Mayor John K. Handeland

City Clerk/Treasurer
Dan Grimmer



Nome Common Council

Kohren Green Scot Henderson Mark Johnson Maggie Miller Adam Martinson Cameron Piscoya

NOME COMMON COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

THURSDAY, APRIL 03, 2025 at 12:00 PM COUNCIL CHAMBERS IN CITY HALL

102 Division St. P.O. Box 281 Nome, Alaska 99762 Phone (907) 443-6663 Fax (907) 443-5345

ROLL CALL

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

CITIZENS' COMMENTS

NEW BUSINESS

A. R-25-04-01 A Resolution Adopting the Results of the Fiscal Year 2024 Audit Performed by Altman, Rogers & CO.,

EXECUTIVE SESSION

A. Matters, the immediate knowledge of which would clearly have an adverse effect upon the finances of the government unit. Re: Discussion on details of the City Manager Contract;

ADJOURNMENT



A . •	TOT 1	
Action	1 alzan	
ACHOII	I ancii	L,

Yes	
No	
Abstain	

RESOLUTION NO. R-25-04-01

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE RESULTS OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2024 AUDIT PERFORMED BY ALTMAN, ROGERS & CO.

WHEREAS, the City of Nome, as a municipality of the State of Alaska, is required to undergo an annual audit of its finances and financial practices; and,

WHEREAS, Altman, Rogers & Co. has successfully performed audit services for the City of Nome since 2008; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Nome adopted Resolution R-22-01-03 approving a contract with Altman, Rogers & Co. for audit services for fiscal years 2022, 2023, & 2024,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Nome Common Council hereby adopts the results of the fiscal year 2024 audit performed by Altman, Rogers & Co. and authorizes the release of the City of Nome's audited financial statements for fiscal year 2024.

APPROVED and SIGNED this 3rd Day of April, 2025.

ATTENOT	JOHN K. HANDELAND Mayor
ATTEST:	
DAN GRIMMER City Clerk	

Letter to the Governing Board

Year Ended June 30, 2024



Letter to the Governing Board

Year Ended June 30, 2024



March 31, 2025

Members of the Council City of Nome, Alaska Nome, Alaska

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the Nome Public Schools discretely presented component unit, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of City of Nome, Alaska (the City) for the year ended June 30, 2024, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We did not audit the financial statements of Nome Joint Utility System, which is a discretely presented component unit. Professional standards require that we provide you with information about our responsibilities under generally accepted auditing standards, Government Auditing Standards, Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance) and the State of Alaska Audit Guide and Compliance Supplement for State Single Audits, as well as certain information related to the planned scope and timing of our audit. We have communicated such information in our letter to you dated June 5, 2024. Professional standards also require that we communicate to you the following information related to our audit.

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by City of Nome, Alaska are described in Note 1 to the Financial Statements. We noted no transactions entered into by City of Nome, Alaska during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. All significant transactions have been recognized in the financial statements in the proper period.

Accounting Estimates

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimates affecting the financial statements were:

All Opinion Units:

Management's estimate of the collectability of accounts receivable is based on historical collections. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the collectability of accounts receivable in determining that it is reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Members of the Council City of Nome, Alaska Page 2 of 4

Management's estimate of the calculation of the lease receivables and deferred inflows of resources are based on an estimated incremental borrowing rate for the present value calculation of the lease receipts. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the present value calculation in determining that it is reasonable in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Governmental Activities, Business-Type Activities, Port Facilities Enterprise Fund Opinion Units:

Management's estimate of the useful lives and depreciation of assets is based upon the expected life of an asset. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the useful lives and depreciation expense in determining that it is reasonable in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Management's estimates of the City's proportionate share of the collective net pension and OPEB liabilities/assets and related deferred outflows and inflows of resources are based on information furnished by the State of Alaska and actuarial reports generated during the audit of the Public Employees' Retirement System. The amortization of these deferrals is based on guidance provided by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the estimates of the City's proportionate share of the collective net pension and OPEB liabilities and deferred outflows and inflows of resources and related amortization in determining that it is reasonable in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Governmental Activities Opinion Unit:

Management uses estimates for the closure and post-closure costs of the landfill. These estimates are based on engineering surveys. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the estimation for the closure of the landfill to determine its reasonableness in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Financial Disclosures

The financial statement disclosures are neutral, consistent, and clear.

<u>Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit</u>

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are clearly trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. Management has corrected all such misstatements. In addition, none of the misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures and corrected by management were material, either individually or in the aggregate, to each opinion unit's financial statements taken as a whole.

Disagreements with Management

For the purposes of this letter a disagreement with management is a financial accounting, reporting or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditors' report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of the audit.

Members of the Council City of Nome, Alaska Page 3 of 4

Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated March 31, 2025.

Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the City's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditors' opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Internal Control Matters

See Compliance Reports for definition and descriptions of deficiencies, significant deficiencies, material weaknesses and any reported findings.

Accounting Assistance

As part of our engagement we drafted the basic financial statements of the City from the City's accounting records; however, management of the City was involved in the drafting process and retains responsibility for the basic financial statements.

Other Matters/Supplementary Information Accompanying the Financial Statements

We applied certain limited procedures to the Management Discussion and Analysis, the Budgetary Comparison Schedule, Schedules of City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension and OPEB Liabilities/Assets and Schedules of City's Contributions and notes to the required supplementary information, which are required supplementary information (RSI) that supplements the basic financial statements. Our procedures consisted of inquiries of management regarding the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We did not audit the RSI and do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the RSI.

We were engaged to report on the "Supplementary Information" which includes Other Governmental Funds: Combining Balance Sheet and Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances (deficit), Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and notes to the schedule, Schedule of State Financial Assistance and notes to the schedule which accompany the financial statements but are not RSI. With respect to this supplementary information, we made certain inquiries of management and evaluated the form, content, and methods of preparing the information to determine that the information complies with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the method of preparing it has not changed from the prior period, and the information is appropriate and complete in relation to our audit of the financial statements. We compared and reconciled the supplementary information to the underlying accounting records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves.

Members of the Council City of Nome, Alaska Page 4 of 4

Major Issues Discussed with Management Prior to Retention

altman, Rogers & Co.

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the City's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

Restriction on Use

This information is intended solely for the use of the members of the Council and management of City of Nome, Alaska and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Sincerely,

Anchorage, Alaska

Management Discussion and Analysis, Basic
Financial Statements, Required Supplementary Information,
Supplementary Information and
Compliance Section
(With Independent Auditor's Report Thereon)

Year Ended June 30, 2024



Management Discussion and Analysis, Basic
Financial Statements, Required Supplementary Information,
Supplementary Information and
Compliance Section
(With Independent Auditor's Report Thereon)

Year Ended June 30, 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Page</u>
ndependent Auditor's Report		1-5
Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A)		6-16
Basic Financial Statements:		
Government-Wide Financial Statements:	۸.4	47
Statement of Net Position Statement of Activities	A-1 B-1	17 18
Fund Financial Statements:		
Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds Reconciliation of the Net Position Between the	C-1	19
Governmental Funds Balance Sheet and Statement of Net Position	C-2	20
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and		
Changes in Fund Balance – Governmental Funds	D-1	21
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures,		
and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the	D 0	00
Statement of Activities	D-2	22
Proprietary Fund:		
Port Facility Enterprise Fund:		
Balance Sheet	E-1	23
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position	E-2	24
Statement of Cash Flows	E-3	25
Notes to Financial Statements		26-54
Required Supplementary Information:		
Major Governmental Fund –		
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance –		
Original and Final Budget and Actual –		
General Fund	F-1	55
Public Employees' Retirement System:		
Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	G-1	56
Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Assets	G-2	57
Schedule of City's Contributions (Pension)	G-3	58
Schedule of the City's Contributions (OPEB)	G-4	59
Notes to Required Supplementary Information		60-61

Item A.

CITY OF NOME, ALASKA

TABLE OF CONTENTS, Continued

	<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Page</u>
Supplementary Information:		
Other Governmental Funds:		
Combining Balance Sheet	H-1	62-64
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures		
and Changes in Fund Balances (Deficit)	H-2	65-67
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	I-1	68
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards		69
Schedule of State Financial Assistance	J-1	70
Notes to Schedule of State Financial Assistance		71
Compliance Section:		
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance		
and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements		
Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards		72-73
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program and on Internal		
Control Over Compliance Required by the <i>Uniform Guidance</i>		74-76
Federal Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs		77-78
Report on Compliance for Each Major State Program and on Internal		
Control over Compliance as Required by the State of Alaska Audit Guide a	and	
Compliance Supplement for State Single Audits		79-81
State Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs		82



Independent Auditor's Report

Members of the City Council City of Nome, Alaska Nome, Alaska

Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements

Unmodified and Disclaimer of Opinions

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Nome, Alaska (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2024, and the related notes to the financial statements. We were not engaged to audit the financial statements of the aggregate discretely presented component units. These financial statements collectively comprise the City of Nome, Alaska's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Summary of Opinions

Opinion UnitType of OpinionGovernmental ActivitiesUnmodifiedBusiness-type ActivitiesUnmodifiedAggregate Discretely Presented Component UnitsDisclaimerGeneral FundUnmodifiedPort Facility Enterprise FundUnmodifiedAggregate Remaining Fund InformationUnmodified

Disclaimer of Opinion on the Aggregate Discretely Presented Component Units

We do not express an opinion on the financial statements of the aggregately presented component units of the City of Nome, Alaska. Because of the significance of the matter described in the Basis for Disclaimer of Opinion on the Aggregate Discretely Presented Component Units section of our report, we have not been able to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to provide a basis for an audit opinion on the financial statements of the aggregate discretely presented component units.

Unmodified Opinions on Governmental Activities, Business-type Activities, Each Major Fund, and Aggregate Remaining Fund Information

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Nome, Alaska, as of June 30, 2024, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Disclaimer of Opinion on the Aggregate Discretely Presented Component Units

The financial statements of the Nome Joint Utility System have not been audited, and we were not engaged to audit the Nome Joint Utility System financial statements as part of our audit of the City of Nome, Alaska's basic financial statements. Nome Joint Utility System's financial activities are included in the City of Nome, Alaska's basic financial statements as a part of the aggregate discretely presented component units and represent 32 percent, 30 percent, and 25 percent of the assets, net position, and revenues, respectively, of the City of Nome, Alaska's aggregate discretely presented component units.

Basis for Unmodified Opinions

We conducted our audit of the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the City of Nome, Alaska, and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our unmodified audit opinions.

Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the City of Nome, Alaska's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Aggregate Discretely Presented Component Units

Our responsibility is to conduct an audit of the City of Nome, Alaska's financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and Government Auditing Standards and to issue an auditor's report. However, because of the matter described in the Basis for Disclaimer of Opinion on the Aggregate Discretely Presented Component Units section of our report, we were not able to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to provide a basis for an audit opinion on the financial statements of the aggregate discretely presented component units.

We are required to be independent of the City of Nome, Alaska and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Governmental Activities, the Business-type Activities, Each Major Fund, and the Aggregate Remaining Fund Information.

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to
 fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such
 procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures
 in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit
 procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an
 opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Nome, Alaska's internal control. Accordingly, no such
 opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant
 accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the
 financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the City of Nome, Alaska's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 6-16, the budgetary comparison schedule on page 55, the Schedules of City's Share of Net Pension and OPEB Liabilities and Assets and Contributions for the Public Employees' Retirement System on pages 56-59, and the related notes to required supplementary information on pages 60-61, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We and other auditors have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The information listed in the table of contents as "Supplementary Information", which includes Other Governmental Funds: Combining Balance Sheet, Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances (Deficit), the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and notes to the schedule as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards; and Schedule of State Financial Assistance and related notes as required by the State of Alaska Audit Guide and Compliance Supplement for State Single Audits, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America by us and other auditors. In our opinion, the Supplementary Information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

altman, Rogers & Co.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 31, 2025 on our consideration of City of Nome, Alaska's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Nome, Alaska's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering City of Nome, Alaska's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Anchorage, Alaska

March 31, 2025

MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

City of Nome, Alaska

Management Discussion and Analysis

For the Year Ended June 30, 2024

This section of the City of Nome, Alaska's annual financial report provides a narrative overview and analysis by the City management of the financial activities of the City of Nome for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. It is designed to focus on significant financial issues, provide an overview of the City's financial activities, highlight changes in the City's financial position, identify material changes from the original budget, and explain any specific issues or concerns. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the City's financial statements, which follows this section.

Financial Highlights

- At June 30, 2024, the City's assets and deferred outflows (net position) exceeded its liabilities and deferred inflows by \$175,707,375. Of this amount, \$6,628,226 in unrestricted net position may be used to meet the government's ongoing obligations to citizens and creditors.
- The City's total net position increased by \$80,218,708, an 84% increase from the prior fiscal year's amount. The governmental activities net position decreased by \$3,189,914 (6%) and the business-type activities net position increased by \$83,408,622 (212%). The business-type activities increased significantly due to State investment via Legislative Grants to the Port of Nome Modifications project and is shown in the increase in net investment in capital assets.
- As of June 30, 2024, the City's governmental funds, excluding business-type activities, reported combined ending fund balances of \$13,767,672, representing a decrease of \$1,981,132 compared to the prior year.
- Total general revenues accounted for \$13,846,917 (13.3%) of total revenues. Program specific revenues in the form of charges for services, operating grants and contributions, and capital grants and contributions accounted for \$89,720,537 (86.6%) of total revenues of \$103,567,454.
- The governmental fund balance is comprised of Non-spendable, Restricted, Committed, Assigned and Unassigned classifications. At the end of the year, unassigned fund balance for the General Fund was \$6,283,296 or 37.35% of total General Fund expenditures and transfers. The unassigned fund balance continues to decrease due to revenue not meeting City expenses causing a transfer from savings to balance the budget.
- The City's total outstanding long-term debt increased by \$502,739 due to additions in the landfill closure and postclosure costs; as well as additions in the net pension and OPEB liabilities.
- In 2024, per resolution R-24-01-07, the Nome Common Council relinquished the Nome Volunteer Ambulance Department to Norton Sound Health Corporation. There were several public discussions via City work sessions and Council meetings before coming to this very difficult decision.

6

19

City of Nome, Alaska

Management Discussion and Analysis, Continued

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the City's basic financial statements, which include the government-wide financial statements, fund financial statements, and notes to the financial statements. This report also contains supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves. The basic financial statements include two kinds of statements that present different views of the City's activities.

- Government-wide financial statements provide both short-term and long-term information about the City's overall financial status.
- Fund financial statements focus on individual parts of the City, reporting the City's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements. The Fund financial statements include the governmental fund statements which tell how basic services, such as, City Administration, Public Safety, Public Works, etc., were financed in the short-term as well as what remains for future spending. Proprietary fund statements offer short-term and long-term financial information about the activities the government operates like a business, such as the Port and Harbor.

The financial statements include notes that explain some of the information in the statements and provide more detailed data. The statements are followed by three sections (1) required supplementary information, (2) additional supplementary information on individual fund activity, and (3) compliance reports.

		Illustration A-1							
Major Features of City of Nome's Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements									
		Fund State	ements						
	Government-wide								
	Statements	Governmental Funds	Proprietary Funds						
		The activities of the City that are	Activities the City operates						
		not proprietary, such as police, fire	similar to private businesses						
Scope	Entire City government	and public works	such as port & harbor						
			Statement of net position						
		Balance sheet	Statement of revenue,						
	Statement of net	Statement of revenues,	expenditures and changes in						
Required financial	position	expenditures and changes in fund	net position						
statements	Statement of activities	balance	Statement of cash flows						
Accounting basis	Accrual accounting								
and measurement	and economic	Modified accrual accounting and							
of focus	resources focus	current financial resources focus	Accrual accounting						
	All assets and	Only assets expected to be used							
Type of	liabilities, both financial	up and liabilities that come due	All assets and liabilities, both						
asset/liability	and capital, and short-	during the year or soon thereafter;	financial and capital, and short-						
information	term and long-term	no capital assets included	term and long-term						
		Revenues for which cash is							
	All revenues and	received during or soon after the							
	expenses during year,	end of the year; expenditures							
Type of	regardless of when	when goods or services have been	All revenues and expenses						
inflow/outflow	cash is received or	received and payment is due	during year, regardless of						
information	paid	during the year or soon thereafter	when cash is received or paid						

Management Discussion and Analysis, Continued

Illustration A-1 summarizes the major features of the City's financial statements. The remainder of this overview section of the management's discussion and analysis explains the structure and contents of each of the statements.

Government-wide Financial Statements

The Government-wide financial statements are intended to provide a comprehensive look at all financial activities of the City. These statements summarize the City's overall financial condition and contain both short-term and long-term information about the City's entity-wide finances, similar to a private-sector business. Both of the Government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the City that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from other functions that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges (business-type activities). These statements can be located as listed on the table of contents of this document.

The **statement of net position** presents information on all of the City's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may indicate the improvement or deterioration of the City's financial position.

The **statement of activities** presents information showing how the City's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods (e.g., uncollected taxes and earned but unused vacation leave).

The *governmental activities* of the City of Nome, which accounts for all of the City's basic services, include legislative, administrative, city clerk, police, animal control, fire, ambulance, public works, parks and recreation, swimming pool, museum, library, visitor center, education, and deferred compensation plans. The *business-type activities* of the City include the port facilities.

The Government-wide financial statements include two component units, known as Nome Public Schools and Nome Joint Utility System. Both are legally separate entities for which the City is financially accountable. Financial information for the component units are reported separately from the financial information presented for the primary government itself.

Fund Financial Statements

A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The City of Nome, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. The fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the City's funds, focusing on its most significant or "major" funds – not the City as a whole. All of the funds of the City can be divided into two categories: governmental funds and proprietary funds.

Governmental Funds: Most of the City's basic services are included in governmental funds.
 Unlike the Government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on short-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as balances of spendable resources left at fiscal year-end. Consequently, the governmental fund financial statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps you determine whether there are more or fewer financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the City's programs.

Management Discussion and Analysis, Continued

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the Government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for *governmental funds* with similar information presented for *governmental activities* in the Government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the City's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between *governmental funds* and *governmental activities*.

The City of Nome, Alaska maintains several individual governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and in the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the General Fund, which is considered to be a major fund. Data from the other governmental funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation. Individual fund data for each of these non-major governmental funds is provided in the form of *combining statements*.

The City adopts an annual appropriated budget for its General Fund. A budgetary comparison statement has been provided for the general fund to demonstrate compliance with its budget.

- Proprietary Funds: When the City charges customers for the services it provides both to
 outside customers and to other units of the City these services are generally reported in the
 proprietary funds. Proprietary funds are reported in the same manner in the Statement of Net
 Position and the Statement of Activities. The City maintains one type of proprietary funds.
 - Enterprise Funds: are used to report the same functions presented as business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements. The City uses an Enterprise Fund to account for its port facilities.

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide financial statements.

Other Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain supplementary information presenting the combining statements displaying the non-major governmental funds.

Government-Wide Financial Analysis

Statement of Net Position

Over time, net position may serve as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. At June 30, 2024, the City's assets and deferred outflows exceeded its liabilities and deferred inflows by \$175,707,375, representing a net increase of \$80,218,708 from the prior year. Of this amount, \$6,628,226 in unrestricted net position may be used to meet the government's ongoing obligations to citizens and creditors.

