

PLANNING BOARD

Tuesday, February 18, 2025 at 6:00 PM Landis Board Room

AGENDA

PLEASE SILENCE ALL CELL PHONES

1. INTRODUCTION:

- 1.1 Call Meeting to Order
- 1.2 Determination of Quorum
- 1.3 Pledge of Allegience
- 1.4 Recognitions and Acknowledgements
- 1.5 Adoption of Agenda

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR MEETING(S):

2.1 Consider Approval of Meeting Minutes from January 21, 2025 and January 28, 2025

3. NEW BUSINESS:

3.1 Consider Discussion of New Street Sign Logo

4. OLD BUSINESS:

- <u>4.1</u> Consider an Ordinance Amending the Landis Development Ordinance -Certain Uses in Main Street District
- 4.2 Consider Discussion of Corrections to Plans for Landis Multifamily from TRC Review
- <u>4.3</u> Consider Discussion of Landis Ridge Phase 2-A TRC Final Review Comments

5. **REPORTS**:

5.1 Planning & Zoning Reports (Included in Packet)

6. CLOSING:

6.1 Adjournment

Section 2, Item2.1



PLANNING BOARD

Tuesday, January 21, 2025 at 6:00 PM Landis Board Room

MINUTES

PLEASE SILENCE ALL CELL PHONES

1. INTRODUCTION:

1.1 Call Meeting to Order

Madam Chair Catherine Drumm called the meeting to order at 6:01 PM

1.2 Determination of Quorum

Members Present: Catherine Drumm, Glenn Corriher, Scott Faw came in about 6:02 PM

Staff Present: Town Manager Michael Ambrose, Planning Director Phil Collins, Deputy Clerk/ Planning Technician Angie Sands, Assistant Chief of Police Kevin Young

Others Present: Phil Conrad

It was determined that we did not have quorum. Madam Chair Catherine Drumm rescheduled the meeting to Tuesday January 28, 2025, at 6:00 PM in the Landis Board Room.

- 1.3 Pledge of Allegience
- 1.4 Recognitions and Acknowledgements
- 1.5 Adoption of Agenda

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR MEETING(S):

2.1 Consider Approval of December 10, 2024, Meeting Minutes

3. OLD BUSINESS:

3.1 Consider an Ordinance Amending the Landis Development Ordinance -Certain Uses in Main Street District

4. NEW BUSINESS:

- 4.1 Consider Zoning Map Amendment Monroy North Cannon Boulevard
- 4.2 Consider Zoning Map Amendment -Town of Landis 0 W Taylor Street - CIV - SFR-1
- 4.3 Consider Zoning Map Amendment Mount Moriah Church Road SFR-2 - SFR-3

REPORTS:

Planning & Zoning Reports (Included in Packet)

CLOSING:

Adjournment Madam Chair Catherine Drumm adjourned the meeting at 6:02 PM.



PLANNING BOARD

Tuesday, January 28, 2025 at 6:00 PM

Landis Board Room

MINUTES

PLEASE SILENCE ALL CELL PHONES

1. INTRODUCTION:

1.1 Call Meeting to Order

Madam Chair Catherine Drumm called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM

1.2 Determination of Quorum

Members Present: Madam Chair Catherine Drumm, Member Beryl Alston, Member Mark Bringle, Member Glenn Corriher

Members Absent: Member Deborah Cox, Member Jade Bittle, Vice-Chair Scott Faw

Staff Present: Town Manager Michael Ambrose, Planning Director Phil Collins, Planning Technician/Deputy Town Clerk Angie Sands, Police Chief Matthew Geelen.

Others Present: Phil Conrad, Nadine Cherry, Joseph Keller and son.

1.3 Pledge of Allegiance

Madam Chair Catherine Drumm led those in attendance to the Pledge of Allegiance

1.4 Recognitions and Acknowledgements

Town Manager Michael Ambrose introduced the new Planning Director Phil Collins. He is a member of the staff and has been here almost a month. Phil comes from Cabarrus County, he is AIC certified and has a lot of experience.

1.5 Adoption of Agenda

A MOTION WAS MADE BY GLENN CORRIHER TO ADOPT THE AGENDA, SECONDED BY BERYL ALSTON, MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY (4-0).

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR MEETING(S):

2.1 Consider Approval of December 10, 2024, Meeting Minutes A MOTION WAS MADE BY MARK BRINGLE, SECONDED BY GLENN CORRIHER TO APPROVE THE DECEMBER 10, 2024, MEETING MINUTES, MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY (4-0).

3. OLD BUSINESS:

3.1 Consider an Ordinance Amending the Landis Development Ordinance - Certain Uses in Main Street District

Madam Chair Catherine Drumm gave a brief description of the Development Ordinance. This ordinance will prevent anyone who buys or rents in the Main Street District from using the bottom floor as residential. Town Manager Michael Ambrose added that it would be from E. Mills all the way over to E. Garden on both sides, from the main street side, from W. Garden to S. Main Street. The lower level would be commercial.

Madam Chair Catherine Drumm asked if currently we would be looking at the entire main street district.

Town Manager Michael Ambrose stated that it would just be these corridors. E. Mills Street off S. Central over to N. Central and E. Garden, from W. Garden on Main Street, all the way to W. Rice. The reason it was put on hold is because the Parkdale site had not been sold. Now that it has been sold, we've been able to talk with some developers about what's proposed at that site, we feel comfortable bringing this back to the board to hopefully move forward.

A MOTION WAS MADE TO TABLE BY MARK BRINGLE, SECONDED BY BERYL ALSTON, MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY (4-0).

4. NEW BUSINESS:

4.1 Consider Zoning Map Amendment - Monroy - North Cannon Boulevard

Planning Director Phil Collins gave a brief overview of Zoning Map Amendment Monroy – North Cannon Boulevard. Mr. Monroy came in on December 18, 2024 to inquire about getting this property annexed into the town.

Town Manager Micheal Ambrose added that the applicant is a young developer who wants to develop the property for commercial use. He is asking for a C-29 zoning, which is dedicated to 29 roadway for commercial use. As a department we believe that would be the most fitting to recommend to this board. He wants to be annexed into the town and then get the C-29 zoning.

A MOTION WAS MADE TO APPROVE ZONING MAP AMENDMENT – MONROY- NORTH CANNON BOULEVARD BY GLENN CORRIHER, SECONDED BY BERYL ALSTON, MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY (4-0).

4.2 Consider Zoning Map Amendment - Town of Landis - 0 W Taylor Street – CIV SFR-1

Town Manager Michael Ambrose gave a brief overview of Zoning Map Amendment – Town of Landis – 0 Taylor Street – CIV – SFR-1. This is the Linn Community, and all those properties are all SFR-1. This property is less than an acre, and will not be able to have any kind of structure on it, unless it is combined with another property.

Planning Director Phil Collins gave a brief overview of the property and the surrounding properties and zonings.

Madam Chair Catherine Drumm asked the size of the property.

Planning Director Phil Collins answered .34 acres.

Madam Chair Catherine asked what the purpose would be for the property due to the size, nothing can be built on it.

Town Manager Michael Ambrose stated it would be to secure it.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MARK BRINGLE, SECONDED BY GLENN CORRIHER TO APPROVE ZONING MAP AMENDMENT – TOWN OF LANDIS – 0 TAYLOR STREET – CIV- SFR-1, MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY (4-0).

4.3 Consider Zoning Map Amendment - Mount Moriah Church Road - SFR-2 - SFR-3

Planning Director Phil Collins gave a brief overview of the property. The property is approximately 24.22 acres. It is currently planned for neighborhood residential development.

Town Manager Michael Ambrose stated that this is the same property that was recommended for MU-1 at another time and was zoned SFR-2, and is not asking for SFR-3 zoning. The applicant is here.

Joseph Keller stated that he loves the Town of Landis and looks forward to working with us. The Town Manager and the Board are easy to work with and it's great, more developers would love the way this town works.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY GLENN CORRIHER, SECONDED BY BERYL ALSTON TO APPROVE ZONING MAP AMENDMENT – MOUNT MORIAH CHURCH ROAD – SFR-2 – SFR-. MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY (4-0).

REPORTS:

Monthly Report

Town Manager Michael Ambrose stated that this would be the last time that Code Enforcement Reports would be this way. Code Enforcement has been turned over to Police Chief Geelen and his operations, so they will be changed.

CLOSING:

Adjournment A MOTION WAS MADE TO ADJOURN AT 6:19 PM, BY GLENN CORRIHER, SECONDED BY BERYL ALSTON, MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY (4-0).

Respectfully Submitted,

Section 3, Item3.1



9

Section 8, Item8.1

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING

THE "LANDIS DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE"

OF THE TOWN OF LANDIS, NORTH CAROLINA

Ordinance Number ZTA-2023-12-11

WHEREAS, on May 10, 2021, the Town Board of Aldermen's newly adopted Landis Development Ordinance, also known as the LDO, became fully effective; and,

WHEREAS, the amendment of the LDO to incorporate up-to-date standards and specifications is both consistent with the adopted *Town Plan 2040* by continuing to meet the adopted goals of *Town Plan 2040* emphasizing Goal 7, Objective 7.2, Strategy 1; then,

THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Town Board of Aldermen that the Landis Development Ordinance be amended as follows:

PART 1. "Article 8, Table 8.1, Section 1 – General Uses" is hereby amended to 1) revise the Main Street (MS) District uses to add conditions noted as "A (10.1-38)" where such entries appear below and 2) delete the symbol "L" for current listed uses where the MS column is left blank for uses as indicated by a yellow highlight without any symbols appearing therein as follows:

(This space left blank intentionally)

Section 4, Item4.1

Section 8, Item8.1

Table 8.1 - Table of Uses L=listed use S=special use A=use listed with additiona standards Section 1 - General Uses of the following:	Main Street (MS)
Bed & Breakfast (incl. Tourist Home, Boarding House except	A (10.1-8) &
when "Residential Tourist/temporary residence")	A(10.1-38)
Communication or Broadcasting Facility, without Tower	A(10.1-38)
Dance School	A(10.1-38)
Day Care Center for Children or Adults (6 or more)	A (10.1-14) & A(10.1-38)
Day Care Center, Home Occupation for less than 6 children	A (10.1-15) & A(10.1-38)
Event and Wedding Venue	A(10.1-38)
Funeral Home without Crematorium	A(10.1-38)
Furniture Framing	A(10.1-38)
Group Care Facility	S (10.2-8) A (10.1-38)
Home Occupation	A (10.1-21) & A(10.1-38)
Hotel or Motel	A(10.1-38)
Laboratory, Medical or Dental	A(10.1-38)
Medical, Dental or Related Office	A(10.1-38)
Nursing Home, Assisted Living	A (10.1-25) & A(10.1-38)
Office Uses	
Accounting, Auditing or Bookkeeping Services	A(10.1-38)
Administrative or Management Services	A(10.1-38)
Advertising Agency	A(10,1-38)
Architect, Engineer or Surveyor's Office	A(10.1-38)
Dental, Medical or Related Office	A(10.1-38)
Employment Agency, Personnel Agency	A(10.1-38)
Finance or Loan Office	A(10.1-38)
Home Occupation	A (10.1-21) & A(10.1-38)
Insurance Agency (w/on-site claims inspections)	Å(10.1-38)
Insurance Agency (without on-site claims inspections)	A(10.1-38)
Law Office	A(10.1-38)
Medical, Dental or Related Office	A(10.1-38)
Office Uses Not Otherwise Classified	A(10.1-38)
Real Estate Office	A(10.1-38)
Stock, Security or Commodity Broker	A(10.1-38)
Travel Agency	A(10.1-38)
Residential Uses (Dwellings)	
Dwelling, Accessory Unit	A(10.1-38)
Dwelling, Attached House (incl. term "Townhouse")	A(10.1-38)
Dwelling, Multifamily 8 Units or Less	A (10.1-24) & A(10.1-38)
Dwelling, Multifamily (apartments or condominiums)	A (10:1-24) & A(10:1-38)
Dwelling, Single-Family Detached, including Duplex (2-	
family), may also include Modular Construction	
Temporary Family Health Care Structure (per G.S. 160D- 914(a)(5)	
Retreat Center	A(10.1-38)
Vocational, Business or Secretarial School	A(10.1-38)

Section 8, Item8.1

PART 2. "Article 10, Section 10.1-38" is hereby established to read as follows:

10.1-38 Certain Uses in Main Street (MS) District

- (A.) Within the Main Street (MS) Zoning District all uses bearing the symbol "A(10.1-38)" in Table 8.1, appearing in Article 8 of this Ordinance are listed; however, all properties of the MS district located within the blocks bounded by Central Avenue and Chapel Street between East Garden Street and East Ridge Avenue shall be subject to the additional standards appearing in Sub-section 10.1-38(B) below.
- (B.) Standards applicable within the MS district located within the blocks bounded by Central Avenue and Chapel Street between East Garden Street and East Ridge Avenue:
 - (1.) Certain uses appearing in Article 8, Table 8.1 with the symbol "A(10.1-38)" as a use listed with additional standards shall meet the following standards:
 - (a.) Secondary use shall be situated on second or higher floor above adjacent grade as determined by the *Planning*, *Zoning & Subdivision Administrator*, whose determination shall be final.
 - (b.) Uses occupying basement and/or floors below grade may be principal and/or accessory uses to the principal use occupying the first floor above grade.
 - (c.) Secondary use shall have separate access to second or higher floor and distinguishable from principal use occupying first floor, not including basements and/or floors below grade.
 - (d.) Secondary use may utilize first floor for access to include, but not be limited to, lobby/reception, elevator(s), stairwell(s), etc. provided only security and reception personnel only may occupy the first floor above grade, provided that no such limited occupancy of the first floor shall exceed 600 sq. ft.
 - (2.) Projects establishing secondary use occupancy in accordance with these standards shall provide a copy of the terms of occupancy and use when multiple tenants occupy the same building when making application for a *Zoning Compliance Permit* in accordance with Article 7 of this Ordinance.
 - (3.) The permitted use provisions of this Article are not eligible for variance by the Board of Adjustment in accordance with NCGS 160D-705(d).

PART 3. "Article 10, Section 10.2-8(B)" is hereby amended to add a new provision 10.2-8(B)(4) to read as follows:

(4.) Provisions of 10.1-38 shall apply to properties within the MS District.

PART 4. This Ordinance shall be effective at 12:01 AM EST on December 12, 2023.

ADOPTED on this the 11th day of December 2023.

s/

s/

Meredith Bare Smith, Mayor

Madison Stegall, Town Clerk

Section 8, Item8.2

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING

THE "LANDIS DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE"

OF THE TOWN OF LANDIS, NORTH CAROLINA

Ordinance Number ZTA-2023-11-13

WHEREAS, on May 10, 2021 the Town Board of Aldermen's newly adopted Landis Development Ordinance, also known as the LDO, became fully effective; and,

WHEREAS, the amendment of the LDO to incorporate up-to-date stormwater standards and specifications is both consistent with the adopted *Town Plan 2040* by continuing to meet the adopted goals of *Town Plan 2040* emphasizing management of growth and reasonable because of the Town's need to achieve compliance with applicable federal mandates; and,

THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED by the Town Board of Aldermen that the Landis Development Ordinance be amended as follows:

PART 1. Articles 20 is hereby amended to read as it appears on the following pages:

PART 2. This Ordinance shall be effective at 12:01 AM EST on November 14, 2023.

ADOPTED on this the 13th day of November 2023.

s/ _____

s/

Meredith Bare Smith, Mayor

Madison Stegall, Town Clerk



Landis Multifamily Review Comments & Responses

Project: Lanids Multifamily

Planning Comments:

- 1. Show USPS Cluster Mailbox
 - a. Added to Sheet C-3.0
- 2. Show FDC Connections
 - a. Shown from MEP drawings onto C-3.0, C-5.0
- 3. Change "Setback" Label to "Build-To-Line" Label
 - a. Changed on C-3.0 & Set
- 4. Call out open space on C-3.0 per LDO 21.2-1 (D) 7500 SF
 - a. Open space called out and shown C-3.0 and C-6.0
- 5. Call out open space improvements per 21.2-4 & 21.2-7(C)
 - a. Shown & Called out on C-3.0 & C-6.0 as follows (4) Minimum
 - i. Landscaping
 - ii. Walks
 - iii. Fences
 - iv. Playground equipment

ENGINEERS NOTES:

- 1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF WORK 48 HOURS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRICTION ACTIVITIES.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONFIRM AT THE TIME OF NOTIFICATION TO THE ENGINEER OF THE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK THE CURRENT DRAWING REVISION AND DOCUMENTS. STATUS OF CURRENT PLANS FROM THE TOWN OF LANDIS AND RELEVANT JURISDICTIONS SHALL ALSO BE CONFIRMED PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO OBTAIN INTERPRETATIONS FOR ANY QUESTIONS REGARDING THESE DRAWINGS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK OR ORDERING OF MATERIALS AND SHALL BEAR THE COST OF ALL REWORK IF NOT PROPERLY COORDINATED.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN A PERMIT FOR ANY EXCAVATION PERFORMED WITHIN THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY.
- 5. THE ENGINEER OF RECORD SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF SAFETY MEASURES OR REGULATIONS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DESIGN. CONSTRUCT, AND MAINTAIN ALL SAFETY DEVICES AND SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR CONFORMING TO ALL LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERAL SAFETY STANDARDS, LAWS AND REGULATIONS.
- 6. MEANS AND METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR. ANY PROCESS, METHOD, OR ADDITIONAL DESIGN REQUIRED FOR THE INSTALLATION OF PROJECT ELEMENTS SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR PERFORMING THE WORK.
- 7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ADJUST ALL PROPOSED AND EXISTING FACILITIES TO FINAL DESIGN GRADE.
- 8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN THE FIELD DATA AND THE INFORMATION SHOWN HEREIN. ENGINEER SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY WORK DONE WITHOUT PROPER NOTIFICATION AND COORDINATION.
- 9. THE ENGINEER PREPARING THESE PLANS SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR, OR LIABLE FOR, UNAUTHORIZED CHANGED TO OR USES OF THESE PLANS. ALL CHANGES MUST BE IN WRITING AND MUST BE APPROVED BY THE DESIGN ENGINEER PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTATION.
- 10. PRIVATE WATER AND SANITARY SEWER SYSTEMS SHALL BE TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH N.C.D.E.N.R. REGULATIONS IN THE PRESENCE OF THE ENGINEER OR APPROPRIATE CITY INSPECTOR PRIOR TO ACTIVATION OF FACILITIES.
- 11. EXISTING SANITARY SEWER AND WATER SYSTEM SHOWN PER PROVIDED SURVEY AND SALISBURY REFERENCE DRAWINGS. EXISTING PUBLIC UTILITY INFORMATION IS SHOWN FOR REFERENCE ONLY AND EXISTING SIZES, INVERTS, AND LOCATIONS SHALL BE FIELD VERIFIED
- 12. A LICENSED UTILITY CONTRACTOR OR APPLICABLE CITY PUBLIC WORKS WILL INSTALL THE TAPS AND METERS. THE AUTHORIZED CONTRACTOR PERFORMING THE WORK IS RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLING THE TAPS AND METERS TO KANNAPOLIS STANDARDS AND REGULATIONS
- 13. SANITARY YARD HYDRANTS SHALL BE "STOP AND DRAIN", "STOP AND WASTE", OR "WEEP HOLE" TYPE HYDRANTS.
- 14. IF THE CONTRACTOR OPTS TO INSTALL PRECAST STRUCTURES, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE REQUIRED TO VERIFY RIM ELEVATION AND LAYOUT OF ALL PIPES , INCLUDING SIZE AND INVERTS OF EXISTING FACILITIES, PRIOR TO THE ORDERING OF MATERIALS.
- 15. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE INSTALLER TO ADJUST ALL PRECAST STRUCTURES TO FINISH GRADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AGENCY SPECIFICATIONS AND MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDATIONS. NO ADDITIONAL PAYMENT WILL BE MADE FOR ADJUSTING FACILITIES TO FINISHED GRADES.
- 16. FIRE HYDRANTS SHALL BE 10' CLEAR OF ANY OBSTRUCTIONS.
- 17. FDC LOCATIONS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH LANDIS FIRE DEPARTMENTS REQUIREMENTS.
- 18. FIRE SPRINKLER SIZING AND FDC CONNECTIONS (IF REQ) TO BE SIZED AND LOCATED PER THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE FIRE SPRINKLER CONSULTANT. ALL REFERENCE SHOWN HEREIN ARE FOR SCHEMATIC PURPOSES ONLY.
- 19. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL FLUSH AND CLEAN ALL ONSITE STORM DRAINAGE AND HAUL OFF ALL ACCUMULATED MATERIALS AT THE COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT DOWN STREAM PROPERTIES AND BMP INSTALLATIONS FROM EXCESSIVE SEDIMENT ACCUMULATION. UPOND COMPLETION OF CLEANING, CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE WRITTEN CONFIRMATION TO THE OWNER AND REQUEST INSPECTION OF THE PROJECT BY THE ENGINEER FOR FINAL INSPECTIONS.

PROJECT TEAM

OWNER/DEVELOPER: DYNAMIC DEVELOPERS OF CAROLINAS LLC 210 OAK AVE KANNAPOLIS, NC 28081

CIVIL ENGINEER: SUTHER ENGINEERING PLLC FIRM No. P-1946 1316 S. MAIN ST SUITE D KANNAPOLIS, NC 28081

SITE DEVELOPMENT DRAWINGS

LANDIS MULTIFAMILY

COVER SHEET



NTS

VICINITY MAP



Call before you dig.

 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTACTING THE APPROPRIATE PARTIES AND ASSURING THAT EXISTING UTILITIES ARE LOCATED PRIOR TO BEGINNING CONSTRUCTION.
 CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PLACING BARRICADES USING FLAGMEN, ETC., AS NECESSARY TO INSURE SAFETY TO THE PUBLIC.
 ALL PAVEMENT CUTS, CONCRETE OR ASPHALT, ARE TO BE REPLACED ACCORDING TO STANDARDS OF THE NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TRANSPORTATION SHOWING WILL BE ACCORDING TO OSHA TRENCHING STANDARDS PART 1926 SUBPART P, OR AS AMENDED.

