

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA

June 10, 2025 at 6:15 PM 303 Mansion Street Mauston, WI

- 1. Call to Order/Roll Call
- 2. Discussion and Action relating to Minutes
 - **a.** May 27, 2025
- 3. Director of Public Works
 - Discussion and Recommendation Regarding Resolution 2025-10 for the DNR Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (CMAR)
 - b. 2024 CMAR Report
- 4. Adjourn

NOTICE:

It is possible that action will be taken on any of the items on the agenda and that the agenda may be discussed in any order. It is also possible that a quorum of other governmental bodies of the municipality may be in attendance at the above-stated meeting to gather information; no action will be taken by any governmental body at the above-stated meeting other than the governmental body specifically referred to above in this notice.

Also, upon reasonable notice, efforts will be made to accommodate the needs of disabled individuals through appropriate aids and services. For additional information or to request this service, contact City Administrator Daron Haugh (608) 747-2704.

Any member of the public wishing to join the meeting telephonically should call City Hall by 4pm the day of the meeting. Staff will be happy to provide instructions on joining the meeting by phone. City Hall main number: 608-847-6676

Section 2, Item a.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MINUTES



May 27, 2025 at 6:10 PM 303 Mansion Street Mauston, WI

- 1. Call to Order/Roll Call: The Public Works Committee was called to order on Tuesday, May 13, 2025, at 6:10 p.m. by member Rick Noe. Attending members included Kayla Thomas, Rick Noe, and Mary Bender. Director of Public Works Rob Nelson, Administrator Daron Haugh, and Municipal Court Clerk Carole Wolff were also present.
- **2. Minutes:** Motion made by Thomas, seconded by Noe, to approve the minutes of May 13, 2025. Motion carried.
- 3. Olympic Builders Pay App # 12: Motion made by Thomas, seconded by Bender, to recommend council approval of Pay App #12 of \$327,220.47. Motion carried.
- **4. Olympic Builders Pay App #13:** Motion made by Noe, seconded by Bender, to recommend council approval of Pay App #13 of \$577,439.45. Motion carried.
- **5. Cemetery Columbarium Pricing:** Motion made by Bender, seconded by Thomas, to recommend council approval of the increased Columbarium pricing. Motion carried.
- 6. Adjourn: Motion made by Noe, seconded by Bender, to adjourn. Motion carried at 6:20 p.m.

Chair	Date

CITY OF MAUSTON RESOLUTION 2025-10 RESOLUTION FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES COMPLIANCE MAINTENANCE ANNUAL REPORT (CMAR)

WHEREAS, the City of Mauston operates a public wastewater treatment plant under the guidelines set forth by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources and the Wisconsin Administrative Code, and

WHEREAS, Chapter NR208 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code refers to form 3400-130, revised 12-92, the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved that the Common Council of the City of Mauston has reviewed the completed Compliance Maintenance Annual Report for its facility and has approved the following:

- 1. To continue upkeep, maintenance, additions, and modifications to the plan, and the sewer system as a whole, to maintain continual compliance with current standards.
- 2. To investigate and implement procedures to comply with new regulations and monitoring standards as they apply to the facility.
- 3. In general, to maintain a safe, functional facility for the good of the City of Mauston and the State of Wisconsin.

Adopte	ed this day of	June 2025		
APPR	OVED			ATTEST
Darryl	Teske, Mayor			Daron J. Haugh, City Administrator/Clerk
ote:	aye	no	abstention	absent

S:\MAIN-CLERK, BOARDS & COMMISSIONS\Ordlnances, Resolutions, Easements, Raze Orders\Resolutions\Templates\TEMPLATE- Council Resolution CMAR.doc

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Section 3, Item b.

2024

Influent Flow and Loading

- 1. Monthly Average Flows and BOD Loadings
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and BOD loadings to your facility.

Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	x	Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L	х	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	0.4132	Х	203	Х	8.34	=	701
February	0.3671	Х	250	Х	8.34	=	765
March	0.3959	Х	276	Х	8.34	=	911
April	0.4997	Х	237	Х	8.34	=	986
May	0.7473	Χ	202	Х	8.34	=	1,257
June	0.7446	Χ	179	Х	8.34	=	1,113
July	1.0347	Х	187	Х	8.34	II	1,609
August	0.6771	Х	233	Х	8.34	II	1,318
September	0.5418	Χ	247	Х	8.34	=	1,115
October	0.5440	Χ	264	Х	8.34	=	1,199
November	0.5988	Х	253	Х	8.34	=	1,265
December	0.5664	Х	248	Х	8.34	=	1,169

- 2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	Х	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	1.5	Х	90	=	1.35
		Х	100	=	1.5
Design BOD, lbs/day	2480	Х	90	=	2232
		Х	100	=	2480

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	Months	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times
	of		flow was greater		BOD was greater
	Influent		than 100% of	than 90% of design	than 100% of design
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0	0	0
Points per ea	ach	2	1	3	2
Exceedances	3	0	0	0	0
Points		0	0	0	0
Total Numb	er of Po	oints			0

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Leporting For **2024**

3. Flow Meter						
3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year?						
• Yes	Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)					
	2025-03-11					
o No						
If No, please expl	ain:					
4. Sewer Use Ordina	ance					
	nunity have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of					
	onal pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from					
-	cial users, hauled waste, or residences?					
● Yes ○ No						
If No, please exp	lain					
ii No, piease exp	iaiii.					
	ry to enforce the ordinance?					
o Yes						
• No						
If Yes, please ex	olain:					
5. Septage Receivin	g	1				
	equests to receive septage at your facility?					
Septic Tanks	Holding Tanks Grease Traps					
o Yes	o Yes o Yes					
• No	● No ● No					
5.2 Did you receive	e septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons.					
Septic Tanks	. septage at your racinty: If yes, maleate volume in gallons.					
o Yes	gallons					
• No						
Holding Tanks						
o Yes	gallons					
• No						
Grease Traps						
o Yes	gallons					
• No	<u> </u>					
5.2.1 If yes to an	of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving					
any of these wast	es.					
6. Pretreatment						
	y experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns,					
	tions in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to					
	strial discharges in the last year?					
o Yes						
• No						
If yes, describe t	he situation and your community's response.					

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Leporting For **2024**

o Yes

No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Section 3, Item b.

0

2024

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1. Effluent (C)BOD Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or CBOD

Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit
001	Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge	Exceedance	Limit
	Limit (mg/L)	> 10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance
January	30	27	6	1	0	0
February	30	27	10	1	0	0
March	30	27	17	1	0	0
April	30	27	16	1	0	0
May	30	27	8	1	0	0
June	30	27	4	1	0	0
July	30	27	4	1	0	0
August	30	27	7	1	0	0
September	30	27	12	1	0	0
October	30	27				
November	30	27	6	1	0	0
December	30	27	7	1	0	0
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of d	ischarge/yr			11		
Points per e	Points per each exceedance with 11 months of discharge					3
Exceedance	Exceedances					0
Points					0	0
Total numb	per of points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

NIO	MIC	latio	nc
110	VIU	ıatıv	113

- 2. Flow Meter Calibration
- 2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

• Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2025-03-11

o No

If No, please explain:

3	Treat	tment l	Prol	h	lemo
J.	1160		10	v	

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

None

4. Other Monitoring and Limits

4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?

o Yes

No

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Leporting For **2024**

· · ·	
If Yes, please explain:	
4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test? O Yes	
● No	
If Yes, please explain:	
4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity? • Yes	
○ No	
• N/A	
Please explain unless not applicable:	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Section 3, Item b.

