

Livingston City Commission Minutes

April 02, 2024 5:30 PM City – County Complex, Community Room

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89094257059?pwd=S0dySDJMbVJQb0RwQUFIcmxNUHNIQT09

Meeting ID: 890 9425 7059 Passcode: 951670

1. Call to Order

Chair Kahle called the meeting to order at 5:33p

2. Roll Call

City Commission in attendance at start of meeting: Chair Kahle, Vice-Chair Nootz, Commissioner Schwarz, Commissioner Lyons, and Commissioner Willich

Staff in attendance: City Manager Grant Gager, Policy Analyst Greg Anthony, City Clerk Emily Hutchinson, Chief of Police Wayne Hard, Asst. Chief of Police Andrew Emanuel, Recreation Director Maggie Tar, Code Enforcement Helen DeLaHunt, Dispatcher Barbara Roberts, Dispatcher Mariah Thomas.

3. Public Comment

Individuals are reminded that public comments should be limited to item over which the City Commission has supervision, control jurisdiction, or advisory power (MCA 2-3-202)

4. Consent Items

- A. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM MARCH 19, 2024, REGULAR MEETING PG.4
- B. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM MARCH 25, 2024, SPECIAL MEETING PG.11
- C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM CLOSED SESSIONS ON JANUARY 16, FEBRUARY 20 AND MARCH 19, 2024
- D. APPROVAL OF CLAIMS PAID 3/14/24 3/27/24 PG.18
- E. AGREEMENTS 20074, 20075 AND 20076 FOR LEASE OF ROPING ARENA PG.27

Motion to approve consent agenda items A-D was made by Vice-Chair Nootz and seconded by Commissioner Lyons. The motion passed unanimously by the five members present.

Vice-Chair Nootz pulled Consent Item E to review 2 contracts. She questioned agreement 20075 with the Rodeo Association and the wondered about potential conflict with their President as he is a contract City employee.

The City Manager stated the Rodeo Association President Bruce Becker had no involvement in the drafting of the contract and stated these are identical to one they have used in the past. He clarified that Mr. Becker doesn't not play a role in drafting the contract or approval in the contract from the City side of things.

Vice-Chair Nootz wondered what would happen if something happened and Mr. Becker had to defend the City, and brought up potential conflict of interest.

The City Manager stated that Bruce Becker is the contracted City Prosecutor, and clarified that Jon Hesse handles the civil and contractual matters, and ultimately Jon would be the person defending the City. He reassured that Mr. Becker's involvement is pretty isolated.

Vice-Chair Nootz questioned 20076 the Montana Bike Tour she was interested to know more about the Special Exception process that would allow camping this parking lot.

The City Manager stated this arrangement would be through a Special Event Permit with the organizers of the event and the City would manage the camping through that process. He stated they submitted the permit already, but this agreement is the first step in understanding if we would allow the event. He clarified that through the process City staff works with the organizer to understand garbage collection, personal waste, water and other types of sanitary needs.

Commissioner Schwarz recalled a Bike Tour being allowed in Sacagawea Park a few years back.

The City Manager stated this is the same Bike Tour group and after internal staff discussions it was decided that June was not a great time to allow exclusive use of a large City Park, and he stated they decided that this Roping Arena might be a better location.

Vice-Chair Nootz motioned to approve consent item E seconded by Commissioner Schwarz. Unanimously approved by the five Commissioners present.

5. Proclamations

A. A PROCLAMATION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LIVINGSTON MONTANA, DECLARING NATIONAL PUBLIC SAFETY TELECOMMUNICATORS WEEK AS APRIL 14-20, 2024 PG.45

Chair Kahle read the proclamation.

The City Manager thanked everyone in the dispatch center for everything they do every day.

Commissioners also thanked the dispatchers for their hard work.

B. A PROCLAMATION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LIVINGSTON MONTANA, DECLARING APRIL 6-12, 2024 AS THE WEEK OF THE YOUNG CHILD IN LIVINGSTON MONTANA PG.47

Chair Kahle read the proclamation.

The City Manager thanked all of those who work with children in the community. He introduced the Recreation Director Maggie Tarr.

Rec Director Tarr introduced John Gregory from Park County Early Childhood Coalition.

