



# HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING

City Council Chambers, 448 East First Street, Salida, CO

Thursday, December 03, 2020 - 4:00 PM

## AGENDA

Please register for the Historic Preservation Commission Meeting:

<https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/1894075215676222736>

### CALL TO ORDER

### APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

- 1. Historic Preservation Commission minutes 08/27/2020 draft

### UNSCHEDULED CITIZENS

### AMENDMENT(S) TO AGENDA

### PUBLIC HEARINGS

Public Hearings will follow the following procedure:

- |                                |                          |                        |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| A. Open Public Hearing         | D. Public Input          | G. Commission Decision |
| B. Staff Review of Application | E. Close Public Hearing  |                        |
| C. Applicant's Presentation    | F. Commission Discussion |                        |

- 2. Major Certificate of Approval- Nevens-Koster Real Estate Agency, 120 W. Second Street - The applicant, Sam Mick, is requesting approval to construct a second story addition with a roof-top patio to the existing single-story building.

### NEW BUSINESS

### COMMISSIONERS' COMMENTS

### ADJOURN

**\*\*An alternate can only vote on, or make a motion on an agenda item if they are designated as a voting member at the beginning of an agenda item. If there is a vacant seat or a conflict of interest, the Chairman shall designate the alternate that will vote on the matter. If a Voting member shows up late to a meeting, they cannot vote on the agenda item if the alternate has been designated.**

*Individuals with disabilities needing auxiliary aid(s) may request assistance by contacting the City Clerk at 448 E. 1st Street, Ste. 112, Salida, CO 81201, Ph.719-530-2630 at least 48 hours in advance.*

# HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING

City Council Chambers, 448 East First Street, Salida, CO

August 27, 2020 - 5:30 PM

---

## MINUTES

**CALL TO ORDER: Krebs called the meeting to order at 5:38 pm**

### PRESENT

**Chairman Keith Krebs**

**Commissioner Jack Chivvis**

**Commissioner Patrick Regan**

**Commissioner Steve Chapman**

### ABSENT

**Vice-Chairman Steve Harris**

**Commissioner Lee Hunnicutt**

### APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

1. Historic Preservation Commission draft minutes - 02.27.2020

Motion made by Commissioner Regan, Seconded by Commissioner Chivvis.

Voting Yea: Chairman Krebs, Commissioner Chivvis, Commissioner Regan, Commissioner Chapman

### UNSCHEDULED CITIZENS

### AMENDMENT(S) TO AGENDA

### PUBLIC HEARINGS

Public Hearings will follow the following procedure:

- |                                |                          |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| A. Open Public Hearing         |                          |
| B. Staff Review of Application | E. Close Public Hearing  |
| C. Applicant's Presentation    | F. Commission Discussion |
| D. Public Input                | G. Commission Decision   |

2. Argys Brothers Garage – 223 E. First Street - Major Certificate of Approval Application-

The purpose of the request is to receive approval for exterior alterations to the back wall of the structure at 223 E. First Street. The applicant is requesting to increase the 8'x8' garage door opening to allow for a 12'x12' garage door opening on the rear (south) wall of the building adjacent to the alley.

**A. Staff Review of Application – Jefferson** gave an overview of the application and stated that staff recommends approval of the application with the following conditions.

1. That the applicant applies for a building permit as required by the Chaffee County Building Department.
2. Upon completion of the project the applicant contact staff to schedule an inspection of the approved work with a member of the Historic Preservation Commission.

**B. Applicant's Presentation – Sam Mick, property owner,** explained the proposal for the revisions to the rear garage door opening and was available to answer questions.

**C. Commission Discussion: Krebs** opened the Commission discussion and stated that he would rather see a metal garage door instead of the proposed faux wood door. All Commissioners were in support of the request.

**D. Commission Decision: Chivvis** made a motion to approve the major certificate of approval with staff's recommended conditions.

**Regan** seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion carried.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

NEW BUSINESS:

COMMISSIONERS' COMMENTS: **Krebs** mentioned that 128 F Street property owner P.J. Bergin contacted him regarding the deteriorating parapet wall of her building. She asked for recommendations for the repair and preservation of the wall. Jefferson stated that she would contact Ms. Bergin.

ADJOURN: With no further business to come before the Commission, the meeting adjourned at 6:02 pm.



## STAFF REPORT

---

**MEETING DATE:** December 3, 2020

**AGENDA ITEM TITLE:** Nevens-Koster Real Estate Agency, 120 W. Second Street- Major Certificate of Approval Application

**AGENDA SECTION:** Public Hearing

---

**REQUEST:** The request is for a Major Certificate of Approval to receive approval to construct a second story addition with a roof-top patio to the existing single-story building at 120 W. Second Street.

**APPLICANT:**

The applicant is Sam Mick, PO Box 1008, Salida, CO 81201. The applicant is being represented by Keith Zoni.

**LOCATION:**

The property is located at 120 W. Second Street and is historically known as the Nevens-Koster Real Estate Agency.

**PROCESS:**

A major certificate of approval (CA) shall be reviewed by the Historic Preservation Commission and ruled upon by the Administrator or his or her designee at a regular or special meeting to be conducted within twenty (20) days from the date the application was determined complete.

Written notice of the date, time and location of the meeting shall be mailed by regular mail or personally delivered to the applicant not less than five (5) days prior to the meeting. The unexcused absence of the applicant from the meeting shall cause the Administrator or his or her designee to deny the application or, at the Administrator or his or her designee's option, continue the matter to a later meeting date of its choosing.

**OBSERVATIONS:**

1. The subject property is located within the Central Business (C-2) zone district, the Downtown Historic District and the Central Business Economic Overlay.
2. The building is considered a contributing building to the downtown historic district. The architectural inventory form states, "this building is associated the history of real estate and insurance businesses in the twentieth century Salida. The building is representative of the office buildings erected in Downtown Salida during the first half of the twentieth century."

3. The proposal is to construct a stepped back second-story addition with a roof-top patio on the existing single-story building. The proposed materials for the addition are: brick veneer on the front and sides of the addition and stucco on the rear wall, double-hung aluminum-clad windows, black wrought iron railing and awnings to match the existing. The applicant is proposing to extend the brick pillars to incorporate the wrought iron railing.



4. The applicant is also proposing to power wash the existing building. The cleaning of an exterior surface of a contributing or landmark building or structure by sandblasting, high-pressure spraying or other chemical or mechanical means requires HPC approval prior to power washing the building.

5. Rehabilitation is defined by the Secretary of the Interior's Standards as the act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations, and additions while preserving those portions or features which convey its historical, cultural, or architectural values.



6. The following standards are #9 and #10 of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation:

- New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.

- New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.
7. For additional help in reviewing this application staff has provided the Commission with copies of National Park Service Preservation Brief #14, “New Exterior Additions to Historic Buildings - Preservation Concerns”.
  8. On October 29<sup>th</sup> the Historic Preservation held a work session to discuss the application with staff and the applicant. At that work session the concerns the Commission brought forward were:
    - I. The mass and scale of the proposed addition.
    - II. The second story should be stepped back from the first story.
    - III. That the façade of the existing building not be altered as proposed.
    - IV. The arch above the windows as shown on the plans needed to be addressed.
    - V. That the use of stucco should be limited to the rear wall.
  9. The applicant has included a narrative, photographs and site plans describing the updated plans and has addressed the concerns of the Commission as shown in the updated packet materials.

#### **REVIEW STANDARDS:**

#### **1. Conformance with Certificate of Approval Review Standards for a contributing building (Section 16-12- 80(a)) using the Design Guidelines in the review:**

- A. Architectural Character. Whether and/or to what extent the proposed work will preserve, protect, change, diminish, disguise, obscure, detract from or destroy the appearance or structural integrity of the historic features, design, materials, character or value of the structure or site.
  - In Preservation Brief #14, the National Park Service states that “a project involving a new addition to a historic building is considered acceptable within the framework of the National Park Service’s standards if it:
    1. Preserves significant historic materials and features and form; and
    2. Preserves the historic character; and
    3. Compatible and differentiated design

**Preserve Significant Materials and Features** - With respect to #1 above, the existing building in its current condition retains its architectural significance, historic materials, features and form. The proposed addition will be compatible with the existing features, materials and form of the historic structure.

- The proposed materials are brick veneer for the front and sides of the new addition and stucco on the rear wall.
- The proposed windows will be double-hung aluminum clad wood frame and awnings to match the existing awnings of the first story.
- The applicant is also proposing to use a black wrought iron railing around the second floor balcony and the rooftop patio.

**Preserve Historic Character** - Regarding #2 above, preserving the historic character, the Preservation Brief states that “the historic character, to a large extent, is embodied in the physical aspects of the historic building itself.”

In the context of the historic district and the surrounding neighborhood, this will be a second-story addition to the existing single-story building and will be subordinate to the existing building because the applicant has stepped back the proposed second story. The structures on the same block as this property consists of mainly single and two story buildings. The new addition should not overpower the historic form in the district.

**Compatible and differentiated design-** With regard to #3 above the Salida Downtown Guidelines – policy states the following: New construction should distinguish itself from historic structures. Traditional elements such as large display windows of clear glass, kickplates, recessed entries and transom windows should be emulated but not replicated. In new and altered buildings, these elements should reflect the proportions and detailing of historic elements found on contributing buildings, but be interpreted in new ways.

The proposal reflects the proportions and detailing of the existing historic structure.

