

CITY OF STONECREST, GEORGIA

CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION - AGENDA

3120 Stonecrest Blvd. Stonecrest, GA 30038

Monday, January 10, 2022 at 6:00 PM

Council Member Tara Graves – District 1 Council Member Rob Turner – District 2

Council Member Jazzmin Cobble – District 3 Council Member George Turner – District 4

Council Member Tammy Grimes – District 5

Citizen Access: Stonecrest YouTube Live Channel

I. ROLL CALL: Sonya Isom, Deputy City Clerk

II. CALL TO ORDER: George Turner, Mayor Pro-Tem

III. AGENDA DISCUSSION ITEMS

- <u>a.</u> Presentation Elliot Davis Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan Presentation Gia Scruggs
- **b. Discussion** United States Conference of Mayors Participation *Janice Allen Jackson*
- **c. Discussion** Reconstitution of Committees *Janice Allen Jackson*
- **d. Update** Parks Facility Closures Update *Tameika Porter*
- e. **Discussion** 2022 Martin Luther King Jr. Parade Plans & Updates *Tameika Porter*
- **<u>f.</u>** Update Special Election Winston Denmark

IV. EXECUTIVE SESSION

(When an executive session is required, one will be called for the following issues: 1) Personnel, 2) Litigation, 3) Real Estate)

V. ADJOURNMENT

Americans with Disabilities Act

The City of Stonecrest does not discriminate on the basis of disability in its programs, services, activities and employment practices.

If you need auxiliary aids and services for effective communication (such as a sign language interpreter, an assistive listening device or print material in digital format) or reasonable modification to programs, services or activities contact the ADA Coordinator, Sonya Isom, as soon as possible, preferably 2 days before the activity or event.

Item III. a.



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM

SUBMITTED BY: Gia Scruggs, Finance Director

PRESENTER: Gia Scruggs, Austin Miller, Jay Brietz, and Cameisha Hurst

PURPOSE: The City of Stonecrest requested proposals from qualified public accountants to perform the internal audit functions. The internal auditor is responsible for auditing financial records, expenditures, internal controls of City operations and to report the results of such audits, in writing to the City Council at least quarterly.

FACTS: Elliot Davis completed their risk assessment for the City of Stonecrest and developed an internal audit plan to assist in monitoring risks. Elliot Davis developed an executive summary, risk assessment for key areas, and an internal audit plan and schedule. Elliot Davis identified and evaluated the City's risks. The most significant risks will drive the City's FY2022-2024 Internal Audit Plan. Representatives from Elliott Davis will be presenting their review of internal controls, risk assessment, and proposed internal audit plan and schedule. Elliot Davis will begin their quarterly audit work this month and will present to Mayor and Council at a work session at the conclusion of each audit performed.

OPTIONS: Discussion only Click or tap here to enter text.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Discussion only

Item III. a.



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM

ATTACHMENTS:

(1) Attachment 1 - City of Stonecrest Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

elliott davis

The City of Stonecrest, Georgia

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

December 8, 2021

CONTACTS:

Robert J. Brietz, Jr., CPA, CIA Principal 704.808.5247 jay.brietz@elliottdavis.com

Austin Miller, CPA, CISA Manager 980.201.3174 austin.miller@elliottdavis.com



Ms. Janice Allen Jackson Acting City Manager The City of Stonecrest Stonecrest, Georgia

To Ms. Jackson:

We have completed the 2021 risk assessment for the City of Stonecrest (the "City") and developed an Internal Audit plan to assist the management in monitoring risks. Our engagement was performed in accordance with the consulting standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ("AICPA").

Our procedures did not constitute a financial statement audit and therefore we do not express an opinion on the City's financial statements or any elements, accounts or items thereof as a part of this engagement. In addition, our procedures did not constitute an examination of internal control as defined by the AICPA, and accordingly we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control at the City. Our engagement did not include a detailed examination of all transactions and was not designed, and cannot be relied on, to discover errors, irregularities, or illegal acts, including fraud or defalcations that may exist. If we had performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

The enclosed report summarizes our risk assessment process, the risk assessment procedures performed, and the results of those procedures. The information contained in our report is intended solely for the use of management and councilmembers of the City and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. The City's regulators and external auditors may be provided a copy of this report in connection with fulfilling their respective responsibilities.

Charlotte, North Carolina

December 8, 2021

6

Section One

Executive Summary	2
Risk Assessment Process Overview	
Risk Assessment Methodology	
Section Two	
Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified	8
Finance	8
Accounting and Finance	
Contract and Procurement Services	10
Grants and Capital Projects	12
Procurement Cards	14
Operations	16
Information Technology	16
Communications	18
Strategic and Infrastructure Planning	20
Departmental Areas	22
Economic Development	22
City Engineering	24
Planning and Zoning	26
Code Enforcement	28
Permitting and Inspection	30
Parks and Recreation	32
Clerk's Office	34
Police Department - TBD	36
Appendix A:	
Internal Audit Plan and Schedule	38



Section One

Executive Summary

The City of Stonecrest 2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan Section One: Executive Summary

Risk Assessment Process Overview

Background

Elliott Davis, PLLC ("Elliott Davis") and the City of Stonecrest (the "City") management have completed the 2021 risk assessment for the City with the primary objective of identifying and evaluating the City's risks. The most significant risks will drive the City's FY 2022 – 2024 Internal Audit Plan.

Management's Responsibilities

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an effective internal control system and providing important oversight to the internal control system.

The internal audit risk assessment accumulates information provided by and assessments made by management and is intended for the benefit and use of the City. The information and assessments included in the internal audit risk assessment were not completed in contemplation of reliance by any other party or with respect to any specific transaction and is not intended to benefit or influence any other party. Therefore, items of possible interest to a third party may not be specifically addressed or matters may exist that could be assessed differently by a third party.

Elliott Davis did not perform management functions or make management decisions on behalf of the City. The City was responsible for making all management decisions and performing all management functions, designating a competent employee from senior management to oversee the internal audit risk assessment, evaluating the adequacy and results of the internal audit risk assessment, and accepting responsibility for the results of the internal audit risk assessment.

Approach

Identifying Key Areas of Focus

Through inquiries with the City's management, review of financial information, review of existing policies and procedures, review of the City's most recent strategic plan, and review of prior audits, Elliott Davis was able to gain an understanding of the City's business and current risk profile. Elliott Davis and management identified the following key areas of the City to develop a deeper understanding of the risks associated with each:

Audit Area (Major Category or Line of Business)

Finance	 Accounting and Finance Procurement and Vendor Management Grants and Capital Projects Procurement Cards (P-Cards) 	Operations	 Information Technology Communications Strategic and Infrastructure Planning
Departmental Areas	 Economic Development City Engineering Planning and Zoning Code Enforcement 	Departmental Areas (cont.)	 Permitting and Inspection Parks and Recreation Clerk's Office Police Department

The purpose of evaluating the risks associated with each of these areas was to develop a risk-based Internal Audit Plan for FY 2022 – 2024.

The City of Stonecrest 2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section One: Executive Summary, continued

Identifying and Evaluating Risks

The primary objective of the risk assessment is to identify and evaluate risks. To accomplish this objective, Elliott Davis and the City's management examined inherent risk, control effectiveness, and residual risk for each area. Each of these is described below.

<u>Inherent risk</u> is the risk that a material misstatement could occur without considering internal controls. Inherent risk is intrinsic to a business activity and arises from exposure to, and uncertainty from, possible future events, or changes in business or economic conditions.

Inherent risk is evaluated by making well-considered assumptions about the probability of such events or changes in conditions happening, and the estimated impact on the City's budget, revenues, or fund balances. An assessment of inherent risk is made without considering management processes and controls; rather, these factors are considered in evaluating and determining the City's control effectiveness as discussed in the overview of the risk assessment methodology below. Inherent risk is described as high, moderate high, moderate, moderate low, or low, as outlined below:

Inherent Risk Classification	Definition
High	High inherent risk exists where there is a substantial financial impact, increased likelihood of fraud, or where the nature of the activity is inherently more complex than normal. Thus, the activity potentially could result in a significant and harmful loss to the City.
Moderate High	Moderate high inherent risk exists where there is a moderately-high substantial financial impact, moderate likelihood of fraud, and/or where the activity is not considered typical or traditional. Therefore, while the activity potentially could result in a loss to the City, the loss would not be significant and harmful, yet it would not be readily absorbed by the City in the normal course of business.
Moderate	Moderate inherent risk exists where the financial impact is average, minimal likelihood of fraud, and where the activity is more typical or traditional. Thus, while the activity potentially could result in a loss to the City, the loss could be absorbed by the City in the normal course of business.
Moderate Low	Moderate low inherent risk exists where the volume, size, or nature of the activity is such that in the event internal controls have weaknesses, the risk of loss is not likely, and losses incurred would be absorbed with minimal impact on the City's overall financial condition.
Low	Low inherent risk exists where the volume, size, or nature of the activity is such that even if the internal controls have weaknesses, the risk of loss is remote or, if a loss were to occur, it would have little or no impact on the City's overall financial condition.

<u>Control effectiveness</u> represents the ability of the accounting and internal control systems to prevent or detect and correct a material misstatement that could occur on a timely basis. Strong internal control systems can help mitigate the likelihood of material problems, errors, or misstatements resulting from inherent risks. The assessment of the City's control effectiveness is described as strong, good, adequate, weak, or ineffective, as follows:

Control Effectiveness Classification	Definition
Strong	Strong control effectiveness exists when controls are designed and are operating at or above the level deemed necessary to sufficiently mitigate inherent risk.
Good	Good control effectiveness exists when controls are designed and operating such that inherent risk is mitigated, but errors or misstatements could exist.

The City of Stonecrest 2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section One: Executive Summary, continued

Control Effectiveness Classification	Definition
Adequate	Adequate control effectiveness exists when a weakness exists in the design or operating effectiveness of the City's internal control system such that a material error or misstatement could occur and not be detected and corrected in a timely manner.
Weak	Weak control effectiveness exists when a weakness exists in the design or operating effectiveness of the City's internal control system such that a material error or misstatement will likely occur and not be detected and corrected in a timely manner. Additionally, this classification includes areas that have not been previously tested by internal audit.
Ineffective	Ineffective control effectiveness exists when a significant weakness exists in the design or operating effectiveness of the City's internal control system such that a material error or misstatement will likely not be detected and corrected in a timely manner.