John has been part of the PCECC for 12 years and has been active in Week of the Young Child for 7 years. He stated PCECC coordinates fun activities for Week of the Young Child, and advised folks look listing on social media for this specific week.

Rec Director Tarr reviewed the activities the City Rec. Dept will be hosting.

Andrea from Fish Wildlife and Parks introduced a program she will be hosting for young people as an outdoor preschool.

Commissioners thanked these folks for all of their hard work and everything they do.

- 6. Scheduled Public Comment
- 7. Action Items

A. RESOLUTION 5128: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LIVINGSTON, MONTANA, CREATING THE LIVINGSTON RECREATION FACILITY DISTRICT

PG.49

Chair Kahle called on the City Manager to introduce the item.

The City Manager stated this is the final step in a long process that began in December when the Commission called for an election to establish the Livingston Recreation Facility District. He recapped the Special Commission Meeting from 3/25/24 the results showed approval for the creation of the Livingston Recreation Facility District. He stated MCA establishes that the final step in the process of district creation is the passage by the governing body of an ordinance or resolution that calls for creation of the district within 30 days of the approval of the referendum.

Commissioner Schwarz asked when will the district start collecting fees, and what happens to the district if the facility is not built.

The City Manager stated Resolution 5124 that they approved in December specified that assessments related to the district would not begin until the building achieves substantial completion, and the City does not expect substantial completion by about 2026, but depending on building and design it may push out to 2027. He stated in the event that 4 Ranges is unable to raise the funds to complete the project the lease will not be executed and will be unable to take control of the facility until they have evidenced full funding to complete the project.

Vice-Chair Nootz asked for clarification on the 30 day period.

The City Manager stated after talking with the bond council the referendum occurred on March 19th, so they would have until April 19th to pass this.

Vice-Chair Nootz asked what the timeline is to raise the funds for the 4 Ranges Foundation.

The City Manager stated the expectation is that the foundation will have the funds raised this year, and he stated they do have cancellation clause in the lease that the City could elect if they thought the project was dragging on and the fundraising process was extending beyond a period they thought was reasonable.

Vice-Chair Nootz asked what would happen if this didn't pass tonight.

The City Manager stated MCA section 711-1013 says that to create a special district the governing body shall issue an order or pass an ordinance or resolution in accordance with the resolution of intention introduced and passed by the governing body, or accordance with terms of the referendum required under 7-11-1011. He stated the word "shall" in this statement is prescriptive and not permissive, and stated that statutorily that they do not have much leeway in this action that

was put to voters. He stated he would expect some supporters to potentially pursue legal action if this were not acted upon.

Commissioner Schwarz moved to approve the resolution and Commissioner Lyons seconded the motion.

Public Comment was offered by:

- Jay Keifer asked what happens if the City voters who passed this resolution decide to dissolve the special district? Further he asked if there is an opportunity for voters to dissolve the district.
- Patricia Grabow expressed support to the Commission about the passage of the district.

The City Manager stated in response to public comment that there is a process in MCA for the dissolution of Special Districts and the local government body do have the power to dissolve Special Districts.

Vice-Chair Nootz asked that the City Manager review 2 emails that were sent in.

The City Manager reviewed these emails in detail that are attached additionally in the minutes.

(Starts at Video Mark 40:38)

Chair Kahle moved the Commission to deliberation.

Commissioner Willich asked about the 30-day protest period after the results are certified and wondered if the Commission should hold off until the 16th of April to approve this district.

The City Manager stated the protest period refers to Special Districts that are created through processes outside of the referenda, so the City Commission does have the ability to create a Special District that's term limited to 10 years, and that is where the protest period comes in. There is no protest period on the referendum path.

Chair Kahle clarified that they have 30 days from the 19th of March.

Commissioner Lyons stated that is moving forward with resolution that reaffirming anything but the will of the voters would be irresponsible. He has witnessed a legislative body fail to codify a referendum before and it was to the detriment of the trust in that legislative body. He stated the point tonight was to respect the dissenting opinion, and the process they have followed has given ample opportunity for them to hear and consider that dissenting opinion, and for voters to hear and consider that dissenting opinion. He further stated it is their responsibility to codify what was voted in the referendum, and feels it would irresponsible to do anything else.

