

## I. Call to Order

## II. Approval of Minutes

a. March 5, 2024 Regular Meeting Minutes

## III. Business

a. Re-zoning Request- 140, 144, 150, 160 Queens Creek Road Presenter: Rebecca Brehmer - Projects/Planning Coordinator, CFM, CZO

Emerald Coast, Inc., on behalf of themselves and other property owners have submitted a rezoning request for four parcels located on Queens Creek Road from O/I (Office and Institutional) to B-1 (Business). The areas are further identified as 140 Queens Creek Road containing 0.48 acres (tax parcel ID 1313-88), 144 Queens Creek Road containing one acre (tax parcel ID 1313-87), 150 Queens Creek Road containing 1.35 acres (tax parcel ID 1313-85), and 160 Queens Creek Road containing 5 acres (tax parcel ID 1313-85.1). The total acreage requested for rezoning is +/-7.83 acres.

Recommended Action: Motion to recommend or deny rezoning of 140, 144, 150, and 160 Queens Creek Road from 0/I (Office and Institutional) to B-1 (Highway/Business) with the comprehensive plan consistency statement to the Board of Commissioners.
b. Text Amendment to Section § 152.179 Table of Permitted/Special Uses and § 152.212 Use Standards to enable Food, Beverage \& Craft Processing and Production with Retail Sales Presenter: Andrea Correll, AICP - Town Planner

The purpose of this text amendment is to enable food, beverage, and craft processing and production with retail sales in the B2HDO and MI zoning districts.

Recommended Action: Motion to recommend approval of the Text Amendment to Section § 152.179 Table of Permitted/Special Uses and § 152.212 Use Standards to enable Food, Beverage \& Craft Processing and Production with Retail Sales in the B2HDO and MI Zoning Districts with the comprehensive plan consistency statement to the Board of Commissioners.

## c. Text Amendment to Section §152.009 (E) Maintenance of the Official Zoning Map Presenter: Andrea Correll, AICP - Town Planner

The purpose of text amendment is to enable the time that official zoning maps are posted to be changed from two weeks to sixty days of notification.

Recommended Action: Motion to recommend approval of the text amendment to Section §152.009 (E) Maintenance of the Official Zoning Map to amend the time of replacement of the official zoning map from within two weeks to sixty days of notification.
d. Text Amendment to Appendix III Historic District Design Standards, Section 3: Roofs Presenter: Rebecca Brehmer - Projects/Planning Coordinator, CFM, CZO

The Historic Preservation Commission has recommended amendments to Appendix III Historic District Design Standards, Section 3: Roofs.

Recommended Action: Motion to recommend approval of the text amendment to Appendix III Historic District Design Standards, Section 3 Roofs with the comprehensive plan consistency statement to the Board of Commissioners.
e. Text Amendment to Appendix III Historic District Design Standards, Section 5: Windows and Doors
Presenter: Rebecca Brehmer - Projects/Planning Coordinator, CFM, CZO
The Historic Preservation Commission has recommended amendments to Appendix III Historic District Design Standards, Section 5: Windows and Doors

Recommended Action: Motion to recommend approval of the text amendment to Appendix III Historic District Design Standards, Section 5: Windows and Doors with the comprehensive plan consistency statement to the Board of Commissioners.
IV. Chairman/Board Thoughts/Staff Comments

## V. Public Comments

## VI. Adjournment

# Town of Swansboro <br> Planning Board <br> Regular Meeting Minutes <br> March 5, 2024 

## Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 5:33 pm. Board members in attendance were Christina Ramsey, Clara Abalos, Sherrie Hancock, Jerry Seddon, Tim Vannoy and Lauren Brown. There was one ETJ vacancy.

## Minutes

On a motion by Mr. Vannoy, seconded by Mr. Seddon, the minutes for the January 10, 2024, Special meeting were approved unanimously.

On a motion by Mrs. Abalos, seconded by Mr. Seddon, the minutes for the February 6, 2024, Regular meeting were approved unanimously.

## Business

## Election of Chair and Vice Chair

In accordance with the Planning Board's General Rules, a Chairman and a Vice-Chairman shall be elected from within the membership by the members.

On a motion by Mr. Vannoy, seconded by Mrs. Abalos and approved unanimously, Christina Ramsey was appointed to serve as Chair.

On a motion by Mr. Vannoy, seconded by Mrs. Brown and approved unanimously, Jerry Seddon was appointed to serve as Vice-Chair.

## Zoning Map Amendment to rezone parcel on Swansboro Loop Road from RA to R20SF Conditional Zoning

Planner Correll reviewed that Sand Dollar Homes was seeking a conditional rezoning for 27.287 +/- acres on a parcel of land identified as Tax Parcel ID 019324, from RA (Agricultural Residential) to R-20SF CZ (Single Family Conditional Rezoning) to develop a cluster subdivision. The case was reviewed at the January 10, 2024, special meeting and tabled to the February 6, 2024, regular meeting. The case was again tabled to this meeting. Mrs. Correll shared that the Planning Board had a sixty-day deadline and action needed to be taken with a recommendation to move forward to the Board of Commissioners. If a recommendation was not made, staff would move forward without a recommendation. The legal opinion from the town attorney was provided and has been incorporated into the condition as $\# 6$ found below.

The following conditions were reviewed by Planner Correll:

1. A Traffic Impact Analysis meeting the Town's requirements must be completed and approved prior to the preliminary plat (construction drawings) and before the development is heard at the Planning Board.
2. Stormwater methods will be required to route the phase I development stormwater within the development not impacting the wetlands to reduce the amount of stormwater routed to Swansboro Loop Road. (modified)
Additional Conditions were added to address concerns expressed at the required community meetings January 4 and January 5 as well as the Planning Board meeting January 10th.
3. The twenty-five-foot required exterior buffer where there is a natural screen that cannot be seen through the vegetation will remain. Where there is an open field or no vegetation the area will be planted to screen the adjoining neighbors using the type A buffer standard.
4. An additional ten-foot-wide buffer will be required between the proposed 20 -foot-wide fire road on the west side of the development adjacent to tax parcels 056584 and 002598. If there is natural screening that cannot be seen through the vegetation will remain, otherwise the type A buffer standard will be used.
5. Construct a 4-foot wooden privacy fence with gates surrounding the lift station with hardy fastgrowing shrubs outside the fence to form a hedge meeting ONWASA requirements.
6. Any claim of a $3^{\text {rd }}$ party regarding the applicant's title to the subject property, or the effect of such a claim, if any, on the requested rezoning, is a civil matter between private parties. This would include title conflicts between any existing easements and proposed public streets. The Planning Board's recommendation of approval has no bearing on claims of title to the property, such being outside the authority and jurisdiction of the Planning Board. The Board's recommendation should not be construed as having any effect on title to the property, claims against the property, or the validity of any such claims.

In response to inquiries from the board, the following was clarified by Planner Correll and Josh Johnson Stroud Project Engineer:

- Powell Bill funds are used primarily to resurface municipal maintained roads and Swansboro Loop was a NCDOT maintained road.
- If the traffic engineer determines that a turn lane is necessary, it would be located on Sand Dollars' property and not on the right of way across the street.
- Based on current estimates, Swansboro Loop Road received 4,000 trips within twentyfour hours.
- The ditches and culverts are NCDOT's property to maintain.
- The zoning must be approved before any design can be reviewed on the retention pond.
- The Stormwater permit would accommodate for Phase I and II
- There would not be any development on Lot 32 which contains wetlands to ensure the preservation and, CAMA had established provisions.
- There was no change in the delineation of the isolated wetlands.
- Sidewalks located outside the municipality cannot be improved or added by the town.

During discussion Mrs. Abalos recommended to amend \#2 to incorporate phase II.

On a motion by Mr. Seddon and seconded by Mr. Vannoy, the zoning map amendment to rezone the parcel on Swansboro Loop Road from RA to R-20SF CZ was unanimously recommended with six conditions and to incorporate the recommended change to condition \#2, as shown above for approval to the Board of Commissioners. The request was found consistent with the comprehensive plan.

## Public Comments

Justine Hall of 151 Rooster Run Rd; Johnny Newby of 134 Rooster Run Rd; Stephen Simmons of 407 Tasha Terr all shared the following concerns and were not in favor of the rezoning request.

- Rooster Run being eliminated.
- Stormwater retention
- Traffic on Main Street Extension


## Board Comments

Mrs. Ramsey described how pleased she was with Stroud Engineering's patience, openmindedness, and willingness to work with the Town staff.

## Adjournment

On a motion by Mr. Vannoy, seconded by Mr. Seddon, the meeting adjourned at 6:31pm.

Planning Board Meeting Agenda Item Submittal

Item To Be Considered: Re-zoning Request- 140, 144, 150, 160 Queens Creek Road
Board Meeting Date: April 2, 2024
Prepared By: Rebecca Brehmer - Projects/Planning Coordinator, CFM, CZO
Overview: Emerald Coast, Inc., on behalf of themselves and other property owners have submitted a rezoning request for four parcels located on Queens Creek Road from O/I (Office and Institutional) to B-1 (Business). The areas are further identified as 140 Queens Creek Road containing 0.48 acres (tax parcel ID 1313-88), 144 Queens Creek Road containing one acre (tax parcel ID 1313-87), 150 Queens Creek Road containing 1.35 acres (tax parcel ID 1313-85), and 160 Queens Creek Road containing 5 acres (tax parcel ID 131385.1). The total acreage requested for rezoning is $+/-7.83$ acres.

The applicant is interested in commerical/office/flex space/condos/townhouse. However, the applicant has not requested conditional rezoning and therefore, any uses permitted in the B-1 Zoning District would be applicable if approved.

## History:

The applicant submitted similar applications in 2017 and 2019 for 3 parcels, and as of July 28, 2021, tax parcel ID 1313-85 has been further subdivided into 2 lots and an additional application for rezoning was submitted in 2022. Below is a summary of the history.

## $\underline{2017}$

- On August 7, 2017, the Planning Board unanimously recommended denial for B1 rezoning request because it was not consistent with the CAMA Land Use Plan (LUP) of 2009 because of the lack of infrastructure supporting it. The Board considered the density for a B1 (and O/I zoning) and the effect it would have on the traffic on Queens Creek Road.
- At the September 12, 2017, BOC Public Hearing, Planner Andrea Correll summarized excerpts from the CAMA LUP on street deficiencies and land suitability. It was noted that multiple improvements to Queens Creek Road were under review by NCDOT.


## Action:

$\qquad$

```
The following summarizes existing street deficiencies:
Excerpt for 2009 CAMA LUP (page 7)
Lack of access connecting subdivisions, business apartments,
etc., without having to go back on Highway 24 through areas
southeast of Highway 24; i.e., connector road from Old
Hammock (SR 1512) to Shore Drive area; from Queens Creek
Road (SR I5I2) to Hammocks Beach Road (SR 15I1).
In addition to the CAMA LUP, the Town of Swansboro
commissioned a traffic study in November 2015. Over the five-
year time span, the greatest number of reported accidents (42)
occurred at the intersection of NC-24 (W Corbett Avenue)
Queens Creek Road / Swansboro Middle School Driveway.
```

There was also discussion/clarification that $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{I}$ zoning was no more/less restrictive than B 1 , Property owners were agreeable to O/I if B1 was not approved.

The Board of Commissioners voted 3 to 2 in favor of the $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{I}$ rezoning. However, the vote required a $2 / 3$ approval (4) to pass on the first reading. A second reading was required at the next meeting and approval could then be granted with a simple majority vote.

- At the October 10, 2017, Board of Commissioners meeting where a second reading was to take place, no motion was made for O/I zoning therefore, the property zoning remained R20 (Single Family).


## 2019/2020

- On September 23, 2019 (Special Meeting), the Planning Board voted 4-1 recommending approval for the B1 rezoning request deeming it consistent with the 2019 CAMA Land Use Plan. As part of their recommendation, the Planning Board noted that there were potential negative traffic impacts of developing the proposed property and recommended renewed efforts by Swansboro officials to engage NCDOT regarding traffic improvement recommendations to NC 24 (W. Corbett Avenue/Queens Creek Road/Middle School driveway) contained in Section 6 of the Town of Swansboro's Traffic Impact Analysis from November 4, 2015.
- At their October 22, 2019, Public Hearing, the Board of Commissioners reviewed/discussed traffic issues along Queen Creek Road and the idea that $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{I}$ zoning may be a better zoning district. The vote was unanimous to table the request.
- Mr. Freeman subsequently withdrew his application and submitted a request to rezone the properties O/I - Office Institutional.
- At their December 2, 2019, regular meeting, the Planning Board voted unanimously to recommend approval for O/I zoning; stating that it was consistent with the approved Comprehensive Plan, specifically the CAMA Land Use Plan.
- At their January 13, 2020, Public Hearing, the Board of Commissioners voted unanimou to rezone parcels 1313-85, 1313-87 and 1313-88 located on Queens Creek Road from R 20SF to O/I.
$\underline{2022}$
- On their September 6, 2022, regular meeting, the Planning Board voted unanimously to recommend denial for $\mathrm{B}-1$ rezoning request because it was not consistent with the Future Land Use Plan.
- After this reccomendation, Mr. Freeman susenquently withdrew his application to rezone from O/I to B-1 before the Board of Comissioners could review.
(All meeting minutes for the Planning Board and Board of Commissioners above are available on the website if more in-depth review on discussions is needed. The history above is meant to simply show the actions taken.)


## Additional Notes:

In conversation with the Town's Traffic Engineer Jeff Hochanadel, he shared that the traffic study conducted in 2019 would most likely not hold the same results today. However, there is no such requirement for a Traffic Impact Analysis when rezoning is requested. A TIA only applies when a project is proposed, and in some instances when a special use is requested.

It is also noted that some recent traffic movement improvements have been made along Queens Creek Road at the High School and NCDOT has installed a traffic light at the Swansboro High School/Queens Creek Elementary entrance.

## Background Attachment(s):

1. Expanded Overview
2. Application
3. 2019 Timmons Traffic Analysis
4. Draft Ordinance
5. Consistency Statement

Recommended Action: Motion to recommend or deny rezoning of 140, 144, 150, and 160 Queens Creek Road from O/I (Office and Institutional) to B-1 (Highway/Business) with the comprehensive plan consistency statement to the Board of Commissioners.