City of Nome, Alaska

Management Discussion and Analysis, Continued

By far the largest portion of the City's net position (95.5%) reflects its net investments in capital assets. The City uses these capital assets to provide services to citizens; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the City's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

•	2024					
	•	Governmental	Business-type			
		Activities	Activities	Eliminations	Total	
Current and other assets	\$	22,085,046	2,759,249	(1,810,999)	23,033,296	
Long-term and capital assets		48,430,116	126,545,403		174,975,519	
Total assets		70,515,162	129,304,652	(1,810,999)	198,008,815	
Deferred outflows of resources		1,087,842	156,791		1,244,633	
Current liabilities		2,968,656	2,526,445	(1,810,999)	3,684,102	
Long-term liabilities		10,172,388	3,872,385		14,044,773	
Total liabilities		13,141,044	6,398,830	(1,810,999)	17,728,875	
Deferred inflows of resources		5,383,145	434,053		5,817,198	
Net position						
Net investment in capital assets		44,759,948	123,100,089	-	167,860,037	
Restricted for E-911 surcharge		338,181	-	-	338,181	
Restricted for CPV Tax		-	18,259	-	18,259	
Restricted for causeway		-	862,672	-	862,672	
Unrestricted		7,980,686	(1,352,460)		6,628,226	
Total net position		53,078,815	122,628,560	_	175,707,375	
Total Liab, Def Inf, Net Position	\$	71,603,004	129,461,443	(1,810,999)	199,253,448	
			2023			
	•	Governmental	Business-type		_	
		Activities	Activities	Eliminations	Total	
Current and other assets	\$	23,076,961	1,857,206	(2,705,010)	22,229,157	
Long-term and capital assets		48,967,001	45,273,370	- -	94,240,371	
Total assets		72,043,962	47,130,576	(2,705,010)	116,469,528	
Deferred outflows of resources		1,099,261	157,604		1,256,865	
Current liabilities		2,284,891	3,558,875	(2,705,010)	3,138,756	
Long-term liabilities		9,436,969	3,981,490		13,418,459	
Total liabilities		11,721,860	7,540,165	(2,705,010)	16,557,215	
Deferred inflows of resources		5,152,634	527,877		5,680,511	
Net position						
Net investment in capital assets		45,793,486	40,487,591	-	86,281,077	
Restricted for E-911 surcharge		735,966	-	-	735,966	
Restricted for CPV Tax		-	11,060	-	11,060	
Restricted for causeway		-	837,863	-	837,863	
Unrestricted		9,739,277	(2,116,576)		7,622,701	
Total net position			00 040 000	_	05 400 007	
		56,268,729	39,219,938		95,488,667	
Total Liab, Def Inf, Net Position	\$	73,143,223	47,288,180	(2,705,010)	95,488,667 117,726,393	

Management Discussion and Analysis, Continued

A small portion of the City's net position (less than 1% in 2024) represents resources that are subject to external restrictions on how they may be used. Such restrictions are for the restricted assets for commercial passenger vessel tax (CPV), causeway operations, and the E-911 surcharge program. The remaining balance of unrestricted net position of \$6,635,425 may be used to meet the government's ongoing obligation to citizens and creditors.

Statement of Activities

The following condensed table of changes in net position displays the revenues and expenses for the current and prior year.

	Change in Net Position								
		2024		2023					
		Business-		•	Business-				
	Governmental	type		Governmental	type				
	Activities	Activities	Total	Activities	Activities	Total			
Revenues:									
Program revenues:									
Charges for services	1,110,235	2,544,386	3,654,621	1,028,389	2,252,351	3,280,710			
Operating grants and									
contributions	699,829	5,469	705,298	1,717,216	(9,509)	1,707,707			
Capital grants and									
contributions	457,162	84,903,456	85,360,618	272,898	2,139,898	2,412,796			
General revenues:									
Property taxes	4,364,021	-	4,364,021	4,658,158	-	4,658,158			
Penalties and interest	127,615	-	127,615	91,058	-	91,058			
Sales tax	7,103,122	-	7,103,122	7,193,221	-	7,193,221			
Bed tax	256,393	-	256,393	208,718	-	208,718			
Fines and forfeitures	2,143	-	2,143	807	-	807			
Payment in lieu of taxes	323,556	-	323,556	368,470	-	368,470			
Rentals and royalties	253,945	-	253,945	183,092	-	183,092			
Investment earnings	563,409	78,689	642,098	328,865	70,232	399,097			
Grants not restricted									
to specific programs	735,744	-	735,744	826,647	-	826,647			
Gain on sale of assets/									
equipment	38,280	-	38,280		-				
Transfer capital asset from									
component unit	-	-	-	152,431	-	152,431			
Other		_							
Total revenues	\$ 16,035,454	87,532,000	103,567,454	17,029,970	4,452,972	21,482,942			
						(continued)			

City of Nome, Alaska

Management Discussion and Analysis, Continued

Change in Net Position

		2024		2023				
		Business-	_		Business-			
	Governmental	type		Governmental	type			
	Activities	Activities	Total	Activities	Activities	Total		
Expenses:								
Legislative	216,138	-	216,138	200,096	-	200,096		
Administrative	1,288,998	-	1,288,998	1,189,380	-	1,189,380		
Information technology	304,260	-	304,260	268,006	-	268,006		
Planning and engineering	157,927	-	157,927	85,308	-	85,308		
City clerk	529,180	-	529,180	473,785	-	473,785		
Police	4,613,141	-	4,613,141	3,048,732	-	3,048,732		
Animal control	35,567	-	35,567	34,713	-	34,713		
EMS	979,316	-	1,082,633	758,823	-	758,823		
Public works	4,218,381	-	4,218,381	4,973,666	-	4,973,666		
Recreation center	894,817	-	791,500	687,202	-	687,202		
Swimming pool	44,790	-	44,790	55,198	-	55,198		
Museum	665,274	-	665,274	588,337	-	588,337		
Library	576,311	-	576,311	465,301	-	465,301		
Visitor center	214,790	-	214,790	241,307	-	241,307		
Education	4,155,951	-	4,155,951	4,162,778	-	4,162,778		
Non-departmental	330,527	-	330,527	1,100,555	-	1,100,555		
Unallocated interest	-	-	-	6,844	-	6,844		
Port	-	4,123,378	4,123,378	-	3,647,163	3,281,185		
Total expenses	19,225,368	4,123,378	23,348,746	18,339,531	3,647,163	21,986,694		
Change in net position	(3,189,914)	83,408,622	80,218,708	(1,309,561)	805,809	(503,752)		
Net position, beginning of year	56,268,729	39,219,938	95,992,419	57,578,290	38,414,129	95,992,419		
Net position, end of year	\$53,078,815_	122,628,560	175,707,375	56,268,729	39,219,938	95,488,667		

As reflected in the table above, the City's overall net position increased by \$80,218,708 in 2024. Governmental activities in 2024 decreased the City's net position by \$3,189,914.

The primary sources of revenue from governmental activities are sales tax and property tax revenue, which amounted to \$7,103,122 and \$4,364,021 respectively, a decrease of 1.2% in sales tax and a decrease of 6.3% in property tax, from 2023. The Alaska Remote Sellers' collection increased \$10,625 (2%). The decrease in property tax revenue was attributed to value changes in the tax roll, as well as a reduction in the mill rate from 12 mills to 10.5 mills.

Expenses in the governmental activities increased in 2024 by \$885,837 from the prior year. The majority of this increase is attributed to capital projects, such as the Radio upgrades for the Nome Police Department and Emergency Services. Other increases were due to increased staffing and equipment purchases.

City of Nome, Alaska

Item A.

Management Discussion and Analysis, Continued

The City's governmental activities expenses are predominately related to Public Works (22%), Education (22%), Public Safety (30%), and General Government (13%) or 87% of total governmental activities expenses.

Business-type activities in 2024 increased the City's net position by \$83,408,622 due to local, state, and federal contributions to port upgrades and improvements.

Financial Analysis of the Government's Funds

As noted earlier, the City uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance related legal requirements.

Governmental Funds

The City of Nome's governmental funds focus on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources available at the end of the year. Such information is useful in assessing whether the City is generating sufficient revenues in the current year to pay current-year obligations. However, it does not indicate whether there are sufficient funds available to cover long-term operations. The level of unassigned fund balance is an important indicator of the amount of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

At June 30, 2024 the City's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of \$13,767,672, a decrease of \$1,981,132 over the prior year. Of this amount \$7,754,529 is non-spendable, restricted, committed, or assigned for specific purposes. \$6,013,143 is available for spending at the government's discretion.

The General Fund is the chief operating fund of the City. The fund balance of the City's General Fund decreased by \$1,591,391 in 2024 compared to a decrease of \$1,168,802 in 2023.

The General Fund's fund balance must be able to meet unforeseen needs and emergencies, as well as future spending needs. The City's fund balance policy is to maintain unassigned fund balance in a range equal to 10% to 50% of the General Fund operating expenditures. At June 30, 2024, the unassigned fund balance of the General Fund was \$6,283,296 which is 53.50% of the total General Fund balance compared to 60.80% in 2023.

As a measure of the General Fund's liquidity, both unassigned fund balance and total fund balance may be compared to total fund expenditures. Unassigned fund balance represents 39.38% of total General Fund expenditures at June 30, 2024 compared to 49.95% at June 30, 2023.

Proprietary Fund

The City's proprietary fund provides the same type of information found in the government-wide financial statements.

The Port of Nome Fund's total net position was \$122,628,560 in 2024, an increase of \$83,408,622 from 2023, while the unrestricted net position is a deficit of \$1,352,520 compared to a deficit of \$2,116,576 in 2023.

Management Discussion and Analysis, Continued

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

- General Fund expenditures exceeded revenues by \$993,925 before transfers, and after transfers between funds were accounted for, there was a net decrease in fund balance of \$1,591,391.
- Overall, actual revenues were \$52,888 more than budgeted while actual expenditures before transfers was \$1,050,035 less than budgeted, which is primarily due to staff turnover creating vacancies, as well as encountering challenges in filling open positions. The ambulance service was transferred to an outside entity in April 2024 per R-24-04-03.
- Actual sales tax revenue did not meet budget expectations by \$406,878. Actual Bed Tax revenues were \$36,393 greater than budgeted amounts. Licenses and permits were also greater than budgeted by \$74,281.

Capital Assets and Debt Administration

Capital Assets

The City's investment in capital assets for its governmental and business-type activities as of June 30, 2024 amounts to \$171,459,146 net of accumulated depreciation, an increase of \$81,140,524 over last year. Major capital asset events during FY2024 included the following:

- Capital projects completed:
 - Acquisition of 2023 F350 Super Cab Flatbed
 - Acquisition of 2023 F150 Pickup
 - Acquisition of 2023 Ford Transit Van
 - Acquisition of Genie Boom Lift
 - Acquisition of CAT 966F Loader Bucket
 - Acquisition of MadVac Kubota RTV 1100 for Landfill
 - Upgrades to Nome Recreation Center: AFS Camera Project & Wi-Fi
 - Upgrades to Senior Center: Fire Alarm
 - Upgrades to Front Street Sound System
- Ongoing projects:
 - Port of Nome Modifications Project/Deep Water Port Expansion
 - FEMA Projects due to Typhoon Merbok
 - Port Waste Facility
 - Nome Recreation Center/Emergency Shelter Heater & Ventilation Upgrades
 - City Hall Heat & Ventilation Upgrades
 - Covered ice hockey rink facility
 - Nome-Beltz High School Roof
 - 18 Plex for Teacher & Police Housing

City of Nome, Alaska

Management Discussion and Analysis, Continued

		2024		2023			
	Business-				Business-		
	Governmental	type		Governmental	type		
	Activities	Activities	Total	Activities	Activities	Total	
Land	\$ 7,368,689	6,618,242	13,986,931	7,368,689	6,618,242	13,986,931	
Tideland patent	-	736,400	736,400	-	736,400	736,400	
Buildings	33,166,780	35,472	33,202,252	35,374,115	48,130	35,422,245	
Small Boat Harbor	-	-	-	-	2,486,766	2,486,766	
Causeway	-	-	-	-	3,007,138	3,007,138	
Quarry Jetty	-	-	-	-	1,024,443	1,024,443	
Cape Nome Quarry	-	-	-	-	3,195,782	3,195,782	
C-cell dock/WG	-	-	-	-	1,554,165	1,554,165	
Infrastructure		25,873,859	25,873,859				
Vehicles, trucks and trailers	644,177	-	644,177	690,118	-	690,118	
Assets other than buildings	1,145,546	-	1,145,546	916,177	-	916,177	
Other	92,732	-	92,732	102,336	17,058,790	17,161,126	
Machinery and equipment	396,272	101,913	498,185	324,397	33,474	357,871	
Right to Use Asset		-		-	-	-	
Construction in progress	2,394,249	92,884,815	95,279,064	1,747,549	8,030,911	9,778,460	
Total capital assets	\$ 45,208,445	126,250,701	171,459,146	46,523,381	43,795,241	90,318,622	

Additional information on the City's capital assets can be found in note 5 on pages 37 through 39 of this report.

Debt Administration

Long-Term Debt – At the end of the current fiscal year, the City of Nome, Alaska had total bonded debt outstanding of \$3,599,109. This entire amount is backed by the full faith and credit of the City. The remainder of the City's debt represents loans, compensated absences and landfill closure and post-closure costs and Net Pension and OPEB liability.

The following table displays the long-term debt for the current year.

	Governmental		Busin	ess-type		
	Activ	rities	Act	tivities	Total	
	2024	2023	2024	2023	2024	2023
General obligation bonds,						
including premium	\$ 448,497	729,895	-	-	448,497	729,895
Revenue bonds	-	-	3,150,612	3,307,650	3,150,612	3,307,650
Compensated absences	618,053	551,587	-	-	618,053	551,587
Landfill post closure care costs	2,772,919	2,715,840	-	-	2,772,919	2,715,840
Landfill Ioan	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net pension and OPEB Liability	6,452,919	5,689,647	885,235	830,877	7,338,154	6,520,524
	\$ 10,292,388	9,686,969	4,035,847	4,138,527	14,328,235	13,825,496

City of Nome, Alaska

Item A.

Management Discussion and Analysis, Continued

During the current fiscal year, in Government Activities, total debt increased approximately \$605,419 due to increases in the Landfill closure and postclosure costs, as well as additions in Net pension and OPEB liabilities, plus a decrease in General Obligation Bonds due to the maturity of the 2012 II School Bonds. In Business-type activities, total debt decreased by \$102,680 as a result of debt scheduled repayments and changes to the Net pension and OPEB liability. Additional information on the City of Nome, Alaska's long-term debt can be found in Note 6 on pages 40-41 of this report.

Economic Factors and Next Year's 2025 Budgets and Rates

- Inflation was considered as part of the 2025 budget process.
- Sales tax remains the primary source of revenue for the General Fund accounting for approximately 50% of total budgeted revenues. This amount was levied for the operation and maintenance activities in the General Fund and to fund capital improvements not associated with the enterprise funds.
- The City continues with the expansion and construction and improvements to the port facilities.

These various factors were taken into consideration in the development of the FY 2025 budget. Major aspects of the adopted FY 2025 budget include:

- Overall revenue in the General Fund (before fund balance appropriation) is projected to increase by \$841,375 while overall expenditure and transfers are projected to increase by \$1,239,202, and includes a \$900,000 savings projection recorded in Non-Departmental. There is a fund balance appropriation of \$2,255,600 from savings to balance the budget of \$17,813,567.
- The property tax mill rate increased to 11.5 mills as compared to 10.5 mills in FY24. In FY25, 1 mill is approximately 420,753). This amount was levied to fund education at \$3,400,000 and to continue the increased funding for public safety.
- Sales tax rate is 5% year round. The 2% seasonal sales tax was voted down in the October 2022
 election. Bed tax remains at 6%. The Port Tariff rates will be reviewed in January 2025 based on
 the Anchorage CPI. If necessary, additional rate changes, as well as language adjustments may
 take place in March 2025.
- Capital improvements include the purchase of an EMS used Multi-purpose hose truck, one police
 vehicle, heat and ventilation improvements at City Hall, OSJ flooring, police impound storage,
 improvements at the Fire Department (replace 2 bay doors), improvements at the Recreation
 Center (paint the gym interior), the annual payment for the purchase of the Cat 160M grader, and
 the purchase of public works equipment: a large towable air compressor and funds to rebuild the
 D7R rails, undercarriage, and tensioners.

Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the City of Nome, Alaska's finances for all interested parties. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the following:

Finance Department City of Nome P.O. Box 281 Nome, Alaska 99762

Email: ncrowe@nomealaska.org

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Statement of Net Position

Government-Wide Financial Statements

June 30, 2024

	Primary Government					Component Units		
						Nome	Nome	
	(Governmental	Business-type			Public	Joint Utility	
Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources	_	Activities	Activities	Eliminations	Totals	Schools	System	
Current assets:								
Cash and investments	\$	13,292,706	765,288	-	14,057,994	6,913,210	1,423,339	
Receivables:								
Property taxes, net		5,193,208	-	-	5,193,208	-	-	
Sales and bed taxes		781,102	-	-	781,102	-	4 000 000	
Accounts receivable, net State of Alaska and federal government		283,535	219,358 516,661	-	219,358 800,196	956,214 580,144	1,283,686	
Unbilled revenues		203,333	-	-	-	300,144	681,944	
Other, net		337,023	-	-	337,023	-	118,627	
Leases		350,273	94,457		444,730	-	-	
Inventory		-	-	-	-	89,705	8,024,605	
Prepaid items		32,281	-	-	32,281	842,070	395,823	
Internal balances		1,810,999	-	(1,810,999)	-	-	-	
Due from component units:		2.040			2.040			
Nome Joint Utility System Other assets		3,919	-	-	3,919	-	-	
Noncurrent assets:		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Restricted assets -								
Cash and investments		-	862,672	-	862,672	-	-	
Leases receivable		-	300,813	-	300,813	-	-	
Long-term notes receivable, due								
within one year		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Long-term notes receivable, due								
in more than one year		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Capital assets: Not being depreciated or amortized		10,103,475	100,239,457	_	110,342,932	403,509	5,726,009	
Net of depreciation and amortization		35,104,970	26,011,244	_	61,116,214	554,744	62,844,455	
Investment in ARECA		-	-	_	-	-	2,055,372	
Net OPEB assets		3,221,671	294,702	-	3,516,373	3,120,954	-	
Total assets		70,515,162	129,304,652	(1,810,999)	198,008,815	13,460,550	82,553,860	
<u>Deferred Outflows of Resources</u>								
Pension and OPEB deferrals		1,087,842	156,791	-	1,244,633	1,022,329	70,589	
Deferred charges Loss on refunding bonds		-	-	-	-	-	1,845,042	
Total assets and deferred outflows	_						10,563	
of resources	\$	71,603,004	129,461,443	(1,810,999)	199,253,448	14,482,879	84,480,054	
	-							
Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources								
Current liabilities:								
Accounts payable	\$	1,389,586	121,333	-	1,510,919	279,153	5,682,940	
Accrued payroll		172,406	-	-	172,406	-	108,628	
Accrued payroll liabilities Health insurance payable		129,437	-	-	129,437	194,033 450,032	401,132	
Accrued interest payable		-	48,516	-	48,516	430,032	-	
Unearned revenue		1,157,227	-	-	1,157,227	584,300	_	
Internal balances		-	1,810,999	(1,810,999)	-	-	-	
Deposits payable		-	· -	-	-	-	75,825	
Prepaid lease revenue		-	382,135	-	382,135	-	-	
Fuel loan payable		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Long-term debt due		400.000	400 400		000.400			
within one year		120,000	163,462	-	283,462	-	826,746	
Noncurrent liabilities: Long-term debt due								
in more than one year		3,101,416	2,987,150	_	6,088,566	_	7,741,543	
Net pension liabilities		6,452,919	885,235	-	7,338,154	5,378,846	124,785	
Compensated absences	_	618,053			618,053	84,824		
Total current and noncurrent liabilities		13,141,044	6,398,830	(1,810,999)	17,728,875	6,971,188	14,961,599	
D. formal la flavor of D.								
Deferred Inflows of Resources Taxos lovied for a future year		4 962 FOO	0.056		A 065 570			
Taxes levied for a future year Leases		4,863,523	2,056	-	4,865,579 686,316	-	-	
OPEB deferrals		321,346 198,276	364,970 67,027	-	265,303	170,875	1,972,072	
Total deferred inflows of resources	_	5,383,145	434,053		5,817,198	170,875	1,972,072	
	_	-,,						
Total liabilities and deferred inflows		18,524,189	6,832,883	(1,810,999)	23,546,073	7,142,063	16,933,671	
of resources								
Net Positions:		44.750.040	400 400 000		407.000.007	050.050	E0 405 050	
Net investment in capital assets		44,759,948	123,100,089	-	167,860,037	958,253 483,707	58,105,350 70,197	
Restricted for general fund reserve Restricted for insurance reserve		-	-	-	-	483,707	70,197 125,500	
Restricted for debt service						- :	233,000	
Restricted for E-911 surcharge		338,181	-	-	338,181	-	200,000	
Restricted for causeway debt		-	862,672	-	862,672	-	-	
Restricted for commercial passenger vessel ta	ax	-	18,259	-	18,259	-	-	
Unrestricted	_	7,980,686	(1,352,460)		6,628,226	5,898,856	9,012,336	
Total net position	=	53,078,815	122,628,560		175,707,375	7,340,816	67,546,383	
T-4-10-1000 1-5 1-5								
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of	•	71 602 004	100 404 440	(4.040.000)	100.050.440	14 400 070	94 499 054	
resources, and net position	\$=	71,603,004	129,461,443	(1,810,999)	199,253,448	14,482,879	84,480,054	

