

CITY OF NORMAN, OK FLOODPLAIN PERMIT COMMITTEE MEETING

Development Center, Room B, 225 N. Webster Ave., Norman, OK 73069 Monday, July 15, 2024 at 3:30 PM

AGENDA

It is the policy of the City of Norman that no person or groups of persons shall on the grounds of race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, age, place of birth, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, familial status, marital status, including marriage to a person of the same sex, disability, relation, or genetic information, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination in employment activities or in all programs, services, or activities administered by the City, its recipients, sub-recipients, and contractors. In the event of any comments, complaints, modifications, accommodations, alternative formats, and auxiliary aids and services regarding accessibility or inclusion, please contact the ADA Technician at 405-366-5424, Relay Service: 711. To better serve you, five (5) business days' advance notice is preferred.

ROLL CALL

MINUTES

1. Approval of minutes from the June 3, 2024 meeting.

ACTION ITEMS

- 2. Floodplain Permit Application No. 693 This permit application is for the proposed installation of a fence along property line of 1020 W. Boyd St. in the Imhoff Creek Floodplain.
- 3. Floodplain Permit Application No. 694 This permit application is for the proposed installation of a swimming pool, privacy fence and earthen berm in the Imhoff Creek Floodplain.
- 4. Floodplain Permit Application No. 695 This permit application is for the proposed development of a sports complex and residential structure on southeast corner of the intersection of 60th Ave. NW and Indian Hills.
- 5. Floodplain Permit Application No. 696 This permit application is for the replacement of a bridge over Rock Creek on 60th Ave. NE between Tecumseh and Rock Creek roads.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

ADJOURNMENT



CITY OF NORMAN, OK FLOODPLAIN PERMIT COMMITTEE MEETING

Municipal Building, Executive Conference Room, 201 W Gray, Norman, OK 73069

Monday, June 03, 2024 at 3:30 PM

MINUTES

ROLL CALL

The meeting was called to order by Mr. Sturtz at 3:30 p.m. Roll was called and all members were present. Others in attendance included, Jason Murphy, Stormwater Program Manager; Kim Freeman, Staff; Joshua Barker, Norman Police Department; Reza Khakpour, LMRK Engineering.

MINUTES

1. Approval of minutes from the May 6, 2024 meeting

Mr. Sturtz asked for any comments, questions or a motion from the committee to approve the minutes from the meeting of May 6, 2024. The motion was made by Ms. Hudson and seconded by Ms. Hoggatt. The minutes were approved 7-0.

ACTION ITEMS

2. Floodplain Permit No. 690

Mr. Sturtz said the Application for Permit 690 is for proposed construction of a stormwater nature park located at the northeast corner of E. Alameda St. and S. Carter Ave. in the Tributary B of Bishop Creek floodplain. Mr. Sturtz said the Applicant is the City of Norman and the Engineer is Reza Khakpour, P.E., LMRK Engineering. Mr. Sturtz asked Mr. Murphy to present the staff report. Mr. Murphy said this project will provide a nature park in the heart of the City of Norman. The park features will include a playground, walking trails, lookout station, a raingarden and a parking lot. The park will provide educational information to visitors as well as creating some storage in the floodplain to help with localized flooding. All of the proposed development will be utilizing some low impact development (LID) features such as strips of pervious pavement in the proposed parking area. As well as the proposed raingarden will provide water quality amendments for the water shed.

Mr. Murphy reviewed plans and aerial maps of the project location provided to members in their packets.

Mr. Murphy confirmed all ordinance requirements have been met and said staff recommends Floodplain Permit Application No. 690 be approved.

Mr. Sturtz asked for comments from Reza Khakpour, LMRK Engineering. Mr. Khakpour said the only place that they are putting fill is in the parking lot. The water from the parking lot goes into a raingarden and gets filtered for water quality before it goes into the creek. So, it does add some value for water quality since it is the Lake Thunderbird watershed and it is sensitive. Where the observation place is, they are digging out some of the floodplain area to get storage that will help with flooding as well. There is educational value because this is going to be a wetland. Mr. Scanlon asked if Mr. Khakpour knows what the schedule for the project is. Mr. Khakpour said he did not know. Mr. Murphy said the last he heard they were getting pretty close to going out for bidding, but the Parks department would be the best people to ask. Mr. Scanlon said this is a project from a stormwater prospective that he has been curious about for months and it's neat that it's coming to fruition. Mr. Scanlon said I think this is Bishop Creek watershed as opposed to Thunderbird. Mr. Khakpour said yes, it is Bishop Creek. Mr. Sturtz asked for comments or questions from the committee. Mr. Danner asked if this would take a map revision. Mr. Murphy said he didn't think there was enough of a change to the creek itself. They are removing some storage in the floodplain but I don't think the flow line of the creek itself is changing. Mr. Khakpour said the flow line of the stream isn't moving and the water selfsubsidization isn't changing. The limits of the floodplain isn't changing, unless you guys want to get it lower. Mr. Danner said we would probably do that if we had studied Bishop Creek through. Mr. Sturtz asked for any other questions or comments. Ms. Hudson said the west side of the parking lot is across the street from single family, and doesn't have anything necessarily to do with today, but the landscaping requirements for that parking lot will still have to be met. You'll have to put a berm or some sort of screening across that for the single family across the street. Mr. Murphy asked if Parks had talked with Ms. Hudson about that. Ms. Hudson said no and she's just getting it on the record. Single family across the street might not be happy there's light shining into their house at night.

Mr. Sturtz asked for questions, comments or a motion. Mr. Scanlon made a motion to approve Permit 690. Ms. Hoggatt seconded the motion. The committee voted to approve the application 7-0.

3. Floodplain Permit No. 691

Mr. Sturtz said the Application for Permit 691 is for proposed installation of an outdoor rifle marksmanship shooting lane at 3800 Jenkins Ave. at the existing City of Norman police gun range in the Canadian River floodplain. Mr. Sturtz said the Applicant is the City of Norman and the Engineer is the City of Norman, Brandon Brooks, P.E. Mr. Sturtz asked Mr. Murphy to present the staff report. Mr. Murphy said this project involves the excavation, grading, and construction of an outdoor rifle marksmanship shooting lane. The construction entails the installation of 6, 10' X 30' X 4" concrete pads located at 0, 25, 50, 75, 100, 200, and 300 yards from a berm. The berm is located outside of the floodplain. Each pad site will be installed flush with the existing grade. The police department is also intending to construct a drivable surface at existing grade utilizing road millings compacted to a thickness of 4" and located 30' away from a drainage channel. The surface is not intended for use by passenger vehicles, but is intended for use by small, light-service utility vehicles, golf cart or side-by-side. Compacted asphalt road millings are considered a permeable surface. Additionally, a low water crossing will be constructed to cross the existing channel at the current flow line. The channel flow line and banks of the drainage channel will not be altered for this project with the exception of the low water crossing which will be installed at the existing channel grade and stabilized with flexamat. All exposed ground will be stabilized during and after construction. There will not be any increase in the Base Flood Elevation at any of this location.

Mr. Murphy reviewed plans and aerial maps of the project location provided to members in their packets.

Mr. Murphy confirmed all ordinance requirements have been met and said staff recommends Floodplain Permit Application No. 691 be approved.

3

Mr. Sturtz asked for questions from the committee. Mr. Scanlon asked what we gain in buildi this. Joshua Barker, Norman Police Department, said we gain opportunity for our officers to train with their rifles, you can even shot pistols, to better their ability to do the job. Mr. Scanlon asked as opposed to the current range which is very short. Mr. Barker confirmed. Mr. Scanlon asked if we could go somewhere to do this training. Mr. Barker said we have just done without. Mr. Scanlon said he thinks that's interesting to know. Mr. Scanlon said when we get to it, I move to approve this. Mr. Sturtz asked for a second to approve Permit 691. Ms. Stansel seconded the motion. Mr. Sturtz asked for any other questions or comments. The committee voted to approve the application 7-0.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Mr. Murphy said there are no applications for the next meeting on June 17, 2024 and will be cancelled.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Sturtz called for a motion to adjourn. Mr. Scanlon motioned to adjourn and was seconded by Ms. Hoggatt. The meeting adjourned at 3:48 p.m.

Passed and approved this _____ day of _____, 2024

City of Norman Floodplain Administrator, Scott Sturtz

Item 2.

ITEM: Floodplain Permit application for proposed installation of a fence along property line of 1020 W. Boyd St. in the Imhoff Creek Floodplain

BACKGROUND:

APPLICANT: Robert Tyler Grimmett ENGINEER: Joel Howell P.E.

This project involves the installation of a wooden fence along the southern property line of 1020 W. Boyd St. The owner states that a pedestrian walking trail is adjacent to their southern property line and pedestrians are regularly cutting across his property. Additionally, while his pets are kept in the yard with a buried electrical fence, unleashed pets with the pedestrians sometimes enter onto his property and create a hazard.

STAFF ANALYSIS:

Site located in Little River Basin or Tributaries? yes $no \checkmark$

According to the latest DFIRM, portions of this project are located within the Imhoff Creek floodplain (Zone AE).

Applicable Ordinance Sections:	Subject Area:
36-533 (e)(2)(a)	Fill restrictions in the floodplain
(e)(2)(e)	Compensatory storage
(e)(3)(j)	Fencing in the floodplain
(f)(3)(8)	No rise considerations

(e)(2)(a) and (e)(2)(e) Fill Restrictions in the Floodplain and Compensatory Storage – The use of fill is restricted in the floodplain unless compensatory storage is provided.

The project engineer has indicated that the volume occupied by fence panels below the BFE is 4.58 CF. Twelve fence posts will be installed in the floodplain with a total volume of 4.56 CF, for a total of 9.14 CF. The applicant has indicated that they will remove this volume, approximately 1.5 wheelbarrows worth, of soil from the south end of the property and dispose of it outside the floodplain.

(e)(3)(j) Fencing in the Floodplain – All new fences or replacement of existing fences in the SFHA require a floodplain permit. Approved fences shall be designed and installed to be breakaway or in some other manner so that flows will not be impeded.

The applicant has indicated the fence type to be installed is a horizontally slatted wooden fence. The bottom slat will be 9.5" above grade and there will be 5.5" of space between each slat thereafter. The fence will only extend along the southern boundary, allowing water to flow both underneath and around the fence. This will allow water to flow unimpeded through the floodplain and meet this ordinance requirement.

(f)(3)(8) No Rise Considerations – For proposed development within any flood hazard area (except for those designated as regulatory floodways), certification that a rise of no more than 0.05 ft. will occur in the BFE on any adjacent property as a result of the proposed work is required.

The project engineer has indicated that the proposed project provides compensatory storage and will allow unimpeded flow through and around the fence therefore, no rise in

the BFE on any adjacent property will occur.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends Floodplain Permit Application #693 be approved.

ACTION TAKEN: _____



City of Norman

Floodplain Permit No.	693
the second	

Floodplain Permit Application

|--|

Date 7/15/2024

FLOODPLAIN PERMIT APPLICATION

(\$100.00 Application Fee Required)

SECTION 1: GENERAL PROVISIONS (APPLICANT to read and sign):

- 1. No work may start until a permit is issued.
- 2. The permit may be revoked if any false statements are made herein.
- 3. If revoked, all work must cease until permit is re-issued.
- 4. Development shall not be used or occupied until a Certificate of Occupancy is issued.
- 5. The permit will expire if no work is commenced within 2 years of issuance.
- 6. Applicant is hereby informed that other permits may be required to fulfill local, state and federal regulatory requirements and must be included with this floodplain permit application.
- 7. Applicant hereby gives consent to the City of Norman or his/her representative to access the property to make reasonable inspections required to verify compliance.
- 8. The following floodplain modifications require approval by the City Council:
 - (a) A modification of the floodplain that results in a change of ten percent (10%) or more in the width of the floodplain.
 - (b) The construction of a pond with a water surface area of 5 acres or more.
 - (c) Any modifications of the stream banks or flow line within the area that would be regulatory floodway whether or not that channel has a regulatory floodplain, unless the work is being done by the City of Norman staff as part of a routine maintenance activity.

9. All supporting documentation required by this application is required along with the permit fee by the submittal deadline. Late or incomplete applications will not be accepted.

10. I, THE APPLICANT, CERTIFY THAT ALL STATEMENTS HEREIN AND IN ATTACHMENTS TO THIS APPLICATION ARE, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, TRUE AND ACCURATE.

SECTION 2: PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT (To be completed by APPLICANT.)

APPLICANT: Robert Tyler Grimmett	ADDRESS: 1020 W. Boyd St., Norman, OK 73069
TELEPHONE: 405-207-1008	SIGNATURE: <u>R. Tyler Grimmett</u>
BUILDER:	ADDRESS:
TELEPHONE:	SIGNATURE:
ENGINEER: Joe Howell	ADDRESS: 211 E. St. NW, Ardmore, OK 73401
TELEPHONE: _580-221-3531	_ SIGNATURE:

PROJECT LOCATION

To avoid delay in processing the application, please provide enough information to easily identify the project location. Provide the street address, subdivision addition, lot number or legal description (attach) and, outside urban areas, the distance to the nearest intersecting road or well known landmark. A sketch attached to this application showing the project location would be helpful.

South end of property adjacent to the drainage canal bridge (Cruce Street)

DESCRIPTION OF WORK (Check all applicable boxes): A. STRUCTURAL DEVELOPMENT

<u>ACTIVITY</u> <u>STRUCTURE TYPE</u>

☑ New Structure	□ Residential (1-4 Family)
□ Addition	□ Residential (More than 4 Family)
□ Alteration	□ Non-Residential (Flood proofing? □ Yes)
□ Relocation	Combined Use (Residential & Commercial)
Demolition	□ Manufactured (Mobile) Home
□ Replacement	□ In Manufactured Home Park? □ Yes

ESTIMATED COST OF PROJECT \$______ Work that involves substantial damage/substantial improvement requires detailed cost estimates and an appraisal of the structure that is being improved.

B. OTHER DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES:

- □ Fill □ Mining □ Drilling □ Grading
- Excavation (Beyond the minimum for Structural Development)
- U Watercourse Alteration (Including Dredging and Channel Modifications)
- Drainage Improvements (Including Culvert Work) DRoad, Street or Bridge Construction
- □ Subdivision (New or Expansion) □ Individual Water or Sewer System

In addition to items A. and B. provide a complete and detailed description of proposed work (failure to provide this item

will be cause for the application to be rejected by staff). Attach additional sheets if necessary.

We are building a cedar, post and rail fence with at the south end of our property along the sidewalk adjacent to the canal bridge (Cruce Steet).

The fence will be 4 ft. tall and will contain 4 horizontal pickets allowing for water flow through the gaps in case of a flood. There will also be a small gate at the very center.

C. ATTACHMENTS WHICH ARE REQUIRED WITH EVERY APPLICATION:

The applicant must submit the documents listed below before the application can be processed. If the requested document is not relevant to the project scope, please check the Not Applicable box and provide explanation.

- A. Plans drawn to scale showing the nature, location, dimensions, and elevation of the lot, existing or proposed structures, fill, storage of materials, flood proofing measures, and the relationship of the above to the location of the channel, floodway, and the regulatory flood-protection elevation.
- B. A typical valley cross-section showing the channel of the stream, elevation of land areas adjoining each side of the channel, cross-sectional areas to be occupied by the proposed development, and high-water information.

□ Not Applicable:

C. Subdivision or other development plans (If the subdivision or other developments exceeds 50 lots or 5 acres, whichever is the lesser, the applicant <u>must</u> provide 100-year flood elevations if they are not otherwise available).

☑ Not Applicable:

D. Plans (surface view) showing elevations or contours of the ground; pertinent structure, fill, or storage elevations; size, location, and spatial arrangement of all proposed and existing structures on the site; location and elevations of streets, water supply, sanitary facilities; photographs showing existing land uses and vegetation upstream and downstream, soil types and other pertinent information.

□ Not Applicable:

E. A profile showing the slope of the bottom of the channel or flow line of the stream.

□ Not Applicable:

F. Elevation (in relation to mean sea level) of the lowest floor (including basement) of all new and substantially improved structures.

☑ Not Applicable:

G. Description of the extent to which any watercourse or natural drainage will be altered or relocated as a result of proposed development.

□ Not Applicable:

- H. For proposed development within any flood hazard area (except for those areas designated as regulatory floodways), certification that a rise of no more than five hundredths of a foot (0.05') will occur on any adjacent property in the base flood elevation as a result of the proposed work. For proposed development within a designated regulatory floodway, certification of no increase in flood levels within the community during the occurrence of the base flood discharge as a result of the proposed work. All certifications shall be signed and sealed by a Registered Professional Engineer licensed to practice in the State of Oklahoma.
- I. A certified list of names and addresses of all record property owners within a three hundred fifty (350) foot radius of the exterior boundary of the subject property not to exceed 100 feet laterally from the Special Flood Hazard Area. The radius to be extended by increments of one hundred (100) linear feet until the list of property owners includes not less than fifteen (15) individual property owners of separate parcels or until a maximum radius of one thousand (1,000) feet has been reached.
- J. A copy of all other applicable local, state, and federal permits (i.e. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 404 permit, etc).

After completing SECTION 2, APPLICANT should submit form to Permit Staff for review.

SECTION 3: FLOODPLAIN DETERMINATION (To be completed by Permit Staff.)

The proposed development is located on FIRM Panel No.: 02803, Dated: 1/15/2021

The Proposed Development:

□ Is NOT located in a Special Flood Hazard Area (Notify the applicant that the application review is complete and NO FLOODPLAIN PERMIT IS REQUIRED).

DIs located in a Special Flood Hazard Area.

□ The proposed development is located in a floodway.

□ 100-Year flood elevation at the site is <u>1146</u>.^O Ft. NGVD (MSL) □ Unavailable

See Section 4 for additional instructions.

DATE: 7/8/2024 SIGNED:

SECTION 4: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIRED (To be completed by Permit Staff.)

The applicant must also submit the documents checked below before the application can be processed.

- Flood proofing protection level (non-residential only) _____ Ft. NGVD (MSL). For flood proofed structures applicant must attach certification from registered engineer.
- Certification from a registered engineer that the proposed activity in a regulatory floodway will not result in any increase in the height of the 100-year flood (Base Flood Elevation). A copy of all data and calculations supporting this finding must also be submitted.
- Certification from a registered engineer that the proposed activity in a regulatory flood plain will result in an increase of no more than 0.05 feet in the height of the 100-year flood (Base Flood Elevation). A copy of all data and calculations supporting this finding must also be submitted.
- All other applicable federal, state, and local permits have been obtained.

Other:

SECTION 5: PERMIT DETERMINATION (To be completed by Floodplain Chairman.)

The proposed activity: (A) \square Is; (B) \square Is Not in conformance with provisions of Norman's City Code Chapter 22, Section 429.1. The permit is issued subject to the conditions attached to and made part of this permit.

SIGNED: DATE:

If BOX A is checked, the Floodplain committee chairman may issue a Floodplain Permit.

If BOX B is checked, the Floodplain committee chairman will provide a written summary of deficiencies. Applicant may revise and resubmit an application to the Floodplain committee or may request a hearing from the Board of Adjustment.

APPEALS: Appealed to Board of Adjustment: Hearing date:	□Yes □No	
Board of Adjustment Decision - Approved:	□Yes □ No	
Conditions:		

<u>SECTION 6: AS-BUILT ELEVATIONS (To be submitted by APPLICANT before Certificate of Occupancy is issued.)</u>

1. FEMA Elevation Certificate

and/or

2. FEMA Floodproofing Certificate

NOTE: The completed certificate will be reviewed by staff for completeness and accuracy. If any deficiencies are found it will be returned to the applicant for revision. A Certificate of Occupancy for the structure will not be issued until an Elevation and /or Floodproofing Certificate has been accepted by the City.









NOTE: AREA IS COVERED BY FEMA FLOOD MAP NO. 400046 PANEL 0280 SUFFIX J. THE BASE FLOOD ELEVATION IMMEDIATELY UPSTREAM OF THE PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE IS 1146.00.





		(c) Ca	pyright	2024 Fox E	ngineering,	Inc.
	Fox Engineering, Inc. 211 E Street N.W., PO Box 666 Ardmore, Oklahoma 73401 Phone: 580.223.2319	PLAN AND PROFILE GRIMMETT PROPOSED FENCE NEAR IMHOFF CREEK	DATE Rev #	Revision D	ate/Descript	tion
V HOMA IORIZAT IORIZAT	E-mail: toxengineering@sbcglobal.net Web: foxengineeringok.com ION NO. 5133 ION EXPIRES 6-30-2024	NORMAN, OK 2024	PROJ PROJ	. PHASE:	13	D:





ENGINEERING, INC., Cívíl Engíneer 211 E STREET NW P.O. BOX 666 ARDMORE, OKLAHOMA 73402 PHONE 580-223-2319 FAX 580-223-2492 Email: foxengineering@sbcglobal.net

ltem 2.

June 19, 2024

Jason Murphy, CFM Stormwater Program Manager City of Norman 225 N. Webster Norman, OK 73069

Re: No Rise Certification for Grimmett Property fence – near Imhoff Creek South of Boyd St.

Mr. Murphy,

The Grimmett family owns the lot at 1020 W. Boyd. Imhoff Creek runs through their property and there is a pedestrian walking trail adjacent to their south property line. The lot is approximately 3.4 ac MOL and Mr. Grimmett would like to build a wooden fence along a portion of his south boundary to prevent pedestrians from cutting through his property. The fence would also serve as an obstacle for dogs. The Grimmett's have an "invisible" (buried) electric fence for their dogs, but dogs not leashed on the pedestrian path often stray out onto their property.

The construction of the proposed fence lies within Zone AE of the FEMA flood map and the Base Flood Elevation (BFE) at the upstream side of the pedestrian bridge, and extending to the proposed fence, is 1146.00 MSL. I performed topography mapping of the area and got an OPUS solution for my base point to correlate the elevations.

The proposed fence style uses horizontal slats and the bottom slat will be placed at least 9.5" above the existing ground/sidewalk. Then the slats will alternate with gaps of 5-1/2" (refer to attached plan and profile sheet). The "fill" that will exist in the floodplain is the volume of the wooden posts and 2 slats between the ground and elevation 1146.00. I calculate that the (12) 4"x6" posts will occupy 4.56 CF, and the slats will be 4.58 CF of volume in the floodplain. A typical wheelbarrow is about 6 CF. Mr. Grimmett will need to excavate the 9.14 CF of soil from the floodplain on the south end of his property and move it out of the floodplain to offset the fence volume.

Construction of the fence according to the outline above, and have shown on attached plan and profile, will result in negligible rise of the BFE on this property and any adjacent properties. The gaps in the slats will allow water to pass, and the total length of the fence is only a small fraction of the total floodplain width so water would still pass around each end, as before the fence.

Sincerely,

Ja Hamul

Joe Howell, PE Fox Engineering, Inc.





PROJECT LOCATION MAP







Lot Line

Floodway

1% Chance Flood

17

Parcel BFE 2021



The City of Norman assumes no

responsibility for errors or omissions

1 inch = 206 feet

V Boyd St

SUBJECT PROPRTY

Sp

1

Google

S

ru-ward A

W Boyd St

ltem 2.

AVe

S Plekard

Ci p



Item 3.

ITEM: Floodplain Permit application for proposed installation of a swimming pool, privacy fence and earthen berm in the Imhoff Creek floodplain.

BACKGROUND:

APPLICANT: Joe Vaughn ENGINEER: Gary Keen, P.E.

This project includes the installation of a swimming pool, and retroactively receiving a permit for a privacy fence and an earthen berm at 1024 Cruce St. in the Imhoff Creek Floodplain. The earthen berm and fence have already been constructed without a permit since the applicant was unaware of the requirement for building in the floodplain. The applicant has worked with the engineer to account for these structures and will make modifications as outlined below to bring them into compliance with the flood hazard ordinance.

STAFF ANALYSIS:

Site located in Little River Basin or Tributaries? yes $no \checkmark$

According to the latest DFIRM, portions of this project are located within the Imhoff Creek floodplain (Zone AE).

Subject Area:
Fill restrictions in the floodplain
Compensatory storage
Fencing in the floodplain
No rise considerations

(e)(2)(a) and (e)(2)(e) Fill Restrictions in the Floodplain and Compensatory Storage – The use of fill is restricted in the floodplain unless compensatory storage is provided.

The project engineer has indicated that a total volume of 23 CY of fill will be occupied by the structures in the floodplain and compensatory storage for this volume will be removed from the southeast portion of the yard in the same floodplain. This volume will be removed, graded to facilitate drainage towards the creek and have grass replanted.

(e)(3)(j) Fencing in the Floodplain – All new fences or replacement of existing fences in the SFHA require a floodplain permit. Approved fences shall be designed and installed to be breakaway or in some other manner so that flows will not be impeded.

The project engineer has indicated that the existing fence will be modified as outlined in his report to convert it to a break-a-way style fence that will open to the downstream side of the stream thus allowing water to freely flow, during a flood event, through the floodplain.

(f)(3)(8) No Rise Considerations – For proposed development within any flood hazard area (except for those designated as regulatory floodways), certification that a rise of no more than 0.05 ft. will occur in the BFE on any adjacent property as a result of the proposed work is required.

The project engineer has indicated the structures that have been or will be constructed in the floodplain will be constructed or modified in such as manner to allow for the flow of floodwaters to continue unimpeded and that compensatory will be provided and therefore, no rise in the BFE on any adjacent property should occur.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends Floodplain Permit Application #694 be approved.

ACTION TAKEN: _____



City of Norman

			Inau
Floodplain	Permit	No.	41

Floodplain Permit Application

Buildir	ng Pern	nt No).	
Date	7	115	120	20

FLOODPLAIN PERMIT APPLICATION (\$100.00 Application Fee Required)

SECTION 1: GENERAL PROVISIONS (APPLICANT to read and sign):

1. No work may start until a permit is issued.

- 2. The permit may be revoked if any false statements are made herein.
- 3. If revoked, all work must cease until permit is re-issued.
- 4. Development shall not be used or occupied until a Certificate of Occupancy is issued.
- 5. The permit will expire if no work is commenced within 2 years of issuance.
- 6. Applicant is hereby informed that other permits may be required to fulfill local, state and federal regulatory requirements and must be included with this floodplain permit application.
- Applicant hereby gives consent to the City of Norman or his/her representative to access the property to make reasonable inspections required to verify compliance.
- 8. The following floodplain modifications require approval by the City Council:
 - (a) A modification of the floodplain that results in a change of ten percent (10%) or more in the width of the floodplain.
 - (b) The construction of a pond with a water surface area of 5 acres or more.
 - (c) Any modifications of the stream banks or flow line within the area that would be regulatory floodway whether or not that channel has a regulatory floodplain, unless the work is being done by the City of Norman staff as part of a routine maintenance activity.

All supporting documentation required by this application is required along with the permit fee by the submittal deadline. Late or incomplete applications will not be accepted.

10. I, THE APPLICANT, CERTIFY THAT ALL STATEMENTS HEREIN AND IN ATTACHMENTS TO THIS APPLICATION ARE, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, TRUE AND ACCURATE.

APPLICANT:	Joe Vaughn	ADDRESS: 1024 Cruce St. Norman, OK 73069
FELEPHONE:	(405) 659-5042	SIGNATURE:
BUILDER:	Spartan Pool and Patio	ADDRESS: 1400 24th Ave SM. Norman, OK 73072
ELEPHONE:	(405) 364-1912	SIGNATURE:
NONEFAL	Maruka	ADDRESS: RODOX 891200,0KC,0K
ELEPHONE:	405-823-824	SIGNATURE: Earl Mary Keer

Thanks,

PROJECT LOCATION

To avoid delay in processing the application, please provide enough information to easily identify the project location. Provide the street address, subdivision addition, lot number or legal description (attach) and, outside urban areas, the distance to the nearest intersecting road or well known landmark. A sketch attached to this application showing the project location would be helpful.

PROJECT ADDRESS 1024 CRUCE ST, NORMAN, OK, LEGAL DESCRIPTION PICKARD AGRES, LOT 4 BLOCK 5

DIRECTIONS TO SITE. BEGIN AT INTERSECTION OF BEFRY POAD AND UNDSEY, GO NORTH ON BERRY RD TO

CRUCE AND GO EAST ON CRUCE TO ADDRESS.

DESCRIPTION OF WORK (Check all applicable boxes): A. STRUCTURAL DEVELOPMENT

ACTIVITY STRUCTURE TYPE

New Structure
Addition
Residential (1-4 Family)
Addition
Residential (More than 4 Family)
Alteration
Non-Residential (Flood proofing?] Yes)
Relocation
Combined Use (Residential & Commercial)
Demolition
Manufactured (Mobile) Home

□ Replacement □ In Manufactured Home Park? □ Yes

ESTIMATED COST OF PROJECT \$______ Work that involves substantial damage/substantial improvement requires detailed cost estimates and an appraisal of the structure that is being improved.

B. OTHER DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES:

□ Fill □ Mining □ Drilling □ Grading

WILL EXCAVATE FOR POOL. WILL REMOVE SOIL FROM SITE. WILL ALSO REMOVE SOIL FOR COMPENSATORY STORAGE. WILL MODIFY EXISTING WOOD FENCE.

Excavation (Beyond the minimum for Structural Development)

U Watercourse Alteration (Including Dredging and Channel Modifications)

□ Drainage Improvements (Including Culvert Work) □ Road, Street or Bridge Construction

□ Subdivision (New or Expansion) □ Individual Water or Sewer System

In addition to items A. and B. provide a complete and detailed description of proposed work (failure to provide this item

will be cause for the application to be rejected by staff). Attach additional sheets if necessary.

WILL CONSTRUCT NEW POOL THAT IS NOT ATTACHED TO HOUSE. POOL IS LOCATED IN FLOODPLAIN BUT NOT

IN THE FLOODWAY, A WOODED FENCE LOCATED IN FLOCOFLAIN WILL BE MODIFIED TO ALLOW FOR FREE

FLOW OF FLOOD WATER. COMPENSATORY STORAGE IS REQUIRED AND WILL BE

PROVIDED. THE AREA WHERE THE SOIL IS REMOVED FOR COMPENSATORY STORAGE WILL BE GRADED TO DRAIN TO PREVENT PONDING OF WATER AND RELATED PROBLEMS. GRASS WILL BE RE-ESTABLISHED ON THE DISTURBED AREA.

NOTE: A PORTION OF THE EXISTING WOOD FENCE IS LOCATED IN THE FLOODPLAIN BUT NOT IN THE FLOODWAY. THE EAST END OF THE FENCE THE EXISTING GROUND IS LOCATED 2.5 FEET BELOW THE BFE AND THE WEST END OF THE FENCE IS HIGHER THAN THE BFE. IT IS RECOMMENDED TO EITHER REMOVE THE FENCE BOARDS LOCATED LOWER THAN THE BFE OR CONSTRUCT "SWING AWAY" PANELS ON THE DOWN STEAM SITE OF THE FENCE. A COMBINATION OF THESE TWO OPTIONS IS BEST. BFE= 1146.2' AT CRICE ST. BFE= 1145.8' AT SPITH LOT LINE.

C. ATTACHMENTS WHICH ARE REQUIRED WITH EVERY APPLICATION:

The applicant must submit the documents listed below before the application can be processed. If the requested document is not relevant to the project scope, please check the Not Applicable box and provide explanation.

A. Plans drawn to scale showing the nature, location, dimensions, and elevation of the lot, existing or proposed structures, fill, storage of materials, flood proofing measures, and the relationship of the above to the location of the channel, floodway, and the regulatory flood-protection elevation.

PLANS ARE PROVIDED.

B. A typical valley cross-section showing the channel of the stream, elevation of land areas adjoining each side of the channel, cross-sectional areas to be occupied by the proposed development, and high-water information.

□ Not Applicable:

A VALLEY CROSS SECTION IS PROVIDED, BASED ON CONTOURS FOUND IN NORMAN GIS.

C. Subdivision or other development plans (If the subdivision or other developments exceeds 50 lots or 5 acres, whichever is the lesser, the applicant <u>must</u> provide 100-year flood elevations if they are not otherwise available).

Not Applicable: NOT A SUBDIVISION DEVELOPMENT

D. Plans (surface view) showing elevations or contours of the ground; pertinent structure, fill, or storage elevations; size, location, and spatial arrangement of all proposed and existing structures on the site; location and elevations of streets, water supply, sanitary facilities; photographs showing existing land uses and vegetation upstream and downstream, soil types and other pertinent information.

Not Applicable:
 PLANS ARE PROVIDED

- E. A profile showing the slope of the bottom of the channel or flow line of the stream.
 - Not Applicable: ASTREAM PROFILE FOR IMMOFF CREEK IS PROVIDED, PROFILE IS FROM FEMA FIS.
- F. Elevation (in relation to mean sea level) of the lowest floor (including basement) of all new and substantially improved structures.

Not Applicable:

- G. Description of the extent to which any watercourse or natural drainage will be altered or relocated as a result of proposed development.
 - Not Applicable:

NATURAL DRAINAGE WILL NOT BE ALTERED AS BEE WILL NOT INCREASE. POOL IS LOCATED IN

FLOODPLAIN FRINGE AREA AND COMPENSATOR STORAGE WILL BE PROVIDED BY REMOVING SOIL FROM THE FLOODPLAIN ON THE SUBJECT LOT. THE FLOODWAY WILL NOT BE DISTURBED.

- H. For proposed development within any flood hazard area (except for those areas designated as regulatory floodways), certification that a rise of no more than five hundredths of a foot (0.05') will occur on any adjacent property in the base flood elevation as a result of the proposed work. For proposed development within a designated regulatory floodway, certification of no increase in flood levels within the community during the occurrence of the base flood discharge as a result of the proposed work. All certifications shall be signed and sealed by a Registered Professional Engineer licensed to practice in the State of Oklahoma. A STATEMENT CERTIFIED BY AN ENGINEER IS PROVIDED.
- A certified list of names and addresses of all record property owners within a three hundred fifty (350) foot radius of the exterior boundary of the subject property not to exceed 100 feet laterally from the Special Flood Hazard Area. The radius to be extended by increments of one hundred (100) linear feet until the list of property owners includes not less than fifteen (15) individual property owners of separate parcels or until a maximum radius of one thousand (1,000) feet has been reached.
- THE REQUIRED PROPERTY OWNERS' INFORMATION IS PROVIDED. J. A copy of all other applicable local, state, and federal permits (i.e. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 404 permit, etc).

BUILDING PERMITS ARE THE ONLY OTHER PERMITS REQUIRED FOR THIS WORK.

After completing SECTION 2, APPLICANT should submit form to Permit Staff for review.

SECTION 3: FLOODPLAIN DETERMINATION (To be completed by Permit Staff.)

The proposed development is located on FIRM Panel No.: 0280-, Dated: 1/15/2021

The Proposed Development:

□ Is NOT located in a Special Flood Hazard Area (Notify the applicant that the application review is complete and NO FLOODPLAIN PERMIT IS REQUIRED).

□ Is located in a Special Flood Hazard Area.

□ The proposed development is located in a floodway.

 \mathbf{V} 100-Year flood elevation at the site is <u>1146</u> Ft. NGVD (MSL) \Box Unavailable

See Section 4 for additional instructions.

_____ DATE: 7/10/24 SIGNED:

SECTION 4: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIRED (To be completed by Permit Staff.)

The applicant must also submit the documents checked below before the application can be processed.

- Flood proofing protection level (non-residential only) Ft. NGVD (MSL). For flood proofed structures applicant must attach certification from registered engineer.
- Certification from a registered engineer that the proposed activity in a regulatory floodway will not result in any increase in the height of the 100-year flood (Base Flood Elevation). A copy of all data and calculations supporting this finding must also be submitted.
- Certification from a registered engineer that the proposed activity in a regulatory flood plain will result in an increase of no more than 0.05 feet in the height of the 100-year flood (Base Flood Elevation). A copy of all data and calculations supporting this finding must also be submitted.
- All other applicable federal, state, and local permits have been obtained.

Other: _____

SECTION 5: PERMIT DETERMINATION (To be completed by Floodplain Chairman.)

The proposed activity: (A) I Is: (B) I Is Not in conformance with provisions of Norman's City Code Chapter 22, Section 429.1. The permit is issued subject to the conditions attached to and made part of this permit.

SIGNED: ______ DATE: _____

If BOX A is checked, the Floodplain committee chairman may issue a Floodplain Permit.

If BOX B is checked, the Floodplain committee chairman will provide a written summary of deficiencies. Applicant may revise and resubmit an application to the Floodplain committee or may request a hearing from the Board of Adjustment.

APPEALS: Appealed to Board of Adjustment: Hearing date:			□ No
	Board of Adjustment Decision - Approved:	□ Yes	🛛 No
Conditions:			

SECTION 6: AS-BUILT ELEVATIONS (To be submitted by APPLICANT before Certificate of Occupancy is issued.)

1. FEMA Elevation Certificate

and/or

2. FEMA Floodproofing Certificate

NOTE: The completed certificate will be reviewed by staff for completeness and accuracy. If any deficiencies are found it will be returned to the applicant for revision. A Certificate of Occupancy for the structure will not be issued until an Elevation and /or Floodproofing Certificate has been accepted by the City.