- B. Original Materials. Whether original designs, materials, finishes and construction techniques that characterize the historic value and appearance of a structure or site can be retained, restored or repaired as opposed to replaced, and whether replacement designs, materials or finishes can match and/or accurately replicate the originals.
- As discussed above, staff feels that in general the application meets this standard with the proposed materials.
  - According to the Salida Downtown Guidelines – policy states the following: Building materials of new structures and additions to existing structures should contribute to the visual continuity of the district. They should appear similar to those seen traditionally to establish a sense of visual continuity.
    - The proposed materials are compatible with the existing structure and the Downtown Historic District.
- C. Minimum Change. Whether and/or to what extent the proposed work will require more than a minimal change to the historic appearance, materials or integrity of the structure or site.
- The primary concerns of the Secretary of the Interior’s standards for this type of work seem to be that the new addition be complimentary to but distinct from the historic building and that there is the least possible loss of historic materials and features. These recommendations have been achieved with the updated design.
  - The proposed work will not change the historic appearance of the existing contributing building.

- D. New Construction. New additions, exterior alterations and related work shall not destroy or detract from the existing historic structure and materials to the maximum extent feasible, and such new work or alterations shall be differentiated from, but compatible with, the existing size, scale and exterior architectural features of the structure or site so as to protect its historic identity and integrity.
  - As discussed above, the new work as proposed will not destroy and should not detract from the existing historic structure and materials. The new work will be differentiated from but compatible with the existing structure. The addition will share some materials with the historic building in the brick and awning material.
  
- E. Historic Appearance. Work that will protect or return the original historic appearance of a structure or site, especially where documented by photographs, historic research or other credible evidence, shall be encouraged and favored.
  - This application does not propose to return the original historic appearance.
  
- F. Work Necessary. Whether the proposed work is required or necessary to comply with a building, fire or other health/safety code.
  - The proposed work will comply with all existing building, fire and other health/safety codes, however, it is not necessary that this work be performed in order to comply with those codes.

**RECOMMENDED FINDINGS:**

1. That the application is in compliance with the review standards for contributing structures in the historic district because the new construction should not detract from the historic integrity of the primary structure and site.
2. The new construction will be differentiated from but compatible with the existing historic structure.
3. The work is not necessary to comply with any building, fire or life safety code.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Based upon the observations and review standards outlined above, staff recommends **Approval** of the application with the following conditions.

1. That the applicant applies for Major Certificate of Approval prior to power washing the existing building.
2. That the applicant applies for a building permit as required by the Chaffee County Building Department prior to starting construction.
3. Upon completion of the project the applicant contact staff to schedule an inspection of the approved work with staff and a member of the Historic Preservation Commission prior to issuance of certificate of occupancy.

Attachment: Application materials  
Architectural Inventory Form for 120 W. Second Street

Elevations and Site plan  
Preservation Brief #14  
Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation

COLORADO CULTURAL RESOURCE SURVEY

# Architectural Inventory Form

Page 1 of 3

- Date \_\_\_\_\_ Initials \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_ Determined Eligible-NR
- \_\_\_\_\_ Determined Not Eligible-NR
- \_\_\_\_\_ Determined Eligible-SR
- \_\_\_\_\_ Determined Not Eligible-SR
- \_\_\_\_\_ Need Data
- \_\_\_\_\_ Contributes to Eligible NR District
- \_\_\_\_\_ Noncontributing to Eligible NR District

## I. IDENTIFICATION

1. **Resource Number:** 5CF406.120
2. **Temporary Resource Number:** 122
3. **County:** Chaffee
4. **City:** Salida
5. **Historic Building Name:** Nevens-Koster Real Estate Agency
6. **Current Building Name:** Acupuncture Traditional Chinese Medicine
7. **Building Address:** 120 W. 2nd St.
8. **Owner Name and Address:** Soldow, Frederic C. & Farrar-Soldow, Nancy E., P.O. Box 101, Poncha Springs, CO 81242
- Parcel Number:** 368132421384
- SHF Grant Number:** 2001-02-004

## II. GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

9. **P.M.** N.M. **Township** 50N **Range** 9E  
 1/4 1/4 SW 1/4 SE 1/4 **of Section** 32
10. **UTM Reference**  
**Zone** 13 **Easting** 413511 **Northing** 4265520
11. **USGS Quad Name:** Salida East, Colo.  
**Year:** 1994 **Map Scale:** 7.5' Attach photo copy of appropriate map section.
12. **Lot (s):** F **Block(s):** 21  
**Addition:** Salida Original Townsite **Year of Addition:** 1880
13. **Boundary Description and Justification:**  
 Boundary includes the building and the urban parcel on which it is situated.

## III. ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

14. **Building Plan (footprint, shape):** Rectangular
15. **Dimensions in Feet: Length** 47 **X Width** 32
16. **Stories:** 1
17. **Primary External Wall Material(s) (enter no more than two):**  
 Brick
18. **Roof Configuration (enter no more than one):**  
 Flat
19. **Primary External Roof Material (enter no more than one):** Asphalt
20. **Special Features (enter all that apply):**  
 None
21. **General Architectural Description:**

One-story rectangular brick commercial building with flat roof stepped down toward rear. Façade of building is divided into three bays by slightly projecting contrasting piers of brown glazed brick. Red field brick on front and west side. Piers extend above roof and have concrete caps. Soldier course of brick at cornice. Inset center entrance area with wood door with large rectangular light and transom (with air conditioner unit). Flanking window is narrow plate glass sidelight and plate glass display window; brick below windows. Flanking entrance area are

identical bays with three windows each: wide two-part center windows are flanked by narrow single-light windows. Windows have brick sills. Windows are sheltered by curved fabric awnings with scalloped edges.

**22. Architectural Style/Building Type:** No Style

**23. Landscaping or Special Setting Features:**

N/A

**24. Associated Buildings, Features, or Objects:**

None

#### IV. ARCHITECTURAL HISTORY

**25. Date of Construction:** Estimate Pre-1927 Actual

**Source of Information:** Salida Mail, 21 October 1927

**26. Architect:** Unknown

**Source of Information:**

**27. Builder/Contractor:** Unknown

**Source of Information:**

**28. Original Owner:** Unknown

**Source of Information:**

**29. Construction History (include description and dates of major additions, alterations, or demolitions):**

A picture of this building appears in the 21 October 1927 Salida Mail, although the 1929 Sanborn map still showed a two-story building.

**30. Original Location:** Yes **Date of Moves**

#### V. HISTORICAL ASSOCIATIONS

**31. Original Use(s):** Commerce and Trade/Business  
Commerce and Trade/Specialty Store

**32. Intermediate Use(s)** Commerce and Trade/Business

**33. Current Use(s):** Health Care

**34. Site Type(s):** Office Building

**35. Historical Background:**

The building was apparently constructed prior to 1927. A photograph of the current building appears in the October 1927 "Booster Edition" of the Salida Mail. Information contained on Sanborn fire insurance maps for this location appears to be incorrect, for the 1929 Sanborn map shows a two-story building to the west and a one-story building to the east on this parcel (address then as 114 to 118 W. 2nd Street). There is a single one-story building addressed as number 114-18 on the 1945 map labeled as an office, which seems to reflect the shape and use of this building.

The 1927-28 city directory lists the Nevens-Koster Real Estate Agency at this location (addressed as 120 W. 2nd Street). Thomas A. Nevens, an attorney, had previously practiced law in Salida and was the senior member of the firm. Harold R. Koster, the junior member, formerly lived in Yonkers, New York. Both were ex-servicemen. The Nevens-Koster Agency was established in 1923. They also operated the Salida branch of the Railway Savings and Building Loan Association of Pueblo here.

In 1951, Nevens Insurance (Robert E. Winslow, manager) was still listed here. Thomas A. Nevens, who was associated with the firm until his death, had died by this date as Stella Nevens was listed in the city directory as the widow of Thomas. The Chaffee County Finance Company was also in this space in 1951. Officers of the latter firm included R.M. Donnohue, president, H.L. Funk, vice president, and R.E. Winslow, secretary and treasurer. In 1961, Robert E. Winslow Real Estate and Insurance occupied this building.

**36. Sources of Information:**

Chaffee County Assessor records; Salida City Directories; Sanborn Insurance Maps; Denver Times, 7 April 1899, 1;

Salida Mail, "Booster Edition," 21 October 1927; Thomas D. Nevens, Denver, Colorado, telephone interview, 24 October 2002.

## VI. SIGNIFICANCE

37. Local Landmark Designation: No Date of Designation:

Designating Authority:

38. Applicable National Register Criteria:

- A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
- B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
- C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or represents the work of a master, or that possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or
- D. Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important to history or prehistory.

Qualifies under Criteria Considerations A through G (See Manual).

X Does not meet any of the above National Register criteria.

39. Area(s) of Significance:

40. Period of Significance:

41. Level of Significance:

42. Statement of Significance:

This building is associated the history of real estate and insurance businesses in twentieth century Salida. The building is representative of the office buildings erected in Downtown Salida during the first half of the twentieth century.

43. Assessment of Historic Physical Integrity Related to Significance:

A photograph of the building in the 31 May 1939 Salida Mail shows that it retains substantial integrity.

## VII. NATIONAL REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT

44. National Register Field Eligibility Assessment: Not eligible

45. Is there National Register district potential? Discuss. N/A

This building is located in an existing National Register district, the Salida Downtown Historic District.