Statement of Activities

Government-Wide Financial Statements

Year Ended June 30, 2024

				Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Position					
		Program Revenues		Primary Government			Component Units		
	Expenses	Charges for Services	Operating Grants and Contributions	Capital Grants and Contributions	Governmental Activities	Business-type Activities	Total	Nome Public Schools	Nome Joint Utility System
Primary government:									
Governmental activities:									
Legislative \$	216,138	121	16	-	(216,001)	-	(216,001)	-	-
Administrative	1,288,998	15,573	9,412	-	(1,264,013)	-	(1,264,013)	-	-
Information technology	304,260	-	615	-	(303,645)	-	(303,645)	-	-
Planning and engineering	157,927	143,011	789	-	(14,127)	-	(14,127)	-	-
City clerk	529,180	-	3,675	-	(525,505)	-	(525,505)	-	-
Police	4,613,141	78,439	36,957	-	(4,497,745)	-	(4,497,745)	-	-
Animal control	35,567	8,620	-	-	(26,947)	-	(26,947)	-	-
Emergency services	979,316	193,795	238,858	-	(546,663)	-	(546,663)	-	-
Public works	4,218,381	368,622	42,367	457,162	(3,350,230)	-	(3,350,230)	-	-
Recreation center	894,817	290,659	5,338	-	(598,820)	-	(598,820)	-	-
Swimming pool	44,790	5,242	-	-	(39,548)	-	(39,548)	-	-
Museum	665,274	4,987	1,925	-	(658, 362)	-	(658, 362)	-	-
Library	576,311	1,166	29,314	-	(545,831)	-	(545,831)	-	-
Visitor center	214,790	-	114,120	-	(100,670)	-	(100,670)	-	-
Education	4,155,951	-	153,986	-	(4,001,965)	-	(4,001,965)	-	-
Non-departmental	330,527	-	62,457	-	(268,070)	-	(268,070)	-	-
Total governmental									
activities	19,225,368	1,110,235	699,829	457,162	(16,958,142)		(16,958,142)		
Business-type activities:									
Port facility	4,123,378	2,544,386	5,469	84,903,456		83,329,933	83,329,933		
Total primary government \$	23,348,746	3,654,621	705,298	85,360,618	(16,958,142)	83,329,933	66,371,791		
Component Units:									
Nome Public Schools \$	18,625,944	248,994	3,957,121	85,360				(14,334,469)	-
Nome Joint Utility System	19,758,667	14,213,589	-	-				-	(5,545,078)
Total component units \$	38,384,611	14,462,583	3,957,121	85,360				(14,334,469)	(5,545,078)
General revenues: Taxes:							4 00 4 00 4		
Property taxes				\$		-	4,364,021	-	-
Sales taxes					7,103,122	-	7,103,122	-	-
Payments in lieu of taxes					323,556	-	323,556	-	-
Penalties and interest Bed taxes					127,615	-	127,615	-	-
					256,393	-	256,393	-	-
Fines and forfeitures					2,143	-	2,143	0.004.740	-
Grants and contributions not re	estricted to specific	programs			735,744	-	735,744	9,604,712	-
E-rate revenue					-	70.000	-	1,065,766	-
Investment earnings					563,409	78,689	642,098	112,358	-
Appropriation from City of Non	ne				-	-	-	3,221,299	-
Rentals and royalties					253,945	-	253,945	-	-
Other					-	-	-	331,301	1,218,354
Gain on sale from capital asse	ts				38,280		38,280		
Total general revenues					13,768,228	78,689	13,846,917	14,335,436	1,218,354
Change in net position					(3,189,914)	83,408,622	80,218,708	967	(4,326,724)
Net position, beginning of year					56,268,729	39,219,938	95,488,667	7,339,849	71,873,107
Net position, end of year				\$	53,078,815	122,628,560	175,707,375	7,340,816	67,546,383

Governmental Funds

Balance Sheet

June 30, 2024

		General Fund	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
<u>Assets</u>				
Cash and investments Receivables:	\$	12,085,982	1,206,724	13,292,706
Property tax levies due in subsequent year Delinquent taxes, net of \$173,020		4,827,282	-	4,827,282
allowance for doubtful accounts		365,926	-	365,926
Sales and bed taxes		781,102	-	781,102
State of Alaska and federal government		17,619	265,916	283,535
Other, net of \$17,993 allowance for doubtful accounts		232,289	104,734	337,023
Lease receivable		350,273	-	350,273
Prepaid items Due from other funds:		32,281	-	32,281
General Fund			2,837,959	2,837,959
Port Fund		1,810,999	2,037,939	1,810,999
Other Governmental Funds		619,415	-	619,415
Due from component units -		0.0,0		0.0,0
Nome Joint Utility System		3,919	-	3,919
Total assets	\$ _	21,127,087	4,415,333	25,542,420
Liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and fund balances				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	753,874	635,712	1,389,586
Accrued payroll	•	172,406	-	172,406
Accrued payroll liabilities		129,437	-	129,437
Due to other funds:				
General Fund		-	619,415	619,415
Other Governmental Funds		2,837,959	-	2,837,959
Unearned revenue	_	21,446	1,135,781	1,157,227
Total liabilities	_	3,915,122	2,390,908	6,306,030
Deferred inflows of resources:				
Taxes levied for a future year		4,863,523	-	4,863,523
Leases		321,346	-	321,346
Unavailable revenues	_	283,849		283,849
Total deferred inflows of resources	_	5,468,718		5,468,718
Total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources	_	9,383,840	2,390,908	11,774,748
Fund balances:				
Non-spendable -				
Prepaid items		32,281	=	32,281
Restricted -				
E-911 surcharge		-	338,181	338,181
Committed:			202 245	202 245
Equipment and upgrades Community projects		-	203,315 49,232	203,315 49,232
Assigned:		-	49,232	49,232
Debt service		_	999,183	999,183
Self insurance/ equipment replacement		1,124,962	-	1,124,962
Clara Mielke Richards estate		363,635	-	363,635
PERS		1,021,843	_	1,021,843
Landfill		2,917,230	704,667	3,621,897
Unassigned		6,283,296	(270,153)	6,013,143
Total fund balances		11,743,247	2,024,425	13,767,672
Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balances	\$	21,127,087	4,415,333	25,542,420

Reconciliation of the Net Position Between the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet and the Statement of Net Position

June 30, 2024

Amounts reported as fund balances on the governmental funds		\$	13,767,672
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Position are different because:			
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore, are not reported in the funds.			45,208,445
Other postemployment benefit plans have been funded in excess of required contributions. These assets are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the funds.			
Proportionate share of the collective net OPEB assets			3,221,671
Certain changes in net pension and OPEB liability and assets are deferred rather than recognized immediately. These are amortized over time.			
Deferred outflows related to pension and OPEB plans			1,087,842
Deferred inflows related to pension and OPEB plans			(198,276)
Long-term receivables and delinquent property taxes are are not available to pay current period expenditures and therefore are deferred in the funds. Delinquent property taxes			283,849
Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the			200,040
current period and therefore, are not reported in the funds. These consist of: Compensated absences General obligation bonds Premium on general obligation bonds Proportionate share of the collective net pension liability:	(618,053) (390,000) (58,497) (6,452,919)		(10,292,388)
Landfill closure and post closure care costs	(2,772,919)	_	(10,292,300)

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Net position of governmental activities

\$ 53,078,815

Governmental Funds

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

Year Ended June 30, 2024

	General Fund	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
Revenues:			
Local sources:			
Taxes:			
Property taxes \$		-	4,420,267
Sales taxes	7,103,122	-	7,103,122
Payments in lieu of taxes Penalties and interest	323,556	-	323,556
Bed tax	127,615 256,393	-	127,615 256,393
Charges for services:	230,393	-	200,393
Licenses and permits	161,161	77,839	239,000
Usage fees	871,235	-	871,235
Fines and forfeitures	2,143	_	2,143
Investment income	563,409	_	563,409
Rental and royalties	253,945	_	253,945
Contributions	37,813	-	37,813
Other	´-	476,030	476,030
Total local sources	14,120,659	553,869	14,674,528
Intergovernmental:			
State of Alaska	297,047	162,061	459,108
Federal sources	542,616	325,198	867,814
Total intergovernmental	839,663	487,259	1,326,922
Total revenues	14,960,322	1,041,128	16,001,450
For and the second			
Expenditures: Current:			
Legislative	203,317		203,317
Administrative	1,216,733	-	1,216,733
Information technology	300,884	-	300,884
Planning and engineering	158,605	-	158,605
City clerk	515,540	_	515,540
Police	4,008,252	479,251	4,487,503
Animal control	34,492	-10,201	34,492
Emergency services	668,262	142,542	810,804
Public works	3,606,220	28,053	3,634,273
Recreation center	818,390	103,317	921,707
Swimming pool	35,986	-	35,986
Museum	314,054	_	314,054
Library	403,156	29,222	432,378
Visitor center	214,183	· -	214,183
Education	3,208,950	-	3,208,950
Non-departmental	247,223	83,304	330,527
Debt service:			
Principal	-	250,000	250,000
Interest	-	25,750	25,750
Capital outlay		1,044,666	1,044,666
Total expenditures	15,954,247	2,186,105	18,140,352
Evenes (definional) of revenues			
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(003 035)	(4 444 077)	(2.129.002)
over experiultures	(993,925)	(1,144,977)	(2,138,902)
Other financing sources (uses):			
Proceeds from insurance	114,120	_	114,120
Proceeds from sale of capital assets	43,650	-	43,650
Transfers in	-	807,550	807,550
Transfers out	(755,236)	(52,314)	(807,550)
Net other financing sources (uses)	(597,466)	755,236	157,770
Net change in fund balance	(1,591,391)	(389,741)	(1,981,132)
·			
Fund balance, beginning of year	13,334,638	2,414,166	15,748,804
Fund balance, ending of year \$	11,743,247	2,024,425	13,767,672

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities

Year Ended June 30, 2024

Net change in fund balance - total governmental funds			\$	(1,981,132)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:				
Some expenses reported in the Statement of Activities do not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds:				
Changes in the unfunded net pension and OPEB liability and assets				14,779
Changes in deferred inflows and outflows of resources related to pension and OPEB plans				(10,232)
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the Statement of Activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense: Capital outlay	\$	1,390,253		
Depreciation and amortization expense	Ψ.	(2,699,819)		(1,309,566)
Governmental funds only report the revenue from the sale of assets to the extent proceeds are received from the sale. In the Statement of Activities, a gain or loss is reported for each sale or disposal. The net effect of transactions involving capital asset dispositions: Cost of assets disposed		(16,917)		
Accumulated depreciation of assets disposed		11,547		(5,370)
Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenue in the funds. Change in unavailable property tax revenues				(56,246)
The issuance of long-term debt and accrual of compensated absences provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position: Change in compensated absences		(66,466)		(66,210)
Principal repayments on debt		250,000		
Amortization of bond premium Change in landfill closure and post-closure liabilities	-	31,398 (57,079)	_	157,853
Change in net position of governmental activities			\$_	(3,189,914)

Port Facility Enterprise Fund

Balance Sheet

June 30, 2024

Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources

Current assets:		
Unrestricted:	Φ.	705.000
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	765,288
Accounts receivable, net of \$87,930 allowance for doubtful accounts Leases receivable		219,358 94,457
Due from the State of Alaska and federal government		516,661
Total unrestricted current assets	_	1,595,764
Total unlestricted current assets	_	1,595,704
Non-current assets:		
Restricted assets -		222.272
Cash and cash equivalents		862,672
Leases receivable		300,813
Capital assets:		100 000 457
Not being depreciated		100,239,457
Depreciated, net Net OPEB assets		26,011,244
	-	294,702
Total non-current assets	-	127,708,888
Total assets	_	129,304,652
Deferred outflows of resources-		
Pension and OPEB deferrals		156,791
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$ -	129,461,443
	Ť =	
Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources and Net Position		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$	121,333
Accrued interest payable		48,516
Due to General Fund		1,810,999
Bonds payable - current portion	_	163,462
Total current liabilities	=	2,144,310
Non-current liabilities:		
Prepaid lease revenue		382,135
Bonds payable		2,987,150
Net pension liability		885,235
Total non-current liabilities	_	4,254,520
Total liabilities	_	6,398,830
Deferred inflows of resources:		
Taxes levied for a future year		2,056
Leases		364,970
OPEB deferrals		67,027
Total deferred inflows of resources	_	434,053
Total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources	_	6,832,883
Net position:		
Net investment in capital assets		123,100,089
Restricted for causeway debt		862,672
Restricted for commercial passenger vessel tax		18,259
Unrestricted		(1,352,460)
Total net position	_	122,628,560
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and net position	\$ _	129,461,443

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Port Facility Enterprise Fund

Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position

Year Ended June 30, 2024

Operating revenues:	
Causeway facility	\$ 1,434,167
Harbor facility	782,969
Industrial park facility	304,109
Bad debt	(14,136)
Other	37,277
Total operating revenues	2,544,386
On south a sum of the second	
Operating expenses:	
Direct expenses:	004.400
Causeway facility	224,499
Harbor facility	142,230
Industrial park facility	141,189
Total direct expenses	507,918
General and administrative expenses:	
Other	997,927
Total operating expenses, excluding depreciation	1,505,845
Depreciation:	
Causeway facility	1,205,062
Harbor facility	1,221,926
Industrial park facility	45,079
Total depreciation	2,472,067
rotal depreciation	2,472,007
Total operating expenses	3,977,912
Operating (loss)	(1,433,526)
Non-operating income (expenses):	
Interest income	78,689
Interest expense	(145,466)
State of Alaska PERS on-behalf	5,469
Total non-operating income (expense)	(61,308)
rotal non operating mostile (expense)	(01,000)
(Loss) before capital contributions	(1,494,834)
Capital contributions:	
State of Alaska	84,594,419
Federal sources	309,037
Total capital contributions	84,903,456
Change in net position	83,408,622
Net position, beginning of year	39,219,938
Net position, end of year	\$ 122,628,560

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Port Facility Enterprise Fund

Statement of Cash Flows

Year Ended June 30, 2024

Cash flows provided (used) by operating activities:	Φ	0.570.470
Receipts from customers and users	\$	2,576,479
Payments to employees		(643,254)
Payments to vendors Net cash provided by operating activities	-	(971,918) 961,307
Net cash provided by operating activities	-	961,307
Cash flows provided (used) by noncapital financing activities-		
Internal activity - payments to/from other funds		(894,011)
Cash flows provided (used) by capital and related financing activities:		(457.020)
Principal paid on debt Interest paid on debt		(157,038)
		(141,360)
Capital contributions		84,942,018
Acquisition of capital assets	-	(84,927,527)
Net cash (used) by capital and related financing activities	-	(283,907)
Cash flows provided by investing activities-		
Interest income	_	78,689
Net change in cash and cash equivalents		(137,922)
Trot origings in coor and coor equivalente		(101,022)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	_	1,765,882
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$	1,627,960
Cash and Cash equivalents, end of year	Ψ =	1,027,900
Composed of:		
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents	\$	765,288
Restricted cash and cash equivalents		862,672
	\$	1,627,960
Reconciliation of change in net assets to cash provided by operating activities:	•	(4.400.500)
Operating (loss)	\$	(1,433,526)
Adjustments to reconcile operating loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		0.470.007
Depreciation		2,472,067
Bad debt		14,136
Noncash - State of Alaska PERS on-behalf		5,469
Changes in assets and liabilities that provided (used) cash:		
(Increase) decrease in assets and deferred outflows of resources:		FC 04F
Accounts receivable		56,315
Leases receivable		89,859
OPEB assets		(55,410)
Deferred outflows of resources-		0.40
Pension and OPEB deferrals		813
Increase (decrease) in liabilities and deferred inflows of resources:		(444.470)
Accounts payable		(114,472)
Prepaid lease revenue		(34,478)
Net pension liabilities		54,358
Deferred inflows of resources:		/ = /=:
Taxes levied for a future year		(219)
Leases		(93,520)
OPEB deferrals		(85)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ _	961,307

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Notes to Financial Statements

Year Ended June 30, 2024

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) General

The City of Nome, Alaska, the (City) was incorporated in 1901 as a first-class city under the laws of the Territory of Alaska. The City operates under council-manager form of government and performs municipal duties allowed by Alaska Statues and as directed by its residents.

The financial results of the recurring activities performed directly by the City (which include public safety, roads and building maintenance and improvements (Public Works), swimming pool, administration, planning and engineering, public library, museum and recreation are accounted for in the funds of the City. As discussed in the following paragraphs, the City delegates certain functions to other organizations.

(b) Reporting Entity

Water, sewer and electrical utility service provided to area residents is a function of the City delegated to the Nome Joint Utility System (Utility System), a legal subdivision of the City in accordance with Alaska Statute 29.43.340. The City has the authority for approval of all budgets, rates, acquisition and disposal of all real property. Ownership of the utility plant is with the Utility System. The accounts and financial transactions of the Utility System are maintained separate from those of the City and are included herein. Financial statements of the Utility System can be obtained by contacting the Utility Management at P.O. Box 70, Nome, Alaska 99762.

