

SOUTH JORDAN CITY
CITY COUNCIL STUDY MEETING

May 17, 2022

Present: Mayor Dawn Ramsey, Council Member Tamara Zander, Council Member Patrick Harris, Council Member Brad Marlor, Council Member Don Shelton, Council Member Jason McGuire, City Manager Gary Whatcott, Assistant City Manager Dustin Lewis, City Attorney Ryan Loose, Director of Public Works Jason Rasmussen, City Recorder Anna Crookston, Director of City Commerce Brian Preece, Director of Strategic Services Don Tingey, CFO Sunil Naidu, Chief Technology Director Jon Day, Systems Administrator Ken Roberts, GIS Coordinator Matt Jarman, Director of Planning Steven Schaefermeyer, Director of Recreation Janell Payne, Director of Engineering Brad Klavano, Fire Chief Chris Dawson, Communications Manager Rachael Van Cleave, Police Lieutenant Rob Hansen, Assistant City Attorney Ed Montgomery

Others:

4:47 PM
STUDY MEETING

A. Welcome, Roll Call and Introduction: *By Mayor Dawn R. Ramsey*

Mayor Ramsey welcomed everyone present and introduced the meeting.

B. Invocation: *By City Manager Gary Whatcott*

Manager Whatcott offered the invocation.

C. Mayor and Council Coordination

Council Member Tamara Zander asked what time the county council meetings are held.

Mayor Dawn Ramsey said they haven't been added to the agenda yet for a meeting, but she knows the meetings are in the afternoon and they are planning on attending May 24.

Council Member Zander is supposed to speak at an elementary school at 1:00 to 1:30 p.m., so she'd like to know the time to help with scheduling everything.

City Manager Gary Whatcott asked the mayor about a letter being signed, and asked if it has been sent in the mail.

Mayor Ramsey said it hasn't been sent yet, as it hasn't been sent to the council. There were changes made and she hasn't had a chance to have the council sign it.

Manager Whatcott noted that if everyone wants to sign it, that needs to be done tonight.

Mayor Ramsey stated she will have to work on it during this meeting.

Council Member Zander asked about Summerfest and their meeting time.

Assistant City Manager Dustin Lewis said he believes Assistant to the City Manager Melanie Edwards has their tickets for the breakfast, drivers will be picking them up around 8:30 a.m. at the fire station.

Council Member Don Shelton asked if it was a different route this year.

Manager Lewis said it's the same route as two years ago. They will pick the council members up at the fire station, take them up through the neighborhood to 2200 West, and the parade starts there by the entrance to the city park.

Council Member Jason McGuire asked if they were using Redwood again.

Manager Lewis said yes.

Council Member Zander noted that it's hard to pass out candy on that road, due to its width.

Mayor Ramsey wasn't aware the route had changed, and noted that she prefers the other route.

Manager Lewis said they spent many hours analyzing the potential routes. There was a lot of feedback about last year's route so they went back to the old route; people felt the parade started too far up 2200 West. Also, they have to have a staging and ending de-staging area, and there aren't any routes with that option other than this existing route, without closing down more state roads. There also isn't a route that keeps everyone in the vicinity of the venue.

Council Member McGuire asked if any of the schools were participating.

Mayor Ramsey said the principal at Bingham asked if it was too late to sign up, and that he was working on trying to find a way for them to be involved.

Manager Lewis said they are holding spots for any schools that want to participate.

Council Member Zander said she hopes they can get Herriman High in as well.

Council Member Patrick Harris noted that with the larger crowds the candy is running out before the end of the parade.

The council agreed to increase the budget for candy for parade, from at least 50% more to double.

D. Discussion/Review of Regular Council Meeting

Action Item:

- Resolution R2022-27, Appointing members to the Senior Advisory Committee.

Land Use Items:

- Resolution R2022-03, Harvest Point West Development Agreement
- Resolution R2022-04, Harvest Point West Land Use Amendment.
- Zoning Ordinance 2022-01-Z, Harvest Point West Rezone from C-C to C-C-PD and LUA from EC to MU.

Mayor Ramsey said Peterson was debating on pulling their items from the agenda tonight, but she thinks they will still be here tonight.

Council Member Shelton asked about the Ivory Development with workforce housing, did all the subsidies for the workforce housing come from Redevelopment Agency (RDA) funds.

Manager Whatcott said the majority of it did, but there was a little bit added by Ivory.