<u>Residual Risk</u> is the remaining risk after effectiveness of controls has been considered. For example, consider an area that has high inherent risk, yet is supported by a strong control environment. The high control effectiveness mitigates a portion of high inherent risk and the resulting residual risk could be medium or even low. Like inherent risk, we have evaluated the residual risk as high, moderate high, moderate, moderate low, or low, as defined below:

Residual Risk Classification	Definition
High	Controls are not sufficient to reduce inherent risk.
Moderate High	Controls are in place, which when working properly, will reduce inherent risk. However, management should take additional steps to monitor controls for operating effectiveness in mitigating the inherent risks.
Moderate	Controls are sufficient to reduce inherent risk, however management should continue to monitor controls for operating effectiveness in mitigating the inherent risks.
Moderate Low	Controls are in place and are working at a level which provides assurance that inherent risk has been reduced to a level where unacceptable risk is minimal.
Low	Controls are sufficient to reduce inherent risk to a level where unacceptable risk is eliminated.

This risk assessment model is a tool by which management can evaluate the risks associated with the departments and functions within the City. It is based on models developed for similar entities and provides a more objective view of the risk areas since it ranks all auditable areas on specific criteria. This model will aid in the effective allocation of time and personnel while simultaneously allowing the audit function to fulfill coverage requirements of management.

Internal Audit Frequency

Audits are scheduled and performed based on the assessed residual risk rating as shown below.

Residual Risk Classification	Internal Audit Frequency
High	At least every 12 months
Moderate High	At least every 12 – 18 months
Moderate	At least every 18 – 24 months
Moderate Low	At least every 24 – 36 months
Low	At least every 36 months

The City of Stonecrest 2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section One: Executive Summary, continued

Risk Assessment Methodology

Inherent Risk

Inherent risk is evaluated by making well-considered assumptions about the probability of such events or changes in conditions happening, and the estimated impact on the City's funding, budget, revenues, or fund balances. The key elements that Elliott Davis and the City's management review as part of the inherent risk assessment include:

- Financial Assesses the risk of losing funding or cash flow and the associated financial impact.
- Operational Assesses the risk of loss resulting from a breakdown in internal controls, operations, or procedures, complexity of the audit area, and turnover of key personnel and stability in management.
- Legal/Regulatory/Compliance Measures the risk arising from violations of, or noncompliance with, laws, rules, regulations, prescribed practices, policies and procedures, and/or ethical standards.
- Strategic Assesses the risk of not meeting the strategic objectives of the City arising from adverse business decisions, poor execution of a strategic plan, or improper implementation of those decisions.
- Information Technology/Systems Assesses the risk of changes or planned changes to technology, that data is not complete and accurate, and that proprietary or confidential data is not properly restricted.
- Fraud Measures the area's susceptibility to fraudulent activity both internal and external.
- Reputation Estimates the risk to the City's reputation if a control failure were to occur in this area. This is a subjective factor based on management's knowledge of the area and the amount of public interest in the area.

Our approach to determining the overall inherent risk grade for each audit area involves assigning a risk grade to each of the individual risk factors above within each of the audit areas. These risk grades are based on reviews of existing documentation, results from management questionnaires and interviews, and other industry trends and considerations. The individual risk grades within each audit area are then weighted to more accurately reflect the City's risk profile. The weighted individual risk factors are then summed to determine the overall inherent risk for a given audit area.

The individual risk factor scores and the overall inherent risk grade for each audit area are ranked into the following categories: high, moderate high, moderate, moderate low, or low.

Control Effectiveness

Control effectiveness represents the ability of the accounting and internal control systems to prevent or detect and correct a material misstatement that could occur on a timely basis. Strong internal control systems can help mitigate the likelihood of material problems, errors, or misstatements resulting from inherent risks. In evaluating control effectiveness, the following elements were considered:

- Policies and procedures Control considerations related to documentation of policies and procedures.
- Management Evaluation of management experience considering subject matter and tenure with the City.
- Staff Evaluation of experience considering subject matter and tenure with the City.
- Prior audit date Time elapsed since the last audit.
- Prior audit rating Rating assigned as a result of the last internal audit.
- Outstanding issues Consideration of significance and age of outstanding issues noted during internal audits
 performed, external financial audits, and/or regulatory examinations as reported to the audit and finance
 committee.

Our approach to determining the overall control effectiveness grade for each audit area involves the application of control effectiveness, which is the effectiveness of the internal controls in place, and the assignment of a rating or grade in a manner consistent with the grading of inherent risk as outlined above.

The individual risk factor scores for control effectiveness and the overall control effectiveness grade for each audit area is ranked into the following categories: strong, good, adequate, weak, or ineffective.

The City of Stonecrest 2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section One: Executive Summary, continued

Residual Risk

As noted above, residual risk represents the remaining risk after effectiveness of controls has been considered. Residual risk for each audit area is calculated as the inherent risk offset by the control effectiveness (i.e., inherent risk score minus the control effectiveness score). In the evaluation of the residual risk factors, the residual risk score was allocated as high, moderate high, moderate, moderate low, or low based on the inherent risk and control effectiveness scores.

The table on the following page provides a summary view of the assessed risks for each audit area.

Summary of Risk Assessment Results and Internal Audit Plan

The table below provides a summary view of the assessed risks for each audit area, the audit plan and the audit frequency. See Appendix A for the Internal Audit Plan and Schedule.

Audit Areas	Inherent Risk	Control Effectiveness	Residual Risk	2022 Audit Plan	Audit Frequency
Finance					
Accounting and Finance	High	Weak	High	✓	Every 12 Months
Procurement and Vendor Management	Moderate High	Good	Moderate		18 - 24 Months
Grants and Capital Projects	High	Weak	High	✓	Every 12 Months
P-Cards	Moderate High	Adequate	Moderate High	✓	12 - 18 Months
Operations					
Information Technology	Moderate	Weak	Moderate		18 - 24 Months
Communications	Moderate Low	Adequate	Moderate Low		24 - 36 Months
Strategic and Infrastructure Planning	Moderate High	Adequate	Moderate High		12 - 18 Months
Departmental Areas					
Economic Development	Moderate High	Good	Moderate		18 - 24 Months
City Engineering	Moderate	Weak	Moderate		18 - 24 Months
Planning and Zoning	Moderate	Adequate	Moderate		18 - 24 Months
Code Enforcement	Moderate	Adequate	Moderate		18 - 24 Months
Permitting and Inspection	Moderate Low	Adequate	Moderate Low	✓	24 - 36 Months
Parks and Recreation	Moderate Low	Adequate	Moderate Low	✓	24 - 36 Months
Clerk's Office	Moderate Low	Ineffective	Moderate Low	✓	24 - 36 Months
Police Department	TBD	TBD	TBD		TBD

During the course of our assessment, we identified five overarching risk categories with potential City-wide impact:

- **Policies and Procedures** While policies are in place and provide coverage of audit areas, City-wide standards do not exist or are not followed for creating, maintaining, and updating department-specific policies and procedures.
- Training While training programs exist for all departments, current training for some levels of management may
 not be adequate to ensure roles, responsibilities, and required skillsets are clearly defined, communicated, and
 understood.
- Communication Standardized and consistent top-down current communication mechanisms may not exist or may
 not be adequate to ensure consistent application of City policies and procedures and clear delineation of
 responsibilities.
- Staffing Staff size, compensation, or expertise may not be adequate to support departmental goals.
- Data Integrity Inaccurate data inputs and limited use of ERP and other City information system capabilities could
 result in process inefficiencies and inaccurate data output.



Section Two

Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified

The City of Stonecrest 2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified

Finance

Accounting and Finance

Accounting and Finance includes the systems and processes that manage, monitor, and report on the financial activities of the City. The accounting department is responsible for the following functions managed within the accounting system: accounts payable, accounts receivable, general ledger accounting, and fixed assets accounting. The Finance department is responsible for creating and maintaining the City budget, as well as managing and monitoring payroll for the City. The accounting and finance function has not yet been audited since the City's inception in 2019. Additionally, the department has experienced a fair amount of staff turnover. The Finance Director, Accounting Manager, and the Accounting Specialist are responsible for the oversight of the accounting and finance function.

Business Objectives

- Ensure transaction and financial statement conformity with GAAP in accordance with the GASB
- Provide efficient and accurate tracking of resource inflows and outflows to support the City's strategy and budget process
- Ensure the accurate categorization and valuation of assets and liabilities
- · Identify opportunities for expense reduction and improved financial statement position
- Provide efficient and collaborative development of City and department budgets
- Ensure that budgets are accurately tracked and consistently monitored
- Communicate and report ongoing budget statuses to appropriate parties
- · Ensure payroll and payroll tax data is recorded, maintained, and distributed accurately and timely
- Ensure terminated employees are promptly deactivated within the payroll system
- Protect the confidentiality of employee personal information

Potential Significant Risks

- Policies and procedures are not in place or are not followed to ensure proper recording of transactions and proper financial statement preparation
- Staff turnover in key accounting and finance functions results in process efficiency and data integrity risks due to lack of adequate training/experience
- Internal controls are not sufficient and/or not sufficiently monitored to identify inaccuracies/fraud
- · Lack of continuous collaboration with other departments results in inappropriate or impracticable budgets
- Inconsistent enforcement of budget from management results in overspending
- Insufficient procedure documentation results in process inefficiencies or compliance risks
- Lack of interdepartmental communication results in improper salary data for new hires, promotions, and transfers
- Untimely deactivation of terminated employees within the payroll system results in unauthorized payroll distributions to former employees
- Inaccurate data inputs result in inaccurate payroll distributions
- Timekeeping system data is inaccurate due to improper time entry or lack of proper timecard approvals
- · Unapproved communication methods result in security breaches of employee confidential information

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Finance

Accounting and Finance, continued

Risk Assessment Results

Through inquiries with the City's management, review of financial information, review of existing policies and procedures, review of the City's most recent strategic plan, and experience gained in prior audits, the following scores and ratings were assigned:

Inherent Risk		
Financial	High	
Operational	High	
Legal/Regulatory/Compliance	Moderate High	
Strategic	Moderate	
Information Technology / Systems	Moderate High	
Fraud	Moderate High	
Reputation	Low	

Control Effectiveness		
Policies and Procedures	Weak	
Management	Adequate	
Staff	Adequate	
Prior Audit Date	Ineffective	
Prior Audit Rating	Ineffective	
Outstanding Issues	Strong	

Inherent Risk	High
Residual Risk	High

Control Effectiveness	Weak

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Finance

Contract and Procurement Services

Procurement services is responsible for the drafting, issuing, negotiating, and tracking of the City's cost contracts and no-cost contracts. The procurement division is responsible for the acquisition of all City goods and services in accordance with the City procurement code. The department has not been audited since the City's inception in 2019. The City has just updated its purchasing policy that outlines the requirements for solicitations and purchasing thresholds. The Director of Finance and Procurement Manager are responsible for the oversight of contract and procurement services.