## Expanded Overview:

The four parcels of land requested for rezoning by Emerald Coast, Inc. are in the ETJ and currently zoned O\I (Office Institutional). See figure 1. The 2019 CAMA Land Use Plan depicts these parcels as Low Density /Suburban Neighborhood (LDSN) along the Gateway Corridor (GC). See figure 2. The tracts front on Queens Creek Road and are located approximately 966 feet from the intersection with NC Highway 24. They are surrounded by property zoned B-1. Across Queens Creek the property is Government/Education and contains Swansboro High School and Queens Creek Elementary School. Some recent NCDOT improvements have been made to the entrances at the schools as well as a traffic light added at the intersection. See figure 3. The allowable density according to the 2019 CAMA Land Use Plan is up to 5 dwellings per acre of any type of residential development.

Staff supports the property remaining O/I (office institutional) zoning as a buffer between the low-density residential zoning and the surrounding commercial area, as previously designated in the 2009 Future CAMA Land Use Map. Currently, the commercial B-1 rezoning request is not in keeping with the newer 2019 Future CAMA Land Use Map which now designates this area as a Low Density/Suburban Neighborhood.



Figure 1- Town Limits Map

Figure 2- Future Land Use Map


Figure 3- NCDOT Improvements

A recent conversation with the Town's Traffic Engineer, Jeff Hochanadel verified that the most recent bidirectional traffic count on Queens Creek Road was from 2019 and was 14,000 trips a day. A significant increase of 2,000 trips from the past count in 2015 which was 12,000 trips a day.
(this space left blank intentionally)

## Gateway Corridor (GC)

The area around and encompassing NC 24 is the foyer of the community: a place that welcomes travelers and residents alike. For some people, it may be the only part of Swansboro that they see, and the impression it leaves should be reflective of the community's values: welcoming friendliness, coastal charm, and beauty. The appearance and function of this corridor are in need of attention, and updated and enhanced regulation were identified as important to the community. The Gateway Corridor is all lots within 100' of and visible from, or with frontage on NC 24.

## Character

The Gateway Corridor is a new designation intended to enhance the function and appearance of the NC 24 corridor, as well as other main entranceways to the town. Generally, the GC area encompasses properties that are directly adjacent to, visible from, and interact with NC 24, although any regulations developed
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may need to reduce or expand that definition to accomplish their goals. Recommendations from the Gateway Corridor Report (2013) as well as input from the public open house workshop should inform any regulations that will be developed for this area.

## Access and circulation

This designation lies along the major thoroughfares in the Town. These high speed, NCDOT-owned roadways designed for local and through traffic. Pedestrian accommodations (likely built to NCDOT Complete Street standards), median beautification and additional landscaping, and reduced left-turn movements should be required and retrofitted. Additional right-of-way dedication or reservation will likely be required for new development or redevelopment, to accommodate anticipated or likely future widenings.

## Setbacks

Additional setbacks, and potentially right-of-way reservation or dedication, will likely be required along major thoroughfares, particularly state highways that are likely to be widened in the future.

## Massing and building heights

The underlying FLU may control the massing of buildings within the GC, but additional
requirements may also be necessary depending on the particulars of the desired outcome(s).

## Blocks

As designated by the underlying FLU category, but potentially modified depending on access and traffic management concerns. Adding cross access and interconnectivity between parcels will be crucial to enhancing connectivity and function.

## Parking

Parking is governed by the underlying FLU designation but should also take into account visual impacts on the corridor. Generally, jurisdictions seek to minimize the visibility of parking areas along character enhancement corridors.

## Appropriate Density / Intensity

As designated by the underlying FLU category, and potentially modified to create an area with enhanced functional and visual characteristics.

## Representative Areas

This newly formed designation functions as an overlay designed to enhance the existing NC 24 corridor. Ideally, it will have associated zoning regulations that will regulate and enhance the function and appearance of the corridor.

## Low Density / Suburban Neighborhood (LDSN)

This residential neighborhood type generally reflects recent development in Swansboro. Lots are a little larger and although the neighborhood is walkable, most peoplemove into and out of the neighborhood by car. Althoughsomesmallscale non-residential or multi-family residential may occur at key intersections or near significant public resources (like parks), this area is primarily single family detached residential homes.

## Character

Almost exclusively single family detached residential, although occasionally more intense development may be allowed near select town infrastructure, such as near large parks. Low intensity, low nuisance nonresidential uses may also be allowed at select crossroads or neighborhood activity centers. Lots are wider and regular.

## Access and circulation

Streets are residential in character, with low speeds and occasional, informal on-street parallel parking. Street trees are present but may be separated from the edge of pavement by a ditch. Sidewalks are present but depending on density may not be on both sides of the street.

## Setbacks

Setbacks are generally more restrictive than in other residential districts, with significantly sized yards often present on all sides of a building.

MAssing and bullding heights
Lower height structures, that typically do not exceed two stories, with one-story structures also common. Buildings are separated from each other by relatively large side yards.

## Blocks

Maximum block lengths must be similar to those of the CTN, regardless of density of development. This is especially relevant when connecting to existing roads which are or will be thoroughfares or collectors of any sort, including residential collectors. The appropriate block length will allow the neighborhood to evolve as the town grows and changes through time.
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Example of Low Density / Suburban Neighborhood (LDSN)

## Parking

Parking location is less restrictive than in CTN, and can be located on any side of a building, including in corner yards. The larger lots accommodate garages on the sides of buildings, which may be front- or side-loaded.

## Appropriate Density

» This low-density residential type will occupy most of the town's land area.
» Up to 5 dwellings per acre of any type of residential within $1 / 2$ mile walking distance of any Town Center area or within $1 / 4$ mile walking distance of a Coastal Traditional Neighborhood area, whichever is greater (i.e. - allows increased density).
» Up to 4 dwellings per acre of any type residential within $1 / 4$ mile walking distance of a public park of 5 acres or more in size, if there is improved pedestrian access.
» Up to 2 dwellings per acre in all other areas.

## Representative Areas

» The Forestbrook, Halls Creek, Halls Creek North, and River Reach neighborhoods, especially if there was greater connectivity or stub-outs to adjacent parcels.
» The neighborhood surrounding Swansboro Hills Apartments

## Other Concerns

Maintaining connectivity between subdivisions and neighborhoods is what creates a community. Blocks and street connections must be designed such that future connections and extensions are made that create a cohesive, regular, intuitive street pattern, to the greatest extent possible. This also ensures that as the area evolves, more intense uses can replace older uses through redevelopment.

The lower density of development in the outskirts of this FLU designation (2 du/acre) will quickly consume land and may inadvertently displace residential demand and development to just outside the town's ETJ. This may be exacerbated by the availability of water and sewer services, and potentially lower lot size requirements. The Town should coordinate with the County and ONWASA to avoid this outcome.

Additionally, a restriction on density within the town (i.e. - 2 dwellings per acre) which provides municipal services (i.e. - parks, streets, water, sewer, police, etc.) may consume a large amount of land and return a lower amount of revenue (property taxes) that is used to support those municipal services. Generally speaking, higher density lots will provide greater return on investment (property taxes) to support the services that the town provides.

## Town of Swansboro

601 W. Sorbet Avenue Swansboro, NC 28584
Phone (910) 326-4428-Fax (910) 326-3101

## APPLICATION FOR ZONING \& ORDINANCE AMENDMENTS

Check the Appropriate Blank
Add a Use to a Zoning District
Remove a Use from a Zoning District
Create a New Zoning District Future Land Use Map Amendment
A complete application must be received with the fee by the third Friday prior to the month of review.
140 HARGETT, 150 MURCHISON, 144/160 EMERALD COAST, INC.
Property Owner Name
Phone \# 910-330-1650
Address of Zoning Request 140, Prcl\# 113-88: 144, Prcl\# 1313-87: 150, Prcl\# 1313-85: 160, Prcl\# 1616-85.1
Mailing Address Cecil Hargett Jr. 114 Leslie Dr. Hubert, Stuart Murchison 150 Queens Creek Rd, Emerald Coast Inc. PO 1649 Swansboro

## Zoning Amendments

Attach a copy of the legal description of the property (including address if assigned) that is requested for a zoning change (i.e. metes and bounds). The application will not be scheduled for review until these items are received.
*Provide a list names and mailing address of adjacent property owner on the reverse side of this application.
The application will not be scheduled for review until these items are received.
Present Zoning $\qquad$
O-I
Desired Zoning $\qquad$
B-1
Probable Use of Property There are only a few Permitted and Special uses allowed in the O-I. Reason for Zoning Change Request There is now a traffic light at the school entrance on Queens Creek Rd

## Ordinance Amendments

Code Section to be amended
Print clearly the code section wordage to be amended $\qquad$
Print clearly the code section wordage as suggested $\qquad$
PIRATES COVE TOWNHOME ASSOCIATION INC. 1612 MILITARY CUTOFF RD WILMINGTON NC 28403-5741
EXCEL QUEENS CREEK PROPERTIES LLC. PO BOX 12588 NEW BERN NC 28561
Reason for requested amendment $\qquad$
SCF RC FUNDING IV LLC. 902 CARNEGIE CTR STE 520 PRINCETON NJ 08540-6531


Future Land Use Map Amendment Present Future Land Use Category $\qquad$ Desired Future Land Use Category Use of Property

Reason for Future Land Use Map Change Request
Town Hall Use Only
Fee Paid JV/4 Date Received $3 / 6 / 24$ Date scheduled for Planning \& Zoning Board review $4 / 2 / 2 \quad 1$ Recommendation from Planning \& Zoning Board $\qquad$ Public Hearing Run Dates Effective Date of Change

Date of Public Hearing Ordinance Number

September 3, 2019

Paula W. Webb, MMC-NCCMC
Assistant Town Manager / Town Clerk
Town of Swansboro
601 W Corbett Avenue
Swansboro, NC 28584
pwebb@ci.swansboro.nc.us
910-326-4428

## RE: Queens Creek Traffic Analysis

Dear Ms. Webb,
Timmons Group performed a cursory capacity analysis of the proposed 7-acre commercial development to be located off Queens Creek Road south of NC 24 (W Corbett Avenue). It was assumed, for purposes of analysis, that a 10,000 square foot (SF) general retail development will be constructed on the proposed 7 -acre site*. The site generated traffic impacts were analyzed at the adjacent intersection of NC 24 (W Corbett Avenue) / Queens Creek Road / Middle School Driveway.

* Site specifics were not provided at the time of this capacity analysis. It is likely that a development larger than 10,000 SF could be constructed on the existing 7 -acre site. 10,000 SF was chosen to represent a minimal build-out scenario. Building size(s) is dependent upon existing site conditions and constrants (availability of water/sewer, presence of wetlands/streams, etc).

The intersection of NC 24 (W Corbett Avenue) / Queens Creek Road / Middle School Driveway is a signalized intersection with split side street phasing. The northbound intersection approach includes exclusive left and right-turn lanes. The southbound middle school driveway approach includes an exclusive left-turn lane and a shared through / right-turn lane. The eastbound intersection approach includes two through lanes and an exclusive right-turn lane. The westbound intersection approach includes an exclusive left-turn lane and two through lanes. Eastbound left-turning, westbound right-turning, and northbound through movements are prohibited at this intersection.

## Existing / Background Traffic Volumes

Figure 1 shows 2019 Existing traffic volumes and projected 2021^ Background traffic volumes. Existing peak hour turning movement traffic volumes, collected in May 2019, were acquired from the NCDOT (and not collected by Timmons Group). 2021 Background traffic volumes were calculated using a 3\% ambient growth rate grown exponentially over two years.
$\wedge$ It was assumed, for purposes of analysis, that the proposed development would be constructed by 2021.

## TIMMONS GROUP

YOUR VISION ACHIEVED THROUGH OURS.

## Build Traffic Volumes

The site-generated trips shown in Table 1 are based on trip generation information provided in the $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineer's (ITE's) Trip Generation Manual. Trip generation was calculated using the assumed commercial square footage ( $10,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ) as the independent variable, as well as the provided equation (per NCDOT guidelines).

Table 1 - Trip Generation Summary

| ITE Land Use Code | Independent | Daily | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variable | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Shopping Center (820) | 10,000 SF | 1,256 | 97 | 60 | 157 | 47 | 51 | 98 |  |
| 820 Pass-Bys (PM -34\%) |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | 16 | 17 | 33 |  |
| Total: |  | $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ |  |

SOURCE: Institute of Transportation Engineers' Trip Generation Manual $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition (2017)
AM peak hour trips generated totaled 97 incoming and 60 outgoing where PM peak hour trips totaled 47 incoming and 51 outgoing. For Land Use Code (LUC) 820, a pass-by percentage of 34\% was assumed for PM peak hour trips (per NCDOT standards). Following these reductions, final PM peak hour trips totaled 31 incoming and 34 outgoing. Average daily traffic (ADT) volumes generated by the development totaled 1,256 vehicles per day. No reduction in trips were included due to internal capture.

The directional traffic patterns, or trip distribution, of the site-generated traffic was assumed to be split equally between east and westbound NC 24 (W Corbett Avenue). The incoming / outgoing site trip percentages were routed, via shortest path, to and from the proposed commercial development. The distribution percentages were then applied to the generated trips to predict routes and project traffic volumes for the 2021 Build scenario. Figure 2 shows the 2021 trip distribution percentages, trip distribution volumes, and 2021 Build traffic volumes. 2021 Build traffic volumes were then determined by applying the site trip distribution volumes to the Background traffic volumes (see Figure 1).

## Traffic Capacity Analyses

Using field observations, aerial photography, and traffic count data, traffic operations were analyzed during 2019 (existing) and 2021 (without and with the proposed development site trips).

Capacity analysis allows traffic engineers to determine the impacts of traffic on the surrounding roadway network. The Transportation Research Board's (TRB) Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodologies govern how the capacity analyses are conducted and how the results are interpreted. There are six letter grades of Levels of Service (LOS) from A to F, with LOS A representing the best operating conditions and LOS F the worst operating conditions. At signalized intersections, an overall intersection LOS E is generally considered unacceptable. Table 2 shows in detail how each of these levels of service are interpreted.

TIMMONS GROUP
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Table 2: Level of Service Definitions


A

B density.

Delay is not unreasonable, stable traffic flow.

C
Stable condition, movements somewhat restricted due to higher volumes, but not objectionable for motorists.

D Movements more restricted, queues and delays may occur during short peaks, but lower demands occur often enough to permit clearing, thus preventing excessive backups.