Commissioner Schwarz expressed that he has seen so much disinformation on social media through this whole process, and feels it's very unfortunate. He feels they have done a good job answering questions and having open dialogue with the community, and thinks the City Manager has done a great job explaining the process and project as well.

Vice-Chair Nootz expressed thoughts about how divisive this has all been, and feels she has never seen the community so unwell. She stated it is disheartening that every time she leaves the house a community member, regardless of how they voted, will say they are confused about the Wellness Center and they don't know what just happened. Two themes that have emerged in her communications with the community are confusion and that people feel forced. She stated she appreciates Commissioner Lyons use of the word irresponsible, and feels it would also be

irresponsible of the Commission if they didn't figure out a way to address where the people in the community are. She stated she is less concerned about the vote of the district, but more concerned about what comes next on a bigger scale, and wonders from other Commissioners what they should be doing next to address how divided the community is and what the expectation is now that come with the project.

Chair Kahle expressed agreement with Commissioner Lyons and stated the voters have spoken and they are in a position to move forward as they should. She also expressed agreement with Vice-Chair Nootz that folks are confused and are wanting more information, and she feels that is something they can help with, such as putting out more information. She feels this project is at a point now where it can come back into the hands of the City to take more control of the information being put out to the public. She clarified that once something is put to the voters the City and Commission are not able to push folks to vote for or against it, but now that the voters have voted for it the City can now communicate more and help change the narrative around the Wellness Center.

Commissioner Lyons agreed with Chair Kahle about the ability to communicate about the Wellness Center now that the vote is decided. He trusts that the City Manager will communicate well and appropriately with the community about the project.

The item was approved unanimously by the five Commissioners present.

6:46PM Commissioner Schwarz motioned for a 10 minute break seconded by Commissioner Lyons. Unanimously approved.

(Starts at Video Mark 1:13:09)

B. ORDINANCE 3052: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LIVINGSTON, MONTANA, AMENDING ARTICLE IV OF CHAPTER 9 OF THE LIVINGSTON MUNICIPAL CODE ENTITLED PARKING, STOPPING AND STANDING BY AMENDING CERTAIN SECTIONS TO CLARIFY PARKING REQUIREMENTS AND REMOVE REFERENCES TO FEE AMOUNTS WHICH ARE SET SEPARATELY BY RESOLUTION. PG.65

Chair Kahle called on the City Manager to introduce the item.

The City Manager stated this ordinance seeks to make minor and technical changes to the parking requirements. He advised that part of the ongoing downtown master plan project there is a parking study that is being performed, so the intent was not to make any major changes, but to remove the dollar amount references as they are codified in the Citywide Fee Resolution. As they worked through that process the Code Enforcement team noticed a few clarification that could be made within the parking ordinance, such as folks are not able to park on a sidewalk or within a bicycle facility.

Commissioner Willich referenced pg. 73 and wondered why the change went form 72 hours to 5 days.

The City Manager stated this applies to inoperable vehicles and all vehicles, but there has been community feedback that 72 hrs. doesn't allow people to take a vacation Monday – Friday unless

they have a place to move a vehicle. The change was intended to facilitate convenience for the community.

Commissioner Lyons referenced pg. 81 and understands that removal of fees is the intent, and in the section of immobilization it references a dollar amount of \$100.00 and he feels that is conflicting.

The City Manager stated the \$100.00 included in there now as a threshold equates to 5 parking tickets because a parking ticket is \$20.00, but he understands some infractions are more severe than others and potentially deserve different penalties than others, such as parking in the handicap space. Code Enforcement thought that having the ability to immobilize a vehicle in some of those situations might be beneficial.

Commissioner Schwarz referenced pg. 72 and stated he likes the addition of the City Manager is authorized to add and remove 15 minute parking zones as deemed necessary by the manager. He stated he's had at least 1 business owner approach him about 15 minute zone in front of his business, and feels it's nice to know that these folks can call the City Manager to make this type of decision. He wondered if there has been a decision made on some 3 hour parking spaces.

The City Manager stated he is aware of some businesses that would benefit from longer parking, but they have not identified locations for those 3 hour parking spaces.

