# DESIGN REVIEW COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA <br> 7:00 PM - Wednesday, September 21, 2022 via Teleconference 

Please Note: Per California Executive Order N-29-20, the Commissions will meet via teleconference only. Members of the Public may call (253) 215-8782 to participate in the conference call (Meeting ID: 81859277870 or via the web at https://tinyurl.com/hs8pnk3x with Passcode: 392617). Public testimony will be taken at the direction of the Commission Chair and members of the public may only comment during times allotted for public comments. Members of the public are also encouraged to submit written testimony prior to the meeting at DRCPublicComment@losaltosca.gov. Emails received prior to the meeting will be included in the public record.

## ESTABLISH QUORUM

## PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Members of the audience may bring to the Commission's attention any item that is not on the agenda. Please complete a "Request to Speak" form and submit it to the Staff Liaison. Speakers are generally given two or three minutes, at the discretion of the Chair. Please be advised that, by law, the Commission is unable to discuss or take action on issues presented during the Public Comment Period. According to State Law (also known as "the Brown Act") items must first be noticed on the agenda before any discussion or action.

## ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION/ACTION

## CONSENT CALENDAR

These items will be considered by one motion unless any member of the Commission or audience wishes to remove an item for discussion. Any item removed from the Consent Calendar for discussion will be handled at the discretion of the Chair.

1. Design Review Commission Minutes

Approve minutes of the regular meeting of September 7, 2022.

## DISCUSSION

2. SC22-0018 and ADU22-0064 - Francis Pham - $\mathbf{5 3 0}$ Valencia Drive Design Review for a new 4,060 square-foot two-story house. The project includes 2,679 square feet at the first story and 1,381 square feet at the second story with a 2,137 square-foot basement. The project includes an 848 square-foot attached accessory dwelling unit, which is not part of the design review application. This project is categorically exempt from environmental review under Section 15303 of the California Environmental Quality Act. This project is continued to the October 19, 2022 DRC meeting because the public notification requirements were not satisfied per Municipal Code Section 14.76.080. Project Planner: Gallegos
3. SC22-0003 - Isabeau Guglielmo- $\mathbf{5 4 0}$ Patrick Way

Design Review for a new two-story house. The project consists of 2,375 square-foot living space at the first story and 1,201 square-foot living space at the second story with a 491 square-foot, attached two-car garage. This project is categorically exempt from further environmental review under Section 15303 of the California Environmental Qualify Act. Project Planner: Liu

## COMMISSIONERS' REPORTS AND COMMENTS

## POTENTIAL FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

## ADJOURNMENT

## SPECIAL NOTICES TO PUBLIC

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and California Law, it is the policy of the City of Los Altos to offer its programs, services and meetings in a manner that is readily accessible to everyone, including individuals with disabilities. If you are a person with a disability and require information or materials in an appropriate alternative format; or if you require any other accommodation, please contact department staff. Advance notification within this guideline will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility. The City ADA Coordinator can be reached at (650) 947-2607 or by email:

Agendas, Staff Reports and some associated documents for Design Review Commission items may be viewed on the Internet at http://losaltosca.gov/meetings.

If you wish to provide written materials, please provide the Commission Staff Liaison with 10 copies of any document that you would like to submit to the Commissioners in order for it to become part of the public record.

For other questions regarding the meeting proceedings, please contact the City Clerk at (650) 947-2720.


# DESIGN REVIEW COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES <br> 7:00 PM - Wednesday, September 7, 2022 <br> <br> Telephone/Video Conference Only 

 <br> <br> Telephone/Video Conference Only}

## CALL MEETING TO ORDER

At 7:00 p.m. Chair Blockhus called the meeting to order.

## ESTABLISH QUORUM

PRESENT: Chair Blockhus, Vice-Chair Ma, Commissioners Bishop, Harding and Kirik
STAFF: Interim Planning Services Manager Golden and Senior Planner Gallegos

## PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

None.

## ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION/ACTION

## CONSENT CALENDAR

## 1. Design Review Commission Minutes

Approve minutes of the regular meeting of August 17, 2022.
Action: Upon a motion by Commissioner Harding, seconded by Commissioner Kirik, the Commission approved the minutes of the regular meeting of August 17, 2022 as written.
The motion was approved (5-0) by the following vote:
AYES: Blockhus, Ma, Bishop, Harding, and Kirik
NOES: None

## PUBLIC HEARING

2. V22-0002 and DR22-0098-Danielle DiVittorio - $\mathbf{7 2 5}$ University Avenue

Variance request for a front setback of 2.75 feet where a minimum setback of 25 feet is required for a 63 square-foot addition to an accessory structure (garage) at a historic resource property. This project is categorically exempt from environmental review under Section 15303 of the California Environmental Quality Act. Project Planner: Gallegos

## STAFF PRESENTATION

Senior Planner Gallegos presented the staff report recommending approval of variance and design review applications V22-0002 and DR22-0098 subject to the listed findings and conditions and answered a question from Commissioner Kirik and Chair Blockhus.

Project designer Danielle DiVittorio presented the project and answered questions from Commissioners Bishop and Kirik, and Vice-Chair Ma.

## PUBLIC COMMENT

None.
Chair Blockhus closed the public comment period.
Commissioner discussion then proceeded.
Action: Upon a motion by Chair Blockhus, seconded by Commissioner Harding, the Commission approved variance and design review applications V22-0002 and DR22-0098 per the staff report findings and conditions.
The motion was approved (5-0) by the following vote:
AYES: Blockhus, Ma, Bishop, Harding, and Kirik
NOES: None

## DISCUSSION

## 3. SC22-0005-Mike Vierhus - $\mathbf{1 1 8 0}$ St. Charles Ct

This project includes adding 53 square feet of living space to the first story and a new 562 squarefoot second story. The project will convert 459 square feet of the first story and the second story addition to create a 1,021 square-foot accessory dwelling unit (ADU). This project is categorically exempt from environmental review under Section 15301 of the California Environmental Quality Act. Project Planner: S. Golden

## STAFF PRESENTATION

Interim Planning Services Manager Golden presented the staff report recommending approval of design review application SC22-0005 subject to the listed findings and conditions and answered a daylight plane clarification question from Vice-Chair Ma.

## APPLICANT PRESENTATION

Property owner John Yu provided comments about the use of the ADU for his daughter to take care of him and his wife.

Daughter Theresa Yu commented on her parents living at the property for a long time and the need to take care of them so they can reside on the property as long as possible.

Project applicant/architect Mike Vierhus spoke on the project, clarified the plate heights on the second story, and egress windows. He then answered a question from Commissioner Kirik about an ADU access door to the main house.

## PUBLIC COMMENT

None.
Chair Blockhus closed the public comment period.
Commissioner discussion then proceeded.