E
Actual capacity of the roadway invloves delay to all motorists due to congestion.

F
Forced flow with demand volumes greater than capacity resulting in complete congestion. Volumes drop to zero in extreme cases.
$\qquad$

No vehicle waits longer than one signal indication.

On a rare occasion motorists wait through more than one signal indication.


Intermittently drivers wait through more than one signal indication, and occasionally backups may develop behind left turning vehicles, traffic flow still stable and acceptable.

Delays at intersections may become extensive with some, especially left-turning
 signal indications, but enough cycles with lower demand occur to permit periodic clearance, thus preventing excessive backups.

Very long queues may create lengthly delays, especially for left-turning vehicles.

Backups from locations downstream restrict or prevent movement of vehicles out of approach creating a storage ares during part or all of an hour.
SOURCE: "A Policy on Design of Design of Urban Highways and Arterial Streets" - AASHTO, 1973 based upon material published in "Highway Capacity Manual", National Academy of Sciences, 1965.

For signalized and unsignalized intersections, level of service is defined in terms of delay, a measure of driver discomfort, frustration, fuel consumption and lost travel time. Table 3 summarizes the delay associated with each LOS category:

TIMMONS GROUP
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Table 3: Signalized and Unsignalized Intersection Level of Service Criteria

| Signalized Intersections |  | Unsignalized Intersections |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of <br> Service | Control Delay per <br> Vehicle (sec/veh) | Level of <br> Service | Average Control <br> Delay (sec/veh) |
| A | $\leq 10$ | A | 0 to 10 |
| B | $>10$ to $\leq 20$ | B | $>10$ to $\leq 15$ |
| C | $>20$ to $\leq 35$ | C | $>15$ to $\leq 25$ |
| D | $>35$ to $\leq 55$ | D | $>25$ to $\leq 35$ |
| E | $>55$ to $\leq 80$ | E | $>35$ to $\leq 50$ |
| F | $>80$ | F | $>50$ |

## Source: Exhibit 16-2 and Exhibit 17-2 from

## TRB's "Highway Capacity Manual 2000"

Capacity analyses were performed to assess operational conditions. Study area intersections were analyzed using SYNCHRO Version 9.2 based on Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodologies with the following assumptions:

- Existing grades;
- 12-foot lane widths;
- No parking activity, bus stops, or pedestrians;
- Existing AM peak hour factor (PHFs)**;
- PM PHF of 0.90;
- Heavy vehicle percentages 2\%; and
- Timing values found in the provided traffic signal plans.
** Existing PHFs were used due to the existing middle school traffic.
Table 4 - Level of Service and Delay (sec/veh) Results Study Area Intersection

| Intersection | 2019 Existing <br> Traffic Volumes |  | 2021 Background <br> Traffic Volumes |  | 2021 Build <br> Traffic Volumes |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Queens Creek Road at <br> NC 24 (W Corbett Ave) | $E(75.7)$ | $E(56.1)$ | $F(89.3)$ | $E(70.7)$ | $F(99.0)$ | $E(74.6)$ |

Per Table 4, the signalized intersection of NC 24 (W Corbett Avenue) / Queens Creek Road is currently operating at a LOS E during the 2019 Existing AM and PM peak hours. The intersection is projected to operate at a LOS F during the 2021 Background AM peak hour and LOS E during the 2021 PM peak hour. Following the addition of site trip volumes, the
intersection is projected to continue to operate at a LOS F during the 2021 Build AM peak hour and LOS E during the 2021 PM peak hour. Additionally, as shown in the attached Synchro analysis outputs, significant $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile northbound queuing is projected to occur along Queens Creek Road during both analyzed peak hours (greater than 275 -feet for left-turn queue lengths and 575 -feet for right-turning queue lengths). Existing queue storage is inadequate to handle projected queue lengths for the northbound intersection approach.

## Conclusions

Based on the subject analyses, the construction of a commercial development along Queens Creek Road will require the construction of offsite improvements at the intersection of NC 24 (W Corbett Avenue) / Queens Creek Road to meet guidelines provided in the Town's Unified Development Ordinance. Existing intersection capacity is inadequate to handle existing / future traffic volumes. The addition of ambient traffic growth and proposed commercial site trips will cause intersection conditions to further degrade in future conditions.

Should you have any questions regarding this memorandum, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey P. Hochanadel, PE, PTOE
Senior Project Manager, Transportation
(Attachments)



2021 Build Traffic Volumes


Queens Creek
Traffic Analysis Build Traffic Volumes

Figure 2
YOUR VISION ACHIEVED THROUGH OURS.

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 |  | ${ }^{7}$ |  | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 952 | 165 | 233 | 1002 | 0 | 178 | 0 | 450 | 52 | 78 | 78 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 952 | 165 | 233 | 1002 | 0 | 178 | 0 | 450 | 52 | 78 | 78 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 415 | 125 |  | 0 | 190 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.925 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3471 | 1553 | 1752 | 3505 | 0 | 1752 | 0 | 1568 | 1641 | 1598 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.080 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3471 | 1553 | 148 | 3505 | 0 | 1752 | 0 | 1568 | 1641 | 1598 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1048 |  |  | 745 |  |  | 1037 |  |  | 256 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 20.4 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 1253 | 217 | 311 | 1336 | 0 | 278 | 0 | 703 | 104 | 156 | 156 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1253 | 217 | 311 | 1336 | 0 | 278 | 0 | 703 | 104 | 312 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | pm+ov | D.P+P | NA |  | Prot |  | pm+ov | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  | 10.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) |  | 23.8 | 33.0 | 12.9 | 23.9 |  | 33.0 |  | 12.9 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) |  | 55.0 | 33.0 | 32.0 | 87.0 |  | 33.0 |  | 32.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) |  | 36.7\% | 22.0\% | 21.3\% | 58.0\% |  | 22.0\% |  | 21.3\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Maximum Green (s) |  | 49.1 | 27.4 | 26.1 | 81.1 |  | 27.4 |  | 26.1 | 24.2 | 24.2 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.8 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.1 |  | 2.6 |  | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -0.9 | -0.6 | -0.9 | -0.9 |  | -0.6 |  | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.8 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag |  | Lead | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Minimum Gap (s) |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Time Before Reduce (s) |  | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Time To Reduce (s) |  | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Recall Mode |  | Min | Min | None | None |  | Min |  | None | None | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  | 20.0 |  |  |  | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#hr) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Efftt Green (s) |  | 50.0 | 76.1 | 77.0 | 82.0 |  | 26.0 |  | 58.1 | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.34 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.55 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.17 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 1.07 | 0.27 | 0.84 | 0.69 |  | 0.90 |  | 1.14 | 0.38 | 1.16 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 93.1 | 11.1 | 62.7 | 26.4 |  | 91.3 |  | 123.9 | 59.7 | 157.0 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 93.1 | 11.1 | 62.7 | 26.4 |  | 91.3 |  | 123.9 | 59.7 | 157.0 |  |
| LOS |  | F | B | E | C |  | F |  | F | E | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 81.0 |  |  | 33.3 |  |  | 114.7 |  |  | 132.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) |  | -720 | 54 | 245 | 489 |  | 266 |  | -790 | 92 | -363 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (t) |  | \#615 | 66 | 279 | 425 |  | 250 |  | 547 | 81 | 219 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 968 |  |  | 665 |  |  | 957 |  |  | 176 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) |  |  | 415 | 125 |  |  | 190 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1172 | 818 | 369 | 1941 |  | 331 |  | 614 | 277 | 269 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 1.07 | 0.27 | 0.84 | 0.69 |  | 0.84 |  | 1.14 | 0.38 | 1.16 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 148.1
Natural Cycle: 150
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.16

Intersection Signal Delay: 75.7
Intersection Capacity Utilization 73.8\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 257: Queens Creek Road/Middle School Drive \& NC-24 (W Corbett Ave)


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 中4 | 「 | ＊ | 44 |  | ${ }^{1}$ |  | 「＇ | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 1379 | 116 | 410 | 1192 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 438 | 20 | 36 | 28 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 1379 | 116 | 410 | 1192 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 438 | 20 | 36 | 28 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ft） | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 415 | 125 |  | 0 | 190 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.935 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 3471 | 1553 | 1752 | 3505 | 0 | 1752 | 0 | 1568 | 1641 | 1615 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.079 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 3471 | 1553 | 146 | 3505 | 0 | 1752 | 0 | 1568 | 1641 | 1615 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 1048 |  |  | 745 |  |  | 1037 |  |  | 256 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 20.4 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 10\％ | 10\％ | 10\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 1532 | 129 | 456 | 1324 | 0 | 193 | 0 | 487 | 22 | 40 | 31 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 1532 | 129 | 456 | 1324 | 0 | 193 | 0 | 487 | 22 | 71 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | pm＋ov | D．P＋P | NA |  | Prot |  | pm＋ov | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  | 10.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） |  | 23.8 | 33.0 | 12.9 | 23.9 |  | 33.0 |  | 12.9 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） |  | 55.0 | 33.0 | 32.0 | 87.0 |  | 33.0 |  | 32.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） |  | 36．7\％ | 22．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 58．0\％ |  | 22．0\％ |  | 21．3\％ | 20．0\％ | 20．0\％ |  |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Maximum Green (s) |  | 49.1 | 27.4 | 26.1 | 81.1 |  | 27.4 |  | 26.1 | 24.2 | 24.2 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.8 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.1 |  | 2.6 |  | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -0.9 | -0.6 | -0.9 | -0.9 |  | -0.6 |  | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.8 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag |  | Lead | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Minimum Gap (s) |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Time Before Reduce (s) |  | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Time To Reduce (s) |  | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Recall Mode |  | Min | Min | None | None |  | Min |  | None | None | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  | 20.0 |  |  |  | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Efft Green (s) |  | 50.5 | 70.4 | 77.8 | 82.9 |  | 18.7 |  | 51.0 | 11.1 | 11.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.66 |  | 0.15 |  | 0.41 | 0.09 | 0.09 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 1.09 | 0.15 | 1.03 | 0.57 |  | 0.74 |  | 0.76 | 0.15 | 0.50 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 88.6 | 8.3 | 89.5 | 14.5 |  | 68.5 |  | 41.5 | 57.5 | 68.7 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 88.6 | 8.3 | 89.5 | 14.5 |  | 68.5 |  | 41.5 | 57.5 | 68.7 |  |
| LOS |  | F | A | F | B |  | E |  | D | E | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 82.3 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 49.2 |  |  | 66.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | ~758 | 30 | -359 | 300 |  | 153 |  | 342 | 17 | 57 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | \#1046 | 50 | \#665 | 478 |  | 245 |  | 511 | 47 | 113 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 968 |  |  | 665 |  |  | 957 |  |  | 176 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  | 415 | 125 |  |  | 190 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1406 | 997 | 442 | 2329 |  | 397 |  | 641 | 332 | 327 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 1.09 | 0.13 | 1.03 | 0.57 |  | 0.49 |  | 0.76 | 0.07 | 0.22 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 124.7
Natural Cycle: 150
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.09

Intersection Signal Delay: 56.1
Intersection Capacity Utilization 88.8\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Intersection LOS: E
ICU Level of Service E

Splits and Phases: 257: Queens Creek Road/Middle School Drive \& NC-24 (W Corbett Ave)


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 |  | ${ }^{1}$ |  | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 1010 | 175 | 247 | 1063 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 477 | 55 | 83 | 83 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 1010 | 175 | 247 | 1063 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 477 | 55 | 83 | 83 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 415 | 125 |  | 0 | 190 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.925 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3471 | 1553 | 1752 | 3505 | 0 | 1752 | 0 | 1568 | 1641 | 1598 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.080 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3471 | 1553 | 148 | 3505 | 0 | 1752 | 0 | 1568 | 1641 | 1598 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1048 |  |  | 745 |  |  | 1037 |  |  | 256 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 20.4 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 1329 | 230 | 329 | 1417 | 0 | 295 | 0 | 745 | 110 | 166 | 166 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1329 | 230 | 329 | 1417 | 0 | 295 | 0 | 745 | 110 | 332 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | pm+ov | D.P+P | NA |  | Prot |  | pm+ov | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  | 10.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) |  | 23.8 | 33.0 | 12.9 | 23.9 |  | 33.0 |  | 12.9 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) |  | 55.0 | 33.0 | 32.0 | 87.0 |  | 33.0 |  | 32.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) |  | 36.7\% | 22.0\% | 21.3\% | 58.0\% |  | 22.0\% |  | 21.3\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Maximum Green (s) |  | 49.1 | 27.4 | 26.1 | 81.1 |  | 27.4 |  | 26.1 | 24.2 | 24.2 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.8 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.1 |  | 2.6 |  | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -0.9 | -0.6 | -0.9 | -0.9 |  | -0.6 |  | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.8 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag |  | Lead | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Minimum Gap (s) |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Time Before Reduce (s) |  | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Time To Reduce (s) |  | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Recall Mode |  | Min | Min | None | None |  | Min |  | None | None | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  | 20.0 |  |  |  | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 50.0 | 77.0 | 77.0 | 82.0 |  | 26.9 |  | 59.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.55 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.40 | 0.17 | 0.17 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 1.14 | 0.29 | 0.90 | 0.73 |  | 0.93 |  | 1.20 | 0.40 | 1.24 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 118.4 | 11.2 | 70.9 | 28.3 |  | 95.5 |  | 144.9 | 60.6 | 184.9 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 118.4 | 11.2 | 70.9 | 28.3 |  | 95.5 |  | 144.9 | 60.6 | 184.9 |  |
| LOS |  | F | B | E | C |  | F |  | F | E | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 102.5 |  |  | 36.3 |  |  | 130.9 |  |  | 154.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) |  | -801 | 58 | 266 | 539 |  | 286 |  | -875 | 97 | -403 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | \#698 | 70 | 299 | 462 |  | 265 |  | 595 | 86 | 233 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (t) |  | 968 |  |  | 665 |  |  | 957 |  |  | 176 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  | 415 | 125 |  |  | 190 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1165 | 813 | 367 | 1930 |  | 329 |  | 620 | 275 | 268 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 1.14 | 0.28 | 0.90 | 0.73 |  | 0.90 |  | 1.20 | 0.40 | 1.24 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 149
Natural Cycle: 150
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.24

Intersection Signal Delay: 89.3
Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.4\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Intersection LOS: F
ICU Level of Service D

Splits and Phases: 257: Queens Creek Road/Middle School Drive \& NC-24 (W Corbett Ave)