If there is NRHP district potential, indicate contributing status: N/A

46. If the building is in an existing NRHP district, indicate contributing status: Contributing

## VIII. RECORDING INFORMATION

47. Photographic Reference(s): 14: 10A, 12A.

Negatives Filed At: City of Salida

Photographer: Roger Whitacre

48. Report Title: Downtown Salida Historic Buildings Survey, 2001-02

49. Date(s): September 2002

50. Recorder(s): R.L. Simmons/T.H. Simmons


51. Organization: Front Range Research Associates, Inc.

52. Address: 3635 W. 46th Ave.

53. Phone Number(s): (303) 477-7597

NOTE: Please attach a sketch map, a photocopy of the USGS quad map indicating the resource's location, and photographs.

Colorado Historical Society-Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation  
1300 Broadway, Denver, Colorado 80203 (303) 866-3395




120 W. 2nd Street, 5CF406.120



**Downtown Salida Historic Buildings Survey, 2001-02**  
**USGS Location Map**

120 W 2nd St, 5CF406.120



Mosaic of extracts from U.S. Geological Survey, "Salida East, Colo.," and "Salida West, Colo.," (Denver, Colo.: U.S. Geological Survey, 1994).

# 14 PRESERVATION BRIEFS

## New Exterior Additions to Historic Buildings: Preservation Concerns

Anne E. Grimmer and Kay D. Weeks



National Park Service  
U.S. Department of the Interior  
Technical Preservation Services



A new exterior addition to a historic building should be considered in a rehabilitation project only after determining that requirements for the new or adaptive use cannot be successfully met by altering non-significant interior spaces. If the new use cannot be accommodated in this way, then an exterior addition may be an acceptable alternative. Rehabilitation as a treatment “is defined as the act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations, and *additions* while preserving those portions or features which convey its historical, cultural, or architectural values.”

The topic of new additions, including rooftop additions, to historic buildings comes up frequently, especially as it

relates to rehabilitation projects. It is often discussed and it is the subject of concern, consternation, considerable disagreement and confusion. Can, in certain instances, a historic building be enlarged for a new use without destroying its historic character? And, just what is significant about each particular historic building that should be preserved? Finally, what kind of new construction is appropriate to the historic building?

The vast amount of literature on the subject of additions to historic buildings reflects widespread interest as well as divergence of opinion. New additions have been discussed by historians within a social and political framework; by architects and architectural historians in terms of construction technology and style; and

by urban planners as successful or unsuccessful contextual design. However, within the historic preservation and rehabilitation programs of the National Park Service, the focus on new additions is to ensure that they preserve the character of historic buildings.

Most historic districts or neighborhoods are listed in the National Register of Historic Places for their significance within a particular time frame. This period of significance of historic districts as well as individually-listed properties may sometimes lead to a misunderstanding that inclusion in the National Register may prohibit any physical change outside of a certain historical period—particularly in the form of exterior additions. National Register listing does not mean that a building or district is frozen in time and that no change can be made without compromising the historical significance. It does mean, however, that a new addition to a historic building should preserve its historic character.



*Figure 1. The addition to the right with its connecting hyphen is compatible with the Collegiate Gothic-style library. The addition is set back from the front of the library and uses the same materials and a simplified design that references, but does not copy, the historic building. Photo: David Wakely Photography.*



Figure 2. The new section on the right is appropriately scaled and reflects the design of the historic Art Deco-style hotel. The apparent separation created by the recessed connector also enables the addition to be viewed as an individual building.

## Guidance on New Additions

To meet Standard 1 of the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation*, which states that “a property shall be used for its historic purpose or be placed in a new use that requires minimal change to the defining characteristics of the building and its site and environment,” it must be determined whether a historic building can accommodate a new addition. Before expanding the building’s footprint, consideration should first be given to incorporating changes—such as code upgrades or spatial needs for a new use—within secondary areas of the historic building. However, this is not always possible and, after such an evaluation, the conclusion may be that an addition is required, particularly if it is needed to avoid modifications to character-defining interior spaces. An addition should be designed to be compatible with the historic character of the building and, thus, meet the *Standards for Rehabilitation*. Standards 9 and 10 apply specifically to new additions:

(9) “New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.”

(10) “New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.”

The subject of new additions is important because a new addition to a historic building has the potential to change its historic character as well as to damage and destroy significant historic materials and features. A new addition also has the potential to confuse the public and to make it difficult or impossible to differentiate the old from the new or to recognize what part of the historic building is genuinely historic.

The intent of this Preservation Brief is to provide guidance to owners, architects and developers on how to design a compatible new addition, including a rooftop addition, to a historic building. A new addition to a historic building should preserve the building’s *historic character*. To accomplish this and meet the *Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation*, a new addition should:

- Preserve significant historic materials, features and form;
- Be compatible; and
- Be differentiated from the historic building.

Every historic building is different and each rehabilitation project is unique. Therefore, the guidance offered here is not specific, but general, so that it can be applied to a wide variety of building types and situations. To assist in interpreting this guidance, illustrations of a variety of new additions are provided. Good examples, as well as some that do not meet the Standards, are included to further help explain and clarify what is a compatible new addition that preserves the character of the historic building.



Figure 3. The red and buff-colored parking addition with a rooftop playground is compatible with the early-20th century school as well as with the neighborhood in which it also serves as infill in the urban setting.

## Preserve Significant Historic Materials, Features and Form

Attaching a new exterior addition usually involves some degree of material loss to an external wall of a historic building, but it should be minimized. Damaging or destroying significant materials and craftsmanship should be avoided, as much as possible.

Generally speaking, preservation of historic buildings inherently implies minimal change to primary or “public” elevations and, of course, interior features as well. Exterior features that distinguish one historic building or a row of buildings and which can be seen from a public right of way, such as a street or sidewalk, are most likely to be the most significant. These can include many different elements, such as: window patterns, window hoods or shutters; porticoes, entrances and doorways; roof shapes, cornices and decorative moldings; or commercial storefronts with their special detailing, signs and glazing patterns. Beyond a single building, entire blocks of urban or residential structures are often closely related architecturally by their materials, detailing, form and alignment. Because significant materials and features should be preserved, not damaged or hidden, the first place to consider placing a new addition is in a location where the least amount of historic material and character-defining features will be lost. In most cases, this will be on a secondary side or rear elevation.

One way to reduce overall material loss when constructing a new addition is simply to keep the addition smaller in proportion to the size of the historic building. Limiting the size and number of openings between old and new by utilizing existing doors or enlarging windows also helps to minimize loss. An often successful way to accomplish this is to link the addition to the historic building by means of a hyphen or connector. A connector provides a physical link while visually separating the old and new, and the connecting passageway penetrates and removes only a small portion of the historic wall. A new addition that will abut the historic building along an entire elevation or wrap around a side and rear elevation, will likely integrate the historic and the new interiors, and thus result in a high degree of loss of form and exterior walls, as well as significant alteration of interior spaces and features, and will not meet the Standards.



Figure 4. This glass and brick structure is a harmonious addition set back and connected to the rear of the Colonial Revival-style brick house. Cunningham/Quill Architects. Photos: © Maxwell MacKenzie.

### Compatible but Differentiated Design

In accordance with the Standards, a new addition must preserve the building's historic character and, in order to do that, it must be differentiated, but compatible, with the historic building. A new addition must retain the essential form and integrity of the historic property. Keeping the addition smaller, limiting the removal of historic materials by linking the addition with a hyphen, and locating the new addition at the rear or on an inconspicuous side elevation of a historic building are techniques discussed previously that can help to accomplish this.

Rather than differentiating between old and new, it might seem more in keeping with the historic character

simply to repeat the historic form, material, features and detailing in a new addition. However, when the new work is highly replicative and indistinguishable from the old in appearance, it may no longer be possible to identify the "real" historic building. Conversely, the treatment of the addition should not be so different that it becomes the primary focus. The difference may be subtle, but it must be clear. A new addition to a historic building should protect those visual qualities that make the building eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.

The National Park Service policy concerning new additions to historic buildings, which was adopted in 1967, is not unique. It is an outgrowth and continuation of a general philosophical approach to change first expressed by John Ruskin in England in the 1850s, formalized by William Morris in the founding of the Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings in 1877, expanded by the Society in 1924 and, finally, reiterated in the 1964 Venice Charter—a document that continues to be followed by the national committees of the International Council on Monuments and Sites (ICOMOS). The 1967 *Administrative Policies for Historical Areas of the National Park System* direct that "...a modern addition should be readily distinguishable from the older work; however, the new work should be harmonious with the old in scale, proportion, materials, and color. Such additions should be as inconspicuous as

possible from the public view." As a logical evolution from these Policies specifically for National Park Service-owned historic structures, the 1977 *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation*, which may be applied to all historic buildings listed in, or eligible for listing in the National Register, also state that "the new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment."

*Preserve Historic Character*

The goal, of course, is a new addition that preserves the building's historic character. The historic character of each building may be different, but the methodology of establishing it remains the same. Knowing the uses and functions a building has served over time will assist in making what is essentially a physical evaluation. But, while written and pictorial documentation can provide a framework for establishing the building's history, to a large extent the historic character is embodied in the physical aspects of the historic building itself—shape, materials, features, craftsmanship, window arrangements, colors, setting and interiors. Thus, it is important to identify the historic character before making decisions about the extent—or limitations—of change that can be made.