Action: Upon a motion by Commissioner Harding, seconded by Vice-Chair Ma, the Commission approved design review application SC22-0005 subject to the listed findings and conditions.
The motion was approved (5-0) by the following vote:
AYES: Blockhus, Ma, Bishop, Harding, and Kirik
NOES: None

## 4. SC22-0007-Hao Qiao - 1405 Highland View Court

Design Review for a 638 square-foot first story addition, second story window changes and a balcony. This project is categorically exempt from environmental review under Section 15303 of the California Environmental Quality Act. Project Planner: Gallegos

## STAFF PRESENTATION

Senior Planner Gallegos presented the staff report recommending approval of design review application SC22-0007 subject to the listed findings and conditions and answered a question from Chair Blockhus.

## APPLICANT PRESENTATION

Project applicant/property owner Hao Qiao presented the project and answered questions from Chair Blockhus.

Project architect/designer Jenny Sun introduced herself and answered questions from Vice-Chair Ma.

## PUBLIC COMMENT

Neighbor Don Metzger provided public comment.
Chair Blockhus closed the public comment period.
Commissioner discussion then proceeded.
Action: Upon a motion by Vice-Chair Ma, seconded by Commissioner Harding, the Commission approved design review application SC22-0007 subject to the listed findings and conditions.
The motion was approved (5-0) by the following vote:
AYES: Blockhus, Ma, Bishop, Harding, and Kirik
NOES: None

## COMMISSIONERS' REPORTS AND COMMENTS

Commissioner Kirik reported that several people did not show up to the interviews to become a new Design Review Commissioner.

## POTENTIAL FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Senior Planner Gallegos went over the upcoming tentative meeting agendas and noted that the in-person meetings in the Council Chambers would not be till December, per the City Clerk.

## ADJOURNMENT

Chair Blockhus adjourned the meeting at 8:54 PM.

[^0]

TO: Design Review Commission
FROM: Jia Liu, Associate Planner

SUBJECT: SC22-0003-540 Patrick Way

## RECOMMENDATION:

Approve design review application SC22-0003 subject to the listed findings

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This is a design review application for a new two-story house. The project consists of 2,375 squarefoot living space at the first story and 1,201 square-foot living space at the second story with a 491 square-foot, attached two-car garage. This project is categorically exempt from further environmental review under Section 15303 of the California Environmental Quality Act The following table summarizes the project's technical details:

GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION:
ZONING:
PARCEL SIZE:
MATERIALS:

Single-Family, Residential R1-10
13,170 square feet
Standing seam metal roof, board and batten siding with stone veneer wainscoting, wood windows and doors with wood trims.

## COVERAGE:

FLOOR AREA:

| First floor | 2,478 square feet | 2,866 square feet <br> 1,201 square feet <br> Second floor <br> Total | -- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 4,067 square feet

## Proposed

3,676 square feet

2,866 square feet
1,201 square feet
4,067 square feet

Allowed/Required
4,067 square feet

4,067 square feet

25 feet
25 feet
10 feet/ 17.5 feet

27 feet

## BACKGROUND

## Neighborhood Context

The subject property is located on Patrick Way between Pine Lane and Los Altos Avenue. The surrounding neighborhood is considered a Diverse Neighborhood as defined in the City's Residential Design Guidelines. The homes in the immediate neighborhood context are a combination of one-story and two-story houses, with four two-story homes at 530, 531, 541, and 551 Patrick Way. Properties in the immediate neighborhood share similar front setback patterns with low to moderate scale horizontal eave lines with wall plates that appear to be between eight to nine feet and six inches in height at the first story and eight to nine in height at the second story. Most garages are attached to the existing homes in the front yard facing the street in addition to 521 Patrick Way that has a detached two-car garage. Roof forms are a combination of simple and complex roof lines due to certain houses renovations/upgrades in the neighborhood over the years. A mix of roofing materials are found in the immediate neighborhood including wood shake, composition shingle, and tiles. The exterior materials commonly used include stucco and wood siding with stone veneer or brick accents. Landscapes in the front consist of mature street trees on most properties with dense screening shrubs further in.

## DISCUSSION

## Design Review

According to the Residential Design Guidelines, in Diverse Character Neighborhoods, a good neighbor design has its own design integrity while incorporating some design elements, materials, and scale found in the neighborhood.

The subject property is a regular rectangular lot with a property width of 82.48 feet and depth of 159.66 feet. The proposed side setbacks of the structure will be 10 feet for the first story and at least 21 feet away for the second story. Rear setbacks are 82 feet for both first and second story. The proposed structure's footprint, compared to the existing house's footprint, will remain at the proximate location within the first half of the subject lot. Landscaping and amenities improvements are also proposed including but not limited to reconfiguration of a swimming pool and construction of a detached accessory structure in the rear yard, which are not part of this design review and shall be reviewed under a separate building permit prior to commencement of the construction.

The overall height of the proposed residence is 26.58 feet, consistent with the maximum height of 27 feet in the R1-10 zoning district. At the first story, three wall plates are proposed for the living area including the main plate height of nine feet at the rooms along the front elevation and kitchen, nine feet and six inches at the family room and Bedroom No. 5, and 12 feet at the dining room facing the rear yard. At the second floor, a major plate height of seven feet and six inches is designed for most rooms in addition to the master bedroom that has an eight-foot plate height that will face the rear yard. Regarding the roof pitches, the proposed two-story house has a $2.75: 12$ sloped roof for the main gable ridge with two 5.5:12 sloped front facing gables at the first story while a $5.5: 12$ consistent sloped roof is design at the second story with standing seam metal material.

The front elevation is found compatible in design with the surrounding neighborhood by using design elements that have integrated gable and hipped roof forms, consistent horizontal eave lines, recessed garage for a less predominant appearance, and board and batten siding with stone veneer wainscoting for additional architectural textures. Additionally, the project is utilizing high quality materials such as the standing seam metal roof material, board and batten siding exterior with stone veneer wainscoting,
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and wood window and door with wood framed trims, which are integrated into the overall architectural design of the residence and found to relate to the surrounding neighborhood.

Overall, according to the Residential Design Guidelines, the project appears to be an appropriate design within this Diverse Character Neighborhood setting. The proposed house has design elements, materials, and scale found in the neighborhood and meet the intent of the design review findings.

## Privacy

On the right (south) elevation, three small windows are proposed at the second floor with the same of windowsill height of three feet and six inches. On the left (north) elevation, three windows are proposed including one small windows with the sill height of three feet and six inches at Bedroom No. 3, one medium-sized window at the master bedroom with a sill height of three feet, and one large, three-panel horizontal window at master room designed as a dormer. The additional two windows are shown on the left elevations are skylights on the roof.