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 坐 |  | ${ }^{7}$ |  | 「＇ | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 1463 | 123 | 435 | 1265 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 465 | 21 | 38 | 30 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 1463 | 123 | 435 | 1265 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 465 | 21 | 38 | 30 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ft） | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 415 | 125 |  | 0 | 190 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.934 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 3471 | 1553 | 1752 | 3505 | 0 | 1752 | 0 | 1568 | 1641 | 1613 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.079 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 3471 | 1553 | 146 | 3505 | 0 | 1752 | 0 | 1568 | 1641 | 1613 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 1048 |  |  | 745 |  |  | 1037 |  |  | 256 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 20.4 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 10\％ | 10\％ | 10\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 1626 | 137 | 483 | 1406 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 517 | 23 | 42 | 33 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 1626 | 137 | 483 | 1406 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 517 | 23 | 75 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | pm＋ov | D．P＋P | NA |  | Prot |  | pm＋ov | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  | 10.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） |  | 23.8 | 33.0 | 12.9 | 23.9 |  | 33.0 |  | 12.9 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） |  | 55.0 | 33.0 | 32.0 | 87.0 |  | 33.0 |  | 32.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） |  | 36．7\％ | 22．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 58．0\％ |  | 22．0\％ |  | 21．3\％ | 20．0\％ | 20．0\％ |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Maximum Green (s) |  | 49.1 | 27.4 | 26.1 | 81.1 |  | 27.4 |  | 26.1 | 24.2 | 24.2 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.8 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.1 |  | 2.6 |  | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -0.9 | -0.6 | -0.9 | -0.9 |  | -0.6 |  | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.8 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag |  | Lead | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Minimum Gap (s) |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Time Before Reduce (s) |  | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Time To Reduce (s) |  | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Recall Mode |  | Min | Min | None | None |  | Min |  | None | None | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  | 20.0 |  |  |  | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Efftt Green (s) |  | 50.5 | 71.6 | 77.8 | 82.9 |  | 19.8 |  | 52.2 | 11.4 | 11.4 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.40 | 0.57 | 0.62 | 0.66 |  | 0.16 |  | 0.41 | 0.09 | 0.09 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 1.17 | 0.16 | 1.11 | 0.61 |  | 0.75 |  | 0.80 | 0.16 | 0.51 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 120.0 | 8.3 | 112.2 | 15.9 |  | 68.9 |  | 44.0 | 57.9 | 69.8 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 120.0 | 8.3 | 112.2 | 15.9 |  | 68.9 |  | 44.0 | 57.9 | 69.8 |  |
| LOS |  | F | A | F | B |  | E |  | D | E | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 111.3 |  |  | 40.6 |  |  | 51.1 |  |  | 67.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( t ) |  | -861 | 32 | $\sim 416$ | 344 |  | 166 |  | 376 | 18 | 61 |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( t ) |  | \#1156 | 53 | \#730 | 539 |  | 262 |  | 559 | 49 | 119 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 968 |  |  | 665 |  |  | 957 |  |  | 176 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) |  |  | 415 | 125 |  |  | 190 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1389 | 984 | 437 | 2301 |  | 392 |  | 648 | 328 | 322 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 1.17 | 0.14 | 1.11 | 0.61 |  | 0.53 |  | 0.80 | 0.07 | 0.23 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 126.2
Natural Cycle: 150
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.17

Intersection Signal Delay: 70.7
Intersection Capacity Utilization 93.1\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 257: Queens Creek Road/Middle School Drive \& NC-24 (W Corbett Ave)


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 中4 | 「 | ＊ | 中4 |  | ＊ |  | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 1010 | 224 | 296 | 1063 | 0 | 219 | 0 | 507 | 55 | 83 | 83 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 1010 | 224 | 296 | 1063 | 0 | 219 | 0 | 507 | 55 | 83 | 83 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ft） | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 415 | 125 |  | 0 | 190 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.925 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 3471 | 1553 | 1752 | 3505 | 0 | 1752 | 0 | 1568 | 1641 | 1598 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.080 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 3471 | 1553 | 148 | 3505 | 0 | 1752 | 0 | 1568 | 1641 | 1598 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 1048 |  |  | 745 |  |  | 1037 |  |  | 256 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 20.4 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 10\％ | 10\％ | 10\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 1329 | 295 | 395 | 1417 | 0 | 342 | 0 | 792 | 110 | 166 | 166 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 1329 | 295 | 395 | 1417 | 0 | 342 | 0 | 792 | 110 | 332 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | pm＋ov | D．P＋P | NA |  | Prot |  | pm＋ov | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  | 10.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） |  | 23.8 | 33.0 | 12.9 | 23.9 |  | 33.0 |  | 12.9 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） |  | 55.0 | 33.0 | 32.0 | 87.0 |  | 33.0 |  | 32.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） |  | 36．7\％ | 22．0\％ | 21．3\％ | 58．0\％ |  | 22．0\％ |  | 21．3\％ | 20．0\％ | 20．0\％ |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Maximum Green (s) |  | 49.1 | 27.4 | 26.1 | 81.1 |  | 27.4 |  | 26.1 | 24.2 | 24.2 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.8 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.1 |  | 2.6 |  | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -0.9 | -0.6 | -0.9 | -0.9 |  | -0.6 |  | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.8 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag |  | Lead | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Minimum Gap (s) |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Time Before Reduce (s) |  | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Time To Reduce (s) |  | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Recall Mode |  | Min | Min | None | None |  | Min |  | None | None | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  | 20.0 |  |  |  | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#hr) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Efftt Green (s) |  | 50.0 | 78.0 | 77.0 | 82.0 |  | 28.0 |  | 60.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.33 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.55 |  | 0.19 |  | 0.40 | 0.17 | 0.17 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 1.15 | 0.37 | 1.09 | 0.74 |  | 1.05 |  | 1.26 | 0.40 | 1.25 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 121.9 | 12.1 | 115.6 | 28.9 |  | 119.7 |  | 168.9 | 60.9 | 188.4 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 121.9 | 12.1 | 115.6 | 28.9 |  | 119.7 |  | 168.9 | 60.9 | 188.4 |  |
| LOS |  | F | B | F | C |  | F |  | F | E | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 101.9 |  |  | 47.8 |  |  | 154.1 |  |  | 156.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( t ) |  | -801 | 78 | -381 | 539 |  | -362 |  | -970 | 97 | -403 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (t) |  | \#698 | 90 | \#424 | 462 |  | 308 |  | \#686 | 86 | 233 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 968 |  |  | 665 |  |  | 957 |  |  | 176 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) |  |  | 415 | 125 |  |  | 190 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1157 | 807 | 364 | 1916 |  | 327 |  | 627 | 273 | 266 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 1.15 | 0.37 | 1.09 | 0.74 |  | 1.05 |  | 1.26 | 0.40 | 1.25 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 150
Natural Cycle: 150
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.26
Intersection Signal Delay: 99.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization 81.7\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Intersection LOS: F
ICU Level of Service D

Splits and Phases: 257: Queens Creek Road/Middle School Drive \& NC-24 (W Corbett Ave)


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 |  | ${ }^{1}$ |  | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 1463 | 139 | 450 | 1265 | 0 | 202 | 0 | 482 | 21 | 38 | 30 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 1463 | 139 | 450 | 1265 | 0 | 202 | 0 | 482 | 21 | 38 | 30 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 415 | 125 |  | 0 | 190 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.934 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3471 | 1553 | 1752 | 3505 | 0 | 1752 | 0 | 1568 | 1641 | 1613 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.079 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3471 | 1553 | 146 | 3505 | 0 | 1752 | 0 | 1568 | 1641 | 1613 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1048 |  |  | 745 |  |  | 1037 |  |  | 256 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 20.4 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 1626 | 154 | 500 | 1406 | 0 | 224 | 0 | 536 | 23 | 42 | 33 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1626 | 154 | 500 | 1406 | 0 | 224 | 0 | 536 | 23 | 75 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | pm+ov | D.P+P | NA |  | Prot |  | pm+ov | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  | 10.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) |  | 23.8 | 33.0 | 12.9 | 23.9 |  | 33.0 |  | 12.9 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) |  | 55.0 | 33.0 | 32.0 | 87.0 |  | 33.0 |  | 32.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) |  | 36.7\% | 22.0\% | 21.3\% | 58.0\% |  | 22.0\% |  | 21.3\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Maximum Green (s) |  | 49.1 | 27.4 | 26.1 | 81.1 |  | 27.4 |  | 26.1 | 24.2 | 24.2 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.8 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.1 |  | 2.6 |  | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -0.9 | -0.6 | -0.9 | -0.9 |  | -0.6 |  | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.8 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag |  | Lead | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Minimum Gap (s) |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Time Before Reduce (s) |  | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Time To Reduce (s) |  | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Recall Mode |  | Min | Min | None | None |  | Min |  | None | None | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  | 20.0 |  |  |  | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Efftt Green (s) |  | 50.5 | 72.6 | 77.8 | 82.8 |  | 20.9 |  | 53.3 | 11.5 | 11.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.40 | 0.57 | 0.61 | 0.65 |  | 0.16 |  | 0.42 | 0.09 | 0.09 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 1.18 | 0.17 | 1.15 | 0.62 |  | 0.78 |  | 0.82 | 0.16 | 0.52 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 124.8 | 8.4 | 129.6 | 16.5 |  | 70.6 |  | 45.3 | 58.4 | 70.4 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 124.8 | 8.4 | 129.6 | 16.5 |  | 70.6 |  | 45.3 | 58.4 | 70.4 |  |
| LOS |  | F | A | F | B |  | E |  | D | E | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 114.7 |  |  | 46.2 |  |  | 52.8 |  |  | 67.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( t ) |  | -879 | 37 | $\sim 457$ | 358 |  | 182 |  | 398 | 18 | 61 |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( t ) |  | \#1156 | 59 | \#765 | 539 |  | 284 |  | 589 | 49 | 119 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 968 |  |  | 665 |  |  | 957 |  |  | 176 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) |  |  | 415 | 125 |  |  | 190 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1376 | 975 | 433 | 2280 |  | 389 |  | 656 | 325 | 319 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 1.18 | 0.16 | 1.15 | 0.62 |  | 0.58 |  | 0.82 | 0.07 | 0.24 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 127.3
Natural Cycle: 150
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.18
Intersection Signal Delay: 74.6
Intersection Capacity Utilization 94.9\%

Intersection LOS: E
ICU Level of Service F

Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 257: Queens Creek Road/Middle School Drive \& NC-24 (W Corbett Ave)


Count Number: 1997
NCDOT Division Number: 3
County: Onslow
City: Swansboro
Count Type: Turning Movement (Classification)

Morton \& Morton
Design Services, PLLC

13 Hour Count Volume: 35,760

| Intersection: NC 24 (Corbett Ave) and Queens Creek Rd \& Middle School Exit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count Date | Count Time | Weather Conditions | Precipitation |
| $5 / 21 / 2019$ | $2: 15 \mathrm{pm}$ to 7 pm | $62-86^{\circ}$ Clear | $5 \%$ |
| $5 / 22 / 2019$ | 6 am to $2: 15 \mathrm{pm}$ | $63-89^{\circ}$ Clear | $5 \%$ |

## Comments:

Counted by:
Data Processor:
Method Used:
Equipment Operating:
School in Session:
Break Times:
Area Lighting Present:
Traffic Control:
Signal Cabinet Number:
Disabled Pedestrians:
Construction:
Traffic Flow Disruption:

Don Morton
Chase Arthur
Jamar Countboard/Video
Yes
Yes
N/A
No
Traffic Signal
03-0257
No
No
No


Count Number: 1997
NCDOT Division Number: 3
County: Onslow
City: Swansboro
Count Type: Turning Movement (Classification)
13 Hour Count Volume: 35,760


Middle School Exit looking north away from NC 24 (Corbett Ave).


Middle School Exit looking south towards NC 24 (Corbett Ave).

Count Number: 1997
NCDOT Division Number: 3
County: Onslow
City: Swansboro
Count Type: Turning Movement (Classification)
13 Hour Count Volume: 35,760


NC 24 (Corbett Ave) looking east away from Queens Creek Rd \& Middle School Exit.


NC 24 (Corbett Ave) looking west towards Queens Creek Rd \& Middle School Exit.

Count Number: 1997
NCDOT Division Number: 3
County: Onslow
City: Swansboro
Count Type: Turning Movement (Classification)
13 Hour Count Volume: 35,760

Morton \& Morton
Design Services, PLLC


Queens Creek Rd looking south away from NC 24 (Corbett Ave).


Queens Creek Rd looking north towards NC 24 (Corbett Ave).

Count Number: 1997
NCDOT Division Number: 3
County: Onslow
City: Swansboro
Count Type: Turning Movement (Classification)
13 Hour Count Volume: 35,760

Morton \& Morton
Design Services, PLLC


NC 24 (Corbett Ave) looking west away from Queens Creek Rd \& Middle School Exit.


NC 24 (Corbett Ave) looking east towards Queens Creek Rd \& Middle School Exit.

Count Number: 1997
NCDOT Division Number: 3
County: Onslow
City: Swansboro
Count Type: Turning Movement (Classification)


Signal Cabinet.