Public education provided to area residents is a function of the City delegated to the Nome Public Schools (City School), a legal subdivision of the City, in accordance with Alaska Statute 29.43.030. The City has the authority for approval of all budgets, rates, acquisition and disposal of all real property. Maintenance of the building improvements and equipment is with the City School. The accounts and financial transactions of the City School are maintained separate from those of the City and are included in the financial statements. Financial statements of the City School can be obtained by contacting the City School at P.O. Box 131, Nome, Alaska 99762.

In conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, the financial data of all potential component units included in the financial reporting entity meet the criteria for discrete presentation. These units included in a separate column to emphasize that they are legally separate from the City. Each potential component unit issues separate financial statements and has a June 30 year-end, except for the Utility System, which has a December 31 year-end.

(c) Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities) report information on all of the activities of the primary government and its component units. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the total columns in the statements. Governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support. Likewise, the primary government is reported separately from the legally separate component units for which the primary government is financially accountable.

The Statement of Activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Deferred inflows of resources are the acquisition of fund balance/net assets by the City that are applicable to a future reporting period. Deferred outflows of resources are the consumption of fund balance/net assets by the City that are applicable to a future reporting period.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds and proprietary funds. The major individual governmental funds and major individual enterprise fund are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements. All other funds are presented in a single column as "other governmental funds".

(d) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Basis of Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary fund financial statements. Revenues are recorded when transactions occur and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenue in the year they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the City considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

41

Taxes, charges for services, intergovernmental revenues and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. All other receipts such as licenses, permits and fees become measurable and available when cash is received by the City and are recognized as revenue at that time.

Entitlements and shared revenues are recorded at the time of a receipt or earlier if the susceptible to accrual criteria are met. Expenditure-driven grants, including capital grants, recognize revenue when the qualifying expenditures have been incurred and all other grant requirements have been met and when revenues are considered to be available and measurable, which is considered to be within 12 months of the end of the current fiscal period.

The City reports the following major governmental fund:

 The General Fund is the government's main operating fund. It allows for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The City reports the following major proprietary fund:

The Port Facility Enterprise Fund accounts for the operations of the Nome Port Facility.

Additionally, the City reports the following fund types:

- Special Revenue Funds accounts for revenue sources that are legally restricted or committed to expenditure for specific purposes.
- Debt Service Fund accounts for and reports financial resources that are restricted, committed, or assigned to expenditures for principal and interest
- Capital Project Funds accounts for the acquisition of the capital assets or construction of major capital projects not being financed by proprietary funds.

As a general rule the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. Amounts reported as program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants for goods, services, or privileges provided, 2) operating grants and contributions, and 3) capital grants and contributions, including special assessments. Internally dedicated resources are reported as general revenues rather than as program revenues. Likewise, general revenues include all taxes.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with the proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the enterprise fund are charges to customers for sales and services for the causeway facility, harbor facility, and industrial park facility. Operating expenses for enterprise funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation of capital assets. All revenue recognition of capital contributions are based on the requirements of the expenses. Revenue recognition of capital contributions are based on the requirements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Codification N50 Non-exchange Transactions.

(e) Estimates

In preparing the financial statements, management of the City is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and revenues and expenditures/expenses for the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(f) Cash and Investments

The City's investments have maturities between less than one year to five years, and are reported at amortized cost in the accompanying financial statements.

The City participates in the Alaska Municipal League Investment Pool (AMLIP) and invests in certificates of deposits insured by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or secured by eligible collateral held by a third party in the City's name. Alaska Statute 37.23 establishes regulatory oversight of the pool. The law sets forth numerous requirements regarding authorized investments and reporting. The pool is incorporated in the State of Alaska as a nonprofit corporation and reports to its Board of Directors. Alaska Statute 37.23.050 requires the retention of an investment manager.

The AMLIP manager is required to produce monthly disclosure statements to the pool. The pool has also retained an investment advisor to monitor the performance of the investment manager and to ensure compliance with investment policies. All participation in the pool is voluntary. The pool must maintain a dollar weighted average maturity of 90 days or less, and only purchase instruments having remaining maturities of 397 days or less. On a monthly basis, the investments in the pool are reviewed for fair value by an independent pricing service. The pool meets the standards for reporting investments at amortized cost with regard to portfolio requirements including maturity, quality, diversification, liquidity, and shadow price. There are no restrictions or limitations on withdrawals from AMLIP. As of June 30, 2024, the fair value of the investments in AMLIP approximates the amortized cost at which they are reported. The fair value of the investments in AMLIP is the same as the value of our AMLIP units. The Pool was rated a principal stability rating of AAAm by Standard & Poor's (S&P). Stand-alone financial statements can be obtained by writing to the Alaska Permanent Capital Management by visiting www.amlip.org.

(g) Restricted Assets

Restricted assets consist of assets that must be used for the Port facilities causeway as required by bond indentures. When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, and then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

(h) Receivables and Payables

Transactions between funds that are representative of lending/borrowing arrangements outstanding at the end of the fiscal year are referred to as "internal balances" on the statement of the net position and as "advances to/from other funds" in the fund statements.

All outstanding current balances between funds are reported as "due to/from other funds" in the fund statements. These balances represent the numerous transactions that occur during the course of operations between individual funds for goods provided or services rendered. On the statements of cash flows for proprietary funds, these are treated as cash and cash equivalent transactions.

All trade and property tax receivables are shown net of an allowance for doubtful receivables. The allowance for doubtful receivables is an estimate of the amount uncollectible based on past experience.

(i) Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include property, buildings, vehicles and equipment are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities columns in the governmental-wide financial statements and proprietary fund financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the City as assets with an individual cost of more that \$5,000 and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed and depreciated on a straight-line basis.

Donated capital assets or capital assets received in exchange are recorded at their estimated acquisition value at the date of donation or exchange. Interest incurred during construction is not capitalized.

All capital assets acquired or constructed for general government and school purposes are reported as expenditures in the fund that finances the asset acquisition.

Property, plant, and equipment of the City is depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated life of the assets as follows:

	<u>Life in years</u>
Causeway	40
Infrastructure (C-Cell docks)	15-36
Improvements not buildings	5-40
Quarry jetty	40
Building	5-30
Machinery and equipment	5-10
Vehicles	5-10

(j) Compensated Absences

It is the City's policy to permit employees to accumulate earned but unused personal leave benefits. All personal leave pay is accrued when incurred in the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements. A liability for these amounts is reported in governmental funds only if they have matured, for example, as a result of employee resignations and retirements.

30

(k) Long-Term Obligations

In the government-wide financial statements and proprietary fund types in the fund financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities, business-type activities, or proprietary fund type statements of net position. Bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount. Bond issuance costs are expensed when incurred.

In the governmental fund financial statements bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, are recognized during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

(I) Pensions and Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB)

For purposes of measuring the net pension and OPEB liabilities and assets, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pension and OPEB plans, and pension and OPEB expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) and additions to/from PERS's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by PERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms, investments are reported in fair value.

(m) Unbilled Revenue

The Utility System's billing for the sale of electrical energy and water consumption reflects metered energy and water sold through varying dates ending prior to the end of the monthly and annual accounting periods. The Utility System estimates and records the revenue earned for the sale of electrical energy and water consumption from the last billing through the end of the accounting period.

(n) Unearned Revenues

Amounts for which asset recognition criteria have been met, but for which revenue recognition criteria have not been met are recorded as unearned revenue.

(o) Prepaid Lease Revenue

The City received advanced payment on a 35-year lease of land it owns. Revenue is recognized in the Port Facility Enterprise Fund each year for the payment related to the lease, with deferral of revenue recognized as prepaid lease revenue.

(p) Fund Balances

In the Fund Financial Statements, fund balance includes five classifications for fund balance as follows:

Non-spendable Fund Balance – Amounts that are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact (such as the corpus of an endowment fund) or amounts that are not in a spendable form (such as inventory, prepaid expenses, long-term receivables). Such constraint is binding until the legal or contractual requirement is repealed or the amounts become spendable.

Restricted Fund Balance – Amounts constrained to specific purposes by their providers (such as grantors and higher levels of government), through constitutional provisions, or by enabling legislation. Such constraint is binding unless modified or rescinded by external body, laws, or regulation.

Committed Fund Balance – Includes amounts that can be used only for the specific purposes determined by a formal action of the City's Council in the form of an ordinance or resolution. Commitments may be changed or lifted by the Council taking the same formal action that imposed the constraint originally.

Assigned Fund Balance – Fund Balance comprises amounts intended to be used by the City for specific purposes: intent can be expressed by the Council or by the management, having been designated such authority. Such constraint is binding unless modified or eliminated by the Council or Management. In governmental funds other than the General Fund, assigned fund balance represents the amount that is not restricted or committed.

Unassigned Fund Balance – Fund balance is the residual classification for the General Fund and includes all amounts not contained in the other classifications. Positive unassigned amounts will be reported only in the Operating Fund. If another governmental fund, other than the General Fund, has a fund balance deficit, then it will be reported as a negative amount in the unassigned classification in that fund.

The order of spending, regarding the restricted and unrestricted fund balance when an expenditure is incurred for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, should first reduce restricted fund balance and then unrestricted fund balance. The order of spending regarding unrestricted fund balance is that committed amounts should be reduced first, followed by the assigned amounts, and then the unassigned amounts when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of those unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used.

Compliance with the provisions of the Fund Balance Classification Policy are reviewed as part of the annual budget adoption process. The City Manager reports to the Council as close to the end of the year as possible the anticipated year-end fund balance or deficit. The Council takes appropriate action to commit or assign, or otherwise allocate prior year fund balances as a part of the budget planning process.

The City's Special Revenue Funds are used to account for administration, police, public works, library and museum programs that are restricted or committed.

Encumbrance accounting, under which purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to assign that portion of the applicable appropriation, is employed as an extension of formal budgetary integration in the General Fund, Special Revenue Funds, Capital Project Funds and Port Facility Enterprise Fund. Encumbrances outstanding at year end, if any, are reported in assigned fund balances since they do not constitute expenditures or liabilities. The amount of encumbrances, if significant, are disclosed in the Notes to the Basic Financial Statements as commitments.

(q) Net Position

Government-wide net position is divided into three components:

- Net investment in capital assets consists of the historical cost of capital assets less
 accumulated depreciation and less any debt that remains outstanding that was used to
 finance those assets plus deferred outflows of resources less deferred inflows of
 resources related to those assets.
- Restricted net position consists of assets that are restricted by the City's creditors (for example, through debt covenants), by the state enabling legislation (through restrictions on shared revenues), by grantors (both federal and state), and by other contributors. The City has restricted net position that consists of amounts restricted for causeway operations, commercial passenger vessel tax, and E-911 surcharges.
- Unrestricted all other net position is reported in this component.

(r) Statement of Cash Flows

The City follows the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Codification P80. This statement established standards for reporting cash flows of Proprietary Funds. For purposes of the Statement of Cash Flows, the City has defined cash as the demand deposits and all investments maintained in the cash management pool, regardless of maturity period, since the various funds use the cash management pool essentially as a demand deposit account.

(s) Deferred Compensation

The City offers their employees a deferred compensation plan created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457. The plan permits employees to defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, death or an unforeseeable emergency.

(t) Retirement Plans

All full-time employees and certain permanent part-time employees of the City participate in the State of Alaska Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS).

The City has adopted GASB Codification P20, *Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employers* and GASB Codification P50, *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pension*. GASB Codification P20 and P50 establish uniform standards for the measurement, recognition, and display of pension and postretirement health and expenditures/expense and related liabilities, assets, note disclosure and applicable required supplementary information in the financial reports of the state and local governmental employers.

47

(u) Risk Management

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters for which the government carries commercial insurance. The City's insurance is on a claims basis.

Coverage is as follows:

Type of coverage	Limits of coverage	<u>Deductible</u>
Property	\$1,000,000,000 including	500,000 to 100,000
	sublimits as scheduled	
Commercial blanket bond –	100,000 up to 500,000	1,000
employee dishonesty		
Workers' compensation	Statutory benefits	-
General, auto, public officials'		
and police liability:		
Excess limits	10,250,000	10,000
Employment related practices	10,250,000	-

Claims on insurance have not exceeded coverage's in any of the last three years.

(v) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The following financial instruments are recorded at fair value or at amounts that approximate fair value: (1) cash and cash equivalents, (2) receivables, net, (3) certain other current assets, (4) accounts payable, and (5) other current liabilities. The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet and Statement of Net Position for the above financial instruments closely approximates their fair value due to the short-term nature of these assets and liabilities, except for the City of Nome's investments.

The carrying amount of notes receivable and notes payable approximates fair value for those financial instruments with interest at variable rates, as those rates approximate current market rates for notes with similar maturities and credit quality.

(w) Leases

Lessor

The City is a lessor for non-cancellable leases and recognizes lease receivables and a deferred inflows of resources in the financial statements. At the commencement of a lease, the City initially measures the lease receivable at the present value of payments expected to be received during the lease term. Subsequently, the lease receivable is reduced by the principal portion of lease payments received. The deferred inflow of resources is initially measured as the initial amount of the lease receivable, adjusted for lease payments received at or before the lease commencement date. Subsequently, the deferred inflow of resources is recognized as revenue over the life of the lease term.

34

Key estimates and judgments include how the City determines (1) the discount rate it uses to discount the expected lease receipts to present value, (2) lease term, and (3) lease receipts.

- The City uses its current borrowing rate as the discount rate for leases.
- b. The lease term includes the non-cancellable period of the lease; the term of the lease contract, including options to extend, must be more than 12 months. Lease receipts included in the measurement of the lease receivable is composed of fixed payments from the lessee.

The City monitors changes in circumstances that would require a remeasurement of its leases and will remeasure the lease receivable and deferred inflows of resources if certain changes occur that are expected to significantly affect the amount of the lease receivable

(2) Property Taxes

Property taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property. Taxes are levied on the assessed value of taxable property as of January 1. Pursuant to Alaska Statute 29.53.170, the City establishes the mill rate on or before June 15. Tax bills mailed prior to July 1 are payable in two installments on July 31 and October 31. City property tax revenues are recognized in the fiscal year in which they become collectable. At June 30, 2024 the real and personal property tax levy of \$4,863,523 is reflected as deferred inflows of resources in the General Fund.

At June 30, 2024, property tax receivables included the following:

Real and personal property taxes currently past due 538,94 5,402,4	23
<u>5,402,4</u>	<u> 16</u>
	<u> 39</u>
Less:	
Estimated allowance for doubtful collections 173,02	20
Pre-payment of property taxes due in fiscal year 2024 36,24	<u>11</u>
\$ <u>5,193,2</u> 0	<u>8(</u>

35

(3) Cash and Short-Term Investments

Reconciliation to the City's June 30, 2024 financial statements follows:

Petty cash	\$ 16,733
Demand deposits	5,913,924
Investments measured at amortized cost:	
Money Market	1,136,122
Certificate of deposit	6,164,000
AMLIP	1,689,887
	\$ <u>14,920,666</u>
Governmental activities	\$ 13,292,706
Business-type activities	1,627,960
	\$ 14,920,666

(a) Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Fair values of interest rate-sensitive instruments may be affected by the creditworthiness of the issuer, prepayment options, relative values of alternative investments, the liquidity of the instrument, and other general market conditions. The City manages interest rate risk by allocating certain percentages of the portfolio over specified time period, and generally holds investments for less than five years, which minimizes the sensitivity of fair value to changes in market interest rates. The City has no formal policy that limits investment maturities relating to a specific deposit or interest rate risk.

The City of Nome's investments at June 30, 2024 consisted of non-negotiable certificates of deposit, money market funds, and investment in the Alaska Municipal League Investment Pool (AMLIP), which are all valued at amortized cost.

(b) Credit Risk

Statutes authorize the City to invest in obligations of the United States, the State of Alaska and its political subdivisions, savings accounts, certificates of deposit, bankers' acceptances, repurchase agreements, and such other legal security instruments. The City code requires all investments to be collateralized and/or insured. The City's investments in AMLIP were rated AAAm by Standard & Poor's and the City's Certificates of Deposit were unrated.

(c) Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

The City maintains a cash pool that is available for use by all funds. Each fund's portion of this pool is reported on the balance sheet as "Cash and cash equivalents" or amounts "due to/from other funds."

The City's bank accounts are insured by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation (FDIC) to a maximum of \$250,000 per official custodian. U.S. Treasury obligations, which are held by Bank of New York in a Tri-party collateral agreement with Wells Fargo, the pledging financial institution's agent, in the City's name, collateralize the remaining bank balances.

(d) Custodial Credit Risk - Investments

For an investment, this is a risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the City will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The City had no investment securities exposed to custodial credit risk at June 30, 2024.

(e) Fair Value Measurement

The City categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the asset. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs.

The City's investments in certificates of deposit, money market funds, and investment in the Alaska Municipal League Investment Pool are all valued at amortized cost and the City does not have any recurring fair value measurements at June 30, 2024.