Normally along the side elevations, the Design Review Commission would see a minimum of four-foot and six-inch windowsill height in order to minimize the privacy impact resulted from the new side fenestration at the second story. As described, the proposed side windows have sill heights less than the standard, ranging from three feet to three feet and six inches; however, staff considers the proposed window design is acceptable due to the following:

- The plate height of the second story at the edges of the second story is seven feet and half inches, which is lower than common plate heights at the second story for new constructions. The proposed sill heights are proportionally designed to support the second story functions. If staff recommended the standard four-foot and six-inch sill height standard, staff believes the windows will be not proportionate and useless for each room.
- On the right elevations at the second story, two of the three proposed windows located in bathrooms will be frosted. The other window in Bedroom No. 2 is located closer to the front yard. The line of sights from this window will reach to the side and front yard of the adjacent property at 550 Patrick Way, which should reduce potential privacy impacts.
- On the left elevation at the second story, there are two side windows located in Bedroom No. 3 and the master bedroom, respectfully. The proposed setback of the Bedroom No. 2 window is 26 feet from the property line, which is greater than the required setback. This window will have diminished privacy impacts due to being located on the first half of the lot and the sight line views being limited to the side yard and front yard of the adjacent property at 530 Patrick Way. The master bedroom windows will be greater than 38 feet from the side property line, and its increased setback will reduce potential privacy impacts from the window.
- Along both side property lines, the applicant proposes to retain existing evergreen vegetation and plant new evergreen screening vegetation will be planted to mitigate the privacy impacts.
- At last, per the neighborhood feedback as provided in Attachment E, the neighbors at both sides do not appear to have privacy concerns regarding the second story windows.

With the assessments above, staff finds that the proposed side windows at the second story are acceptable and should not have privacy impacts.

Along the rear second story elevation, there are two windows proposed: one medium-sized window with a sill height of three feet, four inches and one large window for the master bedroom with a sill height of three feet. An additional large fenestration next to the bedroom window is designed for the double ceiling Design Review Commission
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height at the dining room area which will not cause any privacy issue. The windows have a proposed rear yard setback of 82 feet, and staff finds the distance mitigates privacy impact to the rear neighbor. Moreover, existing mature evergreen screening vegetation along the rear property line should further mitigate the privacy related concerns. Overall, staff found this new two-story house is consistent with the Residential Design Guidelines to minimize the privacy impact through various design measures and considerations.

## Landscaping and Trees

Fifteen existing trees are depicted within the proximity of the subject site and further assessed by the provided arborist report (Attachment B). Four non-protected trees will be removed. One Magnolia in 21inch diameter located in front yard to the left will be removed due to its declining condition. Other trees and exiting screening vegetation will be retained onsite with proper tree protection measures during the construction.

A new landscaping plan is proposed including a number of proposed evergreen screening vegetation. The proposed screening vegetation will be planted along all the property lines and are outlined in Table 1 below.

## Table 1: Screening Plant List

| Location | Common Name | No. | Size | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right property line | Purple Hopseed Bush | 5 | 24 -inch box | $12^{\prime}-16$ tall x 6'-8' wide |
| Left property line | Yew Pine | 4 | 24 -inch box | $25^{\prime}-40^{\prime}$ tall x $15^{\prime}-20^{\prime}$ wide |

Most of the existing screening vegetation will remain including five mature photinia/osmanthus shrubs along the left property line and a row of mature pittosporum shrubs along the rear property for screening.

In addition to the evergreen screening plants, the landscape plan also includes three new trees with 24 -in box or 36 -inch box in size, a variety of shrubs/hedges, and groundcover plants throughout the site. Since the project includes a new house and new landscaping area that exceeds 500 square feet, it is subject to the City's Water Efficient Landscape regulations. Overall, the existing and proposed landscaping meets the intent of the City's landscape regulations.

## Environmental Review

This project is categorically exempt from environmental review under Section 15303 of the California Environmental Quality Act because it involves the construction of a single-family dwelling in a residential zone.

## Public Notification and Community Outreach

A public meeting notice was posted on the property and mailed to 13 nearby property owners on Patrick Way, Becker Lane, and Los Altos Avenue. The Notification Map is included in Attachment C.

In April 2022, a billboard of Notice of Development Proposal (Attachment D) was installed onsite for early community awareness. The applicant has also reached out to the immediate neighbors for community outreach in April. A copy of the community outreach summary and responses from the neighbors is included in Attachment E .

Cc: Alvin Chow \& Ann Charng, Property Owner
Isabeau Guglielmo, Applicant and Architect
Attachments:
A. Neighborhood Compatibility Worksheet
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B. Arborist Report
C. Notification Map
D. Pictures of Notice of Development Proposal
E. Proof of Community Outreach
F. Material Boards

## FINDINGS

$$
\text { SC22-0003 - } 540 \text { Patrick Way }
$$

With regard to design review for the new two-story house, the Design Review Commission finds the following in accordance with Section 14.76 .050 of the Municipal Code:
a. The proposed addition complies with all provisions of this chapter;
b. The height, elevations, and placement on the site of the proposed addition, when considered with reference to the nature and location of residential structures on adjacent lots, will avoid unreasonable interference with views and privacy and will consider the topographic and geologic constraints imposed by particular building site conditions;
c. The natural landscape will be preserved insofar as practicable by minimizing tree and soil removal; grade changes shall be minimized and will be in keeping with the general appearance of neighboring developed areas;
d. The orientation of the proposed addition in relation to the immediate neighborhood will minimize the perception of excessive bulk;
e. General architectural considerations, including the character, size, scale, and quality of the design, the architectural relationship with the site and other buildings, building materials, and similar elements have been incorporated in order to insure the compatibility of the development with its design concept and the character of adjacent buildings; and
f. The proposed addition has been designed to follow the natural contours of the site with minimal grading, minimum impervious cover, and maximum erosion protection.

## CONDITIONS

SC22-0003 - 540 Patrick Way

## GENERAL

1. Expiration

The Design Review Approval will expire on September 21, 2024 unless prior to the date of expiration, a building permit is issued, or an extension is granted pursuant to Section 14.76.090 of the Zoning Code.
2. Approved Plans

The approval is based on the plans and materials received on September 14, 2022, except as may be modified by these conditions.
3. Protected Trees

Trees Nos. 3-7 and 10-13 along with the approved privacy screening and new trees shall be protected under this application and cannot be removed without a tree removal permit from the Community Development Director. A Tree Protection Plan detailed in the approved arborist report shall be implemented. Prior to the occupancy of the residence, a letter signed by the subject arborist shall be provided to certify the implementation of the Tree Protection Plan.
4. Tree Removal Approved

Trees Nos. 1, 2, 8, 9, 14, and 15 shown on plan Sheet A-3 of the approved set of plans are hereby approved for removal. Tree removal shall not occur until a building permit is submitted and shall only occur after issuance of a demolition permit or building permit. Exceptions to this condition may be granted by the Community Development Director upon submitting written justification.
5. Swimming Pool and Accessory Structure

The proposed swimming pool and detached accessory structure are not part of the Design Review application approval and shall obtain a separate building permit issuance prior to commencement of the construction.
6. Encroachment Permit

An encroachment permit shall be obtained from the Engineering Division prior to doing any work within the public right-of-way including the street shoulder. All work within the public street right-ofway shall be in compliance with the City's Shoulder Paving Policy.
7. New Fireplaces