Business Objectives

- Negotiate favorable contract terms and monitor contract commitments for all goods/services procured
- Acquire goods/services in accordance with the City procurement code

Potential Significant Risks

- Formal policies and procedures are not in place to ensure proper approval thresholds/authorization levels
- Inefficient authorization/procurement processes result in unnecessary delays and additional expense
- Lack of formal disciplinary processes results in continued breach of City policies
- City is not in a position to adhere to latest State model codes for procurement

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Finance

Contract and Procurement Services, continued

Risk Assessment Results

Through inquiries with the City's management, review of financial information, review of existing policies and procedures, review of the City's most recent strategic plan, and experience gained in prior audits, the following scores and ratings were assigned:

Inherent Risk		
Financial	High	
Operational	High	
Legal/Regulatory/Compliance	Moderate High	
Strategic	Moderate High	
Information Technology / Systems	Moderate High	
Fraud	Moderate High	
Reputation	Moderate	

Control Effectiveness		
Policies and Procedures	Good	
Management	Good	
Staff	Adequate	
Prior Audit Date	Ineffective	
Prior Audit Rating	Ineffective	
Outstanding Issues	Good	

Inherent Risk	Moderate High
Residual Risk	Moderate

Control Effectiveness	Good

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Finance

Grants and Capital Projects

The City receives Grants for certain expenditures and capital improvements. The City also utilizes special purpose local option sales tax ("SPLOST") for certain capital outlay projects proposed by the county government and certain qualified participating municipal governments. This area was last audited within the past the past year due to suspected fraud, and misappropriation of funds was uncovered. The City Manager, Deputy City Manager, Economic Development Director, and finance department are responsible for the oversight of grants and capital projects.

Business Objectives

- Monitor relevant legislation to ensure City compliance with applicable program regulations
- Ensure funding and grant monies are spent appropriately and efficiently

Potential Significant Risks

- Insufficient and/or ineffective communication of program requirements results in non-compliance
- Inaccurate tracking of spending results in non-compliance and revocation/reduction of future funding

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Finance

Grants and Capital Projects, continued

Risk Assessment Results

Through inquiries with the City's management, review of financial information, review of existing policies and procedures, review of the City's most recent strategic plan, and experience gained in prior audits, the following scores and ratings were assigned:

Inherent Risk	
Financial	High
Operational	High
Legal/Regulatory/Compliance	High
Strategic	High
Information Technology / Systems	High
Fraud	High
Reputation	High

Control Effectiveness		
Policies and Procedures	Weak	
Management	Weak	
Staff	Ineffective	
Prior Audit Date	Strong	
Prior Audit Rating	Weak	
Outstanding Issues	Adequate	

Inherent Risk	High
Residual Risk	High

Control Effectiveness	Weak
-----------------------	------

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Finance

Procurement Cards

The purchasing policy manual outlines the relevant requirements and purchasing thresholds for employee P-cards. There was an external audit performed in April 2021 and noted several areas of non-compliance with City policy, including missing receipts, alcohol purchases, gasoline purchases, and missing general ledger transactions. The City Manager, Deputy City Manager, and finance department are responsible for the oversight of procurement cards.

Business Objectives

- Ensure P-card compliance with City purchasing policy manual
- Ensure adequate documentation is retained for all P-card purchases
- Ensure complete and accurate recording of all P-card transactions within the general ledger

Potential Significant Risks

- Insufficient policies and procedures result in inappropriate or illegal use of P-cards
- Insufficient receipts for P-card purchases result in fraudulent and/or noncompliant P-card usage
- P-card purchases are not completely and/or accurately recorded within the general ledger leading to misstatement of financials

elliottdavis.com 14 | 21

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Finance

Procurement Cards, continued

Risk Assessment Results

Through inquiries with the City's management, review of financial information, review of existing policies and procedures, review of the City's most recent strategic plan, and experience gained in prior audits, the following scores and ratings were assigned:

Inherent Risk	
Financial	High
Operational	High
Legal/Regulatory/Compliance	Moderate
Strategic	Moderate
Information Technology / Systems	Moderate High
Fraud	Moderate High
Reputation	Moderate

Control Effectiveness	
Policies and Procedures	Weak
Management	Weak
Staff	Weak
Prior Audit Date	Strong
Prior Audit Rating	Weak
Outstanding Issues	Adequate

Inherent Risk	High
Residual Risk	Moderate High

|--|

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Operations

Information Technology

The information technology department is responsible for the administration of the various technology systems that are utilized by the City. Additionally, the IT department manages the City's Geographic Information Systems (GIS), which provides access to online maps, applications, and data about the City and its services. The IT department has not been audited and has seen significant turnover since the City's inception in 2019. The IT Manager is responsible for the oversight of the IT department.

Business Objectives

- Ensure the security and data integrity of the City's technology systems
- Provide timely fulfillment of report/data analysis requests for other departments
- Manage and maintain the City's GIS application

Potential Significant Risks

- Insufficient staff expertise results in improper/inadequate maintenance of the City's technology systems
- Inefficient communication methods result in inaccurate and/or untimely fulfillment of data delivery requests

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Operations

Information Technology, continued

Risk Assessment Results

Through inquiries with the City's management, review of financial information, review of existing policies and procedures, review of the City's most recent strategic plan, and experience gained in prior audits, the following scores and ratings were assigned:

Inherent Risk	
Financial	Moderate High
Operational	Moderate High
Legal/Regulatory/Compliance	Low
Strategic	Moderate
Information Technology / Systems	High
Fraud	Low
Reputation	Low

Control Effectiveness	
Policies and Procedures	Adequate
Management	Adequate
Staff	Weak
Prior Audit Date	Ineffective
Prior Audit Rating	Ineffective
Outstanding Issues	Strong

Inherent Risk	Moderate
Residual Risk	Moderate

Control Effectiveness	Weak
-----------------------	------

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Operations

Communications

The Communications department is responsible for cultivating and managing the City's image and transparency. Through various media, the department promotes and informs the public on City activities, council and board meetings, resolutions, ordinances, the City budget, and other pertinent information. This department also provides strategic counsel on speeches and correspondence delivered by the mayor and provides internal and external communications for the City. Additionally, the department creates marketing material and annual reports so that citizens are informed and educated on the administration's tasks and accomplishments. The communications department's staff is available for media assistance and coverage of City news stories. The department has not been audited and lacks formal policies, procedures, and brand guidelines. The Communications Director is responsible for the oversight of the communications department.

Business Objectives

- Cultivate and manage the city's image and transparency
- Inform the public on City activities, meetings, reports, and other pertinent information
- Assist the City with news story coverage

Potential Significant Risks

- · Insufficient staff expertise leads to unclear and/or inaccurate communication of City activities/information
- Inconsistent communication procedures/guidelines lead to an unfavorable reputation for the City

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Operations

Communications, continued

Risk Assessment Results

Through inquiries with the City's management, review of financial information, review of existing policies and procedures, review of the City's most recent strategic plan, and experience gained in prior audits, the following scores and ratings were assigned:

Inherent Risk	
Financial	Low
Operational	Moderate High
Legal/Regulatory/Compliance	Low
Strategic	Moderate
Information Technology / Systems	Moderate Low
Fraud	Low
Reputation	High

Control Effectiveness	
Policies and Procedures	Weak
Management	Adequate
Staff	Adequate
Prior Audit Date	Ineffective
Prior Audit Rating	Ineffective
Outstanding Issues	Strong

Inherent Risk	Moderate Low
Residual Risk	Moderate Low

Control Effectiveness	Adequate
-----------------------	----------

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Operations

Strategic and Infrastructure Planning

Strategic Planning and Infrastructure Planning is a requirement for local governments called for by the Georgia Planning Act of 1989 and the Charter of the City of Stonecrest. These plans set forth the comprehensive development goals, policies and objectives for both the entire City and for individual geographic areas and communities within the City; and conforms with such development goals, objectives, and policies. The most recent Comprehensive Development Plan was completed in July 2019 and has not been formally audited. All departments within the City are responsible for the oversight of the Strategic and Infrastructure Planning function.

Business Objectives

- Establish short and long-term goals and objectives for the City
- Establish the baseline for needs and opportunities for the community
- Develop a plan for attracting new residents and improving the quality of life for current residents

Potential Significant Risks

- The individuals responsible for the creation of the strategic and infrastructure plans do not have the adequate knowledge/experience to develop a realistic strategy
- Insufficient monitoring of the plan results in delays and/or gaps in progress
- A lack of community involvement results in a strategic plan that does not align with the City's needs and desires

elliottdavis.com 20 | ²⁷

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Operations

Strategic and Infrastructure Planning, continued

Risk Assessment Results

Through inquiries with the City's management, review of financial information, review of existing policies and procedures, review of the City's most recent strategic plan, and experience gained in prior audits, the following scores and ratings were assigned:

Inherent Risk	
Financial	Moderate Low
Operational	High
Legal/Regulatory/Compliance	Moderate High
Strategic	High
Information Technology / Systems	Moderate Low
Fraud	Low
Reputation	High

Control Effectiveness	
Policies and Procedures	Good
Management	Adequate
Staff	Adequate
Prior Audit Date	Ineffective
Prior Audit Rating	Ineffective
Outstanding Issues	Good

Residual Risk

Moderate
High

Moderate
High

Control Effectiveness

Adequate

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Departmental Areas

Economic Development

The Economic Development department is responsible for facilitating growth and business development within the City. The City has various property options currently available for purchase or lease. The area has not been audited since the City's inception in 2019 and currently has only one employee. The Economic Development Director is responsible for the oversight of the City's Economic Development department.

