Posted: 6/16/2023



Town of New Castle

450 W. Main Street PO Box 90 New Castle, CO 81647 **Administration Department**

Phone: (970) 984-2311 **Fax:** (970) 984-2716 www.newcastlecolorado.org

Agenda

New Castle Historic Preservation Commission Regular Meeting

Monday, June 19, 2023, 6:30 PM

Full packets of HPC meetings are available online by visiting https://www.newcastlecolorado.org/meetings or by scanning the **QR code** below.

Virtual Meetings are subject to internet and technical capabilities.

To join by computer, smart phone or tablet click HERE https://us02web.zoom.us/j/7096588400

Meeting ID: 709 658 8400

If you prefer to telephone in, please call: 1-346-248-7799

Be sure to set your phone to mute until called on.



Call to Order

Pledge of Allegiance

Roll Call

Meeting Notice

Conflicts of Interest

Citizen Comments on Items NOT on the Agenda

-Comments are limited to three minutes-

Agenda Changes

Items For Consideration

- **A.** Discussion of Historic District of Main Street
- **B.** Commission Report of Museum Electric Improvements
- **C.** Consider Approval of May 15, 2023 Minutes

Commissioner Comments

Adjourn



Town of New Castle

450 W. Main Street PO Box 90 New Castle, CO 81647

Administration Department

Phone: (970) 984-2311 **Fax:** (970) 984-2716

www.newcastlecolorado.org

Memorandum

To: Historic Preservation Commission

From: Remi Bordelon

Re: Discussion of Historic District of Main Street

Date: June 19, 2023

Purpose:

The purpose of this agenda item is for the commission to review the process of code changes and historic designations for future targets. The commission has expressed interest in pursuing a historic district of Main Street. The process would be as follows:

Commission agrees on designation type. (District)

Commission composes outreach letter to all property owners for scope of interest. Mail Out.

Commission reviews resolution & motions to approve for Council's consideration.

HPC repesentative meets with Council for their consideration. If approved...

Code will change. (Designation process for a district)

New Castle, Colorado Historic Preservation Commission Meeting Monday, May 15, 2023, 6:30 PM

Call to Order

Commission Chair Mari Riddile called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

Pledge of Allegiance

.

Roll Call

Present Chair Mari Riddile

Commissioner Richard Parks
Commissioner Ruth Fletcher
Commissioner Richard Fletcher
Alternate Commissioner Tom Fuller
Commissioner Brandy Copeland

Also present at the meeting was History Colorado Preservation Planner Lindsey Flewelling and Deputy Town Clerk Remi Bordelon.

Meeting Notice

Absent

Deputy Clerk Bordelon verified that her office gave notice of the meeting in accordance with Resolution TC 2020-1.

Conflicts of Interest

There were no conflicts of interest.

Citizen Comments on Items NOT on the AgendaThere were no citizen comments.

Agenda Changes

Deputy Clerk Bordelon asked the commission to consider removing Item D from the agenda due to the absence of Commissioner Copeland. Chair Riddile made a motion to strike Item D from the agenda. Commissioner Ruth Fletcher seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Items for Consideration

Commission Discussion of Historic District Designation

Chair Riddile shared with History Colorado Preservation Planner Lindsey Flewelling the commission's interest in pursuing a historic district. Preservation Planner Flewelling said a district includes more properties with a common theme of their time era than individual designations. She referred to the Main Street survey that reported 12 properties were eligible for individual designations whereas a district designation included 16 properties. She said all properties that contributed to a historic district designation would be eligible for state commercial and residential tax credit incentives. Since New Castle was in the category of 'rural community' the tax credits could be up to 35%. Preservation Planner Flewelling clarified that contributing properties of a historic district designation receive the same incentives as an individual designation.

Preservation Planner Flewelling shared that the national registry requires 50% of property owners cannot object to the designation for it to be approved. She spoke of the difference

between actively opposing versus requiring consent from all property owners. She told the commission that the current code for New Castle requires 100% consent from property owners for all designations including district designations. Commissioner Ruth Fletcher asked if the town could designate with only 50% actively opposed from property owners. Chair Riddile clarified that the commission would need to request a code change from Council to adopt a 50% actively opposed designation approval process.

