

#### PLANNING BOARD MEETING

Tuesday, November 12, 2024 at 6:00 PM

Town Hall - 41 South Main Street Randolph, MA 02368

#### **AGENDA**

Pursuant to the temporary provisions pertaining to the Open Meeting Law, public bodies may continue holding meetings remotely without a quorum of the public body physically present at a meeting location until March 31, 2025. The public is invited to participate in the meeting in person, via telephone or computer.

- A. Call to Order Roll Call
- **B.** Chairperson Comments
- C. Approval of Minutes
  - 1. Minutes of 10/8/2024
- D. Public Speaks
- E. Public Hearings
  - Town Council Order 2024-052 A Request to amend the zoning ordinance for Accessory Dwelling Units (AD)
- F. Old/Unfinished Business
- **G.** New Business
  - 1. Friends of the Blue Hills Discussion
  - 2. EV Charging Stations working draft of ordinance
- H. Staff Report
  - \*Active Subdivision Review
  - \*Active Project Review
  - \*Upcoming Projects
  - 1. 2025 Planning Board Meeting Schedule
- I. Board Comments
- J. Adjournment

Notification of Upcoming Meeting Dates

#### File Attachments for Item:

1. Minutes of 10/8/2024



#### PLANNING BOARD MEETING

Tuesday, October 08, 2024 at 6:00 PM

Town Hall - 41 South Main Street Randolph, MA 02368

#### **MINUTES**

Pursuant to the temporary provisions pertaining to the Open Meeting Law, public bodies may continue holding meetings remotely without a quorum of the public body physically present at a meeting location until March 31, 2025. The public is invited to participate in the meeting in person, via telephone or computer.

#### A. Call to Order - Roll Call

Chairman Plizga opened the meeting at 6:03pm.

PRESENT
Alexandra Alexopoulos - joined the meeting at 6:09pm
Araba Adjei-Koranteng
Tony Plizga
Peter Taveira

ABSENT Lou Sahlu

#### **B.** Chairperson Comments

Chairman Plizga explained the format of the public hearing to the audience members.

#### C. Approval of Minutes

#### 1. Minutes of 9/24/2024

The Board approved the meeting minutes of September 24, 2024 as presented.

Motion made by Adjei-Koranteng, Seconded by Taveira to approve the minutes of September 24, 2024 as presented.

Voting Yea: Adjei-Koranteng, Taveira, Plizga

#### D. Public Speaks

Kliton Rapi, owner of 35-39 North Main Street is concerned with the construction on Short Street. Mr. \_\_ wonders how they were able to proceed with the construction of the

retaining wall without endorsement from the Planning Board. Chairman Plizga staget the construction for the retaining wall was completed before they came to the Planning Board for approval. Planning Director, Michelle Tyler, initially denied the first set of plans presented to her for a dumpster enclosure. After the denial, the applicant came back with a revised set of plans that was reviewed and approved by the Planning Board excluding the retaining wall. The retaining wall work was reviewed by the Building Commissioner and Town Engineer. Mr. Rapi asked about the 6 foot buffer. Planner Tyler stated that there is zero buffer in this zoning district.

Chairman Plizga stated that this is ultimately a civil matter between the properties owners. The Planning Board did not approve the work for the retaining wall and cannot rule on it. Chairman Plizga closed the Public Speaks portion of the meeting.

#### E. Public Hearings

#### 1. 6:15 PM - Powers Drive Subdivision

Chairman Plizga opened the public hearing by reading the public hearing notice into the record.

Chairman Plizga asked the Planning Director to provide an overview and history for the Powers Drive subdivision. The Planning Director stated that it is a two-lot subdivision approved by the Planning Board in 1980 and recorded at the Norfolk County Registry of Deeds. It was created by a division of 29 Collins Avenue with an existing dwelling on it. The lots are approximately 14,000 and 15,000 square feet. There is an existing 10 foot wide private right of way that would be extended with a hammerhead. The performance guarantee was recorded by a covenant of restriction in 1981.