Director of Commerce Brian Preece said everything from the city came from the RDA.

Council Member Shelton asked about the neighboring project, by Jake Ackerman, same question about the workforce housing.

Manager Whatcott said they haven't worked with him yet on that.

Council Member Zander asked how many units Mr. Ackerman committed to.

Manager Whatcott thinks it was three units, and they are planning on using the RDA funds.

Director of Planning Steven Schaefermeyer said the development agreement said that Mr. Ackerman will come back, which is what Ivory did, and talk with Director Preece to come up with a scenario everyone agrees on.

Council Member Shelton asked about this project, Harvest Point, and whether this is all out of their pockets or if they are looking at RDA funds.

Manager Whatcott said that came up in the recent conversation with them and the council had suggested the developer pay for the workforce housing. We actually told Peterson we would participate if they came back and discussed it with Director Preece, but they never came back to talk to Director Preece. A lot of the discussion about that particular issue was had between council members and Scott Howell or Peterson Development, rather than staff. Mr. Howell is the one that brought it up to Manager Whatcott saying the council had suggested Peterson do it all.

Director Schaefermeyer noted that after the second planning commission meeting they told Peterson that they needed to work on a development agreement together and they were given the

city's form. About one to two months later they sent us the development agreement before scheduling the public hearing, with whatever terms they were willing to agree to. In the development agreement it says "the City Council, acting as the South Jordan Redevelopment Agency Board, approves the use of RDA workforce funds to be used in the project in exchange for at least three deed restricted units." Leading up to the hearing there was a lot of confusion, and that's one of the reasons they pulled themselves off of the agenda previously to come back and talk to the council during a study session.

Council Member Shelton noted that, if approved, the development agreement as currently written expects the city to provide those RDA funds.

Director Schaefermeyer noted that the development agreement doesn't hold them to anything. It does basically say that they have the right to develop a road in to the property, and that shall happen only if certain things occur, including the rezone and workforce housing.

Council Member Marlor asked if that is the same thing we required of Ivory and Mr. Ackerman's project.

Director Schaefermeyer said Ivory provided more than three, and Ackerman has three.

Council Member Marlor was specifically referring to the RDA funds.

Director Schaefermeyer said they would have to come back and talk to the RDA, to nail down exactly what will happen.

Council Member Marlor asked if the difference between the affordable housing and market rates is to be brought in by the RDA for this project.

Director Preece spoke with Peterson before the last meeting and explained it to them. After that, someone on the staff told him Peterson didn't want to do it because they wanted to do improvements to the road, as they were cheaper than providing the workforce housing.

Manager Whatcott doesn't believe they ever committed one way or the other. At one time, they were going to provide some money for the road improvements like a right turn pocket, parking along the park, and things like that.

Council Member Marlor believes they have an assumption that the RDA is going to cover the differential.

Director Schaefermeyer said that in the notes he took with them after discussing the development agreement, his first bullet point is "Peterson to discuss with Brian Preece to see if RDA funds could be used for workforce housing." Neither he, nor Director Preece, have heard anything from them.

Manager Whatcott said based on their presentation, he got the impression they were paying for their own workforce housing unit, and only one unit; street improvements were on the list as well.

Council Member McGuire noted that their presentation is only an Option 1 or 2.

Manager Whatcott said they never came back and talked to anyone after the last meeting about anything.

Council Member Shelton asked if we need to hold RDA funds entirely for the senior project.

Manager Whatcott and Director Preece responded that there are excess funds, so no, they do not need to hold all the funds for that.

Manager Whatcott said he spoke with Director Preece previously and asked him if they could use any of the RDA money to improve the road if that was ever requested.

Council Member Shelton asked if the RDA funds can be used for a road.

Director Preece said it has to be used for affordable housing, so there would have to be some affordable housing on that project to use the RDA funds.

Council Member Zander asked if there is workforce housing, can RDA funds be used for the street; if the city contributed to the workforce housing and the street, would we have RDA funds for both of those. She was thinking that those RDA funds were being saved for the senior project and not to be spent on this one.

Council Member Marlcor feels there should be one protocol for all developers.

Director Preece agrees.

Council Member Marlcor suggested we figure that out so we can communicate that to the developer.

Director Preece said he has only mentioned covering the differential, that's what we did with the Ackerman project, and what he said to Peterson. The whole road thing is outside of his normal dealings, but they really couldn't do both.

