Related Zoning Ordinance Recommendations:

- Could reduce minimum lot size and dimensions for R-1 district
- Might allow accessory dwelling units or in-family suites (granny flats) in the R-1 district
- Could create new tiered multi-family zoning districts by density by-right (1-8 units, 9-20 units, 20+ units)
- Could allow multi-family in business zoning districts or, at a minimum, allow residential in mixed use buildings by percentage rather than only above the ground floor
- Reduce minimum parking standards, consider maximum parking standards and minimum bicycle parking standards
- Might want to reorient industrial districts to have a light industrial (indoor-oriented) vs. heavy industrial (some indoor and outdoor) and/or create new standalone extraction and disposal zoning district – can't deny CUPs

Future Land Use Category Review 1.24.23

Кеу	Existing Comp Plan Categories	Zoning	Recommended New Categories	Zoning
1	Rural Lands	County	Agriculture	A-1,
	Farming and agriculture		Farming uses limited to 1	County
			home per 35 acres	
2	Rural (Low Density) Residential	County	Unsewered Residential	A-1,
	Unsewered, rural non-farm		Existing single-family	County
	residential		detached residential on	
			septic systems	
3	Rural Commercial	County	Keep as-is	County
	Rural non-farm commercial			
4	Medium Density Residential	R-1, R-2,	Keep as-is, add R-3 and I zoning	R-1, R-
	• 2-5 du/acre urban residential (SF,	R-6	district	2, R-3,
-	TF, and small MF)			R-6, I
5	High Density Residential	R-2, R-3,	Keep as-is, add I zoning district	R-2, R-
	• 5+ du/acre urban residential (SF,	R-4, R-5,	and remove R-4 and R-5 zoning	3, R-6, I
	TF, and all MF)	R-6	district	
6			Manufactured Residential	R-4, R-5
			Standalone land use	
			category for manufactured	
			homes/parks	
7	Planned Neighborhood	None	Planned Neighborhood	R-1, R-
	• Min. 60-70% SF, 10-15% TF, 20-	specified	• Min. 60% SF, 40% TF/MF,	2, R-3,
	25% MF, some small-scale		some small-scale business,	R-6, I, B
	business, mixed use, and public		mixed use, and public uses	
	uses			
8	Downtown Mixed Use	B, R-3, R-	Keep as-is	B, R-3,
	 Higher density urban 	6, some R-		R-6,
	commercial, residential, and	2		some R-
	public uses		V-1-1-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00	2, 1
9	Commercial	B, B-1, B-2	Planned Mixed Use	R-3, B,
	 All scales of urban commercial 		 Well-planned mix of 	B-1, B-
			commercial, office,	2, I
			institutional, and multi-	
-10		D 4 F 5	family	
10	Business Park	B-1, B-2,	Regional Mixed Use	B-1, B-
	Office, warehouse, or light	M-1, M-2	Indoor commercial and	2, M-1,
	industrial		light industrial,	M-3, I
4.4		24425	warehousing	
11	Industrial	M-1, M-2,	Heavy Industrial	M-1, M-
	Large-scale light and heavy industrial areas.	M-3	Heavy and outdoor	2, M-3,
40	industrial uses		industrial uses	<u> </u>
12			Extraction and Disposal	M-2
		•	Quarry, mining, landfill uses	
13	Public and Institutional	l	Keep as-is	1
	Church, school, government uses			··

14			Airport Airport and related uses	M-2
15	Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Active and passive recreation uses	All, C-1	Keep as-is	All, C-1
16	Natural Resource Protection Overlay • Wetlands, floodplains, slopes, etc.	All	Keep as-is, rename Environmental Corridors	All
17			 Long-Term Growth Area Logical extension of City growth beyond 20 years 	A-1

Explanation of Changes:

- 1. Recommend specifying the residential density in this land use to try and prevent more unsewered residential neighborhoods that could box the City in.
- 2. Name change only.
- 3. No changes recommended. Only map existing development that matches this to prevent new development of this type that could box the City in.
- 4. Recommend adding the R-3 zoning district for this land use category to allow for small scale multi-family to occur. See Housing Report. Just a clarification change in adding the Institutional zoning district (applicable to all land use categories).
- 5. Decision needs to be made on whether to include manufactured/mobile homes in this land use or create a new land use category specifically for it. With a new land use created as shown above:
 - a. Pros the City has more control over where they go (have more ability to deny)
 - b. Cons could be limiting an affordable housing option in Tomah
- 6. See above.
- 7. Recommend redistributing the percentages of housing unit types to provide more diverse housing options in new neighborhoods. See Housing Report.
- 8. No changes recommended.
- 9. Recommend either allowing standalone multi-family in this land use category or, at a minimum, allowing mixed use (commercial and residential). See Housing Report.
- 10. Recommend allowing all commercial and indoor industrial in this land use category to reduce future Comprehensive Plan Amendments needed (i.e. more flexibility).
- 11. Recommend separating out heavy industrial users (some indoor and outdoor components) from light industrial users (indoor only) to provide greater City control because its really hard to deny a CUP now.
- 12. Recommend adding an extraction and disposal land use category to provide greater City control because its really hard to deny a CUP now.
- 13. No changes recommended.
- 14. Recommend adding an airport land use category to provide greater City control because its really hard to deny a CUP now.
- 15. No changes recommended.
- 16. No changes recommended.
- 17. Recommend creating a long-term growth area overlay to show logical extension of City growth beyond the next 20 years to help reduce the chances of getting boxed in.