(4) Receivables

Receivables as of year-end for the government's individual major funds and non-major funds, and business type activities, including the applicable allowances for uncollectible accounts, are as follows:

	_	General Fund	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds	Port Facility Enterprise Fund	Total
Receivables:						
Property tax	\$	5,366,228	-	5,366,228	-	5,366,228
Sales and bed tax		781,102	-	781,102	-	781,102
State of Alaska and						
Federal Government		17,619	265,916	283,535	516,661	800,196
Accounts		-	-	-	307,288	307,288
Lease receivable		350,273	-	350,273	395,270	745,543
Other		250,282	104,734	355,016	-	355,016
Gross receivables	_	6,765,504	370,650	7,136,154	1,219,219	8,355,373
Less: allowance for						
uncollectibles		(191,013)		(191,013)	(87,930)	(278,943)
Net total receivables	\$	6,574,491	370,650	6,945,141	1,131,289	8,076,430

The City reports deferred inflows of resources in connection with receivables for revenues that are not considered to be available to liquidate liabilities of the current period. Governmental funds also defer revenue recognition in connection with resources that have been received, but not yet earned. At the end of the current fiscal year, the various components of deferred inflows of resources and unearned revenue reported in the governmental funds were as follows:

	Other		Port Facility			
	General		General Governmental		Enterprise	
	_	Fund	Funds	Total	Fund	Total
Taxes levied for a future year – deferred inflows	\$	4,863,523	-	4,863,523	2,056	4,865,579
Unavailable taxes receivable – deferred inflows	\$	267,392	-	267,392	-	267,392
Unavailable other receivables – deferred inflows	\$	16,457	-	16,457	-	16,457
Unavailable leases – deferred inflows	\$	321,346	-	321,346	364,970	686,316
Unearned revenues	\$	21,446	1,135,781	1,157,227	-	1,157,227

(5) Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2024, was as follows:

	Balance at	Increases	Decreases	Balance at
	July 1, 2023	and transfers	and transfers	June 30, 2024
Governmental activities:				
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Land - general government	\$ 6,645,489	-	-	6,645,489
Land - schools	723,200	-	-	723,200
Artwork	337,537	3,000	-	340,537
Construction in progress	1,747,549	998,753	(352,053)	2,394,249
Total	9,453,775	1,001,753	(352,053)	10,103,475
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Buildings - general government	41,994,103	-	-	41,994,103
Buildings - schools	50,305,361	-	-	50,305,361
Vehicles, trucks and trailers	7,436,081	164,781	-	7,600,862
Assets other than buildings	2,318,727	363,025	-	2,681,752
Machinery and equipment	1,424,167	177,569	(16,917)	1,584,819
Office machinery and equipment	840,812	35,178	-	875,990
Total	104,319,251	740,553	(16,917)	105,042,887
Less accumulated depreciation and				
amortization:				
Buildings - general government	17,535,390	1,254,686	-	18,790,076
Buildings - schools	39,389,959	952,649	-	40,342,608
Vehicles, trucks and trailers	6,745,963	210,722	-	6,956,685
Assets other than buildings	1,740,087	136,656	-	1,876,743
Machinery and equipment	1,099,770	100,324	(11,547)	1,188,547
Office machinery and equipment	738,476	44,782	-	783,258
Total	67,249,645	2,699,819	(11,547)	69,937,917
Total capital assets, being				
depreciated and amortized, net	37,069,606	(1,959,266)	(5,370)	35,104,970
Governmental activities	\$ 46,523,381	(957,513)	(357,423)	45,208,445

	Balance at July 1, 2023	Increases and transfers	Decreases and transfers	Balance at June 30, 2024
Business-type activities:				
Capital assets not being:				
depreciated				
Land	\$ 7,354,642	-	-	7,354,642
Construction in progress	8,030,911	84,853,904		92,884,815
Total	15,385,553	84,853,904		100,239,457
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Buildings	413,928	-	-	413,928
Infrastructure	71,055,322	-	-	71,055,322
Equipment and vehicles	441,204	73,623	(8,000)	506,827
Total	71,910,454	73,623	(8,000)	71,976,077
Less accumulated depreciation:				
Buildings	365,798	12,658	-	378,456
Infrastructure	42,727,238	2,454,225	-	45,181,463
Equipment and vehicles	407,730	5,184	(8,000)	404,914
Total	43,500,766	2,472,067	(8,000)	45,964,833
Total capital assets,				
being depreciated, net	28,409,688	(2,398,444)		26,011,244
Total Business-type activities	\$ 43,795,241	82,455,460		126,250,701

Depreciation and amortization expense was charged to the departments and functions of the primary government as follows:

_		
$C \cap V \cap \Gamma$	nmantal	l activities:

Governmental activities.		
Legislative	\$	21,363
Administrative		26,801
Information technology		50,555
City clerk		16,802
Police		321,497
Animal control		1,075
Emergency Services		222,170
Public works		492,489
Recreation center		82,968
Swimming pool		8,804
Museum		355,876
Library		146,163
Visitor Center		607
Education		952,649
Total governmental activities	\$	<u>2,699,819</u>
Business-type activities:		
Port Facility	\$	2,472,067
1 ore admity	Ψ	2,712,001

Total primary government

(6) Long-Term Debt

(a) Changes in long-term debt follows:

	Balance at			Balance at	Due within
	July 1, 2023	Additions	Reductions	June 30, 2024	one year
Governmental activities:					
Compensated absences	\$ 551,587	729,357	662,891	618,053	-
General obligation bonds	640,000	-	250,000	390,000	120,000
Premium on general					
obligation bonds	89,895	-	31,398	58,497	-
Landfill closure and					
post closure costs	2,715,840	57,079	-	2,772,919	-
Net pension liability	5,689,647	763,272		6,452,919	
Total governmental activities	\$ 9,686,969	1,549,708	944,289	10,292,388	120,000
Business-type activities:					
Revenue bonds	\$ 3,307,650	-	157,038	3,150,612	163,462
Net pension liability	830,877	54,358		885,235	
Total business - type activities	\$ 4,138,527	54,358	157,038	4,035,847	163,462

A summary of long-term bonded debt serviced directly by the City Port Facility Enterprise Fund at June 30, 2024 follows:

Year ending		1986 Series A Port Revenue Bonds			
June 30	-	Principal	Interest		
2025	\$	163,462	125,961		
2026		170,150	119,274		
2027		177,110	112,313		
2028		184,356	105,068		
2029		191,898	97,526		
2030-2034		1,083,872	363,246		
2035-2039	_	1,179,764	122,642		
	\$	3,150,612	1,046,030		

The 1986 Series A Port Revenue Bond covenants require the City to establish, maintain and collect rates and charges for port services that will provide revenues each calendar year equal to at least 1.5 times the amount required each such calendar year to pay the principal and interest on these bonds and all port revenue bonds which may have an equal lien on the revenues of the port facility or moneys in the Port Facilities Revenue Fund, after necessary port operating and maintenance expenses have been paid but before depreciation. The covenants also required the establishment of certain accounts and funds to facilitate the repayment of this bond.

In early 2009, the City accepted NOAA's last offer, which includes repayment of the loan principal beginning January 1, 2009 at 4.05% for 30 years, with interest being deferred for the first 5 years and partially deferred for the second 5 years. The 1986 Series A Port Revenue Bond covenants require the City to establish and maintain a reserve account from funds collected in the Port Facility Enterprise Fund.

A summary of long-term bonded debt serviced directly by the City's government activities at June 30, 2024 follows:

Year ending	_	2015 School		
June 30	_	Principal	Interest	Total
2025	\$	120,000	16,500	136,500
2026		125,000	10,375	135,375
2027		45,000	6,125	51,125
2028		50,000	3,750	53,750
2029	_	50,000	1,250	51,250
	\$ _	390,000	38,000	428,000

In February 2015, the City issued \$750,000 of 2015 Series one General Obligation Refunding Bonds to advance refund \$815,000 of principal for the outstanding general obligation bonds, 2006 Series A. The bond was issued with a premium of \$114,399. The City also issued 2015 Series One General Obligation Refunding Bonds to advance refund \$400,000 of principal for the outstanding general obligation bonds, 2009-2. The bond was issued with a premium of \$75,801. For the year ended June 30, 2024 the City amortized \$8,803 and \$5,831 leaving \$35,175 and \$23,322, respectively, of unamortized premiums. The \$815,000 of 2006 Series A bonds and the \$400,000 of 2009-2 bonds are considered to be defeased and the liability for these bonds has been removed from the financial statements. The bonds have been fully refunded.

Utility System Bond Ordinance Requirements

The Utility System is required to comply with various requirements established by the bond ordinance relating to each of the issues, including periodic payments to the trustees to cover principal and interest payments due the next year (bond redemption reserves).

(7) Leases

Lease Receivable: The City has entered into agreements to lease property to third parties. The leases range in term from nine to twenty-two years. The City received approximately \$243,237 in lease payments for the year ended June 30, 2024. The lease receivable at June 30, 2024 was \$745,543. The City has deferred inflows of resources associated with the leases that will be recognized as revenue over the lease term. At June 30, 2024 the deferred inflows of resources was \$686,316.

(8) Interfund Receivables, Payables and Transfers

(a) Interfund Receivables and Payables

A summary of interfund receivables and payables at June 30, 2024 is as follows:

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	_	Amount
General Fund	Other Governmental Funds	\$	619,415
General Fund	Port Facility Enterprise Fund		1,810,999
Other Governmental Funds	General Fund		2,837,959
Total primary government		\$	5,268,373

The outstanding balances between funds result mainly from the time lag between the dates that (1) interfund goods and services are provided or reimbursable expenditures occur, (2) transactions are recorded in the accounting system, and (3) payments between funds are made

(b) Interfund Transfers

A summary of interfund transfers in and out of June 30, 2024 is as follows:

Transfer in:		Trans	fer out:	
	_		Other	
		General	Governmental	
		Fund	Funds	Total
Other Governmental Funds	\$	755,236	52,314	807,550

There were transfers from the General Fund to the Debt Service Fund to cover scheduled bond payments, the Rasmuson Foundation Special Revenue Fund to cover expenditures, the FEMA Typhoon Special Revenue Fund to cover operating expenditures, the School Renovation and Repairs Fund to cover capital expenditures, the HUD 3D Housing to cover operating expenditures, and the Equipment and Upgrades Fund to cover capital expenditures. There was a transfer from the NSEDC Community Benefits Share Fund to the Equipment and Upgrades Fund to cover capital outlays, and to the School Renovation and Repair fund to cover capital expenditures.

(9) Employee Retirement Systems and Plans

The City follows *Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Codification P20*, Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employees and *GASB Codification P50*, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Post-employment Benefits Other than Pensions. *GASB Codification P20* and *GASB Codification P50* establish uniform standards for the measurement, recognition, and display of pension and other post-employment benefits other than pensions (healthcare) expenditures/expense and related liabilities, assets, note disclosure and applicable required supplementary information in the financial reports of state and local governmental employers.

All full-time employees and certain permanent part-time employees of the City participate in the State of Alaska Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS). In addition to the pension plan, PERS also administers other post-employment benefit (OPEB) plans.

The system is governed by the Alaska Retirement Management Board. The benefit and contribution provisions are established by State law and may be amended only by the State legislature. The Administrator of the Plan is the Commissioner of Administration or the Commissioner's designee.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies. The financial statements for PERS are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. Plan member contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due. The City's contributions are recognized when due and a formal commitment to provide the contributions has been made. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the plan. All plan investments are reported at fair value.

PERS acts as the common investment and administrative agencies for the following multiple-employer plans:

Plan Name	Type of Plan
Defined Benefit Pension Plan (DB)	Cost-sharing, Defined Benefit Pension
Defined Contribution Pension Plan (DC)	Defined Contribution Pension
Defined Benefit Other Postemployment	
Benefits (OPEB):	
Occupational Death and Disability Plan	Cost-sharing, Defined Benefit OPEB
Alaska Retiree Healthcare Trust Plan	Cost-sharing, Defined Benefit OPEB
Retiree Medical Plan	Cost-sharing, Defined Benefit OPEB
Defined Contribution Other Postemployment	
Benefits (DC):	
Healthcare Reimbursement Arrangement Plan	Defined Contribution OPEB

Plan Memberships

The table below includes the plan membership counts from the separately issued financial statements for the various plans:

	PERS
Retired plan members or beneficiaries	
currently receiving benefits	36,951
Inactive plan members entitled to but	
not yet receiving benefits	4,781
Inactive plan members not entitled to benefits	9,961
Active plan members	8,557
Total plan memberships	60,250

Other Postemployment Benefit Plans (OPEB)

Occupational Death and Disability Plan (ODD)

The Occupational Death and Disability Plan provides death benefits for beneficiaries of plan participants and long-term disability benefits to all active members within the System. For the year ended June 30, 2024 (latest available information) the employer contribution rate is 0.68% for peace officers and firefighters and 0.30% for all other members.

Alaska Retiree Healthcare Trust Plan (ARHCT)

Beginning July 1, 2007, the Alaska Retiree Healthcare Trust Plan (ARHCT), a Healthcare Trust Fund of the State, was established. The ARHCT is self-funded and provides major medical coverage to retirees of the System. The System retains the risk of loss of allowable claims for eligible members. The ARHCT began paying member healthcare claims on March 1, 2008. Prior to that, healthcare claims were paid for by the Retiree Health Fund (RHF). For the year ended June 30, 2024 employer contributions were 0.00% of annual payroll.

Retiree Medical Plan (RMP)

The retiree medical plan provides major medical coverage to retirees of the DC plan. The plan is self-insured. Members are not eligible to use this plan until they have at least 10 years of service and are Medicare age eligible. For the year ended June 30, 2024 employer contributions were 1.01%. Healthcare Reimbursement Arrangement Plan

The Healthcare Reimbursement Arrangement Plan was established to allow medical expenses to be reimbursed from individual savings accounts established for eligible participants. Employer contributions are 3.00% of the average annual compensation of all employees in the plan.

Investments

The Board is the investment oversight authority of the system's investments. As the fiduciary, the Board has the statutory authority to invest the assets under the Prudent Investor Rule. Fiduciary responsibility for the Board's invested assets is pursuant to AS 37.10.210.390.

State of Alaska Department of Treasury provides staff for the Board. Treasury has created a pooled environment by which it manages investments of the Board. Additionally, Treasury manages a mix of Pooled Investment Funds and Collective Investment Funds for the DC Participant-directed Pension plans under the Board's fiduciary responsibility.

Rate of Return

The money-weighted rate of return expresses investment performance, net of investment expense, adjusted for the changing amounts actually invested. The annual weighted rate of return, net of investment expense, for the year ended June 30, 2023 (latest available report) for the DB Plans for PERS are: DB Pension 7.56%, ARHCT 7.64%, ODD 7.71%, and RMP is 7.71%.

For additional information on securities lending, interest rates, credit risks, foreign exchange, derivatives, fair value, and counterparty credit risks, see the separately issued report on the Invested Assets of the State of Alaska Retirement and Benefits Plans at:

http://treasury.dor.alaska.gov/armb/Reports-and-Policies/Annual-Audited-Financial-Schedules.aspx.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank.)

The long-term expected rate of return on pension and OPEB plan investments was determined using the building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and adding expected inflation. The best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized below for the PERS plan (rates shown below exclude an annual inflation component of 2.82%):

	Long-Term Expected
Asset Class	Real Rate of Return
Broad Domestic Equity	6.17%
Global Equity (ex-U.S.)	6.55%
Aggregate Bonds	1.63%
Real Assets	4.87%
Private Equity	11.57%
Cash Equivalents	0.49%

Discount Rate: The discount rate used to measure the total pension and OPEB liabilities and assets is 7.25%. The projection of the cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumes that Employer and State contributions will continue to follow the current funding policy, which meets State statutes. Based on those assumptions, the net pension and OPEB plans fiduciary net pension and OPEB liabilities and assets were projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension and OPEB plan investments were applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension and OPEB liabilities and assets. In the event benefit payments are not covered by the plan's fiduciary net position, a municipal bond rate would be used to discount the benefits not covered by the plan's fiduciary net position. The S&P Municipal Bond 20-Year High Grade Index rate was 4.13% as of June 30, 2023.

Employer and Other Contribution Rates. There are several contribution rates associated with the pension and healthcare contributions and related liabilities. These amounts are calculated on an annual basis.

Employer Effective Rate: This is the actual employer pay-in rate. Under current legislation, this rate is statutorily capped at 22% of eligible wages, subject to the salary floor, and other termination costs as described below. This rate is calculated on all PERS participating wages, including those wages attributable to employees in the defined benefit plan.

ARM Board Adopted Rate: This is the rate formally adopted by the Alaska Retirement Management Board. This rate is actuarially determined and used to calculate annual Plan funding requirements, without regard to the statutory rate cap or the GASB accounting rate. Prior to July 1, 2015, there were no constraints or restrictions on the actuarial cost method or other assumptions used in the ARM Board valuation. Effective July 1, 2015, the Legislature requires the ARM Board to adopt employer contribution rates for past service liabilities using a level percent of pay method over a closed 25 year term which ends in 2040. This will result in lower ARM Board Rates in future years.

On-behalf Contribution Rate: This is the rate paid in by the State as an on-behalf payment as mandated under current statute. Under state law, subject to annual appropriation, the state will contribute an on-behalf payment into the plan in an amount equal to the difference between the ARM Board Rate and the Employer Effective Rate. On-behalf contribution amounts have been recognized in these financial statements as both revenue and expenditures.

GASB Rate: This is the rate used to determine the long-term pension and healthcare liability for plan accounting purposes. Certain actuarial methods and assumptions for this rate calculation are mandated by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). Medicare Part D subsidies are not reflected in this rate. The rate uses a 7.25% discount rate.

Employer Contribution rates for the plan year ended 2024 are as follows:

	ARM				
	Employer	Board	State		
	Effective	Adopted	Contribution		
	Rate	Rate	Rate		
PERS:					
Pension	22.00%	25.10%	3.10%		
OPEB	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
Total PERS contribution rates	22.00%_	25.10%	3.10%		

Termination Costs: If the City decides to terminate coverage for a department, group, or other classification of members, even if that termination results from the decision to divest of a particular City function, all affected employees in that department, group, or other classification of members become immediately vested in the plan. The City must pay to have a termination study completed. The purpose of the study is to calculate the City's one-time termination costs. The costs represent the amount necessary to fully fund the costs of plan members who become vested through this process and for other changes in actuarial assumptions, such as, earlier than expected retirement, that arise from the act of termination of coverage. The City must pay a lump sum within 60 days of termination or arrange a payment plan that is acceptable to the PERS Administrator. For plan year ended 2023 (measurement period) the past service rate for PERS is 16.33%.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank.)

Actuarial Assumptions: The total pension and OPEB liabilities (assets) on June 30, 2023 (latest available) were determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2022 which was rolled forward to the measurement date June 30, 2023. These actuarial assumptions were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2021.

Inflation 2.50% per year.

Salary Increases range from 2.85% to 8.50% based on

service.

Investment return / discount rate 7.25%, net of expenses based on average inflation

of 2.50% and a real return of 4.75%.

Mortality Based upon 2017-2021 actual experience study and

applicable tables contained in Pub-2010, projected

with MP-2021 generational improvement.

Healthcare cost trend rates Pre-65 medical: 6.7% grading down to 4.5%

Post-65 medical: 5.5% grading down to 4.5% Rx/EGWP: 7.2% grading down to 4.5%. Ultimate trend rates reached in FY2050.

The actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2022 actuarial valuation are the same as those used in the June 30, 2021 valuation with the following exceptions related to the ARHCT plan:

- 1. Per capita claims costs were updated to reflect recent experience.
- 2. Normal cost for administrative expenses were updated to reflect recent two years of actual administrative expenses paid from plan assets.

The changes of assumptions from the latest experience study significantly reduced deferred inflows of resources attributable to the City, as well as a net OPEB benefit recognized by the City for the State's proportionate share of OPEB plan expense attributable to the City. In some instances the reduction of revenues and expenses reported for the State's proportionate share of OPEB plan expense attributable to the City creates a net negative Pension/OPEB expense (net pension/OPEB benefit) which results in negative operating grants and contributions for certain functions reported on the Statement of Activities.

Alaska Public Employee Retirement System (PERS) - Defined Benefit Plan (DB)

Plan Description. The City participates in the Alaska Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS), a cost sharing multiple employer defined benefit pension plan. PERS provides retirement benefits, disability and death benefits, and post-employment healthcare to plan members and beneficiaries. The Plan was established and is administered by the State of Alaska, Department of Administration. The Public Employee's Retirement System issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for PERS. This report may be obtained from the system at Pouch C, Juneau, Alaska 99811 or online at: http://doa.alaska.gov/drb/pers.

61

Pension Benefits. All tier employee benefits vest with five years of credited service. There are three tiers of employees based on entry date. Tier I employees enrolled prior to July 1, 1986, with five or more years of credited service are entitled to annual pension benefits beginning at normal retirement age 55 or early retirement age 50. For Tier II and III employees enrolled after June 30, 1986, but before July 1, 2006, the normal and early retirement ages are 60 and 55, respectively. All tier employees with 30 or more years of credited service may retire at any age and receive a normal benefit.

The PERS defined benefit plan is closed to new hires enrolled on or after July 1, 2006. New hires after this date participate in the PERS defined contribution plan (DC) described later in these notes.

Currently there are 150 employers participating in PERS defined benefit plan and 151 participating in PERS defined contribution and OPEB plans.