Manager Whatcott said there needs to be a partnership, and Peterson has never talked about it from that perspective. The city discussed many options with them at the very beginning, and it's not his job to tell Peterson how to do their project. Suggestions were given, and we tried to work with them on questions as they've come up, but the last thing he told Scott Howell was to talk to Director Preece if they wanted to use RDA money on those workforce housing units. He thinks the Petersons are assuming we will just throw those funds in.

Council Member Marlors said they haven't talked to him once about affordable housing dollars; they talked about possibly two units, but not who was funding them.

Council Member McGuire said that at the last meeting, Barrett Peterson volunteered to look into things and see if they could cover for three units.

Council Member Shelton said that his conversation with them today left him believing they were expecting it to come out of their pocket.

Council Members Zander and Harris said that was what they were expecting, based on the last meeting.

Manager Whatcott said in the last meeting, Council Member McGuire asked them directly if they were paying for this.

Manager Whatcott added that even when he talked to Mr. Howell about the road project after talking to Mr. Preece, it wasn't that we were going paying for all of the road project, it was just possibly some of the parking. The estimate for the entire road project was somewhere around \$435,000 per Deputy City Engineer Jeremy Nielson, and they were only talking about the parking piece on the RDA side.

Council Member Harris said the council was basically telling Peterson the last time they came that if they were trying to get more density, they had to give something in return. That's when it started coming up regarding the road improvements, that they could contribute out of their pockets for affordable housing.

Council Member Shelton said he had a conversation with Deputy City Engineer Jeremy Nielson and City Engineer Brad Klavano today about the road. He'll ask the same questions in the public hearing, but the word he is getting from our professionals here is that the right turn pocket isn't going to improve the flow; it's not really worth the money for that pocket since no one is going straight across that road, they're all going right or left.

Manager Whatcott said he asked Engineer Nielson about the straight being two rights and a straight.

Director Klavano said the way it is configured now, with a left and a through or right, they feel it is fine because in the morning peak hours they had five cars go straight during one study, and in the afternoon peak they only had one; it's a lot of money for really no benefit.

Council Member Shelton said for him, he's not anxious to add another right turn pocket there; the parking across the street is probably more valuable.

Council Member Marlors noted that when developers come to them, sometimes individually, he'd like to be able to have a protocol or stance that the council takes on workforce housing. He would like to see some initiative on the city's part. If there is funding, and they can do it, then

they need to tell the developers that they need to see a certain percentage be workforce housing. Other things they are contributing can also count for the density, but the workforce housing ought to stand on its own and be consistent.

Council Member Shelton asked how much in excess they currently have in RDA funds.

Director Preece said it is at least \$3 million dollars.

Council Member Marlors asked how much the city is getting annually.

Director Preece said the amount is starting to diminish, but we still get about \$1 to \$1.5 million.

Council Member Marlors said that if we have the money, we should get some people in here that need help.

Council Member Zander said when they reached out to her, she encouraged them to do it out of their own revenue. She never said they would work on it together, she pushed it on them. She wanted to save city money for another project and didn't realize we had extra to use. That being said, if we are going into these meeting and they've offered one to three units, if we brought RDA funds could we elevate that number.

Council Member Shelton suggested possibly having them put money towards the road as well. This has been a bumpy process with them, and he thinks that is in part their efforts to go directly to the council members instead of including the staff.

Mayor Ramsey said when a developer chooses to side step the staff and goes straight to the council, it creates bumps in the road.

Council Member Marlors just met with a developer earlier today who has a project on Redwood Road, and the last thing he did was introduce him to Director Schaefermeyer and told the developer he was now going to be working with Director Schaefermeyer from here on out.

Director Schaefermeyer said the challenge is sometimes the developers come to him and say that they are hearing different things from the council, and they don't work with him because they don't like what staff is suggesting.

City Attorney Ryan Loose said they are going to try to make them submit the formal application to commit to densities, rather than going back and forth. They want to try and create an ordinance that doesn't leave Director Schaefermeyer feeling like the used car salesman and gets everyone on the same page.

Director Schaefermeyer referred to the study session minutes from Peterson's last visit, and at the very end it says "the mayor turned to the council and asked if Peterson comes to the table with an offer of 28 units, three of them affordable, and not using RDA funds, would the council

support that. Mr. Peterson then said “they could go back to their group and discuss these ideas and come back with what they can offer.”