Figure 5. This addition (a) is constructed of matching brick and attached by a recessed connector (b) to the 1914 apartment building (c). The design is compatible and the addition is smaller and subordinate to the historic building (d).



Figure 6. A new addition (left) is connected to the garage which separates it from the main block of the c. 1910 former florist shop (right). The addition is traditional in style, yet sufficiently restrained in design to distinguish it from the historic building.

A new addition should always be subordinate to the historic building; it should not compete in size, scale or design with the historic building. An addition that bears no relationship to the proportions and massing of the historic building—in other words, one that overpowers the historic form and changes the scale—will usually compromise the historic character as well. The appropriate size for a new addition varies from building to building; it could never be stated in a square or cubic footage ratio, but the historic building's existing proportions, site and setting can help set some general parameters for enlargement. Although even a small addition that is poorly designed can have an adverse impact, to some extent, there is a predictable relationship between the size of the historic resource and what is an appropriate size for a compatible new addition.

Generally, constructing the new addition on a secondary side or rear elevation—in addition to material preservation—will also preserve the historic character. Not only will the addition be less visible, but because a secondary elevation is usually simpler and less distinctive, the addition will have less of a physical and visual impact on the historic building. Such placement will help to preserve the building's historic form and relationship to its site and setting.

Historic landscape features, including distinctive grade variations, also need to be respected. Any new landscape features, including plants and trees, should be kept at a scale and density that will not interfere with understanding of the historic resource itself. A traditionally landscaped

property should not be covered with large paved areas for parking which would drastically change the character of the site.

Despite the fact that in most cases it is recommended that the new addition be attached to a secondary elevation, sometimes this is not possible. There simply may not be a secondary elevation—some important freestanding buildings have significant materials and features on all sides. A structure or group of structures together with its setting (for example, a college campus) may be of such significance that any new addition would not only damage materials, but alter the buildings' relationship to each other and the setting. An addition attached to a highly-visible elevation of a historic building can radically alter the historic form or obscure features such as a decorative cornice or window ornamentation. Similarly, an addition that fills



Figure 7. A vacant side lot was the only place a new stair tower could be built when this 1903 theater was rehabilitated as a performing arts center. Constructed with matching materials, the stair tower is set back with a recessed connector and, despite its prominent location, it is clearly subordinate and differentiated from the historic theater.




Figure 8. The rehabilitation of this large, early-20th century warehouse (left) into affordable artists' lofts included the addition of a compatible glass and brick elevator/stair tower at the back (right).



Figure 9. A simple, brick stair tower replaced two non-historic additions at the rear of this 1879 school building when it was rehabilitated as a women's and children's shelter. The addition is set back and it is not visible from the front of the school.



Figure 10. The small size and the use of matching materials ensures that the new addition on the left is compatible with the historic Romanesque Revival-style building.

in a planned void on a highly-visible elevation (such as a U-shaped plan or a feature such as a porch) will also alter the historic form and, as a result, change the historic character. Under these circumstances, an addition would have too much of a negative impact on the historic building and it would not meet the Standards. Such situations may best be handled by constructing a separate building in a location where it will not adversely affect the historic structure and its setting.

In other instances, particularly in urban areas, there may be no other place but adjacent to the primary façade to locate an addition needed for the new use. It may be possible to design a lateral addition attached on the side that is compatible with the historic building, even though it is a highly-visible new element. Certain types of historic structures, such as government buildings, metropolitan museums, churches or libraries, may be so massive in size that a relatively large-scale addition may not compromise the historic character, provided, of course, the addition is smaller than the historic building. Occasionally, the visible size of an addition can be reduced by placing some of the spaces or support systems in a part of the structure that is underground. Large new additions may sometimes be successful if they read as a separate volume, rather than as an extension of the historic structure, although the scale, massing and proportions of the addition still need to be compatible with the historic building. However, similar expansion of smaller buildings would be dramatically out of scale. In summary, where any new addition is proposed, correctly assessing the relationship between actual size and relative scale will be a key to preserving the character of the historic building.



Figure 11. The addition to this early-20th century Gothic Revival-style church provides space for offices, a great hall for gatherings and an accessible entrance (left). The stucco finish, metal roof, narrow gables and the Gothic-arched entrance complement the architecture of the historic church. Placing the addition in back where the ground slopes away ensures that it is subordinate and minimizes its impact on the church (below).

## Design Guidance for Compatible New Additions to Historic Buildings

There is no formula or prescription for designing a new addition that meets the Standards. A new addition to a historic building that meets the Standards can be any architectural style—traditional, contemporary or a simplified version of the historic building. However, there must be a balance between differentiation and compatibility in order to maintain the historic character and the identity of the building being enlarged. New additions that too closely resemble the historic building or are in extreme contrast to it fall short of this balance. *Inherent in all of the guidance is the concept that an addition needs to be subordinate to the historic building.*

A new addition **must preserve significant historic materials, features and form, and it must be compatible but differentiated from the historic building.** To achieve this, it is necessary to carefully consider the **placement or location** of the new addition, and its **size, scale and massing** when planning a new addition. To preserve a property's historic character, a new addition must be visually distinguishable from the historic building. This does not mean that the addition and the historic building should be glaringly different in terms of design, materials and other visual qualities. Instead, the new addition should take its design cues from, but not copy, the historic building.



A variety of design techniques can be effective ways to differentiate the new construction from the old, while respecting the architectural qualities and vocabulary of the historic building, including the following:

- Incorporate a simple, recessed, small-scale hyphen to physically separate the old and the new volumes or set the addition back from the wall plane(s) of the historic building.
- Avoid designs that unify the two volumes into a single architectural whole. The new addition may include simplified architectural features that reflect, but do not duplicate, similar features on the historic building. This approach will not impair the existing building's historic character as long as the new structure is subordinate in size and clearly differentiated and distinguishable so that the identity of the historic structure is not lost in a new and larger composition. The historic building must be clearly identifiable and its physical integrity must not be compromised by the new addition.



Figure 12. This 1954 synagogue (left) is accessed through a monumental entrance to the right. The new education wing (far right) added to it features the same vertical elements and color and, even though it is quite large, its smaller scale and height ensure that it is secondary to the historic resource.



Figure 13. A glass and metal structure was constructed in the courtyard as a restaurant when this 1839 building was converted to a hotel. Although such an addition might not be appropriate in a more public location, it is compatible here in the courtyard of this historic building.



Figure 14. This glass addition was erected at the back of an 1895 former brewery during rehabilitation to provide another entrance. The addition is compatible with the plain character of this secondary elevation.

- Use building materials in the same color range or value as those of the historic building. The materials need not be the same as those on the historic building, but they should be harmonious; they should not be so different that they stand out or distract from the historic building. (Even clear glass can be as prominent as a less transparent material. Generally, glass may be most appropriate for small-scale additions, such as an entrance on a secondary elevation or a connector between an addition and the historic building.)
- Base the size, rhythm and alignment of the new addition's window and door openings on those of the historic building.
- Respect the architectural expression of the historic building type. For example, an addition to an institutional building should maintain the architectural character associated with this building type rather than using details and elements typical of residential or other building types.

These techniques are merely examples of ways to differentiate a new addition from the historic building while ensuring that the addition is compatible with it. Other ways of differentiating a new addition from the historic building may be used as long as they maintain the primacy of the historic building. Working within these basic principles still allows for a broad range of architectural expression that can range from stylistic similarity to contemporary distinction. The recommended design approach for an addition is one that neither copies the historic building exactly nor stands in stark contrast to it.







Figure 15. The rehabilitation of a c. 1930 high school auditorium for a clinic and offices proposed two additions: a one-story entrance and reception area on this elevation (a); and a four-story elevator and stair tower on another side (b). The gabled entrance (c) first proposed was not compatible with the flat-roofed auditorium and the design of the proposed stair tower (d) was also incompatible and overwhelmed the historic building. The designs were revised (e-f) resulting in new additions that meet the Standards (g-h).




Figure 16. The proposal to add three row houses to the rear ell of this early-19th century residential property doubles its size and does not meet the Standards..



Figure 17. The small addition on the left is starkly different and it is not compatible with the eclectic, late-19th century house.




Figure 18. The expansion of a one- and one-half story historic bungalow (left) with a large two-story rear addition (right) has greatly altered and obscured its distinctive shape and form.



Figure 19. The upper two floors of this early-20th century office building were part of the original design, but were not built. During rehabilitation, the two stories were finally constructed. This treatment does not meet the Standards because the addition has given the building an appearance it never had historically.



Figure 20. The height, as well as the design, of these two-story rooftop additions overwhelms the two-story and the one-story, low-rise historic buildings.



### New Additions in Densely-Built Environments

In built-up urban areas, locating a new addition on a less visible side or rear elevation may not be possible simply because there is no available space. In this instance, there may be alternative ways to help preserve the historic character. One approach when connecting a new addition to a historic building on a primary elevation is to use a hyphen to separate them. A subtle variation in material, detailing and color may also provide the degree of differentiation necessary to avoid changing the essential proportions and character of the historic building.

A densely-built neighborhood such as a downtown commercial core offers a particular opportunity to design an addition that will have a minimal impact on the historic building. Often the site for such an addition is a vacant lot where another building formerly stood. Treating the addition as a separate or infill building may be the best approach when designing an addition that will have the least impact on the historic building and the district. In these instances there may be no need for a direct visual link to the historic building. Height and setback from the street should generally be consistent with those of the historic building and other surrounding buildings in the district. Thus, in most urban commercial areas the addition should not be set back from the façade of the historic building. A tight urban setting may sometimes even accommodate a larger addition if the primary elevation is designed to give the appearance of being several buildings by breaking up the facade into elements that are consistent with the scale of the historic building and adjacent buildings.