Business Objectives

- Identify potential opportunities for economic growth within the City
- Collaborate with potential tenants and business partners to determine appropriate paths forward

Potential Significant Risks

- The City does not have an accurate inventory of available properties to facilitate economic growth
- The department does not collaborate with the community to determine the appropriate businesses/partnerships that are mutually beneficial to the City and its residents

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Departmental Areas

Economic Development, continued

Risk Assessment Results

Through inquiries with the City's management, review of financial information, review of existing policies and procedures, review of the City's most recent strategic plan, and experience gained in prior audits, the following scores and ratings were assigned:

Inherent Risk	
Financial	High
Operational	High
Legal/Regulatory/Compliance	Moderate High
Strategic	High
Information Technology / Systems	Moderate Low
Fraud	Moderate High
Reputation	Moderate High

Control Effectiveness	
Policies and Procedures	Adequate
Management	Strong
Staff	Adequate
Prior Audit Date	Ineffective
Prior Audit Rating	Ineffective
Outstanding Issues	Good

Inherent Risk	Moderate High
Residual Risk	Moderate

Control Effectiveness

Good

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Departmental Areas

City Engineering

The City Engineering department is responsible for addressing and responding to complaints from the community regarding public works issues (i.e., road damage, drainage issues, potholes, etc.). The department receives certain funding from the Georgia Department of Transportation, which requires detailed monitoring and reporting on the use of these funds. The department has not been audited since the City's inception in 2019 and staffing has remained adequate. The City Engineer is responsible for the oversight of the City's Engineering department.

Business Objectives

- Ensure a safe and effective public works function for the City
- Facilitate communication and complaints from the community to the County's public works team

Potential Significant Risks

- Insufficient funding results in inability to address public works issues
- · Untimely communication/response from the County results in delayed resolution of public works issues

elliottdavis.com 24 | 31

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Departmental Areas

City Engineering, continued

Risk Assessment Results

Through inquiries with the City's management, review of financial information, review of existing policies and procedures, review of the City's most recent strategic plan, and experience gained in prior audits, the following scores and ratings were assigned:

Inherent Risk	
Financial	Moderate High
Operational	Moderate High
Legal/Regulatory/Compliance	Moderate High
Strategic	Moderate High
Information Technology / Systems	Moderate Low
Fraud	Low
Reputation	Low

Control Effectiveness	
Policies and Procedures	Adequate
Management	Good
Staff	Adequate
Prior Audit Date	Ineffective
Prior Audit Rating	Ineffective
Outstanding Issues	Adequate

Inherent Risk	Moderate
Residual Risk	Moderate

Control Effectiveness	Weak
-----------------------	------

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Departmental Areas

Planning and Zoning

The Planning and Zoning Department implements the Comprehensive Plan and the Zoning Ordinance. The Comprehensive Plan sets the vision, mission, policies, and goals for the City. It is the guide that current and future administrations will use for the future development and growth of Stonecrest. The Zoning Ordinance ensures that building and land development projects are compliant with applicable laws and regulations. The department has not been audited since the City's inception in 2019 and staffing remains sufficient. The Planning and Zoning Director is responsible for the oversight of the City's Engineering department.

Business Objectives

- Answer inquiries regarding zoning, special uses, buffers and development plans
- Review plans for compliance with zoning, the Zoning Ordinance, and subdivision regulations
- Review and administer zoning and land development variance applications
- Prepare zoning certifications and correspondences
- Review applications for special event permits

Potential Significant Risks

- Insufficient monitoring of the Comprehensive Plan results in delays and/or gaps in progress
- Inadequate zoning oversight results in noncompliant building and/or land development projects

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Departmental Areas

Planning and Zoning, continued

Risk Assessment Results

Through inquiries with the City's management, review of financial information, review of existing policies and procedures, review of the City's most recent strategic plan, and experience gained in prior audits, the following scores and ratings were assigned:

Inherent Risk	
Financial	Moderate Low
Operational	Moderate High
Legal/Regulatory/Compliance	High
Strategic	Moderate
Information Technology / Systems	Moderate Low
Fraud	Low
Reputation	Moderate High

Control Effectiveness	
Policies and Procedures	Good
Management	Good
Staff	Adequate
Prior Audit Date	Ineffective
Prior Audit Rating	Ineffective
Outstanding Issues	Adequate

Inherent Risk	Moderate
Residual Risk	Moderate

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Departmental Areas

Code Enforcement

Code Enforcement is responsible for enforcing codes which address health and safety issues, including regulations related to rubbish, debris, attractive nuisances, removal of vegetation, zoning and inoperable vehicles on private property. The department utilizes voluntary compliance through various outreach methods, liaison opportunities, clearly defined code standards, and appropriate enforcement actions, both proactively and in response to citizen requests. The department has not been audited since the City's inception in 2019 and requires additional staff to effectively accomplish business objectives. The Code Enforcement Director is responsible for the oversight of the Code Enforcement department.

Business Objectives

Respond to complaints and violations of the following areas to help to improve and stabilize neighborhoods, protect property values and promote a healthier and safer environment:

- Improperly parked, unlicensed, and inoperable vehicles on private property
- · Recreational or specialized vehicles within residential districts
- Zoning issues
- Weed abatement
- Health and safety issues
- Noise complaints on general or landscape contractors
- Property maintenance related to overgrown plants, outside storage, and trash and debris.

Potential Significant Risks

- The City suffers from reputational damage due to violations of code ordinances, which impacts economic development and resident satisfaction
- Inadequate/untimely response to code violations results in potential health and safety issues

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Departmental Areas

Code Enforcement, continued

Risk Assessment Results

Through inquiries with the City's management, review of financial information, review of existing policies and procedures, review of the City's most recent strategic plan, and experience gained in prior audits, the following scores and ratings were assigned:

Inherent Risk	
Financial	Moderate Low
Operational	Moderate High
Legal/Regulatory/Compliance	High
Strategic	Moderate
Information Technology / Systems	Moderate High
Fraud	Moderate
Reputation	Moderate High

Control Effectiveness			
Policies and Procedures	Good		
Management	Good		
Staff	Good		
Prior Audit Date	Ineffective		
Prior Audit Rating	Ineffective		
Outstanding Issues	Weak		

Inherent Risk	Moderate
Residual Risk	Moderate

Control Effectiveness	Adequate	

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Departmental Areas

Permitting and Inspection

The Building and Permitting Division (i.e., Permitting and Inspection) is responsible for the administration and enforcement of the Georgia State minimum standard code and related procedures for permitting and inspections of buildings within the City. Additionally, the Planning Commission reviews and approves site plans such as new development, redevelopment, and final plat to ensure they comply with all federal, state, and local regulations. The department also conducts development inspections, conducts pre-construction meetings, and final site inspections. The department has not been audited since the City's inception in 2019 and policies and procedures require significant update. The Chief Building Official is responsible for the oversight of the Permitting and Inspection Division.

Business Objectives

- · Ensure both residential and commercial buildings adhere to the state's minimum standard code
- Validate that development projects comply with all federal, state, and local regulations

Potential Significant Risks

- Inadequate staff expertise results in undetected code violations
- Insufficient economic development results in reduced permit revenue for the City
- Insufficient staffing results in delayed permit issuance and/or inspections for development projects

elliottdavis.com 30 | 37

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Departmental Areas

Permitting and Inspection, continued

Risk Assessment Results

Through inquiries with the City's management, review of financial information, review of existing policies and procedures, review of the City's most recent strategic plan, and experience gained in prior audits, the following scores and ratings were assigned:

Inherent Risk	
Financial	Moderate Low
Operational	Moderate Low
Legal/Regulatory/Compliance	Moderate High
Strategic	Moderate
Information Technology / Systems	Moderate Low
Fraud	Low
Reputation	Low

Control Effectiveness	
Policies and Procedures	Weak
Management	Good
Staff	Strong
Prior Audit Date	Ineffective
Prior Audit Rating	Ineffective
Outstanding Issues	Adequate

Residual Risk

Moderate
Low

Error! Not a valid link.

Control Effectiveness Adequate

elliottdavis.com 31 3

Moderate

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Departmental Areas

Parks and Recreation

Parks and Recreation's mission is to provide the quality parks, programs, services, and experiences that energize visitors and create life-long users and advocates. The City provides and promotes safe, healthy, and enriching recreational and educational opportunities that promote stewardship of Stonecrest's natural and cultural heritage. The City acquired eight parks and more than 300 acres from the County and has two additional properties of 70 acres that will eventually become parks. The department has not been audited since the City's inception in 2019 and requires additional staff to efficiently and effectively achieve its business objectives. The Parks and Recreation Director is responsible for the oversight of the Parks and Recreation department.

Business Objectives

- Ensure parks are adequately maintained to ensure safe and enjoyable outdoor activities for residents
- Expand opportunities for community events, recreation programs, and services
- Improve quality, functionality, and accessibility of existing facilities and amenities

Potential Significant Risks

- Insufficient funding results in an inability to achieve the department's master plan
- Insufficient staffing leads to delayed projects and/or safety concerns
- Inadequate/inefficient communication mechanisms with residents result in delayed identification of parks and recreation issues and/or improvement opportunities

elliottdavis.com

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Departmental Areas

Parks and Recreation, continued

Risk Assessment Results

Through inquiries with the City's management, review of financial information, review of existing policies and procedures, review of the City's most recent strategic plan, and experience gained in prior audits, the following scores and ratings were assigned:

Inherent Risk	
Financial	Moderate High
Operational	Moderate High
Legal/Regulatory/Compliance	Moderate
Strategic	Low
Information Technology / Systems	Moderate Low
Fraud	Low
Reputation	Low

Control Effectiveness	
Policies and Procedures	Strong
Management	Good
Staff	Adequate
Prior Audit Date	Ineffective
Prior Audit Rating	Ineffective
Outstanding Issues	Weak

Residual Risk

Moderate
Low

Moderate
Low

Control Effectiveness

Adequate

elliottdavis.com 33 | 40

Moderate

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Departmental Areas

Clerk's Office

The department's primary responsibility is to maintain permanent records for the City of Stonecrest including all minutes of the City Council, ordinances and resolutions adopted by Council and contracts for the City. The Clerk attends Council meetings, transcribes minutes and prepares them for permanent record, as well as providing administrative support to the Mayor, City Council, and the City Manager's Office. In addition, the Clerk is responsible for giving proper notice of Council meetings, assisting with agenda preparation, certifying documents, and codifying the City Code. The Clerk's Office has not been audited since the City's inception in 2019 and has experienced challenges with fulfilling open records requests made by the public. The City Clerk and Deputy City Clerk are responsible for the oversight of the Clerk's Office.

