1 2 3 4	PENNINGTON BOROUGH PLANNING BOARD MINUTES REGULAR MEETING September 11, 2024, 7:30 PM
5 6 7 8	Mr. Reilly called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. and announced compliance with the provisions of the Open Public Meetings Act. He stated that the meeting was being held via a Zoom webinar and access to the meeting had been noticed.
9 10 11 12	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Mark Blackwell, Amy Kassler-Taub, Kate O'Neill, Jim Reilly, Nadine Stern, Jen Tracy, Nazli Rex, Casey Upson Absent: Gian Paolo Caminiti, Jim Davy, Andrew Jackson
13 14 15	BOARD PROFESSIONALS PRESENT: Lisa Maddox, Attorney, Mason, Griffin & Pierson, Jim Kyle, Planner, KMA Associates Kaitlyn Macellaro, Board Secretary
16 17 18 19	OPEN TIME FOR PUBLIC ADDRESS Mr. Reilly asked if there was any member of the public who had joined the meeting and had comments on items not on the agenda.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Shavon Desmond, 10 East Franklin Avenue, stated that she is concerned about the debris by the creek in the back of her house. She noted that when they come to the clear the grates, they leave the debris on the side of the creek. Mr. Reilly explained that this is an issue for the Public Works Department and there has been some discussion about the drainage issue at the Council meetings. Ms. Desmond explained that she attended the last Council meeting and has talked to Ricky Smith who said he wasn't sure whose responsibility it is. Ms. Stern recommended that she should speak to the administrator and provided her with his email address and phone number. Mr. Reilly stated that the administrator and the Superintendent of Public Works have made several site visits and he is confident that they will be responsive to her concerns.
32 33 34	There being no other member of the public wanting to comment, the open period for public comment period was closed.
35 36	NEW BUSINESS
37 38 39 40 41	 Applications: PB 24-001, Nini-47 Eglantine Ave, Block 302, Lot 4, D4 Use Variance Relief from the 3,500 Square Foot Gross Area Limit That is Being Requested for Renovations to the Existing House Which Includes the Addition of a Second and Third Floor
42 43 44 45 46	Mr. Reilly asked if we should take jurisdiction first or if we should deal with the waivers that are requested on the application checklist first. Ms. Maddox confirmed that jurisdiction should be taken first. Mr. Reilly asked if there were any questions about the waivers. Ms. Kassler-Taub asked if there is a survey of the property and if it was

submitted with the application. Mr. Kyle confirmed that there was a recent survey submitted and Ms. Macellaro included it in the agenda packet she sent out earlier that week. Mr. Kyle stated that he was going to email it to her so she can get a better understanding of the property. Ms. Stern asked Ms. Maddox if she is allowed to be participating in any discussion or voting of this application and Ms. Maddox confirmed that she is not. The waivers were approved via a motion by Ms. Tracy and a second by Ms. Kassler-Taub. All were in favor via voice vote.

Mr. Umberto Nini, Mrs. Rita Nini, Mr. Jim Kyle and Mr. Brandon Fetzer were sworn in by Ms. Lisa Maddox.

Mr. Reilly asked Mr. and Mrs. Nini to talk about their project. Mrs. Nini stated that they purchased 47 Eglantine Ave with plans to renovate the first floor and add a second and third story. She explained that the architectural plans showed that they exceeded the gross floor area and they are here tonight to seek relief from the Board. She noted that some information was missing as per Mr. Kyle's report regarding lot coverage and they came prepared to share that.

Mrs. Nini shared Exhibit A-1 which referenced the revised lot coverage data. She explained that they are not changing the driveway or moving the shed and they will improve the walkway that is there, but it will not expand the size. Mr. Kyle confirmed that they comfortably comply with the requirement for the zone of 26.5%, so there is no issue with coverage.

Mrs. Nini shared Exhibit A-2 which referenced the diagrams of the front and back elevations. Mrs. Nini described that there will be living space above the garage and the third floor will be a loft or a recreation area. She explained that the basement is not usable for additional living space because it is very small and has a low ceiling. Mr. Kyle asked if that is going to increase the floor area that is usable above the garage. Mrs. Nini responded and said that they are not finishing it and it doesn't have the roof height for it. Mrs. and Mr. Nini confirmed that that space is going to be under seven feet and is not considered habitable. Ms. O'Neill asked if they can discuss the compatibility of the proposed design with neighboring homes. Mrs. Nini described that they wanted their style to be complimentary with other homes, but not exactly the same.

Mrs. Nini shared Exhibit A-3 which shows the comparison of neighboring homes that are similar in size and scale. She described how they will use a Hardie plank siding in a color that is complimentary to other homes in the neighborhood. She showed the following addresses in Pennington Borough: 49 Eglantine Avenue, 107 King George Road, 22 Eglantine Avenue, 42 Eglantine Avenue and 32 Eglantine Avenue with the square foot living space and the number of floors.

 Mrs. Nini shared Exhibit A-4 which is the survey of the property that shows the existing and proposed renovations. Ms. O'Neill noted that she found it interesting how many houses in that area have a third floor and it was very helpful. Ms. Kassler-Taub asked the Nini's to clarify if the porch on the first floor plan behind the garage is a fully enclosed space. Mr. Nini confirmed that it is and it is included in the square footage.