Figure 21. Both wings of this historic L-shaped building (top), which fronts on two city streets, adjoined vacant lots. A two-story addition was constructed on one lot (above, left) and a six-story addition was built on the other (above, right). Like the historic building, which has two different facades, the compatible new additions are also different and appear to be separate structures rather than part of the historic building.





Figure 22. The proposed new addition is compatible with the historic buildings that remain on the block. Its design with multiple storefronts helps break up the mass.



*Rooftop Additions*

The guidance provided on designing a compatible new addition to a historic building applies equally to new rooftop additions. A rooftop addition should preserve the character of a historic building by preserving historic materials, features and form; and it should be compatible but differentiated from the historic building.


However, there are several other design principles that apply specifically to rooftop additions. Generally, a rooftop addition should not be more than one story in height to minimize its visibility and its impact on the proportion and profile of the historic building. A rooftop addition should almost always be set back at least one full bay from the primary elevation of the building, as well as from the other elevations if the building is free-standing or highly visible.

It is difficult, if not impossible, to minimize the impact of adding an entire new floor to relatively low buildings, such as small-scale residential or commercial structures, even if the new addition is set back from the plane of the façade. Constructing another floor on top of a small, one, two or three-story building is seldom appropriate for buildings of this size as it would measurably alter the building’s proportions and profile, and negatively impact its historic character. On the other hand, a rooftop addition on an eight-story building, for example, in a historic district consisting primarily of tall buildings might not affect the historic character because the new construction may blend in with the surrounding buildings and be only minimally visible within the district. A rooftop addition in a densely-built urban area is more likely to be compatible on a building that is adjacent to similarly-sized or taller buildings.


A number of methods may be used to help evaluate the effect of a proposed rooftop addition on a historic building and district, including pedestrian sight lines, three-dimensional schematics and computer-generated design. However, drawings generally do not provide a true “picture” of the appearance and visibility of a proposed rooftop addition. For this reason, it is often necessary to construct a rough, temporary, full-size or skeletal mock up of a portion of the proposed addition, which can then be photographed and evaluated from critical vantage points on surrounding streets.




Figure 23. Colored flags marking the location of a proposed penthouse addition (a) were placed on the roof to help evaluate the impact and visibility of an addition planned for this historic furniture store (b). Based on this evaluation, the addition was constructed as proposed. It is minimally visible and compatible with the 1912 structure (c). The tall parapet wall conceals the addition from the street below (d).



**Figure 24. How to Evaluate a Proposed Rooftop Addition.**  
 A sight-line study (above) only factors in views from directly across the street, which can be very restrictive and does not illustrate the full effect of an addition from other public rights of way. A mock up (above, right) or a mock up enhanced by a computer-generated rendering (below, right) is essential to evaluate the impact of a proposed rooftop addition on the historic building.



**Figure 25.** It was possible to add a compatible, three-story, penthouse addition to the roof of this five-story, historic bank building because the addition is set far back, it is surrounded by taller buildings and a deep parapet conceals almost all of the addition from below.



**Figure 26.** A rooftop addition would have negatively impacted the character of the primary facade (right) of this mid-19th century, four-story structure and the low-rise historic district. However, a third floor was successfully added on the two-story rear portion (below) of the same building with little impact to the building or the district because it blends in with the height of the adjacent building.





Figure 27. Although the new brick stair/elevator tower (left) is not visible from the front (right), it is on a prominent side elevation of this 1890 stone bank. The compatible addition is set back and does not compete with the historic building. Photos: Chadd Gossmann, Aurora Photography, LLC.

## Designing a New Exterior Addition to a Historic Building

This guidance should be applied to help in designing a compatible new addition that will meet the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation*:

- A new addition should be simple and unobtrusive in design, and should be distinguished from the historic building—a recessed connector can help to differentiate the new from the old.
- A new addition should not be highly visible from the public right of way; a rear or other secondary elevation is usually the best location for a new addition.
- The construction materials and the color of the new addition should be harmonious with the historic building materials.
- The new addition should be smaller than the historic building—it should be subordinate in both size and design to the historic building.

The same guidance should be applied when designing a compatible **rooftop** addition, plus the following:

- A rooftop addition is generally not appropriate for a one, two or three-story building—and often is not appropriate for taller buildings.
- A rooftop addition should be minimally visible.
- Generally, a rooftop addition must be set back at least one full bay from the primary elevation of the building, as well as from the other elevations if the building is freestanding or highly visible.
- Generally, a rooftop addition should not be more than one story in height.
- Generally, a rooftop addition is more likely to be compatible on a building that is adjacent to similarly-sized or taller buildings.




Figure 28. A small addition (left) was constructed when this 1880s train station was converted for office use. The paired doors with transoms and arched windows on the compatible addition reflect, but do not replicate, the historic building (right).



Figure 29. This simple glass and brick entrance (left) added to a secondary elevation of a 1920s school building (right) is compatible with the original structure.

## Summary

Because a new exterior addition to a historic building can damage or destroy significant materials and can change the building's character, an addition should be considered only after it has been determined that the new use cannot be met by altering non-significant, or secondary, interior spaces. If the new use cannot be met in this way, then an attached addition may be an acceptable alternative if carefully planned and designed. A new addition to a historic building should be constructed in a manner that preserves significant materials, features and form, and preserves the building's historic character. Finally, an addition should be differentiated from the historic building so that the new work is compatible with—and does not detract from—the historic building, and cannot itself be confused as historic.

## Additional Reading

Byard, Paul Spencer. *The Architecture of New Additions: Design and Regulation*. New York, NY: W.W. Norton & Company, 1998.

Day, Steven, AIA. "Modernism Meets History: New Additions to Historic Structures." *Preservation Seattle* [Historic Seattle's online monthly preservation magazine.] May 2003. [www.historicseattle.org/preservationseattle/publicpolicy/defaultmay2.htm](http://www.historicseattle.org/preservationseattle/publicpolicy/defaultmay2.htm).

*Incentives! A Guide to the Federal Historic Preservation Tax Incentives Program for Income-Producing Properties*. "Avoiding Incompatible Treatments: New Additions & Rooftop Additions." Technical Preservation Services Branch, National Park Service. Online at [www.nps.gov/history/hps/tps/](http://www.nps.gov/history/hps/tps/).

*Interpreting the Standards Bulletins (ITS)*. Technical Preservation Services Branch, National Park Service. Online at [www.nps.gov/history/hps/tps/](http://www.nps.gov/history/hps/tps/).

*New Additions to Historic Buildings*. Technical Preservation Services Branch, National Park Service. Online at [www.nps.gov/history/hps/tps/](http://www.nps.gov/history/hps/tps/).

O'Connell, Kim A. "Making Connections." *Traditional Building*. March/April 2004. (Vol. 17, No. 2), pp. 12-15.

*The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Preservation Assistance Division, rev. 1990.

*The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation & Illustrated Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings*. (Authors: W. Brown Morton, III, Gary L. Hume, Kay D. Weeks, and H. Ward Jandl. Project Directors: Anne E. Grimmer and Kay D. Weeks.) Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of

the Interior, National Park Service, Preservation Assistance Division, 1992. Online at [www.nps.gov/history/hps/tps/](http://www.nps.gov/history/hps/tps/).

Semes, Steven W. "Differentiated and Compatible: The Secretary's Standards revisited." *Traditional Building*. February 2009. (Vol. 22, No. 1), pp. 20-23.

Semes, Steven W. *The Future of the Past: A Conservation Ethic for Architecture, Urbanism, and Historic Preservation*. (In association with The Institute of Classical Architecture and Classical America.) New York, NY: W.W. Norton & Company, 2009.



Figure 30. The small addition on the right of this late-19th century commercial structure is clearly secondary and compatible in size, materials and design with the historic building.



Figure 31. An elevator/stair tower was added at the back of this Richardsonian Romanesque-style theater when it was rehabilitated. Rough-cut stone and simple cut-out openings ensure that the addition is compatible and subordinate to the historic building. Photo: Chuck Liddy, AIA.

## Acknowledgements

Anne E. Grimmer, Senior Architectural Historian, Technical Preservation Services Branch, National Park Service, revised *Preservation Brief 14*, written by Kay D. Weeks and first published in 1986. The revised Brief features all new illustrations and contains expanded and updated design guidance on the subject of new additions that has been developed by the Technical Preservation Services Branch since the original publication of the Brief. Several individuals generously contributed their time and expertise to review the revision of this *Preservation Brief*, including: Sharon C. Park, FAIA, Chief, Architectural History and Historic Preservation, Smithsonian Institution; Elizabeth Tune and Karen Brandt, Department of Historic Resources, Commonwealth of Virginia; and Phillip Wisley and David Ferro, Division of Historical Resources, Florida Department of State. The Technical Preservation Services professional staff, in particular Michael J. Auer, Jo Ellen Hensley, Gary Sachau and Rebecca Shiffer, also provided important guidance in the development of this publication. All illustrations are from National Park Service files unless otherwise credited. Front cover image: Detail of new addition shown in Figure 4. Photo: © Maxwell MacKenzie.