Business Objectives

- Ensure sufficient documentation of critical City meetings and events
- Fulfill open records requests made by the public
- · Assist with planning and preparation for City meetings and events

Potential Significant Risks

- Inadequate staff expertise results in untimely and/or insufficient meeting minutes or agendas for critical City meetings/events
- Open records requests are not fulfilled in a timely manner due to inadequate staffing
- Disorganization of City records and documents results in noncompliance with state and local regulations

elliottdavis.com 34 | 41

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Departmental Areas

Clerk's Office, continued

Risk Assessment Results

Through inquiries with the City's management, review of financial information, review of existing policies and procedures, review of the City's most recent strategic plan, and experience gained in prior audits, the following scores and ratings were assigned:

Inherent Risk	
Financial	Moderate Low
Operational	Moderate Low
Legal/Regulatory/Compliance	Moderate High
Strategic	Low
Information Technology / Systems	Moderate Low
Fraud	Low
Reputation	Low

Control Effectiveness	;
Policies and Procedures	Weak
Management	Good
Staff	Weak
Prior Audit Date	Ineffective
Prior Audit Rating	Ineffective
Outstanding Issues	Good

Residual Risk

Moderate
Low

Moderate
Low

Control Effectiveness Ineffective

elliottdavis.com 35 | 42

Moderate

2021 Risk Assessment and Internal Audit Plan

Section Two: Risk Assessments for Key Areas Identified, continued

Departmental Areas

Police Department - TBD

The City does not currently have a dedicated police department. However, the City Council recently approved the purchase of a building for use as the City's police headquarters and public safety center. The City plans to move forward with a dedicated police force, but currently utilizes the DeKalb County Police Department.

Business Objectives

• TBD

Potential Significant Risks

TBD

Risk Assessment Results

Risk ratings have not yet been determined due to the in-progress state of the creation of the City's police department.

elliottdavis.com



Appendix A:

Internal Audit Plan and Schedule

Proposed Internal Audit Plan and Schedule (Three-Year)

Audit Areas	Residual Risk	Recommended Audit Frequency	Date of Last Audit	FY 2022 Audit Plan	FY 2023 Audit Plan	FY 2024 Audit Plan
Finance						
Accounting and Finance	High	Every 12 Months	Never	✓	✓	✓
Procurement and Vendor Management	Moderate	18 - 24 Months	Never		✓	
Grants and Capital Projects	High	Every 12 Months	4/11/2021	✓	✓	✓
Procurement Cards	Moderate High	12-18 Months	5/5/2021	✓		✓
Operations						
Information Technology	Moderate	18 - 24 Months	Never			✓
Communications	Moderate Low	24 - 36 Months	Never			✓
Strategic and Infrastructure Planning	Moderate High	12-18 Months	Never		✓	
Departmental Areas	Departmental Areas					
Economic Development	Moderate	18 - 24 Months	Never		✓	
City Engineering	Moderate	18 - 24 Months	Never			✓
Planning and Zoning	Moderate	18 - 24 Months	Never		✓	
Code Enforcement	Moderate	18 - 24 Months	Never		✓	
Permitting and Inspection	Moderate Low	24 - 36 Months	Never	✓		
Parks and Recreation	Moderate Low	24 - 36 Months	Never	✓		
Clerk's Office	Moderate Low	24 - 36 Months	Never	✓		
Police Department	N/A	TBD	Never			✓

elliottdavis.com 38

Item III. b.



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM

SUBJECT: United States Conference of Mayors Participation				
AGENDA SECTION: (check all that apply) ☑ PRESENTATION ☐ PUBLIC HEARING ☐ CONSENT AGENDA ☐ OLD BUSINESS ☐ NEW BUSINESS ☐ OTHER, PLEASE STATE: Click or tap here to enter text.				
CATEGORY: (check all that apply) □ ORDINANCE □ RESOLUTION □ CONTRACT □ POLICY □ STATUS REPORT ⊠ OTHER, PLEASE STATE: INVOICE				
ACTION REQUESTED: \square DECISION \boxtimes DISCUSSION, \square REVIEW, or \square UPDATE ONLY				
Current Work Session: Monday, January 10, 2022 Current Council Meeting: Click or tap to enter a date.				
SUBMITTED BY: Janice Allen Jackson, City Manager				
PRESENTER: Janice Allen Jackson				
PURPOSE: The City has received an invoice from the US Conference of Mayors for our continued participation with this organization. We are seeking Council feedback on how the City should proceed.				
FACTS: The US Conference of Mayors is an organization that represents and advocates for cities throughout the US in concert with their elected officials. Stonecrest has previously participated in this organization and we are now seeking Council guidance on whether our participation should continue.				
OPTIONS: Approve, Deny, Defer Click or tap here to enter text.				
RECOMMENDED ACTION: Click or tap here to enter text.				
ATTACHMENTS:				
(1) Attachment 1 - Invoice				





United States Conference of Mayors

1620 I St., NW 4th Floor Washington, DC 20006

Bill To:

City of Stonecrest 3120 Stonecrest Blvd. Stonecrest, GA 30038 Date: Dec 8, 2021

Due Date: Jan 30, 2022

CUSTTYPE_NAME: Calendar Year Member

Balance Due: \$5,269.00

ltem	Quantity	Rate	Amount
Billing CY2022 Calendar Year Member Billing for CY2022	1	\$5,269.00	\$5,269.00
		Total:	\$5,269.00

Terms:

Remittance Address: THE UNITED STATES CONFERENCE OF MAYORS P.O. BOX 826902 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19182-6902



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM

SUBJECT: Reconstitution of Committees				
AGENDA SECTION: (check all that apply) ☑ PRESENTATION ☐ PUBLIC HEARING ☐ CONSENT AGENDA ☐ OLD BUSINE ☐ NEW BUSINESS ☐ OTHER, PLEASE STATE: Click or tap here to enter text.				
CATEGORY: (check all that apply) □ ORDINANCE □ RESOLUTION □ CONTRACT □ POLICY □ STATUS REPORT ⊠ OTHER, PLEASE STATE: Discussion				
ACTION REQUESTED: ☐ DECISION ☒ DISCUSSION, ☐ REVIEW, or ☐ UPDATE ONLY				
Previously Heard Date(s): 12/29/21 & 01/4/22 Current Work Session: Monday, January 10, 2022 Current Council Meeting: Click or tap to enter a date.				
SUBMITTED BY: Janice Allen Jackson, City Manager PRESENTER: Mayor Pro Tem George Turner				
PURPOSE: Council to review and offer feedback on the resolutions to reconstitute the following committees: Parks & Pacrestion Advisory Committee CID Advisory Committee Stonecrest Finance				

Oversight Committee, and SPLOST Advisory Committee.

FACTS: Click or tap here to enter text.

OPTIONS: Choose an item. Click or tap here to enter text.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Click or tap here to enter text.

ATTACHMENTS:

- (1) Attachment 1 Parks & Recreation Advisory Committee Resolution
- (2) Attachment 2 CID Advisory Committee Resolution
- (3) Attachment 3 Stonecrest Financial Oversight Committee Resolution
- (4) Attachment 4 SPLOST Advisory Committee Resolution

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-<u>06-06</u>

Т	A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE PARKS AND
2	RECREATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF STONECREST, GEORGIA
3	AND APPOINTING THE INITIAL MEMBERS; TO REPEAL CONFLICTING
4	RESOLUTIONS; TO PROVIDE FOR SEVERABILLTY; TO PROVIDE AN EFFECTIVE
5	DATE AND FOR OTHER LAWFUL PURPOSES.
6 7	WHEREAS, the governing authority of the City is authorized by O.C.G.A. § 36-35-3 to
8	adopt ordinances and resolutions relating to its property, affairs, and local government; and
9	WHEREAS, Mayor and Council for the City of Stonecrest is the governing authority of
10	the City; and
11	WHEREAS, Mayor and City Council are authorized by the City Charter, as amended by
12	Senate Bill 21, adopted April 1, 2021, to adopt ordinances and resolutions for the administration
13	of the City and to create and appoint members to Committees, commissions, and committees
14	concerning the affairs of the City; and
15	WHEREAS, the City Charter grants the City the power to exercise and enjoy all other
16	powers, functions and rights necessary or desirable to promote the general welfare of the City and
17	its inhabitants; and
18	WHEREAS, the city council shall have the power by ordinance or resolution to establish
19	oversight, policy, and standing committees of the council; and
20	WHEREAS, the governing authority of the City has determined that it is in the best interest
21	of the City and its citizens to establish an advisory committee known as the Parks and Recreation
22	Advisory Committee of the City of Stonecrest, Georgia; and
23	WHEREAS, it is the governing authority's desire that the Parks and Recreation Advisory
24	Committee provide a forum for discussing best practices and to advise the Parks and Recreation

25	Director regarding best practices with respect to strategies for sustainable development,
26	environmental conservation, planning, and community longevity as it relates to the City's parks
27	and recreational facilities.
28	NOW THEREFORE, BE IT AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR
29	AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STONECREST, GEORGIA, as follows:
30	
31	SECTION I
32	ESTABLISHMENT OF THE PARKS AND RECREATION ADVISORY
33 -	COMMITTEE AND AUTHORIZATION
34	In response to the City of Stonecrest's community values and changing needs of the City's
35	population, Mayor and Council hereby create a Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee to
36	advise and engage with the City's Parks and Recreation Department for such duration as Mayor
37	and Council may desire (hereinafter referred to as the "Parks Advisory Committee"). Creating this
38	Committee is an opportunity for the City to affect substantive improvements to the City's
39	recreation facilities and to transform our City into one defined by the collective pursuit of a high
40	quality of life for all residents.
41	
42	SECTION II
43	MISSION AND DUTIES
44	The mission of the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee is to include the citizens of
45	the City of Stonecrest to address the immediate need of improving City Parks. The purpose of the
46	Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee is to ensure:
47	1. To provide as necessary and appropriate advice, reviews, reports and
48	recommendations to the public, City Manager, Mayor, Mayor Pro Tempore and