2024

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit	
001	Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge	Exceedance	Limit	
	Limit (mg/L)	>10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance	
January	30	27	7	1	0	0	
February	30	27	9	1	0	0	
March	30	27	14	1	0	0	
April	30	27	18	1	0	0	
May	30	27	6	1	0	0	
June	30	27	2	1	0	0	
July	30	27	5	1	0	0	
August	30	27	17	1	0	0	
September	30	27	15	1	0	0	
October	30	27					
November	30	27	2	1	0	0	
December	30	27	1	1	0	0	
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10			
Months of D	ischarge/yr			11			
Points per	each exceed	arge:	8	3			
Exceedance	S		0	0			
Points		0	0				
Total Number of Points							

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

No violations

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Reporting 1 or

0

025 **2024**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No.	Monthly	Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly
001	Average	Average	Monthly	Permit	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Permit
	NH3	NH3	Average	Limit	Average	Average	Average	Average	Limit
	Limit	Limit	NH3	Exceed	_			for Week	Exceed
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance	1	2	3	4	ance
January	55	108	5.8	0	3.5	4.2	6.7	8.8	0
February	55	108	12.5	0	12	12	13	13	0
March	55	108	13.25	0	12	13	14	14	0
April	102	108	12.5	0	13	13	13	11	0
May	102	108	7.5	0	9.3	8.6	7.7	4.4	0
June	75	108	.92	0	1.9	.8	.55	.43	0
July	75	108	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
August	75	108	.405	0	.23		.58		0
September	75	108	3.2	0			3.7	2.7	0
October	58	108		0					0
November	58	108	.675	0	1.2	.56	0	.94	0
December	58	108	3.45	0	1.2	2.7	4.2	5.7	0
Points per e	ach excee	dance of N	Monthly av	erage:					10
Exceedance	s, Monthly	' :							0
Points:									0
Points per e	ach excee	dance of v	veekly ave	erage (who	en there is	no month	nly averag	e):	2.5
Exceedance	s, Weekly	!							0
Points:									0
Total Number of Points								0	

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. 1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

No violations

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Section 3, Item b.

0

2024

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

	-			
Total Number of	Points			0
Exceedances	0			
Points per each	11			
Months of Discharg				
December	1	0.321	1	0
November	1	0.875	1	0
October	1			
September	1	0.368	1	0
August	1	0.280	1	0
July	1	0.485	1	0
June	1	0.354	1	0
May	1	0.568	1	0
April	1	0.574	1	0
March	1	0.563	1	0
February	1	0.476	1	0
January	1	0.448	1	0
	phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Discharge with a Limit	Exceedance
Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

No violations

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Last Updated: Reporting re

Section 3, Item b.

6/2/2025

2024

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Ponds And Lagoon Leakage

1.	Pond	Lining

1.1 What material was used to line your ponds?

PVC sheeting liner

- 2. Flow Measurements
- 2.1 Did you measure influent flow to your wastewater ponds or lagoons?
- Yes (0 points)□□
- No (40 points) (Go to question 6)□□
- 2.1.1 Method of influent flow measurement:

Ultrasonic flow

- 2.2 Did you measure effluent flow discharged from your wastewater system either to the land disposal system or to the receiving stream?
- Yes (0 points) □□
- \circ No (40 points) (Go to question 6) $\square\square$
- No Discharge (0 points)
- 2.2.1 Method of effluent flow measurement:

9-inch Parshall flume and overhead transducer.

- 3. Total Flow Volumes
- 3.1 Total monthly influent and effluent flow volumes from the pond/lagoon system during the last calendar year.

Total Monthly Influent Volume		Total Monthly Effluent Volume
12.81	JANUARY	13.197
10.647	FEBRUARY	11.712
12.274	MARCH	12.924
14.992	APRIL	16.401
23.165	MAY	21.511
22.338	JUNE	22.124
32.075	JULY	33.592
20.989	AUGUST	20.262
16.254	SEPTEMBER	9.556
16.865	OCTOBER	3.514
17.964	NOVEMBER	22.148
17.559	DECEMBER	13.291
217.9320	YEARLY TOTAL	200.2320

3.2 From the Yearly Total influent and effluent volumes above, total effluent is divided by total influent and converted to a percent of volume loss.