Retirement benefits are calculated by multiplying the average monthly compensation (AMC) times credited PERS service times the percentage multiplier. The AMC is determined by averaging the salaries earned during the five highest (three highest for peace officers/firefighters members or members hired prior to July 1, 1996) consecutive payroll years. Members must earn at least 115 days of credit in the last year worked to include it in the AMC calculation. The PERS pays a minimum benefit of \$25 per month for each year of service when the calculated benefit is less.

The percentage multipliers for peace officers/firefighters are 2% for the first ten years of service and 2.5% for all service over 10 years. The percentage multipliers for all other participants are 2% for the first ten years, 2.25% for the next ten years, and 2.5% for all remaining service earned on or after July 1, 1986. All service before that date is calculated at 2%.

Post-employment healthcare benefits are provided without cost to all members first enrolled before July 1, 1986. Members first enrolled after June 30, 1986, but before July 1, 2006, and who have not reached age 60 may elect to pay for major medical benefits.

Post Retirement Pension Adjustments. The plan has two types of postretirement pension adjustments (PRPA). The automatic PRPA is issued annually to all eligible benefit recipients, when the cost of living increases in the previous calendar year. The discretionary PRPA may be granted to eligible recipients by the DB Plan's administrator if the funding ratio of the DB Plan meets or exceeds 105%. If both an automatic and discretionary PRPA are granted, the retiree is eligible for both adjustments, the one that provides the retiree with the greatest benefit will be paid.

Funding Policy. In April 2008 the Alaska Legislature passed legislation which statutorily capped the employer contribution, established a state funded "on-behalf" contribution, and required that employer contributions be calculated against all PERS eligible wages, including wages paid to participants of the PERS Tier IV defined contribution plan (DC) described later in these footnotes. The state legislature capped the rate at 22%, with the State contributing an on-behalf payment for the difference between the actuarial contribution and the cap.

Salary Floor. During the 25th legislation session, Senate Bill 125 passed, which established a June 30, 2008 salary floor under AS 39.35.255(a)(2). The salary floor is the total base salaries paid by an employer to active employees of the system as of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2008. The statute requires the Division of Retirement and Benefits (Division) to collect employer contributions at a minimum based on FY 2008 base salaries.

Employee Contribution Rate. PERS active members are required to contribute 6.75%, 7.50% for peace officers and firefighters, and 9.60% for certain school district employees.

Employer contributions for the year ended June 30, 2024, were:

Pensions	Other Post-Employment	
(DB)	Benefits (DB)	Total
\$ 801,894	62,629	864,523

Public Employees Retirement Plans

For the year ended June 30, 2024, the State of Alaska contributed \$149,587 (100% pension cost) onbehalf of the City, which has been recorded in the fund financial statements under the modified-accrual basis of accounting. In the government-wide financial statements the on-behalf contribution has been adjusted as of the measurement date June 30, 2023 to a total of \$83,011 to represent the pension/OPEB expense attributable to the State under the full accrual basis of accounting.

Pension and OPEB Liabilities and Assets, Pension and OPEB Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions and OPEB: At June 30, 2024, the City reported liabilities and assets that reflected a reduction for State pension and OPEB support provided to the City. The amount recognized by the City as its proportionate share of net pension and OPEB liabilities (assets), the related State support, and the total portion of the net pension and OPEB liabilities (assets) that were associated with the City were as follows:

Pension
7,338,154
2,446,418
9,784,572
OPEB
(3,252,623)
(1,092,891)
(4,345,514)
(145,701)
(118,049)
3,821,781

The net pension and OPEB liabilities and assets were determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2022, rolled forward to the measurement date of June 30, 2023 and adjusted to reflect updated assumptions. The City's proportion of the net pension and OPEB liabilities and assets were based on the present value of contributions for the fiscal years ending 2024 through 2039, as determined by projections based on the June 30, 2022 valuation.

The City's proportionate share and changes in the pension and OPEB liabilities and assets were as follows:

	June 30, 2023	June 30, 2022	
	Measurement	Measurement	Change
Pension	0.1415%	0.1279%	0.0136%
OPEB:			
ARHCT	0.1414%	0.1271%	0.0143%
ODD	0.2840%	0.2439%	0.0401%
RMP	0.2486%	0.2143%	0.0343%

Based on the measurement date of June 30, 2023, the City recognized pension and OPEB expense (benefit) of \$1,866,974 and (\$906,822), respectively, for the year ended June 30, 2024. At June 30, 2024, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions and OPEB from the following sources:

Pension

		101011			
	Deferred Outflows	Deferred Inflows			
Defined Benefit:	of Resources	of Resources			
Differences between expected and actual					
experience	\$ -	-			
Changes of assumptions	-	-			
Net difference between projected and actual					
earnings on pension plan investments	191,537	-			
Changes in proportion and differences between					
City contributions and proportionate					
share of contributions	-	-			
City contributions subsequent to the					
measurement date	801,894				
Total	\$ 993,431	-			
	OPEB A	RHCT			
	Deferred Outflows	Deferred Inflows			
	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources			
Differences between expected and actual	_				
Differences between expected and actual experience	_				
•	of Resources				
experience	of Resources	of Resources			
experience Changes of assumptions Net difference between projected and actual earnings on OPEB plan investments	of Resources	of Resources			
experience Changes of assumptions Net difference between projected and actual earnings on OPEB plan investments Changes in proportion and differences between	s 6,753	of Resources			
experience Changes of assumptions Net difference between projected and actual earnings on OPEB plan investments Changes in proportion and differences between City contributions and proportionate	s 6,753	of Resources - (59,856) -			
experience Changes of assumptions Net difference between projected and actual earnings on OPEB plan investments Changes in proportion and differences between City contributions and proportionate share of contributions	s 6,753	of Resources			
experience Changes of assumptions Net difference between projected and actual earnings on OPEB plan investments Changes in proportion and differences between City contributions and proportionate share of contributions City contributions subsequent to the	s 6,753	of Resources - (59,856) -			
experience Changes of assumptions Net difference between projected and actual earnings on OPEB plan investments Changes in proportion and differences between City contributions and proportionate share of contributions	s 6,753	of Resources - (59,856) -			

		OPEB	ODD	
	Defe	erred Outflows	Deferred Inflows	
	of	Resources	of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual				
experience	\$	-	(40,939)	
Changes of assumptions		-	(606)	
Net difference between projected and actual				
earnings on OPEB plan investments		3,364	-	
Changes in proportion and differences between				
City contributions and proportionate				
share of contributions		2,743	(14,401)	
City contributions subsequent to the				
measurement date	_	18,528		
Total	\$_	24,635	(55,946)	
		OPEB	RMP	
	Defe	erred Outflows	Deferred Inflows	
	of	Resources	of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual				
experience	\$	3,598	(3,810)	
Changes of assumptions		12,694	(95,995)	
Net difference between projected and actual				
earnings on OPEB plan investments		9,892	-	
Changes in proportion and differences between				
City contributions and proportionate				
share of contributions		1,485	(2,672)	
City contributions subsequent to the				
measurement date		44,101		

\$801,894 and \$62,629 are reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pension and OPEB resulting from City contributions subsequent to the measurement date and will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability and as an increase to the net OPEB assets in the year ended June 30, 2025, respectively. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pension and OPEB will be recognized in pension and OPEB expense (benefit) as follows:

Total

Year Ended			C)PEB		OPEB		OPEB
June 30	_	Pension	Al	RHCT		ODD	_	RMP
2025	\$	(72,645)	(16	64,530)		(11,119)		(15,284)
2026		(160,661)	(13	33,730)		(11,789)		(17,186)
2027		434,644	35	55,241		(6,172)		(468)
2028		(9,801)		(9,064)		(7,982)		(17,793)
2029		-		-		(6,069)		(12,888)
Thereafter						(6,708)		(11,189)
Total	\$	191,537		17,917	_	(49,839)	_	(74,808)

(102,477)

71,770

For the year ended June 30, 2024, the City recognized \$790,070 and (\$178,168) of pension and OPEB amortization of the net deferred outflows and inflows of resources, respectively.

Sensitivity of the Net Pension and OPEB Liabilities and Assets to Changes in the Discount Rate: The following presents the net pension and OPEB liabilities and assets of the plan calculated using the discount rate of 7.25%, as well as what the Plans' net pension and OPEB liabilities and assets would be if they were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.25%) or 1-percentage-point higher (8.25%) than the current rate:

	1% Decrease	Current Rate	1% Increase
	(6.25%)	(7.25%)	(8.25%)
Net pension liability (asset)	\$ 9,851,450	7,338,154	5,215,159
Net OPEB ARHCT liability (asset)	\$ (2,161,998)	(3,252,623)	(4,168,809)
Net OPEB ODD liability (asset)	\$ (136,900)	(145,701)	(152,551)
Net OPEB RMP liability (asset)	\$ (4,102)	(118,049)	(205,093)

Sensitivity of the City's proportionate share of the Net OPEB liability and assets to changes in the healthcare cost trend rates. The following present the City's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability (asset), as well as what the City's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability (asset) would be if it were calculated using healthcare cost trend rates that are 1-percentage-point lower or 1-percentage-point higher than the current healthcare cost trend rates:

	1% Decrease	Current Rate	_1% Increase
Net OPEB ARHCT liability (asset)	\$ (4,273,607)	(3,252,623)	(2,036,910)
Net OPEB RMP liability (asset)	\$ (216,775)	(118,049)	(14,563)

Alaska Public Employee Retirement System (PERS) - Defined Contribution Plan (DC)

Plan Description and Funding Requirements. Cities and Public Employers in the State of Alaska have a defined contribution retirement plan (PERS Tier IV) for new hires first enrolled on or after July 1, 2006. This Plan is administered by the State of Alaska, Department of Administration in conjunction with the defined benefit plan noted above. The Administrator of the Plan is the Commissioner of Administration or the Commissioner's designee. Plan members make mandatory contributions of 6.75% of gross eligible compensation. This amount goes directly to the individual's account. State statutes require the employer to contribute 5.00% of employees' eligible compensation. Additionally, employers are required to contribute to OPEB (DB): 1.01% for the retiree medical plan (DB), 0.30% and 0.68% (peace officers) for occupational and death and disability benefits (DB) and 3.00% of employers' average annual employee compensation to the health reimbursement arrangement (HRA DC). The effective employer contribution is capped at 22.00% with anything in excess of the previously listed rates being contributed to the Defined Benefit Unfunded Liability (DBUL).

Plan members are 100% vested with their contributions.

Members become vested in employers' contributions as follows:

- 2 years of service 25%
- 3 years of service 50%
- 4 years of service 75%
- 5 years of service 100%

The City contributed \$326,588 for the year ended June 30, 2024, which included forfeitures of \$43,199 which have been applied as employer contributions.

(10) Landfill Closure and Post Closure Costs

State and Federal laws and regulations require the City to place a final cover on its landfill sites when they stop accepting waste to perform certain maintenance and monitoring functions at the site for 30 years after closure. Although closure and post closure costs will be paid only near or after the date that the landfills stop accepting waste, the City reports a portion of these closure and post closure costs as a long-term liability at June 30, 2024, based on the landfill capacities used as of that date. The \$2,772,919 amount reported to date is based on the use of 100% of the Center Creek Landfill prior to closure, 83% of the Center Creek Monofill, and 32% of the Beam Road Landfill. Total estimated costs of closure and post closure for these landfills total \$3,920,990 as the remaining capacity is filled. The City recorded \$57,079 of estimated future costs for closure and post-closure costs. These amounts are based on what it would cost to perform all closure and post closure costs as of June 30, 2024. The City closed the old landfill and opened the new landfill in September 1996. Actual costs may change due to inflation, deflation, changes in technology, or changes in laws and regulations.

State and Federal regulations (18 AAC 60.398 and 40 CFR 258.74, respectively) require the City to provide financial assurances for future closure and post closure costs. There are a number of allowable mechanisms available. The City uses the local government financial test assurance mechanism to be in compliance with regulations. Future inflation costs and additional costs that might arise from changes in closure and post closure requirements are covered by charges to future landfill users, taxpayers, or both.

(11) Litigation

The City, in normal course of its activities, is involved in various claims and litigation. In the opinion of management, the disposition of these matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the financial statements of the City. The City may have insurance coverage for these matters.

(12) Contingent Liabilities

The City receives numerous grants, which are subject to review and audit by the grantor agencies. Such audits could lead to requests for reimbursement for expenditures disallowed under the terms of the grant. Management believes that such disallowances, if any, would not be material.

(13) Subsequent Accounting Pronouncements

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has passed several new accounting standards with upcoming implementation dates covering several topics as follows:

- GASB 101 Compensated Absences. Effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2023, and all reporting periods thereafter.
- GASB 102 Certain Risk Disclosures. Effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2024, and all reporting periods thereafter.
- GASB 103 Financial Reporting Model Improvements: Effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2025, and all reporting periods thereafter.

53

• GASB 104 *Disclosure of Certain Capital Assets:* Effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2025, and all reporting periods thereafter.

GASB Statement No. 101 unified recognition and measurement model in this Statement will result in a liability for compensated absences that more appropriately reflects when a government incurs an obligation. In addition, the model can be applied consistently to any type of compensated absence and will eliminate potential comparability issues between governments that offer different types of leave.

The model also will result in a more robust estimate of the amount of compensated absences that a government will pay or settle, which will enhance the relevance and reliability of information about the liability for compensated absences.

GASB Statement No. 102 will improve financial reporting by providing users of financial statements with essential information that currently is not often provided. The disclosures will provide users with timely information regarding certain concentrations or constraints and related events that have occurred or have begun to occur that make a government vulnerable to a substantial impact. As a result, users will have better information with which to understand and anticipate certain risks to a government's financial condition.

GASB Statement No. 103 will improve key components of the financial reporting model to enhance its effectiveness in providing information that is essential for decision making and assessing a government's accountability. The changes primarily include updates to three key areas: management's discussion and analysis, presentation of proprietary fund financial statements, and budgetary comparison information. This Statement also addresses certain application issues.

GASB Statement No. 104 establishes requirements for certain types of capital assets to be disclosed separately in the capital assets note disclosures. The Statement also establishes requirements for capital assets held for sale and requires additional disclosures for those capital assets. Under the guidance, a capital asset is a capital asset held for sale if: (a) the government has decided to pursue the sale of the asset, and (b) it is probable the sale will be finalized within a year of the financial statement date. A government should disclose the historical cost and accumulated depreciation of capital assets held for sale, by major class of asset.

(14) Change within the Financial Reporting

A change in the ARPA Special Revenue Fund's presentation from major to nonmajor occurred during the year then ended June 30, 2024. There were no effects on the fund balance/net position of any opinion unit as a result of this change.

(15) Related Parties

The City received payments for landfill fees, PILT and Smartnet renewal in the amount of \$452,075, and had receivable at year end of \$151,676 from Nome Joint Utility System. During the year the City made a required contribution of \$3,200,000 to Nome Public Schools. The City also made payments to multiple companies owned by City Council and Commission members during the normal course of business totaling \$724,184.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

General Fund

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Original and Final Budgeted Amounts and Actual

Year Ended June 30, 2024

	_	Original Budget	Final Budget		Actual	Variance with Final Budget- Positive (Negative)
Revenues:						
Local sources:						
Taxes: Property taxes	\$	4,516,222	4,389,652		4,420,267	30,615
Sales taxes	φ	7,360,000	7,510,000		7,103,122	(406,878)
Payments in lieu of taxes		370,215	361,410		323,556	(37,854)
Penalties and interest		77,500	109.500		127,615	18,115
Bed tax		175,000	220,000		256,393	36,393
Charges for services:						
Licenses and permits		40,800	86,880		161,161	74,281
Usage fees		843,700	811,270		871,235	59,965
Fines and forfeitures		3,000	3,000		2,143	(857)
Investment income		460,500	490,500		563,409	72,909
Rental and royalties		157,742	205,242		253,945	48,703
Contributions	_	38,500	20,500		37,813	17,313
Total local sources	-	14,043,179	14,207,954		14,120,659	(87,295)
Intergovernmental:						
State of Alaska		109,912	156,864		297,047	140,183
Federal sources		515,000	542,616		542,616	-
Total revenues	_	14,668,091	14,907,434		14,960,322	52,888
	_	, ,	, , .	- '	, , .	
Expenditures:						
Current:						
Legislative		229,739	221,789		203,317	18,472
Administrative		1,367,081	1,263,004		1,216,733	46,271
Information technology		270,950	277,098		300,884	(23,786)
Planning and engineering		179,728	182,521		158,605	23,916
City clerk		595,670	548,576		515,540	33,036
Police		4,296,836	4,166,500		4,008,252	158,248
Animal control Emergency services		41,643 797,933	37,326 861,866		34,492 668,262	2,834 193,604
Public works		3,355,106	3,809,607		3,606,220	203,387
Recreation center		966,882	899,094		818,390	80,704
Swimming pool		58,335	41,709		35,986	5,723
Museum		356,510	343,136		314,054	29,082
Library		424,284	426,744		403,156	23,588
Visitor center		220,719	219,887		214,183	5,704
Education		3,207,399	3,207,690		3,208,950	(1,260)
Non-departmental		394,046	420,235		247,223	173,012
Debt service:						
Principal		25,000	25,000		-	25,000
Interest		2,000	2,000		-	2,000
Capital outlay	-	50,500	50,500		45.054.047	50,500
Total expenditures	_	16,840,361	17,004,282		15,954,247	1,050,035
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(2,172,270)	(2,096,848)	1	(993,925)	1,102,923
Other financing sources (uses):						
Proceeds from issuance of leases		48,500	48,500		_	(48,500)
Proceeds from insurance		-0,000	114,120		114,120	(40,000)
Proceeds from sale of capital assets		-	43,650		43,650	-
Transfers out		(888,699)	(1,209,794)	1	(755,236)	454,558
Net other financing sources (uses)		(840,199)	(1,003,524)		(597,466)	406,058
Net change in fund balance	\$ _	(3,012,469)	(3,100,372)	<u>-</u>	(1,591,391)	1,508,981
Fund balance, beginning of year					13,334,638	
Fund balance, ending of year				\$	11,743,247	

See accompanying notes to Required Supplementary Information.

Item A.

CITY OF NOME, ALASKA

Schedule of City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability

Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS)

June 30, 2024

Year	City's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability	_	City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	_	State of Alaska Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	_	Total Net Pension Liability	_	City's Covered Payroll	City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Payroll	Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability
2015	0.0713%	\$	3,324,880	\$	3,063,690	\$	6,388,570	\$	4,256,768	78.11%	62.37%
2016	0.1062%	\$	5,152,410	\$	138,151	\$	5,290,561	\$	3,091,332	166.67%	63.96%
2017	0.1269%	\$	7,091,710	\$	893,136	\$	7,984,846	\$	3,016,750	235.08%	59.55%
2018	0.1051%	\$	5,432,839	\$	2,023,811	\$	7,456,650	\$	3,057,182	177.71%	63.37%
2019	0.1127%	\$	5,599,916	\$	1,619,291	\$	7,219,207	\$	3,169,595	176.68%	65.19%
2020	0.1055%	\$	5,775,101	\$	2,292,576	\$	8,067,677	\$	3,130,982	184.45%	63.42%
2021	0.1063%	\$	6,271,667	\$	2,595,580	\$	8,867,247	\$	3,666,977	171.03%	61.61%
2022	0.1495%	\$	5,485,323	\$	743,512	\$	6,228,835	\$	3,956,041	138.66%	76.46%
2023	0.1279%	\$	6,520,524	\$	1,806,538	\$	8,327,062	\$	4,231,791	154.08%	67.97%
2024	0.1415%	\$	7,338,154	\$	2,446,418	\$	9,784,572	\$	5,187,595	141.46%	68.23%

See accompanying notes to Required Supplementary Information.