Morton \& Morton Design Services, PLLC
4700 Homewood Ct, Suite 200
Raleigh, North Carolina 27609
Ph. (919) 882-6066

WWW.mortonmorton.com
File Name: 1997
Site Code : 1997
Start Date : 5/22/2019
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Passenger Vehicles - School Buses - Trucks 3+ Axles - Transit Buses - Bicycles

|  | Middle School Exit Southbound |  |  |  |  | NC 24 (Corbett Ave) Westbound |  |  |  |  | Queens Creek Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | NC 24 (Corbett Ave) Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | ${ }_{\text {App }}$ Toalal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Exclu Toal | clu. Total | Int. Total |
| 06:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 107 | 107 |
| 06:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 52 | 2 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 126 | 126 |
| 06:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 122 | 122 |
| 06:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 10 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 43 | 9 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 153 | 153 |
| 06:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 72 | 8 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 181 | 181 |
| 06:25 AM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 27 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 9 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 44 | 10 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 182 | 182 |
| 06:30 AM | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 11 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 74 | 18 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 233 | 233 |
| 06:35 AM | 5 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 30 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 9 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 65 | 19 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 251 | 251 |
| 06:40 AM | 5 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 26 | 37 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 7 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 66 | 23 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 273 | 273 |
| 06:45 AM | 3 | 13 | 15 | 0 | 31 | 25 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 21 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 83 | 23 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 278 | 278 |
| 06:50 AM | 7 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 35 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 15 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 95 | 26 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 334 | 334 |
| 06:55 AM | 11 | 10 | 21 | 0 | 42 | 8 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 17 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 83 | 13 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 287 | 287 |
| Total | 36 | 57 | 56 | 0 | 149 | 212 | 756 | 0 | 0 | 968 | 121 | 0 | 379 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 756 | 154 | 0 | 910 | 0 | 2527 | 2527 |
| 07:00 AM | 7 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 11 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 15 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 93 | 6 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 301 | 301 |
| 07:05 AM | 7 | 9 | 12 | 0 | 28 | 8 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 11 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 75 | 7 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 260 | 260 |
| 07:10 AM | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 9 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 39 |  | 81 | 3 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 245 | 245 |
| 07:15 AM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 26 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 12 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 57 | 12 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 204 | 204 |
| 07:20 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 14 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 42 |  | 106 | 8 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 250 | 250 |
| 07:25 AM | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 37 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 74 | 7 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 272 | 272 |
| 07:30 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 67 |  | 0 | 89 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 74 | 15 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 216 | 216 |
| 07:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 18 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 80 | 11 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 255 | 255 |
| 07:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 88 | 11 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 221 | 221 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 99 | 11 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 229 | 229 |
| 07:50 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 14 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 98 | 11 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 233 | 233 |
| 07:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 13 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 96 | 15 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 274 | 274 |
| Total | 18 | 25 | 27 | 0 | 70 | 217 | 972 | 0 | 0 | 1189 | 152 | 0 | 411 | 0 | 563 | 0 | 1021 | 117 | 0 | 1138 | 0 | 2960 | 2960 |


| 08:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 13 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 77 | 20 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 214 | 214 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 08:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 14 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 60 | 12 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 240 | 240 |
| 08:10 AM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 14 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 83 | 10 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 227 | 227 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 25 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 81 | 10 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 225 | 225 |
| 08:20 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 11 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 89 | 7 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 206 | 206 |
| 08:25 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 12 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 77 | 6 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 224 | 224 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 9 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 56 | 4 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 179 | 179 |
| 08:35 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 15 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 79 | 3 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 176 | 176 |
| 08:40 AM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 7 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 70 | 5 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 191 | 191 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 6 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 73 | 3 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 192 | 192 |
| 08:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 50 | 4 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 166 | 166 |
| 08:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 87 | 3 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 193 | 193 |
| Total | 1 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 19 | 192 | 734 | 0 | 0 | 926 | 141 | 0 | 378 | 0 | 519 | 0 | 882 | 87 | 0 | 969 | 0 | 2433 | 2433 |


| 09:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 8 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 58 | 4 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 178 | 178 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 09:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 8 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 74 | 4 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 194 | 194 |
| 09:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 10 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 58 | 5 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 170 | 170 |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 4 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 70 | 3 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 173 | 173 |
| 09:20 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 57 | 4 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 152 | 152 |
| 09:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 9 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 61 | 2 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 179 | 179 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 9 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 54 | 11 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 175 | 175 |
| 09:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 9 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 71 | 2 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 186 | 186 |
| 09:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 4 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 65 | 6 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 182 | 182 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 10 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 59 | 3 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 166 | 166 |
| 09:50 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 76 | 6 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 183 | 183 |
| 09:55 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 6 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 68 | 4 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 187 | 187 |
| Total | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 212 | 709 | 0 | 0 | 921 | 84 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 371 | 0 | 771 | 54 | 0 | 825 | 0 | 2125 | 2125 |

WWW.mortonmorton.com
File Name: 1997
Site Code : 1997
Start Date : 5/22/2019
Page No : 2
Groups Printed- Passenger Vehicles - School Buses - Trucks 3+ Axles - Transit Buses - Bicycles

|  | Middle School Exit Southbound |  |  |  |  | NC 24 (Corbett Ave) Westbound |  |  |  |  | Queens Creek Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | NC 24 (Corbett Ave) Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toat | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Apo. Toad | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | a | Toal |  | Int. Total |
| 10:00 AM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | , | 12 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 15 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 172 | 172 |
| 10:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 64 | 5 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 209 | 209 |
| 10:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 9 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 70 | 14 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 192 | 192 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 8 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 67 | 4 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 177 | 177 |
| 10:20 AM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 65 | 7 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 202 | 202 |
| 10:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 79 | 7 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 194 | 194 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 85 | 5 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 178 | 178 |
| 10:35 AM | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 12 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 44 | 9 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 181 | 181 |
| 10:40 AM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 5 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 56 | 2 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 148 | 148 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 6 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 75 | 8 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 201 | 201 |
| 10:50 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 31 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 78 | 6 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 206 | 206 |
| 10:55 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 69 | 7 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 188 | 188 |
| Total | 6 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 239 | 729 | 0 | 0 | 968 | 98 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 380 | 0 | 805 | 75 | 0 | 880 | 0 | 2248 | 2248 |


| 11:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 2 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 81 | 3 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 181 | 181 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 7 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 58 | 9 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 203 | 203 |
| 11:10 AM | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 72 | 3 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 183 | 183 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 22 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 8 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 60 | 6 | 0 | 66 | 2 | 192 | 194 |
| 11:20 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 6 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 73 | 5 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 190 | 190 |
| 11:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 57 | 6 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 185 | 185 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 90 | 2 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 207 | 207 |
| 11:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 8 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 61 | 9 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 203 | 203 |
| 11:40 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 65 | 8 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 196 | 196 |
| 11:45 AM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 64 | 6 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 181 | 181 |
| 11:50 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 8 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 70 | 7 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 191 | 191 |
| 11:55 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 6 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 61 | 3 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 198 | 198 |
| Total | 6 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 18 | 252 | 758 | 0 | 0 | 1010 | 70 | 0 | 333 | 0 | 403 | 0 | 812 | 67 | 0 | 879 | 2 | 2310 | 2312 |
| 12:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 36 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 3 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 102 | 7 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 234 | 234 |
| 12:05 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 13 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 63 | 14 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 211 | 211 |
| 12:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 55 | 10 | 0 | 65 | 1 | 185 | 186 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 18 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 86 | 9 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 241 | 241 |
| 12:20 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 10 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 58 | 12 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 198 | 198 |
| 12:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 31 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 12 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 74 | 7 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 224 | 224 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 75 | 8 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 216 | 216 |
| 12:35 PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 31 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 55 | 9 | 0 | 64 | 1 | 203 | 204 |
| 12:40 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 6 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 73 | 12 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 209 | 209 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 86 | 20 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 214 | 214 |
| 12:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 65 | 24 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 229 | 229 |
| 12:55 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 19 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 64 | 9 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 214 | 214 |
| Total | 6 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 18 | 331 | 786 | 0 | 0 | 1117 | 119 | 0 | 327 | 0 | 446 | 0 | 856 | 141 | 0 | 997 | 2 | 2578 | 2580 |


| 01:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 73 | 13 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 203 | 203 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01:05 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 27 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 72 | 15 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 208 | 208 |
| 01:10 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 6 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 88 | 16 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 217 | 217 |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 8 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 49 | 9 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 181 | 181 |
| 01:20 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 27 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 8 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 91 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 223 | 223 |
| 01:25 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 6 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 83 | 10 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 232 | 232 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 28 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 10 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 65 | 5 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 205 | 205 |
| 01:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 15 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 80 | 18 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 232 | 232 |
| 01:40 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 73 | 10 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 221 | 221 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 12 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 57 | 9 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 196 | 196 |
| 01:50 PM | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 23 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 9 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 61 | 9 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 210 | 210 |
| 01:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 9 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 114 | 4 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 242 | 242 |
| Tota | 8 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 21 | 312 | 799 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 116 | 0 | 289 | 0 | 405 |  | 906 | 7 | 0 | 1033 |  | 257 | 2570 |

Morton \& Morton Design Services, PLLC
4700 Homewood Ct, Suite 200
Raleigh, North Carolina 27609
Ph. (919) 882-6066

WWW.mortonmorton.com
File Name: 1997
Site Code : 1997
Start Date : 5/22/2019
Page No : 3
Groups Printed- Passenger Vehicles - School Buses - Trucks 3+ Axles - Transit Buses - Bicycles

|  | Middle School Exit Southbound |  |  |  |  | NC 24 (Corbett Ave) Westbound |  |  |  |  | Queens Creek Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | NC 24 (Corbett Ave) Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toala | Exiu Toal | Inclu Toal | Int. Total |
| 02:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 8 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 79 | 12 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 208 | 208 |
| 02:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 19 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 70 | 16 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 234 | 234 |
| 02:10 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 8 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 68 | 9 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 203 | 203 |
| 02:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 45 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 12 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 42 | 13 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 222 | 222 |
| 02:20 PM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 30 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 9 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 70 | 16 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 255 | 255 |
| 02:25 PM | 5 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 20 | 33 | 59 | 0 |  | 92 | 13 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 72 | 18 | 0 | 90 | 2 | 248 | 250 |
| 02:30 PM | 5 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 28 | 40 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 89 | 11 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 268 | 268 |
| 02:35 PM | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 39 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 26 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 101 | 10 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 312 | 312 |
| 02:40 PM | 5 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 37 | 78 | 0 | , | 115 | 30 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 57 | 11 | 0 | 68 |  | 281 | 282 |
| 02:45 PM | 1 | 4 |  | 0 | 6 | 29 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 29 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 83 | 10 | 0 | 93 | , | 268 | 268 |
| 02:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 16 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 93 | 13 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 258 | 258 |
| 02:55 PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 29 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 9 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 87 | 13 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 229 | 229 |
| Total | 21 | 33 | 26 | 1 | 80 | 397 | 841 | 0 | 2 | 1238 | 183 | 0 | 422 | 0 | 605 | 0 | 911 | 152 | 0 | 1063 | 3 | 2986 | 2989 |


| 03:00 PM | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 27 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 13 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 77 | 8 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 210 | 210 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03:05 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 13 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 73 | 12 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 230 | 230 |
| 03:10 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 62 | 0 | 2 | 88 | 15 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 61 | 16 | 0 | 77 | 2 | 214 | 216 |
| 03:15 PM | 4 | 1 |  | 0 | 6 | 24 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 32 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 62 | 7 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 261 | 261 |
| 03:20 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 15 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 77 | 5 | 0 | 82 |  | 245 | 246 |
| 03:25 PM | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 26 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 8 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 107 | 5 | 0 | 112 | 0 | 258 | 258 |
| 03:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 58 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 153 | 10 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 70 | 9 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 295 | 295 |
| 03:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 23 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 90 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 272 | 272 |
| 03:40 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 43 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 17 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 87 | 6 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 263 | 263 |
| 03:45 PM | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 29 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 9 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 93 | 11 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 285 | 285 |
| 03:50 PM | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 30 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 16 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 78 | 5 | 0 | 83 | 1 | 284 | 285 |
| 03:55 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 35 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 16 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 86 | 8 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 259 | 259 |
| Total | 20 | 9 | 11 | 2 | 40 | 402 | 977 | 0 | 2 | 1379 | 187 | 0 | 407 | 0 | 594 | 0 | 961 | 102 | 0 | 1063 |  | 3076 | 3080 |


| 04:00 PM | 7 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 31 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 15 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 82 | 5 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 288 | 288 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:05 PM | 11 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 37 | 22 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 15 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 125 | 6 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 325 | 325 |
| 04:10 PM | 2 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 17 | 39 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 138 | 20 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 59 | 8 | 0 | 67 | 2 | 293 | 295 |
| 04:15 PM | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 19 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 149 | 4 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 330 | 330 |
| 04:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 9 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 114 | 5 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 313 | 313 |
| 04:25 PM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 39 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 20 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 98 | 13 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 351 | 351 |
| 04:30 PM | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 24 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 129 | 5 | 0 | 134 | 0 | 279 | 279 |
| 04:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 15 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 113 | 15 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 302 | 302 |
| 04:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 8 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 111 | 8 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 286 | 286 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 20 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 129 | 10 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 338 | 338 |
| 04:50 PM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 40 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 21 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 96 | 14 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 300 | 300 |
| 04:55 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 38 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 7 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 126 | 16 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 334 | 334 |
| Total | 27 | 43 | 34 | 1 | 104 | 402 | 1177 | 0 | 1 | 1579 | 178 | 0 | 438 | 0 | 616 | 0 | 1331 | 109 | 0 | 1440 | 2 | 3739 | 3741 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 11 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 130 | 12 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 342 | 342 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:05 PM | 8 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 40 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 13 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 74 | 8 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 285 | 285 |
| 05:10 PM | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 41 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 24 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 105 | 14 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 332 | 332 |
| 05:15 PM | 5 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 38 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 12 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 87 | 12 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 292 | 292 |
| 05:20 PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 33 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 20 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 138 | 7 | 0 | 145 | 1 | 313 | 314 |
| 05:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 9 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 132 | 12 | 0 | 144 | 0 | 277 | 277 |
| 05:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 54 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 154 | 16 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 117 | 8 | 0 | 125 | 1 | 323 | 324 |
| 05:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 12 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 145 | 18 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 320 | 320 |
| 05:40 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 16 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 85 | 22 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 308 | 308 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 11 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 71 | 15 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 243 | 243 |
| 05:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 13 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 85 | 11 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 269 | 269 |
| 05:55 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 170 | 8 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 87 | 16 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 308 | 308 |
| Tota | 6 | 16 | 18 | 1 | 50 | 498 |  | 0 |  | 599 | 65 | 0 | 387 | 0 | 552 |  |  |  | 0 | 1411 |  | 3612 | 14 |

Morton \& Morton Design Services, PLLC<br>4700 Homewood Ct, Suite 200<br>Raleigh, North Carolina 27609<br>Ph. (919) 882-6066