Total effluent, MG => 200.2320

----- = 0.919 <= effl / infl ratio

Total influent, MG => 217.9320

Conversion to a percent of volume loss:

 $(1-effl/infl\ ratio) * 100 = 8.1$ % of influent lost and not discharged with effluent

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For

							6/2/2	2025	2024
4. Surface Area 4.1 What was the to include seepage cell		cer surfac	ce area of t	th	e ponds	/lagoons at	operatin	g level (do	o not
5. Leakage Rate Esti 5.1 Total influent vo pond/lagoon storage the estimated leakage	olume (in MG (in MG) is th	ne net wa							
	l Influent (Mo	,	217.9	3	20				
	l Effluent (MC		200.2						
	Net Loss (MG		17.70	00	00				
Estimated Leak						4849			
If you have a *Dep the storage change o Storage Increase o Storage Decrease 5.2 CMAR Estimated Leakage Rate in gpa surface area (from c	last year in I Enter amou Enter amou Leakage Rat d is the leaka	MG below nt in MG unt in MG e in gallo	v. -> ons per acr	- е	per day	(gpad): Th	e CMAR I	Estimated	
Leakage Amount (gpd)		Acı				Estimated age Rate			
48493	divided by	2	7 =	=	1	.796			
 6. On Site Leakage To 6.1 Did you conduct was approved by the O Yes No If yes, what was th 	and on-site, Department Year	and is s	till valid?					r lagoons	that

7. Estimated Leakage Rate and Points

6.2 Leakage Rate Comments:

points generated.

gpad

7.1 The CMAR Estimated Leakage Rate (from 5) is used to determine the points generated in the table below.

NOTE: if 6.1 is answered Yes, the value entered above in gpad will be used in 7.1 to compute

If an approved field test was conducted and the results are still valid and accepted by the Department, the Field Calculated Leakage rate (from 5.2) is used to determine the points earned from the table below

gpad	points
0 - 1,000	0
1,001 - 2,000	10
2,001 - 4,000	20
4,001 - 7,000	30
> 7,000	40

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Leporting 10 **2024**

Based on the leakage rate in gpad, the points earned are:

Total Points Generated	10
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	90
Section Grade	В

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Reporting ro

2024

Biosolids Quality and Management

1. Biosolids Use/Disposal 1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply) □ Land applied under your permit □ Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids □ Hauled to another permitted facility □ Landfilled □ Incinerated □ Other NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such	
as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc. 1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:	
Lagoons	
2. Land Application Site 2.1 Last Year's Approved and Active Land Application Sites 2.1.1 How many acres did you have? 572.4 acres 2.1.2 How many acres did you use? 239.2 acres 2.2 If you did not have enough acres for your land application needs, what action was taken?	
 2.3 Did you overapply nitrogen on any of your approved land application sites you used last year? ○ Yes (30 points) No 	
 2.4 Have all the sites you used last year for land application been soil tested in the previous 4 years? ◆ Yes O No (10 points) 	
○ N/A	
3. Biosolids Metals Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit: 3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last	

calendar v	/ear
Calcilual	rear.

Outfall No.	Outfall No. 002 - Lagoon Sludge																	
Parameter	80% of Limit	Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75						<6.1								0	0
Cadmium		39	85						1.6								0	0
Copper		1500	4300						680								0	0
Lead		300	840						44								0	0
Mercury		17	57						<.021								0	0
Molybdenum	60		75						<.82							0		0
Nickel	336		420						<.44							0		0
Selenium	80		100						<1.7							0		0
Zinc		2800	7500						12								0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

Exceedence Points

• 0 (0 Points)

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated:

Reporting 10

0

6/2/2025 2024

- 0 1-2 (10 Points)
- \circ > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- o Yes
- O No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0 **Exceedence Points**
- (0 Points) • 0
- (10 Points) 0 1
- 0 > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)
- 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?