Only gas fireplaces, pellet fueled wood heaters or EPA certified wood-burning appliances may be installed in all new construction pursuant to Chapter 12.64 of the Municipal Code.
8. Landscaping

The project shall be subject to the City's Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance (WELO) pursuant to Chapter 12.36 of the Municipal Code if over 500 square feet or more of new landscape area, including irrigated planting areas, turf areas, and water features is proposed.
9. Underground Utility and Fire Sprinkler Requirements

Additions exceeding fifty (50) percent of the existing living area (existing square footage calculations shall not include existing basements) and/or additions of 750 square feet or more shall trigger the undergrounding of utilities and new fire sprinklers. Additional square footage calculations shall include existing removed exterior footings and foundations being replaced and rebuilt. Any new utility service drops are pursuant to Chapter 12.68 of the Municipal Code.
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## 10. Indemnity and Hold Harmless

The applicant/owner agrees to indemnify, defend, protect, and hold the City harmless from all costs and expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred by the City or held to be the liability of the City in connection with the City's defense of its actions in any proceedings brought in any State or Federal Court, challenging any of the City's action with respect to the applicant's project. The City may withhold final maps and/or permits, including temporary or final occupancy permits, for failure to pay all costs and expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred by the City in connection with the City's defense of its actions.

## INCLUDED WITH THE BUILDING PERMIT SUBMITTAL

## 11. Conditions of Approval

Incorporate the conditions of approval into the title page of the plans.

## 12. Applicant Acknowledgement of Conditions of Approval

The applicant shall acknowledge receipt of the final conditions of approval and put in a letter format acceptance of said conditions. This letter will be submitted during the first building permit submittal.

## 13. Tree Protection Note

On the grading plan and/or the site plan, show all tree protection fencing and add the following note: "All tree protection fencing shall be chain link and a minimum of five feet in height with posts driven into the ground."

## 14. Water Efficient Landscape Plan

Provide a landscape documentation package prepared by a licensed landscape professional showing how the project complies with the City's Water Efficient Landscape Regulations and include signed statements from the project's landscape professional and property owner.
15. Reach Codes

Building Permit Applications submitted on or after January 26, 2021 shall comply with specific amendments to the 2019 California Green Building Standards for Electric Vehicle Infrastructure and the 2019 California Energy Code as provided in Ordinances Nos. 2020-470A, 2020-470B, 2020-470C, and 2020-471 which amended Chapter 12.22 Energy Code and Chapter 12.26 California Green Building Standards Code of the Los Altos Municipal Code. The building design plans shall comply with the standards and the applicant shall submit supplemental application materials as required by the Building Division to demonstrate compliance.

## 16. California Water Service Upgrades

You are responsible for contacting and coordinating with the California Water Service Company any water service improvements including but not limited to relocation of water meters, increasing water meter sizing or the installation of fire hydrants. The City recommends consulting with California Water Service Company as early as possible to avoid construction or inspection delays.

## 17. Green Building Standards

Provide verification that the house will comply with the California Green Building Standards pursuant to Chapter 12.26 of the Municipal Code and provide a signature from the project's Qualified Green Building Professional Designer/Architect and property owner.

## 18. Underground Utility Location

Show the location of underground utilities pursuant to Chapter 12.68 of the Municipal Code. Underground utility trenches shall avoid the drip-lines of all protected trees unless approved by the project arborist and the Planning Division.

## 19. Air Conditioner Sound Rating

Show the location of any air conditioning unit(s) on the site plan including the model number of the unit(s) and nominal size of the unit. Provide the manufacturer's specifications showing the sound rating for each unit. The air conditioning units must be located to comply with the City's Noise Control Ordinance (Chapter 6.16) and in compliance with the Planning Division setback provisions. The units shall be screened from view of the street.

## 20. Storm Water Management

Show how the project is in compliance with the New Development and Construction Best Management Practices and Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention program, as adopted by the City for the purposes of preventing storm water pollution (i.e. downspouts directed to landscaped areas, minimize directly connected impervious areas, etc.).

## PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING OR DEMOLITION PERMIT

## 21. Tree Protection

Tree protection fencing shall be installed around the driplines, or as required by the project arborist, of trees Nos. 3-7 and 10-13 as shown on the site plan. Tree protection fencing shall be chain link and a minimum of five feet in height with posts driven into the ground and shall not be removed until all building construction has been completed unless approved by the Planning Division.

## 22. School Fee Payment

In accordance with Section 65995 of the California Government Code, and as authorized under Section 17620 of the Education Code, the property owner shall pay the established school fee for each school district the property is located in and provide receipts to the Building Division. The City of Los Altos shall provide the property owner the resulting increase in assessable space on a form approved by the school district. Payments shall be made directly to the school districts.

## PRIOR TO FINAL INSPECTION

23. Landscaping Installation and Verification

Provide a landscape Certificate of Completion, signed by the project's landscape professional and property owner, verifying that the trees, landscaping and irrigation were installed per the approved landscape documentation package.

## 24. Landscape Privacy Screening

The landscape intended to provide privacy screening shall be inspected by the Planning Division and shall be supplemented by additional screening material as required to adequately mitigate potential privacy impacts to surrounding properties.

## 25. Green Building Verification

Submit verification that the house was built in compliance with the City's Green Building Ordinance (Chapter 12.26 of the Municipal Code).

## NEIGHBORHOOD COMPATIBILITY WORKSHEET

In order for your design review application for single-family residential remodel/addition or new construction to be successful, it is important that you consider your property, the neighborhood's special characteristics that surround that property and the compatibility of your proposal with that neighborhood. The purpose is to help you understand your neighborhood before you begin the design process with your architect/designer/builder or begin any formal process with the City of Los Altos. Please note that this worksheet must be submitted with your $1^{\text {st }}$ application.

The Residential Design Guidelines encourage neighborhood compatibility without necessarily forsaking individual taste. Various factors contribute to a design that is considered compatible with a surrounding neighborhood. The factors that City officials will be considering in your design could include, but are not limited to: design theme, scale, bulk, size, roof line, lot coverage, slope of lot, setbacks, daylight plane, one or two-story, exterior materials, landscaping et cetera.

It will be helpful to have a site plan to use in conjunction with this worksheet. Your site plan should accurately depict your property boundaries. The best source for this is the legal description in your deed.

Photographs of your property and its relationship to your neighborhood (see below) will be a necessary part of your first submittal. Taking photographs before you start your project will allow you to see and appreciate that your property could be within an area that has a strong neighborhood pattern. The photographs should be taken from across the street with a standard 35 mm camera and organized by address, one row for each side of the street. Photographs should also be taken of the properties on either side and behind your property from on your property.

This worksheet/check list is meant to help you as well as to help the City planners and Planning Commission understand your proposal. Reasonable guesses to your answers are acceptable. The City is not looking for precise measurements on this worksheet.