Council Member Zander noted that we clearly articulated this wouldn’t be using RDA funds for the workforce housing. If we have RDA funds, which she didn’t realize, they could ask the number to go up if they contribute.

Council Member McGuire also noted they could hold them to the original discussion, having them provide the three units without us contributing.

Council Member Shelton said we could go up to six units of workforce housing with us contributing for the three additional units, or matching what they are putting into it.

Council Member Marlors said he thinks they should put dollars into something else that improves the project, keeping the affordable housing on the same level with every other project. That way we get more and more affordable housing with great people.

Council Member Harris noted that not every developer comes to us with the same density, the starting point isn’t always the same. If the starting point was the same, then he would agree 100% in saying that we should give them the same RDA funds. However, if one developer is requesting a much higher density than another, he doesn’t necessarily think they should be dealt with the same.

Council Member Marlors asked what density was given to Ivory.

Director Schaefermeyer said it ended just barely below 8 units per acre. With the Ackerman development it was just about above eight, and that was because of his concessions with the culvert, the ramblers, the trail on the canal, dealing with the Canal Company, etc.

Council Member Marlors would just like to see the council on the same page with a protocol for affordable housing; that way, they are all telling developers the same thing. He is okay with meeting with a developer, but the first thing he is going to do is send them to the source and tell them to continue forward with staff.

Director Schaefermeyer said Mr. Ackerman came in August 2021 to a study session, and that discussion about density and RDA housing was so productive in his opinion that he forwarded the minutes to Peterson and told them that was the clearest direction he could give them regarding this council.

Manager Whatcott said that also, the Ackerman and Ivory projects had meaningful adjustments to their projects based on neighbor input. He is not sure they had the same results with the Peterson project; they didn’t work as closely with the neighbors as the other two developers were willing to do. Not every project is exactly the same, even with the same density. It’s about the neighbors, the density, how they work with the neighbors, and the kind of land they have to deal with. In both of those cases, they were able to put housing next to housing. For this Peterson

project, we suggested that and they said they don't have room for that, and they weren't open to those kinds of suggestions.

Council Member Harris asked how Manager Whatcott feels about the project.

Manager Whatcott said it really doesn't matter what he thinks, but he wishes it could stay commercial. He has been a proponent of that and was the one at the last minute to ask if we really wanted to give the Petersons all that land on Bangerter Highway, but it was done anyways. He wasn't happy with all the housing on 11400 South, and he has been saying that over and over again, that we only have so much retail opportunity. He realizes retail is hard, and he knows how hard Peterson has worked on their property; however, we seem to fill every other retail spot that comes up, and Nielsen's Frozen Custard does very well in that location. In his own opinion, the project looks weird to him, as there is no blending and it's stark; it's all commercial parking lot and then there's residential. He has always had a problem with the idea that the paint store is there on the back and he worries about trucks backing in there, right on a neighborhood street. It just doesn't seem to fit cleanly there, with no transition or landscape buffering between the commercial and their residential parcel. He knows that there is pressure from the legislature to do commercial projects, to create mixed use, but the way this is designed, it doesn't feel great to him.

Council Member McGuire added that this wasn't designed to be mixed use, they are taking commercial and just shoving residential into it; mixed use is a totally different concept and they are mixing the two together.

Manager Whatcott said we have turned some of our commercially zoned parcels into housing before, quite a bit of that in fact, but this one just seems odd.

Attorney Loose said we have also taken commercial and pushed residential in to it, like at the south end of the District. However, with that project there was landscaping, buffering and some transition from the two complexes. That was all more or less designed for commercial, and the housing came later.

Council Member Zander asked for the density in San Tropez area.

Manager Whatcott thinks it's around 15 units per acre.

Attorney Loose said he thinks it's around 12-15 units per acre, but that's a unique thing in that it wasn't scheduled for units, but a total amount of units was given to The District and The District North. They now have a max number of units in total, and they chose to move some of their units over there to the south side. That wasn't planned originally for the south side, but the overall density of the project didn't shift, they just moved units from location A to location B.

Council Member Marlor feels like he's in a bunker with the legislature shooting from one side, and all the residents shooting from the other side; you don't dare poke your head up or you will get hit by one of them. They are either going to get legislation pushed down their throats because

they aren't doing higher density projects, or they'll have pitchforks and torches for providing slightly more density and some workforce housing.

Council Member McGuire noted that overall in the city, they are providing plenty of high density.