EARL GARY KEEN, PE PO BOX 891200, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73189 (405) 823-8240 <u>ENGINEERING REPORT</u> <u>1024 CRUCE ST., NORMAN, OK</u> RE. FLOOD PLAIN PERMIT APPLICATION

Introduction

The subject property is a residential lot located at 1024 Cruce St., Norman, OK. Joe Vaughn, the owner has applied for a floodplain permit to construct a swimming pool in the back yard of this property. During processing of the permit application, it was discovered that two other improvements have been made to this property that are required to have a floodplain permit. Consequently, an earth berm and a wood fence are included in this application. The existing chain-link fence is believed to have been constructed prior to the effective date of the current ordinance; therefore, it is not address herein.

Pool

The swimming pool details are shown in an exhibit provided by Spartan Pool and Patio. The pool will be surrounded by a concrete deck. The total area covered by the pool and deck is approximately 25 feet by 40 feet for a total area of 1000 square feet. This improvement is located in the finge area of the floodplain but not in the floodway. The impacting stream is Imhof Creek, which flows southward, and is partially contained in a concrete lined channel at this location. The construction of the pool will occupy some of the volume currently available for floodwater storage; therefore compensatory storage will be required. The average depth of the loss in floodplain storage is 0.6 feet; therefore, the volume of compensatory storage is 600 cubic feet or 22 cubic yards. As discussed below, one cubic yard of compensatory storage is required for an earthen berm that is located partially in the fringe area of the floodplain; therefore, the quantity of soil to be removed for compensatory storage is 23 cubic yards. This soil is intended to be removed from the south-east corner of the portion of the yard enclosed by the chain-link fence. This area is withing the floodplain but outside the floodway. The soil will be removed as shallow in depth and covering a larger area, and this area will be graded to drain to prevent ponding of water. The disturbed area will be planted in grass to avoid erosion problems.

Earthen berm

The owner stated that he constructed an earthen berm that existing is the front side yard on the east side of his lot as part of a landscaping project. He did not know that regulations pertain to any work performed in a floodplain and he did not know the precise location of the floodplain. Actually, nearly all of this berm is located just outside the floodplain, but the extreme south end of this berm is barely within the floodplain. Removing one cubic yard of soil from the floodplain in a different area of this property will be more than enough to compensate for the flood storage that was lost due to the construction of this berm. Removing additional compensatory soil is recommended as discussed above.

Wood Fence

The owner has constructed a wood fence running east-west along the southern part of his lot. Again, the owner was not aware of the need for a floodplain permit for this improvement; therefore, this item is being included in this permit. Most of the length of this fence is located within the floodplain, but a

little of the west end is out of the floodplain. At the east end, the existing ground is about 2.5 feet below the BFE elevation of 1145.8 feet. Incidentally the BFE at Cruce Street is 1146.1 feet NGVD according to the stream profile contained in the FEMA FIS.

Although this fence is not located in the floodway; there is a floodway present nearby. The floodplain regulations do not allow any increase in the elevation of the floodway and only 0.05 feet (about $\frac{1}{2}$ inch) in a floodplain. Any increase in a floodplain adjacent to a floodway is certainly going to increase the elevation of the floodway also. Therefore, it is concluded that this fence should be modifed so that it does not cause a measurable increase in the floodwater elevation (BFE).

This fence is constructed of wood posts set into the ground and horizontal planks placed between the posts. This fence appears to be rather new and in excellent condition. At the site, a person who seems to be familiar with the property stated that the purpose of this fence is to provide privacy screening for the area of the swimming pool. The fence appears in photographs that are submitted with this elevation.

A reasonable solution for the situation with this fence seems to be to remove one or two lower boards along part of the fence and to create hinged swing-out panels on the downstream side of the posts for the portions of the fence where the existing ground is farther below the BFE. A practical approach to this problem is to mark the existing post at the east end of the fence at a point located 2.5 feet higher than the existing ground level. Then run a level line westward along this fence until the level line intersects the ground. The portion of the horizontal fence boards located completely or partially below the level line would be removed or replaced with hinged swing-out panels. Swing-out panels would be supported by two or more hinges located at the top of the panel and the bottom of the panel would not be secured so that the flow of floodwaters would cause these panels to swing outward (toward the down stream side) to allow the floodwaters to flow onward. The wooded posts would remain.

Hopefully, the Committee can approve this arrangement and thus allow the owner to keep the wooden fence. Thus far, the owner has been very agreeable about complying with floodplain regulations.

CONSTRUCTION METHODS AND CLEANUP

Spartan Pool and Patio is the contractor for the pool construction, but possibly not for any other part of the work to be performed. The owner will be the main contractor for any part of this work not delegated to Spartan. Any sub-contractors employed must be informed regarding the protection of the stream and floodway, particularly in regard to the discard of materials, including any soil removed.

All soils removed from the site, such excavation for the pool cannot be placed in a floodplain. Not on this site or in any other floodplain in the City of Norman. It is recommended that a contractor contact the City's staff to get approval of any disposal site being considered for soil in the City of Norman. Other construction materials must be delivered to an approved disposal facility.

Any questions regarding the protection of this floodplain or compliance with the approved floodplain permit may be directed to the City's Floodplain Manager or this engineer.

A building permit will be required for the swimming pool prior to beginning construction.



1024 Cruce St.

Floodway

30



The City of Norman assumes no

responsibility for errors or omissions



1 inch = 192 feet

Interactive Map







Item 3.







City of Norman WebMap






EARL GARY KEEN, PE PO BOX 891200, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73189 (405) 823-8240

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I, Earl Gary Keen, a professional engineer licensed in the State of Oklahoma, hereby state that I am familiar with the floodplain permit application being made by Joe Vaughn for property located at 1024 Cruce St, Norman, OK. Further, it is my professional opinion that when this construction work is completed in full compliance with the details contained in said application, said construction work will not result in any increase in the base floodwater elevations of Imhoff Creek or any other point in the community.

06-18-202 Seal Signature Date REGIST AHO

Item 4.

ITEM: Floodplain Permit application for proposed development of a sports complex, barn and residential structure on the east side of 60th Ave. NW, south of Indian Hills Rd.

BACKGROUND: APPLICANT: Willy DeLeon ENGINEER: Gary Keen, P.E.

This project is located on a 40 acre tract that the owner, upon approval of necessary permits, is wanting to subdivide into two 20 acre lots. The eastern most lot is for a proposed sports complex consisting of four standard soccer fields and one minor league field, a club house, parking lot, maintenance barn and fire protection water storage tank. The western lot would include the residential structure and shop building. In addition, drainage improvements, driveways, parking lots and other accessory structures are being proposed. The full engineer's report is attached to this application detailing the specific design and calculations for the proposed project. If this application is approved by the committee, the applicant will be required to go through the Norman Rural Certificate of Survey process to subdivide the property.

STAFF ANALYSIS:

Site located in Little River Basin or Tributaries? yes no√

According to the latest DFIRM, portions of this project are located within the Ten Mile Flat Creek floodplain (Zone AE).

Applicable Ordinance Sections:

plicable Ordinance Sections:	Subject Area:
36-533 (e)(2)(a)	Fill restrictions in the floodplain
(e)(2)(e)	Compensatory storage
(e)(3)(a)(1)	Residential freeboard
(e)(3)(c)	Nonresidential freeboard
(f)(3)(8)	No rise considerations

(e)(2)(a) and (e)(2)(e) Fill Restrictions in the Floodplain and Compensatory Storage – The use of fill is restricted in the floodplain unless compensatory storage is provided.

The project engineer has indicated in the Engineer's Report, that all fill volumes will be taken from borrow areas within the adjacent areas of the floodplain as indicated in the attached plans. As indicated in the calculations, there will be significantly more borrow than fill in the floodplain, so a net increase in storage capacity will be achieved.

(e)(3)(a)(1) Residential Freeboard - Residential structures, including both site-built and manufactured homes, shall be constructed on fill so that the lowest floor including basement, ductwork, mechanical and electrical equipment including furnaces, water heaters, and air conditioners, etc. is at least two (2) feet above the base flood elevation. The fill shall be at a level no lower than one (1) foot above the base flood elevation for the particular area and shall extend at such elevation at least fifteen feet (15') beyond the limits of any structure or building erected including any attendant utility and sanitary facilities.

The project engineer and the plans indicate that all structures will be built at an elevation that is two feet above the BFE at each location.

(e)(3)(c) Nonresidential Freeboard - Nonresidential construction. New construction and substantial improvement of any commercial, industrial or other nonresidential structures shall be

constructed on fill as in subsection (e)(3)a of this section, including any attendant utility and sanitary facilities, shall be designed so that the lowest floor including basement, ductwork, mechanical and electrical equipment including furnaces, water heaters, and air conditioners ect. is elevated at least two feet above base flood elevation and the fill shall be at a level no lower than one foot above the base flood elevation for the particular area and shall extend at such elevation at least 15 feet beyond the limits of any structure or building erected thereon. A registered professional engineer shall submit a certification to the Director of Public Works that the standards of this chapter, as proposed in subsection (e)(1) and (2) of this section, are satisfied.

The project engineer and the plans indicate that all structures will be built at an elevation that is two feet above the BFE at each location.

(f)(3)(8) No Rise Considerations – For proposed development within any flood hazard area (except for those designated as regulatory floodways), certification that a rise of no more than 0.05 ft. will occur in the BFE on any adjacent property as a result of the proposed work is required.

The project engineer has indicated that a rise of no more than 0.05' on any adjacent property is expected as a result of this project and submitted a signed and seal No-Rise Certification Letter.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends Floodplain Permit Application #695 be approved with the following conditions:

- 1. Elevation Certificates provided for all structures prior to final acceptance. Additionally, elevation of concrete pads for structures should be submitted to and confirmed by City Staff prior to vertical construction.
- 2. As-built surveys should be completed on compensatory storage areas prior to final acceptance.

ACTION TAKEN:



City of Norman

Floodplain	Permit	No.	695

Floodplain Permit Application

Building Permit No.	
---------------------	--

Date 7/15/2024

FLOODPLAIN PERMIT APPLICATION (\$100.00 Application Fee Required)

SECTION 1: GENERAL PROVISIONS (APPLICANT to read and sign):

1. No work may start until a permit is issued.

- 2. The permit may be revoked if any false statements are made herein.
- 3. If revoked, all work must cease until permit is re-issued.
- 4. Development shall not be used or occupied until a Certificate of Occupancy is issued.
- 5. The permit will expire if no work is commenced within 2 years of issuance.
- 6. Applicant is hereby informed that other permits may be required to fulfill local, state and federal regulatory requirements and must be included with this floodplain permit application.
- Applicant hereby gives consent to the City of Norman or his/her representative to access the property to make reasonable inspections required to verify compliance.
- 8. The following floodplain modifications require approval by the City Council:
 - (a) A modification of the floodplain that results in a change of ten percent (10%) or more in the width of the floodplain.
 - (b) The construction of a pond with a water surface area of 5 acres or more.
 - (c) Any modifications of the stream banks or flow line within the area that would be regulatory floodway whether or not that channel has a regulatory floodplain, unless the work is being done by the City of Norman staff as part of a routine maintenance activity.

9. All supporting documentation required by this application is required along with the permit fee by the submittal deadline. Late or incomplete applications will not be accepted.

10. I, THE APPLICANT, CERTIFY THAT ALL STATEMENTS HEREIN AND IN ATTACHMENTS TO THIS APPLICATION ARE, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, TRUE AND ACCURATE.

	SECTION 2: PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT (To be completed by APPLICANT.)
\prec	APPLICANT: Willy Relean ADDRESS: 1253 N Ann Arbor 73127 TELEPHONE: 1445 625-1520 SIGNATURE: Willy Roter
7	BUILDER: Willy DeLeon Address: 1253 N Ann Alber 73127 TELEPHONE: (405) 625-1520 SIGNATURE: Willy De Loon
	ENGINEER: EARL GARY KEEN ADDRESS: P.O. BOX SUZOO, OKC, OK73189 TELEPHONE: 405-823-8240 SIGNATURE: EAUL HOLL KOU

PROJECT LOCATION

To avoid delay in processing the application, please provide enough information to easily identify the project location. Provide the street address, subdivision addition, lot number or legal description (attach) and, outside urban areas, the distance to the nearest intersecting road or well known landmark. A sketch attached to this application showing the project location would be helpful.

NO ADDRESS ASSIGNED YET. CLEVELAND COUNTY DOCUMENTS SHOWING LEGAL DESCRIPTION ARE ATTACHED, PROPER-

TY IS LOCATED AT THE CORNER OF 60TH AVE. NW AND INDIAN HILLS ROAD. PROPERTY IS 40 ACRES; L-SHAPED TO FRONT ON BOTH

INDIAN HILLS AND 60TH NW. NE CORNER OF PROPERTY IS APPROX 800 FEET SOUTH OF THE INTERSECTION OF INDIAN HILLS

AND 60TH AVE. NW.

DESCRIPTION OF WORK (Check all applicable boxes): A. STRUCTURAL DEVELOPMENT

ACTIVITY	STRUCTURE TYPE CONSTRUCTING CLUB HOUSE, MAINT. BARN ON TRACT 1.
☑ New Structure	CONSTRUCTING A RESIDENCE AND SHOP BUILDING ON Residential (1-4 Family) TRACT 2. BOTH TRACTS REQUIRE WATER WELLS AND IN- DIVIDUAL WASTE WATER DISPOSAL SYSTEMS
□ Addition	Residential (More than 4 Family)
□ Alteration	□ Non-Residential (Flood proofing? □ Yes)
□ Relocation	Combined Use (Residential & Commercial)
Demolition	Manufactured (Mobile) Home
Replacement	□ In Manufactured Home Park? □ Yes

ESTIMATED COST OF PROJECT \$______ Work that involves substantial damage/substantial improvement requires detailed cost estimates and an appraisal of the structure that is being improved.

B. OTHER DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES:

☑ Fill ☐ Mining ☐ Drilling ☐ Grading

Excavation (Beyond the minimum for Structural Development)

□ Watercourse Alteration (Including Dredging and Channel Modifications)

Drainage Improvements (Including Culvert Work) D Road, Street or Bridge Construction

□ Subdivision (New or Expansion) □ Individual Water or Sewer System

In addition to items A, and B, provide a complete and detailed description of proposed work (failure to provide this item will be cause for the application to be rejected by staff). Attach additional sheets if necessary.

PROPOSED TO CONSTRUCT SOCCER FIELD COMPLEX ON 20 ACRES OF HE 40 ACRES; THIS MARKED AS TRACT 1. PLAN TO

CONSTRUCT RESIDENCE AND SHOP BUILDING ON TRACT 2 AND CONTINUE AGRICULTURAL USE OF THIS 20 ACRES.

ON TRACT 1, CONST. CLUBHOUSE, 5 SOCCER FIELDS, MAINT. BUILDING AND ACCESSORIES.

C. ATTACHMENTS WHICH ARE REQUIRED WITH EVERY APPLICATION:

The applicant must submit the documents listed below before the application can be processed. If the requested document is not relevant to the project scope, please check the Not Applicable box and provide explanation.

- A. Plans drawn to scale showing the nature, location, dimensions, and elevation of the lot, existing or proposed structures, fill, storage of materials, flood proofing measures, and the relationship of the above to the location of the channel, floodway, and the regulatory flood-protection elevation. SITE PLANS SHOWING LOCATION, PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS, ELEVATIONS, ETC. ARE PROVIDED IN THE ATTACHMENTS.
- B. A typical valley cross-section showing the channel of the stream, elevation of land areas adjoining each side of the channel, cross-sectional areas to be occupied by the proposed development, and high-water information.
 - Not Applicable: DUE TO THE EXTREMELY WIDE FLOODPLAIN, A STREAM PROFILE HAS NOT BEEN REQUIRED IN THE 10-MILE FLAT AREA.
- C. Subdivision or other development plans (If the subdivision or other developments exceeds 50 lots or 5 acres, whichever is the lesser, the applicant <u>must</u> provide 100-year flood elevations if they are not otherwise available).
 - Not Applicable: FLOOD ELEVATIONS ARE AVAILABLE FROM FEMA. BFE INFO IS SHOWN AND USED IN DESIGN. LESS THAN 50 ACRES.
- D. Plans (surface view) showing elevations or contours of the ground; pertinent structure, fill, or storage elevations; size, location, and spatial arrangement of all proposed and existing structures on the site; location and elevations of streets, water supply, sanitary facilities; photographs showing existing land uses and vegetation upstream and downstream, soil types and other pertinent information.
 - Not Applicable: APPLICABLE DETAILED PLANS ARE INCLUDED.
- E. A profile showing the slope of the bottom of the channel or flow line of the stream.
 - Not Applicable: A STREAM PROFILE FOR 10-MILE FLAT CREEK, THE IMPACTING STREAM IS AVAILABLE IN THE FEMA FIS AND A COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS INCLUDED IN THE EXHIBITS.
- F. Elevation (in relation to mean sea level) of the lowest floor (including basement) of all new and substantially improved structures.
 - Not Applicable: THE PROPOSED MINIMUM FINISHED FLOOR IS SHOWN FOR ALL BUILDING PROPOSED FOR THIS PROPERTY. THE FINISHED FLOORS ARE PROPOSED TO BE A MINIMUM OF 2.0 FEET HIGHER THAN THE BFE, AS SHOWN BY FEMA.
- G. Description of the extent to which any watercourse or natural drainage will be altered or relocated as a result of proposed development.
 - Not Applicable:

THE ACTUAL WATERCOURSE WILL NOT BE ALTERED IN ANY WAY. THE FLOODPLAIN WILL BE IMPACTED BY

PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF BUILDING PADS, CULVERTS, DRIVEWAYS, DRAINAGE DITCHES, ETC. HOWEVER, THE IMPACT ON THE FLOODPLAIN BFE WILL BE NEGLIGBLE.

- H. For proposed development within any flood hazard area (except for those areas designated as regulatory floodways), certification that a rise of no more than five hundredths of a foot (0.05') will occur on any adjacent property in the base flood elevation as a result of the proposed work. For proposed development within a designated regulatory floodway, certification of no increase in flood levels within the community during the occurrence of the base flood discharge as a result of the proposed work. All certifications shall be signed and sealed by a Registered Professional Engineer licensed to practice in the State of Oklahoma. AN ENGINEER'S CERTIFICATION IS ATTACHED IN THE EXHIBITS, AS REQUIRED.
- A certified list of names and addresses of all record property owners within a three hundred fifty (350) foot radius of the exterior boundary of the subject property not to exceed 100 feet laterally from the Special Flood Hazard Area. The radius to be extended by increments of one hundred (100) linear feet until the list of property owners includes not less than fifteen (15) individual property owners of separate parcels or until a maximum radius of one thousand (1,000) feet has been reached. A RADIUS MAP OF PROPETY OWNERS AND A LIST
 - OF PROPERTY OWNERS WITH MAILING ADDRESSES IS PROVIDED.
- J. A copy of all other applicable local, state, and federal permits (i.e. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 404 permit, etc). STATE PERMITS WILL BE REQUIRED FOR WATER WELL AND INDIVIDUAL WASTE WATER SYSTEM AT A LATER DATE. CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER DISCHARGE PERMITS WILL BE REQ'D LATER. US ARMY COE PERMITS ARE NOT REQUIRED FOR THIS WORK After completing SECTION 2, APPLICANT should submit form to Permit Staff for review.

SECTION 3: FLOODPLAIN DETERMINATION (To be completed by Permit Staff.)

The proposed development is located on FIRM Panel No.: 01703, Dated: 1/15/2021

The Proposed Development:

□ Is NOT located in a Special Flood Hazard Area (Notify the applicant that the application review is complete and NO FLOODPLAIN PERMIT IS REQUIRED).

Is located in a Special Flood Hazard Area.

□ The proposed development is located in a floodway.

2 100-Year flood elevation at the site is ~ 1145 Ft. NGVD (MSL) Unavailable

See Section 4 for additional instructions.

DATE: 7/10/24 SIGNED:

Item 4.

SECTION 4: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIRED (To be completed by Permit Staff.)

The applicant must also submit the documents checked below before the application can be processed.

- Flood proofing protection level (non-residential only) _____ Ft. NGVD (MSL). For flood proofed structures applicant must attach certification from registered engineer.
- Certification from a registered engineer that the proposed activity in a regulatory floodway will not result in any increase in the height of the 100-year flood (Base Flood Elevation). A copy of all data and calculations supporting this finding must also be submitted.
- Certification from a registered engineer that the proposed activity in a regulatory flood plain will result in an increase of no more than 0.05 feet in the height of the 100-year flood (Base Flood Elevation). A copy of all data and calculations supporting this finding must also be submitted.
- All other applicable federal, state, and local permits have been obtained.

Other: _____

SECTION 5: PERMIT DETERMINATION (To be completed by Floodplain Chairman.)

The proposed activity: (A) I Is; (B) I Is Not in conformance with provisions of Norman's City Code Chapter 22, Section 429.1. The permit is issued subject to the conditions attached to and made part of this permit.

SIGNED: _____ DATE: _____

□ Yes □ No

If BOX A is checked, the Floodplain committee chairman may issue a Floodplain Permit.

If BOX B is checked, the Floodplain committee chairman will provide a written summary of deficiencies. Applicant may revise and resubmit an application to the Floodplain committee or may request a hearing from the Board of Adjustment.

APPEALS: Appealed to Board of Adjustment: □Yes □No Hearing date: _____

Board of Adjustment Decision - Approved:

Conditions:

EARL GARY KEEN, PE PO BOX 891200, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73189 (405) 823-8240 <u>ENGINEERING REPORT (Revision 1)</u> 40 ACRE TRACT LOCATED VIC. 60TH NW AND INDIAN HILLS ROAD <u>OWNER: WILLY DELONG</u> <u>RE. FLOOD PLAIN PERMIT APPLICATION</u>

Introduction

This report is in regard to the proposed development of a sports complex (soccer field) on the east 20 acres of the subject tract and the construction a residence and barn on the west (or north-west) tract. The east potion of the tract fronts on 60th Avenue NW and a driveway is proposed to be constructed to provide access to the proposed soccer field, club house, etc.

The west 20 acres of the tract fronts on Indian Hills Road and the owner intends to construct a driveway on Indian Hills Road to serve the residence, barn and the farming operation, which will be continued.

As shown by the attached plans, the total tract is 40 acres at present. The owner plans to seek approval to divide the property into two 20 acre tracts at a future time. He has been advised by City staff members that it would be appropriate to seek approval of the necessary floodplain permits prior to commencing the property division process.

The 40 acre tract is currently zoned agricultural and it is located in the area known as the 10-mile flat area. The majority of the area of this tract is located in the designated floodplain but not in any designated floodway. A part of the tract located immediately west of 60th NW Avenue is slightly above the BFE, and adjacent area where a club house building is proposed is in the fringe area of the floodplain, where the BFE is slightly higher than the existing ground. A barn is proposed on the west end of this 20 acre area, where the flood water depth will be greater. All building structures will be constructed on elevated areas. It will be necessary to place fill to construct a pad in order to place the finished floor at an elevation a minimum of two feet above the BFE. Elevation requirements and volume of filled pad areas are summarized in a later section of this report.

The soccer field development will include four standard playing fields and one minor league field. These fields are located in an area where the BFE ranges from zero to 2.4 feet above the existing ground level in the field areas. The proposal is specifically made with the condition that no fill dirt will be placed in the area of the playing fields (nor in any other area, except as set out herein for building pads, etc.). Instead, approval is requested to perform grading to smooth the playing field. Soil will not be moved from one playing field to another and a playing field will not be leveled. The intent is to level the fields by using a tool such as a tractor and box blade to cut the high spots (bumps and mole hills) and to fill the adjacent low spots. Unless this area is smoothed, the playing field will be difficult to run on and may contribute to accidents involving falls with the possibility of injuries.

Drainage Improvements

Leveling the fields will also contribute to drainage and drying of the field after periods of rainfall. In addition, certain drainage improvements are proposed. An earthen drainage ditch is proposed along the north line of the property to facilitate drainage to Ten Mile Flat Creek, which exists near the west edge

of the DeLeon property. Also, an earthen drainage ditch is proposed along the south edge of this property to also promote drainage to the Creek. Shallow swale, not deeper than 0.5 feet are proposed between the soccer fields to promote drainage to the ditches. Soil excavated during the construction of the swales and ditches will either be used as fill material for building pads or removed from the site. This soil will not be placed in the floodplain on this property. Material transported off this property for disposal must be taken to a disposal site acceptable to the City[s Floodplain Manager.

Utilities

Commercial utilities such as electrical service and natural gas will be required. These will be provided by the utility companies and will be either overhead or underground as is standard proactice. Any utilities provided by the owner or his contractors must be placed to comply with floodplain requirements, which requires all electrical items and the heat and air systems be located above the BFE.

Fire Protection Water

The owner is aware that City codes might require fire protection systems for the proposed club house. Accordingly, a water storage tank or approximately 10,000 gallons and one connected fire hydrant is included in this application. The owner does not intent to provide this item unless it is required by codes. Due to the high expense of this item, the owner is hopeful that this item is not required, but it is included, just in case.

Lighting and lawn irrigation systems

The proposal includes constructing lighting on and around the playing fields to permit activities during the night time. These lighting facilities will be either overhead or underground, except for the poles. These items will have a negligible impact on the floodplain. Sprinkler systems will be placed underground and will be installed by trenching and back-filling existing ground, and this impact will be negligible also.

Driveways

Driveways will be constructed to provide vehicular connections to both 60th NW Avenue and Indian Hills Road. These driveways will be constructed according to the City's specifications and pursuant to appropriate permits. Any fill material needed to be placed in the floodplain for this work will be obtained from one of the designated borrow areas. Any material removed from the floodplain during this work will be either used as fill for building pads or removed from the site.

Parking Lots

Parking lots appropriate to provide the required parking for the club house, etc.will be provided. The parking lots will be constructed by removing soil before placing the concrete paving so that the top of the concrete paving will be no higher than the original ground. Constructed in this way will prevent the paving from having a significant impact on the floodplain. Soil removed during parking lot construction will be transported from the site for disposal.

Club house-fill and elevation requirements

Existing ground elevation: 1145.0'BFE: 1144.8' (existing ground is 0.2' above BFE) Minimum FF: 1146.8'Fill depth: 1.8'Fill depth within the floodplain: 0.0'Area of club house: $40' \ge 75' = 3000$ sf Area of pad: $90 \ge 125 = 11,250$ sf Volume of pad: 750 cubic yards Source of fill material: From borrow area

Maintenance barn-fill and elevation requirements

Existing ground elevation: 1143.5' BFE: 1144.8' (existing ground is 1.3' below BFE) Minimum FF: 1146.8' Fill depth: 3.3' Fill depth within the floodplain: 1.3' Area of barn: $50' \ge 4000$ sf Area of pad: $100 \ge 13,000$ sf Volume of pad: 1589 cubic yards (volume of total pad) Volume of pad below BFE = 626 cubic yards (volume placed in floodplain below BFE) Source of fill material: From borrow area

Residence on west tract ---fill and elevation requirements

Existing ground elevation: 1144.5' BFE: 1145.5' Minimum FF: 1147.5' Total fill depth: 3.0' Fill depth within the floodplain: 1.0' Area of house: $50' \times 80' = 4000$ sf Area of pad: $100 \times 130 = 13,000$ sf Volume of pad: 1445 cubic yards Source of fill material: From borrow area

Shop building on west tract —fill and elevation requirements

Existing ground elevation: 1144.5' BFE: 1145.5' Minimum FF: 1147.5' Fill depth: 3.0' Fill depth within the floodplain: 1.0' Area of building: 50' x 80' = 4000 sf Area of pad: 100 x 130 = 13,000 sf Volume of pad: 1445 cubic yards Source of fill material: From borrow area Fire protection water storage tank —fill and elevation requirements

Existing ground elevation: 1145.0' BFE: 1144.8' (existing ground is 0.20' above BFE) Minimum FF (pad elevation): 1146.8' Total fill depth: 1.8' Fill depth below BFE: none Area of pad for water tank: 40' x 40' = 1,600 sf Volume of pad: 60 cubic yards Source of fill material: From borrow area

As shown by comparing the total fill depth to the fill depths within the floodplain for the building pads, only a fraction of the fill being placed is actually in the floodplain.

A number of exhibits are attached herein to show additional details regarding this application, as is the engineer's certification statement.

Many thanks to the Staff and Committee Members for taking the time to review this complex application.

July 09, 2024 Earl Gary



EARL GARY KEEN, PE PO BOX 891200, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73189 (405) 823-8240

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I, Earl Gary Keen, a professional engineer licensed in the State of Oklahoma, hereby state that I am familiar with the floodplain permit application being made by Willy DeLeon for property located at 60th Ave. NW and Indian Hills Road, Norman, OK. Further, it is my professional opinion that when this construction work is completed in full compliance with the details contained in said application, said construction work will not result in any increase in the base floodwater elevations greater than 0.05 feet at any other point in the community

<u>0-18-24</u> Date yper Signature Seal OK-PE 11438 OFESS, EARL GARY KEEN 11438 TLAHOMP 2000 Martin



SHEET 1 OF 4





BORROW AREA 1=12,203 S.F., avg. depth=1.5' BORROW AREA 2, SOUTH DRAINAGE **BORROW AREA 3, NORTH DRAINAGE** BORROW AREA 4, 3,500 S.F. HOUSE &

NOTE: Fill/Borrow volumes are approx. until







KEEN ENGINEERING
P.O. BOX 892100
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73189
(405) 823-8240
CA 4367, EXP. 06-30-2025

SHEET 4 O 55

ACCESS DRIVE PROFILE DEVELOPER: Willy DeLeon 06/14/2024

INDIAN HILL SPORTS COMPLEX SITE PLAN





National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend



57



Basemap Imagery Source: USGS National Map 2023







responsibility for errors or omissions

1 inch = 961 feet

STAFF REPORT

PERMIT NO. 696

ITEM: This Floodplain Permit Application is for the replacement of a bridge over Rock Creek on 60th Ave. NE between Tecumseh and Rock Creek roads.

BACKGROUND:

APPLICANT: City of Norman, Streets and Engineering Divisions BUILDER: K&R Builders Inc. ENGINEER: Garver

The applicant is requesting a floodplain permit to replace the existing, failed bridge over Rock Creek on 60th Ave. NE between Tecumseh and Rock Creek Roads. The existing bridge was constructed in 1940. On December 1, 2022, this bridge was closed following receipt of an October 2022 Inspection Report listing the bridge as structurally deficient due to a condition rating of "Poor (4)" given to the superstructure and substructure of the bridge. Additional information related to this rating and subsequent road closure can be found in the Preliminary Engineering Report submitted with this application. According to the applicant, construction activities include the demolition of the existing bridge and construction of a new single span prestressed concrete bridge and relocation of an existing City of Norman waterline. The channel flowlines and banks will not be altered at the site beyond what is required to excavate and construct the new bridge abutments and placement of riprap on the slopes in front of the bridge. These construction activities do not fall below the ordinary high water mark that was determined during final design of the project. According to the hydraulic summary in the engineering report for Prestressed Concrete Beam bridge, the existing 100 year water surface elevation (WSEL) is 1071.55. Proposed conditions would lower the 100 year WSEL to 1070.34. No Individual Permit from the US Army Corps of Engineers is required for this project.

STAFF ANALYSIS:

Site located in Little River Basin or its Tributaries? Yes ✓ no

According to the latest FIRM, the site of the proposed work is located in the Rock Creek floodplain (Zone A). At the proposed site, the BFE is approximately 1171.55. Proposed work would lower the BFE to approximately 1170.34 according to the hydraulic analysis provided by the engineer.

Applicable	Ordinance Sections:	Subject Area:
36-533	(e)2(a)	Fill restrictions
	(e)2(e)	Compensatory storage
(e)2(j) (e)2(k) (f)3(a)(8)	Utilities constructed to minimize flood damage	
	In/exfiltration of flood waters in utility systems	
	No rise considerations	

(e)2(a) and (e)2(e) Fill Restrictions in the Floodplain and Compensatory Storage – Fill is restricted because storage capacity is removed from floodplains, natural drainage patterns are adversely altered, and erosion problems can develop. Compensatory storage must be provided within the general location of any storage that is displaced by fill or other development activity and must serve the equivalent hydrologic function as the portion which is displaced with respect to the area and elevation of the floodplain.

According to plans submitted by the engineer, cut quantities exceed fill quantities in all areas below the 100 year WSEL, meeting this ordinance requirement. Cumulative cut = 86.39 CY and Cumulative Fill = 83.24 CY creating a net increase of 3.15 CY of storage in the floodplain.

(e)2(j) and (e)2(j) Utilites Constructed to Minimize Flood Damage and In/exfiltration of Flood Waters in Utility Systems - All new construction or substantial improvements shall be constructed with electrical, heating, ventilation, plumbing, and air conditioning equipment and other service facilities that are designed and/or located so as to prevent water from entering or accumulating

within the components during conditions of flooding. All public utilities and facilities shall be constructed to minimize flood damage. Additionally, all new and replacement water supply systems shall be designed to minimize or eliminate infiltration of flood waters into the system.

The waterline pipe joints have gaskets making the system watertight, and the entire system is leak tested prior to going into service.

(f)3(a)(8) No Rise Considerations – For proposed development within any flood hazard area (except forthose designated as regulatory floodways), certification that a rise of no more than 0.05 ft. will occur in the BFE on any adjacent property as a result of the proposed work is required. For proposed development within a designated regulatory floodway, certification that no increase in the BFE on any adjacent property as a result of the proposed work is required.

The project engineer has certified that there will be no increase in the 100-year water surface elevation as a result of the improvements in the floodplain satisfying this requirement.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends Floodplain Permit Application #696 be approved.

ACTION TAKEN: _____



City of Norman

Floodplain Permit No. 696

Floodplain Permit Application

	U			
Date _	71	15	12024	

Building Permit No.

FLOODPLAIN PERMIT APPLICATION

(\$100.00 Application Fee Required)

SECTION 1: GENERAL PROVISIONS (APPLICANT to read and sign):

- 1. No work may start until a permit is issued.
- 2. The permit may be revoked if any false statements are made herein.
- 3. If revoked, all work must cease until permit is re-issued.
- 4. Development shall not be used or occupied until a Certificate of Occupancy is issued.
- 5. The permit will expire if no work is commenced within 2 years of issuance.
- 6. Applicant is hereby informed that other permits may be required to fulfill local, state and federal regulatory requirements and must be included with this floodplain permit application.
- 7. Applicant hereby gives consent to the City of Norman or his/her representative to access the property to make reasonable inspections required to verify compliance.
- 8. The following floodplain modifications require approval by the City Council:
 - (a) A modification of the floodplain that results in a change of ten percent (10%) or more in the width of the floodplain.
 - (b) The construction of a pond with a water surface area of 5 acres or more.
 - (c) Any modifications of the stream banks or flow line within the area that would be regulatory floodway whether or not that channel has a regulatory floodplain, unless the work is being done by the City of Norman staff as part of a routine maintenance activity.

9. All supporting documentation required by this application is required along with the permit fee by the submittal deadline. Late or incomplete applications will not be accepted.

10. I, THE APPLICANT, CERTIFY THAT ALL STATEMENTS HEREIN AND IN ATTACHMENTS TO THIS APPLICATION ARE, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, TRUE AND ACCURATE.

SECTION 2: PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT (To be completed by APPLICANT.)

APPLICANT: City of Norman	ADDRESS: 225 N. Webster Ave, Norman, OK 73069		
TELEPHONE: 405-366-5459	SIGNATURE: Brandon Brooks		
BUILDER: K&R Builders INC	ADDRESS: 48020 SW 74th, Oklahoma City, OK 73169		
TELEPHONE:	SIGNATURE:		
ENGINEER: Garver	ADDRESS: 226 W Gray St, Suite 103, Norman, OK 73069		
TELEPHONE:	SIGNATURE:		

PROJECT LOCATION

To avoid delay in processing the application, please provide enough information to easily identify the project location. Provide the street address, subdivision addition, lot number or legal description (attach) and, outside urban areas, the distance to the nearest intersecting road or well known landmark. A sketch attached to this application showing the project location would be helpful.

This application is for the replacement of the 60th Ave NE Bridge located over Rock Creek. Construction activities include demolition of the existing bridge and construction of a new single span prestressed concrete bridge and relocation

of an existing City of Norman waterline. The channel flowlines and banks will not be altered at the site beyond what is required to excavate and construct the new bridge abutments and placement of riprap on the slopes in front of the bridge. These

construction activities do not fall below the ordinary high water mark that was determined during final design of the project.

DESCRIPTION OF WORK (Check all applicable boxes): A. STRUCTURAL DEVELOPMENT

<u>ACTIVITY</u> <u>STRUCTURE TYPE</u>

□ New Structure	□ Residential (1-4 Family)
□ Addition	□ Residential (More than 4 Family)
□ Alteration	□ Non-Residential (Flood proofing? □ Yes)
□ Relocation	Combined Use (Residential & Commercial)
Demolition	□ Manufactured (Mobile) Home
☑ Replacement	□ In Manufactured Home Park? □ Yes

ESTIMATED COST OF PROJECT \$______ Work that involves substantial damage/substantial improvement requires detailed cost estimates and an appraisal of the structure that is being improved.

B. OTHER DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES:

- ☑ Fill □ Mining ☑ Drilling ☑ Grading
- Excavation (Beyond the minimum for Structural Development)
- □ Watercourse Alteration (Including Dredging and Channel Modifications)
- Drainage Improvements (Including Culvert Work) DRoad, Street or Bridge Construction
- □ Subdivision (New or Expansion) □ Individual Water or Sewer System

In addition to items A. and B. provide a complete and detailed description of proposed work (failure to provide this item will be cause for the application to be rejected by staff). Attach additional sheets if necessary.