This publication has been prepared pursuant to the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, which directs the Secretary of the Interior to develop and make available information concerning historic properties. The Technical Preservation Services Branch, National Park Service, prepares standards, guidelines and other educational materials on responsible historic preservation treatments for a broad public audience. Additional information about the programs of Technical Preservation Services is available on the website at [www.nps.gov/history/hps/tps](http://www.nps.gov/history/hps/tps). Comments about this publication should be addressed to: Charles E. Fisher, Technical Preservation Publications Program Manager, Technical Preservation Services-2255, National Park Service, 1849 C Street, NW, Washington, DC 20240. This publication is not copyrighted and can be reproduced without penalty. Normal procedures for credit to the author and the National Park Service are appreciated.

## Technical Preservation Services

## Standards

&lt; HOME &gt;

Standards  
GuidelinesMasonry  
Wood  
MetalsRoofs  
Windows  
Entrances/Porches  
StorefrontsStructural Systems  
Spaces/Features/Finishes  
Mechanical SystemsSite  
SettingEnergy  
New Additions  
Accessibility  
Health/Safety

## The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation

### Introduction to the Standards

The Secretary of the Interior is responsible for establishing standards for all programs under Departmental authority and for advising Federal agencies on the preservation of historic properties listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.

**The Standards for Rehabilitation** (codified in 36 CFR 67 for use in the Federal Historic Preservation Tax Incentives program) address the most prevalent treatment. "Rehabilitation" is defined as "the process of returning a property to a state of utility, through repair or alteration, which makes possible an efficient contemporary use while preserving those portions and features of the property which are significant to its historic, architectural, and cultural values."



#### Credits

**"Rehabilitation" is defined as "the process of returning a property to a state of utility, through repair or alteration, which makes possible an efficient contemporary use while preserving those portions and features of the property which are significant to its historic, architectural, and**

Initially developed by the Secretary of the Interior to determine the appropriateness of proposed project work on registered properties within the Historic Preservation Fund grant-in-aid program, the **Standards for Rehabilitation** have been widely used over the years--particularly to determine if a rehabilitation qualifies as a Certified Rehabilitation for Federal tax purposes. In addition, the Standards have guided Federal agencies in carrying out their historic preservation responsibilities for properties in Federal ownership or control; and State and local officials in reviewing both Federal and nonfederal rehabilitation proposals. They have also been adopted by historic district and planning commissions across the country.

The intent of the Standards is to assist the long-term preservation of a property's significance through the preservation of historic materials and features. The Standards pertain to historic buildings of all materials, construction types, sizes, and occupancy and encompass the exterior and interior of the buildings. They also encompass related landscape features and the building's site and environment, as well as attached, adjacent, or related new construction. To be certified for Federal tax purposes, a rehabilitation project must be determined by the Secretary to be consistent with the historic character of the structure(s), and where applicable, the district in which it is located.

As stated in the definition, the treatment "rehabilitation" assumes that at least some repair or alteration of the historic building will be needed in order to provide

**cultural values."**

for an efficient contemporary use; however, these repairs and alterations must not damage or destroy materials, features or finishes that are important in defining the building's historic character. For example, certain treatments--if improperly applied--may cause or accelerate physical deterioration of the historic building. This can include using improper repointing or exterior masonry cleaning techniques, or introducing insulation that damages historic fabric. In almost all of these situations, use of these materials and treatments will result in a project that does not meet the Standards. Similarly, exterior additions that duplicate the form, material, and detailing of the structure to the extent that they compromise the historic character of the structure will fail to meet the Standards.



## The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation

The Standards (Department of Interior regulations, 36 CFR 67) pertain to historic buildings of all materials, construction types, sizes, and occupancy and encompass the exterior and the interior, related landscape features and the building's site and environment as well as attached, adjacent, or related new construction. The Standards are to be applied to specific rehabilitation projects in a reasonable manner, taking into consideration economic and technical feasibility.

- 1. A property shall be used for its historic purpose or be placed in a new use that requires minimal change to the defining characteristics of the building and its site and environment.**
- 2. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.**
- 3. Each property shall be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or architectural elements from other buildings, shall not be undertaken.**
- 4. Most properties change over time; those changes that have acquired historic significance in their own right shall be retained and preserved.**
- 5. Distinctive features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property shall be preserved.**
- 6. Deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and other visual qualities and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary, physical, or pictorial evidence.**
- 7. Chemical or physical treatments, such as sandblasting, that cause damage to historic materials shall not be used. The surface cleaning of structures, if appropriate, shall be undertaken using the gentlest means possible.**
- 8. Significant archeological resources affected by a project shall be**

The Standards are to be applied to specific rehabilitation projects in a reasonable manner, taking into consideration economic and technical feasibility.

**protected and preserved. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures shall be undertaken.**

**9. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.**

**10. New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.**



**[Home](#) | [Next](#) | [Previous](#)**

To: City of Salida (Salida Historical Commission)

Date: November 13, 2020

Re: Major Certificated of Appropriateness for 120 West Second Street  
(Contributing Building)

Prepared by: Keith E. Zoni, AIBD, BIM-r  
Zoni Design Group, LLC  
P.O. Box 365 - 7800 W. HWY 50 Suite 'A' - Salida, Co 81201  
719.239.9392 - keith@ZoniDesignGroup.Com

Prepared for: Samuel Mick of Salida Colorado

Members of the Commission and City staff,

The following narrative and images provide a description of the contributing building located at 120 West Second Street and helps explain proposed changes to the existing building and addition.

Image #1 below is a current photo of the front of the contributing building. We propose to make the following changes to the building:

1. Due to the small footing of the existing 1-story building we propose adding 1 additional story and roof top patio (not exceeding 35'-0" high limit) giving the owner living space desired.
2. There will be minimum interior changes to the existing 1st story of the building other than adding a stairs to access new 2nd story and roof top patio. (see included floor plan)
3. The existing building area is 1375 SF, the proposed 2nd floor will be 1016 SF giving us a total of 2391 SF of living space plus proposed roof top patio of 1000 SF.



Image #1: Existing condition of contributing 120 West Second Street 1 story building

**Changes to the existing 1 story portion of the building:** There will be no changes to existing 1st floor other than interior work of adding stairs to access 2nd floor and roof top patio. We do plan making repairs as needed to existing brick example power washing both street and alley side of the building and repointing brick where needed.

**Proposed 2nd floor and roof top patio portion of the building:**

The street side of 2nd story brick veneer wall will be held back 5'-4" from the existing street side edge of building with a useable deck / balcony finished with black painted wrought iron balusters. All of the new brick on street side of this building will closely match existing brick in style and color. The remain sides of the building will also have similar brick and rear of building have a traditional cement stucco finish closely matching surround buildings in both style and color.

The 2nd story will have all new energy efficient windows as required in 2006 IECC code these will be Sierra Pacific double hung aluminum clad wood frame windows Westchester series designed to mimic traditional classic windows both in style and color. The roof top patio will also have matching black wrought iron guard rail and balusters. There will be black metal stairs on rear of building to access roof top patio hidden behind a fire rated wall.

**Attachments:**

1. Photo of existing contributing building
2. Photo at corner of 2nd Street and F street
3. Photo of 122 West 2nd Street building and 120 West 2nd Street
4. Cover sheet of proposed building
5. Site plan
6. 1st floor plan
7. 2nd floor plan
8. Existing roof plan
9. Proposed roof plan
10. Front / Left elevation
11. Rear / Right elevation
12. Building Section



Image 2: Corner of 2nd and F Street showing neighboring building 148 F Street and 120 West 2nd Street



Image 3: Both 122 and 120 West 2nd Street and 10 foot wide alley

# MICK RESIDENCE ADDITION 120 WEST SECOND STREET SALIDA COLORADO



122 WEST 2nd STREET





EXISTING 120 WEST 2nd STREET



148 F STREET

**PROPERTY PLAT**  
 FOR  
 PART OF LOTS E & F, BLOCK 21, CITY OF SALIDA  
 CHAFFEE COUNTY, COLORADO




**BLK.**  
**21**

**ALLEY**

21

**SECOND STREET**



**F STREET**



N52°14'W 74.81

NOTICE: ACCORDING TO COLORADO LAW YOU MUST COMMENCE ANY LEGAL ACTION BASED UPON ANY DEFECT IN THIS SURVEY WITHIN THREE YEARS AFTER YOU FIRST DISCOVER SUCH DEFECT. IN NO EVENT, MAY ANY ACTION BASED ON ANY DEFECT IN THIS SURVEY BE COMMENCED MORE THAN TEN YEARS FROM THE DATE CONTAINED IN THE IMPROVEMENT LOCATION CERTIFICATE HEREON.

