



COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE & COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING

Monday, August 05, 2024, at 6:00 PM

Snoqualmie City Hall, 38624 SE River Street & Zoom

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Chair: Louis Washington

Councilmembers Jolyon Johnson and Robert Wotton

This meeting will be conducted in person and remotely using Zoom.

Join by Telephone: To listen to the meeting via telephone, please call **253.215.8782** and enter

Webinar ID **860 6728 7531** and Password **1730040121** if prompted.

Press *9 to raise your hand to speak. Raising your hand signals the meeting moderator that you have a comment.

Press *6 to mute and unmute.

Join by Internet: To watch the meeting over the internet via your computer, follow these steps:

- 1) Click this [link](#)
- 2) If the Zoom app is not installed on your computer, you will be prompted to download it.
- 3) If prompted for Webinar ID, enter **860 6728 7531**; Enter Password **1730040121**
- 4) Please confirm that your audio works prior to participating.

CALL TO ORDER & ROLL CALL

AGENDA APPROVAL

PUBLIC COMMENTS (online public comments will not be taken).

MINUTES

1. Approval of the minutes dated July 15, 2024.

AGENDA BILLS

DISCUSSION ITEMS

2. Affordable Housing RFP and RFQ.

ADJOURNMENT

UPCOMING ITEMS

(The following items reference either upcoming projects or issues pertaining to matters of the Community Development Council Committee. There will be no discussion of these items unless there is a change in status.)

3. Mayor's Proposed 2025-2026 Biennial Budget.



COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE & COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING MINUTES July 15, 2024

This meeting was conducted in person at Snoqualmie City Hall and remotely using Zoom.

CALL TO ORDER & ROLL CALL: Chair Louis Washington called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm.

Committee Members:

Councilmembers Louis Washington, Jo Johnson, and Rob Wotton were present.

Mayor Katherine Ross was also present.

City Staff:

Mike Chambless, City Administrator; Emily Arteché, Community Development Director; Deana Dean, City Clerk; Nicole Wiebe, Community Liaison; Ashley Wragge, Planning Technician; and Andrew Jongekryg, IT Support.

AGENDA APPROVAL - The agenda was approved as presented.

PUBLIC COMMENTS – There were no public comments.

MINUTES

1. The minutes dated June 3, 2024, were approved as presented.

AGENDA BILLS – There were no agenda bills.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

2. Snoqualmie Valley Selected for Rural Tourism Support Program. This item was introduced by Community Development Director Emily Arteché. Presentation provided by Kelly Coughlin from SnoValley Chamber of Commerce who spoke to the recently awarded competitive grant from Washington State Rural Tourism Support Program. Highlights included the importance of tourism in the valley, where the visitors are going, creation of visitor guides, mountain biking adventures and Port of Seattle grant, mobile app, and promoting responsible tourism and sustainability. Matthew Ozuna from State of Washington Tourism provided additional information regarding the program and grant. Comments and questions followed.
3. Councilmembers Items of Interest. This item was moved to the August 5, 2024, Community Development Committee Meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 6:56 pm.

Minutes taken by Deana Dean, City Clerk.

Recorded meeting audio is available on the City website after the meeting.

Minutes approved at the _____ Community Development Committee Meeting.

Community Development Committee & Committee of the Whole Meeting Minutes
July 15, 2024



Ashley Wragge, Planning Technician

38624 SE River St. | P.O. Box 987

Snoqualmie, Washington 98065

(425) 888-5337 | awragge@snoqualmiewa.gov

MEMORANDUM

To: Community Development Committee
From: Ashley Wragge, Planning Technician
Date: August 5, 2024
Subject: Items of Committee Interest- Affordable Housing RFQ and RFP

Introduction

At the beginning of the year Council Committee members were asked by the Chair to provide items of interest to be discussed at subsequent committee meetings.

Background

On February 20, 2024, Council member Wotton provided a list of items for the committee to discuss, including affordable housing, tourism, and economic development. In the June 3, 2024, Community Development meeting, the focus was on affordable housing in which committee members reviewed a draft agenda for the June 24, 2024, Council Roundtable meeting focusing on affordable housing.

At the Roundtable councilmembers decided to rescind this item back to the Community Development Committee for further discussions on the merits of using request for qualifications (RFQ) or request for proposals (RFP).