Plans and Specifications detailing the work involved are attached.

No rise certification attached

C. ATTACHMENTS WHICH ARE REQUIRED WITH EVERY APPLICATION:

The applicant must submit the documents listed below before the application can be processed. If the requested document is not relevant to the project scope, please check the Not Applicable box and provide explanation.

- A. Plans drawn to scale showing the nature, location, dimensions, and elevation of the lot, existing or proposed structures, fill, storage of materials, flood proofing measures, and the relationship of the above to the location of the channel, floodway, and the regulatory flood-protection elevation.
- B. A typical valley cross-section showing the channel of the stream, elevation of land areas adjoining each side of the channel, cross-sectional areas to be occupied by the proposed development, and high-water information.
 - ☑ Not Applicable:
- C. Subdivision or other development plans (If the subdivision or other developments exceeds 50 lots or 5 acres, whichever is the lesser, the applicant <u>must</u> provide 100-year flood elevations if they are not otherwise available).

☑ Not Applicable:

D. Plans (surface view) showing elevations or contours of the ground; pertinent structure, fill, or storage elevations; size, location, and spatial arrangement of all proposed and existing structures on the site; location and elevations of streets, water supply, sanitary facilities; photographs showing existing land uses and vegetation upstream and downstream, soil types and other pertinent information.

D Not Applicable:

E. A profile showing the slope of the bottom of the channel or flow line of the stream.

☑ Not Applicable:

F. Elevation (in relation to mean sea level) of the lowest floor (including basement) of all new and substantially improved structures.

□ Not Applicable:

G. Description of the extent to which any watercourse or natural drainage will be altered or relocated as a result of proposed development.

☑ Not Applicable:

- H. For proposed development within any flood hazard area (except for those areas designated as regulatory floodways), certification that a rise of no more than five hundredths of a foot (0.05') will occur on any adjacent property in the base flood elevation as a result of the proposed work. For proposed development within a designated regulatory floodway, certification of no increase in flood levels within the community during the occurrence of the base flood discharge as a result of the proposed work. All certifications shall be signed and sealed by a Registered Professional Engineer licensed to practice in the State of Oklahoma.
- I. A certified list of names and addresses of all record property owners within a three hundred fifty (350) foot radius of the exterior boundary of the subject property not to exceed 100 feet laterally from the Special Flood Hazard Area. The radius to be extended by increments of one hundred (100) linear feet until the list of property owners includes not less than fifteen (15) individual property owners of separate parcels or until a maximum radius of one thousand (1,000) feet has been reached.
- J. A copy of all other applicable local, state, and federal permits (i.e. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 404 permit, etc).

After completing SECTION 2, APPLICANT should submit form to Permit Staff for review.

SECTION 3: FLOODPLAIN DETERMINATION (To be completed by Permit Staff.)

The proposed development is located on FIRM Panel No.: 0215H, Dated: 9/26/2008

The Proposed Development:

□ Is NOT located in a Special Flood Hazard Area (Notify the applicant that the application review is complete and NO FLOODPLAIN PERMIT IS REQUIRED).

□ Is located in a Special Flood Hazard Area.

□ The proposed development is located in a floodway.

□ 100-Year flood elevation at the site is 10 71,55 Ft. NGVD (MSL) □ Unavailable

See Section 4 for additional instructions.

____ date: <u>7/10/24</u> SIGNED:

SECTION 4: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIRED (To be completed by Permit Staff.)

The applicant must also submit the documents checked below before the application can be processed.

- Flood proofing protection level (non-residential only) _____ Ft. NGVD (MSL). For flood proofed structures applicant must attach certification from registered engineer.
- Certification from a registered engineer that the proposed activity in a regulatory floodway will not result in any increase in the height of the 100-year flood (Base Flood Elevation). A copy of all data and calculations supporting this finding must also be submitted.
- Certification from a registered engineer that the proposed activity in a regulatory flood plain will result in an increase of no more than 0.05 feet in the height of the 100-year flood (Base Flood Elevation). A copy of all data and calculations supporting this finding must also be submitted.
- All other applicable federal, state, and local permits have been obtained.

Other: _____

SECTION 5: PERMIT DETERMINATION (To be completed by Floodplain Chairman.)

The proposed activity: (A) IIs; (B) IIs Not in conformance with provisions of Norman's City Code Chapter 36, Section 533. The permit is issued subject to the conditions attached to and made part of this permit.

SIGNED: _____ DATE: _____

If **BOX A** is checked, the Floodplain committee chairman may issue a Floodplain Permit.

If BOX B is checked, the Floodplain committee chairman will provide a written summary of deficiencies. Applicant may revise and resubmit an application to the Floodplain committee or may request a hearing from the Board of Adjustment.

APPEALS: Appealed to Board of Adjustment: Hearing date:	□Yes □No	
Board of Adjustment Decision - Approved:	□Yes □ No	
Conditions:		

<u>SECTION 6: AS-BUILT ELEVATIONS (To be submitted by APPLICANT before Certificate of Occupancy is issued.)</u>

- 1. FEMA Elevation Certificate
- and/or
- 2. FEMA Floodproofing Certificate

NOTE: The completed certificate will be reviewed by staff for completeness and accuracy. If any deficiencies are found it will be returned to the applicant for revision. A Certificate of Occupancy for the structure will not be issued until an Elevation and /or Floodproofing Certificate has been accepted by the City.

FOR SURVEY CONTROL DATA, SEE SURVEY DATA SHEET



UTILITY OWNERS		
AT&T	(405) 291.5545	
CITY OF NORMAN	(405) 366.5320	
COX COMMUNICATIONS	(405) 417.4060	
OEC	(405) 306.9380	
OG&E	(405) 553.5785	
ONG	(405) 556.6411	

DESIGN	DATA	
AADT 2023	= 1	740

AADT 2023	-	1740
AADT 2045	=	2166
K	=	12%
D	=	50%
T (% DHV)	=	1%
T (% AADT)	=	2%
T3 (% AADT)	=	1%
V	=	50 MPH
20 YR. FLEX. ESALS	=	2.52 M

SCALES	4	1"
PLAN	1" =	50'
PROFILE HOR.	1" =	50'
VER.	1" =	5'
LAYOUT MAP	1'' =	5280'

LEVEL DATA IS MEAN BEARINGS ARE FROM POLARIS.

CONVENTIONAL SYMBOLS

PROPOSED ROAD

RANGE & TOWNSHIP

QUARTER SECTION LINES

TELEPHONE & TELEGRAPH

DRAINAGE STRUCTURES - IN PLACE

RAILROADS

SECTION LINES

GROUND LINE

GRADE LINES

POWER LINES

BUILDINGS

BASE LINE

EXISTING ROADS

FENCES

	1"
' =	50'
' =	50'
' =	5'
' =	5280'
SE OE	A LEVEL (USC&GS) 3SERVATION OF

PROJ. BEGIN STA. 10+79.70

BRIDGE BEGIN STA. 15+00.75

BRIDGE END STA. 16+01.67

BRIDGE LENGTH =

PROJ. END STA.

ROBINSON ST

INDIAN HILLS RE

FRANKLIN RE

TECUMSEH RD

PROJECT LOCATION

100.92'

22+31.13

ALAMEDA ST

LINDSEY S

_____X_____

+2% 0 -2%

 $-\phi - \phi - \phi$

 $\sqsubset \exists$

Ĭ DRAINAGE STRUCTURES - NEW PRES. R/W _ **RIGHT-OF-WAY LINES - EXISTING** _____R/W -_____ **RIGHT-OF-WAY LINES - NEW RIGHT-OF-WAY MARKERS - IN PLACE** Ø **RIGHT-OF-WAY MARKERS - REMOVE & REPLACE** Ø RIGHT-OF-WAY MARKERS - NEW 0 _____ CONTROLLED ACCESS **RIGHT-OF-WAY FENCE** 2019 OKLAHOMA STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION - ENGLISH GOVERN APPROVED BY THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION, DECEMBER 19, 2019.

CITY ENGINEERING DESIGN CRITERIA AND STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS FOR STREET, STORMWATER, WATER LINES, AND SANITARY SEWERS AS APPROVED BY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NORMAN ON FEBRUARY 28, 2023.

CITY OF NORMAN

PLAN OF PROPOSED

60TH AVENUE NE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT BRIDGE & APPROACHES

CLEVELAND COUNTY

BRIDGE LOCATION NO. 14N3170E1210005 (NBI NO. 09189) (NEW NBI NO. 33



ROADWAY LENGTH _____ 1051.51 FT. _____0.199 MI. BRIDGE LENGTH _____100.92 FT. ____0.019 MI. PROJECT LENGTH _____0.218 MI. EQUATIONS: NONE EXCEPTIONS: NONE



3246)	0001 0002 AB01-AB02 AR01 AR02 AW01 B001-B002 B003 B004 B005-B007 B008 B009 B010 B011 B012 B013 B014 B015 B016-B017 B018 B019-B020 B021 EC01 R001 R001 R001 R001 R001 R001 R001 R	INDEX OF TITLE SHE TYPICAL S SUMMARY SUMMARY SUMMARY SUMMARY GENERAL SUBSURF STAKING ABUTMEN TYPICAL S LONGITUE DECK LAY DECK LAY DECK LAY DECK TUE PARAPET DIAPHRAG FRAMING BEAM DE BEARING APPROAC DRAIN DE EROSION STORM W PLAN ANE WATERLIE REMOVAL SURVEY I SIGNING A CROSS SI	SHEETS SECTIONS Y OF PAY QU Y OF PAY QU ES (ROADW Y OF PAY QU ES (ROADW Y OF PAY QU I ES (ROADW Y OF PAY QU ES (ROADW QU ES (ROADW QU I ES (ROADW Y QF PAY QU I ES (ROADW I ES	JANTITIES AN JANTITIES AN AY) JANTITIES AN ELEVATION E ION AND UNE ION TAILS	ID NOTES (BRIDGE) ID NOTES (ROADWAY) ID NOTES (WATERLINE) DERDRAIN DETAILS
THE FOLLOWING ROADW BMPR-0 TESCA-0 ECTRM1-0 ECTRM2-0 IPD-0 RSF-0 TSD-0 TFL-0 TRFD-0 SCE-0 SSS-2-1 ASCD-6-1	<u>G ODOT STANE</u> <u>AY</u> TF PSMD-2-2 <u>SI</u> SMD-4-2 Pf CET4S-4-2 SE SPI-5-2 GM PBB-1-2 SS FHTCP-4-1 SS MI-4-2 RDI-4-1 DC-4-1 RWF1-3-1 RWF2-3-1	0ARDS SHA RAFFIC GNING M1-1-03 9S2-1-00 (SS2-1-00 (SS2-1-00 (SS2-1-00 (SS2-1-00 (SS2-1-00) (SS2-1-0	LL BE REQU TRAFFIC <u>SAFETY</u> SKT-1-00 GHW1-1-00 GHW2-1-00 DBF2-1-00	JIRED ON THE	<u>S PROJECT</u> : <u>BRIDGE</u> TR3-2-01E HP1-2-01E EJ-SQ-04E EJ-DTL-02E -SKO30-GRAU-BC-00E
<u>THE FOLLOWIN</u>	<u>G CITY OF NOR</u> GC W W W W	MAN STAN 01 W 09 01 W 09 03 W 1 04 ST 1 08 ST 2	DARDS SHA DA ST 25 DB ST 29 9 SD 01 7 4	<u>ILL BE REQUI</u>	<u>RED ON THIS PROJECT</u> :



Digitally signed by Jeffrey Rundle Date: 2024.03.14 17:17:16-05'00' JEFFREY RUNDLE, P.E. OK. REG. NO. 27271 **RESPONSIBLE FOR SHEETS:**

AB01-AB02 & B001-B021



Digital Signature 2024.03.14 17:24:57-05'00'

BRETT MORAN, P.E. OK. REG. NO. 27739 **RESONSIBLE FOR SHEETS:** 0001-0002, AR01-AR02, AW01, EC01, R001-R004, T001, & X001-X013

CONSIDERED INSTRUMENTS OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AND ARE PROPERTY DF GARVER, LLC. ANY USE, REPRODUCTION, R DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT, ALONG





ROUNDING DETAIL





SEE ODOT STD CB26..32-C..I-SKO..30-GRAU-BC-00E

SHEET

NUMBER

GENERAL NOTES FOR BRIDGE

SPECIFICATIONS:

COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE 2019 OKLAHOMA STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION, EXCEPT AS MODIFIED BY THE PLANS AND SPECIAL PROVISIONS.

REMOVAL OF EXISTING BRIDGE "A" STRUCTURE:

ITEM "REMOVAL OF EXISTING BRIDGE STRUCTURE" CONSISTS OF REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL OF A 15'-36'-15' I-BEAM STRUCTURE X 26'-0" CLEAR ROADWAY.

THE REMOVAL OF THE EXISTING STRUCTURE SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 619.04.B.2 OF THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND IN A MANNER APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.

THE EXISTING STRUCTURE SHALL BE REMOVED TO:

(A) 1'-0" BELOW THE SURROUNDING GROUND ELEVATION (B) AS NEEDED TO FACILITATE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROPOSED BRIDGE

(C) AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR REMOVING ANY MATERIALS, DEBRIS, OR REFUSE WHICH HAS FALLEN INTO ANY STREAM OR RIVER CHANNELS RESULTING FROM THE EXECUTION OF THE PROJECT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT A BRIDGE DEMOLITION PLAN FOR APPROVAL BY THE CITY PRIOR TO PERFORMING ANY DEMOLITION ACTIVITIES. THE BRIDGE DEMOLITION PLAN SHALL INCLUDE A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE ACTIVITIES TO BE PERFORMED AND THE METHODS USED TO ACHIEVE THEM.

THE STRUCTURE AND MATERIALS REMOVED DURING THIS PROJECT SHALL BECOME THE PROPERTY OF THE CONTRACTOR.

ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REMOVAL OF THE EXISTING BRIDGE AS DESCRIBED ABOVE AND AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER, INCLUDING LABOR, EQUIPMENT, AND INCIDENTALS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE PRICE BID PER LUMP SUM OF "REMOVAL OF EXISTING BRIDGE STRUCTURE".

STEEL PILE DRIVING EQUIPMENT:

USE A PILE DRIVING HAMMER OF THE SIZE AND TYPE CAPABLE OF CONSISTENTLY DELIVERING THE EFFECTIVE DYNAMIC ENERGY SUFFICIENT TO DRIVE THE PILES TO THE REQUIRED TIP ELEVATION AND TO ACHIEVE THE REQUIRED ULTIMATE PILE CAPACITY WITHOUT EXCEEDING THE LIMITATIONS SET ON THE ALLOWABLE DRIVING STRESSES IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 514.03 OF THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS.

STEEL PILING:

PROVIDE STRUCTURAL STEEL CONFORMING TO AASHTO M270 (GRADE 50) FOR STEEL PILING.

STEEL PILE CAPACITY:

THE FOLLOWING FORMULA (GATES EQUATION) SHALL BE USED TO DETERMINE THE AXIAL LOAD RESISTANCE OF THE DRIVEN FOUNDATION PILES:

AXIAL LOAD RESISTANCE = $\Phi[(0.875\sqrt{E} \text{ LOG}_{10}(10N))-50]$ (TONS)

WHERE:

- Φ = RESISTANCE FACTOR OF 0.4.
- E = ENERGY PRODUCED BY THE HAMMER PER BLOW IN FOOT-POUNDS. FOR GRAVITY AND SINGLE ACTING DIESEL HAMMERS, THE VALUE IS BASED ON THE ACTUAL RAM STROKE OBSERVED IN THE FIELD AND MEASURED IN FEET MULTIPLIED BY THE RAM WEIGHT IN POUNDS.
- N = AVERAGE NUMBER OF HAMMER BLOWS PER INCH OF PILE PENETRATION FOR THE LAST 10 TO 20 BLOWS DELIVERED TO THE PILE HEAD.

THE ABOVE FORMULA IS ONLY APPLICABLE WHEN:

- 1. THE PILE DRIVING HAMMER HAS A FREE FALL (GRAVITY AND SINGLE ACTING HAMMERS ONLY).
- 2. THE HEAD OF THE PILE IS NOT BROOMED, CRUSHED OR OTHERWISE DAMAGED.
- 3. THE PENETRATION IS QUICK AND UNIFORM. 4. THERE IS NO APPRECIABLE REBOUND OF THE HAMMER, AND
- 5. A FOLLOWER IS NOT USED.

THE NUMBER OF BLOWS PER INCH OF PILE PENETRATION MAY BE MEASURED EITHER DURING INITIAL DRIVING OR BY RE-DRIVING WITH A WARM HAMMER OPERATED AT FULL ENERGY AFTER A PILE SET PERIOD, AS DETERMINED BY THE ENGINEER.

IF WATER JETS ARE USED IN CONNECTION WITH THE DRIVING, DETERMINE THE AXIAL LOAD RESISTANCE BY THE FORMULA SHOWN ABOVE ONLY AFTER THE JETS HAVE BEEN WITHDRAWN.

SEE GENERAL PLAN AND ELEVATION SHEETS FOR FACTORED REACTION FOR EACH PILE.

CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE DIAPHRAGMS:

ONCE THE CONCRETE HAS BEEN PLACED FOR THE CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE DIAPHRAGMS, WAIT A MINIMUM OF 24 HOURS BEFORE REMOVING THE SIDE FORMS. DO NOT REMOVE THE BOTTOM FORM FOR A MINIMUM OF 3 DAYS, OR AT THE DISCRETION OF THE ENGINEER. THIS TIME CAN BE SHORTENED IF THE CONCRETE HAS ATTAINED 80% OF THE SPECIFIED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH. DO NOT PLACE THE CONCRETE FOR THE DECK SLAB OR APPLY OTHER MASSIVE LOADS TO THE BEAMS OR DIAPHRAGMS UNTIL THE CONCRETE IN THE DIAPHRAGMS HAS BEEN IN PLACE FOR A MINIMUM OF 10 DAYS. OR AT THE DISCRETION OF THE ENGINEER. THIS TIME MAY BE SHORTENED IF THE CONCRETE HAS ATTAINED 80% OF THE SPECIFIED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH.

CONCRETE:

ALL CONCRETE SHALL BE PLACED IN THE DRY. ALL EXPOSED EDGES SHALL HAVE A 3/4" CHAMFER UNLESS NOTED OR SHOWN ON PLANS. ALL CHAMFER STRIPS SHALL BE SIZED LUMBER. ALL CLASS "A" AND CLASS "AA" CONCRETE SHALL BE AIR-ENTRAINED.

ALL CONCRETE IN THE SUPERSTRUCTURE, APPROACH SLABS & CONCRETE RAIL (TR3) SHALL BE CLASS "AA" CONCRETE, f'c = 4,000 P.S.I. MINIMUM STRENGTH AT 28 DAYS. ALL CONCRETE IN THE SUBSTRUCTURE SHALL BE CLASS "A" CONCRETE, f'c = 3,000 P.S.I. MINIMUM STRENGTH AT 28 DAYS.

CONCRETE SURFACES UNDER ALL BEAM SUPPORTS (BEARING ASSEMBLIES) SHALL BE GROUND WITH A CARBORUNDUM BRICK BEFORE PLACEMENT OF BEARING ASSEMBLY TO SECURE FULL BEARING OF ASSEMBLY ON CONCRETE. BEFORE BEARING ASSEMBLIES ARE SET, THE CONTRACTOR WILL CHECK BEARING SURFACES WITH REGARD TO LEVELNESS. THE MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE SLOPE SHALL BE 0.5 %, WHICH SHOULD BE CHECKED ALONG AN AXIS PERPENDICULAR AND PARALLEL TO THE BEAM LINE. SLOPES EXCEEDING 0.5 % SHALL BE CORRECTED IN A MANNER APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.

WHEN VIBRATING CONCRETE CONTAINING EPOXY COATED REINFORCING STEEL, THE VIBRATOR SHALL BE EQUIPPED WITH A PLASTIC TIP DESIGNED TO PREVENT DAMAGE TO THE EPOXY COATING.

REINFORCING:

ALL REINFORCING STEEL SHALL HAVE 2" CLEARANCE UNLESS SHOWN OR NOTED OTHERWISE. ALL REINFORCING STEEL SHALL BE DEFORMED BARS, COLD BENT WITH NO WELDS. BAR BEND DIMENSIONS ARE OUT TO OUT, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS, ALL REINFORCING STEEL SHALL CONFORM TO AASHTO M31 (ASTM A615), GRADE 60.

FIELD WELDING OF CROSSING REINFORCING BARS SHALL NOT BE PERMITTED. TACK WELDING OF REINFORCING BARS SHALL BE PROHIBITED IN ALL CASES.

ALL LONGITUDINAL TOP REINFORCING IN THE BRIDGE SLAB SHALL BE SUPPORTED ON APPROVED CONTINUOUS METAL HIGH CHAIRS SPACED AT 4'-0" MAXIMUM ON CENTERS AND THE BOTTOM LAYER OF REINFORCING STEEL SHALL BE SUPPORTED ON APPROVED METAL SLAB BOLSTERS SPACED AT 4'-0" MAXIMUM ON CENTERS.

THE CONTRACTOR MAY USE STAY-IN-PLACE STEEL DECK FORMS IF THE MINIMUM DECK SLAB THICKNESS OF 8" IS OBTAINED BY MEASURING FROM THE TOP OF THE DECK SLAB TO THE TOP PORTION OF THE STEEL CORRUGATION. PREFORMED CORRUGATION FILLER, COMPOSED OF POLYSTYRENE OR OTHER MATERIAL, MAY BE USED IF BONDED TO THE DECK FORMS. NO ADDITIONAL CONCRETE WEIGHT OF THE DECK SLAB IS PERMITTED. THE TOTAL ADDITIONAL WEIGHT OF THE DECK FORM AND FILLER SHALL NOT EXCEED 5 P.S.F. ALL COSTS OF STAY-IN-PLACE STEEL DECK FORMS TO BE INCLUDED IN THE CONTRACT UNIT PRICE OF "CLASS AA CONCRETE". DECK HAUNCHES:

SEE THE TYPICAL SECTION SHEET FOR THE PLAN QUANTITY FOR CLASS "AA" CONCRETE INCLUDED FOR THE HAUNCHES OVER THE BEAMS AND DIAPHRAGMS. THE HAUNCH HEIGHTS WILL BE CALCULATED BY THE CONTRACTOR FOR APPROVAL BY THE ENGINEER TO PROVIDE FOR DEAD LOAD DEFLECTION AND BEAM CAMBER. STAINLESS STEEL FIXED BEARING ASSEMBLIES:

PROVIDE AND INSTALL FIXED BEARING ASSEMBLIES OF THE SIZE, SHAPE AND LOCATION AS SPECIFIED OR AS SHOWN IN THE PLANS. SEE THE BEARING DETAIL SHEETS FOR THE ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF STRUCTURAL STEEL REQUIRED FOR EACH FIXED BEARING ASSEMBLY.

PROVIDE AND INSTALL EXPANSION BEARING ASSEMBLIES OF THE SIZE, SHAPE AND LOCATION AS SPECIFIED OR AS SHOWN IN THE PLANS. SEE THE BEARING DETAIL SHEETS FOR THE ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF STRUCTURAL STEEL REQUIRED FOR EACH EXPANSION BEARING ASSEMBLY.

ALL COST OF PROVIDING AND INSTALLING THE EXPANSION BEARING ASSEMBLIES AS SPECIFIED OR AS SHOWN IN THE PLANS INCLUDING THE COST OF STEEL REINFORCED ELASTOMERIC BEARING PADS, ANCHOR PLATES, CONTACT PLATES, ANCHOR BOLTS, NUTS, WASHERS, MATERIALS, LABOR, EQUIPMENT AND INCIDENTALS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE PRICE BID PER EACH OF "STAINLESS STEEL EXP. BEARING ASSEMBLY".

THE SEALED EXPANSION JOINT SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AS SHOWN IN THE PLANS AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH STANDARDS EJ-SQ-04E AND EJ-DTL-02E, UNLESS SHOWN OTHERWISE IN THE PLANS, AND IN A MANNER APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.

ALL COSTS INCLUDING THE COST OF MATERIALS, LABOR, EQUIPMENT AND INCIDENTALS NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THE WORK AS SPECIFIED OR SHOWN IN THE PLANS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE PRICE BID PER LINEAR FOOT OF "SEALED **EXPANSION JOINTS".**

THE BRIDGE DECK FOR THIS PROJECT IS TO BE FINISHED WITH A MECHANICAL TYPE FINISHING MACHINE. OVERHANGING

SLAB FORMS WILL BE REQUIRED TO BE OF SUFFICIENT STRENGTH TO SUPPORT THE WEIGHT OF THE CONCRETE, FORMS, FINISHING MACHINE AND OTHER CONSTRUCTION LOADS. PRIOR TO FINISHING OPERATIONS, A PROPOSAL STIPULATING THE TYPE OF FINISHING MACHINE AND THE FINISHING PROCEDURE WILL BE SUBMITTED TO THE ENGINEER. THIS PROPOSAL SHALL SET FORTH ANY AREAS IN WHICH A MECHANICAL FINISHER CANNOT BE USED AND THE METHODS FOR FINISHING THESE AREAS. CONCRETE SHALL NOT BE PLACED UNTIL THIS PROPOSAL IS APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.

SAWED AND SEALED JOINTS: THE SAWED & SEALED CONSTRUCTION JOINTS SHOWN IN THE PLANS SHALL BE SEALED WITH RAPID CURE JOINT SEALANT IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION 701.08.G AND AS SHOWN IN THE PLANS.

CLASS "AA" CONCRETE SHALL BE USED IN THE APPROACH SLABS WITH EPOXY COATED REINFORCING. THE QUANTITY GIVEN IS BASED ON THE ACTUAL SQUARE YARDS OF THE APPROACH SLABS. ALL COSTS OF CONCRETE, REINFORCING STEEL, LONGITUDINAL CONSTRUCTION JOINT SEALANT, SAWED AND SEALED CONSTRUCTION JOINT BETWEEN NEW DECK AND APPROACH SLAB, SAWING OF JOINTS, EXCAVATION, LABOR, EQUIPMENT, AND INCIDENTALS NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THE WORK AS SPECIFIED SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE PRICE BID PER SQUARE YARD OF "APPROACH SLAB".

PENETRATING WATER REPELLENT SURFACE TREATMENT: A PENETRATING WATER REPELLENT SURFACE TREATMENT SHALL BE APPLIED TO THE FOLLOWING CONCRETE SURFACES OF THE BRIDGE (SEE SHEET NO. B009):

ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE USE OF PENETRATING WATER REPELLENT SURFACE TREATMENT INCLUDING THE COST OF MATERIALS, LABOR, EQUIPMENT AND INCIDENTALS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE PRICE BID PER SQUARE YARD OF "WATER REPELLANT (VISUALLY INSPECTED)" ELASTOMERIC COATING:

THE ELASTOMERIC COATING SHALL BE A LIQUID APPLIED URETHANE COATING SUCH AS CIM 1000 AS MANUFACTURED BY CIM INDUSTRIES, INC. PRODUCT INFORMATION FOR CIM-1000 CAN BE OBTAINED FROM LASTOR CASTOR CORP. OF TULSA, OKLAHOMA, PHONE NUMBER 918-234-7777. THE ELASTOMERIC COATING SHALL BE APPLIED TO THE FOLLOWING CONCRETE SURFACES AS SHOWN IN THE PLANS:

DO NOT PLACE THE ELASTOMERIC COATING UNDER BEARING PADS.

THE EQUIPMENT, METHODS, AND THICKNESS OF APPLYING THE URETHANE COATING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PRODUCT COATING PROFILE AND INSTRUCTION GUIDES FOR APPLICATION TO CONCRETE. PRECAUTIONARY MEASURES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEETS AS PROVIDED BY THE MANUFACTURER.

THE COATING SHALL BE 60 MILS DRY THICKNESS AND 68 MILS WET THICKNESS. IN ADDITION TO APPLYING THE COATING TO THE CONCRETE SUBSTRUCTURE UNITS AS SHOWN IN THE PLANS. THE COATING SHALL RETURN UP THE VERTICAL SURFACES OF THE ABUTMENT BEARING PADS TO PROVIDE A WATER TIGHT SEAL WITH THE CONCRETE PEDESTALS. SURFACE PREPARATIONS AND PRODUCT MIXING SHALL BE PER THE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS AND ALL NEW CONCRETE SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM STRENGTH OF 3000 PSI AT THE TIME OF APPLICATION. PRIMER SHALL BE APPLIED TO THE CONCRETE SURFACES PRIOR TO APPLYING THE COATING. ALL CONCRETE WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO THE APPLICATION OF THE COATING.

WATER REPELLENT WILL NOT BE REQUIRED ON SURFACES THAT ARE COATED WITH ELASTOMERIC COATING.

DRAINS AT END OF BRIDGE: ALL COSTS OF THE CONCRETE CURBS INCLUDING MATERIAL, LABOR, EQUIPMENT AND INCIDENTALS NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THE WORK AS SHOWN IN THE PLANS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE PRICE BID PER CUBIC YARD OF "CLASS C CONCRETE".

STAY-IN-PLACE FORMS:

ALL COST OF PROVIDING AND INSTALLING THE FIXED BEARING ASSEMBLIES AS SPECIFIED OR AS SHOWN IN THE PLANS INCLUDING THE COST OF STEEL REINFORCED ELASTOMERIC BEARING PADS, ANCHOR PLATES, CONTACT PLATES, ANCHOR BOLTS, NUTS, WASHERS, MATERIALS, LABOR, EQUIPMENT AND INCIDENTALS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE PRICE BID PER EACH OF "STAINLESS STEEL FIXED BEARING ASSEMBLY".

STAINLESS STEEL EXPANSION BEARING ASSEMBLIES:

SEALED EXPANSION JOINT:

CONCRETE DECK FINISHING:

APPROACH SLAB:

- 1. EDGES AND UNDERSIDE CANTILEVER PORTION OF THE BRIDGE DECK.
- 2. ROADWAY, OUTER, INSIDE OF POST OPENINGS, AND TOP FACES OF THE TR3 CONCRETE RAIL.
- 3. FRONT, SIDES, AND EXPOSED AREAS OF ABUTMENT BACKWALL AND ABUTMENT SEAT NOT COVERED WITH ELASTOMERIC COATING.
- 4. OUTER FACE AND BOTTOM OF EXTERIOR BEAMS.

FRONT, SIDES AND EXPOSED AREAS OF THE ABUTMENT SEATS AND BACKWALLS.

PAYMENT WILL BE MADE AT THE CONTRACT UNIT PRICE BID PER SQUARE FOOT OF "ELASTOMERIC COATING", WHICH PRICE SHALL BE FULL COMPENSATION FOR ALL MATERIALS, LABOR, TOOLS, EQUIPMENT AND INCIDENTALS NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THE WORK AS SPECIFIED.

PERFORATED PIPE UNDERDRAIN: THE ITEM "6" PERFORATED PIPE UNDERDRAIN ROUND" INCLUDES 60.00 FEET OF PERFORATED PIPE AND 9.00 CUBIC YARDS OF PIPE UNDERDRAIN COVER MATERIAL FOR EACH ABUTMENT. THE INSTALLATION OF PERFORATED PIPE AND PIPE UNDERDRAIN COVER MATERIAL SHALL BE AS SHOWN ON SHEET NO. B008.

ALL COSTS OF THE PERFORATED PIPE UNDERDRAIN INSTALLATION INCLUDING MATERIAL, LABOR, EQUIPMENT AND INCIDENTALS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE PRICE BID PER LINEAR FOOT OF "6" PERFORATED PIPE UNDERDRAIL ROUND".

NON-PERFORATED PIPE UNDERDRAIN: THE ITEM "6" NON-PERF.PIPE UNDERDRAIN RND." INCLUDES 22.00 FEET OF NON-PERFORATED PIPE AND 8.00 CUBIC YARDS OF PIPE UNDERDRAIN COVER MATERIAL FOR ABUTMENT NO. 1 AND 33.00 FEET OF NON-PERFORATED PIE AND 11.00 CUBIC YARDS OF PIPE UNDERDRAIN COVER MATERIAL FOR ABUTMENT NO. 2. THE INSTALLATION OF NON-PERFORATED PIPE AND PIPE UNDERDRAIN COVER MATERIAL SHALL BE AS SHOWN ON SHEET NO. B008.

ALL COSTS OF THE NON-PERFORATED PIPE UNDERDRAIN INSTALLATION INCLUDING MATERIAL, LABOR, EQUIPMENT, AND INCIDENTALS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE PRICE BID PER LINEAR FOOT OF "6" NON-PERF. PIPE UNDERDRAIN RND.".

RIPRAP

A 2'-0" THICK LAYER OF TYPE I-A PLAIN RIPRAP WITH A 6" THICK LAYER OF TYPE I-A FILTER BLANKET SHALL BE PLACED AS SHOWN IN THE PLANS. THE FILTER BLANKET SHALL BE PLACED IN ONE LAYER.

ALL COSTS OF THE PLACEMENT OF FILTER BLANKET INCLUDING MATERIAL, LABOR, EQUIPMENT AND INCIDENTALS NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THE WORK AS SHOWN IN THE PLANS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE PRICE BID PER TON OF "TYPE I-A FILTER BLANKET".

ALL COSTS OF THE PLACEMENT OF RIPRAP INCLUDING MATERIAL, EXCAVATION, LABOR, EQUIPMENT AND INCIDENTALS NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THE WORK AS SHOWN IN THE PLANS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE PRICE BID PER TON OF "TYPE I-A PLAIN RIPRAP".

OTHER ITEMS OF WORK: ANY ITEMS OF WORK NOT COVERED BY A PAY ITEM NEEDED TO COMPLETE THE WORK AS SPECIFIED OR SHOWN IN THE PLANS SHALL BE CONSIDERED INCIDENTAL TO OTHER ITEMS OF WORK.





PAY QUANTITIES									
BRIDGE "A" - NBI 33246 - 100' P.C. BEAM SPAN									
	DESCRIPTION		UNIT	QUANTITY					
501(B) 1300	SUBSTRUCTURE EXCAVATION COMMON	(BR-1)	CY	245.000					
501(G) 1800	CLSM BACKFILL	(BR-1)	CY	305.800					
503(A) 4240	PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS (TYPE IV)	(BR-1)	LF	598.000					
504(A) 5200	APPROACH SLAB	(BR-1)	SY	387.800					
504(B) 5300	SAW-CUT GROOVING	(BR-1)	SY	1,001.000					
504(D) 5410	CONCRETE RAIL (TR3)	(BR-1)	LF	321.500					
506(A) 7200	STRUCTURAL STEEL	(BR-1)	LB	660.000					
507(A) 8200	STAINLESS STEEL FIXED BEARING ASSEMBLY	(BR-1)	ΕA	6.000					
507(B) 8300	STAINLESS STEEL EXP. BEARING ASSEMBLY	(BR-1)	ΕA	6.000					
509(A) 0210	CLASS AA CONCRETE	(BR-1)	CY	160.300					
509(B) 0320	CLASS A CONCRETE	(BR-1)	CY	130.000					
509(D) 0510	CLASS C CONCRETE	(BR-1)	CY	1.000					
511(B) 2310	EPOXY COATED REINFORCING STEEL	(BR-1)	LB	64,120.000					
514(A) 5210	PILES, FURNISHED (HP 10X42)		LF	248.000					
514(A) 5220	PILES, FURNISHED (HP 12X53)		LF	1,298.000					
514(B) 5310	PILES, DRIVEN (HP 10X42)		LF	248.000					
514(B) 5320	PILES, DRIVEN (HP 12X53)		LF	1,298.000					
514(L) 6300	PILE SPLICE, H-PILE (NON-BIDDABLE)		ΕA	1.000					
515(A) 7200	WATER REPELLENT (VISUALLY INSPECTED)	(BR-1)	SY	473.000					
517 9110	ELASTOMERIC COATING	(BR-1)	SF	634.000					
518(B) 0300	SEALED EXPANSION JOINTS	(BR-1)	LF	57.800					
601(B) 1230	TYPE I-A PLAIN RIPRAP		TON	880.000					
601(C) 1310	TYPE I-A FILTER BLANKET		TON	180.000					
613(H) 6205	6" PERFORATED PIPE UNDERDRAIN ROUND	(BR-1)	LF	120.000					
613(I) 6310	6" NON-PERF.PIPE UNDERDRAIN RND.		LF	55.000					
619(D) 6700	REMOVAL OF EXISTING BRIDGE STRUCTURE		LSUM	1.000					

BR-1: PAYMENT FOR THIS ITEM WILL BE BASED ON THE PLAN QUANTITIES ONLY. SEE SECTION 109.01.B OF THE 2019 STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS.