Schedule of City's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability (Asset)

Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS)

June 30, 2024

										City's	
										Proportionate	Plan Fiduciary
	City's		City's		State of Alaska					Share of the	Net Position as
	Proportion		Proportionate		Proportionate					Net OPEB	a Percentage
	of the Net		Share of the		Share of the		Total			Liability (Asset) as	of the Total
	OPEB		Net OPEB		Net OPEB		Net OPEB		City's	a Percentage of	OPEB
	Liability		Liability		Liability		Liability		Covered	Covered	Liability
Year	(Asset)	_	(Asset)	_	(Asset)	_	(Asset)	_	Payroll	Payroll	(Asset)
Alaaka Batiraa I	Healthcare Trust Pla	(ADUCT).								
2018	0.1051%	an (<i>i</i> \$	887.886	\$	331,346	\$	1,219,232	\$	3,057,182	29.04%	89.68%
2019	0.1031%	\$	1,155,990	\$	335,315	Ф \$	1,491,305	φ \$	3,169,595	29.04 % 36.47%	88.12%
2019	0.1120%	э \$	156,336		62,178	Ф \$	218,514	•		4.99%	98.13%
2020	0.1054%	\$ \$,	\$	(199,957)	\$ \$,	\$ \$	3,130,982 3,666,977	-13.12%	106.15%
2021			(481,197)	\$, , ,		(681,154)	•			
	0.1501%	\$	(3,849,775)	\$	(507,030)	\$	(4,356,805)	\$	3,956,041	-97.31%	135.54%
2023	0.1271%	\$	(2,501,565)	\$	(714,876)	\$	(3,216,441)	\$	4,231,791	-59.11%	128.51%
2024	0.1414%	\$	(3,252,623)	\$	(1,092,891)	\$	(4,345,514)	\$	5,187,595	-62.70%	133.96%
Occupational D	eath and Disability	(OD	D):								
2018	0.2018%	\$	(28,631)	\$	-	\$	(28,631)	\$	3,057,182	-0.94%	212.97%
2019	0.2141%	\$	(41,590)	\$	-	\$	(41,590)	\$	3,169,595	-1.31%	270.62%
2020	0.2409%	\$	(58,407)	\$	-	\$	(58,407)	\$	3,130,982	-1.87%	297.43%
2021	0.2640%	\$	(71,965)	\$	-	\$	(71,965)	\$	3,666,977	-1.96%	283.80%
2022	0.2436%	\$	(107,378)	\$	-	\$	(107,378)	\$	3,956,041	-2.71%	374.22%
2023	0.2439%	\$	(106,918)	\$	-	\$	(106,918)	\$	4,231,791	-2.53%	348.80%
2024	0.2840%	\$	(145,701)	\$	-	\$	(145,701)	\$	5,187,595	-2.81%	349.24%
Retiree Medical	Plan (RMP)										
2018	0.1303%	\$	10,523	\$	_	\$	10,523	\$	891,245	1.18%	93.98%
2019	0.2141%	\$	27,249	\$	_	\$	27,249	\$	603,292	4.52%	88.71%
2020	0.1997%	\$	47,783	\$		\$	47,783	\$	452,109	10.57%	83.17%
2020	0.1997 %	\$	15,068	\$	_	\$	15,068	\$	1,011,932	1.49%	92.23%
2021	0.2067%	\$	(55,470)	\$	_	\$	(55,470)	\$	1,085,723	-5.11%	115.10%
2022	0.2143%	\$	(74,429)	\$	-	\$	(74,429)	φ \$	1,191,741	-6.25%	120.08%
2023	0.2143%	\$ \$		\$ \$	-	\$ \$		\$ \$		-6.25% -8.18%	120.08%
2024	0.2460%	Ф	(118,049)	Ф	-	Ф	(118,049)	Ф	1,443,700	-0.18%	124.29%

See accompanying notes to Required Supplementary Information.

Schedule of City's Contributions (Pensions)

Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS)

June 30, 2024

					Contributions						
					Relative to the					Contributions	
			Contractually	Contractually			Contribution		City's	as a Percentage	
	Year		Required	Required			Deficiency		Covered	of Covered	
			Contribution		Contribution		(Excess)		Payroll	Payroll	
	2015	\$	284,388	\$	(284,388)	\$	-	\$	3,091,332	9.20%	
	2016	\$	276,563	\$	(276,563)	\$	-	\$	3,016,750	9.17%	
	2017	\$	320,169	\$	(320,169)	\$	-	\$	3,057,182	10.47%	
	2018	\$	368,291	\$	(368,291)	\$	-	\$	3,169,595	11.62%	
	2019	\$	357,431	\$	(353,150)	\$	4,281	\$	3,130,982	11.42%	
	2020	\$	381,045	\$	(382,442)	\$	(1,397)	\$	3,666,977	10.39%	
	2021	\$	508,632	\$	(510,166)	\$	(1,534)	\$	3,956,041	12.86%	
	2022	\$	564,034	\$	(564,034)	\$	-	\$	4,231,791	13.33%	
	2023	\$	758,916	\$	(767,953)	\$	(9,037)	\$	5,187,595	14.63%	
	2024	\$	810,942	\$	(801,894)	\$	9,048	\$	5,414,141	14.98%	

See accompanying notes to Required Supplementary Information.

Schedule of City's Contributions (OPEB)

Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS)

June 30, 2024

				Contributions						
				Relative to the					Contributions	
		Contractually		Contractually		Contribution		City's	as a Percentage	
		Required		Required		Deficiency		Covered	of Covered	
Year		Contribution	-	Contribution	_	(Excess)	-	Payroll	Payroll	
Alaska Retiree	Healthcare Ti	rust Plan (ARHCT):								
2018	\$	104,974	\$	(104,974)	\$	-	\$	3,169,595	3.31%	
2019	\$	129,124	\$	(129,124)	\$	-	\$	3,130,982	4.12%	
2020	\$	152,200	\$	(152,200)	\$	-	\$	3,666,977	4.15%	
2021	\$	69,955	\$	(69,955)	\$	-	\$	3,956,041	1.77%	
2022	\$	54,445	\$	(54,445)	\$	-	\$	4,231,791	1.29%	
2023	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	5,187,595	0.00%	
2024	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	5,414,141	0.00%	
Occupational D	eath and Dis	ability (ODD):								
2018	\$	5,344	\$	(5,344)	\$	-	\$	3,169,595	0.17%	
2019	\$	9,836	\$	(9,836)	\$	-	\$	3,130,982	0.31%	
2020	\$	11,577	\$	(11,577)	\$	-	\$	3,666,977	0.32%	
2021	\$	12,995	\$	(12,995)	\$	-	\$	3,956,041	0.33%	
2022	\$	14,071	\$	(14,071)	\$	-	\$	4,231,791	0.33%	
2023	\$	17,303	\$	(17,303)	\$	-	\$	5,187,595	0.33%	
2024	\$	18,528	\$	(18,528)	\$	-	\$	5,414,141	0.34%	
Retiree Medica	l Plan (RMP):									
2018	\$	24,963	\$	(24,963)	\$	-	\$	603,292	4.14%	
2019	\$	23,439	\$	(23,439)	\$	-	\$	452,109	5.18%	
2020	\$	37,891	\$	(37,891)	\$	-	\$	1,011,932	3.74%	
2021	\$	38,354	\$	(38,354)	\$	-	\$	1,085,723	3.53%	
2022	\$	36,261	\$	(36,261)	\$	-	\$	1,191,741	3.04%	
2023	\$	46,622	\$	(46,622)	\$	-	\$	1,443,700	3.23%	
2024	\$	44,101	\$	(44,101)	\$	-	\$	1,484,491	2.97%	

See accompanying notes to Required Supplementary Information.

Notes to Required Supplementary Information

Year Ended June 30, 2024

1. General Fund Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance

Budget and Budgetary Accounting

The Nome Common Council (Council) is required to review or enact through the passage of a resolution or ordinance the budgets for the City, School District and Joint Utility System prior to each entity's fiscal year-end, June 30 for the City and School City and December 31 for the Utility System. The City's operating budget is prepared by the City Manager in the spring proceeding the fiscal year to which it relates and is submitted to the Council for enactment. The operating budget includes proposed expenditures and the proposed means of financing them. The School District's budget is prepared by the Superintendent of Schools in the spring proceeding the fiscal year to which it relates. It is submitted to the Nome Public Schools' School Board for review and approval and then to the Council for purposes of passing the resolution setting the contribution for the fiscal year. The Utility System's operating budget is prepared by the Joint Utility System manager in the fall preceding the fiscal year to which it relates. It is submitted to the Nome Joint Utility System Board for review and approval and then to the Council for adoption.

The City Manager, the Nome Public School Board and the Nome Joint Utility System Board are authorized to transfer budgeted amounts within any fund or department; however, any revisions that alter the total expenditures of any fund or department must be approved by the Council. Formal budgetary integration is employed as a management control device during the year for the City's and School District's General Fund, Special Revenue Funds and the City's Capital Projects Funds and Port Facility Enterprise Fund. All budgets are adopted on a basis of accounting consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and all lapse at year-end except for budgets related to the Debt Service Fund, Capital Projects Funds and Special Revenue Funds. Budgeted amounts are presented as originally adopted or as amended by the Council for the General Fund and are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting.

The following functions had expenditures in excess of appropriations in the General Fund for the year ended June 30, 2024:

Information Technology \$ 23,786 Education \$ 1,260

Excess expenditures in General Fund were funded by available revenue and fund balance.

2. Public Employees' Retirement System

Schedule of City's Proportionate Share of Net Pension and OPEB Liability (Asset)

The table is presented based on the Plan measurement date which is one year prior to fiscal year end for all years presented.

Notes to Required Supplementary Information, Continued

Changes in Actuarial Assumptions, Methods, and Benefits Since the Prior Valuation

Defined Benefit Pension and Postemployment Healthcare Benefit Plan

- a) Changes in Methods Since the Prior Valuation June 30, 2021 to June 30, 2022 There were no changes in actuarial methods since the prior valuation.
- b) Changes in Assumptions Since the Prior Valuation June 30, 2021 to June 30, 2022

 Effective for the June 30, 2022 valuation, the Alaska Retirement Management Board adopted the changes to the demographic and economic assumptions recommended by the actuary, based on the results of an experience study performed on the plan experience from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2021. The changes in assumptions were adopted at the June 2022 Board meeting. The effect of the new assumptions was to increase the actuarial accrued liability as of June 30, 2022 by approximately \$206 million for pension and decrease by approximately \$88 million for healthcare.

The healthcare per capital claims cost assumption is updated for each valuation. The amounts included in the Normal Cost for the administrative expenses were updated based on the last two years of actual administrative expenses paid from plan assets.

c) Changes in Benefit Provisions Since the Prior Valuation – June 30, 2021 to June 30, 2022 There were no changes in benefit provisions since the prior valuation.

Defined Contribution Occupational Death and Disability and Retiree Medical Benefits Plan

- a) Changes in Methods Since the Prior Valuation June 30, 2021 to June 30, 2022

 There were no changes in actuarial methods since the prior valuation.
- b) Changes in Assumptions Since the Prior Valuation June 30, 2021 to June 30, 2022

 Effective for the June 30, 2022 valuation, the Alaska Retirement Management Board adopted the changes to the demographic and economic assumptions recommended by the actuary, based on the results of an experience study performed on the plan experience from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2021. The changes in assumptions were adopted at the June 2022 Board meeting. The effect of the new assumptions was to increase the actuarial accrued liability as of June 30, 2022 by approximately \$1,222,000 for occupational death & disability and decrease by approximately \$22,348,000 for retiree medical.

The healthcare per capital claims cost assumption is updated for each valuation. The amounts included in the Normal Cost for the administrative expenses were updated based on the last two years of actual administrative expenses paid from plan assets.

c) Changes in Benefit Provisions Since the Prior Valuation – June 30, 2021 to June 30, 2022 There have been no changes in benefit provisions valued since the prior valuation.

The GASB requires ten years of information be presented. However, until a full ten years of information is available, the City will present only those years for which information is available.

Schedule of City Contributions (Pension) and (OPEB)

The table valuation date is June 30, 2022 which was rolled forward to June 30, 2023. Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of June 30th, two years prior to the fiscal year in which contributions are reported.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Other Governmental Funds

Combining Balance Sheet

June 30, 2024

		Special Revenue Funds					
<u>Assets</u>	_	E-911 Surcharge	Healthy and Equitable Communities	NPD SART	Rasmuson Foundation	NSEDC Community Benefits Share	
Cash and short-term investments Receivables:	\$	-	-	-	-	-	
Federal		_	83,132	1,032	_	_	
State of Alaska		-	-	-	- -	_	
Other		101,977	-	_	_	-	
Due from other funds		711,828	-	_	5,488	49,232	
Total assets	\$	813,805	83,132	1,032	5,488	49,232	
<u>Liabilities and Fund Balances</u>							
Liabilities:							
Accounts payable	\$	475,624	-	-	-	-	
Unearned revenue		-	-	-	5,488	-	
Due to other funds			83,132	1,032			
Total liabilities	_	475,624	83,132	1,032	5,488		
Fund balances:							
Restricted -							
E-911 surcharge		338,181	-	-	-	-	
Committed: Equipment and upgrades							
Community projects		<u>-</u>	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	- 49,232	
Assigned:		-	-	-	-	49,232	
Debt service		_	_	-	-	_	
Landfill		_	-	_	_	_	
Unassigned		-	-	-	-	_	
Total fund balances	_	338,181		-		49,232	
	\$	813,805	83,132	1,032	5,488	49,232	

(Continued)

Other Governmental Funds

Combining Balance Sheet, Continued

<u>Assets</u>	_	NSEDC Community Employment Program	Public Library Assistance	FEMA Typhoon	Total	Debt Service Fund
Cash and short-term investments Receivables: Federal State of Alaska Other Due from other funds Total assets Liabilities and Fund Balances	\$ \$ =	- 2,757 - 2,757	- - - - 651 651	53,280 - - - - 53,280	137,444 - 104,734 767,199 1,009,377	1,206,724 - 1,520 - - 1,208,244
Liabilities: Accounts payable Unearned revenue Due to other funds Total liabilities	\$ 	- - 2,757 2,757	651 - - - 651	- 323,433 323,433	476,275 5,488 410,354 892,117	209,061 209,061
Fund balances: Restricted - E-911 surcharge Committed: Equipment and upgrades Community projects Assigned: Debt service Landfill Unassigned Total fund balances	<u>-</u>	- - - - - -	- - - - - - -	- - - - (270,153) (270,153)	338,181 - 49,232 - - (270,153) 117,260	999,183 - - 999,183
	\$ _	2,757	651	53,280	1,009,377	1,208,244

(Continued)

Other Governmental Funds

Combining Balance Sheet, Continued

		Capital Project Funds						
<u>Assets</u>	_	Landfill	ARPA CLFRF	HUD 3D Housing	Equipment and Repairs	School Renovation and Repairs	Total	Total Other Governmental Funds
Cash and short-term investments Receivables:	\$	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,206,724
Federal		_	_	126,952	_	_	126,952	264,396
State of Alaska		-	_	-	-	-	-	1,520
Other		_	_	_	-	-	_	104,734
Due from other funds		704,667	1,133,062	-	203,315	29,716	2,070,760	2,837,959
Total assets	\$	704,667	1,133,062	126,952	203,315	29,716	2,197,712	4,415,333
Liabilities and Fund Balances Liabilities: Accounts payable Unearned revenue Due to other funds Total liabilities	\$	- - - -	2,769 1,130,293 - 1,133,062	126,952 - - 126,952	- - - -	29,716 - - - 29,716	159,437 1,130,293 - 1,289,730	635,712 1,135,781 619,415 2,390,908
Fund balances: Restricted -								000 404
E-911 surcharge Committed:		-	-	-	-	-	-	338,181
Equipment and upgrades		_	_	_	203,315	_	203,315	203,315
Community projects		-	_	_	-	-	-	49,232
Assigned:								, ,
Debt service		-	-	-	-	-	-	999,183
Landfill		704,667	-	-	-	-	704,667	704,667
Unassigned	_	<u>-</u>				<u> </u>	-	(270,153)
Total fund balances	_	704,667			203,315		907,982	2,024,425
	\$	704,667	1,133,062	126,952	203,315	29,716	2,197,712	4,415,333

CITY OF NOME, ALASKA

Other Governmental Funds

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances (Deficits)

Year Ended June 30, 2024

			Special Reve	enue Funds		
	E-911 Surcharge	Healthy and Equitable Communities	NPD SART	Rasmuson Foundation	NSEDC Community Benefits Share	FEMA Floodplain Training
Revenues:						
Local sources:	77.000					
Charges for services Other	77,839	-	-	0.510	- 60 457	-
Intergovernmental:	-	-	-	9,512	62,457	-
State of Alaska						661
Federal sources	- -	103,317	3,627	- -	=	1,983
Total revenues	77,839	103,317	3,627	9,512	62,457	2,644
Total revenues		103,317	3,021	9,512	02,431	2,044
Expenditures: Current:						
Police	475,624	_	3,627	_	=	_
Emergency services	-		-	_	-	2,644
Public works	_	_	_	_	_	_,
Recreation center	_	103,317	_	_	-	-
Library	_	-	-	12,012	-	-
Non-departmental	-	-	-	- -	83,304	=
Debt service:						
Principal	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interest	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital outlay	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures	475,624	103,317	3,627	12,012	83,304	2,644
Excess (deficiency) of revenues				/a ===>	,,	
over expenditures	(397,785)	-	-	(2,500)	(20,847)	-
00 5						
Other financing sources (uses): Transfers in				2.500		
Transfers in Transfers out	-	-	-	2,500	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses)			-	2,500		
rotal other linancing sources (uses)			-	2,500		
Net change in fund balances	(397,785)	-	-	-	(20,847)	-
Fund balances, beginning of year	735,966		<u>-</u>		70,079	
Fund balances (deficit), end of year	338,181		-		49,232	

(Continued)

CITY OF NOME, ALASKA

Other Governmental Funds

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances (Deficits), Continued

	NSEDC Community Employment Program	Public Library Assistance	Library Continuing Education	FEMA Typhoon	Total	Debt Service Fund
Revenues:						
Local sources:						
Charges for services \$	-	-	-	-	77,839	-
Other	7,960	-	1,000	96,652	177,581	-
Intergovernmental:						
State of Alaska	-	7,000	-	2,454	10,115	151,946
Federal sources	-		1,250	56,308	166,485	-
Total revenues	7,960	7,000	2,250	155,414	432,020	151,946
Expenditures:						
Current:						
Police	_	_	_	-	479,251	_
Emergency services	_	_	_	139,898	142,542	_
Public works	_	_	_	28,053	28,053	_
Recreation center	_	_	_		103,317	_
Library	7,960	7,000	2,250	_	29,222	_
Non-departmental	-	-	-,	_	83,304	_
Debt service:					,	
Principal	_	_	_	_	_	250,000
Interest	_	_	_	_	_	25,750
Capital outlay	_	_	_	_	_	-
Total expenditures	7,960	7,000	2,250	167,951	865,689	275,750
Excess (deficiency) of revenues				(40 527)	(422 660)	(122 904)
over expenditures	-	-	-	(12,537)	(433,669)	(123,804)
Other financing sources (uses):						
Transfers in	-	-	-	22,911	25,411	123,804
Transfers out	-	-	-	-	-	(52,314)
Total other financing sources (uses)	-		-	22,911	25,411	71,490
Net change in fund balances	-	-	-	10,374	(408,258)	(52,314)
Fund balances, beginning of year				(280,527)	525,518	1,051,497
Fund balances (deficit), end of year \$				(270,153)	117,260	999,183

