | 50/50 | 50/50 | 100/75 |
|  | NB | 100 | 25/25 | 50/25 | 50/50 |
| SW Herman Road/ SW 108th Avenue (Signalized) | EBL | 100/390 | 25/25 | 25/25 | 50/25 |
|  | EB | >1,000 | 100/100 | 100/100 | 125/125 |
|  | WB | 350 | 125/175 | 125/200 | 175/225 |
|  | SBL | 135/165 | 50/100 | 50/100 | 75/125 |
|  | SBR | 115/790 | 25/25 | 25/25 | 25/25 |
| SW Tualatin Road/ SW Teton Avenue (Unsignalized) | WBL | 260 | 50/25 | 50/25 | 75/50 |
|  | NBL | 95/170 | 75/175 | 75/175 | 75/225 |
|  | NBR | 30/>1,000 | 75/50 | 75/50 | 75/50 |

As presented in Table 8, queues are projected to be accommodated within existing storage areas at most intersections. The OR 99W/SW124th Avenue, SW 124th Avenue/SW Tualatin Road, and SW Tualatin Road/SW Teton Avenue intersections are projected to have queues that exceed the available queue storage areas under 2024 post-development conditions. This is expected to occur during the peak 15minute periods of the AM and PM peak hours. For the remainder of the peak hours, queues are mostly projected to be accommodated under existing storage areas, as presented in Table 9.

| TABLE 9 - 95TH PERCENTILE QUEUING ANALYSIS (PEAK HOUR) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection (Control) | Approach/ <br> Movement | Striped/ <br> Effective Storage (Feet) | AM/PM Peak Hour Queue (feet) |  |
|  |  |  | 2024 Post-Development (PHF=Varies) | 2024 Post-Development (PHF=1.0) |
| Highway 99W/ SW 124th Avenue (Signalized) | WBL | 315/475 | 125/450 | 100/425 |
|  | WBL | 315/475 | 125/475 | 125/450 |
|  | WBR | 295/330 | 150/425 | 150/400 |
|  | WBR | 295/315 | 150/350 | 150/325 |
|  | NBT | 500 | 600/425 | 500/425 |
|  | NBT | 500 | 675/400 | 475/400 |
|  | NBR | 225/250 | 450/175 | 400/150 |
|  | SBL | 550/770 | 800/400 | 750/400 |
|  | SBL | 550/690 | 800/350 | 325/350 |
|  | SBT | 50/>1,000 | 750/275 | 275/300 |
|  | SBT | 50/>1,000 | 700/300 | 250/300 |
| SW 124th Avenue/ SW Tualatin Road (Signalized) | WBL | 310/350 | 100/75 | 100/50 |
|  | WBR | 285/500 | 75/325 | 75/325 |
|  | NBT | 995 | 100/250 | 100/250 |
|  | NBT | 995 | 175/450 | 150/425 |
|  | NBR | 145/230 | 50/150 | 50/150 |
|  | SBL | 200/300 | 300/325 | 275/325 |
|  | SBT | 450 | 200/100 | 150/125 |
|  | SBT | 450 | 150/100 | 150/125 |
| SW Tualatin Road/ SW Teton Avenue (Unsignalized) | WBL | 260 | 75/50 | 50/25 |
|  | NBL | 95/170 | 75/225 | 75/125 |
|  | NBR | $30 />1,000$ | 75/50 | 75/50 |

As presented in Table 9, the queues for the northbound left-turn movement at the SW Tualatin Road/SW Teton Avenue are projected to be accommodated within the existing storage area for the remainder of the PM peak hour. At the SW 124th Avenue/SW Tualatin Road intersection, the queues for the southbound left-turn movement during the PM peak hour are projected to exceed the available storage length for the remainder of the PM peak hour; however, this queue is not worsened by the addition of new Lam office trips. At the OR 99W/SW 124th Avenue intersection the queues for the southbound leftturn lanes are projected to be accommodated within the existing storage area during the remainder of the PM peak hour.

## VII. WARRANTS

The 2001 Novellus IMP approval identified that potential improvements may be needed at the SW Leveton Drive/SW 108th Avenue intersection and along SW 108th Avenue (left-turn lanes) with future development of the site. Therefore, traffic signal and turn-lane warrants were reviewed using 2024 postdevelopment volumes for the AM and PM peak hours. The analysis summary for signal, left- and rightturn lane warrants is presented below. The warrant analysis calculations are provided in Appendix K for reference.

## Traffic Signal

The Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), 2009 Edition, provides guidance and standards on the evaluation of traffic conditions to determine the need for traffic signalization at unsignalized intersections. A screening level comparison of peak traffic volumes with the lowest MUTCD volume threshold ( 100 vehicles per hour for the minor street approach) was performed to determine if a more detailed signal warrants analysis should be performed for the SW Leveton Drive/SW 108th Avenue intersection.

The MUTCD Warrant 3, Peak Hour volume thresholds are not met at the SW Leveton Drive/SW 108th Avenue intersection with the proposed office building. Therefore, no additional analysis was prepared.

We also reviewed hourly volumes for the SW Tualatin Road/SW Teton Avenue intersection to determine if a signal at this location is appropriate to mitigate the long delay for the northbound left-turn movement. The projected 2024 post-development that volumes at this location do not meet the thresholds for Warrant 1 (8-Hour Vehicular Volume), Warrant 2 (Four-Hour Vehicular Volume), and Warrant 3 (Peak Hour Vehicular Volume). Additionally, the crash analysis did not show excessive crashes at this intersection, nor any fatalities or pedestrian/bicyclist crashes within the last five (5) years of crash data. Because the SimTraffic analysis showed delays for the northbound left-turn movement are closer to the observed delays in the field, we don't recommend any improvements at this location.

## Turn Lanes

Turn-lane criteria were reviewed for the proposed driveways on SW 108th Avenue using the left- and right-turn lane criteria established by the Texas Transportation Institute (TTI) for unsignalized intersections.

SW 108th Avenue is currently a two-lane roadway with no existing turn lanes into the site. While the estimated left-turn volumes at the proposed site accesses are high, the opposing traffic volumes are projected to be well below the threshold for either left- or right-turn lanes. Additionally, the delays for the turn movements at the site driveways are estimated to be relatively low. Therefore, no turn lanes are proposed on SW 108th Avenue or on SW Leveton Drive.

## VIII. RECOMMENDATIONS AND MITIGATION

All study area intersections currently operate within City of Tualatin mobility standards except the SW Tualatin Road/SW Teton Avenue intersection. The northbound left-turn movement at this location currently has a delay greater than 90 seconds which exceeds the City's LOS E standard for an unsignalized intersection, as reported by Synchro software; however, video observations of existing conditions show delays for this movement are closer to 14 seconds. Similarly, the delay reported by SimTraffic for existing conditions is approximately 27 seconds. Therefore, we estimate the delay under 2024 post-development conditions will be approximately 40 seconds as reported by SimTraffic software, and corresponding with an LOS E.

All other study area intersections are projected to operate at acceptable levels, as reported by Synchro software. While queues during the peak 15 -minute periods of the morning and afternoon show some queuing that exceeds available storage, queues for the remainder of the AM and PM peak hours are expected to be accommodated within existing queue storage areas. Therefore, no other improvements are recommended at this time.
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APPENDIX B. SCOPING MATERIAL

June 30, 2022
City of Tualatin
Attention: Tony Doran
18880 SW Martinazzi Avenue
Tualatin, OR 97062

Re: Lam Research New Office Building<br>Transportation Impact Analysis Scoping<br>Project Number 2220087.00

Dear Tony:
Mackenzie has prepared this scoping letter in advance of preparing the required Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) for the proposed new office building for the Lam Research campus in Tualatin, Oregon.

## SITE CONDITIONS

## Existing

The Lam Research campus is bounded by SW Tualatin Road to the north, SW 108th Avenue to the east, SW Leveton Drive to the south, and JAE Oregon to the west. The site currently has three full-movement driveways on SW Leveton Drive, a gated access on SW 108th Avenue, and a gated fire access from Quackenbush Lane, opposite SW 115th Avenue. The existing building area is currently 553,140 square feet (SF). There are currently approximately 1,270 seated/office staff and approximately 975 manufacturing staff working 5 AM to 5 PM and 5 PM to 5 AM.

## Proposed

An approximately 120,000 SF office building is proposed just north of SW Leveton Drive between the existing Center and East Access. Up to 600 office staff are planned to occupy the proposed building, with fewer than $10 \%$ working remotely. Additional surface parking for up to 500 spaces is proposed south of the existing surface parking and north along SW 108th Avenue. The buildout year for the new office building is assumed to be 2024.

The existing East Access is proposed to be narrowed and limited to trucks serving the new building. With loss of this access for employees, two (2) new driveways are proposed on SW 108th Avenue with direct access to the expanded parking area. The North Access is proposed to be aligned opposite the north driveway serving Olympic Controls. The South Access is proposed approximately 445 feet south of the North Access, between driveways for Ascentec Engineering.

## TRIP GENERATION

Trip generation estimates were developed with the use of the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition. The City requires the reasonable worst case for trip generation be analyzed. Therefore, data for ITE's "General Office Building" (LUC 710) was utilized to estimate trips for the proposed office.
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| TABLE 1 - PROPOSED TRIP GENERATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { ITE } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Land Use | Size | Trip Type | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Daily |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| 710 | General Office Building | 600 Employees | Total | 262 | 36 | 298 | 47 | 230 | 277 | 2,002 |

## TRIP DISTRIBUTION

Site trip distribution has been modified slightly from the original master plan based on driveway counts from 2018 and 2022. The following trip distribution is proposed:

- $40 \%$ to/from the north on SW 124th Avenue (to access Highway 99W)
- $25 \%$ to/from the north on Highway 99W
- $15 \%$ to/from the south on Highway 99W
- $5 \%$ to/from the east on SW Tualatin Road
- $5 \%$ to/from the south on SW 118th Avenue
- $15 \%$ to/from the south on SW 124th Avenue
- $35 \%$ to/from the south on SW 108th Avenue via SW Herman Road


## STUDY AREA

The City's Traffic Study Requirements document requires that all intersections within a 1/4-mile radius of the project site be included as part of the study area. The following intersections, including site driveways, are located within a 1/4-mile radius:

- SW Leveton Drive/SW 118th Avenue
- SW Leveton Drive/SW 108th Avenue
- SW Tualatin Road/SW 108th Avenue
- SW Leveton Drive/West Access
- SW Leveton Drive/Center Access
- SW Leveton Drive/East Access
- SW 108th Avenue/North Access
- SW 108th Avenue/South Access

We will include a review of left turns to and from the existing driveway near the proposed South Access on SW 108th Avenue. No Washington County intersections are proposed because projected trips are not expected to meet the threshold of $10 \%$ impact of the roadway's average daily traffic (ADT).

## TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ANALYSIS

Based on the City's traffic study requirements, as well as the required scope for the new Lam Research office building, the TIA will review AM and PM peak hour conditions at the study area intersections for the following scenarios:
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- 2022 Existing
- 2024 Pre-Development without New Office Building
- 2024 Post-Development with New Office Building

Existing traffic counts collected on Thursday, June 9, 2022 reflect a portion of Lam office staff telecommuting. While a review of historical and existing traffic counts on l-5 just north of the Nyberg Street exit shows that existing traffic in the greater Tualatin area may be comparable to pre-COVID traffic, existing counts adjacent to the Lam site are lower due to some staff currently telecommuting.

Lam Research does not currently have a permanent hybrid work plan. Therefore, we propose to growth adjust existing traffic counts to match 100\% onsite attendance by applying an adjustment factor of 1.92 in the AM peak hour and 1.28 in the PM peak hour to site trips. These adjustments were based on the actual 2018 and 2022 turning movement volumes at the site driveways. The AM peak adjustment is higher than the PM peak adjustment, likely due to office staff entering the site later in the day, outside the morning peak between 7 AM and 9 AM, while continuing to exit the site during the afternoon peak between 4 PM and 6 PM. These modified site trips will be carried through the adjacent roadway network as needed, similar to in-process trips, to estimate traffic volumes without the current remove work scenario.

The TIA will also include the following analysis components:

- 1\% annual background growth per ODOT's 2040 Future Volumes table for OR 99W south of 124th Avenue.
- Intersection capacity analyses will be conducted at the study area intersections using the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) 6 methodology.
- Crash data will be compiled and evaluated for safety concerns.
- Intersection sight distance evaluations will be based on AASHTO methodology for the proposed site access points.
- Intersection queuing, turn-lane warrants, and signal warrants will also be evaluated where appropriate.

Please confirm the proposed trip generation, trip distribution, study area, and TIA analysis components are acceptable for the required TIA.

Please contact me at jjones@mcknze.com or 971-346-3741 if you have any questions or comments regarding the information presented in this scoping letter.

Sincerely,


Janet Jones, PE
Associate | Traffic Engineer

Enclosures): Attachment A - Site Plan
Attachment B-2018 Turning Movement Counts
Attachment C - 2022 Turning Movement Counts
c: Mike McCarthy - City of Tualatin
Mike Rueter, Suzannah Stanley, Brent Nielsen, Brent Ahrend - Mackenzie
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From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:

Hi Clara,

Mike McCarthy [mmccarthy@tualatin.gov](mailto:mmccarthy@tualatin.gov)
Tuesday, August 2, 2022 8:52 AM
Clara Layton; Tony Doran
Mike Rueter; Brent Nielsen; Suzannah Stanley; Brent Ahrend; Kim McMillan; Janet T. Jones; 'Jennifer Danziger'; Steve Koper; Erin Engman
RE: Lam Research New Office (PRE2-0017) - TIA Scoping

In the Traffic Study area, please also include the intersection of Tualatin Road with Teton Avenue, which is just a bit to the east of the project. We have received concerns from the public about the effect of development traffic on the Tualatin/Teton intersection.

Thanks,
Mike McCarthy, P.E.
Interim City Engineer
City of Tualatin
Office: 503-691-3674
Mobile: 971-666-0000

From: Clara Layton [CLayton@mcknze.com](mailto:CLayton@mcknze.com)
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2022 1:50 PM
To: Mike McCarthy [mmccarthy@tualatin.gov](mailto:mmccarthy@tualatin.gov); Tony Doran [TDORAN@tualatin.gov](mailto:TDORAN@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Brent Nielsen [BNielsen@mcknze.com](mailto:BNielsen@mcknze.com); Suzannah Stanley
[SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com); Brent Ahrend [BAhrend@mcknze.com](mailto:BAhrend@mcknze.com); Kim McMillan [kmcmillan@tualatin.gov](mailto:kmcmillan@tualatin.gov); Janet T. Jones [JTJ@mcknze.com](mailto:JTJ@mcknze.com); 'Jennifer Danziger' [jennifer@lancastermobley.com](mailto:jennifer@lancastermobley.com); Steve Koper [skoper@tualatin.gov](mailto:skoper@tualatin.gov) Subject: RE: Lam Research New Office (PRE2-0017) - TIA Scoping

Mike,
Thanks so much for all of your scoping comments and in-process project information. We were able to obtain all relevant traffic studies from Jennifer Danzinger.

Clara Layton | she/her/hers
D 971.254.9496 E clayton@mcknze.com
Mackenzie Email Disclaimer

From: Mike McCarthy [mmccarthy@tualatin.gov](mailto:mmccarthy@tualatin.gov)
Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2022 8:34 AM
To: Mike McCarthy [mmccarthy@tualatin.gov](mailto:mmccarthy@tualatin.gov); Clara Layton [CLayton@mcknze.com](mailto:CLayton@mcknze.com); Tony Doran [TDORAN@tualatin.gov](mailto:TDORAN@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Brent Nielsen [BNielsen@mcknze.com](mailto:BNielsen@mcknze.com); Suzannah Stanley [SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com); Brent Ahrend [BAhrend@mcknze.com](mailto:BAhrend@mcknze.com); Kim McMillan [kmcmillan@tualatin.gov](mailto:kmcmillan@tualatin.gov); Janet T. Jones [JTJ@mcknze.com](mailto:JTJ@mcknze.com); 'Jennifer Danziger' [jennifer@lancastermobley.com](mailto:jennifer@lancastermobley.com); Steve Koper [skoper@tualatin.gov](mailto:skoper@tualatin.gov) Subject: RE: Lam Research New Office (PRE2-0017) - TIA Scoping

Hi Clara,

Please also ask Jennifer about a project on Myslony St called Hedges Creek.

The River Ridge project need not be considered - they would need additional land use approvals before they could build something that would generate enough traffic to need to be studied.

Thanks,
Mike McCarthy, P.E.
Interim City Engineer
City of Tualatin
Office: 503-691-3674
Mobile: 971-666-0000

## From: Mike McCarthy

Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2022 6:08 PM
To: 'Clara Layton' [CLayton@mcknze.com](mailto:CLayton@mcknze.com); Tony Doran [TDORAN@tualatin.gov](mailto:TDORAN@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Brent Nielsen [BNielsen@mcknze.com](mailto:BNielsen@mcknze.com); Suzannah Stanley
[SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com); Brent Ahrend [BAhrend@mcknze.com](mailto:BAhrend@mcknze.com); Kim McMillan [kmcmillan@tualatin.gov](mailto:kmcmillan@tualatin.gov); Janet T. Jones [JTJ@mcknze.com](mailto:JTJ@mcknze.com); 'Jennifer Danziger' [jennifer@lancastermobley.com](mailto:jennifer@lancastermobley.com); Steve Koper [skoper@tualatin.gov](mailto:skoper@tualatin.gov)
Subject: RE: Lam Research New Office (PRE2-0017) - TIA Scoping

Hi Clara,

The numbers and intersections below seem reasonable.

The Herman Road project is under construction. The River Ridge project has not been built yet. The Columbia Roofing project is old enough it need not be considered.

Another in-process development is the Tualatin Logistics Park on the site of the Island Greens driving range/mini golf site. Please contact Jennifer Danziger at Lancaster-Mobley to get the latest numbers for it.

Tony - please chime in if there are other in-process developments that would affect this study area.

Thanks,

Mike McCarthy, P.E.
Interim City Engineer
City of Tualatin
Office: 503-691-3674
Mobile: 971-666-0000

From: Clara Layton [CLayton@mcknze.com](mailto:CLayton@mcknze.com)
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2022 4:16 PM
To: Mike McCarthy [mmccarthy@tualatin.gov](mailto:mmccarthy@tualatin.gov); Tony Doran [TDORAN@tualatin.gov](mailto:TDORAN@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Brent Nielsen [BNielsen@mcknze.com](mailto:BNielsen@mcknze.com); Suzannah Stanley
[SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com); Brent Ahrend [BAhrend@mcknze.com](mailto:BAhrend@mcknze.com); Kim McMillan [kmcmillan@tualatin.gov](mailto:kmcmillan@tualatin.gov); Janet T.
Jones [JTJ@mcknze.com](mailto:JTJ@mcknze.com)
Subject: RE: Lam Research New Office (PRE2-0017) - TIA Scoping

## Good afternoon!

We did some digging and found three projects that have been approved in the vicinity of our site. Can you confirm that the projects below have not been constructed, and include any additional projects relevant to our study area intersections?

AR-20-0002 Herman Road Industrial: https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/planning/ar-20-0002-herman-road-industrial AR-18-0005 Columbia Roofing Building Addition: https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/planning/ar18-0005-columbia-roofing-building-addition
AR-19-0004 River Ridge Addition: https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/planning/ar-19-0004-river-ridge-addition

Thanks!

Clara Layton | she/her/hers
D 971.254.9496 E clayton@mcknze.com
Mackenzie Email Disclaimer

From: Clara Layton
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 9:17 AM
To: Mike McCarthy [mmccarthy@tualatin.gov](mailto:mmccarthy@tualatin.gov); Janet T. Jones [JTJ@mcknze.com](mailto:JTJ@mcknze.com); Tony Doran [TDORAN@tualatin.gov](mailto:TDORAN@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Brent Nielsen [BNielsen@mcknze.com](mailto:BNielsen@mcknze.com); Suzannah Stanley [SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com); Brent Ahrend [BAhrend@mcknze.com](mailto:BAhrend@mcknze.com); Kim McMillan [kmcmillan@tualatin.gov](mailto:kmcmillan@tualatin.gov)
Subject: RE: Lam Research New Office (PRE2-0017) - TIA Scoping

Good morning, Mike!
I'm just following up to see if you've had a chance to review the trip generation information and proposed study area we provided.

With your comments, can you please include any relevant in-process projects, if any?
Thanks

Clara Layton | she/her/hers
D 971.254.9496 E clayton@mcknze.com
Mackenzie Email Disclaimer

## From: Clara Layton

Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 2:07 PM
To: Mike McCarthy [mmccarthy@tualatin.gov](mailto:mmccarthy@tualatin.gov); Janet T. Jones [JTJ@mcknze.com](mailto:JTJ@mcknze.com); Tony Doran
[TDORAN@tualatin.gov](mailto:TDORAN@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Brent Nielsen [BNielsen@mcknze.com](mailto:BNielsen@mcknze.com); Suzannah Stanley [SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com); Brent Ahrend [BAhrend@mcknze.com](mailto:BAhrend@mcknze.com); Kim McMillan [kmcmillan@tualatin.gov](mailto:kmcmillan@tualatin.gov)
Subject: RE: Lam Research New Office (PRE2-0017) - TIA Scoping

Mike,

Good morning! My name’s Clara Layton, I'm helping Janet with the TIA for the new Lam Research office building

Thank you for providing comments on the TIA scoping materials. Attached are figures presenting our current trip distribution and traffic assignment assumptions, showing all new trips added to each study intersection. These trips are based on ITE Trip Generation data for a General Office Building land use based on the 120-KSF building area, as summarized below:

| LUC | Land Use | Size | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Daily |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| 710 | General Office Building | 120 KSF | 172 | 24 | 196 | 33 | 160 | 193 | 1,360 |  |

Based on the TIA requirements document you provided, we will be including all of the following intersections in our study area, as all (with the exception of Tualatin/108th, which is a key frontage intersection) will have at least 60 trips added in one hour:

1. Pacific Highway W (OR-99W)/SW 124th Avenue
2. SW Tualatin Road/SW 124th Avenue
3. SW Tualatin Road/SW 108th Avenue
4. SW 108th Avenue/North Access
5. SW 108th Avenue/South Access
6. SW Leveton Drive/SW 124th Avenue
7. SW Leveton Drive/SW 118th Avenue
8. SW Leveton Drive/West Access
9. SW Leveton Drive/Center Access
10. SW Leveton Drive/East Access
11. SW Leveton Drive/SW 108th Avenue
12. SW Herman Road/SW 108th Avenue

To your question, there is no current plan for site traffic to access off of NW Tualatin Road, so we do not plan to analyze the driveway opposite NW 115th Avenue.

While we continue to prepare our TIA report, are there any current in-process projects that the City anticipates will add trips to our study intersections?

Thanks.
Clara Layton I she/her/hers
D 971.254.9496 E clayton@mcknze.com
Mackenzie Email Disclaimer

From: Mike McCarthy [mmccarthy@tualatin.gov](mailto:mmccarthy@tualatin.gov)
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2022 3:14 PM
To: Janet T. Jones < JTJ@mcknze.com>; Tony Doran [TDORAN@tualatin.gov](mailto:TDORAN@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Brent Nielsen [BNielsen@mcknze.com](mailto:BNielsen@mcknze.com); Suzannah Stanley [SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com); Brent Ahrend [BAhrend@mcknze.com](mailto:BAhrend@mcknze.com); Clara Layton [CLayton@mcknze.com](mailto:CLayton@mcknze.com); Kim McMillan [kmcmillan@tualatin.gov](mailto:kmcmillan@tualatin.gov)
Subject: RE: Lam Research New Office (PRE2-0017) - TIA Scoping
Hi Janet,
Thank you for sending in the TIA scoping letter for the new Lam Research office building.
The scoping letter for the LAM project generally looks good. A few notes/comments:

In case you haven't seen it already, our traffic study requirements have been recently posted on our website at:
Tualatin Traffic Study Requirements | The City of Tualatin Oregon Official Website
We typically use the ITE Trip Generation rates by the size of building, instead of the number of employees.
Our criteria for determining the study area is:

1. All proposed site access points to the public street system.
2. All roads and intersections along the frontage of the subject property.
3. Any road or intersection where the proposed development would be anticipated to generate more than 500 additional vehicle trips per day or more than 60 vehicle trips in a single hour. If a two-way-stop-controlled intersection functions acceptably and the proposed development would add less than 50 trips per day on the minor leg, it need not be included by this criterion.
4. The route(s) trucks would use from the site to the arterial system must be identified for all developments and analyzed for truck travel if used for more than 10 truck trips per day.
5. Walking and cycling routes to transit stops within $1 / 4$ mile, parks and retail areas within $1 / 2$ mile and, for residential developments, schools within 1 mile.
6. Any other areas where, in staff judgement, traffic study is needed to protect the public interest.

Off-site intersections are typically identified for study based on the number of new trips that would be using them based on category 3 above - or as a truck route or walking/cycling route for category 4 or 5 . Please send us your estimation of the new trips on the transportation network and let us know which intersections meet the 500/day or 60/hour new trip threshold. It appears this may include $124^{\text {th }} /$ Tualatin Rd, $124^{\text {th }} /$ Leveton, Herman $/ 108^{\text {th }}$, and perhaps others such $124^{\text {th }} / \mathrm{Hwy} 99 \mathrm{~W}$.

Is the plan for site traffic to use the driveway off of Tualatin Road opposite $115^{\text {th }}$ Ave? If so, that location needs to be studied.

The methodology to adjust for full onsite attendance and for future growth appear to be acceptable.

Of course, please feel free to call or e-mail me with any questions.
Thanks,

Mike McCarthy, P.E.
Principal Transportation Engineer

## City of Tualatin

Office: 503-691-3674
Mobile: 971-666-0000

From: Janet T. Jones [JTJ@mcknze.com](mailto:JTJ@mcknze.com)
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 9:04 AM
To: Tony Doran [TDORAN@tualatin.gov](mailto:TDORAN@tualatin.gov); Mike McCarthy [mmccarthy@tualatin.gov](mailto:mmccarthy@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Brent Nielsen [BNielsen@mcknze.com](mailto:BNielsen@mcknze.com); Suzannah Stanley
[SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com); Brent Ahrend [BAhrend@mcknze.com](mailto:BAhrend@mcknze.com); Clara Layton [CLayton@mcknze.com](mailto:CLayton@mcknze.com)
Subject: RE: Lam Research New Office (PRE2-0017) - TIA Scoping

Hi Tony,

Has City staff had a chance to review our proposed scope for the TIA? I am happy to hop on a call to discuss this.

Thank you,
Janet Jones, PE | she/her/hers
Associate | Transportation Engineering
D 971.346.3741 E jjones@mcknze.com
MACKENZIE.
Mackenzie Email Disclaimer

From: Janet T. Jones
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2022 8:37 AM
To: Tony Doran [TDORAN@tualatin.gov](mailto:TDORAN@tualatin.gov); Mike McCarthy [mmccarthy@tualatin.gov](mailto:mmccarthy@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Brent Nielsen [BNielsen@mcknze.com](mailto:BNielsen@mcknze.com); Suzannah Stanley [SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com); Brent Ahrend [BAhrend@mcknze.com](mailto:BAhrend@mcknze.com); Clara Layton [CLayton@mcknze.com](mailto:CLayton@mcknze.com)
Subject: RE: Lam Research New Office (PRE2-0017) - TIA Scoping
Thanks, Tony. We appreciate the confirmation and update.

Hope you have a great holiday weekend!

Janet Jones, PE | she/her/hers
Associate | Transportation Engineering
D 971.346.3741 E jjones@mcknze.com
MACKENZIE.
Mackenzie Email Disclaimer

From: Tony Doran [TDORAN@tualatin.gov](mailto:TDORAN@tualatin.gov)
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2022 8:26 AM
To: Janet T. Jones [JTJ@mcknze.com](mailto:JTJ@mcknze.com); Mike McCarthy [mmccarthy@tualatin.gov](mailto:mmccarthy@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Brent Nielsen [BNielsen@mcknze.com](mailto:BNielsen@mcknze.com); Suzannah Stanley
[SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com); Brent Ahrend [BAhrend@mcknze.com](mailto:BAhrend@mcknze.com); Clara Layton [CLayton@mcknze.com](mailto:CLayton@mcknze.com)
Subject: RE: Lam Research New Office (PRE2-0017) - TIA Scoping

Janet,

Thank you. The link was received. Staff will likely attend to review of your requests after their $4^{\text {th }}$ of July vacations.

Tony Doran
Engineering Associate
(503) 691-3035

Tualatin City Services

From: Janet T. Jones < JTJ @mcknze.com>
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2022 8:22 AM
To: Tony Doran [TDORAN@tualatin.gov](mailto:TDORAN@tualatin.gov); Mike McCarthy [mmccarthy@tualatin.gov](mailto:mmccarthy@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Brent Nielsen [BNielsen@mcknze.com](mailto:BNielsen@mcknze.com); Suzannah Stanley [SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com); Brent Ahrend [BAhrend@mcknze.com](mailto:BAhrend@mcknze.com); Clara Layton [CLayton@mcknze.com](mailto:CLayton@mcknze.com)
Subject: RE: Lam Research New Office (PRE2-0017) - TIA Scoping
Hi Tony,
Thank you for the quick reply. I just resent that email with the link. Let me know if you don't receive it and I'll just email you the PDF as an attachment.

Thank you,
Janet Jones, PE | she/her/hers
Associate | Transportation Engineering
D 971.346.3741 E ijones@mcknze.com

## MACKENZIE.

Mackenzie Email Disclaimer

From: Tony Doran [TDORAN@tualatin.gov](mailto:TDORAN@tualatin.gov)
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2022 7:19 AM
To: Janet T. Jones [JTJ@mcknze.com](mailto:JTJ@mcknze.com); Mike McCarthy [mmccarthy@tualatin.gov](mailto:mmccarthy@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Brent Nielsen [BNielsen@mcknze.com](mailto:BNielsen@mcknze.com); Suzannah Stanley
[SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com); Brent Ahrend [BAhrend@mcknze.com](mailto:BAhrend@mcknze.com); Clara Layton [CLayton@mcknze.com](mailto:CLayton@mcknze.com)
Subject: RE: Lam Research New Office (PRE2-0017) - TIA Scoping
Janet,

Would you reply and attach the email with the link you stated you sent?
I've not received any additional email with a link and Mike is out today so confirmation he's received one or not is unavailable. If you provide your previous email as an attachment, our Information Services team could look into if communication is being blocked with our systems.

Tony Doran
Engineering Associate
(503) 691-3035

Tualatin City Services
10699 SW Herman Road
City of Tualatin

From: Janet T. Jones <JTJ @mcknze.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:31 PM
To: Mike McCarthy [mmccarthy@tualatin.gov](mailto:mmccarthy@tualatin.gov); Tony Doran [TDORAN@tualatin.gov](mailto:TDORAN@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Brent Nielsen [BNielsen@mcknze.com](mailto:BNielsen@mcknze.com); Suzannah Stanley
[SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com); Brent Ahrend [BAhrend@mcknze.com](mailto:BAhrend@mcknze.com); Clara Layton [CLayton@mcknze.com](mailto:CLayton@mcknze.com)
Subject: Lam Research New Office (PRE2-0017) - TIA Scoping

Tony and Mike,
I just provided you with a link to download the TIA scoping letter for Lam's proposed new office building via a separate email. Please let me know if you did not receive the email or were unable to download the document.

We also wanted to confirm the frontage improvements that will be required for SW 108th Avenue. At the pre-app meeting you noted that a reverse planter strip design may be an option. I have copied our Civil Engineer, Brent Nielsen, who can provide more information once we receive additional survey data next week.

We appreciate your collaboration on this. I will be out of the office next week but Brent Ahrend and Clara Layton (both copied) will be available to answer questions as needed.

Thank you,
Janet Jones, PE | she/her/hers
Associate | Transportation Engineering
D 971.346.3741 E jjones@mcknze.com

MACKENZIE.
ARCHITECTURE - INTERIORS - STRUCTURAL, CIVIL, AND TRAFFIC ENGINEERING LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PLANNING - LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE
https://mackenzie.inc | Portland, OR | Vancouver, WA I Seattle, WA
Mackenzie Email Disclaimer

APPENDIX C. TRANSIT INFORMATION


CAR SEAT REQUIREMENT
Rear-facing car seats are required for passengers under two years old.
hOLIDAY CLOSURES
Service will not be available on: New Year's Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day, Christmas Day. Limited service on Christmas and New Year's Eve. If a holiday falls on Saturday, there is no service on Friday; if a holiday falls on Sunday, there is no service on Monday. Information about closures available at rideconnection.org

## SEVERE WEATHER

Information about closures available at rideconnection.org

COVID-19 SAFETY
All our vehicles and drivers follow CDC protocols for COVID safe practices.

CONTACT US
503-226-0700 | TTY: 711 info@rideconnection.org

Tualatin Shuttle is operated by:


To link accessible, responsive transportation alternatives with community and individual needs.

We respect civil rights. For a copy of our policy or to request a brochure in an alternate format call the number above.


We'll get you there.

## Connect with

## Tualatin Shuttle

Free weekday service open to the public Connecting the Tualatin community

|  <br> TM) |  <br> TM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 늘 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & 0 \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| --- | 5:41 | 5:48 | 5:51 | 5:54 | 5:55 | 5:57 | 6:17 | 6:56 |
| 6:25 | 6:26 | 6:33 | 6:36 | 6:39 | 6:40 | 6:42 | 7:02 |  |
| 7:10 | 7:11 | 7:18 | 7:21 | 7:24 | 7:25 | 7:27 | 7:47 |  |
| 7:55 | 7:56 | 8:03 | 8:06 | 8:09 | 8:10 | 8:12 | 8:32 |  |
| 8:40 | 8:41 | 8:48 | 8:51 | 8:54 | 8:55 | 8:57 | 9:17 |  |
| 9:25 | 9:26 | 9:33 | 9:36 | 9:39 | 9:40 | 9:42 | 10:02 |  |
|  | 3:03 | 3:10 | 3:13 | 3:16 | 3:17 | 3:19 | 3:24 | 3:38 |
|  | 3:33 | 3:40 | 3:43 | 3:46 | 3:47 | 3:49 | 4:09 | 4:23 |
|  | 4:18 | 4:25 | 4:28 | 4:31 | 4:32 | 4:34 | 4:54 | 5:08 |
|  | 5:03 | 5:10 | 5:13 | 5:16 | 5:17 | 5:19 | 5:39 | 5:53 |
|  | 5:48 | 5:55 | 5:58 | 6:01 | 6:02 | 6:04 | 6:24 | 6:38 |
| 6:07 | 6:33 | 6:40 | 6:43 | 6:46 | 6:47 | 6:49 | 7:09 |  |

## Red Line Schedule

| $\stackrel{9}{3}$ |  <br> TM |  |  <br> TM |  <br> TM |  <br> TM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| --- | 5:02 | 5:08 | 5:11 | 5:13 | 5:16 | 5:20 | 5:38 | 6:11 |
| --- | 5:47 | 5:53 | 5:56 | 5:58 | 6:01 | 6:05 | 6:17 | 6:56 |
| 6:25 | 6:26 | 6:32 | 6:35 | 6:37 | 6:40 | 6:44 | 7:02 |  |
| 7:10 | 7:11 | 7:17 | 7:20 | 7:22 | 7:25 | 7:29 | 7:47 |  |
| 7:55 | 7:56 | 8:02 | 8:05 | 8:07 | 8:10 | 8:14 | 8:32 |  |
| 8:40 | 8:41 | 8:47 | 8:50 | 8:52 | 8:55 | 8:59 | 9:17 |  |
|  | 3:03 | 3:09 | 3:12 | 3:14 | 3:17 | 3:21 | 3:24 | 3:38 |
|  | 3:33 | 3:39 | 3:42 | 3:44 | 3:47 | 3:51 | 4:09 | 4:23 |
|  | 4:18 | 4:24 | 4:27 | 4:29 | 4:32 | 4:36 | 4:54 | 5:08 |
|  | 5:03 | 5:09 | 5:12 | 5:14 | 5:17 | 5:21 | 5:39 | 5:53 |
|  | 5:48 | 5:54 | 5:57 | 5:59 | 6:02 | 6:06 | 6:24 | 6:38 |
| 6:07 | 6:33 | 6:39 | 6:42 | 6:44 | 6:47 | 6:51 | 7:09 |  |

PM times in bold
Transfers

## Tualatin Shuttle

## FLAG TUALATIN SHUTTLE DOWN

If you are on a residential street along

the route, and not near a designated stop, you can "flag" or simply wave using your full arm to signal the Tualatin Shuttle bus driver to stop. Be sure to stand on the correct side of the road.

## SOCIAL DISTANCING

To allow for social distancing, Ride Connection is allowing only four passengers on the bus at one time. Sorry for the inconvenience.

## DEVIATIONS

We will deviate off the route to pick you up or drop you off for one leg of your trip. Deviation requests must be called in one day in advance. To call in for a deviation, please call 503-226-0700 between 7:30am and 5pm Monday-Friday. TTY: 711.

Deviations are not reservations. If the shuttle reaches capacity, we will make every effort to accomodate you.

## 94-Pacific Hwy/Sherwood



## TRI@MET

94-Pacific Hwy/Sherwood

| Weekday |  |  | To Sherwood |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | 6:03 | 6:11 | 6:26 |
| 6:26 | 6:40 | 6:51 | 7:00 | 7:17 |
| 6:56 | 7:11 | 7:22 | 7:31 | 7:49 |
| 7:25 | 7:40 | 7:52 | 8:01 | 8:19 |
| 7:52 | 8:07 | 8:19 | 8:28 | 8:46 |
| 8:22 | 8:37 | 8:47 | 8:56 | 9:14 |
| 8:52 | 9:07 | 9:17 | 9:26 | 9:44 |
| 9:22 | 9:37 | 9:47 | 9:56 | 10:14 |
| 9:52 | 10:07 | 10:17 | 10:27 | 10:45 |
| 10:22 | 10:37 | 10:47 | 10:57 | 11:15 |
| 10:52 | 11:07 | 11:17 | 11:27 | 11:45 |
| 11:22 | 11:37 | 11:47 | 11:57 | 12:15 |
| 11:52 | 12:07 | 12:17 | 12:28 | 12:46 |
| 12:22 | 12:37 | 12:47 | 12:58 | 1:16 |
| 12:52 | 1:07 | 1:17 | 1:28 | 1:46 |
| 1:22 | 1:37 | 1:47 | 1:58 | 2:16 |
| 1:52 | 2:07 | 2:17 | 2:29 | 2:47 |
| 2:22 | 2:37 | 2:48 | 3:00 | 3:18 |
| 2:52 | 3:08 | 3:19 | 3:31 | 3:49 |
| 3:22 | 3:38 | 3:49 | 4:01 | 4:19 |
| 3:37 | 3:53 | 4:04 | 4:16 | 4:34 |
| 3:52 | 4:08 | 4:19 | 4:31 | 4:49 |
| 4:08 | 4:25 | 4:36 | 4:48 | 5:06 |
| 4:23 | 4:40 | 4:52 | 5:04 | 5:23 |
| 4:38 | 4:55 | 5:07 | 5:19 | 5:38 |
| 4:53 | 5:10 | 5:22 | 5:34 | 5:52 |
| 5:08 | 5:25 | 5:37 | 5:49 | 6:07 |
| 5:23 | 5:40 | 5:52 | 6:04 | 6:21 |
| 5:53 | 6:09 | 6:20 | 6:31 | 6:48 |
| 6:32 | 6:47 | 6:57 | 7:07 | 7:24 |
| 7:22 | 7:37 | 7:47 | 7:57 | 8:14 |
| 8:22 | 8:37 | 8:47 | 8:57 | 9:13 |
| 9:21 | 9:36 | 9:46 | 9:56 | 10:11 |
| 10:16 | 10:31 | 10:41 | 10:51 | 11:07 |
| 11:12 | 11:27 | 11:37 | 11:47 | 12:02 |
| - | - | 12:17 | 12:24 | - |
| - | - | 1:03 | 1:10 | - |

Note: Line 94 buses to Sherwood serve: stops on SW 5th at Pine, Morrison, Madison, Market, Hall, and Broadway then travel express to Barbur \& Bertha; then stop at: Barbur Blvd Transit Center; Pacific Hwy at 74th, SW Main in Tigard, then all stops to Sherwood.

## Times in darker print are p.m.

Please note: Schedules may change without notice by up to three minutes to relieve overcrowding or adjust to traffic conditions. Service can also be affected by construction, accidents and weather conditions. You can check for any current detours or service disruptions at trimet.org/alerts or call 503-238-RIDE (7433) for real-time arrival information from TransitTracker ${ }^{\text {TM }}$. All buses, MAX trains and streetcars are accessible to people with disabilities.

## TRI@MET

## 94-Pacific Hwy/Sherwood

Weekday $\quad$ To Portland City Center

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:31 | 4:34 | 4:45 | - | - | - | - |
| 5:11 | 5:14 | 5:25 | - | - | - | - |
| 5:39 | 5:42 | 5:53 | 6:02 | 6:13 | 6:28 | 6:31 |
| 6:01 | 6:05 | 6:16 | 6:26 | 6:37 | 6:52 | 6:55 |
| 6:22 | 6:26 | 6:37 | 6:47 | 7:00 | 7:16 | 7:19 |
| 6:32 | 6:36 | 6:48 | 7:00 | 7:14 | 7:31 | 7:35 |
| 6:26 | 6:50 | 7:02 | 7:15 | 7:29 | 7:46 | 7:49 |
| 6:59 | 7:03 | 7:15 | 7:28 | 7:42 | 8:01 | 8:05 |
| 7:13 | 7:17 | 7:29 | 7:42 | 7:56 | 8:16 | 8:19 |
| 7:30 | 7:34 | 7:46 | 7:58 | 8:11 | 8:31 | 8:35 |
| 7:45 | 7:49 | 8:01 | 8:13 | 8:26 | 8:46 | 8:49 |
| 8:17 | 8:21 | 8:33 | 8:45 | 8:58 | 9:16 | 9:19 |
| 8:50 | 8:54 | 9:06 | 9:17 | 9:30 | 9:46 | 9:49 |
| 9:20 | 9:24 | 9:36 | 9:47 | 10:00 | 10:16 | 10:19 |
| 9:50 | 9:54 | 10:06 | 10:17 | 10:30 | 10:46 | 10:49 |
| 9:44 | 10:24 | 10:36 | 10:47 | 11:00 | 11:16 | 11:19 |
| 10:14 | 10:54 | 11:06 | 11:17 | 11:30 | 11:46 | 11:49 |
| 10:45 | 11:23 | 11:36 | 11:47 | 12:00 | 12:16 | 12:19 |
| 11:15 | 11:52 | 12:05 | 12:17 | 12:30 | 12:46 | 12:49 |
| 11:45 | 12:22 | 12:35 | 12:47 | 1:00 | 1:16 | 1:19 |
| 12:15 | 12:52 | 1:05 | 1:17 | 1:30 | 1:46 | 1:49 |
| 12:46 | 1:22 | 1:35 | 1:47 | 2:00 | 2:16 | 2:19 |
| 1:16 | 1:52 | 2:05 | 2:17 | 2:30 | 2:46 | 2:49 |
| 1:46 | 2:21 | 2:34 | 2:46 | 3:00 | 3:16 | 3:19 |
| 2:16 | 2:50 | 3:03 | 3:15 | 3:29 | 3:46 | 3:49 |
| 2:47 | 3:18 | 3:31 | 3:43 | 3:57 | 4:15 | 4:18 |
| 3:18 | 3:47 | 4:00 | 4:12 | 4:26 | 4:45 | 4:48 |
| 3:49 | 4:16 | 4:29 | 4:41 | 4:55 | 5:15 | 5:18 |
| 4:19 | 4:58 | 5:11 | 5:23 | 5:37 | 5:55 | 5:58 |
| 5:23 | 5:53 | 6:06 | 6:17 | 6:31 | 6:46 | 6:49 |
| 6:21 | 6:55 | 7:07 | 7:18 | 7:31 | 7:46 | 7:49 |
| 7:54 | 7:58 | 8:10 | 8:19 | 8:31 | 8:46 | 8:49 |
| 8:55 | 8:59 | 9:10 | 9:19 | 9:31 | 9:46 | 9:49 |
| 9:57 | 10:01 | 10:11 | 10:20 | 10:31 | 10:46 | 10:49 |
| 11:07 | 11:10 | 11:20 | - | - | - | - |

Note: Buses to Portland City Center serve: all stops from
Sherwood to Main \& Commercial in Tigard, then Main \&
Scoffins, 99 W \& Main, 99 W \& 74th, Barbur Blvd \& Capitol
Hwy, Barbur Blvd Transit Center, Barbur \& Bertha, then travel express with no stops to SW Broadway \& 5th, SW 6th at Market, Jefferson, Yamhill, Oak, and Burnside.

## Times in darker print are p.m.

Please note: Schedules may change without notice by up to three minutes to relieve overcrowding or adjust to traffic conditions. Service can also be affected by construction, accidents and weather conditions. You can check for any current detours or service disruptions at trimet.org/alerts or call 503-238-RIDE (7433) for real-time arrival information from TransitTracker ${ }^{\text {TM }}$. All buses, MAX trains and streetcars are accessible to people with disabilities.

## TRI@MET

94-Pacific Hwy/Sherwood

| Saturday | To Sherwood |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 6:03 | 6:11 | 6:26 |
| 6:46 | 6:54 | 7:10 |
| 7:28 | 7:36 | 7:52 |
| 8:10 | 8:19 | 8:35 |
| 8:53 | 9:02 | 9:19 |
| 9:49 | 9:59 | 10:16 |
| 10:19 | 10:30 | 10:47 |
| 10:49 | 11:00 | 11:17 |
| 11:19 | 11:30 | 11:47 |
| 11:49 | 12:00 | 12:17 |
| 12:19 | 12:30 | 12:47 |
| 12:49 | 1:00 | 1:17 |
| 1:19 | 1:30 | 1:47 |
| 1:49 | 2:00 | 2:17 |
| 2:19 | 2:30 | 2:47 |
| 2:48 | 2:59 | 3:16 |
| 3:19 | 3:30 | 3:47 |
| 3:49 | 4:00 | 4:17 |
| 4:19 | 4:30 | 4:47 |
| 4:49 | 5:00 | 5:17 |
| 5:19 | 5:30 | 5:47 |
| 5:49 | 6:00 | 6:17 |
| 6:19 | 6:30 | 6:47 |
| 6:49 | 7:00 | 7:17 |
| 7:19 | 7:30 | 7:47 |
| 7:52 | 8:03 | 8:18 |
| 8:34 | 8:44 | 8:59 |
| 9:21 | 9:30 | 9:43 |
| 10:07 | 10:15 | 10:28 |
| 10:53 | 11:01 | 11:14 |
| 11:38 | 11:45 | 11:58 |
| 12:17 | 12:24 | - |
| 1:03 | 1:10 | - |

## Times in darker print are p.m.

Please note: Schedules may change without notice by up to three minutes to relieve overcrowding or adjust to traffic conditions. Service can also be affected by construction, accidents and weather conditions. You can check for any current detours or service disruptions at trimet.org/alerts or call 503-238-RIDE (7433) for real-time arrival information from TransitTracker ${ }^{\text {TM }}$. All buses, MAX trains and streetcars are accessible to people with disabilities.

## TRI@MET

94-Pacific Hwy/Sherwood

| Saturday | To Tigard Transit Center |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 4:31 | 4:45 | 4:52 |
| 5:11 | 5:25 | 5:32 |
| 5:51 | 6:05 | 6:12 |
| 6:23 | 6:39 | 6:46 |
| 7:05 | 7:21 | 7:28 |
| 7:46 | 8:02 | 8:10 |
| 8:29 | 8:45 | 8:53 |
| 9:02 | 9:19 | 9:27 |
| 9:32 | 9:49 | 9:57 |
| 10:01 | 10:18 | 10:27 |
| 10:31 | 10:48 | 10:57 |
| 11:01 | 11:18 | 11:27 |
| 11:31 | 11:48 | 11:57 |
| 12:00 | 12:17 | 12:27 |
| 12:30 | 12:47 | 12:57 |
| 1:00 | 1:17 | 1:27 |
| 1:30 | 1:47 | 1:57 |
| 1:59 | 2:16 | 2:27 |
| 2:29 | 2:46 | 2:57 |
| 2:59 | 3:16 | 3:27 |
| 3:29 | 3:46 | 3:57 |
| 3:59 | 4:16 | 4:27 |
| 4:29 | 4:46 | 4:57 |
| 4:59 | 5:16 | 5:27 |
| 5:29 | 5:46 | 5:57 |
| 6:00 | 6:17 | 6:27 |
| 6:47 | 7:03 | 7:12 |
| 7:32 | 7:48 | 7:57 |
| 8:18 | 8:34 | 8:42 |
| 9:09 | 9:24 | 9:32 |
| 9:58 | 10:12 | 10:20 |
| 10:47 | 11:00 | 11:07 |
| 11:27 | 11:40 | 11:47 |

Times in darker print are p.m.
Please note: Schedules may change without notice by up to three minutes to relieve overcrowding or adjust to traffic conditions. Service can also be affected by construction, accidents and weather conditions. You can check for any current detours or service disruptions at trimet.org/alerts or call 503-238-RIDE (7433) for real-time arrival information from TransitTracker ${ }^{\text {TM }}$. All buses, MAX trains and streetcars are accessible to people with disabilities.

## TRI (9)MET

94-Pacific Hwy/Sherwood

| Sunday | To Sherwood |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 6:03 | 6:11 | 6:26 |
| 6:46 | 6:54 | 7:10 |
| 7:28 | 7:36 | 7:52 |
| 8:10 | 8:19 | 8:35 |
| 8:53 | 9:02 | 9:19 |
| 9:49 | 9:59 | 10:16 |
| 10:19 | 10:30 | 10:47 |
| 10:49 | 11:00 | 11:17 |
| 11:19 | 11:30 | 11:47 |
| 11:49 | 12:00 | 12:17 |
| 12:19 | 12:30 | 12:47 |
| 12:49 | 1:00 | 1:17 |
| 1:19 | 1:30 | 1:47 |
| 1:49 | 2:00 | 2:17 |
| 2:19 | 2:30 | 2:47 |
| 2:48 | 2:59 | 3:16 |
| 3:19 | 3:30 | 3:47 |
| 3:49 | 4:00 | 4:17 |
| 4:19 | 4:30 | 4:47 |
| 4:49 | 5:00 | 5:17 |
| 5:19 | 5:30 | 5:47 |
| 5:49 | 6:00 | 6:17 |
| 6:19 | 6:30 | 6:47 |
| 6:49 | 7:00 | 7:17 |
| 7:19 | 7:30 | 7:47 |
| 7:52 | 8:03 | 8:18 |
| 8:34 | 8:44 | 8:59 |
| 9:21 | 9:30 | 9:43 |
| 10:07 | 10:15 | 10:28 |
| 10:53 | 11:01 | 11:14 |
| 11:38 | 11:45 | 11:58 |
| 12:17 | 12:24 | - |
| 1:03 | 1:10 | - |

## Times in darker print are p.m.

Please note: Schedules may change without notice by up to three minutes to relieve overcrowding or adjust to traffic conditions. Service can also be affected by construction, accidents and weather conditions. You can check for any current detours or service disruptions at trimet.org/alerts or call 503-238-RIDE (7433) for real-time arrival information from TransitTracker ${ }^{\text {TM }}$. All buses, MAX trains and streetcars are accessible to people with disabilities.

## TRI@MET

94-Pacific Hwy/Sherwood

| Sunday | To Tigard Transit Center |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 4:31 | 4:45 | 4:52 |
| 5:11 | 5:25 | 5:32 |
| 5:51 | 6:05 | 6:12 |
| 6:23 | 6:39 | 6:46 |
| 7:05 | 7:21 | 7:28 |
| 7:46 | 8:02 | 8:10 |
| 8:29 | 8:45 | 8:53 |
| 9:02 | 9:19 | 9:27 |
| 9:32 | 9:49 | 9:57 |
| 10:01 | 10:18 | 10:27 |
| 10:31 | 10:48 | 10:57 |
| 11:01 | 11:18 | 11:27 |
| 11:31 | 11:48 | 11:57 |
| 12:00 | 12:17 | 12:27 |
| 12:30 | 12:47 | 12:57 |
| 1:00 | 1:17 | 1:27 |
| 1:30 | 1:47 | 1:57 |
| 1:59 | 2:16 | 2:27 |
| 2:29 | 2:46 | 2:57 |
| 2:59 | 3:16 | 3:27 |
| 3:29 | 3:46 | 3:57 |
| 3:59 | 4:16 | 4:27 |
| 4:29 | 4:46 | 4:57 |
| 4:59 | 5:16 | 5:27 |
| 5:29 | 5:46 | 5:57 |
| 6:00 | 6:17 | 6:27 |
| 6:47 | 7:03 | 7:12 |
| 7:32 | 7:48 | 7:57 |
| 8:18 | 8:34 | 8:42 |
| 9:09 | 9:24 | 9:32 |
| 9:58 | 10:12 | 10:20 |
| 10:47 | 11:00 | 11:07 |
| 11:27 | 11:40 | 11:47 |

Times in darker print are p.m.
Please note: Schedules may change without notice by up to three minutes to relieve overcrowding or adjust to traffic conditions. Service can also be affected by construction, accidents and weather conditions. You can check for any current detours or service disruptions at trimet.org/alerts or call 503-238-RIDE (7433) for real-time arrival information from TransitTracker ${ }^{\text {TM }}$. All buses, MAX trains and streetcars are accessible to people with disabilities.

## 97-Tualatin-Sherwood Rd



## TRI@MET

| 97-Tualatin-Sherwood Rd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday | To SW Langer Dr/Sherwood Plaza |  |
|  |  |  |
| 6:18 | 6:23 | 6:32 |
| 7:18 | 7:23 | 7:32 |
| 8:18 | 8:23 | 8:32 |
| 9:18 | 9:23 | 9:32 |
| 4:43 | 4:49 | 5:00 |
| 5:53 | 5:59 | 6:10 |

## Times in darker print are p.m.

Please note: Schedules may change without notice by up to three minutes to relieve overcrowding or adjust to traffic conditions. Service can also be affected by construction, accidents and weather conditions. You can check for any current detours or service disruptions at trimet.org/alerts or call 503-238-RIDE (7433) for real-time arrival information from TransitTracker ${ }^{T M}$. All buses, MAX trains and streetcars are accessible to people with disabilities.

TRI@MET
97-Tualatin-Sherwood Rd

| Weekday | To Tualatin WES Station |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 6:58 | 7:06 | 7:16 | 7:18 |
| 8:00 | 8:08 | 8:16 | 8:18 |
| 9:00 | 9:08 | 9:16 | 9:18 |
| 3:12 | 3:20 | 3:31 | 3:33 |
| 4:21 | 4:29 | 4:41 | 4:43 |
| 5:30 | 5:38 | 5:51 | 5:53 |
| 6:42 | 6:50 | 7:01 | 7:03 |

Times in darker print are p.m.
Please note: Schedules may change without notice by up to three minutes to relieve overcrowding or adjust to traffic conditions. Service can also be affected by construction, accidents and weather conditions. You can check for any current detours or service disruptions at trimet.org/alerts or call 503-238-RIDE (7433) for real-time arrival information from TransitTracker ${ }^{T M}$. All buses, MAX trains and streetcars are accessible to people with disabilities.

APPENDIX D.

## TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARIES




Peak-Hour: 7:45 AM -- 8:45 AM Peak 15-Min: 7:45 AM -- 8:00 AM


| 15-Min Count Period Beginning At | Eastern Lam Research Access (Northbound) |  |  |  | Eastern Lam Research Access (Southbound) |  |  |  | SW Leveton Dr (Eastbound) |  |  |  | SW Leveton Dr (Westbound) |  |  |  | Total | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 33 |  |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 38 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 44 |  |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 7 | 0 | 67 | 182 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 8 | 0 | 53 | 202 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 49 | 213 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 12 | 0 | 48 | 217 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 10 | 0 | 40 | 190 |
| Peak 15-Min Flowrates | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |  |
| All Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 28 | 0 |  | 8 |
| Heavy Trucks Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |  | 2 |
| Pedestrians |  | $0$ |  |  |  | $4$ |  |  |  | $0$ |  |  |  | $0$ |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycles Scooters | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | $0$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | $4$ | 0 |  |  | 4 |

Comments:
Peak-Hour: 7:30 AM -- 8:30 AM
Peak 15-Min: 7:45 AM -- 8:00 AM


| 15-Min Count Period Beginning At | SW 108th Ave (Northbound) |  |  |  | SW 108th Ave (Southbound) |  |  |  | SW Leveton Dr/Southern Ascentec Engineering Access (Eastbound) |  |  |  | SW Leveton Dr/Southern Ascentec Engineering Access (Westbound) |  |  |  | Total | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 16 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |  |
| 7:15 AM | 17 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 53 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 16 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |  |
| 7:45 AM | 27 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 229 |
| 8:00 AM | 23 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 235 |
| 8:15 AM | 22 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 236 |
| 8:30 AM | 22 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 232 |
| 8:45 AM | 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 200 |
| Peak 15-Min Flowrates | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |  |
| All Vehicles | 108 | 8 | 28 | 0 | 20 | 16 | 48 | 0 | 8 | 20 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 12 |
| Heavy Trucks Buses | 8 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Pedestrians |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Bicycles Scooters | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 8 |
| Comments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


Peak-Hour: 4:45 PM -- 5:45 PM
Peak 15-Min: 5:00 PM -- 5:15 PM


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-Min Count } \\ & \text { Period } \\ & \text { Beginning At } \end{aligned}$ | Western Lam Research Access (Northbound) |  |  |  | Western Lam Research Access (Southbound) |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SW Leveton Dr } \\ & \text { (Eastbound) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | SW Leveton Dr (Westbound) |  |  |  | Total | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 60 |  |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 53 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 6 | 0 | 79 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 38 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 13 | 0 | 110 | 302 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 12 | 0 | 130 | 372 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 126 | 445 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 108 | 474 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 427 |
| Peak 15-Min Flowrates | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |  |
| All Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 124 | 0 | 88 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 48 | 0 |  |  |
| Heavy Trucks Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 8 |  | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 2 |
| Pedestrians |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Bicycles Scooters | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |

Comments:


Peak-Hour: 4:30 PM -- 5:30 PM
Peak 15-Min: 5:00 PM -- 5:15 PM


| 15-Min Count Period Beginning At | Eastern Lam Research Access (Northbound) |  |  |  | Eastern Lam Research Access (Southbound) |  |  |  | SW Leveton Dr (Eastbound) |  |  |  | SW Leveton Dr (Westbound) |  |  |  | Total | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 46 |  |
| 4:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 36 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 65 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 210 |
| 5:00 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 90 | 254 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 295 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 290 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 276 |
| Peak 15-Min Flowrates | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |  |
| All Vehicles | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Heavy Trucks Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  | 0 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 4 |
| Bicycles Scooters | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 8 |

Comments:

LOCATION: SW 108th Ave -- SW Leveton Dr/Southern Ascentec Engineering Access


Report generated on 6/17/2022 10:24 AM
SOURCE: Quality Counts, LLC (http://www.qualitycounts.net) 1-877-580-2212


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | -1 |
|  |  |



| 4:35 PM | 16 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 1075 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:40 PM | 22 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 1086 |
| 4:45 PM | 17 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 1132 |
| 4:50 PM | 12 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 1148 |
| 4:55 PM | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 1147 |
| 5:00 PM | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 1152 |
| 5:05 PM | 16 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 1162 |
| 5:10 PM | 8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 1179 |
| 5:15 PM | 14 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 1168 |
| 5:20 PM | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 1165 |
| 5:25 PM | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 1158 |
| 5:30 PM | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 1149 |
| 5:35 PM | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 1124 |
| 5:40 PM | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 1081 |
| 5:45 PM | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 1047 |
| 5:50 PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 1024 |
| 5:55 PM | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 1013 |
| 6:00 PM | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 974 |
| 6:05 PM | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 923 |
| 6:10 PM | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 877 |
| 6:15 PM | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 840 |
| 6:20 PM | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 810 |
| 6:25 PM | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 771 |
| 6:30 PM | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 733 |
| 6:35 PM | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 694 |
| 6:40 PM | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 671 |
| 6:45 PM | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 635 |
| 6:50 PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 613 |
| 6:55 PM | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 580 |
| 7:00 PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 555 |
| 7:05 PM | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 531 |
| 7:10 PM | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 513 |
| 7:15 PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 498 |
| 7:20 PM | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 484 |
| 7:25 PM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 477 |
| 7:30 PM | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 476 |
| 7:35 PM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 463 |
| 7:40 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 444 |
| 7:45 PM | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 429 |
| 7:50 PM | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 431 |
| 7:55 PM | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 426 |
| 8:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 415 |
| 8:05 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 399 |
| 8:10 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 387 |
| 8:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 370 |
| 8:20 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 365 |
| 8:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 355 |
| 8:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 329 |
| 8:35 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 309 |
| 8:40 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 301 |
| 8:45 PM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 302 |
| 8:50 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 278 |
| 8:55 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 264 |
| 9:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 257 |
| 9:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 249 |
| 9:10 PM | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 248 |
| 9:15 PM | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 242 |
| 9:20 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 237 |
| 9:25 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 230 |
| 9:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 225 |
| 9:35 PM | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 232 |
| 9:40 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 223 |
| 9:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 205 |
| 9:50 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 202 |
| 9:55 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 200 |
| Peak 15-Min | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Total |  |
| Flowrates | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |
| All Vehicles | 44 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 332 | 172 | 0 | 36 | 248 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Heavy Trucks Buses | 4 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 16 | 8 |  | 8 | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycles Scooters | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

Comments:


| 5-Min Count Period Beginning At | SW Teton Ave (Northbound) |  |  |  | SW Teton Ave(Southbound) |  |  | SW Tualatin Rd (Eastbound) |  |  |  | SW Tualatin Rd (Westbound) |  | Total | Hourly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left |  |  |  |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0\end{array} 00$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccc}0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sim & \sim & \sim & \infty \\ \vdots & \omega & \\ \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ |  |
| ロNu A $\sim \sim$ |  |
| ¢ |  |
|  |  |


| 5-Min Count Period Beginning At | SW Teton Ave (Northbound) |  |  |  | SW Teton Ave (Southbound) |  |  |  | SW Tualatin Rd (Eastbound) |  |  |  | SW Tualatin Rd (Westbound) |  |  |  | Total | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |
| 4:30 PM | 16 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 1063 |
| 4:35 PM | 16 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 1075 |
| 4:40 PM | 22 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 1086 |
| 4:45 PM | 17 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 1132 |
| 4:50 PM | 12 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 1148 |
| 4:55 PM | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 1147 |
| 5:00 PM | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 1152 |
| 5:05 PM | 16 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 1162 |
| 5:10 PM | 8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 1179 |
| 5:15 PM | 14 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 1168 |
| 5:20 PM | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 1165 |
| 5:25 PM | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 1158 |
| 5:30 PM | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 1149 |
| 5:35 PM | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 1124 |
| 5:40 PM | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 1081 |
| 5:45 PM | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 1047 |
| 5:50 PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 1024 |
| 5:55 PM | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 1013 |
| 6:00 PM | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 974 |
| 6:05 PM | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 923 |
| 6:10 PM | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 877 |
| 6:15 PM | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 840 |
| 6:20 PM | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 810 |
| 6:25 PM | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 771 |
| 6:30 PM | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 733 |
| 6:35 PM | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 694 |
| 6:40 PM | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 671 |
| 6:45 PM | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 635 |
| 6:50 PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 613 |
| 6:55 PM | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 580 |
| 7:00 PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 555 |
| 7:05 PM | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 531 |
| 7:10 PM | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 513 |
| 7:15 PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 498 |
| 7:20 PM | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 484 |
| 7:25 PM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 477 |
| 7:30 PM | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 476 |
| 7:35 PM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 463 |
| 7:40 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 444 |
| 7:45 PM | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 429 |
| 7:50 PM | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 431 |
| 7:55 PM | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 426 |
| 8:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 415 |
| 8:05 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 399 |
| 8:10 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 387 |
| 8:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 370 |
| 8:20 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 365 |
| 8:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 355 |
| 8:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 329 |
| 8:35 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 309 |
| 8:40 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 301 |
| 8:45 PM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 302 |
| 8:50 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 278 |
| 8:55 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 264 |
| 9:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 257 |
| 9:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 249 |
| 9:10 PM | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 248 |
| 9:15 PM | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 242 |
| 9:20 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 237 |
| 9:25 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 230 |
| 9:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 225 |
| 9:35 PM | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 232 |
| 9:40 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 223 |
| 9:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 205 |
| 9:50 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 202 |
| 9:55 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 200 |
| Peak 15-Min Flowrates | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |  |
| All Vehicles | 220 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 296 | 76 | 0 | 28 | 608 | 0 | 0 |  | 60 |
| Heavy Trucks Buses | 12 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 8 | 8 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |  | 6 |
| Pedestrians |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycles Scooters | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

Comments


## West Driveway \& SW Leveton Dr

Wednesday, June 06, 2018
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Peak Hour Summary
7:45 AM to 8:45 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound West Driveway |  |  |  | Southbound West Driveway |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 229 | 243 | 0 | 334 | 34 | 368 | 0 | 99 | 184 | 283 | 0 | 447 |
| \%HV | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 28.6\% |  |  |  | 1.8\% |  |  |  | 7.1\% |  |  |  | 3.8\% |
| PHF | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.58 |  |  |  | 0.90 |  |  |  | 0.83 |  |  |  | 0.91 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound West Driveway |  |  |  | Southbound West Driveway |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | L |  | R | Total | L | T |  | Total |  | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume |  |  |  | 0 | 4 |  | 10 | 14 | 154 | 180 |  | 334 |  | 24 | 75 | 99 | 447 |
| \%HV | NA | NA | NA | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | NA | 20.0\% | 28.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | NA | 1.8\% | NA | 25.0\% | 1.3\% | 7.1\% | 3.8\% |
| PHF |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.50 |  | 0.63 | 0.58 | 0.94 | 0.78 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.86 | 0.75 | 0.83 | 0.91 |

## Rolling Hour Summary

7:00 AM to 9:00 AM


Out 8
In 6

## West Driveway \& SW Leveton Dr



Wednesday, June 06, 2018
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM


Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM


Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
7:45 AM to 8:45 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound West Driveway |  |  | Southbound West Driveway |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 7 | 8 | 15 | 17 |
| PHF | 0.00 |  |  | 0.33 |  |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.29 |  |  | 0.35 |


| By | Northbound West Driveway |  | Southbound West Driveway |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | L | R | Total | L | T | Total | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 17 |
| PHF |  | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.35 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM




Center Driveway \& SW Leveton Dr
Wednesday, June 06, 2018
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Out 108
Clay Carney
(503) 833-2740

15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Peak Hour Summary
7:30 AM to 8:30 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Center Driveway |  |  |  | Southbound Center Driveway |  |  |  | EastboundSW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 60 | 66 | 0 | 185 | 108 | 293 | 1 | 134 | 157 | 291 | 0 | 325 |
| \%HV | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 16.7\% |  |  |  | 2.7\% |  |  |  | 3.7\% |  |  |  | 3.4\% |
| PHF | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.75 |  |  |  | 0.76 |  |  |  | 0.88 |  |  |  | 0.82 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound Center Driveway |  |  |  | Southbound Center Driveway |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | L |  | R | Total | L | T |  | Total |  | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume |  |  |  | 0 | 2 |  | 4 | 6 | 30 | 155 |  | 185 |  | 104 | 30 | 134 | 325 |
| \%HV | NA | NA | NA | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | NA | 25.0\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | NA | 2.7\% | NA | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 3.4\% |
| PHF |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.50 |  | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.72 |  | 0.76 |  | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.82 |

## Rolling Hour Summary

7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | NorthboundCenter Driveway |  | Southbound Center Driveway |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Westbound } \\ \text { SW Leveton Dr } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Bikes | L | R | Bikes | L | T | Bikes | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 7:00 AM |  | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 21 | 112 | 0 | 83 | 32 | 2 | 258 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM |  | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 23 | 147 | 0 | 101 | 33 | 1 | 313 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM |  | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 30 | 155 | 1 | 104 | 30 | 0 | 325 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM |  | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 151 | 3 | 97 | 29 | 0 | 311 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM |  | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 132 | 3 | 96 | 35 | 0 | 296 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Out 6
In 5

## Center Driveway \& SW Leveton Dr

Wednesday, June 06, 2018
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM



Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM


Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
7:30 AM to 8:30 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Center Driveway |  |  | Southbound Center Driveway |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 11 |
| PHF | 0.00 |  |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.18 |  |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.28 |


| By | Northbound Center Driveway |  | Southbound Center Driveway |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement |  | Total | L | R | Total | L | T | Total | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 11 |
| PHF |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.28 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM




## East Access \& SW Leveton Dr

Thursday, June 07, 2018
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM
Clay Carney
(503) 833-2740

15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound East Access |  |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 50 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 44 | 11 | 0 | 82 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 16 | 0 | 85 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 36 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 13 | 0 | 105 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 26 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 38 | 27 | 1 | 112 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 41 | 31 | 0 | 109 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 27 | 14 | 0 | 70 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 1 | 74 |
| Total Survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 78 | 159 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 287 | 143 | 2 | 687 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| 21 | 6 | 18 | 1 |

Peak Hour Summary
7:30 AM to 8:30 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound East Access |  |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  |  | EastboundSW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  |
| Volume | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 133 | 139 | 0 | 149 | 169 | 318 | 6 | 256 | 106 | 362 | 1 | 411 |
| \%HV | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 4.0\% |  |  |  | 3.1\% |  |  |  | 3.4\% |
| PHF | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.50 |  |  |  | 0.87 |  |  |  | 0.89 |  |  |  | 0.92 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound East Access |  |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 46 | 102 | 1 | 149 | 2 | 167 | 87 | 256 | 411 |
| \%HV | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | \#\#\#\#\# | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 1.1\% | 3.1\% | 3.4\% |
| PHF | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.71 | 0.25 | 0.87 | 0.25 | 0.91 | 0.70 | 0.89 | 0.92 |

Rolling Hour Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound East Access |  |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Interva Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 153 | 43 | 0 | 322 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 105 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 170 | 67 | 1 | 384 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 46 | 102 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 167 | 87 | 1 | 411 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 59 | 89 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 152 | 85 | 1 | 396 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 1 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 63 | 60 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 134 | 100 | 2 | 365 | 15 | 5 | 12 | 1 |



Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound East Access |  |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 8 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 17 |

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
7:30 AM to 8:30 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound East Access |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 14 |
| PHF | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.30 |  |  | 0.29 |  |  | 0.29 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound East Access |  |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 14 |
| PHF | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.29 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound East Access |  |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 13 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 14 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 13 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 11 |




## SW 108th Ave \& SW Leveton Dr

Wednesday, June 06, 2018
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Out 245
Clay Carney
(503) 833-2740

## All Traffic Data <br> $\checkmark$ = = = = 2010210

15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Peak Hour Summary
7:30 AM to 8:30 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound SW 108th Ave |  |  |  | Southbound SW 108th Ave |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  |
| Volume | 211 | 74 | 285 | 0 | 80 | 50 | 130 | 2 | 78 | 245 | 323 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 369 |
| \%HV | 4.7\% |  |  |  | 5.0\% |  |  |  | 5.1\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 4.9\% |
| PHF | 0.82 |  |  |  | 0.67 |  |  |  | 0.89 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.90 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound SW 108th Ave |  |  |  | Southbound SW 108th Ave |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T |  | Total |  | T | R | Total | L |  | R | Total |  |  |  | Total |  |
| Volume | 176 | 35 |  | 211 |  | 11 | 69 | 80 | 15 |  | 63 | 78 |  |  |  | 0 | 369 |
| \%HV | 3.4\% | 11.4\% | NA | 4.7\% | NA | 27.3\% | 1.4\% | 5.0\% | 13.3\% | NA | 3.2\% | 5.1\% | NA | NA | NA | 0.0\% | 4.9\% |
| PHF | 0.81 | 0.80 |  | 0.82 |  | 0.55 | 0.69 | 0.67 | 0.54 |  | 0.83 | 0.89 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.90 |

## Rolling Hour Summary

7:00 AM to 9:00 AM


Out 7
In 4

## SW 108th Ave \& SW Leveton Dr

Wednesday, June 06, 2018
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM


Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound SW 108th Ave |  |  | Southbound SW 108th Ave |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | Total | T | R | Total | L | R | Total |  | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |  | 0 | 6 |
| 7:15 AM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 4 |
| 7:30 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |
| 7:45 AM | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 9 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |
| 8:15 AM | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | I | 1 |  | 0 | 5 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  | 0 | 3 |
| 8:45 AM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 0 | 7 |
| Total Survey | 10 | 4 | 14 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 10 | 14 |  | 0 | 38 |

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
7:30 AM to 8:30 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound SW 108th Ave |  |  | Southbound SW 108th Ave |  |  | EastboundSW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 10 | 5 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| PHF | 0.28 |  |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.17 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.28 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound SW 108th Ave |  |  | Southbound SW 108th Ave |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | Total | T | R | Total | L | R | Total |  | Total |  |
| Volume | 6 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 |  | 0 | 18 |
| PHF | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 0.17 |  | 0.00 | 0.28 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM




## West Driveway \& SW Leveton Dr

Tuesday, June 05, 2018 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Peak Hour Summary
4:45 PM to 5:45 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound West Driveway |  |  |  | Southbound West Driveway |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 257 | 106 | 363 | 1 | 108 | 320 | 428 | 0 | 201 | 140 | 341 | 1 | 566 |
| \%HV | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.8\% |  |  |  | 2.8\% |  |  |  | 0.5\% |  |  |  | 1.1\% |
| PHF | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.68 |  |  |  | 0.66 |  |  |  | 0.73 |  |  |  | 0.86 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound West Driveway |  |  |  | Southbound West Driveway |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | L |  | R | Total | L | T |  | Total |  | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume |  |  |  | 0 | 90 |  | 167 | 257 | 58 | 50 |  | 108 |  | 153 | 48 | 201 | 566 |
| \%HV | NA | NA | NA | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | NA | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 1.7\% | 4.0\% | NA | 2.8\% | NA | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% |
| PHF |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.68 |  | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.56 | 0.83 |  | 0.66 |  | 0.68 | 0.52 | 0.73 | 0.86 |

Rolling Hour Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Out 1
In 3

## West Driveway \& SW Leveton Dr

Tuesday, June 05, 2018
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM


Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM


Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
4:45 PM to 5:45 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound West Driveway |  |  | Southbound West Driveway |  |  | EastboundSW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| PHF | 0.00 |  |  | 0.17 |  |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.19 |



Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM




## Center Driveway \& SW Leveton Dr

Tuesday, June 05, 2018
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

Peak Hour Summary
4:45 PM to 5:45 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Center Driveway |  |  |  | Southbound Center Driveway |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 45 | 114 | 0 | 141 | 193 | 334 | 0 | 194 | 166 | 360 | 0 | 404 |
| \%HV | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 2.1\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.7\% |
| PHF | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.75 |  |  |  | 0.77 |  |  |  | 0.73 |  |  |  | 0.82 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound Center Driveway |  |  |  | Southbound Center Driveway |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | L |  | R | Total | L | T |  | Total |  | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume |  |  |  | 0 | 46 |  | 23 | 69 | 21 | 120 |  | 141 |  | 170 | 24 | 194 | 404 |
| \%HV | NA | NA | NA | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | NA | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | NA | 2.1\% | NA | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| PHF |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.77 |  | 0.64 | 0.75 | 0.58 | 0.68 |  | 0.77 |  | 0.72 | 0.67 | 0.73 | 0.82 |

## Rolling Hour Summary

4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Northbound } \\ & \text { Center Driveway } \end{aligned}$ |  | Southbound Center Driveway |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | WestboundSW Leveton Dr |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time |  | Bikes | L | R | Bikes | L | T | Bikes | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 4:00 PM |  | 0 | 33 | 13 | 0 | 18 | 82 | 1 | 119 | 25 | 1 | 290 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM |  | 0 | 36 | 16 | 0 | 18 | 92 | 1 | 152 | 29 | 0 | 343 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM |  | 0 | 46 | 20 | 0 | 17 | 114 | 0 | 164 | 27 | 0 | 388 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM |  | 0 | 46 | 23 | 0 | 21 | 120 | 0 | 170 | 24 | 0 | 404 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM |  | 0 | 50 | 27 | 0 | 21 | 128 | 0 | 154 | 20 | 0 | 400 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Out 0
In 3

## Center Driveway \& SW Leveton Dr



Tuesday, June 05, 2018
$\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0\end{array}$ 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Peak Hour Summary } \\
& \text { 4:45 PM to 5:45 PM }
\end{aligned}
$$

Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Interval } \\ \text { Start } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Northbound } \\ & \text { Center Driveway } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Southbound Center Driveway |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time |  |  | Total | L | R | Total | L | T | Total | T | R | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 4:15 PM |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 4:30 PM |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 4:45 PM |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:15 PM |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:30 PM |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Survey |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 12 |

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
4:45 PM to 5:45 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Center Driveway |  |  | Southbound Center Driveway |  |  | EastboundSW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| PHF | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.08 |


| By <br> Movement | NorthboundCenter Driveway |  | Southbound Center Driveway |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | L | R | Total | L | T | Total | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| PHF |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM




## East Access \& SW Leveton Dr

Wednesday, June 06, 2018
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Out 195
Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

15-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound East Access |  |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 69 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 70 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 7 | 0 | 116 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 4 | 0 | 108 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 128 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 119 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 92 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 0 |  | 23 | 2 | 0 | 82 |
| Total Survey | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 81 | 1 | 5 | 342 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 224 | 23 | 0 | 784 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 14 | 7 | 13 | 1 |

Peak Hour Summary
4:30 PM to 5:30 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound East Access |  |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  |  | EastboundSW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  |
| Volume | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 119 | 18 | 137 | 0 | 193 | 195 | 388 | 1 | 157 | 257 | 414 | 0 | 471 |
| \%HV | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 2.6\% |  |  |  | 3.8\% |  |  |  | 2.3\% |
| PHF | 0.50 |  |  |  | 0.71 |  |  |  | 0.71 |  |  |  | 0.71 |  |  |  | 0.92 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 9 | 1 | 6 | 1 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound East Access |  |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 65 | 0 | 54 | 119 | 2 | 191 | 0 | 193 | 1 | 141 | 15 | 157 | 471 |
| \%HV | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 2.3\% |
| PHF | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.71 | 0.50 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.71 | 0.25 | 0.73 | 0.54 | 0.71 | 0.92 |

Rolling Hour Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Interval } \\ \text { Start } \\ \text { Time } \end{gathered}$ | Northbound East Access |  |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 3 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 143 | 16 | 0 | 363 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 2 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 155 | 14 | 0 | 422 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 1 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 2 | 191 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 141 | 15 | 0 | 471 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 47 | 1 | 1 | 210 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 105 | 9 | 0 | 447 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 48 | 1 | 2 | 210 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 81 | 7 | 0 | 421 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 1 |

Out 6
In 5

## East Access \& SW Leveton Dr

Wednesday, June 06, 2018
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM


Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound East Access |  |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  |  | EastboundSW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total Survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 20 |

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
4:30 PM to 5:30 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound East Access |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 11 |
| PHF | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.30 |  |  | 0.31 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound East Access |  |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 11 |
| PHF | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.31 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound East Access |  |  |  | Southbound East Access |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eastbound } \\ \text { SW Leveton Dr } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 11 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 11 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 11 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 9 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 9 |




## SW 108th Ave \& SW Leveton Dr

Tuesday, June 05, 2018
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

Peak Hour Summary
5:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound SW 108th Ave |  |  |  | Southbound SW 108th Ave |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  |
| Volume | 104 | 245 | 349 | 0 | 60 | 77 | 137 | 2 | 263 | 105 | 368 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 427 |
| \%HV | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.8\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.5\% |
| PHF | 0.63 |  |  |  | 0.83 |  |  |  | 0.82 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.88 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound SW 108th Ave |  |  |  | Southbound SW 108th Ave |  |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T |  | Total |  | T | R | Total | L |  | R | Total |  |  |  | Total |  |
| Volume | 81 | 23 |  | 104 |  | 36 | 24 | 60 | 54 |  | 209 | 263 |  |  |  | 0 | 427 |
| \%HV | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | NA | 0.0\% | NA | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | NA | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | NA | NA | NA | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| PHF | 0.68 | 0.52 |  | 0.63 |  | 0.82 | 0.67 | 0.83 | 0.68 |  | 0.87 | 0.82 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.88 |

Rolling Hour Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM


Out 0
In 2

## SW 108th Ave \& SW Leveton Dr

Tuesday, June 05, 2018
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM


Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound SW 108th Ave |  |  | Southbound SW 108th Ave |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | Total | T | R | Total | L | R | Total |  | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 4 |
| 4:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 4 |
| 4:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |
| 4:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Total Survey | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 6 |  | 0 | 14 |

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
5:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound SW 108th Ave |  |  | Southbound SW 108th Ave |  |  | EastboundSW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| PHF | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.17 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.05 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound SW 108th Ave |  |  | Southbound SW 108th Ave |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | Total | T | R | Total | L | R | Total |  | Total |  |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 2 |
| PHF | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.17 |  | 0.00 | 0.05 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound SW 108th Ave |  |  | Southbound SW 108th Ave |  |  | Eastbound SW Leveton Dr |  |  | Westbound SW Leveton Dr |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | Total | T | R | Total | L | R | Total |  | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 |  | 0 | 12 |
| 4:15 PM | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |  | 0 | 9 |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 |  | 0 | 6 |
| 4:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  | 0 | 4 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 2 |



APPENDIX F. CRASH DATA
oregon department of transportation - transportation development division
transportation data section - crash anaylysis and reporting unit
continuous system crash listine

Highway 091 ALL ROAD TYPES, MP 12.4 to 12.8 01/01/2016 to $12 / 31 / 2020$, Both Add and Non-Add mileage