Vice-Chair Nootz wondered if there was a way to simplify or standardize process in this chapter as some parts require Commission approval, and other require City Manager approval, and hoped to find a way to make it the same throughout.

The City Manager stated that is something they recognized internally as they were working through these updates, and will see some updates around that topic with the Downtown Master Plan updates.

Vice-Chair Nootz would like to see some consistency throughout this section of code and hopes to see this through the Downtown Master Plan process. She asked about Code Enforcement feedback since they have been out around town a while now and wanted to know if there is anything that could be reported back to the Commission.

The City Manager stated there has been a lot of learnings over the past 9 months, but they have recognized that we do not have a parking capacity problem in the central business district. The team was out doing traffic counts in July and again in November, then again in February and they only identified 2 blocks in all of those sampling period where the parking capacity was above 90%, which is considered a threshold when it's difficult to find parking. He stated that leaning to manage the resource they have is going to be an effective solution. They have also noticed that he off street lots controlled by the City could be updated. This specifically referring to converting some of those off street spaces over to use by the general public. He stated they are also working on moving from a paper ticketing system to a digital management system.

Vice-Chair Nootz asked for some clarity around the part in the ordinance about banning people from camping or living in campers.

The City Manager stated camping on City streets is something that has been disallowed in the ordinance for a number of years, the change was simply clarifying the list of motor vehicles as has come up. Nothing is being created, just a clarification within the code.

Chair Kahle wondered how the 3 hour parking spot would work.

The City Manager stated the spots would be first come first serve basis. Signage has not been created yet, but there is a way to create them that they show they are for long term usage.

Commissioner Schwarz moved to approve the ordinance and Commissioner Willich seconded the motion

Public Comment was offered by:

- Leslie Fiegel suggested the 3 hour parking spot be a 3 hour parking pass.
- Patricia Grabow expressed disappointed that the Commission is making changes to this now.

The City Manager addressed comments of why now stating they started discussing these changes in the fall, but they knew they wanted to implement some changes and also wanted business owner feedback from the business owner breakfast, and really felt that before summer was good time to implement some of these changes.

Chair Kahle asked if there will be opportunity to change this after the results of the Downtown Master Plan.

The City Manager stated yes, and expects to have changes to this chapter of City code.

Vice-Chair Nootz asked if a parking pass has been considered.

The City Manager stated yes he is familiar with paper passes that get handed around, but the main problem with that is the ability to counterfeit paper passes.

Commissioner Willich expressed that he does see a few issues with this ordinance, but since it will be reviewed again in a few months he is okay to wait on further issues for that time.

Commissioner Lyons pointed out a few clerical errors, and asked about the potential jail time listed at the end of this ordinance.

The City Manager stated there are no proposed changes to that specific section tonight, but clarified that removing the jail time and limiting the penalty of a person convicted of unauthorized release of an immobilized vehicle that would be advantageous for anybody that has more than \$500.00 worth of tickets to remove the immobilizer off the vehicle, if we were limiting the penalty to only \$500.00. A person with \$1000.00 in tickets would essentially save themselves \$500.00 by removing the boot.

Commissioner Schwarz recognized they really are not changing anything, but fees in this reading. He looks forward to revisiting this with the Downtown Master Plan results.

Vice-Chair Nootz reviewed pg. 69 and wondered if wording was correct.

The City Manager clarified the wording on the page is correct.

Vice-Chair Nootz expressed excitement about the Downtown Master Plan changes that will be coming.

Chair Kahle pointed out a typo on pg. 77. She stated she agrees with these updates to make it more enforceable, and expressed excitement about the Downtown Master Plan updates.

Commissioner Lyons pointed out that he agrees with Vice-Chair Nootz about making this chapter more consistent with level of approval from either City Manager or Commission.

Commissioner Schwarz gave a shout out to Code Enforcement for doing such a great job. He has noticed a much better parking situation downtown, and stated several business owners have noticed a difference.

Vice-Chair Nootz stated she has noticed less complaints around parking downtown and thanked Code Enforcement for their work.

Chair Kahle also thanked Code Enforcement.

Commissioner Lyons motioned to amend the original motion to include typographical changes suggested in deliberation as well to include on pg. 79 of the packet under section 9-231 to include other emergency vehicles seconded by Vice-Chair Nootz.