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-<u>06-06</u>

49		City Council on park and recreation facility conditions and areas of immediate
50		concern;
51	2.	That lists of projects are equitable, appropriately prioritized, and well distributed
52		throughout the City;
53	3.	That each district of the City is represented and has the opportunity to advocate for
54		cleaner and improved facilities.
55		SECTION III
56		MEMBERSHIP
57	The P	arks and Recreation Advisory Committee shall be composed of nine (9) members,
58	two (2) of w	which shall be Councilmembers appointed by the City. The City Council shall
59	establish qua	lifications for members of the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee except
60	that each Par	ks and Recreation Advisory Committee member must be either a resident of the
61	City or an ov	wher or officer of a business domiciled in the City. Each Committee person shall
62	be nominated	and approved by the City Council. Should the Committee member move out of
63	the City or no	o longer be an owner or an officer of a business domiciled in the City, he/she may
64	remain active	e until the City Council appoint his/her replacement. Members must attend two-
65	thirds (2/3) o	of the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee meetings in a calendar year.
66	Failure to do	so warrants removal from the Committee.
67		SECTION IV
68		TERMS
69	Each	member shall serve for a term of one (1) year. Members filling vacancies shall
70	serve the rem	nainder of the term to which they were appointed. A consecutive appointment is
71	permissible.	Members whose terms expire shall continue to serve until a replacement is

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-<u>06-06</u>

72	appointed or a consecutive appointment is made. Any member may be removed with or without
73	cause by the City Council.
74	SECTION V
75	COMPENSATION
76	Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee members will serve without compensation
77	Reasonable expenses for travel and Committee related expenses may be reimbursed pursuant
78	to a policy to be established by the City Manager and approved by the City Council.
79	SECTION VI
80	QUORUM
81	A majority of the actual number of Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee members
82	establishes a quorum. Any action taken requires a majority of affirmative votes of the quorum
83	present.
84	SECTION VII
85	GOVERNANCE
86	The Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee may adopt bylaws for the governance
87	of the Committee. The Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee shall set its own meeting
88	schedule and establish the meeting agendas. Meetings shall be governed in accordance with the
89	Open Meetings Act found within the Official Code of Georgia Annotated. The Parks and
90	Recreation Advisory Committee shall meet at least four times annually, having one meeting in
91	each quarter of a calendar year.
92	All meetings shall be open to the public and all records maintained by the Parks and
93	Recreation Advisory Committee shall be public records unless expressly exempted by a
94	provision of the Georgia Open Records Act. The Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee
95	shall keep minutes of its proceedings, showing the vote of each member upon each question,

and shall maintain records of its examinations and other official actions all of which shall be 96 97 filed in the office of the City Clerk. Copies of the minutes shall be sent to the Mayor and each member of the City Council. At each meeting, the public shall be granted time for public 98 99 comment. 100 The Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee shall elect a chairman to conduct 101 meetings and a vice chairman to conduct meetings in the absence of the chairman. Elections 102 shall be held at the first regular meeting of the calendar year. The chairman shall serve for one 103 (1) year or until re-elected or a successor is elected. The vice chairman shall serve for one (1) year or until re-elected or a successor is elected. The Committee shall select one of its members 104 105 to be the secretary. 106 **SECTION VIII** 107 **INITIAL MEMBERS** The initial members of the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee shall be as follows: 108 Lori Brown 109 1. (District 1) 110 2. Linda Lee (District 2) 111 3. Karyl Clayton (District 3) 112 4. Vivian Pollard (District 4) 113 5. Charnessa Grace (District 5) Virginia Hinton 114 6. (At-large) 7. Arabia Mountain National 115 (At-large) Heritage Area ("AMNHA") 116 Executive Director (Ex-officio) 117 118 8. Councilman J. Clanton (District 1) 119 120 9. Councilman G. Turner (District 4) **SECTION IX** 121

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-<u>06-06</u>

122	ENFORCEMENT.	AND	SEVER.	ABILITY	,

(a) It is hereby declared to be the intention of the Mayor and Council that all sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses and phrases of this Resolution are or were, upon their enactment, believed by the Mayor and Council to be fully valid, enforceable and constitutional.

(b) It is hereby declared to be the intention of the Mayor and Council that, to the greatest extent allowed by law, each and every section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution is severable from every other section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution. It is hereby further declared to be the intention of the Mayor and Council that, to the greatest extent allowed by law, no section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution is mutually dependent upon any other section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution.

(c) In the event that any phrase, clause, sentence, paragraph or section of this Resolution shall, for any reason whatsoever, be declared invalid, unconstitutional or otherwise unenforceable by the valid judgment or decree of any court of competent jurisdiction, it is the express intent of the Mayor and Council that such invalidity, unconstitutionality or unenforceability shall, to the greatest extent allowed by law, not render invalid, unconstitutional or otherwise unenforceable any of the remaining phrases, clauses, sentences, paragraphs or sections of the Resolution and that, to the greatest extent allowed by law, all remaining phrases, clauses, sentences, paragraphs and sections of the Resolution shall remain valid, constitutional, enforceable, and of full force and effect.

SECTION X

REPEAL OF CONFLICTING RESOLUTIONS

All resolutions and parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

146	SECTION XI
147	EFFECTIVE DATE OF RESOLUTION
148	This Resolution shall become effective upon the date of approval and execution by the
149	Mayor and Council of the City of Stonecrest, Georgia.
150	
151 152 153	SO RESOLVED, this 28 day of June, 2021.
154	
155	
156	
157	CITY OF STONECREST, GEORGIA
158	The said In
159	(June 1)
160	George Turner, Mayor Pro Tempore
161 162	animinate.
163	Truncity of Storing
164	CORPOR
165	ATTEST:
166	O O
167	
168	ong com
169	Sonya Isom, Acting City Clerk
170	
171 172	
173	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
174	
175	1-11
176	
177	City Attorney
178	

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE STONECREST COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT (CID) ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF STONECREST, GEORGIA AND APPOINTING THE INITIAL MEMBERS; TO REPEAL CONFLICTING RESOLUTIONS; TO PROVIDE FOR SEVERABILLTY; TO PROVIDE AN EFFECTIVE DATE AND FOR OTHER LAWFUL PURPOSES.

WHEREAS, the governing authority of the City is authorized by O.C.G.A. § 36-35-3 to adopt ordinances and resolutions relating to its property, affairs, and local government; and

WHEREAS, Mayor and Council for the City of Stonecrest is the governing authority of the City; and

WHEREAS, Mayor and City Council are authorized by the City Charter, as amended by Senate Bill 21, adopted April 1, 2021, to adopt ordinances and resolutions for the administration of the City and to create and appoint members to Committees, commissions, and committees concerning the affairs of the City; and

WHEREAS, the City Charter grants the City the power to exercise and enjoy all other powers, functions and rights necessary or desirable to promote the general welfare of the City and its inhabitants; and

WHEREAS, the city council shall have the power by ordinance or resolution to establish oversight, policy, and standing committees of the council; and

WHEREAS, the governing authority of the City has determined that it is in the best interest of the City and its citizens to establish an advisory committee known as the Stonecrest CID Advisory

Committee of the City of Stonecrest, Georgia; and

WHEREAS, it is the governing authority's desire that the CID Advisory Committee provide a forum for discussing best practices and to advise the Mayor and City Council regarding best practices with respect to strategies for interaction with the existing East Metro CID jurisdiction and the proposed formation of the Stonecrest Lithonia Industrial Park Community Improvement District (SLIPCID) or other such Districts within the City.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STONECREST, GEORGIA, as follows:

SECTION I

ESTABLISHMENT OF THE STONECREST CID ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND AUTHORIZATION

In response to the City of Stonecrest's community values and changing needs of the City's population, Mayor and Council hereby create a CID Advisory Committee to advise and engage with the business and industrial community for such duration as Mayor and Council may desire (hereinafter referred to as the "CID Committee"). Creating this Committee is an opportunity for the City to affect substantive improvements to the City's commercial areas and to transform our City into one defined by the collective pursuit of a high quality of life for all residents.

SECTION II

MISSION AND DUTIES

- (a) The mission of the CID Advisory Committee is to include the citizens of the City of Stonecrest to address the need of improving and sustaining the City's commercial areas.
- (b) The CID Advisory Committee is a recommending body whose purpose is to meet on a regular basis to investigate, listen, and contribute ideas from City residents, business owners, conservation groups, and others with an interest in the industrial and commercial facilities within the City.
- (c) It is intended that the meetings of the CID Advisory Committee shall provide a forum for the discussion of the subjects that are pertinent for the time and result in ideas to maintain a well-rounded and established commercial property ecosystem within the City.

 The CID Advisory Committee members, individually and collectively, are expected to:

- Express the ideas, concerns, and interests of the residents and other entities with an interest in the City's industrial parks and commercial areas regularly to the City Council.
- 2. Enhance discussion by researching innovative ideas applicable to revitalization, community longevity and sustainable principals.
- 3. Promote an atmosphere of respect and fairness.
- 4. Strive to reach consensus within the Committee.
- 5. To provide as necessary and appropriate advice, reviews, reports and recommendations to the City Council.
- (d) Further, this committee will assist City Council in identifying an appropriate member to serve on the East Metro CID board, or to engage with the member so named, in order to:
 - Finalize an intergovernmental agreement with the East Metro CID for City Council Consideration.
 - 2. Maintain and improve quality of life for the community through shared benefits with the East Metro CID.

SECTION III

MEMBERSHIP

The CID Advisory Committee shall be composed of seven (7) members, two (2) of which shall be Councilmembers appointed by the City Council. The City Council shall establish qualifications for members of the CID Advisory Committee except that each CID Advisory Committee member must be either a resident of the City or an owner or officer of a business domiciled in the City. Each Committee person shall be nominated and approved by the City Council. Should the Committee member move out of the City or no longer be an owner or an officer of a business domiciled in the City, he/she may remain active until the City Council appoint his/her replacement. Members must attend two-thirds (2/3) of the CID Advisory Committee meetings in a calendar year.

Failure to do so warrants removal from the Committee.

SECTION IV

TERMS

Each member shall serve for a term of one (1) year. Members filling vacancies shall serve the remainder of the term to which they were appointed. A consecutive appointment is permissible.

Members whose terms expire shall continue to serve until a replacement is appointed or a consecutive appointment is made. Any member may be removed with or without cause by the City Council.