SHEET INDEX

- C-1.0 COVER SHEET C-1.1 GENERAL NOTES
- C-2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS C-3.0 SITE LAYOUT PLAN
- C-4.0 SITE GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN
- C-4.1 STORM PROFILES C-5.0 UTILITY PLAN
- C-6.0 LANDSCAPE PLAN
- C-7.0 SITE DETAILS C-7.1 UTILITY DETAILS

GENERAL NOTES

- 1. ALL WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL LAWS, RULES, AND REGULATIONS.
- 2. NOTIFY NORTH CAROLINA 811 OR A PRIVATE UTILITY LOCATION SERVICE AT LEAST TWO FULL DAYS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY EXCAVATION OR DEMOLITION ACTIVITY
- 3. EXISTING UTILITY LINES AND UNDERGROUND FACILITIES, WHERE KNOWN, ARE INDICATED ON THE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATING AND IDENTIFYING IN THE FIELD ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, PIPELINES AND OTHER INSTALLATIONS PRIOR TO EXECUTING ANY EARTHWORKS OR EXCAVATIONS.
- 4. BOUNDARY AND TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION WAS OBTAINED FROM THE PLAT BOUNDARY REFERENCED AND ROWAN COUNTY DATA AVAILABLE IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN, INTERNAL SURVEY INFORMATION, AND NORTH CAROLINA LIDAR INFORMATION AVAILABLE IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN.
- 5. ARCHITECTURAL DIMENSIONS TO BE VERIFIED BY SURVEYOR. REFER TO ARCHITECTURAL PLANS FOR EXACT BUILDING DIMENSIONS.
- 6. THE SURVEYOR SHALL REPORT ANY ENCROACHMENTS OR DISCREPANCIES GENERATED BY THE SITE PLAN AGAINST ANY SITE DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED BY THE SITE PLAN TO THE OWNER, ARCHITECT, OR CIVIL ENGINEER PRIOR TO ANY ACTUAL CONSTRUCTION.
- 7. ALL STREET INTERSECTIONS, DRIVES, AND CURB GRADES SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH THE TOWN OF LANDIS INSPECTOR.
- 8. ALL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS ARE TO BE COORDINATED WITH THE TOWN OF LANDIS PLANNING / ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT AND / OR THE NCDOT PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION
- 9. IN ORDER TO ENSURE PROPER DRAINAGE, KEEP A MINIMUM OF 0.5% SLOPE ON THE CURBS.
- 10. CURB AND GUTTER SHOWN ON PLANS MAY BE ADJUSTED BASED UPON FIELD STAKING BY TOWN OF LANDIS ENGINEERING. ASSOCIATED STORM DRAINAGE MAY ALSO REQUIRE MODIFICATION BASED UPON FIELD CONDITIONS.
- 11. PE SEALED SHOP DRAWINGS FOR RETAINING WALLS MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE TOWN PLANNER / ENGINEER AND COUNTY FOR PERMIT PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- 12. "AS-BUILT" DRAWINGS AND PLANS OF THE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM, INCLUDING DESIGNED DITCHES, MUST BE SUBMITTED PRIOR TO FINAL INSPECTION TO THE CITY/COUNTY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TOWN OF LANDIS ORDINANCE.
- 13. PRIOR TO BUILDING CO, SURVEYOR SEALED "AS-BUILT" DRAWINGS OF ALL WATER QUALITY BMP'S AND DETENTION/RETENTION SYSTEMS MUST BE PROVIDED IF PRESENT IN THE DESIGN DOCS.
- 14. PRIOR TO PLAT RECORDATION, OFFSITE R/W AND/OR CONSTRUCTION EASEMENTS ARE REQUIRED TO BE OBTAINED.
- 15. ALL REQUIRED POST CONSTRICTION CONTROLS AND EASEMENTS (PCCES) MUST BE RECORDED PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY.
- 16. ANY WORK WITHIN THE CITY OR STATE'S R/W THAT REQUIRES CLOSURE OF THE SIDEWALK OR TRAVEL LANES MUST BE APPROVED BY CONCORD OR NCDOT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO COORDINATE ANY CLOSURES
- 17. ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS AND COORDINATES ARE IN FEET UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. VERTICAL DATUM IS NAD 83.
- 18. CONTRACTOR SHALL FURNISH ALL EQUIPMENT AND TOOLS AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ACCURATELY LOCATING AND STAKING OUT THE WORK. BENCHMARKS AND REFERENCE LINES SHALL BE CAREFULLY MAINTAINED AND, IF DISTURBED OR DESTROYED, SHALL BE REPLACED BY THE CONTRACTOR AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER.
- 19. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL SURVEYING AND ENGINEERING SERVICES TO ESTABLISH REFERENCE POINTS, LINES AND GRADES TO CONTROL THE WORK, AND TO MEASURE EXCAVATION AND FILL QUANTITIES BY USE OF RECOGNIZED SURVEY PRACTICES.
- 20. IF NEEDED GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION REPORTS TO INCLUDE BORING AND SOILS DATA AND IS PROVIDED TO THE CONTRACTOR FOR USE. THE BORING LOGS AND TEST DATA SHOULD INDICATE THE CONDITIONS AT THE PARTICULAR LOCATIONS OF THE BORINGS OR TESTING LOCATIONS. IT SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUED THAT BORING LOGS AND OTHER TEST DATA INDICATE THE ACTUAL CONDITIONS THAT WILL BE ENCOUNTERED THROUGHOUT THE SITE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSIONS, WHICH THEY MAY MAKE AS TO THE NATURE OF THE MATERIALS TO BE ENCOUNTERED AND THE DIFFICULTY OF PERFORMING THE WORK.
- 21. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MONITORING AND TESTING THIER WORK TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROJECT DOCUMENTS. ADDITIONAL TESTS AND INSPECTIONS PERFORMED BY THE OWNER/ENGINEER SHALL NOT RELIEVE THE CONTRACTOR OF RESPONSIBILITY TO CONFORM TO THE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS.
- 22. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ACCESS TO TESTING AGENCY TO PERFORM MATERIALS TESTING AND INSPECTIONS AND PROVIDE REASONABLE ASSISTANCE, WHEN NEEDED.
- 23. IF, IN THE JUDGMENT OF THE OWNER/ENGINEER, BASED ON TESTS, THE SUBGRADE OF FILL HAS BEEN PLACED BELOW THE SPECIFIED DENSITY, THE SUBCONTRACTOR WILL BE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL COMPACTION AND TESTING AT NO ADDITIONAL COSTS TO THE OWNER/ENGINEER. IN THE CASE OF THIS SITE COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS ARE TO BE SPECIFIED BY THE BUILDING DESIGNER
- 24. ALL AREAS NOT SURFACED WITH ASPHALT, CONCRETE OR STONE SHALL BE COVERED WITH 4 INCHES OF COMPACTED TOPSOIL AND SHALL BE PERMANENTLY SEEDED WITHIN (7) CALENDAR DAYS OF ACHIEVING FINAL GRADE. SEE PERMANENT SEEDING NOTES FOR REQUIREMENTS.



COVER SHEET

SHEET NUMBER:

SHEET TITLE:

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1. ALL WORK TO BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH TOWN OF LANDIS, LOCAL WATER AUTHORITY, NCDOT, AND NCDEQ STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS. WHEN SPECIFICATIONS ARE IN CONFLICT THE STRICTER SHALL BE
- 2. THIS PLAN DOES NOT PURPORT TO SHOW ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, LINES, APPURTENANCES, ETC., AND THE LOCATIONS OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, PIPES, VALVES, ETC., AS SHOWN ARE IN AN APPROXIMATE WAY ONLY AND HAVE NOT BEEN INDEPENDENTLY VERIFIED BY THE OWNER OR THE ENGINEER. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, LINES, PIPES, ETC., SHOWN OR NOT SHOWN ON THE PLAN, BEFORE COMMENCING WORK, AND AGREES TO BE FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY AND ALL DAMAGES WHICH MIGHT RESULT FROM THE CONTRACTOR'S FAILURE TO EXACTLY LOCATE AND PRESERVE ANY AND ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, PIPES AND VALVES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY CONFLICTS WITH EXISTING OR PROPOSED FACILITIES TO DETERMINE IF AN ITEM WILL NEED TO BE RELOCATED.
- 3. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL SITE CONDITIONS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. ANY SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS SHALL BE REPORTED IMMEDIATELY TO THE ENGINEER.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE THE APPROPRIATE BARRICADES , WARNING LIGHTS AND SIGNS TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF THE PUBLIC AT ALL TIMES.
- 5. DIMENSIONS AS SHOWN, ARE TO FACE OF CURB, FACE OF BUILDING, AND EDGE OF PAVEMENT UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTES.
- 6. THE EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL REMAIN IN SERVICE UNTIL NEW LINES ARE IN PLACE AND ACTIVATED. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE REMOVAL OF SERVICES WITH THE APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANY AND THE OWNER.
- 7. THE ENGINEER HAS MADE NO EXAMINATION TO DETERMINE WHETHER ANY HAZARDOUS OR TOXIC MATERIALS ARE PRESENT OR CONTAINED IN, UNDER, OR ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OR ITS WATERS; OR IF ANY HAZARDOUS OR TOXIC MATERIALS HAVE CONTAMINATED THIS OR OTHER PROPERTIES OR ITS WATERS IN ANY WAY WHATSOEVER. NO SUBSURFACE EXAMINATION OF ANY TYPE HAS BEEN MADE BY THE ENGINEER AND ACCORDINGLY, NO OPINION EXPRESSED OR INFERRED ON ALL SUCH MATTERS. FURTHER, NO OPINION IS RENDERED AS TO ANY VIOLATION OF ANY ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS OR REGULATIONS, EITHER FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL, RELATED TO THE INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS PLAN AND THE ENGINEER IS IN NO WAY LIABLE FOR ANY VIOLATION OF SUCH ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS SHOULD THEY EXIST
- 8. THE CONTRACTOR AGREES THAT THEY SHALL ASSUME SOLE AND COMPLETE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE JOB SITE CONDITIONS DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT. INCLUDING SAFETY OF ALL PERSONS AND PROPERTY, THAT THIS REQUIREMENT SHALL APPLY CONTINUOUSLY AND NOT BE LIMITED TO NORMAL WORKING HOURS AND THAT THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DEFEND. INDEMNIFY, AND HOLD THE OWNER AND THE ENGINEER HARMLESS FROM ANY KIND OF LIABILITY, REAL OR ALLEGED, IN CONNECTION WITH THE PERFORMANCE OR ANY WORK ON THIS PROJECT, EXCEPT FOR LIABILITY RISING FROM THE SOLE NEGLIGENCE OF THE OWNER OR THE ENGINEER.
- 9. AT ALL TIMES, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM PROJECT DEMOLITION WITH MINIMAL DISTURBANCE TO THE ADJACENT PROPERTIES. ALL DEBRIS GENERATED DURING THE DEMOLITION PHASE OF THE PROJECT, SHALL BE DISPOSED OF IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS.
- 10. ALL PAVEMENT STRIPING AND MARKINGS SHALL BE THERMOPLASTIC DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH NCDOT STD. 1205, LATEST ADDITION.
- STORM DRAINAGE NOTES
- A. GENERAL NOTES
- ALL WORK AND MATERIALS SHALL CONFORM TO THE LATEST EDITION OF THE NCDOT STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED IN THIS MANUAL. ALL CONCRETE USED FOR DRAINAGE STRUCTURES SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF 3600 PSI AT 28 DAYS. THIS REQUIREMENT SHALL BE PROVIDED REGARDLESS OF ANY LESSER COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH SPECIFIED IN THE NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR ROADS AND STRUCTURES.
- REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE MAY BE USED IN ALL STORM DRAIN APPLICATIONS. HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE PIPE (HDPE) MAY BE SUBSTITUTED FOR PIPE DIAMETERS OF 48 INCHES OR LESS EXCEPT FOR PIPING THROUGH EMBANKMENTS AND PIPING WITH FES AS HDPE FES IS NOT ACCEPTABLE. CULVERTS 60 INCHES IN DIAMETER OR GREATER MAY BE CORRUGATED ALUMINIZED METAL PIPE (CAMP) OR ALUMINUM WITH A MINIMUM 14 GAUGE METAL
- 3. ALL PIPE SHALL BE LAID WITH THE BELL OR GROOVE UPGRADE AND THE JOINT ENTIRELY INTERI OCKING 4. THE MINIMUM COVER FOR ALL PIPES IS TWO (2) FEET MEASURED FROM THE FINAL SURFACE.
- SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR LESS THAN TWO (2) FEET OF COVER WILL BE REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER INDIVIDUALLY. THE MAXIMUM COVER FOR STORM DRAINAGE PIPES SHALL AT A MINIMUM COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION HIGHWAY DESIGN BRANCH ROADWAY DESIGN MANUAL, PART I. SECTION 5. AND "DRAINAGE DESIGN". STORM PIPE DESIGN THAT EXCEEDS THESE CRITERIA MAY BE APPROVED AT THE DISCRETION OF THE COUNTY / DESIGN ENGINEER.
- . ALL PIPES IN STORM DRAIN STRUCTURES SHALL BE FLUSH WITH THE INSIDE WALL. 6. ALL STORM DRAIN STRUCTURES OVER THREE (3) FEET AND SIX (6) INCHES IN HEIGHT MUST HAVE
- STEPS IN ACCORDANCE WITH STANDARD DETAILS SET FORTH IN THIS MANUAL. 7. THE INTERIOR SURFACES OF ALL STORM DRAINAGE STRUCTURES SHALL BE POINTED UP AND SMOOTHED TO AN ACCEPTABLE STANDARD USING MORTAR MIXED TO MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS
- 8. STORM DRAINAGE PIPING SHALL BE PLACED IN A STRAIGHT ALIGNMENT AT UNIFORM GRADE. NO CHANGES IN ALIGNMENT SHALL BE ALLOWED EXCEPT AT CATCH BASINS, MANHOLES, OR OTHER JUNCTIONS THAT PROVIDE APPROPRIATE CLEAN OUT ACCESS. THE MAXIMUM LENGTH BETWEEN ACCESS POINTS IS 300 LINEAR FEET.
- 9. ALL FRAMES, GRATES, RINGS, COVERS, ETC., MUST CONFORM TO THE STANDARDS SET FORTH IN THE CURRENT EDITION OF THE ROWAN COUNTY LAND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS MANUAL AND THE NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (NCDOT) ROADWAY STANDARD DRAWINGS, DETAILS, AND OTHER RESOURCES.
- 10. ALL GRADED CREEK BANKS AND SLOPES SHALL BE AT A MAXIMUM OF TWO (2) FEET HORIZONTAL TO ONE (1) FOOT VERTICAL (2:1) AND NOT TO EXCEED 10' WITHOUT TERRACING OR THE SLOPES SHALL BE DESIGNED BY A PROFESSIONAL GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER AND APPROVED BY THE COUNTY REVIEWER ON A CASE BY CASE BASIS. B. HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE PIPE (HDPE)
- 1. THE PRODUCT USED SHALL BE CORRUGATED EXTERIOR/SMOOTH INTERIOR PIPE (TYPE S), CONFORMING TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO SPECIFICATION M294 (LATEST EDITION) FOR CORRUGATED POLYETHYLENE PIPE. . BELL AND SPIGOT JOINTS SHALL BE REQUIRED ON ALL PIPES INSIDE THE RIGHT-OF-WAY.
- BELLS SHALL COVER AT LEAST TWO FULL CORRUGATIONS ON EACH SECTION OF PIPE. THE BELL AND SPIGOT JOINT SHALL HAVE AN "O" RING RUBBER GASKET MEETING ASTM F477 WITH THE GASKET FACTORY INSTALLED, PLACED ON THE SPIGOT END OF THE PIPE. PIPE JOINTS SHALL MEET ALL REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M294.
- 3. ALL HDPE PIPE INSTALLED MUST BE INSPECTED AND APPROVED BY THE COUNTY'S INSPECTOR PRIOR TO ANY BACKFILL BEING PLACED. THE COUNTY INSPECTOR MUST BE PRESENT DURING THE BACKFILLING OPERATION AS WELL. 4. BACKFILL MATERIAL USED TO INSTALL HDPE PIPE WITHIN THE STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY SHALL BE
- SELECT MATERIAL, CLASS II-IV, AS DEFINED BY SECTION 1016-3 OF THE NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR ROADS AND STRUCTURES. UPON SUBMITTAL OF WRITTEN CERTIFICATION OF MATERIAL SUITABILITY BY A LICENSED GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER, NCDOT CLASS I SELECT MATERIAL MAY BE USED. ALL BACKFILL MATERIAL SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE COUNTY INSPECTOR PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF THE MATERIAL WITHIN THE STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY.
- 5. THE MINIMUM LENGTH OF HDPE PIPE PERMITTED FOR USE SHALL BE FOUR (4) FEET. HDPE FLARED END SECTIONS ARE NOT ALLOWED. 6. ALL HDPE PIPE INSTALLED SHALL BE THIRD PARTY CERTIFIED AND SHALL BEAR THE PLASTIC PIPE
- INSTITUTE'S (PPI) CERTIFICATE STICKER. C. REINFORCED CONCRETE.
- 1. ALL CONCRETE SHALL BE AT LEAST 3600 PSI. PRIOR APPROVAL SHALL BE OBTAINED IN ORDER TO USE PRE-CAST STORM DRAINAGE STRUCTURES IN ANY STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY BY NCDOT ENGINEER.
- 2. CONCRETE PIPE USED WITHIN THE STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF CLASS III REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE, WITH A MINIMUM DIAMETER OF FIFTEEN (15) INCHES (EIGHTEEN (18) INCHES MINIMUM ON CROSS DRAIN CULVERTS WITHIN THE ETJ). INSTALLATION OF CLASS IV OR HIGHER CONCRETE PIPE SHALL BE IDENTIFIED ON THE AS-BUILT PLAN AND THE COUNTY INSPECTOR SHALL BE GIVEN DOCUMENTATION AND NOTIFICATION OF THIS INFORMATION PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- 3. RCP STORM DRAINAGE PIPE SHALL BE SINGLE OFFSET JOINT PIPE WITH PROFILE GASKET, IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM C443, UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFICALLY NOTED ON THE CONSTRUCTION PLANS
- D. INSTALLATION OF REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE. 1. ALL BACKFILL SHALL BE NON-PLASTIC IN NATURE, FREE FROM ROOTS, VEGETATIVE MATTER, WASTE, CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL OR OTHER OBJECTIONABLE MATERIAL. SAID MATERIAL SHALL BE CAPABLE OF BEING COMPACTED BY MECHANICAL MEANS AND SHALL HAVE NO TENDENCY TO FLOW OR BEHAVE IN A PLASTIC MANNER UNDER THE TAMPING BLOWS OR PROOF ROLLING.
- 2. MATERIALS DEEMED BY THE ENGINEER AS UNSUITABLE FOR BACKFILL PURPOSES SHALL BE REMOVED AND REPLACED WITH SELECT BACKFILL MATERIAL.
- 3. BACKFILLING OF TRENCHES SHALL BE ACCOMPLISHED IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE PIPE IS LAID. THE FILL AROUND THE PIPE SHALL BE PLACED IN LAYERS NOT TO EXCEED EIGHT (8) INCHES, EACH LAYER SHALL BE THOROUGHLY COMPACTED TO 95% OF THE MAXIMUM DENSITY OBTAINABLE WITH THE STANDARD PROCTOR TEST (A DENSITY OF 100% STANDARD PROCTOR IS REQUIRED FOR THE TOP FIGHT (8) INCHES)
- 4. COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS SHALL BE ATTAINED BY THE USE OF MECHANICAL COMPACTION METHODS. EACH LAYER OF BACKFILL SHALL BE PLACED LOOSE AND THOROUGHLY COMPACTED IN PLACE.
- 5. UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHALL WATER BE PERMITTED TO RISE IN UN-BACKFILLED TRENCHES AFTER THE PIPE HAS BEEN PLACED

FILL / EMBANKMENT NOTES:

- EMBANKMENT FILL MATERIALS
- 1.4. SUBGRADE PREPARATION
- 21 CUT OUT SOFT AREAS OF SUBGRADE NOT CAPABLE OF COMPACTION IN PLACE. 2.2.
- SCARIFY SUBGRADE SURFACE TO A DEPTH OF 6 INCHES.

- BY THE ENGINEER

3.2.

- FILL SOILS SHOULD BE PLACED IN CONTINUOUS, HORIZONTAL LAYERS FROM ABUTMENT TO ABUTMENT 3.4
- FILL AGAINST SUPPORTED STRUCTURES. DO NOT FILL AGAINST UNSUPPORTED STRUCTURES. 3.6.
- 3.8
- 3.10. COMPACTION SHALL BE PERFORMED BY HAND TAMPERS OR SMALL HAND OPERATED COMPACTORS.
- 4. DRAINAGE AREA MUST BE STABILIZED BEFORE FILTRATION CONTROLS AND FILTER MEDIA ARE INSTALLED. 41
- 4.2. ENGINEER.
- 4.3. SAMPLE SIZE SHALL BE 50 LB.
- FREQUENCY OF COMPACTION/NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT TESTS: 4.5.
- 4.5.1. EMBANKMENT FILL: 4.5.1.1. EACH LIFT SHALL BE TESTED AT A MINIMUM FREQUENCY OF 1 PER 2,500 SQ. FT.
- 4.5.2. PIPE INSTALLATION: EACH LIFT SHALL BE TESTED AT A MINIMUM FREQUENCY OF 1 PER 30 LF OF PIPE 4.5.2.1.

UTILITY NOTES:

General Utility Notes

- 1. Concrete blocking (3000 psi) to be placed at all bends or as required unless Mega Lugs or restrained joints are used.
- 2. Standard depth of cover to be 3 feet except at valve or hydrant locations or other special situations. Cover is
- based on elevation below edge or pavement or as indicated on the plans.
- at the discretion of the SRU Inspector) at all valve boxes.
- 4. Extensions for valve boxes, when required, are to be valve boxes or DIP (no PVC or C-900).
- Rowan Utilities Standard Details and/or as required by the North Carolina Department of Transportation.
- 6. Pavement cuts are to be replaced immediately after backfilling of initial cut either with permanent replacement or a temporary replacement of 10" of base if approved by the Town (for Town maintained streets) or DOT (for state maintained streets).
- 7. Repairs to main breaks: (a) Solid sleeves to be used for connecting spigot ends shall be of the long body type. (b) All repairs shall be inspected by Town before backfilling. 8. In any instance where it will be necessary to have the water shut off on existing mains in order to make a
- 7 days in advance depending on the location and types of businesses that will be affected.
- ditch with ductile pipe when the following conditions occur: (a) Anytime a water main is installed under a sewer main.
 - mains is 18" or less (minimum 12" clearance between water and sewer lines only allowed when other utilities prevent the 18" minimum separation).
- 10. Water mains shall be installed with a minimum of 10' horizontal separation or 18" vertical separation from
- 11. Water lines shall be disinfected and hydrostatically tested in accordance with all State and SRU
- 12. All plans shall meet all Federal, State, Town of Landis, and Utilities owner regulations, design criteria, and

requirements

- construction standards
- 13. Water services/meter boxes are not to be located in driveways

BORROW MATERIAL SHALL BE CLASSIFIED AS ML, MH, CL OR CH SOILS ACCORDING TO THE UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (ASTM D2487) OR ANY MIXTURE OF THESE SOILS. BORROW MATERIALS SHALL HAVE A LIQUID LIMIT (LL) BETWEEN 40 AND 60 AND A PLASTICITY INDEX (PI) BETWEEN 15 AND 30 (ASTM D4318). MATERIAL SHALL BE FREE OF TOPSOIL, ORGANIC MATERIAL, ROOTS, STUMPS, BRUSH, ROCKS LARGER THAN 3 INCHES, SUBSOIL, DEBRIS, VEGETATION AND OTHER FOREIGN MATERIAL. ALL MATERIAL CLODS SHALL BE BROKEN DOWN WITH TILLERS AND/OR DISCS TO PROVIDE HOMOGENEOUS SOIL THAT IS FREE OF CLAY CLODS GREATER THAN 3 INCHES IN DIAMETER. COMPACT SUBGRADE TO DENSITY REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBSEQUENT FILL MATERIALS. REF. TO STRUCTURAL REQ. IN PROXIMITY TO BUILDING PAD. BEARING TO BE 2000 PSF MIN.

PROOF ROLL SUBGRADE TO IDENTIFY SOFT SPOTS; FILL AND COMPACT TO DENSITY EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBSEQUENT FILL MATERIAL. EMBANKMENT FILL SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AT 3 (HORIZONTAL) TO 1 (VERTICAL) OR AS SHOWN ON THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS, DEMONSTRATION OF APPROPRIATE SAFETY FACTORS AGAINST FAILURE THROUGH GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS SHALL BE REQUIRED FOR SLOPES STEEPER THAN 3:1. FILL SOILS SHALL BE PLACED IN LOOSE LIFTS NOT TO EXCEED 8 INCHES IN THICKNESS AND BE COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OF 95 PERCENT OF THE SOILS STANDARD PROCTOR (ASTM D698) MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY, OR AS SPECIFIED ON THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS. COMPACTED MOISTURE CONTENT SHALL BE BETWEEN 3 PERCENT BELOW AND 3 PERCENT ABOVE THE OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT FOR ALL FILL PLACED. OR AS OTHERWISE APPROVED

EXISTING SLOPES GREATER THAN 4(HORIZONTAL):1(VERTICAL) SHALL BE BENCHED TO PROMOTE BONDING OF NEWLY PLACED FILL WITH EXISTING SOILS. BENCHING SHALL BE PERFORMED AT MAXIMUM OF 2 FEET VERTICAL INTERVALS AND SHALL EXTEND A MINIMUM OF 4 FET HORIZONTALLY OR AS SPECIFIED ON DRAWINGS. WITHIN THE UPPER 12 INCHES OF EMBANKMENT, FILL SOILS SHOULD BE COMPACTED TO 100% OF ITS STANDARD PROCTOR (ASTM D698) MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY.