Planning Director, Michelle Tyler, greeted the owner of the subdivision, Dean Guo and members of the the Dacey family. The Planning Director advised the parties to seek legal counsel on the ownership of the lot. There are some inconsistencies with the records with the Town Assessor, which has the property listed as one parcel. In 2020, 29 Collins Avenue was sold as a single lot, as opposed to the entire subdivision, which the Planning Director believes the covenant should have restricted. The Planning Director has not been about to find a deed for the parcel that was subdivided, but that is not to say it doesn't exist. The current owner, Dean Guo's, deed shows ownership of 15,000 square feet. The current road layout is not consistent with the current Planning Board standards. The Planning Board would need to review that and consider a revision for public safety needs. It would also require stormwater review and resubmission for drainage calculations.

Chairman Plizga asked Mr. Guo to present what he would like for the Planning Board to do. Mr. Guo explained that he has been advised to seek legal counsel and needs more time to decide how to proceed.

John Dacey of 23 Collins Avenue would like to keep the subdivision active and find out what would need to be done to bring the subdivision up to current standards. Like Mr. Guo, the Dacey's need more time to seek legal counsel. Mr. Dacey does not want the subdivision rescinded as he believes starting from square one will require more capital. Mr. Dacey feels the closing attorney may have made an error when he sold

the property to Mr. Guo. They would like additional time to get the records straightened out.

Chairman Plizga opened the public hearing up to public for comments. Jessica Steele of 35 Collins Avenue asked if the permit remains in place, will two properties be constructed on the lots. Chairman Plizga felt it was premature to answer at this point and will wait until the property attorney's take up the matter. Mr. Dacey stated that it if construction were to take place it would be for one new home not two, since 29 Collins Ave already has a structure on it. The Planning Director clarified that if the road went in and brought up to current standards, then only one additional single family could be constructed, since the other parcel already has a structure on it.

Chairman Plizga asked if the parties would be willing to continue the hearing until the end of January. Mr. Dacey and Mr. Guo were agreeable. Chairman Plizga stated they could have additional time, if necessary.

Motion made by Plizga, Seconded by Alexopoulos to continue the public hearing for Powers Drive Subdivision to January 28, 2025 at 6:15pm. Voting Yea: Alexopoulos, Adjei-Koranteng, Plizga, Taveira

#### 2. 6:30 PM - Ledgeview Terrace Subdivision

Chairman Plizga opened the public hearing by reading the public hearing notice into the record.

The Planning Director, Michelle Tyler, provided an overview and history for the Ledgeview Terrace Subdivision. The subdivision was approved in 2006 with a covenant on file for the performance guarantee. The subdivider is RKS Realty. The Planning Director has a large file including many legal actions. There is a road layout for 4 conforming lots. If the subdivision is not rescinded, it will need stormwater review. The plan outlines the installation of a water line to Nightingale Terrace, presumable for looping, but it shows a dry line, so there is a question about whether or not that connection would be to a hydrant on Nightingale. There is also reference to a number of easements that may or may not been memorialized, if not, the Planning Director recommends that they be memorialized moving forward.

The Planning Director has had numerous conversations with the owner, Mr. Saccone and his attorney. They are requesting an extension. The Planning Director has had conversations with the Clemenses of 53 Mark Terrace regarding some conditions that impact their property that are memorialized in the decision for the subdivision.

Chairman Plizga turned it over to Michael Gibral representative for the applicant RKS Realty, LLC. Mr. Gibral introduced Rich Saccone, one of the manager members of the LLC.. Mr. Gibral requested an extension due to the new stormwater regulations. Mr. Gibral stated they are happy to revise the plans and bring back the modifications to show the upgrades to the stormwater management. The property was under agreement for sale, but the buyer passed away. The owners are looking to bring the

subdivision to fruition. Mr. Gibral sent a letter with an agreement they will adh the subdivision remains in force.