SECTION V

COMPENSATION

CID Advisory Committee members will serve without compensation. Reasonable expenses for travel and Committee related expenses may be reimbursed pursuant to a policy to be established by the City Manager and approved by the City Council.

SECTION VI

QUORUM

A majority of the actual number of CID Advisory Committee members establishes a quorum.

Any action taken requires a majority of affirmative votes of the quorum present.

SECTION VII

GOVERNANCE

The CID Advisory Committee may create bylaws for the governance of the Committee. The CID Advisory Committee shall set its own meeting schedule and establish the meeting agendas. Meetings shall be governed in accordance with the Open Meetings Act found within the Official Code of Georgia Annotated. The CID Advisory Committee shall meet at least four times annually, having one meeting in each quarter of a calendar year.

All meetings shall be open to the public and all records maintained by the CID Advisory Committee shall be public records unless expressly exempted by a provision of the Georgia Open Records Act. The CID Advisory Committee shall keep minutes of its proceedings, showing the vote of each member upon each question, and shall maintain records of its examinations and other official actions all of which shall be filed in the office of the City Clerk. Copies of the minutes shall be

sent to the Mayor and each member of the City Council. At each meeting, the public shall be granted time for public comment.

The CID Advisory Committee shall elect a chairman to conduct meetings and a vice chairman to conduct meetings in the absence of the chairman. Elections shall be held at the first regular meeting of the calendar year. The chairman shall serve for one (1) year or until re-elected or a successor is elected. The vice chairman shall serve for one (1) year or until re-elected or a successor is elected. The Committee shall select one of its members to be the secretary.

SECTION VIII

INITIAL MEMBERS

The initial members of the CID Advisory Committee shall be as follows:

- 1. Jim Kelly
- 2. Cornell McBride
- 3. Michael McClinton
- 4. Matthew Hampton
- 5. Bernard Night
- 6. Councilman Jimmy Clanton D1
- 7. Councilman Rob Turner D2

Ex Officio (non-voting) Members:

- 1. City of Stonecrest Economic Development Director
- 2. Executive Director of the East Metro Dekalb CID

SECTION IX

ENFORCEMENT AND SEVERABILITY

- (a) It is hereby declared to be the intention of the Mayor and Council that all sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses and phrases of this Resolution are or were, upon their enactment, believed by the Mayor and Council to be fully valid, enforceable and constitutional.
- (b) It is hereby declared to be the intention of the Mayor and Council that, to the greatest extent allowed by law, each and every section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution is severable from every other section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution. It is

hereby further declared to be the intention of the Mayor and Council that, to the greatest extent allowed by law, no section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution is mutually dependent upon any other section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution.

(c) In the event that any phrase, clause, sentence, paragraph or section of this Resolution shall, for any reason whatsoever, be declared invalid, unconstitutional or otherwise unenforceable by the valid judgment or decree of any court of competent jurisdiction, it is the express intent of the Mayor and Council that such invalidity, unconstitutionality or unenforceability shall, to the greatest extent allowed by law, not render invalid, unconstitutional or otherwise unenforceable any of the remaining phrases, clauses, sentences, paragraphs or sections of the Resolution and that, to the greatest extent allowed by law, all remaining phrases, clauses, sentences, paragraphs and sections of the Resolution shall remain valid, constitutional, enforceable, and of full force and effect.

SECTION X

REPEAL OF CONFLICTING RESOLUTIONS

All resolutions and parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

SECTION XI

EFFECTIVE DATE OF RESOLUTION

This Resolution shall become effective upon the date of approval and execution by the Mayor and Council of the City of Stonecrest, Georgia.

SO RESOLVED, this <u>09</u> day of <u>August</u>, 2021.

CITY OF STONECREST, GEORGIA

Jason Lary, Sr., Mayor

ATTEST:

City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Attorney

A RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH THE STANDING FINANCE COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF STONECREST, GEORGIA.

WHEREAS, the City of Stonecrest was created by Senate Bill 208, passed in the Georgia General Assembly during the 2016 Session and subsequently confirmed by referendum; and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 208 provided a charter for the City of Stonecrest (the "City Charter"); and WHEREAS, Senate Bill 21, passed in the Georgia General Assembly during the 2021 Session and

subsequently signed into law on April 2, 2021; and

WHEREAS, Section 1.03(b)(42) of the City Charter grants the City the power to exercise and enjoy all other powers, functions and rights necessary or desirable to promote the general welfare of the City and its inhabitants; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the public health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Stonecrest, as well as the City's financial interests and its stakeholders will best be served by appointing a Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee, which will be comprised of public and private stakeholders in the City of Stonecrest, who will evaluate and submit to the City Council recommendations on financial and budgeting matters.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STONECREST, GEORGIA, as follows:

SECTION I

ESTABLISHMENT AND AUTHORIZATION OF THE STONECREST FINANCE OVERSIGHT

COMMITTEE

There is hereby established the Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee of the City of Stonecrest,

Georgia (the "Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee"). Two or more councilmembers may be a member of the

Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee. The City Manager or designee, the City Finance Director, and the

Internal Auditor shall be ex-officio members of the Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee.

SECTION II

DUTIES

The Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee shall study and research City matters related to finances and budget, and shall make recommendations to the City Council regarding financial reports and budget performance on all funds, services, strategies, departments, and organizational units of the City on a regular basis. Additional tasks shall include review of the budget structure, review of the purchasing policy, creating a detailed budget calendar, and outlining rules for passing the budget.

Members must attend two-thirds of the Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee meetings in a calendar year. Failure to do so warrants removal from the Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee.

SECTION III

MEMBERSHIP

The City Council shall establish qualifications for members of the Stonecrest Finance Oversight

Committee, except that each Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee member must be either a resident of the

City; or a member of the City Council. Each committee member shall be nominated and approved by the City

Council. Should the Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee member move out of the corporate limits of the

City, he/she may remain active until the City Council appoint his/her replacement.

SECTION IV

TERMS

Each Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee member shall serve until the succeeding end of the City's fiscal year. Consecutive terms are permissible. Members filling vacancies shall serve the remainder of the term to which they were appointed. A consecutive appointment is permissible. Members whose terms expire shall continue to serve until a replacement is appointed or a consecutive appointment is made. Any Committee member may be removed with or without cause by the City Council.

SECTION V

COMPENSATION

Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee members will serve without compensation.

SECTION VI

QUORUM

A majority of the actual number of Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee members shall establish a quorum at the initial meeting. The number of members to establish a quorum may thereafter be specified by that Committee's rules of procedure. Any action taken requires the affirmative votes of a majority of the quorum present.

SECTION VII

GOVERNANCE

The Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee shall determine its time of meeting and adopt its rules of procedure, which shall be substantially similar to the rules of procedure of the City Council. The date and time of each meeting, as well as agenda items to be considered, shall be publicized in the same manner as meetings of the Mayor and City Council.

All meetings at which official action is taken shall be open to the public, and all records maintained by the Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee shall be public records, unless expressly exempted by a provision of the Georgia Open Records Act. The Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee shall keep minutes of its proceedings, showing the vote of each member upon each question, and shall maintain records of its examinations and other official actions, all of which shall be filed in the office of the City Clerk. Copies of the minutes shall be sent to the Mayor and each member of the City Council. The minutes of the proceedings shall be a public record. This section shall not be construed as prohibiting closed sessions when permitted by the Georgia Open Meetings and Open Records Acts.

Expenditures of the Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee, if any, shall be within the amounts appropriated for the purposes intended by the Mayor and City Council during the annual budgeting process.

SECTION VIII

INITIAL MEMBERS

The initial members of the Stonecrest Finance Oversight Committee shall be as follows:

- 1. Member Jennifer Moore
- 2. Member Angela Ash
- 3. Member Lakesha Swanson
- 4. Member Dave Marcus

- 5. Member NA
- 6. Councilmember Jazzmin Cobble
- 7. Councilmember George Turner
- 8. City Manager or Designee- ex-officio
- 9. City Finance Director- ex-officio
- 10. Internal Auditor- ex-officio

SECTION IX

ENFORCEMENT AND SEVERABILITY

- (a) It is hereby declared to be the intention of the Mayor and Council that all sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses and phrases of this Resolution are or were, upon their enactment, believed by the Mayor and Council to be fully valid, enforceable and constitutional.
- (b) It is hereby declared to be the intention of the Mayor and Council that, to the greatest extent allowed by law, each and every section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution is severable from every other section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution. It is hereby further declared to be the intention of the Mayor and Council that, to the greatest extent allowed by law, no section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution is mutually dependent upon any other section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution.
- (c) In the event that any phrase, clause, sentence, paragraph or section of this Resolution shall, for any reason whatsoever, be declared invalid, unconstitutional or otherwise unenforceable by the valid judgment or decree of any court of competent jurisdiction, it is the express intent of the Mayor and Council that such invalidity, unconstitutionality or unenforceability shall, to the greatest extent allowed by law, not render invalid, unconstitutional or otherwise unenforceable any of the remaining phrases, clauses, sentences, paragraphs or sections of the Resolution and that, to the greatest extent allowed by law, all remaining phrases, clauses, sentences, paragraphs and sections of the Resolution shall remain valid, constitutional, enforceable, and of full force and effect.

SECTION X

REPEAL OF CONFLICTING RESOLUTIONS

All resolutions and parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

SECTION XI

EFFECTIVE DATE OF RESOLUTION

This Resolution shall become effective upon the date of approval and execution by the Mayor and Council of the City of Stonecrest, Georgia.

SO RESOLVED, this 28	_day of_	June	, 2021.
ATTEST: Sonya Isom, Deputy City Clerk		Henge	Mayor Pro Tempore
APPROVED AS TO FORM:			
City Attorney			

A RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH THE STANDING SPLOST OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF STONECREST, GEORGIA.