Attorney Loose said if Peterson wanted to use this project to say that South Jordan didn't cooperate, and they will, we have enough people that know how we work, including Ivory Homes, Destination Homes, etc., to show that this one developer trying to stick a square peg in a round hole is different than what previous developers have done. They can go to 11400 South, on the corner of Redwood Road where there are two projects, another by Think Storage, plus a dozen others to show what we have done.

Manager Whatcott pointed out what we did on our TOD was fantastic, and one that UTA uses as an example.

Attorney Loose mentioned Daybreak, and how that has been clustered and designed to work with the developers.

Manager Whatcott noted if they want to throw us under the bus for one project, we can show what we gave them over on Bangerter; that was 27 acres given to them on a commercially zoned parcel.

Attorney Loose added if they do that with this council, who has been amiable and tried to work with them, that shows what kind of partner they want to be. If they want to go back, look at the project again, and bring in something more palatable, they certainly have that ability.

Council Member Zander asked if this was voted down do they have to wait a year.

Attorney Loose said yes, the ordinance indicates a year waiting period, however the council can waive that waiting period.

Council Member McGuire noted it could also be tabled.

Attorney Loose said that is an option as well, or they could vote it down and offer as part of the motion the ability to come back sooner than a year. He will double check, but he's pretty sure that provision is still in the ordinance, and it has been done a few times before.

Mayor Ramsey said Peterson is aware of the one year waiting period, as it was mentioned to her this afternoon.

Attorney Loose said it could be tabled, saying it just doesn't fit.

Council Member Zander asked if they would still listen to the discussion before opting to table it, she doesn't want to disrespect the members of the public.

Mayor Ramsey said yes, they will still have the public hearing.

Public Hearing Item:

- Resolution R2022-26, Annexation Policy Plan Map.

City Attorney Ryan Loose explained that the policy map was made originally prior to 2000, then in 2007 everything was updated to comply with the state law changes; however, the map stayed basically the same. Now, looking at the map 15 years later, there is a bunch of stuff required by law to have an annexation policy plan that wasn't required back in 2007. They need to put together a plan, but they do not need to revisit the policy unless the council wants. Staff needs to fill out the parts of the plan that are required, based on the previous policy. The map is essentially the same with a few edges squared off to meet section lines. There have been questions from West Jordan asking why we are going north or west of West Jordan, but that has always been like that. Staff didn't feel they could change the policy that was adopted by the council back in 2007, nor had any council asked the staff to revisit the policy.

Manager Whatcott noted, based on other plans from that time era, that West Jordan's boundaries went across the mountain and Herriman's went clear behind us over to West Jordan, ours went both directions.

Attorney Loose noted they did adjust a few things from the previous one, based on current lines, because of the Metro Township, but it all follows current boundaries and the section lines. Also, some of West Jordan's council has gotten concerned about it, and South Jordan along with Kennecott assured them that we have no plans to annex with them, we are just adopting the same policy as before. Kennecott hasn't asked the city about any of the land west of them, nor have we them, and Kennecott assured West Jordan of the same thing. Staff just didn't feel comfortable changing a policy without bringing it to the council first.

Mayor Ramsey said it's important to point out that because there have been members of other councils in other communities that think this means something it doesn't, it is important to clarify and have this on the record that this is the same annexation plan that has existed since 2007, nothing is changing.

Council Member Zander asked if we are updating our policy, don't the rest of the cities have to do the same to comply with the state rules.

Attorney Loose clarified that we are updating a plan to make it compliant, our policy regarding what area we are covering is being left the same, it is not changing. Many cities have done this as well as they go along and get annexation requests. Most of the cities still aren't narrowing down, they still have large annexation areas. He recently saw a county presentation a few months ago where they were shown an overlay of all the maps; everyone is on top of each other. The county has their ideas of where they think the boundaries should be, and we can ignore it with impunity because the cities will determine that with the landowners; really, the landowners are the ones that make that decision.

Council Member Zander asked if we have multiple owners of the land in our policy, or just one owner.

Attorney Loose said it's really just Rio Tinto. West of West Jordan there are other big landowners who had mostly annexed in, but there may be some left.

Manager Whatcott noted the only piece south of 11800 South that we had on our plan as "would annex" rather than "self-determined" was owned by the SLR, but Rio Tinto owns that now.