Т				ltem 5.
	C /2 © 20	23 GARV	ÉR, LLC	R
TH IC H IN:	IS DOCUI DEAS AND EREIN, S STRUMEI	MENT, AL D DESIGN HALL BE NTS OF F	ONG WI NS CONV CONSID PROFESS	TH THE EYED ERED SIONAL
SI RE OF	ERVICE A GARV PRODUC THIS DC	ND ARE ER, LLC TION, OF DCUMEN	PROPER ANY USE R DISTRIE T, ALONG	TY OF E, BUTION G WITH
Н	AUTHOF GARVER	S PROHIE RIZED IN , LLC OR D IN THE	BITED UN WRITING EXPLICI GOVERI	ILESS BY TLY NING
CA	PROFE AGREEM 44193 E	SSIONAL ENT FOF XPIRES	SERVIC THIS WO	ES ORK.), 2024
		ITTAL		
		JBM		
		E SI	1	
		PS&	1	
		0%) 1	
		10		
ΒY				
RIPTION				
DESCF				
ATE				
EV.				
2	#			
		2		ろど
<u>}</u>				
INUC	-		л Ш И	SEEK
DCC				X OF
=I AN	WOT		AVEN	л NOS NOS
ΙΕΛ	KLA			
	0		<u>ں</u> م	
P.	SUM AY C		RY OI	= ES
((B SHE	RIDO ET 2	GE) OF 2	2)
JC).: 22T	28060 H 202)
	ESIGN RAWN		. , 202 Y: JTF JTR	२
	BAR ORI	R IS ONE	INCH ON RAWING	1"
IF A	NOT ON DJUST S	E INCH C CALES A	N THIS S CCORDI	SHEET, NGLY.
	<u></u>	-	_	
١	SHEE NUMB	ER	ABC)2
				70

<u>ROADWA'</u>	Y GENERAL CONSTRUCTION NOTES	(R-11
IN ACCOF NOTIFY T SYSTEM,	RDANCE WITH THE OKLAHOMA UNDERGROUND FACILITIES DAMAGE PREVENTION ACT THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HE OKLAHOMA ONE-CALL SYSTEM, INC. 48 HOURS PRIOR TO BEGINNING EXCAVATION. OKLAHOMA ONE-CALL INC. "CALL OKIE" 1-800-522-6543 OR 811.	(R-15 (R-25
FOR PRO MINIMIZE ENGINEE SUPERPA SHALL BE STANDAR ALLOWEE BARRICA	JECTS THAT INCLUDE WIDENING AND/OR RESURFACING, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SCHEDULE OPERATIONS TO POTENTIAL DROP-OFF HAZARDS AND SHALL SUBMIT A SEQUENCE OF CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS TO THE R FOR APPROVAL BEFORE OPERATIONS BEGIN. ANY PORTION OF THE CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS, SUCH AS VE LAYING OPERATIONS, EXCAVATION FOR PAVEMENT WIDENING, OR EXTENSION OF ROADWAY STRUCTURES, E LIMITED TO ONE SIDE AT A TIME, AND THE PROCEDURES OUTLINED IN THE PAVEMENT DROP-OFF TREATMENT D PDT-2 (LATEST REVISION) SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED. ONLY THAT AMOUNT OF OPEN TRENCH WILL BE THAT CAN BE SURFACED IN 1(ONE) DAY'S TIME WITHOUT APPROVAL BYTHE ENGINEER. LIGHTS, SIGNS AND DES SHALL BE MOVED AS WORK PROGRESSES.	(R-26 (R-33 (R-35
ALL TREE TO THE R TO BE INC	S, BRUSH, AND OTHER DEBRIS THAT MIGHT INTERFERE WITH THE FLOW OF WATER SHALL BE CLEANED OUT IGHT-OF-WAY LINE, AT EACH STRUCTURE AND BRIDGE, IN A MANNER APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER. ALL COST CLUDED IN OTHER ITEMS OF WORK.	(R-39 (R-40
THE CON PROJECT RIGHT- OI TEMPORA	TRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL TEMPORARY RIGHT-OF-WAY FENCE AS REQUIRED. WHEN THE PORTION OF THE THAT REQUIRED THIS FENCE IS COMPLETED, THE TEMPORARY FENCE SHALL BE REMOVED, AND PERMANENT F-WAY FENCING SHALL BE RESTORED OR INSTALLED IN A MANNER APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER. ALL COST OF ARY FENCING SHALL BE INCLUDED IN OTHER ITEMS OF WORK.	(R-43 (R-44
ALL FLOV DRAINAG	VLINES THAT ARE TO BE FILLED SHALL BE THOROUGHLY TAMPED BEFORE CONSTRUCTION OR EXTENSION OF E STRUCTURES. ALL COST TO BE INCLUDED IN OTHER ITEMS OF WORK.	TRAF
IN ORDEF COMPLET BE INCLU	R TO ALLEVIATE DUST CONDITIONS DURING GRADING OPERATIONS AND BEFORE PAVEMENT WORK IS ED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SPRINKLE GRADING AT INTERVALS APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER. ALL COST TO DED IN OTHER ITEMS OF WORK.	(TC-2
THE CON ARE COM BACKFILL IN OTHER BE DISPO	TRACTOR SHALL NOT WASTE ANY EXCESS EXCAVATION UNTIL ALL PLANNED EMBANKMENTS AND BACKFILLS PLETED. EXCESS UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION MATERIAL DETERMINED BY THE ENGINEER TO BE SUITABLE FOR SHALL BE USED TO REDUCE ANY UNCLASSIFIED BORROW COST OF SECOND HANDLING SHALL BE INCLUDED ITEMS OF ANY REMAINING EXCESS EXCAVATION SHALL BECOME THE PROPERTY OF THE CONTRACTOR AND SED OF IN A MANNER APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.	
THE CON	TRACTOR SHALL KEEP THE OPEN TRENCH DRAINED. COST TO BE INCLUDED IN OTHER ITEMS OF WORK.	TRAF
AT THE B SATISFAC CALLED F	EGINNING OF TURFING OPERATIONS, ANY AREAS INCLUDED IN PLANNED QUANTITIES THAT HAVE GROWN A CTORY VOLUNTEER TURF OF PERENNIAL GRASS, AS DETERMINED BY THE ENGINEER, AND WATERED AS FOR ON THE PLANS, BUT SHALL NOT BE SEEDED, SODDED, OR SPRIGGED.	(TS-1
THE CON UPRIGHT SUPPORT	TRACTOR SHALL REMOVE AND RESET MAILBOXES AS NECESSARY. MAILBOXES ARE TO BE MAINTAINED IN AN POSITION AND ACCESSIBLE TO MAIL CARRIER'S CAR DURING CONSTRUCTION. ANY DAMAGE TO BOXES OR 'S SHALL BE REPAIRED BY THE CONTRACTOR. ALL COST TO BE INCLUDED IN OTHER ITEMS OF WORK.	(TS-2
UNLESS (SEPARAT	OTHERWISE NOTED, SAWCUT IS TO BE INCLUDED IN ANY RELEVANT PAY ITEM AND WILL NOT BE PAID FOR ELY.	(TS-2
TRAFFIC	GENERAL CONSTRUCTION NOTES	(TS-2
ANY SIGN PROTECT CONTRAC	IS AND/OR DELINEATORS WHICH ARE TO BE REMOVED DURING THIS PROJECT WILL BE STORED IN A ED AREA DESIGNATED BY THE ENGINEER, UNTIL SUCH A TIME THAT THEY ARE TO BE RESET BY THE CTOR. COST OF THIS WORK TO BE INCLUDED IN OTHER ITEMS OF WORK.	(TS-2
REMOVEI BY THE E	D MATERIAL TO BECOME PROPERTY OF CONTRACTOR AND IT SHALL BE DISPOSED OF IN A MANNER APPROVED NGINEER.	
ANY DAM PAVEMEN SATISFAC	AGE CAUSED BY THE CONTRACTOR TO ANY STRUCTURES, ROADWAY SURFACES, STRIPING, RAISED IT MARKERS, GUARDRAIL, SLOPES, AND SIGNS SHALL BE REPAIRED AT CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE TO THE CTION OF THE ENGINEER.	(TS-2
ALL REGURE	JLATORY SIGNS SHALL HAVE HIGH INTENSITY SHEETING. THE HIGH INTENSITY SHEETING SHALL MEET THE MENTS OF ASTM D4956-(LATEST REVISION) FOR TYPE III SHEETING.	(TS-3
ALL WAR	NING SIGNS SHALL HAVE FLUORESCENT YELLOW SHEETING. THE FLUORESCENT YELLOW SHEETING SHALL E REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM D4956-(LATEST REVISION) REQUIREMENTS FOR TYPE VIII SHEETING.	PAY
THE MAN SPECIFIC THE MATI	UFACTURER SHALL FURNISH A TYPE 'A' CERTIFICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ODOT STANDARD ATIONS, LATEST EDITION, AND SUBSECTION 106.04. THE CERTIFICATION SHALL INCLUDE TEST RESULTS ON ERIAL SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL.	(1) GENI
THE STAT EXACT ST ACCORD/ ONCOMIN ROADWA	TIONS AND LOCATIONS OF THE SIGN PLACEMENT, AS SHOWN ON THE PLAN SHEETS, ARE APPROXIMATE. TATIONS AND LOCATIONS SHALL BE DETERMINED BY THE CONTRACTOR SO THAT THE SIGN IS INSTALLED IN ANCE WITH DEPARTMENT STANDARDS AND THE MUTCD IN ORDER TO PROVIDE OPTIMUM VISIBILITY TO THE IG/APPROACHING MOTORIST. IF A PROPOSED LOCATION CONFLICTS WITH OTHER SIGNS, UTILITIES OR OTHER Y FEATURES, THE ENGINEER SHALL BE NOTIFIED.	ANY
POST LEN SURVEY I	IGTHS SHOWN ON SIGN SUMMARY ARE APPROXIMATE, EXACT LENGTH SHALL BE DETERMINED BY FIELD BY THE CONTRACTOR.	
<u>ROADWA</u>	Y PAY QUANTITY NOTES	
(R-4)	AN ESTIMATED QUANTITY OF 1,520 C.Y. TOPSOIL TO BE RESERVED FOR REPLACEMENT OF APPROXIMATELY 5" ON COMPLETED FORESLOPES, DITCHES, AND BACKSLOPES. THIS QUANTITY IS INCLUDED IN THE EARTHWORK BALANCE. ANY ADDITIONAL EXCAVATION REQUIRED IN CUT SECTIONS TO ALLOW FOR PLACEMENT OF TOPSOIL TO FINAL GRADE, SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE PRICE BID.	
(R-7)	FOR SOLID SLAB SODDING, PRICE BID TO INCLUDE COST OF WATERING, ESTIMATED AT 40 GALLONS PER S.Y.	
(R-8)	PRICE BID TO INCLUDE COST OF ALL NECESSARY MAINTENANCE, MAINTAINING DEVICE IN PROPER UPRIGHT POSITION, REMOVAL OF DEVICE, AND REMOVAL OF SEDIMENT WHEN IT REACHES HALF THE HEIGHT OF THE DEVICE.	

- -11) THE QUANTITIES ESTIMATED FOR TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL IS 2.29 ACRES.
- -15) QUANTITY BASED ON TWO APPLICATIONS.
- -25) ESTIMATED AT 0.075 GALLONS PER SQUARE YARD OF ORIGINAL EMULSION OF TACK COAT (BEFORE DILUTION FOR APPLICATION) IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 407 OF THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS.
- 26) ESTIMATED AT 112 LBS. PER SQ. YD. PER 1" THICK.
- -33) QUANTITY INCLUDES 8 C.Y. TO BE USED AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.
- -35) THE PRECAST CONCRETE OPTION MAY BE USED INSTEAD, PER DIRECTION OF THE ENGINEER.
- -39) INCLUDES REMOVAL OF ALL EXISTING ROADWAY DRAINAGE STRUCTURES, HEADWALLS (UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED), INLETS, FENCES, AND OTHER STRUCTURES WITHIN THE RIGHT OF WAY.
- -40) TO BECOME THE PROPERTY OF AND BE DISPOSED OF BY THE CONTRACTOR IN A MANNER APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.
- -43) INCLUDES 2% FOR GROUND MEASUREMENT.
- -44) ALL GATES AND GATE END POSTS FOR STRANDED WIRE FENCE (SWF) SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AT THE SAME WIDTH AS THE EXISTING, UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.

AFFIC CONSTRUCTION PAY QUANTITY NOTES

C-25) ALL CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC CONTROL WILL BE IMPLEMENTED ACCORDING TO CONSTRUCTION PLANS, AND INSTALLED IN A MANNER APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER, IN ACCORDANCE WITH CHAPTER VI OF THE MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES, (CURRENT EDITION), AND COMPLIANT WITH APPLICABLE O.D.O.T. STANDARD DRAWINGS. PRICE BID FOR THIS ITEM SHALL BE PAYMENT IN FULL FOR THE INSTALLATION, MAINTENANCE AND SUBSEQUENT REMOVAL OF ALL NECESSARY CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS REQUIRED FOR COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT.

ALL SIGNS AND BARRICADES, WHICH ARE SHOWN WITH TYPE 'A' LIGHTS IN THE STANDARD DRAWINGS SHALL HAVE THE CORRESPONDING LIGHT ATTACHED DURING NON-DAYLIGHT HOURS.

AFFIC SIGNING PAY QUANTITY NOTES

- S-19) QUANTITY SHOWN INCLUDES 0 L.F. TRAFFIC STRIPE (PLASTIC)(WHITE) AND 4500 L.F. TRAFFIC STRIPE(PLASTIC)(YELLOW) AND WILL BE MEASURED BY THE LINEAR FOOT OF FOUR INCH (4") WIDE TRAFFIC STRIPE.
- S-20) QUANTITY SHOWN INCLUDES 2319 L.F. TRAFFIC STRIPE (PLASTIC)(WHITE) AND WILL BE MEASURED BY THE LINEAR FOOT OF SIX INCH (6") WIDE TRAFFIC STRIPE.
- S-22) QUANTITY SHOWN INCLUDES 0 L.F. TRAFFIC STRIPE (PLASTIC)(WHITE) AND 526 L.F. TRAFFIC STRIPE(PLASTIC)(YELLOW) WILL BE MEASURED BY THE LINEAR FOOT OF TWELVE INCH (12") WIDE TRAFFIC STRIPE.
- S-24) QUANTITY SHOWN INCLUDES 0 L.F. TRAFFIC STRIPE (MULTI-POLYMER)(WHITE) AND 404 L.F. TRAFFIC STRIPE(MULTI-POLYMER)(YELLOW) AND WILL BE MEASURED BY THE LINEAR FOOT OF FOUR INCH (4") WIDE TRAFFIC STRIPE.
- S-25) QUANTITY SHOWN INCLUDES 202 L.F. TRAFFIC STRIPE (MULTI-POLYMER)(WHITE) AND 0 L.F. TRAFFIC STRIPE(MULTI-POLYMER)(BLACK) AND WILL BE MEASURED BY THE LINEAR FOOT OF SIX INCH (6") WIDE TRAFFIC STRIPE.
- S-27) QUANTITY SHOWN INCLUDES 0 L.F. TRAFFIC STRIPE (MULTI-POLYMER)(WHITE) AND 51 L.F. TRAFFIC STRIPE(MULTI-POLYMER)(YELLOW) AND WILL BE MEASURED BY THE LINEAR FOOT OF TWELVE INCH (12") WIDE TRAFFIC STRIPE.
- S-34) INCLUDED IN THIS PAY ITEM IS THE REMOVAL OF ANY EXISTING SIGNS TO BE REPLACED BY NEW ASSEMBLIES AND THE REMOVAL OF ANY EXISTING SIGNS THAT WILL BE IN CONFLICT WITH THE NEW ROADWAY OR NEW SIGNAGE.

Y QUANTITY NOTES

ALL REMOVED ITEMS RELATED TO CLEARING AND GRUBBING SHALL NOT BE DISPOSED IN ROCK CREEK. REMOVED ITEMS SHALL BE DISPOSED OFF SITE.

ENERAL CONSTRUCTION NOTES

NY ITEMS OF WORK NOT COVERED BY A PAY ITEM SHALL BE CONSIDERED INCIDENTAL TO OTHER PAY ITEMS.

	COL
NO.	NC
01(A)	120
02(A)	220
02(D)	250
05(A)	620
21(B)	230
21(C)	240
21(E)	260
21(F)	272
21(G)	280
28	511
29	610
30(A)	720
32(B)	930
33(A)	020
41	310
03(A)	120
10(B)	530
26(B)	130
07(B)	730
11(B)	133
11(C)	143
09(D)	050
10(B)	530
11(G)	035
13(A)	520
13(M)	696
19(A)	620
19(B)	635
19(B)	636
19(B)	636
23(A)	120
23(F)	172
23(G)	180
24(A)	320
24(C)	340
24(D)	350
24(D)	SPEC
29(D)	750
PEC.	SPEC

642(B) 3300

220	1
242	4
641	2

805(A)	32
850(A)	12
851(B)	23
853	51
855(A)	72
855(A)	72
855(A)	72
856(A)	82
856(A)	82
856(A)	82
880(1)	71

	PAY QUANTITIES - ROADWAY			
Е				
	DESCRIPTION		UNIT	QUANTITY
0		(1)	LSUM	1.00
0			CY	1,824.00
0			CY	2,665.00
0		(R-4)	LSUM	1.00
0		(R-8)		2,546.00
0		(R-8)	EA	4.00
0		(R-0)		144.00
0		(R-0)		570.00
5		(K-0)		370.00
0				506.00
0		(P 7)		10 020 00
0		(R-1)		10,929.00
0		(R-11)		4.00
0		(R-15)		4.00
0		(11-13)		786.00
0			ev	4 928 00
0			ST SV	4,928.00
0		(R.25)	GAL	690.00
0		(R-26)	TON	1 307 00
0	SUPERPAVE, TYPE SA(PG 64-22 OK)	(R-26)	TON	505.00
0		(R-33)	CV	86.00
0	6" CONCRETE DRIVEWAY (HES)	(14-55)	SV	358.00
0	INI ET (SMD_TYPE 1)	(R-35)	FA	4.00
8		(14-55)		661.00
0			FΔ	6.00
0	REMOVAL OF STRUCTURES & OBSTRUCTIONS	(R-39.40)	LSUM	1.00
2		(R-40)	IF	1 961 00
0		(R-40)	SY	167.00
4		(R-40)	SY	2 753 00
0	BEAM GUARDRAIL W-BEAM SINGLE	(1110)	IF	50.00
4			FA	4 00
0	GUARDRAIL FND TREATMENT (GET)		FA	4.00
0	FENCE-STYLE WWF	(R-43)	LF	167.00
5	FENCE-STYLE SWF (5 BARBED WIRE)	(R-43,44)	LF	2.030.00
0	GATE, GALVANIZED STEEL	(EA	1.00
IAL	REMOVE & RESET GATE		EA	1.00
0	REMOVE AND RESET MAILBOX		EA	2.00
IAL	FLEXAMAT, COMPLETE IN PLACE		SY	502.00
	PAY OUANTITIES - SURVEY			
0			ISHM	1.00
0			LOOM	1.00
	PAT QUANTITIES - CONSTRUCTION			
0	SWPPP DOCUMENTATION AND MANAGEMENT		LSUM	1.00
1	STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION EXIT		EA	2.00
0	MOBILIZATION		LSUM	1.00
	PAY QUANTITIES - TRAFFIC			
2	(PL)REMOVAL OF EXISTING SIGNS	(TS-34)	EA	4.00
0	SHEET ALUMINUM SIGNS		SF	6.25
0	2 1/2"@5.79 GALV.STL.PIPE POST		LF	21.00
5	DELINEATORS(TYPE 2, CODE 1)		EA	8.00
0	TRAFFIC STRIPE(PLASTIC)(4" WIDE)	(TS-19)	LF	4,500.00
4	TRAFFIC STRIPE(PLASTIC)(6" WIDE)	(TS-20)	LF	2,319.00
2	TRAFFIC STRIPE(PLASTIC)(12" WIDE)	(TS-22)	LF	526.00
0	TRAFFIC STRIPE(MULTI-POLY.)(4" WIDE)	(TS-24)	LF	404.00
4	TRAFFIC STRIPE(MULTI-POLY.)(6" WIDE)	(TS-25)	LF	202.00
2	TRAFFIC STRIPE(MULTI-POLY)(12" WIDE)	(TS-27)	LF	51.00
0	CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC CONTROL	(TC-25)	LSUM	1.00



								SIG	N SUMMA	RY				
						SIGN	THICKN	ESS	POSTS					
						0.063"	0.080"	0.100"	14	GA.	12	GA.	GUARDRAIL	
SIGN NO. ALIGNMENT		INT Station		SIGN TYPE		SHEET ALUMINUM SIGNS		2-1/4" SQUARE 2-1/2" SQUARE TUBE POST TUBE POST		QUARE POST	DELINEATORS (TYPE2, CODE1)	REMARKS		
						850(A)			851(C)				853	
									POST A	POST B	POST A	POST B		
				(STD.)		(S.F.)	(S.F.)	(S.F.)	(L.F.)	(L.F.)	(L.F.)	(L.F.)	(EA.)	
1	60th CRL	14+50	Rt	I-3		-	3.125	-	-	-	10.5	-		INSTALL NEW SIGN (Rock Creek)
2		16+60	Lt	I-3		-	3.125	-	-	-	10.5	-		INSTALL NEW SIGN (Rock Creek)
		14+30 TO 14+70) Lt	Type 2, Code 1		-	-	-	=	-	-	-	2	INSTALL GUARDRAIL DELINEATOR UNITS
		14+33 TO 14+70	Rt	Type 2, Code 1		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	INSTALL GUARDRAIL DELINEATOR UNITS
		16+31 TO 16+70) Lt	Type 2, Code 1		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	INSTALL GUARDRAIL DELINEATOR UNITS
		16+31 TO 16+71	Rt	Type 2, Code 1		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	INSTALL GUARDRAIL DELINEATOR UNITS
				SUB	B TOTALS:	0	6.25	0	0	0	21	0	8	
					TOTALS:	6.25			0.00		21.00		8	

			S	SUMMA	RY OF	DRIV	ΕW	/AY	΄S	
	LOCATION			TYPE	WIDTH	LENGTH	ILUVA	ווחאאו	6" CONCRETE DRIVEWAY 610(B)	REMARKS
ALIGNMENT	STATION	LT	RT		FT	FT	LT	RT	SY	
60th CRL	12+33.14		Х	Drive	22	51.34	20	20	145.00	
	14+03.90		X	Drive	14	55.91	15	15	103.00	
	14+10.36	X		Drive	14	63.43	15	15	110.00	
						1	OTA	LS:	358.00	

			SUM	MARY OF R	EMOV	ALS	
SUMMARY OF MAILBO	DXES				REMOVAL OF FENCE 619(B)	REMOVAL OF CONCRETE PAVEMENT 619(B)	REMOVAL OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT 619(B)
	29(E	STATION	TO	STATION	LF	SY	SY
	S 6	10+79.70	TO	15+15.59			1,167.45
	E 8 XE	15+83.99	ТО	22+31.13			1,585.05
		12+30.58	TO			166.09	
		10+50.91	TO	11+95.97	148.86		
	H 2	12+57.38	TO	15+02.02	284.07		
	54	12+58.99	TO	14+24.19	191.02		
STATION AND LOCATION	EA	15+73.67	TO	22+31.13	658.65		
11+61.72 11' Lt	1.00	15+85.32	TO	22+31.13	648.94		
14+16.11 5' Lt	1.00	16+17.77	TO	16+17.77	29.20		
TOTALS:	2.00			TOTALS:	1,960.74	166.09	2,752.50

		SU	MMARY OF	FENCE			
SHEET				FENCE STYLE WWF 624(A)	FENCE STYLE SWF (5BW) 624(C)	GATE, GALVANIZED STEEL 624(D)	REMOVE & RESET GATE 624(D)
NO.	STATION	TO	STATION	LF	LF	EA	EA
R002	10+50.91	ТО	11+96.00	163.00	-	-	-
R002	12+58.69	TO	14+79.16	-	264.00	1.00	-
R002	12+60.45	TO	14+79.13	-	287.00	-	1.00
R002	16+23.91	TO	22+32.71	-	697.00	-	-
R002	16+24.14	ТО	22+99.69	-	742.00	-	-
			TOTALS:	163.00	1,990.00	1.00	1.00

						SUM	MARY OF D	RAINAGE STRUCT	URES									
STRUCTURE NO.	ALIGNMENT	STATION			DESCRIPTION			DESIGN STANDARD	TYPE 1-A PLAIN RIPRAP	TYPE 1-A FILTER BLANKET	FILTER FABRIC (RIPRAP)	INLET (SMD-TYPE 2)	18" R.C. PIPE CLASS III	A4	* STANDARD BEDDING MATERIAL, CLASS B CO	* TRENCH EXCAVATION	STRUCTURE NO.	REMARKS
									601(B) TON	601(C) TON	601(I) SY	611(G) EA	613(A) LF	613(M) EA	CY	CY		
1	60th CRL	13+50.00	SIDE DRAIN	CONST. 1 -	18" x 158.6 L.F. RCI	P W/ 1 & 1	CET SMD I	PSMD-2-2, SMD-4-2, CET4S-4-2, SPI-5-2, PBB-1- 2, FHTCP-4-1				1.00	158.62	1.00	44.00	112.00	1	
2	60th CRL	13+80.04	SIDE DRAIN	CONST. 1 -	18" x 134.1 L.F. RCI	P W/ 1 & 1	CET SMD I	PSMD-2-2, SMD-4-2, CET4S-4-2, SPI-5-2, PBB-1- 2, FHTCP-4-1				1.00	134.12	1.00	37.00	94.00	2	
3	60th CRL	17+50.00	SIDE DRAIN	CONST. 1 -	18" x 169.5 L.F. RC	P W/ 1 & 1	CET SMD I	PSMD-2-2, SMD-4-2, CET4S-4-2, SPI-5-2, PBB-1- 2, FHTCP-4-1				1.00	169.52	1.00	47.00	119.00	3	
4	60th CRL	17+50.00	SIDE DRAIN	CONST. 1 -	18" x 158.4 L.F. RC	P W/ 1 & 1	CET SMD I	PSMD-2-2, SMD-4-2, CET4S-4-2, SPI-5-2, PBB-1- 2, FHTCP-4-1				1.00	158.38	1.00	-	-	4	
5	60th CRL	12+29.97	SIDE DRAIN	CONST. 1 -	18" x 40.3 L.F. RC	P W/ 2	CET	CET4S-4-2, SPI-5-2, PBB-1- 2, FHTCP-4-1					40.30	2.00	12.00	28.00	5	
								TOTAL:	-	-	-	4.00	660.94	6.00	140.00	353.00		

* FOR CONTRACTOR'S INFORMATION ONLY. COST INCLUDED IN PRICE BID FOR PIPE.

Stripin	g Sumr	mary																			
Informatio	n		Yellow The	ermoplastic	(Asphalt)	Yellov	v Multipol	ymer		White The	ermoplastic	(Asphalt)		V	/hite M	ultipolym	er (Concre	ete)	Pave	ment Mar	kings
Sheet	Sta	- Sta	12" Solid	4" Dbl Solid	4" Solid	12" Solid	4" Dbl Solid	4" Solid	24" Solid	12" Solid	6" Solid	# of 10' Dashes	6" Dashes	24" Solid	12" Solid	6" Solid	# of 10' Dashes	6" Dashes	Arrows	Symbols	Words
1 Тор	10+00.00	- 15+50.00	210.53	1,843.60	-	50.46	403.68	-	-	-	921.80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1 Bottom	15+50.00	- 23+00.00	314.73	2,655.58	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,396.66	-	-	-	-	201.84	-	-	-	-	-
		Totals:	525.26	4,499.18	-	50.46	403.68	-	-	-	2,318.46	-	-	-	-	201.84	-	-	-	-	-

	SUMMARY OF EARTHWORK QUANTITIES											
CONSTRUCTION EXTENTS	UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION 202(A)	EMBANKMENT	* EMBANKMENT 15%	EXCESS UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION	UNCLASSIFIED BORROW 202(D)	** WASTE	REMARKS					
	CY	C.Y.	CY	CY	CY	CY						
BOP to EOP	1,824	3,903	4,488	-	2,664							
TOTALS:	1,824	3,903	4,488	-	2,664	-						

	SUM	MAF	RY OF E	DITCH T	REAT	IENT		
					CONC	RETE LINER		
P&P SHEET NO.	STATION AND LOCATION			BOTTOM		DITCH LINER PROTECTION	CLASS C CONCRETE	DES. NO.
			LENGIH	VIDTH	VVALLS	229	509(D)	
			LF	LF	EA	LF	CY	
R002	10+79.70 TO 13+55.00	Lt	275	4	4	275	35.8	2A
R002	10+79.70 TO 14+00.00	Rt	320	4	5	320	41.8	2A
				TOTALS:	9	596	77.6	

1	SUMMARY OF	FLEX	AMAT	
QUEET				FLEXAMAT
NO.	STATION	ТО	STATION	SY
R002	14+16.75	TO	14+79.15	140.14
R002	14+12.09	TO	14+79.15	121.24
R002	16+24.09	TO	16+78.57	90.27
R002	16+23.92	TO	16+78.45	149.66
			TOTALS:	502.00

			ç	SUMMA	RY OF S	SEDIMENT	& EROSIC	ON CONTR	ROL			
						TEMPO	RARY			F	ERMANE	NT
			SILT FENCE 221(C)	SILT DIKE 221(F)	FIBER LOG 221(G)	TEMPORARY ROCK FILTER DAM TYPE 3 221(F)	EROSION CONTROL MAT TYPE 3 228	SEEDING METHOD B 232(B)	VEGETATIVE MULCHING 233(A)	SOLID SLAB SODDING 230(A)	* WATERING	* TYPE-A SALVAGED TOPSOIL
STATION	TO	STATION	LF	LF	LF	CY	SY	AC	AC	SY	K GAL	CY
10+50.32	TO	14+04.05						0.59	0.59	1,426.00	57.10	198.00
14+18.05	TO	15+48.65						0.43	0.43	1,01 <mark>9.0</mark> 0	40.80	142.00
15+87.38	TO	23+00.00						1.17	1.17	2,823.00	113.00	392.00
10+50.67	TO	11+74.70						0.30	0.30	717.00	28.70	100.00
11+88.69	TO	12+19.63						0.07	0.07	156.00	6.30	22.00
12+41.63	TO	14+19.06						0.37	0.37	875.00	35.00	122.00
13+72.81	TO	14+35.95						0.04	0.04	80.00	3.20	11.00
14+54.02	TO	15+23.50						0.30	0.30	704.00	28.20	98.00
15+95.57	TO	23+00.00						1.30	1.30	3,129.00	125.20	435.00
BOP	TO	EOP	2,545.40			185.85	3,648.78					
13+57.89	TO	14+94.33			150.00							
13+79.75	TO	14+98.41			120.00							
16+06.65	TO	17+42.68			150.00							
16+09.45	TO	17+46.62			150.00							
10+79.70	TO	13+60.00		-								
10+79.70	TO	13+90.00		-								
17+50.00	TO	22+31.13		72.00								
17+50.00	TO	22+31.13		72.00								
		TOTALS:	2,545.40	144.00	570.00	185.85	3,648.78	4.57	4.57	10,929.00	437.50	1,520.00

* FOR CONTRACTOR'S INFORMATION ONLY, COST TO BE INCLUDED IN THE PRICE BID FOR OTHER WORK.

REMOVAL	OF STRUCTURES & OBSTRUCTIONS
SURVEY C. L. STATION	DESCRIPTION
11+62.65	Drop Inlet 23.42' Rt
11+81.28	37.29' 15" RCP
12+31.66	37.26' 12" CMP
12+58.58	3" Steel Post 29.04' Rt
14+10.87	40.80' 12" CMP

		SUMMARY	OF SUF	RFACIN	IG QUAN	TITIES		
STATION	AND LO	DCATION	AGGREGATE BASE TYPE A 303(A)	SUBGRADE, METHOD B 310(B)	GEOGRID REINFORCEMENT TYPE 1 326(B)	TACK COAT 407(B)	SUPERPAVE, TYPE S3(PG 64-22 OK) 411(B)	SUPERPAVE, TYPE S4(PG 64-22 OK) 411(C)
			CY	SY	SY	GAL	TON	TON
N		E	CY	SY	SY	GAL	TON	TON
N 10+79.70	IAINLIN TO	E 14+70.75	CY 312.00	SY 1,955.00	SY 1,955.00	GAL 274.00	TON 252.78	TON 200.19
N 10+79.70 16+31.67	TO TO	E 14+70.75 22+31.13	CY 312.00 474.00	SY 1,955.00 2,973.00	SY 1,955.00 2,973.00	GAL 274.00 416.00	TON 252.78 384.28	TON 200.19 304.31
N 10+79.70 16+31.67 10+79.70	TO TO TO TO	E 14+70.75 22+31.13 14+70.75	CY 312.00 474.00	SY 1,955.00 2,973.00 -	SY 1,955.00 2,973.00 -	GAL 274.00 416.00	TON 252.78 384.28 255.31	TON 200.19 304.31
N 10+79.70 16+31.67 10+79.70 16+31.67	TO TO TO TO TO	E 14+70.75 22+31.13 14+70.75 22+31.13	CY 312.00 474.00 -	SY 1,955.00 2,973.00 - -	SY 1,955.00 2,973.00 - -	GAL 274.00 416.00 - -	TON 252.78 384.28 255.31 388.16	TON 200.19 304.31 - -

SUM	MARY	OF GUA	ARD RA	L	
		SUPERPAVE, TYPE S3 (PG 64-22 OK) 411(B)	BEAM GUARDRAIL W-BEAM SINGLE 623(A)	GUARDRAIL ANCHOR UNIT (TYPE D-BF) 623(F)	GUARDRAIL END TREATMENT (GET) 623(G)
STATION AND LOCAT	ON	TON	LF	EA	EA
14+29.92 TO 14+70.75	Lt	6.70	12.50	1.00	1.00
14+32.52 TO 14+70.75	Rt	7.20	12.50	1.00	1.00
16+31.67 TO 16+69.89	Lt	6.20	12.50	1.00	1.00
16+31.67 TO 16+71.43	Rt	6. <mark>1</mark> 0	12.50	1.00	1.00
			50.00		1.00

TH == + IN S RE O TH + -	© 20 HIS DOCUI DEAS AND HEREIN, S ISTRUMEN ERVICE A GARVE F THIS DO E IDEAS A HEREIN, IS AUTHOF GARVER ALLOWEI PROFE AGREEM A #41193 E	23 GARV MENT, AL D DESIGN HALL BE NTS OF F ND ARE ER, LLC. TION, OF OCUMEN' MOD DES B PROHIE RIZED IN , LLC OR D IN THE SSIONAL ENT FOR XPIRES	ER, LLC ONG WI S CONV CONSID PROFESS PROPER ANY USE T, ALONG IGN CON BITED UN WRITING EXPLICI GOVERN SERVIC THIS WO JUNE 30	TH THE EYED ERED GONAL TY OF E, BUTION & WITH TAINED LLESS BY TLY UING ES DRK. 0, 2024	9.5.
		100% PS&E SUBMITTAL			
BΥ					
DESCRIPTION					
DATE					
REV.					
				Nev N	
	OKLAHOMA	jes (R		OVER ROCK CREEK	
J	UMMA OB NO ATE: 1 ESIGN RAMA	.: 22T MAR 2 IED B	0adwa 28060 2024 Y: BD	аў) Э	
L)		E INCH C	INCH ON RAWING	1" SHEET, NGLY.	
GENERAL CONSTRUCTION NOTES:

- 1. ALL WATER LINE CONSTRUCTION INCLUDING MEANS, METHODS, AND MATERIALS SHALL FOLLOW THE CITY OF NORMAN STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS (LATEST EDITION).
- 2. ONLY NORMAN UTILITIES AUTHORITY PERSONNEL MAY OPERATE EXISTING WATER LINE VALVES, INCLUDING FOR FLUSHING OPERATIONS. FOR THE PURPOSES OF FLUSHING NEWLY INSTALLED WATER LINES, NORMAN UTILITIES AUTHORITY CAN TYPICALLY ASSIST WITH OPERATING VALVES WITH A MINIMUM OF 24 HOURS NOTICE.
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE LOCATION AND PROTECTION OF ALL UTILITY LINES AND STRUCTURES REGARDLESS WHETHER OR NOT THEY ARE SHOWN ON THESE PLANS. DURING CONSTRUCTION AND WORK ASSOCIATED WITH THESE PLANS, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CARRY OUT OPERATIONS IN SUCH A MANNER AS TO PRECLUDE DAMAGE TO ANY EXISTING UTILITIES OR STRUCTURES. ANY SUCH DAMAGE SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR AND SHALL BE REPAIRED AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE.
- 4. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY EXACT HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, SPECIFICALLY AT CRITICAL POINTS, PRIOR TO INITIATION OF THE WORK OF THE APPROVED PLANS. VERIFICATION OF SIZE AND CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL (I.E. PVC, DIP, RCP, ETC.) SHALL ALSO BE PERFORMED DURING THESE ACTIVITIES.
- 5. ALL WASTE MATERIAL RECOVERED FROM CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES SHALL BECOME THE CONTRACTOR'S PROPERTY AND SHALL BE DISPOSED OF IN ACCORDANCE WITH FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS. ON A DAILY BASIS THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CLEAN UP AND DISPOSE OF ANY AND ALL SPILLS OF WASTEWATER AND/OR FLUSHING MATERIALS IMMEDIATELY UPON OCCURRENCE. ALL HANDLING AND DISPOSAL SHALL BE ACCOMPLISHED IN ACCORDANCE WITH FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS.
- 6. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY UPON ENCOUNTERING ANY CIRCUMSTANCE THAT MAY RESULT IN A VARIANCE FROM THE APPROVED PLANS. VARIANCE FROM THE PLANS WITHOUT APPROVAL FROM THE OWNER AND ENGINEER SHALL BE AT THE RISK OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- 7. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL WORK SHOWN IN THE PLAN SET, REGARDLESS OF ITS PRESENCE OR ABSENCE IN THE SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES.
- 8. ALL WORK REQUIRING TEMPORARY SHUTDOWN OF WATER SERVICE(S) SHALL BE COMPLETED AT LOW DEMAND TIMES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF SEVEN (7) DAYS NOTICE FOLLOWED BY THREE (3) DAYS NOTICE TO THE CITY AND THE AFFECTED PROPERTY OWNERS PRIOR TO TAKING ANY WATER LINE OUT OF SERVICE, OR TEMPORARY DISRUPTION OF SERVICE. UPON RECEIPT OF 7-DAY NOTICE, NORMAN UTILITY AUTHORITY RESERVES THE RIGHT TO REQUIRE THAT CONTRACTOR CONVENE A COORDINATION MEETING WITH NORMAN UTILITY AUTHORITY PERSONNEL BEFORE SHUTDOWN IS APPROVED.
- 9. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE ALL WORK WITH PROPERTY OWNERS ADJACENT TO, OR IMPACTED BY, THE WORK OF THE PROJECT.
- 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ATTEND ALL MEETINGS SCHEDULED BY THE ENGINEER AND/OR OWNER. MEETINGS SHALL BE ATTENDED BY THE CONTRACTOR'S SUPERINTENDENT OR QUALIFIED REPRESENTATIVE WHO IS AUTHORIZED TO DISCUSS AND MAKE DECISIONS REGARDING THE PROJECT.
- 11. CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE FROM THE PROJECT SITE AND DISPOSE OF ALL CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS DISTURBED DURING CLEARING AND EXCAVATION ON A DAILY BASIS.
- 12. CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL CITY OF NORMAN ORDINANCES WHEN STOCKPILING AND STORING MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT
- 13. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ACQUIRING ANY ADDITIONAL TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENTS NECESSARY TO PERFORM HIS WORK. ALL COST OF SAID TEMPORARY EASEMENTS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN OTHER ITEMS. ALL SURFACE RESTORATION TO SAID TEMPORARY EASEMENTS SHALL BE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE PROPERTY OWNER AND ALL COST OF **RESTORATION SHALL BE INCLUDED IN OTHER ITEMS.**
- 14. THE CONTRACTOR, AT THEIR EXPENSE, SHALL PROVIDE AN ELECTRICAL OR MECHANICAL DEVICE OR USE SUCH OTHER MEANS HE MAY SELECT TO LOCATE ANY HIDDEN UTILITY LINE, OIL OR GAS PIPELINE, WATER PIPELINE, SEWER PIPELINE, COMMUNICATION AND TELEPHONE LINE, AND LOCATE SUCH LINES OR STRUCTURES SHOWN ON THE PLANS AND ANY UNCHARTED LINE OR STRUCTURE WHETHER SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR NOT, AND PROTECT, ADJUST TO GRADE, DISCONNECT AND REPLACE, RELOCATE AND REPLACE, REMOVE, PROVIDE SUPPORTS DURING THE CONSTRUCTION AND SETTLEMENT OF BACKFILL AND PROTECT AGAINST FREEZING OR UNNECESSARY DAMAGE BY THE ELEMENTS OF EXISTING UTILITY LINES, OIL OR GAS PIPELINES, WATER PIPELINES, SEWER PIPELINES, COMMUNICATION AND TELEPHONE LINES, RAILROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY LINES AND OTHER STRUCTURES AND SHALL PAY ALL FEES TO COUNTY. CITY. STATE. OR FEDERAL AGENCIES WHICH MAY BE REQUIRED IN THE PERFORMANCE OF THIS WORK. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAKE SATISFACTORY ARRANGEMENTS WITH THE OWNERS OF SUCH STRUCTURES FOR PERFORMING THE WORK. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT BE ENTITLED TO ANY ADDITIONAL PAYMENT FOR SUCH WORK.
- 15. FOR ANY TREES THAT REQUIRE PRUNING OR LIMBING FOR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE WITH THE CITY OF NORMAN SEVEN (7) DAYS PRIOR TO THE SCHEDULED DATE OF WORK.
- 16. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT AND MAINTAIN TRAFFIC SIGNAL POLES, WIRES, APPURTENANCES AND STRUCTURES.
- 17. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY ENGINEER OF DAMAGE TO UTILITIES AND/OR APPURTENANCES DURING CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO REPAIR AND/OR REPLACE ANY AFFECTED ITEMS.
- 18. PROVIDE VALVES AT BOT ENDS OF WATER CROSSINGS SO THAT THE SECTION CAN BE ISOLATED FOR TESTING OR REPAIR. THE VALVES MUST BE EASILY ACCESSIBLE AND NOT SUBJECT TO FLOODING. THE VALVE CLOSEST TO THE SUPPLY SOURCE MUST BE IN A MANHOLE, AND MAKE PERMANENT TAPS ON EACH SIDE OF THE VALVE WITHIN THE MANHOLE TO ALLOW INSERTION OF A SMALL METER FOR TESTING TO DETERMINE LEAKAGE AND FOR SAMPLING PURPOSES.