PLACE FILL SIMULTANEOUSLY ON EACH SIDE OF UNSUPPORTED STRUCTURES UNTIL SUPPORTS ARE IN PLACE.

PLACE A MINIMUM OF 6 INCHES OF TOPSOIL ACROSS DAM EMBANKMENT TO PROMOTE VEGETATIVE GROWTH.

OUTLET PIPE FILL PLACEMENT FILL OF THE CULVERTS SHALL BE PLACED AND COMPACTED IN 6-INCH THICK LOOSE LIFTS AROUND THE OUTLET STRUCTURE AND ABOVE THE CULVERTS.

3.11. COMPACTION SHALL BE AT A MINIMUM 95 PERCENT OF THE STANDARD PROCTOR (ASTM D698) MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY. COMPACTED MOISTURE CONTENT SHALL BE BETWEEN 3 PERCENT BELOW AND 3 PERCENT ABOVE THE OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT FOR ALL FILL PLACED OR AS OTHERWISE APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.

ADDITIONAL COMPACTION OF LIFTS 2 FEET OR GREATER ABOVE CULVERTS SHALL CONFORM TO THE EMBANKMENT FILL PLACEMENT SECTION OF THIS SPECIFICATION.

FIELD QUALITY CONTROL: PERFORM LABORATORY MATERIAL TESTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM D422, ASTM D698, ASTM D2216 AND ASTM D4318.

TEST AT A FREQUENCY OF EVERY 500 CUBIC YARDS OF EMBANKMENT FILL MATERIAL PLACED. WHEN MATERIALS USED FOR EMBANKMENT FILL CHANGE, AND/OR AS DIRECTED BY THE

4.4. PERFORM IN PLACE COMPACTION TESTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM D1556 OR ASTM D2937 AND NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT TEST IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM D2216.

4.6. WHEN TESTS INDICATE WORK DOES NOT MEET SPECIFIED REQUIREMENTS, REMOVE WORK, REPLACE AND RETEST

3. Provide poured in place concrete pads (18" x 18" x 6") (or concrete "donuts" may be used as an alternative

5. All pavement cuts, concrete or asphalt, are to be replaced according to The Town of Landis and Salisbury

tie-in, the work must be done by Town forces or a contractor working for the Town, scheduled 48 hours to 9. When a water main crosses an existing sewer main, the contractor is to replace the sewer pipe spanning the

(b) When a water main is over a sewer main and the vertical distance between the two

sewer lines. Where this is not possible, both the water line and sewer line shall be ductile iron pipe.



Section 4. Item4 2

GENERAL NOTES

SHEET NUMBER:









		STO	RM PIPE TABLE				
DN STRUCTURE	UP STRUCTURE	DOWN INVERT (FT)	UP INVERT (FT)	LENGTH (FT)	SLOPE (%)	MATERIAL	SIZE (IN)
YI-2	YI-1	772.50	773.51	65.76	1.536	Concrete	15.0
YI-2	EX CULVERT	772.50	779.25	60.08	11.235	Concrete	24.0
YI-3	YI-2	771.67	772.30	49.66	1.269	Concrete	24.0
CI-1	YI-3	768.94	771.47	94.60	2.674	Concrete	24.0
DI-1	CI-1	765.14	768.74	71.92	5.005	Concrete	24.0
DI-1	CI-2	765.64	766.02	24.77	1.534	Concrete	18.0
HEADWALL OUT	DI-1	764.14	765.14	9.57	10.454	Concrete	24.0

		STORM	1 STRUCTURE TABLE		
NAME	RIM ELEV(FT)	INVERT-IN ELEV(FT) (1)	INVERT-IN ELEV(FT) (2)	INVERT-OUT ELEV(FT)	STRUCTURE ID
CI-2	771.02	N/A	N/A	766.02	DI 840.14 12"-24"
YI-1	776.51	N/A	N/A	773.51	DI 840.14 12"-24"
EX CULVERT	783.00	N/A	N/A	779.25	DI 840.14 12"-24"
YI-2	776.49	772.50	772.50	772.30	DI 840.14 12"-24"
YI-3	776.47	771.67	N/A	771.47	DI 840.14 12"-24"
CI-1	773.74	768.94	N/A	768.74	DI 840.14 12"-24"
DI-1	770.67	765.14	765.64	765.14	DI 840.14 12"-24"
HEADWALL OUT	770.75	764.14	N/A	N/A	Outfall-Wall1



RIPRAP APRON AT PIPE OUTFALLS

LEGEND
These standard symbols will be found in the drawing.
SE-BOC
SE-SIDEWALK
SE-BUILDING
SE-SETBACKS

SS _x SS _x	EX-SEWER
	EX-EOP
W _x W _x	EX-WATER-LINE
E _x E _x	EX-OVERHEAD-PWR
R/W	EX-ROW
	SE-WALLS
	SE- STORM PIPE
	SE-CONTOURS





SITE GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN

SHEET NUMBER:



YI-2 RIM 776.49' INV IN 772.5024' INV IN 773.2515' INV OUT 772.3024' EX CULVERT RIM 783.00' INV IN 779.45' 784 INV OUT 779.2524' _____ YI-2 _____ 779 15.00" _____ Concrete 24.0" 60.53 LF SLOPE 0.1 _____ 774 ____ _____ Concrete 24.0" 49.95 LF SLOPE 0.0 769 _____ _____ ____ _____ _____ 764 10 0+90 -0+10 0+20 0+30 0+70 0+80 0+00 0+10 0+40 0+50 0+60



0+10 0+20 0+30 -0+10 0+00

		Section 4, Item4.2
YI-3 RIM 776.47 INV/IN 771.6724' INV OUT 771.4724' INV IN 771.6724' INV IN 771.6724' INV IN 771.6724' INV IN 771.6724' INVI IN 771.6724' <	1120 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143	Section 4, Item4.2 SUTHERING FIRM No. P-1946 1316 S. MAIN ST. STE D KANNAPOLIS, NC 28081 WWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW
	Y11 RIM 776.51' INV INIT 773.51' INV INIT 773.52' INV OUT 773.511' INV OUT 772.502' INV OUT 772.512' 780 Y11 Y12 Y11 Y12 Y11 Y12 Y11 Y12 Y12 780 Y14 Y12 Y15 Y12 Y16 Y12 Y17 Y12 Y16 Y12 Y17 Y12 Y16 Y12 Y17 Y12 Y16 Y12 Y17 Y12 Y18 Y12 Y19 Y12 Y19<	Image: Step the sector is a sector
	-0+10 0+00 0+10 0+20 0+30 0+40 0+50 0+60 0+70	SHEET TITLE: STORM PROFILES SHEET NUMBER:
		C-4.1

		FIRM No. P-1946 1316 S. MAIN ST. STE D KANNAPOLIS, NC 28081
	DI-1 RIM 770.67' INV IN 765.1424' INV IN 765.6418' INV OUT 765.1424' 784	043895 0445 0445 0445 0445 0445 0445 0445 04
Ind <td>Image: constraint of the second se</td> <td>123B119 DEED BOOK & PAGE: DB: 1423 PG: 854 CURRENT ZONING: MU-1 (LANDIS) PROPERTY LOCATION: LANDIS TOWNSHIP ROWAN COUNTY</td>	Image: constraint of the second se	123B119 DEED BOOK & PAGE: DB: 1423 PG: 854 CURRENT ZONING: MU-1 (LANDIS) PROPERTY LOCATION: LANDIS TOWNSHIP ROWAN COUNTY
Image: Concrete 24.0° Image: Concrete 24.	769 18.00" 764	DYNAMIC DEVELOPERS OF THE CAROLINAS LLC 210 OAK AVE KANNAPOLIS, NC 28081 PLAN NOTES: 1. PLAN NORTH IS BASED ON NAD83(2011) 2. GEODETIC MONUMENTS WERE SURVEYED AS SHOWN 3. ALL DISTANCES ARE GROUND HORIZONTAL
1+00 1+10 1+20 1+30 1+40 1+50 1+60 1+70 1+80 1+90 2+00 2+10 2+20 2+30 2+40 2+50 2+60 2+70	2+80 2+90 3+00	 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED PROPERTY MAY BE SUBJECT TO BURDENS OR BENEFITS NOT SHOWN ON MAP. NO UNDERGROUND UTILITIES WERE LOCATED WITH THIS PLAN. BEFORE DIGGING CALL NC ONE-CALL (1-800-632-4949) ZONING DISTRICTS NOTED ARE PER GIS INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC THE SUBJECT PARCEL(S) AND ANY ADJOINING PROPERTY OWNERS NAMES, DEEDS OF RECORD, AND TAX PARCEL IDENTIFICATIONS REFLECT THOSE AVAILABLE
		TO THIS ENGINEER AS OF THE DATE OF THE PLAN SHOWN8.SURVEY INFORMATION FOR THIS PLAN SHOWN WAS PROVIDED BY: DON ALLEN & ASSOCIATES PA.REVISION ISSUE00LANDIS03.05.2401DEV. PLAN02SUB. PLAN06.17.24
YI-2 YI-1 RIM 776.51' INV IN 773.71' 784 INV OUT 773.5115' XINV IN 773.5115' XINV IN 773.5115' XINV IN 773.5115' XINV IN 773.5115' XINV IN 773.5115'	5' 4' 24' 784	
NI-1 Image: Constraint of the second sec	780 780 .00" 775	NDIS IFAMIL
Total	770	MULT
-0+10 0+00 0+10 0+20 0+30 0+40 0+50 0+60 0+70		SHEET TITLE: STORM PROFILES SHEET NUMBER:
		6-4.1



	SUTHER SUTHER SUTHERING
	FIRM No. P-1946 1316 S. MAIN ST. STE D KANNAPOLIS, NC 28081
	John Surer
DI-1 CI-1 RIM 773.74' INV IN 765.9424' INV IN 765.6418	043895 UDS.29
INV OUT 768.7424'	4' 784 SUBJECT PROPERTY INFO: PARCEL ID(S):
	123B119 DEED BOOK & PAGE: 779 DB: 1423 PG: 854
	CURRENT ZONING:
	774 PROPERTY LOCATION: LANDIS TOWNSHIP
- -	ROWAN COUNTY OWNER INFORMATION:
.0" .0" <td>769 DYNAMIC DEVELOPERS OF THE CAROLINAS LLC 210 OAK AVE KANNAPOLIS, NC 28081</td>	769 DYNAMIC DEVELOPERS OF THE CAROLINAS LLC 210 OAK AVE KANNAPOLIS, NC 28081
	PLAN NOTES: 764 1. PLAN NORTH IS BASED ON NAD83(2011) 2. CEODETIC MONUMENTS
	2. GEODETIC MONUMENTS WERE SURVEYED AS SHOWN 3. ALL DISTANCES ARE GROUND HORIZONTAL UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED
1+70 1+80 1+90 2+10 2+20 2+30 2+40 2+50 2+60 2+70 2+80 2+90	 3+00 4. PROPERTY MAY BE SUBJECT TO BURDENS OR BENEFITS NOT SHOWN ON MAP. 5. NO UNDERGROUND UTILITIES WERE LOCATED WITH THIS
	PLAN. BEFORE DIGGING CALL NC ONE-CALL (1-800-632-4949) 6. ZONING DISTRICTS NOTED
	ARE PER GIS INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC 7. THE SUBJECT PARCEL(S) AND ANY ADJOINING PROPERTY OWNERS NAMES
	DEEDS OF RECORD, AND TAX PARCEL IDENTIFICATIONS REFLECT THOSE AVAILABLE TO THIS ENGINEER AS OF
	THE DATE OF THE PLAN SHOWN 8. SURVEY INFORMATION FOR THIS PLAN SHOWN WAS PROVIDED BY: DON ALLEN &
	ASSOCIATES PA. REVISION ISSUE
	00 LANDIS 03.05.24 01 DEV. PLAN 05.14.24 02 SUB. PLAN 06.17.24
YI-2 YI-1	
RIM 776.51' INV IN 773.2515' INV IN 773.71' INV IN 772.5024' 784 INV OUT 773.5115'	
YI-1 YI-2 780 Image: Second s	$\overline{0} \geq$
775	Z≞
770 10	
5	
-0+10 0+00 0+10 0+20 0+30 0+40 0+50 0+60 0+70	SHEET TITLE:
	STORM PROFILES
	SHEET NUMBER:











]		
SIDEWALK	GENERAL NC 1. A GROOVE JOINT IN THE CONCRETE EXPANSION JOINT EXCEED 50' AND I ADJACENT CURB. REQUIRED WHER 2. SIDEWALK AT DR 3. WIDTH OF SIDEWA 4. CONCRETE COMP 5. ZONING CONDITION WHICH SHALL SU	DTES: 1" DEEP WITH 1/8 E SIDEWALK AT 5" T WILL BE REQUIR MATCHING EXPAN A SEALED 1/2" EX E THE SIDEWALK IVEWAY ENTRANC ALK ON ALL STREE PRESSIVE STRENC ONS MAY REQUIR IPERSEDE THESE	B" RADII SHALI INTERVALS. RED AT 45' INT NSION/CONST (PANSION JOI JOINS ANY R CES TO BE 6" ETS SHALL B GTH SHALL B E ADDITIONA STANDARD [BE REQUIRED ONE 1/2" ERVALS NOT TO RUCTION JOINT IN NT WILL BE IGID STRUCTURE. THICK. E A MINIMUM OF 5'. 3600 PSI. IN 28 DA L WIDTH SIDEWALK IMENSIONS SHOW	1 YS . (S N.	FIRM 1316 KANI	I No. P-1946 S. MAIN ST. STE I NAPOLIS, NC 2808 043895	Section 4, Iten	n4.2
JOINT FILLER		PLANTER STRIP	WITH P	ANTER ST		SU PA DE CU PR OW D	BJECT PROPERTY RCEL ID(S): ED BOOK & PAGE DB: 1423 PG RRENT ZONING: MU-1 (LANI OPERTY LOCATIO LANDIS TOW ROWAN COI VNER INFORMATIC YNAMIC DEVELOF CAROLINAS 210 OAK A	/ INFO: 9 2 3: 854 DIS) N: /NSHIP UNTY DN: PERS OF THE S LLC AVE	
CONCRETE	SIDEWALK	STD. 3.01				1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 8. 00 01 02	KANNAPOLIS, M PLAN NOTE PLAN NORTH IS BA NAD83(2011) GEODETIC MONUL WERE SURVEYED ALL DISTANCES A GROUND HORIZOU UNLESS OTHERW PROPERTY MAY B TO BURDENS OR NO UNDERGROUM PROPERTY MAY B TO BURDENS OR NO UNDERGROUM WERE LOCATED W NO UNDERGROUM VERE SURVEY NO UNDERGROUM VERE LOCATED W NO UNDERGROUM VERE LOCATED W VERE LOCATED W VERE LOCATED W VERE LOCATED W VERE LOCATED W VERE	VC 28081 S: ASED ON MENTS AS SHOWN RENTAL ISE NOTED BE SUBJECT BENEFITS AP. VITH THIS GGING CALL S NOTED DRMATION E PUBLIC RCEL(S) NG RS NAMES, AVAILABLE R AS OF E PLAN ATION FOR WAS RRISBURG	

SITE DETAILS

SHEET NUMBER:

SHEET TITLE:

C-7.0



TANDARD DETAIL	DATE: DCTDBER 17, 2006 AMENDED: MAY 19, 2009
EMS	NDT TO SCALE
ER DETAIL	STANDARD W-9

w		
	THE SIL	JTHER GINEERING
FIR	M No. P-1946	
131 KAN	6 S. MAIN ST. STI NAPOLIS, NC 28	E D 081
111.	Ling Li	And
	John Chi	
U	04389:	81105.25
	MILLIN F SI	THERIN
		unn.
SI		RTY INFO:
P/	123B	119
DI	EED BOOK & PAC	GE:
C	UB: 1423	PG: 854
0	MU-1 (LA	ANDIS)
PI	ROPERTY LOCAT	TION:
	LANDIS TO	WNSHIP
	ROWAN C	COUNTY
0		
[CAROLIN 210 OAU	OPERS OF THE AS LLC K AVF
	KANNAPOLIS	S, NC 28081
	PLAN NO	TES:
1.	PLAN NORTH IS	BASED ON
2.	NAD83(2011) GEODETIC MON	
3.	ALL DISTANCES	ED AS SHOWN S ARE ZONTAI
4.	UNLESS OTHER PROPERTY MAY	RWISE NOTED
~	TO BURDENS O	R BENEFITS N MAP
5.	NO UNDERGRO WERE LOCATEI	UND UTILITIES D WITH THIS
	NC ONE-CALL (1-800-632-4949))
6.	ZONING DISTRI ARE PER GIS IN	CTS NOTED
7.	AVAILABLE TO	THE PUBLIC PARCEL(S)
	AND ANY ADJO PROPERTY OW	NERS NAMES,
	PARCEL IDENTI REFLECT THOS	FICATIONS SE AVAILABLE
	TO THIS ENGINI THE DATE OF T	EER AS OF HE PLAN
	01101401	
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR	
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG SSUE
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG SSUE 03.12.24
8. 00 01	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG SSUE 03.12.24 05.14.24
8. 00 01 02	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8. 00 01 02	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8. 00 01 02	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8. 00 01 02	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8. 00 01 02	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8. 00 01 02	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8.		MATION FOR WN WAS ARRISBURG 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8.		MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG
8.		MATION FOR WN WAS HARRISBURG
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN SUB. PLAN	NATION FOR WN WAS ARRISBURG
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN SUB. PLAN	NATION FOR WN WAS ARRISBURG
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN SUB. PLAN	NATION FOR WN WAS BSUE 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24 06.17.24
8.	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN SUB. PLAN	MATION FOR WN WAS BSUE 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8. 00 01 02	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN SUB. PLAN	ATION FOR WN WAS BSUE 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8. 00 01 02		ATION FOR WN WAS BSUE 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24 06.17.24
8. 00 01 02		ATION FOR WN WAS SUE 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8. 00 01 02		ATION FOR WN WAS SUE 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8. 00 01 02		ATION FOR WN WAS SUE 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8. 00 01 02	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN SUB. PLAN SUB. PLAN	ATION FOR WN WAS SUE 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24
8. 00 01 02	SHOWN SURVEY INFOR THIS PLAN SHO PROVIDED BY: I SURVEYING REVISION I LANDIS DEV. PLAN SUB. PLAN SUB. PLAN SUB. PLAN ET TITLE: UTILITY DE	ATION FOR WN WAS SUE 03.12.24 05.14.24 06.17.24 DS.14

Section 4, Item4.2



Town of Landis Technical Review Committee 312 S Main Street Landis, NC 28088

Response to comments dated January 17th, 2025, January 22nd 2025, and January 30th 2025.

Dear Technical Review Committee,

On behalf of Suncap and ARCO Construction, Scout & Co. has prepared this letter in response to comments made by the Town Planning Director received via email dated January 17th 2025, Technical Review Committee (TRC) received via email dated January 22nd, 2025, and Stormwater review comments via email dated January 30th, 2025.

Planning Director Comments via Email:

Below are the comments that I have from review of the Landis Ridge Ph 2 A project plans (dated 11/14/24). Please provide your responses to each comment with a different colored font (ie comment addressed, see page X). Once everything has been adequately addressed, we can move towards official approval your plans and permitting. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Planning: Revisions Required

- 1. Please note that the property is within the Protected Area of the Coldwater Creek Watershed in the Site Data Table
 - a. Please verify that the High Density option is being used (54% impervious proposed) in accordance with Section 19.1-8
 - b. Has the use of the High Density option been approved by the Town (Section 19.21-8.A)
 - c. Please provide a copy of the application for authorization (Section 19.1-8).

The High Density option is being used, we have requested a copy of the High Density Application for Authorization form from the Town of Landis, and will fill this application out as soon as we receive it. Please contact Scout & Co. if this holds up approval of Construction Drawings.

- 2. Please provide the Parking Calculations in the Site Data Table and/or on the Overall Site Plan (Table 12-1). Parking Calculations have been added to the Site Data Table on the Cover sheet, C0.00.
- 3. Please provide renderings of the proposed Building so conformance with Section 9.8-3 can be verified. Section 9.8-3 refers to Highway Lot Types, which this development is not. We have provided renders for the building regardless. The renders provided are conceptual.
- 4. If the marked areas to the rear of the structure are being used to meet the Loading spaces requirements of Section 12.14, please provide a note and show calculations on the Overall Site Plan (Section 12.14-4). Loading space calculations have been added to sheet C0.00 and to Sheet C3.00.
- Please indicate Lighting and Illumination of parking area (Section 12.11-14). Duke Energy is currently working on a lighting plan, and they have our most recent cad files and site plan. If a photometric lighting plan is needed before Construction drawing approval, please contact Scout & Co.
- 6. Please verify if there will be any bicycle parking provided (Section 12-12). There is no bicycle parking planned for this development
- Please show any areas where existing vegetation is to be left undisturbed (11.6-3.E) There are zero areas where vegetation is left to be undisturbed within our LOD, since this area has already been cleared by the current property owner.
- 8. Please provide a copy of the Tree Disturbance Permit (Section 11.3-4 & 11.10).

There are zero trees to be disturbed in this area. We have interpreted that based on that fact, there is no tree disturbance permit necessary for our development. Please let us know if this is not the case.

- Please show the location of solid waste dumpster area(s) Solid waste dumpster/trash compactor has been shown on sheet C3.02.
- 10. Please specify that the typical provided on sheet C8.00 describes the parking lot perimeter yard (Section 11.6-4)

The typical buffer yard does describe the type d buffer around the parking lot. A label on this typical section has been provided on sheet C8.00.

- a. Please provide a typical for the interior parking lot landscaping? Typical section for the parking lot islands has been provided on sheet C8.00
- 11. Please provide a pedestrian corridor in accordance with Section 12.11-4:
 - a. through the middle spaces extending to the sidewalk, and Sidewalk has been added to the interior of the parking lot, see sheet C3.01.
 - b. around the eastern side of the parking area Sidewalk has been added to the east side of the parking lot, see sheet C3.01.
- 12. Street trees should be shown along the main road (not the interior driveway) along the northern side of the site (Section 11.6-3).

These trees have already been permitted under the Landis Ridge Phase 1 project, they have been shown on our plan as existing, see sheets C8.00, C8.01, and C8.02

- 13. Please provide a legend showing the distinguishable weights for the intermittent stream along the eastern side of the site, and the corresponding buffer.
 30' intermittent stream buffer has been shown on all sheets and labeled, and legend has been added to sheet C3.00
- 14. Please provide another column showing the actual number of plantings provided in the Landscape Compliance Chart.

Column has been added, and the landscape schedule has been placed next to the landscape compliance chart to confirm the number of trees provided.

TRC Comments were provided via PDF, and will be addressed via PDF, included in this package

Stormwater Comments:

An NCGO1 Construction Activities NPDES permit is required.

Project Comments

1. Coversheet: The cover sheet must be sealed by a North Carolina Professional Engineer and include the contact information (firm name, address, phone number, and NC Board of Examiners for Engineers and Surveyors license number). (21 NCAC 56.1103 (b)(5)

2. Project Narrative, Section 1.2 Existing Conditions: The narrative indicates that "one sediment basin will be used to control sedimentation in the disturbed areas for this parcel. This basin is designed and maintained by others." Additionally, this section also states the following: "The permanent pond for stormwater treatment will be a regional pond and will be designed by others under a separate cover and permit."

While we appreciate this is a phased development that I assume to be a design-build, considering the construction and associated sedimentation, erosion control, and BMP are within the WS-IV protected area, the design of these structures must be provided prior to approval of the Stormwater Permit.

This project is being designed in collaboration with the adjacent property owner, Jackson-Shaw, with the project "Landis Ridge Phase 1" or "Landis Ridge". This collaboration includes using a sediment basin, currently located on site, located to the south of this parcel for the purposes of erosion control. It also includes an agreement about maintenance. The Rowan County Erosion control reviewer and inspector has signed off on this agreement between the two owners, and the erosion control plan is approved by Rowan County.