None exceeded the limit.

- 4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):
- 4.1 Verify the following information. If any information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

Outfall Number:	002
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	01/01/2024 - 12/31/2024
Density:	0
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	
Process Description:	From Jagoon 5

Outfall Number:	002
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	01/01/2024 - 12/31/2024
Density:	52,200
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	
Process Description:	From lagoon 1

- 4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did not meet the process criteria at the time of land application.
- 4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application? O Yes (40 Points)

 - No

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting ror

	6/2/2025	2024
If yes, what action was taken?		
		0
button under the Options header in the Outfall Number: Method Date: Option Used To Satisfy Requirement: Requirement Met: Land Applied: Limit (if applicable): Results (if applicable):	any of the information is incorrect, use the Report	0
7.1,		
6. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current to facility have either on-site or off-site?	piosolids storage capacity did your wastewater tre	atment 0
7. Issues7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids	issues with treatment, use or overall managemen	t:

Total Points Generated		
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100	
Section Grade	Α	

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Section 3, Item b.

2024

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

 1. Plant Staffing 1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year? Yes No 	
If No, please explain:	
Could use more help/staff for:	
 1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping? Yes 	
O No	
If No, please explain:	
 2. Preventative Maintenance 2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items? Yes (Continue with question 2) □□ No (40 points)□□ 	
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
- 165	0
• No (10 points)	
 2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly? Yes 	
 Paper file system Computer system Both paper and computer system No (10 points) 	
 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed? Yes No 	
4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs	
4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.	
Excellent Very good	
● Good	
o Fair	
o Poor	
Describe your rating:	
Trained staff to perform maintenance needs.	1

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Total Points Generated			
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100		
Section Grade	Α		

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Section 3, Item b.

0

2024

mauston wastewater freatment racinty

Operator Certification and Education

- 1. Operator-In-Charge
- 1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year?
- Yes (0 points)
- O No (20 points)

Name:

ROBERT A NELSON

Certification No:

20512

- 2. Certification Requirements
- 2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.56 and 114.57, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what level and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge (OIC) to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what level and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?

Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP		OIC	
Class		Basic	OIT	Basic	Advanced
A1	Suspended Growth Processes				Х
A2	Attached Growth Processes				X
A3	Recirculating Media Filters				
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural	Х			Х
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid				
В	Solids Separation				Х
С	Biological Solids/Sludges				X
Р	Total Phosphorus	Χ			X
N	Total Nitrogen				
D	Disinfection				X
L	Laboratory				X
U	Unique Treatment Systems				
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	Х	NA	NA	NA

- 2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS is required 5 years after permit reissuance.)
- Yes (0 points)
- No (20 points)
- 2.3 For wastewater treatment facilities with a registered or certified laboratory, is at least one operator that works in the laboratory certified at the basic level in the laboratory (L) subclass? O Yes
- o No
- N/A Wastewater treatment facility does not have a registered or certified laboratory
- 2.4 For wastewater treatment facilities that own and operate a sanitary sewage collection system, has at least one operator been designated the OIC for sanitary sewage collection system and certified at the basic level in the sanitary sewage collection system (SS) subclass?
- o Yes
- No
- N/A Owner of the Wastewater treatment facility does not own and operate a sanitary sewage collection system
- 3. Succession Planning
- 3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)?
- ☑ One or more additional certified operators on staff

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting ron 6/2/2025

0/2/2023 2024	
 □ An arrangement with another certified operator □ An arrangement with another community with a certified operator □ An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year □ A consultant to serve as your certified operator □ None of the above (20 points) If "None of the above" is selected, please explain: 	0
 4. Continuing Education Credits 4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates? OIT and Basic Certification: Averaging 6 or more CECs per year. Averaging less than 6 CECs per year. Advanced Certification: Averaging 8 or more CECs per year. Averaging less than 8 CECs per year. Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For 6/2/2025