PAY ITEN

MNOTES

W1. TRENCHING, BEDDING, SELECT BACKFILL MATERIAL, TRACER WIRE, HYDROSTATIC PRESSURE TESTING, AND DISINFECTION SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE COST OF THE PIPE. HYDROSTATIC PRESSURE TESTING AND DISINFECTION SHALL BE PERFORMED TO ODEQ STANDARDS.

W2. TREE REMOVAL, IF NECESSARY, SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE COST OF MOBILIZATION/DEMOLITION.

W3. COST SHALL INCLUDE ALL FITTINGS (E.G. SOLID SLEEVE), MATERIALS, LABOR, AND EQUIPMENT NECESSARY TO MAKE THE CONNECTION TO THE EXISTING WATER MAIN.

W4. COST FOR BACKFILL ROCK UNDER ALL PAVEMENT TO BE INCLUDED IN THIS PAY ITEM AND WILL NOT BE PAID FOR SEPARATELY.

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY
1	(12-INCH) POLYVINYL CHLORIDE PIPE (DR-18) BY OPEN TRENCH (W1),(W-4)	LF	886.00
2	BORING WITH STEEL CASING PIPE (W/ 12" CARRIER PIPE)	LF	70.00
3	REMOVE AND RELOCATE FIRE HYDRANT	EA	2.00
4	12" SOLID SLEEVE	EA	2.00
5	12" X 6" TEE (MJ)	EA	2.00
6	6" GATE VALVE (MJ)	EA	2.00
7	12" GATE VALVE (MJ)	EA	4.00
8	WET CONNECTION (12") (W3)	EA	2.00
9	12" X 45° BEND (MJ)	EA	8.00
10	12" x 11 1/4° BEND (MJ)	EA	2.00
11	PRE-/POST-CONSTRUCTION AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDING	LS	1.00
12	SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL	LS	1.00
13	MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION (W-2)	LS	1.00
14	SOLID SLAB SODDING	SY	985.00
15	LEAK DETECTION BY-PASS METER ASSEMBLY (COMPLETE)	EA	1.00



LEAK DETECTION BY-PASS METER ASSEMBLY FOR UNDERWATER CROSSINGS

STD. METER BOX 20" DIA. AND COVER METER IS NOT INSTALLED AS PART OF THIS DETAIL

3/4" COPPER TUBING

3/4" CORPORATION STOP AND 45 DEG, ADAPTER BEND (2 REQ'D.) TAPPING SADDLE (2 REO'D)

OR DIRECT TAP DRECTION OF PIPELINE WATER FLOW





INDEX OF SHEETS

SHEET NO.

AB01-AB02 B001-B002 B003 B004 B005-B007 B008 B009 B010 B011 B012 B013 B014 B015 B016-B017 B018 B019-B020 B021

TITLE SUMMARY OF PAY QUANTITIES AND NOTES (BRIDGE) GENERAL PLAN AND ELEVATION SUBSURFACE PROFILE STAKING DIAGRAM ABUTMENT DETAILS ABUTMENT EXCAVATION AND UNDERDRAIN DETAILS TYPICAL SECTION LONGITUDINAL SECTION DECK LAYOUT DECK TURNDOWN DETAILS PARAPET DETAILS DIAPHRAGM DETAILS FRAMING PLAN BEAM DETAILS BEARING DETAILS APPROACH SLAB DETAILS DRAIN DETAILS



EJ-DTL-02E CB26..32-C..I-SKO..30-GRAU-BC-00E

CLASS AA CLASS A C REINFORC STRUCTUF STRUCTUR

SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES					
ITEM	UNIT	ABUTMENTS	SUPER- STRUCTURE	APPROACH SLABS	TOTAL
SUBSTRUCTURE EXCAVATION COMMON	CY	245.00	-	-	245.00
CLSMBACKFILL	CY	305.80	-	-	305.80
PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS (TYPE IV)	LF	-	598.00	-	598.00
APPROACH SLAB	SY	-	-	387.80	387.80
SAW-CUT GROOVING	SY	-	627.00	374.00	1,001.00
CONCRETE RAIL (TR3)	LF	-	201.50	120.00	321.50
STRUCTURAL STEEL	LB	-	660.00	-	660.00
STAINLESS STEEL FIXED BEARING ASSEMBLY	EA	-	6.00	-	6.00
STAINLESS STEEL EXP. BEARING ASSEMBLY	EA	-	6.00	-	6.00
CLASS AA CONCRETE	CY	-	160.30	-	160.30
CLASS A CONCRETE	CY	130.00	-	-	130.00
CLASS C CONCRETE	CY	1.00	-	-	1.00
EPOXY COATED REINFORCING STEEL	LB	20,040.00	44,080.00	-	64,120.00
PILES, FURNISHED (HP 10X42)	LF	248.00	-	-	248.00
PILES, FURNISHED (HP 12X53)	LF	1,298.00	-	-	1,298.00
PILES, DRIVEN (HP 10X42)	LF	248.00	-	-	248.00
PILES, DRIVEN (HP 12X53)	LF	1,298.00	-	-	1,298.00
PILE SPLICE, H-PILE (NON-BIDDABLE)	EA	-	-	-	1.00
WATER REPELLENT (VISUALLY INSPECTED)	SY	82.00	341.00	50.00	473.00
ELASTOMERIC COATING	SF	634.00	-	-	634.00
SEALED EXPANSION JOINTS	LF	-	57.80	-	57.80
TYPE I-A PLAIN RIPRAP	TON	880.00	-	-	880.00
TYPE I-A FILTER BLANKET	TON	180.00	-	-	180.00
6" PERFORATED PIPE UNDERDRAIN ROUND	LF	120.00	-	-	120.00
6" NON-PERF.PIPE UNDERDRAIN RND.	LF	55.00	-	-	55.00
REMOVAL OF EXISTING BRIDGE STRUCTURE	LSUM	-	-	-	1.00

DESIGN DATA (LOAD AND RESISTANCE FACTOR DESIGN)

CLASS AA CONCRETE
CLASS A CONCRETE
REINFORCING STEEL (GRADE 60)
STRUCTURAL STEEL (M270, GR. 50W)
STRUCTURAL STEEL (PILING) (M270, GR. 50)
STAINLESS STEEL A240 (TYPE 316)

F'C = 4,000 P.S.I.F'C = 3,000 P.S.I. FY = 60,000 P.S.I. FY = 50,000 P.S.I. FY = 50,000 P.S.I. FY = 30,000 P.S.I.

LOADING: HL93 AND 20 P.S.F. FUTURE WEARING SURFACE OR OKLAHOMA OVERLOAD TRUCK, 20 P.S.F. FUTURE WEARING SURFACE, AND 5 P.S.F. STAY-IN-PLACE FORMS.

DESIGN: AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS, 9TH EDITION WITH CURRENT INTERIMS.

ANSI/AASHTO/AWS: D1.5 BRIDGE WELDING CODE

ANSI/AASHTO/AWS: D1.6 STRUCTURAL WELDING CODE - STAINLESS STEEL

LFD OPERATING RATING: HS 65.2

FOUNDATION DATA ABUTMENT CAPS (HP 12X53 PILING) ①

	ABUTMENT NO. 1	ABUTMENT NO. 2	
FACTORED PILE REACTION (TONS/PILE) PILE LENGTH (FEET)	= 87.8 = 49.0	= 87.8 = 69.0	

1 ALL ABUTMENT PILING SHALL BE DRIVEN THROUGH COMPACTED FILL. PILING SHAL BE DRIVEN TO POINT BEARING ON SOLID FOUNDATION MATERIAL AT THE APPROXIMATE ELEVATION SHOWN ON THE PLANS. IF THE AXIAL LOAD RESISTANCE IS NOT OBTAINED AT THIS ELEVATION, DRIVING SHALL CONTINUE UNTIL THE AXIA LOAD RESISTANCE IS OBTAINED. THE LENGTH OF THE STEEL PILING SHOWN ON TH PLANS IS FOR ESTIMATING PURPOSES ONLY.



VERTICAL CURVE PRO

LL CE L	H FREQ. 2 5 10 25 50 100	YDRAULIC Q (CFS) 1,073 2,002 2,801 3,984 5,218 6,319	SUMMAR CHW (FT) 1060.91 1063.59 1065.36 1067.54 1069.49 1070.82	Y (FPS) 7.37 8.67 9.39 9.96 10.14 10.13	© 2023 G THIS DOCUMENT IDEAS AND DET HEREIN, SHALL INSTRUMENTS O SERVICE AND A GARVER, LL ALLOWED IN PROFESSIO AGREEMENT	ARVER, LLC T, ALONG WITH THE SIGNS CONVEYED BE CONSIDERED OF PROFESSIONAL ARE PROPERTY OF LLC. ANY USE, I, OR DISTRIBUTION MENT, ALONG WITH DESIGN CONTAINED DHIBITED UNLESS D IN WRITING BY COR EXPLICITLY THE GOVERNING WAL SERVICES FOR THIS WORK.
					CA #4193 EXPI	100% BS&E SUBMITTAL 100% PS&E SUBMITTAL
					BY	
					DESCRIPTION	
					. DATE	
	3+00.83	6+60.00			REV	
, 2	P.V.C. STA. 16 ELEV. 1076.99	118 35'	P.V.T. STA. 17+19.18 ELEV. 1074.27		CLEVELAND COUNTY, OKLAHOMA	60TH AVENUE NE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT OVER ROCK CREEK
OFIL	E DATA	110.00			GENER & ELE (SHEET CONSTRUCT BEAM SPAN RAIL (TR3) W ROADWAY AT STA.	AL PLAN VATION 7 2 OF 2) NEW 100' P.C. W/ CONCRETE // 56'-0" CLEAR @ STRUCTURE 15+51.21
					JOB NO.: 2 DATE: MAR DESIGNED DRAWN BY	2T28060 RCH 2023 DBY: JTR Y: NBK
					DRAWIN	CH ON THIS SHEET, ES ACCORDINGLY.
					SHEET NUMBER	B002

75

		BORING NO STA. 14+90.00, TOTAL DEPTH =	<u>O. B-1</u> 10.00' RT. = 80.0 FT.		BORING NC STA. 16+05.00, 5 TOTAL DEPTH =	<mark>). B-2</mark> 5.00' LT. 105.0 FT.
1075.0						
		1072.0	— SPT-1; R=18; N=19; WC=9.0%; PF=25.0% 1071.0		1071.0	
1070.0			— SPT-2; R=18; N=8; WC=13.2% 1068.5	<u>CLAYEY SAND (SC)</u> BROWNISH RED, MEDIUM DENSE		- SPT-1; R=18; N=19; WC=10.4%; 1070.0 SPT-2: R=18: N=6: WC=13.7%; I I =25: PI =14:
1005.0	REDDISH DARK BROWN, LOOSE BELOW 6'		— SPT-3; R=18; N=4; WC=12.6%; PF=44.0% 1066.0	LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) BROWNISH RED. MEDIUM STIFF	1067.5	PI=11; PF=83.0% 1067.5
1065.0	RED, VERY LOOSE BELOW 8.5'		— SPT-4; R=18; N=3; WC=11.1% 1063.5	DARK BROWN BELOW 6'	1000 5	- SPT-3; R=14; N=6; WC=10.2%; 1065.0 SPT-4: R=15: N=14: WC=14.4%: LL=36: PL=16:
1000.0	<u>SILTY SAND (SM)</u> BROWNISH RED. MEDIUM DENSE				1062.5	PI=20; PF=92.0% 1062.5
1060.0	MEDIUM DENSE BELOW 13.5'		SPT-5; R=18; N=12; WC=12.6%; LL=17; PL=14; PI=3: PF=35.0% 1058.5			ODT = C = 10 N = 11 N/O = 10 O0/c = 10 C = 20
1055.0	$\overline{\mathbb{V}}$ water level after drilling	1057.0		DARK BROWN, STIFF		- SPT-5; R=16; N=11; WC=12.2%; 1057.5
1000.0		1053.5	— SPT-6; R=6; N=3; WC=16.3% 1053.5		1052 5	_ SPT-6; R=6; N=5; WC=20.3%; LL=20; PL=12;
1050.0	<u>CLAYEY SAND</u> DARK BROWNISH RED, VERY LOOSE			\bigvee WATER LEVEL WHILE DRILLING	1051.0	PI=8; PF=53.0% 1052.5
	\sum water level while drilling	1048.5 1048.5 —	— SPT-7; R=14; N=5; WC=18.6%; PF=24.0% 1048.5	DARK BROWN BELOW 23.5' SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL)		- SPT-7; R=12; N=6; WC=17.7%; 1047.5
1045.0	VERY LOOSE BELOW 28.5'		— SPT-8; R=18; N=2; WC=19.9% 1043.5	BROWNISH RED, SOFT	10.4.2 5	SPT-8; R=18; N=5; WC=23.8%; LL=28; PL=12;
1040.0	<u>SILTY SAND (SM)</u> RED, LOOSE				1042.5	PI=16; PF=72.0% 1042.5
1040.0		1038.5	SPT-9; R=18; N=3; WC=22.1%; LL=20; PL=12; PI=8; PF=47.0% 1038.5	DARK BROWN STIEF BELOW 33.5		- SPT 0. P-18. N-0. WC-25.6% 1037.5
1035.0	<u>CLAYEY SAND (SC)</u> REDDISH BROWN, VERY LOOSE			LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL)		SF T-9, IX-10, IN-9, WC-20.070, 1007.0
		1033.5	— SPT-10; R=13; N=2; WC=24.3% 1033.5	REDDISH DARK BROWN, SOFT SOFT BELOW 38.5'		_ SPT-10; R=16; N=4; WC=23.9%; LL=25; PL=12; PI=13; PF=73.0% 1032.5
1030.0	<u>SILTY SAND (SM)</u> REDDISH BROWN, VERY LOOSE		SPT-11; R=18; N=10; WC=21.5%; LL=18; PL=15;			
1025.0	TRACE SANDSTONE FRAGMENTS, MEDIUM DENSE BELOW 43.5'		PI=3, PF=40.0% 1028.5		1027.5	- SPT-11; R=17; N=3; WC=18.7%; 1027.5
1020.0	TOP OF ROCK	1023.5	— SPT-12; N=50/4"; WC=12.9% 1023.5 — TCP-13; N=50/1.00", 50/0.50" 1022.0	BROWNISH RED BELOW 48.5' SILTY CLAYEY SAND (SC-SM)		_ SPT-12; R=18; N=2; WC=20.6%; LL=20; PL=15; PI=5; PF=37.0% 1022.5
			$T \cap D = 14 \cdot N = E \cap (1 \circ O) = E \cap (0 \circ O) = 1017 \cap O$	DARK BROWN, VERY LOOSE		- SPT-13; R=18; N=2; WC=22.6%; 1017.5
1015.0			- TCP-14; N=50/1.88°, 50/0.38° 1017.0		1014 5	
			- TCP-15: N=50/0.63" 50/0.38" 1012.0		1014.5	_ SPT-14; R=18; N=10; WC=19.2%; LL=21; PL=15;
840.0	WEATHERED SILTSTONE		101-10,11-00/0.00,00/0.00 1012.0			11-0,11 -07.070 1012.0
	LIGHT RED, MODERATELY HARD TO HARD		— TCP-16: N=50/0.31", 50/0.06" 1007.0	SANDY SILTY CLAY (CL-ML) BROWNISH RED, STIFF		- SPT-15; R=18; N=10; WC=19.2%; 1007.5
1005.0						
			— TCP-17; N=50/0.63", 50/0.44" 1002.0		1002.5	_ SPT-16; R=18; N=64; WC=12.4%; LL=25; PL=13; PI=12; PF=65.0% 1002.5
1000.0				/\\///\\\ TOP OF ROCK	- 1002.5	
			— TCP-18; N=50/0.38", 50/0.06" 997.0			- SPT-17; N=42-50/1.00"; WC=11.9% 997.5
995.0						- TCP-18; N=50/1.06", 50/0.25" 996.0
		992.0	— TCP-19; N=50/0.00", 50/0.00" 992.0			
990.0						- TCP-19; N=50/1.50", 50/1.00" 991.0
						- TCP-20; N=50/0.38", 50/0.19" 986.0
985.0				WEATHERED SILTSTONE BROWNISH RED, SOFT TO HARD		
						- TCP-21; N=50/0.50", 50/0.19" 981.0
980.0						
075.0						- TCP-22; N=50/0.88", 50/0.38" 976.0
9/5.0						
070 0						- TCP-23; N=50/0.44", 50/0.25" 971.0
370.0						
965 N					966.0	- TCP-24; N=50/0.88", 50/0.19" 966.0
960.0						

ΡI PF

Ν

LL

 \bigtriangledown \mathbf{V}

NOTES: WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS SHOWN WERE OBTAINED AT THE TIME THE BORINGS WERE DRILLED AND MAY FLUCTUATE THROUGHOUT THE YEAR. TOP OF ROCK LINE SHOWN FOR ESTIMATING PURPOSES ONLY.

ROCK CLASSIFICATION IS BASED ON DRILLING CHARACTERISTICS AND VISUAL OBSERVATION OF ROCK CORE SAMPLES. PETROGRAPHIC ANALYSIS OF THIN SECTIONS OF THE ROCK CORE SAMPLES MAY REVEAL OTHER TYPES.

ALL GEOTECHNICAL INFORMATION CONTAINED ON THIS SHEET IS COVERED BY THE ENGINEERING SEAL AFFIXED TO AN ORIGINAL GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT THAT HAS BEEN STAMPED AND SEALED BY A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER LICENSED IN OKLAHOMA. TO OBTAIN A COPY OF THE COMPLETE REPORT, CONTACT THE CITY OF NORMAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT AT (405) 366-5452. THE CONTRACTOR SHOULD BE FULLY AWARE OF THE SITE CONDITIONS PRIOR TO BEGINNING WORK. ANY ADDITIONAL GEOTECNICAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE DESIRED IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.



76

<u>LEGEND</u>

SPT = STANDARD PENETRATION TEST, ASTM D1586

- = NUMBER OF BLOWS PER 12 INCHES
- WC = WATER CONTENT = LIQUID LIMIT
 - = PLASTICITY INDEX
- PF = PERCENT FINES TCP = TEXAS CONE PENETROMETER

= WATER LEVEL WHILE DRILLING OR SAMPLING

= WATER LEVEL AFTER DRILLING

= TOP OF ROCK

GEOTECHNICAL REPORT



. FOR DETAILS SEE STD.	TOP OF PIL AT ABUT	TOP OF PILE SCHEDULE AT ABUTMENT NO. 2		
LL PILE LOCATIONS.	PILE	ELEVATION		
L BE PERPENDICULAR TO	В	1072.28		
	САР	1068.77		





ELEVATION (ABUTMENT NO. 1 LOOKING BACK STATION SHOWN, ABUTMENT NO. 2 LOOKING FORWARD STATION SIMILAR BUT OPPOSITE HAND)

•	1011.00	
2	1070.69	1
	·	

TABLE OF VARIABLES								
ABUT. NO.	STA. "A"	ELEV. "B"	ELEV. "C"	ELEV. "D"	ELEV. "E"			
1	15+00.75	1076.90	1076.32	1076.32	1071.43			
2	2 16+00.92 1075.91 1075.32 1075.32 1070.44							

STUD

2

OF QUANT	OF QUANTITIES - ABUTMENTS						
	UNIT	ABUT. NO. 1	ABUT. NO. 2	TOTAL			
	CY	125.00	120.00	245.00			
	CY	155.80	150.00	305.80			
	CY	65.30	64.70	130.00			
	CY	0.50	0.50	1.00			
	LB	10,120.00	9,920.00	20,040.00			
	LF	104.00	144.00	248.00			
	LF	539.00	759.00	1,298.00			
	LF	104.00	144.00	248.00			
	LF	539.00	759.00	1,298.00			
)	SY	41.00	41.00	82.00			
	SF	317.00	317.00	634.00			
	TON	380.00	500.00	880.00			
	TON	80.00	100.00	180.00			
	LF	60.00	60.00	120.00			
	LF	22.00	33.00	55.00			

BEAM SEAT ELEVATIONS							
A-2	A-3	A-4	A-5	A-6			
1071.88	1072.08	1072.08	1071.88	1071.68			
1070.89	1071.09	1071.09	1070.89	1070.69			

				ltem 5.			
THI DHI INS SE FFE THE CA	© 20 S DOCUI EAS AND EREIN, S STRUMEI RVICE A GARV PRODUC THIS DC ENCIDE AGREEM AUTHOF GARVER AUTHOF GARVER AUTHOF HAU	23 GARV MENT, AL D DESIGN HALL BE NTS OF F ND ARE ER, LLC. TION, OF DCUMENT AND DES SO PROHIE RIZED IN , LLC OR D IN THE SSIONAL ENT FOR EXPIRES	ER, LLC ONG WI IS CONV CONSID ROFESS PROPER ANY USE OISTRIE T, ALONG GN CON BITED UN WRITING GOVERI SERVIC THIS WO JUNE 30	TH THE EYED ERED SIONAL TY OF E, BUTION WITH TAINED LESS BY TLY VING ES DRK. 0, 2024			
	100% PS&E SUBMITT						
BY							
DESCRIPTION							
DATE							
REV							
				C SN			
CLEVELAND COUNTY.	OKLAHOMA		60TH AVENUE NE	OVER ROCK CREEK			
(AB D SHE	UTM ETAI ET 1	ENT LS OF	3)			
JC DA DE DF	DB NO ATE: S ESIGN RAWN	DEPT. SEPT. IED B I BY:	28060 2023 Y: JTI WDW) 7			
IF A	0 ■ NOT ONI DJUST S	GINAL DI E INCH C CALES A	N THIS S CCORDII	1" SHEET, NGLY. ER			
	SHEE IUMB	T ER	B00)5			
<u> </u>				78			



	BAR LIST - ABUTMENT NO. 1								
	MARK	SIZE	NO.	FORM	LENGTH	LENGTH VARIATION			
_			EPO>	Y COAT	ED REINFORCING S	TEEL			
(1)	BH1	#4	12	STR.	62'-10"	-			
\bigcirc	BH2	#4	8	BENT	64'-2"	-			
2	BH3	#10	12	STR.	69'-0"	-			
	BH4	#4	33	BENT	5'-1"	-			
_	BH5	#5	22	BENT	7'-10"	-			
3	BV1	#4	60	STR.	9'-4½" AVG.	9'-1" TO 9'-8"			
3	BV2	#5	60	STR.	9'-4½" AVG.	9'-1" TO 9'-8"			
_	BV3	#4	16	STR.	10'-2"	-			
	BV4	#4	4	STR.	9'-1"	-			
	BV5	#5	60	BENT	3'-0"	-			
	P1	#4	36	BENT	5'-7"	-			
	P2	#4	24	BENT	7'-3"	-			
	PH	#4	2	BENT	14'-9"	-			
	S1	#5	124	BENT	11'-3"	-			
	SC	#4	4	BENT	3'-3"	-			
(4)	WT1	#5	2	BENT	11'-10"	-			
	WT2	#5	6	BENT	12'-0" AVG.	9'-0" TO 15'-0"			
	WT3	#5	12	BENT	18'-0"	-			
	WT4	#5	26	BENT	12'-0"	-			

	MARK	SIZE	NO	FORM	I ENGTH	I ENGTH VARIATION			
		0122	EPO	XY COAT	ED REINFORCING S	TEEL			
(1)	BH1	#4	12	STR.	62'-10"	_			
$\overline{1}$	BH2	#4	8	BENT	64'-2"	_			
$\tilde{2}$	BH3	#10	12	STR.	69'-0"	_			
Ŭ	BH4	#4	33	BENT	5'-1"	_			
	BH5	#5	22	BENT	7'-10"	-			
3	BV1	#4	60	STR.	9'-4½" AVG.	9'-1" TO 9'-8"			
3	BV2	#5	60	STR.	9'-4½" AVG.	9'-1" TO 9'-8"			
•	BV3	#4	16	STR.	10'-2"	-			
	BV4	#4	4	STR.	9'-1"	-			
	P1	#4	36	BENT	5'-7"	-			
	P2	#4	24	BENT	7'-3"	-			
	PH	#4	2	BENT	14'-9"	-			
	S1	#5	124	BENT	11'-3"	-			
	SC	#4	4	BENT	3'-3"	-			
	WT1	#5	2	BENT	11'-10"	-			
(4)	WT2	#5	6	BENT	12'-0" AVG.	9'-0" TO 15'-0"			
_	WT3	#5	12	BENT	18'-0"	-			
	WT4	#5	26	BENT	12'-0"	-			
	 INCLUDES ONE 2'-2" LAP LENGTH INCLUDES ONE 8'-4" LAP LENGTH 2 SETS OF 30 2 SETS OF 2 								







Т				ltem 5.		
T R TT C	© 2023 GARVER, LLC CHIS DOCUMENT, ALONG WITH THE IDEAS AND DESIGNS CONVEYED HEREIN, SHALL BE CONSIDERED INSTRUMENTS OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AND ARE PROPERTY OF GARVER, LLC. ANY USE, REPRODUCTION, OR DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT, ALONG WITH THE IDEAS AND DESIGN CONTAINED HEREIN, IS PROHIBITED UNLESS AUTHORIZED IN WRITING BY GARVER, LLC OR EXPLICITLY ALLOWED IN THE GOVERNING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT FOR THIS WORK.					
	100% PS&E SUBMITTAL					
, М	5					
DESCRIPTION						
DATE						
RFV						
				CASIV		
	OKLAHOMA		60TH AVENUE NE	OVER ROCK CREEK		
	ABUTMENT DETAILS (SHEET 2 OF 3)					
J C C	OB NO DATE: \$ DESIGN DRAWN).: 22T SEPT. IED B I BY: '	28060 2023 Y: JTI WDW	D R		
	BAR ORI 0 IF NOT ONI ADJUST S DRAW	GINAL DI E INCH O CALES A		1" SHEET, NGLY.		
┝	SHEE	T ER	B00)6		



WINGWALL - TABLE OF VARIABLES						
LOCATION STA. "A" STA. "B" ELEV. "C" ELEV. "D" LENGTH "E" LENGTH "F"						
ABUTMENT NO. 1	15+00.75	14+78.50	1077.40	1077.66	5'-45⁄8"	10'-105⁄8"
ABUTMENT NO. 2	16+00.92	16+23.17	1076.41	1076.13	4'-10¼"	10'-4¼"

	MARK	SIZE	NO.	FORM	LENGTH	LENGTH VARIATION			
		EPOXY COATED REINFORCING STEEL							
	WH1	#5	22	STR.	19'-2"	-			
2	WH2	#5	16	STR.	10'-7" AVG.	6'-0" TO 15'-2"			
-	WH3	#5	2	BENT	20'-0"	-			
	WP1	#4	3	BENT	8'-8"	-			
	WP2	#4	4	STR.	1'-7"	-			
	WV1	#4	6	STR.	4'-5"	-			
3	WV2	#4	34	STR.	7'-3½" AVG.	4'-6" TO 10'-1"			

31	AR LIST - ABUTMENT NO. TWING ()						
	NO.	FORM	LENGTH	LENGTH VARIATION			
	EPOXY COATED REINFORCING STEEL						
	22	STR.	19'-2"	-			
	16	STR.	10'-7" AVG.	6'-0" TO 15'-2"			
	2	BENT	20'-0"	-			
	3	BENT	8'-8"	-			
	4	STR.	1'-7"	-			
	6	STR.	4'-11"	-			
	34	STR.	7'-6" AVG.	4'-11" TO 10'-1"			

34	AR LIS	T - AE	BUTMENT NO.	2 WING	(1)







- (1) 6" PERFORATED PIPE UNDERDRAIN. SLOPE 1%
- 2 SET BOTTOM OF PIPE 3" ABOVE THE BOTTOM OF THE ABUTMENT AT THE LOW END.
- (3) GRADE LINE ASSUMED TO BE LOCATED 1'-1" BELOW BOTTOM OF APPROACH SLAB FOR COMPUTING CLSM BACKFILL QUANTITY SHOWN ON THE PLANS. THE CITY WILL PAY FOR CLSM BACKFILL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLAN QUANTITY AND NO ADJUSTMENT WILL BE MADE FOR ACTUAL LOCATION OF GRADE LINE.

DO NOT PLACE CLSM BACKFILL UNTIL SUPERSTRUCTURE IS IN PLACE AND THE ABUTMENT WING CONCRETE HAS ATTAINED

(4) 1" CARDBOARD VOID FORM. THE VOID FORM SHALL BE ABLE TO WITHSTAND CRUSHING DUE TO POURING AND CURING OF CLSM BACKFILL AND MAINTAIN 1" VOID. COST TO BE INCLUDED

THE CARDBOARD VOID FORM SHALL PROVIDE A TEMPORARY SUPPORT DURING PLACEMENT OF THE CLSM. AFTER THE CLSM CAN SUPPORT FOOT TRAFFIC THE VOID FORM SHALL LOSE STRENGTH AND CREATE A 1" SPACE IN PLACE OF THE VOID FORM SUCH AS SEPARATOR VOID AS MANUFACTURED BY SUREVOID PRODUCTS OR AN APPROVED EQUAL. PRODUCT INFORMATION FOR SEPARATOR VOID CAN BE OBTAINED FROM SUREVOID PRODUCTS OF ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO,

THE VOID FORM SHALL BE PLACED THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF THE WING WALL, FROM THE BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION TO THE TOP OF THE CLSM POUR. THE FORM SHALL EXTEND A MINIMUM OF 3'-0" ALONG THE BACKWALL, AND A MINIMUM OF 1'-0"







BEAM HAUNCH DETAIL

NOTE:

PLAN QUANTITIES FOR CLASS AA CONCRETE INCLUDE BEAM HAUNCHES. THE HAUNCH HEIGHT SHOWN IS THE THEORETICAL HAUNCH HEIGHT AT THE CENTERLINE BEARING ONLY, MEASURED FROM BOTTOM OF DECK SLAB TO TOP OF BEAM, AND VARIES ACROSS THE SPAN. DETERMINE THE ACTUAL HAUNCH HEIGHT (ACCOUNTING FOR BEAM CAMBER, DEAD LOAD DEFLECTION AND ROADWAY GRADE) AFTER ERECTION OF THE BEAMS AND SUBMIT TO THE ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL. THE ENGINEER WILL NOT MEASURE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE THEORETICAL AND THE ACTUAL HAUNCH HEIGHTS FOR PAYMENT



DIAPHRAGM BOLT NOTES:

PROVIDE STRUCTURAL STEEL FOR DIAPHRAGM BOLTS AND PLATE WASHERS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AASHTO M270 (ASTM A709), GRADE 50W (WEATHERING STEEL CHARPY V-NOTCH TESTING NOT REQUIRED). THE CONTRACTOR MAY SUBSTITUTE A #10 REINFORCING BAR IN ACCORDANCE WITH AASHTO M31, GRADE 60, AND THREADED AT THE ENDS AS SHOWN FOR THE DIAPHRAGM BOLT AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE CITY. PROVIDE HEX NUTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AASHTO M291

PAINT EXPOSED DIAPHRAGM BOLT, PLATE WASHER AND HEX NUT WITH TWO (2) COATS OF ZINC-RICH PAINT (6 MIL. MINIMUM THICKNESS) AFTER ASSEMBLY. INCLUDE ALL COST OF DIAPHRAGM BOLT, PLATE WASHER AND HEX NUT IN THE CONTRACT UNIT PRICE FOR "STRUCTURAL STEEL".

JMMARY OF QUANTITIES - SUPERSTRUCTURE					
ITEM	UNIT	TOTAL			
SED CONCRETE BEAMS (TYPE IV)	LF	598.00			
GROOVING	SY	627.00			
ERAIL (TR3)	LF	201.50			
AL STEEL	LB	660.00			
S STEEL FIXED BEARING ASSEMBLY	ΕA	6.00			
S STEEL EXP. BEARING ASSEMBLY	ΕA	6.00			
CONCRETE	CY	160.30			
ATED REINFORCING STEEL	LB	44,080.00			
PELLENT (VISUALLY INSPECTED)	SY	341.00			
(PANSION JOINTS	LF	57.80			

(2) INCLUDES A CALCULATED 4.70 C.Y. OF CLASS AA CONCRETE IN THE HAUNCHES.









INTERMEDIATE DIAPHRAGM / SEE SHEET NO. B014 FOR DETAILS







DO NOT PLACE THE CONCRETE FOR THE DECK SLAB OR APPLY OTHER MASSIVE LOADS TO THE BEAMS OR DIAPHRAGMS UNTIL THE CONCRETE IN THE DIAPHRAGMS HAS BEEN IN PLACE A MINIMUM OF 10 DAYS OR AT THE DISCRETION OF THE ENGINEER. THE ENGINEER MAY APPROVE SHORTENED TIME IF THE BEAM AND DIAPHRAGM CONCRETE HAS ATTAINED 80% OF THE SPECIFIED

1 DIMENSION IS FROM TOP OF DECK SLAB TO BOTTOM OF BEARING ASSEMBLY

(2) SEE EXPANSION JOINT OPENING EQUATION ON SHEET NO. B012.