WWW.mortonmorton.com
File Name : 1997
Site Code : 1997
Start Date : 5/22/2019
Page No : 4
Groups Printed- Passenger Vehicles - School Buses - Trucks 3+ Axles - Transit Buses - Bicycles

|  | Middle School Exit Southbound |  |  |  |  | NC 24 (Corbett Ave) Westbound |  |  |  |  | Queens Creek Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | NC 24 (Corbett Ave) Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Exclu. Toal | Inclu Total | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total |  |  |  |
| 06:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 9 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 82 | 7 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 302 | 302 |
| 06:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 70 | 12 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 235 | 235 |
| 06:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 9 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 49 | 9 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 219 | 219 |
| 06:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 17 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 70 | 9 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 242 | 242 |
| 06:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 3 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 62 | 14 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 217 | 217 |
| 06:25 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 29 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 5 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 58 | 14 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 187 | 187 |
| 06:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 11 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 235 | 235 |
| 06:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 23 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 64 | 12 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 247 | 247 |
| 06:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 10 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 43 | 10 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 207 | 207 |
| 06:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 67 | 9 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 192 | 192 |
| 06:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 43 | 9 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 158 | 158 |
| 06:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 5 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 43 | 6 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 155 | 155 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 443 | 844 | 0 | 0 | 1287 | 108 | 0 | 363 | 0 | 471 | 0 | 713 | 122 | 0 | 835 | 0 | 2596 | 2596 |
| Grand Total | 169 | 217 | 214 | 9 | 600 | 4109 | 11183 | 0 | 6 | 15292 | 1722 | 0 | 4703 | 0 | 6425 | 0 | 11981 | 1462 | 0 | 13443 | 15 | 35760 | 35775 |
| Apprch \% | 28.2 | 36.2 | 35.7 |  |  | 26.9 | 73.1 | 0 |  |  | 26.8 | 0 | 73.2 |  |  | 0 | 89.1 | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 |  | 1.7 | 11.5 | 31.3 | 0 |  | 42.8 | 4.8 | 0 | 13.2 |  | 18 | 0 | 33.5 | 4.1 |  | 37.6 | 0 | 100 |  |
| Passenger Vehicles | 163 | 198 | 195 |  | 565 | 4050 | 10854 | 0 |  | 14910 | 1670 | 0 | 4622 |  | 6292 | 0 | 11634 | 1404 |  | 13038 | 0 | 0 | 34805 |
| \% Passenger Venicles | 96.4 | 91.2 | 91.1 | 100 | 92.8 | 98.6 | 97.1 | 0 | 100 | 97.5 | 97 | 0 | 98.3 | 0 | 97.9 | 0 | 97.1 | 96 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 97.3 |
| School Buses | 6 | 19 | 18 |  | 43 | 37 | 12 | 0 |  | 49 | 28 | 0 | 57 |  | 85 | 0 | 35 | 34 |  | 69 | 0 | 0 | 246 |
| \% School Buses | 3.6 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 0 | 7.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 |
| Trucks 3+ Axles | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 21 | 316 | 0 |  | 337 | 24 | 0 | 23 |  | 47 | 0 | 306 | 24 |  | 330 | 0 | 0 | 715 |
| \% Trucks 3+ Axes | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 0 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Transit Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| \% Transit Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bicycles | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| \% Bicycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Raleigh, North Carolina 27609
Ph. (919) 882-6066
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Raleigh, North Carolina 27609
Ph. (919) 882-6066

WWW.mortonmorton.com
File Name : 1997
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Peak Hour Analysis From 06:00 AM to 11:55 AM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 06:30 AM

| 06:30 AM | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 74 | 0 | 88 | 11 | 0 | 35 | 46 | 0 | 74 | 18 | 92 | 233 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:35 AM | 5 | 10 | 5 | 20 | 30 | 74 | 0 | 104 | 9 | 0 | 34 | 43 | 0 | 65 | 19 | 84 | 251 |
| 06:40 AM | 5 | 12 | 9 | 26 | 37 | 67 | 0 | 104 | 7 | 0 | 47 | 54 | 0 | 66 | 23 | 89 | 273 |
| 06:45 AM | 3 | 13 | 15 | 31 | 25 | 55 | 0 | 80 | 21 | 0 | 40 | 61 | 0 | 83 | 23 | 106 | 278 |
| 06:50 AM | 7 | 7 | 4 | 18 | 35 | 104 | 0 | 139 | 15 | 0 | 41 | 56 | 0 | 95 | 26 | 121 | 334 |
| 06:55 AM | 11 | 10 | 21 | 42 | 8 | 78 | 0 | 86 | 17 | 0 | 46 | 63 | 0 | 83 | 13 | 96 | 287 |
| 07:00 AM | 7 | 4 | 6 | 17 | 11 | 107 | 0 | 118 | 15 | 0 | 52 | 67 | 0 | 93 | 6 | 99 | 301 |
| 07:05 AM | 7 | 9 | 12 | 28 | 8 | 102 | 0 | 110 | 11 | 0 | 29 | 40 | 0 | 75 | 7 | 82 | 260 |
| 07:10 AM | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 18 | 97 | 0 | 115 | 9 | 0 | 30 | 39 | 0 | 81 | 3 | 84 | 245 |
| 07:15 AM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 26 | 70 | 0 | 96 | 12 | 0 | 23 | 35 | 0 | 57 | 12 | 69 | 204 |
| 07:20 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 78 | 0 | 90 | 14 | 0 | 28 | 42 | 0 | 106 | 8 | 114 | 250 |
| 07:25 AM | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 96 | 0 | 105 | 37 | 0 | 45 | 82 | 0 | 74 | 7 | 81 | 272 |
| Total Volume | 52 | 78 | 78 | 208 | 233 | 1002 | 0 | 1235 | 178 | 0 | 450 | 628 | 0 | 952 | 165 | 1117 | 3188 |
| \% App. Total | 25 | 37.5 | 37.5 |  | 18.9 | 81.1 | 0 |  | 28.3 | 0 | 71.7 |  | 0 | 85.2 | 14.8 |  |  |
| PHF | . 394 | . 500 | . 310 | . 413 | . 525 | . 780 | . 000 | . 740 | . 401 | . 000 | . 721 | . 638 | . 000 | . 748 | . 529 | . 769 | . 795 |



Raleigh, North Carolina 27609
Ph. (919) 882-6066

WWW.mortonmorton.com
File Name : 1997
Site Code : 1997
Start Date : 5/22/2019
Page No : 7

|  | Middle School Exit Southbound |  |  |  | NC 24 (Corbett Ave) Westbound |  |  |  | Queens Creek Rd Northbound |  |  |  | NC 24 (Corbett Ave) Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 06:55 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:05 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:05 PM | 11 | 14 | 12 | 37 | 22 | 94 | 0 | 116 | 15 | 0 | 26 | 41 | 0 | 125 | 6 | 131 | 325 |
| 04:10 PM | 2 | 9 | 6 | 17 | 39 | 99 | 0 | 138 | 20 | 0 | 51 | 71 | 0 | 59 | 8 | 67 | 293 |
| 04:15 PM | 4 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 20 | 87 | 0 | 107 | 19 | 0 | 41 | 60 | 0 | 149 | 4 | 153 | 330 |
| 04:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 35 | 123 | 0 | 158 | 9 | 0 | 25 | 34 | 0 | 114 | 5 | 119 | 313 |
| 04:25 PM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 39 | 126 | 0 | 165 | 20 | 0 | 51 | 71 | 0 | 98 | 13 | 111 | 351 |
| 04:30 PM | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 24 | 87 | 0 | 111 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 28 | 0 | 129 | 5 | 134 | 279 |
| 04:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 35 | 85 | 0 | 120 | 15 | 0 | 38 | 53 | 0 | 113 | 15 | 128 | 302 |
| 04:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 89 | 0 | 127 | 8 | 0 | 32 | 40 | 0 | 111 | 8 | 119 | 286 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 41 | 91 | 0 | 132 | 20 | 0 | 46 | 66 | 0 | 129 | 10 | 139 | 338 |
| 04:50 PM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 40 | 94 | 0 | 134 | 21 | 0 | 32 | 53 | 0 | 96 | 14 | 110 | 300 |
| 04:55 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 38 | 113 | 0 | 151 | 7 | 0 | 31 | 38 | 0 | 126 | 16 | 142 | 334 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 104 | 0 | 143 | 11 | 0 | 46 | 57 | 0 | 130 | 12 | 142 | 342 |
| Total Volume | 20 | 36 | 28 | 84 | 410 | 1192 | 0 | 1602 | 174 | 0 | 438 | 612 | 0 | 1379 | 116 | 1495 | 3793 |
| \% App. Total | 23.8 | 42.9 | 33.3 |  | 25.6 | 74.4 | 0 |  | 28.4 | 0 | 71.6 |  | 0 | 92.2 | 7.8 |  |  |
| PHF | . 152 | . 214 | . 194 | . 189 | . 833 | . 788 | . 000 | . 809 | . 690 | . 000 | . 716 | . 718 | . 000 | . 771 | . 604 | . 814 | . 901 |



## DRAFT ORDINANCE

WHEREAS North Carolina General Statute 160d-605 and 160D-701 requires that zoning regulations shall be made in accordance with a Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS NCGS 160D-604 also states that when adopting or rejecting any zoning amendment, the governing board shall approve a statement describing whether its action is consistent with an adopted Comprehensive Plan and any other officially adopted plan that is applicable, and briefly explain why the board considers the action taken to be reasonable and in the public interest; and

WHEREAS the Board of Commissioners finds that the proposed re-zoning of PARID Numbers: 07581, 18749, 25956, 170265 located off of Queens Creek Road, is reasonable and in the public interest because the conversion of approximately 7.84 acres from O\I (Office Institutional) zoning designation to $\mathrm{B}-1$ (Business) zoning designation is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, specifically the Land Use Plan, and the property is identified as appropriate for office and institutional land use.

NOW BE IT ORDAINED by the Town of Swansboro Board of Commissioners that the Town Zoning Map be amended by converting PARID Numbers: 07581, 18749, 25956, 170265 from $\mathrm{O} \backslash \mathrm{I}$ (Office\Institutional) zoning designation to $\mathrm{B}-1$ (Business) zoning designation.

This Ordinance shall be effective upon adoption.
Adopted by the Board of Commissioners in regular session, $\qquad$ 2024.

Attest:

Alissa Fender, Town Clerk
John Davis, Mayor

## TOWN OF SWANSBORO PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD STATEMENT OF CONSISTENCY

On April 2, 2024, the Planning Board heard the requested rezoning of four parcels located on Queens Creek Road from O/I (Office and Institutional) to B-1 (Business). The areas are further identified as 140 Queens Creek Road containing 0.48 acres (tax parcel ID 1313-88), 144 Queens Creek Road containing one acre (tax parcel ID 1313-87), 150 Queens Creek Road containing 1.35 acres (tax parcel ID 1313-85), and 160 Queens Creek Road containing 5 acres (tax parcel ID 1313-85.1). The total acreage requested for rezoning is $+/-7.83$ acres. The proposed change from O/I (Office/Institutional) to B-1 (Business) is not consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, specifically the Future Land Use Map, and has not been recommended for approval by the Planning Board because of the low-density land use designation identified on the site and development patterns in the area. Additionally, due to safety concerns identified in the 2019 Traffic Impact Analysis.

This statement reflects the recommendation of the Town of Swansboro Planning Board, the $2^{\text {nd }}$ day of April 2024.

Planning Board Chair

[^0]Planning Board Meeting Agenda Item Submittal

Item To Be Considered: Text Amendment to Section § 152.179 Table of Permitted/Special Uses and § 152.212 Use Standards to enable Food, Beverage \& Craft Processing and Production with Retail Sales

## Board Meeting Date: April 2, 2024

## Prepared By: Andrea Correll, AICP - Town Planner

Overview: The purpose of this text amendment is to enable food, beverage, and craft processing and production with retail sales in the B 2 HDO and MI zoning districts.

Processing and production shall be limited to less than $50 \%$ of the subject property in the B2HDO zoning district. Retail sales in the MI zoning district shall occupy no more than $50 \%$ of the total square footage devoted to the operation. This use standard is intended for a larger brewery with tap room. The attached use standards would enable breweries, wineries, and specialty foods such as chocolates.

The use is consistent with the descriptions found in Chapter 5 of the 2019 CAMA Land Use Plan Update.

## Background Attachment(s):

1. Text Amendment Application
2. CAMA Land Use Plan References
3. Draft Ordinance
4. Comprehensive Plan Consistency Statement

Recommended Action: Motion to recommend approval of the Text Amendment to Section § 152.179 Table of Permitted/Special Uses and § 152.212 Use Standards to enable Food, Beverage \& Craft Processing and Production with Retail Sales in the B2HDO and MI Zoning Districts with the comprehensive plan consistency statement to the Board of Commissioners.

[^1]$\qquad$

Town of Swansboro
601 W. Corbett Avenue Swansboro, NC 28584
Phone (910) 326-4428 - Fax (910) 326-3101

## APPLICATION FOR ZONING \& ORDINANCE AMENDMENTS

Check the Appropriate Blank
Add a Use to a Zoning District
Remove a Use from a Zoning District Create a New Zoning District Future Land Use Map Amendment
A complete application must be received with the fee by the third Friday prior to the month of review.
Property Owner Name Harold Lee Ship Jr Phone \#252-241-4496
Address of Zoning Request 208 Main Street, Swansboro, NC 28584
Mailing Address 228 Elm Street, Swanslooro, Ne, 28584
Zoning Amendments
Attach a copy of the legal description of the property (including address if assigned) that is requested for a zoning change (i.e. metes and bounds). The application will not be scheduled for review until these items are received.

Provide a list names and mailing address of adjacent property owner on the reverse side of this application. The application will not be scheduled for review until these items are received.
Present Zoning B2HDO Desired Zoning $\qquad$
Probable Use of Property
Reason for Zoning Change Request
Ordinance Amendments
Code Section to be amended

```
        Section 152.179
```

Print clearly the code section wordage to be amended See atyached.
Print clearly the code section wordage as suggested see attached.

Reason for requested amendment To have the ability to brew craft beer onsite.

Signature Date 3/7/2024
Future Land Use Map Amendment
Present Future Land Use Category $\qquad$ Desired Future Land Use Category Use of Property

Reason for Future Land Use Map Change Request
Town Hall Use Only

$\qquad$
Public Hearing Run Dates $\qquad$ Date of Public Hearing
Effective Date of Change Ordinance Number $\qquad$

## larger brewing- questions in yellow

Andrea Correll [acorrell@ci.swansboro.nc.us](mailto:acorrell@ci.swansboro.nc.us)
Mon, Jan 8, 2024 at 4:41 PM
To: "tyler.d.skipper@gmail.com" [tyler.d.skipper@gmail.com](mailto:tyler.d.skipper@gmail.com)
Cc: "maryskipperhomes@gmail.com" [maryskipperhomes@gmail.com](mailto:maryskipperhomes@gmail.com), Rebecca Brehmer [rbrehmer@ci.swansboro.nc.us](mailto:rbrehmer@ci.swansboro.nc.us)

Tyler,
If you are still interested in the old post office at 208 Main Street. Please let me know so we can work on the text amendment prior to Planning Board submittal date.