 Mr. Kyle noted that there is one existing nonconfirming condition related to the front yard setback to King George Road. He explained that the ordinance reads that any corner lot has to provide two front yards and the front yard requirement is forty feet. He stated that it isn't an issue since they are not doing anything to the building that is going to affect the front yard. He stated that the only issue in front of the Board is the D4 floor area ratio variance relief. He explained that there are two forms of control, the percentage of 26.5% in an R-80 zone or a maximum of 3,500 square feet of total floor area, whichever is less. He stated that they are comfortably under the 26.5%, but they exceed the 3,500 square feet. He pointed out that their definition of floor ratio excludes any under roof parking areas like a garage. He stated that they are focusing on if the property can accommodate the problems associated with an increase in floor area that they are seeking. He said that they are looking at any negative impact that the increase of the floor area ratio would have on adjacent properties and if we can reconcile the grant of the floor area relief with the governing body's intent behind that limitation.

Mr. Fetzer stated that the one concern he has is to make sure that the property complies with the Borough's stormwater ordinances. Mr. Kyle noted that Mr. Fetzer's office should review the grading plan once any building permits are turned in to the Borough to see where the downspouts are draining to and making sure water isn't going into a neighbor's property. Ms. Tracy brought up the letter that the Board received from the Nashes residing at 49 Eglantine Avenue. Mr. Kyle stated that we cannot accept a letter into the record if there is not someone present to ask the question and the Board should not take that into consideration. Mr. Reilly confirmed that the letter was sent to the Board members for correspondence. Ms. Tracy asked if there was anything about the property that would lend concern during the construction process about additional water runoff. Mr. Kyle explained that this property is slightly higher than the property to its north, but as long as a plan is submitted and the downspouts are put in the appropriate locations and directed to the right places, it should not increase flow.

Mr. Blackwell asked if there were sump pumps at the house. Mr. Nini stated that there is an existing sump pump in the basement and it drains towards the backyard. Mr. Blackwell asked if this is going to be their house or if they are just working on it and Mr. Nini confirmed that it is a project.

Ms. Kassler-Taub stated that it is not changing the footprint of the existing building and she has no concerns about the surrounding properties and the increased height.

Ms. Rex thanked the Nini's for taking the time to put together and present all of the necessary documents to the Board.

Ms. Upson has no concerns about the increased height and appreciated the Ninis' presentation.

Ms. O'Neill noted that the dormer on the third floor is not as well incorporated as the dormers on neighboring houses, but she doesn't feel like that should be a main concern for this application.

Ms. Tracy stated that the size and scope of the project is consistent with the 142

surrounding properties and any concerns she had were addressed by Mr. Fetzer and

Mr. Kyle in their reports.

144 145

143

Ms. Kassler-Taub asked if revising the roofline would change the square footage. Mr. 146

Nini stated that it would not at all and they just want to maintain that roofline and liked

the way it looks.

148 149

147

150 Mr. Reilly asked if there is anyone from the public that wants to comment on this application. There being no members of the public wanting to comment, the open period 151

for public comment period was closed.

152 153 154

Mr. Blackwell asked how they can make it so the people that move into the house don't close off those rooms that the Nini's propose are not livable. Mr. Kyle confirmed that the

155 space on the third floor is habitable living space and if anyone wanted to expand floor 156

area in the future, they would have to come back to the Board.

157 158 159

160

161

Mr. Blackwell made a motion, seconded by Ms. Kassler-Taub to approve the D variance with the exception of the recommendation from Mr. Kyle of the drainage plan. All were

in favor via voice vote. As a Class III member, Ms. Stern did not participate in the

consideration (or vote) on this application pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40:55D-25c(2).

162 163 164

165

167

Mr. Kyle added that the Board will consider a resolution memorializing this application at

next month's meeting and then the Nini's will be cleared to apply for building permits.

Mr. Nini asked if he needs to wait to start work until the resolution is approved. Mr. Kyle 166

explained that in order to get a building permit, you typically need a zoning approval or

an approval from the Zoning Board. He added that the action isn't official until the 168

169 resolution is adopted and the 45-day appeal period is over. He stated that Mr. Nini can

proceed at his own risk within the forty five day appeal period. Mr. Nini asked if he can 170

submit the building permits to the Construction Department to be reviewed. Mr. Kyle 171

172 suggested that Mr. Nini reach out to the Construction Department, Mrs. Nini thanked the

Board for their time and consideration.

173 174 175

176

MINUTES FOR APPROVAL

The August 14, 2024 minutes were approved via a motion by Ms. O'Neill and a second by Ms. Tracy. All were in favor with one abstention by Ms. Kassler-Taub via voice vote.

177 178 179

180

Mr. Kyle announced that Council authorized a redevelopment investigation on the Wells

Fargo site. He stated that his intentions are to get his report prepared for the October

meeting and work with Ms. Macellaro to get all necessary noticing out.

181 182

183 Ms. O'Neill made a motion, seconded by Mr. Blackwell to adjourn the meeting. All voted 184

in favor to adjourn via voice vote at 8:29 p.m.

185

Respectfully submitted, 186

Kaitlyn Macellaro 187

Planning Board Secretary 188