Chairman Plizga opened the hearing up to the public. Mr. Clemens of 53 Mark Terrace stated he still has concerns about drainage. When Mr. Clemens property was built the contractor put the pipe at the rear of his property and leads to the subdivider's property. Mr. Clemens wants to ensure the drainage is addressed. Chairman Plizga stated that there would be a full stormwater review as part of the process. Mr. Clemens stated that part of the agreement is that their existing driveway removed and seeded over and moved to another location. There is a PVC drainage pipe under the driveway that Mr. Clemens wants to ensure will remain in place. Mr. Gibral stated his clients are very familiar with site construction.

There was a brief discussion about the process for stormwater management. The Planning Director stated that if there is a modification to the subdivision it will require a public hearing.

Mr. Clemens asked who would be responsible if the road was damaged in the process of building the subdivision. Chairman Plizga replied that the contractor has to maintain the road - they can't damage them.

Cathy Clemens of 53 Mark Terrace stated that the sump pump drain was installed afterwards and the subdivider is not even aware that it's even there. It runs the length of the driveway and hugs the radius of the wall and is connected to the storm drain out front. It runs continuously all year long. There are also a couple of houses on the street that are constantly pumping. Mrs. Clemens stated they are not opposed to the project, they are just concerned with the drainage.

Chairman Plizga opened the hearing up to Board comments and questions. Member Adjei-Koranteng asked how long of an extension the owner feels they'll need. Mr. Gibral stated they may need 6 months, possibly longer to allow time for the engineering to be done and to go before the DPW for stormwater, then filing for a modification with the Planning Board. Mr. Gibral stated that a year would give them enough time to get through the process.

Mr. Hackle of 48 Mark Terrace inquired about the timeline for initial groundbreaking. Chairman Plizga stated that the extension of 9 to 12 months would get them through stormwater approval process then back to Planning Board for a modification which could take up to 18 months or so to actually break ground. Mr. Hackle is not looking forward to construction noise and blasting. Chairman Plizga stated the blasting is regulated by the Fire Department. There was a brief discussion about the water line.

\_\_\_\_\_ of 31 Nightingale noticed there is a proposed utility easement behind the neighbor's house. Chairman Plizga stated that the subdivision is approved, so the utility easement already exists. The Planning Director explained the purpose of utility easements. Ms. asked if once construction starts will they be using Nightingale for access. The Planning Director stated she could not say at this point.

The Planning Director noted that there was an extension filed for endorsement to extend the discussion. Chairman Plizga agreed to endorse the form.

Motion made by Plizga, Seconded by Adjei-Koranteng to continue the public for Ledgeview Terrace Subdivision to September 23 2025 at 6:15pm. . Voting Yea: Alexopoulos, Adjei-Koranteng, Plizga, Taveira

#### 3. 6:45 PM - Roel Court Subdivision

Chairman Plizga opened the public hearing by reading the public hearing notice into the record.

The Planning Director, Michelle Tyler, provided an overview and history for the Roel Court Subdivision. Roel Court was approved by the Planning Board in 2007 with a covenant of restriction recorded on file. The plans call for the demolition of the current structure for the four lot subdivision to go in. The Planning Director could not find a NOI with Conservation for the road which would be required along with an updated wetland delineation and stormwater review. The home is over 100 years old so would require approval by the Historic Commission for demolition.

The Planning Director met with the owner over the summer for a discussion and he indicated that he wanted an opportunity to speak with his wife and then come to the Planning Board. The owner was not present at the September 10 meeting and is not present this evening in person or online.

Chairman Plizga stated that this hearing is in an effort to clean up the Planning Board's paperwork. The Chairman is inclined to continue the hearing to allow Mr. Obazee the opportunity for a discussion.