Analysis

Exploration of the City owned property for affordable housing will require the city to seek contractors for the work to be done. How to best attract the most suitable developers requires a thorough plan. Attachment 1 entitled *Hiring a Consultant: RFQs and RFPs* from the American Planning Association elaborates on efficient methods for cities to use when looking for project work to be done.

The exploration of the City owned property for affordable housing may require several points of discussion before this item returns to a Council Roundtable including:

1. Potential Property Development
 - a. Property Description

- b. RFP or RFQ
 - c. Legal Considerations
 - d. Highest and Best Use
- 2. Advantages for Affordable Housing Siting
 - a. Close to the bus line
 - b. Walkable to Neighboring businesses & services
 - c. Proximity to other housing, infrastructure
 - d. Existing Zoning
- 3. Considerations
 - a. Land Ownership (city-owned, land lease, surplus sale)
 - b. Environmental stewardship
 - a. Traffic Impact/Parking
 - b. Onsite Services (daycare, after school care, health services)
 - c. Amenities (outdoor gathering area)
- 4. Resources
 - a. \$1.3 million affordable housing fund
 - b. Potential grants
- 5. RFP Selection Process
 - a. Appointed Committee or Staff
 - b. Scored and Unscored Requisites
- 6. Next Steps

Next Steps

Staff recommends the Council Committee discuss which method best suits the City's needs and any other item related to items 1-6 above. Subsequent CD Committee meetings will include other topics of committee member interest, i.e., tourism, and economic development.

QUICKNOTES

Hiring a Consultant: RFQs and RFPs

There are many reasons a municipality may want to hire a consultant. Planning departments and agencies commonly use consultants to supplement staff time for large projects, such as comprehensive plan updates or zoning ordinance rewrites, or to augment staff expertise where detailed technical or subject matter knowledge is required. Consultants are typically skilled at facilitating the extensive public outreach and involvement required in major planning projects. Outside experts can also bring credibility and a sense of objectivity to planning processes.

To hire a consultant, an agency must first define the project requiring consulting services. Important factors to consider include project goals; technical, political, and administrative specifications; definitions of agency staff and consultants' respective roles; and project deliverables, timetable, and budget.

To achieve the highest quality project results, it is vital that agencies select the consultant that best meets the agency's needs. One approach is a two-step process consisting of a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) followed by a Request for Proposals (RFP).

Request for Qualifications

In a combined RFQ-RFP process, an agency first releases an RFQ concisely describing the project and inviting consulting firms to submit their qualifications for consideration. RFQs should be widely advertised and can also be sent directly to individual firms. Because RFQs do not require a significant investment of time or resources for consultants to answer, response rates are generally high, and because they do not take significant amounts of time to review, staff can quickly review and rank the applications received. This is a good way for agencies who might not have previously worked with consultants to generate a short list of qualified candidates—typically between four or five of the highest ranked—to invite to submit full proposals through the RFP process.

Request for Proposals

Other communities may decide to forego the RFQ and directly issue an RFP. The RFP should describe the scope of work for the project at hand and the consultant services needed, requirements for application, and evaluation and selection criteria. Agencies must write clear and accurate RFPs so that consultants' proposals may respond precisely to communities' needs. Preparing a response to an RFP takes significant time and resources on the part of the consultant, as well as significant review time on the part of the agency, so using an RFQ to identify a limited number of prequalified firms for the RFP invitation can be valuable for both parties.

The RFP should contain certain basic elements, including:

- a **cover page** providing the name of the project and agency contact information;
- an **introduction** clearly summarizing the project and the nature of the services sought;
- a **description of the project** detailing the project by providing community background and project history, covering the project purpose and basic components and aspects, and specifying a project budget range;
- a **description of services required** itemizing the scope of work, identifying major project milestones and deliverables, and providing a suggested schedule for project completion;
- **directions for submission**, explaining when and where proposals are due and in what form they should be submitted; and
- **evaluation criteria** describing how proposals will be evaluated and by whom.

Hiring a consultant can
augment staff time and
expertise and help a
planning agency
successfully complete
big planning projects or
smaller technical tasks.