OREGON DEPARTMENT OF transportation - transportation development division
transportation data section - Crash anaylysis and reporting unit

## Continuous system crash listing

Highway 091 ALL ROAD TYPES, MP 12.4 to 12.8 01/01/2016 to $12 / 31 / 2020$, Both Add and Non-Add mileage
5-8 of 29 Crash records shown

| SER\# | P R J S w | w date | county | RD\# FC | conn* | RD char | int-type |  |  |  |  |  | SPCL US |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| invest | eaul co | - day | сITY | compnt | FIRST Street | direct | (Median) | InT-REL | offrd | WThr | CRASH |  | trir $Q 7$ |  | move |  |  | A | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RD DPT | elanher | R time | urban area | mLG typ | second street | Loctn | legs | traf- | RnDBt | SURF | coil |  | Owner |  | from | PrTC | InJ | G | E | Licns | ped |  |  |  |  |  |
| unloc? | D C S V L | K Lat | Long | milepnt | LRS |  | (\#lanes) | Contl | DRVWY | Litht | svRTY | v\# | type |  | то | P\# TYPE | svrty | E | x | Res | Loc | Error | ${ }_{\text {act }}$ | event | CausE |  |
| 04779 | N N N N N | N 07/20/2016 | washington | $1{ }^{14}$ |  | strght |  | N | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | CLR | s-1stop | 01 | NoNe | 0 | strght |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29,32 | 32 Failed to |
| CIty |  | wE | tuaiatin | Mn 0 | SW PACIfIC Hy 99w | NE | (DivmD) | unknown | N | DRY | rear |  | prvte |  | NE-SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  |  | avoid, |
| N |  | 9 A | portiand ua | 12.63 | SW 124 TH AVE | 03 |  |  | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | dAY | inv |  | psngr | CAR |  | 01 drve | InJC | 84 | F | OR-Y |  | 026,052 | 000 |  | 29,32 | 32 |
| N |  | 452322.42 | $-1224818.52$ |  | 009100100S00 |  | (04) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OR<25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 01 | none | 0 | Strght |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | prvte |  | ne-Sw |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | 00 |  |
|  | $V$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | psngr |  |  | 02 psng | InJc | 76 | m |  |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | none | 0 | stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prvte |  | ne-SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 011 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | psngr |  |  | 01 DRvr | InJB | 61 | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OR-Y } \\ & \text { OR<25 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | none | 0 | stor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | prvte |  | ne-Sw |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 011 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PSngr | CAR |  | 02 psng | injc | 39 | F |  |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
| 01671 | n N N N N N | N 03/15/2016 | washington | 114 |  | strght |  | Y | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | Rain | S-1stop | 01 | none | 0 | Strght |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 013 | 07 | Following |
| CITY |  | TU | tualatin | MN 0 | SW PACIfIC Hy 99w | NE | (DivmD) | unknown | N | WET | rear |  | prvte |  | NE-SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | 00 | Following |
| N |  | 9 A | portiand ua | 12.63 | Sw 124th ave | 03 |  |  | N | DAY | inv |  | psngr | CAR |  | 01 drve | nove | 33 | m | OR-Y |  | 043 | 000 |  | 07 |  |
|  |  | 452322.42 | -122 4818.52 |  | 009100100s00 |  | (04) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OR>25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 02 | none | 0 | stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {PRVTE }}$ |  | NE-SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 011 | 013 | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PSNGR |  |  | 01 DRVR | INJC | 19 | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OR-Y } \\ & \text { OR<25 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 03 | none | 0 | stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PRVTE |  | ne-Sw |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 022 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | pSngr | CAR |  | 01 DRVR | none | 40 | м | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OR-Y } \\ & \text { OR<25 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
| 04446 | n N N N N | N 07/06/2016 | washington | 114 |  | strght |  | Y | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | CLir | S-1.stor | 01 | none | 0 | STRGAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 07 | Following |
| city |  | wE | tualatin | MN 0 | SW PACIfIC Hy 99w | NE | (Divmd) | tre signal | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | DRY | rear |  | PRVTE |  | ne-SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | 00 | Following |
| N |  | 9 A | portland ua | 12.63 | SW 124TH AVE | 03 |  |  | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | DAY | INJ |  | psngr | CAR |  | 01 DRvR | none | 66 | m | OR-Y |  | 043 | 000 |  | 07 |  |
|  | $V$ | 452322.42 | -122 4818.52 |  | 009100100500 |  | (04) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OR<25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NONE | 0 | STop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PRVTE |  | ne-SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 011 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | psngr | CAR |  | 01 Drve | injc | 57 | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OR-Y } \\ & \text { OR<25 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
| 03708 | n N n ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 06/21/2017 | washingron | $1{ }^{14}$ |  | strght |  | ${ }^{N}$ | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | CLR | S-1.stop | 01 | none | 9 | Strght |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |
| none |  | wE | tualatin | MN 0 | SW Pactelc hy 99w | NE | (RSDMD) | Unknown | N | DRY | ${ }_{\text {rear }}$ |  | N/A |  | NE-SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | 00 | Failed to avoid |
|  |  | 1 P | portland ua | 12.63 | SW 124 th AVE | 03 |  |  | N | DAY | PDo |  | pSngr | car |  | 01 drve | nove | 00 |  | k Unk |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
| N |  | 452322.42 | -122 4818.52 |  | 009100100s00 |  | (04) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | UNK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 011 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | pSngr | Car |  | 01 DRvR | none | 00 |  | k UNK |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | unk |  |  |  |  |  |  |


 the responsibitity of the indiviaual driver the Crast Analysis and Reporting Unit coan
damage only crashes being eligible for inclusion in the Statewide Crash Data File.

| SER\# | P R J S m | w date | county | RD\# FC | conn\# | RD Char | int-type |  |  |  |  |  | SPCL USE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| invest | eaul co | O DAY | city | compnt | first street | direct | (MEdian) | INT-REL | OFFRD | WThr | CRASH |  | trir ety | move |  |  | A |  | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RD DPt | el l ( n h | R time | URban area | MLG typ | second street | Loctn | legs | traf- | Rndet | SURE | Coll |  | owner | from | PRTC | inj | ${ }^{\text {G }}$ |  | e licns | ped |  |  |  |  |  |
| unloc? | DCs v Lk | K LAT | long | milepnt | LRS |  | (\#lanes) | Conti | DRVWY | ІІснt | SVRTY |  | тYPe | то | P\# TYPE | SVRTY | E |  | x Res | Loc | ERROR | ACT | event | caus |  |
| 07753 | N N N N N N | N 12/05/2017 | washington | 14 |  | strght |  | צ | N | CLR | s-1stop | 01 N | vone | Strght |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29,40 |  |
| county |  | TU | tuaiatin | mN | Sw Pactatc hy 99w | NE | (Divmp) | unknown | N | DRY | rear |  | N/ | ne-Sw |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | 00 | Failed to |
|  |  |  | portiand ua | 12.63 | SW 124TH AVE | 03 |  |  | N | DAY | PDo |  | pSngr car |  | 01 DRVR | NoNE | 00 |  | Unk UNK |  | 000 | 000 |  |  | avoid, view |
|  |  | 452322.42 | $-1224818.52$ |  | 009100100S00 |  | (04) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | UNK |  |  |  |  |  | obscured |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NONE } \quad 9 \\ & \text { N/A } \end{aligned}$ | Stop NE-SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 011 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | psngr car |  | 01 DRVR | None | 00 |  | Unk UNK |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | UNK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04789 | N N N N N N | w 12/28/2020 | washington | $1{ }^{14}$ |  | strght |  | , | N | CLR | s-1stop | 01 N | vone | Strght |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 013 | 29,2 |  |
| Сіту |  | мо | tualatin | mv | Sw Pactatc hy 99w | NE | (Divmd) | unknown | N | DRY | rear |  | Prvte | ne-Sw |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | 00 |  |
| N |  | 3 P | portland ua | 12.63 | Sw 124th ave | 04 |  |  | N | dAY | inj |  | psngr car |  | 01 drve | injb | 72 | F | OR-Y |  | 016,026 | 038 |  | 29,2 |  |
| N |  | 452322.41 | $-1224818.54$ |  | 009100100S00 |  | (04) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OR<25 |  |  |  |  |  | Failed to |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NoNE ${ }^{0}$ | stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | inattention |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PRVte | NE-SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 011 | 013 | 00 | inatention |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Psngr car |  | 01 DRvR | InJC | 57 | F | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{OR}-\mathrm{Y} \\ & \mathrm{OR}<25 \end{aligned}$ |  | 000 | 022 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vone 0 | stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PRVTE | NE-SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 011 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | psngr car |  | 01 Drve | None | 55 | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OR-Y } \\ & \text { OR }>25 \end{aligned}$ |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
| 08340 | N N N N | 12/26/2017 | washington | 114 |  | inter | 3-LEG | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | N | CLR | s-отнек |  | vone | turn-L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 08 |  |
| NoNE |  | TU | tualatin | MN 0 | SW PACIfic hy 99w | SE |  | tre signal | n | wet | turn |  | N/A | ne-SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | 00 | Improper turn |
|  |  | 10A | portland UA | 12.66 | Sw 124 TH AVE | 05 | 1 |  | N | dAY | PDo |  | psngr car |  | 01 DRvR | none | 00 |  | Unk UNK |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
| N |  | 452321.44 | -122 4820.25 |  | 009100100500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | unk |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NoNE 9 | TURN-L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SEMI Tow |  |  | None | 00 |  | Unk UNK UNK |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
| 03031 | Y N N N N ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | N 08/17/2020 | washingron | 114 |  | INTER | 3-LEG | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | Y | CLR | fix obj |  | vone | TURN-L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 058 | 01 | Too fast |
| cITY |  | мо | tualatin | MN 0 | SW PACIfic hy 99w | sw |  | tre signal | N | DRY | fix |  | 1/A | SE-SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  | 4 P | portland ua | 12.66 | SW 124 TH AVE | 05 | 0 |  | N | DAY | pDo |  | psngr car |  | 01 DRve | None | 00 |  | Unk Unk |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
| N |  | 452321.43 | -122 4820.24 |  | 009100100s00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | unk |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04216 | N N N N N N | N 08/15/2018 | washington |  |  | Inter | 3-LEG |  | N | CLR | angl-oth |  | vone | Strght |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 04 |  |
| city |  | wE | tualatin | MN 0 | SW PACIfic hy 99w | cn |  | tre SIGNAL | N | DRY | turn |  | ervte | SW-NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  |  | signal |
| N |  | 5P | Portiand UA | 12.66 | SW 124TH AVE | 02 | 1 |  | N | DAY | inj |  | Psngr car |  | 01 DRvR | None | 82 | M | OR-Y |  | 020 | 000 |  | 04 |  |
|  |  | 452321.44 | -122 4820.25 |  | 009100100S00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OR<25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NONE 0 | TURN-I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | psngr car |  | 01 DRVR | injc | 71 | M | or-Y |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OR<25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

oregon department of transportation - transportation development division
transportation data section - crash anaylysis and reporting unit
continuous system crash listine

Highway 091 ALI ROAD TYPES, MP 12.4 to 12.8 01/01/2016 to $12 / 31 / 2020$, Both Add and Non-Add mileage
14-17 of 29 Crash records shown.

oregon department of transportation - transportation development division
transportation data section - crash anaylysis and reporting unip

## Continuous system crash listing

Highway 091 ALL ROAD TYPES, MP 12.4 to 12.8 01/01/2016 to $12 / 31 / 2020$, Both Add and Non-Add mileage
18-22 of 29 Crash records shown.

oregon department of transportation - transportation development division
transportation data section - crash anaylysis and reporting unit

## continuous system crash listing

Highway 091 ALL ROAD TYPES, MP 12.4 to 12.8 01/01/2016 to $12 / 31 / 2020$, Both Add and Non-Add mileage
23-26 of 29 Crash records shown

| SER\# | P R J S | w date | county | RD\# FC | conn\# | RD Char | inT-TYPE |  |  |  |  |  | SPCL U |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| invest | eaulc | - day | city | compar | first street | direct | (MEDIAN) | InT-REL | OFFRD | WTHR | Crash |  | trir $Q$ |  | move |  |  | A | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RD DPT | E L G n H | R time | urban area | mLG typ | second street | LOCTN | LeGs | traf- | Rndet | sure | Coll |  | OWNER |  | from | prtc | InJ | G | E | licns | ped |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unloc? | DCsvil | K Lat | Long | milepnt | LRS |  | (\#LANES) | Contl | DRVWY | Licht | SVRTY | v\# | тYРе |  | то | P\# TYpe | SvRTY | E | x | res | Loc | ERROR | ${ }_{\text {act }}$ | event | CaU |  |
| $02345$ <br> NONE | N N N N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 05/10/2018 } \\ & \text { TH } \end{aligned}$ | WASHINGTON TUALATIN | $\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 14 \\ \text { MN } & 0 \end{array}$ | SW PACIFIC HY 99W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STRGHT } \\ & \text { SW } \end{aligned}$ | (Divmd) | unknown | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLR } \\ & \text { DRY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S-1STOP } \\ & \text { REAR } \end{aligned}$ | 01 | NoNe <br> pRVTE | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STRGHT } \\ & \text { SW-NE } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | Failed to avoid |
| N |  | 8 A | portland UA | 12.68 | SW 124TH AVE | 05 |  |  | N | DAY | ins |  | psngr | car |  | 01 DRVR | none | 32 | M | UNK |  | 026 | 000 |  | 29 |  |
|  | $V$ | $45 \quad 2320.22$ | -122 4820.74 |  | 009100200500 |  | (05) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OR>25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 011 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | psngr | CAR |  | 01 DRvR | injc | 35 | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OR-Y } \\ & \text { OR<25 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
| 01397 | N N N N | 03/19/2018 | washington | 214 |  | strght |  | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | N | CLR | s-1stop | 01 | none | 9 | StRght |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |
| no RPT |  | мо | tualatin | m 0 | SW Pactatc hy 99w | Sw | (Divmp) | unknowv | N | DRY | rear |  | N/A |  | SW-NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | 00 | Failed to avoid |
|  |  | ${ }^{58}$ | portland UA | 12.69 | SW 124th AVE | 04 |  |  | N | DAY | PDo |  | psngr | car |  | 01 DRvk | none | 00 | Unk | Unk |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
|  | $V$ | 452319.91 | $-1224821.27$ |  | 009100200500 |  | (04) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | UNK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 02 |  | 9 | ${ }_{\text {STor }}^{\text {SW-NE }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 011 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Psngr | car |  | 01 DRvr | none | 00 | Unk | UNK <br> UNK |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
| 07277 | n N Y N n | w 10/25/2016 | washington | 214 |  | strght |  | Y | N | CLD | s-1stop | 01 | none | 0 | Strgat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |
| cITY |  | TU | tualatin | mv 0 | SW PaCific hy 99w | sw | (DIVMD) | Unknown | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | wet | rear |  | prvte |  | SW-nE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | 00 | Failed to avoid |
|  | $V$ |  | portiand UA | 12.70 | SW 124TH AVE | 03 |  |  | N | DARK | inu |  | PSNGR | CAR |  | 01 DRve | nove | 61 | M | OR-Y |  | 026 | 000 |  | 29 |  |
| N |  | 452319.62 | -122 4821.81 |  | 009100200s00 |  | (04) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OR<25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STOP } \\ & \text { SW-NE } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | UNKN PSNGR |  |  |  | INJC | 37 | м |  |  | 000 | 011 000 |  | 00 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 01 DRvR | INuC | 3 | M | N-RES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03438 | N N N N | 06/21/2019 | washington | 214 |  | strght |  | Y | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | CLR | S-1.sTop | 01 | none | 0 | StRght |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 013 | 29 |  |
| No RPT |  | FR | tualatin | MN 0 | SW PaCific hy 99w | SW | (DIVMD) | Unknown | N | DRY | Rear |  | prvte |  | SW-NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | 00 |  |
| N |  | ${ }^{6 P}$ | portland ua | 12.71 | SW 124TH AVE | 04 |  |  | N | DAY | ins |  | psngr | CAR |  | 01 Drve | InJC | 29 | F | OR-Y |  | 026 | 000 |  | 29 |  |
|  | $V$ | 452319.3 | $-1224822.34$ |  | 009100200500 |  | (04) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | STOP |  |  |  |  | OR<25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SW-NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 011 | 013 | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | psngr | car |  | 01 DRvR | inJc | 26 | F | OR-Y |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OR<25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 03 | none | 0 | stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | prvte |  | SW-NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 022 |  | 00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PSNGR | CAR |  | 01 DRVR | InJC | 53 | M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OR-Y } \end{aligned}$ |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 |  |
| 03346 | N $\mathrm{N}^{\text {N }} \mathrm{N}$ N | N 06/29/2018 | washington | 214 |  | strght |  | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | CLR | s-strght | 01 | none | 9 | Strght |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29, |  |
| CITY |  | FR | tualatin | MN 0 | SW PACIfIC HY 99w | SW | (DivMD) | nove | N | DRY | rear |  | N/A |  | SW-NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | 00 | Failed to |
|  | $V$ |  | portiand UA | 12.77 | SW 124th Ave | 04 |  |  | N | DAY | pdo |  | psngr | CAR |  | 01 DRvR | none | 00 |  |  |  | 000 | 000 |  | 00 | avoid, Careless |
|  |  | 452317.5 | $-1224825.57$ |  | 009100200500 |  | (04) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unk |  |  |  |  |  |  |

oregon department of transportation - transportation development divisio
transportation data section - Crash anaylysis and reporting unit
continuous system crash listing

Highway 091 ALL ROAD TYPES, MP 12.4 to 12.8 01/01/2016 to $12 / 31 / 2020$, Both Add and Non-Add mileage
27-29 of 29 Crash records shown.



 damage only crashes being eligible for inclusion in the Statewide Crash Data File.
oregon.. department of transportation - transportation development division
transportation data section - Crash anaylysis and reporting unit
124TH AVE at tualatin rd, City of Tualatin, washington County, 01/01/2011 to $12 / 31 / 2020$
8-12 of 22 Crash records shown

oregon.. department of transportation - transportation development division
transportation data section - Crash anaylysis and reporting unit
124 Th ave at tualatin rd, City of Tualatin, washington County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2020
13-16 of 22 Crash records shown.


 damage only crashes being eligible for inclusion in the Statewide Crash Data File.
oregon.. department of transportation - transportation development division
transportation data section - Crash anaylysis and reporting unit
124 TH AVE at TUALATIN RD, City of Tualatin, Washington County, 01/01/2011 to $12 / 31 / 2020$
17-21 of 22 Crash records shown


oregon.. department of transportation - transportation development division
transportation data section - crash anaylysis and reporting unit

## TUALATIN RD at 108TH AVE, City of Tualatin, Washington County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2020



OREGON.. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT DIVISION
transportation data section - Crash anaylysis and reporting unit
tUaLatin rd at 108 th ave, City of Tualatin, Washington County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2020

$$
\text { 4-6 of } 6 \text { Crash records shown. }
$$



108 TH AVE and Intersectional Crashes at 108 URH AVE, City of Tualatin, washington County, $01 / 01 / 2011$ to $12 / 31 / 2020$


## URBAN NON-SYSTEM CRASH LISTING

City of tualatin, washington county
108TH AVE and Intersectional Crashes at 108TH AVE, City of Tualatin, Washington County, 01/01/2011 to $12 / 31 / 2020$
6-11 of 23 Crash records shown.


OREGON.. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT DIVISION
transportation data section - Crash anaylysis and reporting unit
URBAN NON-SYSTEM CRASH LISTING

City of tualatin, washington county

## 108Th AVE and Intersectional Crashes at 108TH AVE, City of Tualatin, Washington County, 01/01/2011 to $12 / 31 / 2020$

12-17 of 23 Crash records shown.

URbAN Non-System crash listing

City of tualatin, washington county

## 108TH AVE and Intersectional Crashes at 108TH AVE, City of Tualatin, Washington County, 01/01/2011 to $12 / 31 / 2020$

18-20 of 23 Crash records shown.

oregon.. department of transportation - transportation development division
transportation data section - crash anaylysis and reporting unit

## URBAN NON-SYSTEM CRASH LISTING

108TH AVE and Intersectional Crashes at 108TH AVE, City of Tualatin, Washington County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2020
21-23 of 23 Crash records shown.

oregon.. department of transportation - transportation development division
transportation data section - Crash anaylysis and reporting unit
URBAN NON-SYSTEM CRASH LISTING
Leveron Dr and Intersectional Crashes at Leveron Dr, City of Tualatin, washington County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2020

URBAN NON-SYSTEM CRASH LISTING

City of tualatin, washington county

## Leveron dr and Intersectional Crashes at Leveron Dr, City of Tualatin, Washington County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2020

6-11 of 23 Crash records shown.

URbAN NON-SYSTEM CRASH LISTING

City of tualatin, washington county
Leveron dr and Intersectional Crashes at leveton dr, City of Tualatin, Washington County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2020
12-16 of 23 Crash records shown.


OREGON.. Department of transportation - transportation development division
transportation data section - Crash anaylysis and reporting unit
URbAN Non-System crash listing

## Leveron dr and Intersectional Crashes at Leveron Dr, City of Tualatin, Washington County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2020

## 17-21 of 23 Crash records shown.



OREGON.. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT DIVISION
transportation data section - crash anaylysis and reporting unit
URBAN NON-SYSTEM CRASH LISTING
Leveron dr and Intersectional Crashes at Leveron dr, City of Tualatin, washington County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2020
22-23 of 23 Crash records shown.
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APPENDIX G. IN-PROCESS

## (1) <br> lancaster mobley

# Tualatin Logistics Park 

 Transportation Impact Analysis
# Tualatin, Oregon 

Date:
December 15, 2021
Prepared for:
Peter Skei, Specht Development, Inc.
Prepared by:
Nick Mesler, EIT
Jennifer Danziger, PE

## Site Trips

## Trip Generation

To estimate trips that will be generated by the redevelopment, trip rates from the Trip Generation Manual' were used based on the number of existing driving range tees, number of golf holes, and the proposed square footage.

## Existing Site Development

The site is currently occupied by Tualatin Island Greens Golf Center and Grill. The golf facilities include a driving range and an 18-hole miniature golf course. The driving range includes 43 tees with synthetic mats for yearround use and additional grass tees available in the spring and summer. These facilities are open from 9:00 AM to 8:00 PM, September through March, and from 9:00 AM to 9:00 PM, April through August. The site also includes a restaurant with hours from 10:30 to 6:30 PM, September through March, and 10:30 AM to 7:30 PM, April 9:00 AM to 8:00 PM from September to March through August.

Trip generation was estimated based on the golf facilities; the restaurant is assumed to be used primarily by the golfing customers. Trip data for both types of golf facilities are limited; therefore, the following assumptions were made to estimate trips for the site:

- The trip data for the miniature golf land use code (ITE LUC 431) is limited to a single survey during the weekday PM peak period. No activity is assumed during the morning peak hour. The weekday PM peak hour trip rate is very low and may vary over the year with more activity during summer months and less during winter months. However, we suggest that credit for the facility should be included in the trip generation for the site. No daily data is available; therefore, the weekday rate was assumed to be 10 times the daily rate.
- The trip data for a driving range (ITE LUC 432) is limited to a single survey for the morning and weekday periods but has seven surveys for the weekday PM peak period. While the driving range does not open until 9:00 AM, retail and maintenance staff need to be on site before 9:00 AM. Two of the ITE survey sites also had staff data available with counts of 14 and 15 employees. Additionally, food service deliveries also typically occur in the morning. Therefore, the morning peak hour trips were included in the trip generation estimates. Trip estimates were prepared based on the 43 year-round tees.

One of the concerns that was raised about prior trip generation estimates is that the golf site peaks may occur later than the peaks of the street traffic or the peaks of industrial development. To acknowledge this may be the case for the traffic study, a 20 percent discount in peak hour trips is proposed.

The resulting trip generation is presented in Table 3.

[^2]Table 3: Trip Generation Summary - Existing Land Uses

| Land Use | ITE Code | Size | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Driving Range | 432 | 43 Tees | 10 | 7 | 17 | 24 | 30 | 54 | 586 |
| Miniature Golf | 431 | 18 Holes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 60 |
| 20\% Discount for Offset Peak Hour |  |  | -2 | -1 | -3 | -5 | -7 | -12 | -130 |
| Total |  |  | 8 | 6 | 14 | 21 | 27 | 48 | 516 |

## Proposed Site Development

Specht Properties, Inc. proposes to redevelop the site with a single industrial building enclosing 452,795 SF of gross floor area with 115 dock doors and 4 grade doors. As proposed, the site includes 197 parking spaces and 133 trailer parking spaces. Some accessory office space is included in the building layout.

The proposed development is speculative with flexible space that could accommodate a single tenant or multiple tenants. Specht has developed similar properties in the Portland metropolitan area. The locations, sizes, and tenant descriptions are attached to this memorandum, each with a recent photo of the site. The sites range from a single 290,000-SF building to three buildings totaling more than 733,000 SF. Only two of the sites have any manufacturing tenants and a portion of those operations are warehousing and distribution. Of the total 1.87 million SF of space, approximately 18 percent is leased to tenants whose operations include manufacturing.

A range of potential industrial land use assumptions was considered to estimate the trip generation for the site. Trip estimates were lowest for ITE LUC 154, High-Cube Transload and Short-Term Storage Warehouse, and highest for LUC 110, General Light Industrial, and LUC 156, High-Cube Parcel Hub Warehouse. Table 4 summarizes the total and truck trip generation for the range of industrial uses.

While the original traffic scoping suggested a mix of 85 percent warehouse and 15 percent manufacturing based on the available site parking, a much more conservative assumption of general light industrial is assumed for this TIA. A parcel hub warehouse would generate the same number of trips but with a substantially different directional distribution from other industrial uses. The truck trip generation of general light industrial is slightly lower than other uses; however, the variation in the number of trucks generated during the peak hours for the industrial uses is small and the percentage of overall site-generated traffic is very low. Truck percentages for the trip generation were compared with those on the existing roadway and were found to be very similar to the truck percentages on the adjacent roadways.

Table 4: Trip Generation Summary - Potential Industrial Land Uses

| Land Use | ITE <br> Code | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Total | Employee Equivalent* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |  |
| Total Vehicle Trips based on 452,795 SF Industrial Building |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Light Industrial | 110 | 295 | 40 | 335 | 41 | 253 | 294 | 2,206 | 636 |
| Manufacturing | 140 | 234 | 74 | 308 | 104 | 231 | 335 | 2,150 | 1,022 |
| Warehousing | 150 | 59 | 18 | 77 | 23 | 59 | 82 | 774 | 125 |
| High-Cube Transload and Short-Term Storage Warehouse | 154 | 28 | 8 | 36 | 13 | 32 | 45 | 634 | NA |
| High-Cube Fulfillment Center Warehouse - Non-Sort | 155 | 55 | 13 | 68 | 28 | 44 | 72 | 820 | 487 |
| High-Cube Parcel Hub Warehouse | 156 | 159 | 158 | 317 | 197 | 93 | 290 | 2,096 | NA |
| Truck Trips based on 452,795 SF Industrial Building |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Light Industrial | 110 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 114 | - |
| Manufacturing | 140 | 8 | 6 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 204 | - |
| Warehousing | 150 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 14 | 272 | - |
| High-Cube Transload and Short-Term Storage Warehouse | 154 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 100 | - |
| High-Cube Fulfillment Center Warehouse - Non-Sort | 155 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 104 | - |
| High-Cube Parcel Hub Warehouse | 156 | NA | NA | 41 | NA | NA | 27 | 262 | - |

* Estimated as average number of employees needed to generate the equivalent number of vehicle trips based on KSF


## Total Site Trip Generation

Table 5 summarizes the estimated net trip generation of the site with the assumptions discussed above.
Table 5: Trip Generation Summary (Warehousing)

| Land Use | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Existing Land Use | -8 | -6 | -14 | -21 | -27 | -48 | -516 |
| Proposed Land Use | 295 | 40 | 335 | 41 | 253 | 294 | 2,206 |
| Net Increase | 287 | 34 | 321 | 20 | 227 | 246 | 1,690 |

The trip generation calculations show that the Tualatin Logistics site assuming general light industrial for the site is projected to generate an additional 321 net trips during the morning peak hour, 246 net trips during the evening peak hour, and 1,690 net trips during the average weekday.

## Trip Distribution and Assignment

The directional distribution of site trips to/from the project site is necessary to identify intersections to be included in the study area of the TIA. The following trip distribution was estimated based on the locations of likely trip destinations and locations of major transportation facilities in the site vicinity:

- Approximately 30 percent of site trips will travel to/from the south along SW $124^{\text {th }}$ Avenue
- Approximately 20 percent of site trips will travel to/from the west along SW Tualatin-Sherwood Road
- Approximately 30 percent of site trips will travel to/from the east along SW Tualatin-Sherwood Road
- Approximately 5 percent of site trips will travel to/from the north along SW Cipole Road
- Approximately 15 percent of site trips will travel to/from the north along SW $124^{\text {th }}$ Avenue

Trip distribution at the site accesses will depend on the location and configuration of the accesses.

## Access Scenario 1

With the first scenario assuming an access on SW 124th Avenue at the southeast corner of the site and an access on SW Cipole Road, the split of traffic between the two accesses is assumed to be 50 percent at each access. A detailed illustration of the distribution for this scenario was presented in the scoping memorandum, which has been included in Appendix A.

The resulting trip assignment is shown in Figure 2.

## Access Scenario 2

With the second scenario assuming a limited access on SW $124^{\text {th }}$ Avenue at the northeast corner of the site, the split of traffic is assumed to be 65 to 70 percent using the SW Cipole Road access while 30 to 35 percent using the limited access at SW 124 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. A detailed illustration of the distribution for this scenario was presented in the scoping memorandum, which has been included in Appendix A.

The resulting trip assignment is shown in Figure 3.

## Access Scenario 3

With the third scenario assuming a full access on SW $124^{\text {th }}$ Avenue at the northeast corner of the site, the split of traffic is assumed to be approximately 65 percent using the SW Cipole Road access and 35 percent using the access on SW $124^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

The resulting trip assignment is shown in Figure 4.

## Access Scenario 4

The fourth scenario assumes a full access on SW 124th Avenue at the southeast corner of the site and a limited access on SW $124^{\text {th }}$ Avenue at the northeast corner of the site. The split of traffic is assumed to be approximately 35 percent using the SW Cipole Road access, 35 percent using the access on SW $124^{\text {th }}$ Avenue opposite SW Cimino Street, and 30 percent using the limited access at the northeast corner of the site.

The resulting trip assignment is shown in Figure 5.
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## (1) $\begin{aligned} & \text { lancaster } \\ & \text { mobley }\end{aligned}$

## Lu Pacific Development

 Transportation Impact Study Tualatin, OregonDate:
August 31, 2020
Prepared for.
Angela Qi
Lu Pacific Properties, LLC
Prepared by:
Daniel Stumpf, PE
Terrington Smith, EIT

## Site Trips

## Trip Generation

## Total Trips

The proposed Lu Pacific Development will include the construction of two industrial buildings totaling approximately 131,600 square-feet, where approximately 40 percent of the square-footage will be dedicated as manufacturing and approximately 60 percent as warehouse. To estimate the number of trips that will be generated by the proposed development, trip rates from the Trip Generation Manual ${ }^{1}$ were used. Specifically, data from land use codes 140, Manufacturing, and 150, Warehousing, were used based on the square-footage of the gross building floor area.

The trip generation calculations show that the proposed development is projected to generate 46 morning peak hour trips, 50 evening peak hour trips, and 344 average weekday trips. The trip generation estimates for the proposed development are summarized in Table 3. Detailed trip generation calculations are included in the technical appendix to this report.

Table 3: Trip Generation Summary (Proposed Development)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITE } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Size/Rate | Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Evening Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total |  |
| Manufacturing | 140 | 52,600 SF | 25 | 8 | 33 | 11 | 24 | 35 | 206 |
| Warehouse | 150 | 79,000 SF | 10 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 11 | 15 | 138 |
| Total |  |  | 35 | 11 | 46 | 15 | 35 | 50 | 344 |

Although the aforementioned land uses reflect what the applicant is proposing for development, City of Tualatin staff have requested that analysis be based using trip generation data from land use code 110, General Light Industrial. The reason for using this land use code is to reflect potential, conservative impacts to the transportation system which may occur due to a high traffic generating tenant(s) that could lease space within the proposed development.

Utilizing data from land use code 110, based on the square-footage of the gross building floor area, the proposed development could generate up to 92 morning peak hour trips, 83 evening peak hour trips, and 652 average weekday trips. The trip generation estimates for the proposed development, using data from land use code 110, are summarized in Table 4. Detailed trip generation calculations are included in the technical appendix to this report.

[^3]Table 4: Trip Generation Summary (Based on Land Use Code 110)

|  | ITE <br> Code | Size/Rate | Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Evening Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total |  |
| General Light Industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Trips | 110 | 131,600 SF | 81 | 11 | 92 | 11 | 72 | 83 | 652 |
| Truck Trips | - | 20\% | 16 | 2 | 18 | 2 | 15 | 17 | 130 |
| Standard Vehicle Trips | - | - | 65 | 9 | 74 | 9 | 57 | 66 | 522 |

For the remainder of this study, analyses are performed based on the trip generation presented in Table 4.

## Truck Trips

Per the Trip Generation Handbook², relevant data pertaining to truck trip generation is provided for land use codes 130, Industrial Park, 150, Warehousing, and 152, High-Cube Warehouse/Distribution Center. For land use code 130, truck trips accounted for an average of approximately 13 percent of site trips generated, while for code 150 were approximately 20 percent of site trips were considered truck trips. For land use code 152, the majority of truck trips generated were noted to typically occur during off-peak hours, but on average would account for between 9 to 29 percent of peak hour traffic. No specific data pertaining to manufacturing or general light industrial uses is available.

For the purposes of simplicity, it is assumed that approximately 20 percent of the total site trip generation may consist of truck trips. Accordingly, the proposed development is projected to generate 18 morning peak hour truck trips, 17 evening peak hour truck trips, and 130 average weekday truck trips, based on land use code 110. See Table 4 for details regarding the truck trip generation.

Given the surrounding site vicinity is predominately industrial in character, the nearby transportation system was constructed accordingly to best serve the needs of existing and future industrial development. As such, it is expected that a significant majority of truck trips would utilize SW Herman Road, SW Teton Avenue, and SW Tualatin Road to access the major transportation corridors of SW Tualatin-Sherwood Road and SW $124^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. From SW Tualatin-Sherwood Road and SW 124 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, access to regional transportation facilities, such as SW Pacific Highway, Interstate 5, and Interstate 205, are available.

[^4]
## Trip Distribution

Based on correspondence and input from City of Tualatin staff, the following trip distribution was estimated and used for analysis:

## Standards Vehicle Trips

- Approximately 40 percent of site trips will travel to/from the east along SW Herman Road;
- Approximately 25 percent of site trips will travel to/from the west along SW Herman Road;
- Approximately 25 percent of site trips will travel from the south along SW Teton Avenue; and
- Approximately 10 percent of site trips will travel to the north along SW Teton Avenue.


## Truck Trips

- Approximately 35 percent of site trips will travel to/from the east along SW Herman Road;
- Approximately 30 percent of site trips will travel to/from the west along SW Herman Road;
- Approximately 30 percent of site trips will travel from the south along SW Teton Avenue; and
- Approximately 5 percent of site trips will travel to the north along SW Teton Avenue.

The trip distribution and assignment for the site trips generated by the proposed development during the morning and evening peak hours is shown in Figure 2 through Figure 4 . Figure 2 presents site trip assignment for standard vehicles, Figure 3 presents site trip assignment for trucks, and Figure 4 presents site trip assignment for the total trips generated.
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## Hedges Creek Industrial Transportation Impact Study Tualatin, Oregon

Date:
January 6, 2022
Prepared for:
Sarah Every
Phelan Development

Prepared by:
Daniel Stumpf, PE

## Site Trips

## Trip Generation

The proposed Hedges Creek Industrial development will include the construction of three industrial buildings, totaling approximately 442,035 square feet, where approximately 40 percent of the development will consist of manufacturing land uses while the remaining 60 percent will consist of warehousing space. To estimate the number of trips that will be generated by the proposed use, trip rates from the Trip Generation Manual' were used. Specifically, data from land use codes 140, Manufacturing, and 150, Warehousing, were used based on the square-footage of the gross building floor area.

The trip generation calculations show that the proposed use is projected to generate a total of 165 morning peak hour trips, 179 evening peak hour trips, and 1,294 average weekday trips. Of these trips, the proposed use is projected to generate 10 morning peak hour truck trips, 13 evening peak hour truck trips, and 240 average weekday truck trips. The trip generation estimates associated with the proposed development are summarized in Table 3 and detailed trip generation calculations are included in the appendix.

Table 3: Trip Generation Summary

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITE } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Size | Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Evening Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total |  |
| Total Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing | 140 | 176,814 SF | 91 | 29 | 120 | 41 | 90 | 131 | 840 |
| Warehousing | 150 | 265,221 SF | 35 | 10 | 45 | 13 | 35 | 48 | 454 |
| Total Trips |  | 442,035 SF | 126 | 39 | 165 | 54 | 125 | 179 | 1,294 |
| Truck Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing | 140 | 176,814 SF | 3 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 80 |
| Warehousing | 150 | 265,221 SF | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 160 |
| Total Trips |  | 442,035 SF | 6 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 240 |
| Passenger Vehicle Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing | 140 | 176,814 SF | 88 | 27 | 115 | 39 | 87 | 126 | 760 |
| Warehousing | 150 | 265,221 SF | 32 | 8 | 40 | 9 | 31 | 40 | 294 |
| Total Trips |  | 442,035 SF | 120 | 35 | 155 | 48 | 118 | 166 | 1,054 |

[^5]
## Trip Distribution

The directional distribution of site trips to/from the project site was estimated based on the locations of likely trip destinations, locations of major transportation facilities in the site vicinity, and existing travel patterns at the study intersections. Based on correspondence with City of Tualatin staff and their consulting transportation engineer, the following trip distribution was confirmed and utilized:

- Approximately 30 percent of site trips will travel to/from the east along SW Tualatin-Sherwood Road;
- Approximately 25 percent of site trips will travel to/from the south along SW $124^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (via SW Myslony Street);
- Approximately 20 percent of site trips will travel to/from the west along SW Tualatin-Sherwood Road (split distribution between SW 124 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/SW Myslony Street and SW $112^{\text {th }}$ Avenue);
- Approximately 20 percent of site trips will travel to/from the north along SW $124^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (via Myslony); and
- Approximately 5 percent of site trips will travel to/from the east along SW Avery Street.

Based on the site plan layout, site trip as assumed to utilize the site access driveways as follows:
2. West Truck Access at SW Myslony Street:

- Approximately 25 percent of truck trips will utilize this access.
- Approximately 10 percent of passenger vehicle trips will utilize this access.

4. West General Access at SW Myslony Street:

- Approximately 50 percent of passenger vehicle trips will utilize this access.

5. East Truck Access at SW Myslony Street:

- Approximately 75 percent of truck trips will utilize this access.
- Approximately 10 percent of passenger vehicle trips will utilize this access.

6. East General Access at SW Myslony Street:

- Approximately 30 percent of passenger vehicle trips will utilize this access.

The trip distribution and assignment for the site trips generated during the morning and evening peak hours is shown in the following figures:

- Figure 3 - Passenger Vehicle Trips
- Figure 4 - Truck Trips
- Figure 5 - Total Trips
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APPENDIX H .
SIGNAL INFORMATION

## LEGENO

## EGEHOCONOLHUE O

## COHTROLIEAS

## （EX）Retcoin and arotect existing 332 actinet

## POLES 5

（垵）Ratrin and protect existhng troffic signar most arm pate
（58）Retain ond protect sxisting troffic signot must arm pose
（v）
EA Aetrain and protect existing traffic signal mast arm
（Ex）Retain ona protect existing Auminoirs arm



## SCHACS

（58）Pittain and protact axisting phass（Pbratase）vebicia signol
（18）Retain and profest existing pedestrion sionot pusthoutton ond instructions

（2Pi）Romave and reticoute axisting pnost IPn＝prasel vanicite signal
（20）Famary and rotasis existiong podestrion signat，pushoultan
（F97）Reinstedt existing phase（Penrghasel venticie signot
（974）Feinstant tristiong postastrion sibgnat，pushbuttom
S10．

（政蒠）Ratain and protect existing street name sign

## CAgloters

（FE）Retoin and profect axisting remote pows saurce
（笽）Retoin and protect existing service cobinet
$\left(\frac{F}{7}\right)$ Retrin and protast existing meter bose
（51）Retain and protect existing terminot tatinat
LUCTION BOZES
（Ex）Retain and protoct existing junction box
（展）Rencre oxisting junction cosx

（2）Dracass concrete junchin ax

WOEQ QETECTION
（427）Videc atacection zone for prose tph2
EEX Retain and protect axisting video othection carmera

## U／8E 5

（iit）Retain ornd profect existing wating
（AV）Remove existling witing
（V）Reinstodt existing wring



## CONSTRUCTION NOTES：

（）Remove existing witiag for existina pastestrian past．Retroin aftothar axisting wiring

 acondon existing unussed conourit．
（1）Repicoce existing cantroder wit with o new $2070 L$ unit
（1）Terminate prase 5 fiashing yetian thaicotion to proso 6 pootestrion yutiow switchpact wutput． Terminata Constict Nonitor chonnat In toin 52 wifo to Dulpul fitio torminat 120 ．


## $\angle G E N D \quad C O N H 1 H V E D$

## conourts

（4）Getaln and protactexisting Blectricor conduis
（1）a dondon taisting evectricol conduit
（s）Instati（s．size）inch electricol cononuit
（if）intercomnect conowil ssee interconnect prons）


## ElaE PREGEPYLON

（1）（i）Retait ond protact axisting fire prembation bolectar
UISEELIANEOUS

（5）Retoin and protect entsting pisatotectic controut
（ow）tistait crassumit ctasure porricates



SIGMAL HEAD TYPES





W4TKs Table 1 Fage 0
Date：Wednesday，July 25．2012 Time：09：52 AM Intersection \＃125 HERMAN RD（108TH

KEY
0
1
2
3
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
$A$
13
$C$
$D$
$E$
$F$

| PH1 | PH2 | PH |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | $仑 5$ |  |
| 0 | 45 |  |
| 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 5 |  |
| 0 | 20 |  |
| 0 | 15 |  |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 |
| 0 | 0 |  |


| PH4 | PH5 | PH6 | PH7 | PH8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 30 | 20 | 45 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 30 | 45 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 5 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2.6 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2.0 | 1.2 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2.3 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

W4IKS rable 1 Page 1
Date：Wednesday，July 25， 2012 Time：09：52 AM
Intersection $\# 225$ HERMAN RD © $108 T H$
$(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{KEY})$（ $\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{DHASE}+\mathrm{KEY})$

| FUNCTIONS | KEY | ．12345678 | PUNCTYONS | KEX | PH1 | PH2 | FH3 | PH4 | PH5 | PH6 | PH7 | PH8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Veh Recali | 0 |  | Max 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped kecas | 1 |  | Max IT | 1. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Red Lock | 2 |  | Waik | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yellow Sock | 3 |  | Flash Dw | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| peratic | 4 |  | Max mincial | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Phases | 5 |  | Minl Green | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lead ptases | 6 |  | 1ER | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Double Entry | 7 |  | T＂1＇R | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sequential riming | 8 |  | Observe Gap | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 |
| Startup Green | 9 |  | Passage | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| overlap A | M |  | Min Gap | F | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Overlap B | 3 |  | Adided nctuation | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Overlap C | c |  | Yellow | C | 0,0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Overlap D | D |  | Rea clear | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Exciusive | ${ }^{2}$ |  | Reci Revert | E | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Simaltaneous Gap | F |  | Walk 1 I | 5 | － | － | － | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

W4 IKS Table 1 Page 2
Date：ऊfedneaday，July 25， 2012 Time；09：52 AK
Intersection \＃125 HERMAN RD（G） 108 TH
$\{D+D+0+K E Y)$
（D＋D＋PHASE + KEX）

| FUNCTIONS | KEY | 12345678 | FUNCIIONS | KEY | PHI | P\％2 | PH3 | PG4 | PH5 | PH6 | PH7 | PH8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Veř kecall | 0 |  | Max 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ped kecali | 1 |  | Max 1 \％ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| §ea Lock： | 2 |  | Walk | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yellow Lock | 3 |  | Flash DW | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pemmit | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Max Inicial | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peu Fhases | 5 |  | Min Green | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{\square}{ }$ |
| Lead Piases | 5 |  | SBR | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dotble mintry | 7 |  | Ftre | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seguentia 3．Timang | 8 |  | Observe Gap | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Startup Green | 9 |  | Passage | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Overiap A | A |  | Min Gay | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| overiap ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | ${ }^{3}$ |  | Added Actuation | E | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Overiap | C |  | Yallow | C | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Overjap 0 | 1 |  | Red Clear | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Exclusive | E |  | Red kevert | E | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Simultaneous 心ay | F |  | Walk İ | F | 0 | 0 | 行 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |



| FUNCTTONS | KEY | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shori Power Down | 0 | 0 |
| Long Fower Down | 2 | 0 |
| sva Delay jype | 2 | 2 |
| Eva Delay Type | 3 | 1 |
| Eve Delay uype | 4 | 1 |
| EvD Delay Type | 5 | 0 |
| RR Delay Type | 6 | 0 |
| ఖed Inhikit | 7 | 0 |
| OSA Greer: | $\varepsilon$ | 0.0 |
| OLA Yejlow | 9 | 0.0 |
| oLb Green | A | $\cdots 0.0$ |
| OLiz yeilow | $\underline{5}$ | . 0.0 |
| olc green | C | 0.0 |
| OLC Ye? | L | 0.0 |
| OLD Green | S | 0.0 |
| OLD Xellow | F | 0.0 |

## W4IKS Table 2 Page 1 <br> Date: Wedneaday, July 35, 2012 Time: 09:52 AM <br> Intersection \#225 HEAMAN RD © $208 T H$

## $(D+C+9+K E X)$

| PUNCTIONS | KEY | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| short Power Down |  | 0 |
| Long power Down | 1 | 0 |
| EvA belay rype | 2 | . 0 |
| Evb Delay Type | 3 | 0 |
| EVG Dejay Trype | 4 | 0 |
| EvD Desay 'Jype | 5 | 0 |
| diR Delay dype | $\epsilon$ | 0 |
| Ped Inhibit | 7 | 0 |
| OLA Green | 6 | 0.0 |
| OLA Yellow | 9 | $\cdots 0.0$ |
| On Grean | A | 0.0 |
| OLS Yellow | 12 | 0.0 |
| OLC Greer | C | 0.0 |
| Cle yeliow | D | 0.0 |
| OLD Greer | E | $\cdots 0.0$ |
| Qwib yellow | 12 | 0.0 |

W4 TKS Table 2 Page 2
Date: Wednegday, July 25, 2012 Time: 09:52 AM
Intersection \#225 HERMAN RD (108TH

## (D+D+9+KEY)

| FUACTYONS | KEY | vaiue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Short Power Down | 0 | 0 |
| long Power Down | 1. | 0 |
| EvR Delay Troe | 2 | 0 |
| EvB Deray Type | 3 | 0 |
| EvC Delay Type | 4 | 0 |
| EVD Delay Type | 5 | 0 |
| RR Delay Type | 6 | 0 |
| ped Ininibit | 7 | 0 |
| OLA Green: | d | 0.0 |
| OLA Yeliow | 9 | 0.0 |
| OLS Green | A | 0.0 |
| cls Yezlow | B | 0.0 |
| OLC Greer | C | 0.0 |
| O:C yeljow | 1 | 0.0 |
| OLD Greer | E | 0.0 |
| OLD Yellow | F | 0.0 |

## $(C+F+K E Y)$

| FUNC'ITONS | KEY | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| page 1D | 0 | 0 |
| Future | 1 | 0 |
| Future | 2 | 0 |
| Future | 3 | 0 |
| olis Red | $\stackrel{\text { c }}{ }$ | 0.0 |
| OLE Rec | 5 | 0.0 |
| OLC Reci | 6 | 0.0 |
| OLD Red | 7 | 0.0 |
| Overtap E | 8 |  |
| Overiap F | 9 |  |
| Red Rest | A |  |
| Max Recall | B |  |
| Fiash Green | C |  |
| Frlash Wajk | - |  |
| Fdvarrce walk | E |  |
| Reswrictive phase | 1 |  |

## ( $\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{KEY}$ )

| Functions | KEY | VALuE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Page In | 0 | \% |
| Future | 1 | 0 |
| Future | 2 | 6 |
| Future | 3 | 0 |
| OrA Red | 4 | 0.0 |
| OLS ROd | 5 | 0.0 |
| OLS : led | 6 | 0.0 |
| OLD Rect | 7 | 0.0 |
| Overlap E | 5 |  |
| Overlap F | 9 |  |
| Red Rest | A |  |
| Max Recall | 3 |  |
| Fiash Green | c |  |
| lidash walk | D |  |
| Advance walk | E |  |
| Rescmictive Phase | $\mathrm{F}^{\text {² }}$ |  |

## ( $\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{KEY}$ )

| RUNCTIONS | KEY | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fage in | 0 | 2 |
| Future | 1 | 0 |
| Future | 2 | 0 |
| Future | 3 | $\bigcirc$ |
| OxA Red | 4 | 0.0 |
| OLS Red | 5 | 0.0 |
| OLC Red | 6 | 0.0 |
| OLD Red | 7 | 0.0 |
| Overlap E | 8 |  |
| Overlap $F$ | 9 |  |
| Hed fest | A |  |
| Max Recal ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 13 |  |
| Flash Greerl | C |  |
| Fidash walk | 5 |  |
| Advance Walk. | F |  |
| Restrictive Phase | F |  |

W4IKS Table 3
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2012 Time: 09:52 AM
Intersection \#125 $\cdots$ HERMAN RD © 10 GTH

## (C+KEY)



## ( $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{KEY}$ )

| FUNCTIONS | KEY | VALuE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eve Deliay | 0 | 0 |
| EVA Min | 2 | 1 |
| EvB Delay | 2 | 0 |
| EVE Min | 3 | 1. |
| EvC Delay | 4 | 9 |
| EVC Minn | 5 | $\underline{\square}$ |
| EVD Delay | 6 | 0 |
| EVD Min | 7 | $\because$ |
| OL Red Revert | 6 | 5.0 |
| RR Delay | 9 | 0 |
| RR2 Clear | A. | 0 |
| RR Clear Phases | E |  |
| Rr Permit | c |  |
| RR OL Fermit | D |  |
| NEMA Fold Phases | E |  |


$(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{CO} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{KEX})$

| DETECTOR TVPE |  |  | DELAY |  | CARRYOVER |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COLUMN NOTA |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |
| PUNCTYONSKEY | PH | TxME | PH | TIME | PH | TIME | PH | TIME |
| - 110 | 1 | 0.0 | 5 | 10.0 | 4 | 0.0 | $\stackrel{3}{6}$ | 0.0 |
| Upper (9)I | - 2 | 0.6 | 5 | 5.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 |
| Epper (2)2 | 2 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.0 | 6 | 2.0 |
| Lower: (2)3 | - 2 | - 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| Upper (3).4 | 2 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| Lower (3)5 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 |
| -n-m-n (4).6 | 2 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 | $2^{*}$ | 0.0 | 6* | 0.0 |
| --...- (5)7 | 3 | 0.0 | ? | - 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.0 |
| Lower (9) 8 | 3 | $\cdots 0.0$ | 7 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.0 |
| Upper (6)9 | 4 | 0.0 | 8 | - 0.0 | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.6 | B | 0.0 |
| Lower (E)A | 4 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.0 |
| Upper (7) B | 4 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| Lower (7) 0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 | S | 0.0 |
| -.. (B) D | 4 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.0 | 4* | 0.0 | 5* | 0.0 |
| CMEINET FILE |  | I |  | J |  | I |  | $\checkmark$ |


$(\mathrm{D}+9+4+\mathrm{KEY}) \quad(\mathrm{D}+9+5+\mathrm{KEY})$

| Fevactuons | KEY | Value | FUNCTIONS |  |  | KEX | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Detector Faji on | 0 | 0 | Ps | 01 | Min | 0 | 0 |
| Decector Fais ofry | 1 | 0 | D2 | 02 | Min | 1. | 0 |
| Fail Det Eackup | 2 | 0 | DF | 03 | Wist | 2 | 0 |
| Max PI I In Delay | 3 | 0 | DF | 04 | Min | 3 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Max ${ }^{\text {ar }}$ In Carryover | 4 | 0 | DF | 05 | Min | 4 | 0 |
| Plan 9 In Delay | 5 | 0 | DF | 06 | Min | 5 | 0 |
| Plan 9 In Carryover | 6 | 0 | DF | 07 | Min | 6 | 0 |
| Pjan 76 In Dejay | 7 | 0 | DF | 08 | Min | ' | 0 |
| Plan ls In Carryover | 6 | 0 | DF | 31 | Max | B | 0 |
| d"f Fage 1 Dejay | 9 | 0 | Di | 02 | Max | 9 | 0 |
|  | A | 0 | DF | 03 | Max | A | 0 |
| Ts page 2 pelay | B | 0 | DF | 04 | Miax | \# | 0 |
| T'z page 2 Carryover゙ | c | 0 | Dif | 05 | Max | 0 | 0 |
| NOVRMM | D | 0 | DF | 06 | Max | D | 0 |
| Computrain | E | 217 | D2 | 07 | Max | F | 0 |
| Release | $F$ | 0 | DF | 08 | Max | F | 0 |

```
W4IKS wable 5 Sheet I
Date; Wednesday, July 25, 2012 Tint: 09:52 AM
Intersection #125 HERMAN RD ब. 20etH
```


## （A＋CODE）

| EVENT | 1234567 | HR | MIN | FUNC | CODE | EVENT | 1234567 | HR | MIN | FUNC | CODS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ？ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80－83 | 17 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{C}-3$ |
| 2 | ．．．．．．．． | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84－8\％ | 18 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{CL}-\mathrm{C} 7$ |
| 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88－88 | 2.9 |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | C8．cb |
| 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8C－8F | 20 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | C6．CP |
| 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90－93 | 21 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | Po－D3 |
| 6 |  | 9 | 0 | 0 | 54．97 | 22 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | Dg－D7 |
| 7 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98－9E | 23 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | P8． DE |
| 8 |  | 0 | c | 0 | SC－9F | 24 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | PC－Dl |
| 9 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | A0． $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 25 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | E0．ㄹ3 |
| 10 |  | －0 | 0 | 0 | Eif－A7 | 26 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | E4－E7 |
| 11 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | स家－AB | $2 \%$ |  | $\hat{\nu}$ | 0 | 0 | 128－23 |
| 12 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | AC－AF | 28 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | EC－2F |
| 13 |  | 0 | ． 0 | 0 | B0． $\mathrm{B}^{3}$ | 29 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | F0）Fi |
| 1.4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | B4－B＇7 | 30 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | F4－F7 |
| 1.5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38－53 | 31 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | F6－15B |
| 16 |  | 0 | 0 | $\cdots 0$ | BC－BF | 32 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | PC |

W4 IKS Table 5 sheet 2
Date：Wednesday，Juiy 25，2012 Time；09：52 AM
Intersection \＃25．HENMAN RD（108TH

## （ $\mathrm{D}+8+\mathrm{CODE}$ ）

| EVENT | 3234567 | 珿 | M | FUNC | CODE |  | EVENT | 1234567 | HR | MIN | PUNC | code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80－83 |  | 49 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{C} 3$ |
| 34 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84－87 |  | 50 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | C4－C7 |
| 35 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88－813 |  | 51 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{CB} \cdot \mathrm{CB}$ |
| 36 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8C－8F |  | 52 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{CF}$ |
| 37 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90－93 | ． | 53 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100－123 |
| 36 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94－97 |  | 54 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | D4－127 |
| 39 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98－98 |  | 55 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | DS－DE |
| 40 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9C＊SF |  | 56 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | DC－bF |
| $4:$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | A0－A3 |  | 57 |  | 0 | 0 | ¢ | E0～E3 |
| 42 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | A． 4 －${ }^{\text {7 }}$ |  | 58 |  | 0 | 0 | 6 | E4－E7 |
| 4.3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | AB－48 |  | 59 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | E6－Es |
| 44 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{ACH}-\mathrm{AF}$ |  | 60 |  | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\mathrm{EC} \cdot \mathrm{EF}$ |
| 45 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20－33 |  | 62 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | F0．F3 |
| 46 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ［44－37 |  | 52 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | F4－F＇ |
| 47 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38－3B |  | 53 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | F6－FE |
| 40 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{SC}-\mathrm{BF}$ |  | 64 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | FC－ FF |

## W4IKS Table 6 <br> Date：Wedmesday，July 25，2012 Time：09：52 AM <br> Intexgection \＃225 HERMAN RD 108TH

| Functions | KEY | VALIUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preserit Plan | 0 | 0 |
| TOL／DOW Plar | 1 | 0 |
| Hardwire Plart | 2 | 0 |
| moden Plan | 3 | 0 |
| \％ode（0．4） | 4 | 0 |
| master（0－OFF） | 5 | 0 |
| master clock | 6 | 0 |
| Local Glock | 7 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Dwell Clock | 8 | 0 |
| Fucure | 9 | 0 |
| Furlite | A | 0 |
| Fucure | ¢ | 0 |
| Furume | c |  |
| NEvA CNA Phases | 1 |  |
| Adv warming phases | E |  |
| MRI Phases | F | 4 ＿ 6 |


| FUNCTIONS | KEY | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floating peat | 2E | 0 |
| It Number | 22 | 125 |
| No Coord ped Fecall | 3 z | 0 |
| Rest In Wajk | 37 | 0 |
| Adv warning EOG | 4 E | 0 |
| Act warming sog | $4{ }^{\prime}$ | 0 |
| RR Eed Clear | 5E | 0 |
| RR Clear Color | 5 F | 0 |
| Eus Delay | 613 | 0.0 |
| Bus Firee Tl | 6 E | 0 |
| Bus free T＇3 | 6 F | $\bigcirc$ |
| EV Mire Aft Clear | りま | 0 |
| EV Indicaturs | 75 | 0 |
| NEMA Priputa | 66 | 0.0 |

```
W4IKS Table 7 Sheet I
Date: Wecnegday, July 25, 2012 T&me; 09:52 AM
```

Intersection \# 425 HERMAN RD 108 TH

## （ $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{PLAN}+\mathrm{KEY}$ ）

| PUNCTION | KEY | plan | 2 | plan | 2 | Plan | 3 | Plan | 4 | Plan | 5 | plan | 5 | Plan | 7 | Plan | 8 | Plan | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cycze Lergeth | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Forceoft c？ | $\underline{1}$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Foxceoff 02 | 2 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Forcepff 03 | 3 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 6 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Forceofit Of | 4 |  | 0 | －$\cdots$ | 0 | ．．．． | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Forceoff 05 | 5 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Forceoff 06 | 6 |  | 0 | ． | 0 | ．$\cdot \cdot$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | ¢ |  | 0 |
| Forceali 07 | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Forceotif 0g | 9 |  | 0 |  | 0 | ． | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Offset | 5 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 |
| Perin Length | A |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Max Dweld | $\pm$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| sead Phases | $c$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coord prases | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pera 2 Phases | $E$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min Recald | 玉 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
W4tKS Table 7 Sheet 2
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2012 Time; 09:52 AM
Intexsection #125 \cdots.. HERMAN RD © 108TH
```

（ $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{KEYZ}+\mathrm{KEY} 2$ ）

|  |  | KEY | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  | E |  | $\underline{\square}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PUNCTION | KEY2 | Plan | 10 | Plan | 11 | Pコan | ． 12 | Plan | 13 | Paan | 14 | Plan | 15 | plan | 36 | P1an | 17 | Plan | 28 |
| Cycle Length | 0 |  | 0 | ．． | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Forceofi 0 t． | $\cdots$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Forceoff 02 | 2 | ． | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Forceofi 03 | 3 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Forcedit 04 | 4 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Forceoff 05 | 5 |  | 0 | ． | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Forceoff 06 | 6 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Forceaf： 07 | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 | ． | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 6 |  | 0 |
| Forceoff 08 | 8 |  | 0 |  | 0 | ． | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Qfiset | 9 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Perti Lerlgth | A |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Max Dwell | B |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Lead phases | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coord Phases | 1） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perial 2 Phaseg | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min Recall | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

W4IKS Table 8
Date：Wednesday，July 25， 2012 Time：09：52 AN Interbection $\$ 125$ HERMAN RD 108TH

| $(B+A+X E Y)$ |  |  | $(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{KEX})$ |  |  | $(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{KEX})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PUNCTIONS | KEY | VALty | FUnCTIONS | KEY | VALUE | FUNCTIONS | K．EY | VALue |
| Bus FI Tl | 0 | 0 | Bus P年 T\％ | 0 | 0 | Bus \％7 77 | 0 | 0 |
| Bus Pl T？ | 1 | 0 | Bus Pa th | 1 | 0 | Bus 97 T2 | I | 0 |
| Bus Pl Ta | 2 | 0 | Bust pa | 2 | 0 | Bus P＇${ }^{\text {d＇3 }}$ | 2 | 0 |
| Bus p2 Ti | 3 | 0 | Bus ps Ta | 3 | 0 | 县 96 T1 | 3 | 0 |
| Bus 22 T 2 | 4 | 0 | Bus P5 P2 | 4 | 0 | Bus Df T2 | 4 | 0 |
| Bus P2 T3 | 5 | 0 | Bus 95 \％3 | 5 | 0 | Bus P\％TH | 5 | 0 |
| Bus P3 Tl | 6 | － |  | 6 | 0 | 末us P9 Tid | 6 | 0 |
| Btes P3 \％ | \％ | 0 | Bus PG T\％ | 7 | 0 | Bus P9 T2 | 7 | 0 |
| Eus P3 ${ }^{3}$ | 8 | 0 | Bus Pb T3 | 6 | 0 | 134\％Fs T3 | 8 | 0 |
| Peral 29 | 9 | 0 | Ferm 2 P4 | 9 | 0 | Perar 297 | 9 | 0 |
| Perm 2 P2 | A | 0 | Ferm 2 Ps | A | $1)$ | Perat 2 －i | A | 0 |
| Perm 293 | E | 0 | Pexal 2 F6 | B | 0 | Perm 2.8 | B | 0 |
| Flash \％ellow | C |  | OL Flasin Yeliow | C |  | Cogrd max | C |  |
| Flash Circuit： | D |  | OL Flasi Clear | 0 |  | TOD Red jest | บ |  |
| TOD／DOW Max | E |  | TOD／DOW Fed | E |  | OLA Switchpack | E |  |
| Ol，Switchpack | F |  | OLC Switchpack | F |  | OLD Switchpack | $p$ |  |

```
W4IKs Table 9 Fage 0
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2012 Trime: 09:52 AM
Intersection #125 HERMAN RD 人% IO&TH
```





| $\{\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{KEY}$ \} |  |  | $(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{K} E \mathrm{Y})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl PIN | KEY | $\operatorname{cose}$ | CI PIN | KEY | cone |
| 39 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | $\geq$ | 0 | 56 | I | 0 |
| 41 | 2 | 0 | 57 | 2 | 0 |
| 42 | 3 | 0 | 58 | 3 | 0 |
| 43 | 4 | 0 | 59 | 4 | 0 |
| 44 | 5 | 0 | 60 | 5 | 0 |
| 45 | 5 | 0 | 61 | 6 | 0 |
| 26 | 7 | 0 | 62 | ? | 0 |
| 47 | 8 | 0 |  | E | 0 |
| 48 | 9 | 0 |  | 9 | 0 |
| 49 | A | 0 |  | A | 0 |
| 50 | $B$ | 0 |  | B | 0 |
| 51. | C | 0 | 63 | c | 0 |
| 52 | D | 0 | 54 | D | 0 |
| 53 | 1. | 0 | 65 | E | 0 |
| 54 | F | 0 | 66 | F | 0 |

$(D+A+6+K E Y)$

| CI PIN | KEY | CODE |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 67 | 0 | 0 |
| 68 | 3 | 0 |
| 69 | 2 | 0 |
| 70 | 3 | 0 |
| 71 | 4 | 0 |
| 72 | 5 | 0 |
| 73 | 6 | 0 |
| 74 | 7 | 0 |
| 75 | 8 | 0 |
| 76 | 9 | 0 |
| 27 | $A$ | 0 |
| 78 | $B$ | 0 |
| 79 | $C$ | 0 |
| 80 | $D$ | 0 |
| 83 | $E$ | 0 |
| 82 | $F$ | 0 |

$(D+A+D+K E Y)$

| $\frac{1}{8} 000000000000000$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 奄 |  |
|  | $\underset{\substack{\text { a }}}{\substack{\text { a } \\ a_{e} \\ \hline}}$ |
|  |  |

Intersection \#125 HERMAN RD (3) $208 T H$

| ( $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{EEEY}$ ) | $\left(A+\sum+K E X\right)$ |  |  |  |  | ( $A+2+$ KEY $)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FUNCTION | XEY | code |  | NCTION | XEY | CODE | PUNCSION | key | Code |
| $04 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}$ | 0 | 0 | 08 | E/W | 0 | 0 | 02 Fed y | 0 | 0 |
| 04 Walk | 1. | 0 | 08 | Walk | 1. | 0 | $06 \mathrm{Pec} Y$ | 1 | 99 |
| 04 Red | 2 | 0 | 08 | Red | 2 | 0 | 04 Ped Y | 2 | 0 |
| 0t Yel?ow | 3 | \% | 08 | Yellow | 3 | 0 | 06 Fed Y | 7 | 0 |
| 0 0f Green | 4 | 0 | 08 | oreer | 4 | 0 | 03 ped Y | 4 | 0 |
| 03 \%ed | 5 | 0 | 07 | Red | 5 | 0 | Q: Ped Y | 5 | 0 |
| 03 Yellow | $\epsilon$ | 0 | 07 | Yela ${ }^{\text {cow }}$ | 6 | 0 | Frash | 6 | 0 |
| 03 Greert | 7 | 0 | 07 | Sreen | 7 | 0 | Watchdog | 7 | 0 |
| $02 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}$ | 8 | 0 | 06 | D/ N | 8 | 0 | $030 / 0$ | 8 | 0 |
| 02 Walk | 9 | 0 | 06 | Wàk | 9 | 0 | 03 wald | 9 | 0 |
| 02 Red | A | 0 | 05 | Red | In | 6 | OLD Rea | A | 0 |
| 02 Yellow | 3 | 0 | 06 | Yeajlow | н | 0 | OLD Yellow | E | 0 |
| 02 心reen | C | 0 | . 06 | creer | C | 0 | OLD Green | C | 0 |
| 0.: Red | D | 0 | 05 | led | 5 | 99 | OLJ Red | D | 0 |
| O1 Yedlow | $\pm$ | 0 | 05 | Yellow | 5 | 95 | OLC Yeliow | E | 0 |
| 01. Green | 1 | 0 | Q5 | Greex | F. | 99 | OLC Gicen | F | 0 |

$(A+3+K E X)$

| FUNCTION | KEY | CODE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $01 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 0.1 Walk | $\square$ | 0 |
| OLB RECl | 2 | 0 |
| OLB Yellow | 3 | 0 |
| Old creen | 4 | 0 |
| GA Redi | 5 | 0 |
| OLA Yeliow | 6 | 0 |
| OLA Green | 7 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 0 |
| SD | 9 | 0 |
| ม.19 | A | 0 |
| High byte | EDC | 0 |


| PUNCTION | KEY | CODR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \pm$ D/6 | 0 | 0 |
| 01 Wälk | 3 | 0 |
| Olb rea | 2 | 0 |
| Omb Yelijow | 3 | 0 |
| OLB Green | 4 | 0 |
| OLA Red. | 5 | 0 |
| Onf yeliow | 6 | 0 |
| OLA Green | 7 | 0 |
|  | 8 | 0 |
| SD | 9 | 0 |
| 1, | ת | O |

W4IKs Table 10 page 2
Date; Wednesday, July 25, 2012 Time: 09:52 AM
Intersection \#125 HERMAN RD 108TH

| $(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{A}+7+\mathrm{KEY})$ |  |  | $(D+A+B+K E X)$ |  |  | $(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{A}+9+\mathrm{KEX})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FUNCTIOA | KEY | CODE | FUNCTION | KEY | come | FUNCTION | KEY | CODE |
| 64 D/W | 0 | 0 | $06 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}$ | 0 | 0 | 02 Pedi y | 0 | 0 |
| 0 W Waxs | 1 | 0 | 08 Wa?k | 1. | 0 | 06 ped Y | 1 | 0 |
| 04 Redi | 2 | 6 | as Red | 2 | 0 | Of Fed $Y$ | 2 | 0 |
| 04 Yellow | 3 | 0 | ot Yellow | 3 | 0 | 08 Ped Y | 3 | 6 |
| 04 Green | 4 | 0 | 08 Green | 4 | 0 | 03 fecd z | 4 | 0 |
| 03 Red | 5 | 0 | 07 Red | 5 | 0 | 02 Ped Y | 5 | 0 |
| 03 Yellow | 6 | 0 | 07 Yellow | 6 | 0 | Flast | 6 | 0 |
| 03 Green | 7 | 0 | o\% Green | 7 | 0 | Waxchdog | ' | 6 |
| $02 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}$ | 8 | 0 | $06 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}$ | 8 | 0 | $03 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{w}$ | 8 | 0 |
| 02 Walk | 9 | 0 | OE Walk | 9 | 0 | 03 walk | 9 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 02 Fed | A | 0 | 06 Red | A | 0 | OLis Red | A | 0 |
| 02 Yeliow | B | 0 | 06 Yeliow | B | 0 | OLD Yellow | B | 0 |
| 02 Sxeen | c | 0 | 06 Greer | c | 0 | OLD Greer | C | 0 |
| 0) Red | D | 0 | 05 Red | 0 | 0 | Oric red | \% | 0 |
| 0: Yellow | E | 6 | 05 Ye?30w | E | 0 | OLC Yeliow | E | 0 |
| ol Green | F | 0 | 05 Greern | F | 0 | OLC Green | $F$ | 0 |

## $(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{KEX})$

| FUNCTION | KEY | CODE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $015 / 4$ | 0 | 0 |
| $0]$ wadk | 1 | 0 |
| OLA Fe ( | 2 | 0 |
| OL\% Yellow | 3 | 0 |
| OLS Green | 4 | 0 |
| olna red | 5 | 0 |
| OLA Yellow | 6 | 0 |
| OLA Greer | 7 | 0 |
|  | 8 | 0 |
| SD | 9 | $\bigcirc$ |
| L'1'! | A | 0 |

```
W4IK& Table 1I page 0
Date: Wedneaday, July 25, 2012 Time: 09:52 AM
```

Intergection \#125.. HERMAN RO © $208 T H$


```
W4IKS Table ま1 Page 1
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2012 Timez 09:52 AM
Intexgection #225 % HRRMAN RD IOETH
```

$(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{B}+4+\mathrm{KEY})$
$(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{B}+5+\mathrm{KEY})$

| PUNCTEONS | － XEY | VALUE | FUNCTIONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $05 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{w}$ | －． 0 | 0 | －Ots Green |
| 05 walk | 1 | 0 | OLP Green |
| OLE Red | 2 | $\cdots$ | OLE YEITOW |
| Ofr yejaion | 3 | ． 0 | －OLF Yellow |
| OLL Gxeen | 4 | － 0 | Adv waxming |
| OLK Refl | 5 | 0 |  |
| OLK Yeliow | 6 | 0 | －Det Reset |
| OLJ Greer | 7 | － 0 | K入 On |
| $07 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{N}$ | 8 | 0 | Eva On |
| 07 Walk | 9 | 0 | FVJ On |
| OLu Recr | A | － 0 | －EVCOr |
| OLか Ye1low | B | 0 | －EVO On |
| OLu Greer | $C$ | 0 | Rimg \＃Bit $\ddagger$ |
| OLIF Red | 1） | 0 | ．Ring s Bit C |
| Cuth Yejuow | E | 0 | Rirg 2 Bit 3 |
| O？H Grema | F | 6 | Ring 2 Eit ${ }^{\text {E }}$ |


| KEY | VAXUE |
| :---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 |
| $A$ | 0 |
| $B$ | 0 |
| $C$ | 0 |
| $L$ | 0 |
| $E$ | 0 |
| 4 | 0 |

$(D+B+6+3 E Y)$


W4IKS Table 11 Page 2
Date：Wednesday，July 25， 2012 学土me 09152 AM Intex日ection \＃125 HERMAN RD G 108TH

| $\left(D+8+8+K\right.$ S ${ }^{\text {（ }}$（ $)$ |  |  | （ $\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{8}+9+\mathrm{K} \mathrm{EX})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FUNCPIONS | KEY | VAFUE | FUnCwy |
| $05 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{W}$ | 0 | 0 | OLE Green |
| 05 walk | I | 0 | Od．F Green |
| Otat Red | 2 | 0 | OLF Yel？ |
| OLL Yellow | 3 | 0 | OEF Yellow |
| OLL G2゙＠M | 4 | 0 | Adv warning |
| OLk Red | 5 | 0 | 2R fry Yeliow |
| OLK Yediow | 5 | 0 | Det Reset |
| OLK Green | 7 | 0 | RR Or |
| $07 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}$ | 8 | 0 | EVA On |
| O？walk | 9 | 0 | EVB Dit |
| OEu Red | $A$ | 0 | EVC Or1 |
| CLJ Yelidw | E | 0 | EVD Ox |
| O5，Grexen | C | 0 | Ring $\ddagger B y \mathrm{~B}$ |
| Q？Ril Red | $D$ | 0 | king 1 Bi：$C$ |
| OLiH Yellcw | 玉 | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ | Rirg 2 Bit B |
| OLis Green | $\mathfrak{F}$ | 0 | Ring 2 Bit $C$ |

$(D+B+A+K E X)$


## $\{D+8+\mathrm{XEY} 1+\mathrm{XEY} 2\}$

| KEYI $=0$ |  |  | KEY1 $=1$ |  |  | $\mathrm{XEV} 3=2$ |  |  | KEYI * 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gUNCTION | KEY2 | VALYE | FUNCTION | KEY2 | VAIUE | FURCTION | XEY2 | VALUE | FUNCTION | XEX2 | VALuE |
| 1/month | 0 | 0 | 3/Hour On | 0 | 0 | 5/hour Of: | 0 | 0 | $7 / \mathrm{Plan}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 1/50M | 1 | . 0 | 3 /min on | 1 | 0 | 5/min Off | 3 | 0 | 8/month | 1 | 0 |
| a/hour on | 2 | 0 | 3/Houx Ofx | 2 | 0 | $5 / \mathrm{P}$ 2n | 2 | 0 | 8/DOM | 2 | 0 |
| $2 / \mathrm{Man}$ On | 3 | -0 | 3/Min Off | 3 | 0 | 6/month | 3 | 0 | 8/Houn On | 3 | 0 |
| 3/Hour Of: | 4 | 0 | 3/plan | 4 | 0 | $6 / 1003$ | 4 | 0 | $8 / \mathrm{min}$ On | 4 | 0 |
| 1/min ofe | 5 | - 0 | 4/Month | 5 | 0 | 6/Hour on | 5 | 0 | 8/Howr off | 5 | 0 |
| 1/P1an | 6 | 0 | G/DOM | 6 | 0 | 6/min on | 5 | 0 | 8/Min off | 6 | 0 |
| 2/Month | 7 | 0 | 4/Hour on | 7 | 0 | 6/Hour ofe | 7 | 0 | 8/plarl | 7 | 0 |
| $2 / \mathrm{DOM}$ | e | 0 | $4 / \mathrm{min}$ on | 8 | 0 | 6/Mira Oft | 9 | 0 | $9 / \mathrm{month}$ | 8 | 0 |
| 2/Hour On | 9 | 0 | 4/Hour off | 9 | 0 | 6/Elan | 9 | 0 | 9/DOM | 9 | 0 |
| $2 / \mathrm{Min}$ On | A | 0 | 4/0im Off | A | 0 | 7/Montr | d. | 0 | 9/Hour On | A | 0 |
| 2/Hour Oif | B |  | 4/Plan | 5 | 0 | 7/DOM | 3 | 0 | 9/Min On | 3 | 0 |
| 2/Min Off | C |  | 5/Monch | c | 0 | 7/Hous On | $c$ | 0 | 9/Hour off | C | 0 |
| 2/Flan | 13 | 0 | 5/DOM | $\pm$ | 0 | 7/Mir Orl | 5 | 0 | 9/Mju Off | D | 61 |
| 3/Monct | E | 0 | 5/Hour On. | E | 0 | 7/Hous ofe | 玉 | 0 | 9/pian | E | 0 |
| 3/DOM | E | 0 | $5 / \mathrm{min}$ On | F | 0 | $7 / \mathrm{Min}$ Ory | F | 0 |  |  |  |



W4IXS Table 14 Sheet I
Date: Wednegtay, July 25, 2012 Time: 09;52. NM
Intersection \#325 HERMAN RD 1087 H
( $\mathrm{D}+9+\mathrm{KEY} 1+\mathrm{KEY} 2$ )


```
W4xas Table 44 Sheet 2 
Date: Wednegday, Jaly 25, 2012 (HESsection #125 H&NAN ND 108TH
(D+94KEY1 + KEY2)
```



```
W4TkS Table }14\mathrm{ Sheer 3
Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2012 Time: 09:52 AM
Intersection #125 HERMAN RD 108TH
```

$(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{KEY} \mathcal{1}+\mathrm{KEX} 2)$

| KEY |  | KEX |  | KEY |  | KEY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KEX2 | CODE | KEY2 | CODE | KEY2 | CODE | KEY | Cones |
| C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | ? | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| $\square^{6}$ | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| A | 0 | A | 0 | A | 0 | A. | 0 |
| B | 0 | B | 0 | B | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| $C$ | Q | c | 0 | C | 0 | C | 0 |
| 0 | $\bigcirc$ | D | 0 | D | 0 | D | 0 |
| E | 0 | E | 0 | E | 0 | E | 0 |
| F | 0 | F | 0 | F | 0 | F | 0 |
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```
W4TKS Table }1
```

Date: Wednesday, July 25, 2012 Time: 09:52 AM
Intarsection \#12s . HERMAN RD $0108 T H$

$(D+B+8+X E Y)$

| FUNCTETO |  | KEY | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ | Output \#i | 0 | 0 |
| CB | Output 42 | 1 | 0 |
| CP | Output \#3 | 2 | 0 |
| CB | Olatput \# $^{\text {c }}$ | 3 | 0 |
| CB | Oniput \#\# | 4 | 0 |
| CB | Output \#6 | 5 | 0 |
|  | OLtprit \#7 | 6 | 0 |
|  | Output \#B | 7 | 0 |
|  | Elasin Out fr 9 | 6 | 0 |
| Cı | Flash Out filo | 9 | 0 |
| C3 | Flash Out flll | A. | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ | Flast Out \#ly | 13 | 0 |

APPENDIX I.
OPERATIONS CALCULATIONS

c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「＇ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 中4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 62 | 174 | 235 | 37 | 554 | 665 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 62 | 174 | 235 | 37 | 554 | 665 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1641 | 1553 | 3059 | 1503 | 1768 | 3406 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.41 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1641 | 1553 | 3059 | 1503 | 769 | 3406 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 78 | 218 | 294 | 46 | 692 | 831 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 98 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 78 | 120 | 294 | 10 | 693 | 831 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 10\％ | 4\％ | 18\％ | 5\％ | 2\％ | 6\％ |
| Turn Type | Prot | pm＋ov | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  | 6 | 2 |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 6.9 | 40.6 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 54.2 | 54.2 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 7.9 | 42.6 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 55.2 | 55.2 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.10 | 0.55 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.72 | 0.72 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 168 | 858 | 654 | 321 | 1000 | 2438 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．05 | 0.06 | 0.10 |  | c0．31 | 0.24 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  | 0.01 |  | 0.01 | c0．18 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.46 | 0.14 | 0.45 | 0.03 | 0.69 | 0.34 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 32.6 | 8.4 | 26.4 | 24.0 | 5.7 | 4.1 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 0.1 |
| Delay（s） | 34.6 | 8.4 | 27.0 | 24.0 | 7.8 | 4.2 |
| Level of Service | C | A | C | C | A | A |
| Approach Delay（s） | 15.3 |  | 26.6 |  |  | 5.8 |
| Approach LOS | B |  | C |  |  | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 10.4 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.65 |  | 14.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 77.1 | Sum of lost time（s） | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $54.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\leftrightarrow$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 556 | 28 | 40 | 285 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 556 | 28 | 40 | 285 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 20 | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 662 | 33 | 48 | 339 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 1 | $\mathbf{T}$ |  | $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 44 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 44 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 48 | 0 |



c Critical Lane Group



| Lane | NBLn1 EBLn1 WBLn1 SBLn1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $44 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $19 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $38 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 16 | 211 | 37 | 1 |
| LT Vol | 7 | 12 | 7 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 3 | 181 | 30 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 6 | 18 | 0 | 1 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 19 | 251 | 44 | 1 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.025 | 0.276 | 0.063 | 0.001 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.654 | 3.962 | 5.132 | 4.007 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 774 | 904 | 694 | 898 |
| Service Time | 2.654 | 1.998 | 3.194 | 2.008 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.025 | 0.278 | 0.063 | 0.001 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.8 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -1 | F |  | 1 | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 75 | 106 | 21 | 49 | 12 | 20 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 75 | 106 | 21 | 49 | 12 | 20 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 42 | 25 |
| Mvmt Flow | 89 | 126 | 25 | 58 | 14 | 24 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 85 | 0 | - | 0 | 360 | 56 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 56 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 304 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.14 | - | - | - | 6.82 | 6.45 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.82 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.82 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.236 | - | - | - | 3.878 | 3.525 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1499 | - | - | - | 566 | 949 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 874 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 666 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1496 | - | - | - | 528 | 947 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 528 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 816 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 665 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 3.1 |  | 0 |  | 10.1 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1496 | - | - | - | 528 | 947 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.06 | - | - | - | 0.027 | 0.025 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.6 | 0 | - | - | 12 | 8.9 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  | $\cdots$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 26 | 87 | 62 | 16 | 8 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 26 | 87 | 62 | 16 | 8 | 5 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 6 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 32 | 106 | 76 | 20 | 10 | 6 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 98 | 0 | - | 0 | 259 | 88 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 88 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 171 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - - | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1495 | - | - - | - | 730 | 970 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 935 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 859 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1492 | - | - - | - | 710 | 968 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - - | - | 710 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 912 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 857 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 1.7 |  | 0 |  | 9.6 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1492 |  | - | - | 710 | 968 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.021 | - | - | - | 0.014 | 0.006 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.5 | 0 | - | - | 10.1 | 8.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.1 | A | - | - | 0 | 0 |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Yr |  |  | -1 | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 7 | 42 | 88 | 15 | 19 | 25 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 7 | 42 | 88 | 15 | 19 | 25 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 14 | 10 | 6 | 20 | 16 | 12 |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 55 | 116 | 20 | 25 | 33 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 294 | 42 | 58 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 252 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.54 | 6.3 | 4.16 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.54 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.54 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.626 | 3.39 | 2.254 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 672 | 1006 | 1521 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 951 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 763 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 620 | 1006 | 1521 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 620 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 878 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 763 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.2 |  | 6.5 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL NBT EBLn1 |  |  | SBT | SBR |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1521 | - | 924 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.076 | - | 0.07 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.6 | 0 | 9.2 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | - | - |



Lam Research New Office

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{F}$ |  | 1 | 4 | a | $\mathbf{F}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 415 | 148 | 52 | 273 | 73 | 53 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 415 | 148 | 52 | 273 | 73 | 53 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 9 | 27 |
| Mvmt Flow | 466 | 166 | 58 | 307 | 82 | 60 |



| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{1}$ | 「「「 | 个个 | F | ${ }^{7 *}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 572 | 660 | 882 | 175 | 696 | 1191 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 572 | 660 | 882 | 175 | 696 | 1191 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.88 | 0.95 | 1.00 | ＊1．00 | 0.95 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 2787 | 3539 | 1533 | 3471 | 3539 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 2787 | 3539 | 1533 | 3471 | 3539 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 602 | 695 | 928 | 184 | 733 | 1254 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 602 | 695 | 928 | 90 | 733 | 1254 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 2\％ |
| Turn Type | Prot | pt＋ov | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 14 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 26.3 | 43.2 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 32.1 | 77.7 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 28.3 | 40.8 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 33.7 | 79.7 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.22 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.63 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 6.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 2.3 |  | 5.4 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 5.4 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 764 | 894 | 1169 | 506 | 920 | 2219 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．18 | c0．25 | c0．26 |  | c0．21 | 0.35 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  | 0.06 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.79 | 0.78 | 0.79 | 0.18 | 0.80 | 0.57 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 46.6 | 39.0 | 38.6 | 30.3 | 43.5 | 13.7 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 4.6 | 0.6 |
| Delay（s） | 51.7 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 30.7 | 48.1 | 14.3 |
| Level of Service | D | D | D | C | D | B |
| Approach Delay（s） | 47.1 |  | 41.0 |  |  | 26.8 |
| Approach LOS | D |  | D |  |  | C |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 36.4 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.79 |  | 16.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 127.1 | Sum of lost time（s） | D |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $74.5 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


c Critical Lane Group

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\leftrightarrow$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 359 | 7 | 6 | 685 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 359 | 7 | 6 | 685 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 20 | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 5 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 390 | 8 | 7 | 745 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ |  | 「 | \% |  | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 24 | 10 | 1 | 22 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 24 | 10 | 1 | 22 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 92 | 90 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 90 | 90 | 92 | 92 | 90 | 90 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 27 | 11 | 1 | 24 | 0 |  |





|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | $\dagger$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | 性 |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 个 ${ }_{\text {d }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 22 | 41 | 29 | 46 |  | 208 | 16 | 567 | 23 | 60 | 464 | 12 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 22 | 41 | 29 | 46 | 3 | 208 | 16 | 567 | 23 | 60 | 464 | 12 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Fit | 1.00 | 0.94 |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1769 | 1739 |  | 1626 | 1554 |  | 1107 | 3451 |  | 1769 | 3378 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.59 | 1.00 |  | 0.64 | 1.00 |  | 0.47 | 1.00 |  | 0.29 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1100 | 1739 |  | 1089 | 1554 |  | 548 | 3451 |  | 546 | 3378 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 23 | 43 | 30 | 48 | 3 | 217 | 17 | 591 | 24 | 62 | 483 | 12 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 23 | 50 | 0 | 48 | 59 | 0 | 17 | 612 | 0 | 63 | 494 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 11\% | 67\% | 2\% | 63\% | 3\% | 26\% | 2\% | 5\% | 58\% |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 13.7 | 12.9 |  | 16.9 | 14.5 |  | 22.1 | 21.2 |  | 28.5 | 24.4 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 15.7 | 13.9 |  | 18.9 | 15.5 |  | 24.1 | 22.2 |  | 30.5 | 25.4 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.26 | 0.23 |  | 0.31 | 0.26 |  | 0.40 | 0.37 |  | 0.50 | 0.42 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 304 | 398 |  | 369 | 397 |  | 235 | 1264 |  | 377 | 1415 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot | 0.00 | 0.03 |  | c0.01 | c0.04 |  | 0.00 | c0.18 |  | c0.01 | 0.15 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.02 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.08 | 0.13 |  | 0.13 | 0.15 |  | 0.07 | 0.48 |  | 0.17 | 0.35 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 16.9 | 18.5 |  | 14.8 | 17.4 |  | 11.2 | 14.8 |  | 8.3 | 12.0 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |  | 0.1 | 0.3 |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |
| Delay (s) | 17.0 | 18.7 |  | 15.0 | 17.6 |  | 11.3 | 15.1 |  | 8.5 | 12.1 |  |
| Level of Service | B | B |  | B | B |  | B | B |  | A | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 18.3 |  |  | 17.1 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 11.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 14.4 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | Service |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 60.6 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) |  |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 51.7\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection
Intersection Delay, s/veh 8.7
Intersection LOS A

| Movement EBL | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | * |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 98 | 10 | 19 | 234 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 13 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 98 | 10 | 19 | 234 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 13 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 5 | 20 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 25 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 107 | 11 | 21 | 254 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 14 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach EB | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach W | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach RighN | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 8 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  | 8.1 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 EBLn1WBLn1 SBLn1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $65 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $12 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $23 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 26 | 114 | 255 | 19 |
| LT Vol | 17 | 6 | 19 | 2 |
| Through Vol | 3 | 98 | 234 | 4 |
| RT Vol | 6 | 10 | 2 | 13 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 28 | 124 | 277 | 21 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.038 | 0.148 | 0.317 | 0.026 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.893 | 4.29 | 4.122 | 4.453 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 735 | 841 | 862 | 807 |
| Service Time | 2.902 | 2.29 | 2.197 | 2.462 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.038 | 0.147 | 0.321 | 0.026 |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.1 | 8 | 9.1 | 7.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.1 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |  | 7 | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 79 | 29 | 103 | 35 | 76 | 152 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 79 | 29 | 103 | 35 | 76 | 152 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 87 | 32 | 113 | 38 | 84 | 167 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | t |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 10 | 97 | 109 | 20 | 28 | 28 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 10 | 97 | 109 | 20 | 28 | 28 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 12 | 115 | 130 | 24 | 33 | 33 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 159 | 0 | - | 0 | 286 | 147 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 147 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 139 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - - | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1420 | - | - - | - | 704 | 900 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 880 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 888 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1413 | - | - - | - | 691 | 896 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - - | - | 691 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 868 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 884 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.7 |  | 0 |  | 9.9 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1413 | - | - | - | 691 | 896 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.008 | - | - | - | 0.048 | 0.037 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.6 | 0 | - | - | 10.5 | 9.2 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | , | - | - | 0.2 | 0.1 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  |  | $\$$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2 | 109 | 0 | 2 | 105 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 38 | 0 | 27 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2 | 109 | 0 | 2 | 105 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 38 | 0 | 27 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 3 | 2 | 50 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 33 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 133 | 0 | 2 | 128 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 46 | 0 | 33 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| $\frac{\text { Major/Minor }}{\text { Conflicting Flow All }}$ | Minor2 | Major1 Major2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 224 | 21 | 26 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 203 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.49 | 6.22 | 4.13 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.49 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.49 | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.581 | 3.318 | 2.227 | - | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 749 | 1056 | 1582 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 984 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 815 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 704 | 1056 | 1582 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 704 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 925 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 815 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.5 |  | 6.5 |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL | NBT | BLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1582 | - | 981 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.06 |  | 0.182 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.4 | 0 | 9.5 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | A | - | - |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 | - | 0.7 | - | - |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 14.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |  |  | 4 | I | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 312 | 72 | 29 | 584 | 176 | 64 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 312 | 72 | 29 | 584 | 176 | 64 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 50 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 359 | 83 | 33 | 671 | 202 | 74 |



## 13: SW Teton Street \& SW Tualatin Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 | 1.1 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 26.5 | 7.4 | 5.1 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 22.5 | 3.9 | 3.5 |


c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 574 | 39 | 51 | 292 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 574 | 39 | 51 | 292 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 20 | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 683 | 46 | 61 | 348 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 1 | $\mathbf{T}$ |  | $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 65 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 65 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 71 | 0 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

c Critical Lane Group



| Lane | NBLn1 EBLn1 WBLn1 SBLn1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $27 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $12 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $62 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 26 | 316 | 38 | 1 |
| LT Vol | 7 | 12 | 7 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 3 | 286 | 31 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 16 | 18 | 0 | 1 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 31 | 376 | 45 | 1 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.041 | 0.418 | 0.066 | 0.001 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.753 | 3.998 | 5.248 | 4.3 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 758 | 895 | 674 | 837 |
| Service Time | 2.753 | 2.046 | 3.348 | 2.302 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.041 | 0.42 | 0.067 | 0.001 |
| HCM Control Delay | 8 | 9.9 | 8.7 | 7.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.1 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -1 | F |  | 1 | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 144 | 150 | 21 | 94 | 12 | 20 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 144 | 150 | 21 | 94 | 12 | 20 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 42 | 25 |
| Mvmt Flow | 171 | 179 | 25 | 112 | 14 | 24 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }_{\uparrow} \uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  | $\cdots$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 50 | 107 | 108 | 31 | 8 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 50 | 107 | 108 | 31 | 8 | 5 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 6 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 61 | 130 | 132 | 38 | 10 | 6 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 172 | 0 | - | 0 | 406 | 153 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 153 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 253 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1405 | - | - | - | 601 | 893 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 875 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 789 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1402 | - | - | - | 570 | 891 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 570 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 832 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 787 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 2.4 |  | 0 |  | 10.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1402 | - | - | - | 570 | 891 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.043 | - | - | - | 0.017 | 0.007 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.7 | 0 | - | - | 11.4 | 9.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0 |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 7 | 43 | 162 | 15 | 19 | 46 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 7 | 43 | 162 | 15 | 19 | 46 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 14 | 10 | 6 | 20 | 16 | 12 |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 57 | 213 | 20 | 25 | 61 |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | t |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 | ${ }^{*}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 430 | 151 | 53 | 289 | 74 | 54 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 430 | 151 | 53 | 289 | 74 | 54 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 9 | 27 |
| Mvmt Flow | 483 | 170 | 60 | 325 | 83 | 61 |



| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1 *}$ | 「＂「 | 个个 | 「 | ${ }^{1+1}$ | 个个 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 626 | 739 | 894 | 192 | 733 | 1207 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 626 | 739 | 894 | 192 | 733 | 1207 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.88 | 0.95 | 1.00 | ＊1．00 | 0.95 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 2787 | 3539 | 1533 | 3471 | 3471 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 2787 | 3539 | 1533 | 3471 | 3471 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 659 | 778 | 941 | 202 | 772 | 1271 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 659 | 778 | 941 | 98 | 772 | 1271 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ |
| Turn Type | Prot | pt＋ov | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 14 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 27.8 | 45.8 | 40.6 | 40.6 | 34.7 | 80.9 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 29.8 | 43.4 | 42.6 | 42.6 | 36.3 | 82.9 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.28 | 0.63 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 6.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 2.3 |  | 5.4 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 5.4 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 776 | 917 | 1143 | 495 | 955 | 2183 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．19 | c0．28 | c0．27 |  | 0.22 | 0.37 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  | 0.06 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.20 | 0.81 | 0.58 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 48.8 | 41.1 | 41.1 | 32.3 | 44.5 | 14.3 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 0.5 | 4.9 | 0.7 |
| Delay（s） | 57.3 | 48.3 | 46.7 | 32.7 | 49.4 | 15.0 |
| Level of Service | E | D | D | C | D | B |
| Approach Delay（s） | 52.4 |  | 44.3 |  |  | 28.0 |
| Approach LOS | D |  | D |  |  | C |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 39.6 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.84 |  | 16.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 131.8 | Sum of lost time（s） | D |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $77.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


c Critical Lane Group

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\leftrightarrow$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 367 | 9 | 8 | 706 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 367 | 9 | 8 | 706 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 20 | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 5 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 399 | 10 | 9 | 767 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 1 | $\mathbf{T}$ |  | -1 | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 26 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 26 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 28 | 0 |



|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }_{1}$ | $\dagger$ |  | ${ }_{1}$ | ¢ |  | ${ }_{1}$ | 性 |  | \% | $\uparrow{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 22 | 42 | 30 | 62 | , | 247 | 16 | 640 | 29 | 77 | 491 | 12 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 22 | 42 | 30 | 62 | 3 | 247 | 16 | 640 | 29 | 77 | 491 | 12 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Fit | 1.00 | 0.94 |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1769 | 1738 |  | 1626 | 1555 |  | 1107 | 3445 |  | 1769 | 3381 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.42 | 1.00 |  | 0.69 | 1.00 |  | 0.46 | 1.00 |  | 0.24 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 781 | 1738 |  | 1177 | 1555 |  | 534 | 3445 |  | 449 | 3381 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 23 | 44 | 31 | 65 | 3 | 257 | 17 | 667 | 30 | 80 | 511 | 12 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 23 | 51 | 0 | 65 | 59 | 0 | 17 | 694 | 0 | 80 | 522 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 11\% | 67\% | 2\% | 63\% | 3\% | 26\% | 2\% | 5\% | 58\% |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 14.6 | 12.5 |  | 15.4 | 12.9 |  | 23.4 | 22.5 |  | 33.9 | 28.0 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 16.6 | 13.5 |  | 17.4 | 13.9 |  | 25.4 | 23.5 |  | 34.9 | 29.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.26 | 0.21 |  | 0.27 | 0.22 |  | 0.40 | 0.37 |  | 0.55 | 0.45 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 250 | 367 |  | 345 | 338 |  | 229 | 1266 |  | 398 | 1534 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot | 0.00 | 0.03 |  | c0.01 | 0.04 |  | 0.00 | c0.20 |  | c0.02 | c0.15 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.02 |  |  | c0.04 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.09 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.09 | 0.14 |  | 0.19 | 0.17 |  | 0.07 | 0.55 |  | 0.20 | 0.34 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 17.9 | 20.5 |  | 17.6 | 20.3 |  | 11.8 | 16.0 |  | 7.8 | 11.3 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  | 0.3 | 0.2 |  | 0.1 | 0.5 |  | 0.3 | 0.1 |  |
| Delay (s) | 18.0 | 20.6 |  | 17.9 | 20.6 |  | 11.9 | 16.5 |  | 8.1 | 11.4 |  |
| Level of Service | B | C |  | B | C |  | B | B |  | A | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 20.0 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 16.4 |  |  | 11.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15.4 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | Service |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 63.9 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) |  |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 53.8\% |  | ICU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |
| :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 9.4 |
| Intersection LOS $\quad$ A |


| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 |  |  | * |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h 6 | 122 | 10 | 25 | 289 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 13 |
| Future Vol, veh/h 6 | 122 | 10 | 25 | 289 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 13 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% 2 | 5 | 20 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 25 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow 7 | 133 | 11 | 27 | 314 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 14 |
| Number of Lanes 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach RighNB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay 8.3 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 8.3 |  |  | 7.8 |  |  |
| HCM LOS A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 EBLn1WBLn1 SBLn1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $61 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $11 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $29 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 28 | 138 | 316 | 19 |
| LT Vol | 17 | 6 | 25 | 2 |
| Through Vol | 3 | 122 | 289 | 4 |
| RT Vol | 8 | 10 | 2 | 13 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 30 | 150 | 343 | 21 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.043 | 0.182 | 0.396 | 0.027 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.056 | 4.369 | 4.147 | 4.663 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 711 | 825 | 855 | 771 |
| Service Time | 3.067 | 2.378 | 2.24 | 2.674 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.042 | 0.182 | 0.401 | 0.027 |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.3 | 8.3 | 10 | 7.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 0.1 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -1 | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |  | I | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 100 | 33 | 119 | 46 | 98 | 194 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 100 | 33 | 119 | 46 | 98 | 194 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 110 | 36 | 131 | 51 | 108 | 213 |




| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 191 | 0 | - | 0 | 348 | 175 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 175 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 173 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - - | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1383 | - | - - | - | 649 | 868 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 855 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 857 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1376 | - | - - | - | 635 | 864 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - - | - | 635 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 841 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 853 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.7 |  | 0 |  | 10.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1376 | - | - | - | 635 | 864 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.01 | - | - | - | 0.069 | 0.048 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.6 | 0 | - | - | 11.1 | 9.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | , | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| $\frac{\text { Major/Minor }}{\text { Conflicting Flow All }}$ | Minor2 | Major1 Major2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 266 | 23 | 30 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 23 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 243 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.49 | 6.22 | 4.13 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.49 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.49 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.581 | 3.318 | 2.227 | - | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 708 | 1054 | 1576 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 982 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 781 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 656 | 1054 | 1576 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 656 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 909 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 781 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10 |  | 6.7 |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL | NBT | BLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1576 | - | 959 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.073 |  | 0.243 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.5 | 0 | 10 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | B | - | - |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 | - | 1 | - | - |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




13: SW Teton Street \& SW Tualatin Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 2.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 | 1.0 | 4.6 | 0.8 | 29.9 | 7.3 | 5.5 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 1.4 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 26.0 | 3.7 | 3.9 |


c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\leftrightarrow$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 574 | 48 | 60 | 292 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 574 | 48 | 60 | 292 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 20 | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 683 | 57 | 71 | 348 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 1 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 5 | 58 | 204 | 75 | 9 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 5 | 58 | 204 | 75 | 9 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 5 | 63 | 222 | 82 | 10 |



c Critical Lane Group
Intersection
Intersection Delay, s/veh11.2
Intersection LOS $\quad$ B

| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  | * |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h 12 | 372 | 18 | 8 | 43 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Future Vol, veh/h 12 | 372 | 18 | 8 | 43 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% 2 | 2 | 11 | 57 | 7 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 33 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow 14 | 443 | 21 | 10 | 51 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Number of Lanes 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |
| Opposing Approach WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |
| Opposing Lanes 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Approach RighNB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| HCM Control Delay 11.8 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 8.2 |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |
| HCM LOS B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 EBLn1WBLn1 SBLn1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $20 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $9 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $71 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 35 | 402 | 51 | 1 |
| LT Vol | 7 | 12 | 8 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 3 | 372 | 43 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 25 | 18 | 0 | 1 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 42 | 479 | 61 | 1 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.057 | 0.536 | 0.092 | 0.002 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.943 | 4.033 | 5.473 | 4.583 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 728 | 885 | 658 | 784 |
| Service Time | 2.947 | 2.108 | 3.482 | 2.59 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.058 | 0.541 | 0.093 | 0.001 |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.2 | 11.8 | 9 | 7.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.2 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -1 | F |  | 1 | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 144 | 245 | 34 | 94 | 12 | 20 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 144 | 245 | 34 | 94 | 12 | 20 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 42 | 25 |
| Mvmt Flow | 171 | 292 | 40 | 112 | 14 | 24 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -1 | 1 |  | 1 | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 59 | 193 | 120 | 31 | 8 | 6 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 59 | 193 | 120 | 31 | 8 | 6 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 6 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 72 | 235 | 146 | 38 | 10 | 7 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 186 | 0 | - | 0 | 547 | 167 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 167 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 380 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1388 | - | - | - | 498 | 877 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 863 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 691 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1385 | - | - | - | 466 | 875 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 466 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 809 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 690 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 1.8 |  | 0 |  | 11.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1385 | - | - | - | 466 | 875 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.052 | - | - | - | 0.021 | 0.008 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.7 | 0 | - | - | 12.9 | 9.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 189 | 4 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 189 | 4 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 233 | 5 | 0 | 202 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | - | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 130 | 41 | 105 | 132 | 29 | 50 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 130 | 41 | 105 | 132 | 29 | 50 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 14 | 10 | 6 | 20 | 16 | 12 |
| Mvmt Flow | 171 | 54 | 138 | 174 | 38 | 66 |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |  |  | 4 | I | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 431 | 151 | 53 | 298 | 74 | 54 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 431 | 151 | 53 | 298 | 74 | 54 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 9 | 27 |
| Mvmt Flow | 484 | 170 | 60 | 335 | 83 | 61 |



| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％＊ | 「「 | 性 | 「 | \％＊ | 个4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 650 | 779 | 894 | 197 | 741 | 1207 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 650 | 779 | 894 | 197 | 741 | 1207 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.88 | 0.95 | 1.00 | ＊1．00 | 0.95 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 2787 | 3539 | 1533 | 3471 | 3471 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 2787 | 3539 | 1533 | 3471 | 3471 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 684 | 820 | 941 | 207 | 780 | 1271 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 684 | 820 | 941 | 100 | 780 | 1271 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃hr） |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ |
| Turn Type | Prot | pt＋ov | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 14 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 28.5 | 47.1 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 36.0 | 82.3 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 30.5 | 44.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 37.6 | 84.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.28 | 0.63 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 6.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 2.3 |  | 5.4 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 5.4 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 781 | 930 | 1128 | 488 | 974 | 2185 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．20 | c0．29 | c0．27 |  | 0.22 | 0.37 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.83 | 0.21 | 0.80 | 0.58 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 49.9 | 42.1 | 42.3 | 33.2 | 44.7 | 14.5 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 10.6 | 9.7 | 6.2 | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.7 |
| Delay（s） | 60.5 | 51.8 | 48.5 | 33.7 | 49.3 | 15.2 |
| Level of Service | E | D | D | C | D | B |
| Approach Delay（s） | 55.8 |  | 45.8 |  |  | 28.1 |
| Approach LOS | E |  | D |  |  | C |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 41.3 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.86 |  | 16.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 133.9 | Sum of lost time（s） | D |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $77.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


c Critical Lane Group

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\square}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 367 | 11 | 10 | 706 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 367 | 11 | 10 | 706 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | 20 | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 5 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | - | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 399 | 12 | 11 | 767 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 37 | , | 0 | 0 |  |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 1 | $\mathbf{r}$ |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 14 | 49 | 8 | 58 | 183 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 14 | 49 | 8 | 58 | 183 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 15 | 53 | 9 | 63 | 199 | 2 |




| Intersection |
| :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh10.7 |
| Intersection LOS $\quad$ B |


| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 |  |  | * |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h 6 | 138 | 10 | 33 | 369 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 13 |
| Future Vol, veh/h 6 | 138 | 10 | 33 | 369 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 13 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% 2 | 5 | 20 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 25 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow 7 | 150 | 11 | 36 | 401 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 14 |
| Number of Lanes 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach RighNB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay 8.7 |  |  | 11.8 |  |  | 8.6 |  |  | 8.1 |  |  |
| HCM LOS A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 EBLn1WBLn1 SBLn1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $57 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $10 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $33 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 30 | 154 | 404 | 19 |
| LT Vol | 17 | 6 | 33 | 2 |
| Through Vol | 3 | 138 | 369 | 4 |
| RT Vol | 10 | 10 | 2 | 13 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 33 | 167 | 439 | 21 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.048 | 0.208 | 0.522 | 0.028 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.267 | 4.48 | 4.276 | 4.915 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 699 | 802 | 848 | 727 |
| Service Time | 3.307 | 2.503 | 2.276 | 2.956 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.049 | 0.208 | 0.518 | 0.029 |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.6 | 8.7 | 11.8 | 8.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.2 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 0.1 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -1 | $\mathbf{F}$ |  | I | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 100 | 51 | 207 | 46 | 98 | 194 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 100 | 51 | 207 | 46 | 98 | 194 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 110 | 56 | 227 | 51 | 108 | 213 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 280 | 0 | - | 0 | 531 | 255 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 255 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 276 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.16 | - | - - | - | 6.42 | 6.25 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.254 | - | - - | - | 3.518 | 3.345 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1260 | - | - - | - | 509 | 776 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 788 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 771 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1258 | - | - - | - | 461 | 775 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - - | - | 461 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 716 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 769 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 5.4 |  | 0 |  | 12.7 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1258 | - | - | - | 461 | 775 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.087 | - | - | - | 0.234 | 0.275 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.1 | 0 | - | - | 15.2 | 11.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | C | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.9 | 1.1 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  | 1 | $\mathbf{F}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 14 | 138 | 209 | 27 | 37 | 43 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 14 | 138 | 209 | 27 | 37 | 43 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 17 | 164 | 249 | 32 | 44 | 51 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 286 | 0 | - | 0 | 468 | 270 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 270 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 198 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - - | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1276 | - | - - | - | 553 | 769 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 775 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 835 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1270 | - | - - | - | 539 | 765 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - - | - | 539 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 760 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 831 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.7 |  | 0 |  | 11.1 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1270 |  | - | - | 539 | 765 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.013 | - | - | - | 0.082 | 0.067 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.9 | 0 | - | - | 12.3 | 10 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | O | - | - | 0.3 | 0.2 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 161 | 0 | 2 | 239 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 161 | 0 | 2 | 239 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 3 | 2 | 50 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 33 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 196 | 0 | 2 | 291 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | -1 | l |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 39 | 126 | 95 | 25 | 107 | 125 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 39 | 126 | 95 | 25 | 107 | 125 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 9 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 46 | 150 | 113 | 30 | 127 | 149 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  | ajor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 458 | 202 | 276 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 202 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 256 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.49 | 6.22 | 4.13 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.49 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.49 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.581 | 3.318 | 2.227 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 548 | 839 | 1281 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 815 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 771 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 499 | 839 | 1281 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 499 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 742 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 771 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.8 |  | 6.4 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL NBT EBLn1 |  |  | SBT | BR |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1281 | - | 723 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.088 | - | 0.272 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.1 | 0 | 11.8 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.3 | - | 1.1 | - | - |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




## 13: SW Teton Street \& SW Tualatin Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 2.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.7 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 38.5 | 4.8 | 6.5 |
| Stop Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 1.9 |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 36.2 | 4.3 | 5.2 |

APPENDIX J. QUEUING ANALYSIS

Intersection: 1: Highway 99W/Highway 99 W \& SW 124th Avenue

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | R | T | T | R | L | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 127 | 136 | 168 | 159 | 497 | 520 | 425 | 582 | 478 | 180 |
| Tverage Queue (ft) | 53 | 59 | 70 | 71 | 299 | 281 | 174 | 340 | 266 | 68 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 110 | 113 | 139 | 135 | 451 | 443 | 344 | 538 | 421 | 147 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 499 | 499 |  |  | 1713 | 1713 |  |  | 175 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1724 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 300 | 300 |  |  | 225 | 700 | 700 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 5 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | 26 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 2: SW 124th Avenue \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 130 | 118 | 100 | 193 | 52 | 371 | 384 | 228 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 42 | 35 | 38 | 78 | 18 | 139 | 49 | 49 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 91 | 73 | 86 | 146 | 46 | 302 | 213 | 143 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 2433 | 1020 | 1020 |  |  | 499 | 499 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  |  | 140 | 200 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 2 |  | 4 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 1 |  | 15 | 2 |  |

Intersection: 3: SW 108th Avenue/Residential Driveway \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 4 | 56 | 75 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 12 | 19 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 3 | 40 | 54 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1483 |  | 615 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 50 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 |  |

## Intersection: 4: SW 108th Avenue \& North Access

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 6 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 7 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 6 | 28 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 308 | 308 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 5: SW 108th Avenue \& South Access

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 6: SW 124th Avenue \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 64 | 87 | 63 | 39 | 79 | 92 | 182 | 96 | 182 | 192 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 7 | 39 | 15 | 12 | 19 | 27 | 57 | 37 | 51 | 69 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 36 | 74 | 47 | 36 | 53 | 66 | 125 | 74 | 128 | 149 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1012 |  | 1222 |  | 626 | 626 |  | 1020 | 1020 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 145 |  | 155 |  |  | 165 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  | 145 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 7: SW 118th Drive/JAE Access \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 77 | 71 | 43 | 17 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 44 | 26 | 11 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 67 | 59 | 33 | 9 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1222 | 997 | 1700 | 258 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 8: SW Leveton Drive \& West Driveway

| Movement | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 47 | 10 | 64 | 73 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 7 | 1 | 13 | 20 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 31 | 6 | 47 | 58 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 997 | 840 | 632 | 632 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 9: SW Leveton Drive \& Center Driveway

| Movement | EB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 | 31 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 16 | 25 | 27 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 840 | 642 | 642 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 10: Calmax Driveway/East Driveway \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 38 | 24 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 19 | 10 | 23 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 377 | 195 | 312 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 11: SW 108th Avenue \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 67 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 27 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 56 | 17 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 535 | 766 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 12: SW Herman Road \& SW 108th Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 52 | 143 | 120 | 62 | 33 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 7 | 41 | 49 | 23 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 32 | 104 | 101 | 51 | 23 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1535 | 2089 |  | 766 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 100 |  |  | 130 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zone Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 13: SW Teton Street \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 6 | 61 | 102 | 84 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 18 | 41 | 38 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4 | 46 | 79 | 74 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 929 | 570 | 645 | 645 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

## Zone Summary

[^6]Intersection: 1: Highway 99W/Highway 99 W \& SW 124th Avenue

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 363 | 372 | 338 | 296 | 452 | 414 | 260 | 395 | 348 | 312 | 319 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 218 | 226 | 191 | 176 | 288 | 258 | 65 | 254 | 220 | 173 | 185 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 339 | 348 | 289 | 261 | 407 | 372 | 148 | 353 | 318 | 272 | 279 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 498 | 498 |  |  | 1283 | 1283 |  |  |  | 1425 | 1425 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 225 | 700 | 700 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 3 | 300 | 300 |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 22 | 0 | 0 |  | 14 | 1 |  | 24 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 22 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: SW 124th Avenue \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 68 | 298 | 236 | 327 | 220 | 355 | 143 | 152 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 23 | 142 | 112 | 160 | 20 | 132 | 16 | 25 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 58 | 241 | 198 | 267 | 86 | 291 | 77 | 92 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 2433 | 1020 | 1020 |  |  | 498 | 498 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  |  | 140 | 200 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  | 13 |  | 5 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  | 6 |  | 14 |  |  |

Intersection: 3: SW 108th Avenue/Residential Driveway \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 29 | 76 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 31 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 11 | 55 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 610 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: SW 108th Avenue \& North Access

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 19 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 8 | 36 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 299 | 299 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 5: SW 108th Avenue \& South Access

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 6: SW 124th Avenue \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 47 | 72 | 70 | 116 | 72 | 161 | 234 | 68 | 148 | 207 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 14 | 34 | 27 | 55 | 16 | 57 | 86 | 26 | 47 | 67 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 39 | 63 | 60 | 99 | 53 | 117 | 170 | 55 | 117 | 146 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1012 |  | 1222 |  | 626 | 626 |  | 1020 | 1020 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 165 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  | 145 |  | 155 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 7: SW 118th Drive/JAE Access \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 70 | 72 | 38 | 37 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 37 | 43 | 13 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 61 | 66 | 33 | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1222 | 997 | 1700 | 258 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 8: SW Leveton Drive \& West Driveway

| Movement | EB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 40 | 64 | 108 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 12 | 33 | 49 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 38 | 56 | 83 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 997 | 632 | 632 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 9: SW Leveton Drive \& Center Driveway

| Movement | EB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 24 | 44 | 51 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 20 | 19 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 11 | 46 | 47 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 840 | 642 | 642 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 10: Calmax Driveway/East Driveway \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 6 | 10 | 61 | 62 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 0 | 12 | 31 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4 | 7 | 43 | 55 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 377 | 535 | 195 | 312 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 11: SW 108th Avenue \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 72 | 24 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 36 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 56 | 13 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 535 | 766 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 12: SW Herman Road \& SW 108th Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 46 | 133 | 211 | 113 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 | 56 | 95 | 53 | 5 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 26 | 106 | 174 | 96 | 18 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1535 | 2089 |  | 766 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bk Time (\%) |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Zone Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 67 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 13: SW Teton Street \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 10 | 40 | 193 | 62 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 8 | 82 | 32 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 5 | 32 | 167 | 58 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 924 |  | 481 | 481 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 50 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 |  |  |

Intersection: 1: Highway 99W/Highway 99 W \& SW 124th Avenue

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | R | T | T | R | L | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 143 | 130 | 218 | 186 | 616 | 651 | 422 | 742 | 698 | 391 |
| Tverage Queue (ft) | 60 | 61 | 71 | 71 | 352 | 346 | 231 | 425 | 348 | 77 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 117 | 117 | 161 | 147 | 571 | 601 | 433 | 698 | 629 | 259 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 499 | 499 |  |  | 1713 | 1713 |  |  | 201 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1724 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 300 | 300 |  |  | 225 | 700 | 700 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 9 | 3 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 108 | 47 | 13 | 5 |  |

Intersection: 2: SW 124th Avenue \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 110 | 99 | 143 | 238 | 67 | 362 | 214 | 231 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 41 | 36 | 44 | 89 | 21 | 145 | 43 | 57 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 85 | 74 | 99 | 187 | 54 | 290 | 135 | 157 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 2433 | 1020 | 1020 |  |  | 499 | 499 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  |  | 140 | 200 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 3 |  | 4 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 1 |  | 17 | 1 |  |

Intersection: 3: SW 108th Avenue/Residential Driveway \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | TR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 4 | 71 | 21 | 61 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 21 | 1 | 19 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 3 | 51 | 16 | 52 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1483 |  | 952 | 615 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 50 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 2 |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: SW 108th Avenue \& North Access

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 24 | 13 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 308 | 308 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 5: SW 108th Avenue \& South Access

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 6: SW 124th Avenue \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 72 | 96 | 62 | 43 | 63 | 97 | 186 | 134 | 188 | 243 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 7 | 43 | 15 | 15 | 19 | 30 | 73 | 57 | 59 | 78 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 37 | 77 | 48 | 40 | 53 | 74 | 146 | 107 | 147 | 173 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1012 |  | 1222 |  | 626 | 626 |  | 1020 | 1020 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  | 145 |  | 155 |  |  | 165 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  |

## Intersection: 7: SW 118th Drive/JAE Access \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 90 | 66 | 58 | 22 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 52 | 29 | 17 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 79 | 59 | 45 | 11 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1222 | 997 | 1700 | 258 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 8: SW Leveton Drive \& West Driveway

| Movement | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 64 | 24 | 70 | 66 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 18 | 1 | 13 | 22 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 50 | 12 | 47 | 57 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 997 | 840 | 632 | 632 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 9: SW Leveton Drive \& Center Driveway

| Movement | EB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 44 | 31 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 27 | 26 | 24 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 840 | 642 | 642 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 10: Calmax Driveway/East Driveway \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 43 | 6 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 7 | 0 | 5 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 31 | 7 | 22 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 377 | 195 | 312 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 11: SW 108th Avenue \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 74 | 48 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 31 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 64 | 43 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 535 | 766 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: SW Herman Road \& SW 108th Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 59 | 161 | 221 | 81 | 59 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 8 | 42 | 61 | 27 | 7 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 35 | 110 | 147 | 62 | 30 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1535 | 2089 |  | 766 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bk Time (\%) |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Zone Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 13: SW Teton Street \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 35 | 57 | 97 | 83 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 19 | 42 | 38 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 16 | 48 | 79 | 74 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 929 | 570 | 645 | 645 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |

## Zone Summary

[^7]Intersection: 1: Highway 99W/Highway 99 W \& SW 124th Avenue

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | R | T | T | R | L | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 472 | 502 | 398 | 328 | 500 | 464 | 366 | 424 | 414 | 298 |
| Tverage Queue (ft) | 300 | 312 | 256 | 208 | 320 | 292 | 74 | 280 | 246 | 183 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 456 | 477 | 410 | 315 | 448 | 414 | 183 | 393 | 374 | 271 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 498 | 498 |  |  | 1283 | 1283 |  |  |  | 1425 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 8 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 300 | 300 |  |  | 225 | 700 | 700 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 15 | 1 | 1 |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 112 | 4 | 3 |  | 37 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: SW 124th Avenue \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 197 | 442 | 314 | 477 | 266 | 330 | 120 | 128 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 33 | 201 | 140 | 212 | 34 | 159 | 15 | 23 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 141 | 408 | 259 | 380 | 145 | 315 | 70 | 82 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 2433 | 1020 | 1020 |  |  | 498 | 498 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  |  | 140 | 200 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 6 |  | 22 |  | 8 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 2 |  | 10 |  | 21 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 3: SW 108th Avenue/Residential Driveway \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 34 | 88 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 34 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 19 | 65 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 610 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 4: SW 108th Avenue \& North Access

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 36 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 10 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 35 | 21 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 298 | 298 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 5: SW 108th Avenue \& South Access

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 6: SW 124th Avenue \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 55 | 96 | 84 | 140 | 70 | 149 | 244 | 89 | 196 | 201 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 16 | 37 | 35 | 68 | 17 | 67 | 112 | 33 | 57 | 79 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 43 | 72 | 71 | 119 | 57 | 126 | 208 | 71 | 149 | 164 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1012 |  | 1222 |  | 626 | 626 |  | 1020 | 1020 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 165 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  | 145 |  | 155 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 7: SW 118th Drive/JAE Access \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 74 | 80 | 57 | 49 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 40 | 47 | 16 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 62 | 70 | 39 | 38 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1222 | 997 | 1700 | 258 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 8: SW Leveton Drive \& West Driveway

| Movement | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 57 | 13 | 71 | 96 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 19 | 1 | 35 | 53 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 51 | 7 | 60 | 84 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 997 | 840 | 632 | 632 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 9: SW Leveton Drive \& Center Driveway

| Movement | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 24 | 11 | 57 | 49 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 0 | 23 | 21 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 16 | 6 | 50 | 48 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 840 | 377 | 642 | 642 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 10: Calmax Driveway/East Driveway \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 17 | 15 | 57 | 82 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 1 | 10 | 37 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 8 | 8 | 38 | 64 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 377 | 535 | 195 | 312 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 11: SW 108th Avenue \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 78 | 24 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 39 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 62 | 16 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 535 | 766 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: SW Herman Road \& SW 108th Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 39 | 139 | 227 | 126 | 44 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 | 56 | 114 | 57 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 25 | 105 | 197 | 102 | 25 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1535 | 2089 |  | 766 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 100 |  |  | 130 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zone Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 209 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 13: SW Teton Street \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 38 | 210 | 72 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 88 | 32 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 32 | 178 | 57 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 481 | 481 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |  |  |

Intersection: 1: Highway 99W/Highway 99 W \& SW 124th Avenue

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | R | T | T | R | L | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 128 | 140 | 188 | 192 | 629 | 648 | 425 | 792 | 802 | 699 |
| Tverage Queue (ft) | 56 | 63 | 74 | 73 | 351 | 347 | 254 | 523 | 426 | 137 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 109 | 118 | 145 | 144 | 549 | 570 | 439 | 830 | 801 | 602 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 499 | 499 |  |  | 1713 | 1713 |  |  | 493 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1724 | 1724 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 300 | 300 |  |  | 225 | 700 | 700 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 12 | 9 | 2 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 112 | 66 | 35 | 8 | 0 |

Intersection: 2: SW 124th Avenue \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 121 | 110 | 138 | 242 | 70 | 360 | 251 | 219 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 44 | 37 | 39 | 89 | 20 | 147 | 46 | 57 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 90 | 76 | 95 | 179 | 52 | 294 | 150 | 143 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 2433 | 1020 | 1020 |  |  | 499 | 499 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  |  | 140 | 200 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 3 |  | 4 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 1 |  | 16 | 2 |  |

Intersection: 3: SW 108th Avenue/Residential Driveway \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 56 | 71 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 23 | 16 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 50 | 46 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 615 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 3 |  |

Intersection: 4: SW 108th Avenue \& North Access

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | $R$ | L | R | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 12 | 31 | 31 | 24 | 62 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 8 | 40 | 24 | 13 | 44 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 450 | 450 | 308 | 308 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: SW 108th Avenue \& South Access

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 12 | 31 | 34 | 22 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4 | 23 | 19 | 12 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 464 | 464 | 170 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: SW 124th Avenue \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 61 | 96 | 67 | 46 | 58 | 98 | 204 | 183 | 171 | 190 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 42 | 18 | 15 | 17 | 33 | 85 | 69 | 52 | 74 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 32 | 79 | 50 | 41 | 47 | 74 | 162 | 129 | 124 | 152 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1012 |  | 1222 |  | 626 | 626 |  | 1020 | 1020 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 165 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  | 145 |  | 155 |  |  | 10 | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |

## Intersection: 7: SW 118th Drive/JAE Access \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 145 | 83 | 59 | 11 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 63 | 30 | 20 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 104 | 62 | 51 | 6 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1222 | 997 | 1700 | 258 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 8: SW Leveton Drive \& West Driveway

| Movement | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 83 | 13 | 59 | 76 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 22 | 1 | 10 | 20 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 65 | 7 | 42 | 57 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 997 | 840 | 632 | 632 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 9: SW Leveton Drive \& Center Driveway

| Movement | EB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 62 | 36 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 10 | 6 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 39 | 28 | 27 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 840 | 642 | 642 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 10: Calmax Driveway/East Driveway \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 24 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 195 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

## Intersection: 11: SW 108th Avenue \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 121 | 64 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 56 | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 96 | 40 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 535 | 766 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: SW Herman Road \& SW 108th Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 42 | 161 | 230 | 80 | 33 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 7 | 42 | 72 | 26 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 29 | 112 | 161 | 56 | 19 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1535 | 2089 |  | 766 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bk Time (\%) |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Zone Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 13: SW Teton Street \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 50 | 64 | 107 | 74 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 20 | 45 | 38 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 17 | 52 | 80 | 75 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 929 | 570 | 645 | 645 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

## Zone Summary

[^8]Intersection: 1: Highway 99W/Highway 99 W \& SW 124th Avenue

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 457 | 499 | 399 | 339 | 452 | 430 | 279 | 437 | 402 | 303 | 338 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 289 | 309 | 276 | 222 | 298 | 271 | 75 | 273 | 238 | 185 | 196 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 459 | 487 | 423 | 339 | 413 | 390 | 167 | 393 | 361 | 282 | 295 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 498 | 498 |  |  | 1283 | 1283 |  |  |  | 1425 | 1425 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 4 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 225 | 700 | 700 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 300 | 300 |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 14 | 2 | 1 |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 110 | 8 | 4 |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: SW 124th Avenue \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 130 | 383 | 316 | 542 | 225 | 360 | 160 | 178 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 24 | 189 | 137 | 237 | 36 | 160 | 17 | 25 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 84 | 317 | 242 | 454 | 155 | 313 | 88 | 101 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 2433 | 1020 | 1020 |  |  | 498 | 498 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 140 | 200 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  | 24 |  | 8 | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 2 |  | 24 |  | 22 | 1 |  |

Intersection: 3: SW 108th Avenue/Residential Driveway \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 | 102 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 44 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 16 | 85 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 610 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |

Intersection: 4: SW 108th Avenue \& North Access

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | $R$ | L | R | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 40 | 77 | 31 | 24 | 29 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 12 | 42 | 13 | 1 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 38 | 66 | 37 | 11 | 11 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 450 | 450 | 298 | 298 | 184 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: SW 108th Avenue \& South Access

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 58 | 18 | 6 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 10 | 27 | 0 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 33 | 51 | 6 | 4 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 464 | 464 | 166 | 139 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: SW 124th Avenue \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 64 | 87 | 101 | 165 | 66 | 175 | 248 | 112 | 164 | 204 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 16 | 38 | 47 | 82 | 19 | 75 | 125 | 42 | 56 | 82 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 45 | 74 | 88 | 135 | 59 | 137 | 214 | 83 | 137 | 168 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1012 |  | 1222 |  | 626 | 626 |  | 1020 | 1020 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  | 145 |  | 155 |  |  | 165 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 7: SW 118th Drive/JAE Access \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 85 | 95 | 50 | 39 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 43 | 56 | 17 | 14 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 68 | 81 | 39 | 38 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1222 | 997 | 1700 | 258 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 8: SW Leveton Drive \& West Driveway

| Movement | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 81 | 8 | 80 | 98 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 21 | 0 | 39 | 53 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 57 | 4 | 66 | 88 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 997 | 840 | 632 | 632 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 9: SW Leveton Drive \& Center Driveway

| Movement | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 47 | 11 | 60 | 63 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 | 0 | 25 | 28 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 28 | 4 | 54 | 56 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 840 | 377 | 642 | 642 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 10: Calmax Driveway/East Driveway \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 60 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 39 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 195 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

## Intersection: 11: SW 108th Avenue \& SW Leveton Drive

| Movement | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 81 | 52 | 18 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 40 | 21 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 63 | 49 | 7 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 535 | 766 | 166 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: SW Herman Road \& SW 108th Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 39 | 150 | 269 | 141 | 32 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 | 64 | 134 | 74 | 5 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 24 | 117 | 234 | 124 | 21 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1535 | 2089 |  | 766 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 100 |  |  | 130 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zone Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 201 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 13: SW Teton Street \& SW Tualatin Road

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 24 | 56 | 279 | 57 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 11 | 100 | 31 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 11 | 39 | 217 | 51 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 924 |  | 481 | 481 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 50 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 |  |  |

## Zone Summary

[^9]APPENDIX K. WARRANTS

Project: Lam Research New Building
Job \#: 2220087.00
Date: 8/15/2022
Subject: Left-Turn Lane Evaluation - 108th at North Site Access

| Condition | Posted Speed | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Approaching |  | Opposing |  | Left | Result | Approaching |  | Opposing |  | Left | Result |
|  |  | Vol | Lanes | Vol | Lanes |  |  | Vol | Lanes | Vol | Lanes |  |  |
| Existing | 40 | 22 | 1 | 44 | 1 | 0 | None | 34 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 0 | None |
| Pre-Dev | 40 | 22 | 1 | 65 | 1 | 0 | None | 44 | 1 | 26 | 1 | 0 | None |
| Post-Dev | 40 | 205 | 1 | 83 | 1 | 183 | Possible Lane | 71 | 1 | 26 | 1 | 25 | None |



* ((Advancing volume/number of advancing through lanes) + (opposing volume/number of opposing through lanes))

Project: Lam Research New Building
Job \#: 2220087.00
Date: 8/15/2022
Subject: Right-Turn Lane Evaluation - 108th at North Site Access

| Condition | Posted <br> Speed | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Volume |  | Result | Volume |  | Result |
|  |  | Approaching | Right |  | Approaching | Right |  |
| Existing | 40 | 44 | 0 | None | 22 | 0 | None |
| 2024 Pre | 40 | 65 | 0 | None | 26 | 0 | None |
| 2024 Post | 40 | 83 | 19 | None | 30 | 4 | None |



Source: Texas Transportation Institute
Note: If there is no right-turn lane, a shoulder needs to be provided.

Project: Lam Research New Building
Job \#: 2220087.00
Date: 8/15/2022
Subject: Left-Turn Lane Evaluation - 108th at South Site Access

| Condition | Posted Speed | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Approaching |  | Opposing |  | Left | Result | Approaching |  | Opposing |  | Left | Result |
|  |  | Vol | Lanes | Vol | Lanes |  |  | Vol | Lanes | Vol | Lanes |  |  |
| Existing | 40 | 22 | 1 | 44 | 1 | 0 | None | 34 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 0 | None |
| Pre-Dev | 40 | 22 | 1 | 65 | 1 | 0 | None | 45 | 1 | 26 | 1 | 0 | None |
| Post-Dev | 40 | 262 | 1 | 84 | 1 | 58 | Possible Lane | 66 | 1 | 185 | 1 | 8 | None |



Source: Texas Transportation Institute

* ((Advancing volume/number of advancing through lanes) + (opposing volume/number of opposing through lanes))

Project: Lam Research New Building
Job \#: 2220087.00
Date: 8/15/2022
Subject: Right-Turn Lane Evaluation - 108th at South Site Access

| Condition | Posted Speed | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Volume |  | Result | Volume |  | Result |
|  |  | Approaching | Right |  | Approaching | Right |  |
| Existing | 40 | 44 | 0 | None | 22 | 0 | None |
| 2024 Pre | 40 | 65 | 0 | None | 26 | 0 | None |
| 2024 Post | 40 | 84 | 9 | None | 185 | 2 | None |



Source: Texas Transportation Institute
Note: If there is no right-turn lane, a shoulder needs to be provided.

| INTERSECTION INFORMATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City: | Tualatin |  | Condition: | Future 20 | New La |  |
| Population: <br> Intersection Location: <br> (Rural/Urban) | $27,000$ <br> Urban |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street Name: <br> Number of Moving | SW 108th |  | Minor Street Name: <br> Number of Moving | SW Levet |  |  |
| Lanes for Each Approach: | 1 |  | Lanes for Each Approach: |  |  |  |
| Speed: | 40 mph |  | Speed: | 40 mph |  |  |
| Street |  |  | Street |  |  |  |
| Width: | 36 ft |  | Width: | 36 ft |  |  |
| Direction: | NB | SB | Direction: | EB | WB | Total |
| Hour Beginning: |  |  | Hour Beginning: |  |  |  |
| 12:00 AM |  |  | 12:00 AM |  |  | 0 |
| 1:00 AM |  |  | 1:00 AM |  |  | 0 |
| 2:00 AM |  |  | 2:00 AM |  |  | 0 |
| 3:00 AM |  |  | 3:00 AM |  |  | 0 |
| 4:00 AM |  |  | 4:00 AM |  |  | 0 |
| 5:00 AM |  |  | 5:00 AM |  |  | 0 |
| 6:00 AM |  |  | 6:00 AM |  |  | 0 |
| 7:00 AM | 237 | 79 | 7:00 AM | 171 |  | 487 |
| 8:00 AM |  |  | 8:00 AM |  |  | 0 |
| 9:00 AM |  |  | 9:00 AM |  |  | 0 |
| 10:00 AM |  |  | 10:00 AM |  |  | 0 |
| 11:00 AM |  |  | 11:00 AM |  |  | 0 |
| 12:00 PM |  |  | 12:00 PM |  |  | 0 |
| 1:00 PM |  |  | 1:00 PM |  |  | 0 |
| 2:00 PM |  |  | 2:00 PM |  |  | 0 |
| 3:00 PM |  |  | 3:00 PM |  |  | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 120 | 232 | 4:00 PM | 165 |  | 517 |
| 5:00 PM |  |  | 5:00 PM |  |  | 0 |
| 6:00 PM |  |  | 6:00 PM |  |  | 0 |
| 7:00 PM |  |  | 7:00 PM |  |  | 0 |
| 8:00 PM |  |  | 8:00 PM |  |  | 0 |
| 9:00 PM |  |  | 9:00 PM |  |  | 0 |
| 10:00 PM |  |  | 10:00 PM |  |  | 0 |
| 11:00 PM |  |  | 11:00 PM |  |  | 0 |
| 24-hour Total | 357 | 311 | 24-hour Total | 336 | 0 | 1,004 |

## Warrants Evaluted:

Warrant 1, 8-Hour Vehicular Volume - Evaluated for Conditions A \& B
Warrant 2 , 4-Hour Vehicular Volume - Evaluated
Warrant 3, Peak Hour - Evaluated for Conditions A-2, A-3 (A-1 needs to be evaluated separately), and Condition B
Warrant 4, Pedestrian Volume - Not Analyzed
Warrant 5, School Crossing - Not Analyzed
Warrant 6, Coordinated Signal System - Not Analyzed
Warrant 7, Accident Experience - Not Analyzed
Warrant 8, Roadway Network - Not Analyzed
Warrant 9, Intersection Near a Grade Crossing - Not Analyzed




## Warrants Evaluted:

Warrant 1, 8-Hour Vehicular Volume - Evaluated for Conditions A \& B
Warrant 2 , 4-Hour Vehicular Volume - Evaluated
Warrant 3, Peak Hour - Evaluated for Conditions A-2, A-3 (A-1 needs to be evaluated separately), and Condition B
Warrant 4, Pedestrian Volume - Not Analyzed
Warrant 5, School Crossing - Not Analyzed
Warrant 6, Coordinated Signal System - Not Analyzed
Warrant 7, Accident Experience - Not Analyzed
Warrant 8, Roadway Network - Not Analyzed
Warrant 9, Intersection Near a Grade Crossing - Not Analyzed


| WARRANT 1, 8-HOUR VEHICULAR VOLUME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MAJOR |  |  | MINOR |  |  | $\underline{\text { A }}$ | B |
|  | EB | WB | Total | NB | SB | Max |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 406 | 608 | 1014 | 239 | 0 | 239 | Y | Y |
| 5:00 PM | 361 | 576 | 937 | 169 | 0 | 169 | N | Y |
| 3:00 PM | 420 | 494 | 914 | 176 | 0 | 176 | N | Y |
| 8:00 AM | 538 | 328 | 866 | 128 | 0 | 128 | N | Y |
| 12:00 PM | 413 | 414 | 827 | 119 | 0 | 119 | N | Y |
| 7:00 AM | 576 | 282 | 858 | 69 | 0 | 69 | N | N |
| 2:00 PM | 333 | 419 | 752 | 148 | 0 | 148 | N | Y |
| 1:00 PM | 400 | 396 | 796 | 84 | 0 | 84 | N | N |
| 11:00 AM | 396 | 333 | 729 | 115 | 0 | 115 | N | N |
| 9:00 AM | 334 | 268 | 602 | 105 | 0 | 105 | N | N |
| 10:00 AM | 311 | 305 | 616 | 90 | 0 | 90 | N | N |
| 6:00 PM | 223 | 302 | 525 | 107 | 0 | 107 | N | N |
| Warrant Requirements: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street Lanes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Street Lanes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CONDITION A - Minimum Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Volume on | Major | Approa |  | 500 |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Volume on | or Str | roach: |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| CONDITION B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Volume on | Major | Approa |  | 750 |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Volume on | or Str | roach: |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| IS CONDITION A OF S | RRAN |  |  | NO |  |  |  |  |
| IS CONDITION B OF S | RRAN |  |  | NO |  |  |  |  |
| IS COMBINED CONDI | B ME | LEVE |  | NO |  |  |  |  |
| Note: Signal Warrant 1 is met if either Condition A or Condition B is met. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| WARRANT 2, FOUR HOUR VEHICULAR VOLUME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MAJOR |  |  | MINOR |  |  | Calculated <br> Threshold |  |
|  | EB | WB | Total | NB | SB | Max |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 406 | 608 | 1,014 | 239 | 0 | 239 | 144 | Y |
| 5:00 PM | 361 | 576 | 937 | 169 | 0 | 169 | 164 | Y |
| 3:00 PM | 420 | 494 | 914 | 176 | 0 | 176 | 170 | Y |
| 8:00 AM | 538 | 328 | 866 | 128 | 0 | 128 | 185 | N |
| 12:00 PM | 413 | 414 | 827 | 119 | 0 | 119 | 197 | $N$ |
| 7:00 AM | 576 | 282 | 858 | 69 | 0 | 69 | 187 | $N$ |
| 2:00 PM | 333 | 419 | 752 | 148 | 0 | 148 | 224 | N |
| 1:00 PM | 400 | 396 | 796 | 84 | 0 | 84 | 208 | N |
| Warrant Requirements: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street Lanes: 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Street Lanes: 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IS SIGNAL WARRANT 2 MET? | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## I. PROJECT INTRODUCTION

Lam Research is proposing to construct a new office building at their existing campus on SW Leveton Drive in Tualatin, Oregon. The new building will be located east of the existing Building C and south of Building B. In support of the expected additional employees on site, Lam also proposes new parking in the east and northwest portions of campus. The vicinity map and site plan below show the location and layout of the site relative to nearby geographic features.

Figure 1: Vicinity Map
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Figure 2: Overall Site Plan


Stormwater drainage and treatment will be provided in accordance with current Clean Water Services and City of Tualatin standards through a series of facilities across campus:

- NW Parking, west side: one (1) new vegetated swale
- NW Parking, east side: one (1) new vegetated rain garden
- NE Parking: one (1) new vegetated rain garden
- SE Parking: two (2) new vegetated swales
- Pedestrian plaza: two (2) new vegetated rain gardens and one (1) modified existing rain garden
- Three (3) existing extended dry basins to remain and one (1) extended dry basin to be expanded with additional detention storage

The following summarizes the design for the proposed stormwater management approach.

## II. STORMWATER QUALITY TREATMENT

Water quality treatment at the new development on the Lampus will be provided through a collection of existing, modified, and new facilities. The following summarizes the facilities within each drainage basin on site:

## Drainage Basin A

Proposed improvements within Basin A include new parking along the existing north drive aisle, located in areas that are currently surfaced with vegetation, gravel, and paving. Runoff from new paved areas will be captured in catch basins and routed to a swale at the west end of the new parking.

- New impervious area: 31,704 sf
- WQV: 951 cf
- WQF: 0.07 cfs
- $\quad$ Swale residence time: 19.1 minutes

Swale calculations are provided in Appendix B of this report.

## Drainage Basin B

Proposed improvements within Basin B include new parking along the existing north drive aisle, located in areas that are currently surfaced with vegetation, gravel, and paving. Runoff from new paved areas will be captured in catch basins and routed to a rain garden at the east end of the new parking. Rain Garden $B-1$ is sized using the Simplified Method:

- New impervious area: 9,770 sf
- $6 \%$ minimum facility size: 586 sf
- Provided facility size: 816 sf

Rain Garden calculations are provided in Appendix B of this report.

## Drainage Basin C

Proposed improvements within Basin C include new parking, re-paved parking, a pedestrian plaza, and the proposed Building G footprint. Runoff from the new impervious surfaces will be routed to existing and new storm treatment facilities.

## Basin C Rain Gardens

Existing Rain Garden C-1 was designed circa 2016 to treat runoff from approximately 9,967 sf modified parking south of Building A , which resulted in a facility footprint of approximately 698 sf . The rain garden is proposed to be modified to accommodate a new pedestrian path, and will be reduced to approximately 385 sf, which would result in a treatment capacity of approximately $6,417 \mathrm{sf}$. This leaves approximately 3,550 sf of existing impervious area capacity to be restored in Basin C.

Proposed Rain Garden C-2 and C-3 will be added to treat runoff from the adjacent parking and plaza improvements, as well as handle treatment lost from the Rain Garden C-1 modification.

Proposed Rain Garden C-4 will be added to treat runoff from new parking northeast of Building A.

Each of the new Basin C rain gardens is sized per the Simplified Method guidelines, using a 6-percent sizing factor on the design impervious area.

| TABLE 1: RAIN GARDEN SIZING SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Design Impervious Area <br> $(\mathrm{sf})$ | Minimum Rain Garden Size <br> per 6\% Factor (sf) | Provided Rain Garden Size <br> (sf) |  |
| Existing Rain Garden C-1 | 9,967 | 598 | 385 |  |
| Rain Garden C-2 | 6,319 | 379 | 615 |  |
| Rain Garden C-3 | 9,108 | 546 | 559 |  |
| Rain Garden C-4 | 14,116 | 847 | 978 |  |
| Total | 39,510 | 2,370 | 2,537 |  |

As demonstrated above, the proposed rain garden sizing within Basin $C$ provides treatment capacity within the basin to accommodate approximately $39,510 \mathrm{sf}$ of impervious area and make up for the reduced capacity of Rain Garden C-1.

## Existing Pond C

The existing Pond C extended dry basin was designed circa 2001 and was sized at the time to accommodate the planned build-out of the campus, which included up to approximately 12.55 acres of total impervious area within Basin C. The water quality design storm has not been changed from the 0.36 inches rainfall that was used in the 2001 calculations.

Current site review indicates the existing Basin C comprises approximately 7.45 acres of impervious area, resulting in approximately 5.10 acres of impervious area runoff capacity available in the existing Pond $C$.

The proposed improvements result in a total impervious area coverage of approximately 8.43 acres. Per Table 1 above, approximately 0.91 acres of impervious area runoff is handled in the new rain garden facilities in Basin C. Therefore, the added design impervious surface to Pond C is calculated as:

$$
\Delta A=(8.43-0.91)-7.45=0.07 a c
$$

Therefore, Pond C water quality treatment capacity has approximately 5.03 acres of available impervious area coverage on site after the proposed development is completed.

## Drainage Basin D

Proposed improvements within Basin D include new parking to expand the existing southeast parking lot. Runoff from the new impervious surfaces will be routed to existing and new storm treatment facilities.

## Basin D Swales

Proposed Swales D-1 and D-2 will be added to treat runoff from the adjacent parking lots along the east side of the Lam campus.

## TABLE 2: SWALE TABLE SIZING SUMMARY

| Development Site | Swale D-1 | Swale D-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Impervious Area (sf) | 36,094 | 49,985 |
| Water Quality Volume (cf) | 1,083 | 1,500 |
| Water Quality Flow (cfs) | 0.08 | 0.10 |
| Residence Time (min) | 9.27 | 9.16 |

## Existing Pond D

The existing Pond D extended dry basin was designed circa 2016 and was constructed to handle runoff from the new southeast parking lot and adjacent paving area comprising approximately 66,647 sf of impervious area.

The proposed improvements will add approximately 39,695 sf resulting in a total impervious area flowing to Pond D of approximately 106,342 sf. The water quality volume for Pond $D$ is calculated as:

The existing Pond D provides approximately 2,003 cf of storage, so the pond will be expanded to the west approximately 80 feet to provide additional water quality storage volume in the pond. The existing outlet structure location at the east end of the pond will remain.

## III. STORMWATER QUANTITY MANAGEMENT

The proposed development at the Lam Research campus will add impervious surface to each of the four (4) drainage basins on site. Mitigation of increased peak flows will be provided through increased detention capacity in Pond D, which will be expanded toward the west.

Ponds A, B, and C, and D were designed in 2001 and 2017 under prior Clean Water Services regulations which required that runoff from the developed Lam Research site be mitigated through detention to match the pre-development peak rates for the 2 -year through 25 -year storm events.

Current standards require assessment of hydromodification risk based on the project site and development footprint. Per Clean Water Services standards, the proposed development falls into Category 2.

Figure 3: Project Site Hydromodification Map


Figure 4: Hydromodification Risk Assessment

| Development Class/ Risk Level | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small Project } \\ \mathbf{1 , 0 0 0 - 1 2 , 0 0 0 ~ S F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medium Project } \\ >12,000-80,000 \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ | Large Project $>80,000 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expansion/High | Category 1 |  | Category 3 |
| Expansion/ Moderate |  |  |  |
| Expansion/Low |  | Category 2 |  |
| Developed/ High |  | Category 3 |  |
| Developed/ Moderate |  | Category 2 | Category 2 |
| Developed/Low |  |  |  |

Under current Clean Water Services Category 2 hydromodification standards, new development shall provide detention to reduce the 2 -year storm developed peak flow to match one-half of the predeveloped 2 -year storm peak runoff, and to match pre-development peak flows for larger storm events.

In order to calculate the required detention and mitigated release rate from the campus development under blended standards, we calculated the allowable peak flows from existing (pre-2019) development on campus and new (2022 proposed) development. Pre-development peak flows are calculated using on a curve number of 73 . The following table summarizes the allowed peak flow from the site.

| TABLE 3: PRE-DEVELOPMENT PEAK FLOWS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site Coverage | Basin Area (ac) | 2-year Storm Pre- <br> Developed Maximum <br> Allowed Flow (cfs) | 25-year Storm Pre- <br> Developed Maximum <br> Allowed Flow (cfs) |
| Pre-2019 Existing Campus | 51.54 | 3.184 | 1.681 |
| Proposed 2022 <br> Development Area | 6.47 | 0.200 | 13.39 |
| Total Campus | 58.01 | 3.384 | 15.07 |

Post-development runoff is calculated using curve numbers of 98 for impervious areas (building roofs, paving, gravel), 76 for existing landscape areas, and 74 for new landscape areas. The runoff from each drainage basin on site is calculated based on the proposed site coverage, and calculated for flow through the existing detention ponds.

TABLE 4: POST-DEVELOPMENT SITE COVERAGE SUMMARY

|  | Basin A (ac) | Basin B (ac) | Basin C (ac) | Basin D (ac) | Total Site (ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impervious | 10.13 | 10.59 | 8.43 | 4.42 | 33.57 |
| Existing Landscape | 5.89 | 4.19 | 10.09 | 1.04 | 21.21 |
| New Landscape | 0 | 0 | 1.43 | 1.80 | 3.23 |
| Total Area | 16.02 | 14.78 | 19.95 | 7.26 | 58.01 |

The overall site flow is calculated as the combined flow from the four ponds, and the Pond D storage will be adjusted to reduce the post-development total flow to match the pre-development limits listed above. The following table summarizes the flow from each pond and the total site outflow.

| TABLE 5: DETAINED RELEASE FLOW SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pond A (ac) | Pond B (ac) | Pond C (ac) | Pond D | Total Site (ac) |  |
| Post-Development | $2-\mathrm{yr}: 5.92$ | $2-\mathrm{yr}: 6.20$ | $2-\mathrm{yr}: 5.15$ | $2-\mathrm{yr}: 2.51$ | $2-\mathrm{yr}: 19.76$ |  |
|  | $10-\mathrm{yr}: 9.58$ | $10-\mathrm{yr}: 9.63$ | $10-\mathrm{yr}: 9.30$ | $10-\mathrm{yr}: 4.14$ | $10-\mathrm{yr}: 32.64$ |  |
|  | $25-\mathrm{yr}: 11.34$ | $25-\mathrm{yr}: 11.26$ | $25-\mathrm{yr}: 11.38$ | $25-\mathrm{yr}: 4.94$ | $25-\mathrm{yr}: 38.91$ |  |
| Detained Release Flow | $2-\mathrm{yr}: 0.93$ | $2-\mathrm{yr}: 1.02$ | $2-\mathrm{yr}: 1.11$ | $2-\mathrm{yr}: 0.32$ | $2-\mathrm{yr}: 3.32$ |  |
|  | $10-\mathrm{yr}: 2.76$ | $10-\mathrm{yr}: 1.35$ | $10-\mathrm{yr}: 1.58$ | $10-\mathrm{yr}: 1.25$ | $10-\mathrm{yr}: 6.74$ |  |
|  | $25-\mathrm{yr}: 4.15$ | $25-\mathrm{yr}: 1.48$ | $25-\mathrm{yr}: 1.91$ | $25-\mathrm{yr}: 2.10$ | $25-\mathrm{yr}: 9.04$ |  |

The existing Ponds $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C will remain as-is, and Pond D will be expanded to provide additional detention storage. Approximately 20,600 cf of additional storage is required within Pond D, which is provided by extending the west edge of the pond approximately 80 feet. No modifications to the existing outlet structure or orifice are required. Detailed detention calculations are provided in Appendix C of this report.

## IV. CONCLUSION

The proposed building and parking expansion at Lam Research will increase the impervious area coverage on campus by approximately 4.27 ac . Stormwater runoff from the new impervious area will be treated for water quality through existing extended dry basins, new swales, and new rain gardens.

Detention will be provided to new Clean Water Services standards to meet hydromodification requirements for new impervious area. The existing detention ponds will be supplemented with expansion at Pond $D$ to provide storage to meet new detention requirements.

## APPENDIX A BASIN MAPS
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APPENDIX B WATER QUALITY SIZING

Per 2019 Clean Water Services Design \& Construction Standards (D\&CS)

| Project Name: | Lam Research - Swale A-1 | By: | SJS | Checked: | BDN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Number: | 2220087.00 | Date: | $8 / 15 / 2022$ | Date: | 8/16/2022 |


| From WQF and WQV Calculator |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Required Treatment Area (A): | WQV $=$ | $31,704 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Water Quality Volume (WQV): | WQF $=$ | $951 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ |
| Water Quality Flow (WQF): |  | $0.07 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |


| User Entry Variables |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slope | $\mathrm{S}=$ | $0.016 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| de Slopes | $\mathrm{H}=$ | 4 |
| Se Slopes | $\mathrm{V}=$ | 1 |
| Swale Length | $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ | 220 ft |
| Swale Bottom Width | w $=$ | 2.5 ft |
| Manning's N -Value | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 0.24 |

Calculations
Swale Cross-Sectional Area

Water Quality Depth
Velocity
Residence time

Manning's Equation
Manning's Equation
$\square$


## Vegetated Swale Design Criteria

| Minimum Slope = | 0.005 | D\&CS 4.09.4.c. 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Side Slopes = | 4:1 | D\&CS 4.09.4.c.8A |
| Minimum Swale Length = | 100 feet | D\&CS 4.09.4.c. 3 |
| Minimum Flat Bottom Width = | 2 feet | D\&CS 4.09.4.c. 5 |
| Manning's n-value = | 0.24 | D\&CS 4.09.4.b. 5 |
| Minimum Freeboard $=$ | 1 foot | D\&CS 4.09.4.b. 4 |
| Maximum Depth = | 6 inches | D\&CS 4.09.4.c. 6 |
| Maximum Velocity = | $2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | D\&CS 4.09.4.b. 6 |
| Minimum Residence Time $=$ | 9 minutes | D\&CS 4.09.4.b. 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} A R^{2 / 3} & =\frac{Q * n}{1.49 \sqrt{S}} \\ A R^{2 / 3} & =d w+d^{2} \tan \theta\left[\frac{d w+d^{2} \tan \theta}{\left(w+2 \frac{d}{\cos \theta}\right)^{2 / 3}}\right] \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Per 2019 Clean Water Services Design \& Construction Standards

| Project Name: | Lam Research - Rain Garden B-1 | By: | SJS | Checked: | BDN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Number: | 2220087.00 | Date: | 8/15/2022 | Date: | 8/16/2022 |
| User Entry Variables Impervious Area Infiltration Rate | 9,770 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ <br> 0.5 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ | Notes/Design Criteria  <br> Maximum Contributing Impervious Area $15,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ <br> Sizing factors assume a maximum infiltration rate of $2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ <br> If infiltration rate exceeds $2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$, the simplified method may be oversizing your facility  |  |  |  |
| From WQF and WQV Calculator <br> Required Treatment Area (A): | $9,770 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Calculations <br> LIDA Facility Size (WQ ONLY) <br> LIDA Facility Size (WQ + Hydromodification) | $\begin{array}{r} 586 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\ 1,172 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \end{array}$ | Sizing factor $=6 \%$ <br> Sizing factor $=12 \%$$\quad$ CWS Design \& Construction Standards - Section 4.08.4bCWS Design \& Construction Standards - Section 4.08.4c |  |  |  |

LIDA Facilities to be used with Simplified Sizing

## Flow-Through Plante

CWS LIDA Handbook Dwg No 794 (2016)


LIDA Swale
CWS LIDA Handbook Dwg No 795 (2016)


## Vegetated Filter Strip



Per 2019 Clean Water Services Design \& Construction Standards

| Project Name: | Lam Research - Rain Garden C-2 | By: | SJS | Checked: | BDN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Number: | 2220087.00 | Date: | 8/15/2022 | Date: | 8/16/2022 |
| User Entry Variables Impervious Area Infiltration Rate | 6,319 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ <br> 0.5 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ | Notes/Design Criteria  <br> Maximum Contributing Impervious Area $15,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ <br> Sizing factors assume a maximum infiltration rate of $2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ <br> If infiltration rate exceeds $2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$, the simplified method may be oversizing your facility  |  |  |  |
| From WQF and WQV Calculator <br> Required Treatment Area (A): | $6,319 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Calculations <br> LIDA Facility Size (WQ ONLY) <br> LIDA Facility Size (WQ + Hydromodification) | $\begin{aligned} & 379 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\ & 758 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Sizing factor $=6 \%$ <br> Sizing factor $=12 \%$$\quad$ CWS Design \& Construction Standards - Section 4.08.4bCWS Design \& Construction Standards - Section 4.08.4c |  |  |  |

LIDA Facilities to be used with Simplified Sizing

## Flow-Through Plante

CWS LIDA Handbook Dwg No 794 (2016)


LIDA Swale
CWS LIDA Handbook Dwg No 795 (2016)


## Vegetated Filter Strip



Per 2019 Clean Water Services Design \& Construction Standards

| Project Name: | Lam Research - Rain Garden C-3 | By: | SJS | Checked: | BDN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Number: | 2220087.00 | Date: | 8/15/2022 | Date: | 8/16/2022 |
| User Entry Variables Impervious Area Infiltration Rate | 9,108 <br> 0.5 $\mathrm{ft}^{2} \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ | Notes/Design Criteria  <br> Maximum Contributing Impervious Area $15,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ <br> Sizing factors assume a maximum infiltration rate of $2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ <br> If infiltration rate exceeds $2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$, the simplified method may be oversizing your facility  |  |  |  |
| From WQF and WQV Calculator <br> Required Treatment Area (A): | $9,108 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Calculations <br> LIDA Facility Size (WQ ONLY) <br> LIDA Facility Size (WQ + Hydromodification) | $\begin{array}{r} 546 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\ 1,093 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \end{array}$ | Sizing factor $=6 \%$ <br> Sizing factor $=12 \%$$\quad$ CWS Design \& Construction Standards - Section 4.08.4bCWS Design \& Construction Standards - Section 4.08.4c |  |  |  |

LIDA Facilities to be used with Simplified Sizing

## Flow-Through Plante

CWS LIDA Handbook Dwg No 794 (2016)


LIDA Swale
CWS LIDA Handbook Dwg No 795 (2016)


## Vegetated Filter Strip



Per 2019 Clean Water Services Design \& Construction Standards

| Project Name: | Lam Research - Rain Garden C-4 | By: | SJS | Checked: | BDN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Number: | 2220087.00 | Date | 8/15/2022 | Date: | 8/16/2022 |
| User Entry Variables Impervious Area Infiltration Rate | 14,116 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ <br> 0.5 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ | Notes/Design Criteria <br> Maximum Contributing Impervious Area $15,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ <br> Sizing factors assume a maximum infiltration rate of <br> If infiltration rate exceeds $2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$, the simplified method may be oversizing your facility |  |  |  |
| From WQF and WQV Calculator Required Treatment Area (A): | 14,116 ft ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Calculations <br> LIDA Facility Size (WQ ONLY) <br> LIDA Facility Size (WQ + Hydromodification) | $\begin{aligned} 847 & \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\ 1,694 & \mathrm{ft}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Sizing factor $=6 \%$ <br> Sizing factor $=12 \%$$\quad$ CWS Design \& Construction Standards - Section 4.08.4b |  |  |  |

LIDA Facilities to be used with Simplified Sizing

## Flow-Through Plante

CWS LIDA Handbook Dwg No 794 (2016)


LIDA Swale
CWS LIDA Handbook Dwg No 795 (2016)


## Vegetated Filter Strip



Per 2019 Clean Water Services Design \& Construction Standards (D\&CS)

| Project Name: | Lam Research - Swale D-1 | By: | SJS | Checked: | BDN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Number: | 2220087.00 | Date: | $8 / 15 / 2022$ | Date: | 8/16/2022 |


| From WQF and WQV Calculator |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| Required Treatment Area (A): | A $=$ | $36,094 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Water Quality Volume (WQV): | WQV $=$ | $1,083 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ |
| Water Quality Flow (WQF): | WQF $=$ | $0.08 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |


| User Entry Variables |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slope | S $=$ | $0.045 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Side Slopes | H = | 4 |
| Side Slopes | $\mathrm{V}=$ | 1 |
| Swale Length | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {s }}=$ | 100 ft |
| Swale Bottom Width | w $=$ | 8 ft |
| Manning's N -Value | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 0.24 |

Calculations
Swale Cross-Sectional Area

Water Quality Depth
Velocity
Residence time
Manning's Equation
Manning's Equation

## Equations

$$
A R^{2 / 3}=\frac{Q * n}{1.49 \sqrt{S}} \quad A=(d * w)+d^{2} \tan \theta \quad R=\frac{w d+H d^{2}}{w+2 d H}
$$



## Vegetated Swale Design Criteria

| Minimum Slope = | 0.005 | D\&CS 4.09.4.c. 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Side Slopes = | 4:1 | D\&CS 4.09.4.c.8A |
| Minimum Swale Length = | 100 feet | D\&CS 4.09.4.c. 3 |
| Minimum Flat Bottom Width = | 2 feet | D\&CS 4.09.4.c. 5 |
| Manning's n-value = | 0.24 | D\&CS 4.09.4.b. 5 |
| Minimum Freeboard $=$ | 1 foot | D\&CS 4.09.4.b. 4 |
| Maximum Depth = | 6 inches | D\&CS 4.09.4.c. 6 |
| Maximum Velocity = | $2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | D\&CS 4.09.4.b. 6 |
| Minimum Residence Time $=$ | 9 minutes | D\&CS 4.09.4.b. 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} A R^{2 / 3} & =\frac{Q * n}{1.49 \sqrt{S}} \\ A R^{2 / 3} & =d w+d^{2} \tan \theta\left[\frac{d w+d^{2} \tan \theta}{\left(w+2 \frac{d}{\cos \theta}\right)^{2 / 3}}\right] \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Per 2019 Clean Water Services Design \& Construction Standards (D\&CS)

| Project Name: | Lam Research - Swale D-2 | By: | SJS | Checked: | BDN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Number: | 2220087.00 | Date: | $8 / 15 / 2022$ | Date: | 8/16/2022 |


| From WQF and WQV Calculator |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| Required Treatment Area (A): | A $=$ | $49,985 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Water Quality Volume (WQV): | WQV $=$ | $1,500 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ |
| Water Quality Flow (WQF): | WQF $=$ | $0.10 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |


| User Entry Variables |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slope | $\mathrm{S}=$ | 0.04 | ft/ft |
| Slopes | $\mathrm{H}=$ | 4 |  |
| , | $V=$ | 1 |  |
| Swale Length | $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ | 100 ft |  |
| Swale Bottom Width | w $=$ | 10 fl |  |
| Manning's N -Value | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 0.24 |  |

Calculations
Swale Cross-Sectional Area

Water Quality Depth
Velocity
Residence time

Manning's Equation
Manning's Equation

Equations

$$
A R^{2 / 3}=\frac{Q * n}{1.49 \sqrt{S}} \quad A=(d * w)+d^{2} \tan \theta \quad R=\frac{w d+H d^{2}}{w+2 d H}
$$



## Vegetated Swale Design Criteria

| Minimum Slope = | 0.005 | D\&CS 4.09.4.c. 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Side Slopes = | 4:1 | D\&CS 4.09.4.c.8A |
| Minimum Swale Length = | 100 feet | D\&CS 4.09.4.c. 3 |
| Minimum Flat Bottom Width = | 2 feet | D\&CS 4.09.4.c. 5 |
| Manning's n-value = | 0.24 | D\&CS 4.09.4.b. 5 |
| Minimum Freeboard $=$ | 1 foot | D\&CS 4.09.4.b. 4 |
| Maximum Depth = | 6 inches | D\&CS 4.09.4.c. 6 |
| Maximum Velocity = | $2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | D\&CS 4.09.4.b. 6 |
| Minimum Residence Time $=$ | 9 minutes | D\&CS 4.09.4.b. 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} A R^{2 / 3} & =\frac{Q * n}{1.49 \sqrt{S}} \\ A R^{2 / 3} & =d w+d^{2} \tan \theta\left[\frac{d w+d^{2} \tan \theta}{\left(w+2 \frac{d}{\cos \theta}\right)^{2 / 3}}\right] \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

## APPENDIX C <br> DETENTION CALCULATIONS

## Hydrograph Return Period Recap



| Hyd. No. | Hydrograph type (origin) | Peak <br> flow <br> (cfs) | Time interval (min) | Time to Peak (min) | Hyd. volume (cuft) | Inflow hyd(s) | Maximum elevation (ft) | Total strge used (cuft) | Hydrograph Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SCS Runoff | 3.584 | 2 | 498 | 119,526 | ------ | --- | ------ | Pre-Dev Overall Site |
| 2 | SCS Runoff | 0.400 | 2 | 498 | 13,331 | --- | ---- | ---- | Pre-Dev New/Modified Site |
| 3 | SCS Runoff | 3.184 | 2 | 498 | 106,195 | ------ | ----- | ------ | Pre-Dev Undisturbed Site |
| 5 | SCS Runoff | 5.919 | 2 | 480 | 86,804 | ------ | ------ | ------ | Basin A - Dev |
| 6 | SCS Runoff | 6.196 | 2 | 480 | 88,568 | ------ | ------ | ------ | Basin B - Dev |
| 7 | SCS Runoff | 5.151 | 2 | 482 | 83,209 | ------ | -- | -- | Basin C-Dev |
| 8 | SCS Runoff | 2.509 | 2 | 480 | 37,379 | ------ | ------ | ------ | Basin D - Dev |
| 10 | Combine | 19.76 | 2 | 480 | 295,959 | $\begin{gathered} 5,6,7 \\ 8, \end{gathered}$ | ---- | --- | Full Site - Developed |
| 12 | Reservoir | 0.933 | 2 | 700 | 59,839 | 5 | 141.62 | 23,045 | Pond A Outflow |
| 13 | Reservoir | 1.019 | 2 | 674 | 62,077 | 6 | 138.65 | 23,468 | Pond B Outflow |
| 14 | Reservoir | 1.107 | 2 | 686 | 66,295 | 7 | 137.50 | 17,368 | Pond C Outflow |
| 15 | Reservoir | 0.315 | 2 | 816 | 14,110 | 8 | 137.04 | 15,366 | Pond D Outflow |
| 17 | Combine | 3.320 | 2 | 806 | 202,321 | $\begin{gathered} 12,13,14, \\ 15, \end{gathered}$ | ------ | ----- | Total site outflow |

## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 1

Pre-Dev Overall Site

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=3.584 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=2 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.30 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=119,526 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=58.010 \mathrm{ac}$ | Curve number | $=73$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=\mathrm{User}$ | Time of conc. $(\mathrm{Tc})$ | $=30.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=2.50 \mathrm{in}$ | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |



## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 2

Pre-Dev New/Modified Site

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=0.400 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=2 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.30 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=13,331 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=6.470 \mathrm{ac}$ | Curve number | $=73$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=U s e r$ | Time of conc. $(\mathrm{Tc})$ | $=30.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=2.50 \mathrm{in}$ | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |



## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 3

Pre-Dev Undisturbed Site

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=3.184 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=2 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.30 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=106,195 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=51.540$ ac | Curve number | $=73$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=\mathrm{User}$ | Time of conc. (Tc) | $=30.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=2.50$ in | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |



## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 5

Basin A - Dev

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=5.919 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=2 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.00 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=86,804 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=16.020 \mathrm{ac}$ | Curve number | $=90^{*}$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=U s e r$ | Time of conc. $($ Tc $)$ | $=15.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=2.50 \mathrm{in}$ | Distribution | $=\mathrm{Type} \mathrm{IA}$ |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |

[^10]

## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 6

Basin B - Dev

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=6.196 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=2 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.00 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=88,568 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=14.780 \mathrm{ac}$ | Curve number | $=92^{*}$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=U s e r$ | Time of conc. $($ Tc $)$ | $=15.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=2.50 \mathrm{in}$ | Distribution | $=\mathrm{Type} \mathrm{IA}$ |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |

[^11]

## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 7

Basin C - Dev

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=5.151 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=2 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.03 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=83,209 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=19.950 \mathrm{ac}$ | Curve number | $=85^{*}$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=U s e r$ | Time of conc. $(\mathrm{Tc})$ | $=15.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=2.50 \mathrm{in}$ | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |

[^12]

## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 8

Basin D - Dev

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=2.509 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=2 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.00 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=37,379 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=7.260 \mathrm{ac}$ | Curve number | $=89^{*}$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=U s e r$ | Time of conc. $($ Tc $)$ | $=15.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=2.50 \mathrm{in}$ | Distribution | $=\mathrm{Type} \mathrm{IA}$ |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |

[^13]

## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 10

Full Site - Developed

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Combine | Peak discharge | $=19.76 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=2 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.00 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=295,959 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyds. | $=5,6,7,8$ | Contrib. drain. area | $=58.010 \mathrm{ac}$ |



## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 12

Pond A Outflow

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Reservoir | Peak discharge | $=0.933 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=2$ yrs | Time to peak | $=11.67 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2$ min | Hyd. volume | $=59,839 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyd. No. | $=5-$ Basin A - Dev | Max. Elevation | $=141.62 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Reservoir name | $=$ Existing Pond A | Max. Storage | $=23,045 \mathrm{cuft}$ |

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.


## Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2021
Tuesday, 08 / 16 / 2022
Pond No. 1 - Existing Pond A
Pond Data
Contours -User-defined contour areas. Conic method used for volume calculation. Begining Elevation $=139.75 \mathrm{ft}$
Stage / Storage Table


Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s).

| Stage (ft) Stage / Discharge |
| :--- |

## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 13

Pond B Outflow

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Reservoir | Peak discharge | $=1.019 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=2$ yrs | Time to peak | $=11.23 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=62,077 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyd. No. | $=6-$ Basin B - Dev | Max. Elevation | $=138.65 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Reservoir name | $=$ Existing Pond B | Max. Storage | $=23,468 \mathrm{cuft}$ |

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.


## Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2021

## Pond No. 2-Existing Pond B

## Pond Data

Contours -User-defined contour areas. Conic method used for volume calculation. Begining Elevation $=136.75 \mathrm{ft}$

## Stage / Storage Table

| Stage (ft) | Elevation (ft) | Contour area (sqft) | Incr. Storage (cuft) | Total storage (cuft) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 136.75 |  |  |  |
| 0.25 | 137.00 | 10,363 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 138.00 | 10,849 | 2,651 | 2,651 |
| 2.25 | 139.00 | 14,951 | 11,835 | 14,486 |
| 3.25 | 140.00 | 17,023 | 15,866 | 28,352 |
| 4.25 | 141.00 | 18,697 | 17,852 | 44,304 |
|  |  |  |  | 62,156 |

## Culvert / Orifice Structures Weir Structures

|  | [A] | [B] | [C] | [PrfRsr] |  | [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rise (in) | $=5.48$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Crest Len (ft) | $=0.00$ | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Span (in) | $=5.48$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Crest El. (ft) | $=0.00$ | 140.97 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| No. Barrels | $=1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weir Coeff. | $=3.33$ | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 |
| Invert El. (ft) | $=136.75$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Weir Type | = --- | Rect | --- | --- |
| Length (ft) | $=0.00$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Multi-Stage | $=$ No | No | No | No |
| Slope (\%) | $=0.00$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | n/a |  |  |  |  |  |
| N-Value | $=.013$ | . 013 | . 013 | n/a |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orifice Coeff. | $=0.60$ | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | Exfil.(in/hr) | $=1.000$ | ontour) |  |  |
| Multi-Stage | $=\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | No | No | No | TW Elev. (ft) | $=0.00$ |  |  |  |

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s).

| Stage (ft) |
| :--- |

## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 14

Pond C Outflow

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Reservoir | Peak discharge | $=1.107 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=2$ yrs | Time to peak | $=11.43 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=66,295 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyd. No. | $=7-$ Basin C - Dev | Max. Elevation | $=137.50 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Reservoir name | $=$ Existing Pond C | Max. Storage | $=17,368 \mathrm{cuft}$ |

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.


## Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2021

## Pond No. 3 - Existing Pond C

Pond Data
Contours -User-defined contour areas. Conic method used for volume calculation. Begining Elevation $=135.52 \mathrm{ft}$

## Stage / Storage Table

| Stage (ft) | Elevation (ft) | Contour area (sqft) | Incr. Storage (cuft) | Total storage (cuft) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 135.52 |  |  |  |
| 0.48 | 136.00 | 7,150 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.48 | 137.00 | 7,894 | 3,609 | 3,609 |
| 2.48 | 138.00 | 9,427 | 10,648 | 12,257 |
| 3.48 | 139.00 | 12,729 | 11,872 | 22,478 |
| 4.48 | 140.00 | 14,519 | 13,613 | 34,350 |
| 4.98 | 140.50 | 15,405 | 7,479 | 47,963 |
|  |  |  | 55,442 |  |

## Culvert / Orifice Structures

|  | [A] | [B] | [C] | [PrfRsr] |  | [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rise (in) | $=5.65$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Crest Len (ft) | $=0.00$ | 2.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 |
| Span (in) | $=5.65$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Crest El. (ft) | $=0.00$ | 140.06 | 140.45 | 0.00 |
| No. Barrels | = 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weir Coeff. | $=3.33$ | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 |
| Invert El. (ft) | $=135.52$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Weir Type | = --- | Rect | Rect | --- |
| Length (ft) | $=0.00$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Multi-Stage | $=$ No | No | No | No |
| Slope (\%) | $=0.00$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | n/a |  |  |  |  |  |
| N -Value | $=.013$ | . 013 | . 013 | n/a |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orifice Coeff. | $=0.60$ | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | Exfil.(in/hr) | $=1.000$ | ontour) |  |  |
| Multi-Stage | = n/a | No | No | No | TW Elev. (ft) | $=0.00$ |  |  |  |

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s).


## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 15

Pond D Outflow

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Reservoir | Peak discharge | $=0.315 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=2$ yrs | Time to peak | $=13.60 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=14,110 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyd. No. | $=8-$ Basin D - Dev | Max. Elevation | $=137.04 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Reservoir name | $=$ Modified Pond D | Max. Storage | $=15,366 \mathrm{cuft}$ |

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.


## Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2021
Tuesday, 08 / 16 / 2022

## Pond No. 5 - Modified Pond D

## Pond Data

Trapezoid -Bottom $L \times W=190.0 \times 25.0 \mathrm{ft}$, Side slope $=3.00: 1$, Bottom elev. $=134.63 \mathrm{ft}$, Depth $=5.00 \mathrm{ft}$

## Stage / Storage Table

| Stage (ft) | Elevation (ft) | Contour area (sqft) | Incr. Storage (cuft) | Total storage (cuft) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 134.63 | 4,750 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.50 | 135.13 | 5,404 | 2,538 | 2,538 |
| 1.00 | 135.63 | 6,076 | 2,869 | 5,407 |
| 1.50 | 136.13 | 6,766 | 3,210 | 8,617 |
| 2.00 | 136.63 | 7,474 | 3,559 | 12,176 |
| 2.50 | 137.13 | 8,200 | 3,918 | 16,094 |
| 3.00 | 137.63 | 8,944 | 4,285 | 20,379 |
| 3.50 | 138.13 | 9,706 | 4,662 | 25,041 |
| 4.00 | 138.63 | 10,486 | 5,047 | 30,088 |
| 4.50 | 139.13 | 11,284 | 5,442 | 35,530 |
| 5.00 | 139.63 | 12,100 | 5,845 | 41,375 |

## Culvert / Orifice Structures

|  |  | [A] | [B] | [C] | [PrfRsr] |  | [A] | [B] | [C] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | [D]

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s).


## Hydrograph Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2021

## Hyd. No. 17

Total site outflow

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Combine | Peak discharge | $=3.320 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=2$ yrs | Time to peak | $=13.43 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=202,321 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyds. | $=12,13,14,15$ | Contrib. drain. area | $=0.000 \mathrm{ac}$ |

now hys.

Total site outflow


| Hyd. No. | Hydrograph type (origin) | Peak <br> flow <br> (cfs) | Time interval (min) | Time to Peak (min) | Hyd. <br> volume (cuft) | Inflow hyd(s) | Maximum elevation (ft) | Total strge used (cuft) | Hydrograph Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SCS Runoff | 10.91 | 2 | 492 | 241,352 | ------ | ---- | ------ | Pre-Dev Overall Site |
| 2 | SCS Runoff | 1.217 | 2 | 492 | 26,919 | --- | ---- | ---- | Pre-Dev New/Modified Site |
| 3 | SCS Runoff | 9.694 | 2 | 492 | 214,434 | ------ | ----- | ------ | Pre-Dev Undisturbed Site |
| 5 | SCS Runoff | 9.576 | 2 | 480 | 136,145 | ------ | ------ | ------ | Basin A - Dev |
| 6 | SCS Runoff | 9.629 | 2 | 480 | 135,426 | ------ | ------ | ------ | Basin B - Dev |
| 7 | SCS Runoff | 9.296 | 2 | 480 | 139,303 | ------ | --- | -- | Basin C-Dev |
| 8 | SCS Runoff | 4.144 | 2 | 480 | 59,381 | ------ | ------ | ------ | Basin D - Dev |
| 10 | Combine | 32.64 | 2 | 480 | 470,255 | $\begin{gathered} 5,6,7 \\ 8, \end{gathered}$ | -- | --- | Full Site - Developed |
| 12 | Reservoir | 2.756 | 2 | 548 | 103,407 | 5 | 142.31 | 33,341 | Pond A Outflow |
| 13 | Reservoir | 1.350 | 2 | 714 | 99,737 | 6 | 139.91 | 42,836 | Pond B Outflow |
| 14 | Reservoir | 1.579 | 2 | 814 | 114,183 | 7 | 139.31 | 38,509 | Pond C Outflow |
| 15 | Reservoir | 1.253 | 2 | 544 | 35,019 | 8 | 137.19 | 16,630 | Pond D Outflow |
| 17 | Combine | 6.736 | 2 | 548 | 352,345 | $\begin{gathered} 12,13,14, \\ 15, \end{gathered}$ | ------ | ----- | Total site outflow |

## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 1

Pre-Dev Overall Site

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=10.91 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=10 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.20 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=241,352 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=58.010$ ac | Curve number | $=73$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=\mathrm{User}$ | Time of conc. (Tc) | $=30.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=3.45 \mathrm{in}$ | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |



## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 2

Pre-Dev New/Modified Site

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff |
| :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=10 \mathrm{yrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Drainage area | $=6.470 \mathrm{ac}$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ |
| Tc method | $=\mathrm{User}$ |
| Total precip. | $=3.45 \mathrm{in}$ |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ |


| Peak discharge | $=1.217 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Time to peak | $=8.20 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Hyd. volume | $=26,919 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Curve number | $=73$ |
| Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Time of conc. $(\mathrm{Tc})$ | $=30.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Distribution | $=T y p e \mathrm{IA}$ |
| Shape factor | $=484$ |

## Pre-Dev New/Modified Site



## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 3

Pre-Dev Undisturbed Site

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=9.694 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=10$ yrs | Time to peak | $=8.20 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=214,434 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=51.540 \mathrm{ac}$ | Curve number | $=73$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=\mathrm{User}$ | Time of conc. (Tc) | $=30.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=3.45 \mathrm{in}$ | Distribution | $=\mathrm{Type} \mathrm{IA}$ |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |



## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 5

Basin A - Dev

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=9.576 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=10 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.00 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=136,145 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=16.020 \mathrm{ac}$ | Curve number | $=90^{*}$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=\mathrm{User}$ | Time of conc. $(\mathrm{Tc})$ | $=15.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=3.45 \mathrm{in}$ | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |

[^14]

## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 6

Basin B - Dev

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=9.629 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=10$ yrs | Time to peak | $=8.00 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=135,426 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=14.780$ ac | Curve number | $=92^{*}$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=\mathrm{User}$ | Time of conc. (Tc) | $=15.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=3.45 \mathrm{in}$ | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |

* Composite $($ Area/CN $)=[(3.350 \times 98)+(7.020 \times 98)+(4.190 \times 76)+(0.220 \times 98)] / 14.780$



## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 7

Basin C - Dev

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=9.296 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=10 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.00 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=139,303 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=19.950$ ac | Curve number | $=85^{*}$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=\mathrm{User}$ | Time of conc. (Tc) | $=15.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=3.45 \mathrm{in}$ | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |

[^15]

## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 8

Basin D - Dev

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=4.144 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=10 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.00 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=59,381 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=7.260 \mathrm{ac}$ | Curve number | $=89^{*}$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=U s e r$ | Time of conc. (Tc) | $=15.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=3.45 \mathrm{in}$ | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |

[^16]

## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 10

Full Site - Developed

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Combine | Peak discharge | $=32.64 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=10$ yrs | Time to peak | $=8.00 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=470,255 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyds. | $=5,6,7,8$ | Contrib. drain. area | $=58.010 \mathrm{ac}$ |

Full Site - Developed


## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 12

## Pond A Outflow

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Reservoir | Peak discharge | $=2.756 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=10 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=9.13 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=103,407 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyd. No. | $=5-$ Basin A - Dev | Max. Elevation | $=142.31 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Reservoir name | $=$ Existing Pond A | Max. Storage | $=33,341 \mathrm{cuft}$ |

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.

Pond A Outflow
Q (cfs)


## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 13

Pond B Outflow

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Reservoir | Peak discharge | $=1.350 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=10 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=11.90 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=99,737 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyd. No. | $=6-$ Basin B - Dev | Max. Elevation | $=139.91 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Reservoir name | $=$ Existing Pond B | Max. Storage | $=42,836 \mathrm{cuft}$ |

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.

Pond B Outflow
Q (cfs)
Hyd. No. 13 -- 10 Year


## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 14

Pond C Outflow

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Reservoir | Peak discharge | $=1.579 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=10$ yrs | Time to peak | $=13.57 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=114,183 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyd. No. | $=7-$ Basin C - Dev | Max. Elevation | $=139.31 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Reservoir name | $=$ Existing Pond C | Max. Storage | $=38,509 \mathrm{cuft}$ |

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.

## Pond C Outflow

Q (cfs)
Hyd. No. 14 -- 10 Year


## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 15

Pond D Outflow

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Reservoir | Peak discharge | $=1.253 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=10 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=9.07 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=35,019 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyd. No. | $=8-$ Basin D - Dev | Max. Elevation | $=137.19 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Reservoir name | $=$ Modified Pond D | Max. Storage | $=16,630 \mathrm{cuft}$ |

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.


## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 17

Total site outflow

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Combine | Peak discharge | $=6.736 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=10$ yrs | Time to peak | $=9.13 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=352,345 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyds. | $=12,13,14,15$ | Contrib. drain. area | $=0.000 \mathrm{ac}$ |



| Hyd. No. | Hydrograph type (origin) | Peak <br> flow <br> (cfs) | Time interval (min) | Time to Peak (min) | Hyd. <br> volume (cuft) | Inflow hyd(s) | Maximum elevation (ft) | Total strge used (cuft) | Hydrograph Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SCS Runoff | 15.07 | 2 | 492 | 306,623 | ------ | --- | ------ | Pre-Dev Overall Site |
| 2 | SCS Runoff | 1.681 | 2 | 492 | 34,198 | --- | ---- | ---- | Pre-Dev New/Modified Site |
| 3 | SCS Runoff | 13.39 | 2 | 492 | 272,424 | ------ | ----- | ------ | Pre-Dev Undisturbed Site |
| 5 | SCS Runoff | 11.34 | 2 | 480 | 160,144 | ------ | ------ | - | Basin A - Dev |
| 6 | SCS Runoff | 11.26 | 2 | 480 | 158,032 | ------ | ------ | ------ | Basin B - Dev |
| 7 | SCS Runoff | 11.38 | 2 | 480 | 167,245 | ------ | -- | -- | Basin C-Dev |
| 8 | SCS Runoff | 4.937 | 2 | 480 | 70,130 | ------ | ------ | ------ | Basin D - Dev |
| 10 | Combine | 38.91 | 2 | 480 | 555,550 | $\begin{gathered} 5,6,7 \\ 8, \end{gathered}$ | ---- | --- | Full Site - Developed |
| 12 | Reservoir | 4.153 | 2 | 524 | 126,143 | 5 | 142.51 | 36,436 | Pond A Outflow |
| 13 | Reservoir | 1.482 | 2 | 808 | 117,852 | 6 | 140.51 | 53,417 | Pond B Outflow |
| 14 | Reservoir | 1.909 | 2 | 808 | 137,895 | 7 | 140.14 | 50,056 | Pond C Outflow |
| 15 | Reservoir | 2.099 | 2 | 508 | 45,410 | 8 | 137.30 | 17,521 | Pond D Outflow |
| 17 | Combine | 9.040 | 2 | 514 | 427,299 | $\begin{gathered} 12,13,14, \\ 15, \end{gathered}$ | ------ | ------ | Total site outflow |

## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 1

Pre-Dev Overall Site

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=15.07 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=25 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.20 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=306,623 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=58.010 \mathrm{ac}$ | Curve number | $=73$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=\mathrm{User}$ | Time of conc. $(\mathrm{Tc})$ | $=30.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=3.90$ in | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |



## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 2

Pre-Dev New/Modified Site

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=1.681 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=25 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.20 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=34,198 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=6.470$ ac | Curve number | $=73$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=U s e r$ | Time of conc. (Tc) | $=30.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=3.90$ in | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |



## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 3

Pre-Dev Undisturbed Site

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=13.39 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=25 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.20 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=272,424 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=51.540$ ac | Curve number | $=73$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=\mathrm{User}$ | Time of conc. (Tc) | $=30.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=3.90$ in | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |



## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 5

Basin A - Dev

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=11.34 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=25 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.00 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=160,144 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=16.020 \mathrm{ac}$ | Curve number | $=90^{*}$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=\mathrm{User}$ | Time of conc. $(\mathrm{Tc})$ | $=15.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=3.90$ in | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |

[^17]

## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 6

Basin B - Dev

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=11.26 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=25 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.00 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=158,032 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=14.780$ ac | Curve number | $=92^{*}$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=\mathrm{User}$ | Time of conc. (Tc) | $=15.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=3.90$ in | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |

${ }^{*}$ Composite $($ Area/CN $)=[(3.350 \times 98)+(7.020 \times 98)+(4.190 \times 76)+(0.220 \times 98)] / 14.780$


## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 7

Basin C - Dev

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=11.38 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=25 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.00 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=167,245 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=19.950$ ac | Curve number | $=85^{*}$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=\mathrm{User}$ | Time of conc. (Tc) | $=15.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=3.90 \mathrm{in}$ | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |

[^18]

## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 8

Basin D - Dev

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=4.937 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=25 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.00 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=70,130 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=7.260 \mathrm{ac}$ | Curve number | $=89^{*}$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=U s e r$ | Time of conc. (Tc) | $=15.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=3.90$ in | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |

[^19]
## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 10

Full Site - Developed

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Combine | Peak discharge | $=38.91 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=25 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.00 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=555,550 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyds. | $=5,6,7,8$ | Contrib. drain. area | $=58.010 \mathrm{ac}$ |



## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 12

Pond A Outflow

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Reservoir | Peak discharge | $=4.153 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=25 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.73 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=126,143 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyd. No. | $=5-$ Basin A - Dev | Max. Elevation | $=142.51 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Reservoir name | $=$ Existing Pond A | Max. Storage | $=36,436 \mathrm{cuft}$ |

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.

Pond A Outflow


## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 13

## Pond B Outflow

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Reservoir | Peak discharge | $=1.482 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=25 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=13.47 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=117,852 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyd. No. | $=6-$ Basin B - Dev | Max. Elevation | $=140.51 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Reservoir name | $=$ Existing Pond B | Max. Storage | $=53,417 \mathrm{cuft}$ |

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.

## Pond B Outflow



## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 14

Pond C Outflow

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Reservoir | Peak discharge | $=1.909 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=25 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=13.47 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=137,895 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyd. No. | $=7-$ Basin C - Dev | Max. Elevation | $=140.14 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Reservoir name | $=$ Existing Pond C | Max. Storage | $=50,056 \mathrm{cuft}$ |

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.

## Pond C Outflow



## Hydrograph Report

Hyd. No. 15
Pond D Outflow

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Reservoir | Peak discharge | $=2.099 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=25 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.47 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=45,410 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyd. No. | $=8-$ Basin D - Dev | Max. Elevation | $=137.30 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Reservoir name | $=$ Modified Pond D | Max. Storage | $=17,521 \mathrm{cuft}$ |

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.

Pond D Outflow


## Hydrograph Report

## Hyd. No. 17

Total site outflow

| Hydrograph type | $=$ Combine | Peak discharge | $=9.040 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=25 \mathrm{yrs}$ | Time to peak | $=8.57 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=427,299 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Inflow hyds. | $=12,13,14,15$ | Contrib. drain. area | $=0.000 \mathrm{ac}$ |

Total site outflow
Q (cfs)
Hyd. No. 17 -- 25 Year


## Hydraflow Rainfall Report

| Return <br> Period <br> (Yrs) | Intensity-Duration-Frequency Equation Coefficients (FHA) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | D | E | (N/A) |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | --- |
| 2 | 6.3201 | 2.1000 | 0.6144 | -- |
| 3 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | -------- |
| 5 | 7.9532 | 1.6000 | 0.6153 | -------- |
| 10 | 12.9652 | 3.7000 | 0.6766 | -------- |
| 25 | 16.1446 | 4.6000 | 0.6900 | -------- |
| 50 | 23.8777 | 6.0000 | 0.7457 | -------- |
| 100 | 24.1258 | 5.6000 | 0.7114 | -------- |

File name: CWS IDF curve.IDF

## Intensity = B / (Tc + D)^E

| Return <br> Period (Yrs) | Intensity Values (in/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 min | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1.90 | 1.37 | 1.10 | 0.94 | 0.83 | 0.75 | 0.69 | 0.63 | 0.59 | 0.56 | 0.53 | 0.50 |
| 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 5 | 2.49 | 1.76 | 1.41 | 1.20 | 1.06 | 0.95 | 0.87 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.66 | 0.63 |
| 10 | 3.00 | 2.21 | 1.79 | 1.52 | 1.34 | 1.20 | 1.09 | 1.01 | 0.94 | 0.88 | 0.82 | 0.78 |
| 25 | 3.39 | 2.54 | 2.07 | 1.77 | 1.56 | 1.40 | 1.28 | 1.18 | 1.09 | 1.02 | 0.96 | 0.91 |
| 50 | 3.99 | 3.02 | 2.47 | 2.10 | 1.84 | 1.65 | 1.50 | 1.37 | 1.27 | 1.19 | 1.11 | 1.05 |
| 100 | 4.50 | 3.42 | 2.80 | 2.40 | 2.12 | 1.90 | 1.73 | 1.59 | 1.48 | 1.38 | 1.30 | 1.23 |

Tc $=$ time in minutes. Values may exceed 60.

| Storm Distribution | Rainfall Precipitation Table (in) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-yr | 2-yr | 3-yr | 5-yr | 10-yr | 25-yr | 50-yr | 100-yr |
| SCS 24-hour | 0.00 | 2.50 | 0.00 | 3.10 | 3.45 | 3.90 | 4.20 | 4.50 |
| SCS 6-Hr | 0.00 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 1.25 | 1.55 | 1.70 | 1.80 | 1.90 |
| Huff-1st | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Huff-2nd | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Huff-3rd | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Huff-4th | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Huff-Indy | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Custom | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

APPENDIX D PROPOSED SITE PLANS
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# Storm Calculations Novellus Tualatin, Oregon 

 $3 \cdot 5$

EXPIRES:12/31/0)

Project Number:000321
Dated: 2/14/01
REVISED: $3 / 6 / 01$

## Description:

Novellus is located on the northwest corner of SW Leveton Drive and SW 108th Avenue. The site is comprised of approximately 58 acres. The site currently has two buildings remaining from the previous Oki site. The two buildings were purchased by Novellus along with the property.

Water quality will be provided for all of the site including the existing impervious areas. Water quality will be provided to meet USA requirements which are to treat the "summer" storm or the first $0.36^{\prime \prime}$ of rainfall falling in a four hour period. Dry detention ponds with a permanent pool will be the method employed to accomplish treatment. The ponds are sized for full build out of the Novellus Master Plan as submitted in the Industrial Master Plan with the City of Tualatin.

Detention will be provided to limit runoff from the site to match existing runoff for storms upto the 25 yr event. Each pond will serve approximately $25 \%$ of the full built out site. The SCS based software program "WaterWorks" has been used to design the detention ponds.

All pipes have been designed to convey the 25 yr storm using SCS methodology.

## Table of Contents

A. Vicinity Map
B. Site Map
C. Areas with full 'build-out'
D. Water Quality Volumes required
E. Detention Summary
F. SCS Soils Map
G. SCS Soils Classification

H, SCS Curve Number
I. Pond 'A' Total Volume
J. Pond 'A' Detention Volume
K. Water Quality Orifice Sizing
L. Pond 'A' Pond Outlet
M. Pond 'A' computer detention calc's
N. Pond ' $B$ ' Total Volume
O. Pond ' $B$ ' Detention Volume
P. Water Quality Orifice Sizing
Q. Pond ' $B$ ' Pond Outlet
R. Pond ' $B$ ' computer detention calc's
S. Pond ' C ' Total Volume
T. Pond 'C' Detention Volume
U. Water Quality Orifice Sizing
V. Pond ' C ' Pond Outlet
W. Pond ' C ' computer detention calc's

X . Pipe sizing areas
Y. Computer volumes for pipe sizing
Z. Pipe sizing calc's

Attachment 'A' Drainage Map



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { 'C' AREAS } \\
\text { POND 'A' }
\end{array} \\
& \text { TOTAL AREA }=599000 \phi=13.22 \mathrm{AK} \\
& \text { PERNHOUS AREA (15\%) }=89.700 \phi=2.06 \mathrm{Ac} \\
& \text { makravious AREA (85\%) }=508,300 \phi 4=11.66 \mathrm{Ac} \\
& \text { POND 'B' } \\
& \text { TOTAL AREA }=598.000 \phi=13.72 \mathrm{AC} \\
& \text { PERVIOUS AREA } \\
& \text { impervious } \\
& =89,7004=2.06 \mathrm{Ac} \\
& =508,300 \neq 1160 \pi \\
& \text { PONC 'c' } \\
& \text { TOTAL PeEA }=643,500 \nleftarrow=1 \% .77 \mathrm{AC} \\
& \text { pervious arefn } \\
& \text { inprevouer Areat }=5+16,975 \phi=12.55 \mathrm{Ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ of $\qquad$
'D' WQ VOLUMES RFQUIRED

POND 'A'

$$
V O L=508,300 \mathrm{ff}^{2} \times 0.36 \mathrm{~m} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{f}}{12 \mathrm{in}}=15,30 \mathrm{ft}^{3}
$$

Pono B'

$$
\text { VOL }=508,3007^{\circ} \times 0.36 \mathrm{~m} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{ft}^{2}}{12 \mathrm{~m}}=\frac{15,2+9 \mathrm{ff}^{2}}{}
$$

Ponr "c'

$$
V o l=546,975 f^{2} \times 0.36 \mathrm{~m} \times \frac{167}{12 \mathrm{im}}=\frac{16,409 \mathrm{if}^{3}}{}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ of $\qquad$
'E' DETENTION SUMMARY

POND ' $A$ '


POND 'B'


POND 'C'

$\qquad$

Date $\qquad$

Job \# $\qquad$

Shit.
©2000 Group Mackenzie. All rights reserved


## 'G' SCS SOl/S $T$ Spe

SOIL SURVEY
Table 13.-Soil and
[Absence of an entry indicates the feature is not a concern. See Glossary for descriptions of such



Table III-1.3 SCS Western Washington Runoff Curve Numbers (Published by SCS in 1982) Runoff curve numbers for selected agricultur, suburban and urban
land use for Type 1A rainfall diatribution, 24-hour storm duration.

| LAND USE DESCRIPTION | CURVE NUMBERS $8 Y$ HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP <br> A <br> A a <br> d) <br> C <br> D |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cultivated land(1): winter condition | 869194 |
| Mountain open areas: low growing brush \& grasslands | $\begin{array}{llll}74 & 82 & 89 & 92\end{array}$ |
| Meadow or pasture: | $\begin{array}{llll}65 & 78 & 85 & 89\end{array}$ |
| Wood or forest land: undisturbed <br> Wood or forest land: young second growth or brush <br> Orchard: with cover crop | 42 64 76 81 <br> 55 72 81 86 <br> 81 83 92 94 |
| Open spaces, lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries,  <br> landscaping.  <br> Good condition: grass cover on $£ 75 \%$ of the <br> Fair condition: area <br> grass cover on $50-75 \%$ of <br> the area | $\begin{array}{cccc} 68 & \text { (80) } & 86 & 90 \\ 77 & 85 & 90 & 92 \end{array}$ |
| Gravel roads \& parking lots: <br> Dirt roads \& parking lots: | $\begin{array}{llll} 76 & 85 & 89 & 91 \\ 72 & 82 & 87 & 89 \end{array}$ |
| Impervious surfaces, pavement, roofs etc. <br> Open water bodies: <br> lakes, wetlands, ponds etc. | 98 98 98 98 <br> 100 100 100 100 |
|  | Separate curve number shall be selected for pervious \& impervious portions of the site or basin |

(1) For a more detailed description of agricultural land use curve numbers refer to National Engineering Handbook, Sec. 4, Hydrology, Chapter 9, August 1972.
(2) Assumes roof and driveway runoff is directed into street/storm aystem.
(3) The remaining pervious areas (lawn) are considered to be in good
condition for these curve numbers.
'I' - POND 'A' TOTAL VOLUME
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { ELEV. } & \text { AREA } \\ \text { (FT) } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Cum. } \\ (S F)\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { VOL } \\ \text { VOL }\end{array} \\ 138 & 7015 \\ (C F)\end{array}\right]$

WQ Release pate

$$
\frac{15,250 \mathrm{ft}^{3}}{48 \mathrm{mes}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{Hn}}{3600 \mathrm{~s}}=0.088 \mathrm{cS}_{3}
$$



MACKENZIE
$\qquad$
Date $\qquad$
Job \# $\qquad$
St. $\qquad$ of $\qquad$

## 'J' - POND 'A' DETENTION VOLUME

| ELEU <br> (FT) | AREA <br> $(S F)$ | Vol <br> (CF) | Cumm <br> Vol <br> (CF) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 139,75 | 10,363 |  |  |
| 140 | 10,849 | 2652 | 2652 |
| 141 | 12,851 | 11,850 | 14,501 |
| 142 | 14,909 | 13,880 | 28,382 |
| 143 | 17,024 | 15,967 | 44,348 |

$\qquad$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K^{\prime}-\frac{W Q \quad O R I F I C E A^{\prime}}{} \\
& Q=C A(2 g h)^{1 / 2} \\
& A=\frac{Q}{C(2 g h)^{1 / 2}} \\
& A=\frac{0.088}{0.62(2 \times 32.2 \times 2.10)^{1 / 2}} \\
& A=0.0122 \angle t \\
& d=\sqrt{\frac{4 A}{\pi}}=0.125^{\prime}=1.50^{\prime \prime} \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


BASIN SUMMARY

BASIN ID: D10 SBUH METHODOLOGY TOTAL AREA $\qquad$ RAINFALL TYPE....:
13.72 Acres TYPE1A
PRECIPITATION
3.45 inches

TIME INTERVAL....: 10.00 min

TIME OF CONC.....: 17.67 min
ABSTRACTION COEFF: 0.20

NAME: DEVELOPED IOYR STORM

|  |  |  | CN. | 98.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TcReach - Sheet | L: 30.00 | ns:0.2400 | p2yr: 2.50 | s:0.0200 |
| TcReach - Sheet | L: 150.00 | ns: 0.0110 | p2yr: 2.50 | $s: 0.0020$ |
| TcReach - Channel | $\mathrm{L}: 1700.00$ | $\mathrm{kc}: 42.00$ | s:0.0100 |  |
| PEAK RATE: 7.93 | cfis VOL: | 3.40 Ac | ft TIME: | 480 min |

BASIN ID: D2
SBUH METHODOLOGY
TOTAL AREA.......: 13.72 Acres TYPE1A
RAINFALI TYPE....:
PRECIPITATION.... :
TIME INTERVAL....:
2.50 inches
10.00 min

TIME OF CONC.
17.67 min

ABSTRACTION COEFF:
0.20

TcReach - Sheet L: $30.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.2400 \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{yr}: 2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0200$
TcReach - Sheet $\mathrm{L}: 150.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.0110 \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{yr}: 2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0020$
TcReach - Channel L:1700.00 kc:42.00 s:0.0100
PEAK RATE: 5.52 cfs VOL: $2.36 \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ TIME: 480 min

NAME: DEVELOPED 25YR STORM

BASIN ID: D25 SBUH METHODOLOGY
TOTAL AREA.......
RAINFALL TYPE....:
PRECIPITATION....: 3.90 inches
TIME INTERVAL.... :
TIME OF CONC.....:
ABSTRACTION COEFF:
13.72 Acres TYPE1A 10.00 min 17.67 min 0.20

BASEFLOWS: 0.00 cfs PERVIOUS AREA

AREA..: 2.06 Acres
CN....: 80.00
IMPERVIOUS AREA
AREA. .: 11.66 Acres
CN....: 98.00
TcReach - Sheet L: $30.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.2400 \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{yr}: 2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0200$
TcReach - Sheet L: $150.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.0110$ p2yr: $2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0020$
TcReach - Channel L:1700.00 kc:42.00 s:0.0100
PEAK RATE: 9.08 cfs VOL: 3.90 Ac -ft TIME: 480 min

BASIN SUMMARY

NAME: EXISTING 1OYR STORM

$$
\text { 13.72 Acres BASEFLOWS: } 0.00 \text { cfs }
$$

BASIN ID: E10 SBUH METHODOLOGY TOTAL AREA. . . . . . RAINFALL TYPE....: PRECIPITATION.... : TIME INTERVAL....: TIME OF CONC. C. . . . . : TYPE1A
3.45 inches 10.00 min

ABSTRACTION COEFF:
38.83 min
0.20 PERVIOUS AREA

AREA. .: 13.72 Acres
CN....: 80.00
IMPERVIOUS AREA
AREA. .: 0.00 Acres
CN....: $\quad 98.00$
TcReach - Sheet L: $300.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.2400$ p2yr: $2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
TcReach - Shallow L: $300.00 \mathrm{ks}: 10.00 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
TcReach - Channel L:1400.00 kc:17.00 s:0.0400
PEAK RATE: 2.90 cfs VOL: $1.83 \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ TIME: 490 min

BASIN ID: E2
SBUH METHODOLOGY TOTAL AREA.......: 13.72 Acres BASEFLOWS: 0.00 cfs RAINFALL TYPE....: TYPE1A
PRECIPITATION....: 2.50 inches
TIME INTERVAL....:
TIME OF CONC.....: 38.83 min
ABSTRACTION COEFF: 0.20

NAME: EXISTING 2YR STORM

## PERVIOUS AREA

AREA..: 13.72 Acres
CN....: 80.00
IMPERVIOUS AREA
AREA..: 0.00 Acres
CN....: $\quad 98.00$

TcReach - Sheet L: 300.00 ns:0.2400 p2yr: 2.50 s:0.0400
TcReach - Shallow L: $300.00 \mathrm{ks}: 10.00 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
TcReach - Channel L:1400.00 kc:17.00 s:0.0400
PEAK RATE: 1.36 cfs VOL: $1.02 \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ TIME: 490 min

BASIN ID: E25 NAME: EXISTING 25YR STORM SBUH METHODOLOGY
TOTAL AREA......: 13.72 Acres BASEFLOWS: 0.00 cfs RAINFALL TYPE....: TYPE1A
PRECIPITATION....: 3.90 inches
TIME INTERVAL....: $\quad 10.00 \mathrm{~min}$
TIME OF CONC.....: 38.83 min
ABSTRACTION COEFF: 0.20
PERVIOUS AREA

| AREA. .: | 13.72 Acres |
| :--- | ---: |
| CN...: | 80.00 |
| MPERVIOUS | AREA |
| AREA..: | 0.00 Acres |
| CN....: | 98.00 |

TcReach - Sheet L: 300.00 ns:0.2400 p2yr: $2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
TcReach - Shallow L: $300.00 \mathrm{ks}: 10.00 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
TcReach - Channel L:1400.00 kc:17.00 s:0.0400
PEAK RATE: 3.71 cfs VOL: $2.24 \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ TIME: 490 min

## NOVELLUS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { HYD } \\ & \text { NUM } \end{aligned}$ | PEAK | TIME | VOLUME | Contrib <br> Area <br> Acres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RUNOFF | OF | OF |  |
|  | RATE | PEAK | HYDRO |  |
|  | cfis | min. | Cf $\backslash$ AcFt |  |
| 1 | 1.356 | 490 | 44270 Cf | 13.72 |
| 2 | 2.902 | 490 | 79526 Cf | 13.72 |
| 3 | 3.714 | 490 | 97581 cf | 13.72 |
| 5 | 5.522 | 480 | 102758 cf | 13.72 |
| 6 | 7.929 | 480 | 148087 Cf | 13.72 |
| 7 | 9.079 | 480 | 169790 cf | 13.72 |
| 10 | 1.355 | 700 | 102983 Cf | 13.72 |
| 11 | 1.584 | 630 | 35096 Cf | 13.72 |
| 12 | 1.570 | 530 | 27536 cf | 13.72 |

## NOVELLUS


ROUTING REPORT

STORAGE LIST ID No. A Description:

MULTIPLE ORIFICE ID No. A
Description:
Outlet Elev: 137.55
Elev: 137.55 ft Orifice Diameter: 5.2617 in .
ROUTING CURVE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ (f E) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STORAGE } \\ & \text { (cf) } \end{aligned}$ | outrlow <br> (cfs) | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ | STAGE (ft) | STORAGE (cf) | OUTFLOW (cfs) | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ | STAGE <br> (ft) | STORAGE <br> (cf) | OUTPLOW (cfs) | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{CEs}-\mathrm{min} \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 137.55 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 139.10 | 3837 | 0.9354 | 13.725 | 140.70 | 24218 | 1.3334 | 82.059 |
| 137.60 | 0.0000 | 0.1680 | 0.1680 | 139.20 | 5022 | 0.9651 | 17.704 | 140.80 | 25606 | 1.3544 | 86.707 |
| 137.70 | 0.0000 | 0.2910 | 0.2910 | 139.30 | 6207 | 0.9939 | 21.683 | 140.90 | 26994 | 1.3751 | 91.355 |
| 137.80 | 0.0000 | 0.3757 | 0.3757 | 139.40 | 7392 | 1.0219 | 25.661 | 141.00 | 28382 | 1.3955 | 96.002 |
| 137.90 | 0.0000 | 0.4445 | 0.4445 | 239.50 | 8577 | 1.0491 | 29.637 | 141.10 | 29979 | 1.4156 | 101.34 |
| 138.00 | 0.0000 | 0.5040 | 0.5040 | 139.60 | 9761 | 1.0757 | 33.614 | 141.20 | 31575 | 1.4354 | 106.69 |
| 138.10 | 0.0000 | 0.5572 | 0.5572 | 139.70 | 10946 | 1.1016 | 37.589 | 141.30 | 33172 | 1.4549 | 112.03 |
| 138.20 | 0.0000 | 0.6057 | 0.6057 | 139.80 | 12131 | 1.1270 | 41.564 | 141.40 | 34768 | 1.4742 | 117.37 |
| 138.30 | 0.0000 | 0.6507 | 0.6507 | 139.90 | 13316 | 1.1517 | 45.539 | 141.50 | 36365 | 1.4932 | 122.71 |
| 138.40 | 0.0000 | 0.6927 | 0.6927 | 140.00 | 14501 | 1.1760 | 49.513 | 141.60 | 37962 | 1.5120 | 128.05 |
| 138.50 | 0.0000 | 0.7323 | 0.7323 | 140.10 | 15889 | 1.1997 | 54.163 | 141.70 | 39558 | 1.5305 | 133.39 |
| 138.60 | 0.0000 | 0.7699 | 0.7699 | 140.20 | 17277 | 1.2230 | 58.814 | 141.80 | 41155 | 1.5489 | 138.73 |
| 138.70 | 0.0000 | 0.8057 | 0.8057 | 140.30 | 18665 | 1.2459 | 63.464 | 141.90 | 42751 | 1.5670 | 144.07 |
| 138.80 | 530.40 | 0.8400 | 2.6080 | 140.40 | 20053 | 1.2684 | 68.113 |  |  |  |  |
| 138.90 | 1591 | 0.8729 | 6.1769 | 140.50 | 21442 | 1.2904 | 72.762 |  |  |  |  |
| 139.00 | 2652 | 0.9047 | 9.7447 | 140.60 | 22830 | 1.3121 | 77.411 |  |  |  |  |

## LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

MATCH Q (cfs) : 1.36 INFLOW Q (cfs): 5.52
PEAK STAGE (ft): 140.80 PEAK OUTFLOW : 1.36
PEAK TIME: 700.00 min .
INFLOW HYD No. : 5 OUTFLOW HYD No.: 10
LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | SUM | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | STAGE | TIME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | m |  |  | (ft) | (min) |
| 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 137.55 | 50.00 |
| 0.0001 | 0.0062 | 0.0000 | 0.0063 | 0.0001 | 0.0062 | 137.55 | 60.00 |
| 0.0062 | 0.0358 | 0.0000 | 0.0420 | 0.0062 | 0.0358 | 137.55 | 70.00 |
| 0.0358 | 0.0902 | 0.0000 | 0.1259 | 0.0358 | 0.0902 | 137.55 | 80.00 |
| 0.0902 | 0.1532 | 0.0000 | 0.2434 | 0.0902 | 0.1532 | 137.55 | 90.00 |
| 0.1532 | 0.2163 | 0.0000 | 0.3695 | 0.1532 | 0.2163 | 137.55 | 100.00 |
| 0.2163 | 0.2756 | 0.0000 | 0.4919 | 0.2163 | 0.2756 | 137.55 | 110.00 |
| 0.2756 | 0.3295 | 0.0000 | 0.6051 | 0.2756 | 0.3295 | 137.55 | 120.00 |
| 0.3295 | 0.3947 | 0.0000 | 0.7242 | 0.3295 | 0.3947 | 137.55 | 130.00 |
| 0.3947 | 0.4686 | 0.0000 | 0.8633 | 0.3947 | 0.4686 | 137.55 | 140.00 |
| 0.4686 | 0.5284 | 0.0000 | 0.9970 | 0.4686 | 0.5284 | 137.55 | 150.00 |
| 0.5284 | 0.5757 | 0.0000 | 1.1042 | 0.5284 | 0.5757 | 137.55 | 160.00 |
| 0.5757 | 0.6188 | 0.0000 | 1.1946 | 0.5757 | 0.6188 | 137.55 | 170.00 |
| 0.6188 | 0.6554 | 0.0000 | 1.2742 | 0.6188 | 0.6554 | 137.55 | 180.00 |
| 0.6554 | 0.6842 | 0.0000 | 1.3396 | 0.6554 | 0.6842 | 137.55 | 190.00 |
| 0.6842 | 0.7129 | 0.0000 | 1.3971 | 0.6842 | 0.7129 | 137.55 | 200.00 |
| 0.7129 | 0.7378 | 0.0000 | 1.4507 | 0.7129 | 0.7378 | 137.55 | 210.00 |
| 0.7378 | 0.7566 | 0.0000 | 1.4944 | 0.7378 | 0.7566 | 137.55 | 220.00 |
| 0.7566 | 0.7773 | 0.0000 | 1.5339 | 0.7566 | 0.7773 | 137.55 | 230.00 |
| 0.7773 | 0.7952 | 0.0000 | 1. 5725 | 0.7773 | 0.7952 | 137.55 | 240.00 |
| 0.7952 | 0.8366 | 0.0000 | 1.6319 | 0.7952 | 0.8366 | 137.55 | 250.00 |
| 0.8366 | 0.8912 | 0.0304 | 1.7583 | 0.8063 | 0.9520 | 138.70 | 260.00 |
| 0.8912 | 0.9280 | 0.1435 | 1.9627 | 0.8085 | 1.1543 | 138.71 | 270.00 |
| 0.9280 | 0.9574 | 0.3419 | 2.2273 | 0.8123 | 1.4150 | 138.72 | 280.00 |
| 0.9574 | 0.9756 | 0.5977 | 2.5306 | 0.8173 | 1.7133 | 138.73 | 290.00 |
| 0.9756 | 0.9904 | 0.8904 | 2.8563 | 0.8230 | 2.0334 | 138.75 | 300.00 |
| 0.9904 | 1.1189 | 1.2043 | 3.3136 | 0.8291 | 2.4846 | 138.77 | 310.00 |
| 1.1189 | 1.3095 | 1.6469 | 4.0754 | 0.8376 | 3.2377 | 138.79 | 320.00 |
| 1.3095 | 1.4235 | 2.3919 | 5.1249 | 0.8458 | 4.2791 | 138.82 | 330.00 |
| 1.4235 | 1.4938 | 3.4237 | 6.3410 | 0.8554 | 5.4856 | 138.85 | 340.00 |
| 1.4938 | 1.5398 | 4.6191 | 7.6527 | 0.8666 | 6.7861 | 138.88 | 350.00 |
| 1.5398 | 1.5727 | 5.9077 | 9.0202 | 0.8784 | 8.1418 | 138.92 | 360.00 |
| 1.5727 | 1.5694 | 7.2514 | 10.393 | 0.8904 | 9.5031 | 138.96 | 370.00 |
| 1.5694 | 1.5448 | 8.6005 | 11.715 | 0.9025 | 10.812 | 138.99 | 380.00 |
| 1.5448 | 1.5328 | 9.8993 | 12.977 | 0.9129 | 12.064 | 139.03 | 390.00 |
| 1.5328 | 1.5311 | 11.141 | 14.205 | 0.9226 | 13.283 | 139.06 | 400.00 |
| 1.5311 | 1.5386 | 12.351 | 15.421 | 0.9320 | 14.489 | 139.09 | 410.00 |
| 1.5386 | 1.5435 | 13.548 | 16.630 | 0.9411 | 15.689 | 139.12 | 420.00 |
| 1.5435 | 1.9599 | 14.739 | 18.242 | 0.9500 | 17.292 | 139.15 | 430.00 |
| 1.9599 | 2.6167 | 16.330 | 20.907 | 0.9620 | 19.945 | 139.19 | 440.00 |
| 2.6167 | 3.0001 | 18.963 | 24.580 | 0.9813 | 23.599 | 139.26 | 450.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUM } \\ & \text { min } \end{aligned}$ | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.0001 | 3.8181 | 22.591 | 29.410 | 1.0074 | 28.402 | 139.35 | 460.00 |
| 3.8181 | 4.8896 | 27.362 | 36.069 | 1.0407 | 35.029 | 139.47 | 470.00 |
| 4.8896 | 5.5224 | 33.944 | 44.356 | 1.0849 | 43.271 | 139.64 | 480.00 |
| 5.5224 | 5.0947 | 42.133 | 52.750 | 1.1376 | 51.613 | 139.84 | 490.00 |
| 5.0947 | 4.0608 | 50.426 | 59.582 | 1.1867 | 58.395 | 140.05 | 500.00 |
| 4.0608 | 3.4876 | 57.174 | 64.722 | 1.2209 | 63.501 | 140.19 | 510.00 |
| 3.4876 | 3.1022 | 62.255 | 68.845 | 1.2461 | 67.599 | 140.30 | 520.00 |
| 3.1022 | 2.8252 | 66.333 | 72.261 | 1.2659 | 70.995 | 140.39 | 530.00 |
| 2.8252 | 2.6735 | 69.713 | 75.211 | 1.2820 | 73.929 | 140.46 | 540.00 |
| 2.6735 | 2.4188 | 72.633 | 77.726 | 1.2959 | 76.430 | 140.53 | 550.00 |
| 2.4188 | 2.1056 | 75.122 | 79.647 | 1.3075 | 78.339 | 140.58 | 560.00 |
| 2.1056 | 1.9318 | 77.023 | 81.060 | 1.3164 | 79.744 | 140.62 | 570.00 |
| 1.9318 | 1.8402 | 78.421 | 82.193 | 1.3228 | 80.870 | 140.65 | 580.00 |
| 1.8402 | 1.7861 | 79.542 | 83.169 | 1.3280 | 81.841 | 140.67 | 590.00 |
| 1.7861 | 1.7572 | 80.508 | 84.052 | 1.3324 | 82.719 | 140.70 | 600.00 |
| 1.7572 | 1.7089 | 81.383 | 84.849 | 1.3364 | 83.512 | 140.71 | 610.00 |
| 1.7089 | 1.6498 | 82.172 | 85.531 | 1.3400 | 84.191 | 140.73 | 620.00 |
| 1.6498 | 1.6177 | 82.848 | 86.115 | 1. 3431 | 84.772 | 140.75 | 630.00 |
| 1.6177 | 1.5965 | 83.427 | 86.641 | 1.3457 | 85.295 | 140.76 | 640.00 |
| 1.5965 | 1.5897 | 83.947 | 87.133 | 1.3481 | 85.785 | 140.77 | 650.00 |
| 1.5897 | 1.5869 | 84.435 | 87.612 | 1.3503 | 86.261 | 140.78 | 660.00 |
| 1.5869 | 1.5149 | 84.909 | 88.011 | 1.3524 | 86.658 | 140.79 | 670.00 |
| 1.5149 | 1.4041 | 85.304 | 88.223 | 1.3542 | 86.869 | 140.80 | 680.00 |
| 1.4041 | 1.3427 | 85.514 | 88.260 | 1.3552 | 86.905 | 140.80 | 690.00 |
| 1.3427 | 1.3131 | 85.550 | 88.206 | 1.3553 | 86.850 | 140.80 | 700.00 |
| 1.3131 | 1.2929 | 85.495 | 88.101 | 1.3551 | 86.746 | 140.80 | 710.00 |
| 1.2929 | 1.2822 | 85.392 | 87.967 | 1.3546 | 86.612 | 140.80 | 720.00 |
| 1.2822 | 1.2808 | 85.258 | 87.821 | 1.3540 | 86.467 | 140.80 | 730.00 |
| 1.2808 | 1.2764 | 85.114 | 87.671 | 1.3534 | 86.318 | 140.79 | 740.00 |
| 1.2764 | 1.2744 | 84.965 | 87.516 | 1.3527 | 86.163 | 140.79 | 750.00 |
| 1.2744 | 1.2780 | 84.811 | 87.364 | 1.3520 | 86.012 | 140.79 | 760.00 |
| 1.2780 | 1.2762 | 84.660 | 87.214 | 1.3513 | 85.863 | 140.79 | 770.00 |
| 1.2762 | 1.2757 | 84.513 | 87.065 | 1.3506 | 85.714 | 140.78 | 780.00 |
| 1.2757 | 1.2081 | 84.364 | 86.848 | 1.3499 | 85.498 | 140.78 | 790.00 |
| 1.2081 | 1.0987 | 84.149 | 86.456 | 1.3490 | 85.107 | 140.77 | 800.00 |
| 1.0987 | 1.0378 | 83.759 | 85.896 | 1.3472 | 84.549 | 140.77 | 810.00 |
| 1.0378 | 1.0040 | 83.204 | 85.246 | 1.3447 | 83.901 | 140.75 | 820.00 |
| 1.0040 | 0.9854 | 82.559 | 84.549 | 1.3418 | 83.207 | 140.74 | 830.00 |
| 0.9854 | 0.9752 | 81.868 | 83.829 | 1.3386 | 82.490 | 140.72 | 840.00 |
| 0.9752 | 1.0037 | 81.155 | 83.134 | 1.3354 | 81.798 | 140.71 | 850.00 |
| 1.0037 | 1.0582 | 80.466 | 82.528 | 1.3322 | 81.196 | 140.69 | 860.00 |
| 1.0582 | 1.0890 | 79.866 | 82.014 | 1.3295 | 80.684 | 140.68 | 870.00 |
| 1.0890 | 1.1022 | 79.357 | 81.548 | 1.3271 | 80.221 | 140.67 | 880.00 |
| 1.1022 | 1.1142 | 78.896 | 81.112 | 1.3250 | 79.787 | 140.66 | 890.00 |
| 1.1142 | 1.1212 | 78.464 | 80.700 | 1.3230 | 79.377 | 140.65 | 900.00 |
| 1.1212 | 1.1211 | 78.056 | 80.298 | 1.3211 | 78.977 | 140.64 | 910.00 |
| 1.1211 | 1.1257 | 77.658 | 79.904 | 1.3193 | 78.585 | 140.63 | 920.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | $2 \mathrm{S1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUM } \\ & \text { min } \end{aligned}$ | 01 | O2+2S2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\mathrm{min}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.1257 | 1.1285 | 77.268 | 79.522 | 1.3175 | 78.204 | 140.63 |  |
| 1.1285 | 1.1261 | 76.889 | 79.143 | 1.3157 | 77.828 | 140.62 | 940.00 |
| 1.1261 | 1.1293 | 76.513 | 78.769 | 1.3140 | 77.455 | 140.61 | 950.00 |
| 1.1293 | 1.1314 | 76.143 | 78.403 | 1.3123 | 77.091 | 140.60 | 960.00 |
| 1.1314 | 1.0216 | 75.780 | 77.933 | 1.3106 | 76.623 | 140.59 | 970.00 |
| 1.0216 | 0.8577 | 75.314 | 77.194 | 1.3084 | 75.885 | 140.58 | 980.00 |
| 0.8577 | 0.7661 | 74.580 | 76.204 | 1.3050 | 74.899 | 140.57 | 990.00 |
| 0.7661 | 0.7108 | 73.599 | 75.076 | 1.3004 | 73.775 | 140.55 | 1000.00 |
| 0.7108 | 0.6842 | 72.480 | 73.875 | 1.2951 | 72.580 | 140.52 | 1010.00 |
| 0.6842 | 0.6695 | 71.290 | 72.644 | 1.2895 | 71.355 | 140.50 | 1020.00 |
| 0.6695 | 0.7299 | 70.071 | 71.470 | 1.2837 | 70.187 | 140.47 | 1030.00 |
| 0.7299 | 0.8367 | 68.908 | 70.475 | 1.2782 | 69.197 | 140.44 | 1040.00 |
| 0.8367 | 0.8966 | 67.923 | 69.657 | 1.2735 | 68.383 | 140.42 | 1050.00 |
| 0.8966 | 0.9303 | 67.113 | 68.940 | 1.2696 | 67.671 | 140.41 | 1060.00 |
| 0.9303 | 0.9493 | 66.404 | 68.284 | 1.2662 | 67.018 | 140.39 | 1070.00 |
| 0.9493 | 0.9601 | 65.755 | 67.664 | 1.2631 | 66.401 | 140.38 | 1080.00 |
| 0.9601 | 0.9319 | 65.141 | 67.033 | 1.2601 | 65.773 | 140.36 | 1090.00 |
| 0.9319 | 0.8776 | 64.516 | 66.325 | 1.2571 | 65.068 | 140.35 | 1100.00 |
| 0.8776 | 0.8474 | 63.815 | 65.540 | 1.2536 | 64.286 | 140.33 | 1110.00 |
| 0.8474 | 0.9641 | 63.036 | 64.848 | 1.2499 | 63.598 | 140.32 | 1120.00 |
| 0.9641 | 0.8960 | 62.351 | 64.211 | 1.2465 | 62.965 | 140.30 | 1130.00 |
| 0.8960 | 0.7289 | 61.721 | 63.346 | 1.2434 | 62.103 | 140.29 | 1140.00 |
| 0.7289 | 0.7691 | 60.863 | 62.361 | 1.2392 | 61.122 | 140.27 | 1150.00 |
| 0.7691 | 0.7874 | 59.888 | 61.444 | 1.2344 | 60.210 | 140.25 | 1160.00 |
| 0.7874 | 0.7977 | 58.980 | 60.565 | 1.2299 | 59.335 | 140.23 | 1170.00 |
| 0.7977 | 0.8079 | 58.110 | 59.715 | 1.2256 | 58.490 | 140.21 | 1180.00 |
| 0.8079 | 0.8094 | 57.268 | 58.885 | 1.2214 | 57.664 | 140.19 | 1190.00 |
| 0.8094 | 0.8104 | 56.447 | 58.067 | 1.2173 | 56.849 | 140.18 | 1200.00 |
| 0.8104 | 0.8153 | 55.636 | 57.262 | 1.2132 | 56.049 | 140.16 | 1210.00 |
| 0.8153 | 0.8139 | 54.839 | 56.469 | 1.2092 | 55.259 | 140.14 | 1220.00 |
| 0.8139 | 0.8132 | 54.054 | 55.681 | 1.2052 | 54.476 | 140.12 | 1230.00 |
| 0.8132 | 0.8173 | 53.275 | 54.905 | 1.2013 | 53.704 | 140.11 | 1240.00 |
| 0.8173 | 0.8153 | 52.507 | 54.139 | 1.1974 | 52.942 | 140.09 | 1250.00 |
| 0.8153 | 0.8143 | 51.748 | 53.378 | 1.1935 | 52.184 | 140.07 | 1260.00 |
| 0.8143 | 0.8182 | 50.995 | 52.627 | 1.1896 | 51.438 | 140.06 | 1270.00 |
| 0.8182 | 0.8162 | 50.252 | 51.886 | 1.1858 | 50.701 | 140.04 | 1280.00 |
| 0.8162 | 0.8152 | 49.519 | 51.150 | 1.1820 | 49.968 | 140.03 | 1290.00 |
| 0.8152 | 0.8190 | 48.790 | 50.424 | 1.1783 | 49.245 | 140.01 | 1300.00 |
| 0.8190 | 0.8169 | 48.071 | 49.707 | 1.1744 | 48.533 | 139.99 | 1310.00 |
| 0.8169 | 0.8159 | 47.363 | 48.995 | 1.1700 | 47.825 | 139.98 | 1320.00 |
| 0.8159 | 0.7808 | 46.660 | 48.256 | 1.1657 | 47.091 | 139.96 | 1330.00 |
| 0.7808 | 0.7266 | 45.930 | 47.437 | 1.1612 | 46.276 | 139.94 | 1340.00 |
| 0.7266 | 0.6963 | 45.119 | 46.542 | 1.1562 | 45.386 | 139.92 | 1350.00 |
| 0.6963 | 0.6752 | 44.235 | 45.607 | 1.1508 | 44.456 | 139.90 | 1360.00 |
| 0.6752 | 0.6677 | 43.311 | 44.654 | 1.1450 | 43.509 | 139.87 | 1370.00 |
| 0.6677 | 0.6637 | 42.370 | 43.701 | 1.1391 | 42.562 | 139.85 | 1380.00 |
| 0.6637 | 0.6571 | 41.429 | 42.750 | 1.1332 | 41.617 | 139.83 | 1390.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | $\underset{\min }{\mathrm{SUM}}$ | O1 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ \text { (ft) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TTME } \\ & \text { (min) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.6571 | 0.6578 | 40.489 | 41.804 | 1.1273 | 40.677 | 139.80 | 1400.00 |
| 0.6578 | 0.6583 | 39.556 | 40.872 | 1. 1213 | 39.751 | 139.78 | 1410.00 |
| 0.6583 | 0.6543 | 38.635 | 39.948 | 1.1154 | 38.832 | 139.75 | 1420.00 |
| 0.6543 | 0.6565 | 37.723 | 39.034 | 1.1096 | 37.924 | 139.73 | 1430.00 |
| 0.6565 | 0.6577 | 36.820 | 38.135 | 1.1038 | 37.031 | 139.71 | 1440.00 |
| 0.6577 | 0.5109 | 35.933 | 37.101 | 1.0980 | 36.003 | 139.69 | 1450.00 |
| 0.5109 | 0.2855 | 34.912 | 35.709 | 1.0913 | 34.617 | 139.66 | 1460.00 |
| 0.2855 | 0.1596 | 33.535 | 33.980 | 1.0823 | 32.898 | 139.63 | 1470.00 |
| 0.1596 | 0.0892 | 31.827 | 32.076 | 1.0709 | 31.005 | 139.58 | 1480.00 |
| 0.0892 | 0.0499 | 29.947 | 30.086 | 1.0583 | 29.027 | 139.53 | 1490.00 |
| 0.0499 | 0.0279 | 27.982 | 28.060 | 1.0450 | 27.015 | 139.48 | 1500.00 |
| 0.0279 | 0.0156 | 25.984 | 26.027 | 1.0312 | 24.996 | 139.43 | 1510.00 |
| 0.0156 | 0.0087 | 23.979 | 24.003 | 1.0172 | 22.986 | 139.38 | 1520.00 |
| 0.0087 | 0.0049 | 21.983 | 21.997 | 1.0031 | 20.993 | 139.33 | 1530.00 |
| 0.0049 | 0.0027 | 20.005 | 20.012 | 0.9889 | 19.023 | 139.28 | 1540.00 |
| 0.0027 | 0.0015 | 18.049 | 18.053 | 0.9746 | 17.078 | 139.23 | 1550.00 |
| 0.0015 | 0.0008 | 16.118 | 16.120 | 0.9604 | 15.160 | 139.18 | 1560.00 |
| 0.0008 | 0.0005 | 14.214 | 14.215 | 0.9461 | 13.269 | 139.14 | 1570.00 |
| 0.0005 | 0.0003 | 12.337 | 12.338 | 0.9319 | 11.406 | 139.09 | 1580.00 |
| 0.0003 | 0.0001 | 10.489 | 10.489 | 0.9175 | 9.5714 | 139.04 | 1590.00 |
| 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 8.6683 | 8.6685 | 0.9032 | 7.7654 | 139.00 | 1600.00 |
| 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 6.8783 | 6.8784 | 0.8871 | 5.9913 | 138.94 | 1610.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 5.1201 | 5.1202 | 0.8712 | 4.2490 | 138.89 | 1620.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 3.3938 | 3.3939 | 0.8551 | 2.5387 | 138.85 | 1630.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.7001 | 1.7001 | 0.8387 | 0.8614 | 138.80 | 1640.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0547 | 0.0547 | 0.8067 | $-0.7521$ | 138.70 | 1650.00 |

## STORAGE LIST <br> ID No. A

Description:

MULTIPLE ORIFICE ID NO. A
Description:
Outlet Elev: 137.55
Elev: 137.55 ft Orifice Diameter: 5.2617 in .
ROUTING CURVE

| stage <br> (ft) | STORAGE <br> (cf) | OUTPLOW (cfs) | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ | Stage <br> (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORAGE } \\ \text { (cf) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUTFLOW } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{cfs}-\mathrm{min} \end{array}$ | STAGE <br> (ft) | STORAGE <br> (cf) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { OUTFLOW } \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 \mathrm{~s} \\ \text { cfs }-m i n \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 137.55 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 139.10 | 3837 | 0.9354 | 13.725 | 140.70 | 24218 | 1.3334 | 82.059 |
| 137.60 | 0.0000 | 0.1680 | 0.1680 | 139.20 | 5022 | 0.9651 | 17.704 | 140.80 | 25606 | 1.3544 | 86.707 |
| 137.70 | 0.0000 | 0.2910 | 0.2910 | 139.30 | 6207 | 0.9939 | 21.683 | 140.90 | 26994 | 1.3751 | 91.355 |
| 137.80 | 0.0000 | 0.3757 | 0.3757 | 139.40 | 7392 | 1.0219 | 25.661 | 141.00 | 28382 | 1.3955 | 96.002 |
| 137.90 | 0.0000 | 0.4445 | 0.4445 | 139.50 | 8577 | 1.0491 | 29.637 | 141.10 | 29979 | 1.4156 | 101.34 |
| 138.00 | 0.0000 | 0.5040 | 0.5040 | 139.60 | 9761 | 1.0757 | 33.614 | 141.20 | 31575 | 1.4354 | 106.69 |
| 138.10 | 0.0000 | 0.5572 | 0.5572 | 139.70 | 10946 | 1.1016 | 37.589 | 141.30 | 33172 | 1.4549 | 112.03 |
| 138.20 | 0.0000 | 0.6057 | 0.6057 | 139.80 | 12131 | 1.1270 | 41.564 | 141.40 | 34768 | 1.4742 | 117.37 |
| 138.30 | 0.0000 | 0.6507 | 0.6507 | 139.90 | 13316 | 1.1517 | 45.539 | 141.50 | 36365 | 1.4932 | 222.71 |
| 138.40 | 0.0000 | 0.6927 | 0.6927 | 140.00 | 14501 | 1.1760 | 49.513 | 141.60 | 37962 | 1.5120 | 128.05 |
| 138.50 | 0.0000 | 0.7323 | 0.7323 | 140.10 | 15889 | 1.1997 | 54.163 | 141.70 | 39558 | 1.5305 | 133.39 |
| 138.60 | 0.0000 | 0.7699 | 0.7699 | 140.20 | 17277 | 1.2230 | 58.814 | 141.80 | 41155 | 1.5489 | 138.73 |
| 138.70 | 0.0000 | 0.8057 | 0.8057 | 140.30 | 18665 | 1.2459 | 63.464 | 141.90 | 42751 | 1.5670 | 144.07 |
| 138.80 | 530.40 | 0.8400 | 2.6080 | 140.40 | 20053 | 1.2684 | 68.113 |  |  |  |  |
| 138.90 | 1591 | 0.8729 | 6.1769 | 140.50 | 21442 | 1.2904 | 72.762 |  |  |  |  |
| 139.00 | 2652 | 0.9047 | 9.7447 | 140.60 | 22830 | 1.3121 | 77.411 |  |  |  |  |

# Mackenzie Engineering Inc POND A 
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NOVELLLUS

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| MATCH $Q$ (cfs) $:$ | 2.90 | INFLOW $Q$ (cfs): | 7.93 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| PEAK STAGE (ft): | 141.99 | PEAK OUTFLOW |  |

PFAK TIME. 630.00 min.
INFLOW HYD NO. : 6
OUTFLOW HYD NO.: 11
LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | min | 01 | O2+2S 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 0.0019 | 0.0000 | 0.0019 | 0.0000 | 0.0019 | 137.55 | 40.00 |
| 0.0019 | 0.0209 | 0.0000 | 0.0229 | 0.0019 | 0.0209 | 137.55 | 50.00 |
| 0.0209 | 0.0630 | 0.0000 | 0.0839 | 0.0209 | 0.0630 | 137.55 | 60.00 |
| 0.0630 | 0.1440 | 0.0000 | 0.2070 | 0.0630 | 0.1440 | 137.55 | 70.00 |
| 0.1440 | 0.2565 | 0.0000 | 0.4005 | 0.1440 | 0.2565 | 137.55 | 80.00 |
| 0.2565 | 0.3646 | 0.0000 | 0.6211 | 0.2565 | 0.3646 | 137.55 | 90.00 |
| 0.3646 | 0.4624 | 0.0000 | 0.8270 | 0.3646 | 0.4624 | 137.55 | 100.00 |
| 0.4624 | 0.5481 | 0.0000 | 1.0104 | 0.4624 | 0.5481 | 137.55 | 110.00 |
| 0.5481 | 0.6221 | 0.0000 | 1.1701 | 0.5481 | 0.6221 | 137.55 | 120.00 |
| 0.6221 | 0.7143 | 0.0000 | 1.3364 | 0.6221 | 0.7143 | 137.55 | 130.00 |
| 0.7143 | 0.8201 | 0.0000 | 1.5344 | 0.7143 | 0.8201 | 137.55 | 140.00 |
| 0.8201 | 0.9008 | 0.0142 | 1.7351 | 0.8060 | 0.9291 | 138.70 | 150.00 |
| 0.9008 | 0.9604 | 0.1211 | 1.9823 | 0.8080 | 1.1743 | 138.71 | 160.00 |
| 0.9604 | 1.0135 | 0.3615 | 2.3355 | 0.8127 | 1.5228 | 138.72 | 170.00 |
| 1.0135 | 1.0568 | 0.7035 | 2.7738 | 0.8193 | 1.9544 | 138.74 | 180.00 |
| 1.0568 | 1.0886 | 1.1269 | 3.2723 | 0.8275 | 2.4447 | 138.76 | 190.00 |
| 1.0886 | 1.1211 | 1.6078 | 3.8176 | 0.8369 | 2.9807 | 138.79 | 200.00 |
| 1.1211 | 1.1484 | 2.1373 | 4.4068 | 0.8434 | 3.5634 | 138.81 | 210.00 |
| 1.1484 | 1.1673 | 2.7146 | 5.0303 | 0.8488 | 4.1814 | 138.83 | 220.00 |
| 1.1673 | 1.1896 | 3.3269 | 5.6838 | 0.8545 | 4.8293 | 138.84 | 230.00 |
| 1.1896 | 1.2085 | 3.9688 | 6.3669 | 0.8605 | 5.5064 | 138.86 | 240.00 |
| 1.2085 | 1.2628 | 4.6396 | 7.1110 | 0.8667 | 6.2442 | 138.88 | 250.00 |
| 1.2628 | 1.3370 | 5.3707 | 7.9705 | 0.8735 | 7.0970 | 138.90 | 260.00 |
| 1.3370 | 1.3845 | 6.2159 | 8.9374 | 0.8811 | 8.0563 | 138.93 | 270.00 |
| 1.3845 | 1.4213 | 7.1667 | 9.9725 | 0.8897 | 9.0828 | 138.95 | 280.00 |
| 1.4213 | 1.4427 | 8.1840 | 11.048 | 0.8988 | 10.149 | 138.98 | 290.00 |
| 1.4427 | 1.4610 | 9.2414 | 12.145 | 0.9078 | 11.237 | 139.01 | 300.00 |
| 1.4610 | 1.6480 | 10.321 | 13.430 | 0.9162 | 12.514 | 139.04 | 310.00 |
| 1.6480 | 1.9273 | 11.588 | 15.163 | 0.9260 | 14.237 | 139.07 | 320.00 |
| 1.9273 | 2.0950 | 13.298 | 17.320 | 0.9392 | 16.381 | 139.11 | 330.00 |
| 2.0950 | 2.1991 | 15.426 | 19.720 | 0.9552 | 18.765 | 139.17 | 340.00 |
| 2.1991 | 2.2669 | 17.792 | 22.258 | 0.9728 | 21.285 | 139.23 | 350.00 |
| 2.2669 | 2.3136 | 20.294 | 24.875 | 0.9910 | 23.884 | 139.29 | 360.00 |
| 2.3136 | 2.3054 | 22.874 | 27.493 | 1.0094 | 26.484 | 139.36 | 370.00 |
| 2.3054 | 2.2653 | 25.456 | 30.027 | 1.0275 | 29.000 | 139.42 | 380.00 |
| 2.2653 | 2.2436 | 27.955 | 32.464 | 1.0448 | 31.419 | 139.48 | 390.00 |
| 2.2436 | 2.2370 | 30.358 | 34.838 | 1.0610 | 33.777 | 139.54 | 400.00 |
| 2.2370 | 2.2440 | 32.701 | 37.182 | 1.0768 | 36.105 | 139.60 | 410.00 |
| 2.2440 | 2.2474 | 35.013 | 39.504 | 1.0920 | 38.412 | 139.66 | 420.00 |
| 2.2474 | 2.8471 | 37.305 | 42.400 | 1.1069 | 41.293 | 139.72 | 430.00 |
| 2.8471 | 3.7928 | 40.168 | 46.808 | 1.1252 | 45.683 | 139.79 | 440.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { LEVEL } \\ \text { I1 } \end{gathered}$ | POOL ROU I2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TABLE } \\ & 2 S 1 \end{aligned}$ | SUM | 01 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | min | 01 | O2+2S2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TAGE } \\ & (f t) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\mathrm{min}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3.7928 | 4.3398 | 44.530 | 52.663 | 1.1526 | 51.510 | 139.90 | 450 |
| 4.3398 | 5.5086 | 50.324 | 60.172 | 1.1862 | 58.986 | 140.04 | 460.00 |
| 5.5086 | 7.0369 | 57.762 | 70.308 | 1.2239 | 69.084 | 140.20 | 470.00 |
| 7.0369 | 7.9294 | 67.811 | 82.777 | 1.2730 | 81.504 | 140.42 | 480.00 |
| 7.9294 | 7.3040 | 80.173 | 95.407 | 1.3309 | 94.076 | 140.69 | 490.00 |
| 7.3040 | 5.8151 | 92.689 | 105.81 | 1.3870 | 104.42 | 140.96 | 500.00 |
| 5.8151 | 4.9882 | 102.99 | 113.80 | 1.4270 | 112.37 | 141.16 | 510.00 |
| 4.9882 | 4.4319 | 110.91 | 120.33 | 1.4561 | 118.88 | 141.31 | 520.00 |
| 4.4319 | 4.0321 | 117.40 | 125.86 | 1.4795 | 124.38 | 141.43 | 530.00 |
| 4.0321 | 3.8122 | 122.88 | 130.73 | 1.4991 | 129.23 | 141.53 | 540.00 |
| 3.8122 | 3.4466 | 127.71 | 134.97 | 1.5161 | 133.46 | 141.62 | 550.00 |
| 3.4466 | 2.9985 | 131.92 | 138.37 | 1.5307 | 136.84 | 141.70 | 560.00 |
| 2.9985 | 2.7496 | 135.30 | 141.04 | 1.5424 | 139.50 | 141.76 | 570.00 |
| 2.7496 | 2.6178 | 137.95 | 143.32 | 1.5515 | 141.77 | 141.81 | 580.00 |
| 2.6178 | 2.5397 | 140.21 | 145.37 | 1.5592 | 143.81 | 141.86 | 590.00 |
| 2.5397 | 2.4974 | 142.24 | 147.28 | 1.5661 | 145.71 | 141.90 | 600.00 |
| 2.4974 | 2.4279 | 144.14 | 149.06 | 1.5725 | 147.49 | 141.93 | 610.00 |
| 2.4279 | 2.3430 | 145.91 | 150.68 | 1.5784 | 149.11 | 141.96 | 620.00 |
| 2.3430 | 2.2966 | 147.52 | 152.16 | 1.5839 | 150.58 | 141.99 | 630.00 |
| 2.2966 | 2.2658 | 150.58 | 155.14 | 0.0000 | 155.14 | 0.00 | 640.00 |
| 2.2658 | 2.2555 | 155.14 | 159.66 | 0.0000 | 159.66 | 0.00 | 650.00 |
| 2.2555 | 2.2507 | 159.66 | 164.17 | 0.0000 | 164.17 | 0.00 | 660.00 |
| 2.2507 | 2.1480 | 164.17 | 168.57 | 0.0000 | 168.57 | 0.00 | 670.00 |
| 2.1480 | 1.9905 | 168.57 | 172.70 | 0.0000 | 172.70 | 0.00 | 680.00 |
| 1.9905 | 1.9030 | 172.70 | 176.60 | 0.0000 | 176.60 | 0.00 | 690.00 |
| 1.9030 | 1.8606 | 176.60 | 180.36 | 0.0000 | 180.36 | 0.00 | 700.00 |
| 1.8606 | 1.8315 | 180.36 | 184.05 | 0.0000 | 184.05 | 0.00 | 710.00 |
| 1.8315 | 1.8159 | 184.05 | 187.70 | 0.0000 | 187.70 | 0.00 | 720.00 |
| 1.8159 | 1.8136 | 187.70 | 191.33 | 0.0000 | 191.33 | 0.00 | 730.00 |
| 1.8136 | 1.8069 | 191.33 | 194.95 | 0.0000 | 194.95 | 0.00 | 740.00 |
| 1.8069 | 1.8037 | 194.95 | 198.56 | 0.0000 | 198.56 | 0.00 | 750.00 |
| 1.8037 | 1.8084 | 198.56 | 202.17 | 0.0000 | 202.17 | 0.00 | 760.00 |
| 1.8084 | 1.8056 | 202.17 | 205.79 | 0.0000 | 205.79 | 0.00 | 770.00 |
| 1.8056 | 1.8045 | 205.79 | 209.40 | 0.0000 | 209.40 | 0.00 | 780.00 |
| 1.8045 | 1.7086 | 209.40 | 212.91 | 0.0000 | 212.91 | 0.00 | 790.00 |
| 1.7086 | 1.5536 | 212.91 | 216.17 | 0.0000 | 216.17 | 0.00 | 800.00 |
| 1.5536 | 1.4672 | 216.17 | 219.19 | 0.0000 | 219.19 | 0.00 | 810.00 |
| 1.4672 | 1.4192 | 219.19 | 222.08 | 0.0000 | 222.08 | 0.00 | 820.00 |
| 1.4192 | 1.3927 | 222.08 | 224.89 | 0.0000 | 224.89 | 0.00 | 830.00 |
| 1.3927 | 1.3781 | 224.89 | 227.66 | 0.0000 | 227.66 | 0.00 | 840.00 |
| 1.3781 | 1.4182 | 227.66 | 230.46 | 0.0000 | 230.46 | 0.00 | 850.00 |
| 1.4182 | 1.4949 | 230.46 | 233.37 | 0.0000 | 233.37 | 0.00 | 860.00 |
| 1.4949 | 1.5382 | 233.37 | 236.40 | 0.0000 | 236.40 | 0.00 | 870.00 |
| 1.5382 | 1.5567 | 236.40 | 239.50 | 0.0000 | 239.50 | 0.00 | 880.00 |
| 1.5567 | 1.5733 | 239.50 | 242.63 | 0.0000 | 242.63 | 0.00 | 890.00 |
| 1.5733 | 1.5830 | 242.63 | 245.79 | 0.0000 | 245.79 | 0.00 | 900.00 |
| 1.5830 | 1.5827 | 245.79 | 248.95 | 0.0000 | 248.95 | 0.00 | 910.00 |


LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { LEVEL } \\ \text { I.1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OOL ROU } \\ \text { I2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JG TABLE } \\ & 2 S 1 \end{aligned}$ | SUM | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | mi |  |  | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\min )$ |
| 1.5827 | 1.5888 | 248.95 | 252.12 | 0.0000 | 252.12 | 0.00 | 920.00 |
| 1.5888 | 1.5926 | 252.12 | 255.30 | 0.0000 | 255.30 | 0.00 | 930.00 |
| 1.5926 | 1.5890 | 255.30 | 258.49 | 0.0000 | 258.49 | 0.00 | 940.00 |
| 1.5890 | 1.5933 | 258.49 | 261.67 | 0.0000 | 261.67 | 0.00 | 950.00 |
| 1.5933 | 1.5960 | 261.67 | 264.86 | 0.0000 | 264.86 | 0.00 | 960.00 |
| 1.5960 | 1.4409 | 264.86 | 267.89 | 0.0000 | 267.89 | 0.00 | 970.00 |
| 1.4409 | 1.2096 | 267.89 | 270.55 | 0.0000 | 270.55 | 0.00 | 980.00 |
| 1.2096 | 1.0804 | 270.55 | 272.84 | 0.0000 | 272.84 | 0.00 | 990.00 |
| 1.0804 | 1.0023 | 272.84 | 274.92 | 0.0000 | 274.92 | 0.00 | 1000.00 |
| 1.0023 | 0.9647 | 274.92 | 276.88 | 0.0000 | 276.88 | 0.00 | 1010.00 |
| 0.9647 | 0.9438 | 276.88 | 278.79 | 0.0000 | 278.79 | 0.00 | 1020.00 |
| 0.9438 | 1.0289 | 278.79 | 280.77 | 0.0000 | 280.77 | 0.00 | 1030.00 |
| 1.0289 | 1.1794 | 280.77 | 282.97 | 0.0000 | 282.97 | 0.00 | 1040.00 |
| 1.1794 | 1.2636 | 282.97 | 285.42 | 0.0000 | 285.42 | 0.00 | 1050.00 |
| 1.2636 | 1.3110 | 285.42 | 287.99 | 0.0000 | 287.99 | 0.00 | 1060.00 |
| 1.3110 | 1.3376 | 287.99 | 290.64 | 0.0000 | 290.64 | 0.00 | 1070.00 |
| 1.3376 | 1.3527 | 290.64 | 293.33 | 0.0000 | 293.33 | 0.00 | 1080.00 |
| 1.3527 | 1.3129 | 293.33 | 296.00 | 0.0000 | 296.00 | 0.00 | 1090.00 |
| 1.3129 | 1.2363 | 296.00 | 298.55 | 0.0000 | 298.55 | 0.00 | 1100.00 |
| 1.2363 | 1.1936 | 298.55 | 300.98 | 0.0000 | 300.98 | 0.00 | 1110.00 |
| 1.1936 | 1.3577 | 300.98 | 303.53 | 0.0000 | 303.53 | 0.00 | 1120.00 |
| 1.3577 | 1.2617 | 303.53 | 306.15 | 0.0000 | 306.15 | 0.00 | 1130.00 |
| 1.2617 | 1.0264 | 306.15 | 308.43 | 0.0000 | 308.43 | 0.00 | 1140.00 |
| 1.0264 | 1.0829 | 308.43 | 310.54 | 0.0000 | 310.54 | 0.00 | 1150.00 |
| 1.0829 | 1.1085 | 310.54 | 312.74 | 0.0000 | 312.74 | 0.00 | 1160.00 |
| 1.1085 | 1.1230 | 312.74 | 314.97 | 0.0000 | 314.97 | 0.00 | 1170.00 |
| 1.1230 | 1.1372 | 314.97 | 317.23 | 0.0000 | 317.23 | 0.00 | 1180.00 |
| 1.1372 | 1.1392 | 317.23 | 319.50 | 0.0000 | 319.50 | 0.00 | 1190.00 |
| 1.1392 | 1.1405 | 319.50 | 321.78 | 0.0000 | 321.78 | 0.00 | 1200.00 |
| 1.1405 | 1.1474 | 321.78 | 324.07 | 0.0000 | 324.07 | 0.00 | 1210.00 |
| 1.1474 | 1.1453 | 324.07 | 326.36 | 0.0000 | 326.36 | 0.00 | 1220.00 |
| 1.1453 | 1.1442 | 326.36 | 328.65 | 0.0000 | 328.65 | 0.00 | 1230.00 |
| 1.1442 | 1.1498 | 328.65 | 330.95 | 0.0000 | 330.95 | 0.00 | 1240.00 |
| I. 1498 | 1.1470 | 330.95 | 333.24 | 0.0000 | 333.24 | 0.00 | 1250.00 |
| 1.1470 | 1.1455 | 333.24 | 335.54 | 0.0000 | 335.54 | 0.00 | 1260.00 |
| 1.1455 | 1.1509 | 335.54 | 337.83 | 0.0000 | 337.83 | 0.00 | 1270.00 |
| 1.1509 | 1.1479 | 337.83 | 340.13 | 0.0000 | 340.13 | 0.00 | 1280.00 |
| 1.1479 | 1.1464 | 340.13 | 342.43 | 0.0000 | 342.43 | 0.00 | 1290.00 |
| 1.1464 | 1.1517 | 342.43 | 344.72 | 0.0000 | 344.72 | 0.00 | 1300.00 |
| 1.1517 | 1.1487 | 344.72 | 347.02 | 0.0000 | 347.02 | 0.00 | 1310.00 |
| 1.1487 | 1.1472 | 347.02 | 349.32 | 0.0000 | 349.32 | 0.00 | 1320.00 |
| 1.1472 | 1.0977 | 349.32 | 351.57 | 0.0000 | 351.57 | 0.00 | 1330.00 |
| 1.0977 | 1.0214 | 351.57 | 353.68 | 0.0000 | 353.68 | 0.00 | 1340.00 |
| 1.0214 | 0.9789 | 353.68 | 355.69 | 0.0000 | 355.69 | 0.00 | 1350.00 |
| 0.9789 | 0.9491 | 355.69 | 357.61 | 0.0000 | 357.61 | 0.00 | 1360.00 |
| 0.9491 | 0.9386 | 357.61 | 359.50 | 0.0000 | 359.50 | 0.00 | 1370.00 |
| 0.9386 | 0.9327 | 359.50 | 361.37 | 0.0000 | 361.37 | 0.00 | 1380.00 |



LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| LEVEL I1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { OOL ROU' } \\ \text { I2 } \end{gathered}$ | TABLE $2 S 1$ | SUM | 01. | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | STAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | min |  | O2+2S2 | (ft) | $(\min )$ |
| 0.9327 | 0.9235 | 361.37 | 363.23 | 0.0000 | 363.23 | 0.00 | 1390.00 |
| 0.9235 | 0.9245 | 363.23 | 365.08 | 0.0000 | 365.08 | 0.00 | 1400.00 |
| 0.9245 | 0.9251 | 365.08 | 366.93 | 0.0000 | 366.93 | 0.00 | 1410.00 |
| 0.9251 | 0.9194 | 366.93 | 368.77 | 0.0000 | 368.77 | 0.00 | 1420.00 |
| 0.9194 | 0.9224 | 368.77 | 370.61 | 0.0000 | 370.61 | 0.00 | 1430.00 |
| 0.9224 | 0.9241 | 370.61 | 372.46 | 0.0000 | 372.46 | 0.00 | 1440.00 |
| 0.9241 | 0.7177 | 372.46 | 374.10 | 0.0000 | 374.10 | 0.00 | 1450.00 |
| 0.7177 | 0.4011 | 374.10 | 375.22 | 0.0000 | 375.22 | 0.00 | 1460.00 |
| 0.4011 | 0.2242 | 375.22 | 375.84 | 0.0000 | 375.84 | 0.00 | 1470.00 |
| 0.2242 | 0.1253 | 375.84 | 376.19 | 0.0000 | 376.19 | 0.00 | 1480.00 |
| 0.1253 | 0.0700 | 376.19 | 376.39 | 0.0000 | 376.39 | 0.00 | 1490.00 |
| 0.0700 | 0.0391 | 376.39 | 376.50 | 0.0000 | 376.50 | 0.00 | 1500.00 |

STORAGE LIST ID No. A
Description:

MULTIPLE ORIFICE ID No. A Description:
Outlet Elev: 137.55
Elev: 137.55 ft Orifice Diameter: 5.2617 in.
ROUTING CURVE

| stage <br> (ft) | STORAGE <br> (cf) | OUTFLOW <br> (cfs) | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ | STAGE (ft) | STORAGE <br> (cf) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUTELOW } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{Cfs}-\mathrm{min} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { STAGE } \\ \text { (ft }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORAGE } \\ \text { (cf) } \end{gathered}$ | OUTFLOW <br> (cfs) | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 137.55 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 139.10 | 3837 | 0.9354 | 13.725 | 140.70 | 24218 | 1.3334 | 82.059 |
| 137.60 | 0.0000 | 0.1680 | 0.1680 | 139.20 | 5022 | 0.9651 | 17.704 | 140.80 | 25606 | 1.3544 | 86.707 |
| 137.70 | 0.0000 | 0.2910 | 0.2910 | 139.30 | 6207 | 0.9939 | 21.683 | 140.90 | 26994 | 1.3751 | 91.355 |
| 137.80 | 0.0000 | 0.3757 | 0.3757 | 139.40 | 7392 | 1.0219 | 25.661 | 141.00 | 28382 | 1.3955 | 96.002 |
| 137.90 | 0.0000 | 0.4445 | 0.4445 | 139.50 | 8577 | 1.0491 | 29.637 | 141.10 | 29979 | 1.4156 | 101.34 |
| 138.00 | 0.0000 | 0.5040 | 0.5040 | 139.60 | 9761 | 1.0757 | 33.614 | 141.20 | 31575 | 1.4354 | 106.69 |
| 138.10 | 0.0000 | 0.5572 | 0.5572 | 139.70 | 10946 | 1.1016 | 37.589 | 141.30 | 33172 | 1.4549 | 112.03 |
| 138.20 | 0.0000 | 0.6057 | 0.6057 | 139.80 | 12131 | 1.1270 | 41.564 | 141.40 | 34768 | 1.4742 | 117.37 |
| 138.30 | 0.0000 | 0.6507 | 0.6507 | 139.90 | 13316 | 1.1517 | 45.539 | 141.50 | 36365 | 1.4932 | 122.71 |
| 138.40 | 0.0000 | 0.6927 | 0.6927 | 140.00 | 14501 | 1.1760 | 49.513 | 141.60 | 37962 | 1.5120 | 128.05 |
| 138.50 | 0.0000 | 0.7323 | 0.7323 | 140.10 | 15889 | 1.1997 | 54.163 | 142.70 | 39558 | 1.5305 | 133.39 |
| 138.60 | 0.0000 | 0.7699 | 0.7699 | 140.20 | 17277 | 1.2230 | 58.814 | 141.80 | 41155 | 1.5489 | 138.73 |
| 138.70 | 0.0000 | 0.8057 | 0.8057 | 140.30 | 18665 | 1.2459 | 63.464 | 141.90 | 42751 | 1.5670 | 144.07 |
| 138.80 | 530.40 | 0.8400 | 2.6080 | 140.40 | 20053 | 1.2684 | 68.113 |  |  |  |  |
| 138.90 | 1591 | 0.8729 | 6.1769 | 140.50 | 21442 | 1.2904 | 72.762 |  |  |  |  |
| 139.00 | 2652 | 0.9047 | 9.7447 | 140.60 | 22830 | 1.3121 | 77.411 |  |  |  |  |

# Mackenzie Engineering Inc POND A 
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NOVELLUS
LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| MATCH Q (cfs) | 3.71 | INFLOW Q (cfs) : | 9.08 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PEAK STAGE (ft) | 141.92 | PEAK OUTFLOW $:$ | 1.57 |

PEAK TIME: 530.00 min .
INFLOW HYD No. : 7 OUTFLOW HYD NO.: 12
LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | min | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 0.0088 | 0.0000 | 0.0088 | 0.0000 | 0.0088 | 137.55 | 40.00 |
| 0.0088 | 0.0452 | 0.0000 | 0.0540 | 0.0088 | 0.0452 | 137.55 | 50.00 |
| 0.0452 | 0.1056 | 0.0000 | 0.1508 | 0.0452 | 0.1056 | 137.55 | 60.00 |
| 0.1056 | 0.2104 | -0.0000 | 0.3160 | 0.1056 | 0.2104 | 137.55 | 70.00 |
| 0.2104 | 0.3506 | 0.0000 | 0.5611 | 0.2104 | 0.3506 | 137.55 | 80.00 |
| 0.3506 | 0.4795 | 0.0000 | 0.8301 | 0.3506 | 0.4795 | 137.55 | 90.00 |
| 0.4795 | 0.5923 | 0.0000 | 1.0718 | 0.4795 | 0.5923 | 137.55 | 100.00 |
| 0.5923 | 0.6890 | 0.0000 | 1.2813 | 0.5923 | 0.6890 | 137.55 | 110.00 |
| 0.6890 | 0.7709 | 0.0000 | 1.4598 | 0.6890 | 0.7709 | 137.55 | 120.00 |
| 0.7709 | 0.8746 | 0.0000 | 1.6455 | 0.7709 | 0.8746 | 137.55 | 130.00 |
| 0.8746 | 0.9944 | 0.0676 | 1.9367 | 0.8070 | 1.1297 | 138.70 | 140.00 |
| 0.9944 | 1.0837 | 0.3178 | 2.3959 | 0.8119 | 1.5841 | 138.72 | 150.00 |
| 1.0837 | 1.1479 | 0.7636 | 2.9952 | 0.8205 | 2.1747 | 138.74 | 160.00 |
| 1.1479 | 1.2047 | 1.3430 | 3.6956 | 0.8317 | 2.8638 | 138.78 | 170.00 |
| 1.2047 | 1.2502 | 2.0215 | 4.4763 | 0.8423 | 3.6340 | 138.81 | 180.00 |
| 1.2502 | 1.2826 | 2.7845 | 5.3172 | 0.8495 | 4.4678 | 138.83 | 190.00 |
| 1.2826 | 1.3162 | 3.6106 | 6.2093 | 0.8572 | 5.3522 | 138.85 | 200.00 |
| 1.3162 | 1.3440 | 4.4868 | 7.1470 | 0.8653 | 6.2817 | 138.88 | 210.00 |
| 1.3440 | 1.3624 | 5.4078 | 8.1142 | 0.8739 | 7.2404 | 138.90 | 220.00 |
| 1.3624 | 1.3851 | 6.3580 | 9.1054 | 0.8824 | 8.2230 | 138.93 | 230.00 |
| 1.3851 | 1.4041 | 7.3319 | 10.121 | 0.8912 | 9.2299 | 138.96 | 240.00 |
| 1.4041 | 1.4642 | 8.3298 | 11.198 | 0.9001 | 10.298 | 138.99 | 250.00 |
| 1.4642 | 1.5479 | 9.3890 | 12.401 | 0.9090 | 11.492 | 139.01 | 260.00 |
| 1.5479 | 1.6023 | 10.574 | 13.724 | 0.9182 | 12.806 | 139.04 | 270.00 |
| 1.6023 | 1.6463 | 11.878 | 15.126 | 0.9283 | 14.198 | 139.08 | 280.00 |
| 1.6463 | 1.6727 | 13.259 | 16.578 | 0.9389 | 15.639 | 139.11 | 290.00 |
| 1.6727 | 1.6944 | 14.690 | 18.057 | 0.9497 | 17.107 | 139.15 | 300.00 |
| 1.6944 | 1.9105 | 16.147 | 19.751 | 0.9606 | 18.791 | 139.18 | 310.00 |
| 1.9105 | 2.2325 | 17.818 | 21.961 | 0.9729 | 20.988 | 139.23 | 320.00 |
| 2.2325 | 2.4245 | 19.999 | 24.656 | 0.9889 | 23.667 | 139.28 | 330.00 |
| 2.4245 | 2.5427 | 22.659 | 27.626 | 1.0079 | 26.619 | 139.35 | 340.00 |
| 2.5427 | 2.6187 | 25.590 | 30.751 | 1.0285 | 29.723 | 139.42 | 350.00 |
| 2.6187 | 2.6704 | 28.673 | 33.962 | 1.0497 | 32.913 | 139.50 | 360.00 |
| 2.6704 | 2.6589 | 31.842 | 37.171 | 1.0710 | 36.100 | 139.58 | 370.00 |
| 2.6589 | 2.6109 | 35.008 | 40.278 | 1.0919 | 39.186 | 139.66 | 380.00 |
| 2.6109 | 2.5841 | 38.074 | 43.269 | 1.1118 | 42.157 | 139.74 | 390.00 |
| 2.5841 | 2.5751 | 41.027 | 46.186 | 1.1307 | 45.055 | 139.81 | 400.00 |
| 2.5751 | 2.5817 | 43.907 | 49.063 | 1.1487 | 47.915 | 139.89 | 410.00 |
| 2.5817 | 2.5844 | 46.748 | 51.914 | 1.1662 | 50.748 | 139.96 | 420.00 |
| 2.5844 | 3.2716 | 49.566 | 55.422 | 1.1823 | 54.240 | 140.03 | 430.00 |
| 3.2716 | 4.3554 | 53.039 | 60.667 | 1.2001 | 59.466 | 140.10 | 440.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

## LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUM } \\ & \text { min } \end{aligned}$ | 01 | $02+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\text { min }) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.3554 | 4.9805 | 58.240 | 67.576 | 1.2262 | 66.350 | 140.21 | 450.00 |
| 4.9805 | 6.3168 | 65.090 | 76.387 | 1.2598 | 75.128 | 140.36 | 460.00 |
| 6.3168 | 8.0629 | 73.826 | 88.206 | 1.3014 | 86.904 | 140.55 | 470.00 |
| 8.0629 | 9.0790 | 85.549 | 102.69 | 1.3553 | 101.34 | 140.80 | 480.00 |
| 9.0790 | 8.3587 | 99.920 | 117.36 | 1.4155 | 115.94 | 141.10 | 490.00 |
| 8.3587 | 6.6523 | 114.47 | 129.48 | 1.4690 | 128.02 | 141.37 | 500.00 |
| 6.6523 | 5.7040 | 126.50 | 138.86 | 1.5119 | 137.35 | 141.60 | 510.00 |
| 5.7040 | 5.0661 | 135.80 | 146.57 | 1.5441 | 145.03 | 141.77 | 520.00 |
| 5.0661 | 4.6075 | 143.46 | 153.13 | 1.5702 | 151.56 | 141.92 | 530.00 |
| 4.6075 | 4.3549 | 151.56 | 160.53 | 0.0000 | 160.53 | 0.00 | 540.00 |
| 4.3549 | 3.9363 | 160.53 | 168.82 | 0.0000 | 168.82 | 0.00 | 550.00 |
| 3.9363 | 3.4238 | 168.82 | 176.18 | 0.0000 | 176.18 | 0.00 | 560.00 |
| 3.4238 | 3.1390 | 176.18 | 182.74 | 0.0000 | 182.74 | 0.00 | 570.00 |
| 3.1390 | 2.9880 | 182.74 | 188.87 | 0.0000 | 188.87 | 0.00 | 580.00 |
| 2.9880 | 2.8984 | 188.87 | 194.75 | 0.0000 | 194.75 | 0.00 | 590.00 |
| 2.8984 | 2.8497 | 194.75 | 200.50 | 0.0000 | 200.50 | 0.00 | 600.00 |
| 2.8497 | 2.7700 | 200.50 | 206.12 | 0.0000 | 206.12 | 0.00 | 610.00 |
| 2.7700 | 2.6728 | 206.12 | 211.56 | 0.0000 | 211.56 | 0.00 | 620.00 |
| 2.6728 | 2.6196 | 211.56 | 216.86 | 0.0000 | 216.86 | 0.00 | 630.00 |
| 2.6196 | 2.5842 | 216.86 | 222.06 | 0.0000 | 222.06 | 0.00 | 640.00 |
| 2.5842 | 2.5722 | 222.06 | 227.22 | 0.0000 | 227.22 | 0.00 | 650.00 |
| 2.5722 | 2.5664 | 227.22 | 232.35 | 0.0000 | 232.35 | 0.00 | 660.00 |
| 2.5664 | 2.4491 | 232.35 | 237.37 | 0.0000 | 237.37 | 0.00 | 670.00 |
| 2.4491 | 2.2693 | 237.37 | 242.09 | 0.0000 | 242.09 | 0.00 | 680.00 |
| 2.2693 | 2.1693 | 242.09 | 246.53 | 0.0000 | 246.53 | 0.00 | 690.00 |
| 2.1693 | 2.1209 | 246.53 | 250.82 | 0.0000 | 250.82 | 0.00 | 700.00 |
| 2.1209 | 2.0876 | 250.82 | 255.03 | 0.0000 | 255.03 | 0.00 | 710.00 |
| 2.0876 | 2.0695 | 255.03 | 259.18 | 0.0000 | 259.18 | 0.00 | 720.00 |
| 2.0695 | 2.0668 | 259.18 | 263.32 | 0.0000 | 263.32 | 0.00 | 730.00 |
| 2.0668 | 2.0590 | 263.32 | 267.45 | 0.0000 | 267.45 | 0.00 | 740.00 |
| 2.0590 | 2.0552 | 267.45 | 271.56 | 0.0000 | 271.56 | 0.00 | 750.00 |
| 2.0552 | 2.0604 | 271.56 | 275.68 | 0.0000 | 275.68 | 0.00 | 760.00 |
| 2.0604 | 2.0571 | 275.68 | 279.79 | 0.0000 | 279.79 | 0.00 | 770.00 |
| 2.0571 | 2.0557 | 279.79 | 283.91 | 0.0000 | 283.91 | 0.00 | 780.00 |
| 2.0557 | 1.9463 | 283.91 | 287.91 | 0.0000 | 287.91 | 0.00 | 790.00 |
| 1.9463 | 1.7696 | 287.91 | 291.62 | 0.0000 | 291.62 | 0.00 | 800.00 |
| 1.7696 | 1.6712 | 291.62 | 295.06 | 0.0000 | 295.06 | 0.00 | 810.00 |
| 1.6712 | 1.6164 | 295.06 | 298.35 | 0.0000 | 298.35 | 0.00 | 820.00 |
| 1.6164 | 1.5861 | 298.35 | 301.55 | 0.0000 | 301.55 | 0.00 | 830.00 |
| 1.5861 | 1.5694 | 301.55 | 304.71 | 0.0000 | 304.71 | 0.00 | 840.00 |
| 1.5694 | 1.6150 | 304.71 | 307.89 | 0.0000 | 307.89 | 0.00 | 850.00 |
| 1.6150 | 1.7023 | 307.89 | 311.21 | 0.0000 | 311.21 | 0.00 | 860.00 |
| 1.7023 | 1.7514 | 311.21 | 314.66 | 0.0000 | 314.66 | 0.00 | 870.00 |
| 1.7514 | 1.7724 | 314.66 | 318.19 | 0.0000 | 318.19 | 0.00 | 880.00 |
| 1.7724 | 1.7913 | 318.19 | 321.75 | 0.0000 | 321.75 | 0.00 | 890.00 |
| 1.7913 | 1.8022 | 321.75 | 325.35 | 0.0000 | 325.35 | 0.00 | 900.00 |
| 1.8022 | 1.8018 | 325.35 | 328.95 | 0.0000 | 328.95 | 0.00 | 910.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEVEL } \\ & \text { I1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { POOL ROU } \\ \text { I2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { NG } & \text { TABLE } \\ & 2 S 1 \end{aligned}$ | SUM | 01 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | SUM | 01 | O2+2S2 | STAGE (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1.8018 | 1.8087 | 328.95 | 332.56 | 0.0000 | 332.56 | 0.00 | 920.00 |
| 1.8087 | 1.8129 | 332.56 | 336.18 | 0.0000 | 336.18 | 0.00 | 930.00 |
| 1.8129 | 1.8087 | 336.18 | 339.80 | 0.0000 | 339.80 | 0.00 | 940.00 |
| 1.8087 | 1.8135 | 339.80 | 343.43 | 0.0000 | 343.43 | 0.00 | 950.00 |
| 1.8135 | 1.8165 | 343.43 | 347.06 | 0.0000 | 347.06 | 0.00 | 960.00 |
| 1.8165 | 1.6399 | 347.06 | 350.51 | 0.0000 | 350.51 | 0.00 | 970.00 |
| 1.6399 | 1.3766 | 350.51 | 353.53 | 0.0000 | 353.53 | 0.00 | 980.00 |
| 1.3766 | 1.2295 | 353.53 | 356.13 | 0.0000 | 356.13 | 0.00 | 990.00 |
| 1.2295 | 1.1406 | 356.13 | 358.50 | 0.0000 | 358.50 | 0.00 | 1000.00 |
| 1.1406 | 1.0978 | 358.50 | 360.74 | 0.0000 | 360.74 | 0.00 | 1010.00 |
| 1.0978 | 1.0740 | 360.74 | 362.91 | 0.0000 | 362.91 | 0.00 | 1020.00 |
| 1.0740 | 1.1708 | 362.91 | 365.16 | 0.0000 | 365.16 | 0.00 | 1030.00 |
| 1.1708 | 1.3419 | 365.16 | 367.67 | 0.0000 | 367.67 | 0.00 | 1040.00 |
| 1.3419 | 1.4378 | 367.67 | 370.45 | 0.0000 | 370.45 | 0.00 | 1050.00 |
| 1.4378 | 1.4915 | 370.45 | 373.38 | 0.0000 | 373.38 | 0.00 | 1060.00 |
| 1.4915 | 1.5218 | 373.38 | 376.39 | 0.0000 | 376.39 | 0.00 | 1070.00 |
| 1.5218 | 1.5389 | 376.39 | 379.46 | 0.0000 | 379.46 | 0.00 | 1080.00 |
| 1.5389 | 1.4935 | 379.46 | 382.49 | 0.0000 | 382.49 | 0.00 | 1090.00 |
| 1.4935 | 1.4064 | 382.49 | 385.39 | 0.0000 | 385.39 | 0.00 | 1100.00 |
| 1.4064 | 1.3578 | 385.39 | 388.15 | 0.0000 | 388.15 | 0.00 | 1110.00 |
| 1.3578 | 1.5444 | 388.15 | 391.05 | 0.0000 | 391.05 | 0.00 | 1120.00 |
| 1.5444 | 1.4352 | 391.05 | 394.03 | 0.0000 | 394.03 | 0.00 | 1130.00 |
| 1.4352 | 1.1675 | 394.03 | 396.64 | 0.0000 | 396.64 | 0.00 | 1140.00 |
| 1.1675 | 1.2317 | 396.64 | 399.04 | 0.0000 | 399.04 | 0.00 | 1150.00 |
| 1.2317 | 1.2608 | 399.04 | 401.53 | 0.0000 | 401.53 | 0.00 | 1160.00 |
| 1.2608 | 1.2772 | 401.53 | 404.07 | 0.0000 | 404.07 | 0.00 | 1170.00 |
| 1.2772 | 1.2934 | 404.07 | 406.64 | 0.0000 | 406.64 | 0.00 | 1180.00 |
| 1.2934 | 1.2956 | 406.64 | 409.23 | 0.0000 | 409.23 | 0.00 | 1190.00 |
| 1.2956 | 1.2970 | 409.23 | 411.82 | 0.0000 | 411.82 | 0.00 | 1200.00 |
| 1.2970 | 1.3048 | 411.82 | 414.42 | 0.0000 | 414.42 | 0.00 | 1210.00 |
| 1.3048 | 1.3024 | 414.42 | 417.03 | 0.0000 | 417.03 | 0.00 | 1220.00 |
| 1.3024 | 1.3012 | 417.03 | 419.63 | 0.0000 | 419.63 | 0.00 | 1230.00 |
| 1.3012 | 1.3075 | 419.63 | 422.24 | 0.0000 | 422.24 | 0.00 | 1240.00 |
| 1.3075 | 1.3042 | 422.24 | 424.85 | 0.0000 | 424.85 | 0.00 | 1250.00 |
| 1.3042 | 1.3025 | 424.85 | 427.46 | 0.0000 | 427.45 | 0.00 | 1260.00 |
| 1.3025 | 1.3086 | 427.46 | 430.07 | 0.0000 | 430.07 | 0.00 | 1270.00 |
| 1.3086 | 1.3052 | 430.07 | 432.68 | 0.0000 | 432.68 | 0.00 | 1280.00 |
| 1.3052 | 1.3034 | 432.68 | 435.29 | 0.0000 | 435.29 | 0.00 | 1290.00 |
| 1.3034 | 1.3094 | 435.29 | 437.90 | 0.0000 | 437.90 | 0.00 | 1300.00 |
| 1.3094 | 1.3060 | 437.90 | 440.52 | 0.0000 | 440.52 | 0.00 | 1310.00 |
| 1.3060 | 1.3042 | 440.52 | 443.13 | 0.0000 | 443.13 | 0.00 | 1320.00 |
| 1.3042 | 1.2479 | 443.13 | 445.68 | 0.0000 | 445.68 | 0.00 | 1330.00 |
| 1.2479 | 1.1612 | 445.68 | 448.09 | 0.0000 | 448.09 | 0.00 | 1340.00 |
| 1.1612 | 1.1128 | 448.09 | 450.37 | 0.0000 | 450.37 | 0.00 | 1350.00 |
| 1.1128 | 1.0789 | 450.37 | 452.56 | 0.0000 | 452.56 | 0.00 | 1360.00 |
| 1.0789 | 1.0669 | 452.56 | 454.70 | 0.0000 | 454.70 | 0.00 | 1370.00 |
| 1.0669 | I. 0603 | 454.70 | 456.83 | 0.0000 | 456.83 | 0.00 | 1380.00 |



LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUM } \\ & \text { min } \end{aligned}$ | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | STAGE (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { TIME } \\ (\mathrm{min}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0603 | 1.0497 | 456.83 | 458.94 | 0.0000 | 458.94 | 0.00 | 1390.00 |
| 1.0497 | 1.0508 | 458.94 | 461.04 | 0.0000 | 461.04 | 0.00 | 1400.00 |
| 1.0508 | 1.0515 | 461.04 | 463.14 | 0.0000 | 463.14 | 0.00 | 1410.00 |
| 1.0515 | 1.0450 | 463.14 | 465.24 | 0.0000 | 465.24 | 0.00 | 1420.00 |
| 1.0450 | 1.0484 | 465.24 | 467.33 | 0.0000 | 467.33 | 0.00 | 1430.00 |
| 1.0484 | 1.0503 | 467.33 | 469.43 | 0.0000 | 469.43 | 0.00 | 1440.00 |
| 1.0503 | 0.8158 | 469.43 | 471.30 | 0.0000 | 471.30 | 0.00 | 1450.00 |
| 0.8158 | 0.4559 | 471.30 | 472.57 | 0.0000 | 472.57 | 0.00 | 1460.00 |
| 0.4559 | 0.2548 | 472.57 | 473.28 | 0.0000 | 473.28 | 0.00 | 1470.00 |
| 0.2548 | 0.1424 | 473.28 | 473.68 | 0.0000 | 473.68 | 0.00 | 1480.00 |
| 0.1424 | 0.0796 | 473.68 | 473.90 | 0.0000 | 473.90 | 0.00 | 1490.00 |
| 0.0796 | 0.0445 | 473.90 | 474.02 | 0.0000 | 474.02 | 0.00 | 1500.00 |

'N' - POND 'B' TOTAL VOLUME

| ELEV | AREA | VOL | COMm <br> VOL <br> (FF) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 135 | (SF) | (CF) | (CF) |

WQ RElease rate

$$
\frac{15,249 \mathrm{ft}^{3}}{48 \mathrm{Has}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{HR}}{3600 \mathrm{~s}}=0.088 \mathrm{css}
$$

By
Date
Job \# -
Shit.
g2000 Group Mackenzie. All rights reserved

## 'O'- POND 'B' DETENTION VOLUME

|  |  | Gum |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELEV | AREA | VOL | VOL. |
| (FT) | (SF) | (CF) | (CF) |


| 136,75 | 10,363 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 137 | 10,849 | 2652 | 2652 |
| 138 | 12,851 | 11,850 | 14,502 |
| 139 | 14,909 | 13,880 | 28,382 |
| 140 | 17,023 | 15,452 | 43,834 |

By
Date
Sot.
S2000 Group Mackenzie. All Right reserved
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Job \#
$\qquad$
Shit. $\qquad$ of $\qquad$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 'P' aQ ORIFICE 'B' } \\
& Q=C A(2 g h)^{1 / 2} \\
& A=\frac{Q}{C(2 g h)^{1 / 2}} \\
& Q=0.088 \mathrm{cfs} \\
& C=0.6 \\
& h=136.75-136.25: 0.5^{1} \\
& g=32.2 \\
& A=\frac{\pi d^{2}}{4} \\
& A=\frac{0.088 S_{s}}{0.62(2 \times 32.2 \times 0.5)^{1 / 2}} \\
& A=0.025 \phi \\
& d=\sqrt{\frac{4 A}{\pi}}=0.178^{\prime}=\underline{\underline{2.11 ~} \phi}
\end{aligned}
$$



NOVELLUS

BASIN SUMMARY

BASIN ID: D10 SBUH METHODOLOGY TOTAL AREA. RAINFALL TYPE PRECIPITATION TIME INTERVAL....:
TIME OF CONC ABSTRACTION COEFF:

NAME: DEVELOPED $10 Y R$ STORM
13.72 Acres TYPE1A
3.45 inches 10.00 min
14.80 min
0.20

BASEFLOWS: 0.00 cfs PERVIOUS AREA

AREA. : 2.06 Acres
CN....: $\quad 80.00$
IMPERVIOUS AREA
AREA. : 11.66 Acres
CN....: 98.00
p2yr: 2.50 s:0.0200
p2yr: 2.50 s:0.0200
TcReach - Channel L:1700.00 kc:42.00 s:0.0100
PEAK RATE: 8.23 cfs VOL: $3.40 \mathrm{AC-Et}$ TIME: 480 min

BASIN ID: D2
SBUH METHODOLOGY
TOTAL AREA.......: 13.72 Acres RAINFALL TYPE....: TYPE1A
PRECIPITATION....: 2.50 inches
TIME INTERVAL....: 10.00 min
TIME OF CONC.....: 14.80 min
ABSTRACTION COEFF: 0.20
TcReach - Sheet I: $30.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.2400$ p2yr: $2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0200$
TcReach - Sheet $L: 150.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.0110$ p2yr: $2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0200$
TcReach - Channel L:1700.00 kc:42.00 s:0.0100
PEAK RATE: 5.73 cfs VOL: $2.36 \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ TIME: 480 min

BASIN ID: D25 SBUH METHODOLOGY
TOTAL AREA.......: 13.72 Acres
RAINFALL TYPE...: TYPEIA
PRECIPITATION....: 3.90 inches
TIME INTERVAL . . . .:
TIME OF CONC.
C. . . . . :

ABSTRACTION COEFF:
10.00 min
14.80 min
0.20

NAME: DEVELOPED 25YR STORM

BASEFLOWS: 0.00 Cfs
PERVIOUS AREA
AREA..: 2.06 Acres
CN.... 80.00
IMPERVIOUS AREA
AREA..: 11.66 Acres
CN....: 98.00
TcReach - Sheet L: $30.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.2400$ p2yr: $2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0200$
TcReach - Sheet L: $150.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.0110$ p2yr: $2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0200$
TcReach - Channel L:1700.00 kc: $42.00 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0100$
PEAK RATE: 9.42 cfs VOL: 3.90 Ac -ft TIME: 480 min

NOVELLUS


BASIN SUMMARY

BASIN ID: E10 SBUH METHODOLOGY TOTAL AREA. . . . . . : RAINFALJ TYPE....: PRECIPITATION. . . . : TIME INTERVAL....: TIME OF CONC..... ABSTRACTION COEFF

NAME: EXISTING 10YR STORM

```
TcReach - Sheet L: 300.00 ns:0.2400 p2yr: 2.50 s:0.0400
TcReach - Shallow L: 300.00 ks:10.00 s:0.0400
TcReach - Channel L:1400.00 kc:17.00 s:0.0400
PEAK RATE: 2.90 cfs VOL: 1.83 Ac-ft TIME: 490 min
CN....: 98.00
2yr: 2.50 s:0.0400
\(s: 0.0400\)
-ft TIME
490 min
```

BASIN ID: E2 SBUH METHODOLOGY
TOTAL AREA......: 13.72 Acres RAINFALL TYPE....: TYPE1A PRECIPITATION.... :
TIME INTERVAL.....
TIME OF CONC.....:
ABSTRACTION COEFF:
13.72 Acres BASEFLOWS: 0.00 Cfs TYPE1A
3.45 inches 10.00 min
38.83 min
0.20 PERVIOUS AREA

AREA. : 13.72 Acres
CN....: 80.00
IMPERVIOUS AREA
AREA. : 0.00 Acres

TcReach - Sheet L: $300.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.2400$ p2Yr: $2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
TcReach - Shallow L: $300.00 \mathrm{ks}: 10.00 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
TcReach - Channel L: $1400.00 \mathrm{kc}: 17.00 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
PEAK RATE: 1.36 cfs VOI: 1.02 Ac -ft TIME: 490 min

BASIN ID: E25
SBUH METHODOLOGY
T'OTAL AREA. . . . . . :
RAINFALL TYPE....
PRECIPITATION. . . .
TIME INTERVAL. . . . :
TIME OF CONC.....:
ABSTRACTION COEFF:

NAME: EXISTING 25YR STORM
13.72 Acres

TYPE1A
3.90 inches
10.00 min
38.83 min
0.20

BASEFLOWS: 0.00 CEs PERVIOUS AREA AREA. . : 13.72 Acres
CN....: 80.00
IMPERVIOUS AREA
AREA. : 0.00 Acres
CN....: 98.00

TcReach - Sheet L: $300.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.2400$ p2yr: $2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
TcReach - Shallow L: $300.00 \mathrm{ks}: 10.00 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
TcReach - Channel L: $1400.00 \mathrm{kc}: 17.00 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
PEAK RATE: 3.71 cfs VOL: 2.24 Ac -ft TIME: 490 min

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { HYD } \\ & \text { NUM } \end{aligned}$ | PEAK | TIME | VOLUME | $\begin{gathered} \text { Contrib } \\ \text { Area } \\ \text { Acres } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RUNOFF | OF | OF |  |
|  | RATE | PEAK | HYDRO |  |
|  | cfs | min. | c ¢ $\backslash \mathrm{AcFt}$ |  |
| 1 | 1.356 | 490 | 44270 Cf | 13.72 |
| 2 | 2.902 | 490 | 79526 Cf | 13.72 |
| 3 | 3.714 | 490 | 97581 cf | 13.72 |
| 5 | 5.734 | 480 | 102758 cf | 13.72 |
| 6 | 8.230 | 480 | 148087 Cf | 13.72 |
| 7 | 9.422 | 480 | 169790 cf | 13.72 |
| 10 | 1.356 | 690 | 102847 c. | 13.72 |
| 11 | 1.670 | 800 | 148142 Cf | 13.72 |
| 12 | 1.779 | 660 | 39111 Cf | 13.72 |

STORAGE LIST ID No. A

MULTIPLE ORIFICE
Description:
Outlet Elev: 136.20
Elev: 136.20 ft Orifice Diameter: 5.4785 in.
ROUTING CURVE

| STAGE <br> (ft) | StORAGE <br> (cf) | OUTFLOW <br> (cfs) | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ | STAGE <br> (ft) | STORAGE (cf) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUTFLOW } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 S \\ \operatorname{cfs}-m i n \end{array}$ | STAGE <br> (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORAGE } \\ \text { (cf) } \end{gathered}$ | OUTFLOW (cfes) | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 136.20 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 137.90 | 13317 | 1.0620 | 45.452 | 139.60 | 37653 | 1.5019 | 127.01 |
| 136.30 | 0.0000 | 0.2576 | 0.2576 | 138.00 | 14502 | 1.0928 | 49.433 | 139.70 | 39198 | 1.5238 | 132.19 |
| 136.40 | 0.0000 | 0.3643 | 0.3643 | 138.10 | 15890 | 1.1227 | 54.089 | 139.80 | 40744 | 1.5454 | 137.36 |
| 136.50 | 0.0000 | 0.4461 | 0.4461 | 138.20 | 17278 | 1.1519 | 58.745 | 139.90 | 42289 | 1.5667 | 142.53 |
| 136.60 | 0.0000 | 0.5151 | 0.5151 | 138.30 | 18666 | 1.1803 | 63.400 | 140.00 | 43834 | 1.5877 | 147.70 |
| 136.70 | 0.0000 | 0.5759 | 0.5759 | 138.40 | 20054 | 1.2081 | 68.055 | 140.10 | 45251 | 1.6085 | 152.44 |
| 136.80 | 530.40 | 0.6309 | 2.3989 | 138.50 | 21442 | 1.2352 | 72.709 | 140.20 | 46667 | 1.6290 | 157.19 |
| 136.90 | 1591 | 0.6815 | 5.9855 | 138.60 | 22830 | 1.2618 | 77.362 | 140.30 | 48084 | 1.6492 | 161.93 |
| 137.00 | 2652 | 0.7285 | 9.5685 | 138.70 | 24218 | 1.2878 | 82.014 | 140.40 | 49500 | 1.6692 | 166.67 |
| 137.10 | 3837 | 0.7727 | 13.563 | 138.80 | 25606 | 1.3133 | 86.667 | 140.50 | 50917 | 1.6890 | 171.41 |
| 137.20 | 5022 | 0.8145 | 17.554 | 138.90 | 26994 | 1.3384 | 91.318 | 140.60 | 52334 | 1.7085 | 176.15 |
| 137.30 | 6207 | 0.8542 | 21.544 | 139.00 | 28382 | 1.3629 | 95.970 | 140.70 | 53750 | 1.7278 | 180.90 |
| 137.40 | 7392 | 0.8922 | 25.532 | 139.10 | 29927 | 1.3870 | 101.14 | 140.80 | 55167 | 1.7469 | 185.64 |
| 137.50 | 8577 | 0.9287 | 29.519 | 139.20 | 31472 | 1.4107 | 206.32 | 140.90 | 56583 | 1.7658 | 190.38 |
| 137.60 | 9762 | 0.9637 | 33.504 | 139.30 | 33018 | 1.4341 | 111.49 |  |  |  |  |
| 137.70 | 10947 | 0.9975 | 37.488 | 139.40 | 34563 | 1.4570 | 116.67 |  |  |  |  |
| 137.80 | 12132 | 1.0303 | 41.470 | 139.50 | 36108 | 1.4796 | 121.84 |  |  |  |  |

# Mackenzie Engineering Inc POND B 

page 5
NOVELLUS

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE
MATCH Q (Cfs) : 1.36 INFLOW Q (cfs): 5.73

PEAK STAGE (ft): 138.97 PEAK OUTFLOW : 1.36
PEAK TIME: 690.00 min .
INFLOW HYD NO. : 5
OUTFLOW HYD NO.: 10
LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | Sum | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 136.20 | 50.00 |
| 0.0001 | 0.0071 | 0.0000 | 0.0072 | 0.0001 | 0.0071 | 136.20 | 60.00 |
| 0.0071 | 0.0405 | 0.0000 | 0.0476 | 0.0071 | 0.0405 | 136.20 | 70.00 |
| 0.0405 | 0.1004 | 0.0000 | 0.1409 | 0.0405 | 0.1004 | 136.20 | 80.00 |
| 0.1004 | 0.1674 | 0.0000 | 0.2677 | 0.1004 | 0.1674 | 136.20 | 90.00 |
| 0.1674 | 0.2325 | 0.0000 | 0.3999 | 0.1674 | 0.2325 | 136.20 | 100.00 |
| 0.2325 | 0.2922 | 0.0000 | 0.5246 | 0.2325 | 0.2922 | 136.20 | 110.00 |
| 0.2922 | 0.3455 | 0.0000 | 0.6377 | 0.2922 | 0.3455 | 136.20 | 120.00 |
| 0.3455 | 0.4121 | 0.0000 | 0.7576 | 0.3455 | 0.4121 | 136.20 | 130.00 |
| 0.4121 | 0.4879 | 0.0000 | 0.8999 | 0.4121 | 0.4879 | 136.20 | 140.00 |
| 0.4879 | 0.5466 | 0.0000 | 1.0345 | 0.4879 | 0.5466 | 136.20 | 150.00 |
| 0.5466 | 0.5916 | 0.0000 | 1.1382 | 0.5466 | 0.5916 | 136.20 | 160.00 |
| 0.5916 | 0.6329 | 0.0152 | 1.2397 | 0.5764 | 0.6633 | 136.70 | 170.00 |
| 0.6329 | 0.6676 | 0.0847 | 1.3853 | 0.5786 | 0.8067 | 136.70 | 180.00 |
| 0.6676 | 0.6944 | 0.2238 | 1.5859 | 0.5829 | 1.0030 | 136.71 | 190.00 |
| 0.6944 | 0.7222 | 0.4142 | 1.8308 | 0.5888 | 1.2420 | 136.72 | 200.00 |
| 0.7222 | 0.7459 | 0.6460 | 2.1141 | 0.5960 | 1.5181 | 136.74 | 210.00 |
| 0.7459 | 0.7634 | 0.9137 | 2.4231 | 0.6043 | 1.8187 | 136.75 | 220.00 |
| 0.7634 | 0.7836 | 1.2053 | 2.7523 | 0.6134 | 2.1389 | 136.77 | 230.00 |
| 0.7836 | 0.8010 | 1.5159 | 3.1005 | 0.6231 | 2.4774 | 136.79 | 240.00 |
| 0.8010 | 0.8455 | 1.8454 | 3.4918 | 0.6320 | 2.8598 | 136.80 | 250.00 |
| 0.8455 | 0.9035 | 2.2224 | 3.9714 | 0.6374 | 3.3340 | 136.81 | 260.00 |
| 0.9035 | 0.9394 | 2.6899 | 4.5328 | 0.6441 | 3.8888 | 136.83 | 270.00 |
| 0.9394 | 0.9673 | 3.2369 | 5.1435 | 0.6519 | 4.4916 | 136.84 | 280.00 |
| 0.9673 | 0.9831 | 3.8312 | 5.7815 | 0.6604 | 5.1211 | 136.86 | 290.00 |
| 0.9831 | 0.9963 | 4.4519 | 6.4312 | 0.6693 | 5.7620 | 136.88 | 300.00 |
| 0.9963 | 1.1404 | 5.0837 | 7.2204 | 0.6783 | 6.5421 | 136.89 | 310.00 |
| 1.1404 | 1.3477 | 5.8533 | 8.3415 | 0.6888 | 7.6527 | 136.92 | 320.00 |
| 1.3477 | 1.4589 | 6.9494 | 9.7560 | 0.7033 | 9.0527 | 136.95 | 330.00 |
| 1.4589 | 1.5216 | 8.3309 | 11.311 | 0.7217 | 10.590 | 136.99 | 340.00 |
| 1.5216 | 1.5601 | 9.8499 | 12.932 | 0.7398 | 12.192 | 137.03 | 350.00 |
| 1.5601 | 1.5875 | 11.434 | 14.582 | 0.7575 | 13.824 | 137.07 | 360.00 |
| 1.5875 | 1.5763 | 13.049 | 16.213 | 0.7754 | 15.437 | 137.11 | 370.00 |
| 1.5763 | 1.5447 | 14.645 | 17.766 | 0.7923 | 16.974 | 137.15 | 380.00 |
| 1.5447 | 1.5310 | 16.165 | 19.241 | 0.8084 | 18.433 | 137.19 | 390.00 |
| 1.5310 | 1.5300 | 17.609 | 20.670 | 0.8232 | 19.847 | 137.22 | 400.00 |
| 1.5300 | 1.5391 | 19.010 | 22.079 | 0.8373 | 21.241 | 137.26 | 410.00 |
| 1.5391 | 1.5444 | 20.390 | 23.474 | 0.8512 | 22.623 | 137.29 | 420.00 |
| 1.5444 | 2.0207 | 21.758 | 25.323 | 0.8645 | 24.459 | 137.33 | 430.00 |
| 2.0207 | 2.7418 | 23.577 | 28.339 | 0.8820 | 27.457 | 137.37 | 440.00 |
| 2.7418 | 3.1175 | 26.547 | 32.407 | 0.9098 | 31.497 | 137.45 | 450.00 |


LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE


## NOVELLUS


LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | SUM | 01 | O2+2S2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.1272 | 1.1296 | 85.009 | 87.265 | 1.3114 | 85.954 | 138.79 | 930.00 |
| 1.1296 | 1.1263 | 84.645 | 86.901 | 1.3094 | 85.591 | 138.78 | 940.00 |
| 1.1263 | 1.1299 | 84.284 | 86.540 | 1.3074 | 85.232 | 138.78 | 950.00 |
| 1.1299 | 1.1320 | 83.927 | 86.189 | 1.3055 | 84.883 | 138.77 | 960.00 |
| 1.1320 | 1.0060 | 83.580 | 85.718 | 1.3036 | 84.414 | 138.76 | 970.00 |
| 1.0060 | 0.8262 | 83.113 | 84.945 | 1.3010 | 83.644 | 138.75 | 980.00 |
| 0.8262 | 0.7373 | 82.348 | 83.911 | 1.2968 | 82.614 | 138.74 | 990.00 |
| 0.7373 | 0.6885 | 81.323 | 82.749 | 1.2911 | 81.458 | 138.71 | 1000.00 |
| 0.6885 | 0.6694 | 80.173 | 81.531 | 1.2847 | 80.247 | 138.69 | 1010.00 |
| 0.6694 | 0.6600 | 78.969 | 80.298 | 1.2779 | 79.020 | 138.66 | 1020.00 |
| 0.6600 | 0.7340 | 77.749 | 79.143 | 1.2711 | 77.872 | 138.64 | 1030.00 |
| 0.7340 | 0.8542 | 76.607 | 78.195 | 1.2647 | 76.931 | 138.61 | 1040.00 |
| 0.8542 | 0.9139 | 75.671 | 77.439 | 1.2593 | 76.180 | 138.59 | 1050.00 |
| 0.9139 | 0.9437 | 74.925 | 76.783 | 1.2551 | 75.527 | 138.57 | 1060.00 |
| 0.9437 | 0.9587 | 74.276 | 76.179 | 1.2513 | 74.927 | 138.56 | 1070.00 |
| 0.9587 | 0.9663 | 73.679 | 75.604 | 1.2479 | 74.356 | 138.55 | 1080.00 |
| 0.9663 | 0.9309 | 73.112 | 75.009 | 1.2446 | 73.764 | 138.54 | 1090.00 |
| 0.9309 | 0.8693 | 72.523 | 74.323 | 1.2413 | 73.082 | 138.52 | 1100.00 |
| 0.8693 | 0.8389 | 71.844 | 73.553 | 1.2374 | 72.315 | 138.51 | 1110.00 |
| 0.8389 | 0.9767 | 71.082 | 72.898 | 1.2329 | 71.665 | 138.49 | 1120.00 |
| 0.9767 | 0.8924 | 70.436 | 72.305 | 1.2292 | 71.076 | 138.48 | 1130.00 |
| 0.8924 | 0.7030 | 69.850 | 71.446 | 1.2257 | 70.220 | 138.46 | 1140.00 |
| 0.7030 | 0.7621 | 68.999 | 70.464 | 1.2207 | 69.243 | 138.45 | 1150.00 |
| 0.7621 | 0.7865 | 68.028 | 69.577 | 1.2150 | 68.362 | 138.43 | 1160.00 |
| 0.7865 | 0.7988 | 67.152 | 68.737 | 1.2099 | 67.528 | 138.41 | 1170.00 |
| 0.7988 | 0.8099 | 66.323 | 67.931 | 1.2049 | 66.726 | 138.39 | 1180.00 |
| 0.8099 | 0.8106 | 65.526 | 67.147 | 1.2002 | 65.947 | 138.37 | 1190.00 |
| 0.8106 | 0.8111 | 64.751 | 66.373 | 1.1955 | 65.177 | 138.35 | 1200.00 |
| 0.8111 | 0.8164 | 63.986 | 65.614 | 1.1909 | 64.423 | 138.34 | 1210.00 |
| 0.8164 | 0.8142 | 63.236 | 64.867 | 1.1864 | 63.681 | 138.32 | 1220.00 |
| 0.8142 | 0.8133 | 62.499 | 64.126 | 1.1820 | 62.944 | 138.31 | 1230.00 |
| 0.8133 | 0.8179 | 61.767 | 63.398 | 1.1775 | 62.220 | 138.29 | 1240.00 |
| 0.8179 | 0.8153 | 61.047 | 62.681 | 1.1731 | 61.507 | 138.27 | 1250.00 |
| 0.8153 | 0.8142 | 60.339 | 61.968 | 1.1687 | 60.799 | 138.26 | 1260.00 |
| 0.8142 | 0.8187 | 59.635 | 61.268 | 1.1644 | 60.104 | 138.24 | 1270.00 |
| 0.8187 | 0.8161 | 58.943 | 60.578 | 1.1602 | 59.418 | 138.23 | 1280.00 |
| 0.8161 | 0.8150 | 58.262 | 59.893 | 1.1560 | 58.737 | 138.21 | 1290.00 |
| 0.8150 | 0.8195 | 57.585 | 59.220 | 1.1518 | 58.068 | 138.20 | 1300.00 |
| 0.8195 | 0.8169 | 56.921 | 58.557 | 1.1476 | 57.409 | 138.19 | 1310.00 |
| 0.8169 | 0.8157 | 56.266 | 57.898 | 1.1435 | 56.755 | 138.17 | 1320.00 |
| 0.8157 | 0.7756 | 55.615 | 57.207 | 1.1394 | 56.067 | 138.16 | 1330.00 |
| 0.7756 | 0.7162 | 54.932 | 56.424 | 1.1351 | 55.289 | 138.14 | 1340.00 |
| 0.7162 | 0.6868 | 54.159 | 55.562 | 1.1302 | 54.431 | 138.13 | 1350.00 |
| 0.6868 | 0.6674 | 53.307 | 54.661 | 1.1248 | 53.536 | 138.11 | 1360.00 |
| 0.6674 | 0.6628 | 52.417 | 53.747 | 1.1191 | 52.628 | 138.09 | 1370.00 |
| 0.6628 | 0.6606 | 51.515 | 52.838 | 1.1133 | 51.725 | 138.07 | 1380.00 |
| 0.6606 | 0.6547 | 50.617 | 51.932 | 1.1075 | 50.825 | 138.05 | 1390.00 |

## NOVELLUS


LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SUM } \\ \text { min } \end{gathered}$ | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.6547 | 0.6567 | 49.723 | 51.035 | 1.1017 | 49.933 | 138.03 | 1400.00 |
| 0.6567 | 0.6578 | 48.837 | 50.152 | 1.0960 | 49.056 | 138.01 | 1410.00 |
| 0.6578 | 0.6535 | 47.966 | 49.277 | 1.0898 | 48.187 | 137.99 | 1420.00 |
| 0.6535 | 0.6564 | 47.104 | 48.414 | 1.0831 | 47.331 | 137.97 | 1430.00 |
| 0.6564 | 0.6579 | 46.254 | 47.569 | 1.0765 | 46.492 | 137.95 | 1440.00 |
| 0.6579 | 0.4897 | 45.422 | 46.570 | 1.0700 | 45.500 | 137.93 | 1450.00 |
| 0.4897 | 0.2424 | 44.437 | 45.169 | 1.0623 | 44.107 | 137.90 | 1460.00 |
| 0.2424 | 0.1200 | 43.056 | 43.418 | 1.0513 | 42.367 | 137.87 | 1470.00 |
| 0.1200 | 0.0594 | 41.330 | 41.509 | 1.0374 | 40.472 | 137.82 | 1480.00 |
| 0.0594 | 0.0294 | 39.450 | 39.538 | 1.0221 | 38.516 | 137.77 | 1490.00 |
| 0.0294 | 0.0146 | 37.510 | 37.554 | 1.0060 | 36.548 | 137.73 | 1500.00 |
| 0.0146 | 0.0072 | 35.559 | 35.581 | 0.9896 | 34.591 | 137.68 | 1510.00 |
| 0.0072 | 0.0036 | 33.618 | 33.629 | 0.9730 | 32.656 | 137.63 | 1520.00 |
| 0.0036 | 0.0018 | 31.700 | 31.705 | 0.9563 | 30.749 | 137.58 | 1530.00 |
| 0.0018 | 0.0009 | 29.809 | 29.812 | 0.9395 | 28.872 | 137.53 | 1540.00 |
| 0.0009 | 0.0004 | 27.950 | 27.951 | 0.9228 | 27.028 | 137.48 | 1550.00 |
| 0.0004 | 0.0002 | 26.122 | 26.123 | 0.9059 | 25.217 | 137.44 | 1560.00 |
| 0.0002 | 0.0001 | 24.328 | 24.328 | 0.8892 | 23.439 | 137.39 | 1570.00 |
| 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 22.566 | 22.567 | 0.8723 | 21.694 | 137.35 | 1580.00 |
| 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 20.839 | 20.839 | 0.8557 | 19.983 | 137.30 | 1590.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19.144 | 19.144 | 0.8387 | 18.306 | 137.26 | 1600.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17.484 | 17.484 | 0.8220 | 16.662 | 137.22 | 1610.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 15.857 | 15.857 | 0.8051 | 15.051 | 137.18 | 1620.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 14.263 | 14.263 | 0.7883 | 13.475 | 137.14 | 1630.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 12.703 | 12.703 | 0.7717 | 11.931 | 137.10 | 1640.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 11.177 | 11.177 | 0.7546 | 10.422 | 137.06 | 1650.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 9.6842 | 9.6842 | 0.7380 | 8.9463 | 137.02 | 1660.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 8.2259 | 8.2259 | 0.7203 | 7.5056 | 136.98 | 1670.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 6.8042 | 6.8042 | 0.7014 | 6.1028 | 136.94 | 1680.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 5.4198 | 5.4198 | 0.6830 | 4.7368 | 136.90 | 1690.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4.0729 | 4.0729 | 0.6639 | 3.4091 | 136.87 | 1700.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2.7639 | 2.7639 | 0.6451 | 2.1188 | 136.83 | 1710.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.4963 | 1.4963 | 0.6225 | 0.8739 | 136.78 | 1720.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2890 | 0.2890 | 0.5849 | -0.2960 | 136.72 | 1730.00 |

STORAGE LIST ID NO. A

MULTIPLE ORIFICE Description:
Outlet Elev: 136.20
Elev: 136.20 ft Orifice Diameter: 5.4785 in.
ROUTING CURVE

| stage <br> (ft) | STORAGE <br> (cf) | $\begin{gathered} \text { OUTFLOW } \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{cfs}-\mathrm{min} \end{array}$ | STAGE <br> (ft) | STORAGE <br> (cf) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUTFLOW } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ | stage <br> (ft) | STORAGE <br> (cf) | OUTFLOW (cfs) | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 136.20 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 137.90 | 13317 | 1.0620 | 45.452 | 139.60 | 37653 | 1.5019 | 127.01 |
| 136.30 | 0.0000 | 0.2576 | 0.2576 | 138.00 | 14502 | 1.0928 | 49.433 | 139.70 | 39198 | 1.5238 | 132.19 |
| 136.40 | 0.0000 | 0.3643 | 0.3643 | 238.10 | 15890 | 1.1227 | 54.089 | 139.80 | 40744 | 1.5454 | 137.36 |
| 136.50 | 0.0000 | 0.4461 | 0.4461 | 138.20 | 17278 | 1.1519 | 58.745 | 139.90 | 42289 | 1.5667 | 142.53 |
| 136.60 | 0.0000 | 0.5151 | 0.5151 | 138.30 | 18666 | 1.1803 | 63.400 | 140.00 | 43834 | 1.5877 | 147.70 |
| 136.70 | 0.0000 | 0.5759 | 0.5759 | 138.40 | 20054 | 1.2081 | 68.055 | 140.10 | 45251 | 1.6085 | 152.44 |
| 136.80 | 530.40 | 0.6309 | 2.3989 | 138.50 | 21442 | 1.2352 | 72.709 | 140.20 | 46667 | 1.6290 | 157.19 |
| 136.90 | 1591 | 0.6815 | 5.9855 | 138.60 | 22830 | 1.2618 | 77.362 | 140.30 | 48084 | 1.6492 | 161.93 |
| 137.00 | 2652 | 0.7285 | 9.5685 | 138.70 | 24218 | 2.2878 | 82.014 | 140.40 | 49500 | 1.6692 | 166.67 |
| 137.10 | 3837 | 0.7727 | 13.563 | 138.80 | 25606 | 1. 3133 | 86.667 | 140.50 | 50917 | 1.6890 | 171.41 |
| 137.20 | 5022 | 0.8145 | 17.554 | 138.90 | 26994 | 1.3384 | 91.318 | 140.60 | 52334 | 1.7085 | 176.15 |
| 137.30 | 6207 | 0.8542 | 21.544 | 139.00 | 28382 | 1.3629 | 95.970 | 140.70 | 53750 | 1.7278 | 180.90 |
| 137.40 | 7392 | 0.8922 | 25.532 | 139.10 | 29927 | 1.3870 | 101.14 | 140.80 | 55167 | 1.7469 | 185.64 |
| 137.50 | 8577 | 0.9287 | 29.519 | 139.20 | 31472 | 1.4107 | 106.32 | 140.90 | 56583 | 1.7658 | 190.38 |
| 137.60 | 9762 | 0.9637 | 33.504 | 139.30 | 33018 | 1.4341 | 111.49 |  |  |  |  |
| 137.70 | 10947 | 0.9975 | 37.488 | 139.40 | 34563 | 1.4570 | 116.67 |  |  |  |  |
| 137.80 | 12132 | 1.0303 | 41.470 | 139.50 | 36108 | 1.4796 | 121.84 |  |  |  |  |

# Mackenzie Engineering Inc POND B 
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NOVELLUS

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| MATCH $Q$ (cfs) $:$ | 2.90 | INFLOW Q (cfs) : | 8.23 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PEAK STAGE (ft) | 140.41 | PEAK OUTFLOW | 1.67 |

PEAK TIME: 800.00 min .
INFLOW HYD No. : 6 OUTFLOW HYD No.: 11
LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | SUM | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | STAGE | TIME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | min |  |  | (ft) | (min) |
| 0.0000 | 0.0022 | 0.0000 | 0.0022 | 0.0000 | 0.0022 | 136.20 | 40.00 |
| 0.0022 | 0.0238 | 0.0000 | 0.0260 | 0.0022 | 0.0238 | 136.20 | 50.00 |
| 0.0238 | 0.0705 | 0.0000 | 0.0944 | 0.0238 | 0.0705 | 136.20 | 60.00 |
| 0.0705 | 0.1595 | 0.0000 | 0.2300 | 0.0705 | 0.1595 | 136.20 | 70.00 |
| 0.1595 | 0.2804 | 0.0000 | 0.4399 | 0.1595 | 0.2804 | 136.20 | 80.00 |
| 0.2804 | 0.3921 | 0.0000 | 0.6725 | 0.2804 | 0.3921 | 136.20 | 90.00 |
| 0.3921 | 0.4901 | 0.0000 | 0.8823 | 0.3921 | 0.4901 | 136.20 | 100.00 |
| 0.4901 | 0.5742 | 0.0000 | 1.0643 | 0.4901 | 0.5742 | 136.20 | 110.00 |
| 0.5742 | 0.6457 | 0.0000 | 1.2199 | 0.5742 | 0.6457 | 136.20 | 120.00 |
| 0.6457 | 0.7394 | 0.0677 | 1.4528 | 0.5780 | 0.8747 | 136.70 | 130.00 |
| 0.7394 | 0.8479 | 0.2898 | 1.8770 | 0.5849 | 1.2921 | 136.72 | 140.00 |
| 0.8479 | 0.9262 | 0.6945 | 2.4686 | 0.5975 | 1.8711 | 136.74 | 150.00 |
| 0.9262 | 0.9816 | 1.2561 | 3.1639 | 0.6150 | 2.5489 | 136.77 | 160.00 |
| 0.9816 | 1.0317 | 1. 9159 | 3.9292 | 0.6330 | 3.2962 | 136.80 | 170.00 |
| 1.0317 | 1.0721 | 2.6526 | 4.7564 | 0.6436 | 4.1128 | 136.83 | 180.00 |
| 1.0721 | 1.1008 | 3.4578 | 5.6306 | 0.6551 | 4.9755 | 136.85 | 190.00 |
| 1.1008 | 1.1318 | 4.3083 | 6.5409 | 0.6672 | 5.8737 | 136.87 | 200.00 |
| 1.1318 | 1.1577 | 5.1938 | 7.4834 | 0.6799 | 6.8035 | 136.90 | 210.00 |
| 1.1577 | 1.1746 | 6.1113 | 8.4436 | 0.6922 | 7.7514 | 136.92 | 220.00 |
| 1.1746 | 1.1964 | 7.0467 | 9.4178 | 0.7046 | 8.7131 | 136.95 | 230.00 |
| 1.1964 | 1.2146 | 7.9958 | 10.407 | 0.7173 | 9.6896 | 136.98 | 240.00 |
| 1.2146 | 1.2737 | 8.9598 | 11.448 | 0.7298 | 10.718 | 137.00 | 250.00 |
| 1.2737 | 1.3532 | 9.9771 | 12.604 | 0.7412 | 11.863 | 137.03 | 260.00 |
| 1.3532 | 1.3994 | 11.109 | 13.861 | 0.7539 | 13.108 | 137.06 | 270.00 |
| 1.3994 | 1.4340 | 12.340 | 15.173 | 0.7677 | 14.406 | 137.09 | 280.00 |
| 1.4340 | 1.4521 | 13.624 | 16.510 | 0.7815 | 15.729 | 137.12 | 290.00 |
| 1.4521 | 1.4683 | 14.933 | 17.854 | 0.7954 | 17.058 | 137.15 | 300.00 |
| 1.4683 | 1.6787 | 16.249 | 19.396 | 0.8093 | 18.587 | 137.19 | 310.00 |
| 1.6787 | 1.9830 | 17.762 | 21.423 | 0.8248 | 20.599 | 137.23 | 320.00 |
| 1.9830 | 2.1467 | 19.754 | 23.884 | 0.8448 | 23.039 | 137.28 | 330.00 |
| 2.1467 | 2.2398 | 22.170 | 26.557 | 0.8685 | 25.688 | 137.34 | 340.00 |
| 2.2398 | 2.2969 | 24.795 | 29.331 | 0.8937 | 28.438 | 137.40 | 350.00 |
| 2.2969 | 2.3352 | 27.519 | 32.151 | 0.9188 | 31.232 | 137.47 | 360.00 |
| 2.3352 | 2.3149 | 30.288 | 34.939 | 0.9437 | 33.995 | 137.54 | 370.00 |
| 2.3149 | 2.2642 | 33.027 | 37.606 | 0.9679 | 36.638 | 137.61 | 380.00 |
| 2.2642 | 2.2399 | 35.648 | 40.152 | 0.9903 | 39.162 | 137.68 | 390.00 |
| 2.2399 | 2.2342 | 38.150 | 42.624 | 1.0113 | 41.613 | 137.74 | 400.00 |
| 2.2342 | 2.2436 | 40.582 | 45.059 | 1.0314 | 44.028 | 137.80 | 410.00 |
| 2.2436 | 2.2477 | 42.977 | 47.469 | 1.0506 | 46.418 | 137.86 | 420.00 |
| 2.2477 | 2.9341 | 45.349 | 50.531 | 1.0694 | 49.461 | 137.92 | 430.00 |
| 2.9341 | 3.9727 | 48.368 | 55.275 | 1.0929 | 54.182 | 138.00 | 440.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| LEVEL <br> II | OOL ROUT I2 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{TG} \underset{\mathrm{TABLE}}{ } \\ 2 \mathrm{SI} \end{gathered}$ | SUM | 01. | O2+2S2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | mi |  |  | (ft) | $(\min )$ |
| 3.9727 | 4.5081 | 53.059 | 61.540 | 1.1233 | 60.416 | 138.10 |  |
| 4.5081 | 5.7611 | 59.254 | 69.523 | 1.1621 | 68.361 | 138.24 | 460.00 |
| 5.7611 | 7.3831 | 67.151 | 80.296 | 1.2099 | 79.086 | 138.41 | 470.00 |
| 7.3831 | 8.2300 | 77.814 | 93.427 | 1.2714 | 92.156 | 138.64 | 480.00 |
| 8.2300 | 7.3623 | 90.813 | 106.41 | 1.3428 | 105.06 | 138.92 | 490.00 |
| 7.3623 | 5.6284 | 103.66 | 116.65 | 1.4050 | 115.24 | 139.18 | 500.00 |
| 5.6284 | 4.7761 | 113.79 | 124.20 | 1.4507 | 122.75 | 139.37 | 510.00 |
| 4.7761 | 4.2464 | 121.26 | 130.29 | 1.4835 | 128.80 | 139.52 | 520.00 |
| 4.2464 | 3.8824 | 127.29 | 135.42 | 1.5094 | 133.91 | 139.63 | 530.00 |
| 3.8824 | 3.7062 | 132.38 | 139.97 | 1.5310 | 138.44 | 139.73 | 540.00 |
| 3.7062 | 3.3412 | 136.89 | 143.94 | 1. 5498 | 142.39 | 139.82 | 550.00 |
| 3.3412 | 2.8814 | 140.82 | 147.04 | 1.5661 | 145.48 | 139.90 | 560.00 |
| 2.8814 | 2.6556 | 143.90 | 149.44 | 1.5787 | 147.86 | 139.96 | 570.00 |
| 2.6556 | 2.5522 | 146.27 | 151.48 | 1.5884 | 149.89 | 140.00 | 580.00 |
| 2.5522 | 2.4959 | 148.29 | 153.34 | 1.5973 | 151.74 | 140.05 | 590.00 |
| 2.4959 | 2.4696 | 150.14 | 155.10 | 1.6054 | 153.50 | 140.09 | 600.00 |
| 2.4696 | 2.4040 | 151.88 | 156.76 | 1.6130 | 155.14 | 140.12 | 610.00 |
| 2.4040 | 2.3189 | 153.52 | 158.25 | 1.6202 | 156.63 | 140.16 | 620.00 |
| 2.3189 | 2.2779 | 155.00 | 159.60 | 1.6266 | 157.97 | 140.19 | 630.00 |
| 2.2779 | 2.2521 | 156.34 | 160.87 | 1.6323 | 159.23 | 140.22 | 640.00 |
| 2.2521 | 2.2472 | 157.60 | 162.10 | 1.6377 | 160.46 | 140.24 | 650.00 |
| 2.2472 | 2.2459 | 158.82 | 163.31 | 1.6430 | 161.67 | 140.27 | 660.00 |
| 2.2459 | 2.1308 | 160.02 | 164.39 | 1.6481 | 162.75 | 140.29 | 670.00 |
| 2.1308 | 1.9591 | 161.09 | 165.18 | 1.6527 | 163.53 | 140.32 | 680.00 |
| 1.9591 | 1.8748 | 161.87 | 165.71 | 1.6560 | 164.05 | 140.33 | 690.00 |
| 1.8748 | 1.8405 | 162.39 | 166.11 | 1.6582 | 164.45 | 140.34 | 700.00 |
| 1.8405 | 1.8174 | 162.79 | 166.45 | 1.6599 | 164.79 | 140.35 | 710.00 |
| 1.8174 | 1.8066 | 163.13 | 166.75 | 1.6613 | 165.09 | 140.36 | 720.00 |
| 1.8066 | 1.8087 | 163.43 | 167.04 | 1.6626 | 165.38 | 140.37 | 730.00 |
| 1.8087 | 1.8035 | 163.72 | 167.33 | 1.6638 | 165.67 | 140.37 | 740.00 |
| 1.8035 | 1.8016 | 164.00 | 167.61 | 1.6650 | 165.94 | 140.38 | 750.00 |
| 1.8016 | 1.8080 | 164.28 | 167.88 | 1.6661 | 166.22 | 140.38 | 760.00 |
| 1.8080 | 1.8050 | 164.55 | 168.16 | 1.6673 | 166.50 | 140.39 | 770.00 |
| 1.8050 | 1.8040 | 164.83 | 168.44 | 1.6685 | 166.77 | 140.40 | 780.00 |
| 1.8040 | 1.6945 | 165.10 | 168.60 | 1.6696 | 166.93 | 140.40 | 790.00 |
| 1.6945 | 1.5242 | 165.26 | 168.48 | 1.6703 | 166.81 | 140.41 | 800.00 |
| 1.5242 | 1.4402 | 165.14 | 168.10 | 1.6698 | 166.43 | 140.40 | 810.00 |
| 1.4402 | 1. 3989 | 164.76 | 167.60 | 1.6682 | 165.93 | 140.39 | 820.00 |
| 1.3989 | 1.3787 | 164.27 | 167.04 | 1.6661 | 165.38 | 140.38 | 830.00 |
| 1.3787 | 1.3691 | 163.72 | 166.46 | 1.6638 | 164.80 | 140.37 | 840.00 |
| 1.3691 | 1.4195 | 163.14 | 165.93 | 1.6613 | 164.27 | 140.36 | 850.00 |
| 1.4195 | 1.5067 | 162.61 | 165.53 | 1.6591 | 163.87 | 140.35 | 860.00 |
| 1.5067 | 1.5503 | 162.22 | 165.27 | 1.6574 | 163.62 | 140.34 | 870.00 |
| 1.5503 | 1.5653 | 161.96 | 165.07 | 1.6563 | 163.42 | 140.34 | 880.00 |
| 1.5653 | 1.5800 | 161.76 | 164.91 | 1.6555 | 163.25 | 140.33 | 890.00 |
| 1.5800 | 1.5877 | 161.60 | 164.77 | 1.6548 | 163.11 | 140.33 | 900.00 |
| 1.5877 | 1.5850 | 161.46 | 164.63 | 1.6542 | 162.97 | 140.32 | 910.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEVEL } \\ & \text { I } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { I2 ROU? } \\ \text { I2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NG TABLE } \\ 2 \mathrm{Sl} \end{gathered}$ | SUM | O1 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | min | O1 | O2+2S 2 | (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\text { min) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1.5850 | 1.5909 | 161.32 | 164.50 | 1.6536 | 162.84 | 140.32 | 920.00 |
| 1.5909 | 1.5941 | 161.19 | 164.38 | 1.6531 | 162.72 | 140.32 | 930.00 |
| 1.5941 | 1.5892 | 161.07 | 164.25 | 1.6526 | 162.60 | 140.32 | 940.00 |
| 1.5892 | 1.5940 | 160.95 | 164.13 | 1.6521 | 162.48 | 140.31 | 950.00 |
| 1.5940 | 1.5968 | 160.83 | 164.02 | 1.6515 | 162.37 | 140.31 | 960.00 |
| 1.5968 | 1.4188 | 160.72 | 163.73 | 1.6511 | 162.08 | 140.31 | 970.00 |
| 1.4188 | 1.1652 | 160.43 | 163.01 | 1.6499 | 161.37 | 140.30 | 980.00 |
| 1.1652 | 1.0397 | 159.72 | 161.92 | 1.6468 | 160.28 | 140.29 | 990.00 |
| 1.0397 | 0.9708 | 158.63 | 160.64 | 1.6422 | 159.00 | 140.27 | 1000.00 |
| 0.9708 | 0.9437 | 157.37 | 159.28 | 1.6367 | 157.64 | 140.24 | 1010.00 |
| 0.9437 | 0.9304 | 156.01 | 157.89 | 1.6309 | 156.26 | 140.21 | 1020.00 |
| 0.9304 | 1.0346 | 154.63 | 156.60 | 1.6250 | 154.97 | 140.18 | 1030.00 |
| 1.0346 | 1.2040 | 153.35 | 155.59 | 1.6194 | 153.97 | 140.15 | 1040.00 |
| 1.2040 | 1.2880 | 152.36 | 154.85 | 1.6151 | 153.23 | 140.13 | 1050.00 |
| 1.2880 | 1.3299 | 151.62 | 154.24 | 1.6119 | 152.63 | 140.12 | 1060.00 |
| 1.3299 | 1.3508 | 151.02 | 153.70 | 1.6093 | 152.09 | 140.10 | 1070.00 |
| 1.3508 | 1.3614 | 150.48 | 153.19 | 1.6069 | 151.59 | 140.09 | 1080.00 |
| 1.3614 | 1.3114 | 149.98 | 152.66 | 1.6047 | 151.05 | 140.08 | 1090.00 |
| 1.3114 | 1.2245 | 149.45 | 151.98 | 1.6024 | 150.38 | 140.07 | 1100.00 |
| 1.2245 | 1.1816 | 148.78 | 151.19 | 1.5995 | 149.59 | 140.06 | 1110.00 |
| 1.1816 | 1.3755 | 147.99 | 150.55 | 1.5960 | 148.95 | 140.04 | 1120.00 |
| 1.3755 | 1.2567 | 147.36 | 149.99 | 1.5932 | 148.40 | 140.03 | 1130.00 |
| 1.2567 | 0.9899 | 146.81 | 149.06 | 1.5908 | 147.46 | 140.01 | 1140.00 |
| 0.9899 | 1.0730 | 145.88 | 147.94 | 1.5868 | 146.35 | 140.00 | 1150.00 |
| 1.0730 | 1.1073 | 144.77 | 146.95 | 1.5823 | 145.37 | 139.97 | 1160.00 |
| 1.1073 | 1.1245 | 143.79 | 146.02 | 1.5783 | 144.44 | 139.95 | 1170.00 |
| 1.1245 | 1.1400 | 142.87 | 145.13 | 1.5745 | 143.56 | 139.94 | 1180.00 |
| 1.1400 | 1.1409 | 141.99 | 144.27 | 1.5709 | 142.70 | 139.92 | 1190.00 |
| 1.1409 | 1.1415 | 141.13 | 143.41 | 1.5674 | 141.85 | 139.90 | 1200.00 |
| 1.1415 | 1.1489 | 140.28 | 142.57 | 1.5639 | 141.01 | 139.89 | 1210.00 |
| 1.1489 | 1.1457 | 139.45 | 141.74 | 1.5604 | 140.18 | 139.87 | 1220.00 |
| 1.1457 | 1.1443 | 138.63 | 140.92 | 1.5570 | 139.36 | 139.85 | 1230.00 |
| 1.1443 | 1.1507 | 137.81 | 140.10 | 1.5536 | 138.55 | 139.84 | 1240.00 |
| 1.1507 | 1.1470 | 137.00 | 139.29 | 1.5503 | 137.74 | 139.82 | 1250.00 |
| 1.1470 | 1.1453 | 136.20 | 138.49 | 1.5470 | 136.94 | 139.81 | 1260.00 |
| 1.1453 | 1.1516 | 135.40 | 137.70 | 1.5437 | 136.15 | 139.79 | 1270.00 |
| 1.1516 | 1.1479 | 134.61 | 136.91 | 1.5404 | 135.37 | 139.78 | 1280.00 |
| 1.1479 | 1.1461 | 133.83 | 136.13 | 1.5371 | 134.59 | 139.76 | 1290.00 |
| 1.1461 | 1.1524 | 133.06 | 135.36 | 1.5338 | 133.82 | 139.75 | 1300.00 |
| 1.1524 | I. 1486 | 132.29 | 134.59 | 1.5306 | 133.06 | 139.73 | 1310.00 |
| 1.1486 | 1.1469 | 131.53 | 133.83 | 1.5274 | 132.30 | 139.72 | 1320.00 |
| 1.1469 | 1.0904 | 130.78 | 133.01 | 1.5243 | 131.49 | 139.70 | 1330.00 |
| 1.0904 | 1.0068 | 129.97 | 132.07 | 1.5208 | 130.55 | 139.69 | 1340.00 |
| 1.0068 | 0.9654 | 129.03 | 131.00 | 1.5168 | 129.48 | 139.67 | 1350.00 |
| 0.9654 | 0.9381 | 127.97 | 129.88 | 1.5123 | 128.36 | 139.65 | 1360.00 |
| 0.9381 | 0.9316 | 126.86 | 128.73 | 1.5076 | 127.22 | 139.63 | 1370.00 |
| 0.9316 | 0.9285 | 125.72 | 127.58 | 1.5027 | 126.07 | 139.60 | 1380.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | $\underset{\sin }{\text { SUM }}$ | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.9285 | 0.9200 | 124.57 | 126.42 | 1.4978 | 124.93 | 139.58 | 1390.00 |
| 0.9200 | 0.9229 | 123.43 | 125.28 | 1.4929 | 123.78 | 139.56 | 1400.00 |
| 0.9229 | 0.9244 | 122.29 | 124.14 | 1.4880 | 122.65 | 139.54 | 1410.00 |
| 0.9244 | 0.9182 | 121.17 | 123.01 | 1.4831 | 121.53 | 139.52 | 1420.00 |
| 0.9182 | 0.9222 | 120.05 | 121.89 | 1.4783 | 120.41 | 139.49 | 1430.00 |
| 0.9222 | 0.9243 | 118.94 | 120.79 | 1.4734 | 119.31 | 139.47 | 1440.00 |
| 0.9243 | 0.6880 | 117.85 | 119.46 | 1.4686 | 117.99 | 139.45 | 1450.00 |
| 0.6880 | 0.3406 | 116.53 | 117.55 | 1.4628 | 116.09 | 139.43 | 1460.00 |
| 0.3406 | 0.1686 | 114.64 | 115.15 | 1.4545 | 113.69 | 139.39 | 1470.00 |
| 0.1686 | 0.0835 | 112.25 | 112.50 | 1.4438 | 111.06 | 139.34 | 1480.00 |
| 0.0835 | 0.0413 | 109.62 | 109.75 | 1.4321 | 108.32 | 139.29 | 1490.00 |
| 0.0413 | 0.0205 | 106.90 | 106.96 | 1.4198 | 105.54 | 139.24 | 1500.00 |
| 0.0205 | 0.0101 | 104.13 | 104.16 | 1.4072 | 102.76 | 139.18 | 1510.00 |
| 0.0101 | 0.0050 | 101.36 | 101.38 | 1.3944 | 99.982 | 139.13 | 1520.00 |
| 0.0050 | 0.0025 | 98.601 | 98.608 | 1.3816 | 97.226 | 139.08 | 1530.00 |
| 0.0025 | 0.0012 | 95.858 | 95.861 | 1.3688 | 94.493 | 139.02 | 1540.00 |
| 0.0012 | 0.0006 | 93.137 | 93.139 | 1.3551 | 91.784 | 138.97 | 1550.00 |
| 0.0006 | 0.0003 | 90.443 | 90.444 | 1.3408 | 89.104 | 138.91 | 1560.00 |
| 0.0003 | 0.0001 | 87.777 | 87.778 | 1.3264 | 86.451 | 138.85 | 1570.00 |
| 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 85.139 | 85.139 | 1.3122 | 83.827 | 138.80 | 1580.00 |
| 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 82.529 | 82.529 | 1.2978 | 81.232 | 138.74 | 1590.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 79.948 | 79.948 | 1.2834 | 78.665 | 138.68 | 1600.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 77.396 | 77.396 | 1.2691 | 76.127 | 138.63 | 1610.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 74.872 | 74.872 | 1.2548 | 73.617 | 138.57 | 1620.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 72.377 | 72.377 | 1.2404 | 71.136 | 138.52 | 1630.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 69.910 | 69.910 | 1.2261 | 68.684 | 138.47 | 1640.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 67.472 | 67.472 | 1.2118 | 66.261 | 138.41 | 1650.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 65.063 | 65.063 | 1.1974 | 63.866 | 138.36 | 1660.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 62.683 | 62.683 | 1.1831 | 61.500 | 138.31 | 1670.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 60.331 | 60.331 | 1.1687 | 59.162 | 138.26 | 1680.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 58.008 | 58.008 | 1.1544 | 56.853 | 138.21 | 1690.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 55.713 | 55.713 | 1.1400 | 54.573 | 138.16 | 1700.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 53.448 | 53.448 | 1.1257 | 52.322 | 138.11 | 1710.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 51.211 | 51.211 | 1.1113 | 50.099 | 138.06 | 1720.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 49.002 | 49.002 | 1.0970 | 47.905 | 138.01 | 1730.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 46.824 | 46.824 | 1.0809 | 45.743 | 137.96 | 1740.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 44.679 | 44.679 | 1.0642 | 43.615 | 137.91 | 1750.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 42.567 | 42.567 | 1.0473 | 41.520 | 137.85 | 1760.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 40.489 | 40.489 | 1.0307 | 39.459 | 137.80 | 1770.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 38.445 | 38.445 | 1.0137 | 37.431 | 137.75 | 1780.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 36.434 | 36.434 | 0.9971 | 35.437 | 137.70 | 1790.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 34.457 | 34.457 | 0.9801 | 33.477 | 137.65 | 1800.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 32.513 | 32.513 | 0.9635 | 31.550 | 137.60 | 1810.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 30.603 | 30.603 | 0.9465 | 29.657 | 137.55 | 1820.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 28.727 | 28.727 | 0.9299 | 27.797 | 137.50 | 1830.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 26.884 | 26.884 | 0.9129 | 25.971 | 137.46 | 1840.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 25.075 | 25.075 | 0.8962 | 24.179 | 137.41 | 1850.00 |