2 - F2 #4

|⁴0 ||

SECTION B

. 🖓

€ EXPANSION JOINT ↓ 1'-5"

BT1#4

<u>U1 #4</u>



SECTION C

- 1 TIE TO TOP REINFORCING OF DECK SLAB AND EXTEN MID-DEPTH OF APPROACH SLAB (PLACE BOTTOM LE OF AS #4 THROUGH JOINT).
- THE EXPANSION JOINT OPENING SHALL BE SET AT THE TIME THE DECK SLAB CONCRETE IS POURED. THE WIDTH OF THE OPENING, CALCULATED IN INCHES, SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS:

AT ABUTMENT NO. 1 = 2.3124 - (0.00727 x T)

WHERE "T" EQUALS THE AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES FAHRENHEIT AT THE TIME THE DECK SLAB CONCRETE IS POURED. SEE SECTION 509.04.B.01 OF THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR TEMPERATURE LIMITATIONS.

THE EXPANSION JOINT OPENING SHALL BE MEASURED PERPEDICULAR TO THE JOINT.



SECTION D



SECTION E

END	
EG	

EXPANSION JOINT OPENING TABLE					
TEMP (°F) ABUT. NO. 1					
10°	2.239"				
43°	2.000"				
80° 1.731"					

					Item 5.		
	CONTRACT OF CON						
	ВΥ						
	DESCRIPTION						
	DATE						
	REV						
					CCAN		
	CLEVELAND COUNTY.	OKLAHOMA		60TH AVENUE NE	DVER ROCK CREEK		
		TUI D	DEC RND(ETAI	K OWN LS	I		
	JC DA DE DE	DB NC ATE: S ESIGN RAWN).: 22T SEPT. IED B I BY:	28060 2023 Y: WE SJL	o ow		
	IF A	BAF ORI 0 ■ NOT ON DJUST S	E INCH O CALES A		1" SHEET, NGLY.		
		SHEE	TER	B01	2		

BEGIN BRIDGE			
	30'-0" APPROACH SLAB NO. 1		
	TOP OF APP. SLAB		
TOTAL BRIDGE LENGTH = 100'-1			-
PACES AT 5'-0" = 85'-0" PENINGS AND 8 POSTS)	17 SP. (9 OPI		
TOP OF DECK SLAB		OPENING IN PARAPET (TYP.)	



CONCRETE RAIL (TR3) - LAYOUT

(LOOKING AT INSIDE FACE OF WEST PARAPET; EAST PARAPET SIMILAR BY ROTATION)

B		© 2023 G CHIS DOCUMENT IDEAS AND DE HEREIN, SHALL INSTRUMENTS SERVICE AND GARVER, I REPRODUCTION OF HIS DOCUM THE IDEAS AND HEREIN, IS PRI AUTHORIZED GARVER, LLC ALLOWED IN PROFESSIO AGREEMENT CA #4193 EXPIRENT	Item 5.
		BY	
		DESCRIPTION	
<u>30'-0"</u> OACH SLAB NO. 2		REV. DATE	
TOP OF APP. SLAB			
		CLEVELAND COUNTY, OKLAHOMA	60TH AVENUE NE BRIDGE REPLACEMEN ⁻ OVER ROCK CREEK
NOTES: FOR DETAILS SHOWING THE REINFORCEMENT LAY AND BAR BEND DETAILS SEE STD. TP2 2:015	DUT	PAR DET	APET AILS
AND DAIL DEIND DE FAILS, SEE STD. TRS-2-UTE. ALL DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE MEASURED ALONG T INSIDE FACE OF TRAFFIC RAIL.	HE	JOB NO.: 2 DATE: SEF DESIGNED	22T28060 PT. 2023 DBY: WDW
 CONSTRUCTION JOINT. HORIZONTAL REINFORCIN 2" EITEHR SIDE OF THIS JOINT. EXPANSION JOINT OPENING TO MATCH DECK OPEN 	G SHALL END IING.	DRAWN BY BAR IS C ORIGINA IF NOT ONE INC ADJUST SCALL	Y: WDW DNE INCH ON AL DRAWING 1" CH ON THIS SHEET, ES ACCORDINGLY. G NUMBER
		SHEET NUMBER	B013







INTERMEDIATE & END DIAPHRAGM BAR LIST						
MARK	SIZE	NO.	FORM	LENGTH		
EPOXY COATED REINFORCING STEEL						
F1	#4	30	STR.	9'-0"		
F2	#4	40	STR.	8'-0"		
F3	#4	20	STR.	9'-0"		
U1	#4	50	BENT	4'-9"		
U2	#4	90	BENT	6'-3"		

				ltem 5.
	© 200 S DOCUD ERS AND EREIN, S STRUMEN ERVICE A GARV PROBUC THIS DO E IDEAS A EREIN, IS AUTHOF GARVER ALLOWEN PROF AGREEM #4193 E	23 GARV WENT, ALL D HALL BE NIND ARE NIND ARE NIND ARE SIZELC OR SIZELC OR S	ER, LLC CONSID ROFESS PROPER ANY USI T, ALONG GOVERI SERVIC THIS WI JUNE 30	Item 5.
ВΥ				
DESCRIPTION				
DATE				
REV.				
				CAN
CLEVELAND COUNTY.	OKLAHOMA		60TH AVENUE NE	OVER ROCK CREEK
	DIA D	PHR ETAI	AGN LS	1
JC DA DE DF	DB NO ATE: S ESIGN RAWN BAR ORI DJUST S DRAW	E INCH O CALES A	28060 2023 Y: WI WDW NCH ON RAWING N THIS S CCORD	D DW ^{1"} SHEET, NGLY. ER
N	SHEE IUMB	T ER	B01	4 87



FRAMING PLAN

= -z	© 2023 G THIS DOCUMEN IDEAS AND DE HEREIN, SHAL INSTRUMENTS SERVICE AND GARVER, I REPRODUCTION OF THIS DOCU OF THIS DOCU ITHE IDEAS AND HEREIN, IS PR AUTHORIZEI GARVER, LLO ALLOWED IN PROFESSIO AGREEMENT	ARVER, LLC T, ALONG WITH THE SIGNS CONVEYED - BE CONSIDERED OF PROFESSIONAL ARE PROPERTY OF LLC. ANY USE, I, OR DISTRIBUTION MENT, ALONG WITH DESIGN CONTAINED OHIBITED UNLESS O IN WRITING BY C OR EXPLICITLY THE GOVERNING NAL SERVICES FOR THIS WORK. RES JUNE 30, 2024
END DIAPHRAGM (TYP.)		100% PS&E SUBMITTAL
	BY	
	DESCRIPTION	
	DATE	
	REV.	
Q BEARING 10"	CLEVELAND COUNTY, OKLAHOMA	60TH AVENUE NE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT OVER ROCK CREEK
	FRAMI	NG PLAN
	JOB NO.: 2 DATE: SEE DESIGNED DRAWN B BAR IS C ORIGIN/ 0 IF NOT ONE INC ADJUST SCAL	22T28060 PT. 2023 D BY: WDW Y: SJL DNE INCH ON AL DRAWING 1" CH ON THIS SHEET, ES ACCORDINGLY. G NUMBER
	SHEET NUMBER	B015



Δ[AD LOAD DEFLECTION VALUES					
	"B" "C" "D" "E"					
	0.87"	1.20"	1.41"	1.48"		
	0.97"	1.34"	1.58"	1.66"		

	© 20 IS DOCUL DEAS AND EREIN, SI STRUMEI RVICE A GARV PRODUC THIS DO EREIN, IS AUTHOR GARVER AULOWEI PROFE AULOWEI PROFE AULOWEI AUTHOS GARVER	23 GARV WENT, AL D DESIGN HALL BE VTS OF F ND ARE ER, LLC. TION, OF SSIONAL ENT FOR SSIONAL ENT FOR SSIONAL SS	THIS WO	Item 5.
B				
DESCRIPTION				
DATE				
REV.				
				CASIV
CLEVELAND COUNTY.	OKLAHOMA		60TH AVENUE NE	OVER ROCK CREEK
BEAM DETAILS (SHEET 1 OF 2)				
JC D/ DI DI	DB NO ATE: S ESIGN RAWN BAR ORI NOT ONI IDJUST S	D:: 22T SEPT. IED B I BY: \ R IS ONE GINAL D CALES A /ING N	28060 2023 Y: WE WDW INCH ON RAWING IN THIS S CCORDII))W ^{1"} SHEET, NGLY. ER
	SHEE NUMB	T ER	B01	6 89









INTENTIONALLY ROUGHENED SURFACE EXAMPLES

NOTE: TOP SURFACE OF P.C. BEAMS SHALL BE INTENTIONALLY ROUGHENED TO A MINIMUM HEIGHT OF ¼" OVER A MAXIMUM PITCH OF 2" MEASURED LONGITUDINALLY ALONG THE LENGTH OF THE BEAM. THE CREST AND TROUGH ASSOCIATED WITH THE HEIGHT SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN ½" AND SHALL EXTEND THE FULL WIDTH OF THE TOP FLANGE. ROUGHENED SURFACE MAY BE OBTAINED BY A SPECIAL TROWEL AS SHOWN IN THE EXAMPLES, BY CLEANING THE CONCRETE SURFACE WITH A STIFF WIRE BRUSH (OR BLASTING) TO THE EXTENT THAT AGGREGATE IS EXPOSED TO A HEIGHT OF ¼", OR BY ANOTHER APPROVED METHOD. THE METHOD USED SHALL BE SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL BY THE ENGINEER. REPAIR ANY DAMAGE TO REINFORCEMENT EPOXY COATING BEFORE PLACEMENT OF DECK CONCRETE.

1				Item 5.	
TH ILI SE OF THE H	© 20 © 20 IS DOCUL DEAS AND EREIN, S STRUMEL ERVICE A GARVE PRODUC THIS DO EREIN, IS AUTHOF GARVER AUTHOF AUTH AUTHOF	23 GARV DDESIG HALL BE NTS OF F ND ARE ER, LLC. TION, OF OCUMEN AND DES S PROHIE RIZED IN LLC OF D IN THE SSIONAL ENT FOF EXPIRES	PROPER ANY USE CONSID PROFESS PROPER ANY USE CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID	TH THE EYED ERED SIONAL TY OF E, BUTION & WITH TAINED JLESS BY TLY VING ES ORK. 0, 2024	
		100% PS&E SUBMITTAL			
BY					
DESCRIPTION					
DATE					
REV.					
				CAN	
CLEVELAND COUNTY.	OKLAHOMA		60TH AVENUE NE	OVER ROCK CREEK	
	BEAM DETAILS (SHEET 2 OF 2)				
JC D/ DI DI	DB NC ATE: S ESIGN RAWN).: 221 SEPT. IED B I BY:	28060 2023 Y: WI WDW	o ow	
IF A	DRAF ORI NOT ON DJUST S	E INCH C CALES A		1" Sheet, NGLY. ER	
	SHEE NUMB	T ER	B01	7	



BEVEL SLOPE TABLE				
LOCATION	SLOPE			
ABUTMENT NO. 1	0.00%			
ABUTMENT NO. 2	1.50%			





END VIEW



ANCHOR BOLT DETAIL



BEARING DETAILS

BEARING PAD SCHEDULE ③					
60 DUROMETER ELASTOMERIC BEARING PAD					
LOCATION	SIZE (T x L x W)	COVER LAYER	INNER LAYER	LAMINATE LAYER	WEIGHT
ABUTMENT NO. 1	31⁄8" x 61⁄2" x 2'-2"	2 - 1⁄4"	5 - 3⁄8"	6 - 1⁄8"	190.60
ABUTMENT NO. 2	31⁄8" x 61⁄2" x 2'-2"	2 - 1⁄4"	5 - 3⁄8"	6 - ½"	193.40

1 ANCHOR BOLTS SHALL BE CENTERED IN SLOTS DURING SETTING OF BEAMS. DIMENSION MAY VARY DEPENDING ON TEMPERATURE AT THE TIME OF BEAM SETTING.

2 AVERAGE ESTIMATED WEIGHT OF STRUCTURAL STEEL PER BEARING. (3) BONDING TO ANCHOR PLATE IS NOT REQUIRED

SIDE VIEW







<u>SECTION B</u>

	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES - APPROACH SLABS						
	ITEM	UNIT	APPROACH SLAB NO. 1	APPROACH SLAB NO. 2	ΤΟΤΑ		
(4) (5)	APPROACH SLAB	SY	193.90	193.90	38		
	SAW-CUT GROOVING	SY	187.00	187.00	37		
	CONCRETE RAIL (TR3)	LF	60.00	60.00	1:		
	WATER REPELLENT (VISUALLY INSPECTED)	SY	25.00	25.00			

4 THE CONTRACT UNIT PRICE FOR "APPROACH SLAB" SHALL BE FULL COMPENSATION FOR CONCRETE, EPOXY COATED REINFORCING STEEL (INCLUDING SR1 BARS), BACKER ROD, RAPID CURE JOINT SEALANT, POLYSTYRENE, LABOR, EQUIPMENT, AND OTHER INCIDENTALS NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THE WORK AS SPECIFIED IN THE PLANS.

5 THERE IS AN ESTIMATED 70.10 C.Y. OF CLASS AA CONCRETE AND 13,560.00 LB. OF EPOXY COATED REINFORCING STEEL IN APPROACH SLAB NO. 1. THERE IS AN ESTIMATED 70.10 C.Y. OF CLASS AA CONCRETE AND 13,260.00 LB. OF EPOXY COATED REINFORCING STEEL IN APPROACH SLAB NO. 2.

BAR LIST - APPROACH SLAB NO. 1					
MARK	SIZE	NO.	FORM	LENGTH	
E	EPOXY C	OATED F	REINFOR	CING STEEL	
AL1	#4	60	STR.	29'-8"	
AT1	#4	62	STR.	28'-9"	
BL1	#9	88	STR.	29'-8"	
BT1	#4	31	STR.	57'-10"	
EPT	#4	3	STR.	57'-10"	
SR1	#5	200	BENT	3'-10"	
U1	#4	59	BENT	4'-8"	

BAR LIST - APPROACH SLAB NO. 2							
MARK	SIZE	NO.	FORM	LENGTH			
E	EPOXY COATED REINFORCING STEEL						
AL1	#4	60	STR.	29'-8"			
AT1	#4	62	STR.	28'-9"			
BL1	#9	88	STR.	29'-8"			
BT1	#4	31	STR.	57'-10"			
SR1	#5	200	BENT	3'-10"			



SECTION A THRU APPROACH SLAB

- 1 ¼" SAWED AND SEALED CONSTRUCTION JOINT IN THE TOP OF EACH APPROACH SLAB. SEE "SAWED AND SEALED JOINT DETAIL" ON SHEET NO. B010.
- 2 ROUND 2'-0" EACH SIDE OF C.R.L. TO AVOID SHARP EDGES.
- ③ APPLY WATER REPELLENT TO THE SURFACES INDICATED BY HEAVY LINE.



WING JOINT DETAIL

U1 #4 x 4'-8"

2'-0"

TAL 387.80 374.00 120.00 50.00



SECTION C

				ltem 5.
TH III H INI SE OF THE H CA	© 20 IS DOCUI DEAS AND EREIN, S STRUMER EREIN, S STRUMER FROJUCE THIS DO E IDEAS A IEREIN, IS AUTHOF GARVER AUTHOF GARVER ALLOWER AGREEM	23 GARV MENT, AI D DESIGN HALL BE NTS OF F ND ARE ER, LLC. TION, OF COUMENT, ALC OR D IN THE SSIONAL ENT FOR XPIRES	ZER, LLC ONG WI SCONV CONSID PROFESS PROPER ANY USE CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID CONSID PROPER ANY USE CONSID CONS	TH THE EYED ERED GONAL TY OF E, BUTION BUTION AUTH TAINED LESS BY TLY VING ES DRK. 0, 2024
		100% PS&E SUBMITTAL		
ВY				
DESCRIPTION				
DATE				
REV.				
				CAN
CLEVELAND COUNTY.	OKLAHOMA		60TH AVENUE NE	OVER ROCK CREEK
	AP SLAI (SHE	PRO 3 DE ET 2	ACH TAIL OF	S 2)
JC D/ DI	DB NO ATE: S ESIGN RAWN	SEPT. IED B I BY: V	28060 2023 Y: WE WDW))W
IF A	ORI 0	GINAL D E INCH C CALES A /ING I	RAWING ON THIS S CCORDII	1" SHEET, NGLY. ER
	SHEE NUMB	T ER	B02	20



NOTES:

GUARDRAIL ASPHALT WIDENING AND GUARDRAIL SYSTEM SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH STANDARDS DBF-1-00, SKT-1-00, GHW1-1-00 & GHW2-1-00, EXCEPT AS SHOWN ON THIS SHEET. ALL COSTS OF GUARDRAIL ASPHALT WIDENING AND GUARDRAIL SYSTEM SHALL BE INCLUDED IN ROADWAY PAY ITEMS.

ALL CONCRETE CURBS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED USING CLASS C CONCRETE AS SHOWN ON THIS SHEET. ALL COSTS OF THE 8" CONCRETE CURB INCLUDING CONCRETE AND REINFORCING STEEL SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE PAY ITEM PER CUBIC YARD OF "CLASS C CONCRETE".

SLOPE DRAIN PLAN (INSTALL SLOPE DRAINS ON NORTH END OF BRIDGE ONLY)

(INSTALL GUARDRAIL AT ALL CORNERS OF BRIDGE) (NW CORNER SHOWN; NE CORNER SIMILAR BUT OPPOSITE HAND)

1 BEAM TYPE GUARDRAIL. FOR GUARDRAIL LENGTHS, SEE "PLAN AND PROFILE" SHEETS.

2 8" CONCRETE CURB TO BE INCLUDED IN BRIDGE QUANTITIES.



DETAIL OF CONCRETE CURB



				ltem 5.
	© 20 © 20 DEAS AND EREIN, S GARV PRODUC THIS DO ERVICE A GARVER AUTHOR GARVER AULOWEI PROFE AGREEM	23 GARV MENT, AL D DESIGN HALL BE NTS OF F ND ARE. TION, OF DCUMENT ND ARE. TION, OF DCUMENT SSIONAL ENT FOR SSIONAL ENT FOR SXPIRES	ER, LLC LONG WI IS CONV CONSID PROFESS PROPER ANY USE CONTRIBUTING ISTED UN WRITING EXPLICI GOVERN SERVIC THIS WO JUNE 30	TH THE EYED ERED BIONAL TY OF BUTION WITH TAINED ILESS BY TLY VING ES DRK. 0, 2024
		100% PS&E S		
ВΥ				
DESCRIPTION				
DATE				
REV.				
				C SN
CLEVELAND COUNTY.	OKLAHOMA		60TH AVENUE NE	OVER ROCK CREEK
C	DRAII	N DE	TAIL	.S
JC Dł Dł	DB NO ATE: S ESIGN RAWN BAR	EPT. EPT. ED B BY: S	28060 2023 Y: JTF SJL) २
IF A		GINAL DI E INCH C CALES A		1" SHEET, NGLY. ER
Ν	SHEE NUMBI	T ER	B02	21

94



STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

SITE DESCRIPTION

PROJECT LIMITS: BRIDGE AND APPROACHES OVER ROCK CREEK, APPROXIMATELY 2,900 FEET NORTH OF THE INTERSECTION OF ROCK CREEK ROAD AND 60TH AVENUE IN NORMAN, OKLAHOMA.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: NEW BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION TO REPLACE LOAD POSTED BRIDGE

SUGGESTED SEQUENCE OF EROSION CONTROL ACTIVITIES: PRIOR TO INITIATING SOIL DISTURBING ACTIVITIES, THE CONTRACTOR WILL INSTALL ALL PERIMETER TEMPORARY SEDIMENT CONTROLS SPECIFIED AS NEEDED. STRIP, STOCKPILE, AND STABILIZE TOPSOIL INSTALLED TEMPORARY SEDIMENT CONTROL DEVICES WILL BE MAINTAINED AND RELOCATED AS NECESSARY TO FACILITATE CONSTRUCTION. REPLACE SALVAGED TOPSOIL AS CONSTRUCTION PERMITS AND PLACE SOD. AS SITE CONDITIONS WARRANT, THE CONTRACTOR MAY CHOOSE TO MODIFY THE TYPE OR ARRANGEMENT OF TEMPORARY SEDIMENT CONTROL PRACTICES TO IMPROVE EFFECTIVENESS AS APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER. THE CONTRACTOR WILL MAINTAIN A LOG OF THE DATES OF MAJOR SOIL DISTURBING ACTIVITIES AND INSTALLATION OF EROSION CONTROL MEASURES.

SOIL TYPE:	LOMILL SILTY CLAY, HARRAH FINE SANDY FOAM,
	STEPHENVILLE-DARNELL-NEWALLA COMPLEX,
	ASHPORT SILT LOAM
TOTAL AREA OF THE CONSTRUCTION SITE:	3.89 ACRES
ESTIMATED AREA TO BE DISTURBED:	0.49 ACRES
OFFSITE AREA TO BE DISTURBED: (FOR CONTRACTOR USE)	
TOTAL IMPERVIOUS AREA PRE-CONSTRUCTION:	0.58 ACRES
TOTAL IMPERVIOUS AREA POST-CONSTRUCTION:	0.92 ACRES
POST-CONSTRUCTION RUNOFF COEFFICIENT OF THE SITE:	0.44
LATITUDE & LONGITUDE OF CENTER OF PROJECT:	<u>35.25513°, -97.35308°</u>
PROJECT	WILL DISCHARGE TO:
NAME OF RECEIVING WATERS:	ROCK CREEK
SENSITIVE WATERS OR WATERSHEDS:	YES NO X
303(d) IMPAIRED WATERS:	YES X NO
IF YES, LIST IMPAIRMENT:	ENTEROCOCCI, E. COLI
LOCATED IN A TMDL:	YES NO X
LAKE THUNDERBIRD TMDL:	YES X NO
MS4 ENTITY	YES X NO
IF YES, LOCATION:	CITY OF NORMAN
NOTE: THIS SHEET SHOULD BE USED IN CONJUN ILLUSTRATES THE DRAINAGE PATTERNS FOR THIS PROJECT. THIS SHEET SHOUL CONTROL SUMMARIES, PAY ITEMS, & NO	NCTION WITH A DRAINAGE MAP THAT S/PATHWAYS AND RECEIVING WATERS D ALSO BE USED WITH THE EROSION OTES.

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS

SOIL STABILIZATION PRACTICES:

- X TEMPORARY SEEDING
- X PERMANENT SODDING, SPRIGGING OR SEEDING
- X VEGETATIVE MULCHING
- SOIL RETENTION BLANKET
- PRESERVATION OF EXISTING VEGETATION
- HYDROMULCH / HYDROSEED

NOTE: TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL METHODS MUST BE USED ON ALL DISTURBED AREAS WHERE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES HAVE CEASED FOR OVER 14 DAYS. METHODS USED WILL BE AS SHOWN ON PLANS, OR AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.

STRUCTURAL PRACTICES:

- X STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION EXIT X TEMPORARY SILT FENCE X TEMPORARY SILT DIKES X TEMPORARY FIBER LOG DIVERSION, INTERCEPTOR OR PERIMETER DIKES DIVERSION, INTERCEPTOR OR PERIMETER SWALES X ROCK FILTER DAMS TEMPORARY SLOPE DRAIN X PAVED DITCH W/ DITCH LINER PROTECTION TEMPORARY DIVERSION CHANNELS **TEMPORARY SEDIMENT BASINS TEMPORARY SEDIMENT TRAPS** X TEMPORARY SEDIMENT FILTERS TEMPORARY SEDIMENT REMOVAL X RIP RAP
- **INLET PROTECTION**
- **TEMPORARY BRUSH SEDIMENT BARRIERS**
- SANDBAG BERMS
- TEMPORARY STREAM CROSSINGS
- FLEXAMAT / ARTICULATED CONCRETE BLOCK
- COMPOST FILTER SOCKS
- X EROSION CONTROL MATS AND BLANKETS

OFFSITE VEHICLE TRACKING:

- X HAUL ROADS DAMPENED FOR DUST CONTROL
- X LOADED HAUL TRUCKS TO BE COVERED WITH TARPAULIN
- X EXCESS DIRT ON ROAD REMOVED DAILY

NOTES:

LOCATED IN LAKE THUNDERBIRD WATERSHED.

FOLLOWING:

MAINTENANCE AND INSPECTION:

ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS WILL BE MAINTAINED IN GOOD WORKING ORDER FROM THE BEGINNING OF CONSTRUCTION UNTIL AN ACCEPTABLE VEGETATIVE COVER IS ESTABLISHED INSPECTION BY THE CONTRACTOR AND ANY NECESSARY REPAIRS SHALL BE PERFORMED ONCE EVERY 7 CALENDAR DAYS AND WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER ANY STORM EVENT GREATER THAN 0.5 INCH AS RECORDED BY A NON-FREEZING RAIN GAUGE TO BE LOCATED ON SITE. POTENTIALLY ERODIBLE AREAS. DRAINAGEWAYS. MATERIAL STORAGE, STRUCTURAL DEVICES, CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCES AND EXITS ALONG WITH EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL LOCATIONS ARE EXAMPLES OF SITES THAT NEED TO BE INSPECTED.

WASTE MATERIALS:

PROPER MANAGEMENT AND DISPOSAL OF CONSTRUCTION WASTE MATERIAL IS REQUIRED BY THE CONTRACTOR. MATERIALS INCLUDE STOCKPILES, SURPLUS, DEBRIS AND ALL OTHER BY-PRODUCTS FROM THE CONSTRUCTION PROCESS. PRACTICES INCLUDE DISPOSAL, PROPER MATERIALS HANDLING, SPILL PREVENTION AND CLEANUP MEASURES. CONTROLS AND PRACTICES SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF ALL FEDERAL. STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS:

PROPER MANAGEMENT AND DISPOSAL OF HAZARDOUS WASTE MATERIALS IS REQUIRED. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR FOLLOWING MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS, STATE AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS TO ENSURE CORRECT HANDLING, DISPOSAL, SPILL PREVENTION AND CLEANUP MEASURES. EXAMPLES INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO: PAINTS, ACIDS, CLEANING SOLVENTS, CHEMICAL ADDITIVES, CONCRETE CURING COMPOUNDS AND CONTAMINATED SOILS.

GENERAL NOTES:

A STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP) IS REQUIRED TO COMPLY WITH THE OKLAHOMA POLLUTION DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (OPDES) REGULATIONS. THIS PLAN IS INITIATED DURING THE DESIGN PHASE, CONFIRMED IN THE PRE-WORK MEETINGS AND AVAILABLE ON THE JOB SITE ALONG WITH COPIES OF THE NOTICE OF INTENT (NOI) FORM AND PERMIT CERTIFICATE THAT HAVE BEEN FILED WITH THE OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (ODEQ). THE PLAN MUST BE KEPT CURRENT WITH UP-TO-DATE AMENDMENTS DURING THE PROGRESSION OF THE PROJECT. ALL CONTRACTOR OFF-SITE OPERATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT MUST BE DOCUMENTED IN THE SWPPP, I.E., BORROW PITS, WORK ROADS, DISPOSAL SITES, ASPHALT/CONCRETE PLANTS, ETC. THE BASIC GOAL OF STORM WATER MANAGEMENT IS TO IMPROVE WATER QUALITY BY REDUCING POLLUTANTS IN STORM WATER DISCHARGES. RUNOFF FROM CONSTRUCTION SITES HAS A POTENTIAL FOR POLLUTION DUE TO EXPOSED SOILS AND THE PRESENCE OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS USED IN THE CONSTRUCTION PROCESS. THE PREVENTION OF SOIL EROSION, CONTAINMENT OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS AND/OR THE INTERCEPTION OF THESE POLLUTANTS BEFORE LEAVING THE CONSTRUCTION SITE ARE THE BEST PRACTICES FOR CONTROLLING STORM WATER POLLUTION.

SHOULD BE NOTED:

03.05	BONDING REQUIREMENTS
04.10	FINAL CLEANING UP
04.12	CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBIL
04.13	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTIO
06.08	STORAGE AND HANDLING OF
07.01	LAWS, RULES AND REGULATI
07.20	STORM WATER MANAGEMEN
220	MANAGEMENT OF EROSION, S
221	AND CONTROL
	TEMPORARY SEDIMENT CONT

IN ADDITION:

"ODEQ GENERAL PERMIT (OKR10) FOR STORM WATER DISCHARGES FROM CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE

ADDITIONAL PERMITS REQUIRED FROM OKLAHOMA WATER RESOURCES BOARD AND/OR MUNICIPALITY FOR USE OF SURFACE, GROUND OR CITY WATER SOURCES FOR ACTIVITIES SUCH AS WATERING.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ALSO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE

THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS OF THE 2019 ODOT STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

LITY FOR WORK NC MATERIAL **IONS TO BE OBSERVED** SEDIMENTATION AND STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION TROL

STATE OF OKLAHOMA." ODEQ, WATER QUALITY DIVISION, OCTOBER 18, 2022.

SHEET

NUMBER

R001

98

<u>=</u>: 11/ .pc3 .dwg Last Save: DWG To PDF. 85 <u>e</u>

______ LEGEND ASPHALT PAVEMENT CONCRETE PAVEMENT FENCE 00 0 18+00 16+ 17 - REMOVE 29.20 L.F. EXISTING FENCE - REMOVE 648.94 L.F. EXISTING FENCE \rightarrow Ľگ 50.00 **.**.... ______ 6-Wire 17' Public Utility Easement Book 4337, Page 102 - REMOVE 658.65 L.F. EXISTING FENCE ° 0

۳, E 000

ltem 5.

SURVEY CONTROL

ON-SIT	ON-SITE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL CONTROL - CITY OF NORMAN CONTROL						
384	702751.240	2166845.710	1054.81	BRASS CAP			
358	702368.630	2161313.220	1122.22	BRASS CAP			
	ON-SI	TE HORIZONTA	AL AND VEF	RTICAL CONTROL			
LLS 100	699231.876	2161681.950	1130.82	#4 BAR W/ "LEMKE" CAP			
LLS 101	700809.325	2161680.233	1072.72	#4 BAR W/ "LEMKE" CAP			
	ON-S	SITE VERTICAL (CONTROL -	BENCHMARKS			
BENCHMARK 1	699954.025	2161694.117	1073.40	SET # 5 BAR FLUSH			
BENCHMARK 2	700133.007	2161680.959	1070.58	SET # 5 BAR FLUSH			
BENCHMARK 3	700381.516	2161631.145	1069.94	SET # 5 BAR FLUSH			
HORIZONTAL DATUM: OKLAHOMA STATE PLANE, NAD83(CORS96), SOUTH ZONE							
VERTICAL DATUM: NAVD88							

CONTROL SURVEY CERTIFICATE

I, KELLY J. HENDERSON, certify that this horizontal/vertical control survey was completed under my direct and responsible charge from an actual survey made under my supervision and meets the Oklahoma Minimum Standards for the Practice of Land Surveying as adopted by the Oklahoma State Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors.

Control Notes:

- 1. All horizontal coordinate values shown are U.S. Survey feet and all vertical elevation values are shown in feet.
- 2. All control points were established from City of Norman GPS control points 384 and 385. Control points LLS 100–101 were used as a basis for horizontal and vertical control, and as a basis of bearing for this survey. Benchmarks 1-3 were set and used as a basis for vertical control.
- 2. All bearings and distances are derived from the Oklahoma State Plane Coordinate System, NAD 83(CORS96), South Zone, as determined by RTK Observations in U.S. Survey feet. All field measurements and angles applied to control points were made with a Topcon Hyper HR.
- 3. All elevations of control points are based on initial elevation of LLS 100, the datum is NAVD88. All elevations are determined by Level to .01' accuracy.
- 4. All on-site control points were observed on November 30, 2022, and were observed several times throughout the duration of the survey.

TOPOGRAPHIC LEGEND

- *TRANSFORMER POLE*
- Ø POWER POLE
- ← DOWN GUY
- TELEPHONE RISER
- **FIRE HYDRANT**
- WATER VALVE BOX
- GUARD POST
- MAILBOX
- ---- SIGN

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _____TUG _____ ______ W ______ _____1185_____ _____ • • • • ____

OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE STORM DRAIN LINE UNDERGROUND TELEPHONE LINE WATER LINE GROUND SURFACE CONTOUR SURFACE DRAINAGE FLOWLINE TREE DRIP LINE ASPHALT GRA VEL

TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY CERTIFICATE

- I, KELLY J. HENDERSON, certify that:
- 1. This project was completed under my direct and responsible charge from an actual survey made under my supervision.
- 2. This ground survey was performed at the 95 percent confidence level to meet Federal Geographic Data Committee Standards.
- This survey was performed to meet the Specifications for Topographic and Planimetric Mapping contained in the Oklahoma Minimum Standards for the Practice of Land Surveying as adopted by the Oklahoma State Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors. The original data was obtained on November 30—December 08, 2022.
- 4. The survey was completed on Devcember 14, 2022, and all coordinates are derived from the Oklahoma State Plane, NAD83(CORS96), South Zone and all elevations are based on NAVD88.

