



OFFICIAL MINUTES

Town Council Regular Meeting I 5:30 PM

Wednesday, March 19, 2025

Town Hall / Council Chambers - 302 Pine St Minturn, CO

The agenda is subject to change, including the addition of items 24 hours in advance or the deletion of items at any time. The order of agenda items listed are approximate. This agenda and meetings can be viewed at www.minturn.org.

MEETING ACCESS INFORMATION AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION:

This will be an in-person meeting with access for the public to attend in person or via the Zoom link included. Zoom Link: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81536434074>

Zoom Call-In Information: 1 651 372 8299 or 1 301 715 8592 **Webinar ID:** 815 3643 4074

Please note: All virtual participants are muted. In order to be called upon an unmuted, you will need to use the “raise hand” feature in the Zoom platform. When it’s your turn to speak, the moderator will unmute your line and you will have five (5) minutes for public comment.

Public Comments: If you are unable to attend, public comments regarding any items on the agenda can be submitted to Jay Brunvand, Town Clerk, prior to the meeting and will be included as part of the record.

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Earle B. called the meeting to order at 5:30pm.

2. ROLL CALL AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Council present: Mayor Earle Bidez, Mayor Pro Tem Eric Gotthelf, Town Council members Lynn Feiger, Gusty Kanakis, Kate Schifani, and Brian Rodine. Note: Lynn Feiger and Kate Schifani arrived at the meeting at 5:32pm. Tom Priest was excused absent.

Staff present: Town Attorney Michael Sawyer, Town Planner Scot Hunn, Town Clerk Jay Brunvand, and Deputy Clerk Cindy Krieg (zoom).

3. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

Consent agenda items are routine Town business, items that have received clear direction previously from the council, final land-use file documents after the public hearing has been closed, or which do not require council deliberation.

- A. 03-05-2025 Minutes
- B. Liquor License - Report of Changes, Eagle River Distilling
- C. Resolution 12 - Series 2025 an Inter-Governmental Agreement for a Regional Planning Commission for Transportation Planning (Intermountain Region)

Motion by Gusty K, second by Eric G, to approve the Consent Agenda of March 19, 2025 as presented. Motion passed 4-0. Note: Tom P. was absent. Lynn F. and Kate S. joined the meeting at 5:32pm.

4. APPROVAL OF REGULAR AGENDA

Opportunity for amendment or deletions to the agenda.

Motion by Gusty K, second by Eric G, to approve the Regular Agenda of March 19, 2025 as presented. Motion passed 6-0. Note: Tom P. was absent.

5. DECLARATION OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

6. PUBLIC COMMENT

Citizens are invited to comment on any item on the Consent Agenda, or not on the regular Agenda subject to a public hearing. Please limit your comments to five (5) minutes per person unless arrangements have been made for a presentation with the Town Clerk. Those who are speaking are requested to state their name and address for the record.

Mr. Rob Gosiewski, 560 Taylor St: expressed concern regarding the Taylor St. Paving discussion from the last council meeting. He stated that Council disregarded most of the input from residents that attended the Open House and gave their suggestions / shared their concerns. The major items that he felt warranted further consideration are where to end the paving (at the Town ROW vs. paving all the way up for safety and access reasons), as well as the drainage considerations.

Mr. Devin Duval (District Wildlife Manager with CPW) spoke, to offer a brief update on the findings from the death of the bull moose in Minturn recently. Based on preliminary tests, it sounds like the moose had a parasitic worm, was malnourished, and also had significant abdominal inflammation (which may have been caused by eating inappropriate food sources). The moose was estimated to be approximately a year and a half old.

7. COUNCIL COMMENTS & COMMITTEE REPORTS

Earle B. spoke regarding the new routes being proposed by Core Transit. There are additional routes being proposed for both Minturn and Red Cliff. They are currently in the public input phase, and residents can go to the Core Transit website to share their input.

Earle B. also brought up the current status of the recruiting process for the Town Manager position. KRW Associates, who is handling the recruitment process, has received 28 applications. They will share this information with their rankings (based on qualifications) with Council, and then from there Council will narrow this down to 8 – 10 for further consideration / initial interviews. Council will be scheduling a 4pm special session (work session) for March 26th for this next step / narrowing process. The next steps following this will involve KRW conducting interview and further narrowing down the candidate pool to 3 – 5 finalists. Once we get to that point, finalists will be invited to Minturn for panel interviews with council and staff, a Town tour, and a public meet and greet with residents. Exact dates for interviews TBD but likely the end of April / beginning of May (possibly 4/30 – 5/1 or 5/1 – 5/2).