The item was approved unanimously by the five commissioners present.

The amended item was approved unanimously by the five commissioners present.

No closed session was entered.

C. CLOSED SESSION PURSUANT TO MCA 2-3-203(3) TO DISCUSS A MATTER OF INDIVIDUAL PRIVACY

8. City Manager Comment

The City Manager stated on Monday April 22^{nd} from 9a - 11a he and other staff members will be in the Community Room to hear from the community on how the parks are managed and goals folks may have. The same team will be in the same location on Tuesday April 30^{th} at 5:30p.

9. City Commission Comments

Commissioners thanked each other for the meeting tonight.

10. Adjournment

7:58pm Commissioner Lyons motioned to adjourn the meeting seconded by Commissioner Schwarz. Unanimously approved.

Calendar of Events

Supplemental Material

Notice

- Public Comment: The public can speak about an item on the agenda during discussion of that item by coming up to the table or podium, signing-in, and then waiting to be recognized by the Chairman. Individuals are reminded that public comments should be limited to items over which the City Commission has supervision, control, jurisdiction, or advisory power (MCA 2-3-202).
- Meeting Recording: An audio and/or video recording of the meeting, or any portion thereof, may be purchased by contacting the City Administration. The City does not warrant the audio and/or video recording as to content, quality, or clarity.

• Special Accommodation: If you need special accommodations to attend or participate in our meeting, please contact the Fire Department at least 24 hours in advance of the specific meeting you are planning on attending.

From: peter kovago <<u>peter.kovago1234@gmail.com</u>>
Sent: Monday, April 1, 2024 11:28:05 AM
To: <u>citycommission@livingstonmontana.org</u> <<u>citycommission@livingstonmontana.org</u>>
Subject: Wellness Center

I am seeking guidance to help determine if the appropriate process and disclosure was followed regarding the proposed Wellness Center in Livingston. I am concerned that the public has not been provided all the necessary information and some of the information may have been misrepresented prior to the community voting.

Issues:

- The marketing of the project. It was being sold verbally and through mailed marketing flyers as being free to the community. It is not free. It is forced membership via a tax increase. I have attached examples of the marketing materials that have been distributed to the community. I believe the marketing was steering the voter to believe it was free.
- Local government created a special taxing district to raise taxes for the proposed Wellness Center. They were unsuccessful convincing the Park County community to sign on to the project. Once Park County residents became aware of this project, there was overwhelming concern, enough for the Park County Commissioners to not support the proposed project. This alone should have created pause by the advocates for the project and those representing the community within the City limits. I do not understand how a special taxing district can be created for a non-essential service.
- The business community will be taxed, yet they had no voice in the voting process.
- Residents in the City that do not own real estate and do not pay real estate taxes were able to vote for the tax.
- To my knowledge, no feasibility studies have been completed. This is the first time I have experienced a project of this magnitude being taken on by a local government without the requirement of feasibility studies.
 - Can a facility of this size be supported by the community? People outside of the City limits have spoken loud and clear, they have no interest. City limits consist of only 3,300 households. Could 3,300 households support this project if it relied solely on voluntary membership? How many private pay members outside of the City limits are projected to join the Center, and how many will be required for the numbers to work?
 - Traffic impact. Access to this facility will require users to cross the railroad track. Access over the rail has been an issue for years, never being resolved. Unrestricted access is limited to one underpass, which is not conducive to additional traffic pressure. The proposed facility is also located in a residential area and we have no idea the impact it will have on existing residents and the surrounding homes.
 - Life safety. Given that there is only one underpass to access this side of the City, is it sufficient given the impact the train usage has on the other

two roads that cross over the rail? Can all life safety vehicles make it under the underpass?