## NOVELLUS



LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEVEL } \\ & \text { I1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OL ROU' } \\ & \text { I2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TABLE } \\ & 2 S 1 \end{aligned}$ | SUM | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | STAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | min |  |  | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\min )$ |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 23.299 | 23.299 | 0.8793 | 22.420 | 137.37 | 1860.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 21.557 | 21.557 | 0.8626 | 20.695 | 137.32 | 1870.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19.849 | 19.849 | 0.8458 | 19.003 | 137.28 | 1880.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 18.174 | 18.174 | 0.8289 | 17.345 | 137.24 | 1890.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16.533 | 16.533 | 0.8123 | 15.721 | 137.19 | 1900.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 14.926 | 14.926 | 0.7953 | 14.130 | 137.15 | 1910.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 13.352 | 13.352 | 0.7786 | 12.573 | 137.11 | 1920.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 11.811 | 11.811 | 0.7617 | 11.049 | 137.08 | 1930.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10.305 | 10.305 | 0.7449 | 9.5597 | 137.04 | 1940.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 8.8313 | 8.8313 | 0.7284 | 8.1029 | 137.00 | 1950.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 7.3937 | 7.3937 | 0.7093 | 6.6844 | 136.96 | 1960.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 5.9938 | 5.9938 | 0.6906 | 5.3031 | 136.92 | 1970.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4.6313 | 4.6313 | 0.6718 | 3.9595 | 136.88 | 1980.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 3.3066 | 3.3066 | 0.6529 | 2.6537 | 136.84 | 1990.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2.0192 | 2.0192 | 0.6345 | 1.3847 | 136.81 | 2000.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.7843 | 0.7843 | 0.6003 | 0.1840 | 136.74 | 2010.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1840 | -0.1840 | 136.70 | 2020.00 |


ROUTING REPORT

STORAGE LIST ID No. A Description:

MULTIPLE ORIFICE
ID No. A
Description:
Outlet Elev: 136.20
Elev: 136.20 ft Orifice Diameter: 5.4785 in.
ROUTING CURVE

| STAGE <br> (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STORAGE } \\ & \text { (cf) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OUTFLOW } \\ \text { (cEs) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ | STAGE <br> (ft) | Storage <br> (cf) | OUTFLOW (cfs) | $\begin{array}{r} 0+25 \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ | STAGE <br> (ft) | STORAGE <br> (cf) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUTFLOW } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{cfs}-\mathrm{min} \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 136.20 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 137.90 | 13317 | 1.0620 | 45.452 | 139.60 | 37653 | 1.5019 | 127.01 |
| 136.30 | 0.0000 | 0.2576 | 0.2576 | 138.00 | 14502 | 1.0928 | 49.433 | 139.70 | 39198 | 1.5238 | 132.19 |
| 136.40 | 0.0000 | 0.3643 | 0.3643 | 138.10 | 15890 | 1.1227 | 54.089 | 139.80 | 40744 | 1.5454 | 137.36 |
| 136.50 | 0.0000 | 0.4461 | 0.4461 | 138.20 | 17278 | 1.1519 | 58.745 | 139.90 | 42289 | 1.5667 | 142.53 |
| 136.60 | 0.0000 | 0.5151 | 0.5151 | 138.30 | 18666 | 1.1803 | 63.400 | 140.00 | 43834 | 1.5877 | 147.70 |
| 136.70 | 0.0000 | 0.5759 | 0.5759 | 138.40 | 20054 | 1.2081 | 68.055 | 140.10 | 45251 | 1.6085 | 152.44 |
| 136.80 | 530.40 | 0.6309 | 2.3989 | 138.50 | 21442 | 1.2352 | 72.709 | 140.20 | 46667 | 1.6290 | 157.19 |
| 136.90 | 1591 | 0.6815 | 5.9855 | 138.60 | 22830 | 1.2618 | 77.362 | 140.30 | 48084 | 1.6492 | 161.93 |
| 137.00 | 2652 | 0.7285 | 9.5685 | 138.70 | 24218 | 1.2878 | 82.014 | 140.40 | 49500 | 1.6692 | 166.67 |
| 137.10 | 3837 | 0.7727 | 13.563 | 138.80 | 25606 | 1.3133 | 86.667 | 140.50 | 50917 | 1.6890 | 171.41 |
| 137.20 | 5022 | 0.8145 | 17.554 | 138.90 | 26994 | 1.3384 | 91.318 | 140.60 | 52334 | 1.7085 | 176.15 |
| 137.30 | 6207 | 0.8542 | 21.544 | 139.00 | 28382 | 1.3629 | 95.970 | 140.70 | 53750 | 1.7278 | 280.90 |
| 137.40 | 7392 | 0.8922 | 25.532 | 139.10 | 29927 | 1.3870 | 101.14 | 140.80 | 55167 | 1.7469 | 185.64 |
| 137.50 | 8577 | 0.9287 | 29.519 | 139.20 | 31472 | 1.4107 | 106.32 | 140.90 | 56583 | 1.7658 | 190.38 |
| 137.60 | 9762 | 0.9637 | 33.504 | 139.30 | 33018 | 1.4341 | 111.49 |  |  |  |  |
| 137.70 | 10947 | 0.9975 | 37.488 | 139.40 | 34563 | 1.4570 | 116.67 |  |  |  |  |
| 137.80 | 12132 | 1.0303 | 41.470 | 139.50 | 36108 | 1.4796 | 121.84 |  |  |  |  |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| MATCH Q (cfs) $:$ | 3.71 | INFLOW Q (Cfs) : | 9.42 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| PEAK STAGE (ft) : | 140.97 | PEAK OUTFLOW : | 1.78 |

PEAK TIME: 660.00 min .
INFLOW HYD No. : 7
OUTFLOW HYD No.: 12

## LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | SUM | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | STAGE | TIME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | mi |  |  | (ft) | (min) |
| 0.0000 | 0.0101 | 0.0000 | 0.0101 | 0.0000 | 0.0101 | 136.20 | 40.00 |
| 0.0101 | 0.0511 | 0.0000 | 0.0612 | 0.0101 | 0.0511 | 136.20 | 50.00 |
| 0.0511 | 0.1172 | 0.0000 | 0.1684 | 0.0511 | 0.1172 | 136.20 | 60.00 |
| 0.1172 | 0.2314 | 0.0000 | 0.3486 | 0.1172 | 0.2314 | 136.20 | 70.00 |
| 0.2314 | 0.3813 | 0.0000 | 0.6127 | 0.2314 | 0.3813 | 136.20 | 80.00 |
| 0.3813 | 0.5133 | 0.0000 | 0.8946 | 0.3813 | 0.5133 | 136.20 | 90.00 |
| 0.5133 | 0.6254 | 0.0000 | 1.1387 | 0.5133 | 0.6254 | 136.20 | 100.00 |
| 0.6254 | 0.7193 | 0.0480 | 1.3927 | 0.5774 | 0.8152 | 136.70 | 110.00 |
| 0.7193 | 0.7978 | 0.2321 | 1.7492 | 0.5832 | 1.1660 | 136.71 | 120.00 |
| 0.7978 | 0.9030 | 0.5723 | 2.2730 | 0.5937 | 1.6793 | 136.73 | 130.00 |
| 0.9030 | 1.0258 | 1.0701 | 2.9989 | 0.6092 | 2.3897 | 136.76 | 140.00 |
| 1.0258 | 1.1121 | 1.7590 | 3.8970 | 0.6306 | 3.2663 | 136.80 | 150.00 |
| 1.1121 | 1.1713 | 2.6232 | 4.9067 | 0.6431 | 4.2635 | 136.82 | 160.00 |
| 1.1713 | 1.2245 | 3.6063 | 6.0021 | 0.6572 | 5.3449 | 136.85 | 170.00 |
| 1.2245 | 1.2665 | 4.6725 | 7.1635 | 0.6724 | 6.4911 | 136.88 | 180.00 |
| 1.2665 | 1.2954 | 5.8030 | 8.3649 | 0.6881 | 7.6768 | 136.91 | 190.00 |
| 1.2954 | 1.3274 | 6.9731 | 9.5958 | 0.7037 | 8.8922 | 136.95 | 200.00 |
| 1.3274 | 1.3536 | 8.1725 | 10.854 | 0.7196 | 10.134 | 136.98 | 210.00 |
| 1.3536 | 1.3698 | 9.3991 | 12.123 | 0.7348 | 11.388 | 137.01 | 220.00 |
| 1.3698 | 1.3920 | 10.639 | 13.401 | 0.7486 | 12.652 | 137.05 | 230.00 |
| 1.3920 | 1.4103 | 11.890 | 14.692 | 0.7626 | 13.929 | 137.08 | 240.00 |
| 1.4103 | 1.4760 | 13.153 | 16.039 | 0.7765 | 15.263 | 137.11 | 250.00 |
| 1.4760 | 1.5658 | 14.472 | 17.514 | 0.7905 | 16.723 | 137.14 | 260.00 |
| 1.5658 | 1.6191 | 15.918 | 19.102 | 0.8058 | 18.297 | 137.18 | 270.00 |
| 1.6191 | 1.6610 | 17.475 | 20.755 | 0.8219 | 19.933 | 137.22 | 280.00 |
| 1.6610 | 1.6838 | 19.095 | 22.440 | 0.8382 | 21.601 | 137.26 | 290.00 |
| 1.6838 | 1.7030 | 20.747 | 24.133 | 0.8548 | 23.279 | 137.30 | 300.00 |
| 1.7030 | 1.9460 | 22.408 | 26.057 | 0.8708 | 25.186 | 137.34 | 310.00 |
| 1.9460 | 2.2967 | 24.297 | 28.540 | 0.8889 | 27.651 | 137.39 | 320.00 |
| 2.2967 | 2.4840 | 26.739 | 31.520 | 0.9116 | 30.609 | 137.45 | 330.00 |
| 2.4840 | 2.5893 | 29.670 | 34.744 | 0.9383 | 33.805 | 137.53 | 340.00 |
| 2.5893 | 2.6528 | 32.839 | 38.081 | 0.9663 | 37.115 | 137.61 | 350.00 |
| 2.6528 | 2.6948 | 36.120 | 41.468 | 0.9944 | 40.474 | 137.69 | 360.00 |
| 2.6948 | 2.6693 | 39.452 | 44.816 | 1.0221 | 43.794 | 137.77 | 370.00 |
| 2.6693 | 2.6091 | 42.745 | 48.023 | 1.0488 | 46.974 | 137.86 | 380.00 |
| 2.6091 | 2.5794 | 45.901 | 51.089 | 1.0737 | 50.015 | 137.94 | 390.00 |
| 2.5794 | 2.5714 | 48.919 | 54.070 | 1.0965 | 52.973 | 138.01 | 400.00 |
| 2.5714 | 2.5808 | 51.858 | 57.010 | 1.1155 | 55.894 | 138.08 | 410.00 |
| 2.5808 | 2.5843 | 54.760 | 59.925 | 1.1340 | 58.791 | 138.14 | 420.00 |
| 2.5843 | 3.3711 | 57.639 | 63.595 | 1.1522 | 62.443 | 138.20 | 430.00 |
| 3.3711 | 4.5616 | 61.268 | 69.201 | 1.1745 | 68.026 | 138.28 | 440.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { LEVEL } \\ \text { I1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { POOL ROU' } \\ \text { I2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JG TABLE } \\ & 2 S 1 \end{aligned}$ | SUM | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | STAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | mi |  |  | (ft) | $(\min )$ |
| 4.5616 | 5.1730 | 66.818 | 76.553 | 1.2079 | 75.345 | 138.40 | 450.00 |
| 5.1730 | 6.6055 | 74.095 | 85.873 | 1.2503 | 84.623 | 138.56 | 460.00 |
| 6.6055 | 8.4586 | 83.321 | 98.385 | 1.3021 | 97.083 | 138.76 | 470.00 |
| 8.4586 | 9.4219 | 95.715 | 113.60 | 1.3681 | 112.23 | 139.02 | 480.00 |
| 9.4219 | 8.4243 | 110.79 | 128.64 | 1.4373 | 127.20 | 139.31 | 490.00 |
| 8.4243 | 6.4378 | 125.70 | 140.56 | 1.5026 | 139.06 | 139.60 | 500.00 |
| 6.4378 | 5.4605 | 137.50 | 149.40 | 1.5524 | 147.85 | 139.83 | 510.00 |
| 5.4605 | 4.8532 | 146.26 | 156.57 | 1.5884 | 154.99 | 140.00 | 520.00 |
| 4.8532 | 4.4357 | 153.37 | 162.66 | 1.6195 | 161.04 | 140.15 | 530.00 |
| 4.4357 | 4.2333 | 159.39 | 168.06 | 1.6454 | 166.41 | 140.28 | 540.00 |
| 4.2333 | 3.8155 | 164.75 | 172.79 | 1.6681 | 171.13 | 140.39 | 550.00 |
| 3.8155 | 3.2899 | 169.44 | 176.54 | 1.6878 | 174.86 | 140.49 | 560.00 |
| 3.2899 | 3.0315 | 173.15 | 179.47 | 1.7032 | 177.77 | 140.57 | 570.00 |
| 3.0315 | 2.9129 | 176.06 | 182.00 | 1.7151 | 180.29 | 140.63 | 580.00 |
| 2.9129 | 2.8482 | 178.56 | 184.32 | 1.7253 | 182.60 | 140.69 | 590.00 |
| 2.8482 | 2.8179 | 180.86 | 186.53 | 1.7347 | 184.79 | 140.74 | 600.00 |
| 2.8179 | 2.7427 | 183.05 | 188.61 | 1.7435 | 186.87 | 140.78 | 610.00 |
| 2.7427 | 2.6453 | 185.11 | 190.50 | 1.7518 | 188.75 | 140.83 | 620.00 |
| 2.6453 | 2.5983 | 186.99 | 192.23 | 1.7593 | 190.48 | 140.87 | 630.00 |
| 2.5983 | 2.5685 | 188.71 | 193.88 | 1.7662 | 192.11 | 140.90 | 640.00 |
| 2.5685 | 2.5626 | 190.34 | 195.47 | 1.7726 | 193.70 | 140.94 | 650.00 |
| 2.5626 | 2.5609 | 191.92 | 197.04 | 1.7789 | 195.26 | 140.97 | 660.00 |
| 2.5609 | 2.4294 | 195.26 | 200.25 | 0.0000 | 200.25 | 0.00 | 670.00 |
| 2.4294 | 2.2335 | 200.25 | 204.91 | 0.0000 | 204.91 | 0.00 | 680.00 |
| 2.2335 | 2.1372 | 204.91 | 209.29 | 0.0000 | 209.29 | 0.00 | 690.00 |
| 2.1372 | 2.0979 | 209.29 | 213.52 | 0.0000 | 213.52 | 0.00 | 700.00 |
| 2.0979 | 2.0714 | 213.52 | 217.69 | 0.0000 | 217.69 | 0.00 | 710.00 |
| 2.0714 | 2.0589 | 217.69 | 221.82 | 0.0000 | 221.82 | 0.00 | 720.00 |
| 2.0589 | 2.0611 | 221.82 | 225.94 | 0.0000 | 225.94 | 0.00 | 730.00 |
| 2.0611 | 2.0551 | 225.94 | 230.06 | 0.0000 | 230.06 | 0.00 | 740.00 |
| 2.0551 | 2.0527 | 230.06 | 234.16 | 0.0000 | 234.16 | 0.00 | 750.00 |
| 2.0527 | 2.0599 | 234.16 | 238.28 | 0.0000 | 238.28 | 0.00 | 760.00 |
| 2.0599 | 2.0563 | 238.28 | 242.39 | 0.0000 | 242.39 | 0.00 | 770.00 |
| 2.0563 | 2.0551 | 242.39 | 246.50 | 0.0000 | 246.50 | 0.00 | 780.00 |
| 2.0551 | 1.9302 | 246.50 | 250.49 | 0.0000 | 250.49 | 0.00 | 790.00 |
| 1.9302 | 1.7361 | 250.49 | 254.16 | 0.0000 | 254.16 | 0.00 | 800.00 |
| 1.7361 | 1.6403 | 254.16 | 257.53 | 0.0000 | 257.53 | 0.00 | 810.00 |
| 1.6403 | 1.5932 | 257.53 | 260.77 | 0.0000 | 260.77 | 0.00 | 820.00 |
| 1.5932 | 1.5702 | 260.77 | 263.93 | 0.0000 | 263.93 | 0.00 | 830.00 |
| 1.5702 | 1.5591 | 263.93 | 267.06 | 0.0000 | 267.06 | 0.00 | 840.00 |
| 1.5591 | 1.6165 | 267.06 | 270.23 | 0.0000 | 270.23 | 0.00 | 850.00 |
| 1.6165 | 1.7157 | 270.23 | 273.57 | 0.0000 | 273.57 | 0.00 | 860.00 |
| 1.7157 | 1.7652 | 273.57 | 277.05 | 0.0000 | 277.05 | 0.00 | 870.00 |
| 1.7652 | 1.7822 | 277.05 | 280.60 | 0.0000 | 280.60 | 0.00 | 880.00 |
| 1.7822 | 1.7989 | 280.60 | 284.18 | 0.0000 | 284.18 | 0.00 | 890.00 |
| 1.7989 | 1.8075 | 284.18 | 287.78 | 0.0000 | 287.78 | 0.00 | 900.00 |
| 1.8075 | 1.8043 | 287.78 | 291.39 | 0.0000 | 291.39 | 0.00 | 910.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

## LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | Sin | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & \text { (min) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.8043 | 1.8110 | 291.39 | 295.01 | 0.0000 | 295.01 | 0.00 | 920.00 |
| 1.8110 | 1.8146 | 295.01 | 298.64 | 0.0000 | 298.64 | 0.00 | 930.00 |
| 1.8146 | 1.8089 | 298.64 | 302.26 | 0.0000 | 302.26 | 0.00 | 940.00 |
| 1.8089 | 1.8143 | 302.26 | 305.88 | 0.0000 | 305.88 | 0.00 | 950.00 |
| 1.8143 | 1.8173 | 305.88 | 309.51 | 0.0000 | 309.51 | 0.00 | 960.00 |
| 1.8173 | 1.6148 | 309.51 | 312.95 | 0.0000 | 312.95 | 0.00 | 970.00 |
| 1.6148 | 1.3260 | 312.95 | 315.89 | 0.0000 | 315.89 | 0.00 | 980.00 |
| 1.3260 | 1.1832 | 315.89 | 318.40 | 0.0000 | 318.40 | 0.00 | 990.00 |
| 1.1832 | 1.1048 | 318.40 | 320.68 | 0.0000 | 320.68 | 0.00 | 1000.00 |
| 1.1048 | 1.0739 | 320.68 | 322.86 | 0.0000 | 322.86 | 0.00 | 1010.00 |
| 1.0739 | 1.0587 | 322.86 | 325.00 | 0.0000 | 325.00 | 0.00 | 1020.00 |
| 1.0587 | 1.1772 | 325.00 | 327.23 | 0.0000 | 327.23 | 0.00 | 1030.00 |
| 1.1772 | 1.3699 | 327.23 | 329.78 | 0.0000 | 329.78 | 0.00 | 1040.00 |
| 1.3699 | 1.4655 | 329.78 | 332.61 | 0.0000 | 332.61 | 0.00 | 1050.00 |
| 1.4655 | 1.5130 | 332.61 | 335.59 | 0.0000 | 335.59 | 0.00 | 1060.00 |
| 1.5130 | 1.5368 | 335.59 | 338.64 | 0.0000 | 338.64 | 0.00 | 1070.00 |
| 1.5368 | 1.5488 | 338.64 | 341.73 | 0.0000 | 341.73 | 0.00 | 1080.00 |
| 1.5488 | 1.4919 | 341.73 | 344.77 | 0.0000 | 344.77 | 0.00 | 1090.00 |
| 1.4919 | 1.3929 | 344.77 | 347.65 | 0.0000 | 347.65 | 0.00 | 1100.00 |
| 1.3929 | 1.3441 | 347.65 | 350.39 | 0.0000 | 350.39 | 0.00 | 1110.00 |
| 1.3441 | 1.5647 | 350.39 | 353.30 | 0.0000 | 353.30 | 0.00 | 1120.00 |
| 1.5647 | 1.4294 | 353.30 | 356.29 | 0.0000 | 356.29 | 0.00 | 1130.00 |
| 1.4294 | 1.1259 | 356.29 | 358.85 | 0.0000 | 358.85 | 0.00 | 1140.00 |
| 1.1259 | 1.2204 | 358.85 | 361.19 | 0.0000 | 361.19 | 0.00 | 1150.00 |
| 1.2204 | 1.2594 | 361.19 | 363.67 | 0.0000 | 363.67 | 0.00 | 1160.00 |
| 1.2594 | 1.2789 | 363.67 | 366.21 | 0.0000 | 366.21 | 0.00 | 1170.00 |
| 1.2789 | 1.2965 | 366.21 | 368.79 | 0.0000 | 368.79 | 0.00 | 1180.00 |
| 1.2965 | 1.2975 | 368.79 | 371.38 | 0.0000 | 371.38 | 0.00 | 1190.00 |
| 1.2975 | 1.2982 | 371.38 | 373.98 | 0.0000 | 373.98 | 0.00 | 1200.00 |
| 1.2982 | 1.3065 | 373.98 | 376.58 | 0.0000 | 376.58 | 0.00 | 1210.00 |
| 1.3065 | 1.3029 | 376.58 | 379.19 | 0.0000 | 379.19 | 0.00 | 1220.00 |
| 1.3029 | 1.3012 | 379.19 | 381.80 | 0.0000 | 381.80 | 0.00 | 1230.00 |
| 1.3012 | 1.3084 | 381.80 | 384.41 | 0.0000 | 384.41 | 0.00 | 1240.00 |
| 1.3084 | 1.3042 | 384.41 | 387.02 | 0.0000 | 387.02 | 0.00 | 1250.00 |
| 1.3042 | 1.3023 | 387.02 | 389.62 | 0.0000 | 389.62 | 0.00 | 1260.00 |
| 1.3023 | 1.3094 | 389.62 | 392.24 | 0.0000 | 392.24 | 0.00 | 1270.00 |
| 1.3094 | 1.3051 | 392.24 | 394.85 | 0.0000 | 394.85 | 0.00 | 1280.00 |
| 1.3051 | 1.3031 | 394.85 | 397.46 | 0.0000 | 397.46 | 0.00 | 1290.00 |
| 1.3031 | 1.3102 | 397.46 | 400.07 | 0.0000 | 400.07 | 0.00 | 1300.00 |
| 1.3102 | 1.3059 | 400.07 | 402.69 | 0.0000 | 402.69 | 0.00 | 1310.00 |
| 1.3059 | 1.3038 | 402.69 | 405.30 | 0.0000 | 405.30 | 0.00 | 1320.00 |
| 1.3038 | 1.2396 | 405.30 | 407.84 | 0.0000 | 407.84 | 0.00 | 1330.00 |
| 1.2396 | 1.1445 | 407.84 | 410.23 | 0.0000 | 410.23 | 0.00 | 1340.00 |
| 1.1445 | 1.0975 | 410.23 | 412.47 | 0.0000 | 412.47 | 0.00 | 1350.00 |
| 1.0975 | 1.0664 | 412.47 | 414.63 | 0.0000 | 414.63 | 0.00 | 1360.00 |
| 1.0664 | 1.0590 | 414.63 | 416.76 | 0.0000 | 416.76 | 0.00 | 1370.00 |
| 1.0590 | 1.0554 | 416.76 | 418.87 | 0.0000 | 418.87 | 0.00 | 1380.00 |

## NOVELLUS

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| II | I2 | 2S1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUM } \\ & \text { min } \end{aligned}$ | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ \text { (ft) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0554 | 1.0458 | 418.87 | 420.97 | 0.0000 | 420.97 | 0.00 | 1390.00 |
| 1.0458 | 1.0490 | 420.97 | 423.07 | 0.0000 | 423.07 | 0.00 | 1400.00 |
| 1.0490 | 1.0507 | 423.07 | 425.17 | 0.0000 | 425.17 | 0.00 | 1410.00 |
| 1.0507 | 1.0437 | 425.17 | 427.26 | 0.0000 | 427.26 | 0.00 | 1420.00 |
| 1.0437 | 1.0482 | 427.26 | 429.35 | 0.0000 | 429.35 | 0.00 | 1430.00 |
| 1.0482 | 1.0505 | 429.35 | 431.45 | 0.0000 | 431.45 | 0.00 | 1440.00 |
| 1.0505 | 0.7819 | 431.45 | 433.28 | 0.0000 | 433.28 | 0.00 | 1450.00 |
| 0.7819 | 0.3871 | 433.28 | 434.45 | 0.0000 | 434.45 | 0.00 | 1460.00 |
| 0.3871 | 0.1916 | 434.45 | 435.03 | 0.0000 | 435.03 | 0.00 | 1470.00 |
| 0.1916 | 0.0949 | 435.03 | 435.32 | 0.0000 | 435.32 | 0.00 | 1480.00 |
| 0.0949 | 0.0470 | 435.32 | 435.46 | 0.0000 | 435.46 | 0.00 | 1490.00 |
| 0.0470 | 0.0233 | 435.46 | 435.53 | 0.0000 | 435.53 | 0.00 | 1500.00 |

'S' - POND 'c' TOTAL VOLUmE

| ELEV | AREA |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(F T)$ | VOL | Cum <br> VOL |  |
| 133,5 | 6500 | $(C F)$ | (CF) |
| 134 | 7015 | 3379 | 3379 |
| 135 | 8904 | 7960 | 11,339 |
| 136 | 10,849 | 9697 | 21,036 |
| 137 | 14,909 | 12,879 | 33,915 |
| 138 | 17,023 | 15966 | 49881 |
| 139 | 21,230 | 19,127 | 69,007 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { WQ RELEASE RATE } \\
& \frac{16,409 \mathrm{ft}^{3}}{48 \mathrm{HRS}} \times \frac{1 H R}{3600 \mathrm{~S}}=0.095 \mathrm{cfs}
\end{aligned}
$$

Job \# $\qquad$
St. $\qquad$ of $\qquad$
©2000 Group Mackenzie. All rights reserved

## 'T' - POND 'C' DETENTION VOLUME

| ELEV | AREA | VUL | CHm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (FT) | (SOL |  |  |
|  |  | (CF) | (CF) |
|  |  |  |  |
| 135.52 | 9,915 |  |  |
| 136 | 10,819 | 4983 | 4983 |
| 137 | 14,909 | 12,879 | 17,862 |
| 139 | 17,023 | 15,966 | 33,828 |
|  | 21,230 | 19,127 | 52,955 |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Job \# $\qquad$

Shy. $\qquad$ of


$$
\begin{aligned}
& U^{\prime}=W Q \quad \text { ORIFICE } \\
& Q=\operatorname{CA}(2 g h)^{1 / 2} \\
& A=\frac{Q}{C(2 g h)^{1 / 2}} \\
& A=\frac{0.095}{0.62(2 \times 32.2 \times 0.62)^{1 / 2}} \\
& A=0.024 \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
d=\sqrt{\frac{4 A}{\pi}} \quad 0.178{ }^{\prime}=2.10^{\prime \prime} \phi
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$ of $\qquad$


BASIN SUMMARY

BASIN ID: D10 NAME: DEVELOPED IOYR STORM SBUH METHODOLOGY

TOTAL AREA.......
14.77 Acres TYPE1A
RAINFALL TYPE.... :
PRECIPITATION. . . . :
TIME INTERVAL....:
TIME OF CONC
ABSTRACTION COEFF:
3.45 inches 10.00 min 14.80 min 0.20

PERVIOUS AREA

CN....: 80.00
IMPERVIOUS AREA

## BASEFLOWS: 0.00 Cfs

AREA. : 2.32 Acres

AREA. .: 12.45 Acres
CN.... 98.00
TcReach - Sheet L: $30.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.2400$ p2yr: 2.50 s:0.0200
TcReach - Sheet L: $150.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.0110$ p2yr: $2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0200$
TcReach - Channel L:1700.00 kc:42.00 s:0.0100
PEAK RATE: 8.82 Cfs VOL: 3.65 Ac -ft TIME: 480 min

BASIN ID: D2
SBUH METHODOLOGY
TOTAL AREA.......
RAINFALL TYPE....:
14.77 Acres TYPE1A
PRECIPITATION.... :
2.50 inches

TIME INTERVAL.... :
10.00 min

TIME OF CONC.....: 14.80 min
ABSTRACTION COEFF: 0.20
NAME: DEVELOPED 2YR STORM

TcReach - sheet $L_{1}: 30.00$ ns:0.2400 p2yr: 2.50 s:0.0200
TcReach - Sheet L: $150.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.0110$ p2yr: $2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0200$ TcReach - Channel $\mathrm{L}_{1}: 1700.00 \mathrm{kc}: 42.00 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0100$ PEAK RATE: 6.14 Cfs VOL: 2.53 Ac -ft TIME: 480 min

BASIN ID: D25
SBUH METHODOLOGY TOTAL AREA.
RAINFALL TYPE
PRECIPITATION. . . .
TIME INTERVAL.... :
TIME OF CONC.....
ABSTRACTION COEFF:
TcReach - Sheet
TcReach - Sheet L: $150.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.0110$ p2yr: $2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0200$
TcReach - Channel L:1700.00 kc:42.00 s:0.0100
PEAK RATE: $10.10 \mathrm{cfs} V O L: ~ 4.18 \mathrm{Ac}$-ft TIME: 480 min

NOVELLUS

BASIN SUMMARY

BASIN ID: E10
SBUH METHODOLOGY
TOTAL AREA
RAINFALL TYPE....:
PRECIPITATION.... :
TIME INTERVAL....:
TIME OF CONC
C. $\qquad$

NAME: EXISTING 1OYR STORM
14.77 Acres BASEFLOWS: 0.00 cfs TYPE1A
3.45 inches 10.00 min

ABSTRACTION COEFF: 0.20
PERVIOUS AREA
AREA..: 14.77 Acres
CN....: 80.00
IMPERVIOUS AREA
AREA..: 0.00 Acres
CN....: 98.00
TcReach - Sheet L: $300.00 \mathrm{~ns}: 0.2400$ p2yr: $2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
TcReach - Shallow L: $300.00 \mathrm{ks}: 10.00 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
TcReach - Channel L:1400.00 kc:17.00 s:0.0400
PEAK RATE: 3.12 cfs VOL: $1.97 \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ TIME: 490 min

BASIN ID: E2 NAME: EXISTING 2YR STORM
SBUH METHODOLOGY

TOTAL AREA.......: 14.77 Acres BASEFLOWS: 0.00 cfs

RAINFALL TYPE....: TYPE1A
PRECIPITATION....: 2.50 inches
TIME INTERVAL....: $\quad 10.00 \mathrm{~min}$
TIME OF CONC.....: 38.83 min
ABSTRACTION COEFF: 0.20 PERVIOUS AREA

AREA. .: 14.77 Acres
CN....: 80.00
IMPERVIOUS AREA
AREA..: 0.00 Acres
CN....: 98.00
TcReach - Sheet L: 300.00 ns:0.2400 p2yr: 2.50 s:0.0400
TcReach - Shallow L: $300.00 \mathrm{ks}: 10.00 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
TcReach - Channel L:1400.00 kc:17.00 s:0.0400
PEAK RATE: 1.46 cfs VOL: $1.09 \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ TIME: 490 min

BASIN ID: E25
SBUH METHODOLOGY TOTAL AREA.......: 14.77 Acres RAINFALL TYPE....: TYPE1A
PRECIPITATION....:
TIME INTERVAL....:
TIME OF CONC.....:
3.90 inches 10.00 min
38.83 min

ABSTRACTION COEFF: 0.20
TcReach - Sheet L: 300.00 ns:0.2400 p2yr: $2.50 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
TcReach - Shallow L: $300.00 \mathrm{ks}: 10.00 \mathrm{~s}: 0.0400$
TcReach - Channel L:1400.00 kc:17.00 s:0.0400 PEAK RATE: $\quad 4.00 \mathrm{cfs}$ VOL: $2.41 \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ TIME: 490 min

|  | PEAK | TIME | VOLUME |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HYD | RUNOFF | OF | OF | Contrib |
| NUM | RATE | PEAK | HYDRO | Area |
|  | cfs | min. | $C f \backslash A C F t$ | Acres |
| 1 | 1. 460 | 490 | 47658 cf | 14.77 |
| 2 | 3.124 | 490 | 85612 Cf | 14.77 |
| 3 | 3.999 | 490 | 105049 cf | 14.77 |
| 5 | 6.139 | 480 | 110109 Cf | 14.77 |
| 6 | 8.822 | 480 | 158818 Cf | 14.77 |
| 7 | 10.104 | 480 | 182151 cf | 14.77 |
| 10 | 1.460 | 690 | 110176 CF | 14.77 |
| 11 | 1.760 | 800 | 158945 Cf | 14.77 |
| 12 | 1.976 | 800 | 182266 cf | 14.77 |

STORAGE LIST ID No. A Description:

MULTIPLE ORIFICE ID No. A
Description:
Outlet Elev: 134.85
Elev: 134.85 ft Orifice Diameter: 5.6484 in .
ROUTING CURVE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ (f t) \end{gathered}$ | STORAGE <br> (cf) | OUTFLOW <br> (cfs) | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ | Stage <br> (ft) | STORAGE <br> (cf) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUTFLOW } \\ & \text { (c£s) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{c} f \mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{min} \end{array}$ | STAGE ( ft ) | Storage <br> (cf) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { OUTFLOW } \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{~s} \\ \mathrm{cfs}-\mathrm{min} \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134.85 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 136.90 | 16574 | 1.2396 | 56.487 | 139.00 | 52955 | 1.7638 | 178.28 |
| 134.90 | 0.0000 | 0.1936 | 0.1936 | 137.00 | 17862 | 1.2695 | 60.810 | 139.10 | 53960 | 1.7849 | 181.65 |
| 135.00 | 0.0000 | 0.3353 | 0.3353 | 137.10 | 19459 | 1.2987 | 66.161 | 139.20 | 54964 | 1.8058 | 185.02 |
| 135.10 | 0.0000 | 0.4329 | 0.4329 | 137.20 | 21055 | 1.3272 | 71.511 | 139.30 | 55969 | 1.8264 | 188.39 |
| 135.20 | 0.0000 | 0.5122 | 0.5122 | 137.30 | 22652 | 1.3552 | 76.861 | 139.40 | 56973 | 1.8468 | 191.76 |
| 135.30 | 0.0000 | 0.5808 | 0.5808 | 137.40 | 24248 | 1.3826 | 82.211 | 139.50 | 57978 | 1.8670 | 195.13 |
| 135.40 | 0.0000 | 0.6421 | 0.6421 | 137.50 | 25845 | 1.4094 | 87.559 | 139.60 | 58982 | 1.8870 | 198.49 |
| 135.50 | 0.0000 | 0.6980 | 0.6980 | 137.60 | 27442 | 1.4358 | 92.908 | 139.70 | 59987 | 1.9067 | 201.86 |
| 135.60 | 830.50 | 0.7498 | 3.5181 | 137.70 | 29038 | 1.4616 | 98.256 | 139.80 | 60991 | 1.9263 | 205.23 |
| 135.70 | 1869 | 0.7982 | 7.0270 | 137.80 | 30635 | 1.4871 | 103.60 | 139.90 | 61996 | 1.9456 | 208.60 |
| 135.80 | 2907 | 0.8439 | 10.533 | 137.90 | 32231 | 1.5121 | 108.95 | 140.00 | 63000 | 1.9648 | 211.96 |
| 135.90 | 3945 | 0.8872 | 14.037 | 138.00 | 33828 | 1.5366 | 114.30 | 140.10 | 64500 | I. 9838 | 216.98 |
| 136.00 | 4983 | 0.9285 | 17.538 | 138.10 | 35741 | 1.5608 | 120.70 | 140.20 | 66000 | 2.0026 | 222.00 |
| 136.10 | 6271 | 0.9680 | 21.871 | 138.20 | 37653 | 1.5847 | 127.10 | 140.30 | 67500 | 2.0212 | 227.02 |
| 136.20 | 7559 | 1.0060 | 26.202 | 138.30 | 39566 | 1.6082 | 133.50 | 140.40 | 69000 | 2.0397 | 232.04 |
| 136.30 | 8847 | 1.0426 | 30.532 | 138.40 | 41479 | 1.6313 | 139.89 | 140.50 | 70500 | 2.0580 | 237.06 |
| 136.40 | 10135 | 1.0779 | 34.860 | 138.50 | 43392 | 1.6541 | 146.29 | 140.60 | 72000 | 2.0761 | 242.08 |
| 136.50 | 11423 | 1.1121 | 39.287 | 138.60 | 45304 | 1.6766 | 152.69 | 140.70 | 73500 | 2.0941 | 247.09 |
| 136.60 | 12710 | 1.1453 | 43.513 | 138.70 | 47217 | 1.6988 | 159.09 | 140.80 | 75000 | 2.1119 | 252.11 |
| 136.70 | 13998 | 1.1776 | 47.839 | 138.80 | 49130 | 1.7207 | 165.49 | 140.90 | 76500 | 2.1296 | 257.13 |
| 136.80 | 15286 | 1.2090 | 52.163 | 138.90 | 51042 | 1.7424 | 171.88 |  |  |  |  |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE


LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| II | I2 | 2S1 | SUM | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | mi |  |  | (ft) | (min) |
| 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 134.85 | 50.00 |
| 0.0001 | 0.0076 | 0.0000 | 0.0076 | 0.0001 | 0.0076 | 134.85 | 60.00 |
| 0.0076 | 0.0433 | 0.0000 | 0.0508 | 0.0076 | 0.0433 | 134.85 | 70.00 |
| 0.0433 | 0.1072 | 0.0000 | 0.1504 | 0.0433 | 0.1072 | 134.85 | 80.00 |
| 0.1072 | 0.1787 | 0.0000 | 0.2859 | 0.1072 | 0.1787 | 134.85 | 90.00 |
| 0.1787 | 0.2482 | 0.0000 | 0.4269 | 0.1787 | 0.2482 | 134.85 | 100.00 |
| 0.2482 | 0.3120 | 0.0000 | 0.5602 | 0.2482 | 0.3120 | 134.85 | 110.00 |
| 0.3120 | 0.3689 | 0.0000 | 0.6809 | 0.3120 | 0.3689 | 134.85 | 120.00 |
| 0.3689 | 0.4400 | 0.0000 | 0.8089 | 0.3689 | 0.4400 | 134.85 | 130.00 |
| 0.4400 | 0.5209 | 0.0000 | 0.9609 | 0.4400 | 0.5209 | 134.85 | 140.00 |
| 0.5209 | 0.5837 | 0.0000 | 1.1046 | 0.5209 | 0.5837 | 134.85 | 150.00 |
| 0.5837 | 0.6317 | 0.0000 | 1.2153 | 0.5837 | 0.6317 | 134.85 | 160.00 |
| 0.6317 | 0.6758 | 0.0000 | 1.3075 | 0.6317 | 0.6758 | 134.85 | 170.00 |
| 0.6758 | 0.7129 | 0.0000 | 1.3887 | 0.6758 | 0.7129 | 134.85 | 180.00 |
| 0.7129 | 0.7415 | 0.0146 | 1.4689 | 0.6983 | 0.7706 | 135.50 | 190.00 |
| 0.7415 | 0.7711 | 0.0713 | 1.5839 | 0.6994 | 0.8845 | 135.50 | 200.00 |
| 0.7711 | 0.7965 | 0.1830 | 1.7506 | 0.7015 | 1.0492 | 135.51 | 210.00 |
| 0.7965 | 0.8151 | 0.3447 | 1.9563 | 0.7045 | 1.2518 | 135.51 | 220.00 |
| 0.8151 | 0.8367 | 0.5436 | 2.1954 | 0.7082 | 1.4872 | 135.52 | 230.00 |
| 0.8367 | 0.8552 | 0.7747 | 2.4667 | 0.7125 | 1.7542 | 135.53 | 240.00 |
| 0.8552 | 0.9028 | 1.0367 | 2.7947 | 0.7174 | 2.0773 | 135.54 | 250.00 |
| 0.9028 | 0.9647 | 1.3540 | 3.2215 | 0.7234 | 2.4981 | 135.55 | 260.00 |
| 0.9647 | 1.0030 | 1.7670 | 3.7348 | 0.7311 | 3.0037 | 135.56 | 270.00 |
| 1.0030 | 1.0328 | 2.2633 | 4.2992 | 0.7404 | 3.5588 | 135.58 | 280.00 |
| 1.0328 | 1.0497 | 2.8084 | 4.8909 | 0.7504 | 4.1406 | 135.60 | 290.00 |
| 1.0497 | 1.0638 | 3.3822 | 5.4956 | 0.7584 | 4.7372 | 135.62 | 300.00 |
| 1.0638 | 1.2177 | 3.9706 | 6.2521 | 0.7666 | 5.4855 | 135.63 | 310.00 |
| 1.2177 | 1.4390 | 4.7085 | 7.3653 | 0.7770 | 6.5883 | 135.66 | 320.00 |
| 1.4390 | 1.5578 | 5.7961 | 8.7929 | 0.7922 | 8.0007 | 135.69 | 330.00 |
| 1.5578 | 1.6247 | 7.1898 | 10.372 | 0.8109 | 9.5614 | 135.73 | 340.00 |
| 1.6247 | 1.6659 | 8.7301 | 12.021 | 0.8312 | 11.189 | 135.77 | 350.00 |
| 1.6659 | 1.6953 | 10.337 | 13.699 | 0.8520 | 12.847 | 135.82 | 360.00 |
| 1.6953 | 1.6835 | 11.974 | 15.353 | 0.8725 | 14.481 | 135.87 | 370.00 |
| 1.6835 | 1.6500 | 13.588 | 16.922 | 0.8924 | 16.029 | 135.91 | 380.00 |
| 1.6500 | 1.6357 | 15.119 | 18.404 | 0.9107 | 17.494 | 135.96 | 390.00 |
| 1.6357 | 1.6349 | 16.566 | 19.836 | 0.9279 | 18.908 | 136.00 | 400.00 |
| 1.6349 | 1.6449 | 17.967 | 21.247 | 0.9410 | 20.306 | 136.03 | 410.00 |
| 1.6449 | 1.6508 | 19.352 | 22.648 | 0.9537 | 21.694 | 136.06 | 420.00 |
| 1.6508 | 2.1604 | 20.728 | 24.539 | 0.9664 | 23.573 | 136.10 | 430.00 |
| 2.1604 | 2.9320 | 22.590 | 27.682 | 0.9829 | 26.699 | 136.14 | 440.00 |
| 2.9320 | 3.3346 | 25.689 | 31.956 | 1.0102 | 30.946 | 136.21 | 450.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| <-- | I2 | 2S1. | $\begin{gathered} \text { SUM } \\ \text { min } \end{gathered}$ | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\mathrm{min}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.3346 | 4.2741 | 29.900 | 37.508 | 1.0459 | 36.462 | 136.31 | 460.00 |
| 4.2741 | 5.4928 | 35.372 | 45.139 | 1.0906 | 44.048 | 136.44 | 470.00 |
| 5.4928 | 6.1390 | 42.899 | 54.530 | 1.1493 | 53.381 | 136.61 | 480.00 |
| 6.1390 | 5.5016 | 52.163 | 63.804 | 1.2177 | 62.586 | 136.83 | 490.00 |
| 5.5016 | 4.2117 | 61.307 | 71.021 | 1.2792 | 69.741 | 137.03 | 500.00 |
| 4.2117 | 3.5791 | 68.424 | 76.214 | 1.3178 | 74.897 | 137.17 | 510.00 |
| 3.5791 | 3.1862 | 73.552 | 80.317 | 1.3449 | 78.972 | 137.26 | 520.00 |
| 3.1862 | 2.9163 | 77.606 | 83.709 | 1.3660 | 82.343 | 137.34 | 530.00 |
| 2.9163 | 2.7867 | 80.959 | 86.662 | 1.3832 | 85.279 | 137.40 | 540.00 |
| 2.7867 | 2.5141 | 83.881 | 89.182 | 1.3980 | 87.784 | 137.46 | 550.00 |
| 2.5141 | 2.1695 | 86.373 | 91.057 | 1.4105 | 89.647 | 137.50 | 560.00 |
| 2.1695 | 2.0007 | 88.227 | 92.397 | 1.4197 | 90.977 | 137.54 | 570.00 |
| 2.0007 | 1.9238 | 89.551 | 93.476 | 1.4263 | 92.049 | 137.56 | 580.00 |
| 1.9238 | 1.8824 | 90.618 | 94.424 | 1.4315 | 92.993 | 137.58 | 590.00 |
| 1.8824 | 1.8634 | 91.556 | 95.302 | 1.4362 | 93.866 | 137.60 | 600.00 |
| 1.8634 | 1.8147 | 92.426 | 96.104 | 1.4404 | 94.663 | 137.62 | 610.00 |
| 1.8147 | 1.7511 | 93.219 | 96.785 | 1.4443 | 95.341 | 137.63 | 620.00 |
| 1.7511 | 1.7209 | 93.893 | 97.365 | 1.4475 | 95.918 | 137.65 | 630.00 |
| 1.7209 | 1.7020 | 94.467 | 97.890 | 1.4503 | 96.440 | 137.66 | 640.00 |
| 1.7020 | 1.6989 | 94.987 | 98.388 | 1.4529 | 96.935 | 137.67 | 650.00 |
| 1.6989 | 1.6985 | 95.480 | 98.877 | 1.4553 | 97.422 | 137.68 | 660.00 |
| 1.6985 | 1.6120 | 95.964 | 99.275 | 1.4576 | 97.817 | 137.68 | 670.00 |
| 1.6120 | 1.4825 | 96.358 | 99.452 | 1.4595 | 97.993 | 137.69 | 680.00 |
| 1.4825 | 1.4191 | 96.532 | 99.434 | 1.4604 | 97.974 | 137.70 | 690.00 |
| 1.4191 | 1.3936 | 96.513 | 99.326 | 1.4603 | 97.866 | 137.69 | 700.00 |
| 1.3936 | 1.3764 | 96.406 | 99.176 | 1.4598 | 97.716 | 137.69 | 710.00 |
| 1.3764 | 1.3686 | 96.257 | 99.002 | 1.4590 | 97.543 | 137.69 | 720.00 |
| 1.3686 | 1.3705 | 96.085 | 98.824 | 1.4582 | 97.366 | 137.69 | 730.00 |
| 1.3705 | 1.3669 | 95.908 | 98.646 | 1.4573 | 97.189 | 137.68 | 740.00 |
| 1.3669 | 1.3658 | 95.732 | 98.465 | 1.4565 | 97.008 | 137.68 | 750.00 |
| 1.3658 | 1.3710 | 95.553 | 98.289 | 1.4556 | 96.834 | 137.68 | 760.00 |
| 1.3710 | 1.3690 | 95.379 | 98.119 | 1.4548 | 96.664 | 137.67 | 770.00 |
| 1.3690 | 1.3686 | 95.210 | 97.948 | 1.4539 | 96.494 | 137.67 | 780.00 |
| 1.3686 | 1.2857 | 95.041 | 97.695 | 1.4531 | 96.242 | 137.67 | 790.00 |
| 1.2857 | 1.1567 | 94.790 | 97.233 | 1.4519 | 95.781 | 137.66 | 800.00 |
| 1.1567 | 1.0932 | 94.331 | 96.581 | 1.4497 | 95.131 | 137.65 | 810.00 |
| 1.0932 | 1.0620 | 93.685 | 95.840 | 1.4465 | 94.393 | 137.64 | 820.00 |
| 1.0620 | 1.0469 | 92.950 | 95.059 | 1.4430 | 93.616 | 137.63 | 830.00 |
| 1.0469 | 1.0398 | 92.177 | 94.264 | 1.4392 | 92.825 | 137.61 | 840.00 |
| 1.0398 | 1.0783 | 91.389 | 93.507 | 1.4354 | 92.072 | 137.60 | 850.00 |
| 1.0783 | 1.1447 | 90.640 | 92.863 | 1.4317 | 91.432 | 137.58 | 860.00 |
| 1.1447 | 1.1780 | 90.003 | 92.326 | 1.4285 | 90.897 | 137.57 | 870.00 |
| 1.1780 | 1.1896 | 89.472 | 91.839 | 1.4259 | 90.413 | 137.56 | 880.00 |
| 1.1896 | 1.2010 | 88.990 | 91.380 | 1.4235 | 89.957 | 137.55 | 890.00 |
| 1.2010 | 1.2070 | 88.536 | 90.944 | 1.4212 | 89.523 | 137.54 | 900.00 |
| 1.2070 | 1.2052 | 88.103 | 90.516 | 1.4191 | 89.097 | 137.54 | 910.00 |
| 1.2052 | 1.2099 | 87.680 | 90.095 | 1.4170 | 88.678 | 137.53 | 920.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

## LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SUM } \\ \text { min } \end{gathered}$ | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ \text { (ft) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.2099 | 1.2126 | 87.263 | 89.685 | 1.4149 | 88.270 | 137.52 | 930.00 |
| 1.2126 | 1.2090 | 86.857 | 89.279 | 1.4129 | 87.866 | 137.51 | 940.00 |
| 1.2090 | 1.2129 | 86.455 | 88.877 | 1.4109 | 87.466 | 137.51 | 950.00 |
| 1.2129 | 1.2152 | 86.057 | 88.485 | 1.4090 | 87.076 | 137.50 | 960.00 |
| 1.2152 | 1.0799 | 85.669 | 87.964 | 1.4070 | 86.557 | 137.49 | 970.00 |
| 1.0799 | 0.8869 | 85.153 | 87.120 | 1.4044 | 85.715 | 137.48 | 980.00 |
| 0.8869 | 0.7915 | 84.315 | 85.994 | 1.4002 | 84.593 | 137.47 | 990.00 |
| 0.7915 | 0.7392 | 83.199 | 84.730 | 1.3945 | 83.335 | 137.44 | 1000.00 |
| 0.7392. | 0.7186 | 81.947 | 83.405 | 1.3882 | 82.016 | 137.42 | 1010.00 |
| 0.7186 | 0.7085 | 80.635 | 82.062 | 1.3816 | 80.680 | 137.40 | 1020.00 |
| 0.7085 | 0.7880 | 79.306 | 80.802 | 1.3747 | 79.427 | 137.37 | 1030.00 |
| 0.7880 | 0.9171 | 78.059 | 79.764 | 1.3683 | 78.396 | 137.35 | 1040.00 |
| 0.9171 | 0.9812 | 77.033 | 78.931 | 1.3630 | 77.568 | 137.33 | 1050.00 |
| 0.9812 | 1.0132 | 76.209 | 78.203 | 1.3588 | 76.845 | 137.31 | 1060.00 |
| 1.0132 | 1.0293 | 75.489 | 77.532 | 1.3551 | 76.177 | 137.30 | 1070.00 |
| 1.0293 | 1.0375 | 74.825 | 76.892 | 1.3516 | 75.540 | 137.29 | 1080.00 |
| 1.0375 | 0.9995 | 74.192 | 76.229 | 1.3483 | 74.881 | 137.28 | 1090.00 |
| 0.9995 | 0.9333 | 73.536 | 75.469 | 1.3449 | 74.124 | 137.26 | 1100.00 |
| 0.9333 | 0.9008 | 72.783 | 74.617 | 1.3409 | 73.276 | 137.25 | 1110.00 |
| 0.9008 | 1.0488 | 71.940 | 73.889 | 1.3365 | 72.553 | 137.23 | 1120.00 |
| 1.0488 | 0.9582 | 71.220 | 73.227 | 1.3327 | 71.894 | 137.22 | 1130.00 |
| 0.9582 | 0.7548 | 70.565 | 72.278 | 1.3293 | 70.949 | 137.21 | 1140.00 |
| 0.7548 | 0.8183 | 69.625 | 71.198 | 1.3242 | 69.874 | 137.19 | 1150.00 |
| 0.8183 | 0.8446 | 68.555 | 70.218 | 1.3185 | 68.899 | 137.17 | 1160.00 |
| 0.8446 | 0.8577 | 67.586 | 69.288 | 1.3133 | 67.975 | 137.15 | 1170.00 |
| 0.8577 | 0.8697 | 66.667 | 68.394 | 1.3084 | 67.086 | 137.13 | 1180.00 |
| 0.8697 | 0.8705 | 65.782 | 67.522 | 1.3036 | 66.219 | 137.12 | 1190.00 |
| 0.8705 | 0.8710 | 64.920 | 66.661 | 1.2990 | 65.362 | 137.10 | 1200.00 |
| 0.8710 | 0.8767 | 64.068 | 65.816 | 1.2943 | 64.521 | 137.09 | 1210.00 |
| 0.8767 | 0.8744 | 63.231 | 64.983 | 1.2898 | 63.693 | 137.07 | 1220.00 |
| 0.8744 | 0.8734 | 62.408 | 64.155 | 1.2852 | 62.870 | 137.05 | 1230.00 |
| 0.8734 | 0.8783 | 61.589 | 63.341 | 1.2808 | 62.060 | 137.04 | 1240.00 |
| 0.8783 | 0.8756 | 60.784 | 62.538 | 1.2763 | 61.262 | 137.02 | 1250.00 |
| 0.8756 | 0.8744 | 59.990 | 61.740 | 1.2720 | 60.468 | 137.01 | 1260.00 |
| 0.8744 | 0.8793 | 59.200 | 60.954 | 1.2672 | 59.687 | 136.99 | 1270.00 |
| 0.8793 | 0.8765 | 58.425 | 60.181 | 1.2618 | 58.919 | 136.97 | 1280.00 |
| 0.8765 | 0.8753 | 57.663 | 59.415 | 1.2565 | 58.158 | 136.96 | 1290.00 |
| 0.8753 | 0.8801 | 56.907 | 58.662 | 1.2512 | 57.411 | 136.94 | 1300.00 |
| 0.8801 | 0.8773 | 56.165 | 57.923 | 1.2460 | 56.677 | 136.92 | 1310.00 |
| 0.8773 | 0.8761 | 55.436 | 57.189 | 1.2410 | 55.948 | 136.90 | 1320.00 |
| 0.8761 | 0.8330 | 54.712 | 56.421 | 1.2358 | 55.185 | 136.89 | 1330.00 |
| 0.8330 | 0.7692 | 53.955 | 55.557 | 1.2304 | 54.327 | 136.87 | 1340.00 |
| 0.7692 | 0.7377 | 53.102 | 54.609 | 1.2243 | 53.385 | 136.85 | 1350.00 |
| 0.7377 | 0.7168 | 52.167 | 53.622 | 1.2177 | 52.404 | 136.83 | 1360.00 |
| 0.7168 | 0.7119 | 51.193 | 52.622 | 1.2107 | 51.411 | 136.81 | 1370.00 |
| 0.7119 | 0.7096 | 50.208 | 51.629 | 1.2036 | 50.426 | 136.78 | 1380.00 |
| 0.7096 | 0.7032 | 49.229 | 50.642 | 1.1964 | 49.446 | 136.76 | 1390.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { LEVEL } \\ \text { I1 } \end{gathered}$ | OOL ROU' I2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G TABLE } \\ & 2 \mathrm{~S} 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUM } \\ & \text { min } \end{aligned}$ | 01 | O2+2S2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ \text { (ft) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.7032 | 0.7054 | 48.256 | 49.665 | 1.1893 | 48.476 | 136.74 | 1400.00 |
| 0.7054 | 0.7066 | 47.293 | 48.705 | 1.1822 | 47.523 | 136.71 | 1410.00 |
| 0.7066 | 0.7019 | 46.348 | 47.756 | 1.1753 | 46.581 | 136.69 | 1420.00 |
| 0.7019 | 0.7050 | 45.413 | 46.820 | 1.1682 | 45.651 | 136.67 | 1430.00 |
| 0.7050 | 0.7066 | 44.490 | 45.902 | 1.1613 | 44.741 | 136.65 | 1440.00 |
| 0.7066 | 0.5260 | 43.586 | 44.819 | 1.1545 | 43.664 | 136.63 | 1450.00 |
| 0.5260 | 0.2604 | 42.518 | 43.304 | 1.1465 | 42.158 | 136.60 | 1460.00 |
| 0.2604 | 0.1289 | 41.023 | 41.412 | 1.1349 | 40.277 | 136.57 | 1470.00 |
| 0.1289 | 0.0638 | 39.157 | 39.349 | 1.1205 | 38.229 | 136.53 | 1480.00 |
| 0.0638 | 0.0316 | 37.124 | 37.220 | 1.1046 | 36.115 | 136.48 | 1490.00 |
| 0.0316 | 0.0156 | 35.027 | 35.074 | 1.0878 | 33.987 | 136.43 | 1500.00 |
| 0.0156 | 0.0077 | 32.916 | 32.939 | 1.0708 | 31.868 | 136.38 | 1510.00 |
| 0.0077 | 0.0038 | 30.815 | 30.826 | 1.0535 | 29.773 | 136.33 | 1520.00 |
| 0.0038 | 0.0019 | 28.737 | 28.743 | 1.0362 | 27.706 | 136.28 | 1530.00 |
| 0.0019 | 0.0009 | 26.688 | 26.691 | 1.0187 | 25.672 | 136.23 | 1540.00 |
| 0.0009 | 0.0005 | 24.671 | 24.672 | 1.0013 | 23.671 | 136.19 | 1550.00 |
| 0.0005 | 0.0002 | 22.687 | 22.688 | 0.9838 | 21.704 | 136.14 | 1560.00 |
| 0.0002 | 0.0001 | 20.737 | 20.738 | 0.9665 | 19.771 | 136.10 | 1570.00 |
| 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 18.822 | 18.823 | 0.9488 | 17.874 | 136.05 | 1580.00 |
| 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 16.942 | 16.942 | 0.9315 | 16.011 | 136.01 | 1590.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 15.100 | 15.100 | 0.9105 | 14.190 | 135.96 | 1600.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 13.301 | 13.301 | 0.8890 | 12.412 | 135.90 | 1610.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 11.545 | 11.545 | 0.8671 | 10.678 | 135.85 | 1620.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 9.8320 | 9.8320 | 0.8457 | 8.9864 | 135.80 | 1630.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 8.1626 | 8.1626 | 0.8237 | 7.3389 | 135.76 | 1640.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 6.5366 | 6.5366 | 0.8023 | 5.7343 | 135.71 | 1650.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4.9539 | 4.9539 | 0.7804 | 4.1735 | 135.66 | 1660.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 3.4147 | 3.4147 | 0.7589 | 2.6558 | 135.62 | 1670.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.9219 | 1.9219 | 0.7340 | 1.1879 | 135.57 | 1680.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.4809 | 0.4809 | 0.7070 | -0.2262 | 135.52 | 1690.00 |

ROUTING REPORT

STORAGE LIST ID No. A
Description:

MULTIPLE ORIFICE ID No. A
Description:
Outlet Elev: 134.85
Elev: 134.85 ft Orifice Diameter: 5.6484 in.
ROUTING CURVE

| STAGE <br> (ft) | storage <br> (cf) | $\begin{gathered} \text { OUTFLOW } \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ | STAGE (ft) | STORAGE <br> (cf) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { OUTPLOW } \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ | STAGE <br> (ft) | STORAGE (cf) | OUTFLOW (cfs) | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 \mathrm{~s} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134.85 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 136.90 | 16574 | 1.2396 | 56.487 | 139.00 | 52955 | 1.7638 | 178.28 |
| 134.90 | 0.0000 | 0.1936 | 0.1936 | 137.00 | 17862 | 1.2695 | 60.810 | 139.10 | 53960 | 1.7849 | 181.65 |
| 135.00 | 0.0000 | 0.3353 | 0.3353 | 137.10 | 19459 | 1.2987 | 66.161 | 139.20 | 54964 | 1.8058 | 185.02 |
| 135.10 | 0.0000 | 0.4329 | 0.4329 | 137.20 | 21055 | 1.3272 | 71.511 | 139.30 | 55969 | 1.8264 | 188.39 |
| 135.20 | 0.0000 | 0.5122 | 0.5122 | 137.30 | 22652 | 1.3552 | 76.861 | 139.40 | 56973 | 1.8468 | 191.76 |
| 135.30 | 0.0000 | 0.5808 | 0.5808 | 137.40 | 24248 | 1.3826 | 82.211 | 139.50 | 57978 | 1.8670 | 195.13 |
| 135.40 | 0.0000 | 0.6421 | 0.6421 | 137.50 | 25845 | 1.4094 | 87.559 | 139.60 | 58982 | 1.8870 | 198.49 |
| 135.50 | 0.0000 | 0.6980 | 0.6980 | 137.60 | 27442 | 1.4358 | 92.908 | 139.70 | 59987 | 1.9067 | 201.86 |
| 135.60 | 830.50 | 0.7498 | 3.5181 | 137.70 | 29038 | 1.4616 | 98.256 | 139.80 | 60991 | 1.9263 | 205.23 |
| 135.70 | 1869 | 0.7982 | 7.0270 | 137.80 | 30635 | 1.4871 | 103.60 | 139.90 | 61996 | 1.9456 | 208.60 |
| 135.80 | 2907 | 0.8439 | 10.533 | 137.90 | 32231 | 1.5121 | 108.95 | 140.00 | 63000 | 1.9648 | 211.96 |
| 135.90 | 3945 | 0.8872 | 14.037 | 138.00 | 33828 | 1.5366 | 114.30 | 140.10 | 64500 | 1.9838 | 216.98 |
| 136.00 | 4983 | 0.9285 | 17.538 | 138.10 | 35741 | 1.5608 | 120.70 | 140.20 | 66000 | 2.0026 | 222.00 |
| 136.10 | 6271 | 0.9680 | 21.871 | 138.20 | 37653 | 1.5847 | 127.10 | 140.30 | 67500 | 2.0212 | 227.02 |
| 136.20 | 7559 | 1.0060 | 26.202 | 138.30 | 39566 | 1.6082 | 133.50 | 140.40 | 69000 | 2.0397 | 232.04 |
| 136.30 | 8847 | 1.0426 | 30.532 | 138.40 | 41479 | 1.6313 | 139.89 | 140.50 | 70500 | 2.0580 | 237.06 |
| 136.40 | 10135 | 1.0779 | 34.860 | 138.50 | 43392 | 1.6541 | 146.29 | 140.60 | 72000 | 2.0761 | 242.08 |
| 136.50 | 11423 | 1.1121 | 39.187 | 138.60 | 45304 | 1.6766 | 152.69 | 140.70 | 73500 | 2.0941 | 247.09 |
| 136.60 | 12710 | 1.1453 | 43.513 | 138.70 | 47217 | 1.6988 | 159.09 | 140.80 | 75000 | 2.1119 | 252.11 |
| 136.70 | 13998 | 1.1776 | 47.839 | 138.80 | 49130 | 1.7207 | 165.49 | 140.90 | 76500 | 2.1296 | 257.13 |
| 136.80 | 15286 | 1.2090 | 52.163 | 138.90 | 51042 | 1.7424 | 171.88 |  |  |  |  |

## Mackenzie Engineering Inc POND C
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NOVELLUS

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| MATCH Q (cfs) | 3.12 | INFLOW Q (cfs) : | 8.82 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PEAK STAGE (ft) | 138.98 | PEAK OUTFLOW |  | 1.76 |

PEAK TIME: 800.00 min .
INFLOW HYD No. : 6
OUTFLOW HYD No.: 11
LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | SUM | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | STAGE | TIME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | min |  |  | (ft) | (min) |
| 0.0000 | 0.0023 | 0.0000 | 0.0023 | 0.0000 | 0.0023 | 134.85 | 40.00 |
| 0.0023 | 0.0255 | 0.0000 | 0.0278 | 0.0023 | 0.0255 | 134.85 | 50.00 |
| 0.0255 | 0.0753 | 0.0000 | 0.1008 | 0.0255 | 0.0753 | 134.85 | 60.00 |
| 0.0753 | 0.1703 | 0.0000 | 0.2456 | 0.0753 | 0.1703 | 134.85 | 70.00 |
| 0.1703 | 0.2994 | 0.0000 | 0.4697 | 0.1703 | 0.2994 | 135.50 | 80.00 |
| 0.2994 | 0.4187 | 0.0000 | 0.7181 | 0.2994 | 0.4187 | 135.50 | 90.00 |
| 0.4187 | 0.5234 | 0.0000 | 0.9421 | 0.4187 | 0.5234 | 135.50 | 100.00 |
| 0.5234 | 0.6131 | 0.0000 | 1.1365 | 0.5234 | 0.6131 | 135.50 | 110.00 |
| 0.6131 | 0.6895 | 0.0000 | 1.3026 | 0.6131 | 0.6895 | 135.50 | 120.00 |
| 0.6895 | 0.7895 | 0.0000 | 1.4789 | 0.6895 | 0.7895 | 135.50 | 130.00 |
| 0.7895 | 0.9053 | 0.0898 | 1.7845 | 0.6997 | 1.0848 | 135.50 | 140.00 |
| 0.9053 | 0.9889 | 0.3797 | 2.2739 | 0.7051 | 1.5688 | 135.51 | 150.00 |
| 0.9889 | 1.0481 | 0.8548 | 2.8918 | 0.7140 | 2.1778 | 135.53 | 160.00 |
| 1.0481 | 1.1016 | 1.4526 | 3.6024 | 0.7252 | 2.8772 | 135.55 | 170.00 |
| 1.1016 | 1.1447 | 2.1391 | 4.3854 | 0.7380 | 3.6474 | 135.58 | 180.00 |
| 1.1447 | 1.1754 | 2.8958 | 5.2158 | 0.7516 | 4.4642 | 135.60 | 190.00 |
| 1.1754 | 1.2085 | 3.7014 | 6.0852 | 0.7629 | 5.3224 | 135.63 | 200.00 |
| 1.2085 | 1.2361 | 4.5477 | 6.9923 | 0.7747 | 6.2176 | 135.65 | 210.00 |
| 1.2361 | 1.2542 | 5.4306 | 7.9208 | 0.7871 | 7.1338 | 135.68 | 220.00 |
| 1.2542 | 1.2775 | 6.3342 | 8.8659 | 0.7996 | 8.0662 | 135.70 | 230.00 |
| 1.2775 | 1.2969 | 7.2545 | 9.8289 | 0.8118 | 9.0171 | 135.73 | 240.00 |
| 1.2969 | 1.3600 | 8.1930 | 10.850 | 0.8241 | 10.026 | 135.76 | 250.00 |
| 1.3600 | 1.4449 | 9.1885 | 11.993 | 0.8373 | 11.156 | 135.79 | 260.00 |
| 1.4449 | 1.4942 | 10.305 | 13.244 | 0.8516 | 12.392 | 135.82 | 270.00 |
| 1.4942 | 1.5311 | 11.525 | 14.551 | 0.8669 | 13.684 | 135.85 | 280.00 |
| 1.5311 | 1.5505 | 12.801 | 15.882 | 0.8828 | 15.000 | 135.89 | 290.00 |
| 1.5505 | 1.5680 | 14.101 | 17.220 | 0.8985 | 16.321 | 135.93 | 300.00 |
| 1.5680 | 1.7930 | 15.407 | 18.768 | 0.9141 | 17.854 | 135.97 | 310.00 |
| 1.7930 | 2.1184 | 16.922 | 20.834 | 0.9313 | 19.903 | 136.01 | 320.00 |
| 2.1184 | 2.2939 | 18.953 | 23.365 | 0.9500 | 22.415 | 136.05 | 330.00 |
| 2.2939 | 2.3939 | 21.442 | 26.130 | 0.9728 | 25.157 | 136.11 | 340.00 |
| 2.3939 | 2.4554 | 24.160 | 29.009 | 0.9968 | 28.013 | 136.18 | 350.00 |
| 2.4554 | 2.4969 | 26.991 | 31.944 | 1.0213 | 30.922 | 136.24 | 360.00 |
| 2.4969 | 2.4756 | 29.877 | 34.849 | 1.0458 | 33.803 | 136.31 | 370.00 |
| 2.4756 | 2.4218 | 32.734 | 37.631 | 1.0693 | 36.562 | 136.38 | 380.00 |
| 2.4218 | 2.3962 | 35.471 | 40.289 | 1.0914 | 39.197 | 136.44 | 390.00 |
| 2.3962 | 2.3906 | 38.085 | 42.872 | 1.1122 | 41.760 | 136.50 | 400.00 |
| 2.3906 | 2.4010 | 40.628 | 45.419 | 1.1319 | 44.288 | 136.56 | 410.00 |
| 2.4010 | 2.4058 | 43.136 | 47.943 | 1.1511 | 46.792 | 136.62 | 420.00 |
| 2.4058 | 3.1411 | 45.622 | 51.169 | 1.1698 | 49.999 | 136.68 | 430.00 |
| 3.1411 | 4.2539 | 48.806 | 56.201 | 1.1933 | 55.008 | 136.75 | 440.00 |

## NOVELLUS


LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE


NOVELLUS

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

## LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | min | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{ft})}{\substack{\text { STAGE }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.7027 | 1.7091 | 171.88 | 175.29 | 1.7482 | 173.55 | 138.93 | 920.00 |
| 1.7091 | 1.7127 | 171.80 | 175.22 | 1.7480 | 173.47 | 138.93 | 930.00 |
| 1.7127 | 1.7074 | 171.72 | 175.14 | 1.7477 | 173.40 | 138.92 | 940.00 |
| 1.7074 | 1.7126 | 171.65 | 175.07 | 1.7475 | 173.32 | 138.92 | 950.00 |
| 1.7126 | 1.7156 | 171.57 | 175.00 | 1.7472 | 173.26 | 138.92 | 960.00 |
| 1.7156 | 1.5244 | 171.51 | 174.75 | 1.7470 | 173.00 | 138.92 | 970.00 |
| 1.5244 | 1.2519 | 171.26 | 174.03 | 1.7461 | 172.29 | 138.92 | 980.00 |
| 1.2519 | 1.1171 | 170.54 | 172.91 | 1.7437 | 171.17 | 138.91 | 990.00 |
| 1.1171 | 1.0431 | 169.43 | 171.59 | 1.7400 | 169.85 | 138.89 | 1000.00 |
| 1.0431 | 1.0140 | 168.11 | 170.17 | 1.7355 | 168.43 | 138.87 | 1010.00 |
| 1.0140 | 0.9997 | 166.70 | 168.72 | 1.7307 | 166.99 | 138.85 | 1020.00 |
| 0.9997 | 1.1117 | 165.26 | 167.37 | 1.7258 | 165.65 | 138.82 | 1030.00 |
| 1.1117 | 1.2937 | 163.92 | 166.33 | 1.7213 | 164.61 | 138.80 | 1040.00 |
| 1.2937 | 1.3840 | 162.89 | 165.57 | 1.7177 | 163.85 | 138.79 | 1050.00 |
| 1.3840 | 1.4290 | 162.14 | 164.95 | 1.7151 | 163.23 | 138.77 | 1060.00 |
| 1.4290 | 1.4515 | 161.52 | 164.40 | 1.7130 | 162.69 | 138.76 | 1070.00 |
| 1.4515 | 1.4629 | 160.98 | 163.89 | 1.7112 | 162.18 | 138.76 | 1080.00 |
| 1.4629 | 1.4092 | 160.47 | 163.34 | 1.7094 | 161.63 | 138.75 | 1090.00 |
| 1.4092 | 1.3158 | 159.93 | 162.65 | 1.7075 | 160.94 | 138.74 | 1100.00 |
| 1.3158 | 1.2697 | 159.24 | 161.82 | 1.7052 | 160.12 | 138.73 | 1110.00 |
| 1.2697 | 1.4782 | 158.42 | 161.16 | 1.7024 | 159.46 | 138.72 | 1120.00 |
| 1.4782 | 1.3504 | 157.76 | 160.59 | 1.7001 | 158.89 | 138.71 | 1130.00 |
| 1.3504 | 1.0637 | 157.19 | 159.61 | 1.6981 | 157.91 | 138.70 | 1140.00 |
| 1.0637 | 1.1531 | 156.21 | 158.43 | 1.6947 | 156.74 | 138.68 | 1150.00 |
| 1.1531 | 1.1900 | 155.04 | 157.39 | 1.6907 | 155.70 | 138.66 | 1160.00 |
| 1.1900 | 1.2084 | 154.01 | 156.41 | 1.6871 | 154.72 | 138.65 | 1170.00 |
| 1.2084 | 1.2252 | 153.04 | 155.47 | 1.6837 | 153.79 | 138.63 | 1180.00 |
| 1.2252 | 1.2261 | 152.11 | 154.56 | 1.6804 | 152.88 | 138.62 | 1190.00 |
| 1.2261 | 1.2268 | 151.20 | 153.65 | 1.6773 | 151.98 | 138.60 | 1200.00 |
| 1.2268 | 1.2347 | 150.30 | 152.76 | 1.6741 | 151.09 | 138.59 | 1210.00 |
| 1.2347 | 1.2313 | 149.42 | 151.88 | 1.6710 | 150.21 | 138.57 | 1220.00 |
| 1.2313 | 1.2298 | 148.55 | 151.01 | 1.6679 | 149.34 | 138.56 | 1230.00 |
| 1.2298 | 1.2367 | 147.67 | 150.14 | 1.6648 | 148.48 | 138.55 | 1240.00 |
| 1.2367 | 1.2328 | 146.81 | 149.28 | 1.6618 | 147.62 | 138.53 | 1250.00 |
| 1.2328 | 1.2310 | 145.96 | 148.43 | 1.6588 | 146.77 | 138.52 | 1260.00 |
| 1.2310 | 1.2377 | 145.11 | 147.58 | 1.6558 | 145.93 | 138.51 | 1270.00 |
| 1.2377 | 1.2337 | 144.27 | 146.74 | 1.6528 | 145.09 | 138.49 | 1280.00 |
| 1.2337 | 1.2319 | 143.44 | 145.91 | 1.6498 | 144.26 | 138.48 | 1290.00 |
| 1.2319 | 1.2386 | 142.61 | 145.08 | 1.6469 | 143.43 | 138.47 | 1300.00 |
| 1.2386 | 1.2346 | 141.79 | 144.26 | 1.6439 | 142.62 | 138.46 | 1310.00 |
| 1.2346 | 1.2327 | 140.98 | 143.45 | 1.6410 | 141.80 | 138.44 | 1320.00 |
| 1.2327 | 1.1720 | 140.17 | 142.57 | 1.6381 | 140.93 | 138.43 | 1330.00 |
| 1.1720 | 1.0821 | 139.30 | 141.55 | 1.6350 | 139.92 | 138.42 | 1340.00 |
| 1.0821 | 1.0377 | 138.29 | 140.41 | 1.6314 | 138.77 | 138.40 | 1350.00 |
| 1.0377 | 1.0083 | 137.15 | 139.19 | 1.6272 | 137.57 | 138.38 | 1360.00 |
| 1.0083 | 1.0014 | 135.94 | 137.95 | 1.6229 | 136.33 | 138.36 | 1370.00 |
| 1.0014 | 0.9980 | 134.71 | 136.71 | 1.6184 | 135.09 | 138.34 | 1380.00 |


| LEVEL POOL ROUTING | TABLE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I1 | I2 | 2 S 1 |


| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | min | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ \text { (ft) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.9980 | 0.9889 | 133.48 | 135.46 | 1.6139 | 133.85 | 138.32 | 1390.00 |
| 0.9889 | 0.9920 | 132.24 | 134.22 | 1.6094 | 132.61 | 138.31 | 1400.00 |
| 0.9920 | 0.9936 | 131.01 | 132.99 | 1.6049 | 131.39 | 138.29 | 1410.00 |
| 0.9936 | 0.9870 | 129.79 | 131.77 | 1.6004 | 130.17 | 138.27 | 1420.00 |
| 0.9870 | 0.9913 | 128.57 | 130.55 | 1.5960 | 128.95 | 138.25 | 1430.00 |
| 0.9913 | 0.9935 | 127.36 | 129.35 | 1.5915 | 127.76 | 138.23 | 1440.00 |
| 0.9935 | 0.7395 | 126.17 | 127.90 | 1.5871 | 126.32 | 138.21 | 1450.00 |
| 0.7395 | 0.3661 | 124.73 | 125.84 | 1.5818 | 124.26 | 138.19 | 1460.00 |
| 0.3661 | 0.1812 | 122.68 | 123.23 | 1.5741 | 121.66 | 138.16 | 1470.00 |
| 0.1812 | 0.0897 | 120.09 | 120.36 | 1.5644 | 118.80 | 138.12 | 1480.00 |
| 0.0897 | 0.0444 | 117.24 | 117.38 | 1.5537 | 115.83 | 138.07 | 1490.00 |
| 0.0444 | 0.0220 | 114.28 | 114.35 | 1.5424 | 112.81 | 138.02 | 1500.00 |
| 0.0220 | 0.0109 | 111.28 | 111.31 | 1.5298 | 109.78 | 137.97 | 1510.00 |
| 0.0109 | 0.0054 | 108.26 | 108.28 | 1.5159 | 106.76 | 137.92 | 1520.00 |
| 0.0054 | 0.0027 | 105.26 | 105.27 | 1.5018 | 103.77 | 137.86 | 1530.00 |
| 0.0027 | 0.0013 | 102.28 | 102.29 | 1.4878 | 100.80 | 137.80 | 1540.00 |
| 0.0013 | 0.0007 | 99.324 | 99.326 | 1.4737 | 97.852 | 137.75 | 1550.00 |
| 0.0007 | 0.0003 | 96.392 | 96.393 | 1.4597 | 94.934 | 137.69 | 1560.00 |
| 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 93.488 | 93.488 | 1.4456 | 92.043 | 137.64 | 1570.00 |
| 0.0002 | 0.0001 | 90.611 | 90.612 | 1.4315 | 89.180 | 137.58 | 1580.00 |
| 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 87.763 | 87.763 | 1.4174 | 86.345 | 137.53 | 1590.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 84.942 | 84.942 | 1.4033 | 83.539 | 137.48 | 1600.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 82.150 | 82.150 | 1.3892 | 80.760 | 137.42 | 1610.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 79.385 | 79.385 | 1.3752 | 78.010 | 137.37 | 1620.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 76.649 | 76.649 | 1.3611 | 75.288 | 137.32 | 1630.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 73.941 | 73.941 | 1.3470 | 72.594 | 137.27 | 1640.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 71.261 | 71.261 | 1.3329 | 69.928 | 137.22 | 1650.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 68.609 | 68.609 | 1.3188 | 67.291 | 137.17 | 1660.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 65.986 | 65.986 | 1.3047 | 64.681 | 137.12 | 1670.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 63.390 | 63.390 | 1.2906 | 62.100 | 137.07 | 1680.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 60.823 | 60.823 | 1.2766 | 59.547 | 137.02 | 1690.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 58.286 | 58.286 | 1.2608 | 57.025 | 136.97 | 1700.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 55.782 | 55.782 | 1.2434 | 54.538 | 136.91 | 1710.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 53.313 | 53.313 | 1.2258 | 52.087 | 136.85 | 1720.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 50.878 | 50.878 | 1.2085 | 49.670 | 136.80 | 1730.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 48.479 | 48.479 | 1.1909 | 47.288 | 136.74 | 1740.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 46.114 | 46.114 | 1.1735 | 44.941 | 136.69 | 1750.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 43.785 | 43.785 | 1.1560 | 42.629 | 136.63 | 1760.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 41.490 | 41.490 | 1.1386 | 40.352 | 136.58 | 1770.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 39.231 | 39.231 | 1.1211 | 38.110 | 136.53 | 1780.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 37.006 | 37.006 | 1.1036 | 35.902 | 136.48 | 1790.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 34.816 | 34.816 | 1.0862 | 33.730 | 136.42 | 1800.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 32.661 | 32.661 | 1.0687 | 31.593 | 136.37 | 1810.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 30.541 | 30.541 | 1.0512 | 29.490 | 136.32 | 1820.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 28.456 | 28.456 | 1.0338 | 27.423 | 136.28 | 1830.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 26.406 | 26.406 | 1.0163 | 25.390 | 136.23 | 1840.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 24.391 | 24.391 | 0.9989 | 23.392 | 136.18 | 1850.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { LEVEL } \\ \text { II } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OL ROU } \\ \text { I2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TABLE } \\ & 2 \mathrm{~S} 1 \end{aligned}$ | SUM | O1 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | STAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | mi |  |  | (ft) | $(\mathrm{min})$ |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 22.411 | 22.411 | 0.9813 | 21.430 | 136.14 | 1860.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 20.466 | 20.466 | 0.9640 | 19.502 | 136.09 | 1870.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 18.555 | 18.555 | 0.9464 | 17.609 | 136.05 | 1880.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16.680 | 16.680 | 0.9291 | 15.751 | 136.00 | 1890.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 14.843 | 14.843 | 0.9074 | 13.936 | 135.95 | 1900.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 13.050 | 13.050 | 0.8859 | 12.164 | 135.90 | 1910.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 11.300 | 11.300 | 0.8640 | 10.436 | 135.85 | 1920.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 9.5935 | 9.5935 | 0.8426 | 8.7509 | 135.80 | 1930.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 7.9302 | 7.9302 | 0.8207 | 7.1095 | 135.75 | 1940.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 6.3102 | 6.3102 | 0.7993 | 5.5109 | 135.70 | 1950.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4.7336 | 4.7336 | 0.7773 | 3.9563 | 135.66 | 1960.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 3.2004 | 3.2004 | 0.7559 | 2.4446 | 135.61 | 1970.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.7145 | 1.7145 | 0.7301 | 0.9844 | 135.56 | 1980.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2811 | 0.2811 | 0.7033 | -0.4222 | 135.51 | 1990.00 |

