



## **Design Review Committee Meeting Minutes**

**Monday, November 12, 2024 at 5:00 PM**

**33 Church Street, Sutter Creek, CA 95685**

**The Agenda can be found on the City's Website: [www.cityofsuttercreek.org](http://www.cityofsuttercreek.org)**

---

**The City of Sutter Creek City Council Meeting was available via Zoom and in person.**

### **1. Call to Order and Establish a Quorum for Regular Meeting**

PRESENT

Mike Neuschwanger, Susan Peters, John Otto

ABSENT

Sandra Barracco, Sharyn Brown

#### **Staff**

Erin Ventura,

Tom DuBois

### **2. Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag**

### **3. Public Forum**

*NONE*

### **4. Consent Agenda**

*Items listed on the consent agenda are considered routine and may be enacted in one motion. Any item may be removed for discussion at the request of the Committee or the Public.*

#### **A. Design Review Committee Minutes of October 15, 2024**

Recommendation: Approval of Minutes

Motion by Committee member Neuschwanger, Seconded by Committee member Otto

Passed 3 - 0, 2 absent

## 5. Design Clearance Applications

A. Approve a Design Clearance, with conditions, for a 70ft monopine wireless telecommunication facility. The committee discussed the item. Suggestion was made to consider screening, in an industrial area should have a visual. Committee member Otto said he preferred an earth tone, monopole antenna preference. A representative of the applicant attended via zoom, Nicolas Votac. Mt. Votac noted that the drawings had been updated to show a total 70 height including 5 feet lighting rod. Project is needed to address cellular dead spots. Applicant looked for colocation and 18 different properties. Disqualified because of coverage, terrain or zoning.

Committee member Otto asked for the applicant to show a rendering from the point of view of Rabe street, entering town. Concerned it will be very prominent as a person enters Sutter Creek from Amador City. Committee member Peters said it will stand out.

Committee member Neuschwanger would like to see an alternative, worried it will be so visible coming into the city.

My Votac said it was difficult because of zoning, Agriculture land around us prohibits antennas.

They do have additional artificial tree types available but said there were concerns because of the size and height. Wind impacts, less performance. There is battery backup, no generator on site.

There is a water tower design, but it is not co-locatable.

They also have a fake Broad leaf, Eucalyptus,

Monopine is co-locatable and can accommodate 3 telecom carriers

Committee member Otto moved to approve the application and forward to the planning commission with request that the applicant provide a new simulated view from Rabe and Old 49, noting that it doesn't meet item 3 (not screened from view), Seconded by Committee member Neuschwanger.

Passed 3 - 0, 2 absent

B. Design Clearance for the construction of fences at 40 Broad Street

*Recommendation: Review plans as presented and provide application direction for design clearance*

Susan - meet the requirements

Committee member Otto moved to approve, Seconded by Committee member Neuschwanger.

Passed 3 - 0, with 2 members absent

## 6. Adjournment 5:40 PM