

AGENDA FOR LONG RANGE PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE

A Long Range Planning Committee meeting will be held on **Tuesday**, **June 22**, **2021 at <u>5:30 PM</u>** in the **VIA TELECONFERENCE**.

Join Zoom Meeting:

Meeting Link: https://zoom.us/j/93324698850?pwd=clJMMXQyN3BpNjYxeW1jM1JVUkhkdz09

Meeting ID: 933 2469 8850 | Passcode: LRPC1234 Dial by your location: +1-312-626-6799 US (Chicago) Meeting ID: 933 2469 8850 | Passcode: 01265468

1. AGENDA:

A. Call to Order - Roll Call

- B. Approval of May 25, 2021 Long Range Planning Committee Meeting Minutes
- C. Reviewing Certificate of Appropriateness 115 W La Crosse Street (Signage)
- D. Building Inspector Project Updates
- E. Administrator Updates
- 2. NEXT MEETING DATE: July 27, 2021
- 3. ADJOURNMENT

NOTICE: It is possible that a quorum of members of other governmental bodies of the municipality may be in attendance at the above-stated meeting to gather information. No action will be taken by any governmental body at the above-stated meeting other than the governmental body specifically referred to above in this notice. Please note that, upon reasonable notice, efforts will be made to accommodate the needs of disabled individuals through appropriate aids and services. For additional information or to request this service, contact JoAnn Cram, City Clerk, at 819 Superior Avenue, Tomah, WI 54660.



LONG RANGE PLANNING COMMITTEE

The City of Tomah Long Range Planning Committee (LRPC) met in session on **Tuesday, May 25, 2021** at 5:30 p.m. in the Municipal Building, 819 Superior Avenue, Tomah, WI, and via Zoom teleconference. The meeting access information was provided on the posted agenda. The meeting agenda notice was posted at City Hall and on the City's website in compliance with the provisions of Section 19.84 Wisconsin Statutes.

Call to Order – Roll Call: Member Remy Gomez called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. Members present: Adam Gigous, Remy Gomez, Pete Reichardt, Tina Thompson, and Shawn Zabinski. Absent: Jeff Holthaus, Mike Murray, Dustin Powell, and Joanne Westpfahl. Quorum present.

Also in attendance: City Administrator Brad Hanson, Building Inspector Shane Rolff, MSA Community Planner Steve Tremlett, MSA Finance/TID Specialist Jeff Thelen, and Deputy City Clerk Berta Downs.

Approval of April 27, 2021 Citizen Participation Committee (CPC) Meeting Minutes: Motion by Gigous, second by Zabinski to approve the minutes of the April 27, 2021 CPC meeting. Motion carried.

Approval of April 27, 2021 Long Range Planning Committee (LRPC) Meeting Minutes: Motion by Gigous, second by Zabinski to approve the minutes of the April 27, 2021 LRPC meeting. Motion carried.

Nominations and Election of Officers (Chair and Vice-Chair): Powell expressed before the meeting to nominate Pete Reichardt as the Committee Chairperson. Pete respectfully declined as he is involved with other committees and activities that may conflict with this meeting and won't be able to perform the duties.

Thompson nominated Remy Gomez for Committee Chairperson and to re-elect Dustin Powell as Committee Vice-Chairperson.

Motion by Thompson, second by Zabinski to nominate Remy Gomez as Committee Chairperson and to nominate Dustin Powell as Committee Vice-Chairperson. There were no other nominations. Motion carried. Remy Gomez was duly elected Chairperson of the Long Range Planning Committee and Dustin Powell was duly elected Vice-Chairperson of the Long Range Planning Committee.

Downtown Design Standards - Outdoor Food Service and Vending Unit: Steve Tremlett, MSA Community Planner, presented site design standard examples and recommendations for outdoor food service and vending units. The intent is to create an enjoyable atmosphere for visitors that would serve as a benefit for the community, provided that such service is planned and managed properly.

Motion by Gigous, second by Zabinski to adopt recommendation "A. All outdoor food service areas open to the public shall be ADA compliant" and recommendation "B. Vending Unit use of plastic materials, concrete block, fabric, and other loose materials are prohibited base materials", to become site design standards and be removed from the recommendation list. Motion carried.