## NOVELLUS


ROUTING REPORT

## STORAGE LIST ID No. A

 Description:MULTIPLE ORIFICE ID No. A
Description:
Outlet Elev: 134.85
Elev: 134.85 ft Orifice Diameter: 5.6484 in .
ROUTING CURVE

| STAGE <br> (ft) | storage <br> (cf) | OUTFLOW <br> (cfs) | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ \text { (ft) } \end{gathered}$ | STORAGE (cf) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { OUTFLOW } \\ \text { \{cfs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs-min } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { STAGE } \\ \text { (ft) } \end{array}$ | Storage <br> (cf) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUTPLOW } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{~S} \\ \text { cfs }-\mathrm{min} \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134.85 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 136.90 | 16574 | 1.2396 | 56.487 | 139.00 | 52955 | 1.7638 | 178.28 |
| 134.90 | 0.0000 | 0.1936 | 0.1936 | 137.00 | 17862 | 1.2695 | 60.810 | 139.10 | 53960 | 1.7849 | 181.65 |
| 135.00 | 0.0000 | 0.3353 | 0.3353 | 137.10 | 19459 | 1.2987 | 66.161 | 139.20 | 54964 | 1.8058 | 185.02 |
| 135.10 | 0.0000 | 0.4329 | 0.4329 | 137.20 | 21055 | 1.3272 | 71.511 | 139.30 | 55969 | 1.8264 | 188.39 |
| 135.20 | 0.0000 | 0.5122 | 0.5122 | 137.30 | 22652 | 1.3552 | 76.861 | 139.40 | 56973 | 1.8468 | 191.76 |
| 135.30 | 0.0000 | 0.5808 | 0.5808 | 137.40 | 24248 | 1.3826 | 82.211 | 139.50 | 57978 | 1.8670 | 195.13 |
| 135.40 | 0.0000 | 0.6421 | 0.6421 | 137.50 | 25845 | 1.4094 | 87.559 | 139.60 | 58982 | 1.8870 | 198.49 |
| 135.50 | 0.0000 | 0.6980 | 0.6980 | 137.60 | 27442 | 1.4358 | 92.90 B | 139.70 | 59987 | 1.9067 | 201.86 |
| 135.60 | 830.50 | 0.7498 | 3.5181 | 137.70 | 29038 | 1.4616 | 98.256 | 139.80 | 60991 | 1.9263 | 205.23 |
| 135.70 | 1869 | 0.7982 | 7.0270 | 137.80 | 30635 | 1.4871 | 103.60 | 139.90 | 61996 | 1.9456 | 208.60 |
| 135.80 | 2907 | 0.8439 | 10.533 | 137.90 | 32231 | 1.5121 | 108.95 | 140.00 | 63000 | 1.9648 | 211.96 |
| 135.90 | 3945 | 0.8872 | 14.037 | 138.00 | 33828 | 1.5366 | 114.30 | 140.10 | 64500 | 1.9838 | 216.98 |
| 136.00 | 4983 | 0.9285 | 17.538 | 138.10 | 35741 | 1.5608 | 120.70 | 140.20 | 66000 | 2.0026 | 222.00 |
| 136.10 | 6271 | 0.9680 | 21.871 | 138.20 | 37653 | 1.5847 | 127.10 | 140.30 | 67500 | 2.0212 | 227.02 |
| 136.20 | 7559 | 1.0060 | 26.202 | 138.30 | 39566 | 1.6082 | 133.50 | 140.40 | 69000 | 2.0397 | 232.04 |
| 136.30 | 8847 | 1.0426 | 30.532 | 138.40 | 41479 | 1.6313 | 139.89 | 140.50 | 70500 | 2.0580 | 237.06 |
| 136.40 | 20135 | 1.0779 | 34.860 | 138.50 | 43392 | 1.6541 | 146.29 | 140.60 | 72000 | 2.0761 | 242.08 |
| 136.50 | 11423 | 1.1121 | 39.387 | 138.60 | 45304 | 1.6766 | 152.69 | 140.70 | 73500 | 2.0941 | 247.09 |
| 136.60 | 12710 | 1.1453 | 43.513 | 138.70 | 47217 | 1.6988 | 159.09 | 140.80 | 75000 | 2.1119 | 252.11 |
| 136.70 | 13998 | 1.1776 | 47.839 | 138.80 | 49130 | 1.7207 | 165.49 | 140.90 | 76500 | 2.1296 | 257.13 |
| 136.80 | 15286 | 1.2090 | 52.163 | 138.90 | 51042 | 1.7424 | 171.88 |  |  |  |  |

# Mackenzie Engineering Inc POND C 
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## NOVELLUS

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE
$\begin{array}{lrlr}\text { MATCH Q (cfs) }: & 4.00 & \text { INFLOW Q (cfs) : } & 10.10 \\ \text { PEAK STAGE (ft): } & 140.06 & \text { PEAK OUTFLOW } & \text { : } \\ \text { PEAK } & 1.98\end{array}$
PEAK TIME: 800.00 min .
INFLOW HYD No. : 7 OUTFLOW HYD NO.: 12
LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | $2 \mathrm{S1}$ | min | 01 | O2+2S2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 0.0108 | 134.85 | 40.00 |
| 0.0108 | 0.0546 | 0.0000 | 0.0654 | 0.0108 | 0.0546 | 135.50 | 50.00 |
| 0.0546 | 0.1252 | 0.0000 | 0.1798 | 0.0546 | 0.1252 | 135.50 | 60.00 |
| 0.1252 | 0.2471 | 0.0000 | 0.3723 | 0.1252 | 0.2471 | 135.50 | 70.00 |
| 0.2471 | 0.4071 | 0.0000 | 0.6542 | 0.2471 | 0.4071 | 135.50 | 80.00 |
| 0.4071 | 0.5481 | 0.0000 | 0.9552 | 0.4071 | 0.5481 | 135.50 | 90.00 |
| 0.5481 | 0.6678 | 0.0000 | 1.2158 | 0.5481 | 0.6678 | 135.50 | 100.00 |
| 0.6678 | 0.7681 | 0.0000 | 1.4358 | 0.6678 | 0.7681 | 135.50 | 110.00 |
| 0.7681 | 0.8518 | 0.0687 | 1.6886 | 0.6993 | 0.9893 | 135.50 | 120.00 |
| 0.8518 | 0.9641 | 0.2859 | 2.1019 | 0.7034 | 1.3985 | 135.51 | 130.00 |
| 0.9641 | 1.0953 | 0.6876 | 2.7470 | 0.7109 | 2.0362 | 135.52 | 140.00 |
| 1.0953 | 1.1875 | 1.3136 | 3.5963 | 0.7226 | 2.8737 | 135.55 | 150.00 |
| 1.1875 | 1.2507 | 2.1358 | 4.5739 | 0.7380 | 3.8359 | 135.58 | 160.00 |
| 1.2507 | 1.3074 | 3.0818 | 5.6399 | 0.7542 | 4.8857 | 135.61 | 170.00 |
| 1.3074 | 1.3523 | 4.1170 | 6.7767 | 0.7687 | 6.0081 | 135.64 | 180.00 |
| 1.3523 | 1.3831 | 5.2239 | 7.9594 | 0.7842 | 7.1752 | 135.67 | 190.00 |
| 1.3831 | 1.4173 | 6.3750 | 9.1755 | 0.8002 | 8.3753 | 135.70 | 200.00 |
| 1. 4173 | 1.4453 | 7.5595 | 10.422 | 0.8158 | 9.6063 | 135.74 | 210.00 |
| 1.4453 | 1.4626 | 8.7745 | 11.682 | 0.8318 | 10.851 | 135.77 | 220.00 |
| 1.4626 | 1.4863 | 10.003 | 12.952 | 0.8478 | 12.104 | 135.81 | 230.00 |
| 1.4863 | 1.5058 | 11.241 | 14.233 | 0.8633 | 13.370 | 135.84 | 240.00 |
| 1.5058 | 1.5760 | 12.491 | 15.572 | 0.8789 | 14.693 | 135.88 | 250.00 |
| 1.5760 | 1.6719 | 13.799 | 17.046 | 0.8949 | 16.152 | 135.92 | 260.00 |
| 1.6719 | 1.7289 | 15.239 | 18.640 | 0.9121 | 17.728 | 135.96 | 270.00 |
| 1.7289 | 1.7740 | 16.798 | 20.301 | 0.9302 | 19.371 | 136.00 | 280.00 |
| 1.7740 | 1.7986 | 18.425 | 21.998 | 0.9452 | 21.053 | 136.04 | 290.00 |
| 1.7986 | 1.8195 | 20.092 | 23.710 | 0.9605 | 22.750 | 136.08 | 300.00 |
| 1.8195 | 2.0796 | 21.774 | 25.673 | 0.9757 | 24.698 | 136.12 | 310.00 |
| 2.0796 | 2.4549 | 23.705 | 28.239 | 0.9928 | 27.246 | 136.17 | 320.00 |
| 2.4549 | 2.6557 | 26.232 | 31.342 | 1.0148 | 30.327 | 136.22 | 330.00 |
| 2.6557 | 2.7687 | 29.286 | 34.711 | 1.0408 | 33.670 | 136.30 | 340.00 |
| 2.7687 | 2.8373 | 32.602 | 38.208 | 1.0682 | 37.140 | 136.37 | 350.00 |
| 2.8373 | 2.8828 | 36.044 | 41.764 | 1.0959 | 40.668 | 136.45 | 360.00 |
| 2.8828 | 2.8561 | 39.544 | 45.283 | 1.1235 | 44.160 | 136.53 | 370.00 |
| 2.8561 | 2.7921 | 43.009 | 48.658 | 1.1502 | 47.507 | 136.61 | 380.00 |
| 2.7921 | 2.7608 | 46.332 | 51.885 | 1.1751 | 50.710 | 136.69 | 390.00 |
| 2.7608 | 2.7527 | 49.512 | 55.025 | 1.1985 | 53.827 | 136.77 | 400.00 |
| 2.7527 | 2.7632 | 52.606 | 58.122 | 1.2208 | 56.901 | 136.84 | 410.00 |
| 2.7632 | 2.7674 | 55.658 | 61.189 | 1.2425 | 59.947 | 136.91 | 420.00 |
| 2.7674 | 3.6107 | 58.683 | 65.061 | 1.2636 | 63.798 | 136.98 | 430.00 |
| 3.6107 | 4.8868 | 62.512 | 71.009 | 1.2858 | 69.723 | 137.06 | 440.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

## LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | $2 \mathrm{S1}$ | SUM | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.8868 | 5.5430 | 68.406 | 78.835 | 1.3177 | 77.518 | 137.17 | 450.00 |
| 5.5430 | 7.0799 | 76.159 | 88.782 | 1.3586 | 87.424 | 137.31 | 460.00 |
| 7.0799 | 9.0687 | 86.015 | 102.16 | 1.4087 | 100.75 | 137.50 | 470.00 |
| 9.0687 | 10.104 | 99.281 | 118.45 | 1.4735 | 116.98 | 137.75 | 480.00 |
| 10.104 | 9.0361 | 11.5 .43 | 134.57 | 1.5468 | 133.03 | 138.04 | 490.00 |
| 9.0361 | 6.9063 | 131.42 | 147.36 | 1.6064 | 145.76 | 138.29 | 500.00 |
| 6.9063 | 5.8589 | 144.10 | 156.87 | 1.6522 | 155.22 | 138.49 | 510.00 |
| 5.8589 | 5.2080 | 153.53 | 164.60 | 1.6854 | 162.91 | 138.64 | 520.00 |
| 5.2080 | 4.7606 | 161.20 | 171.17 | 1.7119 | 169.46 | 138.76 | 530.00 |
| 4.7606 | 4.5438 | 167.72 | 177.03 | 1.7342 | 175.29 | 138.86 | 540.00 |
| 4.5438 | 4.0957 | 173.54 | 182.18 | 1.7538 | 180.43 | 138.95 | 550.00 |
| 4.0957 | 3.5317 | 178.65 | 186.28 | 1.7772 | 184.50 | 139.06 | 560.00 |
| 3.5317 | 3.2545 | 182.70 | 189.48 | 1.8026 | 187.68 | 139.18 | 570.00 |
| 3.2545 | 3.1274 | 185.86 | 192.24 | 1.8221 | 190.42 | 139.28 | 580.00 |
| 3.1274 | 3.0582 | 188.58 | 194.77 | 1.8387 | 192.93 | 139.36 | 590.00 |
| 3.0582 | 3.0257 | 191.07 | 197.16 | 1.8538 | 195.30 | 139.43 | 600.00 |
| 3.0257 | 2.9452 | 193.43 | 199.41 | 1.8681 | 197.54 | 139.51 | 610.00 |
| 2.9452 | 2.8406 | 195.66 | 201.44 | 1.8813 | 199.56 | 139.57 | 620.00 |
| 2.8406 | 2.7903 | 197.67 | 203.30 | 1.8932 | 201.41 | 139.63 | 630.00 |
| 2.7903 | 2.7584 | 199.50 | 205.05 | 1.9041 | 203.15 | 139.69 | 640.00 |
| 2.7584 | 2.7522 | 201.23 | 206.74 | 1.9142 | 204.83 | 139.74 | 650.00 |
| 2.7522 | 2.7505 | 202.90 | 208.41 | 1.9240 | 206.48 | 139.79 | 660.00 |
| 2.7505 | 2.6094 | 204.55 | 209.91 | 1.9335 | 207.98 | 139.84 | 670.00 |
| 2.6094 | 2.3990 | 206.03 | 211.04 | 1.9421 | 209.10 | 139.88 | 689.00 |
| 2.3990 | 2.2956 | 207.15 | 211.85 | 1.9485 | 209.90 | 139.91 | 690.00 |
| 2.2956 | 2.2535 | 207.94 | 212.49 | 1.9530 | 210.54 | 139.94 | 700.00 |
| 2.2535 | 2.2251 | 208.58 | 213.06 | 1.9567 | 211.11 | 139.96 | 710.00 |
| 2.2251 | 2.2117 | 209.15 | 213.58 | 1.9599 | 211.62 | 139.97 | 720.00 |
| 2.2117 | 2.2142 | 209.66 | 214.09 | 1.9629 | 212.12 | 139.99 | 730.00 |
| 2.2142 | 2.2077 | 210.16 | 214.58 | 1.9654 | 212.61 | 140.00 | 740.00 |
| 2.2077 | 2.2052 | 210.65 | 215.06 | 1.9673 | 213.09 | 140.01 | 750.00 |
| 2.2052 | 2.2130 | 211.12 | 215.54 | 1.9691 | 213.57 | 140.02 | 760.00 |
| 2.2130 | 2.2092 | 211.60 | 216.02 | 1.9709 | 214.05 | 140.03 | 770.00 |
| 2.2092 | 2.2080 | 212.08 | 216.50 | 1.9727 | 214.52 | 140.04 | 780.00 |
| 2.2080 | 2.0738 | 212.55 | 216.83 | 1.9745 | 214.86 | 140.05 | 790.00 |
| 2.0738 | 1.8653 | 212.88 | 216.82 | 1.9758 | 214.84 | 140.06 | 800.00 |
| 1.8653 | 1.7624 | 212.87 | 216.50 | 1.9757 | 214.52 | 140.06 | 810.00 |
| 1.7624 | 1.7118 | 212.55 | 216.02 | 1.9745 | 214.05 | 140.05 | 820.00 |
| 1.7118 | 1.6871 | 212.07 | 215.47 | 1.9727 | 213.50 | 140.04 | 830.00 |
| 1.6871 | 1.6753 | 211.53 | 214.89 | 1.9706 | 212.92 | 140.03 | 840.00 |
| 1.6753 | 1.7370 | 210.95 | 214.36 | 1.9684 | 212.40 | 140.02 | 850.00 |
| 1.7370 | 1.8436 | 210.43 | 214.01 | 1.9664 | 212.04 | 140.01 | 860.00 |
| 1.8436 | 1.8968 | 210.08 | 213.82 | 1.9651 | 211.85 | 140.00 | 870.00 |
| 1.8968 | 1.9151 | 209.89 | 213.70 | 1.9642 | 211.74 | 140.00 | 880.00 |
| 1.9151 | 1.9331 | 209.77 | 213.62 | 1.9635 | 211.66 | 139.99 | 890.00 |
| 1.9331 | 1.9424 | 209.70 | 213.57 | 1.9631 | 211.61 | 139.99 | 900.00 |
| 1.9424 | 1.9390 | 209.65 | 213.53 | 1.9628 | 211.56 | 139.99 | 910.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| I1 | I2 | 2S1 | SUM | 01 | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STAGE } \\ \text { (ft) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\mathrm{min}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.9390 | 1.9461 | 209.60 | 213.49 | 1.9625 | 211.52 | 139.99 | 920.00 |
| 1.9461 | 1.9501 | 209.56 | 213.46 | 1.9623 | 211.50 | 139.99 | 930.00 |
| 1.9501 | 1.9440 | 209.53 | 213.43 | 1.9621 | 211.46 | 139.99 | 940.00 |
| 1.9440 | 1.9498 | 209.50 | 213.40 | 1.9620 | 211.43 | 139.99 | 950.00 |
| 1.9498 | 1.9531 | 209.47 | 213.38 | 1.9618 | 211.41 | 139.98 | 960.00 |
| 1.9531 | 1.7354 | 209.45 | 213.14 | 1.9617 | 211.18 | 139.98 | 970.00 |
| 1.7354 | 1.4251 | 209.22 | 212.38 | 1.9603 | 210.42 | 139.98 | 980.00 |
| 1.4251 | 1.2717 | 208.46 | 211.16 | 1.9560 | 209.20 | 139.95 | 990.00 |
| 1.2717 | 1.1873 | 207.25 | 209.71 | 1.9491 | 207.76 | 139.92 | 1000.00 |
| 1.1873 | 1.1542 | 205.82 | 208.17 | 1.9409 | 206.22 | 139.88 | 1010.00 |
| 1.1542 | 1.1379 | 204.29 | 206.58 | 1.9320 | 204.65 | 139.83 | 1020.00 |
| 1.1379 | 1.2653 | 202.73 | 205.13 | 1.9229 | 203.21 | 139.78 | 1030.00 |
| 1.2653 | 1.4723 | 201.30 | 204.03 | 1.9146 | 202.12 | 139.74 | 1040.00 |
| 1.4723 | 1.5751 | 200.21 | 203.26 | 1.9082 | 201.35 | 139.71 | 1050.00 |
| 1.5751 | 1.6262 | 199.45 | 202.65 | 1.9037 | 200.74 | 139.68 | 1060.00 |
| 1.6262 | 1.6518 | 198.84 | 202.12 | 1.9002 | 200.22 | 139.67 | 1070.00 |
| 1.6518 | 1.6647 | 198.32 | 201.64 | 1.8971 | 199.74 | 139.65 | 1080.00 |
| 1.6647 | 1.6036 | 197.85 | 201.12 | 1.8943 | 199.22 | 139.64 | 1090.00 |
| 1.6036 | 1.4972 | 197.33 | 200.43 | 1.8912 | 198.54 | 139.62 | 1100.00 |
| 1.4972 | 1.4448 | 196.65 | 199.60 | 1.8873 | 197.71 | 139.60 | 1110.00 |
| 1.4448 | 1.6818 | 195.83 | 198.95 | 1.8823 | 197.07 | 139.58 | 1120.00 |
| 1.6818 | 1.5365 | 195.19 | 198.41 | 1.8785 | 196.53 | 139.56 | 1130.00 |
| 1.5365 | 1.2102 | 194.66 | 197.40 | 1.8753 | 195.53 | 139.54 | 1140.00 |
| 1.2102 | 1.3118 | 193.66 | 196.18 | 1.8694 | 194.31 | 139.51 | 1150.00 |
| 1.3118 | 1.3538 | 192.45 | 195.11 | 1.8621 | 193.25 | 139.48 | 1160.00 |
| 1.3538 | 1.3747 | 191.40 | 194.13 | 1.8558 | 192.27 | 139.44 | 1170.00 |
| 1.3747 | 1.3937 | 190.42 | 193.19 | 1.8499 | 191.34 | 139.42 | 1180.00 |
| 1.3937 | 1.3948 | 189.49 | 192.28 | 1.8443 | 190.44 | 139.39 | 1190.00 |
| 1.3948 | 1.3955 | 188.60 | 191.39 | 1.8388 | 189.55 | 139.36 | 1200.00 |
| 1.3955 | 1.4045 | 187.72 | 190.52 | 1.8335 | 188.68 | 139.33 | 1210.00 |
| 1.4045 | 1.4006 | 186.86 | 189.66 | 1.8282 | 187.83 | 139.31 | 1220.00 |
| 1.4006 | 1.3988 | 186.01 | 188.81 | 1.8230 | 186.99 | 139.28 | 1230.00 |
| 1.3988 | 1.4066 | 185.17 | 187.97 | 1.8178 | 186.16 | 139.26 | 1240.00 |
| 1.4066 | 1.4021 | 184.34 | 187.15 | 1.8127 | 185.34 | 139.23 | 1250.00 |
| 1.4021 | 1.4000 | 183.53 | 186.33 | 1.8077 | 184.53 | 139.21 | 1260.00 |
| 1.4000 | 1.4076 | 182.72 | 185.53 | 1.8027 | 183.73 | 139.19 | 1270.00 |
| 1.4076 | 1.4030 | 181.93 | 184.74 | 1.7978 | 182.94 | 139.16 | 1280.00 |
| 1.4030 | 1.4009 | 181.15 | 183.95 | 1.7929 | 182.16 | 139.14 | 1290.00 |
| 1.4009 | 1.4085 | 180.37 | 183.18 | 1.7881 | 181.39 | 139.12 | 1300.00 |
| 1.4085 | 1.4039 | 179.61 | 182.42 | 1.7833 | 180.64 | 139.09 | 1310.00 |
| 1.4039 | 1.4017 | 178.86 | 181.67 | 1.7786 | 179.89 | 139.07 | 1320.00 |
| 1.4017 | 1.3327 | 178.11 | 180.85 | 1.7739 | 179.07 | 139.05 | 1330.00 |
| 1.3327 | 1.2304 | 177.31 | 179.87 | 1.7688 | 178.10 | 139.02 | 1340.00 |
| 1.2304 | 1.1799 | 176.34 | 178.75 | 1.7632 | 176.98 | 139.00 | 1350.00 |
| 1.1799 | 1.1465 | 175.23 | 177.55 | 1.7594 | 175.79 | 138.98 | 1360.00 |
| 1.1465 | 1.1385 | 174.04 | 176.32 | 1.7555 | 174.57 | 138.96 | 1370.00 |
| 1.1385 | 1.1347 | 172.81 | 175.09 | 1.7514 | 173.34 | 138.94 | 1380.00 |

LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { LEVEL } \\ \text { I1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { POOL ROU' } \\ \text { I2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JG TABLE } \\ & 2 S 1 \end{aligned}$ | SUM | 01. | $\mathrm{O} 2+2 \mathrm{~S} 2$ | STAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\min )$ |
| 1.1347 | 1.1243 | 171.59 | 173.85 | 1.7473 | 172.10 | 138.92 | 1390.00 |
| 1.1243 | 1.1278 | 170.36 | 172.61 | 1.7431 | 170.87 | 138.90 | 1400.00 |
| 1.1278 | 1.1296 | 169.13 | 171.39 | 1.7390 | 169.65 | 138.88 | 1410.00 |
| 1.1296 | 1.1221 | 167.91 | 170.16 | 1.7348 | 168.43 | 138.87 | 1420.00 |
| 1.1221 | 1.1270 | 166.70 | 168.95 | 1.7307 | 167.22 | 138.85 | 1430.00 |
| 1.1270 | 1.1295 | 165.49 | 167.75 | 1.7266 | 166.02 | 138.83 | 1440.00 |
| 1.1295 | 0.8407 | 164.30 | 166.27 | 1.7226 | 164.54 | 138.81 | 1450.00 |
| 0.8407 | 0.4162 | 162.83 | 164.08 | 1.7175 | 162.37 | 138.79 | 1460.00 |
| 0.4162 | 0.2060 | 160.66 | 161.28 | 1.7101 | 159.57 | 138.75 | 1470.00 |
| 0.2060 | 0.1020 | 157.87 | 158.18 | 1. 7005 | 156.48 | 138.71 | 1480.00 |
| 0.1020 | 0.0505 | 154.79 | 154.94 | 1.6898 | 153.25 | 138.66 | 1490.00 |
| 0.0505 | 0.0250 | 151.57 | 151.65 | 1.6786 | 149.97 | 138.61 | 1500.00 |
| 0.0250 | 0.0124 | 148.30 | 148.34 | 1.6670 | 146.67 | 138.56 | 1510.00 |
| 0.0124 | 0.0061 | 145.01 | 145.03 | 1.6554 | 143.38 | 138.51 | 1520.00 |
| 0.0061 | 0.0030 | 141.73 | 141.74 | 1.6437 | 140.10 | 138.45 | 1530.00 |
| 0.0030 | 0.0015 | 138.47 | 138.47 | 1.6320 | 136.84 | 138.40 | 1540.00 |
| 0.0015 | 0.0007 | 135.22 | 135.22 | 1.6203 | 133.60 | 138.35 | 1550.00 |
| 0.0007 | 0.0004 | 131.99 | 131.99 | 1.6085 | 130.39 | 138.30 | 1560.00 |
| 0.0004 | 0.0002 | 128.79 | 128.79 | 1.5967 | 127.19 | 138.25 | 1570.00 |
| 0.0002 | 0.0001 | 125.61 | 125.61 | 1.5850 | 124.02 | 138.20 | 1580.00 |
| 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 122.45 | 122.45 | 1.5732 | 120.88 | 138.15 | 1590.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 119.32 | 119.32 | 1.5615 | 117.75 | 138.10 | 1600.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 116.20 | 116.20 | 1.5497 | 114.65 | 138.05 | 1610.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 113.12 | 113.12 | 1.5380 | 111.58 | 138.01 | 1620.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 110.05 | 110.05 | 1.5241 | 108.53 | 137.95 | 1630.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 107.02 | 107.02 | 1.5101 | 105.51 | 137.89 | 1640.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 104.01 | 104.01 | 1.4960 | 102.52 | 137.84 | 1650.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 101.04 | 101.04 | 1.4819 | 99.554 | 137.78 | 1660.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 98.086 | 98.086 | 1.4678 | 96.619 | 137.72 | 1670.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 95.165 | 95.165 | 1.4537 | 93.711 | 137.67 | 1680.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 92.271 | 92.271 | 1.4397 | 90.832 | 137.62 | 1690.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 89.406 | 89.406 | 1.4255 | 87.981 | 137.56 | 1700.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 86.569 | 86.569 | 1.4115 | 85.158 | 137.51 | 1710.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 83.760 | 83.760 | 1.3974 | 82.363 | 137.46 | 1720.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 80.980 | 80.980 | 1.3833 | 79.596 | 137.40 | 1730.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 78.227 | 78.227 | 1.3692 | 76.858 | 137.35 | 1740.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 75.503 | 75.503 | 1.3552 | 74.148 | 137.30 | 1750.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 72.807 | 72.807 | 1.3410 | 71.466 | 137.25 | 1760.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 70.138 | 70.138 | 1.3270 | 68.811 | 137.20 | 1770.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 67.499 | 67.499 | 1.3128 | 66.186 | 137.15 | 1780.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 64.887 | 64.887 | 1.2988 | 63.588 | 137.10 | 1790.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 62.303 | 62.303 | 1.2847 | 61.019 | 137.05 | 1800.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 59.748 | 59.748 | 1.2707 | 58.477 | 137.00 | 1810.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 57.224 | 57.224 | 1.2534 | 55.971 | 136.95 | 1820.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 54.735 | 54.735 | 1.2360 | 53.499 | 136.89 | 1830.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 52.280 | 52.280 | 1.2185 | 51.062 | 136.83 | 1840.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 49.861 | 49.861 | 1.2010 | 48.660 | 136.77 | 1850.00 |


LEVEL POOL ROUTING TABLE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEVEL } \\ & \text { I1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OL ROU } \\ \text { I2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G TABLE } \\ & 2 S 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $2+2 \mathrm{~S}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | min |  | O2+2S2 | (ft) | $(\mathrm{min})$ |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 47.476 | 47.476 | 1.1836 | 46.293 | 136.72 | 1860.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 45.126 | 45.126 | 1.1661 | 43.960 | 136.66 | 1870.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 42.812 | 42.812 | 1.1487 | 41.663 | 136.61 | 1880.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 40.532 | 40.532 | 1.1311 | 39.401 | 136.56 | 1890.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 38.287 | 38.287 | 1.1138 | 37.173 | 136.50 | 1900.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 36.077 | 36.077 | 1.0962 | 34.981 | 136.45 | 1910.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 33.902 | 33.902 | 1.0789 | 32.823 | 136.40 | 1920.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 31.762 | 31.762 | 1.0613 | 30.700 | 136.35 | 1930.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 29.656 | 29.656 | 1.0439 | 28.613 | 136.30 | 1940.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 27.586 | 27.586 | 1.0263 | 26.560 | 136.26 | 1950.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 25.551 | 25.551 | 1.0090 | 24.542 | 136.21 | 1960.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 23.550 | 23.550 | 0.9914 | 22.559 | 136.16 | 1970.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 21.585 | 21.585 | 0.9740 | 20.611 | 136.12 | 1980.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19.654 | 19.654 | 0.9565 | 18.698 | 136.07 | 1990.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17.759 | 17.759 | 0.9390 | 16.820 | 136.03 | 2000.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 15.900 | 15.900 | 0.9200 | 14.980 | 135.98 | 2010.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 14.082 | 14.082 | 0.8983 | 13.183 | 135.93 | 2020.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 12.307 | 12.307 | 0.8766 | 11.430 | 135.88 | 2030.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10.575 | 10.575 | 0.8550 | 9.7201 | 135.83 | 2040.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 8.8868 | 8.8868 | 0.8333 | 8.0535 | 135.78 | 2050.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 7.2419 | 7.2419 | 0.8116 | 6.4303 | 135.73 | 2060.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 5.6403 | 5.6403 | 0.7900 | 4.8503 | 135.68 | 2070.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4.0821 | 4.0821 | 0.7682 | 3.3139 | 135.64 | 2080.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2.5678 | 2.5678 | 0.7461 | 1.8218 | 135.59 | 2090.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.1031 | 1.1031 | 0.7187 | 0.3845 | 135.54 | 2100.00 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3845 | -0.3845 | 135.50 | 2110.00 |
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| BASIN <br> ID | -----VOLUME---- |  | -RATE- | ----TIME----- |  | Hydrograph | Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---cf-- | Ac-ft | --cfs- | -min- | hours | Methodology | Acres |


| CB1 | 3459 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CB10 | 1597 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.12 |
| CB11 | 1464 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.11 |
| CB12 | 1464 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.11 |
| CB13 | 1464 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.11 |
| CB14 | 2661 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.20 |
| CB15 | 2794 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.21 |
| CB16 | 2794 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.21 |
| CB17 | 1464 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.11 |
| CB18 | 1464 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.11 |
| CB19 | 798 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.06 |
| CB2 | 931 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.07 |
| CB20 | 798 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.06 |
| CB21 | 3459 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.26 |
| CB22 | 1331 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.10 |
| CB23 | 3326 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.25 |
| CB24 | 3326 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.25 |
| CB25 | 1996 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.15 |
| CB26 | 2528 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.19 |
| CB27 | 1863 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.14 |
| CB28 | 1331 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.10 |
| CB29 | 2129 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 480 | 8.00 | SBLH | Method | 0.16 |
| CB3 | 665 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.05 |
| CB30 | 2262 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.17 |
| CB31 | 1730 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.13 |
| CB32 | 399 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.03 |
| CB33 | 399 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.03 |
| CB34 | 798 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.06 |
| CB35 | 2794 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.21 |
| CB36 | 1597 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.12 |
| CB37 | 2262 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.17 |
| CB38 | 2528 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.19 |
| CB38A | 1597 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.12 |
| CB39 | 1996 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.15 |
| CB4 | 665 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.05 |
| CB40 | 4790 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.36 |
| CB41 | 1996 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.15 |
| CB42 | 2794 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.21 |
| CB43 | 4790 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.36 |
| CB44 | 931 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.07 |
| CB45 | 2661 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.20 |
| CB46 | 3326 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.25 |
| CB47 | 3859 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.29 |
| CB48 | 3459 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.26 |
| CB49 | 1730 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.13 |
| CB5 | 3193 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.24 |
| CB50 | 2395 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH | Method | 0.18 |



| CB51. | 1464 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CB52 | 2395 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.18 |
| CB53 | 2794 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.21 |
| CB54 | 1597 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.12 |
| CB55 | 1996 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.15 |
| CB56 | 399 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.03 |
| CB57 | 532 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.04 |
| CB6 | 1197 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.09 |
| CB7 | 1064 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.08 |
| CB8 | 1064 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.08 |
| C89 | 1331 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.10 |
| DII | 6120 | 0.14 | 0.37 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.46 |
| DI3 | 3725 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.28 |
| FUT1 | 45238 | 1.04 | 2.77 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 3.40 |
| FUT2 | 46568 | 1.07 | 2.85 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 3.50 |
| FUT3 | 4657 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.35 |
| RD1 | 798 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.06 |
| RD10 | 3060 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.23 |
| RD11 | 2927 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.22 |
| RD12 | 1064 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.08 |
| RD13 | 1064 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.08 |
| RD14 | 1064 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.08 |
| RD15 | 2661 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.20 |
| RD16 | 2661 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.20 |
| RD17 | 1996 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.15 |
| RD18 | 1996 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 480 | B. 00 | SBUH Method | 0.15 |
| RD19 | 3725 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.28 |
| RD2 | 798 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.06 |
| RD20 | 3725 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.28 |
| RD21 | 3725 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.28 |
| RD22 | 1996 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.15 |
| RD23 | 1996 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Methad | 0.15 |
| RD24 | 1996 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.15 |
| RD25 | 1996 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.15 |
| RD26 | 1996 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.15 |
| RD27 | 1996 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.15 |
| RD3 | 798 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.06 |
| RD4 | 798 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.06 |
| RD5 | 798 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.06 |
| RD6 | 798 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.06 |
| RD7 | 798 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.06 |
| RD8 | 798 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.06 |
| RD9 | 798 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 480 | 8.00 | SBUH Method | 0.06 |

＂Z＇－PIPE SIZNG CILC＇S

| STORA SEWERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －SEHER LOCATION |  |  | T／IIE （Alin．） |  | $\frac{10}{112}$ | ABEA <br> （Acras） |  |  |  |  | SEIVER DESIGN |  |  |  | PROFILE |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grou |  |
| niy： <br> Dato： $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\Xi$ |  | $\frac{\pi}{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{《 I} \\ & \ddot{\ddot{2}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\underline{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pi \\ & i n \\ & i n \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1: 0 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | IVERT <br> Elev． |
| Ck ＇d |  |  |  | 号淮 |  | ${ }_{14}$ | 管 | $3$ | 事 | －${ }^{2}$ | － | － | ＞－ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |
| Dato：＿＿＿ |  | \＃ | 芜 |  | $\stackrel{r}{1}$ |  | $\ddot{0}$ | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | 禹耑 | i．． 4 | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | \％ | － | $\frac{1}{6}=$ | I |  |  |
|  | \＃1： | $x$ | F | － | in |  | $0$ | i.1 | 水 5 | － | ！ | ！ | ： | \|0 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | iii | \％ |
| street． | $\pm$ | $\because$ | 品 | 辰 | ? | $\stackrel{!}{\square}$ |  | - | 吿志 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 管洦 } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | \％ | is | $\stackrel{i i i}{>}$ | ＂ | 3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 5 | $\sigma$ | 7 | $\beta$ | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.21 | 0.21 | 4.16 | $6^{\prime \prime}$ | 1．2\％ |  |  |  |  |
| CB\＃$\#$－（1） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.37 | 0.37 | 3.23 | 12＂ | 7.55 |  |  |  |  |
| $D I \# 1$（2） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.77 | 2.77 | 0.5 | $18{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 8.04 |  |  |  |  |
| FuT $\# 1$（3） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.35 | 0.5 | 181 | 8.04 |  |  |  |  |
| （1）+ （2）+ （3）$=$（4） |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | $6^{\prime \prime}$ | 0.61 |  |  |  |  |
| PD\＃${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | － | － |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.5 | 10＂ | 1.68 |  |  |  |  |
| 20：2（6） |  |  |  |  | － |  | － |  | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.5 | 12. | 2.73 |  |  |  |  |
| kots（1） |  |  |  |  | － |  |  | － | 0.05 |  | 0.5 | $15^{\circ}$ | 4.95 |  |  |  |  |
| RO\＃4．（8） |  |  |  |  | －－ | －－－－ | － | －－－ | 0.05 | 0.20 |  | $15^{\circ}$ | 4.95 |  |  |  |  |
| RO\＃5－（9） |  |  |  |  | － |  | － | －－ | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RO\＃6（10） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.30 | 0.5 | is | 4.95 |  |  |  |  |
| （11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $n=0.0$ |  | 0.30 | 3.94 | 16 | 15.23 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| （4）+ （11）$=$（12） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.65 | 0.5 | $18^{\prime \prime}$ | 8.04 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.14 | 0.14 | 1.0 | 6 ＂ | 0.61 |  |  |  |  |
| C3 \＃2，3，4（13） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



STORM SEWERS

| STORM SEWERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -SEHEA LOCATION |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T/AIE } \\ & \text { (Alin) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\ln }{1 / 2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AREA } \\ & (A \subset C O S) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | SEWER DESIGN |  |  |  | PROFILE: |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\qquad$ |  |
| Ay: <br> Dolo: $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & H \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \Sigma \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \\| \\ & \underset{X}{X} \\ & \gtrless \\ & P \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $Z$$=$22$\vdots$$\vdots$$\vdots$$\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ck}^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doto:_ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | iii |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| staget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| , | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\sigma$ | 7 | $a$ | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  | 18 |  |
| cat35 120甘 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.29 | 0.63 | 0.5 | $10^{\circ}$ | 1.68 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.12 | 0.75 | 0.5 | $12^{\prime \prime}$ | 2.73 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.12 | 0.87 | 0.5 | $12^{\prime \prime}$ | 2.73 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (4i) + (42) $=(45)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.50 | 1.68 | 12 " | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C3* 36,37 (46) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.5 | 8 | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTH二 (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.5 | $12^{\prime \prime}$ | 2.73 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.85 | 3.08 | 5.14 | $18^{\prime}$ | 25.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FuTunt \#2 (48) |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.15 | 3.23 | 5.11 | $18^{\prime \prime}$ | 25.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| C3\#38 - ${ }^{\text {cha }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.10 | 3.33 | 5.14 | $18^{\prime \prime}$ | 258 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.09 | 3.42 | 2.7 | $18^{\prime \prime}$ | 70.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.41 | 383 | 1.50 | $10^{\prime \prime}$ | 13.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\cos 1440,41$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\sqrt{W M N}$ | $N \overrightarrow{N A N}$ | $\sqrt{V}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $y_{n}^{0}$ |  | WMW | $N M M$ | V |  |
|  | (3) Hisw | $10^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \| 21 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $00^{2}=1 \approx 2$ |  |  |  |
|  | (\%) $\equiv \pm 575$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 隹 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (7.0) Mnios = = M | - |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\wedge$ |  |  |  |  |
| S | - (!) RusẋLem | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 皆 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | S | $n$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | $=4 \% 01$ | $m$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# | $\cdots$ | 4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (100) (6) |  | cie |  |



## Land Use Application


AS THE PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS APPLICATION, I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I HAVE READ THIS APPLICATION AND STATE THAT THE INFORMATION IN AND INCLUDED WITH THIS APPLICATION IN ITS ENTIRETY IS CORRECT. I AGREE TO COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE CITY AND COUNTY ORDINANCES AND STATE LAWS REGARDING BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AND LAND USE.

Primary Contact

Appliemt's Signature:

| Land Use Application Type: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Annexation (ANN) $\square$ Historic Landmark (HIST) $\square$ Minor Architectural Review (MAR)  <br> $\square$ Architectural Review (AR) $\square$ Industrial Master Plan (IMP) $\square$ Minor Variance (MVAR)  <br> $\square$ Architectural Review—Single Family (ARSF) $\square$ Plan Map Amendment (PMA) $\square$ Sign Variance (SVAR)  <br> $\square$ Architectural Review-ADU (ARADU) $\square$ Plan Text Amendment (PTA) $\square$ Variance (VAR)  <br> $\square$ Conditional Use (CUP) $\square$ Tree Removal/Review (TCP)   <br> Office Use  Received by:  <br> Case No: Date Received:   <br> Fee:  Receipt No:  |  |

## SENSITIVE AREA PRE-SCREENING SITE ASSESSMENT


6. Will the project involve any off-site work? 回Yes $\square$ No Unknown

Location and description of off-site work: Driveway modifications and additions along site frontage.
7. Additional comments or information that may be needed to understand your project:

This application does NOT replace Grading and Erosion Control Permits, Connection Permits, Building Permits, Site Development Permits, DEQ 1200-C Permit or other permits as issued by the Department of Environmental Quality, Department of State Lands and/or Department of the Army COE. All required permits and approvals must be obtained and completed under applicable local, state, and federal law.
By signing this form, the Owner or Owner's authorized agent or representative, acknowledges and agrees that employees of Clean Water Services have authority to enter the project site at all reasonable times for the purpose of inspecting project site conditions and gathering information related to the project site. I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in this document, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, this information is true, complete, and accurate.


## FOR DISTRICT USE ONLY

$\square$ Sensitive areas potentially exist on site or within 200 ' of the site. THE APPLICANT MUST PERFORM A SITE ASSESSMENT PRIOR TO
ISSUANCE OF A SERVICE PROVIDER LETTER. If Sensitive Areas exist on the site or within 200 feet on adjacent properties, a Natural Resources Assessment Report may also be required.
区 Based on review of the submitted materials and best available information sensitive areas do not appear to exist on site or within 200' of the site. This Sensitive Area Pre-Screening Site Assessment does NOT eliminate the need to evaluate and protect water quality sensitive areas if they are subsequently discovered. This document will serve as your Service Provider Letter as required by Resolution and Order 19-5, Section 3.02.1, as amended by Resolution and Order 19-22. All required permits and approvals must be obtained and completed under applicable local, State and federal law.
$\square$ Based on review of the submitted materials and best available information the above referenced project will not significantly impact the existing or potentially sensitive area(s) found near the site. This Sensitive Area Pre-Screening Site Assessment does NOT eliminate the need to evaluate and protect additional water quality sensitive areas if they are subsequently discovered. This document will serve as your Service Provider Letter as required by Resolution and Order 19-5, Section 3.02.1, as amended by Resolution and Order 19-22. All required permits and approvals must be obtained and completed under applicable local, state and federal law.THIS SERVICE PROVIDER LETTER IS NOT VALID UNLESS ___ CWS APPROVED SITE PLAN(S) ARE ATTACHED.
$\square$ The proposed activity does not meet the definition of development or the lot was platted after 9/9/95 ORS 92.040(2). NO SITE ASSESSMENT OR SERVICE PROVIDER LETTER IS REQUIRED.

 CIVIL ENGINEER





palmer: sis

FIRE CODE / LAND USE / BUILDING REVIEW APPLICATION

North Operating Center 11945 SW 70 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Tigard, OR 97223
Phone: 503-649-8577

South Operating Center 8445 SW Elligsen Rd Wilsonville, OR 97070
Phone: 503-649-8577
REV 6-30-20

## Project Information

Contact Person Name: Mackenzie - Suzannah Stanley
Address:1515 SE Water Ave \#100, Portland, OR 97214 $\qquad$
Phone:503-224-9560 $\qquad$
Email: sstanley@mcknze.com $\qquad$
Site Address: 11155 SE Leveton Dr
City: Tualatin $\qquad$
Map \& Tax Lot \#: 2S122AB-100; 2S122AA-500 and -800 Business Name: Lam Research

Land Use/Building Jurisdiction: City of Tualatin
Land Use/ Building Permit \# AR22-0006 $\qquad$
Choose from: Beaverton, Tigard, Newberg, Tualatin, North Plains, West Linn, Wilsonville, Sherwood, Rivergrove, Durham, King City, Washington County, Clackamas County, Multnomah County, Yamhill County

## Project Description

New 4-story office building and parking

## Permit/Review Type (check one):

X Land Use / Building Review - Service Provider Permit
-Emergency Radio Responder Coverage Install/Test
पLPG Tank (Greater than 2,000 gallons)
Flammable or Combustible Liquid Tank Installation (Greater than 1,000 gallons)

* Exception: Underground Storage Tanks (UST) are deferred to DEQ for regulation.
-Explosives Blasting (Blasting plan is required)
-Exterior Toxic, Pyrophoric or Corrosive Gas Installation (in excess of 810 cu.ft.)
-Tents or Temporary Membrane Structures (in excess of 10,000 square feet)
-Temporary Haunted House or similar
-OLCC Cannabis Extraction License Review
-Ceremonial Fire or Bonfire
(For gathering, ceremony or other assembly)
For Fire Marshal's Office Use Only
TVFR Permit \# 2022-0108
Permit Type: $\qquad$
Submittal Date:
Assigned To: $\qquad$ DARBY

Due Date: $\qquad$
Fees Due: $\qquad$
Fees Paid:

## Approval/Inspection Conditions

(For Fire Marshal's Office Use Only)


This section used when site inspection is required Inspection Comments:

September 9, 2022

Sonya Nordstrom
Mackenzie Architecture

Re: Lam Research
11155 SW Leveton Dr.
Tualatin, OR 97062

Dear Sonya,

Thank you, for sending us the preliminary site plans for this proposed development in Tualatin, OR.

My Company: Republic Services of Clackamas and Washington Counties has the franchise agreement to service this area with the City of Tualatin. We will provide complete commercial waste removal and recycling services as needed on a weekly basis for this location

The design plans for a Front Load trash and recycle enclosure with dimensions of $20^{\prime}$ wide $\times 10^{\prime}-2^{\prime \prime}$ deep with two gates that open to a minimum of 120 degrees, wind pins mounted to gates and capable of securing gates in the open and closed position, no center post, is adequate for housing our receptacles and is accessible for our trucks to service.

The design plans for a self-contained trash compactor chute located at the loading dock, with a footprint of $8^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ wide $\times 20^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ deep, with all service connections accessible from ground level on the north side, wheel guiderails that extend the length of the compactor with wheels stops to prevent collision with the loading dock, minimum of 4 ' Ft . clearance from the aesthetics wall that does not extend past the length of the compactor, is adequate for our trucks to service. Our operations team will require confirmation of the compactor compatibility with our trucks and ground set up at the time of installation.

The site access and truck traffic pattern design plan will allow our trucks to navigate the site and service both the Front Load and Compactor receptacles. The planned receptacles for this 123,460 square foot office building are adequate and allows for adjusted service levels as needed.

Thanks Sonya, for your help and concerns for our services prior to this project being developed.

Sincerely,

Ketly Herrod
Operations Supervisor
Republic Services Inc.

# MULTNOMAH COUNTY TITLE UNIT <br> FAX (877)242-3513 

Title Officer: Blake Spencer
(503)222-3651

BISpencer@firstam.com

## LOT BOOK SERVICE

Lam Research
Order No.: 7019-3978352
11155 SW Leveton Drive
July 28, 2022
Tualatin, OR 97062
Attn: Hugh Kingery
Phone No.: - Fax No.:
Email: Hugh.Kingery@lamresearch.com
Re:

Fee: $\$ 500.00$
We have searched our Tract Indices as to the following described property:
The land referred to in this report is described in Exhibit A attached hereto.
and as of July 20, 2022 at 8:00 a.m.
We find that the last deed of record runs to
Lam Research Corporation, a Delaware Corporation
We find the following apparent encumbrances prior to the effective date hereof:

1. Statutory powers and assessments of Clean Water Services.
2. Private Stormwater Facilities Agreement, including terms and provisions thereof.

Recorded: August 19, 2021 as Fee No. 2021088690
3. Unrecorded leases or periodic tenancies, if any.
(The following Exceptions Affects Lot 1)
4. Easement, including terms and provisions contained therein:

Recording Information: June 09, 1989 as Fee No. 89026084
In Favor of: The City of Tualatin
For:
Slope and utility
Affects: The Southwesterly corner

Document re-recorded July 06, 1989 as Fee No. 89030633
5. Easement, including terms and provisions contained therein:

Recording Information: November 01, 1989 as Fee No. 89053170
In Favor of: The City of Tualatin
For:
Affects: The Southerly portion
6. The terms and provisions contained in the document entitled "Declaration of Roadway, Utility, CrossAccess and Parking Easements and Restrictive Covenants" recorded March 22, 2002 as Fee No. 2002 033655.
7. Easement, including terms and provisions contained therein:

Recording Information:
In Favor of:
For:
Affects:

April 15, 2002 as Fee No. 2002044680
The City of Tualatin
Water line
The Southerly portion
8. Revocable Permit (Right-of-Way), including terms and provisions thereof.

Recorded: October 26, 2017 as Fee No. 2017084661
9. Private Stormwater Facilities Agreement, including terms and provisions thereof.

Recorded: $\quad$ November 02, 2020 as Fee No. 2020110089

## (The following Exceptions Affects Lot 2)

10. Easement, including terms and provisions contained therein:

Recording Information:
In Favor of:
For:
Affects:

June 01, 1990 as Fee No. 90028257
The City of Tualatin
Pedestrian walkway and bikepath
The Southerly portion
11. Easement, including terms and provisions contained therein:

Recording Information:
In Favor of:
For:
Affects:

November 23, 1999 as Fee No. 99130427
The City of Tualatin
Slope, public utility and pedestrian walkway
The Northerly portion
12. Easement, including terms and provisions contained therein:

Recording Information:
In Favor of:
For:
Affects:
June 22, 2001 as Fee No. 2001060136
Portland General Electric Company, an Oregon corporation Underground electrical power lines and signal or communication lines
The Easterly portion
13. The terms and provisions contained in the document entitled "Declaration of Roadway, Utility, CrossAccess and Parking Easements and Restrictive Covenants" recorded March 22, 2002 as Fee No. 2002 033655.
14. Easement, including terms and provisions contained therein:

Recording Information:
In Favor of: The City of Tualatin
For:
15. Revocable Permit (Right-of-Way), including terms and provisions thereof.

Recorded: October 26, 2017 as Fee No. 2017084661
16. Private Stormwater Facilities Agreement, including terms and provisions thereof.

Recorded: November 02, 2020 as Fee No. 2020110089

## (The following Exceptions Affects Lot 3)

17. Easement, including terms and provisions contained therein:

Recording Information:
May 05, 1989 as Fee No. 89020417
For:
common access
18. Easement, including terms and provisions contained therein:

Recording Information: November 23, 1999 as Fee No. 99130427
In Favor of: The City of Tualatin
For: $\quad$ Slope, public utility and sidewalk and pedestrian
Affects: The Northerly portion
19. The terms and provisions contained in the document entitled "Declaration of Roadway, Utility, CrossAccess and Parking Easements and Restrictive Covenants" recorded March 22, 2002 as Fee No. 2002 033655.

We have also searched our General Index for Judgments and State and Federal Liens against the Grantee(s) named above and find:

NONE

We find the following unpaid taxes and city liens:

1. Taxes for the fiscal year 2022-2023 a lien due, but not yet payable

NOTE: Taxes for the year 2021-2022 PAID IN FULL
Tax Amount:
\$533,346.88
Map No.:
2S122AB00100
Property ID:
R2107971
Tax Code No.:
023.76

NOTE: Taxes for the year 2021-2022 PAID IN FULL
Tax Amount:
\$105,937.14
Map No.:
2S122AA00500
Property ID:
R2107973
Tax Code No.:
023.76

NOTE: Taxes for the year 2021-2022 PAID IN FULL
Tax Amount:
\$67,392.15
Map No.:
2S122AA00800
Property ID:
R2107974
Tax Code No.:
023.76

NOTE: Taxes for the year 2021-2022 PAID IN FULL
Tax Amount:
\$2,343,152.44
Map No.:
2S122AB00100
Property ID:
R2180033
Tax Code No.:
023.76
2. City liens, if any, of the City of Tualatin.

NOTE: We find no outstanding voluntary liens of record affecting subject property. An inquiry should be made concerning the existence of any unrecorded lien or other indebtedness which could give rise to any security interest in the subject property.

THIS IS NOT a title report since no examination has been made of the title to the above described property. Our search for apparent encumbrances was limited to our Tract Indices, and therefore above listings do not include additional matters which might have been disclosed by an examination of the record title. We assume no liability in connection with this Lot Book Service and will not be responsible for errors or omissions therein. The charge for this service will not include supplemental reports, rechecks or other services.

## Illegal Restrictive Covenants

Please be advised that any provision contained in this document, or in a document that is attached, linked, or referenced in this document, that under applicable law illegally discriminates against a class of individuals based upon personal characteristics such as race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, familial status, disability, national origin, or any other legally protected class, is illegal and unenforceable by law.

## Exhibit "A"

Real property in the County of Washington, State of Oregon, described as follows:
PARCEL 1:
A TRACT OF LAND BEING A PORTION OF PARCELS 1 AND 3, PARTITION PLAT NO. 2001-058, LOCATED IN THE NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF SECTION 22, TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, CITY OF TUALATIN, WASHINGTON COUNTY, OREGON, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A BRASS SCREW AND WASHER LOCATED AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 3, SAID POINT BEING ALSO ON THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SW TUALATIN ROAD, 32.00 FEET SOUTHERLY OF THE CENTERLINE THEREOF, WHEN MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES; THENCE ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, NORTH $89^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$ EAST A DISTANCE OF 0.06 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT THEREON; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, SOUTH 8942'08" EAST A DISTANCE OF 839.18 FEET TO A 5/8 INCH IRON ROD; THENCE DEPARTING SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, SOUTH $00^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 49$ " WEST A DISTANCE OF 450.67 FEET TO A $5 / 8$ INCH IRON ROD; THENCE SOUTH $89^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ EAST A DISTANCE OF 87.37 FEET TO A $5 / 8$ INCH IRON ROD; THENCE SOUTH $00^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 38$ " WEST A DISTANCE OF 66.15 FEET TO A 5/8 INCH IRON ROD; THENCE SOUTH $89^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 22$ EAST A DISTANCE OF 36.44 FEET TO A 5/8 INCH IRON ROD; THENCE SOUTH $00^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$ WEST A DISTANCE OF 779.79 FEET TO A COPPER DISK LOCATED ON THE NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SW LEVETON DRIVE, 30.00 FEET NORTHERLY OF THE CENTERLINE THEREOF, WHEN MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES; THENCE ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT- OF-WAY LINE, NORTH 89³4'51" WEST A DISTANCE OF 957.07 FEET TO A BRASS DISK LOCATED AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 1; THENCE ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 AND THE WEST LINE OF SAID PARCEL 3, NORTH 0006'26" EAST A DISTANCE OF 1294.82 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

PARCEL 2:
A TRACT OF LAND BEING A PORTION OF PARCELS 2 AND 3, PARTITION PLAT NO. 2001-058, LOCATED IN THE NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF SECTION 22, TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, CITY OF TUALATIN, WASHINGTON COUNTY, OREGON, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT A BRASS SCREW AND WASHER LOCATED AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 3, SAID POINT BEING ALSO ON THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SW TUALATIN ROAD, 32.00 FEET SOUTHERLY OF THE CENTERLINE THEREOF, WHEN MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES; THENCE ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, NORTH 8942'41" EAST A DISTANCE OF 0.06 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT THEREON; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, SOUTH $89^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ EAST A DISTANCE OF 839.18 FEET TO A $5 / 8$ INCH IRON ROD AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, SOUTH 890ㄴ'ㅇ́" EAST A DISTANCE OF 1052.10 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE THEREON; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, 85.91 FEET THROUGH THE ARC OF A 55.00 FOOT RADIUS CIRCULAR CURVE TO THE RIGHT, SAID CURVE HAVING A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 89²9'34", A CHORD BEARING OF SOUTH 4457'21" EAST AND A CHORD LENGTH OF 77.44 FEET TO A 5/8 INCH IRON ROD LOCATED AT A POINT OF TANGENCY ON THE WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SW 108TH AVENUE, 32.00 FEET WESTERLY OF THE CENTERLINE THEREOF, WHEN MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES; THENCE ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, SOUTH $00^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 34$ " EAST A DISTANCE OF 843.64 FEET TO A 5/8 INCH IRON ROD; THENCE DEPARTING SAID WESTERLY RIGHT-OFWAY LINE, NORTH $89^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 22$ " WEST A DISTANCE OF 212.34 FEET TO A $5 / 8$ INCH IRON ROD; THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 38$ " EAST A DISTANCE OF 306.24 FEET TO A $5 / 8$ INCH IRON ROD; THENCE NORTH $89^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ WEST A DISTANCE OF 438.80 FEET TO A $5 / 8$ INCH IRON ROD; THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}$

EAST A DISTANCE OF 139.01 FEET TO A $5 / 8$ INCH IRON ROD; THENCE NORTH 89³5'19" WEST A DISTANCE OF 464.30 FEET TO A $5 / 8$ INCH IRON ROD; THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 49 "$ EAST A DISTANCE OF 450.67 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

PARCEL 3:
A TRACT OF LAND BEING A PORTION OF PARCELS 1 AND 2, PARTITION PLAT NO. 2001-058, LOCATED IN THE NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF SECTION 22, TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, CITY OF TUALATIN, WASHINGTON COUNTY, OREGON, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT A BRASS SCREW AND WASHER LOCATED AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL 3 OF PARTITION PLAT NO. 2001-058, SAID POINT BEING ALSO ON THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SW TUALATIN ROAD, 32.00 FEET SOUTHERLY OF THE CENTERLINE THEREOF, WHEN MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES; THENCE ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, NORTH 89²42'41" EAST A DISTANCE OF 0.06 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT THEREON; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, SOUTH $89^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ EAST A DISTANCE OF 839.18 FEET TO A 5/8 INCH IRON ROD; THENCE DEPARTING SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, SOUTH 00º17'49" WEST A DISTANCE OF 450.67 FEET TO A $5 / 8$ INCH IRON ROD; THENCE SOUTH $89^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ EAST A DISTANCE OF 87.37 FEET TO A 5/8 INCH IRON ROD AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH 00²4'38" WEST A DISTANCE OF 66.15 FEET TO A $5 / 8$ INCH IRON ROD; THENCE SOUTH $89^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ EAST A DISTANCE OF 36.44 FEET TO A 5/8 INCH IRON ROD; THENCE SOUTH 00²4'41" WEST A DISTANCE OF 779.79 FEET TO A COPPER DISK LOCATED ON THE NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SW LEVETON DRIVE, 30.00 FEET NORTHERLY OF THE CENTERLINE THEREOF, WHEN MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES; THENCE ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, SOUTH 89³4'51" EAST A DISTANCE OF 368.77 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT THEREON; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, SOUTH $89^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ EAST A DISTANCE OF 586.84 FEET TO A BRASS SCREW AND WASHER LOCATED AT A POINT OF CURVATURE THEREON; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, 63.19 FEET THROUGH THE ARC OF A 40.00 FOOT RADIUS CIRCULAR CURVE TO THE LEFT, SAID CURVE HAVING A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 90³0'34", A CHORD BEARING OF NORTH $45^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ EAST AND A CHORD LENGTH OF 56.82 FEET TO A POINT OF TANGENCY ON THE WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SW 108TH AVENUE, 32.00 FEET WESTERLY OF THE CENTERLINE THEREOF, WHEN MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES; THENCE ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, NORTH $00^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 344^{\prime \prime}$ WEST A DISTANCE OF 359.19 FEET TO A 5/8 INCH IRON ROD; THENCE DEPARTING SAID WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, NORTH 89³5'22" WEST A DISTANCE OF 212.34 FEET TO A 5/8 INCH IRON ROD; THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 24$ '38" EAST A DISTANCE OF 306.24 FEET TO A 5/8 INCH IRON ROD; THENCE NORTH 89³5'22" WEST A DISTANCE OF 438.80 FEET TO A 5/8 INCH IRON ROD; THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 24$ '38" EAST A DISTANCE OF 139.01 FEET TO A 5/8 INCH IRON ROD; THENCE NORTH 89³5' 19 " WEST A DISTANCE OF 376.93 TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

| From: | Suzannah Stanley |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Erin Engman; Steve Koper |
| Cc: | Mike Rueter; Chelsey Reinoehl |
| Subject: | RE: CIO contact: Lam Research New Office Building |
| Date: | Wednesday, September 7, 2022 4:54:31 PM |
| Attachments: | $\underline{\text { image002.png }}$ |
|  | $\underline{\text { image004.png }}$ |
|  | $\underline{08 c 298 f 4-6906-48 a 5-889 d-7 a 1 b 37 c d 9903 . p n g ~}$ |

Hello Erin,
Thanks. In response to TDC 32.140 (1)(h):
A statement as to whether any City-recognized Citizen Involvement Organizations (CIOs) whose boundaries include, or are adjacent to, the subject property were contacted in advance of filing the application and, if so, a summary of the contact. The summary must include the date when contact was made, the form of the contact and who it was with (e.g. phone conversation with neighborhood association chairperson, meeting with land use committee, presentation at neighborhood association meeting), and the result;

We did not contact any City-recognized ClOs .

Please use this statement in your completeness checklist.

Thanks,

| Suzannah Stanley | Land Use Planning |
| :--- | :--- |
| D 971-346-3808 C 503-853-3652 | Senior Associate |
|  | Professional Licenses \& Certifications |

From: Erin Engman [eengman@tualatin.gov](mailto:eengman@tualatin.gov)
Sent: Wednesday, September 7, 2022 4:29 PM
To: Suzannah Stanley [SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com); Steve Koper [skoper@tualatin.gov](mailto:skoper@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Chelsey Reinoehl [CReinoehl@mcknze.com](mailto:CReinoehl@mcknze.com)
Subject: RE: CIO contact: Lam Research New Office Building

Here's the hyperlink: $\operatorname{TDC} 32.140(1)(h)$.

## Erin Engman

Senior Planner
City of Tualatin | Planning Division
503.691.3024 | www.tualatinoregon.gov

From: Suzannah Stanley [SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com)
Sent: Wednesday, September 7, 2022 4:17 PM
To: Erin Engman [eengman@tualatin.gov](mailto:eengman@tualatin.gov); Steve Koper [skoper@tualatin.gov](mailto:skoper@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Chelsey Reinoehl [CReinoehl@mcknze.com](mailto:CReinoehl@mcknze.com)
Subject: RE: CIO contact: Lam Research New Office Building

Thanks, Erin,
I'm not seeing it in the code at my fingertips; what should the "notice" entail? A site plan and FYI that we've submitted?

The arborist is working on the report and we hope to have that done very soon.

We'll probably want to wait until 9/16 for the incomplete letter.

Thanks,

Suzannah Stanley Land Use Planning
D 971-346-3808 C 503-853-3652 Senior Associate
Professional Licenses \& Certifications

From: Erin Engman [eengman@tualatin.gov](mailto:eengman@tualatin.gov)
Sent: Wednesday, September 7, 2022 12:25 PM
To: Suzannah Stanley [SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com); Steve Koper [skoper@tualatin.gov](mailto:skoper@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Chelsey Reinoehl [CReinoehl@mcknze.com](mailto:CReinoehl@mcknze.com)
Subject: RE: CIO contact: Lam Research New Office Building

Hi Suzannah-
Hope you had a good Labor Day weekend. Steve brought me up to speed with the LAM check-in meeting, and I wanted to circle back with you on your questions.

For your question below, there is additional information on our CIO shared in Chapter 11 of our Municipal Code, specifically TMC 11-9-060 states:
The Commercial and Manufacturing CIOs' boundaries are designated as the boundary of the entire Planning Area of the City of Tualatin (see Figure 11-9-1, below).

Therefore the LAM site would fall within the Commercial CIO boundaries and reaching out to them would be encouraged to satisfy TDC $32.140(1)(h)$. The contact list for the Commercial CIO includes: tualatincommercialcio@gmail.com, scottm@capacitycommercial.com, robertekellogg@yahoo.com, famtunstall1@frontier.com.


I also understand that you had questions on submittal items for tree removal. I wanted to confirm that a Tree Assessment Report is a required completeness item under TDC 32.140(1)(c) and TDC 33.110(4)(b); and is needed to satisfactorily demonstrate that our approval criteria for tree removal is met. Additionally, preserving the City's tree canopy is of interest to our Architectural Review Board and they will want to review the report as part of their decision.

Please let me know if you'd like me to wait until September 16 (last date granted by ORS) to make my completeness determination for your applications, or if you'd prefer that I share that in the next few days.

## Erin Engman

Senior Planner
City of Tualatin | Planning Division
503.691.3024 | www.tualatinoregon.gov

From: Suzannah Stanley [SStanley@mcknze.com](mailto:SStanley@mcknze.com)
Sent: Thursday, September 1, 2022 3:30 PM
To: Steve Koper [skoper@tualatin.gov](mailto:skoper@tualatin.gov); Erin Engman [eengman@tualatin.gov](mailto:eengman@tualatin.gov)
Cc: Mike Rueter [MRueter@mcknze.com](mailto:MRueter@mcknze.com); Chelsey Reinoehl [CReinoehl@mcknze.com](mailto:CReinoehl@mcknze.com)
Subject: CIO contact: Lam Research New Office Building

Hello Steve and Erin,
We took a look at the CIO notice requirements.
"Notice Requirements. The applicant must provide notice to neighboring property owners (within 1,000 feet), designated Citizen Involvement Organization (CIO) representatives, and the Tualatin Community Development Department. The City is able to provide the applicant with a complete

Mailing Area List for a fee. Requests for Mailing Area Lists can be made by contacting planning@tualatin.gov"

However, the Lam site is not inside of a CIO district.


We obtained the complete mailing area list from the Planning department but since there aren't any, no CIOs were listed. So it seems like that requirement doesn't apply. Let me know if you have any questions. Thanks,

## Suzannah Stanley Land Use Planning

D 971-346-3808 C 503-853-3652 Senior Associate Professional Licenses \& Certifications
Mackenzie.
ARCHITECTURE - INTERIORS = STRUCTURAL, CIVIL, AND TRAFFIC ENGINEERING
LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PLANNING - LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE
Disclaimer
PORTLAND, OR | VANCOUVER, WA | SEATTLE, WA

[^20]
# AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING NOTICE 

```
STATE OF OREGON )
    ) SS
COUNTY OF WASHINGTON )
```

I, Chelsey Reinoehl being first duly sworn, depose and say:

That on the and day of August , 20_22 , I served upon the persons shown on Exhibit "A" (Mailing Area List), attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein, a copy of the Notice of Neighborhood/Developer Meeting marked Exhibit "B," attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein, by mailing to them a true and correct copy of the original hereof. I further certify that the addresses shown on said Exhibit " $A$ " are their regular addresses as determined from the books and records of the Washington County and/or Clackamas County Departments of Assessment and Taxation Tax Rolls, and that said envelopes were placed in the United States Mail with postage fully prepared thereon.


SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this $15^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 2022.



Notary Public for Oregon
My commission expires:
June
20,2023

RE: $\qquad$
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TUALATIN, OR 97062



August 1, 2022

## RE: LAM Research - New Office Building and Parking

Rescheduled Neighborhood Meeting

Dear Property Owner:
You recently received an invitation to a virtual neighborhood meeting on August 8, 2022 at 8:00 PM. Unfortunately, due to a conflict, we have rescheduled the meeting to August 16 at 7:00 PM. The meeting will be held in person at:

## Juanita Pohl Center

8513 SW Tualatin Road
Tualatin, OR 97062
Tuesday, August 16 at 7:00 PM
This meeting is being held to discuss a proposed project located at 11155 SW Leveton Drive. The proposal is for a new $120,000 \mathrm{SF}, 4$-story building on the south end of the existing Lam campus, east and south of existing buildings. The proposed parking expansion will be east and northeast of the proposed building. The project will require a Type III Architectural Review due to the building size, as well as a modification to the previous Industrial Master Plan due to one component of the proposed parking lot design (also a Type III review).

This is an informational meeting to share the development proposal with interested neighbors. You will have the opportunity to review preliminary plans and identify topics of interest or consideration. Feel free to contact me with any questions or commentary.

Regards,

[^21]P 503.224.9560 • F 503.228.1285 • W MCKNZE.COM • RiverEast Center, 1515 SE Water Avenue, \#100, Portland, OR 97214


M
$=$ 를 MACKENZIE.
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## CERTIFICATION OF SIGN POSTING



In addition to the requirements of TDC 32.150, the $18^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime}$ sign must display the meeting date, time, and address as well as a contact phone number. The block around the word "NOTICE" must remain orange composed of the RGB color values Red 254, Green 127, and Blue 0 . A PowerPoint template of this sign is available at: https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/planning/land-use-application-sign-templates.

As the applicant for the $\qquad$ project, I hereby certify that on this day, $\qquad$ sign(s) was/were posted on the subject property in accordance with the requirements of the Tualatin Development Code and the Community Development Division.

Applicant's Name: $\qquad$
(Please Print)
Applicant's Signature: $\qquad$

Date: $\qquad$

SIGN-IN SHEET

PROJECT NUMBER:
PROJECT NAME:

FACILITATOR:
2220087.00

Lam Research New Office Building
Suzannah Stanley

MEETING DATE: $\quad 8 / 16 / 22$
MEETING TIME: 7:00 PM
soar Hamilton J.tami828@aol.com

Dominic Tallest dontallent 79 e gmail.com

P 503.224.9560 . F 503.228.1285 . W MCKNZE.COM

## MEETING MINUTES

RiverEast Center, 1515 SE Water Avenue, \#100, Portland, OR 97214

Portland, Oregon • Vancouver, Washington • Seattle, Washington
PROJECT NUMBER: $2220087.00 \quad$ ISSUE DATE: August 17, 2022

PROJECT NAME: Lam Research New Office Building

RECORDED BY:
TO:
PRESENT:

Suzannah Stanley - Land Use Planner
FILE
Jennifer Otterness - Lam Research
Joan Hamilton, Dominic Tallent - Neighbors
Mike Rueter, Janet Jones, Suzannah Stanley - Mackenzie

SUBJECT: Neighborhood Meeting Minutes (August 16, 2022)

## INFORMATION ITEMS

1. The meeting began at 7:06 PM.
2. Suzannah Stanley (Mackenzie) described the project and that it will require a Type III AR application and Type III IMP.
3. Dominic Tallent (Neighbor) asked what the hours would be at the new building: staggered shifts or day schedule? Jennifer Otterness (Lam Research) said during the day.
4. Joan Hamilton (Neighbor) asked how many employees. Jennifer said up to 600; some from the existing buildings, probably 500-550 new. Joan said Tualatin Road is getting very busy and there are no adequate crosswalks. Janet Jones (Mackenzie) said recent and historical counts have found Lam trips travel mostly via Leveton Drive to 124th Avenue and OR 99W and via 108th to Herman Road and I-5.
5. Jennifer said Lam put a crosswalk in on Tualatin Road. Joan said the Tualatin Road/115th Avenue intersection is unsafe for kids.
6. Dominic asked about the accesses in and out on Tualatin. Janet said there is no access to Tualatin Road, currently only a fire access is provided on Tualatin Road. Jennifer said we looked at opening that but it's faster to go down Leveton Drive and up 124th Avenue. Joan said we should study 115th/Hazelbrook. Janet said the City didn't require that one. Mike Rueter (Mackenzie) said that under existing conditions that intersection may have issues but we have to focus on what's this project's impacts are.
7. Janet shared the results of the traffic study and where the traffic tends to go. We studied intersections where the project adds the City's trip threshold. Based on our available data, we do not believe new trips will route to OR 99W via Hazelbrook Road.
8. Mackenzie staff shared their business cards with the neighbors in case of their future questions. The meeting adjourned around 7:25 PM.

Every effort has been made to accurately record this meeting. If any errors or omissions are noted, please provide written response within five days of receipt.

## CERTIFICATION OF SIGN POSTING



The applicant must provide and post a sign pursuant to Tualatin Development Code (TDC 32.150). The block around the word "NOTICE" must remain yellow composed of the RGB color values Red 255, Green 255, and Blue 0 . A template is available at:
https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/planning/land-use-application-sign-templates

NOTE: For larger projects, the Community Development Department may require the posting of additional signs in conspicuous locations.

As the applicant for the $\qquad$ project, I hereby certify that on this day, $\qquad$ sign(s) was/were posted on the subject property in accordance with the requirements of the Tualatin Development Code and the Community Development Division.

Applicant's Name: $\qquad$
(Please Print)

Applicant's Signature: $\qquad$

Date: $\qquad$



| DESCRIPTION |  | ACCOUNT | QTY | PAID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ProjectTRAK |  |  |  | \$2,785.00 |
| AR22-0006 | Address: 11155 SW LEVETON DR | Apn: 2S122AA |  | \$2,785.00 |
| ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW FEES |  |  |  | \$2,785.00 |
| ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW FEES |  | XR01 | 0 | \$2,785.00 |
| TOTAL FEES PAID BY RECEIPT: WEB6134 |  |  |  | \$2,785.00 |

Date Paid: Thursday, August 18, 2022
Paid By: Lam Research
Cashier: ECON
Pay Method: eCredit Card 039289

## AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

I, $\qquad$ , being first duly sworn, depose and say:

That on the $\ldots 28$ day of October, $\underline{2022}$, I served upon the persons shown on Exhibit A, attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein, a copy of a Notice of Application marked Exhibit B, attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein, by mailing to them a true and correct copy of the original hereof. I further certify that the addresses shown on said Exhibit A are their regular addresses as determined from the books and records of the Washington County and/or Clackamas County Departments of Assessment and Taxation Tax Rolls, and that said envelopes were placed in the United States Mail at Tualatin, Oregon, with postage fully prepared thereon.