Deputy Clerk Bordelon asked Preservation Planner Flewelling if contributing properties of a district would be required to go through the process of a design review with the commission, similar to an individual designation. Preservation Planner Flewelling confirmed that any contributing property had to meet the same requirements as an individual designation including a design review prior to any external modifications. Properties that are non-contributing (do not meet the standards for historic designation) do not receive tax credit incentives and are not required to complete a design review with the commission. Deputy Clerk Bordelon asked what the process would be if the commission wanted to expand a district boundary in the future. Preservation Planner Flewelling said the commission would amend the district the same way it was created with the designation process outlined in the town's code. She said if the two areas are different in their timelines, then they could be separate districts designated with different timelines.

Commissioner Ruth Fletcher asked if there was any reason not to designate a district. Preservation Planner Flewelling remarked that it depends on the community and how much interest there would be to do a district designation. She said designating a district creates an easy way for many property owners to gain incentives at the same time. Commissioner Parks asked if the best course of action would be to send out a poll to gauge community interest. Preservation Planner Flewelling confirmed that would be a good direction. She suggested the commission use the finalized survey as an outreach tool to get property owners excited about the history of their properties and promoted a historic district. She said the outreach for a historic district would need to be sent to all properties within the district boundary, not just contributing properties. Commissioner Parks asked if there was any benefit for a property owner to also be designated individually if they were in a historic district and Preservation Planner Flewelling said there were no additional incentives.

Deputy Clerk Bordelon asked Preservation Planner Flewelling what the process would be if a property owner who had been designated requested to void such designation. Her response was that the property owner would have to meet with the commission and go through the same process as getting designated. She said the commission would evaluate the property's historical significance, which may not change much over time unless with modifications. She noted that a property owner cannot simply pull out of a designation once approved.

Consider Approval of Historic Preservation Commission Code Change

Chair Riddile proposed the commission consider requesting a code change from Council regarding the requirement of a historic district needing 100% consent from all property owners to approve the designation. Chair Riddile suggested the code instead require 50% of actively opposed property owners to deny a historic district consideration. The commission unanimously agreed to request a code change from Council regarding historic district requirements. Deputy Clerk Bordelon clarified that the commission will need a resolution to request approval from Council, and a representative of the commission would present that in a Council meeting. Deputy Clerk Bordelon said she would ask staff about the process and report back to the commission in the June meeting.

104		
L05		
106		nation Outreach to the 3 Chosen High
107	Priority Evaluated Properties	
108		was not guaranteed. Commissioner Ruth
109	Fletcher said if the district did not pass the	nen the commission could pursue the three
110	identified properties for individual design	ations. Chair Riddile requested that the prepared
111		se specific three property owners would be
112	interested in a historic designation.	or opening and property contract the and the
113	meerested in a motorie designation	
114		
115	Commission Update Regarding Muse	um Flostric Work
		uiii Liectric Work
116	Item D stricken from the agenda.	
117		
118		
119	Commissioner Report of Tax Credit V	
120		issioner Parks attended counted towards the
121	commission's required educational training	ng for the year. Preservation Planner Flewelling
122	confirmed that it was earned credit for th	e commission. Commissioner Parks reviewed the
123	webinar slides with the commission. He	emphasized the importance of property owners
124		completion. He spoke of a property owner's
125	ability to sell their tax credits.	and the special of the property of the special of t
126	ability to bell their tax erealts	
127		
128	Consider Approval of April 17, 2023	Minutos
129		n to approve the minutes of April 17, 2023.
130	Commissioner Ruth Fletcher Seconde	ed the motion and it passed unanimously.
131		
132		
133	Commissioner Comments	
134	There were no commissioner comments.	
135		
136		
137	Adjourn	
138	MOTION: Commissioner Parks made	a motion to adjourn. Commissioner Ruth
139	Fletcher seconded the motion and it	passed unanimously.
140		•
141	The meeting adjourned at 7:12 p.m.	
142	me meeting adjourned at 7112 pmm	
143		
L43 L44	Respectfully submitted,	
	Respectivity submitted,	
145		
L46		
L47		
148		
L49		
L50	Commission Chair	Deputy Town Clerk
151	Mari Riddile	Remi Bordelon