PH.(CA	405) #	NO 366- 5975	RMAN -8541 http:		0K F/	730 AX(40 ww.le	72 05)36 mke-	i6- -Is	-8	Appr. ³ &	
										Date A	
										Mark Description	
		TG	HLX		(/2022	= 40'			D4422	
			×			12/22	1"			012	
Surveyed B		Drawn By:	Approved B			Date:	Scale:			Project No.	
		PRUPUSED BRIDGE REPLACEMENI - BUIH AVENUE BRIDGE UVER RUCK CREEK	Project Location: Of the e/a section 12 on aw and by of the W/a setion 18 on 1W in	I FI. UT THE E/2 SECTION 13-3N-2W AND FI. UT THE W/2 SETION 10-3N-1W, I.M.		NORMAN, CLEVELAND COUNIY, OKLAHOMA	Client: CARVER ENCINEERINC			IUID 24IM AVENUE NW, NURMAIN, UN 73009	
		Ν	St Iur S	ופ ר ב		et be S	r				
	he	hصt	2	1)()1					

ŝ 000

SEC. 18, T9N, R2W

ons - PSC.dwg <u>Last Sa</u> <u>sed:</u> DWG To PDF.pc3 2SS Plo \22T28060 - Cro 1/2024 1:02 PM F Ш Z an Br Plot File: L:\2022\22T28060 - Noi Last plotted by: Moran, Brett

Station
10+75.00
10+79.70
11+00.00
11+25.00
11+50.00
11+75.00
11+82.14
12+00.00
12+30.90
12+33.14
12+50.00
12+75.00
13+00.00
13+25.00
13+50.00
13+75.00
13+80.00
14+00.00
14+10.32
14+25.00
14+50.00
14+75.00
15+00.75
16+01.67
16+25.00
16+49.99
16+75.00
17+00.00
17+25.00
17+50.00
17+75.00
18+00.00
18+25.00
18+50.00
18+75.00
19+00.00
19+25.00
19+50.00
19+75.00
20+00.00
20+25.00
20+50.00
20+75.00
21+00.00
21+25.00
21+50.00
21+75.00
22+00.00
22+25.00
22+31.13
22+50.00

Total Volume Table						
Cut Area	Fill Area	Cut Volume	Fill Volume	Cumulative Cut Vol	Cumulative Fill Vol	
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
25.26	0.00	2.20	0.00	2.20	0.00	
60.04	7.11	32.52	2.55	34.72	2.55	
63.71	0.84	57.29	3.68	92.01	6.23	
66.04	1.77	60.07	1.21	152.08	7.43	
85.87	1.70	70.32	1.60	222.41	9.04	
96.79	1.67	24.15	0.44	246.56	9.48	
115.11	1.10	70.08	0.91	316.64	10.40	
87.73	3.21	116.07	2.47	432.71	12.86	
88.67	3.53	7.32	0.28	440.03	13.14	
93.46	5.96	56.87	2.96	496.90	16.11	
58.86	18.59	70.52	11.37	567.41	27.48	
23.99	63.13	38.36	37.83	605.77	65.31	
6.59	109.93	14.16	80.12	619.92	145.43	
3.92	182.49	4.86	135.38	624.79	280.81	
3.05	220.34	3.23	186.50	628.02	467.31	
2.04	233.12	0.47	41.99	628.49	509.30	
0.00	277.58	0.75	189.15	629.24	698.44	
0.00	297.78	0.00	109.98	629.24	808.42	
0.00	361.51	0.00	179.20	629.24	987.63	
0.00	428.18	0.00	365.59	629.24	1353.22	
0.00	399.94	0.00	384.80	629.24	1738.02	
0.00	505.17	0.00	431.61	629.24	2169.62	
0.01	581.12	0.00	0.00	629.24	2169.62	
0.00	400.16	0.01	423.95	629.25	2593.57	
0.00	383.07	0.00	362.46	629.25	2956.03	
0.00	334.25	0.00	329.35	629.25	3285.38	
0.00	216.01	0.00	254.75	629.25	3540.13	
0.00	132.76	0.00	161.47	629.25	3701.60	
53.55	80.17	24.79	98.58	654.04	3800.18	
46.46	40.35	46.30	55.80	700.33	3855.97	
52.29	17.49	45.71	26.78	746.05	3882.75	
69.61	8.34	56.43	11.96	802.48	3894.71	
77.51	3.45	68.11	5.46	870.59	3900.17	
86.16	0.91	75.77	2.02	946.36	3902.19	
84.29	0.00	78.91	0.42	1025.27	3902.61	
91.10	0.00	81.20	0.00	1106.47	3902.61	
90.73	0.00	84.18	0.00	1190.65	3902.61	
82.67	0.00	80.28	0.00	1270.93	3902.61	
72.83	0.00	71.99	0.00	1342.93	3902.61	
67.35	0.00	64.90	0.00	1407.83	3902.62	
52.97	0.00	55.71	0.00	1463.54	3902.62	
48.10	0.00	46.79	0.00	1510.33	3902.62	
45.94	0.00	43.54	0.00	1553.87	3902.62	
54.37	0.00	46.44	0.00	1600.31	3902.62	
58.87	0.00	52.43	0.00	1652.73	3902.62	
56.98	0.00	53.63	0.00	1706.37	3902.62	
53.16	0.00	50.99	0.00	1757.36	3902.62	
51.45	0.00	48.43	0.00	1805.79	3902.62	
25.80	0.00	8.93	0.00	1814.72	3902.62	
0.00	U.UU	9.02	U.UU	1823.74	3902.62	

1110

1105

11+00

103

				1110
				1105
				1105
				1100
				1100
				1005
				1095
				1000
				1090
				1025
				1005
				1080
				1000
0	0 10	11	0 12	0
9	0 10		0 12	.0

1115

				1110
				1110
				1105
				1105
				1100
				1100
				1005
				1035
				1090
				1000
				1085
9	0 10	0 11	0 12	0
0	č		÷ 12	•

80

- PSC.dwg <u>Last Sav</u> DWG To PDF.pc3 ۍ آ 60 02 Γ28 113

11+82.14

		-	1105
			1100
			1100
			4005
			1095
			1090
			1085
			1000
			1080
			1000
			1075
			1075
90	100	110	120
••			

				1105
				1105
				1100
				<u> </u>
				4005
				1095
				1000
				1030
				1085
				1000
				1080
				1075
				1070
9	0 10	0 11	0 12	20

st Sa PSC.dwg Lat DWG To PDF. δĘ 30

			1095
			1090
			1085
			1080
			1075
			1070
			1065
90	100	110	120

				1100
				1095
				1000
				1090
				1000
				1085
				1000
				1080
				1075
	STA. 12+33.	.14 RT		
	CONCT 04			
	-CONST. 21.	5 CONCRET		1070
~	0 11			
9	U 10	JU 11	10 12	20

1095			-					
1090								
								48
1085							3	707
							Ř	<u>, 1</u>
								101
1080								
								23%
								-33.50
-1075				<u> </u>				
	STR 1 ~ ST	A 13+50.00						
1070	CONST SM	D Type 1 33	18' I T					
	IG = 1078.7	12						
1065	Fi N = 1075.	13 ~ 18"x158	.62' RCP @ :	2.79% w/A4 (¢et			
1.000	20 1	10 1			20 -	70 6	:0 <i>E</i>	0
- 1,	20 -1	10 -1		90 -0	- 00	-0	-5	-4

				. 1	
				R.W.	-40.34
					-34.7 1076.9
					SW IO RC
140	100		70		

		1		
			N/2	-41.35
				-34 1076
				SW 18" R

						1090
		LCE				1085
						1080
						1075
						1070
ΓΑ 13+ 1D Τγρ	80.04 be 1, 43.74'	RT				1065
18 18 ~ 1 90	8"x134.12'	RCP @	1.10° 11	∕₀ w/A4 ∣0	CET	1060 20

1090							
1085							-36.28_ 1076.25
1080	Ш С			N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N			16.70 072.78
1075							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1070							
1065							
STA. 1060 CONS	14+10.36 LT ST. 14' CONC	RETE DRIVE					
-120	-110	-100	-90	-80	-70	-60	-50 -4

				1090
				1085
		비		1080
				1075
		_		
				1070
				1065
00	100	11(1060
90	100	110	,	120

ist Sa .dwg Lat To PDF. PSC. δĘ 0303 113

16+01.67





























PSC.dwg Last Sa DWG To PDF.pc3 ۍ آ 0303 113







			1085
		_	
			1000
			1080
			1075
			1075
			1070
			1070
			1065
			1005
			1055
			1055
0	100	110	120
	0		

					1085
					1000
					1000
					1075
					1075
					1070
					<u> 1070 </u>
					1065
					1000
					1060
					1000
					1055
					1000
9	0 1	00	11	0	120

				1085
				1080
				1000
				1075
				1075
				1070
				1065
				1000
				1060
				1000
				1055
				1055
0	0 40	20 44	0 40	
9	U 10	JU 11	12 12	20

				1085
				1000
				1080
				1075
				1075
				1070
		<u> </u>		
				1000
				1065
STA 17	+50.00			
	/pe 1 44 09'	RT		1060
	, po 1, 1100			
3.52				
5 52 ~	18"x169 52'	RCP @ 0.8	86% w/A4 CET	1055
J.02	10 / 100.02			1055
9	0 10	00	110 1	20
Ŭ	-			_ •



















				1085
				1080
				1000
				1075
				1070
				1070
		<u> </u>		1010
				1007
				-1065
				1000
				1060
		1		1055
				1000
0	0 1	00	110	120
9	U I	00	110	120

				1085
				1080
				1000
				1075
				1075
				1070
				1070
				1065
				1005
				1060
				1000
				1055
				1000
0	0 10	11 חו	12	\cap
9	0 10		12	.0

			1005
			1085
			1080
			1000
			1075
			1070
			1070
			1065
			1005
			1060
			1000
			4055
			1055
00	100	440	400
90	100	110	120

				1085
				1080
				1000
				1075
				1070
				1070
_				
				4005
				1065
				1060
				1000
				1055
				1000
9	0 10	00 11	10 12	20









- PSC.dwg Last Sav DWG To PDF.pc3 00 - Crc 03 PM T28060 Moran. /22 by: File: L:









				1085
				1080 -
				1075
				1075
				1070
				1010
				1005
				1005
				1060 -
				1000
				1055
				1055
9	0 10	00 11	0 12	0

						1085
						1000
						1000
						1075
						1075
						1070
				<u> </u>		1070
						1065
						1000
						1060 -
						1055
						1055
~	~	100		10	4.0	~
9	0	100	- 11	10	- 12	20

1	085	

				1000
				1000
				1075
				1075
				1070
				1070
				1065
				1005
				1060
				1000
				1055
				1035
0	0 10	20 14	10 10	
9	0 10	JU	IU 12	20









- PSC.dwg Last Sav DWG To PDF.pc3 SL -SSC)60 - Cro :03 PM | T28060 Moran /22 by: File: L:







					1085
					1080
			_		1000
					1075
			_		1070
					1070
					1010
			_		
					1065
			-		1000
					1000
					1060
			-		
			-		
			_		
			_		4055
					1055
~	^	00		<u> </u>	20
9	U 1	00	11	0 12	20

				1085
				1080
				1075
				1070
				1065
				1060
9	0	100	110	1055 120

		1075
		1070
		1065
		1060
 400	110	1055







22+50



				1085
				1080
				4075
				1075
				<u> </u>
				1070
				1070
				1065
				1000
				1060
				1055
				1055
Q	0 4	100	110 1	20
9	U	100		20

1085

				1000
				1080
				1075
				1075
				1070
				1070
				1005
				1005
				1060
				1000
				1055
				1055
0	0 10	10 11	0 10	\sim
9	0 10	וו טנ	IU I2	20

Preliminary Engineering Report – 60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek

Contract No.: K-2223-55

Prepared For: City of Norman

February 2023





Page 1

Preliminary Engineering Report

Item 5.



Engineer's Certification

I hereby certify that this Report for the 60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek project was prepared by Garver under my direct supervision for the City of Norman.

Jeff Rundle, P.E.

State of Oklahoma PE License 27271

Garver, LLC Certificate of Authorization No. 4193 P.E., L.S. Renewal Date 06-30-2024





60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek

Т	ab	le	of	Co	nt	en	ts

Engineer's	Certification	1
List of Figur	res	3
List of Table	es	3
List of Appe	endices	3
1.0 Intro	oduction/Purpose and Need	4
2.0 Exis	sting Conditions	4
2.1 L	ocation	4
2.2 S	Site Conditions	5
2.3 R	Roadway	5
2.4 R	Right of Way and Utilities	6
2.5 B	3ridge	6
2.5.1	Existing Condition	7
2.5.2	Functionality	9
2.5.3	Structural	9
2.6 H	lydrology and Hydraulics	10
2.7 E	nvironmental	11
3.0 Prop	posed Alternatives	13
3.1 S	Span Bridge Alternative (Alternatives 1A & 1B)	13
3.1.1	Roadway	13
3.1.2	Bridge	13
3.1.3	Hydraulics	14
3.1.4	Environmental	15
3.1.5	Construction Sequencing	15
3.1.6	Cost Estimate	15
3.2 R	Reinforced Concrete Box Alternative (Alternative 2)	17
3.2.1	Roadway	17
3.2.2	Bridge	17
3.2.3	Hydraulics	19
3.2.4	Environmental	19
3.2.5	Construction Sequencing	20
3.2.6	Cost Estimate	20





60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek

4 0	Conclusion	21
4.0		<u> </u>

List of Figures

Figure 1 – Location of the 60th Ave NE Bridge	4
Figure 2 - Downstream Scour	5
Figure 3 – Existing Bridge Elevation View	7
Figure 4 – Rust and Pitting in the Steel Girders and Stay-In-Place Forms	8
Figure 5 – Rust and Pitting in the Bottom Flange of Pier Cap	8
Figure 6 – Load Posting Sign Prior to Bridge Closing	9
Figure 7 - NBI 09189	11
Figure 8 - Rock Creek Facing Downstream (East)	
Figure 9 - RCB Traditional End Section	
Figure 10 - Alternative RCB End Section	

List of Tables

Table 1 - Design Flow Rates	10
Table 2 – Alternative 1A Hydraulic Summary	14
Table 3 – Alternative 1B Hydraulic Summary	15
Table 4 - Alternative 1A Cost Estimate	16
Table 5 - Alternative 1B Cost Estimate	16
Table 6 - Alternative 2 Hydraulic Summary	19
Table 7 - Estimated Stream Mitigation for Alternative 2	19
Table 8 - Alternative 2 Cost Estimate	20
Table 9 - Project Impact Matrix	21

List of Appendices

- Appendix A NBI Bridge Inspection Report
- Appendix B Alternative Plan Sheets
- Appendix C Itemized Cost Estimates



1.0 Introduction/Purpose and Need

The City of Norman selected Garver to provide design services for the replacement of the 60th Avenue NE bridge over Rock Creek. The City of Norman has requested Garver to perform a preliminary engineering study to evaluate three replacement alternatives for the bridge, including both prestressed concrete and steel span bridges as well as a reinforced concrete box (RCB) structure.

The studied alternatives have been evaluated with respect to right-of-way impacts, utility relocations, hydraulic impacts, areas of cultural and environmental concern or significance, constructability and overall cost. Following the submittal of this report, a review meeting with the City of Norman to decide upon the preferred alternative is anticipated. After the preferred alternative is selected, Garver will move forward with the development of final construction plans.

2.0 Existing Conditions

2.1 Location

The bridge is in northeast Norman on 60th Avenue NE approximately one-half mile north of E. Rock Creek Road, shown in **Figure 1**. The bridge is located in the SW ¼ of the NW ¼ of Section 13, Township 9 North, Range 1 West.



Figure 1 – Location of the 60th Ave NE Bridge



60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek

2.2 Site Conditions

The topography in the area north of the project area is mostly flat, while to the south can be characterized as rolling terrain. Rock Creek flows from the west to the east at the project site and feeds into Lake Thunderbird to the northeast. The creek at the project area shows signs of significant erosion with steep channel banks and a large scour hole downstream (**Figure 2**). There is evidence of previous attempts to repair the channel banks with concrete rubble around the existing bridge area. The vegetation surrounding Rock Creek is dense with trees and tall brush. NRCS soil survey maps indicate silty loam soils in the project area.



Figure 2 - Downstream Scour

The project site is located within a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Zone A Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA). The design criteria and hydrology and hydraulic methodology are further discussed in **Section 2.6**. Additionally, the project is located in the Lake Thunderbird Water Quality Protection Zone (WQPZ), however the ordinance does not pertain to public infrastructure and should not have an impact on the project.

2.3 Roadway

The existing roadway is an asphalt, two-lane rural arterial roadway consisting of two eleven-foot driving lanes without shoulders. The posted speed limit within the project corridor is 50 miles per hour (mph). The roadway surface over the existing bridge deck is made of concrete pavement that has been overlaid with asphalt and is in generally good condition. The average daily traffic is between 1,500 and 1,600 vehicles per day.



Preliminary Engineering Report



60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek

The terrain north of the bridge is mostly flat, ultimately reaching a local low point about 300 feet north of the bridge. To the south of the bridge, the terrain becomes rolling hills, beginning with an incline of over 8.5 percent grade. There are two nearby driveways, gravel and newly constructed concrete, that will be impacted by this project. The existing sag vertical curve south of the bridge has an approximate "K value" of 41, well under the 96 recommended for sag vertical curves at a 50-mph design speed; therefore, a design exception will be necessary to accommodate any increase in roadway grade.

2.4 Right of Way and Utilities

The existing right-of-way (ROW) is sixty-six feet. The existing 60th Ave NE roadway centerline is nearly sixteen feet east of the north-south section line at the bridge but is centered up at each section corner. Existing utilities include fiber optics, pole-mounted and underground telecom, overhead electric, a four-inch polyethylene gas line, and a City of Norman water line. Most of the existing utilities are located in a 17-ft. public utility easement adjacent to the existing statutory right-of-way east of the bridge.

2.5 Bridge

The existing bridge structure on 60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek is a three-span, steel beam structure that is now permanently closed due to concerns raised about the structural adequacy of primary load carrying members during its most recent routine inspection. The bridge was closed on December 1, 2022 and must be replaced.

There are no as-built plans for this bridge. According to the current Bridge Inspection Report, the existing bridge was built in 1940 and provides a 26'-0" clear roadway width allowing for two lanes of vehicular traffic with no shoulders or pedestrian accommodations. The bridge is composed of 15ft. – 36ft. – 15ft. steel beam spans. See **Figure 3** for existing bridge elevation view or **Appendix A** for the most current Bridge Inspection Report.





60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek



Figure 3 – Existing Bridge Elevation View

2.5.1 Existing Condition

The condition of the existing bridge is documented in the October 2022 Bridge Inspection Report (**Appendix A**). In summary, the existing bridge is considered structurally deficient due to the condition rating of "Poor (4)" given to the superstructure and substructure. Federal Highway Administration and ODOT guidelines specify that any bridge with a condition rating of four (4) or less be classified as structurally deficient.

According to the bridge inspection report, the deck slab is covered with an asphalt overlay and showing heavy cracks over the piers. The stay in place forms covering the soffit have minor section loss with rust. The steel girders are showing signs of deterioration along the bottom flanges including rust and deep pitting (see **Figure 4**). The deterioration is most severe at the beam ends over the piers.





60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek



Figure 4 – Rust and Pitting in the Steel Girders and Stay-In-Place Forms

The substructure consists of steel abutments and piers supported on steel columns. The abutments are mostly covered with rock and gravel. Very small areas of the abutment caps have heavy rust and pitting. The steel pier caps have severe rust and deep pitting in the bottom flange (see **Figure 5**). The steel columns show signs of minor section loss at the ground, primarily at the exterior columns.



Figure 5 – Rust and Pitting in the Bottom Flange of Pier Cap





2.5.2 Functionality

Functionality is defined as the ability to provide the user with a product at its fully designed purpose, and it is related to the geometric components of the bridge system. Functionality is typically related to items such as lane widths, shoulder access, sight distances and clearances. According to the current Bridge Inspection Report, the existing bridge is considered Functionally Obsolete.

The bridge railing system for this structure is composed of metal railing connected directly to the steel superstructure members and is considered substandard. This classification is due to the current system not meeting the minimum load and crash-testing requirements of the AASHTO design specifications for traffic rail systems.

2.5.3 Structural

The existing bridge carrying 60th Avenue NE is currently designated as structurally deficient. According to the current Bridge Inspection Report, the existing bridge is load posted for 4 tons (see **Figure 6**). This load posting significantly reduces the types of vehicles that can use the crossing and must consequently find another route.



Figure 6 – Load Posting Sign Prior to Bridge Closing

Due to concerns about the condition of the existing substructure found in the October 2022 routine inspection, the City of Norman requested Garver perform a visual inspection of the bridge to confirm the findings. Garver's inspection generally agreed with the routine inspection findings and concerns with the





60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek

structural adequacy of the bridge to remain in service. As a result, the bridge was closed to all traffic on December 1, 2022 with no plans to re-open until the bridge is replaced.

2.6 Hydrology and Hydraulics

60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek is located in a FEMA Zone A SFHA. The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and the City of Norman Engineering Design Criteria (EDC) require that proposed improvements will not increase the water surface elevation of the base flood (100-year storm event) more than one foot within the community. Furthermore, the Norman EDC requires a minimum freeboard of one foot above the lowest structural member for the 100-year water surface elevation (WSEL) and a maximum channel velocity of 15 feet per second (fps).

Hydrologic analysis was conducted using the United States Geological Survey's (USGS) Scientific Investigation Report 2010-5137 "Methods for Estimating the Magnitude and Frequency of Peak Streamflows for Unregulated Streams in Oklahoma". The USGS regression equations were chosen since the site is within the applicable range of the method according to the Norman EDC. A summary of the calculations appears in **Table 1** below.

Recurrence Interval	Peak Flow Rate (cfs)
2 Year	1073
5 Year	2002
10 Year	2801
25 Year	3984
50 Year	5218
100 Year	6139
500 Year	9779

Table 1 - Design Flow Rates

The hydraulic model was performed in accordance with the Norman EDC. The hydraulic analysis for these sites uses the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers water surface profile program HEC-RAS version 6.2. Five models have been developed for the site: Natural, Existing, and Proposed Alternatives 1A, 1B, and 2. The topographical data used in the model was derived from on-ground survey by Lemke Land Surveying and lidar survey collected specifically for this project. The Manning's 'N' values were developed using aerial and site reconnaissance photos of the area. The modeling uses the sub-critical flow regime and average downstream channel slope as the downstream boundary conditions. The downstream normal depth slope used as the boundary condition of the model is 0.0027 ft/ft. This normal depth slope was estimated from the slope of the Energy Grade Line (EGL) in FEMA's Base Level Engineering (BLE) model for the project site.

The existing structure is a 15'-36'-15' Steel Beam bridge. The existing bridge has a low beam elevation of 1069.92 and an existing roadway overtopping elevation of 1070.95 approximately 300 feet north of the bridge. The existing roadway overtops at a storm frequency of 39 years.





2.7 Environmental

Environmental constraints present within the 60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek study area include Rock Creek and potential habitat for threatened and endangered species. There are no potentially hazardous waste sites within the study area; however, two sites are within the vicinity. No tribal land, federal properties, easements, or wildlife and waterfowl refuges are present within the study area. Additionally, there are no airports, railroads, cemeteries, or parks identified within the study area. Environmental constraint information was obtained from a reconnaissance data collection effort performed by Garver in December 2022 and served as the basis for the assessment of environmental impacts.

A search performed by Stantec on known historic properties and archeological sites in the area did not reveal any known resources. The existing bridge (NBI 09189, Structure No. 14N3170E1210005) is a 26-foot-wide wide flange beam bridge built in 1940 (**Figure 7**). The bridge is a Category 2 structure and has been recommended not eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places.



Figure 7 - NBI 09189

Rock Creek is a potentially jurisdictional (blue line) intermittent stream (**Figure 8**). Rock Creek is not considered a critical resource water, Section 10 water, scenic river, or sensitive water or watershed. However, Rock Creek is listed in Oklahoma's 2022 303(d) list of impaired waters for Enterococcus bacteria and Escherichia coli. The Total Maximum Daily Load value for Enterococcus bacteria and Escherichia coli have not been established for this section of Rock Creek.



Item 5.



60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek



Figure 8 - Rock Creek Facing Downstream (East)

According to the 1989 Franklin, Okla. National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) map and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services NWI Wetlands Mapper, Rock Creek is mapped as a palustrine forested wetland. No wetlands were identified during the field reconnaissance and no wetlands have been formally delineated.

According to the list generated using the USFWS Information for Planning and Consultation tool, there are multiple species that could be impacted by the proposed project. These include the Tricolored Bat (*Perimyotis sublfavus*), Piping Plover (*Charadrius melodus*), Red Knot (*Calidris canutus rufa*), Whooping Crane (*Grus americana*), Arkansas River Shiner (*Notropis girardi*), Peppered Chub (*Macrhybopsis tetranema*), and the Monarch Butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*). It is highly likely that habitat for the Tricolored Bat and Monarch Butterfly occurs within the 60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek study area.

According to a search of federal and state environmental databases, there are three hazardous waste sites adjacent to the study area (ERIS 2023). The AIRS Facility (ERIS Map ID 1), a wireless telecommunication tower, was observed during the field reconnaissance. There are no gas or oil well sites located within or adjacent to the study area, as identified in the Oklahoma Corporation Commission's (OCC) Oil and Gas Well database. According to the OCC's petroleum storage tank database, there are no storage tank sites within or adjacent to the study area. No additional hazardous waste sites were identified during the field reconnaissance.





60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek

3.0 Proposed Alternatives

3.1 Span Bridge Alternative (Alternatives 1A & 1B)

For the proposed alternatives, the typical section consists of three 12-foot driving lanes with 10-foot outside shoulders. The typical section was determined by the City of Norman's Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP) and collaboration with City staff. The typical section was assumed to consist of nine (9") inches of asphalt pavement on eight (8") inches of aggregate base.

Two bridge superstructure options, rolled steel beams (Alternative 1A) and prestressed concrete beams (Alternative 1B), were investigated.

3.1.1 Roadway

The proposed roadway alignment for this project will be centered on the section line. Remaining on the roadway's existing alignment, about sixteen (16') feet east of the section line, was considered; however, the concentration of existing utilities in the 17-foot public utility easement east of the existing right-of-way ensures much more utility relocation work would be required, including additional power poles and relocation of a water line which may otherwise be avoided. Although remaining on the existing alignment potentially reduces the roadway replacement costs by removing much of the horizontal taper distance, the roadway replacement limits in this case are governed by the vertical geometry, and cost savings for roadway reconstruction would be limited as a result.

Therefore, the proposed roadway alignment for this project will be centered on the section line. Although the number of impacted utilities may be lower, some utility relocations will still be required due to the increased roadway width. To accommodate the widened roadway, expanding to a 100-ft right-of-way is needed.

The existing vertical curve south of the bridge is not sufficient for the posted speed of the roadway. A design exception for the new roadway's vertical curvature as it ties back into the existing roadway south of the proposed bridge will be required.

3.1.2 Bridge

The proposed bridge will be designed to accommodate the typical section described in Section 3.1. The bridge will be a square, single span, simply supported structure with a span length of approximately 100-feet. The proposed bridge layout avoids potential conflicts with the existing bridge substructure elements.

The bridge typical section is 58'-2" wide and will have an 8" deck supported by six beams spaced at 10'-0". ODOT TR3 concrete railings will be provided at the edges of the bridge. The proposed bridge substructure will be composed of pile-supported abutments. The approach slabs will be 30'-0" long and match the width of the bridge. For detailed conceptual General Plan and Elevation and Typical Section, see **Appendix B**.





60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek

Rolled steel beams and prestressed concrete beams were investigated for the study. Rolled beams were included because they provide a smaller structural depth compared to prestressed concrete beams and have the potential to reduce the limits of roadway reconstruction needed. Option 1A investigated the use of W40x324 rolled steel beams, while Option 1B investigated the use of AASHTO Type IV prestressed concrete beams. Each option utilized the same beam length, number and spacing.

For both Alternatives 1A and 1B, the bridge is assumed to be a conventional ODOT style bridge with a construction joint at one abutment and an expansion joint at the second abutment. During final design, an integral style bridge can be investigated. An integral bridge eliminates expansion joints on the bridge and the maintenance issues that go along with them. It is anticipated that an integral bridge would have relatively the same construction cost as a conventional bridge.

3.1.3 Hydraulics

3.1.3.1 Rolled Steel Beams (Alternative 1A)

The proposed alternative 1A is a 100' Steel Beam bridge with a low chord of 1071.00 and a roadway overtopping elevation of 1071.35 approximately 300 feet north of the bridge. The proposed bridge has a roadway overtopping frequency of 116 years. The hydraulics characteristics of the natural channel, existing, and proposed structure are shown in **Table 2**.

HYDRAULIC SUMMARY - ALTERNATIVE 1A - ROLLED STEEL BEAMS									
Frequency	Q _{Total} (cfs)	Natural Conditions	Existing (Conditions	Proposed	Conditions		Velocity (fps)	
(Tears)		WSEL (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Backwater (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Backwater (ft)	Natural	Existing	Proposed
2	1073	1060.00	1062.25	2.25	1060.18	0.18	2.65	5.18	5.18
5	2002	1062.50	1064.74	2.24	1062.80	0.30	3.60	6.71	6.55
10	2801	1064.16	1066.40	2.24	1064.56	0.40	4.19	7.58	7.30
25	3984	1066.25	1068.50	2.25	1066.84	0.59	4.85	8.44	8.11
50	5218	1068.15	1071.24	3.09	1068.89	0.74	5.40	7.62	8.71
100	6139	1069.44	1071.55	2.11	1070.22	0.78	5.70	8.17	8.97

Table 2 – Alternative 1A Hydraulic Summary

3.1.3.2 Prestressed Concrete Beams (Alternative 1B)

The proposed alternative 1B is a 100' Prestressed Concrete Beam bridge with a low chord of 1071.00 and a roadway overtopping elevation of 1071.36 approximately 300 feet north of the bridge. The proposed bridge has a roadway overtopping frequency of 111 years. The hydraulics characteristics of the natural channel, existing, and proposed structure are shown in **Table 3**.





Table 3 – Alternative 1B Hydraulic Summary

	HYDRAULIC SUMMARY - ALTERNATIVE 1B - PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS								
Frequency	Q _{Total} (cfs)	Natural Conditions	Existing Conditions		Existing Conditions Proposed Conditions		Veloctity (fps)		
(Tears)		WSEL (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Backwater (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Backwater (ft)	Natural	Existing	Proposed
2	1073	1060.00	1062.25	2.25	1060.29	0.29	2.65	5.18	5.56
5	2002	1062.50	1064.74	2.24	1062.95	0.45	3.60	6.71	6.99
10	2801	1064.16	1066.40	2.24	1064.74	0.58	4.19	7.58	7.76
25	3984	1066.25	1068.50	2.25	1067.02	0.77	4.85	8.44	8.50
50	5218	1068.15	1071.24	3.09	1069.01	0.86	5.40	7.62	8.92
100	6139	1069.44	1071.55	2.11	1070.34	0.90	5.70	8.17	9.12

3.1.4 Environmental

The Span Bridge Alternative would remove and replace the existing bridge over Rock Creek with a new 58-foot-2 inch wide by 100-foot-long span bridge. Replacement of the bridge would involve additional right-of-way (ROW). Best Management Practices will be implemented to limit the quantity of sediment entering the stream during construction. This alternative will likely not require a Section 404 permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE).

Environmental impacts of the Span Bridge Alternative could include impacts to potential habitat for the Tricolored Bat and Monarch Butterfly. Removal of trees and shrubs should be restricted to areas within the actual limits of construction to avoid and minimize adverse impacts to bats. All aspects of the project may be modified to avoid tree removal. The Nationwide Monarch Butterfly CCAAs conservation measures will be followed to minimize threats to the Monarch Butterfly. Migratory birds are protected by the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act. Many birds commonly use bridges and culverts for nesting. The nesting season for most bird species extends from March 1 to August 31. No migratory bird use on the existing bridge was observed during the field reconnaissance; however, if an official survey for migratory bird use is conducted, the results are valid until the start of the 2023 nesting season (beginning March 1). The new span bridge will provide suitable habitat for migratory birds.

3.1.5 Construction Sequencing

The existing bridge has been closed to all traffic and a detour has been put in place. The detour of 60th Avenue NE shall remain during construction of the proposed bridge.

3.1.6 Cost Estimate

An estimated opinion of probably cost has been developed for Alternatives 1A and 1B and are presented in **Tables 4** and **5**. The opinion of probable cost accounts for the roadway improvements to 60th Avenue NE, bridge, traffic (temporary and permanent), right-of-way and utility relocations. An itemized cost estimate is provided in **Appendix C**.



Item 5.



Table 4 - Alternative 1A Cost Estimate

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE COST								
ALTERNATIVE 1A - STEEL BEAMS								
ITEM DESCRIPTION		COST						
ROADWAY & TRAFFIC	\$	648,204.00						
BRIDGE	\$	1,126,170.00						
REMOVAL OF EXISTING BRIDGE STRUCTURE	\$	30,000.00						
RIGHT-OF-WAY & UTILITY RELOCATIONS	\$	350,000.00						
STAKING	\$	50,000.00						
MOBILIZATION	\$	148,000.00						
ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION	\$	-						
CONTINGENCY (25%)	\$	588,092.00						
TOTAL =	\$	2,940,457.00						

Table 5 - Alternative 1B Cost Estimate

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE COST								
ALTERNATIVE 1B- PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS								
ITEM DESCRIPTION		COST						
ROADWAY & TRAFFIC	\$	680,036.00						
BRIDGE	\$	801,890.00						
REMOVAL OF EXISTING BRIDGE STRUCTURE	\$	30,000.00						
RIGHT-OF-WAY & UTILITY RELOCATIONS	\$	350,000.00						
STAKING	\$	50,000.00						
MOBILIZATION	\$	134,000.00						
ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION	\$	-						
CONTINGENCY (25%)	\$	511,481.00						
TOTAL =	\$	2,557,403.00						





60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek

3.2 Reinforced Concrete Box Alternative (Alternative 2)

3.2.1 Roadway

For the proposed alternative, the typical section will have the same characteristics as described in **Section 3.1.1**.

3.2.2 Bridge

The results of the hydraulic analysis indicated that a triple cell 20-foot wide by 21-foot tall, reinforced concrete box (RCB) would be required to meet the City of Norman's hydraulic design requirements. The proposed RCB for this alternative will be a non-skewed, approximately 78-foot long triple cell structure with non-standard end sections that includes an apron, wing walls, and curtain walls.

To minimize the impact of the raised profile grade for this alternative, the RCB will have no fill over it and is considered "at-grade". Therefore, the top slab of the RCB is used at the driving surface. At-grade RCB's tend to have more long-term maintenance concerns than RCB's under fill because they are in direct contact with the elements and de-icing chemicals used to treat roadways. Additionally, ODOT standard concrete traffic rails (TR3) will be placed at the edges of the RCB to protect traffic and to connect to the guardrail that will be placed at all four corners of the approach roadway. For detailed conceptual General Plan and Elevation, See **Appendix B**.

There are several challenges associated with the proposed layout of the RCB. The first challenge is that the height of the cells exceeds the ODOT standards by more than six feet and would require a custom end section design. The custom design is anticipated to require that the end section wing walls be supported by steel piling. The steel piling will require additional construction activities within the Rock Creek channel.

A second challenge with the proposed RCB is the large end section that would be required. As seen in **Figure 9**, the traditional end section design on the west side of the structure creates a conflict with the existing residential driveway on the southeast corner of the project side. This layout would also require extensive work within the Rock Creek channel to construct the end section and then re-grade the channel.





60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek



Figure 9 - RCB Traditional End Section

An alternative end section with straight wingwalls would lessen the impacts to the existing residential driveway and Rock Creek (see **Figure 10**), however they would not be eliminated.



Figure 10 - Alternative RCB End Section



Page 18



3.2.3 Hydraulics

The proposed Alternative 2 is a 3-20'x21' RCB with an inlet elevation of 1050.30 and a roadway overtopping elevation of 1071.31 approximately 300 feet north of the bridge. The proposed structure has a roadway overtopping frequency of 160 years. The hydraulics characteristics of the natural channel, existing, and proposed structure are shown in **Table 6**.

Table 6 - Alternative 2 Hydraulic Summary

	HYDRAULIC SUMMARY - ALTERNATIVE 2 - REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX								
Frequency	Q _{Total} (cfs)	Natural Conditions	Existing Conditions		Proposed	Conditions	Veloctity (fps)		
(Tears)		WSEL (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Backwater (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Backwater (ft)	Natural	Existing	Proposed
2	1073	1060.00	1062.25	2.25	1059.88	-0.12	2.65	5.18	1.78
5	2002	1062.50	1064.74	2.24	1062.34	-0.16	3.60	6.71	2.64
10	2801	1064.16	1066.40	2.24	1063.99	-0.17	4.19	7.58	3.25
25	3984	1066.25	1068.50	2.25	1066.10	-0.15	4.85	8.44	4.01
50	5218	1068.15	1071.24	3.09	1068.03	-0.12	5.40	7.62	4.71
100	6139	1069.44	1071.55	2.11	1069.37	-0.07	5.70	8.17	5.18

3.2.4 Environmental

The Reinforced Concrete Box Alternative will remove and replace the existing bridge over Rock Creek with a new 20-foot wide by 21-foot tall RCB. Impacts from replacing the existing bridge with an RCB would be somewhat greater than the Span Bridge Alternative due to the need for additional ROW and channel work. Placement of the RCB, riprap, and channel regrading will require work below Rock Creek which will necessitate a Section 404 permit from the USACE. It is anticipated the project would fall under Nationwide Permit 14.

The 2022 revised Nationwide Permits issued by the USACE set a 0.03-acre threshold for the amount of stream-bed loss that triggers required compensatory mitigation. No streams have been formally delineated; however, an estimation of stream acreage that would be impacted can be calculated using the proposed ROW. The Tulsa District requires all new projects to use the Oklahoma Stream Mitigation Method to calculate stream credits. Mitigation of stream impacts would be accomplished through purchase of credits at an approved mitigation bank. For the Reinforced Concrete Box Alternative, an estimated cost of \$185,606.40 may be required to mitigate impacts to Rock Creek (**Table 7**).

T.L	F - 41	0		6	A 14 41	~
lable / -	Estimated	Stream	wiitigation	TOR	Alternative	2

Type of Impact:	RCB Placement, Riprap, Channel Work
Required Credits ¹ :	707.072
Amount per Credit ² :	\$175
Estimated Cost ³ :	\$185,606.40

¹ Estimated using the OSMM Tool

² Intermittent stream credit amount in the secondary service area of Deep Fork Mitigation Bank. Cost sent from Jason Hoffman.



Item 5.



60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek

³ Includes a 1.5 multiplier

Environmental impacts of the Alternative 2 could also include impacts to potential habitat for the Tricolored Bat and Monarch Butterfly. Removal of trees and shrubs should be restricted to areas within the actual limits of construction to avoid and minimize adverse impacts to bats. All aspects of the project may be modified to avoid tree removal. The Nationwide Monarch Butterfly CCAAs conservation measures will be followed to minimize threats to the Monarch Butterfly. Migratory birds are protected by the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act. Many birds commonly use bridges and culverts for nesting. The nesting season for most bird species extends from March 1 to August 31. No migratory bird use on the existing bridge was observed during the field reconnaissance; however, if an official survey for migratory bird use is conducted, the results are valid until the start of the 2023 nesting season (beginning March 1). The new RCB will provide suitable habitat for migratory birds.

3.2.5 Construction Sequencing

The construction sequencing for the RCB alternative would be similar to the Span Bridge Alternatives described in **Section 3.1.5**.

3.2.6 Cost Estimate

An estimated opinion of probable cost has been developed for Alternative 2 and is presented in **Table 8**. The opinion of probable cost accounts for the roadway improvements to 60th Avenue NE, bridge, traffic (temporary and permanent), right-of-way and utility relocations. An itemized cost estimate is provided in **Appendix C**.

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE COST ALTERNATIVE 2 - REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX							
ITEM DESCRIPTION		COST					
ROADWAY & TRAFFIC	\$	615,180.00					
BRIDGE	\$	1,844,570.00					
REMOVAL OF EXISTING BRIDGE STRUCTURE	\$	30,000.00					
RIGHT-OF-WAY & UTILITY RELOCATIONS	\$	500,000.00					
STAKING	\$	50,000.00					
MOBILIZATION	\$	193,000.00					
ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION	\$	185,606.40					
CONTINGENCY (25%)	\$	854,588.00					
TOTAL =	\$	4,272,937.00					

Table 8 - Alternative 2 Cost Estimate





60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek

4.0 Conclusion

This Engineering Report compiles the existing condition of the study area, outlines the design approach and provides an overview of the bridge replacement alternatives considered. A project impact matrix has been included in **Table 9**.