Project Address 540 Patrick Way, Los Altos, CA 94022
Scope of Project: Addition or Remodel $\qquad$ or New Home $\qquad$ Age of existing home if this project is to be an addition or remodel? $\qquad$ Is the existing house listed on the City's Historic Resources Inventory? No

## What constitutes your neighborhood?

There is no clear answer to this question. For the purpose of this worksheet, consider first your street, the two contiguous homes on either side of, and directly behind, your property and the five to six homes directly across the street (eight to nine homes). At the minimum, these are the houses that you should photograph. If there is any question in your mind about your neighborhood boundaries, consider a radius of approximately 200 to 300 feet around your property and consider that your neighborhood.

## Streetscape

## 1. Typical neighborhood lot size*:

Lot area: 12,800
Lot dimensions: _square feet
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Lot dimensions: } & \text { Length } 160 & \text { feet } \\ & \text { Width } 80 & \text { feet }\end{array}$
If your lot is significantly different than those in your neighborhood, then note its: area $\qquad$ , length $\qquad$ , and width $\qquad$ .

## 2. Setback of homes to front property line: (Pgs. 8-11 Design Guidelines)

Existing front setback if home is a remodel? No
What $\%$ of the front facing walls of the neighborhood homes are at the front setback $47 \quad \%$
Existing front setback for house on left $25^{\prime}-7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{ft}$./on right 25'-0" ft.
Do the front setbacks of adjacent houses line up? Yes
3. Garage Location Pattern: (Pg. 19 Design Guidelines)

Indicate the relationship of garage locations in your neighborhood* only on your street (count for each type)
Garage facing front projecting from front of house face 9
Garage facing front recessed from front of house face 4
Garage in back yard 3
Garage facing the side 5
Number of 1-car garages $\underline{0} ; 2$-car garages 23 ; 3-car garages 0

## 4. Single or Two-Story Homes:

What \% of the homes in your neighborhood* are:
One-story 8
Two-story 15

## 5. Roof heights and shapes:

Is the overall height of house ridgelines generally the same in your neighborhood*? Yes
Are there mostly hip $\square$, gable style $\square$, or other style $\square$ roofs*?
Do the roof forms appear simple $\qquad$ or complex $\qquad$ ?
Do the houses share generally the same eave height Yes ?
6. Exterior Materials: (Pg. 22 Design Guidelines)

What siding materials are frequently used in your neighborhood*?
_ wood shingle $\underline{\boldsymbol{v}}$ stucco $\underline{\boldsymbol{v}}$ board \& batten _ clapboard
_ tile _ stone _ brick _ combination of one or more materials (if so, describe) $\qquad$
What roofing materials (wood shake/shingle, asphalt shingle, flat tile, rounded tile, cement tile, slate) are consistently (about $80 \%$ ) used? Asphalt Shingle
If no consistency then explain:
7. Architectural Style: (Appendix C, Design Guidelines)

Does your neighborhood* have a consistent identifiable architectural style? $\square$ YES 区 NO

Type? $\square$ Ranch $\square$ Shingle $\square$ Tudor $\square$ Mediterranean/Spanish ㅁ Contemporary ㅁColonial ㅁ Bungalow 区Other

## 8. Lot Slope: (Pg. 25 Design Guidelines)

Does your property have a noticeable slope? №
What is the direction of your slope? (relative to the street) The property slopes down towards the street.

Is your slope higher $\square$ lower $\sqrt{\boldsymbol{V}}$ same $\square$ in relationship to the neighboring properties? Is there a noticeable difference in grade between your property/house and the one across the street or directly behind?

## 9. Landscaping:

Are there any frequently used or typical landscaping features on your street (i.e. big trees, front lawns, sidewalks, curbs, landscape to street edge, etc.)? The neighborhood frequently used a proportionally sized lawn and a paved driveway.

How visible are your house and other houses from the street or back neighbor's property?
Neighbor's visibility is sianificantly obstructed by tall trees located at the rear and side of the property.

Are there any major existing landscaping features on your property and how is the unimproved public right-of-way developed in front of your property (gravel, dirt, asphalt, landscape)?
No.

## 10. Width of Street:

What is the width of the roadway paving on your street in feet? 30 Is there a parking area on the street or in the shoulder area? No Is the shoulder area (unimproved public right-of-way) paved, unpaved, gravel, landscaped, and/or defined with a curb/gutter? Paved

## 11．What characteristics make this neighborhood＊cohesive？

Such as roof material and type（hip，gable，flat），siding（board and batten， cement plaster，horizontal wood，brick），deep front yard setbacks， horizontal feel，landscape approach etc．：
Stucco，Siding，Hip，Gable

## General Study

A．Have major visible streetscape changes occurred in your neighborhood？
凹 YES $\square \mathrm{NO}$

B．Do you think that most $(\sim 80 \%)$ of the homes were originally built at the same time？図 YES $\square \mathrm{NO}$

C．Do the lots in your neighborhood appear to be the same size？
区 YES $\square$ NO
D．Do the lot widths appear to be consistent in the neighborhood？
囚 YES $\square \mathrm{NO}$

E．Are the front setbacks of homes on your street consistent（ $\sim 80 \%$ within 5 feet）？$\square$ YES $\square$ NO

F．Do you have active CCR＇s in your neighborhood？（p． 36 Building Guide）
$\square$ YES 図 NO

G．Do the houses appear to be of similar size as viewed from the street？
凹 YES $\square$ NO

H．Does the new exterior remodel or new construction design you are planning relate in most ways to the prevailing style（s）in your existing neighborhood？

囚 YES ■ NO

## Summary Table

Please use this table to summarize the characteristics of the houses in your immediate neighborhood (two homes on either side, directly behind and the five to six homes directly across the street).

| Address | Front setback | Rear setback | Garage location | One or two stories | Height | Materials | Architecture (simple or complex) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 550 Patrick Way, Los Altos, CA 94 | 25'-7" | 63'-0" | Front | One | N/A | Siding | Simple |
| 560 Patrick Way, Los Altos, CA 94 | 26'-0" | 52'-0" | Front | One | N/A | Siding | Simple |
| 570 Patrick Way, Los Altos, CA 94 | 25'-0" | 52'-0" | Side | One | N/A | Stucco | Simple |
| 530 Patrick Way, Los Altos, CA 94 | 25'-0" | 70'-0" | Side | Two | N/A | Stucco | Simple |
| 520 Patrick Way, Los Altos, CA 94 | 25'-0 1/2" | 70'-0" | Side | One | N/A | Siding | Simple |
| 500 Patrick Way, Los Altos, CA 94 | 25'-2 1/2" | 48'-0" | Front | One | N/A | Siding | Simple |
| 541 Patrick Way, Los Altos, CA 94 | 27'-8' | 60'-0" | Front | Two | N/A | Stucco | Simple |
| 551 Patrick Way, Los Altos, CA 94 | 25'-2" | 39'-0" | Front | Two | N/A | Siding | Simple |
| 531 Patrick Way, Los Altos, CA 94 | 26'-9" | 55'-0" | Rear | Two | N/A | Siding | Simple |
| 521 Patrick Way, Los Altos, CA 94 | 26'-4" | 61'-0" | Front | One | N/A | Stucco | Simple |

Kielty Arborist Services LLC<br>Certified Arborist WE\#0476A TRAQ Qualified<br>P.O. Box 6187<br>San Mateo, CA 94403<br>650-532-4418

August $17^{\text {th }}, 2021$, Revised May $9^{\text {th }}, 2022$
Alvin Chow \& Ann Charng,
Site: 540 Patrick Way, Los Altos CA

## Dear Alvin Chow \& Ann Charng,

As requested on Monday, July $26^{\text {th }}, 2021$, I visited the above site for the purpose of inspecting and commenting on the trees. A new 2 story home is proposed for the property, and as required by the City of Los Altos, a survey of the trees and a tree protection plan will be provided within this report. The entire 23-page building plan set dated $4 / 5 / 22$ was reviewed for writing this report. This report will go over the existing health of the protected trees and give recommendations for construction as needed.