(Continued)

CITY OF NOME, ALASKA

Other Governmental Funds

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances (Deficits), Continued

		Capital Project Funds						
	Landfill	ARPA CLFRF	HUD 3D Housing	Equipment and Upgrades	School Renovation and Repairs	Total	Total Other Governmental Funds	
Revenues:								
Local sources:							77.000	
Charges for services \$ Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	77,839	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	-	298,449	-	-	298,449	476,030	
Intergovernmental: State of Alaska							162,061	
Federal sources	-	-	400.050	-	-	450.740		
		31,761	126,952			158,713	325,198	
Total revenues		31,761	425,401			457,162	1,041,128	
Expenditures:								
Current:								
Police	_	_	_	_	_	_	479,251	
Emergency services		_	_	_	_	_	142,542	
Public works	_	_	_	_	_	_	28,053	
Recreation center	_	_	_	_	_	_	103,317	
Library	_	_	_	_	_	_	29,222	
Non-departmental	_	_	_	_	_	_	83,304	
Debt service:							00,004	
Principal	_	_	_	_	_	_	250,000	
Interest	_	_	_	_	_	_	25,750	
Capital outlay	41,659	31,761	429,020	489,912	52,314	1,044,666	1,044,666	
Total expenditures	41,659	31,761	429,020	489,912	52,314	1,044,666	2,186,105	
rotal oxportation		01,701	120,020	100,012		1,011,000	2,100,100	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues								
over expenditures	(41,659)	_	(3,619)	(489,912)	(52,314)	(587,504)	(1,144,977)	
'	(,,		(-,,	(,- ,	(- /- /	(, ,	() , , , , , ,	
Other financing sources (uses):								
Transfers in	41,659	-	3,619	560,743	52,314	658,335	807,550	
Transfers out	-	-	-	-	-	-	(52,314)	
Total other financing sources (uses)	41,659	-	3,619	560,743	52,314	658,335	755,236	
Net change in fund balances	-	-	-	70,831	-	70,831	(389,741)	
Fund balances, beginning of year	704,667			132,484		837,151	2,414,166	
Fund balances, end of year \$	704,667	-	<u> </u>	203,315		907,982	2,024,425	

Exhibit I-1

CITY OF NOME, ALASKA

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Year Ended June 30, 2024

Federal Agency/Program Title	Pass Through/ Grant <u>Number</u>	Federal Assistance Listing <u>Number</u>	Total Grant <u>Award</u>	Passed through to Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of the Interior: Passed through the State of Alaska, Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development -					
Payment in lieu of taxes	None	15.226	\$542,616_	-	542,616
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Direct:					
General Research and Technology Activity	RP-22-AK-004	14.506	600,000	126,952	126,952
U.S. Department of Justice: Passed through State of Alaska, Department of Public Safety - SART	None	16.588	3,627		3,627
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: Passed through State of Alaska, Department of Health - COVID-19 Healthy and Equitable Communities COVID-19 Healthy and Equitable Communities Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	C062-584-Q C062-584-Q	93.391 93.268	58,415 44,902 103,317	<u>-</u> -	58,415 44,902 103,317
U.S. Department of the Treasury - Passed through State of Alaska, Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development - COVID-19 Coronavirus Local Fiscal Recovery Fund U.S. Department of Homeland Security -	AK0090	21.027	1,700,834	-	31,761
Passed through the State of Alaska, Department of Military and Veterans Affairs: Belmont Beach House City of Nome Street Signs Fire Response City of Nome Emergency Protective Measures Cape Nome Jetty Causeway Rd and 3 Docks Inner Harbor Total ALN 97.036	DR-4672-AK PW 0008 DR-4672-AK PW 0009 DR-4672-AK PW 0087 DR-4672-AK PW 0091 DR-4672-AK PW 0005 DR-4672-AK PW 0057 DR-4672-AK PW 0078	97.036 97.036 97.036 97.036 97.036 97.036	4,111 3,250 15,982 32,965 317,363 954,675 386,250 1,714,596	- - - - - - -	4,111 3,250 15,982 32,965 227,425 72,801 8,811 365,345
Passed through the State of Alaska, Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development - Community Assistance Program - State Support Services Element (CAP-SSSE)	EMS-20222-CA-00009-S01	97.023	1,983	-	1,983
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security U.S. Department of Museum and Library Services - Passed through the State of Alaska, Department of			1,716,579		367,328
Education and Early Development - Continuing Education Grant	LS-249948-OLS-21	45.310	1,250		1,250
Total expenditures of federal awards			\$ 4,668,223	126,952	1,176,851
Reconciliation from Federal Financial Assistance to Federal sources revenues, Exhibit D-1: Above expenditures, per schedule Less: Federal Capital Contributions, Port Facility Enterprise Fund Total Federal sources revenue Exhibit D-1				\$	1,176,851 (309,037) 867,814

See accompanying notes to the schedule.

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Year Ended June 30, 2024

Note 1. General

The accompanying Schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the "Schedule") includes the federal award activity of the City under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2024. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of *Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance).* Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the basic financial statements of the City.

Note 2. Basis of Accounting

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Negative amounts shown on the Schedule represent adjustments or credits made in the normal course of business to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years. The City has elected not to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

Note 3. Reporting Entity

The City, for purpose of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, includes all the funds of the primary government as defined by GASB Codification, Section 2100, *The Financial Reporting Entity*. It does not include the component units of the City: The Nome Public Schools and the Nome Joint Utility System. These component units also receive Federal assistance, but separately satisfy the audit requirements of the Uniform Guidance, if applicable.

Note 4. Subrecipients

The following were subrecipients of Federal Awards issued by the City of Nome:

From U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 14.506:

To: Xtreme Habitats Institute \$ 126,952

CITY OF NOME, ALASKA

Schedule of State Financial Assistance

Year Ended June 30, 2024

<u>Grant Title</u>	Pass Through/ Grant <u>Number</u>	Total Grant <u>Award</u>		State Expenditures
Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development: * Arctic Deep Draft Port Design Deep Draft Port State Support Services Element (CAP-SSSE) Total Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development	23-DC-005 23-DC-006 EMS-20222-CA-00009-S01	\$ 150,300,000 25,000,000 661 175,300,661		84,182,145 309,262 661 84,492,068
Department of Military and Veterans Affairs: Belmont Beach House City of Nome Street Signs Cape Nome Jetty Causeway Rd and 3 Docks Inner Harbor Total Department of Military and Veterans Affairs	DR-4672-AK PW 0008 DR-4672-AK PW 0009 DR-4672-AK PW 0005 DR-4672-AK PW 0057 DR-4672-AK PW 0078	1,371 1,083 105,788 318,225 128,750 555,217		1,371 1,083 75,808 24,267 2,937 105,466
Department of Education and Early Development: Debt Reimbursement OWL Internet - Library Public Library Assistance Total Department of Education and Early Development Total State Financial Assistance	None OWL24NOMEE01 PLA-24-NOME	\$ 151,946 2,040 7,000 160,986 176,016,864		151,946 2,040 7,000 160,986 84,758,520
Reconciliation from State Financial Assistance to State of Alaska revenues, Exhibit D-1:				
Above expenditures, per schedule			\$	84,758,520
Add: Community revenue sharing				119,824
Add: General Fund PERS on behalf funding				139,692
Add: Motor Vehicle Tax				35,491
Less: State of Alaska Capital Contributions, Port Facility Enterprise Fu	ınd		_	(84,594,419)
Total State of Alaska revenue Exhibit D-1			\$	459,108

See accompanying notes to the schedule

Notes to Schedule of State Financial Assistance

Year Ended June 30, 2024

Note 1. General

The accompanying Schedule of State Financial Assistance (the "Schedule") includes the state award activity of the City under programs of the State of Alaska for the year ended June 30, 2024. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirement of the *State of Alaska Audit Guide and Compliance Supplement for State Single Audits*. Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the basic financial statements of the City.

Note 2. Basis of Accounting

The accompanying Schedule of State Financial Assistance is presented using the modified-accrual basis of accounting, which is described in note 1 to the City's basic financial statements.

Note 3. Reporting Entity

The City, for purposes of the Schedule of State Financial Assistance, includes all the funds of the primary government as defined by GASB Codification, Section 2100, *The Financial Reporting Entity*. It does not include the component units of the City: the Nome Public Schools and the Nome Joint Utility System. These component units also receive state financial assistance, but separately satisfy the audit requirements of the *State of Alaska Audit Guide and Compliance Supplement for State Single Audits*, if applicable.

Note 4. Subrecipients

No State funds were passed through to subrecipients.

Note 5. Major Programs

* Denotes a major program for compliance audit purposes.

COMPLIANCE SECTION



Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

Independent Auditor's Report

Members of the City Council City of Nome, Alaska Nome, Alaska

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the Nome Public Schools discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Nome, Alaska, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2024 and the related notes to the financial statements which collectively comprise the City of Nome, Alaska's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 31, 2025. The financial statements of the Nome Joint Utility System have not been audited, and we were not engaged to audit the Nome Joint Utility System financial statements as part of our audit of the City of Nome, Alaska's basic financial statements and our report disclaims an opinion on the aggregate discretely presented component units.

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered City of Nome, Alaska's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of City of Nome, Alaska's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City of Nome, Alaska's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements, on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

Altman, Rogers & Co.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether City of Nome, Alaska's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Anchorage, Alaska

March 31, 2025



Report on Compliance For Each Major Federal Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the *Uniform Guidance*

Independent Auditor's Report

Members of the City Council City of Nome, Alaska Nome, Alaska

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Nome, Alaska's compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to an audit in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of City of Nome, Alaska's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2024. City of Nome, Alaska's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Federal Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

City of Nome, Alaska's basic financial statements include the operations of Nome Public Schools and Nome Joint Utility System, component units, which expended \$2,673,911 and \$0, respectively, in federal awards which are not included in City of Nome, Alaska's Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the year ended June 30, 2024. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of Nome Public Schools because the organizational unit was subjected to a separate audit performed in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, and Nome Joint Utility System because the organizational unit engaged other auditors to perform an audit.

In our opinion, City of Nome, Alaska complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2024.

Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of City of Nome, Alaska and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of City of Nome, Alaska's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to City of Nome, Alaska's federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on City of Nome, Alaska's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about City of Nome, Alaska's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design
 and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a
 test basis, evidence regarding City of Nome, Alaska's compliance with the compliance
 requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered
 necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of City of Nome, Alaska's internal control over compliance relevant to the
 audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and
 report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for
 the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of City of Nome, Alaska's internal
 control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Anchorage, Alaska

Altman, Rogers & Co.

March 31, 2025

Federal Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year Ended June 30, 2024

Section I – Summary of Auditor's Results

<u>Financial Statements</u>		
Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial		
statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP:		
Opinion Unit	Type of Opinion	
Governmental Activities	Unmodified	
Business-type Activities	Unmodified	
Aggregate Discretely Presented Component Units	Disclaimer	
General Fund	Unmodified	
Port Facility Enterprise Fund	Unmodified	
Aggregate Remaining Fund Information	Unmodified	
ls a going concern emphasis-of-matter paragraph		
included in the audit report?	Yes <u>X</u> No	
Internal control over financial reporting:		
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes <u>X</u> No	
Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	Yes <u>X</u> None reporte	be
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	Yes <u>X</u> No	
Federal Awards		
Internal control over major programs (2 CFR 200.516 (a)(1)):		
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes <u>X</u> No	
Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	Yes X None reported	bs
Any material noncompliance with provisions of laws,		
regulations, contracts, or grant agreements related		
to a major program (2 CFR 200.516 (a)(2))?	Yes <u>X</u> No	
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance		
for major programs:	Unmodified	
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to		
be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance,		
2 CFR 200.516(a)(3) or (4)?	Yes <u>X</u> No	

CITY OF NOME, ALASKA

Federal Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued

Identification of major programs:	
ALN Number(s)/Cluster 15.226	Name of Federal Program or Cluster Payment in Lieu of Taxes
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:	\$ <u>750,000</u>
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	Yes <u>X</u> No

Section II – Financial Statement Findings

The City of Nome, Alaska did not have any findings that related to the financial statements.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

The City of Nome, Alaska did not have any findings that related to Federal Awards.



Report on Compliance for Each Major State Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by the State of Alaska Audit Guide and Compliance Supplement for State Single Audits

Independent Auditor's Report

Members of the City Council City of Nome, Alaska Nome, Alaska

Report on Compliance for Each Major State Program

Opinion on Each Major State Program

We have audited City of Nome, Alaska's compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the State of Alaska Audit Guide and Compliance Supplements that could have a direct and material effect on each of City of Nome, Alaska's major state programs for the year ended June 30, 2024. City of Nome, Alaska's major state programs are identified on the accompanying Schedule of State Financial Assistance.

The City of Nome, Alaska's basic financial statements include the operations of Nome Public Schools and Nome Joint Utility System, component units, which expended \$10,080,245 and \$0, respectively in state awards which are not included in City of Nome, Alaska's Schedule of State Financial Assistance for the year ended June 30, 2024. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of Nome Public Schools because the organizational unit was subjected to a separate audit performed in accordance with the State of Alaska Audit Guide and Compliance Supplements and the Nome Joint Utility System because the organizational unit engaged other auditors to perform the audit.

In our opinion, City of Nome, Alaska complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major state programs for the year ended June 30, 2024.

Basis for Opinion on Each Major State Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the audit requirements in the State of Alaska Audit Guide. Our responsibilities under those standards and the State of Alaska Audit Guide are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of City of Nome, Alaska and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major state program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of City of Nome, Alaska's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to City of Nome, Alaska's state programs.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on City of Nome, Alaska's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the State of Alaska Audit Guide will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about City of Nome, Alaska's compliance with the requirements of each major state program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the State of Alaska Audit Guide, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and
 design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include
 examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding City of Nome, Alaska's compliance with the
 compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we
 considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of City of Nome, Alaska's internal control over compliance relevant to
 the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to
 test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the State of Alaska Audit
 Guide, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of City of Nome,
 Alaska's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the State of Alaska Audit Guide. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Anchorage, Alaska March 31, 2025

Detman, Kozers & Co.

State Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year Ended June 30, 2024

Section I – Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements

Opinion Unit Type of Opinion Governmental Activities Unmodified Business-type Activities Unmodified Aggregate Discretely Presented Component Units Disclaimer General Fund Unmodified Port Facility Enterprise Fund Unmodified Aggregate Remaining Fund Information Unmodified Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No nor reporter Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Yes X No State Financial Assistance Internal control over major programs: Yes X No Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes X No Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$ 2,542,755 Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes X No	Type of auditor's report issued:		
Governmental Activities Business-type Activities Aggregate Discretely Presented Component Units General Fund Port Facility Enterprise Fund Aggregate Remaining Fund Information Unmodified Aggregate Remaining Fund Information Unmodified Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? State Financial Assistance Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$\frac{\text{Unmodified}}{\text{2.542.755}}\$ Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? \text{Ves} \times \text{No} \text{No} \text{No} \text{Ves} \times \text{No} \text{No} \text{No} \text{Ves} \text{No} \text{No} \text{No} \text{Ves} \text{No} \text{None reporter} \text{Ves} \text{No} \text{None reporter} \text{Ves} \text{No} \text{None reporter} \text{Ves} \text{No} \text{None reporter} \text{Ves} \text{No} \text{No} \text{No} \text{Ves} \text{No} \text{No}	Opinion Unit	Type of Opinion	
Aggregate Discretely Presented Component Units General Fund Port Facility Enterprise Fund Aggregate Remaining Fund Information Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Yes X No State Financial Assistance Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$ 2,542,755 Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes X No			
General Fund Port Facility Enterprise Fund Aggregate Remaining Fund Information Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes X No Yes X No Yes X None reporter **2,542,755** **Yes X No	Business-type Activities	Unmodified	
Port Facility Enterprise Fund Aggregate Remaining Fund Information Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Yes X No State Financial Assistance Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes X No Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes X No	Aggregate Discretely Presented Component Units	Disclaimer	
Aggregate Remaining Fund Information Unmodified	General Fund	Unmodified	
Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Yes X No State Financial Assistance Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$\frac{1}{2.542,755}\$ Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes X No Yes X No None reporter Yes X No None reporter Yes X No Yes X No	Port Facility Enterprise Fund	Unmodified	
Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Yes X No State Financial Assistance Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$\frac{\text{Ves} \text{X}}{\text{No}}\$ No \text{No} \text{Ves} \text{X} \text{No} \text{None reporter} \text{Unmodified} \text{Ves} \text{X} \text{No} \text{None reporter} \text{Ves} \text{X} \text{No} \text{None reporter} \text{Ves} \text{X} \text{No} \text{None reporter} \text{Ves} \text{X} \text{No} \text{No vertical statements noted?} \text{Ves} \text{X} \text{No} \text{No} \text{Ves} \text{X} \text{No} \text{No} \text{Ves} \text{X} \text{No} \text{No} \text{Ves} \text{X} \text{No}	Aggregate Remaining Fund Information	Unmodified	
Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Yes X No State Financial Assistance Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$\frac{\text{Unmodified}}{\text{2,542,755}}\$ Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes X No None reporter Yes X No	Internal control over financial reporting:		
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? State Financial Assistance Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$\frac{\text{Unmodified}}{\text{2,542,755}}\$ Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes \(\text{X} \) No	Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes <u>X</u> No	
State Financial Assistance Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$\frac{Unmodified}{2.542.755}\$ Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? \$\frac{X}{2.542.755}\$ Yes \$\frac{X}{2.542.755}\$ No	Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	Yes X None re	oorted
Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$\frac{Unmodified}{\frac{2}{542,755}}\$ Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? \$\frac{1}{5} \frac{2}{5} \frac{1}{5} \frac	Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	Yes <u>X</u> No	
Material weakness(es) identified? YesX No Significant deficiency(ies) identified? YesX None reporter. Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:	State Financial Assistance		
Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes X None reporter Unmodified Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes X None reporter Yes X None Yes X None reporter Yes X None repor	Internal control over major programs:		
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$\frac{2,542,755}{}\$\$ Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes \(\frac{X}{} \) No	Material weakness(es) identified?		
for major programs: Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$\frac{\text{Unmodified}}{\text{2,542,755}}\$ Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes \text{X} No	Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	Yes X None re	oorted
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$\frac{2,542,755}{}\$ Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes \(\frac{X}{} \) No	Type of auditor's report issued on compliance		
between Type A and Type B programs: \$\frac{2,542,755}{}\$ Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? \$\frac{2}{5}\$ Yes \$\frac{X}{2}\$ No	for major programs:	<u>Unmodified</u>	
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes _X_ No	Dollar threshold used to distinguish		
	between Type A and Type B programs:	\$ <u>2,542,755</u>	
Section II – Financial Statement Findings	Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	Yes <u>X</u> No	
	Section II – Financial Statement Findings		

The City of Nome, Alaska did not have any findings that related to the financial statements.

Section III - State Award Findings and Questioned Costs

The City of Nome, Alaska did not have any findings that related to state awards.