This condition has been calculated for, the erosion control basin has been reviewed, and modeled to meet the permanent storm flows from Landis Ridge Phase 2A under it's final conditions. Once the adjacent property owner has gotten their storm pond approved, they will be responsible for the installation of new structures and an FES in the area, and permanent pipes into a new permanent SCM (see sheet C7.40). This will be submitted for approval by others. See sheets C7.00 through C7.43 for updated erosion control plan.

3. The table for line numbers 29 through 51 headings are missing. Please provide a copy of the table with the appropriate headings.

DETAILED ANALYSIS: Construction Plans

1. Cover Sheet:

a. The proposed table indicates the proposed impervious area is 399,859 SF or 54.0% of the total Limits of Disturbance (LOD). The limits of LOD are listed as 20.0 Acres or 871,200 SF. 399,859/871,200 = 45.9 %, not 54.0%, please correct.

LOD and Impervious area have been updated on sheet C0.00

b. Please indicate the Total Built Upon Area (BUA) and percentage of the parcel size. If the sediment basin and BMP are for both phases of the development there also needs the BUA percentage of the total of both parcels or additional proposed phases.

Information has been provided on the cover sheet, C0.00. Please note that the SCM will be designed by others under the current agreement, and will be designed based off of our plan and the future uses for the Phase 2B and 2C parcels.

If the BUA density exceeds 24%, the design must meet or exceed the criteria contained in 15A NCAC 2H .1008(c). Ref. Stormwater Quality Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (SQMDO) Division I. Section 1.16 Stormwater Management (c)(v). Noted, will pass on to the Engineer designing the regional pond in the future phase.

2. Sheet C5.00 Overall Grading Plan

a. The area currently labeled as Approximate Zoning Line, Zone SFR-2, according to the most recent USGS Quad Sheet China Grove, appears to be an unnamed tributary to Beaver Creek, which is a tributary to Coldwater Creek is clearly a "blue-line stream," and therefore requires a Stream Buffer, according to the SQMDO Division I Section 1.17. Please provide the NCDEQ Division of Water Resources stream classification for this tributary as to whether it is considered Perennial, Intermittent, or Ephemeral since the buffer requirements vary, based upon SQMDO Division I Section 1.17 (c). Please delineate and label the stream buffer accordingly.

Stream has been updated to be more visible, and has been labeled as an intermittent stream, per the USGS quad map. A 30 FT buffer is required by the town of landis for intermittent streams, and this has been noted on the plans. b. Please provide Inlet protection at all catch basins and drop inlets.

We have now provided inlet protection at all catch basins and drop inlets. Please note that the structures under the concrete dock area where the roof leaders connect are not inlets and do not collect flow.

3. Sheet C5.01 Grading Plan A a. Same as the comment "a" on Sheet C-5.00. b. Same as the comment "a" on Sheet C-5.00. See responses to comments above. 4. Sheet C5.02 Grading Plan B a. Same as the comment "a" on Sheet C-5.00. See responses to comments above 5. Sheet C5.50 Overall Drainage Plan a. Same as the comment "a" on Sheet C-5.00. See responses to comments above. 6. Sheet C5.51 Drainage Plan A a. Same as the comment "a" on Sheet C-5.00. b. Same as the comment "b" on Sheet C-5.00. See responses to comments above. 7. Sheet C5.51 Drainage Plan B a. Same as the comment "b" on Sheet C-5.00. See responses to comments above. 8. Sheet C7.10 EC Stage 1 Overall a. Construction Sequence-Stage 1: The references to "Rowan County" shall be changed to "Town of Landis." Note has been changed to Town of Landis. b. Same as the comment "a" on Sheet C-5.00. See responses to comments above. 9. Sheet C7.11 EC Stage 1A a. Same as the comment "a" on Sheet C-5.00. See responses to comments above. 10. Sheet C7.11 EC Stage 1A a. No Comments See responses to comments above. 11. Sheet C7.20 EC Stage 2 Overall a. Same as the comment "a" on Sheet C-5.00. See responses to comments above. 12. Sheet C7.21 EC Stage 2A a. Same as the comment "a" on Sheet C-5.00. See responses to comments above. 13. Sheet C7.22 EC Stage 2B a. No Comments 14. Sheet C7.30 EC Stage 3 Overall a. Same as the comment "a" on Sheet C-5.00. See responses to comments above. 15. Sheet C7.31 EC Stage 3A a. Same as the comment "a" on Sheet C-5.00. See responses to comments above. 16. Sheet C7.32 EC Stage 3B a. No Comments 17. Sheet C9.04 EC Details a. Please provide an inlet protection detail

Inlet protection detail has been provided on sheet C9.05 b. The Fiber Wattle Detail is blank; please provide. Fiber Wattle Detail has been provided on sheet C9.04

General Comments

1. Please provide the following in accordance with SQMDC Ordinance Section 1.6 Stormwater Management (c)(ii) Stormwater Management Plans shall

- a. Effects on existing upstream and/or downstream drainage systems and property. Stormwater will be conveyed to erosion control basin and treated in that basin until such time as the permanent stormwater basin is installed by others.
- b. Ability of the natural drainage way to handle the additional stormwater runoff. Noted.
- c. Water quality impacts on receiving waters. Noted

2. In accordance with the SWMDC Ordinance Section 1.6 (c) (iii), provide the following: a. Demonstrate through accepted engineering practices described in the Stormwater Technical Standards Manual that stormwater runoff is adequately conveyed through the development of a drainage system designed to meet the criteria described in the Stormwater Technical Standards Manual. The project shall control and treat the runoff from the first one-inch of rain. Runoff volume drawdown time must be a minimum of 48 hours but not more than 120 hours. High-density projects must discharge the storage Page 9 volume at a rate equal to or less than the pre-development discharge rate for the one-year, 24-hour storm. All structural stormwater treatment systems must be designed to achieve 85% average annual removal of total suspended solids, fecal coliform, and other pollutants to levels identified in the Stormwater Technical Standards Manual. Post-development runoff rate shall not exceed the pre-development runoff rate unless a maximum discharge rate has been adopted for the applicable drainage basin and the discharge does not exceed that rate. If a maximum discharge rate has not been adopted for the applicable drainage basin, the post-development discharge rate may not exceed the pre-development discharge rate. Stormwater volumes resulting from the proposed development shall be detained within the development and released at a rate no greater than what existed prior to the development. Detention facilities shall be designed to maintain the pre-developed runoff rate from the 1-year and 10-year design storm events, and other events as specifically required by the Town's Stormwater Technical Standards Manual.

Will note this, and make sure that the permanent stormwater pond will be equipped to handle these requirements. ------End of Comments------

Should you have any questions or need any additional information, please contact Gary Zurawski at (919) 836.6873 or via email at gzurawski@scoutandco.com. Thank you for your review and continued assistance in permitting this project.

Sincerely,

Gary Zurawski, PE

Director of Civil Engineering Scout & Co. 4000 Westchase Blvd, Suite 425 Raleigh, NC 27607

LANDIS RIDGE PHASE 2A SITE DEVELOPMENT PLANS

PROJECT:	LANDIS RIDGE PHASE 2A	
PROPERTY OWNER:	JSC-CCI LANDIS II LLC 4890 ALPHA RD SUITE 100 DALLAS, TX 75244-4639	
DEVELOPER:	SUNCAP PROPERTY GROUP	
PIN:	5625-18-41-9778	
DEED REFERENCE:	DB: 1438 PG:256	
PROPERTY ADDRESS:	0 OLD BEATTY FORD ROAD, LANDIS, NC	
JURISDICTION:	TOWN OF LANDIS	
EXISTING ZONING:	IND	
PARCEL ACREAGE:	15.17 ACRES	
LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE:	20.00 ACRES	
WETLANDS DISTURBED:	0.00 ACRES	
EXISTING LAND USE:	VACANT	
PROPOSED LAND USE:	INDUSTRIAL	
EXISTING IMPERVIOUS:	0 SF OR 0% OF TOTAL SITE	
PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS:	399,859 SF OR 54.00% OF TOTAL LOD	
BUILDING COVERAGE:	26.35% OF TOTAL LOD	
PARKING:		
INDUSTRIAL #1 PROPOSED PARKING:	46 SPACES - INCLUDES 2 ADA SPACES	
PROPOSED PUBLIC WATER TAPS:	2 TAPS	
PROPOSED PUBLIC HYDRANTS:	5 HYDRANTS	1
PROPOSED PUBLIC WATERLINE:	2,385 LF OF 12" LINE	
PROPOSED PUBLIC SEWERLINE:	0 LF OF PUBLIC LINE	

WE HAVE UPDATED THIS VALUE TO 3 TAPS FOR THE IRRIGATION TAP

OLD BEATTY FORD ROAD TOWN OF LANDIS, ROWAN COUNTY, NC

NOVEMBER 14TH, 2024 PROJECT #: TBD



VICINITY MAP SCALE 1" = 500'



AUBREE FREELY AFREELY@ARCODB.COM FDC LOCATION IS NOW SHOWN ON SHEET C4.02 AFTER CONVERSATION WITH FIRE MARSHALL

Sheet List Table				
SHEET NUMBER				
C0.00	COVER SHEET			
C2.01	ALTA			
62.01				
02.02				
C3.00	OVERALL SITE PLAN			
C3.01	SITE PLAN 'A'			
C3.02	SITE PLAN 'B'			
C4.00	OVERALL UTILITY PLAN			
C4.01	UTILITY PLAN 'A'			
C4.02	UTILITY PLAN 'B'			
C4.03	SANITARY SEWER PROFILE			
C4.04	PUBLIC WATER LINE PROFILE I			
C4.05	PUBLIC WATER LINE PROFILE II			
C5.00	OVERALL GRADING PLAN			
C5.01	GRADING PLAN 'A'			
C5.02	GRADING PLAN 'B'			
C5.03	ADA INSET			
C5.50	OVERALL DRAINAGE PLAN			
C5.51	DRAINAGE PLAN 'A'			
C5.52	DRAINAGE PLAN 'B'			
C6.01	PUBLIC ROAD B PROFILE			
C7.10	EC STAGE 1 OVERALL			
C7.11	EC STAGE 1 A			
C7.12	EC STAGE 1 B			
C7.20	EC STAGE 2 OVERALL			
C7.21	EC STAGE 2 A			
C7.22	EC STAGE 2 B			
C7.30	EC STAGE 3 OVERALL			
C7.31	EC STAGE 3 A			
C7.32	EC STAGE 3 B			
C8.00	OVERALL LANDSCAPING			
C8.01	LANDSCAPING A			
C8.02	LANDSCAPING B			
C8.03	LANDSCAPE DETAILS			
C9.00	SITE DETAILS I			
C9.01	SITE DETAILS II			
C9.02				
C9.03				
C9.04				
C9.00 NCG01				

MG (Chief of Police) - 12/20/24 KY - 12/20/24

BA (Public Works Director) - 12/20/24

Landis Fire Chief - 12-23-24

Andrew King (Rowan County FMO) - 12-19-24 - FDC Location not shown - Hydrant 100' of FDC for supply

Section 4, Item4.3
ARCO
DESIGN/BUILD 4000 WESTCHASE BLVD., SUITE 450
RALEIGH, NC 27607 P:919.573.9824
N の & イ U C * CO * S
SCOUT & CO. ENGINEERING, P.C. NCBELS: C-5153
PROJECT TITLE
LANDIS RIDGE PHASE
2A
OWNER P: 706.202.7143 JSC-CCI LANDIS II LLC 4890 ALPHA RD SUITE 100
DALLAS, TX 75244-4639 CIVIL ENGINEER P: 919.537.9824 SCOUT & CO ENGINEERING
4000 WESTCHASE BOULEVARD, SUITE 450 RALEIGH, NC 27607
SURVEYORP: 704.864.9007CLONINGER BELL107 RIVERSIDE DR.MCADENVILLE, NC 28101
DEVELOPER P: 919.573.9824 ARCO DESIGN/BUILD 4000 WESTCHASE BOULEVARD SUITE 450
GEOTECH P: 704.525.2003
500 CLANTON ROAD SUITE K CHARLOTTE, NC 28217
ALL CONSTRUCTION TO BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL CURRENT
TOWN OF LANDIS, ROWAN COUNTY, NCDEQ, AND NCDOT STANDARDS, SPECIFICATIONS, AND DETAILS
Know what's below. 811 before you dig.
SEAL
N NOROFESSION NO
Lary Stall Junok
TUR TUR
anninininininini.
FIRST SUBMITTAL DATE 11/14/2024 REVISIONS
PROJECT NO. CHECKED BY DRAWN BY GJZ LWC
SHEET TITLE
COVER SHEFT
SHEET NO.
COO



		Section 4, Item4.3
		ARCO DESIGN/BUILD 4000 WESTCHASE BLVD., SUITE 450 RALEIGH, NC 27607 P:919.573.9824
		PROJECT TITLE LANDIS RIDGE PHASE 2A
PHERP E. LONG AND BALLA H. OF MENDOZA DA 1000, PR. 784 HARCE 1330.059 OE OE	MELEN, M. AND THE MELEN AL AND THE MELEN AL AND THE MELEN AL AND THE MELEN AL AND THE MELEN THE MELEN T	AB90 ALPHA RD SUITE 100 DALLAS, TX 75244-4639 CIVIL ENGINEER P: 919.537.9824 SCOUT & CO. ENGINEERING 4000 WESTCHASE BOULEVARD, SUITE 450 RALEIGH, NC 27607 SURVEYOR P: 704.864.9007 CLONINGER BELL 107 RIVERSIDE DR. MCADENVILLE, NC 28101 DEVELOPER P: 919.573.9824 ARCO DESIGN/BUILD 4000 WESTCHASE BOULEVARD SUITE 450 RALEIGH, NC 27607 GEOTECH P: 704.525.2003 GEOSCIENCE GROUP INC.
		500 CLANTON ROAD SUITE K CHARLOTTE, NC 28217 FOR REVIEW ONLY ALL CONSTRUCTION TO BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL CURRENT TOWN OF LANDIS, ROWAN COUNTY, NCDEQ, AND NCDOT STANDARDS, SPECIFICATIONS, AND DETAILS SPECIFICATIONS, AND DETAILS Know what's below. 811 before you dig.
	FLOW MODEL IS PROVIDED IN THIS PACKAGE	FIRST SUBMITTAL DATE 11/14/2024
	 2 - Flow model for hydrant flows 3 - LFD to confirm final ocation of all hydrants 4 - Private road would require a public utilitiy easemsnt overlaid. If roads are public ROWs no overlay easement needed for assets in ROW 5 - Watermain (Public) sized to support 3B 	
OF PHASES DS FOR CLUDED HEM TO OUR	and 3C	PROJECT NO. CHECKED BY DRAWN BY GJZ LWC SHEET TITLE OVERALL UTILITY PLAN
	SCALE 1" = 150' 0 75 150 300 750	sheet no.





OUTFALL TO EX-SSMH051
SCALE: 1"= 40 ' HORIZONTAL

Section 4, Item4.3

EROSION CONTROL AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

FOR

LANDIS RIDGE PHASE 2A

FEBRUARY 7TH, 2025

PREPARED FOR

ARCO DESIGN/BUILD

DESIGN BY: LOGAN CRISS, EIT REVIEW BY: GARY ZURAWSKI, PE





1.0 Project Narrative

This report is for the proposed industrial project located on Olde Beatty Ford Road in Landis, NC. The project contains 1 industrial building with associated parking, utilities, and erosion control. The parcel contains 15.18 acres with a stream located on the East side of the proposed project area. This parcel is a part of a larger development called Landis Ridge, which is under construction. The parcel in this proposal is a separate project with separate permit requirements, but will be called Landis Ridge Phase 2A for clarity. The proposed project has a total disturbance of 22.47 acres. The site drains to one common convergence point. The entire site drains toward the southeast corner, with an existing drainage swale from the Landis Ridge project running along the eastern edge of the site. The site falls within the Coldwater Creek (Lake Fisher) water supply Watershed, which is a Surface water classification of Water supply IV, and is a Protected area (WS-IV P). It is also in the Yadkin River Basin. The site is zoned IND and its PIN number is 140-002.

1.1 Existing Conditions

The parcel's current land cover condition is undisturbed woodlands with a stream located on the east side of the site. Wetland and stream disturbance is below half an acre. A variety of different soil types are present on site, see the web soil survey in the appendix.

1.2 Proposed Conditions

The proposed site improvements consist of 1 industrial building with associated grading, utilities, and erosion control practices. Access to the site will be on Old Beatty Ford Road, through the currently under construction Landis Ridge Project. One sediment basin will be used to control sedimentation in disturbed areas for this parcel. This basin has been designed and maintained by others under separate cover. The runoff on site will be channelized and directed to the sediment basin via lined swales. Swales were sized and lined to control the 10-year, 5 minute storm. Additional erosion control measures including rock construction entrance, silt fence with associated outlets, inlet protection, and soil stockpiles are also utilized on site. The permanent pond for stormwater treatment will be a regional pond and will be designed by others under a separate cover and permit. See sheets C7.00 through C7.43 for details and sequencing.

Bookmark Summary

APPENDIX A: QUADRANGLE MAP	Page 4
APPENDIX B: SOIL REPORT	Page 6
APPENDIX C: NOAA RAINFALL DATA	Page 40
APPENDIX D: STORMWATER STUDIO REPORT	Page 45
APPENDIX E: STORMWATER STUDIO PLAN AND PROFILE	Page 55
APPENDIX F: FEMA MAP	Page 107
APPENDIX G: EROSION CONTROL SWALE CALCULATIONS	Page 109
APPENDIX H. SEDIMENT BASIN 3 CALCULATIONS	Page 114

Section 4, Item4.3

いい N の & へ U C * CO * S

APPENDIX A. QUADRANGLE MAP



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY



CHINA GROVE QUADRANGLE NORTH CAROLINA 7.5-MINUTE SERIES





Produced by the United States Geological Survey North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83) World Geodetic System of 1984 (WGS84). Projection and 1 000-meter grid:Universal Transverse Mercator, Zone 175 This map is not a legal document. Boundaries may be generalized for this map scale. Private lands within government reservations may not be shown. Obtain permission before entering private lands.

Imagery.... Roads..... Names..... Hydrography..... Contours.... Boundaries.... Wetlands.... ..FWS National Wetlands Inventory Not Available





2022



Section 4, Item4.3

く N い な よ U C * CO * S

APPENDIX B. SOIL REPORT



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resour-**Report for Rowan County, North Carolina**



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map	9
Legend	.10
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	.11
Rowan County, North Carolina	14
ChA—Chewacla loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently flooded	14
EnB—Enon fine sandy loam, 2 to 8 percent slopes	15
EnC—Enon fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	16
PaC—Pacolet sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	17
PaD—Pacolet sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	18
PcB2—Pacolet sandy clay loam, 2 to 8 percent slopes, moderately	
eroded	19
PcC2—Pacolet sandy clay loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes, moderately	
eroded	20
PxD—Poindexter-Rowan complex, 15 to 25 percent slopes	.21
RnB—Rion-Wedowee complex, 2 to 8 percent slopes	22
SaB—Saw-Pacolet complex, 2 to 8 percent slopes	24
SaC—Saw-Pacolet complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes	26
ScB2—Saw-Pacolet complex, 2 to 8 percent slopes, moderately eroded	27
WtB—Wynott-Enon complex, 2 to 8 percent slopes	29
References	32

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



MAP LEGEND)	MAP INFORMATION	
area of In	terest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)	8	Spoil Area Stony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.	
oils	Soil Map Unit Polygons	00 V	Very Stony Spot Wet Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.	
~	Soil Map Unit Lines Soil Map Unit Points	Δ	Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of	
Special Point Features Blowout		Water Features		contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.	
×	Borrow Pit	Transport	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map	
\$	Closed Depression	~	Rails Interstate Highways	measurements.	
*	Gravel Pit Gravelly Spot	~	US Routes Major Roads	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	
0	Landfill Lava Flow	~	Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Merca projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts	
<u>щ</u>	Marsh or swamp	Backgrou	n d Aerial Photography	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required	
☆ ©	Mine or Quarry Miscellaneous Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data a	
0	Perennial Water Rock Outcrop			of the version date(s) listed below.	
+	Saline Spot			Survey Area Data: Version 22, Sep 9, 2024	
:: =	Sandy Spot Severely Eroded Spot			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.	
۵ ۵	Sinkhole Slide or Slip			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Mar 13, 2022—May 9, 2022	
ø	Sodic Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor	

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
ChA	Chewacla loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently flooded	22.2	5.9%
EnB	Enon fine sandy loam, 2 to 8 percent slopes	43.7	11.6%
EnC	Enon fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	35.0	9.3%
PaC	Pacolet sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	31.7	8.4%
PaD	Pacolet sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	0.1	0.0%
PcB2	Pacolet sandy clay loam, 2 to 8 percent slopes, moderately eroded	164.9	43.8%
PcC2	Pacolet sandy clay loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes, moderately eroded	36.9	9.8%
PxD	Poindexter-Rowan complex, 15 to 25 percent slopes	19.2	5.1%
RnB	Rion-Wedowee complex, 2 to 8 percent slopes	19.0	5.0%
SaB	Saw-Pacolet complex, 2 to 8 percent slopes	0.9	0.2%
SaC	Saw-Pacolet complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes	2.2	0.6%
ScB2	Saw-Pacolet complex, 2 to 8 percent slopes, moderately eroded	0.3	0.1%
WtB	Wynott-Enon complex, 2 to 8 percent slopes	0.7	0.2%
Totals for Area of Interest		376.6	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class.

Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Rowan County, North Carolina

ChA—Chewacla loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently flooded

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2vy6r Elevation: 330 to 660 feet Mean annual precipitation: 39 to 47 inches Mean annual air temperature: 55 to 63 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 250 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if drained and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season

Map Unit Composition

Chewacla, frequently flooded, and similar soils: 90 percent *Minor components:* 5 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Chewacla, Frequently Flooded

Setting

Landform: Flood plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread, talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Loamy alluvium derived from igneous and metamorphic rock

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 6 inches: loam Bw - 6 to 52 inches: sandy clay loam Cg - 52 to 80 inches: stratified sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Somewhat poorly drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.20 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 6 to 24 inches
Frequency of flooding: Frequent
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.6 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4w Hydrologic Soil Group: B/D Ecological site: F136XY610GA - Flood plain forest, wet Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Wehadkee, frequently flooded

Percent of map unit: 5 percent *Landform:* Flood plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread, talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: Yes

EnB—Enon fine sandy loam, 2 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 3vn1 Elevation: 200 to 1,400 feet Mean annual precipitation: 37 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 59 to 66 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 240 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Enon and similar soils: 85 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Enon

Setting

Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from diorite and/or gabbro and/or diabase and/or gneiss

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 7 inches: fine sandy loam BA - 7 to 10 inches: sandy clay loam Bt - 10 to 27 inches: clay BC - 27 to 33 inches: clay loam C - 33 to 80 inches: loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 8.3 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: F136XY720NC - Basic upland forest, moist Hydric soil rating: No

EnC—Enon fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 3vn2 Elevation: 200 to 1,400 feet Mean annual precipitation: 37 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 59 to 66 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 240 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Enon and similar soils: 85 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Enon

Setting

Landform: Hillslopes on ridges Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from diorite and/or gabbro and/or diabase and/or gneiss

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 7 inches: fine sandy loam BA - 7 to 10 inches: sandy clay loam Bt - 10 to 27 inches: clay BC - 27 to 33 inches: clay loam C - 33 to 80 inches: loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 8 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 8.3 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: F136XY720NC - Basic upland forest, moist Hydric soil rating: No

PaC—Pacolet sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 3vnn Elevation: 200 to 1,400 feet Mean annual precipitation: 37 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 59 to 66 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 240 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Pacolet and similar soils: 85 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Pacolet

Setting

Landform: Hillslopes on ridges Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from granite and gneiss and/or schist

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 5 inches: sandy loam E - 5 to 8 inches: sandy loam Bt - 8 to 29 inches: clay BC - 29 to 38 inches: sandy clay loam C - 38 to 80 inches: sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 8 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F136XY820GA - Acidic upland forest, moist Hydric soil rating: No

PaD—Pacolet sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 3vnp Elevation: 200 to 1,400 feet Mean annual precipitation: 37 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 59 to 66 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 240 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Pacolet and similar soils: 85 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Pacolet

Setting

Landform: Hillslopes on ridges Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from granite and gneiss and/or schist

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 5 inches: sandy loam E - 5 to 8 inches: sandy loam Bt - 8 to 29 inches: clay BC - 29 to 38 inches: sandy clay loam C - 38 to 80 inches: sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 15 to 25 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: B *Ecological site:* F136XY820GA - Acidic upland forest, moist *Hydric soil rating:* No

PcB2—Pacolet sandy clay loam, 2 to 8 percent slopes, moderately eroded

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2m9wh Elevation: 200 to 1,400 feet Mean annual precipitation: 37 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 59 to 66 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 240 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Pacolet, moderately eroded, and similar soils: 85 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Pacolet, Moderately Eroded

Setting

Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from granite and gneiss and/or schist

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 7 inches: sandy clay loam

Bt - 7 to 24 inches: clay

B - 24 to 33 inches: sandy clay loam

C - 33 to 80 inches: loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F136XY820GA - Acidic upland forest, moist Hydric soil rating: No

PcC2—Pacolet sandy clay loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes, moderately eroded

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2m9wj Elevation: 200 to 1,400 feet Mean annual precipitation: 37 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 59 to 66 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 240 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Pacolet, moderately eroded, and similar soils: 85 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Pacolet, Moderately Eroded

Setting

Landform: Hillslopes on ridges Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from granite and gneiss and/or schist

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 7 inches: sandy clay loam Bt - 7 to 24 inches: clay B - 24 to 33 inches: sandy clay loam C - 33 to 80 inches: loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 8 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F136XY820GA - Acidic upland forest, moist Hydric soil rating: No

PxD—Poindexter-Rowan complex, 15 to 25 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2md4r Elevation: 200 to 1,400 feet Mean annual precipitation: 37 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 59 to 66 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 240 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Poindexter and similar soils: 45 percent *Rowan and similar soils:* 40 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Poindexter

Setting

Landform: Hillslopes on ridges Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from diorite and/or gabbro and/or diabase and/or gneiss

Typical profile

- A 0 to 3 inches: loam
- E 3 to 7 inches: loam
- Bt 7 to 26 inches: sandy clay loam
- C 26 to 39 inches: loam
- Cr 39 to 43 inches: weathered bedrock
- R 43 to 80 inches: unweathered bedrock

Properties and qualities

- Slope: 15 to 25 percent
- *Depth to restrictive feature:* 20 to 40 inches to paralithic bedrock; 40 to 80 inches to lithic bedrock
- Drainage class: Well drained
- Runoff class: High
- Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to low (0.00 to 0.01 in/hr)
- Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
- Frequency of flooding: None
- Frequency of ponding: None
- Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 5.7 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: C *Ecological site:* F136XY730SC - Basic upland forest, depth restriction, dry *Hydric soil rating:* No

Description of Rowan

Setting

Landform: Hillslopes on ridges Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from diorite and/or gabbro and/or diabase and/or gneiss

Typical profile

A - 0 to 2 inches: loam

- E 2 to 9 inches: loam
- Bt 9 to 29 inches: sandy clay loam
- *C 29 to 80 inches:* loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 15 to 25 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 9.3 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F136XY720NC - Basic upland forest, moist Hydric soil rating: No

RnB—Rion-Wedowee complex, 2 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 3vp0 Elevation: 200 to 1,400 feet Mean annual precipitation: 37 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 59 to 66 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 240 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Rion and similar soils: 50 percent

Wedowee and similar soils: 35 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Rion

Setting

Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from granite and gneiss

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 8 inches: sandy loam Bt - 8 to 26 inches: sandy clay loam BC - 26 to 38 inches: sandy clay loam C - 38 to 80 inches: sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 6.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: F136XY820GA - Acidic upland forest, moist Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Wedowee

Setting

Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from granite and gneiss and/or schist

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 12 inches: sandy loam BE - 12 to 15 inches: sandy clay loam Bt - 15 to 29 inches: clay C - 29 to 80 inches: sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 8 percent *Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches *Drainage class:* Well drained Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.9 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F136XY820GA - Acidic upland forest, moist Hydric soil rating: No

SaB—Saw-Pacolet complex, 2 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 3vp5 Elevation: 200 to 1,400 feet Mean annual precipitation: 37 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 59 to 66 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 240 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Saw and similar soils: 50 percent Pacolet and similar soils: 45 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Saw

Setting

Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from granite and/or gneiss

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 8 inches: sandy loam

Bt - 8 to 20 inches: clay

BC - 20 to 26 inches: sandy clay loam

C - 26 to 29 inches: sandy loam

R - 29 to 80 inches: unweathered bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 8 percent *Depth to restrictive feature:* 20 to 40 inches to lithic bedrock *Drainage class:* Well drained Runoff class: Very high

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to low (0.00 to 0.01 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 3.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: F136XY830NC - Acidic upland forest, depth restriction, dry-moist Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Pacolet

Setting

Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from granite and gneiss and/or schist

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 5 inches: sandy loam E - 5 to 8 inches: sandy loam Bt - 8 to 29 inches: clay BC - 29 to 38 inches: sandy clay loam C - 38 to 80 inches: sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F136XY820GA - Acidic upland forest, moist Hydric soil rating: No

SaC—Saw-Pacolet complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 3vp6 Elevation: 200 to 1,400 feet Mean annual precipitation: 37 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 59 to 66 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 240 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Saw and similar soils: 50 percent Pacolet and similar soils: 45 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Saw

Setting

Landform: Hillslopes on ridges Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from granite and/or saprolite derived from gneiss

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 8 inches: sandy loam Bt - 8 to 20 inches: clay BC - 20 to 26 inches: sandy clay loam C - 26 to 29 inches: sandy loam R - 29 to 80 inches: unweathered bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 8 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to lithic bedrock
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to low (0.00 to 0.01 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 3.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: F136XY830NC - Acidic upland forest, depth restriction, dry-moist Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Pacolet

Setting

Landform: Hillslopes on ridges Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from granite and gneiss and/or schist

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 5 inches: sandy loam E - 5 to 8 inches: sandy loam Bt - 8 to 29 inches: clay BC - 29 to 38 inches: sandy clay loam C - 38 to 80 inches: sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 8 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F136XY820GA - Acidic upland forest, moist Hydric soil rating: No

ScB2—Saw-Pacolet complex, 2 to 8 percent slopes, moderately eroded

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2m9wr Elevation: 200 to 1,400 feet Mean annual precipitation: 37 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 59 to 66 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 240 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Saw, moderately eroded, and similar soils: 50 percent *Pacolet, moderately eroded, and similar soils:* 45 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Saw, Moderately Eroded

Setting

Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from granite and/or saprolite derived from gneiss

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 5 inches: sandy clay loam

Bt - 5 to 20 inches: clay

BC - 20 to 26 inches: sandy clay loam

- C 26 to 29 inches: sandy loam
- R 29 to 80 inches: unweathered bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to lithic bedrock
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to low (0.00 to 0.01 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 3.7 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: F136XY830NC - Acidic upland forest, depth restriction, dry-moist Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Pacolet, Moderately Eroded

Setting

Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from granite and gneiss and/or schist

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 7 inches: sandy clay loam Bt - 7 to 28 inches: clay BC - 28 to 44 inches: sandy clay loam C - 44 to 80 inches: sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 8 percent Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Drainage class: Well drained Runoff class: Medium Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.6 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F136XY820GA - Acidic upland forest, moist Hydric soil rating: No

WtB—Wynott-Enon complex, 2 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2md4m Elevation: 200 to 1,400 feet Mean annual precipitation: 37 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 59 to 66 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 240 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Wynott and similar soils: 60 percent *Enon and similar soils:* 30 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Wynott

Setting

Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from diorite and/or gabbro and/or diabase and/or gneiss

Typical profile

A - 0 to 4 inches: sandy loam E - 4 to 14 inches: sandy loam Bt - 14 to 24 inches: clay BC - 24 to 28 inches: sandy clay loam Cr - 28 to 80 inches: weathered bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 8 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to paralithic bedrock Drainage class: Well drained Runoff class: Medium Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately high (0.00 to 0.20 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: D Ecological site: F136XY730SC - Basic upland forest, depth restriction, dry Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Enon

Setting

Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Saprolite derived from diorite and/or gabbro and/or diabase and/or gneiss

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 7 inches: fine sandy loam BA - 7 to 10 inches: sandy clay loam Bt - 10 to 27 inches: clay BC - 27 to 33 inches: clay loam C - 33 to 80 inches: loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 8.3 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: F136XY720NC - Basic upland forest, moist Hydric soil rating: No
References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

33

Section 4, Item4.3

N 0 & Λ U C * CO * S

APPENDIX C. NOAA RAINFALL DATA

Precipitation Frequency Data Server

NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 2, Version 3 Location name: Landis, North Carolina, USA* Latitude: 35.5316°, Longitude: -80.5953° Elevation: 767 ft** * source: ESRI Maps ** source: USGS



Section 4, Item4.3

POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

G.M. Bonnin, D. Martin, B. Lin, T. Parzybok, M.Yekta, and D. Riley

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF_tabular | PF_graphical | Maps_&_aerials

PF tabular

PDS-b	ased poir	nt precipit	ation freq	luency es	timates w	ith 90% co	onfidence	intervals	(in inches	s/hour) ¹
Duration				Avera	ge recurren	ce interval (years)			
Duration	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	4.69 (4.33-5.10)	5.56 (5.12-6.04)	6.48 (5.96-7.03)	7.13 (6.54-7.73)	7.86 (7.18-8.51)	8.36 (7.60-9.06)	8.81 (7.96-9.55)	9.20 (8.27-9.98)	9.64 (8.57-10.5)	9.92 (8.75-10.8)
10-min	3.75 (3.46-4.07)	4.44 (4.10-4.83)	5.18 (4.78-5.63)	5.70 (5.23-6.17)	6.26 (5.72-6.78)	6.66 (6.05-7.21)	7.00 (6.32-7.58)	7.30 (6.55-7.91)	7.62 (6.77-8.29)	7.82 (6.89-8.53)
15-min	3.13 (2.88-3.39)	3.72 (3.43-4.05)	4.37 (4.02-4.75)	4.81 (4.41-5.21)	5.29 (4.83-5.73)	5.62 (5.10-6.08)	5.90 (5.33-6.39)	6.14 (5.51-6.66)	6.39 (5.68-6.96)	6.54 (5.77-7.13)
30-min	2.14	2.57	3.11	3.48	3.92	4.23	4.52	4.78	5.09	5.30
	(1.98-2.32)	(2.37-2.80)	(2.86-3.38)	(3.19-3.77)	(3.58-4.24)	(3.84-4.58)	(4.08-4.89)	(4.29-5.18)	(4.52-5.54)	(4.67-5.78)
60-min	1.34	1.61	1.99	2.27	2.61	2.87	3.11	3.35	3.65	3.87
	(1.23-1.45)	(1.49-1.75)	(1.83-2.16)	(2.08-2.46)	(2.38-2.82)	(2.60-3.10)	(2.81-3.37)	(3.01-3.63)	(3.24-3.97)	(3.41-4.22)
2-hr	0.772	0.935	1.16	1.33	1.55	1.72	1.88	2.04	2.25	2.41
	(0.709-0.841)	(0.858-1.02)	(1.06-1.27)	(1.22-1.45)	(1.41-1.69)	(1.55-1.87)	(1.69-2.05)	(1.82-2.23)	(1.99-2.46)	(2.11-2.64)
3-hr	0.549	0.663	0.828	0.954	1.12	1.25	1.38	1.52	1.70	1.83
	(0.504-0.599)	(0.609-0.724)	(0.759-0.904)	(0.872-1.04)	(1.02-1.22)	(1.13-1.36)	(1.24-1.50)	(1.35-1.65)	(1.49-1.85)	(1.59-2.00)
6-hr	0.334	0.402	0.502	0.580	0.685	0.768	0.852	0.938	1.06	1.15
	(0.307-0.364)	(0.370-0.440)	(0.461-0.548)	(0.531-0.632)	(0.623-0.744)	(0.694-0.834)	(0.764-0.926)	(0.832-1.02)	(0.923-1.15)	(0.989-1.25)
12-hr	0.196	0.237	0.297	0.345	0.410	0.463	0.516	0.572	0.650	0.711
	(0.181-0.214)	(0.218-0.259)	(0.273-0.324)	(0.316-0.375)	(0.373-0.445)	(0.417-0.501)	(0.462-0.558)	(0.506-0.618)	(0.565-0.701)	(0.609-0.767)
24-hr	0.117	0.141	0.177	0.205	0.244	0.275	0.306	0.338	0.382	0.417
	(0.108-0.125)	(0.131-0.152)	(0.165-0.191)	(0.191-0.221)	(0.226-0.262)	(0.254-0.295)	(0.282-0.329)	(0.311-0.364)	(0.350-0.412)	(0.381-0.450)
2-day	0.068	0.082	0.102	0.118	0.139	0.157	0.174	0.192	0.216	0.235
	(0.063-0.073)	(0.076-0.088)	(0.095-0.110)	(0.110-0.126)	(0.129-0.149)	(0.145-0.168)	(0.160-0.186)	(0.176-0.206)	(0.198-0.232)	(0.215-0.253)
3-day	0.048	0.058	0.072	0.083	0.098	0.109	0.121	0.134	0.151	0.164
	(0.045-0.051)	(0.054-0.062)	(0.067-0.077)	(0.077-0.088)	(0.091-0.104)	(0.101-0.117)	(0.112-0.130)	(0.123-0.143)	(0.138-0.162)	(0.150-0.176)
4-day	0.038	0.046	0.056	0.065	0.077	0.086	0.095	0.105	0.118	0.129
	(0.035-0.041)	(0.043-0.049)	(0.053-0.060)	(0.061-0.069)	(0.071-0.082)	(0.079-0.092)	(0.088-0.102)	(0.097-0.112)	(0.108-0.127)	(0.118-0.138)
7-day	0.025	0.030	0.036	0.041	0.049	0.054	0.060	0.066	0.074	0.081
	(0.023-0.026)	(0.028-0.032)	(0.034-0.039)	(0.039-0.044)	(0.045-0.052)	(0.051-0.058)	(0.056-0.064)	(0.061-0.070)	(0.068-0.079)	(0.074-0.086)
10-day	0.020	0.024	0.028	0.032	0.037	0.041	0.045	0.050	0.055	0.059
	(0.019-0.021)	(0.022-0.025)	(0.027-0.030)	(0.030-0.034)	(0.035-0.040)	(0.039-0.044)	(0.042-0.048)	(0.046-0.053)	(0.051-0.059)	(0.055-0.063)
20-day	0.013	0.016	0.018	0.021	0.024	0.026	0.029	0.031	0.034	0.037
	(0.012-0.014)	(0.015-0.016)	(0.018-0.019)	(0.020-0.022)	(0.023-0.025)	(0.025-0.028)	(0.027-0.030)	(0.029-0.033)	(0.032-0.036)	(0.034-0.039)
30-day	0.011	0.013	0.015	0.016	0.019	0.020	0.022	0.024	0.026	0.027
	(0.010-0.011)	(0.012-0.013)	(0.014-0.016)	(0.016-0.017)	(0.018-0.020)	(0.019-0.021)	(0.021-0.023)	(0.022-0.025)	(0.024-0.027)	(0.026-0.029)
45-day	0.009	0.011	0.012	0.013	0.015	0.016	0.017	0.018	0.020	0.021
	(0.009-0.009)	(0.010-0.011)	(0.012-0.013)	(0.013-0.014)	(0.014-0.015)	(0.015-0.017)	(0.016-0.018)	(0.017-0.019)	(0.019-0.021)	(0.020-0.022)
60-day	0.008	0.009	0.011	0.012	0.013	0.014	0.015	0.016	0.017	0.018
	(0.008-0.008)	(0.009-0.010)	(0.010-0.011)	(0.011-0.012)	(0.012-0.013)	(0.013-0.014)	(0.014-0.015)	(0.015-0.016)	(0.016-0.017)	(0.017-0.018)

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values.

Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

Back to Top

PF graphical









NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 2, Version 3

Created (GMT): Mon Nov 4 23:37:28 2024

Back to Top

Maps & aerials

Small scale terrain

Precipitation Frequency Data Server



Large scale terrain





Large scale aerial

Precipitation Frequency Data Server



Back to Top

US Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Weather Service National Water Center 1325 East West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910 Questions?: <u>HDSC.Questions@noaa.gov</u>

Disclaimer

Section 4, Item4.3

COC N O & Λ U C * CO * S

APPENDIX D. STORMWATER STUDIO PIPE MODEL

Storm Sewer Tabulation

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35

Line ID	ngth	Drng	J Area	ional	C	хA	т	Ċ	nsity	tal Q	acity	ocity	Li	ne	Inver	t Elev	HGL	Elev	Surfac	ce Elev	Line No
	Le	Incr	Total	Rati	Incr	Total	Inlet	Syst	Intel	P	Cap	Velo	Size	Slope	Up	Dn	Up	Dn	Up	Dn	
	(ft)	(ac)	(ac)	(C)			(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft/s)	(in)	(%)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	
101-100	194.24	0.023	13.019	0.95	0.02	11.29	5.0	8.19	6.22	70.20	100.61	12.33	36	2.28	754.42	750.00	757.08	751.99	764.19	755.88	1
103-101	58.25	0.078	12.918	0.95	0.07	11.19	5.0	8.13	6.23	69.76	120.60	12.26	36	3.27	756.42	754.52	759.08	756.51	767.11	764.19	2
114-103	104.99	0.671	5.063	0.75	0.50	4.12	5.0	7.42	6.41	26.44	60.30	8.87	30	2.16	760.77	758.50	762.49	759.79	771.48	767.11	3
115-114	36.71	0.092	4.392	0.95	0.09	3.62	5.0	7.37	6.43	23.26	57.79	7.81	30	1.99	763.15	762.42	764.76	763.76	771.64	771.48	4
116-114	221.90	0.070	4.300	0.95	0.07	3.53	5.0	6.92	6.55	23.13	40.54	5.82	30	0.98	764.90	762.73	766.51	765.31	774.04	771.64	5
118-116	174.82	0.043	3.856	0.95	0.04	3.15	5.0	6.69	6.61	20.82	75.44	9.23	30	3.38	771.31	765.39	772.83	766.36	781.38	774.04	6
119-118	60.90	0.063	3.813	0.90	0.06	3.11	5.0	6.56	6.65	20.66	41.01	6.17	30	1.00	772.02	771.41	773.54	773.14	782.06	781.38	7
122-119	110.23	0.016	2.977	0.95	0.02	2.38	5.0	6.32	6.72	15.96	22.62	7.08	24	1.00	773.72	772.62	775.13	773.91	785.60	782.06	8
123-122	83.28	0.224	2.961	0.70	0.16	2.36	5.0	6.14	6.77	15.98	22.62	6.23	24	1.00	774.65	773.82	776.07	775.47	784.36	785.60	9
RL-10	32.82	0.347	0.347	0.95	0.33	0.33	5.0	5.00	7.13	2.35	0.56	26.95	4	7.39	784.65	782.22	825.18	782.56	786.50	784.36	10
124-123	141.30	0.762	2.389	0.65	0.50	1.87	5.0	5.82	6.87	12.87	22.62	5.18	24	1.00	776.16	774.75	777.43	776.59	784.29	784.36	11
125-124	141.13	0.103	1.272	0.80	0.08	1.04	5.0	5.45	6.98	7.27	10.50	5.94	18	1.00	778.17	776.76	779.20	777.70	784.23	784.29	12
126-125	140.53	0.506	0.816	0.65	0.33	0.62	5.0	5.03	7.12	4.43	6.46	5.30	15	1.00	779.92	778.52	780.76	779.29	784.23	784.23	13
RL-13	49.67	0.310	0.310	0.95	0.29	0.29	5.0	5.00	7.13	2.10	0.46	24.04	4	4.97	784.65	782.18	833.84	782.51	786.50	784.23	14
RL-11	40.29	0.355	0.355	0.95	0.34	0.34	5.0	5.00	7.13	2.41	0.51	27.56	4	6.09	784.65	782.20	837.28	782.53	786.50	784.29	15
RL-12	49.31	0.353	0.353	0.95	0.34	0.34	5.0	5.00	7.13	2.39	0.37	27.40	4	3.25	783.78	782.18	848.71	782.52	786.50	784.23	16
104-103	35.41	0.089	7.776	0.95	0.08	6.99	5.0	8.09	6.24	43.67	124.65	7.69	36	3.49	759.24	758.00	761.35	760.43	769.05	767.11	17
106-104	259.49	0.081	6.962	0.95	0.08	6.40	5.0	7.71	6.34	40.58	52.05	10.14	30	1.61	765.18	761.00	767.33	762.72	781.23	769.05	18
107-106	62.67	0.175	5.058	0.95	0.17	4.59	5.0	7.59	6.37	29.26	41.01	8.01	30	1.00	767.11	766.48	768.92	768.17	778.59	781.23	19
108-107	134.87	0.589	4.882	0.95	0.56	4.43	5.0	7.34	6.44	28.49	41.01	6.86	30	1.00	768.56	767.21	770.34	769.46	778.57	778.59	20
108-107 (1)	134.89	0.548	4.294	0.95	0.52	3.87	5.0	7.07	6.51	25.16	41.01	6.26	30	1.00	770.01	768.66	771.69	770.96	778.56	778.57	21
109-108	134.71 0.589 2.363 0.95 0.56 2.03 5.0 6.77 6.59 13.40 22.62 5.59 24 1.00 771.96 770.61 773.26 772.22 778.57 778.56 22																				
Notes: IDF File = Landi	sNC.idf, R	eturn Pe	eriod = 10	0-yrs.	•		•											Project	t File: 2025.02	2.07 STRM-10)0 Sub 2.

Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Storm Sewer Tabulation

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35

Line ID	ngth	Drng	Area	ional	C	хA	1	Ċ	nsity	tal Q	acity	ocity	Li	ne	Inver	t Elev	HGL	Elev	Surfac	e Elev	Line No
	Le	Incr	Total	Rat	Incr	Total	Inlet	Syst	Inte	P	Cap	Vel	Size	Slope	Up	Dn	Up	Dn	Up	Dn	
	(ft)	(ac)	(ac)	(C)			(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft/s)	(in)	(%)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	
109-108 (1)	134.70	0.513	1.774	0.95	0.49	1.47	5.0	6.44	6.68	9.85	22.62	4.51	24	1.00	773.41	772.06	774.52	773.73	778.59	778.57	23
110-109	62.83	0.435	1.261	0.85	0.37	0.99	5.0	6.33	6.71	6.62	19.50	7.06	18	3.45	776.18	774.01	777.16	774.68	780.78	778.59	24
111-110	155.47	0.193	0.826	0.80	0.15	0.62	5.0	5.90	6.84	4.21	7.21	4.37	15	1.25	778.34	776.40	779.16	777.46	783.04	780.78	25
112-111	131.22	0.380	0.633	0.75	0.28	0.46	5.0	5.48	6.97	3.22	10.50	3.03	18	1.00	779.50	778.19	780.18	779.50	784.24	783.04	26
113-112	118.27	0.253	0.253	0.70	0.18	0.18	5.0	5.00	7.13	1.26	6.45	3.56	15	1.00	781.03	779.85	781.48	780.23	785.32	784.24	27
CO1-106	102.36	0.000	1.823	0.00	0.00	1.73	0.0	5.73	6.89	11.94	16.06	8.28	18	1.99	776.03	773.99	777.34	775.01	786.11	781.23	28
TEE 1-CO1	67.16	0.000	1.412	0.00	0.00	1.34	0.0	5.57	6.94	9.31	11.31	6.58	18	0.99	777.29	776.62	778.45	777.70	782.28	786.11	29
TEE 2-TEE 1	71.03	0.000	1.060	0.00	0.00	1.01	0.0	5.40	7.00	7.05	11.38	4.77	18	1.00	778.15	777.44	779.16	778.92	782.28	782.28	30
RL-3	21.64	0.347	0.347	0.95	0.33	0.33	5.0	5.00	7.13	2.35	3.61	5.55	10	2.31	780.50	780.00	781.18	780.55	782.50	782.28	31
RL-2	21.64	0.352	0.352	0.95	0.33	0.33	5.0	5.00	7.13	2.38	3.61	5.58	10	2.31	780.50	780.00	781.19	780.55	782.50	782.28	32
CO3-108	181.28	0.000	1.383	0.00	0.00	1.31	0.0	5.68	6.91	9.08	20.55	8.40	18	3.26	777.42	771.50	778.57	772.23	782.34	778.56	33
RL-6	21.64	0.344	0.344	0.95	0.33	0.33	5.0	5.00	7.13	2.33	3.89	5.68	10	2.70	780.50	779.92	781.18	780.44	782.50	782.34	34
TEE 4-CO3	64.33	0.000	1.039	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.0	5.53	6.96	6.87	12.09	4.69	18	1.13	778.25	777.52	779.25	779.03	782.28	782.34	35
RL-7	21.74	0.347	0.347	0.95	0.33	0.33	5.0	5.00	7.13	2.35	3.60	5.55	10	2.30	780.50	780.00	781.18	780.55	782.50	782.28	36
TEE 5-TEE 4	81.11	0.000	0.692	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.0	5.28	7.03	4.62	6.15	4.45	15	0.77	778.98	778.35	779.84	779.58	782.28	782.28	37
RL-8	21.64	0.347	0.347	0.95	0.33	0.33	5.0	5.00	7.13	2.35	3.61	5.55	10	2.31	780.50	780.00	781.18	780.55	782.50	782.28	38
CO4-TEE 5	71.75	0.000	0.345	0.00	0.00	0.33	0.0	5.05	7.11	2.33	3.86	3.65	12	1.00	779.92	779.20	780.56	780.31	784.05	782.28	39
RL-9	21.64	0.345	0.345	0.95	0.33	0.33	5.0	5.00	7.13	2.33	3.61	5.52	10	2.31	780.50	780.00	781.18	780.55	786.49	784.05	40
RL-1	28.45	0.411	0.411	0.95	0.39	0.39	5.0	5.00	7.13	2.78	4.82	6.71	10	4.12	780.50	779.33	781.23	779.84	786.50	786.11	41
TEE 3-TEE 2	72.30	0.000	0.713	0.00	0.00	0.68	0.0	5.20	7.06	4.78	7.00	5.54	15	1.00	779.22	778.49	780.09	779.28	782.31	782.28	42
CO2-TEE 3	59.42	0.000	0.366	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.0	5.01	7.12	2.47	6.95	4.41	15	0.99	779.90	779.31	780.53	779.86	782.39	782.31	43
RL-5	5.16	0.366	0.366	0.95	0.35	0.35	5.0	5.00	7.13	2.48	4.67	5.61	10	3.88	780.20	780.00	780.90	780.58	786.50	782.39	44
Notes: IDF File = Landisl	NC.idf, R	eturn Pe	eriod = 10	0-yrs.	•	•	-	-		•	-	-	•	•	-	-	-	Project	File: 2025.02	2.07 STRM-10	0 Sub 2.

Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Storm Sewer Tabulation

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35

Line ID	ength	Drng	Area	ional	C x A		1	Ċ	nsity	tal Q	acity	ocity	Li	ne	Inver	t Elev	HGL	Elev	Surfac	ce Elev	Line No
	Ľ	Incr	Total	Rat	Incr	Total	Inlet	Syst	Inte	P	Cap	Vel	Size	Slope	Up	Dn	Up	Dn	Up	Dn	
	(ft)	(ac)	(ac)	(C)			(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft/s)	(in)	(%)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	
RL-4	21.64	0.347	0.347	0.95	0.33	0.33	5.0	5.00	7.13	2.35	3.61	5.55	10	2.31	780.50	780.00	781.18	780.55	782.50	782.31	45
120-119	47.90	0.109	0.109	0.70	0.08	0.08	5.0	5.00	7.13	0.54	16.69	4.05	15	6.68	778.79	775.59	779.08	775.76	783.71	782.06	46
121-119	24.55	0.665	0.665	0.90	0.60	0.60	5.0	5.00	7.13	4.27	19.32	7.36	15	8.95	776.51	774.32	777.34	774.80	783.64	782.06	47
117-116	36.03	0.374	0.374	0.85	0.32	0.32	5.0	5.00	7.13	2.27	9.00	2.89	15	1.94	766.75	766.05	767.35	767.22	774.12	774.04	48
105-104	30.00	0.726	0.726	0.70	0.51	0.51	5.0	5.00	7.13	3.62	15.82	6.53	15	6.00	764.04	762.24	764.80	762.72	769.06	769.05	49
102-101	36.01	0.079	0.079	0.95	0.08	0.08	5.0	5.00	7.13	0.53	9.41	1.33	15	2.12	758.50	757.73	758.82	758.82	764.26	764.19	50
Notes: IDF File = LandisN	NC.idf, R	eturn Pe	eriod = 1	0-yrs.														Projec	t File: 2025.02	2.07 STRM-10	00 Sub 2.

Energy Grade Line Calculations

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35

Line	Line				Do	ownstrea	ım			gth			ı	Jpstream	n			Pi	ре		Junction	I
No	Size	Q	Invert Elev	Depth	Area	HGL Elev	Vel	Vel Head	EGL Elev	Len	Invert Elev	Depth	Area	HGL Elev	Vel	Vel Head	EGL Elev	n Value	Enrgy Loss	HGLa Elev	EGLa Elev	Enrgy Loss
	(in)	(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(sqft)	(ft)	(ft/s)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sqft)	(ft)	(ft/s)	(ft)	(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
1	36	70.20	750.00	1.99‡	4.98	751.99	14.09	3.09	754.53	194.24	754.42	2.66²	6.64	757.08	10.58	1.74	758.83	0.013	4.291	757.08	758.83	0.00
2	36	69.76	754.52	1.99‡	4.98	756.51	14.00	3.05	759.43	58.25	756.42	2.66²	6.63	759.08	10.53	1.72	760.80	0.013	1.372	759.08	760.80	0.00
3	30	26.44	758.50	1.29‡	2.55	759.79	10.39	1.68	761.12	104.99	760.77	1.72²	3.60	762.49	7.35	0.84	763.33	0.013	2.210	762.49	763.33	0.00
4	30	23.26	762.42	1.34‡	2.69	763.76	8.66	1.17	764.78	36.71	763.15	1.61²	3.35	764.76	6.95	0.75	765.51	0.013	0.729	764.76	765.51	0.00
5	30	23.13	762.73	2.50	4.91	765.31	4.71	0.35	765.65	221.90	764.90	1.61²	3.34	766.51	6.93	0.75	767.26	0.013	1.605	766.51	767.26	0.00
6	30	20.82	765.39	0.97‡	1.76	766.36	11.82	2.17	767.61	174.82	771.31	1.52²	3.14	772.83	6.64	0.69	773.52	0.013	5.914	772.83	773.52	0.00
7	30	20.66	771.41	1.73	3.61	773.14	5.71	0.51	773.64	60.90	772.02	1.52	3.12	773.54	6.62	0.68	774.22	0.013	0.575	773.54	774.22	0.00
8	24	15.96	772.62	1.29‡	2.14	773.91	7.45	0.86	774.73	110.23	773.72	1.41²	2.38	775.13	6.72	0.70	775.84	0.013	1.102	775.13	775.84	0.00
9	24	15.98	773.82	1.66	2.78	775.47	5.75	0.51	775.99	83.28	774.65	1.42²	2.38	776.07	6.72	0.70	776.77	0.013	0.782	776.07	776.77	0.00
10	4	2.35	782.22	0.33¹	0.09	782.56	26.95	11.29	793.85	32.82	784.65	0.33²	0.09	825.18	26.95	11.29	836.47	0.012	42.626	825.18	836.47	0.00
11	24	12.87	774.75	1.84	3.02	776.59	4.26	0.28	776.87	141.30	776.16	1.27²	2.10	777.43	6.11	0.58	778.01	0.013	1.143	777.43	778.01	0.00
12	18	7.27	776.76	0.94‡	1.16	777.70	6.25	0.61	778.28	141.13	778.17	1.03 ²	1.29	779.20	5.62	0.49	779.69	0.013	1.412	779.20	779.69	0.00
13	15	4.43	778.52	0.77‡	0.80	779.29	5.56	0.48	779.76	140.53	779.92	0.84²	0.88	780.76	5.04	0.39	781.16	0.013	1.398	780.76	781.16	0.00
14	4	2.10	782.18	0.33¹	0.09	782.51	24.04	8.98	791.49	49.67	784.65	0.33²	0.09	833.84	24.04	8.98	842.82	0.012	51.325	833.84	842.82	0.00
15	4	2.41	782.20	0.33¹	0.09	782.53	27.56	11.81	794.34	40.29	784.65	0.33²	0.09	837.28	27.56	11.81	849.09	0.012	54.750	837.28	849.09	0.00
16	4	2.39	782.18	0.33¹	0.09	782.52	27.40	11.67	794.19	49.31	783.78	0.33²	0.09	848.71	27.40	11.67	860.38	0.012	66.195	848.71	860.38	0.00
17	36	43.67	758.00	2.42	6.12	760.43	7.14	0.79	761.22	35.41	759.24	2.11²	5.30	761.35	8.24	1.05	762.40	0.013	1.182	761.35	762.40	0.00
18	30	40.58	761.00	1.72‡	3.61	762.72	11.24	1.96	764.42	259.49	765.18	2.15	4.48	767.33	9.05	1.27	768.60	0.013	4.180	767.33	768.60	0.00
19	30	29.26	766.48	1.68‡	3.52	768.17	8.32	1.08	769.21	62.67	767.11	1.81²	3.80	768.92	7.70	0.92	769.84	0.013	0.627	768.92	769.84	0.00
20	30	28.49	767.21	2.25	4.65	769.46	6.13	0.58	770.04	134.87	768.56	1.78²	3.75	770.34	7.60	0.90	771.24	0.013	1.200	770.34	771.24	0.00
21	30	25.16	768.66	2.30	4.73	770.96	5.32	0.44	771.40	134.89	770.01	1.68²	3.50	771.69	7.19	0.80	772.49	0.013	1.088	771.69	772.49	0.00
22	24	13.40	770.61	1.61	2.70	772.22	4.96	0.38	772.60	134.71	771.96	1.30 ²	2.15	773.26	6.22	0.60	773.86	0.013	1.257	773.26	773.86	0.00
Notes:	Return Perio	d = 10-y	rs. ¹ Critica	I depth.	² Critical	depth. ‡S	Supercrit	ical.											Project	File: 2025.02	2.07 STRM-10	0 Sub 2.

02-07-2025

Pro

Energy Grade Line Calculations

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35

Line	Line				De	ownstrea	ım			gth			I	Jpstream	n			Pi	ре		Junction	ı
No	Size	Q	Invert Elev	Depth	Area	HGL Elev	Vel	Vel Head	EGL Elev	Len	Invert Elev	Depth	Area	HGL Elev	Vel	Vel Head	EGL Elev	n Value	Enrgy Loss	HGLa Elev	EGLa Elev	Enrgy Loss
	(in)	(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(sqft)	(ft)	(ft/s)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sqft)	(ft)	(ft/s)	(ft)	(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
23	24	9.85	772.06	1.67	2.80	773.73	3.52	0.19	773.92	134.70	773.41	1.11²	1.79	774.52	5.49	0.47	774.99	0.013	1.068	774.52	774.99	0.00
24	18	6.62	774.01	0.67‡	0.76	774.68	8.72	1.18	775.45	62.83	776.18	0.98²	1.23	777.16	5.40	0.45	777.62	0.013	2.166	777.16	777.62	0.00
25	15	4.21	776.40	1.06	1.11	777.46	3.80	0.22	777.68	155.47	778.34	0.82²	0.86	779.16	4.93	0.38	779.54	0.013	1.856	779.16	779.54	0.00
26	18	3.22	778.19	1.31	1.64	779.50	1.96	0.06	779.56	131.22	779.50	0.68²	0.79	780.18	4.10	0.26	780.45	0.013	0.885	780.18	780.45	0.00
27	15	1.26	779.85	0.38‡	0.32	780.23	3.95	0.24	780.46	118.27	781.03	0.45²	0.40	781.48	3.18	0.16	781.64	0.013	1.176	781.48	781.64	0.00
28	18	11.94	773.99	1.02‡	1.29	775.01	9.29	1.34	776.12	102.36	776.03	1.32²	1.64	777.34	7.27	0.82	778.16	0.012	2.040	777.34	778.16	0.00
29	18	9.31	776.62	1.08‡	1.36	777.70	6.84	0.73	778.43	67.16	777.29	1.16²	1.47	778.45	6.32	0.62	779.07	0.012	0.647	778.45	779.07	0.00
30	18	7.05	777.44	1.49	1.76	778.92	3.99	0.25	779.17	71.03	778.15	1.01 ²	1.27	779.16	5.55	0.48	779.64	0.012	0.467	779.16	779.64	0.00
31	10	2.35	780.00	0.55‡	0.38	780.55	6.19	0.60	781.06	21.64	780.50	0.68²	0.48	781.18	4.92	0.38	781.56	0.012	0.500	781.18	781.56	0.00
32	10	2.38	780.00	0.55‡	0.38	780.55	6.20	0.60	781.07	21.64	780.50	0.69²	0.48	781.19	4.96	0.38	781.57	0.012	0.500	781.19	781.57	0.00
33	18	9.08	771.50	0.73‡	0.86	772.23	10.55	1.73	773.26	181.28	777.42	1.15²	1.45	778.57	6.24	0.61	779.17	0.012	5.917	778.57	779.17	0.00
34	10	2.33	779.92	0.52‡	0.36	780.44	6.46	0.65	780.97	21.64	780.50	0.68²	0.48	781.18	4.90	0.37	781.55	0.012	0.583	781.18	781.55	0.00
35	18	6.87	777.52	1.50	1.77	779.03	3.89	0.23	779.27	64.33	778.25	1.00²	1.25	779.25	5.49	0.47	779.71	0.012	0.448	779.25	779.71	0.00
36	10	2.35	780.00	0.55‡	0.38	780.55	6.19	0.60	781.06	21.74	780.50	0.68²	0.48	781.18	4.92	0.38	781.56	0.012	0.500	781.18	781.56	0.00
37	15	4.62	778.35	1.23	1.22	779.58	3.78	0.22	779.80	81.11	778.98	0.86	0.90	779.84	5.11	0.41	780.25	0.012	0.446	779.98	780.39	0.14
38	10	2.35	780.00	0.55‡	0.38	780.55	6.19	0.60	781.06	21.64	780.50	0.68²	0.48	781.18	4.92	0.38	781.56	0.012	0.500	781.18	781.56	0.00
39	12	2.33	779.20	1.00	0.79	780.31	2.97	0.14	780.44	71.75	779.92	0.65²	0.54	780.56	4.33	0.29	780.86	0.012	0.413	780.56	780.86	0.00
40	10	2.33	780.00	0.55‡	0.38	780.55	6.14	0.59	781.05	21.64	780.50	0.68²	0.48	781.18	4.90	0.37	781.55	0.012	0.500	781.18	781.55	0.00
41	10	2.78	779.33	0.51‡	0.35	779.84	7.94	0.98	780.53	28.45	780.50	0.73²	0.51	781.23	5.48	0.47	781.70	0.012	1.173	781.23	781.70	0.00
42	15	4.78	778.49	0.79‡	0.82	779.28	5.87	0.53	779.81	72.30	779.22	0.88²	0.92	780.09	5.21	0.42	780.51	0.012	0.703	780.09	780.51	0.00
43	15	2.47	779.31	0.54‡	0.51	779.86	4.83	0.36	780.54	59.42	779.90	0.63²	0.62	780.53	4.00	0.25	780.78	0.012	0.239	780.53	780.78	0.00
44	10	2.48	780.00	0.58‡	0.40	780.58	6.15	0.59	781.10	5.16	780.20	0.70²	0.49	780.90	5.06	0.40	781.30	0.012	0.200	780.90	781.30	0.00
Notes:	Return Perio	d = 10-y	rs. ² Critica	al depth.	‡ Supe	rcritical.									•	•			Project	File: 2025.02	2.07 STRM-10)0 Sub 2.

Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Energy Grade Line Calculations

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35

Line Line				D	ownstrea	am			igth			ı	Jpstream	n			Pi	ре		Junction	1
No Size	Q	Invert Elev	Depth	Area	HGL Elev	Vel	Vel Head	EGL Elev	Len	Invert Elev	Depth	Area	HGL Elev	Vel	Vel Head	EGL Elev	n Value	Enrgy Loss	HGLa Elev	EGLa Elev	Enrgy Loss
(in)	(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(sqft)	(ft)	(ft/s)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sqft)	(ft)	(ft/s)	(ft)	(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
45 10	2.35	780.00	0.55‡	0.38	780.55	6.19	0.60	781.06	21.64	780.50	0.68²	0.48	781.18	4.92	0.38	781.56	0.012	0.500	781.18	781.56	0.00
46 15	0.54	775.59	0.17‡	0.10	775.76	5.63	0.49	775.98	47.90	778.79	0.29²	0.22	779.08	2.46	0.09	779.18	0.013	3.200	779.08	779.18	0.00
47 15	4.27	774.32	0.48‡	0.44	774.80	9.77	1.48	775.52	24.55	776.51	0.83²	0.86	777.34	4.95	0.38	777.72	0.013	2.197	777.34	777.72	0.00
48 15	2.27	766.05	1.17	1.19	767.22	1.90	0.06	767.28	36.03	766.75	0.60²	0.59	767.35	3.87	0.23	767.59	0.013	0.310	767.35	767.59	0.00
49 15	3.62	762.24	0.48‡	0.43	762.72	8.44	1.11	763.33	30.00	764.04	0.76²	0.78	764.80	4.63	0.33	765.13	0.013	1.800	764.80	765.13	0.00
50 15	0.53	757.73	1.09	1.13	758.82	0.47	0.00	758.83	36.01	758.50	0.32	0.24	758.82	2.19	0.07	758.89	0.013	0.064	758.90	758.97	0.08
Notes: Return Pe	rind = 10.	-vrs ² Critic		t Sune														Proiect	File: 2025.02	07 STRM-10	0 Sub 2

Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Inlet Report

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35

Pro

Line	In	llet		(2		Cı	urb		Grate	9				Gutte	er				Inlet		Вур
No	ld	Туре	Catch	Carry	Capt	Вур	Ht	L	L	w	Area	So	w	Sw	Sx	n	Depth	Spread	Depth	Spread	Depr	Line No
			(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(in)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sqft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(in)	
1	CB-102	Combination	0.15	0.37	0.49	0.04	3.0	3.00	3.00	2.00	-	0.050	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.11	3.15	0.18	0.96	3.0	0
2	CB-103	Combination	0.53	0.97	1.12	0.37	3.0	4.55	4.55	2.00	-	0.050	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.15	5.25	0.15	5.25	0.0	1
3	CB-115	Combination	3.59	0.60	3.22	0.97	3.0	10.71	10.71	2.00	-	0.050	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.21	8.15	0.21	8.15	0.0	2
4	CB-114	Combination	0.62	0.03	0.56	0.08	3.0	1.53	1.53	2.00	-	0.050	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.12	3.50	0.12	3.50	0.0	50
5	CB-116	Combination	0.47	0.01	0.45	0.03	3.0	0.71	0.71	2.00	-	0.050	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.11	3.00	0.11	3.00	0.0	4
6	CB-118	Combination	0.29	0.00	0.28	0.01	3.0	1.00	1.00	2.00	-	0.030	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.10	2.55	0.10	2.55	0.0	5
7	CB-119	Combination	0.40	0.00	0.38	0.03	3.0	0.07	0.07	0.07 2.00 - 0.038 1.50 0.050 0.020 0.013 0.10 2.90 0.10 2.90 0.0											48	
8	CB-122	Combination	0.11	0.00	0.11	0.00	3.0	1.00	1.00	2.00	-	0.038	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.06	1.30	0.06	1.30	0.0	7
9	CB-123	Combination	1.12	0.00	1.12	0.00	3.0	1.00	1.00	2.00	0.38	Sag	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.21	8.25	0.21	8.25	0.0	8
10	RL-10	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	CB-124	Combination	3.53	0.00	3.53	0.00	3.0	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.20	Sag	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.44	19.75	0.44	19.75	0.0	9
12	CB-125	Combination	0.59	0.00	0.59	0.00	3.0	1.00	1.00	2.00	0.20	Sag	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.18	6.75	0.18	6.75	0.0	11
13	CB-126	Combination	2.34	0.00	2.34	0.00	3.0	1.00	1.00	2.00	0.80	Sag	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.34	14.75	0.34	14.75	0.0	0
14	RL-13	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	RL-11	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	RL-12	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	CB-104	Combination	0.60	0.06	0.66	0.00	3.0	3.00	3.00	2.00	6.00	Sag	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.08	1.83	0.29	1.83	3.0	0
18	CB-106	Combination	0.55	0.00	0.49	0.06	3.0	0.93	0.93	2.00	-	0.044	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.11	3.30	0.11	3.30	0.0	17
19	CB-107	Combination	1.19	0.00	1.19	0.00	3.0	1.00	1.00	2.00	0.40	Sag	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.21	8.25	0.21	8.25	0.0	0
20	CB-107.5	Combination	3.99	0.00	3.99	0.00	3.0	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.35	Sag	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.47	21.25	0.47	21.25	0.0	0
21	CB-108	Combination	3.71	0.00	3.71	0.00	3.0	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.26	Sag	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.45	20.25	0.45	20.25	0.0	0
22	CB-108.5	Combination	3.99	0.00	3.99	0.00	3.0	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.35	Sag	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.47	21.25	0.47	21.25	0.0	0
Notes: Re	eturn Period = 1	0-yrs. All curb inl	ets are I	Horiz thre	oat.,														Project Fil	le: 2025.02.07	' STRM-10	0 Sub 2.

Inlet Report

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35

Line	In	let		(ב		Cı	ırb		Grat	e				Gutte	er				Inlet		Вур
No	ld	Туре	Catch	Carry	Capt	Вур	Ht	L	L	w	Area	So	w	Sw	Sx	n	Depth	Spread	Depth	Spread	Depr	Line No
			(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(in)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sqft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(in)	
23	CB-109	Combination	3.48	1.09	4.56	0.00	3.0	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.55	Sag	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.51	23.25	0.51	23.25	0.0	0
24	CB-110	Combination	2.64	0.68	2.23	1.09	3.0	5.76	5.76	2.00	-	0.015	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.23	9.45	0.23	9.45	0.0	23
25	CB-111	Combination	1.10	0.85	1.27	0.68	3.0	3.92	3.92	2.00	-	0.020	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.19	7.20	0.19	7.20	0.0	24
26	CB-112	Combination	2.03	0.54	1.71	0.85	3.0	5.14	5.14	2.00	-	0.020	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.21	8.05	0.21	8.05	0.0	25
27	CB-113	Combination	1.26	0.00	0.73	0.54	3.0	1.38	1.38	2.00	-	0.010	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.18	6.90	0.18	6.90	0.0	26
1 88 NCH	I STORM CLEA	NO W Tathhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	8X15X10 TEE ⁻	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-
30	15X15X10 TEE 2	2 Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	RL-3	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-
32	RL-2	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
183NCF	I STORM CLEA	NO UM a3ahole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-
34	RL-6	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	18X15X10 TEE 4	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-
36	RL-7	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	5X12X10 TEE \$	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-
38	RL-8	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129NCH	I STORM CLEA	NO W īa thole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-
40	RL-9	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
41	RL-1	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-
42	5X15X10 TEE 3	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1 5 3NCH	I STORM CLEA	NOUMTa2ahole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-
44	RL-5	Grate	2.48	0.00	2.48	0.00	-	-	3.00	2.00	6.00	Sag	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.22	8.85	0.43	8.85	3.0	0
Notes: Re	eturn Period = 10)-yrs. All curb inl	ets are F	loriz thre	oat.,														Project Fil	e: 2025.02.07	STRM-10	0 Sub 2.

Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Inlet Report

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35

Line	In	llet		C	ຊ		Cı	ırb		Grat	9				Gutte	ər				Inlet		Вур
No	ld	Туре	Catch	Carry	Capt	Вур	Ht	L	L	w	Area	So	w	Sw	Sx	n	Depth	Spread	Depth	Spread	Depr	Line No
			(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(in)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sqft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(in)	
45	RL-4	Manhole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	CB-120	Combination	0.54	0.00	0.54	0.00	3.0	1.00	1.00	2.00	0.18	Sag	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.18	6.75	0.18	6.75	0.0	0
47	CB-121	Combination	4.27	0.00	4.27	0.00	3.0	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.45	Sag	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.49	22.25	0.49	22.25	0.0	0
48	CB-117	Combination	2.27	0.03	1.69	0.60	3.0	6.69	6.69	2.00	-	0.050	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.17	6.35	0.17	6.35	0.0	3
49	CB-105	Combination	3.62	0.00	3.62	0.00	3.0	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.23	Sag	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.44	19.75	0.44	19.75	0.0	0
50	CB-101	Combination	0.53	0.08	0.54	0.08	3.0	1.02	1.02	2.00	-	0.050	1.50	0.050	0.020	0.013	0.11	3.40	0.11	3.40	0.0	0
Notes: Re	eturn Period = 1	0-vrs. All curb inl	ets are H	Horiz three	pat.														Project Fil	e: 2025.02.07	STRM-10	0 Sub 2

Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Section 4, Item4.3

APPENDIX E. STORMWATER STUDIO PLAN AND PROFILE

Plan View

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35

Pro

02-07-2025



92

Line 1 - 101-100

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 2 - 103-101

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 3 - 114-103

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

02-07-2025

Pro

Line 4 - 115-114

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



02-07-2025

Pro

96

Line 5 - 116-114

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 6 - 118-116

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 7 - 119-118

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

02-07-2025

Pro

99

Line 8 - 122-119

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3 02-07-2025

Pro

Line 9 - 123-122

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 10 - RL-10

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

02-07-2025

Pro

Line 11 - 124-123

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 12 - 125-124

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 13 - 126-125

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

02-07-2025

Pro

Line 14 - RL-13

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 15 - RL-11

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

02-07-2025

Pro

Line 16 - RL-12

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro
Line 17 - 104-103

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



02-07-2025

Section 4, Item4.3

Line 18 - 106-104

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 19 - 107-106

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

02-07-2025

Line 20 - 108-107

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 21 - 108-107 (1)

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Pro

Line 22 - 109-108

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 23 - 109-108 (1)

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 24 - 110-109

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 25 - 111-110

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



02-07-2025

Line 26 - 112-111

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3 02-07-2025

Line 27 - 113-112

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 28 - CO1-106

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

02-07-2025

Pro



Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 30 - TEE 2-TEE 1

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

02-07-2025

Pro

Line 31 - RL-3

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 32 - RL-2

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Line 33 - CO3-108







Line 34 - RL-6

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

02-07-2025



Line 35 - TEE

02-07-2025

Pro

Line 36 - RL-7

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

02-07-2025

Pro

Line 37 - TEE 5-TEE 4

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Pro

Section 4, Item4.3

Line 38 - RL-8

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

02-07-2025

Pro



Line 40 - RL-9

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 41 - RL-1

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 42 - TEE 3-TEE 2

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



02-07-2025

Line 43 - CO2-TEE 3

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 44 - RL-5

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35

Section 4, Item4.3

Pro



Line 45 - RL-4

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35

Elev (ft) Elev (ft) 793.00 793.00 791.00 791.00 789.00 789.00 - 15X15X10 TEE Sta 0+21.64 - RL-4 Rim El. 782.50 Inv. El. 780.50 Out HGL 781.18 Out EGL 781.56 Out Rim El. 782.31 Inv. El. 779.22 Out Inv. El. 779.31 In Inv. El. 780.00 In 787.00 787.00 HGL 781.18 Out HGL 780.55 In EGL 781.56 Out EGL 781.06 In 00+0 785.00 785.00 Sta 783.00 783.00 781.00 781.00 5 22Lf - 10" @ 2.31% 779.00 779.00 777.00 777.00 0 5 10 15 20 25 Reach (ft) Grnd Surface HGL - 10-yr ----- EGL

Project File: 2025.02.07 STRM-100 Sub 2.

02-07-2025

Section 4, Item4.3

Line 46 - 120-119

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 47 - 121-119

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



02-07-2025

Line 48 - 117-116

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 49 - 105-104

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

Pro

Line 50 - 102-101

Stormwater Studio 2024 v 3.0.0.35



Section 4, Item4.3

02-07-2025

Section 4, Item4.3

く N の & イ U C * CO * S

APPENDIX F. FEMA MAP

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend

Section 4, Item4.3



Basemap Imagery Source: USGS National Map 2023
Section 4, Item4.3

く N い な よ U C * CO * S

APPENDIX G. EROSION CONTROL SWALE CALCULATIONS

North America Section 4, Item4.3 5401 St. Wendel-Cynthiana Rd. Poseyville, Indiana 47633 Tel. 800.772.2040 >Fax 812.867.0247 www.nagreen.com ECMDS v7.0

CHANNEL ANALYSIS

> > > <u>Swale #1</u>

Name	Swale #1
Discharge	20.03
Channel Slope	0.0283
Channel Bottom Width	5
Left Side Slope	3
Right Side Slope	3
Low Flow Liner	
Retardence Class	C 6-12 in
Vegetation Type	Mix (Sod and Bunch)
Vegetation Density	Fair 50-64%
Soil Type	Clay Loam (CL)

NORTH AMERICAN GREEN

SC150

Phase	Reach	Discharge	Velocity	Normal Depth	Mannings N	Permissible Shear Stress	Calculated Shear Stress	Safety Factor	Remarks	Staple Pattern
SC150 Unvegetated	Straight	20.03 cfs	4.35 ft/s	0.66 ft	0.036	2 lbs/ft2	1.16 lbs/ft2	1.72	STABLE	D
Underlying Substrate	Straight	20.03 cfs	4.35 ft/s	0.66 ft	0.036	2.18 lbs/ft2	0.89 lbs/ft2	2.46	STABLE	D

Phase	Reach	Discharge	Velocity	Normal Depth	Mannings N	Permissible Shear Stress	Calculated Shear Stress	Safety Factor	Remarks	Staple Pattern
Unreinforced Vegetation	Straight	20.03 cfs	3.63 ft/s	0.76 ft	0.047	4 lbs/ft2	1.34 lbs/ft2	2.99	STABLE	
Underlying Substrate	Straight	20.03 cfs	3.63 ft/s	0.76 ft	0.047	3.45 lbs/ft2	0.99 lbs/ft2	3.47	STABLE	

North America Section 4, Item4.3 5401 St. Wendel-Cynthiana Rd. Poseyville, Indiana 47633 Tel. 800.772.2040 >Fax 812.867.0247 www.nagreen.com ECMDS v7.0

CHANNEL ANALYSIS

> > > <u>Swale #2</u>

Name	Swale #2
Discharge	90.53
Channel Slope	0.0268
Channel Bottom Width	7
Left Side Slope	3
Right Side Slope	3
Low Flow Liner	
Retardence Class	C 6-12 in
Vegetation Type	Mix (Sod and Bunch)
Vegetation Density	Fair 50-64%
Soil Type	Clay Loam (CL)

NORTH AMERICAN GREEN

SC250

Phase	Reach	Discharge	Velocity	Normal Depth	Mannings N	Permissible Shear Stress	Calculated Shear Stress	Safety Factor	Remarks	Staple Pattern
SC250 Unvegetated	Straight	90.53 cfs	7.01 ft/s	1.21 ft	0.032	3 lbs/ft2	2.03 lbs/ft2	1.48	STABLE	E
Underlying Substrate	Straight	90.53 cfs	7.01 ft/s	1.21 ft	0.032	3.27 lbs/ft2	1.47 lbs/ft2	2.22	STABLE	E
SC250 Reinforced Vegetation	Straight	90.53 cfs	6.09 ft/s	1.35 ft	0.039	10 lbs/ft2	2.25 lbs/ft2	4.44	STABLE	E
Underlying Substrate	Straight	90.53 cfs	6.09 ft/s	1.35 ft	0.039	3.53 lbs/ft2	1.6 lbs/ft2	2.2	STABLE	E

SC150

Phase	Reach	Discharge	Velocity	Normal Depth	Mannings N	Permissible Shear Stress	Calculated Shear Stress	Safety Factor	Remarks	Staple Pattern
SC150 Unvegetated	Straight	90.53 cfs	7.08 ft/s	1.2 ft	0.031	2 lbs/ft2	2.01 lbs/ft2	0.99	UNSTABLE	D
Underlying Substrate	Straight	90.53 cfs	7.08 ft/s	1.2 ft	0.031	2.18 lbs/ft2	1.46 lbs/ft2	1.49	STABLE	D

Phase	Reach	Discharge	Velocity	Normal Depth	Mannings N	Permissible Shear Stress	Calculated Shear Stress	Safety Factor	Remarks	Staple Pattern
Unreinforced Vegetation	Straight	90.53 cfs	6.09 ft/s	1.35 ft	0.039	4 lbs/ft2	2.25 lbs/ft2	1.78	STABLE	
Underlying Substrate	Straight	90.53 cfs	6.09 ft/s	1.35 ft	0.039	2.35 lbs/ft2	1.6 lbs/ft2	1.47	STABLE	

North America Section 4, Item4.3 5401 St. Wendel-Cynthiana Rd. Poseyville, Indiana 47633 Tel. 800.772.2040 >Fax 812.867.0247 www.nagreen.com ECMDS v7.0

CHANNEL ANALYSIS

> > > <u>Swale #3</u>

Name	Swale #3
Discharge	76.85
Channel Slope	0.0167
Channel Bottom Width	0
Left Side Slope	3
Right Side Slope	3
Low Flow Liner	
Retardence Class	C 6-12 in
Vegetation Type	Mix (Sod and Bunch)
Vegetation Density	Fair 50-64%
Soil Type	Clay Loam (CL)

NORTH AMERICAN GREEN

SC250

Phase	Reach	Discharge	Velocity	Normal Depth	Mannings N	Permissible Shear Stress	Calculated Shear Stress	Safety Factor	Remarks	Staple Pattern
SC250 Unvegetated	Straight	76.85 cfs	5.61 ft/s	2.14 ft	0.035	3 lbs/ft2	2.23 lbs/ft2	1.35	STABLE	E
Underlying Substrate	Straight	76.85 cfs	5.61 ft/s	2.14 ft	0.035	3.27 lbs/ft2	1.06 lbs/ft2	3.1	STABLE	E
SC250 Reinforced Vegetation	Straight	76.85 cfs	4.66 ft/s	2.34 ft	0.044	10 lbs/ft2	2.44 lbs/ft2	4.1	STABLE	E
Underlying Substrate	Straight	76.85 cfs	4.66 ft/s	2.34 ft	0.044	4.58 lbs/ft2	1.16 lbs/ft2	3.96	STABLE	E

SC150

Phase	Reach	Discharge	Velocity	Normal Depth	Mannings N	Permissible Shear Stress	Calculated Shear Stress	Safety Factor	Remarks	Staple Pattern
SC150 Unvegetated	Straight	76.85 cfs	5.62 ft/s	2.13 ft	0.034	2 lbs/ft2	2.22 lbs/ft2	0.9	UNSTABLE	D
Underlying Substrate	Straight	76.85 cfs	5.62 ft/s	2.13 ft	0.034	2.18 lbs/ft2	1.06 lbs/ft2	2.07	STABLE	D

Phase	Reach	Discharge	Velocity	Normal Depth	Mannings N	Permissible Shear Stress	Calculated Shear Stress	Safety Factor	Remarks	Staple Pattern
Unreinforced Vegetation	Straight	76.85 cfs	4.66 ft/s	2.34 ft	0.044	4 lbs/ft2	2.44 lbs/ft2	1.64	STABLE	
Underlying Substrate	Straight	76.85 cfs	4.66 ft/s	2.34 ft	0.044	3.05 lbs/ft2	1.16 lbs/ft2	2.64	STABLE	

North America Section 4, Item4.3 5401 St. Wendel-Cynthiana Rd. Poseyville, Indiana 47633 Tel. 800.772.2040 >Fax 812.867.0247 www.nagreen.com ECMDS v7.0

CHANNEL ANALYSIS

> > > <u>Swale #3B</u>

Name	Swale #3B
Discharge	34.67
Channel Slope	0.027
Channel Bottom Width	3
Left Side Slope	3
Right Side Slope	3
Low Flow Liner	
Retardence Class	C 6-12 in
Vegetation Type	Mix (Sod and Bunch)
Vegetation Density	Fair 50-64%
Soil Type	Silt Loam (SM)

NORTH AMERICAN GREEN

SC150

Phase	Reach	Discharge	Velocity	Normal Depth	Mannings N	Permissible Shear Stress	Calculated Shear Stress	Safety Factor	Remarks	Staple Pattern
SC150 Unvegetated	Straight	34.67 cfs	5.47 ft/s	1.04 ft	0.034	2 lbs/ft2	1.75 lbs/ft2	1.15	STABLE	D
Underlying Substrate	Straight	34.67 cfs	5.47 ft/s	1.04 ft	0.034	1.47 lbs/ft2	1.12 lbs/ft2	1.31	STABLE	D

Phase	Reach	Discharge	Velocity	Normal Depth	Mannings N	Permissible Shear Stress	Calculated Shear Stress	Safety Factor	Remarks	Staple Pattern
Unreinforced Vegetation	Straight	34.67 cfs	4.59 ft/s	1.16 ft	0.043	4 lbs/ft2	1.96 lbs/ft2	2.04	STABLE	
Underlying Substrate	Straight	34.67 cfs	4.59 ft/s	1.16 ft	0.043	1.7 lbs/ft2	1.23 lbs/ft2	1.38	STABLE	

Section 4, Item4.3

ر 0 ر N 0 & ک U C * CO * S

APPENDIX H. SEDIMENT BASIN 3 CALCULATIONS

"SEDIMENT BASIN 3" IS THE NAME GIVEN BY OTHERS. THIS IS THE ONLY EROSION BASIN BEING USED FOR THIS DEVELOPMENT



Project Information						
Project:	Project Bea	Project Beacon - Landis Ridge Phase 2A				
Location:		Town of Landis				
Project Number:						
Date:	D	ecember 13, 2024				
Designed:		LWC				
Checked:		GJZ		_		
Site Information						
Sub-Area Location:	Skim	mer Sediment Basin 3				
Developed Area:	22	acres @ C=	0.9			
Disturbed Undeveloped						
Area:	25.63	acres @ C=	0.5	_		
]	Required Minimum Se	ediment Storage:		85,734	cf	
Required	Minimum: 18	300 cf/ac				

Stage-Storage

	Contour	Aroa	(cf)	Incremental	Total Volume	Stage
	Contour	Area	(51)	Volume (cf)	(cf)	(ft)
	734.00	5	2,550	0	0.00	0.00
	735.00	5	6,593	54,572	54,572	1.00
	736.00	6	1,626	59,110	113,681	2.00
	737.00	6	8,340	64,983	178,664	3.00
	738.00	7	3,922	71,131	249,795	4.00
	739.00	7	9,423	76,672	326,467	5.00
	740.00	8	4,885	82,154	408,621	6.00
Temp. Pool Lowe	r Elevation:	735.00			ft	
Temp. Pool Upper	r Elevation:	736.00			ft	
Temp. Pool Low	er Volume:	54571.50			cf	
Temp. Pool Upper Volume:		113,681			cf	
Sediment Cleanout Volume:		85,734			cf	
Sediment Cleanout Elevation:			7	/35.53	ft	

Pond Surface Area Check			
Rainfall Intensity for 25-yr Storm:	6.26	in/hr	
Q25 Peak Inflow (Q=CIA):	204.17	cf	
Surface Area Required:	66,355	sf	
Surface Area Provided:	68,340	sf	PASS
Required Minimum:	325 sf/cf		
Length to Width Ratio Check			
Length of Flow Path at Spillway:	623	ft	
Width of Flow Path at Spillway:	109	ft	
L/W Ratio:	5.72		PASS
* NCDEQ Required:	2:1 to 6:1		
Skimmer Sizing	or =0.4	<i>.</i>	
Required Basin Volume:	85,734	ct	
Skimmer Size:	5	in	
Orifice Diameter:	4.50	in	
Dewatering Time:	3.5	days	PASS
Spillway Sizing			
Rainfall Intensity for 25-yr Storm:	6.26	cfs	
Required Flow Q25:	204.17	cfs	
Spillway Elevation:	737	ft	
Top of Berm Elevation:	740	ft	
Material:	SC250	ft	
Depth:	0.55	ft	
Length:	98	ft	
Freeboard:	2.45	ft	PASS

SEDIMENT BASIN DESIGN SUMMARY

Basin Name:	Skimmer Sediment Basin 3	
Basin Length:	623	ft
Basin Width:	109	ft
Basin Side Slopes (X:1):	3	
Bottom of Basin Elevation:	734.00	ft
Spillway Elevation:	737.00	ft
Top of Berm Elevation:	740.00	ft
Storage Depth:	3.00	ft
Storage Required:	85,734	cf
Storage Provided:	178,664	cf
Surface Area Required:	66,355	sf
Surface Area Provided:	68,340	sf
Skimmer Main Crest Elevation:	737.00	ft
Skimmer Size:	5	in
Skimmer Orifice Diameter:	4.5	in
WSEL Across Spillway:	737.55	ft
Freeboard:	2.45	ft
Spillway Length:	98	ft

LANDIS DEVELOPMENT PLANS UNDER REVIEW

Application #	Name (surveyor &	Job Address	Type/# of lots	Status FEES PD	Section 5, Item5.1
SITE-07-21 PLANNER OFFICE (GRAY FILE DRAWER) WAS JOHNSON/WOOD- <u>NEW</u> NAME: VILLAS AT LANDIS RYDER PLACE (11-8-22)	owner) Yarbrough-Williams & Hoyle (Nest Communities, LLC/Johnson Wood Townhomes) FEES PD: FEES PD:	Corner of E. Ryder Ave & Upright Streets Map 109 149 & 133 165	Major Subdivision Duplex, Townhomes, SF	10-11-21 Application/sketch rec'd 10/11/21 <u>\$100</u> SKETCH REVIEW 10-12-21 sketch plan reviewed by RF 11-16-21 <u>\$100</u> rec'd for review 11-29-21 <u>\$2,092.11</u> rec'd for technical review of p 12-8-21 TECH REV TEAM MTG 3-29-22 Zoning verification letter 4-12-22 Received updated infrastructure informate Analysis 6-2-22 PLANS REC'D 6-21-22 TRC REVIEW of PLANS 8-10-22 PL. BD REV. *SITE DEV PLAN APPROVED A NEXT STEP: CONSTRUCTION PLANS 8-23-22 email with St. light update to plan 9/20/22 <u>\$22,026.16</u> CONSTRUCTION PLANS RE CALCULATIONS (BESIDE MAP CAGE) 11-15-22 ENGINEER memorandum rec'd. 11-28-22 Water/sewer plans emailed, waiting on I REC'D 12-7-22 12-8-22 NCDOT driveway permit completed and 12-15-22 final initial comments on w/s notified a p/up their set of plans w/comments 12-6-23 NCDOT DRIVEWAY PERMIT W/CON 2-2-23 REC'D NCDOT DRIVEWAY PERMIT W/CON 2-2-23 REC'D REQ. FOR HIGH DENSITY DEV. AGR. 3-20-23 PUB. HEARING FOR DEV. AGREEMENT 3-20-23 Board Approved Dev. Agreement 4-12-23 revised plans rec'd 4-26-23 RF reviewed plans, waiting on stormy (Tristin is aware) 5-16-23 Stormwater review completed. NEXT STEP CONSTRUCTION CONFERENCE dat @ 2pm 5-17-23 DEV. PLANS & DEV. AGR P/UP 5-23-23 1 st submittal POST DEV. PLAN, NCDEC DEEDS, USGS MAP, WETLAND DELINEATION	lans. ion- Capacity S NOTED- C'D WITH nard copies- rec'd. pplicant to DITIONS vater review. e: 05-24-23 Q FORM,
					15/

LANDIS DEVELOPMENT PLANS UNDER REVIEW

(CONT.)				5-24-23 Pre-Construction meeting - **Constru	5.1
#07-21 RYDER PLACE				authorized upon completion of fees and sever al other	
				5-30-23 Operation and Maintenance Agreement rec'd	
				6-6-23 Sent Zoning Permit Application	
				6-16-23 Stormwater Report From Alley William Carmen & King	
				7-5-23 Rec'd water system specs.	
				8-9-23 Stormwater specs reviewed ready for pickup.	
				8-10-23 stormwater reviews p/up by courier.	
				12-7-23 Dev. Petition to NCDEQ for w/s regulation exception	
				1-25-24 issued Willingness to Serve for electricity	
				2-13-24 Rec'd NCDEQ Auth. For water system	
				2-20-24 Issued Willingness to Serve water and waste	
				10/16/24 Met w RF	
SITE 11-21		<mark>716 W. Ryder</mark>		12-22-21 PAYMENT: \$100 SKETCH PLAN REV.	1
FILE DRAWER	Steve Ross – Dynamic	Ave & Mt.	PROPOSED	12-28-21 RF to Engineer, email with comments re sketch plan	
NEW NAME: LANDIS	Developers of the	<mark>Moriah Ch. Rd</mark>	TWNHOMES	layout.	
APARTMENTS	Carolinas, LLC	Map 130b 096	APARTMENTS	2-8-22 R. Flowe mtg w/Developer Engineer	
	Mark Siemieniec-			5-16-22DEV. MTG WITH R FLOWE SKTECH PLAT REV.	
	Architect			7-5-22 PATMENT \$100 FOR 5-16-22 REVIEW	
				floor beside man cage	
				Payment: site plan rev. \$388.25	
				8-10-22 PL, BD REVWD, PLAN REJECTED, DEV WILL SUBMIT	
				ANOTHER SITE PLAN	
				10-19-22 rec'd revised plan	
				11-8-22 OVERVIEW W/PL. BD.	
				11-16-22 MTG W/FLOWE & MNGR- WILL RE-SUBMIT PLANS NO	
				TRC ON CURRENT PLANS.	
				12-6-22 REC'D REVISED PLANS. R FLOWE COMMENTED.	
				EMAILED ARCHITECT W/COMMENTS	
				12-21-22 re-sent email of 12-6-22 to architect/confirmed recpt.	
				2-8-23 revised plan sent by email- next step is site dev.	
				Plan rev.	
				2-28-23 PLAN HARD COPIES REC'D	
				2-28-23 PAYMENT: \$388.25 site plan rev.	
				3-2-23 REC'D REVISED SITE PLAN	
				3-15-23 Revised Site Plan approved-	
				next step-construction plans & review	
				5-17-23 SC spoke w/Arch. M. SIEMIENIEC- Construction	
				plans to be del today.	
				1	55