Linnea McGuirk of 66 Allen Street and lives across from Belcher Park. There is runoff into Belcher Pond. Ms. Burke stated there is a lot of street trash that is blocking the flow into the pond. The Planning Director referred Ms. Burke to the Conservation Commission.

66 Allen Street is concerned the project was approved considering the wetlands. Chairman Plizga stated that if they decide to proceed it would require a stormwater review. The Planning Director stated that they also would need to go before the Conservation Commission for an NOI for the road and then separately for each lot.

George Berdos of 43 Roel Street - a direct abutter and a builder - stated the footprint for construction falls with the border of wetlands and wonders if the lots are even buildable. The Planning Director stated that is an accurate statement. Mr. Brutus recalls that in the past subdivisions not started within two years, had the Planning Board's endorsement become invalid, but can no longer find language to support that. The Planning Director stated that the current regulations do not have that restriction. Chairman Plizga stated that when applicants come before the Board they typically put an end date on the decision.

Member Alexopoulos asked the Planning Director about the recent communication with the current owner. The Planning Director stated that he received a certified letter in August and came in for a meeting at Town Hall. After the discussion, the Planner believed he would appear at the September 10 meeting. A notification was sent out by certified mail on September 19 asking for his participation, which he did receive. We

also sent the abutter's notification by certified mail. Mr. Obazee was notified to since the in-person meeting and was aware of the Board's intent to possibly rescind the subdivision. Members Alexopoulos and Taveira feel they should rescind the subdivision today considering that he was aware of the Board's proposal and asked to attend the meetings.

Member Alexopoulos made a motion, seconded by Member Taveira to rescind the Roel Court subdivision.

Discussion on the motion to rescind the subdivision - Member Alexopoulos feels that the owner should have communicated their intentions if they needed more time. Member Adjei-Koranteng asked if the owner was notified that the Board could possibly rescind the subdivision at tonight's meeting. The Planning Director stated yes.

Motion made by Alexopoulos, Seconded by Taveira to rescind Roel Court subdivision.

Voting Yea: Alexopoulos, Adjei-Koranteng, Plizga, Taveira

Hearing no further discussion, Chairman Plizga made a motion to close the public hearing. Chairman Plizga thanked the neighbors that participated this evening.

Motion made by Plizga, Seconded by Alexopoulos to close the public hearing. Voting Yea: Alexopoulos, Adjei-Koranteng, Plizga, Taveira

#### F. New Business

Subdivision: Druid Estates (Pett Acres Dr)

The Planning Director, Michelle Tyler, provided an overview and history for the Druid Estates - Pett Acres Drive Subdivision. The subdivision was approved in 1991 off of East Druid Hill Road. Part of the subdivision is in Holbrook, so there was an approval by Randolph Planning Board and the Holbrook Planning Board. This is a six lot subdivision. The cul-de-sac and lot 3 completely in Holbrook. There are significant wetlands which would need to be re-delineated and a stormwater review would be required. The individual house lots would need an NOI from the Conservation Commission.

The current owner, George Karakostas, was present for the meeting and stated that he would like to keep the subdivision as he is making an effort to potentially sell it to a developer, but he needs more time. The Planning Director explained that if they rescind the subdivision, the property would become a single parcel again and the owner or new owner would have to start from scratch. Chairman Plizga asked what the owner's preference would be. Mr. Karakostas would like it to remain as it is.

The Planning Director stated that Holbrook Planning Board would need to formally approve the portion of the subdivision in Holbrook since it has expired. Once the Randolph Planning Board has confirmation from Holbrook that they would allow this cul-de-sac to go in, then the applicant can go from their in terms of modifications to the subdivision to meet today's standards.

Chairman Plizga asked Mr. Karakostas when he thought the subdivision migh sold. Mr. Karakostas said possibly by the Spring. The Planning Director explained that stormwater would need to be done and they would also need to file with the Conservation Commission.