Dated this 28 of October_, 2022


| TLID | OWNER1 | OWNERADDR | OWNERCITY | OWNERSTATE | OWNERZIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2S123BB00501 | 18355 SW TETON AVENUE TUALATIN OR LLC | 17455 SW RIDGEVIEW LN | LAKE OSWEGO | OR | 97034 |
| 2S123BB90002 3 | 3 J'S PROPERTIES LLC | 10400 SW TUALATIN RD | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S123BB00701 | AAA OREGON/IDAHO | 600 MARKET ST | PORTLAND | OR | 97201 |
| 2S122AD01300 | ABBOTT TUALATIN LLC | 3030 BRIDGEWAY | SAUSALITO | CA | 94965 |
| 2S115DC12100 | ABERNATHY TRAVIS E \& ABERNATHY AMANDA M | 17860 SW 114TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S114CC07300 | ABRAMS HOWARD R REV LIV TRUST | 7799 SW MONTCLAIR DR | PORTLAND | OR | 97225 |
| 2S114CC05700 | ACKLEY KRISTEN \& ROTTMAN ERIK A | 17961 SW 105TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S114CB01900 | ADAMS KAREN E \& BARTHOLOMEW BRIAN J | 17445 SW 104TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD00700 | ADAMS SHEILA D | 10915 SW TUALATIN RD | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S114CC07600 | AHUNA KLAUS G \& AHUNA JANET L | 10332 SW PUEBLO ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD02100 | AIELLO KAREN L | 10650 SW PUEBLO CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC00800 | ALBERTSON BRUCE \& ALBERTSON JUDY | 11415 SW ELMER CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD15100 | ALBERT GARY J \& ALBERT LISA J | 17630 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DA03800 | AMAN FAMILY TRUST | 17435 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD19000 | ANDERSON DEBORAH M | 11045 SW TUALATIN RD | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115C002802 | APOSTOLIC LUTHERAN CHURCH OF PORTLAND | PO BOX 23312 | TIGARD | OR | 97223 |
| 2S115DC00900 | ARBUCKLE JAMES F \& ARBUCKLE MARY D | 11400 SW ELMER CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC11300 | ARNDT RONALD C 2015 TRUST | 80395 WEISKOPF | LA QUINTA | CA | 92253 |
| 2S115DA01700 | ARROYO CHRIS \& ARROYO JAQUELINE C | 10515 SW STARR DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD07600 | ASAI C\&C JOINT TRUST | 10555 SW KIOWA ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S122AA00400 | ASCENTEC ENGINEERING LLC | 18500 SW 108TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S122AD00100 | ASCENTEC ENGINEERING LLC | 18500 SW 108TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD06500 | AUGUSTYNIAK EDWARD J \& SUJCZYNSKA MONIKA J | 10555 SW BANNOCH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC06100 | BAEDOR FAMILY TRUST | 17775 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD02900 B | BAILEY TRUST | 17971 SW 106TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD15200 | BAIRD LEAH J | 17610 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S114CC07700 | BANEY JOACHIM E | PO BOX 3474 | PORTLAND | OR | 97208 |
| 2S115DD12100 | BANTA BRYCE \& BANTA RENE | 17880 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC00600 | BARRACLOUGH RODNEY P JR \& BARRACLOUGH SANDRA | 11475 SW ELMER CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD12900 | BARROW BRAD JAMES \& BARROW SYDNEY ANTONETTE | 10850 SW BANNOCH ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD17000 | BARRON LIV TRUST | 11065 SW LUCAS DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD07200 | BARTHOLOMEW MARY C TRUST | 10570 SW KIOWA ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC10300 | BATEMAN BRENT A \& BATEMAN KARLA S | 17875 SW 114TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD07400 | BAUMANN THOMAS K \& BAUMANN ROSEMARIE D | 10500 SW KIOWA ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD07000 | BAXTER CHRISTOPHER \& BAXTER STEPHANIE | 10606 SW BANNOCH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD02000 | BELL TAMERA J \& JURCHEN STEVEN L | 10644 SW PUEBLO ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S114CB01600 | BENEDICT ELIZABETH A | 10460 SW KELLOGG DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DA01900 | BENKE FAMILY TRUST | 17400 SW 106TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD10400 | BENNETT JENNIFER ANN \& FRICK BENJAMIN JOHN | 11030 SW WINTU CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC08400 | BERG TOR L \& BERG CHERYL L | 17880 SW 113TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC04900 | BERGGREN TERESA D | 11115 SW GARRETT ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC09200 | BERGGREN BRAD J \& BERGGREN ROBERTA K | 11425 SW KALISPELL ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC05800 | BIEHLER ROBYN L | 17750 SW 112TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S114CC06900 | BILITZ MARTIN \& BILITZ MICHAELA DANIELA | 10479 SW PUEBLO ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S114CB02600 | BIXEL JENNIFER | 10455 SW KELLOGG DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC10800 | BLAKEY BLAKE \& BLAKEY DOMENIQUE | 11400 SW APALACHEE ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S114CC06100 | BLATT CHARLES M JR \& BLATT NAOMI T | 17897 SW 105TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD11000 | blum mary lou | 11020 SW WISHRAM CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S114CC07000 | BORTHWICK MELODY | 10461 SW PUEBLO ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD19200 | BOSWOOD KRISTINA G | 11029 SW TUALATIN RD | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD19500 | BOWER NESLER FAMILY TRUST | 17967 SW 110TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC08500 | BRISAN MARIUS M \& BRISAN LIDIA M | 17850 SW 113TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD15700 | BROCKWAY FAMILY TRUST | 17455 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S114CB02800 | BROOKS STEVEN K \& DAVIS HEATHER M | 15532 SW PACIFIC HWY \#CIB111 | TIGARD | OR | 97224 |
| 2S115DC09400 | BROPHY JEFFREY E \& BROPHY DANETTE M | 11465 SW KALISPELL ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD11600 | BROWNE AARON J \& BROWNE KELLIE G | 11035 SW WISHRAM CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC01900 | BRYANT SYDNAY \& BRYANT JAKE | 11420 SW ROBERTS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD12200 | BUCKNER ORVILLE KERN III | 17890 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S114CB02100 | BURDICK EILEEN T \& BURDICK CHRISTOPHER J | 17430 SW 104TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC11800 | BURNS JACK S | 17785 SW 113TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC03700 | BUSHNELL TODD MICHAEL | 17960 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD05800 | CAGLE STEVEN \& CAROLYN LIV TRUST | 10777 SW KIOWA CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD13900 | CALDER KENNETH D \& CALDER MARY C | 10945 SW TUNICA ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S122AD00400 | CALMAX TECHNOLOGY INC | 3491 LAFAYETTE ST | SANTA CLARA | CA | 95054 |
| 2S115DC04000 | CAVEN JEREMY LIONEL | 17870 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S122AD00900 | CEDAR LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE LLC | 6107 SW MURRAY BLVD \#175 | BEAVERTON | OR | 97008 |
| 2S115DC10000 | CERO JEFFREY C \& CERO CARISSA | 11410 SW KALISPELL ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD01800 | CHALFAN TRUST | 4095 WESTBAY RD | LAKE OSWEGO | OR | 97035 |
| 2S115DD15000 | CHALISE PRAVEEN \& CHALISE DEEPA | 17625 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD06400 | CHAMBERS NICHOLAS RYAN \& MURATA-CHAMBERS AKIMI SAKU | 10595 SW BANNOCH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S123B000600 | CHAMBERLAIN HUSSA PROPERTIES | 18755 SW TETON AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC11000 | CHANG SARAH | 11360 SW APALACHEE ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC07100 | CHAUNCEY LOIS | 17890 SW 112TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD05100 | CHRISTIAN LOU A \& CHRISTIAN TINA L | 10677 SW BANNOCH ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD02500 | CLARK DAVID A \& CLARK CATHERINE M | 10639 SW PUEBLO CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD08400 | CLARK MONICA J \& CLARK LONNY T | 10525 SW LUCAS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD11900 | CLARK CHARLES L TRUST | 17875 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC02100 | COCKRELL WILLIAM D \& COCKRELL PAMELA K | 11460 SW ROBERTS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S114CC06700 | CODINO VAL H \& CODINO LOIS D | 17962 SW 105TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD16700 | COMPTON JAY W | 17595 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD05300 | CONNER DANIEL J \& CONNER SHARON W | 10650 SW KIOWA CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DD17200 | CORR FAMILY REV TRUST | 17585 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC90003 | COSNER BERNADETTE | 17890 SW 115TH AVE UNIT 3 | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115DC10100 | COX LEE H \& COX CHRISTINA R | 17825 SW 114TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2S115C001600 | CR RIVERCREST MEADOWS COMMUNITIES LLC | 444 W BEECH ST \#300 | SAN DIEGO | CA | 92101 |
| 2S115C001700 | CR RIVERCREST MEADOWS COMMUNITIES LLC | 444 W BEECH ST \#300 | SAN DIEGO | CA | 92101 |
| 2S115DD01400 | CRALL RICHARD F \& CRALL BARBARA M | 10055 SW WASCO WAY | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |

2S115DC10200 CROSS THOMAS A \& CROSS DIANE RUTH
2S115C002803 CYPRESS PARISH LLC
2S114CC05500 DEAVILLE CASEY D
2S115DD17500 DEBRAUWERE RICHARD L \& DEBRAUWERE ELEANOR K
2S115DD14100 DEHEN PAUL V \& DEHEN ROBERTA A
2S115DA03500 DEJONG STEVEN \& DEJONG KAITLIN
2S115DC06200 DOLAK TYLER JOHN \& MCMUNN KRISTIANA NICHOLE
2S115DD02300 DONAUGH ANTHONY M \& DONAUGH CHRISTI S
2S115DC08600 DONOHUE NICHOLAS MICHAEL
2S115DC06500 DOWNING DARYL \& DOWNING CHRISTINE
2S115DD06100 DRAPER JAMES A \& JANICE F TRUST
2S115DA04000 DUPUIS KENNETH \& DUPUIS ERIN
2S115DA04100 DUPUIS FAMILY TRUST
2S115DC08700 EASTMAN ROBYN T \& EASTMAN SANDY M
2S115DC06700 EDEN CHRISTOPHER
2S115DD20000 EDWARDS MARK
2S115DA04800 ESTRADA ALFRED \& ESTRADA SHARON
2S115DD14500 FB TRUST
2S115DC02000 FENN DENNIS LESLIE \& FENN ROBERTA JEAN
2S115DC07000 FEUERBORN CHAD M \& FEUERBORN CATHY 2S115DD15800 FISH TAMMY G \& FISH WAYNE L
2S115DC11400 FITCH JACQUELINE DARLENE \& FITCH JOHN WALLACE
2S115DC10900 FLORES SAMANTHA \& PENA JOSE ROBERTO
2S115DD13600 FOILES LESLIE E \& FOILES VICTORIA A
2S115DC00500 FORD KEVIN \& LOCKE EMMA
2S115DD19400 FORD JOHN E \& AMES STEPHANIE
2S115DC05100 FRAINEY BRIAN A \& FRAINEY ABIGAIL J
2S115DA01600 FRANKLIN MELLISA \& FRANKLIN IGNACIO
2S115DA01400 FRIEDMAN MARK E REV TRUST \& FRIEDMAN JOHNNALEE L REV TRUST 2S115DC09800 FRONCZAK GREG JOHN
2S1220000400 FUJIMI CORPORATION
2S115DD05400 GALLARDO MICHAEL \& MIELE SARA
2S115DD13500 GALLAGHER RON MICHAEL \& GALLAGHER KELLY MORIARTY
2S115DC06800 GALVIN JEREMY \& GALVIN ANDREA
2S122AD01100 GARSKE TRAVIS W
2S115DC03000 GASTON LARRY R REV LIV TRUST
2S115DA04400 GILBERTSON CHRISTOPHER C \& GILBERTSON HEIDI S
2S115DD09100 GILL BRANDON \& GILL SARENA
2S115DC06000 GIMARELLI-BAST TERRA
2S115DD02700 GIRDNER DOUGLAS R \& GIRDNER SANDRA L
2S114CB02500 GITT SHARON M
2S115DD16200 GITT SEAN C \& GITT MELISSA A
2S115DD16900 GLASSER FAMILY REV TRUST
2S115DA04300 GODFREY DAVID E \& GODFREY LISA J
2S115DD16600 GOESSENS JACQUES E \& GOESSENS SUSAN
2S114CC05800 GONZALEZ JULIE A REV TRUST
2S115DA04600 GONZALEZ JULIE A REV TRUST
2S115DC11900 GONZALEZ RODOLFO GUERRERO \& GUZMAN JOSE LUIS AMEZCUA
2S115DC00300 GOVINDAN ANUMARLA \& GOVINDAN SODHAR
2S115DA04700 GRAHAM CONNIE L REV TRUST
2S115DC10500 GREENE JOHN W \& GREENE SUSAN
2S115DD06000 GREEN GARY L \& GREEN JANIS A
2S115DD11800 GREEN CRAIG D
2S115DD01700 GROVE NICOLE \& GROVE MATTHEW
2S115DD04900 GUILFOYLE CAROL L TRUST
2S115DD10600 GUTOWSKI MARK A
2S114CC05400 GUY CARRIE \& GUY TIMOTHY M
2S115DD07700 HACKBARTH JANICE V
2S115DD15400 HALL STEPHEN C \& HALL WENDY S
2S115DC00400 HAMILTON PAUL CHARLES \& HAMILTON JOAN E
2S115DC09900 HAMILTON BRETT T \& HAMILTON KAMI R
2S115DD19900 HANNEGAN MICHAEL L
2S114CB01500 HANNON RACHELLE S \& HANNON JEFFREY T
2S115DD16400 HANSON TIMOTHY J \& HANSON SUSAN E
2S115DD19100 HARRIS DEGAY C \& OBIDIGBO OBINNA KINGSLEY
2S115DC03200 HARTFEIL DERICH \& HARTFEIL ELEANOR
2S114CB02400 HAUPERT REV TRUST
2S115DD18300 HAYES RYAN D \& ANCHARSKI NANCY
2S115DC90000 HAZELBROOK CONDO UNIT OWNERS
2S115DD14000 HEIN CHRISTOPHER HAROLD \& HEIN SUSANNE BIRGIT
2S122AA00100 HELSER LP
2S115DD01900 HELTNESS ERIC TODD \& HELTNESS CHERYL LYNN
2S115DD02400 HEMANN MAURA A REV LIV TRUST
2S114CB02300 HENRY DAVID \& SHARI TRUST
2S115DD13400 HENSLEY TRACY L \& BARTELS AARON DAVID
2S115DD08100 HERINCKX JEFFREY \& HERINCKX CHANDA S
2S115DC06300 HEWITT KRISTY K \& HEWITT MARSHALL
2S115DD06700 HILDEBRAN REED \& HILDEBRAN SALLY J
2S114CC07500 HILLIARD DAVID M \& DRAPER ELIZABETH L
2S115DC90002 HINDS FAMILY TRUST
2S115DC01100 HIRTE EDWIN K \& HIRTE TERESA J
2S115DC01700 HISLOP BRENT \& HISLOP CLAUDIA
2S115DD10900 HOLMES TRUST
2S115DC08800 HOOVER DEVIN \& HOOVER KRISTEN
2S115DC09600 HOURANI JIHAD \& SHIKHA HAYAT
2S115DA05200 HOWELL ZACHARY P \& HOWELL REBECCA J
2S122AA00600 HR LLC
2S115DC05000 HUGEBACK BENJAMIN L \& HUGEBACK JULIET F

| 17845 SW 114TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16750 SE KENS CT | MILWAUKIE | OR | 97267 |
| 17970 SW 105TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11100 SW LUCAS DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10995 SW TUNICA ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17350 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17795 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10651 SW PUEBLO CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17800 SW 113TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17865 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17755 SW 106TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17550 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17460 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17770 SW 113TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11155 SW APALACHEE ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17986 SW 110TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17460 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10910 SW BANNOCH ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11440 SW ROBERTS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 26385 SW PEAKS MT ROAD | WEST LINN | OR | 97068 |
| 17475 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17915 SW 113TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11380 SW APALACHEE ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10960 SW TUNICA ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11495 SW ELMER CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17953 SW 110TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11155 SW GARRETT ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17425 SW 105TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17355 SW 105TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11450 SW KALISPELL ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11200 SW LEVETON DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10680 SW KIOWA CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17975 SW 109TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17950 SW 112TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| PO BOX 729 | colbert | WA | 99005 |
| 18189 SHADY HOLLOW WAY | WEST LINN | OR | 97068 |
| 17435 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10550 SW STARR DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11120 SW GARRETT ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10623 SW PUEBLO CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10435 SW KELLOGG DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17770 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11035 SW LUCAS DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17410 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17580 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17565 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17565 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17780 SW 114TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11460 SW HAZELBROOK RD | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| PO BOX 2238 | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17915 SW 114TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10695 SW KIOWA CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17885 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17987 SW 106TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10795 SW BANNOCH ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11070 SW WINTU CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10482 SW PUEBLO CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10585 SW KIOWA ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10799 SW LUCAS DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| PO BOX 3207 | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11430 SW KALISPELL ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17992 SW 110TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17440 SW 105TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17690 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11037 SW TUALATIN RD | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11170 SW APALACHEE ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10415 SW KELLOGG DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11025 SW WINYA CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
|  |  | OR | 00000 |
| 10975 SW TUNICA ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| PO BOX 1569 | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10632 SW PUEBLO CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10645 SW PUEBLO CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10355 SW KELLOGG DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17980 SW 109TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10560 SW LUCAS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17815 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10500 SW BANNOCH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10316 SW PUEBLO ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17900 SW 115TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11450 SW ELMER CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11425 SW ROBERTS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11025 SW WINTU CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11315 SW KALISPELL ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11490 SW KALISPELL ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17535 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 18280 SW 108TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11135 SW GARRETT ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |

2S114CC07100 HURDLE FAMILY REV TRUST
2S115DA04900 HUTCHISON BERNADETTE SEP PROP REV LIV TRUST 2S115DD05900 HYATT SEAN \& HYATT LISA
2S115DA04500 IMBLER-YOUNG DIANE REV LIV TRUST
2S115DD12300 IMUS R GREGORY \& IMUS DEBORAH R
2S122BA00100 JAE OREGON INC
2S122BA00200 JAE OREGON INC
2S115DA02100 JAFFEE CAROLINE JOHANNA \& JAFFEE JAY
2S115DD01100 JAGODNIK BRIAN \& JAGODNIK LAUREN
2S115DD05600 JASTER ALEXIS
2S115DD17300 JERNBERG STANFORD W \& JERNBERG LINDA F
2S114CB02200 JEWELL THOMAS G \& JEWELL DANA P
2S115DD07500 JIRICEK AARON G \& KARINA B REV LIV TRUST
2S115DC07400 JODOIN MICHAEL A \& JODOIN NANCY
2S115DA03700 JOHNSTON LAURA D
2S115DA05000 JOHNSON PHILLIP TILO
2S115DD17100 JOHNSON KIT \& JOHNSON AMY
2S115DD20100 JONES ROBERT ALAN \& JONES SHELLEY DIANE
2S115DD08800 KERR JACK \& KERR SARWESHNI
2S115DD03000 KINDRED LYLE V \& KINDRED ELAINE A
2S115DD16100 KING BRADLEY W \& KING LAURA J
2S115DC05600 KIRKPATRICK GREG D \& KIRKPATRICK DEBRA S
2S115DC04300 KLEIN GEORGE P \& KLEIN LEANNE S
2S115DD15500 KLENZ MICHAEL \& KLENZ LINDA
2S115DD00900 KNAPKE STEVEN J \& KNAPKE LIEN K
2S115DD08600 KNAPPENBERGER CLARK W \& KNAPPENBERGER CAROLYN
2S115DC11100 KNOLES RYAN CHRISTOPHER \& CATON LISA
2S115DD17900 KRAJCAR TIMOTHY D \& KRAJCAR KIERSTEN A
2S115DC07900 KUMAR ZOYA \& UFFORD JOHN C
2S115DD15900 KUMLER PHILIP A \& JULIE I FAM TRUST
2S122AA00500 LAM RESEARCH CORPORATION
2S122AA00800 LAM RESEARCH CORPORATION
2S122AB00100 LAM RESEARCH CORPORATION
2S115DC11700 LAMB ETHAN S \& LAMB SARAH W
2S115DC12500 LANG JULIANNE LERAE
2S115DC10700 LAUREN NICHOLAS D \& LAUREN CHRISTINA M 2S115DA05100 LEE MIKE
2S115DD04500 LEE ANGELA \& LEE BRETT
2S115DD14900 LEE JONATHAN K \& LEE STEPHANIE IRVING 2S115DC08300 LEGEND HOMES CORPORATION
2S114CC07900 LEONARD JOHN D \& LEONARD SARA
2S115DC09100 LIGHT HARVEY EUGENE \& LIGHT CLAUDIA JO
2S115DD13200 LIN DONGMEI \& MAO DIAN
2S114CC05600 LOANZON EMMELINE
2S114CC05900 LONGTIN DAVID E JR
2S114CC06300 LOOMIS TRUDY E
2S115DD15600 LORENTE JOAQUIN \& LORENTE LOUISE
2S115DC04700 LOSER CALLIE
2S115DD11200 LUIKART GLEN
2S122AA00700 LUMBER FAMILY CO LLC
2S115DD06800 MACAULAY THOMAS \& MACAULAY DEBRA
2S115DD14800 MACIELINSKI DAMIEN \& LAURIE LIV TRUST
2S115DD04800 MACK ADAM S \& MACK KATHRYN M
2S115DD18100 MACK RYAN P \& MACK PATRICIA L
2S115DD03200 MACMILLEN JAMES WILSON \& MACMILLEN DONNA JEAN
2S115DD01500 MAGILKE GILBERT \& MAGILKE GAIL L
2S115DC07200 MAGUIRE BRIAN J \& MAGUIRE LISA N
2S114CC06500 MALETA SANDRA L \& MALETA GREGORY B
2S115DC00100 MANABE STELLA K \& NAKAMA DEAN S
2S115DC02200 MANN ERIC A \& LUPULESCU NICOLETA
2S115DC03500 MANN SONIA \& MANN JONATHAN
2S115DC04500 MARKS CHRISTINA A REV LIV TRUST
2S115DC04600 MARONDE JOHN ALBERT \& MARONDE JILL I 2S122AD01000 MARSHALL ASSOCIATED LLC
2S115DA01500 MAYER ANDREW PETER \& MAYER MARIT JANAE 2S115DC03400 MCCLATCHEY CAITLIN \& MCCLATCHEY GARRETT 2S115DD01200 MCCURTAIN LIV TRUST
2S115DD06900 MCCURDY WAYNE \& MCCURDY BETTE
2S115DD19600 MCKINNON SPENCER E
2S115DC11500 MCPHERSON SCOTT K \& MCPHERSON SUSAN R
2S115DD08000 MEGARGEE IRWIN F \& MEGARGEE AMY L
2S115DC03900 MELTON LAWRENCE E \& MELTON TONYA M 2S115DA03600 MEYER PAUL R \& MEYER MARY B
2S115DC06900 MICHAELIDES JAMIE C \& RADISH KEVIN A
2S115DD05200 MIDKIFF HOUSTON A \& MIDKIFF NANCY
2S115DC90004 MILES RAYE K
2S115DC03100 MILLER LYNN B
2S115DD14300 MILLER JOINT TRUST
2S115DD12500 MILNE JAMES S \& MILNE MARY F
2S115DC01600 MINATO KAZUKI \& MINATO YUKO
2S115DC01300 MITCHELL TIMOTHY
2S115DC90001 MITCHELL GARRETT C \& MITCHELL SHARON M
2S115DD06300 MOORE KERRI ANN \& MOORE CHRISTOHER
2S115DD12000 MORAN STEVEN TIMOTHY \& MORAN ASHLEY SCHNAPP 2S122AD00600 MORGAN WILLIAM RAY \& JANICE ELLEN REV LIV TRUST 2S122AD00700 MORGAN WILLIAM RAY \& JANICE ELLEN REV LIV TRUST 2S122AD00800 MORGAN WILLIAM RAY \& JANICE ELLEN REV LIV TRUST 2S115DD13800 MORRELL LIVING TRUST

| 15927 SE LARK AVE | MILWAUKIE | OR | 97267 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17430 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10755 SW KIOWA CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17485 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17895 SW 109TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11555 SW LEVETON DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11555 SW LEVETON DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17350 SW 106TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10536 SW PUEBLO ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10760 SW KIOWA CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17655 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17400 SW 104TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10525 SW KIOWA ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17810 SW 112TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17385 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17445 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17560 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17964 SW 110TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17645 SW 106TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17968 SW 106TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17800 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17765 SW 112TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17800 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17480 SW 108TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17997 SW 105TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10575 SW LUCAS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11340 SW APALACHEE ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11070 SW WINYA CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17915 SW 112TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17515 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 2025 GATEWAY PL \#228 | SAN JOSE | CA | 95110 |
| 2025 GATEWAY PL \#228 | SAN JOSE | CA | 95110 |
| 2025 GATEWAY PL \#228 | SAN JOSE | CA | 95110 |
| 17825 SW 113TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 3402 36TH AVE APT 3A | ASTORIA | NY | 11106 |
| 17935 SW 114TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17475 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10640 SW BANNOCH ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17605 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 735 SW 158TH AVE STE 130 | BEAVERTON | OR | 97006 |
| 10412 SW PUEBLO ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11405 SW KALISPELL ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17950 SW 109TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17994 SW 105TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17929 SW 105TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17902 SW 105TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17460 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17700 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11060 SW WISHRAM CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| PO BOX 1427 | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10520 SW BANNOCH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17565 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10770 SW BANNOCH ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17825 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10547 SW PUEBLO ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17990 SW 106TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17860 SW 112TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17932 SW 105TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11420 SW HAZELBROOK RD | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11490 SW ROBERTS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11100 SW APALACHEE ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17760 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17730 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| PO BOX 278 | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17395 SW 105TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11130 SW APALACHEE ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10560 SW PUEBLO ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10580 SW BANNOCH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17971 SW 110TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17895 SW 113TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10580 SW LUCAS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17900 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17365 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17920 SW 112TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17845 SW 106TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17880 SW 115TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11190 SW APALACHEE ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10970 SW BANNOCH ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17875 SW 109TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11445 SW ROBERTS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 900 SW 5TH AVE FL 17 | PORTLAND | OR | 97204 |
| 17910 SW 115TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10619 SW BANNOCH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17870 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATION | OR | 97062 |
| 4500 SW ADVANCE RD | WILSONVILLE | OR | 97070 |
| 4500 SW ADVANCE RD | WILSONVILLE | OR | 97070 |
| 4500 SW ADVANCE RD | WILSONVILLE | OR | 97070 |
| 10915 SW TUNICA ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |

2S114CC06400 MORRISSEY FAMILY TRUST
2S114CC06600 MOWERY DANA KAY
2S115DC07600 MUIR JOHN S \& ACHILOVA LOLA
2S115DD06200 MUNSON JAMES L \& PAMELA B REV LIV TRUST
2S115DC09500 MURMAN CORY D \& MURMAN TAMIKO A
2S115DD19300 MURO MONICA D
2S115DD10800 MUSTEDANAGIC ADIS \& MUSTEDANAGIC ALISA
2S115DC11200 NAJERA KENE S \& BUSTOS ESMERALDA RODRIGUEZ
2S115DD14400 NEWTON DAVID \& E SUZANNE JOINT TRUST
2S114CC08000 NGUYEN HONG T \& TRI VINH V
2S115DC01500 NGUYEN CATHY H
2S115DA03200 NORDEN PAUL W \& NORDEN JEANINE D
2S115DC00700 OLSON LIVING TRUST
2S115DD17700 OLSON DOUGLAS E \& OLSON KIMBERLY R
2S115DD07900 ORLANES JONATHAN
2S115DD12400 OWEN GREGORY L \& OWEN DEBORAH L
2S115DD13100 PAIGE ROBERT \& PAIGE KELLIE
2S115DD18200 PAPAS EDITH ELIZABETH
2S115DD00800 PARK DANIEL K \& PARK ANNA K
2S114CC07400 PARKER DAVID SCOTT
2S115DC01200 PARKER SARAH D \& PARKER WILEY
2S115DC12000 PARKER MARION M
2S114CB01700 PAYNE DANIEL J \& PAYNE JANET M
2S114CC06800 PENNIMAN STEVEN K \& PHYLLIS D REV LIV TRUST
2S114CC07800 PENSADO ERNESTO \& ALVARENGA NALLY M
2S115DC06600 PETERSON JACOB CURTIS \& PETERSON JULIE DAWN
2S115DA02000 PEUSER NILS ARNE \& PEUSER NICOLE
2S1220000300 PHIGHT LLC
2S115DD08900 PLAMBECK CAROL R
2S115DC01400 POINTS YU SUN
2S115DD19800 POUR ALI FROTAN \& ESFANDIARPOUR SAMANEH
2S115DD01600 PR 17995 SW 106TH LLC
2S115DD11300 PRICE DAVID A \& PRICE JENNIFER K
2S114CC06200 PUPPO MIKK
2S115DC08000 PURCELLA ALEXANDRA M \& PURCELLA SCOTT E
2S115DC05700 PUTNAM DAVID L JR \& PUTNAM HEIDI F
2S115DA03400 RADECKI SHAUN MICHAEL \& RADECKI JESSICA ELLEN
2S115DC09300 RADER SAM A \& RADER ANDREA S
2S115DD09200 RAMSBY MILLS TRUST
2S115DC00200 RANDALL LAWRENCE L \& SANDOVAL-RANDALL C SUSIE
2S114CC05300 RAXTER NORA SUSAN
2S115DC04800 REDFERN KAREN D
2S115DC04200 RICE DOUGLAS S
2S115DD07800 RICHARDS EMMETT L \& RICHARDS MARY C \& RICHARDS SHELLEY D
2S115DD17800 RICHARDSON DEVIN \& RICHARDSON TAMI ANN
2S114CC06000 RICHEY LELAND R \& RICHEY VALERIE J FAMILY TRUST 2S115DD16800 RIRIE LIVING TRUST
2S115DC11600 RIVERA AURELIO GOMEZ
2S115DA04200 ROBBINS FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST
2S115DC03600 ROBERTS BLAINE N
2S115DC12200 ROBERTS JULIE A
2S115DD01300 ROBINSON RONALD L \& ROBINSON MICHELLE
2S115DC05200 ROE FAMILY TRUST
2S115DD03300 RUVALCABA CHRIS \& RUVALCABA ESTHER
2S115DC09700 RYAN DAIN \& RYAN LEE
2S115DD11400 RYAN MICHAEL
2S115DC12300 RYMAL CHARLES \& RYMAL JESSICA
2S115DC02500 SABRA HEALTH CARE HOLDINGS III LLC
2S115DD02800 SATTLER BRIAN L \& WALCZYK KERRY M 2S115DC08900 SAVASTA THOMAS
2S115DD04700 SCHAEFER SETH \& SCHAEFER RENEE
2S115DD08500 SCHENK JOANNE DANNA \& SCHENK ROGER MYRON
2S115DD15300 SCHLACHTER KEVIN M \& SCHLACHTER RENEE
2S115DC06400 SCHLOETTER ERIN RENAE BATES
2S115DD12800 SCHOENHEIT JOHN \& SCHOENHEIT KAITLIN J
2S114CB02000 SHEN PING LU
2S115DC05300 SHERFINSKI MICHAEL R
2S115DD16300 SHERMAN JENNIFER A TRUST
2S115DC04100 SHERWOOD NICOLE D
2S115DD18600 SHETLER STACY A \& SHETLER JOANNA L
2S115DD01000 SLAYTON LUANN LAURA
2S115DD14200 SMITH LESTER MICHAEL \& SMITH JOAN MARIE 2S115DD19700 SMITH WILLIAM E \& SHEARER-SMITH SARAH K
2S115DD05500 SOVEY RACHEL \& SOVEY BREEZ EUGENE
2S115DD13000 SPENCER FAMILY REV TRUST
2S115DC04400 STANTON ANDREW \& STANTON ASHLEY
2S115DA03300 STEINER LARRY D SURVIVORS TRUST
2S115DC05500 STEINMETZ JON \& JEANETTE TRUST
2S115DC08100 STEWART-MOONEY MAUREEN
2S115DD12600 STRENGTH GREG M \& STRENGTH MARGO D
2S115DD13700 STRIBLING DAVID L \& STRIBLING AMANDA L
2S115DD11700 STRICKLER LAUREL R \& STRICKLER ADAM J
2S115DC05900 STRINGFELLOW GAYLE
2S115DC03300 SULLIVAN SHANON LEE
2S115DC05400 SULLIVAN WAIKEN L \& SULLIVAN JENNIFER 2S115DD18400 SWAFFORD DOUGLAS G \& SINCERE MIRIAM A
2S115DD17600 TALLENT DOMINIC JAMES \& TALLENT HEINI
2S115DD03100 TAYLOR-WEBER JAMIE \& TAYLOR-WEBER ANTHONY

| 17924 SW 105TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17948 SW 105TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17795 SW 112TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10600 SW KIOWA ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11485 SW KALISPELL ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11011 SW TUALATIN RD | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11045 SW WINTU CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 12288 SW FUJI CT | TIGARD | OR | 97224 |
| 10950 SW BANNOCH ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10444 SW PUEBLO ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11485 SW ROBERTS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17440 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11435 SW ELMER CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11130 SW WINYA CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10620 SW LUCAS DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17885 SW 109TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17940 SW 109TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11055 SW WINYA CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 9333 SW NEZ PERCE CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10301 SW PUEBLO ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11480 SW ELMER CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17830 SW 114TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10440 SW KELLOGG DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 8374 VEREDA DEL PADRE | GOLETA | CA | 93117 |
| 10380 SW PUEBLO ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17925 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17380 SW 106TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| ONE BOWERMAN DR | BEAVERTON | OR | 97005 |
| 10600 SW STARR DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11465 SW ROBERTS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17995 SW 110TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 8925 SW IOWA DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11080 SW WISHRAM CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17894 SW 105TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11215 SW APALACHEE ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17770 SW 112TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17370 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11445 SW KALISPELL ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10500 SW STARR DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11440 SW HAZELBROOK RD | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10476 SW PUEBLO ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11105 SW GARRETT ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17820 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 15247 WILBUR RD | LA CONNER | WA | 98257 |
| 11100 SW WINYA CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17911 SW 105TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11015 SW LUCAS DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17865 SW 113TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17420 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17980 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17890 SW 114TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17976 SW 106TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 620 SAND HILL RD \#213F | PALO ALTO | CA | 94304 |
| 10529 SW PUEBLO ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11470 SW KALISPELL ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11075 SW WILSHRAM CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17920 SW 114TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10220 SW GREENBURG RD \#201 | PORTLAND | OR | 97223 |
| 10615 SW PUEBLO CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11355 SW KALISPELL ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10710 SW BANNOCH ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10555 SW LUCAS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17570 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17845 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17890 SW 109TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17460 SW 104TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 22915 SW 94TH TER | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17740 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17850 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11080 SW LUCAS DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17989 SW 105TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10990 SW BANNOCH ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17989 SW 110TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10720 SW KIOWA CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17920 SW 109TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17780 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17420 SW 108TH PL | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17735 SW 112TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11225 SW APALACHEE ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17870 SW 109TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10920 SW TUNICA ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11025 SW WISHRAM CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11140 SW GARRETT ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11150 SW APALACHEE ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17705 SW 112TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17715 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11115 SW WINYA CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10573 SW PUEBLO ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |

2S115DD17400 TAYLOR MATTHEW R \& TAYLOR SUZANNE L 2S115DC07300 TERJESON JOHN
2S123BB90000 TETON INDUSTRIAL CONDO OWNERS OF ALL UNITS 2S115DC07800 TIEDEMANN CHRISTINA ANNE
2S115D001400 TIGARD-TUALATIN SCHOOL DISTRICT \#23J
2S114CC07200 TOWLE CORDES K \& KRAEMER JILL J
2S115DD11100 TREBELHORN DEAN B \& TREBELHORN LINDA V
2S115DD05000 TREMAIN JUNE E TRUST
2S115DD05700 TROTMAN TRUST
2S115DA03900 TUALATIN CITY OF
2S115DA05300 TUALATIN CITY OF
2S115DA05400 TUALATIN CITY OF 2S115DC08200 TUALATIN CITY OF 2S115DC12400 TUALATIN CITY OF 2S115DD14600 TUALATIN CITY OF 2S115DD18700 TUALATIN CITY OF 2S115DD18800 TUALATIN CITY OF 2S115DD20200 TUALATIN CITY OF 2S115DD20300 TUALATIN CITY OF 2S1220000800 TUALATIN CITY OF 2S122AD00200 TUALATIN CITY OF
2S123B000602 TUALATIN TETON LLC
2S115DD02600 VALDENEGRO GILLIAN F TRUST
2S115DD13300 VANHORN MARK G \& DIANA L LIV TRUST
2S114CB01400 VANN KEN \& VANN CHRISTINA M
2S115DC01000 WAGGONER LOREN M \& WAGGONER CYNTHIA J 2S115DD10300 WAGNER LINDA G PHD
2S115DC07700 WALK DAVID ALLAN \& WALK WANDA VAI
2S1220000500 WASHINGTON COUNTY FACILITIES MGMT 2S115DD12700 WATT REBECCA SUE
2S115DC01800 WATTS MARK A \& WATTS APRYLE
2S123BB90001 WAVE PROPERTY HOLDINGS LLC
2S115DC09000 WEBSTER CHARLES N \& WEBSTER KAREN A
2S115DD08700 WEISS BENJAMIN M \& WEISS KATRINA M
2S115DD04600 WEITMAN LIVING TRUST
2S115DC10400 WEN-SHU LIU
2S115DC03800 WEST PHYLLIS ELAINE
2S115DC07500 WESTPHAL FAMILY TRUST
2S115DA01800 WETHERN LINDA J
2S115DD10700 WIGGINS JEAN E TRUST
2S115DD02200 WILLIAMS MATTHEW STEVEN
2S115DD07300 WILLIAMS VERONICA L
2S115DD10500 WILLIAMS DAVE A \& WILLIAMS KIMBERLY R
2S115DD16000 WILLON MARK \& PAM TRUST
2S115DD08200 WILSON CONSTANCE J TRUST
2S115DD06600 WINKLER MISTY D \& REGISTER JEAN
2S115DD16500 WISNER RANDOLPH R \& DEBBIE R LIV TRUST
2S114CB01800 WOLFE GEORGE A \& WOLFE ANDREA H
2S115DD11500 WOLLEY KEVIN \& WOLLEY JANE
2S114CB02700 WORLEY LAURA \& SPIEGEL JOEL
2S115DD09000 WRIGHT MICHAEL \& WRIGHT LISA
2S115DD18000 WRIGHT RALPH RICHARD \& LYNDA RAE LIV TRUST 2S115DD18500 YAM ASA
2S115DC10600 YANG HAOWEI
2S115DD08300 ZIENKIEWICZ MIKE \& ZIENKIEWICZ STEPHANIE
2S115DC02900 ZOUMPOULIDIS ZACHARIAS \& ZOUMPOULIDIS AUDREY C Suzannah Stanley, Mackenzie
Pat Lord, LAM Research Corporation

| 17675 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17840 SW 112TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
|  |  | OR | 00000 |
| 17885 SW 112TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 6960 SW SANDBURG ST | TIGARD | OR | 97223 |
| 15045 SW 141ST AVE | TIGARD | OR | 97224 |
| 11040 SW WISHRAM CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10735 SW BANNOCH ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10799 SW KIOWA CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 18880 SW MARTINAZZI AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| PO BOX 723597 | ATLANTA | GA | 31139 |
| 621 SW ALDER ST STE 800 | PORTLAND | OR | 97205 |
| 12925 NW PARRETT MOUNTAIN RD | NEWBERG | OR | 97132 |
| 17960 SW 109TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17480 SW 105TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11430 SW ELMER CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17945 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17855 SW 112TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 169 N 1ST AVE \#42 | HILLSBORO | OR | 97124 |
| 17880 SW 109TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11400 SW ROBERTS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 18057 SW TETON AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11385 SW KALISPELL ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10595 SW LUCAS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10666 SW BANNOCH ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17885 SW 114TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17930 SW 111TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11405 SW HAZELBROOK RD | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17470 SW 106TH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11065 SW WINTU CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10655 SW PUEBLO CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10540 SW KIOWA ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11050 SW WINTU CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17850 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10530 SW LUCAS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10515 SW BANNOCH CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17600 SW 110TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10420 SW KELLOGG DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11055 SW WISHRAM CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10475 SW KELLOGG DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17570 SW 106TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11040 SW WINYA CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11050 SW LUCAS DR | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 17925 SW 114TH AVE | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 10510 SW LUCAS CT | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 11220 SW APALACHEE ST | TUALATIN | OR | 97062 |
| 1515 SE Water Avenue, Suite 100 | Portland | OR |  |
| 4650 Cushing Parkway | Fremont | CA |  |

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that public hearings will be held:
Location: Tualatin Service Center 10699 SW Herman Road, Tualatin, OR 97062
Zoom Teleconference: Link with log-in instructions available www.tualatinoregon.gov/meetings

INDUSTRIAL MASTER PLAN (IMP) 22-0001
November 17, 2022 at 6:30 pm
Mackenzie, on behalf of LAM Research Corporation, is requesting an amendment to setback standards memorialized under IMP 00-01, for a campus development on a 58 acre site zoned Manufacturing Park (MP).

## ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW (AR) 22-0006

November 30, 2022 at 6:30 pm
Mackenzie, on behalf of LAM Research Corporation, is requesting approval to construct a four-story, 120,000 square foot office building at 11155 SW Leveton Drive.

Comments and questions may be submitted to Erin Engman, Senior Planner at:
eengman@tualatin.gov

Located at: 11155 SW Leveton Dr Tax Lots: 2S122AA 500, 800 \& 2S122AB 100


- Industrial Master Plan Criteria: TDC Chapters: 32,33,62
- Architectural Review Criteria: TDC Chapters: 32, 33, 62, 63, 73A-D, 74, 75
- Staff report will be available at least seven days before the hearing for inspection at no cost, and copies will be provided at a reasonable cost.
- Print copies of the application are available at a reasonable cost.
- Individuals wishing to comment on the application must do so in writing to the Planning Division prior to the hearing, or in writing and/or orally at the hearing. Materials must be received by November 3 to be included in the hearing packet.


## CASE FILES: IMP 22-0001 and AR 22-0006- LAM RESEARCH OFFICE BUILDING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that public hearings will be held:
Location: Tualatin Service Center 10699 SW Herman Road, Tualatin, OR 97062
Zoom Teleconference: Link with log-in instructions available www.tualatinoregon.gov/meetings

## INDUSTRIAL MASTER PLAN (IMP) 22-0001

November 17, 2022 at 6:30 pm
Mackenzie, on behalf of LAM Research Corporation, is requesting an amendment to setback standards memorialized under IMP 00-01, for a campus development on a 58 acre site zoned Manufacturing Park (MP).

ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW (AR) 22-0006

## November 30, 2022 at 6:30 pm

Mackenzie, on behalf of LAM Research Corporation, is requesting approval to construct a four-story, 120,000 square foot office building at 11155 SW Leveton Drive.

Comments and questions may be submitted to Erin Engman, Senior Planner at:
eengman@tualatin.gov

Located at: 11155 SW Leveton Dr Tax Lots: 2S122AA 500, 800 \& 2S122AB 100


- Industrial Master Plan Criteria: TDC Chapters: 32, 33, 62
- Architectural Review Criteria: TDC Chapters: 32, 33, 62, 63, 73A-D, 74, 75
- Staff report will be available at least seven days before the hearing for inspection at no cost, and copies will be provided at a reasonable cost.
- Print copies of the application are available at a reasonable cost.
- Individuals wishing to comment on the application must do so in writing to the Planning Division prior to the hearing, or in writing and/or orally at the hearing. Materials must be received by November 3 to be included in the hearing packet.
- The public hearing will begin with a staff presentation, followed by testimony by proponents, testimony by opponents, and rebuttal. The time of individual testimony may be limited. If a participant requests, before the hearing is closed, the record shall remain open for at least 7 days after the hearing.
- All citizens are invited to attend and be heard: Failure of an issue to be raised in the hearing, in person, or by letter, or failure to provide sufficient specificity to afford the decision maker an opportunity to respond to the issue precludes appeal to the State Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) based on that issue. The failure of the applicant to raise constitutional or other issues relating to the proposed conditions of approval with sufficient specificity to the decision maker to respond to the issue precludes an action for damages in circuit court.
- Notice of the Decision will only be provided to those who submit written comments regarding that application or testify at the hearing.

You received this mailing because you own property within 1,000 feet (ft) of the site or within a residential subdivision which is partly within 1,000 ft.

For additional information contact:
Erin Engman, Senior Planner, eengman@tualatin.gov and 503-691-3024
«OWNER1» «OWNERADDR» «OWNERCITY», «OWNERSTATE» «OWNERZIP»

- The public hearing will begin with a staff presentation, followed by testimony by proponents, testimony by opponents, and rebuttal. The time of individual testimony may be limited. If a participant requests, before the hearing is closed, the record shall remain open for at least 7 days after the hearing.
- All citizens are invited to attend and be heard: Failure of an issue to be raised in the hearing, in person, or by letter, or failure to provide sufficient specificity to afford the decision maker an opportunity to respond to the issue precludes appeal to the State Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) based on that issue. The failure of the applicant to raise constitutional or other issues relating to the proposed conditions of approval with sufficient specificity to the decision maker to respond to the issue precludes an action for damages in circuit court.
- Notice of the Decision will only be provided to those who submit written comments regarding that application or testify at the hearing.

You received this mailing because you own property within 1,000 feet (ft) of the site or within a residential subdivision which is partly within 1,000 ft.

For additional information contact:
Erin Engman, Senior Planner, eengman@tualatin.gov and 503-691-3024
«OWNER1» «OWNERADDR» «OWNERCITY», «OWNERSTATE» «OWNERZIP»

| From: | Erin Engman |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Erin Engman; Suzannah Stanley; pat.lord@lamresearch.com |
| Cc: | mweston@ci.king-city.or.us; planning@sherwoodoregon.gov; naomi vogel@co.washington.or.us; |
|  | theresa cherniak@co.washington.or.us; deqinfo@deq.state.or.us; landusenotifications@oregonmetro.gov; |
|  | ODOT R1 DevRev@odot.oregon.gov; baldwinb@trimet.org; LUComments@cleanwaterservices.org; |
|  | Ty.Darby@tvfr.com; KHerrod@republicservices.com; info@theintertwine.org; Anneleah@tualatinchamber.com; |
|  | OR.METRO.ENGINEERING@ZIPLY.COM; tod.shattuck@pgn.com; brandon.fleming@pgn.com; |
|  | kenneth.spencer@pgn.com; richard.girard@nwnatural.com; icrawford@wccca.com; Jackie Humphreys |
| Subject: | Notice of Hearing: IMP22-0001 and AR 22-0006 Lam Research, 11155 SW Leveton Dr |
| Date: | Monday, October 31, 2022 9:07:00 AM |

## NOTICE OF HEARING AND OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that public hearings will be held at the Tualatin Service Center located at: 10699 SW Herman Road, Tualatin, OR 97062. A Zoom meeting link will also be published with the meeting agenda and packet materials seven days prior to the public hearing:
www.tualatinoregon.gov/meetings.
INDUSTRIAL MASTER PLAN (IMP) 22-0001
November 17, 2022 at 6:30 pm
Mackenzie, on behalf of Lam Research Corporation, is requesting an amendment to setback standards memorialized under IMP 00-01, for a campus development on a 58 acre site zoned Manufacturing Park (MP).

Comments due: November 7

## ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW (AR) 22-0006

## November 30, 2022 at 6:30 pm

Mackenzie, on behalf of Lam Research Corporation, is requesting approval to construct a fourstory, 120,000 square foot office building at 11155 SW Leveton Drive.

Comments due: November 16

You may view the application materials on our Projects web page: https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/planning/imp-22-0001-lam-office-campus.

Individuals wishing to comment may do so in writing to the Planning Division prior to the hearing and/or present written and/or verbal testimony at the hearing. Hearings begin with a staff presentation, followed by testimony by proponents, testimony by opponents, and rebuttal. The time of individual testimony may be limited. If a participant requests before the hearing is closed, the
record shall remain open for at least 7 days after the hearing.

All citizens are invited to attend and be heard upon the applications. Failure of an issue to be raised in the hearing, in person, or by letter, or failure to provide sufficient specificity to afford the decision maker an opportunity to respond to the issue precludes appeal to the State Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) based on that issue. The failure of the applicant to raise constitutional or other issues relating to the proposed conditions of approval with sufficient specificity to the decision maker to respond to the issue precludes an action for damages in circuit court.

Type III Industrial Master Plan Criteria: TDC Chapters: 32, 33, 62
Type III Architectural Review Criteria: TDC Chapters: 32, 33, 62, 63, 73A-D, 74, 75

A staff report will available seven days prior to the public hearing, published at www.tualatinoregon.gov/meetings. This meeting and any materials being considered can be made accessible upon request.

Written comments and questions can be submitted to: eengman@tualatin.gov.

## Erin Engman

Senior Planner
City of Tualatin | Planning Division
503.691.3024 | www.tualatinoregon.gov

| From: | Erin Engman |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Erin Engman |
| Cc: | Ext - Planning; Don Hudson; Mike McCarthy; Jonathan Taylor; Kim McMillan; Sherilyn Lombos; Martin Loring; Tom Scott; Tony Doran; Terrance Leahy; Tom Steiger; Ross Hoover; Megan George; Betsy Ruef; riverparkcio@gmail.com; jasuwi7@gmail.com; famtunstall1@frontier.com; dan@danhardyproperties.com; katepinamonti@hotmail.com; cynmartz12@gmail.com; daniel@bachhuber.co; cio.east.west@gmail.com; doug ulmer@comcast.net; keenanwoods7@gmail.com; dana476@gmail.com; mcrowell248@comcast.net; tualatinmidwestcio@gmail.com; dikkusan@live.com; cniew@yahoo.com; tmpgarden@comcast.net; snoelluwcwle@yahoo.com; MartinazziWoodsCIO@gmail.com; solson.1827@gmail.com; delmoore@frontier.com; jamison.I.shields@gmail.com; ClaudiaSterling68@gmail.com; abuschert@gmail.com; roydloop@gmail.com; Tualatinibachcio@gmail.com; edkcnw@comcast.net; patricia.parsons@ctt.com; rwcleanrooms@gmail.com; byromcio@gmail.com; mwestenhaver@hotmail.com; tualatincommercialcio@gmail.com; scottm@capacitycommercial.com; robertekellogg@yahoo.com; famtunstall1@frontier.com |
| Subject: | Notice of Hearing: IMP22-0001 and AR 22-0006 Lam Research, 11155 SW Leveton Dr |
| Date: | Monday, October 31, 2022 9:13:00 AM |

## NOTICE OF HEARING AND OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that public hearings will be held at the Tualatin Service Center located at: 10699 SW Herman Road, Tualatin, OR 97062. A Zoom meeting link will also be published with the meeting agenda and packet materials seven days prior to the public hearing: www.tualatinoregon.gov/meetings.

INDUSTRIAL MASTER PLAN (IMP) 22-0001

## November 17, 2022 at 6:30 pm

Mackenzie, on behalf of Lam Research Corporation, is requesting an amendment to setback standards memorialized under IMP 00-01, for a campus development on a 58 acre site zoned Manufacturing Park (MP).

Comments due: November 7

## ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW (AR) 22-0006

November 30, 2022 at 6:30 pm
Mackenzie, on behalf of Lam Research Corporation, is requesting approval to construct a fourstory, 120,000 square foot office building at 11155 SW Leveton Drive.

Comments due: November 16

You may view the application materials on our Projects web page:
https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/planning/imp-22-0001-lam-office-campus.

Individuals wishing to comment may do so in writing to the Planning Division prior to the hearing
and/or present written and/or verbal testimony at the hearing. Hearings begin with a staff presentation, followed by testimony by proponents, testimony by opponents, and rebuttal. The time of individual testimony may be limited. If a participant requests before the hearing is closed, the record shall remain open for at least 7 days after the hearing.

All citizens are invited to attend and be heard upon the applications. Failure of an issue to be raised in the hearing, in person, or by letter, or failure to provide sufficient specificity to afford the decision maker an opportunity to respond to the issue precludes appeal to the State Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) based on that issue. The failure of the applicant to raise constitutional or other issues relating to the proposed conditions of approval with sufficient specificity to the decision maker to respond to the issue precludes an action for damages in circuit court.

Type III Industrial Master Plan Criteria: TDC Chapters: 32, 33, 62
Type III Architectural Review Criteria: TDC Chapters: 32, 33, 62, 63, 73A-D, 74, 75

A staff report will available seven days prior to the public hearing, published at www.tualatinoregon.gov/meetings. This meeting and any materials being considered can be made accessible upon request.

Written comments and questions can be submitted to: eengman@tualatin.gov.

## Erin Engman

Senior Planner
City of Tualatin | Planning Division
503.691.3024 | www.tualatinoregon.gov

# MEMORANDUM 

Date: November 8, 2022
To: Erin Engman, Senior Planner, City of Tualatin
From: Jackie Sue Humphreys, Clean Water Services (CWS)
Subject: Lam Research Master Plan Amendment and Building G, IMP 22-0001, AR 22-0006, 2S122AA00500, 00800, 2S122AB00100

Please include the following comments when writing your conditions of approval:

## PRIOR TO ANY WORK ON THE SITE

A Clean Water Services (CWS) Storm Water Connection Permit Authorization must be obtained. Application for CWS Permit Authorization must be in accordance with the requirements of the Design and Construction Standards, Resolution and Order No. 19-5 as amended by R\&O 19-22, or prior standards as meeting the implementation policy of R\&O 1828 , and is to include:
a. Detailed plans prepared in accordance with Chapter 2, Section 2.04.
b. Detailed grading and erosion control plan. An Erosion Control Permit will be required. Area of Disturbance must be clearly identified on submitted construction plans. If site area and any offsite improvements required for this development exceed one-acre of disturbance, project will require a $1200-\mathrm{CN}$ Erosion Control Permit. If site area and any offsite improvements required for this development exceed five-acres of disturbance, project will require a $1200-\mathrm{C}$ Erosion Control Permit.
c. Detailed plans showing each lot within the development having direct access by gravity to public storm and sanitary sewer.
d. Provisions for water quality in accordance with the requirements of the above named design standards. Water Quality is required for all new development and redevelopment areas per R\&O 19-5, Section 4.04. Access shall be provided for maintenance of facility per R\&O 19-5, Section 4.07.6.
e. If use of an existing offsite or regional Water Quality Facility is proposed, it must be clearly identified on plans, showing its location, condition, capacity to treat this site and, any additional improvements and/or upgrades that may be needed to utilize that facility.
f. If private lot LIDA systems proposed, must comply with the current CWS Design and Construction Standards. A private maintenance agreement, for the proposed private lot LIDA systems, needs to be provided to the City for review and acceptance.
g. Show all existing and proposed easements on plans. Any required storm sewer, sanitary sewer, and water quality related easements must be granted to the City.
h. Application may require additional permitting and plan review from CWS Source Control Program. For any questions or additional information, please contact Source Control at (503) 681-5175.
i. Any proposed offsite construction activities will require an update or amendment to the current Service Provider Letter for this project.

## CONCLUSION

This Land Use Review does not constitute CWS approval of storm or sanitary sewer compliance to the NPDES permit held by CWS. CWS, prior to issuance of any connection permits, must approve final construction plans and drainage calculations.

# PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION 

Republished on November 16, 2022

| Case \#: | IMP 22-0001 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | Lam Research Corporation Campus |
| Location: | 11155-11361 SW Leveton Drive; Tax Lots: 2S122AA 500 and 800; 2S122AB 100 |
| Representative: | Suzannah Stanley, Mackenzie |
| Owner: | Lam Research Corporation |

## I.FINDINGS

A. An application for an Industrial Master Plan (IMP 22-0001) was filed by Mackenzie, on behalf of Lam Research Corporation requesting approval to amend Conditions of Approval 1.a. and 1.b. from IMP $00-01$, in order to modify setback standards for the campus development.
B. The Tualatin Planning Commission conducted a noticed quasi-judicial public hearing on November 17, 2022 in conformance with the laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Tualatin.
C. The Tualatin Planning Commission concludes that the findings and analysis, testimony at the public hearing, and materials in the record address the approval criteria of TDC 33.050 for Industrial Master Plans the approval of the IMP 22-0001 with Conditions of Approval.

## II.ACTION

The Tualatin Planning Commission approves IMP 22-0001 and adopted the staff analysis and findings, dated November 17, 2022, with the following Conditions of Approval:

## GENERAL:

1. If future modifications to this Industrial Master Plan are necessary, a new Industrial Master Plan application must be submitted to the City for review.

## PUBLIC FACILITIES:

2. Through the Architectural Review process:
a. Easement declarations must be recorded and/or maintained for cross-access, parking, and utilities (including but not limited to: water, sanitary sewer, storm drainage) that extend across parcels shared under common ownership within the campus, when deemed necessary.
b. Utilities must serve individual parcels within the campus, in accordance with the Public Works Construction Code and TDC 74.610, 74.620, and 74.630.

## LOCATION, DESIGN, COLOR AND MATERIALS

3. Development proposed through the Architectural Review process must:
a. Include building material elements consisting of, or complimentary to: masonry, sandstone, architectural metal siding, and window glazing. Color palettes must remain complimentary to earth toned shades.
b. Meet the modified development standards listed in the table below:

| STANDARD | MODIFIED DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS UNDER IMP 22-0001 |
| :---: | :---: |
| LOT SIZE |  |
| Minimum Lot Size | 15 acres |
| MINIMUM SETBACKS |  |
| Minimum Building Setback for Yards Adjacent to SW Leveton Drive | 68 feet |
| Minimum Building Setback for Yards Adjacent to SW 108th Drive | 98 feet |
| Minimum Building Setback for Yards Adjacent to SW Tualatin Road | Subject to Table 62-2 Development Standards in the MP Zone |
| Minimum Setback for Side and Rear Yards not Adjacent to Streets or Alleys | 0 feet from side and rear yards under common ownership <br> From Lot 2S122BA00100 (currently owned by JAE Oregon Inc.): <br> Subject to Table 62-2 Development Standards in the MP Zone |
| Parking and Circulation Areas Adjacent to SW Leveton Drive | 50 feet |
| Parking and Circulation Areas Adjacent to SW 108 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | 43 feet |
| Parking and Circulation Areas Adjacent to SW Tualatin Road | 35 feet |
| Parking and Circulation Areas Adjacent to Private Property Line | 0 feet from property lines under common ownership <br> 9.5 feet from Lot 2S122BA00100 (currently owned by JAE Oregon Inc.) |
| Fences | Subject to Table 62-2 Development Standards in the MP Zone |


| STANDARD | MODIFIED DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS UNDER IMP 22-0001 |
| :--- | :--- |
| STRUCTURE HEIGHT | Subject to Table 62-2 Development Standards in the MP Zone |
| Maximum Height | Subject to Table 62-2 Development Standards in the MP Zone |
| Maximum Height Adjacent to <br> Residential District |  |

c. Maintain the existing earthen berm and landscaping consisting of deciduous street trees, evergreen trees, and shrubs along the northeast frontage of SW Tualatin Road to the driveway adjacent to $115^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.
d. Retain the existing stand of trees behind Building $A$, or integrate into the parking lot design as deemed appropriate.
e. Parking lot landscaping for the north-half of the site must follow the standard requirements of TDC Chapter 73C. To accommodate grade changes, an alternative method of parking lot landscaping is acceptable for terraced parking lots proposed for the south-half of the site. These lots must provide a minimum landscape island area of 25 square feet per parking stall and comply with the following:
i. Landscape separation that is a minimum of five feet in width is required for every twelve continuous spaces in a row;
ii. Landscaping strip that is a minimum of ten feet in width must be placed in between rows of facing vehicles;
iii. Must be planted with one deciduous shade trees for every four parking spaces, with required trees evenly dispersed throughout the parking lot;
iv. Must be planted with groundcover or shrubs; and
v. Native plant materials are encouraged.

## III.APPEAL

The applicant or any person who submitted written comments or testified orally or in writing at the Tualatin Planning Commission hearing and who may be adversely affected by the Commission's decision may file a request for review of the final decision of the Tualatin Planning Commission to the City Council.

The Tualatin Planning Commission's decision will be final after 14 calendar days from the mailing of this order, unless a written appeal is received by the Tualatin Planning Division at 10699 SW Herman Road, Tualatin, Oregon, before 5:00 p.m., December 1, 2022. The appeal must be submitted on the City appeal form with all the information requested provided thereon, signed by the appellant, and include the applicable appeal fee. The plans and appeal forms are available at the Planning Division offices. The appeal forms must include reasons, current appeal fee, and meet the requirements of Section 32.310 of the Tualatin Development Code. The City Council will review and make a decision. The parties will be notified of the Council meeting date.

ADOPTED THIS 17 DAY OF NOVEMBER 2022.

PLANNING COMMISSION
CITY OF TUALATIN

BY:


Janelle Thompson, Vice Chair
Ghalatin Planning Commission

# Technical Memorandum 

Date: October 10,2022<br>Project: 20-2737<br>To: Mr. Tony Doran, Engineering Associate<br>Ms. Kim McMillan, PE, City Engineer<br>City of Tualatin<br>From: Brian Ginter, PE<br>Re: Water System Capacity Analysis - LAM Research Property Office Building G

## Introduction

As requested, this memorandum has been prepared to present the findings of our analysis of the water service to the proposed expansion at the Lam Research property located at 11155 SE Leveton Drive. This memorandum presents the findings of this analysis for the City's use in determining the water system improvements necessary to meet fire flow and pressure requirements.

## Background

The City's water system hydraulic model was used to perform a hydraulic analysis of pressure and fire flow performance in the City's water system under maximum day demand conditions with fire flow events evaluated at the site. The pressure and flow conditions were tested at the proposed location for the new domestic and fire service vaults located southeast of the proposed "Building $G$ ", connecting to the existing high pressure main in SW Leveton Drive.
The proposed development is a new 120,000 square foot office building. The proposed development is located within the City's existing Pressure Zone A but is served by a high pressure main fed by the Tualatin Supply Main connection directly from the PWB wholesale supply system at a static hydraulic grade of approximately 530 feet. Figure 1 illustrates the development site, adjacent water system infrastructure, and the location of the modeled fire flow test.

## Analysis and Findings

The hydraulic model was updated as described above and fire flow performance tested at the proposed fire service location (shown in Figure 1).
A summary of specific model conditions for this analysis is presented below:
Demand Conditions: 2030 Maximum Day Demand
Fire Flow: $3,000 \mathrm{gpm}$
Physical Condition: Existing facilities plus proposed connection
Since the proposed domestic and fire suppression services are proposed to be connected to the high pressure main, there is adequate flow and pressure available without impact to the residual pressure I the adjacent Pressure Zone A area. Static pressures in the transmission main exceed 150 psi and a 20 psi drop is estimated under fire flow conditions.
Based on the findings of this analysis and a review of overall system improvement needs presented in the Water System Master Plan, there are no required water distribution system improvements necessary to serve domestic and fire suppression flows to the proposed development.

It is the developer's responsibility to size internal (private) fire and domestic mains for adequate service pressure, private hydrants and fire suppression sprinkler systems as these facilities are outside the scope of this analysis.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or comments in this regard. We would be happy to meet with you personally to discuss the findings presented in this memorandum.

Figure 1 | Proposed Development Site and Water System Infrastructure


[^22]| From: | Erin Engman |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Margo Strength |
| Cc: | Suzannah Stanley; Mike Rueter |
| Subject: | RE: LAM Research setback standards |
| Date: | Tuesday, November 8, 2022 8:47:00 AM |

Hi Margo-
Thanks for reaching out with your question regarding the Lam application. I've copied the applicants to this email in case they wish to comment on your question, but I can provide some clarity.

Their application is not looking to amend setbacks along SW Tualatin Road or impact the trees along its frontage. And as part of the hearing, I will recommend that our Planning Commission include a condition on their approval that requires the earthen berm and landscape coverage to be retained along their frontage on SW Tualatin Road.

Rather the applicant is looking to amend the setbacks listed below:

- Building Setbacks:
- Interior side and rear yard setback: Reduced from 20 feet to 0 feet.
- Side yard setback to JAE (property to west): Reduced from 100 feet to 50 feet.
- Parking and Circulation Setbacks:
- Adjacent to Leveton Drive: Reduced from 108 feet to 50 feet.
- Interior to site: Plan sheet reference to 0 feet
- Side yard setback to JAE (property to west): Plan sheet reference to 9.5 feet

More information is contained in their document:
https://www.tualatinoregon.gov/sites/default/files/fileattachments/planning/project/55418/exhibit_a1_narrative.pdf

Please let me know if you have any other questions and have a good day,

## Erin Engman

Senior Planner
City of Tualatin | Planning Division
503.691.3024 | www.tualatinoregon.gov

From: Margo Strength [dgrahms@comcast.net](mailto:dgrahms@comcast.net)
Sent: Sunday, November 6, 2022 10:04 PM
To: Erin Engman [eengman@tualatin.gov](mailto:eengman@tualatin.gov)
Subject: LAM Research setback standards

Erin,
I live in a development of homes across the street from the LAM Research building in Tualatin. I am concerned about LAM Research requesting an amendment to setback standards. Does this mean that the beautiful trees along Tualatin Rd will be cut down? They provide a nice camouflage of LAM's office buildings and many people enjoying walking the meandering sidewalks next to the trees. It would be a shame to see them cut down. Hopefully they won't be, so I thought I would ask.

Thank you,
Margo Strength

| From: | Erin Engman |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Chris Hein |
| Cc: | Suzannah Stanley; Mike Rueter |
| Subject: | RE: Lam Research Office Building |
| Date: | Monday, November 14, 2022 10:24:00 AM |
| Attachments: | image003.pnq |
|  | image004.png |

Hi Chris-
Thanks for reaching out with your question regarding the Lam application. I've copied the applicants to this email in case they wish to comment on your question, but I can provide some clarity.

The new office building is proposed on the south end of the site, toward SW Leveton Drive as shown in the site plan below. The proposed improvements are shown in dark grey.

And to provide additional clarification, their application is not looking to amend setbacks along SW Tualatin Road or impact the trees along its frontage. Rather the applicant is looking to amend the setbacks listed below:

- Building Setbacks:
- Interior side and rear yard setback: Reduced from 20 feet to 0 feet.
- Side yard setback to JAE (property to west): Reduced from 100 feet to 50 feet.
- Parking and Circulation Setbacks:
- Adjacent to Leveton Drive: Reduced from 108 feet to 50 feet.
- Interior to site: Plan sheet reference to 0 feet
- Side yard setback to JAE (property to west): Plan sheet reference to 9.5 feet


Please let me know if you have any other questions and have a good day,

Senior Planner
City of Tualatin | Planning Division
503.691.3024 | www.tualatinoregon.gov

From: Chris Hein [ChrisH@osf.com](mailto:ChrisH@osf.com)
Sent: Friday, November 11, 2022 11:11 AM
To: Erin Engman [eengman@tualatin.gov](mailto:eengman@tualatin.gov)
Subject: Lam Research Office Building

Hi Erin,

I live off $109^{\text {th }}$ and Tualatin Rd. across from the Lam Campus
I received a hearing/ notice card about Lam wanting to change the setbacks to build a four story 120,000 office building.
My question is where on the campus are they wanting to locate this?

As you know now there currently is a nice buffer between Lam and Tualatin Road.

Thanks for the information.

Chris


## Chris Hein

VP Food \& Beverage
OSF International, Inc.
715 S Bancroft Street | Portland, OR 97239
T: 503.225.0433 Ext. 310 | F: 503.226.6214
chrish@,osf.com | www.osf.com

## Map 8-5: Tualatin Transit Plan



Tualatin Development Code - Figure 73-1 Parking Space Design Standards for 9-Foot stalls


## Dimension

On Diagram
Stall width parallel to aisle
Stall Length of line
Stall depth to wall
Aisle width between stall lines
Stall depth, interlock
Module, wall to interlock
Module, interlocking
Module, interlocking to curb face
Bumper overhang (typical)
Offset
Setback
Cross aisle, one-way
Cross aisle, two way
$45^{\circ} 60^{\circ} \quad 75^{\circ} \quad 90^{\circ}$
$\begin{array}{llll}12.7 & 10.4 & 9.3 & 9.0\end{array}$
$25.0 \quad 22.0 \quad 20.0 \quad 18.5$
17.519 .019 .518 .5
$12.016 .021 .0 \quad 24.0$
15.317 .518 .818 .5
44.852 .561 .363 .0
42.651 .061 .063 .0
$42.850 .258 .8 \quad 60.5$
$\begin{array}{llll}2.0 & 2.3 & 2.5 & 2.5\end{array}$
$6.3 \quad 2.7 \quad 0.5 \quad 0.0$
$11.0 \quad 8.3 \quad 5.0 \quad 0.0$
12.012 .012 .012 .0
22.022 .022 .022 .0
$X=$ stall not accessible in some cases.
Parking Dimensions for Subcompact Parking

Stall Width
Aisle Width per Stall
Depth of Stalls at right angle to aisle Aisle Width
Wall-to-Wall module
$45^{\circ} 60^{\circ} \quad 75^{\circ} \quad 90^{\circ}$
$\begin{array}{llll}7.5 & 7.5 & 7.5 & 7.7\end{array}$
$10.5 \quad 8.7 \quad 7.8 \quad 7.5$
$16.016 .716 .3 \quad 15.0$
$11.0 \quad 14.0 \quad 17.4 \quad 20.0$
$43.0 \quad 47.4 \quad 50.0 \quad 50.0$

## VISION CLEARANCE AREA



LOCAL STREETS



# ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD DECISION 

Republished on November 30, 2022

| Case \#: | AR 22-0006 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | Lam Research Corporation Campus |
| Location: | 11155-11361 SW Leveton Drive; Tax Lots: 2S122AA 500 and 800; 2S122AB 100 |
| Representative: | Suzannah Stanley, Mackenzie |
| Owner: | Lam Research Corporation |

## I.FINDINGS

A. An application for an Architectural Review application (AR 22-0006) was filed by Mackenzie, on behalf of Lam Research Corporation requesting approval to construct a four-story, 120,000 square foot office building, two new access drives off of SW 108th, and parking lot expansions by approximately 549 stalls. The applicant has also submitted a tree removal permit for 80 trees to construct the improvements.
B. The Architectural Review Board conducted a noticed quasi-judicial public hearing on November 30, 2022 in conformance with the laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Tualatin.
C. The Architectural Review Board concludes that the findings and analysis, testimony at the public hearing, and materials in the record address the criteria of TDC 33.020(5) for the approval of the AR 22-0006 with Conditions of Approval.

## II.ACTION

The Architectural Review Board approves AR 22-0006 and adopted the staff analysis and findings, dated November 30, 2022, with the following Conditions of Approval:

## GENERAL:

A1. This Architectural Review approval shall expire after two years unless a building, or grading permit submitted in conjunction with a building permit application, has been issued and substantial construction pursuant thereto has taken place and an inspection performed by a member of the Building Division, or an extension is granted under TDC 33.020(10).

## PRIOR TO EROSION CONTROL, PUBLIC WORKS, AND WATER QUALITY PERMIT ISSUANCE:

## Submit to eTrakit for review and approval:

A2. The applicant must apply for applicable Engineering Erosion Control, Water Quality, and Public Works permits:
a. Apply using eTrakit. With the initial Engineering permit(s) application(s) include:
i. One combined set of $24^{\prime \prime} \times 36^{\prime \prime}$ plans including all applicable Engineering permits attached to one Engineering permit. Include a note on other Engineering permits stating which application includes the set.
ii. Payment for an Erosion Control permit fee per the fee schedule.
iii. Engineering estimate and deposit for each Water Quality or Public Works permit per the fee schedule.
b. Deliver two 24 " $\times 36^{\prime \prime}$ hard copies of the combined Engineering permit plan sets to:

City of Tualatin
Attn: Engineering Division c/o Hayden Ausland, Principal Engineer, PE 10699 SW Herman Road
Tualatin, OR 97062
A3. The applicant must submit Final Street Improvement Plans for SW 108th Avenue, SW Leveton Drive, and SW Tualatin Road in accordance with applicable sections of Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74 and 75 and Public Works Construction Code (PWCC) that show:
a. Dedication of half-street right-of-ways to total 37 feet from centerline for SW Leveton Drive and SW Tualatin Road.
b. For SW 108th Avenue from SW Leveton Drive to SW Tualatin Road:
i. Dedication of adequate right-of-way to construct required public improvements with a minimum of a half-street total of 38 feet from centerline for SW 108th Avenue;
ii. A minimum 6 -foot-wide planter strip on the west side including:

1. Curb;
2. Replace existing street lights with the LED, Option A standard;
3. Street trees; and
4. Public LIDA stormwater street swales within an adequately wide planter strip or:
a. Proof that the existing public drywell at the intersection of SW 108th Avenue and SW Leveton Drive has capacity to accommodate stormwater requirement due to addition and modification of public impervious area; and,
b. Meet any and all requirements from DEQ for continued use of said public drywell.
iii. A 6-foot-wide sidewalk;
iv. Undergrounding overhead utilities as approved by the City Engineer; and
v. Ramp replacement on the west side of the intersection of SW 108th Avenue and SW Leveton Drive for both north (sending) and south (receiving).
c. An 8-foot-wide public utility easement, or existing equivalent approved by the City Engineer, and any required slope easements adjacent to SW 108th Avenue, SW Leveton Drive, and SW Tualatin Road including five feet of public utility easement surrounding water meter, backflow protection, and fire vault;
d. All adjacent public sidewalks for all lots involved with this development within compliance of ADA standards or replacement of necessary driveways, ramps, and panels to bring into compliance;
e. All proposed driveways:
i. A minimum distance of 300 feet from intersections of SW 108th Avenue \& SW Leveton Drive and SW 108th Avenue \& SW Tualatin Road; and
ii. Opposing existing driveways or offset a minimum of 150 feet.
f. Turning movement diagrams showing all existing and proposed driveways operate without adverse impact to public rights-of-way as determined by the City Engineer:
i. Identify any driveways privately restricted for specific passenger vehicles or truck use, proposed private signage necessary to control movement, and a circulation plan;
ii. Onsite signage and maintenance plan for onsite signage as approved by the City Engineer; and
iii. Show existing and proposed curb radii are able to accommodate associated allowed vehicular movements.
g. Replacement of concrete doweled panels impacted by construction as determined by the City Engineer.

A4. The applicant must submit Final Water System Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.610, Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-3, and Public Works Construction Code (PWCC) that show:
a. A gate valve at the main for both domestic and fire service laterals; and
b. Adjacent to SW Leveton Drive right-of-way:
i. A reduced pressure backflow prevention and water meter for the domestic lateral;
ii. The water meter behind the curb within the planter strip;
iii. If within final plans, irrigation after a domestic meter and reduced pressure backflow device; and
iv. The fire vault surrounded by a five foot public utility easement.

A5. The applicant must submit Final Sanitary Sewer System Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.620, Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-2, and Public Works Construction Code (PWCC) that show location of the lines, grade, materials, and other details.

A6. The applicant must submit:
a. A DEQ Rule Authorization letter with associated plans indicating approval and any and all required modifications to accommodate stormwater from new and modified public impervious areas within the existing public drywell at the intersection of SW 108th Avenue and SW Leveton Drive.
b. Final Stormwater System Calculations and Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.630 and 74.650, Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-5-200 through 3-5-430, Public Works Construction Code (PWCC), and Clean Water Services' (CWS) Design \& Construction Standards (D\&CS) Chapter 4 stamped by an Oregon registered, professional engineer in accordance with TMC 3-5-390(1) that:
i. Provide a downstream analysis, including but not limited to erosion, and include solutions within final plans for $1 / 4$ mile downstream from the release from the private development through the public stormwater system, in accordance with TMC 3-5210(4);
ii. Accommodate up to a 25 -year storm event within the public stormwater system with a maximum capacity of $82 \%$ in accordance with TDC 74.640 and CWS D\&CS 5.05.2.d and the City Engineer;
iii. Address runoff from all new and modified private and public impervious areas; and,
iv. Prove gravity flow five feet from the outside of the established line of the building to the public stormwater system or as otherwise approved by the City Engineer, in accordance with CWS D\&CS 1.03.39 and 5.09.3(a) (1) and (4);
v. Discharge to an approved public system;
vi. Treat new and modified impervious areas in accordance with CWS D\&CS 4.08.1.d meeting phosphorous removal in accordance with TMC 3-5-350 per the design storm in accordance with TMC 3-5-360 and CWS D\&CS 4.08.2;
vii. Detain up to the 25 -year storm event in accordance with TMC 3-5-220, TMC 3-5-230, and CWS D\&CS 4.08;
viii. Accommodate hydromodification including post-development runoff rates not exceeding pre-development runoff rates for $1 / 2$ the 2 -year storm event and the 5 -year and 10 -year storm events for proposed new and modified impervious areas in accordance with CWS D\&CS 4.03.5; and
ix. In accordance with TDC 74.650(2) and CWS D\&CS 3.01.2(d), comply with:

1. The submitted Clean Water Services' Service Provider Letter CWS File Number dated July 12, 2022 conditions to obtain a Stormwater Connection Permit Authorization Letter; and
2. Requirements stated within the Clean Water Services' Memorandum dated November 8, 2022.
c. Financial assurance for construction performance in accordance with TMC 3-390(3), PWCC 102.14.00, and amount per CWS D\&CS 2.07 Table 2-1; and
d. A copy of the recorded private stormwater maintenance agreement in accordance with TMD 3-5-390(4). The agreement must assure the owner as responsible for maintenance of the constructed portions of private stormwater systems within their lot. The identified system must include all conveyance, detention, hydromodification, and treatment.

A7. The applicant must submit Final Erosion Control Plans in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.640, Tualatin Municipal Code (TMC) 3-5-050 and 3-5-060, Public Works Construction Code (PWCC), and Clean Water Services' (CWS) Design \& Construction Standards (D\&CS) Chapters 2 and 6 that:
a. Minimize the impact of stormwater from the development to adjacent properties; and
b. Plans sufficient to either:
i. Obtain a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200-CN Stormwater Discharge Permit from Clean Water Services as an agent of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality if disturbance is between 1 and 5 acres; or,
ii. Obtain a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200-C Construction Erosion Control permit from Oregon DEQ.

## PRIOR TO BUILDING PERMIT ISSUANCE:

## Submit to eTrakit for review and approval:

A8. The applicant must submit a copy of recorded public utility and slope easements, as approved by the City Engineer, and deeds of right-of-way dedication in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.210 and 74.330 which show:
a. An 8-foot-wide public utility and any necessary slope easement, adjacent to SW 108th Avenue, SW Leveton Drive, and SW Tualatin Road including five feet of public utility easement surrounding proposed water meter, backflow protection, and fire vault; and
b. Half-street right-of-way dedication from centerline for a total of 38 feet for SW 108th Avenue and 37 feet for both SW Leveton Drive and SW Tualatin Road and any additional dedication for SW 108th Avenue to accommodate and any final public stormwater LIDA facilities.

A9. The applicant must obtain:
a. A National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200-C Construction Erosion Control permit from Oregon DEQ; and
b. Erosion Control, Public Works, and Water Quality Permits from the City of Tualatin.

A10. The applicant must pay a fee-in-lieu of construction, as determined by the City Engineer, for any new PGE Option A street lights associated with reconstruction of the west side of SW 108 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, and any other street lights (associated with the development) that will be in public right-of-way and/or city responsibility.

A11. The applicant must submit a Final Site Plan Set (in PDF format) to the Planning Division that is in substantial conformance to the submitted site plans and includes:
a. Trees identified in Tree Assessment Report (Exhibit A3) must be identified on the landscaping and grading plan, consistent with TDC 73B.080(3). Tree protection fencing and other preservation measures recommended by the Arborist should also be specified on the grading plan.
b. Walkways that are a minimum of 6 feet in width; constructed of asphalt, concrete, pervious concrete, pavers, or grasscrete; and meet ADA standards at time of construction, consistent with TDC 73A.300(1).
c. As a substitute for building perimeter landscaping, plazas that are developed with pavers, bricks, or other surfaces and contain pedestrian amenities, such as: benches, tables with umbrellas, shade trees, and canopies must be provided along building perimeters viewable by the general public from parking lots or the public right-of-way, in conformance with TDC 73B.040(1). This requirement does not apply to loading areas, bicycle parking areas, and pedestrian entrances.
d. A minimum of 192 parking spaces at an applied rate of 1.6 spaces per 1,000 square feet of gross floor area, consistent with TDC 73C.010(2)(a)(iv).
e. Details to demonstrate that proposed bicycle parking meets the standards of TDC 73C.050(2)(a)-(c), and that a minimum of 12 short-term and 5 long-term bicycle parking spaces are provided, in conformance with TDC 73C.100(1).
f. A minimum of 8 vanpool or carpool parking spaces, consistent with TDC 73C.100(2).
g. A minimum of 3 loading facilities that are a no less than 12 feet wide $\times 35$ feet long with an unobstructed height of 14 feet, or evidence that adequate loading facilities exist on the same lot as the proposed office building, consistent with TDC 73C.120.
h. In accordance with IMP 22-0001, parking lot landscaping for the north-half of the site must follow the standard requirements of TDC Chapter 73C. To accommodate grade changes, an alternative method of parking lot landscaping is acceptable for terraced parking lots proposed for the south-half of the site. These lots must provide a minimum landscape island area of 25 square feet per parking stall and comply with the following:
i. Landscape separation that is a minimum of five feet in width is required for every twelve continuous spaces in a row;
ii. Landscaping strip that is a minimum of ten feet in width must be placed in between rows of facing vehicles;
iii. Must be planted with one deciduous shade trees for every four parking spaces, with required trees evenly dispersed throughout the parking lot;
iv. Must be planted with groundcover or shrubs; and
v. Native plant materials are encouraged.
i. Demonstrate that an adequate waste and recyclables management solution is provided in compliance with TDC 73D. If the minimum standards method is chosen, a minimum of 490 square feet of trash enclosure area must be shown on the plans. These facilities must comply with the location, design, and access standards in TDC 73D.070.
j. In accordance with IMP 22-0001, building materials must consist of, or be complimentary to: masonry, sandstone, architectural metal siding, and window glazing. Color palettes must remain complimentary to earth toned shades.

## DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY:

A12. The applicant must install tree protection fencing consistent with the Tree Assessment Report submitted as Exhibit A3 and Section 73B.080(3). Please contact the Planning Division to schedule an inspection with a minimum of 48 hours' notice. Where site conditions make grading or other similar encroachment upon a preserved tree's drip-line area, such grading or similar encroachment must only be permitted under the direction of a qualified arborist.

## PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY:

A13. Provide an identification system which clearly locates buildings and their entries for patrons and emergency services, pursuant to TDC 73A.300(4)(d). Building identification approved by TVF\&R must be placed in a position that is plainly legible and visible from the street fronting the property. Numbers must contrast with their background, be a minimum of 4 inches high, and have a minimum stroke width of $1 / 2$ inch.

A14. Areas impacted by grading and all areas not occupied by buildings, parking spaces, driveways, drive aisles, pedestrian areas, or undisturbed natural areas must be landscaped, pursuant to TDC 73B.040(1)(a).

A15. The applicant must install required vanpool and carpool signage, pursuant to TDC 73C.010(2)(a)(xi) and bicycle parking signage per MUTCD standards, pursuant to TDC 73C.050(2)(d).

A16. The applicant must construct proposed buildings and all site improvements as illustrated on the approved Final Site Plan and Final Color Architectural Elevations. The applicant must contact the Planning Division for a site inspection at least 72 hours prior to requesting a certificate of occupancy. This inspection is separate from inspection(s) done by the Building Division.

A17. The applicant must complete all the private stormwater and public improvements as shown on the approved permit plans. All improvements must also be accepted by the City in accordance with Tualatin Development Code (TDC) 74.120.

A18. The applicant must submit paper and electronic as-builts of the Engineering permits along with maintenance bonds and any final fees for public and water quality improvements.

## THE FOLLOWING ITEMS APPLY TO THE SITE IN AN ON-GOING MANNER:

A19. All uses must be conducted within a completely enclosed building, except off-street parking and loading, and basic utilities, pursuant to TDC 62.210(5).

A20. The proposed development must comply with the Environmental Regulations of TDC 63.

A21. All mechanical equipment must be screened in accordance with TDC 73A.300(5). Prior to approval of a mechanical permit, the applicant or property owner must submit scaled elevations illustrating that above-grade or on-grade equipment will be screened by parapet, sight-obscuring fence, landscaping, or other method.

A22. All sign permits require separate sign permit approval per TDC Chapter 38. This approval does not constitute sign permit approval.

A23. All site, building exterior, and landscaping improvements approved through the AR process must be continually maintained, so as to remain substantially similar to original approval through the AR process, except as permitted under TDC 33.020(7) (Modifications to Previously Approved Final Architectural Review Decisions).

A24. All parking spaces shall be continuously maintained in compliance with the dimensional standards specified in TDC Figure 73-1 (Exhibit H).

A25. No vehicular parking, hedge, planting, fence, wall structure, or temporary/permanent physical obstruction is permitted between 30 inches and eight feet above the established height of the curb in the vision clearance area specified in TDC Figure 73-2 (Exhibit I).

## III.APPEAL

The applicant or any person who submitted written comments or testified orally or in writing at the Architectural Review Board hearing and who may be adversely affected by the Board's decision may file a request for review of the final decision of the Architectural Review Board to the City Council.
The Architectural Review Board's decision will be final after 14 calendar days from the mailing of this order, unless a written appeal is received by the Tualatin Planning Division at 10699 SW Herman Road, Tualatin, Oregon, before 5:00 p.m., December __, 2022. The appeal must be submitted on the City appeal form with all the information requested provided thereon, signed by the appellant, and include the applicable appeal fee. The plans and appeal forms are available at the Planning Division offices. The appeal forms must include reasons, current appeal fee, and meet the requirements of Section 32.310 of the Tualatin Development Code. The City Council will review and make a decision. The parties will be notified of the Council meeting date.

ADOPTED THIS $\qquad$ DAY OF NOVEMBER 2022.

## ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD

## CITY OF TUALATIN

$B Y$ :
Sitting Member, Architectural Review Board


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Average runoff condition, and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}}=0.2 \mathrm{~S}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ The average percent impervious area shown was used to develop the composite CN's. Other assumptions are as follows: impervious areas are directly connected to the drainage system, impervious areas have a CN of 98 , and pervious areas are considered equivalent to open space in good hydrologic condition. CN's for other combinations of conditions may be computed using figure 2-3 or 2-4.
    ${ }^{3}$ CN's shown are equivalent to those of pasture. Composite CN's may be computed for other combinations of open space cover type.
    ${ }^{4}$ Composite CN's for natural desert landscaping should be computed using figures 2-3 or 2-4 based on the impervious area percentage $(C N=98)$ and the pervious area $C N$. The pervious area CN's are assumed equivalent to desert shrub in poor hydrologic condition.
    5 Composite CN's to use for the design of temporary measures during grading and construction should be computed using figure 2-3 or 2-4 based on the degree of development (impervious area percentage) and the CN's for the newly graded pervious areas.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ This number reflects trees in the immediate vicinity of construction and trees that were inventoried outside the limits of construction.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition, 2021.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), Trip Generation Manual, 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2017.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition, 2014.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), Trip Generation Manual, 11 th Edition, 2021.

[^6]:    Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 112

[^7]:    Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 168

[^8]:    Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 311

[^9]:    Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 261

[^10]:    ${ }^{*}$ Composite $($ Area/CN $)=[(1.250 \times 98)+(8.150 \times 98)+(5.890 \times 76)+(0.730 \times 98)] / 16.020$

[^11]:    * Composite $($ Area $/ \mathrm{CN})=[(3.350 \times 98)+(7.020 \times 98)+(4.190 \times 76)+(0.220 \times 98)] / 14.780$

[^12]:    * Composite $($ Area $/ C N)=[(2.040 \times 98)+(4.050 \times 98)+(10.090 \times 76)+(0.750 \times 98)+(1.590 \times 98)+(1.430 \times 74)] / 19.950$

[^13]:    * Composite $($ Area $/ C N)=[(1.240 \times 98)+(1.040 \times 76)+(3.180 \times 98)+(1.800 \times 74)] / 7.260$

[^14]:    ${ }^{*}$ Composite $($ Area/CN $)=[(1.250 \times 98)+(8.150 \times 98)+(5.890 \times 76)+(0.730 \times 98)] / 16.020$

[^15]:    * Composite $($ Area $/ C N)=[(2.040 \times 98)+(4.050 \times 98)+(10.090 \times 76)+(0.750 \times 98)+(1.590 \times 98)+(1.430 \times 74)] / 19.950$

[^16]:    * Composite $($ Area $/ C N)=[(1.240 \times 98)+(1.040 \times 76)+(3.180 \times 98)+(1.800 \times 74)] / 7.260$

[^17]:    ${ }^{*}$ Composite $($ Area/CN $)=[(1.250 \times 98)+(8.150 \times 98)+(5.890 \times 76)+(0.730 \times 98)] / 16.020$

[^18]:    * Composite $($ Area $/ C N)=[(2.040 \times 98)+(4.050 \times 98)+(10.090 \times 76)+(0.750 \times 98)+(1.590 \times 98)+(1.430 \times 74)] / 19.950$

[^19]:    * Composite $($ Area $/ C N)=[(1.240 \times 98)+(1.040 \times 76)+(3.180 \times 98)+(1.800 \times 74)] / 7.260$

[^20]:    To encourage healthy living and work-life balance during the summer months, our offices will close at 12 PM on Fridays.

[^21]:    Suzannah Stanley, Land Use Planner
    Mackenzie
    SStanlev@mcknze.com
    cc: planning@tualatin.gov, Tualatin Community Development Department

    Enclosure(s): Preliminary Overall Site Plan

[^22]:    Consor • October 2022 • City of Tualatin
    LAM Research Building G•2
    <br>ad.msa-ep.com\Portland\PDX_Projects\20\2737 - Tualatin On-Call Modeling\LAM Research Building G - Hydraulic Analysis 10102022.docx