- No capital budget/feasibility. Numbers are being loosely based on a similar project in Great Falls. The financial information is from 2019. Those numbers are stale and costs have increased significantly since that time. Additionally, this site may have challenges that are unique and will require additional expenses not incurred by the Great Falls project.
- Environmental studies. All projects breaking surface area require environmental studies. My understanding is the selected location had significant contamination, requiring extensive remediation. What additional remediation will be required to make the site suitable for public use as proposed? What is the cost and who is paying for it?
- Operating and capital budgets. Nobody has been able to provide any concrete numbers. Ongoing capital maintenance and replacement needs are also unknown. I understand significant donated funds are being used to build the facility. However, we have not been told how additional funds will be raised if costs exceed donations. Not having a formal capital budget available prior to committing the community to something of this magnitude is irresponsible. Also, donations toward operating costs are not in perpetuity. What is the impact on the taxpayers when those funds are no longer available?
- What are the conditions that are tied to private funding?
- I asked some of these questions when an advocate knocked on my door to promote the project. She could not answer any of them.

The Wellness Center could be a nice addition to the community. However, I am concerned regarding the financial impact and how this was sold to the public. The long term effect could be a financial burden we may never recover from. I believe my questions are reasonable and anyone serving the community should be asking. I am asking for your help to lead me in the right direction to get these answers questioned.

Regards,

Peter Kovago 1307 E Montana Street Livingston, MT 59047 April 2, 2024

To Mr. Gager and the Livingston City Commissioners,

Following are some points that I hope you will consider before voting on the funding for the "Livingston Recreation District." (Note that some voters felt it was misleading to not put information about the mill rate since that is typical on a ballot initiative of this nature.)

Thank you in advance for your time.

According to the proposal: Based on the maximum initial proposed annual assessment of 30 mills, the annual assessment on a home with an assessed market value for tax purposes of \$100,000 would be \$40.80; of \$300,000 would be \$122.40 and of \$600,000 would be \$244.80.

My request is that you reconsider the hardship that will result with this tax rate in light of the current economic situation in Livingston, Park County.

I. Mr. Gager emphasized the following in an interview he did with PCEC published Dec. 14, 2022:

PCEC: What lessons did you learn during the changes you experienced in Ketchum?

GG: You know, I've learned a lot of lessons.

First and foremost, the most important thing is to work to preserve community character as much as we can. Whether that's in the built environment, or whether that's more on the human side, both are very critical, and both play off of each other.

Keeping Livingston a place where normal people can live and afford to live is very critical to the community's future. I've seen what happens when a community hollows out.

It's pretty critical for us to focus on both the built environment, through the building process and planning process, and also making sure that it's the sort of place where families can live. That's critical to me. **II.** Some citizens feel it is unfair to consider a discriminatory annual tax for people in a certain area who own property of a certain value. Please carefully this point: In a court of law, certain property is considered income consuming, while some is *income generating*. For property owners who do not run our properties as long- or short-term rentals, property is highly income consuming, and the "recreation district" would ask us to put out more money when property taxes have increased 53% since 1994 (*2023 DOR Data*).

III. Current information regarding Livingston-Park County:

A. This will negatively impact people with fixed incomes who are paying property taxes.

2020 Census: 2022 demographics Park County: 23.7% over 65; US average 16%

https://static1.squarespace.com/static/634d89e8c459491032e3c211/t/63a0e7c0e96e80 2edc33d78b/1671489479934/2022 Demographics - Park County MT (1).pdf

B. 3.12.24 Park County Jobless Rate rose (Livingston Enterprise)

C. 3.14: Katherine Daly (Livingston Enterprise)

At least 28% of Park County households are spending more than 30% of their income on housing, and single-family median home list prices increased by more than 130% from December 2019 to December 2023, according to the Park County Housing Coalition.

"Something really interesting about our community is that 30% of Park County's households rent and about 70% own, and we're seeing home prices that neither group can afford," Daly said.

[T]he number of people experiencing housing insecurity and homelessness increases.

D. Internet listings for median home prices in Livingston:

3.31.24

Zillow: \$514,934

realtor.com: \$649.5K

MT Department of Revenue: \$409,400

E. High percentage of families who need public assistance for necessary expenses:

37.9% children free lunch or reduced-price lunch program

47.8% children Healthy MT Kids free or low-cost healthcare plan

<u>https://static1.squarespace.com/static/634d89e8c459491032e3c211/t/63a0e7c0e96e80</u> 2edc33d78b/1671489479934/2022 Demographics - Park County MT (1).pdf

F. Recent conversation with J. Jackson of the Park County Sherriff's Department: an office told him he can barely afford to live here on the salary he makes

G. The projected salaries for many of the wellness center employees will be higher than the median salary of Livingston residents and sometimes more than twice as much, (median salary per 2020 Census). Is that fair?