WHEREAS, the City of Stonecrest was created by Senate Bill 208, passed in the Georgia General Assembly during the 2016 Session and subsequently confirmed by referendum; and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 208 provided a charter for the City of Stonecrest (the "City Charter"); and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 21, passed in the Georgia General Assembly during the 2021 Session and subsequently signed into law on April 2, 2021; and

WHEREAS, Section 1.03(b)(42) of the City Charter grants the City the power to exercise and enjoy all other powers, functions and rights necessary or desirable to promote the general welfare of the City and its inhabitants; and

WHEREAS, Section 7 of Senate Bill 21 amended Section 2.11 to read as follows: *(c)(1) The city council shall have the power by ordinance or resolution to establish oversight, policy, and standing committees of the council; and

WHEREAS, the citizens of DeKalb County voted on November 7, 2017, to impose a Special Purpose Local Option Sales Tax (SPLOST) to be spent on capital improvements for the use and benefit of DeKalb County and qualified municipalities within DeKalb County; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Stonecrest has determined that it is in the best interest of the City and its citizens to establish an oversight committee known as the SPLOST Oversight Committee of the City of Stonecrest, Georgia, for the purpose of providing transparency and accountability to the citizens of the City of Stonecrest concerning the use of SPLOST funds.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STONECREST, GEORGIA, as follows:

SECTION I

ESTABLISHMENT OF THE SPLOST OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND AUTHORIZATION

The Mayor and City Council of the City of Stonecrest affirm that the Special Purpose Local Option Sales

Tax is the City's opportunity to affect substantive improvements that connect our citizens and starts to form our

City into one defined by the collective pursuit of a high quality of life for all residents. To further help accomplish
this vision, there is hereby established the SPLOST Oversight Committee of the City of Stonecrest, Georgia
(hereinafter referred to as the "SPLOST Committee").

SECTION II

MISSION AND DUTIES

The mission of the SPLOST Committee is to provide transparency and accountability to the citizens of the City of Stonecrest from the 2017 SPLOST. The purpose of the SPLOST Committee is to: provide as necessary and appropriate advice, reviews, reports, and recommendations to City Council on SPLOST projects. Members must attend two-thirds of the SPLOST Committee meetings in a calendar year. Failure to do so warrants removal from the SPLOST Committee.

SECTION III

MEMBERSHIP

Each committee person shall be nominated and approved by the City Council. The City Council shall establish all other qualifications for members of the SPLOST Committee except that each SPLOST Committee member must be either a resident of the City, or a member of the City Council. Should the SPLOST Oversight Committee member move out of the City, he/she may remain active until the City Council appoint his/her replacement.

SECTION IV

TERMS

Each SPLOST Committee member shall serve until the succeeding end of the City's fiscal year.

Consecutive terms are permissible. Members filling vacancies shall serve the remainder of the term to which they were appointed. A consecutive appointment is permissible. Members whose terms expire shall continue to serve until a replacement is appointed or a consecutive appointment is made. Any member may be removed with or without cause by the City Council.

SECTION V

COMPENSATION

Stonecrest SPLOST Committee members will serve without compensation.

SECTION VI

QUORUM

A majority of the actual number of SPLOST Committee members shall establish a quorum at the initial meeting. The number of members to establish a quorum may thereafter be specified by that Committee's rules of procedure. Any action taken requires a majority of affirmative votes of the quorum present.

SECTION VII

GOVERNANCE

The SPLOST Committee shall determine its time of meeting and adopt its rules of procedure, which shall be substantially similar to the rules of procedure of the City Council. The date and time of each meeting as well as agenda items to be considered shall be publicized in the same manner as meetings of the Mayor and Council.

All meetings at which official action is taken shall be open to the public and all records maintained by the SPLOST Committee shall be public records unless expressly exempted by a provision of the Georgia Open Records Act. The SPLOST Committee shall keep minutes of its proceedings, showing the vote of each member upon each question, and shall maintain records of its examinations and other official actions, all of which shall be filed in the office of the City Clerk. Copies of the minutes shall be sent to the Mayor and each member of the City Council. The minutes of the proceedings shall be a public record. This section shall not be construed as prohibiting closed sessions when permitted by the Georgia Open Meetings and Open Records Acts.

Expenditures of the SPLOST Committee, if any, shall be within the amounts appropriated for the purposes intended by the Mayor and City Council during the annual budgeting process.

SECTION VIII

INITIAL MEMBERS

The initial members of the SPLOST Committee shall be as follows:

- 1. Member Darrell Taylor
- 2. Member Elijah Ajaey
- 3. Member Stephanie Shine
- 4. Member Pat Smith
- 5. Member Averna Louie
- 6. Member Donna Priest-Brown
- 7. Member Jeff Martin
- 8. Councilmember Tammy Grimes
- 9. Councilmember Rob Turner

SECTION IX

ENFORCEMENT AND SEVERABILITY

- (a) It is hereby declared to be the intention of the Mayor and Council that all sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses and phrases of this Resolution are or were, upon their enactment, believed by the Mayor and Council to be fully valid, enforceable and constitutional.
- (b) It is hereby declared to be the intention of the Mayor and Council that, to the greatest extent allowed by law, each and every section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution is severable from every other section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution. It is hereby further declared to be the intention of the Mayor and Council that, to the greatest extent allowed by law, no section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution is mutually dependent upon any other section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution.
- (c) In the event that any phrase, clause, sentence, paragraph or section of this Resolution shall, for any reason whatsoever, be declared invalid, unconstitutional or otherwise unenforceable by the valid judgment or decree of any court of competent jurisdiction, it is the express intent of the Mayor and Council that such invalidity, unconstitutionality or unenforceability shall, to the greatest extent allowed by law, not render invalid, unconstitutional or otherwise unenforceable any of the remaining phrases, clauses, sentences, paragraphs or sections of the Resolution and that, to the greatest extent allowed by law, all remaining phrases, clauses, sentences, paragraphs and sections of the Resolution shall remain valid, constitutional, enforceable, and of full force and effect.

SECTION X

REPEAL OF CONFLICTING RESOLUTIONS

All resolutions and parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

SECTION XI

EFFECTIVE DATE OF RESOLUTION

This Resolution shall become effective upon the date of approval and execution by the Mayor and Council of the City of Stonecrest, Georgia.

SO RESOLVED, this	28_day of_	June	, 2021.
		Den	ONECREST, GEORGIA
ATTEST: Sonya Isom, Deputy City Clerk		George Turned of S. CORPOR	4 K 8 =
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	*	Julium V	min.

Item III. d.



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM

SUBJECT: Parks F	Facility Closures Update	
AGENDA SECTION: (☑ PRESENTATION ☐ NEW BUSINESS		AGENDA OLD BUSINESS o here to enter text.
	all that apply) ESOLUTION	Y ⊠ STATUS REPORT
ACTION REQUESTED	D: □ DECISION □ DISCUSSION, □ RE	VIEW, or ⊠ UPDATE ONLY
	: Monday, January 10, 2022 ing: Click or tap to enter a date.	
SUBMITTED BY: Tam	neika Porter, Acting Parks & Recreation Direc	etor

PRESENTER: Tameika Porter

PURPOSE: The Parks Department is providing an update of the park facility closures necessitated by the recent spike in COVID-19 cases throughout our region.

FACTS: We intend to close all park facilities to the public in concert with the current City Hall closure initiated by the Acting City Manager. These closures are due to the recent spike in COVID cases throughout our area. Our park open spaces will remain open to the public though we will not be accepting any reservations for events or activities until COVID cases return to a more acceptable level. Our Parks staff will remain accessible by email and phone at parksinfo@stonecrestga.gov, 470-552-7275.

OPTIONS: Update Only Click or tap here to enter text.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: No action required

ATTACHMENTS:

(1) Attachment 1 - Click or tap here to enter text.

Item III. e.



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM

SUBJECT: 2022 Martin Luther King Jr. Parade Plans & Updates				
AGENDA SECTION: (check all that apply) ☑ PRESENTATION ☐ PUBLIC HEARING ☐ CONSENT AGENDA ☐ OLD BUSINESS ☐ NEW BUSINESS ☐ OTHER, PLEASE STATE: Click or tap here to enter text.				
CATEGORY: (check all that apply) □ ORDINANCE □ RESOLUTION □ CONTRACT □ POLICY ☒ STATUS REPORT □ OTHER, PLEASE STATE: Click or tap here to enter text.				
ACTION REQUESTED: ☐ DECISION ☐ DISCUSSION, ☐ REVIEW, or ☒ UPDATE ONLY				
Current Work Session: Monday, January 10, 2022 Current Council Meeting: Click or tap to enter a date.				
SUBMITTED BY: Tameika Porter, Acting Parks and Recreation Director PRESENTER: Tameika Porter				
PURPOSE: To further discuss the upcoming Martin Luther King Jr. Parade plans for the Council and City.				
FACTS: Council has previously expressed interest in participating in the upcoming Martin Luther King Jr. Parade. We would like to begin the planning process for our participation and are seeking Council's guidance on this matter. Specifically, we would appreciate your thoughts on how many cars we wish to include, who would be driving those cars, and whether the Council wishes to involve any of our boards or committees in the parade. Your sentiments and preferences on this upcoming event will be appreciated.				
OPTIONS: Update Only Click or tap here to enter text.				

ATTACHMENTS:

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} (1) Attachment 1 - Click or tap here to enter text. \\ \end{tabular}$

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Click or tap here to enter text.

Item III. f.



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM

SUBJECT: Special Election					
AGENDA SECTION: (☑ PRESENTATION ☐ NEW BUSINESS	□ PUBLIC HEARING	☐ CONSENT AGENDA ATE: Click or tap here to enter			
CATEGORY: (check all ☐ ORDINANCE ☐ RI ☑ OTHER, PLEASE S	ESOLUTION CONTRA	CT □ POLICY □ STATI	US REPORT		
ACTION REQUESTER	D: □ DECISION □ DISCU	JSSION, □ REVIEW, or ⊠	UPDATE ONLY		
	Monday, January 10, 2022 ng: Click or tap to enter a date.				
SUBMITTED BY: Jim	Nichols, Deputy City Manage	er			

PRESENTER: Winston Denmark, City Attorney

PURPOSE: With the recent resignation of Mayor Jason Lary, the City is left with a void at the position of Mayor. A special election will be required to fill this role.

FACTS: Staff in concert with the City Attorney's office will be making the necessary arrangements for a special election later this year to address the current opening in the City's Mayoral position. We are offering the Council an update on this process.

OPTIONS: Discussion only Click or tap here to enter text.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: No action required

ATTACHMENTS:

- (1) Attachment 1 Click or tap here to enter text.
- (2) Attachment 2 Click or tap here to enter text.
- (3) Attachment 3 Click or tap here to enter text.
- (4) Attachment 4 Click or tap here to enter text.



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM

(5) Attachment 5 - Click or tap here to enter text.