8. STAFF REPORTS

A. Managers Report - Jay Brunvand

MuniBilling Utility Billing System

This continues but is going quite well considering the massive changes. Please let me know if you have constituents that have questions or if you have any questions.

Minturn Education Fund

The Minturn Education Fund Scholarship applications were due by end of business on March 14, 2025! As of this writing we have received eight applications and I know of one that should be coming in. Early the week of 3/17 I will get the applications to the Scholarship Board Secretary who will review each one for completeness. I am looking at scholarship interviews in a couple weeks and awards thereafter.

Town Manager Search

We are pressing down the final stretch of this phase of the search. I anticipate an update from KRW for the April 2 council packet with next steps. The close date for applications is Friday March 14.

Holy Cross Electric

I met with HCE regarding the Avon to Gilman high power transmission line project that has been under study for the past several years. The current proposal was agreed to by HCE about a year ago and has been the foundation of the NEPA hearings. The difference from prior iterations is an additional mile or so of undergrounding from the Vail Boneyard to the USFS office and they eliminated the HWY 24 overhead crossing by undergrounding it. They have been talking with the USFS regarding the NEPA review and the FS would like to extend the public process a little. Although HCE would like to meet with Council, they need to hold off until some of these matters have played out. They noted a tentative meeting with Council would be late summer or Fall of this year.

Water Treatment Plant

Although this project is on Katie's radar, I am involved as the Town Treasurer. We are looking at several meetings in the coming week or so to try to get a handle on a VERY expensive project while still trying to maintain our current timeline. My concerns continue that the time line is too tight in light of the mass of the project, the uncertainty of our Federal Government's financial commitments, and the Fed's efforts to exacerbate already high costs.

Council questions / comments regarding Managers Report:

Earle B. mentioned the HCE transmission line and noted that HCE had previously proposed a compromise regarding burial of the line and had offered to bury the line from the south end of Town at approximately the Boneyard Open Space north to Dowd Junction (the line would surface above ground at those points). He noted that Council had been in general agreement at the time with that proposal. This was discussed back in October 2022 and Council had done an informal poll at that time.

Other Council members noted that they did not recall voting on this, and it was clarified by Jay B. and Mike S. that it had been a discussion over time in the past, but no application had been presented yet. This project would be subject to the 1041 Regulations and would require a formal application to come before both Planning Commission and Town Council.

Gusty K. brought up concerns about having exposed lines near the gun range and felt that was previously brought up as well.

Lynn F. mentioned that recently it was announced that the Eaton fire in CA was likely started by a transmission line. Very important that the length of the burial of the line be as safe as possible. She recalled that it had been discussed that burying the line to Maloit Park Road would be a better compromise.

Earle B. recalled that some members of Council at the time felt that running the line along the top of the ridge on the East side would be more dangerous than what was being proposed. He also noted that they are just now at the end of the NEPA process and that more meetings would follow soon.

Jay B. noted that USFS had asked HCE to extend the NEPA process to allow more time for public input. They would be proposing to meet with Council in late summer / early fall.

B. Managers Report - Katie Sickles

I will be working remote all next week and will be back in Minturn the afternoon of March 31.

A. Rails to Trails: The City/County of Montrose are using a rail bed for a trail. I have a colleague that worked on that project many years ago. She could not give many specifics but directed me to the Rails to Trails website. Has the Town of Minturn explored railbanking? Although there are several publicly available videos and resources it may be worth joining and bringing other interested local governments or entities on board.

B. Bellm Bridge and Quiet Title: I am matching an assortment of projects together. Yowza Minturn!

C. Water Plant Development, Resources and Operations: There are several elements of the water plant development that I am piecing together. I thought I would attach the one page 30% cost summary. The document details are an additional 19 pages. If any are interested, please contact me.

Katie added that there was a meeting today (3/19) regarding quality value engineering and that additional meetings will be necessary.

D. Little Beach Park Playground: There are sentimental items at Little Beach Park that I would like to protect. In addition, the playground equipment could be of value. In order to dispose, per state statute, the town must dispose via a competitive bid process. An advertisement has been created to request a bid for the used playground equipment before it is marked for demolition.