Table 9 - Project Impact Matrix

	60TH AVENUE NE OVER ROCK CREEK PROJECT MATRIX								
Alternative	Description	Total Cost	Construction Duration (days)	Right-of- Way (acre)	Utility Impacts	Permitting	Mitigation Costs	Cultural Resources	Long-Term Maintenance
1A	100' Steel Beam Span	\$2.9M	180	0.68	Medium	N/A	\$0	None	High
1B	100' Prestressed Beam Span	\$2.6M	180	0.68	Medium	N/A	\$0	None	Medium
2	3-20'x21' RCB	\$4.4M	210	1.2	High	Section 404 NWP 24	\$185,600	None	Low

Each of the alternatives proposed meet the primary objectives of replacing the existing 60th Avenue NE bridge over Rock Creek. For alternative plan sheets see **Appendix B**. For detailed costs estimate see **Appendix C**.



Appendix A

NBI Bridge Inspection Report



Item 5.





Oklahoma Dept, of Transportation - Bridge Inspection Report

Okla	homa Dept. of Transporta	ation - Bridge I	Inspection R	eport	Item 5.
<u>NBI No.:</u> 09189	<u>Structure No.:</u> <u>1</u> 4N3170E1210005	<u>_ocal ID:</u> 022A	<u>Suff. R</u> 27	<u>ating:</u> .20	SD
Bridge Description: IDENTIF	ICATION		INSPE	CTION	
15ft 36ft 15ft. WF BEAM		<u>Type</u> <u>Insp. Reg</u> NBI:	I. Insp. Done F 1 12 n	req. Insp. Date nonths 10/10/2022	<u>Next Insp.</u> 10/10/2023
		FC: N	0	NA	NA
2 Division Division 3	Intersect: ROCK CREEK	OS N	0	NA	NA
3 County: CLEVELAND	Location: 0.5 N ROCK CREEK RD		CLASS	ICATION	
4. City: NORMAN 1	1. Mile Post: 4.542 mi	12 Base Hwy Net · N	ot on Base Network	101 Parallel Str · No.II	bridge exists
Admin Area: Unknown 1	3. LRS Inv. / Sub Rte: -1 / -1	20 Toll Facility: C	In free road	102 Traffic Dir : 2-way	/ traffic
5a. On/Under: Route On Structure 1	6. Latitude: 35° 15' 19.30"	21 Custodian: City		103. Temp. Str.: Not A	pplicable (P)
5b. Kind of Hwy: City Street 1	7. Longitude: 097° 21' 11.09"	22. Owner: City		104. Hwy System: Not o	n NHS
5c. Lvl of Srvc: Mainline 9	8. Border Brdg: Unknown (P)	26. Function Class: C	9 Rural Local	105. Fed Land Hwy: IRR-I	ndian Res Rd
5d. Route No.: N3170 %	6 Responsible: 0.00	37. Historical Sig.: No	ot eligible for NRHP	110. Defense Hwy: Not a	STRAHNET hwy
		100. Def. Hwy: Not a	a STRAHNE⊺ hwy	112. NBIS Length: Long	Enough
STRUCTURE TYPE	E AND MATERIALS		CONI	DITION	
43a/b. Main Span:	Steer / Stringer/Girder	58.Deck: 6 Satisfac	tory 59.Sup.: 4 F	Poor 60.Sub:4 F	oor
44a/b. Appr. Span:		62.Culvert: N/A (NB)	61.Chan./Cl	nan. Prot.: 5 Bank Prot E	roded
45. # of Main Spans: 5					
107 Deck Type: Concrete-Cast-	-in-Place	2022- FL= 19.4 ft to I	ETOD		
108a. Wearing Surface: Bituminous		2021, FL=19.1ft to E	TOD 2019, FL = 19.2	ft measured 35ft from NE of	orner.
108b. Membrane: None			LOAD RATING	AND POSTING	
108c. Deck protection: None		31. Design Load:	VI 9 (H 10) Dipostod for lood	Date Rated: 12	2/03/2019
AGE AND	SERVICE	- 41. Post. Status:	> 39 9% below		
19 Detour Length: 0.6 mi	06 Year Reconst.:	63.0p / 65.Inv. Rating	g Meth.: 1 LF Lo	ad Factor / 1 LF Loa	ad Factor
27. Year Built: 1940 1	09. Truck ADT: 10%		<u>н</u>	<u>HS 3-3 EV3</u>	SHV
28a/b. Lanes on/und: 2 / 0		64. Operating Rating	(tons): 5.60	6.60 15.50 4.30	5.60
29. ADT: 1,669		66. Inventory Rating ((tons): 3.40	4.00 9.30 2.60)
30. Year of ADT: 2020			APPR	AISAL	
42a/b. Type of Svc on/und: Highway	/ Waterway	36a. Brdg Rail: 0	Substandard	68. Deck Geom.: 4 Tol	erable
GEOMET	RIC DATA	36b. Transition: 0	Substandard	69. Vert./Horiz. Undclr: N	lot applicable (NB
10. Vert. Clearance: 99.99 ft 5	0a. Curb/Sdwlk Width L: 0.00 ft	36c. Appr. Rail: 0	Substandard	71. Waterway Adeq: 7 A	bove Minimum
32. Appr Rwy Width: 24.00 ft 5	0b. Curb/Sdwlk Width R: 0.00 ft	36d. Appr.Rail Ends:	0 Substandard	72. Appr. Alignment: 8 Ec	ual Desirable Crit
33. Median: No median 5	1. Width Curb to Curb: 26.00 ft	67. Str Evaluation:	2 Intolerable - Repl	113. Scour Critical: 8 Sta	able Above Footin
35 Struct Elared: No flare	Deck Area: 1.711 46 sg ft		PROPOSED IN	IPROVEMENTS	
47Horizontal Clr: 26.00 ft 5	3. Min Vert Cl. Ovr Bra: 99.99 ft	94. Bridge Cost:	\$255,000	75. Type of Work: 31 Re	pl-Load Capacity
48. Length Max Span: 36.09 ft 5	4a.Min.Vt.Undclr.Ref : N Feature not hwy c	95 Roadway Cost:	\$140,000 \$406,000	76. Lngth of Improvement	: 163.9 ft 2 336
49. Struct. Length: 65.95 ft 5.	4b. Min. Vert. Undclr.: 0.00 ft	96. Total Cost:	9400,000 2015	114. Future ADT:	2040
5	5a. Min.Lat.Undclr.Ref: N Feature not hwy	37. 11.01 COSt ESt			
5	5. Min.Lat.Underclr. R: 0.00 π	38. Nav. Control:	Permit Not Required		
5	6. Min.Lat.Undercir. L: 0.00 h	39. Vert. Clearance:	0.0 ft	111. Pier Protect : No	t Applicable (P)
200c. Temperature: 68		40. Horiz. Clearance:	0.0 ft	116. Lift Bridge Vert. Clr.:	0.0 ft
200d. Weather: Cloudy	214a Dested Weight Limit	040404	0.1.1. On on Low other		15
201. Struc.Stl. ASTM Desig.: -1	b. Posted Speed Limit:	50	244. Span Length		15
Date Installed: 01/01/1901	c. Narrow/1way Brdg Sign:	No		1.05	
203. Type Exp. Device: Pourable	d. Vertical Clr. Sign:	No	245. Girder Depth	Iav AC Overlav	
	Adv. Warning Sign:	NO	b. Overlay Thick	ness: 6.00	
204. Type of Railing: Metal Railing	e. Navigation Lights?:	No	c Overlay Date:	01/01/1991	
205. Material Quantity: -1.00 208a Type of Abutment: Other	215 Overnass: AC	COG	d. Ovly Depth Ch	anged >1": N	
b. Type of Found.: Bears on Nat	tural Found. 218. Functionally Obsolete :	FO	247. Protective Sys	stems:	
209. Type of Pier/Found : B /	No 220. Bridge Redecked	_			
Steel Piling	221. Substr.Cond.(U/W):				
210. Foundation Elev.:	-1.00 222. Fill Over RCB:	2	248. # Field Splice	s w/ Corrosion:	
	-1.00 223. Appr.Siab/Rwy Cond.:	4 Lead 3 Cost System	250. Headwall:		
211. Wear.Surf.Prot.Sys: None Data Installed: 01/01/1901	225. Paint Type/Ovrct: Re	a Load o Coal System	258. Plans w/Foun	d.in ODOT File: _	
211c Silane Reapplied	226. Date Painted: 19	40	259. Scour Eval. in	ODOT File:	
211d. Date :	227. Paint Color: Sil	ver	263. Interchange a	n intersection: _	
213. Utilities Attached:	233. Deck Forming: Pe	rm Metal Deck Forr		pont.	
	238. School Bus Rte.: Cu	inent bus route			
	240. Appl. Rwy Type As 243. Grdr Spacing/No.: 3.	40 / VA			
		,	I		

		Oklahoma De	pt. of Transpo	ortation - I	Bridge Ins	spection Report	Item 5.
0918	<u>o.:</u> 9	Structure No.: Local ID: 14N3170E1210005 022A			<u>Suff. Rating:</u> 27.20	SD	
Inspection Date:	10/10/22		Troy ⊺ravis		Τ	Digitally signed by Troy Travis DN: C=US, E=ttravis@hwlochn OU=Oklahoma, CN=Troy Travis	er.com, O=HW Lochner,
Invoice No.:	HWL141022	Inspected With:	Colby Warden		Troy	Location: Oklahoma City, OK Reason: I am the author of this Contact Info: travis@hwlochne Date: 2022.11.28 13:32:59-06'0	locument .com D'

BRIDGE NOTES:

10/10/22 **INSPECTION NOTES:**

Banks have rubble & slurry concrete mix poured near the piles. Bank erosion may be occuring at the south interior bent piles. The NW & SE banks are vertical. Active bank erosion occuring on the SE bank.

ELEMENT C	ONDITION STATE DATA											
Elem. / Env	Description	Unit	Total Qty	% 1	Qty. 1	% 2	Qty. 2	%3	Qty 3	% 4	Qty. 4	
12 / 4	Re Concrete Deck	sq.ft	1,711.00	0%	0.00	100%	1,711.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	
Crac	cking & scaling at edges. Covered with	asphalt										
510 / 4	Wearing Surfaces	sq.ft	1,711.00	100%	1,711.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	
	Heavy cracks in asphalt and over piers	5.										
107 / 4	Steel Opn Girder/Beam	ft	604.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	100%	604.00	0%	0.00	
FX -	Bottom flanges have rust & deep pittir	ng.										
515 / 4	Steel Protective Coating	sq.ft	4,202.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	100%	4,202.00	0%	0.00	
	Paint system has failed.											
202 / 4	Steel Column	each	12.00	0%	0.00	67%	8.00	33%	4.00	0%	0.00	
FX -	All exterior piles have minor section lo	oss at the	e ground line.									
219 / 4	Stl Abutment	ft	52.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	100%	52.00	0%	0.00	
Mos	tly covered by rock & gravel. Very sm	all areas	have heavy r	ust & pitti	ng.				_			
231 / 4	Steel Pier Cap	ft	52.00	0%	0.00	90%	47.00	10%	5.00	0%	0.00	
PX -	- Bottom flanges have severe rust & de	ep pittin	g. Web of cap	s losing s	ection, sup	olementa	y caps have	e advance	ed corrosion			
918 / 4	St. (substr)Prot.Coat	(EA)	436.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	100%	436.00	
	Paint system has failed.											
301 / 4	Pourable Joint Seal	ft	52.00	0%	0.00	100%	52.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	
Join	ts are covered by asphalt overlay.											
330 / 4	Metal Bridge Railing	ft	131.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	100%	131.00	0%	0.00	
Rus	t is prevalent with minor pitting.Rail is t	oo low.										
919 / 4	St.(Rail) Prot. Coat	(SF)	286.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	100%	286.00	
	Paint system has failed.											
865 / 4	St.Open Gird End(5Ft	(LF)	160.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	100%	160.00	0%	0.00	
PX-	Westernmost beam end has significan	nt sectior	loss.									
FX -	Bottom flanges have severe rust & de	ep pittin	g. Section los	s on bean	n ends at bo	oth piers a	are approxin	nately 5%	•			
875 / 1	Masonry Wingwall	(EA)	4.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	75%	3.00	25%	1.00	
PX -	- Erosion at southeast corner of structu	ire is end	croaching onto	the road	way, as we	l as north	west ditch.					
890 / 4	Steel SIP Form	(LF)	1.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	100%	1.00	0%	0.00	
Forr	ns are rusty & have significant section	loss.										
958 / 4	Concrete Cracking SF	(EA)	1.00	0%	0.00	100%	1.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	
Crac	cks are moderate in size & density.											
963 / 4	Steel Section Loss SF	(EA)	1.00	0%	0.00	100%	1.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	
Mino	or to moderate section loss & deep pitt	ing found	d in beams, be	eam ends	, abutments	, supplen	nentary cap	s & railing				
968 / 4	Erosion SF	(EA)	1.00	0%	0.00	100%	1.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	
PX -	- Erosion at southeast corner of structu	ire is end	croaching onto	the road	way, as we	l as north	west ditch.					

Appendix B

Alternative Plan Sheets



Item 5.









SCALE: 1''=250'

UTILITY OWNER	2S
ONG	(405) 556.6411
OG&E	(405) 553.5785
CHICKASAW TELEPHONE CO.	(580) 622.3837
ONENET	(405) 225.9453
CITY OF NORMAN	(405) 217.7778
CITY OF NORMAN WATER	(405) 291.5545
CITY OF NORMAN SEWER	(405) 329.0703
AT&T	(405) 291.5545

	The City of
SF	Norman
	LARRY HEIKKILA Mayor
BRANDI STUDLEY Council Member LAUREN SCHUELER Council Member	DARREL PYLE City Manager ELIZABETH FOREMAN Council Member
<u>KELLY LYNN</u> Council Member	KATHRYN WALKER STEPHEN HOLMAN City Attorney STEPHEN HOLMAN Council Member
HELEN GRANT Council Member	MATTHEW PEACOCK Council Member
5ALTERNATIVE 1A TYF6ALTERNATIVE 1B P&7ALTERNATIVE 1B GE8ALTERNATIVE 1B TYF9ALTERNATIVE 2 P&P10ALTERNATIVE 2 GEN	PICAL SECTION P NERAL PLAN AND ELEVATION IERAL PLAN AND ELEVATION
THE FOLLOWING STAN CITY OF NO GC 02 W 05 W 02 W 07 W 03 W 08 W 04 W 09a ODOT SSS-2-0 TR-3-2-01E	IDARDS SHALL BE REQUIRED ON THIS PROJECT: RMAN CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS W 09b ST 14 ST 18 ST 32 W 11 ST 14a ST 21 ST 33 W 13 ST 15 ST 23 ST 36 ST 11 ST 16 ST 29 SD 01 I CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS TCS2-4-0 SSCD-4-0 CI-2-0 EJ-SQ-04E EJ-DTL-02E HP1-2-01E
PREPARED E	3Y:
J. BRET CABBINESS, P.E. REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER	NO. 18093
	Sheet <u>1</u> OF <u>10</u>

Item 5.



(1) THIS AREA TO BE BACKFILLED WITH TRAFFIC BOUND SURFACE COURSE TYPE E AND SHALL BE



C.R.L. STA. 16+00.00 TO STA. 16+30.00 60TH AVE











ELEVATION








BEAM HAUNCH DETAIL

NOTE: PLAN QUANTITIES FOR CLASS AA CONCRETE INCLUDE BEAM HAUNCHES. THE HAUNCH HEIGHT SHOWN IS THE THEORETICAL HAUNCH HEIGHT AT THE CENTERLINE BEARING ONLY, MEASURED FROM BOTTOM OF DECK SLAB TO TOP OF TOP FLANGE, AND VARIES ACROSS THE SPAN. DETERMINE THE ACTUAL HAUNCH HEIGHT (ACCOUNTING FOR BEAM CAMBER, DEAD LOAD DEFLECTION AND ROADWAY (GRADE) AFTER ERECTION OF THE BEAMS AND SUBMIT TO THE ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL. THE ENGINEER WILL NOT MEASURE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE THEORETICAL AND THE ACTUAL HAUNCH HEIGHTS FOR PAYMENT.







 :	z 0	12 24 SCALE 1" = 12'	36	GARVE
(LOA CLASS AA CLASS A C REINFORCI REINFORCI	DESIGN DATA D AND RESISTANCE FA CONCRETE ONCRETE ING STEEL (GRADE 60) AL STEEL (GRADE 60)	CTOR DESIGN) F'C = 4,000 F F'C = 3,000 F FY = 60,000 I FY = 50,000 I	2.S.I. 2.S.I. P.S.I. P.S.I.	© 2019 GARVER, LLC THIS DOCUMENT, ALONG W IDEAS AND DESIGNS COM- HEREIN, SHALL BE CONSEL INSTWICE MID ARE PROPER SERVICE AND ARE PROPER GRIVER, LLC, ANY LUS REPRODUCTION, OR DISTIN OF THIS DOCUMENT, ALON THE IDEAS AND DESIGN COM- HEREIN, IS PROHIBITED UT AUTHORIZED IN WRITING GARVER, LLC OR EXPLIC ALLOWED IN THE GOVER
STRUCTUR	AL STEEL (PILING) (M270, GR. 50) STEEL A240 (TYPE 316)	FY = 50,000 FY = 30,000	P.S.I. P.S.I.	PROFESSIONAL SERVIC AGREEMENT FOR THIS W CA #4193 EXPIRES JUNE 3
LOADING:	HL93 AND 20 P.S.F. FUTURE WEA OVERLOAD TRUCK, 20 P.S.F. FUT P.S.F. STAY-IN-PLACE FORMS.	ARING SURFACE OR OK TURE WEARING SURFA	(LAHOMA CE, AND 5	Ľ
DESIGN:	AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN S WITH CURRENT INTERIMS.	SPECIFICATIONS, 9TH E	EDITION	NON

ANSI/AASHTO/AWS: D1.5 BRIDGE WELDING CODE ANSI/AASHTO/AWS: D1.6 STRUCTURAL WELDING CODE - STAINLESS STEEL LFD OPERATING RATING: HS XX.X

HYDRAULIC SUMMARY

FREQ.	Q (CFS)	CHW (FT)	V (FPS)
2	1,073	1060.29	5.56
5	2,002	1062.95	6.99
10	2,801	1064.74	7.76
25	3,984	1067.02	8.50
50	5,218	1069.01	8.92
100	6,319	1070.34	9.12







DIAPHRAGM BOLT NOTES: PROVIDE STRUCTURAL STEEL FOR DIAPHRAGM BOLTS AND PLATE WASHERS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AASHTO M270 (ASTM A709), GRADE 50W (WEATHERING STEEL, CHARPY V-NOTCH TESTING NOT REQUIRED). THE CONTRACTOR MAY SUBSTITUTE A #10 REINFORCING BAR IN ACCORDANCE WITH AASHTO M31, GRADE 60, AND THREADED AT THE ENDS AS SHOWN FOR THE DIAPHRAGM BOLT AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE DEPARTMENT. PROVIDE HEX NUTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AASHTO M291 (ASTM A563).

PAINT EXPOSED DIAPHRAGM BOLT, PLATE WASHER AND HEX NUT WITH TWO (2) COATS OF ZINC-RICH PAINT (6 MIL. MINIMUM THICKNESS) AFTER ASSEMBLY. INCLUDE ALL COST OF DIAPHRAGM BOLT, PLATE WASHER AND HEX NUT IN THE CONTRACT UNIT PRICE FOR "STRUCTURAL STEEL".





BEAM HAUNCH DETAIL

NOTE: PLAN QUANTITIES FOR CLASS AA CONCRETE INCLUDE BEAM HAUNCHES. THE HAUNCH HEIGHT SHOWN IS THE THEORETICAL HAUNCH HEIGHT AT THE CENTERLINE BEARING ONLY, MEASURED FROM BOTTOM OF DECK SLAB TO TOP OF BEAM, AND VARIES ACROSS THE SPAN. DETERMINE THE ACTUAL HAUNCH HEIGHT (ACCOUNTING FOR BEAM CAMBER, DEAD LOAD DEFLECTION AND ROADWAY GRADE) AFTER ERECTION OF THE BEAMS AND SUBMIT TO THE ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL. THE ENGINEER WILL NOT MEASURE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE THEORETICAL AND THE ACTUAL HAUNCH HEIGHTS FOR PAYMENT.

THU H INS SE OFFTHH CA	©202 ®202 BOCUJ BEAS ANI EREIN, S BOCUJ EREIN, S GARV RRODUC EREIN, S GARVER PROFEC BOCK BOCK BOCK BOCK BOCK BOCK BOCK BOC	19 GARM MENT, A D DESIGN TISO FI HALL BE ER, LLC, O DOCUMEN ENTION, O D IN THE SIGNAL SIGNAL MENTEON COMENTED SIGNAL MENTEON COMENTED SIGNAL MENTEON COMENTED SIGNAL MENTEON COMENTED SIGNAL SIGNAL SI	THE NUMBER OF TH	TH THE EYED IONAL BY TY OF SUTTON S WITH LESS BY TANED BY TANED STATES BY TANED STATES BY TANES SUTTON S WITH TANED STATES BY TANES SUTTON S WITH S W
		FOR INFORMATION ONLY		
ВΥ				
DESCRIPTION				
DATE				
REV L				
CLEVELAND COUNTY.	OKLAHOMA		60TH AVENUE NE	OVER ROCK CREEK
А	LTEI T S	RNA YPIC ECT	TIVE CAL ION	1B
JC D/ DI DI	DB NC ATE: ESIGN RAWN BAF ORI NOT ON DJUST S	IED B I BY: IS ONE IGINAL D E INCH (C CALES /	Y: JTP NBK INCH ON RAWING ON THIS S	۲ ۱° SHEET, NGLY.
		/ING T	NUMB 14	er 8





SHEET NUMBER

Appendix C

Itemized Cost Estimate



Item 5.





Item 5.

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE COST - ALTERNATIVE 1A - STEEL BEAMS

ROADWAY & TRAFFIC											
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY		UNIT PRICE		UNIT PRICE		UNIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
201(A) 1200	CLEARING AND GRUBBING	LS	1.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00				
202(A) 2200	UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION	CY	1,882.00	\$	12.00	\$	22,584.00				
202(D) 2500	UNCLASSIFIED BORROW	CY	610.00	\$	20.00	\$	12,200.00				
221(B) 2300	TEMPORARY SILT FENCE	LF	2,500.00	\$	2.50	\$	6,250.00				
230(A) 7200	SOLID SLAB SODDING	SY	13,500.00	\$	5.00	\$	67,500.00				
307(K) 4200	STABILIZED SUBGRADE	SY	5,503.00	\$	8.00	\$	44,024.00				
407(B) 7300	TACK COAT	GAL	2,899.00	\$	4.00	\$	11,596.00				
411(A) 1220	SUPERPAVE, TYPE S3(PG 64-22 OK)	TON	1,998.00	\$	115.00	\$	229,770.00				
411(C) 1420	SUPERPAVE, TYPE S4(PG 70-28 OK)	TON	361.00	\$	130.00	\$	46,930.00				
411(C) 1430	SUPERPAVE, TYPE S4(PG 64-22 OK)	TON	139.00	\$	130.00	\$	18,070.00				
414(A) 5200	CONCRETE PAVEMENT	SY	1,044.00	\$	120.00	\$	125,280.00				
610(B) 5310	CONCRETE DRIVEWAY	SY	100.00	\$	100.00	\$	10,000.00				
619(B) 6380	REMOVAL OF CONCRETE DRIVEWAY	SY	100.00	\$	40.00	\$	4,000.00				
-	SIGNING AND STRIPING	LS	1.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00				
ROADWAY & TRAFFIC TOTAL = \$							648,204.00				

BRIDGE							
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE			TOTAL COST
504(A) 5200	APPROACH SLAB	SY	387.80	\$	300.00	\$	116,340.00
504(D) 5410	CONCRETE RAIL (TR3)	LF	321.90	\$	75.00	\$	24,142.50
506(A) 7225	STRUCTURAL STEEL M270 GRADE 50W	LB	207,270.00	\$	2.50	\$	518,175.00
507(A) 8200	STAINLESS STEEL FIXED BEARING ASSEMBLY	EA	6.00	\$	3,500.00	\$	21,000.00
507(B) 8300	STAINLESS STEEL EXP. BEARING ASSEMBLY	EA	6.00	\$	3,500.00	\$	21,000.00
509(A) 0210	CLASS AA CONCRETE	CY	165.30	\$	700.00	\$	115,710.00
509(B) 0320	CLASS A CONCRETE	CY	82.60	\$	850.00	\$	70,210.00
511(B) 2310	EPOXY COATED REINFORCING STEEL	LB	54,220.00	\$	1.65	\$	89,463.00
514(A) 5210	PILES, FURNISHED (HP 10X42)	LF	140.00	\$	50.00	\$	7,000.00
514(A) 5220	PILES, FURNISHED (HP 12X53)	LF	794.00	\$	55.00	\$	43,670.00
514(B) 5310	PILES, DRIVEN (HP 10X42)	LF	140.00	\$	25.00	\$	3,500.00
514(B) 5320	PILES, DRIVEN (HP 12X53)	LF	794.00	\$	25.00	\$	19,850.00
518(B) 0300	SEALED EXPANSION JOINTS	LF	60.00	\$	350.00	\$	21,000.00
619(D) 6700	REMOVAL OF EXISTING BRIDGE STRUCTURE	LSUM	1.00	\$	30,000.00	\$	30,000.00
-	MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS (5% OF ALL OTHER COSTS)	LSUM	1.00	\$	55,100.00	\$	55,100.00
					BRIDGE TOTAL =	Ś	1.156.170.00

RIGHT OF WAY & UTILITY RELOCATIONS								
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE			TOTAL COST	
-	RIGHT-OF-WAY & UTILITY RELOCATIONS	LSUM	1.00	\$	350,000.00	\$	350,000.00	
RIGHT OF WAY & UTILITY RELOCATIONS TOTAL =						\$	350,000.00	

STAKING						
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
642(B) 0096	CONSTRUCTION STAKING LEVEL 2	LSUM	1.00	\$	50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00
				STA	KING TOTAL =	\$ 50,000.00

MOBILIZATION						
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY		UNIT PRICE	TOTAL COST
220 2800	SWPP DOCUMENTATION AND MANAGEMENT	LSUM	1.00	\$	5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
641 1399	MOBILIZATION	LSUM	1.00	\$	143,000.00	\$ 143,000.00
	MOBILIZATION TOTAL = \$					\$ 148,000.00

MITIGATION						
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
N/A	ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION	LSUM	0.00	\$-	\$	-
	-	•	N	/ITIGATION TOTAL =	Ś	-

Γ	ROADWAY & TRAFFIC SUBTOTAL	\$ 648,204.00
	BRIDGE SUBTOTAL	\$ 1,156,160.50
Γ	ROW & UTILITIES SUBTOTAL	\$ 350,000.00
Γ	STAKING SUBTOTAL	\$ 50,000.00
Γ	MOBILIZATION SUBTOTAL	\$ 148,000.00
Γ	MITIGATION SUBTOTAL	\$ -
Γ	SUBTOTAL	\$ 2,352,364.50
Γ	CONTINGENCY (25%)	\$ 588,092.00
Γ	TOTAL	\$ 2,940,457.00

Item 5.

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE COST - ALTERNATIVE 1B - PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS

ROADWAY & TRAFFIC											
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY		UNIT PRICE		UNIT PRICE		UNIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
201(A) 1200	CLEARING AND GRUBBING	LS	1.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00				
202(A) 2200	UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION	CY	1,758.00	\$	12.00	\$	21,096.00				
202(D) 2500	UNCLASSIFIED BORROW	CY	2,276.00	\$	20.00	\$	45,520.00				
221(B) 2300	TEMPORARY SILT FENCE	LF	2,500.00	\$	2.50	\$	6,250.00				
230(A) 7200	SOLID SLAB SODDING	SY	13,500.00	\$	5.00	\$	67,500.00				
307(K) 4200	STABILIZED SUBGRADE	SY	5,503.00	\$	8.00	\$	44,024.00				
407(B) 7300	TACK COAT	GAL	2,899.00	\$	4.00	\$	11,596.00				
411(A) 1220	SUPERPAVE, TYPE S3(PG 64-22 OK)	TON	1,998.00	\$	115.00	\$	229,770.00				
411(C) 1420	SUPERPAVE, TYPE S4(PG 70-28 OK)	TON	361.00	\$	130.00	\$	46,930.00				
411(C) 1430	SUPERPAVE, TYPE S4(PG 64-22 OK)	TON	139.00	\$	130.00	\$	18,070.00				
414(A) 5200	CONCRETE PAVEMENT	SY	1,044.00	\$	120.00	\$	125,280.00				
610(B) 5310	CONCRETE DRIVEWAY	SY	100.00	\$	100.00	\$	10,000.00				
619(B) 6380	REMOVAL OF CONCRETE DRIVEWAY	SY	100.00	\$	40.00	\$	4,000.00				
-	SIGNING AND STRIPING	LS	1.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00				
ROADWAY & TRAFFIC TOTAL = \$							680,036.00				

BRIDGE						
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
503(A) 4240	PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS (TYPE IV)	LF	598.00	\$ 350.00	\$	209,300.00
504(A) 5200	APPROACH SLAB	SY	387.80	\$ 300.00	\$	116,340.00
504(D) 5410	CONCRETE RAIL (TR3)	LF	321.90	\$ 75.00	\$	24,142.50
507(A) 8200	STAINLESS STEEL FIXED BEARING ASSEMBLY	EA	6.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$	21,000.00
507(B) 8300	STAINLESS STEEL EXP. BEARING ASSEMBLY	EA	6.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$	21,000.00
509(A) 0210	CLASS AA CONCRETE	CY	165.30	\$ 700.00	\$	115,710.00
509(B) 0320	CLASS A CONCRETE	CY	82.60	\$ 850.00	\$	70,210.00
511(B) 2310	EPOXY COATED REINFORCING STEEL	LB	54,220.00	\$ 1.65	\$	89,463.00
514(A) 5210	PILES, FURNISHED (HP 10X42)	LF	140.00	\$ 50.00	\$	7,000.00
514(A) 5220	PILES, FURNISHED (HP 12X53)	LF	794.00	\$ 55.00	\$	43,670.00
514(B) 5310	PILES, DRIVEN (HP 10X42)	LF	140.00	\$ 25.00	\$	3,500.00
514(B) 5320	PILES, DRIVEN (HP 12X53)	LF	794.00	\$ 25.00	\$	19,850.00
518(B) 0300	SEALED EXPANSION JOINTS	LF	60.00	\$ 350.00	\$	21,000.00
619(D) 6700	REMOVAL OF EXISTING BRIDGE STRUCTURE	LSUM	1.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$	30,000.00
-	MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS (5% OF ALL OTHER COSTS)	LSUM	1.00	\$ 39,700.00	\$	39,700.00
				BRIDGE TOTAL =	Ś	831.890.00

RIGHT OF WAY & UTILITY RELOCATIONS							
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY		UNIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
-	RIGHT-OF-WAY & UTILITY RELOCATIONS	LSUM	1.00	\$	350,000.00	\$	350,000.00
RIGHT OF WAY & UTILITY RELOCATIONS TOTAL =						\$	350,000.00

STAKING						
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY	U	NIT PRICE	TOTAL COST
642(B) 0096	CONSTRUCTION STAKING LEVEL 2	LSUM	1.00	\$	50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00
				STA	KING TOTAL =	\$ 50,000.00

MOBILIZATION								
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY		UNIT PRICE		TOTAL COST	
220 2800	SWPP DOCUMENTATION AND MANAGEMENT	LSUM	1.00	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00	
641 1399	MOBILIZATION	LSUM	1.00	\$	129,000.00	\$	129,000.00	
MOBILIZATION TOTAL =							134,000.00	

MITIGATION						
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
N/A	ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION	LSUM	0.00	\$-	\$	-

ROADWAY & TRAFFIC SUBTOTAL	\$ 680,036.00
BRIDGE SUBTOTAL	\$ 831,885.50
ROW & UTILITIES SUBTOTAL	\$ 350,000.00
STAKING SUBTOTAL	\$ 50,000.00
MOBILIZATION SUBTOTAL	\$ 134,000.00
MITIGATION SUBTOTAL	\$ -
SUBTOTAL	\$ 2,045,921.50
CONTINGENCY (25%)	\$ 511,481.00
TOTAL	\$ 2,557,403.00

Item 5.

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE COST - ALTERNATIVE 2 - REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX

ROADWAY & TRAFFIC								
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY		UNIT PRICE		TOTAL COST	
201(A) 1200	CLEARING AND GRUBBING	LS	1.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	
202(A) 2200	UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION	CY	3,714.00	\$	12.00	\$	44,568.00	
221(B) 2300	TEMPORARY SILT FENCE	LF	3,000.00	\$	2.50	\$	7,500.00	
230(A) 7200	SOLID SLAB SODDING	SY	15,500.00	\$	5.00	\$	77,500.00	
307(K) 4200	STABILIZED SUBGRADE	SY	5,503.00	\$	8.00	\$	44,024.00	
407(B) 7300	TACK COAT	GAL	3,577.00	\$	4.00	\$	14,308.00	
411(A) 1220	SUPERPAVE, TYPE S3(PG 64-22 OK)	TON	2,466.00	\$	115.00	\$	283,590.00	
411(C) 1420	SUPERPAVE, TYPE S4(PG 70-28 OK)	TON	434.00	\$	130.00	\$	56,420.00	
411(C) 1430	SUPERPAVE, TYPE S4(PG 64-22 OK)	TON	179.00	\$	130.00	\$	23,270.00	
610(B) 5310	CONCRETE DRIVEWAY	SY	100.00	\$	100.00	\$	10,000.00	
619(B) 6380	REMOVAL OF CONCRETE DRIVEWAY	SY	100.00	\$	40.00	\$	4,000.00	
-	SIGNING AND STRIPING	LS	1.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	
BOADWAY & TRAFFIC TOTAL = \$								

BRIDGE						
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
501(A) 1210	STRUCTURAL EXCAVATION UNCLASSIFIED	SY	1,150.00	\$ 40.00	\$	46,000.00
504(D) 5410	CONCRETE RAIL (TR3)	LF	129.00	\$ 75.00	\$	9,675.00
509(A) 0210	CLASS AA CONCRETE	CY	1,746.80	\$ 700.00	\$	1,222,760.00
511(B) 2310	EPOXY COATED REINFORCING STEEL	LB	276,840.00	\$ 1.65	\$	456,786.00
514(A) 5210	PILES, FURNISHED (HP 10x42)	LF	400.00	\$ 50.00	\$	20,000.00
514(B) 5310	PILES, DRIVEN (HP 10x42)	LF	25.00	\$ 1.65	\$	41.25
619(D) 6700	REMOVAL OF EXISTING BRIDGE STRUCTURE	LSUM	1.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$	30,000.00
-	MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS (5% OF ALL OTHER COSTS)	LSUM	1.00	\$ 89,300.00	\$	89,300.00
				BRIDGE TOTAL -	ć	1 874 570 00

RIGHT OF WAY & UTILITY RELOCATIONS								
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE			TOTAL COST	
-	RIGHT-OF-WAY & UTILITY RELOCATIONS	LSUM	1.00	\$	500,000.00	\$	500,000.00	
RIGHT OF WAY & UTILITY RELOCATIONS TOTAL = \$							500.000.00	

STAKING							
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY	U	NIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
642(B) 0096	CONSTRUCTION STAKING LEVEL 2	LSUM	1.00	\$	50,000.00	\$	50,000.00
				STA	KING TOTAL =	Ś	50.000.00

MOBILIZATION							
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY		UNIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
220 2800	SWPP DOCUMENTATION AND MANAGEMENT	LSUM	1.00	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00
641 1399	MOBILIZATION	LSUM	1.00	\$	188,000.00	\$	188,000.00
MOBILIZATION TOTAL =							193,000.00

MITIGATION							
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOTAL QUANTITY		UNIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
N/A	ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION	LSUM	1.00	\$	185,606.40	\$	185,606.40
MITIGATION TOTAL =							185.606.40

ROADWAY & TRAFFIC SUBTOTAL	\$ 615,180.00
BRIDGE SUBTOTAL	\$ 1,874,562.25
ROW & UTILITIES SUBTOTAL	\$ 500,000.00
STAKING SUBTOTAL	\$ 50,000.00
MOBILIZATION SUBTOTAL	\$ 193,000.00
MITIGATION SUBTOTAL	\$ 185,606.40
SUBTOTAL	\$ 3,418,348.65
CONTINGENCY (25%)	\$ 854,588.00
TOTAL	\$ 4,272,937.00





6501 N. Classen Blvd. Suite 200 Oklahoma City, OK 73116 TEL 405.669.8725

www.GarverUSA.com

July 15, 2024

Mr. Scott Sturtz, P.E. Interim Director of Public Works City of Norman

Re: No Rise Certification 60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek Bridge Replacement Norman, OK

Dear Mr. Sturtz:

This project involves the replacement of the existing bridge structure on 60th Avenue NE over Rock Creek. Construction activities include demolition of the existing bridge, construction of a new single span prestressed concrete bridge and relocation of an existing City of Norman waterline.

The channel flowlines and banks will not be altered at the site beyond what is required to excavate and construct the new bridge abutments and placement of riprap on the slopes in front of the bridge. These construction activities do not fall below the ordinary high water mark that was determined during final design of the project. There will not be any increase in the Base Flood Elevation at any of the locations.

Please contact me at 405-669-8733 or <u>jtrundle@garverusa.com</u> if you have any questions or need further information.

Sincerely,

GARVER

Mall

Jeff Rundle, P.E. Bridge Team Leader

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend

SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR

Item 5.



Basemap Imagery Source: USGS National Map 2023





responsibility for errors or omissions