2024

Financial Management

1. Provider of Financial Information	
Name: Daron Haugh	
Telephone: (XXX) XXX-XXXX	
E-Mail Address	
(optional):	
dhaugh@mauston.com	
2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues 2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewat treatment plant AND/OR collection system? • Yes (0 points) □□ • No (40 points) If No, please explain: 2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revi Year: 2023 • 0-2 years ago (0 points) □□ • 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□ • N/A (private facility) 2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc. financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatm plant and/or collection system? • Yes (0 points) • No (40 points)	sed?
REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]	
 3. Equipment Replacement Funds 3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2023 1-2 years ago (0 points)□□ 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□ N/A If N/A, please explain: 	
3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity	
3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR \$ 603,219.4	3
3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, + \$ 18,456.3 audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)	9
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance \$ 621,675.82	
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.) + \$ 0.00	

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting ro 6/2/2025

			_
3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*)	0.	.00	
3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year \$	621,675	.82	
All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.			
3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs	from 3.2.5 a	above.	
3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$ 602,8	28.57		0
Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstruction header in the left-side menu. 3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)? • Yes	Further calcuons link unde	ılation er Info	
o No			
If No, please explain.			
 Future Planning 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for u or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system? Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already list No 			
Project Project Description #		Approximate Construction Year	
1 Sewer equipment upgrades and replacement, SCADA update, Lift Station generator,	\$9,000,000		
5. Financial Management General Comments			
None			
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE		_	
6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:			
COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed			
Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations: 12			

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	27,959	
February	25,565	
March	27,122	
April	24,047	
May	23,954	
June	20,668	
July	20,578	
August	16,597	
September	13,633	
October	21,879	
November	30,734	
December	35,886	
Total	288,622	0
Average	24,052	0

October	21,879		
November	30,734		
December	35,886		
Total	288,622	0	
Average	24,052	0	
6.1.2 Comme	ents:		
	lated Processes and Equip		stations (Charle all that apply).
	e equipment and practices tion or Screening	utilized at your pump/ilit	stations (Check all that apply):
	Shaft Pumps		
	ering and Recording		
☐ Pneumati			
SCADA S	•		
	Speed Drives		
☐ Other:	peca Brives		
6.2.2 Comme			
0.2.2 comme			
S 3 Has an Fn	ergy Study been performe	ed for your numn/lift statio	ns?
O No	ergy study been performe	a for your pump, me seation	
• Yes			
Year:			
2022			
By Whom:	A/D\A/A		
L	WRWA d Comment:		
		andit in the featility and	do vo common doticio
Jan wunar	ow completed an energy a	audit in the facility and ma	ue recommendations.

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Leporting For **2024**

6.4 Future Energy Related Equipment

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

Installing new pumps at the main lift station. New air raiders in the lagoons.

- 7. Treatment Facility
- 7.1 Energy Usage
- 7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	71,509	12.81	5,582	21.73	3,291	
February	64,162	10.65	6,025	22.19	2,891	
March	68,854	12.27	5,612	28.24	2,438	
April	66,141	14.99	4,412	29.58	2,236	
May	69,508	23.17	3,000	38.97	1,784	
June	61,577	22.34	2,756	33.39	1,844	
July	65,135	32.08	2,030	49.88	1,306	
August	76,560	20.99	3,647	40.86	1,874	
September	61,960	16.25	3,813	33.45	1,852	
October	73,585	16.86	4,364	37.17	1,980	
November	73,333	17.96	4,083	37.95	1,932	
December	77,127	17.56	4,392	36.24	2,128	
Total	829,451	217.93		409.65		0
Average	69,121	18.16	4,143	34.14	2,130	0

7	' 1	١.2	C_0	m	m	en	ts	•
,			-			CII	w	•

/.Z [II	ergy Related Process	es and Equipi	nent				
7 2 1	Indicate equipment:	and practices	utilized at you	r treatment fac	rility (Chack	all that	annly)