E. Revitalizing Main Street – Phase II Sidewalks: A preferred contractor submitted a bid under review by the Engineers, however the bid is significantly higher than our budget (by \$325K). We do not have the ability to reduce the project, we can only re-bid or cancel the project altogether (which could risk losing the funding altogether). This will be on an upcoming agenda (likely the next meeting) to determine next steps / how Council would like to move forward.

Council questions regarding managers report:

Brian R. asked for clarification on the Bellm Bridge project - regarding repurposing the Delores Bridge – Wanted to clarify that if we use the bridge, that we would be doing this just to save cost, correct? Not to repurpose a historic bridge at a higher cost?

Earle B. confirmed that's correct. He also confirmed that it doesn't fit.

Regarding the sidewalks, Lynn F. thought that the project could be scaled back, but Katie S. clarified that once you've put the project out to bid you cannot scale the project back. You can re-engineer and re-bid the project, but that means starting over and would still require CDOT approval.

9. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

Presentations are limited to 5 minutes. Invited presentations are limited to 10 minutes if prior arrangements are made with the Town Clerk.

10. BUSINESS ITEMS

Items and/or Public Hearings listed under Business Items may be old or new and may require review or action by the Council.

A. Resolution 11 - Series 2025 Little Beach Park Improvements / Grant Application and Funding Allocation

Council is asked to approve Resolution 11- Series 2025 approving allocation of funds for Little Beach Park improvements, and additional grant seeking opportunities.

Following the recent creation (through community input and contracting with Zehren and Associates) of the Little Beach Park Recreation Area Plan, the Town is following through on next steps for the Little Beach Park Enhancement Project.

The project will enable people of all ages and abilities to access and enjoy the park's wide variety of offerings, enhance the overall user experience, and create greater synergy between uses.

The Town of Minturn has received \$147,000 in funding via a DOLA grant for phase 1 of the planned Little Beach Park improvements, and continues to seek additional funding for phases 2 and 3.

Phase 1 will consist of stabilizing the existing failing retaining wall on the north end of the park, removal of the playground, and reconstructing the interior access road all the way to the stage / amphitheater area. Additionally, the vault bathroom will be removed and accessible parking will be slated for that area. The DOLA grant is for \$147,000, with \$153,000 being matched by the Town. The Town matching funds are slated to come from the Battle Mountain Funding that was allocated for use of Little Beach Park improvements. To maintain transparency, staff are updating both Town Council and the public that this is the planned use for those funds.

Phase 2 will consist of playground replacement with a new playground (as well as new picnic tables / patio area) in the spring of 2026. The Town is seeking a T-Mobile Hometown Grant (<https://www.t-mobile.com/brand/hometown-grants>) to help offset these costs. If awarded, the T-Mobile grant would fund \$50,000 toward this project with no required match. The remaining cost would be covered by the Town also from the Little Beach Park Battle Mountain Funding. It should be noted that the current equipment does not meet current safety and insurance guidelines.

Phase 3 (date TBD) would consist of enhancements on the south end of the park / amphitheater. Planned improvements to that area include extending both the stage and the lawn seating area, regrading the access path (to make it both ADA accessible with a new ramp, and more pedestrian and bike friendly in general, create a concessions area repurposing the old Myers Barn, and ideally also some new restroom facilities.

There is a planned replacement of the existing basketball court with a new multi-use court.

The \$482,017 overall budget for the project includes:

- \$147,000 to stabilize the existing timber retaining wall
- \$64,392 to reconstruct the interior access road
- \$18,000 for demolition of existing bathrooms
- \$29,000 for a new multi-use court
- \$178,000 for updating and replacing the playground
- \$45,625 for one new seating area

Motion by Gusty K, second by Kate S, to approve Resolution 11 - Series 2025, A Resolution to Approve the Grant Application for the T-Mobile Hometown Grant Program, and for Allocation of Battle Mountain Funding Toward the Little Beach Park Enhancement Project as presented. Motion passed 6-0. Tom P. was absent.

B. Resolution 10 - Series 2025 A Resolution Appointing Planning Commission Members – Hunn

Council is asked to approve Resolution 10 - Series 2025 appointing three Planning Commission Members to the Minturn Planning Commission.

Staff has advertised the commission member openings and received three applications, all of whom are qualified to serve. The three applicants are already serving on the board. Council is asked to appoint three applicants to three regular member seats.