Attorney Loose noted that even if we indicate we would take the land, the landowner still has to come to us and want to do it; we can't force anyone to annex. There might be some stuff on the West Bench, he doesn't know if that land has been leased, but they don't know how much is out there.

Mayor Ramsey shared the letter with the council members that was discussed earlier in the meeting and asked for the council members to let her know if there are any edits that need to be made. This letter was related to our commitment to the pool, and she made a copy for the council to review and look over before signing.

E. Discussion Items

E.1. Legislative Interim Meetings *(By City Attorney Ryan Loose)*

Attorney Loose sent everyone an email at about 3:30 p.m., and in that email were several different attachments including an interim schedule which includes the committee schedules. If there is a committee anyone is specifically interested in, they can let Attorney Loose know and he will make sure that information gets to you. The second attachment is something sent by consultants that is produced by legislature, Interim Committee Study Items, and it goes by each committee and tells what study groups they have. If anyone has a specific interest, again, let him know and he will make sure someone is there to listen and let you know what's going on.

Manager Whatcott asked if there was anything, as a council, that they had concerns about that they think should be worked on for next year.

Attorney Loose said the last attachment was all of the agendas for tomorrow. He just wants to discuss what's concerning the council and mayor, and he needs commitments from each council member to go out once a month with the mayor and other staff to lunch with legislators, or have a meeting with them, and have periodic conversations with them rather than trying to discuss it in December when everyone is busy. This would only require one or two of the council members. He noted that Manager Whatcott is discussing the Sheriff Services with Representative Teuscher, and he knows the mayor is watching several issues. There are affordable housing issues, and there are new people on that committee that have been specifically picked to push cities harder on affordable housing; one of them is our own Senator Fillmore.

Mayor Ramsey noted that he was the one appointed to replace Jake Anderegg, as he was removed as the chair of the commission on housing affordability.

Council Member Zander asked if the speaker gets to choose who's on all these committees.

Mayor Ramsey clarified that the Senate President appointed Anderegg instead of Fillmore.

Attorney Loose noted that affordable housing will be a big ticket item next year, and they always dangle the 50/50 formula change. There is justice court stuff we are looking at, they have been developing it for a few years now and Assistant City Attorney Ed Montgomery is with us today. There could be significant changes in the justice court, taking it to a circuit court system in the next few years, where all felonies would stay at district court, all misdemeanors would go to a circuit-type court, and then justice court would only handle infractions; all of that would certainly affect us.

Council Member Zander said water concerns her, she doesn't have a strong opinion about what she wants to see done, but she is concerned.

Manager Whatcott suggest speaking with Representative Wilcox, from Ogden, about running some more friendly legislation for water reuse in our state. He said he has been drafting and looking at some new language.

Council Member Zander would hate to see all the lawns die in the next few years when there are people irrigating fields. She went on a bike ride down Jordan River Trail, through Bluffdale and Lehi, a total of 20 miles on her bike and on that ride she was looking at all the fields with water just pouring out where there is nothing happening.

Manager Whatcott said they are trying to put meters on all of those, but it is going to take a while.

Mayor Ramsey said the deadline for application for that appropriated money was two days ago, and more than the amount of money available was applied for.

Manager Whatcott said the take rate on secondary water is low, and that's because Utah Lake Water isn't good quality. There have been some ideas on how to improve the lake water quality, and if that could be improved he thinks we'd see a higher take rate for those with secondary water in our city.

Mayor Ramsey asked if this is what part of the new role will be for the Utah League Commission, or not.

Manager Whatcott said it's one of their duties. They are trying to figure out how to get things cleaned up and better so we don't get the algae blooms and other issues. Last he remembers, we were in the 60% take rate, meaning that of those who have it, they are only using 60% of the secondary water available.

Council Member Shelton brought up Mulligans, as the water there has really impacted the landscape.

Manager Whatcott said all the evergreens there have been killed over time because of the secondary water; some trees just don't tolerate that kind of water.

Director of Public Works Jason Rasmussen said that Mulligans is now using culinary water on the greens and irrigation water on the rest of it.

Mayor Ramsey noted there is a lot of conversation around looking at statewide legislation that requires the removal of all nonfunctional turf. Whether that happens or not, there is real conversation and there will be legislation that says everyone is required to remove all nonfunctional turf at some point.

Attorney Loose said it will start with all new development, and then be worked backwards.