- ☐ Aerobic Digestion
- ☐ Anaerobic Digestion
- ☐ Biological Phosphorus Removal
- □ Coarse Bubble Diffusers
- ☐ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
- ☐ Effluent Pumping
- ☑ Influent Pumping
- ☐ Mechanical Sludge Processing
- ☐ Nitrification
- ☐ UV Disinfection
- ☐ Variable Speed Drives
- ☐ Other:

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Leporting For **2024**

7.2.2 Comments: None 7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment 7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility? Installing new pumps at the main lift station. New air raiders in the lagoons. 8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? No O Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):
None 7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment 7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility? Installing new pumps at the main lift station. New air raiders in the lagoons. 8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? ● No ○ Yes
7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment 7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility? Installing new pumps at the main lift station. New air raiders in the lagoons. 8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? • No • Yes
7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility? Installing new pumps at the main lift station. New air raiders in the lagoons. 8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? • No • Yes
7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility? Installing new pumps at the main lift station. New air raiders in the lagoons. 8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? • No • Yes
treatment facility? Installing new pumps at the main lift station. New air raiders in the lagoons. 8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? • No • Yes
treatment facility? Installing new pumps at the main lift station. New air raiders in the lagoons. 8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? • No • Yes
8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? • No • Yes
8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? ● No ○ Yes
● No ○ Yes
If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):
☐ Flared Off ☐ Building Heat
□ Process Heat
☐ Generate Electricity
☐ Other:
9. Energy Efficiency Study
9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?
O NO
• Yes
● Yes ☑ Entire facility
● Yes ☑ Entire facility Year:
☐ Entire facility
☑ Entire facility Year: 2022 By Whom:
☑ Entire facility Year: 2022 By Whom: Dan Wundrow
☑ Entire facility Year: 2022 By Whom: Dan Wundrow Describe and Comment:
☑ Entire facility Year: 2022 By Whom: Dan Wundrow
☑ Entire facility Year: 2022 By Whom: Dan Wundrow Describe and Comment:
☑ Entire facility Year: 2022 By Whom: Dan Wundrow Describe and Comment: We utilized WRWA circuit rider for an energy audit to make recommendations.
☑ Entire facility Year: 2022 By Whom: Dan Wundrow Describe and Comment: We utilized WRWA circuit rider for an energy audit to make recommendations. □ Part of the facility Year:
☑ Entire facility Year: 2022 By Whom: Dan Wundrow Describe and Comment: We utilized WRWA circuit rider for an energy audit to make recommendations. □ Part of the facility
☑ Entire facility Year: 2022 By Whom: Dan Wundrow Describe and Comment: We utilized WRWA circuit rider for an energy audit to make recommendations. □ Part of the facility Year:
9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility? O No

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Section 3, Item b.

2024

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

 Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?
• Yes
o No
If No, explain:
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items
according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?
• Yes
o No (30 points)
○ N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the
components and items that apply)
☑ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
Continue collection system replacement Lift station improvement
Did you accomplish them?
Yes
o No
If No, explain:
M.O., I. I. IND 240-22 (4) (1) IDD
☐ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)]☐☐
Does this chapter of your CMOM include: Solution Sol
□ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public
☑ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?
City sewer use ordinance
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2017-01-01
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following: ☑ Private property inflow and infiltration
☑ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection
☐ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as
necessary
☐ Fat, oil and grease control
☐ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance
☑ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following:
☑ Up-to-date sewer system map