<b>PROPERTY PLAT</b>	
FOR PART OF LOTS E & F, BLOCK 21, CITY OF SALIDA	
CHAFFEE COUNTY COLORADO	
Job Number: J-20-153	<b>HENDERSON LAND SURVEYING CO., INC.</b>
DESIGNED:	P.O. BOX 812 SALIDA, COLORADO
DRAWN BY: TMOJ CAD	DATE:
CHECKED: M.K.H.	10/3/20
Fid. book: NONE	DRAWING NO.
	<b>S-20-46</b>



**1ST FLOOR PLAN**

1375 SF HEATED  
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

40

Zoni Design Group  
Building Designers | Consultants | Planners  
ZoniDesignGroup.com

MEMBER  
**A | B | D**  
AMERICAN INSTITUTE of  
BUILDING DESIGN



**MICK RESIDENCE  
120 WEST 2ND STREET  
SALIDA, COLORADO**

REVISIONS

1ST FLOOR PLAN

JOB NO:	20-0131
DATE:	11/13/20
DRAWN BY:	KEZ
SHEET NUMBER	<b>A101</b>

2020 COPYRIGHT ZONI DESIGN GROUP, LLC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO PART OF THESE DESIGNS, DRAWINGS, ARRANGEMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS ELECTRONIC, MECHANICAL OR OTHERWISE WITHOUT WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM ZONI DESIGN GROUP, LLC



**2ND FLOOR PLAN**

1016 SF HEATED  
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

2020 COPYRIGHT ZONI DESIGN GROUP, LLC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO PART OF THESE DESIGNS, DRAWINGS, ARRANGEMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS ELECTRONIC, MECHANICAL OR OTHERWISE WITHOUT WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM ZONI DESIGN GROUP, LLC

**Zoni Design Group**  
Building Designers | Consultants | Planners

ZoniDesignGroup.com

MEMBER

**A | B | D**

AMERICAN INSTITUTE of BUILDING DESIGN

P.O. Box 385  
Salida, CO 81060  
Salida, CO 81060  
719.253.8552

**MICK RESIDENCE**  
**120 WEST 2ND STREET**  
**SALIDA, COLORADO**

REVISIONS	DATE


JOB NO: 20-0131

DATE: 11/13/20

DRAWN BY: KEZ

SHEET NUMBER

**A102**




 EXISTING ROOF PLAN

NO SCALE

2020 COPYRIGHT ZONI DESIGN GROUP, LLC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO PART OF THESE DESIGNS, DRAWINGS, ARRANGEMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS ELECTRONIC, MECHANICAL OR OTHERWISE WITHOUT WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM ZONI DESIGN GROUP, LLC.

  
 P.O. Box 385  
 Salida, CO 81061  
 Phone: 719.233.8827  
 ZoniDesignGroup.com

MEMBER  
  
 AMERICAN INSTITUTE of  
 BUILDING DESIGN


**MICK RESIDENCE**  
**120 WEST 2ND STREET**  
**SALIDA, COLORADO**

REVISIONS

EXISTING ROOF PLAN

JOB NO: 20-0131  
 DATE: 11/13/20  
 DRAWN BY: KEZ

SHEET NUMBER  
**A109**



 **ROOF PLAN**

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

2020 COPYRIGHT ZONI DESIGN GROUP, LLC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO PART OF THESE DESIGNS, DRAWINGS, ARRANGEMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS ELECTRONIC, MECHANICAL OR OTHERWISE WITHOUT WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM ZONI DESIGN GROUP, LLC

ZoniDesignGroup.com

MEMBER  
**A** | **BD**  
AMERICAN INSTITUTE of  
BUILDING DESIGN

Zoni Design Group  
Building Designers | Consultants | Planners  
P.O. Box 385  
Salida, CO 81069  
Salida, CO 81069  
719.253.8582

**MICK RESIDENCE**  
**120 WEST 2ND STREET**  
**SALIDA, COLORADO**

REVISIONS	


ROOF PLAN

JOB NO: 20-0131	
DATE: 11/13/20	
DRAWN BY: KEZ	
SHEET NUMBER	
<b>A110</b>	



FRONT ELEVATION

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



LEFT ELEVATION

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

2020 COPYRIGHT ZONI DESIGN GROUP, LLC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO PART OF THESE DESIGNS, DRAWINGS, ARRANGEMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS ELECTRONIC, MECHANICAL OR OTHERWISE WITHOUT WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM ZONI DESIGN GROUP, LLC.

**Zoni Design Group**  
 Building Designers | Consultants | Planners  
 P.O. Box 385  
 Suite 100  
 Salida, CO 81761  
 719.233.8572

ZoniDesignGroup.com

MEMBER  
**A | B | D**  
 AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF  
 BUILDING DESIGN


**MICK RESIDENCE**  
**120 WEST 2ND STREET**  
**SALIDA, COLORADO**

REVISIONS

ELEVATIONS  
FRONT / LEFT


JOB NO: 20-0131  
 DATE: 11/13/20  
 DRAWN BY: KEZ

SHEET NUMBER  
**A200**



REAR ELEVATION

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



RIGHT ELEVATION

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

2020 COPYRIGHT ZONI DESIGN GROUP, LLC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO PART OF THESE DESIGNS, DRAWINGS, ARRANGEMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS ELECTRONIC, MECHANICAL OR OTHERWISE WITHOUT WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM ZONI DESIGN GROUP, LLC

P.O. Box 385  
 Suite 100  
 Salida, CO 81761  
 Phone: 719.253.6527  
**Zoni Design Group**  
 Building Designers | Consultants | Planners

ZoniDesignGroup.com

MEMBER  
**A | B D**  
 AMERICAN INSTITUTE of  
 BUILDING DESIGN


**MICK RESIDENCE**  
**120 WEST 2ND STREET**  
**SALIDA, COLORADO**

REVISIONS	

ELEVATIONS REAR/RIGHT

JOB NO: 20-0131  
 DATE: 11/13/20  
 DRAWN BY: KEZ

SHEET NUMBER  
**A201**



**BUILDING SECTION**

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

2020 COPYRIGHT ZONI DESIGN GROUP, LLC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO PART OF THESE DESIGNS, DRAWINGS, ARRANGEMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS ELECTRONIC, MECHANICAL OR OTHERWISE WITHOUT WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM ZONI DESIGN GROUP, LLC.

**Zoni Design Group**  
 Building Designers | Consultants | Planners  
 P.O. Box 385  
 Salida, CO 81061  
 Phone: 719.253.8572

ZoniDesignGroup.com

MEMBER  
**A | B D**  
 AMERICAN INSTITUTE of  
 BUILDING DESIGN

**MICK RESIDENCE**  
**120 WEST 2ND STREET**  
**SALIDA, COLORADO**

REVISIONS

BUILDING SECTION

JOB NO: 20-0131  
 DATE: 11/13/20  
 DRAWN BY: KEZ  
 SHEET NUMBER  
**A300**

# HISTORIC CHARM GOES MODERN

**NEW** WESTCHESTER  
DOUBLE HUNG WINDOWS



SIERRA  
PACIFIC  
WINDOWS



# AN UPGRADE TO A TRUE CLASSIC.

Cover Photo  
Builder: Justin Fletcher Homes  
Architect: Bruce Butler / By Design  
Photographer: Irvin Serrano Photography



Thermally broken sash construction.

PG50 performance rating on most standard sizes.

0.28 U-value with dual insulated Lo-E 366 and argon.

Bottom sash tilt latch for easy cleaning.

60" x 108" maximum size for 1-3/4" sash.



This Old House | 2019 Idea House | Nat Rea

The tireless work of our engineers and designers has paid off. Introducing our new Westchester Double Hung windows, a huge improvement to a classic look.

Designed to bring back the original charm of windows hand-crafted over a century ago, they offer narrower check-rail sightlines with updated structural and thermal performance. You'll also find many new patent pending innovations, including a traditionally styled combination sash lock.

The Westchester is crafted with fully clad exteriors using durable extruded aluminum.

# A RARE COMBINATION OF CHARM AND TECHNOLOGY.



Innovating improvements to a timeless design, the Westchester is one of our highest performers, with structural and thermal performance ratings rarely found in the Double Hung market.

Yet each Westchester is built with historically accurate sash proportions, making it ideal for architecturally accurate renovations or for a touch of elegance in new construction projects.

Patent-pending sill end caps and drainage system.

Use for both residential and commercial applications.

Integral aluminum nail fin.

Patent-pending multi-point tilt latch.

Patent-pending traditionally styled combination sash lock.

Protected by CoreGuard® Plus wood preservative.

# EXTERIORS DESIGNED TO LAST.

Clad exteriors are fully encased in low maintenance, heavy-duty, extruded aluminum that's at least twice as thick as roll-form cladding.

What's more, nearly all color finishes feature our environmentally friendly AAMA 2605 powder-coating, which leads the industry in durability and environmental safety. Our Textured Collection uses AAMA 2604 powder-coating to retain its rich texture.

As for colors? An industry-leading 75 choices along with some sensational textures allow you to add warmth, a bright splash or a new statement to your designs. We'll also custom match any color you choose.



## COLORS INSPIRED BY NATURE.

**COLORSTAY™ COLLECTION**

- Morning Dove Gray 113
- White 001
- Linen 032
- French Linen 112
- Gull Gray 007
- Seawolf 044
- Fashion Gray 111
- Colonial White 313
- Sandstone 003
- Beige 335
- Tan 043
- Black Sable 060
- Slate Blue 008
- Light Blue 046
- Steel Blue 114
- Slate Grey 045
- Battleship Gray 321
- TW Black 061
- Hemlock Green 048
- Patina Green 051
- Forest Green 049
- Aqua Mist 115
- Hampton Blue 323
- Sage Green 005
- Teal 047
- Moss 031
- Greek Olive 081
- Terra Cotta 053
- Clay 026
- Evergreen 009
- Green 004
- Hartford Green 050
- Medium Bronze Industrial 101
- Deep Plum 116
- Deep Taupe 077
- Antique Bronze 057
- ICI Brown 056
- Colonial Red 054
- Harvest Cranberry 010
- Burgundy 055
- Dark Bronze Industrial 102
- Mocha 098
- Brown 002
- Bronze 024
- Black 023
- TW Brown 058
- Bahama Brown 309
- Regal Brown 059
- Black Anodized 117/353
- Sift Espresso 097
- Weathered Café Royale 104
- Weathered Bronze 078
- Weathered Brown 034
- Alpine Silver 062
- Bronze Classic 064
- Clear Anodized 103/332
- Medium Bronze Anodized 096/307
- Cajun Spice 099
- Weathered Cocoa 105
- Weathered Rust 076
- Weathered Basil 107
- Platinum 067
- Metallic Champagne 063
- Copper Penny 065
- Pesto 100
- Weathered Clay 075
- Weathered Red Pepper 106
- Light Bronze Pearl 069
- Medium Bronze Pearl 070
- Copper Anodized 352 (Available on select products only)
- Dark Bronze Anodized 095/306
- Peppered Steel 110
- El Cajon Silver 068
- Dark Bronze Pearl 071
- Classic Copper 088

**WEATHERED COLLECTION**

**TEXTURED COLLECTION**

**PEARL METALLIC COLLECTION**

**METALLIC COLLECTION**

**ANODIZED COLLECTION**

**INDUSTRIAL COLLECTION**

Pricing may vary by collection.