The next deadline is Friday January $19^{\text {th, }}$ and we close at 1 pm . The Planning Board would hear the text amendment on Feb $.6^{\text {th }}$ and the Town Board in March.

Find below the ordinance amendment draft for Swansboro. Please fill in the underlined yellow highlights to meet your needs. The property you interested in is zoned B2HDO but I wanted to give you room to grow into light industrial if it took off or if special foods or wineries were looking for a place.

## § 152.212 USE STANDARDS

## (N) Use- Food, Beverage, \& Craft Processing and Production with Retail Sales

Food, Beverage, \& Craft Processing and Production with Retail Sales. (B2HDO, M-1) These types of establishments include processing and manufacturing facilities for one type or group of merchandise sold at retail, and possibly wholesale, on-site. Permitted uses include, but are not limited to, breweries, wineries and specialty foods. Processing and manufacturing uses shall be limited to no more _, 000 square feet of the subject property in the B2HDO zoning district. Retail sales in the M-1 zoning district shall occupy no more than $50 \%$ of the total square footage devoted to the operation. This use standard is intended for a larger brewery with tap room.

Section


The text amendment application is the section in the middle of the page titled Ordinance Amendment.
The reference to put on the forms (Code section to amended) would be Section 152.179 Table of Permitted Uses adding Food, Beverage \& Craft Processing with Production with Retail Sales and Section 152.212 Use Standard for Food, Beverage \& Craft Processing with Production with Retail Sales.

Thanks
Andrea

Andrea Correll, AICP
Planner

## Town of Swansboro

601 W. Corbett Avenue
Swansboro, NC 28584


## Future Land Use Designations

The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) and associated future land use (FLU) designations will be used to guide rezoning, investment, and land use decisions in the town. Just as the goals and objectives have generated recommendations, the FLU designations are associated with specific character descriptions and criteria. These FLUs and the FLUM are a guideline and reference point for the community, and will inform, but not necessarily definitively predetermine, the outcome of land use decisions and policies by the town.

The mixing of non-residential and residential uses is viewed positively in Swansboro, because of mixed development in the downtown historic district that demonstrates different uses can coexist peacefully. As such, the mixed use FLU designations generally function as overlays that show where additional uses or density can be added to or mixed with the underlying residential uses. Where mixed use FLUs overlap other FLUs, the criteria associated with each must be considered.

Residential FLUs are the underlying character within which the mixed use and non-residential overlap at more intense nodes of activity. Appropriate density is determined in part by a property's position within or proximity to the activity nodes. This allows a stepping down of density and intensity with distance from mixed use activity centers. It also allows the positioning of people next to the goods and services that they need for daily life, and this increased connectivity was a common thread in the community conversation.

## Future Land Use Designations



Traditional Town Center (TTC)
This designation is characterized by a mix of residential, commercial, and civic uses in the historic downtown central business district or TTC node. Redevelopment or new development should be compatible with and embody the desired heart of "Swansboro" character.


## Suburban Town Center (STC)

The highway commercial designation contains medium to high intensity uses on the NC 24 corridor. A mix of uses including multi-family with managed access is encouraged.

## Employment / Light Industrial (ELI)

This designation includes office, light industrial or assembly, and flex-tenant spaces. Site layout should allow for truck circulation, buffers between dissimilar uses, and quality architecture adjacent to the highway.

## Gateway Corridor (GC)

State-owned NC 24 and the adjacent development make the first impression of the town. Signage, lighting, sidewalks, landscaping, architectural design along the roadway should reflect the unique features and values of Swansboro.

## Conservation Priority Area (CPA)

The CPA designation includes lands influenced by the natural environment containing features including but not limited to wetlands, woodland, shoreline, pocosins, open space, vistas that are worth conserving and that define Swansboro.

## Coastal Traditional Neighborhood (CTN)

This is a walkable, compact, residential district laid out based on traditional neighborhood development patterns. It generally surrounds the TTC and contains single and two-family residential with small-scale multi-family and neighborhood commercial.

Low Density / Suburban Neighborhood (LDSN) This designation characterizes the majority of new subdivision development. It is auto-oriented and should be connected to water and sewer infrastructure, and contain sidewalks and streets that connect to parks, educational, or religious uses.

Rural / Agricultural (RA)
Agricultural land generally occurs outside the town limits but is within the town's ETJ. Though there are working farms, rural residential and agritourism activities may occur here. Water and sewer infrastructure are typically not available.
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## Traditional Town Center (TTC)


#### Abstract

When most people talk about Swansboro, they are talking about the historic district, businesses on Front Street and surrounding "old town" residential neighborhood. Homes and businesses stand side-by-side in a historical development pattern and complement, rather than detract from each other. Many older residential homes have been converted into businesses, with second -story residential, with a working marina directly adjacent. This is an area where uses are mixed both vertically and horizontally.


This active, vibrant part of the community is both a window into the past and provides character that defines the community. Using traditional pattern and character to influence future development of small-lot single family homes in other parts of Swansboro, fulfills a strong desire by many in the community to connect people to destinations, especially by non-motorized means.

## Character

A traditional town center district translated to other areas of Swansboro should incorporate the following characteristics to the extent possible. These areas would be mixed use pedestrian-oriented districts with a mixture of small to mid-size retail, restaurants and multifamily residences intertwined with civic and institutional spaces. Upper story residential uses are encouraged. Pedestrians would be prioritized, and automobiles are accommodated.

## Access and circulation

The rights-of-way within these districts may be narrower than typical local streets of Swansboro with two-way traffic and on-street parking but will not be as narrow as those in the historic downtown. These roads are meant to handle slow speed traffic and serve a similar purpose as a parking aisle so that people can park-andwalk to their destinations. Sidewalks flank the roads and buildings built up to or within a few feet of the right-of-way line.


Historic downtown Swansboro
$40 \mid$ Suansboro CAMA Land Use Plan Update

## Setbacks

Typical of a downtown district, buildings are set close enough to interact with the street. Minimal setbacks accommodate a greater density and intensity of uses and promote social interaction.

## Massing and building helghts

Buildings are "human-scaled," meaning not more than three stories tall, but also should be a minimum of two stories to create a street presence.

## Blocks

Block length of no more than 400 feet further promote walkability and discourage automobile use for short trips. Crosswalks and midblock pedestrian connections should be used throughout.

## Parking

Off-street parking requirements for nonresidential uses may be lessened due to the walkable district and availability of on-street, shared or lots for parking. Front-loaded parking is discouraged.

## Appropriate Density / Intensity

This district should replicate the densities and intensities of those in downtown to provide an alternative to other housing and retail experiences in Swansboro. The norm should be:
» Compact residential - Up to eight dwellings per acre single family or 12 for multi-family.
" Ground floor retail is encouraged but lobbies and entrances would be allowed.
" Development of this intensity should employ stormwater control measures with extensive Low Impact Design


## Employment / Light Industrial (ELI)

This land use designation primarily occurs off of NC 24 on the western end of Swansboro, including the existing development on Seth Thomas Lane. The light industrial uses involve automotive or vehicular work, storage of goods, and limited manufacturing, which occurs entirely indoors and not likely to be nuisance to neighbors. The expansion of this district provides a prime location for economic development opportunities that could also include warehousing, distribution, office, research and development, tech-flex. The ELI district is distinguished by the look and feel of an industrial park with circulation for trucks but should include amenities for employees and customers.

## Character

Light industrial and manufacturing, office, and tech-flex uses are encouraged in the ELI. Work occurs almost exclusively indoors, with only storage of vehicles or goods potentially allowed outdoors. With buffers and screens, these areas can be made somewhat compatible with mixed use or adjacent higher density residential development. Water and sewer utilities should be available.


Flex-tenant/ light industrial site

[^2]

## Access and circulation

Streets are designed to accommodate larger vehicles and trucks that are used in deliveries goods and bulk products. Sidewalks should be considered at a minimum on one side of the street to accommodate employee/pedestrian traffic. Businesses should be accessed by way of an internal road that connects to the thoroughfare and a cross access to the adjacent similar development. Landscaping should be placed to buffer dissimilar uses.

## Setbacks

Setbacks are greater and are partially intended to create space for landscaping screen operations and buffer adjacent dissimilar development from potential nuisance from the light industrial / employment use, whether visual, sound, smell, or vibration.

## MASSINg AND bullding heights

Buildings are designed to facilitate their intended purpose although some may be spec'd as "flex" industrial space. How the structures interact with the main highway is less important, though the placement of these sort of uses close to the gateway of town begs attention to the quality of the viewshed (signage, lighting, landscaping). High quality architecture and materials should be used on sides that are adjacent to or highly visible from NC 24.

## Blocks

" 600 feet maximum block length, with up to 800 feet allowed only if necessary to avoid floodplains, creeks, or other environmentally sensitive areas, or to accommodate structures of significant size that cannot otherwise be reconfigured.
» Adequate loop and loading area circulation or turnaround, especially for trucks and delivery vehicles.

## Parking

Parking is primarily accommodated in private lots for each business or building.

## Appropriate Intensity

» Intensity of development is regulated by the zoning district.
»Business activities are generally conducted inside of a building, although outdoor storage may be allowed.
" Residential units are not allowed in this area.
" Site layout needs to respect the probably need for truck and delivery circulation.
» Loading and service areas should be screened from the public right-of-way.

## ORDINANCE 2024- <br> AN AMENDMENT TO THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE <br> § 152.179 TABLE OF PERMITTED/SPECIAL USES, AND § 152.212 USE STANDARDS TO ENABLE FOOD, BEVERAGE, \& CRAFT PROCESSING AND PRODUCTION WITH RETAIL SALES (B2HDO, M-I)

WHEREAS North Carolina General Statute 160D-605 and 160D-701 requires that zoning regulations shall be made in accordance with a Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS the Board of Commissioners finds that the proposed text amendments to the Unified Development Ordinance regarding referenced above to be consistent with the Town of Swansboro CAMA LAND USE Plan updated January 22, 2019, and amended August 28, 2023.

NOW BE IT ORDAINED by the Town of Swansboro Board of Commissioners that the Town Unified Development Ordinance be amended.

Minor alphabetical, clerical and numerical changes may occur after the fact in an ordinance of this size.

TITLE XV: LAND USAGE
CHAPTER 152: UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE
§ 152.179 TABLE OF PERMITTED/SPECIAL USES.

| PERMITTED/ SPECIAL USES | B2HDO |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Food, Beverage \& Craft Processing Production | $\underline{U S}$ | MI |
| with retail sales | $\underline{\text { US }}$ |  |

## § 152.212 USE STANDARDS

(Y) Use - Food, Beverage, \& Craft Processing and Production with Retail Sales (B2HDO, MI) These types of establishments include processing and manufacturing facilities for one type or group of merchandise sold at retail, and possibly wholesale, on-site. Permitted uses include, but are not limited to, breweries, wineries, and specialty foods. Processing and production shall be limited to less than fifty of the subject property in the B2HDO zoning district. Retail sales in the MI zoning district shall occupy no more than $50 \%$ of the total square footage devoted to the operation. This use standard is intended for a larger brewery with tap room.
(1) Requirements:
(a) Minimum lot area: based on zoning classification lot standards.
(2) Plans are required and must show:
(a) Size and location of all outdoor areas used for principal use.
(b) Topography: on new construction, well drained site with adequate storm drainage facilities (including retention pond facilities, when applicable).
(c) Structures: location of signs, entrance, and buildings must be shown on the plan.
(d) Circulation: proposed points for access and egress and a parking layout.
(e) Buffering: as specified in $\S \S 152.528$ of this chapter unless the property abuts residentially used or zoned property in which case a Type C buffer is required.
(f) Sign size and location as specified $\$ \S 152.265-152.277$ of this chapter.
(g) Lighting plan which must include wattage and illumination. The use of full cutoff luminaries is required.
(h) List of all services to be provided.
(i) Security/management plan
(j) Hours of operation (refer to Town noise ordinance $\$ \S 92.01$ - 92.06)
(k) Landscaping Requirements, $\$$ § 152.525-152.544
(l) Parking: shall conform to§§ 152.290 through 152.296, Off-Street Parking and Loading Requirements.

This Ordinance shall be effective upon adoption.
Adopted by the Board of Commissioners in regular session, , 2024.

Attest:

[^3]
## TOWN OF SWANSBORO PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD STATEMENT OF CONSISTENCY

On April 2, 2024, the Planning Board heard the requested text amendment and recommended unanimous approval of the text amendment to the Town Unified Development Ordinance as followed: §152.179 Table of Permitted/Special Use and §152.212 Use Standards to Enable Food, Beverage, \& Craft Processing and Production with Retail Sales (B2HDO, M-I

The Town's Planning Board finds that the proposed text amendment is consistent with the current Comprehensive Plan and other applicable plans and policies and considers the action taken to be reasonable and in the public interest because it provides the structure, for Town staff to proactively address issues related to impacts caused by development in order to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the Town's residents.

Planning Board Chair

Town Planner

## Planning Board Meeting Agenda Item Submittal

Item To Be Considered: Text Amendment to Section §152.009 (E) Maintenance of the Official Zoning Map

Board Meeting Date: April 2, 2024
Prepared By: Andrea Correll, AICP - Town Planner
Overview: The purpose of text amendment is to enable the time that official zoning maps are posted to be changed from two weeks to sixty days of notification.

The Town currently uses the County GIS Department to produce our official maps. The codified reference in Section 152.009 (E) needs to be changed.

## Background Attachment(s):

1. Draft Ordinance
2. Comprehensive Plan Consistency Statement

Recommended Action: Motion to recommend approval of the text amendment to Section $\S 152.009$ (E) Maintenance of the Official Zoning Map to amend the time of replacement of the official zoning map from within two weeks to sixty days of notification.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## ORDINANCE 2024-

## AN AMENDMENT TO THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE § $\mathbf{1 5 2 . 0 0 9}$ (E) OFFICIAL ZONING MAP.