Member Alexopoulos asked how much of the land is in Holbrook. Chairman Plizga replied about 40% of the overall size.

There was a discussion about the road. The Planning Director stated that Holbrook will need to endorse a plan for the road for things to move forward. The owner could sell the land and have the developer take up these outstanding issues.

Chairman Plizga stated that the Planning Board will note the file to check in with the owner to in the Spring for another update.

#### G. Staff Report

- \*Active Subdivision Review
- \*Active Project Review
- \*Upcoming Projects

#### MBTA Communities Zoning

The Planning Director stated that on September 30 the Town received confirmation of compliance from the Executive Office of Housing and Liveable Communities (EOHLC) for the MBTA zoning ordinance and maps. EOHLC came back with a comment about a definition in the Town's existing multifamily housing ordinance which the Planner stated will be reviewed during the re-codification process.

#### ADUs - Accessory Dwelling Units

The Planning Director wrote a draft Zoning Ordinance for ADUs. ADUs will be allowed by right as of February 2025. The draft language will be reviewed by Town Council on October 28, then referred back to the Planning Board for a vote on November 12. Once the Planning Board makes their recommendation, it will go back to the Town Council for approval on December 9th. Unlike in-law apartments, which are by special permit only and for family use only, ADUs will be allowed by right if they meet all the requirements. The Planner will develop a FAQ page for the website for interested property owner's to access information on ADUs.

#### Meeting Schedule/Calendar

The Planning Board will vote to approve the 2025 Meeting Calendar at the meeting of October 22.

#### 790 North Street

The Planning Director wrote the decision for 790 North Street that is on file at the Town Clerk's office. The Planner is seeking the Board's signatures confirming that the Board granted approval for the 2-family conversion.

#### Performance Guarantees by way of Cash/Passbooks

Some of the passbook funds have been turned over to the State for some of our descriptions due to inactive passbooks/bank accounts. The Planning Board was not staffed until 2009, prior to that it was an elected Board, so the record keeping was inconsistent. The Planner is working with the Town Attorney and the Treasurer to get these accounts cleared up.

#### Letter to ZBA - notification of public hearings or decisions

The Planner sent a letter to the Zoning Board of Appeals and received confirmation from their Clerk that they will send notifications of any public hearings and decisions to the Planning Board. The Planner has had no response from any of the ZBA Board members.

#### **EV Charging Stations**

The Planner had a conversation with the Building Commissioner on how best to stay abreast of any work for EV Charging Stations since they don't require a building permit - only an electrical permit. The Building Department has committed to having those requests come to the Planning Department for review by the Planning Director before the electrical permit is approved.

#### Shaw's Plaza Repaying

The owner began repaying the parking lot without permits, which should have been reviewed by the Planning Board for some adjustments such as some reconfiguration of parking, some islands and plantings, and stormwater management.

#### South Main Street Restaurant Signage - Sister's Restaurant

The signs were put up without review or permits. The Planning Director is requesting some modifications as they do not meet the aesthetic qualities required.

#### 21 Randolph Road

Planner is waiting for revisions to the landscape plan. There were minor modifications on the retaining wall at the rear which looked like it would include the removal of additional trees. The Planning Department asked them to increase the screening between the property and Meadow Lane.

#### 86 & 92 Union Street

The Historic Commission will make a determination on whether the structures may be demolished.

#### 1. Open subdivisions

#### H. Board Comments

#### I. Executive Session

1. Executive Session

The Planning Board may vote to go into executive session pursuant to M.G.L. section 21(a)(3) relative to potential litigation strategy concerning anticipated litigation. Section 21(a)(3) permits a public body to go into executive session to discuss strategy with respect to collective bargaining or litigation if an open meeting may have a detrimental effect on the bargaining or litigating position of the public body and the chair so declares.

Motion made by Plizga, Seconded by Alexopoulos to enter Executive Session regarding the release of some executive meeting notes.