# THE ELEGANT LOOK OF TRADITIONALLY STYLED SASH LOCKS.

Historic accuracy even makes its way into the patent-pending sash locks, which are designed to match the traditional charm that works so well in both residential and commercial applications.



Watch the Westchester "Opening Talks" video.




## IN TWELVE BEAUTIFUL FINISHES.



### OPTIONAL HANDLES



### OPTIONAL SASH LIFTS





Sierra Pacific is proud to be the only window company that manufactures its products with complete vertical integration. The full cycle makes use of every part of our wood resources, all the way down to bark and sawdust that's burned to create energy for the communities we call home.



# THE AUTHENTICITY OF WOOD.

Rich, genuine wood give Westchester window interiors an authentic touch that makes a remarkable difference to interior spaces.

Choose from nine beautiful wood species to match your interiors perfectly. And if your design needs take you someplace else, not to worry. As long as it's workable, we'll make your windows out of nearly any species you like.



- Maple
- Alder
- Mahogany
- Cherry
- Vertical Grain Douglas Fir
- Red Oak
- Walnut
- White Oak
- Pine

## CHOOSE FROM NINE INTERIOR WOOD SPECIES, OR CUSTOM, AND THIRTEEN INTERIOR TRIM OPTIONS.

						
Colonial Shown in oak 3-1/2" wide	Regal Shown in pine 3-1/2" wide	Provincial Shown in oak 3-1/2" wide	Tudor Shown in pine 3-1/2" wide	Heritage Shown in oak 2-3/4" wide	Prairie Shown in pine 2-3/4" wide	Tradesman Shown in pine 2-1/4" wide
						
Tradesman II Shown in pine 3-1/2" wide	Craftsman Shown in oak 3-1/2" wide	Continental Shown in oak 3-1/2" wide	Heritage II Shown in pine 2-3/4" wide	Traditional Shown in pine 2-1/4" wide	Contemporary Shown in pine 2-1/4" wide	

# THE CHARM OF YESTERDAY, CUSTOMIZED FOR TODAY.




Starting with a classic shape, we give you many ways to make Westchester your own. And all of them are constructed with the meticulous craftsmanship that makes this double hung window a lasting enhancement to any space.

## SIMULATED DIVIDED LITE PROFILES

A classic look that's energy-efficient.

	5/8"	7/8"	1"	1-1/4"	2"
Contemporary Clad					
Putty Clad					
Traditional Clad					

Your Westchester grilles can add a look as unique as you like, from our standard traditional and contemporary configurations to your choice of custom designs.













# HIGHLY ENGINEERED FOR BETTER PERFORMANCE.

The Westchester maintains higher air, water and structural capabilities and improved thermal ratings even as we engineered larger sash sizes.

Triple glazed windows with argon have a U-value as low as .17. Dual glazed reach a U-value of .28 with LoE-366 and argon. Performance numbers like these demonstrate the rigorous engineering standards and technology that are built into the re-imagining of this classically designed window.




## CHOOSE GLAZING PERFECT FOR YOUR ENVIRONMENT.

<p><b>Low-E 366</b></p> <p>Cardinal's triple layer silver product for superior performance. 95% UV protection. Solar heat gain coefficient of 0.27.* Also available with Preserve® protective film or with Preserve and Neat® coating for a naturally cleaner glass when selecting XL Edge or Endur Spacer.</p> 	<p><b>Low-E 366</b> with i89 Coating**</p> <p>The same superior performance as regular Low-E 366, but with the addition of i89 coating on the interior surface to increase insulating value and reduce solar heat gain. Meets even the most extreme requirements in the majority of the Canadian Energy Star zones. Also available with Preserve® protective film or with Preserve and Neat® coating for a naturally cleaner glass when selecting XL Edge or Endur Spacer.</p> 	<p><b>Low-E 340</b></p> <p>Cardinal's newest glazing innovation. It has an amazingly low 0.18* solar heat gain coefficient to keep out the heat even in the blazing sun. Slightly tinted. Blocks 98% of UV rays. Less heat gain when it's hot, less heat loss when it's cold, and the best glare control under the sun. Also available with Preserve® protective film or with Preserve and Neat® coating for a naturally cleaner glass when selecting XL Edge or Endur Spacer.</p> 	<p><b>Low-E 180 Passive Solar</b></p> <p>A very high (0.70*) solar heat gain coefficient. Ideal for reducing your heating bills in colder climates. Superior insulation value blocks cold and keeps in the heat. Also available with Preserve® protective film or with Preserve and Neat® coating for a naturally cleaner glass when selecting XL Edge or Endur Spacer.</p> 
<p><b>Low-E 180 Passive Solar</b> with i89 Coating**</p> <p>The same superior performance as regular Low-E 180, but with the addition of i89 coating on the interior surface to increase insulating value. Meets even the most extreme requirements in the majority of the Canadian Energy Star zones. Also available with Preserve® protective film or with Preserve and Neat® coating for a naturally cleaner glass when selecting XL Edge or Endur Spacer.</p> 	<p><b>Dual Pane Low-E</b></p> <p>Insulated for improved energy efficiency. Single surface Low-E coating to reduce solar heat gain and block UV rays.</p> 	<p><b>Triple Pane Low-E</b></p> <p>Available in a variety of Low-E coating combinations for superior insulation and energy performance.</p>  <p><b>Insulated Glass</b></p> <p>For moderate climates. Basic glazing with basic performance.</p>	<p><b>Sound Control</b></p> <p>Reduces outside noise by as much as 50% while blocking 99% of damaging UV rays. Laminated for shatter resistance. Available insulated or non-insulated.</p> 

\*All values shown are center of glass. \*\*Interior surface coatings, also known as surface #4, are applied to the interior (room-side surface) of a dual pane IG unit, resulting in improved thermal performance and lower heating costs. Because the coating reflects heat back into the room, the room-side pane of glass will be slightly colder in winter, causing a higher potential for interior condensation.

### ADD DECORATIVE GLASS.

Further customize your Westchester windows with tinted, patterned, obscure or other specialty glass. It's a smart choice for privacy, security or just design preference.



## THE ADVANTAGE OF NO-METAL SPACERS.


One of the technological advances that makes our Westchester windows perform so well are patented No-Metal TrueWarm® Edge spacers.

Many window manufacturers use aluminum spacers between their panes of glass. These conduct cold and heat, and that's not good for thermal performance.

Our no-metal warm edge spacers are 100% polymer structural foam, for excellent thermal performance and a superior seal.




### No-Metal TrueWarm® Edge Spacer.



# EXTERIOR TRIM PROFILES AS IMPRESSIVE AS THE WINDOWS.

The Westchester exterior trim profile system comes in four available styles that snap in place on the frame with a clip system. No through-frame anchors or excessive nail holes, leaving you an integrated frame that gives homeowners the flexibility to choose the aesthetic they want.




## SEVERAL SCREEN CHOICES


Screens have an aluminum frame which matches the exterior clad color. You can choose your screen mesh, from standard fiberglass to the improved visibility and even smaller insect barrier of BetterVue® or UltraVue™.




Standard metal screen.



Standard



BETTERVUE  
IMPROVED VISIBILITY INSECT SCREEN



ULTRAVUE  
EXCELLENT VISIBILITY INSECT SCREEN



## FLEXSCREEN

Hidden and beautifully simple, FlexScreen is the first screen of its kind made of flexible spring steel to fit firmly into screen tracks. No screen frames, no hardware, just refreshingly easy installation.



# EARTH-FRIENDLY. MORE THAN AN APPROACH, AN OBLIGATION.

All materials in the production of Sierra Pacific windows and doors are highly valued and responsibly used. This includes the select wood that comes from over 2 million acres of forestland owned by our parent company, Sierra Pacific Industries. Thanks to advancements in “sustained yield” forest management and timber harvesting, we will nearly triple the amount of wood growing on our lands in the next 100 years.



**SIERRA  
PACIFIC**  
WINDOWS THAT  
NEVER COMPROMISE

SierraPacificWindows.com | 800-824-7744 | A Division of



**Instagram** Follow us @SierraPacificWindows

We reserve the right to change product specifications without notice. Photography used may not represent current product features and options. Sierra Pacific windows and doors are successful thanks in part to our unique patents. Visit [spi-ind.com/ip](http://spi-ind.com/ip) to learn more. #971102-8/20