WHEREAS North Carolina General Statute 160D-605 and 160D-701 requires that zoning regulations shall be made in accordance with a Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS the Board of Commissioners finds that the proposed text amendments to the Unified Development Ordinance regarding referenced above to be consistent with the Town of Swansboro CAMA LAND USE Plan updated January 22, 2019, and amended August 28, 2023.

NOW BE IT ORDAINED by the Town of Swansboro Board of Commissioners that the Town Unified Development Ordinance be amended.

TITLE XV: LAND USAGE
CHAPTER 152: UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE § 152.009 OFFICIAL ZONING MAP.
(E) Maintenance of the Official Zoning Map. The Administrator shall be responsible for the maintenance and revision of the Official Zoning Map. Upon notification by the Town Clerk that a zoning change has been made, the Administrator shall make the necessary changes on the Official Zoning Map within weeks sixty days of notification.
(Ord. 2005-O3, passed 3-15-2005)

This Ordinance shall be effective upon adoption.
Adopted by the Board of Commissioners in regular session, $\qquad$ , 2024.

Attest:

[^4]
## TOWN OF SWANSBORO PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD STATEMENT OF CONSISTENCY

On April 2, 2024, the Planning Board heard the requested text amendment and recommended unanimous approval of the text amendment to the Town Unified Development Ordinance as followed: § 152.009 (E)OFFICIAL ZONING MAP.

The Town's Planning Board finds that the proposed text amendment is consistent with the current Comprehensive Plan and other applicable plans and policies and considers the action taken to be reasonable and in the public interest because it provides the structure, for Town staff to proactively address issues related to impacts caused by development in order to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the Town's residents.

Planning Board Chair

Town Planner

Item To Be Considered: Text Amendment to Appendix III Historic District Design Standards, Section 3: Roofs

Board Meeting Date: April 2, 2024
Prepared By: Rebecca Brehmer - Projects/Planning Coordinator, CFM, CZO

Overview: The Historic Preservation Commission has recommended amendments to Appendix III Historic District Design Standards, Section 3: Roofs.

Background: At the February 20, 2024, Swansboro Historic Preservation Commission meeting, the historic board made a motion to appoint a subcommittee consisting of town staff, Elaine Justice, Lauren Brown, and Kim Kingrey to review and draft a text amendment to Section: 3 Roofs found in our Historic District Design Standards. This draft was reviewed by the historic board at the March 19, 2024, and was passed along for the planning boards review.

Overall, the amendments to Section 3: Roofs was to add more standards on the best practices and materials to continue preserving the historic roofs in our historic district as well as ensure new roofing material is historically accurate and consistent. Details about terne or double lock roof forms were added as well as appropriate material and color options for new or replacement roofs. We had a roof expert come to the March 7, 2024, subcommittee meeting as well as the March 19, 2024, historic board meeting and he provided valuable input and technical terminology.

## Background Attachment(s):

1. Draft Ordinance
2. Consistency Statement

Recommended Action: Motion to recommend approval of the text amendment to Appendix III Historic District Design Standards, Section 3 Roofs with the comprehensive plan consistency statement to the Board of Commissioners.

## Action:

$\qquad$

## DRAFT ORDINANCE

WHEREAS North Carolina General Statute 160D-605 and 160D-701 requires that zoning regulations shall be made in accordance with a Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS the Board of Commissioners finds that the proposed text amendment to the Unified Development Ordinance regarding a revision to Section 3: Roofs to be consistent with the Town of Swansboro CAMA LAND USE Plan updated January 22, 2019, and amended August 28, 2023.

NOW BE IT ORDAINED by the Town of Swansboro Board of Commissioners that the Town Unified Development Ordinance be amended.

## Appendix III

HISTORIC DISTRICT DESIGN STANDARDS
SECTION 3 Roofs
3.1 Roofs - Standards
(Text highlighted in yellow are amendments that need to be added to the Unified Development Ordinance.)

1) Preserve or restore original and significant later terne or double lock roof forms, shapes, and major roof architectural elements such as dormers, gables, chimneys, and eave overhangs. It is not appropriate to make alterations to the front or other primary portions of the roof of a contributing structure if that roof slope can be seen from the public view.
2) Preserve, maintain, and repair historic roofing details and materials such as slate, standingseam metal, and tile wherever possible. Replace in-kind only if necessary due to deterioration or damage. Rust and holes that can be repaired are not an appropriate reason for replacement, please schedule consultation with town staff or SHPC to review preservation methods.

Figure Appendix III Section 3.1.1 depicts example of original terne roof that could be repaired by available mastic product.


### 3.1.1 Original Terne Roof

3) New metal or aluminum roofing materials should be compatible with either the existing or original roofing material. The new material should must match the historic material as closely as possible in color, shape, size, and texture. Asphalt or fiberglass-asphalt shingles are acceptable substitutes for standing-seam tin, wood shingles, or metal shingles, provided the new replacement shingles are of a darker solid color. Appropriate colors are shades of white, gray, or silver. Appropriate panel width is 16 " with max of 20 " and rib or seam must be 1.5 " or under. The material between the seams must be flat. Please see examples in color palette of appropriate colors. Barn tin (also known as "five-vee" tin) or coated steel may be used to replace a standing-seam tin roof in new construction.

Figure Appendix III Section 3.1.2, 3.1.3, 3.1.4, and 3.1.5 depicts accurate and inaccurate examples of new terne or double lock roofs.

4) Unpainted or unstained cedar shake shingles are an appropriate roofing material if there is documentation that it was the original material or of the era of the home.
4) 5) Asphalt or fiberglass asphalt shingles are acceptable for new construction or replacement of asphalt shingles already present. New construction or total replacement shingles must be a variation of black or grey to keep in character of what's found in the district. Please see examples of appropriate colors in the color palette. Shingle repair under $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ of total roof square footage can be replaced in kind.
5) 6) Retain historic roof-top features such as ornamental eaves, cornices, rake-boards, dormers, gables, chimneys, finials, cresting, steeples, belfries, cupolas, and railings. These elements all add much to the overall architectural character of a structure. All such original and significant later features should shall be preserved and restored, rather than removed or covered.

6 7) Contemporary or non-historic roof features should shall be installed on areas of the roof not seen from the public view or on other secondary roofs. Included are skylights, roof-mounted vents, dormers, chimneys, and antennas. and solar collectors. In certain instances, new dormers may be permitted on side or rear elevations if their design is compatible with the building style and era and the roofline.
7) 8) Install new gutters without damaging or obscuring architectural features. Gutters of all materials except copper shall have a painted finish. Half-round gutters are appropriate for most contributing properties. Gutters are usually reviewed as a "minor works" item. If installed on a contributing building, the SHPC must review application at SHPC meeting.
> 8) 9) Ridge vents, where needed, should will be of the low profile type, not to exceed width and $1.5 \%$ in height, Other vents, such as gable vents and roof-mounted vents, should be installed so as not to be visible from the public view where possible. In the event that they must be visible, they should be installed to respect the architectural details and character of the subject building. Ridge vent for metal roofs must be hidden and vented through $Z$ trim under ridge cap and should not diminish the original design of the roof or destroy any character-defining architectural details.
10) Accessory structures may be shingle or metal but must meet the color standards of this section.

This Ordinance shall be effective upon adoption.

Adopted by the Board of Commissioners in regular session, $\qquad$ , 2024.

Attest:

Alissa Fender, Town Clerk
John Davis, Mayor

## TOWN OF SWANSBORO PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD STATEMENT OF CONSISTENCY

On April 2, 2024, the Planning Board heard the requested text amendment and recommended unanimous approval of the text amendment to the Town Unified Development Ordinance as followed: Appendix III Historic District Design Standards, Section 3: Roofs.

The Town's Planning Board finds that the proposed text amendment is consistent with the current Comprehensive Plan and other applicable plans and policies and considers the action taken to be reasonable and in the public interest because it provides the structure, for Town staff to proactively address issues related to impacts caused by development in order to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the Town's residents.

Planning Board Chair

Town Planner

Planning Board Meeting Agenda Item Submittal

Item To Be Considered: Text Amendment to Appendix III Historic District Design Standards, Section 5: Windows and Doors

Board Meeting Date: April 2, 2024
Prepared By: Rebecca Brehmer, Projects/Planning Coordinator, CFM, CZO

Overview: The Historic Preservation Commission has recommended amendments to Appendix III Historic District Design Standards, Section 5: Windows and Doors

Background: At the February 20, 2024, Swansboro Historic Preservation Commission meeting, the historic board made a motion to appoint a subcommittee consisting of town staff, Elaine Justice, Lauren Brown, and Kim Kingrey to review and draft a text amendment to Section: 5 Windows and Doors found in our Historic District Design Standards. This draft was reviewed by the historic board at the March 19, 2024, meeting and was passed along for the planning boards review.

Overall, the amendments to Section 5: Windows and Doors was to put more of an emphasis on maintaining and preserving the original crafted wood windows and doors in our district and give more detailed standards on replacement options. The goal is always to make sure materials are historically accurate and consistent with the historic district as well as educate homeowners on resources such architectural salvage companies that are available for replacement options.

## Background Attachment(s):

1. Draft Ordinance
2. Consistency Statement

Recommended Action: Motion to recommend approval of the text amendment to Appendix III Historic District Design Standards, Section 5: Windows and Doors with the comprehensive plan consistency statement to the Board of Commissioners.

## Action:

$\qquad$

## DRAFT ORDINANCE

WHEREAS North Carolina General Statute 160D-605 and 160D-701 requires that zoning regulations shall be made in accordance with a Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS the Board of Commissioners finds that the proposed text amendment to the Unified Development Ordinance regarding a revision to Section 5: Windows and Doors to be consistent with the Town of Swansboro CAMA LAND USE Plan updated January 22, 2019, and amended August 28, 2023.

NOW BE IT ORDAINED by the Town of Swansboro Board of Commissioners that the Town Unified Development Ordinance be amended.

## Appendix III

HISTORIC DISTRICT DESIGN STANDARDS
SECTION 5: Windows and Doors
5.1 Windows and Doors - Standards
(Text highlighted in yellow are amendments that need to be added to the Unified Development Ordinance.)

1) Retain and preserve historic windows and doors, including all significant related elements such as frames, sashes, shutters, hardware, old glass, sills, and moldings.
2) Repair existing historic windows and doors where possible, rather than replacing entire window or door units. Use techniques such as wood epoxies and wood patches to repair and strengthen deteriorated wood elements. Replace only those elements that cannot be repaired. If replacement is needed and authorized by following § 152.479 CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS LIST, appropriate materials can be sourced from architectural salvage companies. Existing original frames should be retained and reused with the addition of new siding tracks to hold the replacement sashes.
3) Use replacement windows and doors that match the existing historic elements as closely as possible. If replacement windows or doors are required, consider first replacing only the deteriorated element, such as a single sash or door, rather than the entire frame or unit. Any new replacements shall match the original in all dimensions and detailing as closely as possible.
4) Use storm windows to improve energy efficiency where needed. New storm units should have a baked-on paint finish compatible with the color of the house. Unpainted aluminum is not appropriate. Storm windows for double-hung sashes shall have horizontal dividers that are in alignment with the horizontal meeting rails or the original upper and lower sashes. Storm windows are ustally a "minor works" item. Interior storm windows are also available.
5) Replacement of historic windows and doors for the sole purpose of improved thermal performance is not appropriate. Storm windows and doors should be used.
6) Tinted glass is not appropriate in the historic district in any area visible from the public view. Energy-saving or "low-E" glass may be used only if it is not tinted.
7) False muntins or snap-in grilles are not appropriate for windows visible from public view. New thermal-pane windows must match the original windows in overall size and opening area. New-windows should have either true divided lights or three-dimensional grilles on both the interior and exterior of the window. Standard thermal-pane windows will be permitted on the rear or other areas not visible from the public view. Existing original frames should be retained and reused with the addition of new siding tracks to hold the replacement sashes (this sentence was moved to bullet 2):

Replacement windows shall be wooden, or wood clad on historic non-contributing structures ( 75 years and older) and contributing structures.
8) Use storm doors to improve energy efficiency where needed. New storm doors should be compatible with the original exterior doors and with the style and period of the structure. Wood storm doors of the full-view or large single-pane type are most appropriate because they do not obscure the original door. Louvered wood doors are also appropriate. Metal storm doors should be the full-view type and have a baked-on enamel paint finish in a color that is compatible with the colors of the structure. Standard or non-historic storm doors are appropriate only on the rear or other areas not visible from public view. Screen doors should shall be appropriate for the period and style of the structure.
9) Preserve and repair original or historic shutters or replace them in-kind. It is appropriate to add louvered shutters to a historic structure if there is evidence that it once had blinds shutters. All new shutters shall be of wood, and installed so that they will fit the window frame opening when closed and shall be of the correct proportions for each window. New blinds shutters shall be provided with operable hardware, consisting of hinges, pintles, and holdbacks located in the appropriate position. Shutters made of synthetic or substitute materials, such as vinyl, are not appropriate.
10) Original or historic windows or doors and their related frames and trim shall not be altered or removed on the main facades visible from the public view unless this action is part of a documented restoration to an earlier appearance.
11) New windows and doors should not be added to the primary facades or front elevation and are usually not appropriate on any other area seen from the public view. New window and door openings shall not alter the historic character of the building nor cause damage to historic materials or other significant architectural features. They must be detailed and sized to be compatible with the existing structure.

## 12) If new doors are necessary, source from architectural salvage company or have one built in-kind.

13) For projects involving painting doors, please reference the approved color palette.

This Ordinance shall be effective upon adoption.
Adopted by the Board of Commissioners in regular session, $\qquad$ , 2024.

## Attest:

[^5]John Davis, Mayor

## TOWN OF SWANSBORO PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD STATEMENT OF CONSISTENCY

On April 2, 2024, the Planning Board heard the requested text amendment and recommended unanimous approval of the text amendment to the Town Unified Development Ordinance as followed: Appendix III Historic District Design Standards, Section 5: Windows and Doors.

The Town's Planning Board finds that the proposed text amendment is consistent with the current Comprehensive Plan and other applicable plans and policies and considers the action taken to be reasonable and in the public interest because it provides the structure, for Town staff to proactively address issues related to impacts caused by development in order to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the Town's residents.

Planning Board Chair

Town Planner
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[^1]:    Action:
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[^3]:    Alissa Fender, Town Clerk

[^4]:    Alissa Fender, Town Clerk

[^5]:    Alissa Fender, Town Clerk