## Method:

The significant trees on this site were located on a map provided by you. Each tree was given an identification number. This number can be found on the provided tree location map seen on page 3 of this report. The trees were then measured for diameter at 48 inches above ground level (DBH or diameter at breast height). Each tree was put into a health class using the following rating system:

| F- | Very Poor |
| :--- | :--- |
| D- | Poor |
| C- | Fair |
| B- | Good |
| A- | Excellent |

The height of each tree was estimated, and the spread was paced off. Lastly, a comments section is provided.

## Survey Key:

DBH-Diameter at breast height (54" above grade)
CON- Condition rating (1-100)
HT/SP- Tree height/ canopy spread
*indicates neighbor's trees
$\mathbf{P}$-Indicates protected tree by city ordinance
$\mathbf{R}$-Indicates proposed removal

540 Patrick
(2)

Survey:
Tree\# Species
DBH CON HT/SP Comments
1R Crape myrtle $8.4 \quad$ B $\quad$ 20/15 Good vigor, good form.
(Lagerstroemia sp.)
2P/R Magnolia 20.5 D 30/20 Fair to poor vigor, poor form, topped in past, (Magnolia grandiflora) drought stressed, abundance of dead wood, in decline.

3* Privet 8-8est C (Ligustrum japonicum)

4* Spanish dagger 12est F
(Yucca gloriosa)
5 Pittosporum 4"x6 C $\quad 12 / 12$ Fair vigor, poor form, multi leader at grade. (Pittosporum undulatum)

6* Red flowering gum 12est C $35 / 20$ Fair vigor, fair form, limited visual (Eucalyptus ficifolia)

7* Pittosporum 8-8est D 30/15 Fair to poor vigor, poor form, in decline. (Pittosporum eugenioides)

8R Mayten 4.5 C 12/10 Fair vigor, fair form, minor dead wood. (Maytenus boaria)

9R Pittosporum $\quad$ 5.0 C $30 / 15$ Fair vigor, fair form, screening material. (Pittosporum eugenioides)

10* $\mathbf{P}$ Redwood 48est B 90/30 Fair vigor, good form, thinned out in past. (Sequoia sempervirens)

11*P Redwood 30est B 90/30 Fair vigor, good form, thinned out in past. (Sequoia sempervirens)

12 Strawberry tree $\quad 9.0 \quad$ B $\quad 12 / 12$ Fair vigor, fair form.
(Arbutus unedo)
13* Plum 12est D
(Prunus sp.)

14R Pittosporum $\quad 3.0 \quad$ C $\quad 12 / 6$ Fair vigor, fair form, hedge material. (Pittosporum eugenioides)


Showing tree locations


## Site observations:

The existing landscape is in fair condition. The site is flat, and irrigation is currently being provided for the trees and shrubs on the site. Four out of the fifteen trees surveyed are in poor condition.

## Trees proposed for removal:

Crape myrtle tree \#1 is in good condition and is not of a protected size in the city of Los Altos. The tree is located close to the existing home on site. This tree is proposed for removal as the new home is within the tree's footprint.

## Showing Crape Myrtle tree \#1



Protected tree-Magnolia tree $\# 2$ is in poor condition. The tree has been topped in the past and the poor pruning practices have likely led to the tree's decline. Areas of dead wood and die back were observed. The tree is also under severe drought stress. This tree is recommended for removal as it is in decline and likely to be further impacted by the proposed construction. No mitigation measures within ANSI A300 Pruning Standards are expected to improve the tree's condition rating.

Showing Magnolia tree \#2

Mayten tree \#8, and pittosporum trees \#9, 14, and 15 are proposed for removal to facilitate the construction of the proposed landscape. These trees are in fair condition. These trees are not of a protected size in the city of Los Altos.


## Trees to be retained:

Neighboring Privet tree \#3 is in fair condition. A limited visual assessment was conducted. The tree is located 1 foot from the property line. Neighboring Spanish Dagger tree \#4 is in poor condition. Large areas of dead wood were observed. This tree is not expected to improve. Both neighboring trees \#3 and \#4 are not of a protected size in the city of Los Altos.

## Showing trees \#3 and \#4

Pittosporum tree \#5 is in fair condition. The tree acts as a large screen at the back of the property. Many other small Pittosporum trees were observed at the back property fence line. These trees were all under 4" in diameter and not surveyed as a part of this report. The Pittosporum trees together create a nice dense screen at the back of the property. Pittosporum tree \#5 is not of a protected size in the city of Los Altos.


Neighboring Red Flowering Gum Eucalyptus tree \#6 is in fair condition. A limited visual assessment was conducted. The tree is located 5 feet from the property line fence. This tree is not of a protected size in the city of Los Altos.

Neighboring Pittosporum tree \#7 is in poor condition. The tree is showing signs of decline through large areas of deadwood and die back observed within the canopy. This tree is not expected to improve. Root rot is likely the culprit of the observed decline. This tree is not of a protected size in the city of Los Altos.

## Showing tree \#7

Strawberry tree \#12 is in fair condition. This tree is not of a protected size in the city of Los Altos. Neighboring plum tree \#13 is in poor condition. The tree is overmature for the species. Large areas of dead wood and decline were observed. Decay on the trunk was observed. The tree is located 4 feet from the property line. This tree is not of a protected size in the city of Los Altos.


Protected trees- Neighboring Redwood trees \#10 and \#11 are in good condition. Both trees have been thinned out in the past to reduce wind sail. These trees are well placed far back on the neighboring lot. These trees are protected in the city of Los Altos.

## Showing Redwood trees \#10 and \#11

## Impacts/recommendations:

No impacts are expected for the retained trees. It is recommended to install tree protection fencing at the driplines where possible to reduce risk of compacting soil within the tree root zones. Irrigation every 2 weeks during the dry season is recommended to be provided within the tree protection zones for the trees. 20 gallons water is recommended within the tree protection zones. The tree protection zone for the neighboring Redwood trees is recommended to be irrigated using 50 gallons of water every 2 weeks.