Voting Yea: Alexopoulos, Adjei-Koranteng, Plizga, Taveira

The Planning Board entered Executive Session at 7:38pm.

#### J. Adjournment

Notification of Upcoming Meeting Dates

11/12, 11/26, 12/10

Adjourned at 7:33pm after a vote to enter into Executive Session.

#### File Attachments for Item:

1. Town Council Order 2024-052 - A Request to amend the zoning ordinance for Accessory Dwelling Units (AD)

# Ad Preview

## AMENDMENTS LEGAL NOTICE

of Units ber 12, 2024 at 6:15pm at the Randolph concerning Acces-(ADU's). The full text of the be viewed at the office of the parties may participate in at Randolph Town Hall, 41 S Main St, Randolph, MA 02368 in the Washington The link to join the meeting Planning Public Hearing on Tuesday, Novem-41 South Main Room, to hear the request for the Town Council to initiamendments to the proposed amendment(s) can Town Clerk during regular ousiness hours. Interested Room or virtually via ZOOM. s on the Town of Randolph Street, 1st Floor, Washington Randolph Zoning Ordinance -Chapter 200 of the General Town Dwelling will hold Code of the Randolph Town Hall, calendar. person Board ate SOLY The

#10682440 PL 10/22, 10/29/24 Council Order: 2024-052 Introduced By: Planning Board

Date: October 28, 2024

Request by the Planning Board for the Town Council to Initiate An Amendment to the Randolph Zoning Ordinance –Chapter 200 of the General Code of the Town of Randolph.

That the Town Council of the Town of Randolph hereby initiates an amendment to the Randolph Zoning Ordinance, Chapter 200 of the General Code of the Town of Randolph, concerning Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU's), pursuant to M.G.L. chapter 40A, Section 5, and hereby amends the Zoning Ordinance as follows:

Amend section 200-3, concerning Word usage; definitions, as follows:
Delete the following term(s):

#### **IN-LAW APARTMENT**

A separate dwelling unit within a detached single-family dwelling subordinate in size to the single-family dwelling and which maintains the appearance of the structure as a single-family dwelling. [Added 5-22-2006 ATM by Art. 50, approved 10-17-2006]

Add the following terms:

#### **ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT (ADU)**

A self-contained housing unit, inclusive of sleeping, cooking and sanitary facilities on the same lot as a principal dwelling.

#### SHORT-TERM RENTAL

An owner-occupied, tenant-occupied or non-owner occupied property including, but not limited to, an apartment, house, cottage, condominium or a furnished accommodation that is not a hotel, motel, lodging house or bed and breakfast establishment, where:

- (i) at least 1 room or unit is rented to an occupant or sub-occupant for a period of less than thirty (30) days at a time; and
- (ii) all accommodations are reserved in advance.
- II. Amend section 200-45 C by deleting "in-law apartments" and renumbering the section as applicable.

The Planning Board shall be the special permit granting authority for the following:

- (1) Construction of or conversion to a two-family dwelling.
- (2) Shared elderly housing.
- (3) In law apartments.
- (4) Scientific research, development production when identified as a SPPB on the Table of Allowable Activity.[2]

ADU zoning ordinance Page 1 of 5

[2] Editor's Note: The Table of Allowable Activity is included as an attachment to this chapter.

#### III. Amend section 200-91 C.2.(b)[2] by deleting the term "in law"

...except this provision shall not apply to single-family dwelling units, two-family dwelling units or dwelling units used as "in-law" accessory dwelling units.

#### IV. Delete section 200-46.B.11 sections [a] through [l] in their entirety

#### V. <u>Insert a new section 200-10.E as follows:</u>

Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU).