Applicants (in order of Receipt):

- Darell Wegert - 1718 Main Street
- Lynn Teach - 253 Pine Street
- Amanda Mire - 414 Eagle Street

Members not up for appointment:

- Mr. Jeff Armistead - 1632 Main Street (appointment runs through 3/31/26)
- Mr. Michael Boyd - 504 Eagle Street (appointment runs through 3/31/26)
- Mr. Eric Rippeth - 1951 US Hwy 24 - (appointment runs through 3/31/26)

Motion by Eric G, second by Kate S, to approve Resolution 10 - Series 2025 A Resolution Appointing Planning Commission Members Darrell Wegert, Lynn Teach, and Amanda Mire as presented. Motion passed 6-0. Tom P. was absent.

C. Ord 04-2025 (Second Reading) an Ordinance Codifying the Water Moratorium

NOTE: Exhibit A includes changes as discussed and directed from first reading. The first reading had provisions in it that enacted the moratorium into the code in 2 ways:

- Establishes the requirement of a Letter of Service from the Town before undertaking any land use activities
- Section 13-210 (c) codifies that a Letter of Service can only be granted for the historic number of SFEs of water service on a property or collections of adjoining properties of same ownership + 1. The + 1 is important because there a few parcels in town that have no water service.
- The updated version also provides a more refined definition of SFEs. Mike S. reviewed the definition. The previous definition had some ambiguity regarding the number of units allowed on a lot.
- Mike S. also reviewed the tap fees and other associated fees.

Gusty K. asked for clarification of commercial service of 1.5 SFEs in Section 13-210 (c), and whether this was new. Jay B. responded that this is not new, commercial taps are based on line size so they have a different metric. It was already 1.5 for commercial SFEs.

Public Hearing opened

Spence Neubauer, 161 Main St:

Asked for clarification regarding historic use + 1. In regard to their contiguous lot with CO Mattress. If Minturn Whisky Co. wanted to add a tap / take the + 1, that would leave zero for the remaining lot. CO Mattress has never had water service. As Mike S. noted earlier, having lots in Town with zero water available, could create a legal issue.

Mike S. explained the reasoning behind the way the ordinance is structured, so that we don't have multi-owner lots expecting that those lots are all entitled to 1 + 1, so twice as much water use. We don't have that much water available for use.

Lynn F. expressed that perhaps this should be looked at, especially with regard to commercial.

Mr. Miguel Jauregui Casanueva, 380 Boulder St. and 381 Main St.

Mr. Casanueva is interested in redeveloping his property. He is interested in xeriscaping and water capture, as well as a heat pump and as many renewable technologies as possible. He noticed that the water consumption needed for his proposed project would require more than one tap, but would use far less water than the average household. It would be great if a project that is conserving water, and using far less water than the average household, if this could be factored in.

Mike S. responded that this ordinance precludes water averaging and taking credits for water conservation. There is current water court litigation that is preventing us from examining how we define SFEs within the Town. Our hope is that when that litigation is over, that we can revisit this and perhaps add future amendments regarding this.

Public Hearing Closed

Motion by Gusty K, second by Lynn F, to approve Ordinance 04 - Series 2025 (Second Reading) An Ordinance Amending Chapter 13, 16, and 17 of the MMC to Incorporate into the Code Existing Restrictions on Connections to the Town's Water System Based on Limitations of Available Legal and Physical Water Supply as presented. Motion passed 6-0. Note: Tom P. was excused absent.

11. DISCUSSION / DIRECTION ITEMS

A. Highlands Parcels Discussion:

This discussion will include presentations by both Eagle County Open Space and Eagle Valley Land Trust, followed by discussion and Q&A.

Background: The Highlands Parcels Nos. 1 & 2 have been acquired by the Town as part of the Settlement Agreement executed in 2024 between the Town and Battle North. Parcels 1 & 2 present a unique opportunity for the Town to achieve certain strategic and community plan policy goals while generating revenue specifically earmarked for the water enterprise fund.

Process to Date: As the first steps in the process to inform the Council's decision on how to best use the parcels, staff completed the following tasks in January and February 2025:

- A webpage providing background information on the Bolts Lake area – specifically the Settlement Agreement and Highlands Parcels 1 & 2 – was created.