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Reporting ro 2024

information for O&M activities,	investigation investigation and mair of correction ons [NR 210. re established ding building	ntenance activities (see question 2 below)	
			_
☑ Overflow Emergency Response F	-	· / · / -	o
Does your emergency response co			
☐ Response order, timing and cle	•		
☑ Public notification protocols	·		
☐ Training			
☑ Emergency operation protocols	-	•	
☐ Annual Self-Auditing of your CM ☐ Special Studies Last Year (check	_	-	
☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysi	•	inde appry).	
☐ Sewer System Evaluation Surv			
\square Sewer Evaluation and Capacity	/ Managment	t Plan (SECAP)	
☐ Lift Station Evaluation Report			
Others:			$\neg $
2. Operation and Maintenance			
		naintenance program include the following and indicate the amount maintained.	
Cleaning		5 % of system/year	
Root removal	0	% of system/year	
Flow monitoring	100	% of system/year	
Smoke testing	0	% of system/year	
Sewer line			
televising	1	% of system/year	
Manhole inspections	10	% of system/year	
Lift station O&M	12	# per L.S./year	
Manhole		. , ,	
rehabilitation	5	% of manholes rehabbed	
Mainline			
rehabilitation	0	% of sewer lines rehabbed	
Private sewer inspections	0	% of system/year	

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Reporting ron 2024

		<u></u>	
Private sewer I/I			
removal	0 % of private serv	ices	
River or water crossings	0 % of pipe crossin	gs evaluated or maintained	
-	nal comments about your sanitary sewer co	llection system below:	
3. Performance Indicato	rs		
3.1 Provide the following	ng collection system and flow information fo		
44.26 T	otal actual amount of precipitation last year	in inches	
34	Annual average precipitation (for your location	on)	
27 N	files of sanitary sewer		
12 N	Number of lift stations		
0	Number of lift station failures		
0	Number of sewer pipe failures		
0	Number of basement backup occurrences		
0	Number of complaints		
.593 <i>A</i>	Average daily flow in MGD (if available)		
31.93 F	Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)		
0 F	Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)		
3.2 Performance ratios			
	ift station failures (failures/year)		
	Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile		
	Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mi	le/yr)	
	Basement backups (number/sewer mile)		
	Complaints (number/sewer mile)		
	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual D	, ,	
0.0 F	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Dai	ly Avg)	
4. Overflows			
LIST OF SANITARY S	EWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TR	FO) OVERFLOWS REPORTED **	
Date	Location	Cause Estimated	
		Volume	
	None reported		
	Os or TFOs that are not listed above, please	e contact the DNR and stop work	
on this section until cor			
5. Infiltration / Inflow (I	/I) ow (I/I) significant in your community last y	/ear?	
o Yes	ow (1/1) significant in your community last y	yeur:	
• No			
If Yes, please describe	e:	1	
	ow and resultant high flows affected perforn		
your collection system,	lift stations, or treatment plant at any time	in the past year?	1 2

Section 3, Item b.

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Leporting For **2024**

● No				
If Yes, please describe:				
5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:				
sewer manhole cover inlet repairs found during inspections.				
5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?				
collection system upgrade and sump pump connection locations				

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Section 3, Item b.

2024

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0024635

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS	
Influent	A	4	3	12	
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40	
TSS	A	4	5	20	
Ammonia	A	4	5	20	
Phosphorus	A	4	3	12	
Ponds	В	3	7	21	
Biosolids	A	4	5	20	
Staffing/PM	A	4	1	4	
OpCert	A	4	1	4	
Financial	А	4	1	4	
Collection	A	4	3	12	
TOTALS			44	169	
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 3.84					

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Mauston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2025

Section 3, Item b. Reporting ron

2024

Resolution or Owner's Statement

Name of Governing Body or Owner:	
Date of Resolution or	
Action Taken: Resolution Number:	
Date of Submittal:	
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F):	
Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A	
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A	
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A	
Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A	= 7
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A	-
Ponds: Grade = B	<u></u> -
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A	<u></u> 7
Staffing: Grade = A	<u></u> 7
Operator Certification: Grade = A	<u></u> 7
Financial Management: Grade = A	<u></u> -
Collection Systems: Grade = A (Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)	<u>-</u>
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)	<u> </u>
G.P.A. = 3.84	