#### 1. Purpose

This section authorizing the provision of accessory dwelling units is intended to:

- (a) Increase the number of small dwelling units available in the Town;
- (b) Increase the range of choice of housing accommodations to meet the needs of households;
- (c) Increase the supply of housing and the diversity of housing options, in response to demographic changes;
- (d) Encourage the efficient use of the Town's housing supply while preserving the character of the town's neighborhoods;
- (e) Maximize privacy, dignity, and independent living among family members preserving domestic family bonds as well as to protect the stability, property values, and the residential character of the neighborhood.

#### 2. General Requirements

ADU's are permitted by right in a residential single-family high-density district (RH/RSFHD) and Residential Single Family Medium Density (RSFMD) subject to the following:

- (a) No ADU shall be constructed without the issuance of a building permit by the Building Commissioner.
- (b) No ADU shall be occupied unless a certificate of occupancy for such unit has been issued by the Building Commissioner and remains in effect.
- (c) ADU's are allowed within or attached to an existing single-family structure or as a detached accessory building with a permanent foundation and that is accessory to an existing single-family structure.
- (d) One (1) ADU is permitted per primary structure or lot except by Special Permit.
- (e) The ADU must maintain a separate entrance sufficient to meet the requirements of the state building code for safe egress.

ADU zoning ordinance Page 2 of 5

- (f) All ADUs must comply with all applicable requirements of law including of those of the building, fire sanitary and stormwater state codes and local ordinances.
- (g) The accessory dwelling unit shall not be served by any separate utility meter. Electricity, water, oil, heat, gas and sanitary shall be provided by a single service to both the ADU and the principal dwelling.
- (h) The Fire Chief or Designees are authorized to require additional fire protection for any dwelling with limited or challenging access (no pavement, long distances, limited water supply, etc.).

#### 3. Prohibitions

- (a) ADU's are not permitted on any lot or any structure that contains two (2) or more primary dwelling units.
- (b) Short-term rentals, as defined, are prohibited in both the ADU and principal dwelling units.
- (c) The ADU may not be sold or title transferred separate and apart from the principal dwelling to which it is an accessory use. The principal dwelling and the accessory dwelling unit shall remain in common/single ownership and shall not be severed in ownership.

#### 4. Dimensional Requirements

- (a) The ADU may not be larger in gross floor area than 1/2 the gross floor area of the principal dwelling *or* 900 square feet, whichever is smaller.
- (b) The proposed ADU must meet the zoning district's primary structure zoning dimensional requirements for height, setbacks and lot coverage.
- (c) No ADU shall be sited closer to the front lot line than the primary structure.
- (d) Any new detached ADU, any ADU created by adding gross floor area to a single-family dwelling or any ADU created by adding gross floor area to an existing detached accessory building or structure shall be in accordance with the open space and building coverage requirements as established by the Table of Dimensional Requirements for the applicable zoning district.

#### 5. Parking Requirements

- (a) One (1) additional parking space shall be required for an accessory dwelling unit
  - [1] If the ADU is located not more than 0.5 miles from a commuter rail station, no additional parking space is required.
- (b) All parking must be off-street on a designated driveway in compliance with Article IV Off-Street Parking Regulations.

ADU zoning ordinance Page 3 of 5

#### 6. Exterior Appearance

The ADU shall be designed to maintain the appearance and essential character of a one-family dwelling with accessory structures, subject further to the following conditions and requirements:

- (a) Where two or more entrances exist on the front facade of a dwelling, one entrance shall appear to be the principal entrance and other entrances shall appear to be secondary.
- (b) When the ADU is created in an accessory structure, the exterior appearance shall be visibly compatible with the primary dwelling and the character of the neighborhood.
- (c) All stairways to second or third stories shall be enclosed and comply with the Massachusetts Building Code 780CMR or its successor.