American Planning Association

Making Great Communities Happen

Agencies typically request applicants to describe the process, products, and deliverables they will use to meet the project requirements laid out in the scope of work; develop a proposed project schedule; provide a detailed budget with breakouts of costs for each element or task; and specify contract requirements and billing procedures. Providing a project budget range enables firms to determine appropriate levels of costs and services, producing proposals of similar scales for agencies to better compare.

Agencies should also provide a way to answer any questions from consultants regarding the RFP. This could take the form of a scheduled preproposal meeting at which firm representatives may raise questions, or a designated staff member who responds to individual questions as they come in. In both cases, questions and responses should be documented and made publicly available to all interested consultants.

Selecting the Winning Proposal

Once proposals have been received, the consultant selection team must review and rank them. Selection criteria typically include professional qualifications of the firm and personnel who will be assigned to the project; quality of the proposal content and presentation; past experience of the firm in working on similar projects and in similar environments; demonstration of creativity and innovation; familiarity with the project site or local context; and overall project cost and ability of the firm to perform work on schedule and within budget. The agency should contact references and carefully review samples of prior relevant work to narrow the field to three or four finalists to interview.

The interview team should ask consultants to describe how they would execute the project and explore those skill sets and intangible factors that will be most important for successful completion of the project at hand. After interviews are completed, the agency should rank the candidates, contact its top choice, and begin negotiating a work program and contract. If an agreement cannot be reached, the agency can then contact the next firm on the list. Once the contract is finalized, the agency can announce the “winner.”

Successful Project Implementation

Once the agency has selected its top choice, it should clearly define project expectations and its relationship with the consultant through a contract. A formal agreement should clearly define the consultants’ scope of work, including project milestones, deliverables, timetables, and budget; define the relative roles and responsibilities of both the consultant and the agency; and establish billing and payment protocols. Down the line, this formal agreement can act as a guide to keep the project on track or help resolve any issues that might come up regarding consultant roles and responsibilities. Both the agency and consultant should consult their respective attorneys to help draw up, review, and approve the contract.

Agency staff must establish and maintain a pattern of close cooperation with the consultants throughout the duration of the project, providing information, data, and guidance where needed. Consultants must also keep agency staff updated on project process, whether through periodic meetings, interim written reports, or project update presentations.

For successful project management, it is important to develop and adhere to a detailed work schedule listing project tasks, milestones, completion dates, and required meeting or reporting times. The schedule should also include any responsibilities and duties of the agency. The consultant and the agency should work together to create this schedule during initial negotiations and include it in the formal agreement.

Hiring a consultant can augment staff time and expertise and help a planning agency successfully complete big planning projects or smaller technical tasks. By carefully defining project objectives, using the RFP process to most effectively and efficiently solicit a manageable number of qualified consultant proposals, and negotiating a comprehensive formal agreement and working relationship, both the agency and the consultant it selects will be primed for successful planning.

PAS QuickNotes is a publication of the American Planning Association’s Planning Advisory Service (PAS). © 2012 by the American Planning Association. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing. Visit PAS online at www.planning.org/pas to find out how PAS can work for you. American Planning Association staff: W. Paul Farmer, FAICP, Chief Executive Officer; William R. Klein, AICP, Director of Research and Advisory Services; Suzanne Rynne, AICP, QuickNotes Editor; Tim Mennel, Senior Editor; Julie Von Bergen, Assistant Editor; Susan Deegan, Senior Graphic Designer.

REFERENCES

1. Published by the American Planning Association

American Planning Association. “Consultants Online.” Available at www.planning.org/consultants.

Kelly, Eric Damian. 1993 [2006]. *Selecting and Retaining a Planning Consultant: RFPs, RFQs, Contracts, and Project Management*. PAS Report no. 443. Chicago: American Planning Association. Revised excerpt available at www.planning.org/consultants/choosing.

2. Other Resources

Colorado Department of Local Affairs, Office of Smart Growth. N.d. *Contracting for Professional Planning Consultant Services*. Available at <http://cospl.coalliance.org/fez/eserv/co:7850/loc61202c762002internet.pdf>.

Haines, Anna, et al. 2001. *How to Hire a Planning Consultant: A Guide to Preparing a Request for Proposals*. University of Wisconsin Extension and Wisconsin Department of Administration. Available at www.dot.wisconsin.gov/localgov/docs/landuse-hire.pdf.