Mayor Ramsey added this is what was done in Nevada, it's what they are looking at in Washington County. The definition of nonfunctional is really more self-determined, but she wanted everyone to know that there will be legislation coming related to that.

Council Member McGuire asked if this would apply to residential, and what would determine functional versus nonfunctional.

Manager Whatcott said it could apply to residential in the future.

Director Schaefermeyer added even our current ordinance attempts to define what is functional, as an area that is around eight feet wide, and there is an attempt to say if it isn't a certain size then you can't put sod there. This is why the park strips no longer have sod, because most of them aren't considered functional due to their size.

Mayor Ramsey said if there are things as a city that they want to look at running as legislation, they really need to be thinking about that now. She appreciates the opportunity to start meeting with legislators more regularly, starting now, because by the time they have the legislative meetings and we share our priorities right before the session starts it is way too late.

Attorney Loose reminded everyone to send him any thoughts on legislation, or things they'd like tracked, so his office can work on that. He then discussed with the council which members want to meet with which legislators.

Council Member Zander asked to meet with the representative in District 48.

Attorney Loose said they will meet with all the candidates, on both sides, as well as the incumbents as far as non-open seats. If there is a candidate they would like to meet with, they will be practical and do what they can. If there is not an open seat, he suggested meeting with the incumbents, as they are the ones who actually have access to open bills right now; they are the

ones they can talk to about what's there. If the primary goes to a different candidate, then they can re-focus on who comes out of the primary. He asked who wanted to meet with Representative Pulsipher.

Council Member McGuire said he would meet with her, along with Council Member Marlor.

Attorney Loose asked who would like to meet with Senator Fillmore.

Council Member Zander and Council Member Shelton said they would meet with him.

Attorney Loose asked who wanted to meet with Representative Teuscher.

Council Member McGuire and Council Member Shelton would like to meet with them, as would Council Member Zander.

Attorney Loose noted they will cycle through the council members so each one gets a chance to meet with each representative they desire.

Council Member Zander noted it looks like they want two council members in each meeting.

Attorney Loose said if more than two would like to join, they will notice it as a quorum and three or more can attend. He and the council then discussed options for food, locations, etc. The council agreed that having the meals and meetings on city property would be easier to have a conversation.

Mayor Ramsey said the Unified Economic Opportunities Commission, when they met, had discussion that there were 25 bills that came out of the UEOC this last year and guided policy for the state going forward. The intention is to be aggressive this year and do the same thing, push some significant legislation that will move the state forward over the next 10 years. There are seven subcommittees, and they change every year; the ones from last year don't exist anymore. There are seven new subcommittees and they are being populated with new people; they will have legislators, members of UEOC, and some professional staff. For the second year in a row, she will be on the growth subcommittee.

Council Member Zander asked who chooses the people for the committees.

Mayor Ramsey said the UEOC is chaired by the governor, but it's the Governor's Office of Economic Opportunity that oversees working with legislative leadership since the speaker and president are on it.

Council Member Zander asked if it is all elected officials, or staff of the governor.

Mayor Ramsey said it is also staff from other cities who fill those positions in the subcommittees.

Attorney Loose said there are a number of League appointments to different committees and boards, Manager Whatcott is on the UCA Committee and Attorney Loose is on the Indigenous Defense Commission. There are opportunities that only elected officials can fill, and there was a list sent out that he can re-send if anyone has interest.

Council Member Shelton said it would be a good thing if Attorney Loose, Manager Whatcott and the Mayor looked at those lists and shared what committees they feel our city should be represented on.

Council Member Zander motioned to recess the study meeting and move to an Executive Closed Session. Council Member Marlор seconded the motion; vote was unanimous in favor.

RECESS CITY COUNCIL STUDY MEETING AND MOVE TO EXECUTIVE CLOSED SESSION

F. Executive Closed Session

F.1. Discussion of the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual.

ADJOURN EXECUTIVE CLOSED SESSION AND RETURN TO CITY COUNCIL STUDY MEETING

ADJOURNMENT

Council Member McGuire motioned to adjourn the May 17, 2022 City Council Study Meeting. Council Member Shelton seconded the motion; vote was unanimous in favor. Council Member Marlор was absent from the vote.

The May 17, 2022 City Council Study meeting adjourned at 6:36 p.m.

This is a true and correct copy of the May 17, 2022 City Council Study Meeting Minutes, which were approved on June 7, 2022.

Anna Crookston

South Jordan City Recorder