2020 Census 2022 Demographics: median wage: \$2,662/mo

average rent :\$1,565

average mortgage: \$3,000

Respectfully,

Arlene Roemer da Feltre

Week of the Young Child APRIL 8-12, 2024





MONDAY: Park Elite Gymnastics

Little Munchkins, Pre-K and Level 1 Classes - come explore for FREE! 10-10:30am: 18 mo - 3 years / 10:30 - 11am: 4-6 years / 4:30pm - 5:00pm: Ages 7+

TUESDAY: SoccerTots & Growing up Wild

Come on out and Kick it with Coach Maggie! Ages 2 - 3 years -10:30 - 11am Ages 4-6 - 5:00 - 5:30pm

Growing Up Wild: Come explore nature and wildlife Who: Ages 3 - 6 years 4 - 4:30pm

WEDNESDAY: BaseballTots & Growin Up Wild



Come on out and Hit it with Coach Julee! Who: Ages 2 - 3 years - 11:30-12p Ages 4 - 6 Y<mark>ears - 5 - 5:30</mark>pm

Growing Up Wild: Come explore nature and wildlife Who: Ages 3 - 6 years - 11-11:30am

THURSDAY: Park Elite Gymnastics & SoccerTots & BaseballTots

Little Munchkins, Pre-K come explore for FREE! 4:30- 5:00pm: 4-6 years 5:00-<mark>5:30pm:</mark> 18 mo - 3 years



Come on out and **Kick it** with Coach Maggie! Ages 3 - 5 years -10 - 10:30am Come on out and **Hit it** with Coach Julee! Who: Ages 3 - 5 years - 10:30-11am



FRIDAY: Park Elite Gymnastics

Little Munchkins, Pre-K come explore for FREE! 10:00-10:30am: 18 mo - 3 years / 10:30-11:00am: 4-6 years



All activities are provided for FREE at the Livingston Civic Center. Pre-Registration Required by calling Livingston Recreation 223–2233 OR SCAN QR CODE

Samples classes are provided to Park County children as a way to explore movement, health and wellness opportunitie Thank you to SuperTots, Park Elite Sports, Livingston Recreational Department, and Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks.

Summer Forest School for children 3-10 years old A summer filled with exploration, creativity & outdoor adventures

> Contact: Andrea Sicignano andrea@guidedwild.com

We're seeking a slice of nature!

DO YOU HAVE LOCAL LAND? NEGOTIATE A RATE OR TRADE FOR TUITION!

Must have trees!

~10 children will be exploring & playing; accompanied by 2-3 adults When: 2 week minimum

June 17th - 27th July 8th - 18th July 22nd - Aug 1st August 5th - 15th Where: In or around Livingston. Open to surrounding areas within ~20 mile radius

. 0 S 9





FREE Membership to ALL Citv noision Side Dis

. spands we had Running & Walkin Basketball & Pick のの P 9 eball Co Track 10 č Irts . Playgro After P • } S (****** and the second division of the second divisio rograms





)rov De Livings ton Recreation Facility **Community Wellness Center** District...

EGEND DL EQUIP HANGING ROOMS SE ROON

Imeniti

ALED ON FEB. 28

2024

Paid for by VOTE

Yes to Approve the Livingston Recreation Facility District, Catherine Moody - Treasurer, 124 S 2nd Street, Livingston, MT 59047

AL

On Feb. 28, Community Wellness Center. the annual operations, maintenance, and staffing costs of the Livingston the "Livingston Recreation Facility District." This will ask voters to cover half 2024, ballots will be mailed for a special election for the creation

NUN

What Does This Mean?

L construction costs will be paid for by generous donors-you pay nothing!

You will pay \$12 per household per month,* and it provides ITY WELLNESS CENTER-there's no better deal in the state! RESIDENTS FREE MEMBERSHIP TO THE LIVINGSTON

The Livingston Community Wellness Center will deliver much-needed running and walking track, after school programs, and -a swimming pool, gymnasium, basketball courts, playground, so much more for the Livingston community.