## Tree Protection Plan:

## Tree Protection Zones

Tree protection zones should be installed and maintained throughout the entire length of the project. Prior to the commencement of any Development Project, a chain link fence shall be installed at the drip line(canopy spread) of any protected tree which will or will not be affected by the construction. Non-protected trees to be retained shall also be protected in the same way. The drip line shall not be altered in any way so as to increase the encroachment of the construction. When work is to take place underneath a trees dripline, fencing must be placed as close as possible to the tree proposed work. If an area of access is needed underneath a trees canopy, the area shall be protected by a landscape barrier. Fencing for the protection zones should be 6 -foot-tall metal chain link type supported my 2 inch metal poles pounded into the ground by no less than 2 feet. The support poles should be spaced no more than 10 feet apart on center. Signs should be placed on fencing signifying "Tree Protection Zone - Keep Out". No materials or equipment should be stored or cleaned inside the tree protection zones. Excavation, grading, soil deposits, drainage and leveling is prohibited within the tree protection zones without the project arborist consent. No wires, signs or ropes shall be attached to the protected trees on site. Utility services and irrigation lines shall all be place outside of the tree protection zones when possible. When access is needed and tree protection fencing restricts access a landscape barrier shall be installed to protected the non-protected root zone.


## Landscape Barrier zone

If for any reason a smaller tree protection zone is needed for access, a landscape buffer consisting of wood chips spread to a depth of six inches with plywood or steel plates placed on top will be placed where tree protection fencing is required. The landscape buffer will help to reduce compaction to the unprotected root zone.

## Inspections

The site arborist will need to verify that tree protection fencing has been installed before the start of construction. The site arborist must inspect the site anytime excavation work is to take place underneath a protected trees dripline. It is the contractor's responsibility to contact the site arborist if excavation work is to take place underneath the protected trees on site. Kielty Arborist Services can be reached at kkarbor0476@yahoo.com or by phone at (650) 515-9783 (Kevin), or (650) 5324418 (David).

## Root Cutting and Grading

If for any reason roots are to be cut, they shall be monitored and documented. Large roots (over 2 " diameter) or large masses of roots to be cut must be inspected by the site arborist. The site arborist, at this time, may recommend irrigation or fertilization of the root zone. All roots needing to be cut should be cut clean with a saw or lopper. Roots to be left exposed for a period of time should be covered with layers of burlap and kept moist. The site arborist must first give consent if roots over 2 inches in diameter are to be cut.

## Trenching and Excavation

Trenching for foundation, irrigation, drainage, electrical or any other reason shall be done by hand when inside the dripline of a protected tree. Hand digging and the careful placement of pipes below or besides protected roots will significantly reduce root loss, thus reducing trauma to the tree. All trenches shall be backfilled with native materials and compacted to near its original level, as soon as possible and if possible. Trenches to be left open for a period of time, will require the covering of all exposed roots with burlap and be kept moist. The trenches will also need to be covered with plywood to help protect the exposed roots.

## Pruning

At this time no pruning is proposed. If during the project pruning is needed, it shall be under the direction of the Project Arborist. All pruning must follow ANSI A300 pruning standards.

## Irrigation

Normal irrigation shall be maintained on this site at all times. The imported trees will require normal irrigation. On a construction site, I recommend irrigation during winter months, 1 time per month. Seasonal rainfall may reduce the need for additional irrigation. During the warm season, April - November, my recommendation is to use heavy irrigation, 2 times per month. This type of irrigation should be started prior to any excavation. The irrigation will improve the vigor and water content of the trees. The on-site arborist may make adjustments to the irrigation recommendations as needed. The foliage of the trees may need cleaning if dust levels are extreme. Removing dust from the foliage will help to reduce mite and insect infestation.

The information included in this report is believed to be true and based on sound arboricultural principles and practices.
Sincerely,
David Beckham
Certified Arborist WE\#10724A TRAQ Qualified

# David Beckham 

## Kielty Arborist Services

P.O. Box 6187

San Mateo, CA 94403
650-532-4418

## ARBORIST DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Arborists are tree specialists who use their education, knowledge, training and experience to examine trees, recommend measures to enhance the beauty and health of trees, and attempt to reduce the risk of living near trees. Clients may choose to accept or disregard the recommendations of the arborist, or seek additional advice.

Arborists cannot detect every condition that could possibly lead to the structural failure of a tree. Trees are living organisms that fail in ways we do not fully understand. Conditions are often hidden within trees and below ground. Arborists cannot guarantee that a tree will be healthy or safe under all circumstances, or for a specified period of time. Likewise, remedial treatments, like a medicine, cannot be guaranteed.

Treatment, pruning, and removal of trees may involve considerations beyond the scope of the arborist's services such as property boundaries, property ownership, site lines, disputes between neighbors, landlord-tenant matters, etc. Arborists cannot take such issues into account unless complete and accurate information is given to the arborist. The person hiring the arborist accepts full responsibility for authorizing the recommended treatment or remedial measures.

Trees can be managed, but they cannot be controlled. To live near a tree is to accept some degree of risk. The only way to eliminate all risks is to eliminate all trees.

Arborist: $\frac{\text { David Beckham }}{\text { David Beckham }}$
Date: $\quad$ May $9^{\text {th }}, 2022$
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## Studio S Squared Architecture, Inc.

 1000 S Winchester BIvd.San Jose, CA 95128
ph: (408) 998-0983
fax: (408) 404-0144
STUDID S SQUARED
www.studios2arch.com
ARCHITECTURE

April 29, 2022
Community Development Department
One North San Antonio Road
Los Altos, California 94022
Attn: Naz Healy, Associate Planner, 650-947-2640, nhealy@losaltosca.gov

Re: 540 Patrick Way (Charng-Chow Residence) - (SC22-0003)
Dear Naz Healy:
Thank you for taking the time to review our community outreach letter. Below is a summary of the comments the client provided from their neighbors. The sheets shared with the neighbors were: Site Plan (page 6), Elevations (page 13-16), and Exterior Perspectives (page 17-18).

From the client:
We did our neighbor outreach to 12 neighbors this past weekend, and gave them all packets with a personal letter and reduced plans. Here is the summary

We met our neighbors in person at 530,550,541,551 and 521 Patrick and gave them our packet.

The remaining 7 neighbors were not at home so we left the packet in their mailbox. One of them (531 Patrick) has apparently been gone for a while and has been traveling or something; I may see if I can get their contact info from someone.

Some more notes about our chats with our immediate neighbors.