Potential TID Amendments and TID Creation: Steve Tremlett, MSA, reviewed the city's TID Health and discussed potential TID amendments/creation with the possibly of adding territory to the existing TID 8 and creation of a new TID 11. Administrator Hanson noticed the TID plans were missing items that could help the city with acquisition of equipment and assist community housing needs. The State of Wisconsin is promoting a housing rehabilitation program which is unique and first of its kind. Hanson is not aware of any other municipality currently utilizing this program in the state. Hanson provided information on the proposal to create a new "Tomah Owner Occupied Housing Assistance Program" which would start after the limit was

Item B.

met for the CBGD housing program. Use of this program will help prevent dilapidated buildings, benefit TID's, provide workforce housing, improve the value of city homes, and keep utility users in our city. It will be ground breaking and very impressive for our community. Information only, no action needed on this item.

Building Inspector Project Updates: Rolff advised the city is discussing development suggestions south of Tomah with Don Brenengen. Thompson advised a community survey was conducted to see what residents wanted developed in the Tomah area with "Recreation Center" topping the list.

Administrator Updates: Hanson advised the city is still working on the hotel comprehensive study and hoping to get feedback soon.

Next Meeting Date: June 22, 2021.

Adjournment: Motion by Thompson, second by Reichardt to adjourn at 6:33 p.m. Motion carried.

Respectfully submitted by:

Berta Downs

Berta Downs, Deputy City Clerk, May 26, 2021

Design Standards Checklist

Certificate of Appropriateness

City of Tomah: Building/Site Improvement Review **Application for Certificate of Appropriateness**

| Property Owner Name: | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|
| First Congregational U | nited Church of Christ | |
| Property Owner Address (if different | t from Address of Property): | |
| Street | Municipality | State |
| Property Owner Phone Number: (Ho | ome/Mobile): | |
| Have you reviewed the Downtown To | omah Design Standards (if applicable)? | |
| Yes No | Not Applicable | |
| ************************************** | | |
| Have you reviewed the City's Historic | Preservation Ordinance (if applicable)? | |
| Yes No | Preservation Ordinance (if applicable)? Not Applicable istoric district, or contain a historic structure? | |
| Yes No Is your property a historic site, in a h | Not Applicable istoric district, or contain a historic structure? | |
| Yes No Is your property a historic site, in a h | Not Applicable istoric district, or contain a historic structure? | √ Signage |
| Yes No Is your property a historic site, in a h Yes No Scope of project to include: (Please of | Not Applicable istoric district, or contain a historic structure? | ✓ Signage Exterior Lighting |
| Yes No Is your property a historic site, in a h Yes No Scope of project to include: (Please of New Construction | Not Applicable istoric district, or contain a historic structure? check appropriate items.) Siding | |
| Yes No Is your property a historic site, in a h Yes No Scope of project to include: (Please of Mew Construction Building Addition | Not Applicable istoric district, or contain a historic structure? check appropriate items.) Siding Landscaping / Fencing | Exterior Lighting |
| Yes No Is your property a historic site, in a h Yes No Scope of project to include: (Please of Mew Construction Building Addition Façade Restoration | Not Applicable istoric district, or contain a historic structure? check appropriate items.) — Siding — Landscaping / Fencing — Parking / Rear Access | Exterior Lighting |
| Yes No Is your property a historic site, in a h Yes No Scope of project to include: (Please of Market Construction Building Addition Façade Restoration Awning/Canopy/Shutters | istoric district, or contain a historic structure? Sheck appropriate items.) ——————————————————————————————————— | Exterior Lighting |



Certificate of Appropriateness

City of Tomah: Building/Site Improvement Review **Application for Certificate of Appropriateness**

| Please submit the following information: One historical (if available) and one modern photograph of building Eight (8) copies of plans of proposed work, including color and/or material samples if appropriate. A completed downtown design standards checklist |
|---|
| Estimated total cost/budget for proposed project: \$20,000 |
| Do you have any questions or concerns? |
| I understand the criteria for this application, approval and reviews by the Long Range Planning Committee and/ or Historic Preservation Commission (check with Zoning Administrator if unknown whom will review), and agree to be subject to the Downtown Design Standards and/or Historic Preservation Ordinance (if applicable) for the above described work in accordance with City ordinances. Signed: Date: 6/14/2021 |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY |
| Application Number: Received By: U 22 202 Date of Meeting: |

Last Modified: March 2, 2017

Approved or Denied?:

6-14-21

Conditions of Approval or Reasons for Denial:

Date Received:

Page 2 of 2

Checklist

| <u>Instructions</u> | |
|--|---|
| If a section of these standards does not apply to the proposed project (e.g. parking standards for a facade renovation project) the entire section can be skipped by checking the "does not apply" box NA. If any part of a section does apply, please fill out the entire section with checks for completed standards and cross outs for any that do not apply. | |
| | E DESIGN |
| Sign Type Usage Standards | 1. All signs conform to the sign design and maintenance requirements in the City's Zoning Ordinance, -AND- have applied/acquired sign permit |
| Comments (office use only): | E DESIGN 1. All signs conform to the sign design and maintenance requirements in the City's Zoning Ordinance, -AND- have applied/acquired sign permit 2. The project does not include following sign types: roof-mounted, pole, external neon cabinet/canister, billboard, -AND- there is no canopy in the public right-of-way. |
| | right-of-way. 3. All ground signs, if any, utilize monument-style design. 4. Ground signs do not extend higher than the mean |
| | 4. Ground signs do not extend higher than the mean street grade following the restriction shown below. Downtown Core Only: 5 feet Transitional Area Only: 8 feet |
| | 5. Signage on awnings, marquees and canopies is > 75% of the front valance, flat profile, and/or roof AND - no signage is placed on the side of the structure. |
| | 6. LED changeable messaging sign incorporated in monument sign does not comprise > 30% of the sign area, inclusive of the base area. |
| | 7. Signage is > 25% of each storefront display window/door area, excluding product display. |

| | | Applicant | Staff / ZA | LRPC | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------|------------|------|--|
| list | 1. Signs are placed to fit in with the building's overall architectural composition -AND- do not significantly obscure the building's architectural features. | | | | Sign Placement, Installation & Lighting Standards |
| | Placement of signs and mounting systems do not obscure windows or doorways, including door, glass panes, and corresponding trim and supports. | | na | | Comments (office use only): |
| | 3. Signage on masonry buildings are mounted through the mortar joints rather than through the masonry itself, if possible. | 0 | NPT | | |
| heck | 4. Signs do not extend above the roofline, cornice or parapet, whichever is lowest. | 0 | 14 | | |
| Design Standards Checklist | 5. If a historic sign board area exists above the transom windows, the primary wall sign is placed inside this space -AND - does not extend above, below or beyond the edges of the signboard area. | | 14 | | |
| | 6. Awnings are not internally illuminated. | | Au | | |
| | 7. Exterior lamps are located and shielded to prevent the casting of direct light or glare on roadways, adjacent properties and the sky, -AND- does not interfere significantly with the sign or sign bracket. | 4 | 10% | | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O |
| | 8. Downtown Core Only , if wall/projecting sign is internally illuminated, the sign face (background) is opaque with only push thru lettering/symbols illuminated. | | nk | | |
| | There are no color changing and "chasing" LED features. | V | V | | |
| | | | | | |

Checklist

| | Applicant | Staff / ZA | LRPC | | |
|---|-----------|------------|---|---|----------------------------|
| Sign Materials, Colors & Lettering Standards | | | | Sign substrate is either MDO, Aluminum, or Aluminate. Acrylic material, if used, simulates metal or wood. | |
| | | V | | The sign style, color and materials complement the character of the building and other signage. | |
| Comments (office use only): | | V | | 3. Highly reflective material is not used. | |
| | \square | V | | 4. The color tones between the sign's lettering/ symbols and background have sufficient contrast to make the sign clearly legible. | Desi |
| | V | V | | 5. The main lettering and predominant background does not use fluorescent colors. | ign S |
| | | SIT | E I | DESIGN | tand |
| Street Relationship Standards NA Comments (office use only): | | | | 1. Downtown Core Only, primary structures will be built no more than 3-PT from the front property line, except a portion of the building may be set back per the following limitations: The space provides an outdoor seating area, a hardscape plaza, or similar accessible pedestrian space, AND 25%, or minimum of 10-FT, of the building width is built to the restricted setback, -AND- Maximum setback of 10-FT. | Design Standards Checklist |
| | 6 | | | 2. Transitional Area Only, primary structures will be built within 25-FT of the front property line. 3. There is at least one functional building entrance provided on the facade facing the (most prominent) street. | |
| | | | Approximate the second | | |

Item C.