- A short survey was conducted between January 13th and January 31st.
- An open house was held Wednesday, January 29th, from 4:30pm-7:30pm at Town Hall.
- Staff presented the results of the survey and open house to the Council at their regular meeting of February 19th and the Council provided direction for staff to invite representatives from the Eagle County Open Space and Natural Resources Department as well as the Eagle Valley Land Trust to join a discussion on March 19th.

Purpose of March 19th Discussion: The purpose of the Council’s ongoing discussion at the March 19th meeting will be to review the Highlands process to date, and to allow subject matter experts from Eagle County and EVLT to briefly walk through the following general topics with Council:

- How each organization approaches and evaluates land conservation projects.
- How funding, tax benefits, and partnerships work.
- The timeframes involved and key issues that affect conservation projects.
- Potential scenarios to achieve the Town’s goals for the Highlands. Following the presentations, staff intend to facilitate a Q&A session with our guests with the goal to better inform future Council decisions on how to move forward with the Highlands Parcels.

The feedback from the public input process indicates that residents are primarily interested in preserving the parcels as open space, and staff has brought in partner organizations to help understand the options and processes involved, so that Council may reach an informed decision regarding how to move forward. This is an informational discussion. We won’t yet be deciding on zoning for the property or have financial estimates.

Representatives from Eagle County Open Space and Eagle Valley Land Trust presented:

Eagle County Open Space:

Peter Kirkman, Ranger and Senior Open Space Specialist, gave a brief overview of the Eagle County Open Space organization, their background and how they operate.

In 2002 voters approved a property tax to fund the acquisition, maintenance, and preservation of open space in Eagle County. Since inception, the Eagle County Open Space Program has acquired or helped to conserve over 15,000 acres of land, directly contributing to the quality of life of our residents.

The Open Space Program is funded by a dedicated 1.5 mill levy tax on property which the residents of Eagle County approved through a [ballot question](#) in November of 2002. [The Open Space Resolution](#) established the program in 2003. The mill levy was [reauthorized in 2018](#) and is scheduled to sunset in 2040.

Lands purchased through the Open Space Program must be located within Eagle County and may be used for passive recreation. Not all [criteria items](#) will be applicable to every review.

ECOS is currently writing an Open Space Plan. 2025 Recommended Criteria Categories include:

- Scenic Landscapes and Vistas
- Regional Heritage, Historic Sites, and Agricultural Land
- Wildlife, Wildlife Habitat and Migration Routes

- Sensitive Lands and Environments
- Physical and Visual Integrity
- Nature-Based Recreation and Access

Since 2002, the Eagle County Open Space program has helped conserve over 15,000 acres of land in Eagle County through fee-title acquisitions, Conservation Easements, and the funding of Conservation Easement transaction costs.

Britt Parker, Conservation Project Manager:

Britt spoke about how the organization vets projects and how they work through the process. When a project is first proposed to ECOS, they work with the landowner on goals and objectives, in order to come up with a strong conservation project that would be attractive to the Open Space Advisory Committee, to the public and to the Eagle County Commissioners. Ms. Parker discussed the Open Space Criteria in more detail, and also brought up surrounding properties as those can impact values and uses. She also discussed the appraisal process. The current appraisal has a hypothetical up-zoning factored in, but the property still needs to be zoned. The per acre estimated value of \$300K is quite different from the standard \$10,000 - \$15,000 per acre value that ECOS feels comfortable with. If the goal is to conserve the property, the up-zoning would not come into play which would dramatically effect the value.

Ms. Parker also talked through the process that they would follow for a conservation project, and potential timeline. She mentioned that the process could easily take a year.

The ECOS Advisory Committee is a recommending body. Final decisions are made by the Board of Eagle County Commissioners.

Questions from Council:

Gusty K. asked whether ECOS feels that this potential project could be a good fit for their program.

Mr. Kirkman noted that he feels that this would align with their goals and objectives.

Further discussion ensued regarding potential values of the land, as well as goals / priorities, including the goal of offsetting some of the cost of the new water treatment plant.

Council Questions:

Brian R. inquired, if the land borders wilderness – are there groups that focus on wilderness protection? Mr. Kirkman held the question for Jessica Foulis with EVLT.

Mike S. inquired, for ECOS funds to be utilized, who can be the end owner of the property? Mr. Kirkman noted that to his knowledge there are no limitations on property ownership as long as the project goes toward the furtherance of their program goals. Mike S. note regarding private party conservation acquisitions, it's been for soft costs (not contributions toward the easement or purchase of the property itself)?