## 3/5/22

- Went around to all homes and knocked on doors. Prepared a packet with an introductory letter with contact information (email/phone) and a reduced set of our plans.
- Met in person with homeowners at $521,530,541,550 \& 551$ Patrick Way to briefly chat about our project, and gave them our packet.
- Had longer discussions with homeowners at 530 Patrick and 550 Patrick (our immediate neighbors) about the project.
- Owners were not present at other addresses (520/561/531 Patrick, and 417/424/425 Becker Ln). Left packet in mailboxes


## 3/6/22

- Had further discussion in-person with homeowner at 550 Patrick Way (our immediate neighbor) about the project


## 3/7/22

- Received email from homeowner of 560 Patrick Way

1. 530 Patrick comments:
a. Our neighbor to the south (which is also a two-story). They actually did a remodel in the past to add the second story.
b. Was also the selling agent for 540 Patrick, so she was already expecting a rebuild when we purchased the house
c. So far doesn't have any problem with us doing a two story house, is overall supportive
2. 550 Patrick comments:
a. He seemed to be more OK with the plans after reviewing them. Had no problem with the two-story aspect.
3. 521 Patrick
a. I talked to the owner on that initial weekend I did the outreach - this is the modern house, they did a complete rebuild. She offered to chat to share tips about the build process.
4. 560 Patrick comments
a. Owner sent me a nice welcome email last week. She said the plans look lovely and wished for a smooth construction.

Thank you for your review. Please find the supportive documents such as letters, emails, messages, and the pictures were provided by the owner in this regard in the following pages.

Please do not hesitate to call our office should you have any questions.
Sincerely,


Eugene H. Sakai, AIA, LEED AP
President, Studio ${ }^{2}$ Architecture, Inc,

## Letter from the owner to the neighbors:

Dear neighbor,

We are Alvin and Ann, your new neighbors at 540 Patrick Way. We are planning a full rebuild of our house on the current property, and would like to share our plans with you to get your feedback. The new house will be a 2 -story single family home with a detached ADU. We have recently begun working with the Los Altos Planning Division to review the project.

A quick introduction to ourselves: we have two children, Ashton (age 6) and Avery (age 3), and a corgi named Mollie. We both grew up in the Bay Area and have lived and worked here for most of our lives. Alvin is a software engineer and has worked at various early-stage startups. Ann formerly worked as a UX professional and now devotes her time to their children. We love to travel and enjoy the outdoors.

Los Altos has for many years been our dream location to make our forever home, and we are very excited to have the opportunity to live here. The neighborhood here is beautiful, peaceful and charming. We look forward to becoming a part of the local community and for our children to attend Los Altos schools.

Please check out the attached plans to get an idea of the house we are building. We are planning for a modern farmhouse design with a few rustic elements. It will be a green home utilizing solar panels and electric heating/appliances to minimize carbon footprint. Our landscaping plan will retain a good portion of the existing plants along the fences for privacy screening, while adding new ones as well. We are hoping our home can complement and enhance the aesthetic of the neighborhood.

If you have any feedback, concerns or questions, please feel free to send them our way! Also if you would like a digital copy of the plans, we can definitely send that to you. You can also download them at this link - 540patrick.alvinchow.com.

## Contactinfo:

Alvin Chow
alvinchow86@gmail.com
510-456-5296

Best regards.
Alvin and Ann


## Email form 560 Patrick Way：

Gmail

## Welcome to the Neighborhood！

2 messages
Roxanne Knutti＜knuttir＠gmail．com＞
Mon，Mar 7， 2022 at 4：29 PM
To：alvinchow86＠gmail．com
Hi Alvin，
I＇m Roxanne Knutti and I live at 560 Patrick Way．I currently live here with my daughter Milly and her boyfriend Matt．The plans look wonderful；I hope you＇ll be able to break ground soon！

I＇ve been here for 28 years but I am a relative youngster compared to Matt Spoorenberg at 550，Lila and Graham Hunter at 551 and Pixie Kather at 561！The Woods next to me and the Sasakis next to them have been here longer than I，but I＇m not sure how much longer．However，as I＇m sure you are aware in the last year and a half 4 houses have sold on the street and there is another one for sale right now，which should make for a nice infusion of new families！

Once again，welcome to the neighborhood，and I hope your construction goes smoothly！
Roxanne Knutti

Alvin Chow＜alvinchow86＠gmail．com＞
Tue，Mar 8， 2022 at 8：36 AM
To：Roxanne Knutti＜knuttir＠gmail．com＞

Hi Roxanne，
Thanks for the warm welcome！Appreciate your sharing more information about the neighborhood．I look forward to meeting and getting to know all of you in the near future！

Best，
Alvin
Quoted text hidden］

## Message form 530 Patrick Way



```
\leftarrow \mp@code { N i r a j ~ M e r c h a n t }
            Monday 10:16 AM
Mconday 10:18 AMM
        Hi Alvin,This is Niraj from 530
        Patrick Way (Shilpa's husband)
        Wondering if you have time
    tomorrow to go over a couple o
    items in your plans. Some time
    between 1pm}-5\textrm{Fm}\mathrm{ ? We can do a
    we could meet in person? Let me
N
    we could meet in
        Hi Niraj, happy to do that. Does 2:30
        work for you? I can set up a Zoom
        work.
N
    Sure!
        Here is the Zoom link https://
        us05web.zoom.us//8305539046
        Mpwd=diMYYkXVIdmdXXNBYOJAVDZV
        RWVFZzO9. If you have any problems
        oining tomorrow let me know. Look
        orward to chatting.
        Join our Cloud HD Video Meeting
        Zoom is the leader in modern enterprise video
            153 人甠然;*
        \nabla\triangleQ62%
                e Q :
            \leftarrow \mp@code { N i r a j ~ M e r c h a n t }
            \squareab a :
            Monday.1144 AM
```

```
皃 Text message
（；）』
```


## Pictures from the neighbor's mailboxes:

- 560 Patrick Way

- 531 Patrick Way

- 425 Becker Ln

- 417 Backer Ln



## ATTACHMENT F

CLOPAY GARAGE DOOR STYLE: GRAND HARBOR COLOR:STANDARD WHITE www.clopay.com

STANDING SEAM METAL ROOF MIN CLASS A--MANUF. [AEP SPAN] STYLE: SPAN LOK HP METAL ROOFING COVERAGE: 16" / GAUGE: 22 / COLOR: COOL MATTE BLACK
www.aepspan.com

STONE WAINSCOT KO NATURAL STONE STYLE: BERKSHIRE www.konaturalstone.com


ENTRY DOOR
CUSTOM WOOD CHEVRON ENTRY PIVOT DOOR
www.everwooddoors.com


SURROUNDING HOUSE NUMBERS PIN MOUNTED LED ILLUMINATED ADDRESS SIGNAGE 10" LUXELIO COLOR: SATIN BLACK www.surrounding.com

CHARNG-CHOW RESIDENCE 540 PATRICK WAY, LOS ALTOS





FRONT VIEW (WEST)






|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
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FLOOR PLAN KEYNOTES
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August $177^{n}, 2021$, Revised
Alvin Chow \& Anc Chamg
Dear Avin Chow \& Anc Charg,
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Kielty Arborist Services


ARBORIST DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
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Aboris: $\frac{\text { David Becheham }}{\text { David Beckham }}$
Date: May ${ }^{\text {Hil }}$,2022

STURIO S SQURRED


ARBORIST









[^0]:    Sean Gallegos
    Senior Planner