#### 7. Application

The application for a building permit and/or occupancy permit for an ADU or an application for a special permit for an ADU shall include the following:

- (a) A plot plan of the principal dwelling unit with square footage, proposed accessory dwelling unit with square footage, showing the location of all existing and proposed buildings on the lot, zoning matrix and required parking.
- (b) A certified plot plan, stamped by a professional land surveyor registered in the State of Massachusetts.
- (c) When the creation of an ADU requires the alteration of the exterior of a structure, the application shall include elevation plans showing the sides of the building affected by the construction both prior to and after completion of construction.
- (d) By filing the application for a building permit for an ADU, all owners consent to an inspection without a warrant upon reasonable notice by the Building Commissioner to ensure compliance with all terms of this section.
- (e) The Building Commissioner shall issue a cease-and-desist order on any work in progress or on the use of any premises, either of which are in violation of the provisions of this section.

#### 8. Special Permit Required

The following conditions will require a special permit from the Zoning Board of Appeals:

- (a) A detached accessory dwelling unit on a nonconforming lot.
- (b) An accessory dwelling unit within an existing nonconforming structure.
- (c) A special permit for an accessory dwelling unit may only be granted upon a finding that the construction and occupancy of the accessory dwelling unit will not be detrimental to the neighborhood in which the subject property is located and after consideration of the factors set forth in this ordinance.

ADU zoning ordinance Page 4 of 5

#### 9. Decision

- (a) A building permit for an ADU may be granted by the Building Commissioner, or his designee, upon determination that all criteria as set forth in this ordinance have been satisfied.
- (b) A certificate of occupancy for an ADU shall be granted by the Building Commissioner, or his designee, upon determination that the ADU is in conformity with the provisions of this section, any special permit issued and all applicable health and building codes.
- (c) No ADU shall be occupied unless a certificate of occupancy for such unit has been issued by the Building Commissioner.

#### 10. Accessory Dwelling Units in existence before adoption of ordinance

The purpose of this section is to ensure that accessory dwelling units or conversions in existence before the adoption of this ordinance are in compliance with the State Building Code.

- (a) A legally conforming dwelling unit that would be considered an ADU under this ordinance that was granted by special permit (formerly "in-law apartment") may continue as an ADU under this section.
- (b) Any other pre-existing dwelling unit that would be considered an ADU under this ordinance, including pre-existing non-conforming units, may be subject to inspections and require renovations to ensure compliance with building, fire and sanitary code.

#### 11. Enforcement

It shall be the duty of the Building Commissioner to administer and enforce the provisions of this section.

- (a) No building shall be constructed or changed in use or configuration without the issuance of a building permit by the Building Commissioner.
- (b) The construction of any ADU must be in conformity with the State Building Code and, if applicable, Title V of the State Sanitary Code and lawful under all other provisions of applicable Town health, building, zoning and other local laws and regulations.
- (c) The Building Commissioner shall refuse to issue any permit which would result in a violation of any provisions of this section or in violation of the conditions or terms of any special permit or variance granted.
- (d) The building permit shall be revoked upon determination by the Building Commissioner that any condition imposed by this section has not been fulfilled.

ADU zoning ordinance Page 5 of 5

#### File Attachments for Item:

1. 2025 Planning Board Meeting Schedule

### Planning Board

2025 Meeting Schedule

MEETING DATE	FILING DEADLINE
January 14	12-26-2024
January 28	1-14-2025
February 11	1-28-2025
February 25	2-11-2025
March 11	2-25-2025
March 25	3-11-2025
April 8	3-25-2025
April 22	4-8-2025
May 13	4-29-2025
May 27	5-13-2025
June 10	5-27-2025
June 24	6-10-2025
July 8	6-24-2025
July 22	7-8-2025
August 12	7-29-2025
September 9	8-26-2025
September 23	9-9-2025
October 14	9-30-2025
October 28	10-14-2025
November 18	11-4-2025
December 2	11-18-2025
December 16	12-2-2025

Any project that requires notification to abutters and/or advertising <u>MUST</u> be submitted to Planning *no less than 30 days* before a scheduled hearing date.

Please consult the Planning Department at 781-961-0936 for assistance.