Mr. Kirkman noted that most recently they've mostly only handled transactional cost projects, but in the past they have purchased development rights outright, essentially buying out the value of a conservation easement.

Brian R. brought up contiguous property, and Earle B. noted that the neighboring property (approximately 62 hours) is needing to be remediated.

Jessica Foulis, Eagle Valley Land Trust:

Jessica provided an overview of EVLT and an overview of how conservation easements work. She also shared a power point presentation, which is available upon request. EVLT is a 501(c)3 nonprofit (not a government entity). They are funded primarily through donations, not through a mill levy. EVLT is not part of the County, they though work closely with Eagle County, as well as other nonprofit organizations and land agencies.

Ms. Foulis reviewed the Project Evaluation Criteria and scoring for a Conservation Easement, as well as the defined Conservation Values (as defined in the IRS tax code). Financial incentives (including cash and tax incentives) were reviewed. Ms. Foulis reviewed the required process / steps for a potential project, and gave the Minturn Boneyard example as well as other Eagle County examples including private property ranch examples.

Council Questions / Comments:

Kate S. asked about the discrepancy regarding per acre price in the examples. For example, the Boneyard amount that the Town received vs. the examples in the slides and the \$10,000 - \$15,000 number that was thrown out by ECOS. It was noted that values can vary greatly depending on a number of factors. Ms. Foulis noted that the estimated dollar per acre value (based on the original appraisal) is not super compelling for a straight conservation buyer without a more without a more holistic project. Scot H. confirmed with Ms. Foulis that if a different appraisal was submitted (based on zoning and other factors), that could change the estimated value.

Brian R. noted that the Cottonwood project seemed to be an accelerated timeline. Mr. Kirkman noted that it was a foreclosure and that it was a joint project in which Pitkin County and Aspen Valley Land Trust contributed large funds.

Kate S. noted that she feels we'll need to take on the WTP loans independently of this, and perhaps potential funding from this project could help with future water costs.

Earle B. asked to clarify next steps. Ms. Foulis recommends a work session in which the holdings of Minturn are reviewed in Minturn and can look at a few different scenarios.

Lynn F. proposed scheduling the first work session very soon. Earle B. noted that we may need several, and that this likely can't happen for a couple of months given other things happening currently.

Scot H. noted that we can keep moving forward with work sessions while providing transparency on the website and continuing to engage the public throughout.

Mike S. reiterated the need to move forward with zoning the property. These processes can happen simultaneously, but they are two separate processes. The parcels are not currently zoned (it's in a "holding" zone). Recommends that Council zone the property with regard to the Minturn Code criteria, the Community Plan and proposed use(s). It should be zoned based upon the merits of the land. Might consider a work session to discuss zoning, but will eventually then need to be brought to public hearing.

Brian R. asked if we would have the ability to re-zone the property at a later date is our situation changes. Mike S. responded that we could, but would need to go through the zoning process again.

Mr. Kirkman stated that re-zoning / up-zoning can complicate things dramatically for open space / conservation projects.

Earle B. also brought up the Consolidated Tailings Pile remediation, and how that might tie in.

Devin Duval, CPW:

CPW programs that could possibly help broaden the scope through some different state funding mechanisms:

- Habitat Stamp Program
- Habitat Partnership Program

Ms. Lynn Teach, 253 Pine St., recommends that P&Z be included (at least 1 or 2 members) to attend the work session(s) on this topic.

Direction to Staff :

- Schedule a work session with EVLT to explore scenarios / options for conservation
- Staff to work with legal counsel to begin the zoning process and come back to council with recommendations

12. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS


A. Future Meeting Topics

Scot H. will be proposing a joint work session with Council and P&Z regarding Chapter 16 (prior to public open houses, etc).

Next Wednesday 3/26 – Exec session for initial review of Town Manager candidates

13. ADJOURN

Motion by Eric G, second by Kate S, to adjourn the meeting at 7:52pm. Motion passed 6-0. Tom P. was absent.



Earle Bidez, Mayor

ATTEST:



Jay Brunvand, Town Clerk



INFORMATIONAL ONLY ITEMS

Upcoming Council Meetings:

-- March 26, 2025 – Executive Session for Council to review initial applicants for the Town Manager position

-- April 2, 2025

-- April 16, 2025

Upcoming Special Events:

-- April 4, 2025 - First Friday Spring Picnic at The Barn