#11-21 LANDIS APTS				5-18-23 per M.Siemieniec. plan del delay 5-24-23 CONSTRUCTION PLANS REC'D 5-31-23 FEES PAID FOR REVIEW. \$10,266.55 **Fees include zoning permit application wh **=PLAN REVIEW(RD,PARK/LOAD/DRAINAGE STORMWATER, PERMIT APPLICATION) 6-23 & 28 th TRC REVIEW OF PLANS- NOTES AI P/UP THEIR SET OF PLANS W/NOTES 7-25-23 REC'D 2 SETS OF REVISED CONST PLA 7-27-23 R FLOWE REVIEWED PLANS- ISSUED TECH. REV. COMM. TO REVIEW PLANS IN T.H 8-3-23 Fire Marshal reviewed plans 8-9-23 Plans ready for p/up, emailed Enginee 11-08-23 Rec'd Erosion & Soil Sedim. From Co 11-8-23 Pre-const. mtg set for 12-13-23 @ 9a 12-13-23 Stormwater calcs needed. 5-21-24 Groundbreaking Ceremony on site 5-23-24 Permit issued 6-13-24 Requested addresses from County G 6-27-24 Emailed request for Const. Admin Fe 8-14-24 R Flowe called to request Const. Admin 8-22-24 Const Admin fees Paid \$20,507.60	Section 5, Item5.1 en ready E,W/S LINES, DDED- DEV. NS EMAIL TO ALL er. ✓ Dunty .m. ✓
🔗 YEAR 2022					
Application #	Name (surveyor &/OR owner)	Job Address	Type/# of lots	Status FEES PD	
SITE DEV 09-22 IRISH CREEK PREL. PLAT LANDIS PORTION PHASE 2&4	LENNAR CAROLINAS – LAND DESIGN ENGINEER NOTICE OF INTENT FOR NEW DEVELOPER- SHEA HOMES Philip Smith- Land Design	CANNON FARM RD	430 LOTS- MU-1 & SFR-2 CZ ZMA 24-04-08-1 MU-1 TO SFR-3	9-6-22 REC'D PLAT W/\$3,000 9-13-22 REC'D MASTER PLAN PRELIMINARY PLAT W \$4,580 TOTAL \$12,720 9-13-22 PLAT OVERVIEW W/PL BD. 12-6-22 ACTIVE FILE 3-7-23 NO ACTIVITY 7-25-23 NOTICE OF NEW DEVELOPER INTENT FROM AMERICAN PROP. 9-5-2023 MTG W/PL. DIR. W/NEW DEV. 9-25-23 MTG W/PL DIR. FLOWE 9-28-23 REC'D MEETING NOTES 12-12-23 Rec'd form w/ZMA request no funds rec' 12-19-23Rec'd partial fee for ZMA request 1-3-24 Rec'd full funds for ZMA request. March BC 2-13-24 Planning Bd did not meet- April BOA mtg 2-15-24 Utilities meeting with Dev.& Land Design	V/\$5,140 AND VI ATLANTIC d)A mtg

Page **3** of **10**

IRISH CREEK PREL. PLAT LANDIS PORTION PHASE 2&4			 4-16-24 Irish Crk Development Team met with P/Z 5-10-24 rec'd revised lot drawing 7-16-24 Teams meeting re phase 2 plans 8/6-24 Feed Paid 8-12-24 Phase 2 presented to Planning Board, TRC 8-26-24 Pub Wrks Info Reqst. 9-3-24 PP Plat Review 9-4-24 TRC 9-11-24 Met with RF and Pub Works and design team 	starts
SITE DEV #10-22- LANDIS RIDGE LANDIS 85 OLD BEATTY FORD RD INDUSTRIAL SITE NAME CHANGE: LANDIS RIDGE LANDIS 85	/JACKSON- FORD RD INDUSTRIAL SITE	ANNEX & ZMA LOTS: MAP 140, PARCELS: 003,167, 138, 169 & 170 11-14-22- BD APPROVED ANNEXATION ZONING: IND 2-13-23 BD TO CONSIDER ANNEXATION WITH PUB. HEARING ON MARCH 20, 2023 -BD APPROVED	 9-13-22 REC'VD PAYMENT \$600 ZMA REQ. ANNEX NOV.8 & 14 2022 MTGS 11-14-22 BD APPROVED ANNEX & IND ZONING 12-6-22 ACTIVE SITE- PLANS DEVELOPING 12-8-22 NCDOT TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY CHECKLIST 12-20-22 2 CHECKS OF \$875 REC'D = \$1,750 FOR: 1) ZMA W/ANNEX APPL. (APPL. REC'D 12-15-22) 2) & ZTA (TEXT AMEND.) 1-3-2023 ACTIVE FILE 1-09-23 ANNEXATION REQ. TABLED UNTIL FEB 2-13-23 ZTA APPROVED ANNEX AND MAP AMEN MARCH PUB HEARING. 3-1-23 SITE PLAN REV. W/ DEV & PUB. WORKS 3-1-23 FUNDS REC'D FOR: SITE PLAN REVIEW & F REVIEW: \$4,801.75 3-20-23 Pub. Hearing Annexation additional properiod IND zoning. BOARD APPROVED 4-11-23 Plan revisions received. 4-27-23 R Beadle picked up Dev. Copy with commistions 5-25-23 Zoom mtg w/R Flowe 6-13-23 NCDOT scoping documents received 8-2-23 rec'd updated site dev. Plans from Develope 8-2-23 REC'D REVISED SITE PLAN PGS 3,4 &5 ON REVIEW TABLE FOR TRC- REVIEWED 10-18-23 REC'D W/S WILLINGNESS TO SERVE REQ 10-23-23 PLANNING BOARD MTG UPDATE 11-14-23 Mtg req. by Developer- ZOOM W/RFLOW 	K W/ ZMA T REC'D ID SET FOR PREL PLAT erties, req. hents. per hk QUEST WE

Page **4** of **10**

DEV #10-22 LANDIS RIDGE				11-30-23 WAITING ON CONSTRUCTION PLANSSection 5, Items12-21-23 Rec'd revised Const. plans & all docume12-21-23 FUNDS REC'D \$36,136 FEES.1-2-24 DIGITAL FILES REC'D1-24-24 TRC mtg held – examined plans2-13-24 Meeting with Developer and Eng. Review of TRC2-14-24 Address from Rowan Cty GIS for constr.: #6193-12-24 REC'D REV. CONST. PLANS & CALCSWAITING ON ENG. REVIEW3-27-24PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING HELD4-24-24 PERMIT FOR TEMP CONST. OFFICE5-1-24 PERMIT FOR BLDGS 1A, 1B, & 25-8-24 STORMWATER AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED6-13-24 rec'd Eng. Water Main report & 2 complete sets ofpartial revisions to plans.6-18-24 RF accepted the partial plans6-20-24 Developer p/up their plan set6-27-24 Emailed request for Const. Admin Fees7-9-2024 Site inspection7-12-24 rec'd Construction Admin fees of \$51,552.00	5.1
2023 ALL '23	PROJECTS NOW IN CONSTRUCTION	PHASE			
<u>2024</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2024</u>	
Application/ Site #	Name (surveyor & owner)	Job Address	Type/# of lots	Status, FEES PD	
SITE 01-24	DOMINION ENERGY	MT MORIAH CH RD	Gas Line Encroachment	UTILITY – GAS LINE INSTALLATION ON TOWN EASEMENT/ FLOODWAY/FLOODPLAIN 2-7-24 PLANS REC'D 5-2-24 R.O.W PERMIT REQUESTED 5-28-24 REC'D HARD COPY OF PLANS 5-30-24 EMAILS TO INCLUDE PUB. WORKS 6-4-24 REC'D UPDATED PLANS BY EMAIL 7-10-24 Pub.Works working with Dominion Energy on encroachments	

Page **5** of **10**

				8/27 Site Plan Review apln submitted, wai Section 5, Item5. 9/26/24 Permits issued and fees paid 10/24/24 Flood Plain Permit Issued
Rice and Valley	John Suther		Water Line ext, 2 SFH	6/24 Plans rcd. Awaiting Payment 10/8/24 Payment Rcd. 10/10/24 TRC Begins 10/30/24 Plans Approved/Emailed for Pick up
Landis Shops	John Suther		Truck Repair Facility	6/24 Plans rcd. Awaiting Payment 10/8/24 Payment Rcd. 10/10/24 TRC Begins 10/30/24 Plans Returned/ Emailed for Pick up 1/24/25 Electronic plans sent to Planning Director to go over corrections made.
Landis Multi-Family	Dynamic Developers John Suther		Multifamily- proposing 15 units	6/24 Plans rcd. Awaiting Payment 10/8/24 Payment Rcd. 10/10/24 TRC Begins 10/30/24 Plans returned to S Ross 1/24/25 Electronic plans sent to Planning Director to go over corrections made
SITE 02-24	OCAMPO	US 29		 2-28-24 ELECTRONIC SITE PLAN REC'D 3-5-24 REC'D \$325 SITE PLAN REV. FEE 4-3-24 Rec'd hard copies of site plan waiting on building elevations. 7-11-24 Rec'd complete site plans with building elevations 7-23-24 Site plan review by RFlowe - 07-24-24messaged Engineer with notes from RFlowe 8-14-24 Review for follow up comments with R Flowe 10/9/2024- Paid for 3rd Review 10.22.24 Sent email letting them know that they sent us the construction plans, not the plans needed. 10/28/24 Plans Received 10/30/24 Plans Approved/ Picked up
ZMA	Legendre	627 S Chapel	RMST to CIV	Legislative Hearing 10/14/24 Approved 10/14/2024

LANDIS DEVELOPMENT PLANS UNDER REVIEW

Recombination plat	Piedmont Design Assoc.	2211 Tully More	2 lots into 1	Paid \$100 on 7-16-24	Section 5, Item5.1
Exemption, Recombination, annexation 7-15-24 PUB. HRNG	CRETE SOLUTIONS & TWO-TEN PROPERTIES	220 OLD BEATTY FORD RD	COMBINING OF 3 SMALLER LOTS WITH 1 LARGE LOT	ANNEXATION AND RECOMBINATION	
Landis Ridge Phase 2	Ryan Beedle and Jackson Shaw		<u>Industrial Park</u>	10/22/24 SKETCH PLAT REVIEW & CONSULT, sent 10/28/24 Sketch Plan paid \$270, Received site pla for fee 10/30/24 Site Plan Review Paid \$530 11/19/24 Zoning Compliance Permit- Beacon-\$12 Plan Review – Beacon - \$1355 for a total of \$1480 11/25/24 Site Development Plans Approved by R 12/20/24 TRC Review Complete 1/22/25 TRC Review Comments from Planning Di emailed	t fee chart ans emailed 25, Zoning Site 0 paid Vick Flowe irector
PLANS IN CONSTRUCTION/ REVIEW					
SITE 02-23 CONCRETE PLANT- NEW OWNERSHIP/ NEW PLANS- ZONING PERMIT ISSUED 5-17-23	William N. West Owner Crete Solutions	220 OLD BEATTY FORD RD	ANNEX REQ. FOR 7-15-24 PUB. HRNG	04-11-2023 PD \$6,188.83 NEW SITE PLANS, STOR CALCS. 4-26-23 RF review & staff rev. complete commen 4-27-26 Owner/Dev. Bill West p/up set w/comme 5-9-23 Rec'd partial set of plans- advised need co 5-10-23 rec'd 2 complete sets of plans w/revision 5-17-23 R. Flowe to Developer West, plan set – so West to deliver a new complete plan set to NFoce day. Flowe to review and sign zoning permit appl plans are approved. 5-17-23 Plans rec'd. R. Flowe plans for site construction. Zoning Permit #ZN-23 Site work active. 3-27-24 POSSIBLE SITE REVISION 4-3-24 REVISED SITE PLAN \$525 PD 5-10-24 Request ANNEXATION AND RECOMB. FO 6-11-24 RF conducted site inspection	MWATER, ts on plans ents. mplete sets. is cale is off. us Office this lication if approved -27 issued.

					Section 5, Item5.1
SITE 01-23 BYRNE PROP KIMBALL RD PERMIT ISSUED 11-30-23	SHANNON SPARKS SURVEYOR BYRNE PROP. INC	KIMBALL ROAD MAP 123B 115	TOWNHOMES 9 PROPOSED 9-11-23 BD ALD APPROVED DEV. AGREEMENT	2-2-23 SKETCH PLAT REVIEW & CONSULT 2-2-23 PD \$245 SKETCH PLAT REV. & CONSULT 4-13-23 PD \$1085 FOR SITE PLAN REVIEW 4-26-23 Plans Reviewed by RF- approved. TRC & PL BD. (JUNE 21,2023) 6-27-23 owner paid for all tap fees \$45,000 6-29-23 rec'd updated plans 8-1-23 rec'd revised plan 8-8-23 Pl. Bd to review Dev. Agreement for Kimba 9-11-23 BD ALD. Pub. Hearing for Dev. Agreement 9-27-23 DEV. AGREEMENT SIGNATURE BY DEV. 10-18-23 CONSTR. PLANS REC'D. 10-18-23 PAYMENT OF \$325PARTIAL CONSTR PLA 10-18-23 PAYMENT OF \$680 (8 TWNHMS- zoning 10-19-23 RFLOWE REVIEWED. NEED UPDATED BU ELEVATIONS TO CURRENT PLAN. 10-24-23 DEVELOPER AWARE OF PLANS NEEDED. 10-26-23 UPDATE CONST. PLANS REC'D 10-26-23 PAYMENT OF \$627- REMAINDER OF CON FEES PD. 11-29-23 PRE-CONST MTG 11-29-23 PLANS APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION 11-30-23 PERMIT ISSUED FOR SITE WORK 3-13-24 BUILDING BEGINNING	III Landing - APPROVED N REVW permit) ILDING

11-22-22 TRC COMMENTS COMPLETE 11-30-22 PLANS W/COMMENTS READY FOR P/UP 12-5-22 plans p/up by developer for review/revisions	SITE DEV 04-22 RICE RD TWNHOMES PERMIT ISSUED 12-28-22FOR SITE DEV. ADDREW WALTZ 704- 453-2700 RICE RD TOWNHOMES ACTIVE FOR REVIEWS ACTIVE
--	--

Page **8** of **10**

		12-13-22 REC'D REVISED PLANS	Section 5, Item5.1
		12-15-22 PLANS REVIEWD BY RFLOWE APPROVE	
		READY FOR PICK UP (EMAILED)	
		12-19-22 PICKED UP by developer	
		12-19-22 rec'd zoning permit appl by email.	
		12-28-22 rec'd address from county	
		12-28-22 issued zoning permit # ZN-22-81	
		4-18-23 Rec'd 1 new page to plans.	
		4-26-23 RF review, waiting on stormwater review	ew, still need
		correct buildings sheet.	
		5-2-23 STORMWATER REVIEWED	
		5-3-23 Emailed screenshot of comments- Waitir	ng on corrected
		buildings sheet.	
		5-16-23 REC'D 2 COMPLETE SET OF PLANS	
		5-16-23 PLANS APPROVED –DEV. To p/up NEXT	STEP:
		PRE-CONST. CONF. SET 05-24-23 @ 3:30 PM	
		5-19-23 PLANS P/UP	
		5-24-23 Pre-Construction meeting - **Cons	truction
		authorized upon completion of fees and sev	veral other
		requirements	
		6-6-23 Const. Admin Fees Pd: \$1 180 50	
		6-30-23 UPDATED PLANS REVIEWED-APPROVE	D
		7-6-23 REC'D MATERIALS LIST	
		SITE DEV # 04-22 RICE STREET TOWNHOMES CO	ONT.
		REVIEW OF W/S. BLDG ELEVATION	
		FEES PD:	
		PREL PLAT \$450. SKETCH PLAN\$100. UNITS \$10	0
		SITE WORK ACTIVE	
		10-11-23 REC'D UTILITY AS BUILTS	
		10-16-23 PLANS ACCEPTED BY RFLOWE	
		10-17-23 EMAILED DEV. READY FOR PICK UP	
		10-18-23 FINAL PLAT- MYLAR REC'D	
#04-22 RICE ST. TWNHMS		10-19-23 R FLOWE SIGNED PLAT	
CONT.		10-25-23 ENGINEER W. WEBB REVIEWING FOR	SIGNATURE
		10-31-23 MORE INFO NEEDED- EMAILED DEVEL	OPER – as-built
		drawings construction certifications from t	he design
		angineer and cad files for the water sewer	and storm
		drainage locations	, and storm
		11.9.22 Decid mular waiting on State new	mite
		11-6-23 Rec d mylar – Walting on State peri	mus
		11-10-23 mtg w/state rep re approvais	
		11-20-23 application submitted with NCDEQ	
		11-21-23 REC'D \$350 FINAL PLAT FEE	
		12-5-23 final plat rec'd	

162

Rice Street TWNHMS Cont.			12-13-23 NOTICE OF VIOLATION (NCDEQ REQ.)Set12-21-23 Rec'd Subdivision Bond copy2-7-24 REC'D PERMIT FEES FOR 10 TWNHOMES (10)2-8-24PER UNIT FEES PD FOR 10 TWNHMS (10 X \$352-8-24 PERMIT ISSUED FOR 5 TWNHMS2-14-24 Rec'd NCDEQ permit to construct water sys2-14-24 Rec'd NCDEQ water system approval2-21-24 NCDEQ permit to construct water sys3-27-24 VIOLATION NOTICE TO DEVELOPER \$9,654.04-29-24 SEWER CERT REQUEST12-27-24 \$4,254.66 Violation Paid1-6-25 Zoning Compliance Permits Paid for Lots- 7,811 \$170 each	ection 5, Item5.1 X\$50 5) stem. 66 3,9,10 and
W. Garden Race Shop			11-19-24 Paid Sketch Plan Review \$270 & Site Plan I \$530 – CESI 12-20-24 TRC Review Complete	Review
ZMA	Coldwater Street	CIV to SFR-2	12-9-24 Approved	

Town of Landis Division of Land Use Zoning Permits Issued – Year 2025

<u>Permit #</u>	Date	Name	Job Address	Permit Use
ZN-25-01	01/06/25	John Lambert	225 E Rice St	Townhome
ZN-25-02	01/06/25	John Lambert	229 E Rice St	Townhome
ZN-25-03	01/06/25	John Lambert	233 E Rice St	Townhome
ZN-25-04	01/06/25	John Lambert	237 E Rice St	Townhome
ZN-25-05	01/06/25	John Lambert	241 E Rice St	Townhome
ZN-25-06	01/09/25	Bernardo Huizar	215 Church St	Residential Addition
ZN-25-07	1/15/25	Sonny Woodward	2220 W A St	Accy Building/Pool House
ZN-25-08	1/27/25	John Lambert	304 Buford Dr	New SFH Duplex
ZN-25-09	1/27/25	John Lambert	306 Buford Dr	New SFH Duplex



Item Cover Page

MEETING TYPE	Board of Alderman
DATE:	February 10, 2025
SUBMITTED BY:	
ITEM TYPE:	Report
AGENDA SECTION:	Departmental Reports
DETAILS:	Monthly Report

VIOLATION ADDRESS	OWNER OR OCCUPANT	STATUS OR CONDITIONS
	MINIMUM HOUSING	
314 TOWN STREET	RUTH C DEADMON	ABATMENT OF THE YARD AND
	(HEIRS	THE STRUCTURE HAS BEEN
		BOARDED UP. A LEIN WILL BE
		PLACED ON THE PROPERTY
		ONCE AN INVOICE IS
		RECEIVED.
109 EVERHART	MARY GRAY HILTON	ABANDONED SUBSTANDARD
AVENUE	(HEIRS)	HOUSING AND NUISANCE
		CONDITIONS. ADDITIONAL
		RESEARH TO BE CONDUCTED.
111 EVERHART	MARY GRAY HILTON	ABANDONED SUBSTANDARD
AVENUE	(HEIRS)	HOUSING AND NUISANCE
		CONDITIONS. ADDITIONAL
		RESEARH TO BE CONDUCTED.
201 EVERHART	MARY GRAY HILTON	ABANDONED SUBSTANDARD
AVENUE	(HEIRS)	HOUSING AND NUISANCE
		CONDITIONS. ADDITIONAL
		RESEARH TO BE CONDUCTED.
202 EVERHART	MARY GRAY HILTON	ABANDONED SUBSTANDARD
AVENUE	(HEIRS)	HOUSING AND NUISANCE
		CONDITIONS. ADDITIONAL
		RESEARH TO BE CONDUCTED.
203 EVERHART	MARY GRAY HILTON	ABANDONED SUBSTANDARD
AVENUE	(HEIRS)	HOUSING AND NUISANCE
		CONDITIONS. ADDITIONAL
		RESEARH TO BE CONDUCTED.
205 EVERHART	MARY GRAY HILTON	ABANDONED SUBSTANDARD
AVENUE	(HEIRS)	HOUSING AND NUISANCE

		CONDITIONS. ADDITIONAL
		RESEARH TO BE CONDUCTED.
206 EVERHART	MARY GRAY HILTON	ABANDONED SUBSTANDARD
AVENUE	(HEIRS)	HOUSING AND NUISANCE
		CONDITIONS. ADDITIONAL
		RESEARH TO BE CONDUCTED.
207 EVERHART	MARY GRAY HILTON	ABANDONED SUBSTANDARD
AVENUE	(HEIRS)	HOUSING AND NUISANCE
	()	CONDITIONS. ADDITIONAL
		RESEARH TO BE CONDUCTED.
209 EVERHART	MARY GRAY HILTON	ABANDONED SUBSTANDARD
AVENUE	(HEIRS)	HOUSING AND NUISANCE
	(111113)	CONDITIONS. ADDITIONAL
		RESEARH TO BE CONDUCTED.
210 EVERHART	MARY GRAY HILTON	ABANDONED SUBSTANDARD
AVENUE	(HEIRS)	HOUSING AND NUISANCE
	(IILINS)	CONDITIONS ADDITIONAL
		RESEARH TO BE CONDUCTED
211 FVFRHART	MARY GRAV HILTON	ABANDONED SUBSTANDARD
AVENIJE	(HEIRS)	HOUSING AND NUISANCE
	(IILINS)	CONDITIONS ADDITIONAL
		RESEARH TO BE CONDUCTED
212 EVERHART	MARY CRAV HILTON	A BANDONED SUBSTANDARD
AVENUE	(HEIRS)	HOUSING AND NUISANCE
AVENUE	(IIEIKS)	CONDITIONS ADDITIONAL
		DESEARD TO BE CONDUCTED
214 Ενέρμαρτ	MARY CRAV HILTON	A RANDONED SUBSTANDARD
AVENIJE	(HFIRS)	HOUSING AND NUISANCE
AVENUE	(IIEIKS)	CONDITIONS ADDITIONAL
		RESEART TO BE CONDUCTED
215 EVERHART	MARY CRAY HILTON	ABANDONED SUBSTANDARD
AVENIJE	(HFIRS)	HOUSING AND NUISANCE
AVENUE	(IIEIKS)	CONDITIONS ADDITIONAL
		RESEARCE TO BE CONDUCTED
216 Ενερμαρτ	ΜΑΡΥ ΟΡΑΥ ΗΗ ΤΟΝ	A PANDONED SUPSTANDADD
210 EVENIIANI Avenije	(HEIDS)	HOUSING AND NUISANGE
AVENUE	(IIEIKS)	CONDITIONS ADDITIONAL
		DESEADE TO BE CONDUCTED
217 EVEDUADT		A DANDONED SUDSTANDADD
217 EVENHANI Avenije	MARI GRAI HILION	ADANDONED SUBSTANDARD
AVENUE	(HEIKS)	CONDITIONS ADDITIONAL
		DESEADILTO DE CONDUCTED
907 NODTH ZION		A DANDONED SUDSTANDADD
OU/ NUKI H ZIUN STDEET	MAKI GKAI HILIUN (HEIDS)	ADAINDUNED SUBSIANDAKD
SIKELI	(петкэ)	CONDITIONS ADDITIONAL
		UUNDITIONS. ADDITIONAL DESEADUTO DE CONDUCTED
900 NODTH ZION		KESEAKH IU BE CUNDUCIED.
δυγ ΝΟΚΙΗ ΖΙΟΝ	WIAKY GKAY HILION	ABANDUNED SUBSTANDARD
SIKEEI	(HEIKS)	HOUSING AND NUISANCE

		CONDITIONS. ADDITIONAL
		RESEARH TO BE CONDUCTED.
1020 LINN STREET	JESUS DOTELO	HOUSING IN SUBSTANDARD
	ANDRADE & SUSANA	CONDITION. INSPECTION
	BERNAL LORENZO	WILL BE COMPLETED.
	NUISANCES	
107 NORTH MERIAH	JAMES A HALL JR	PROGRESS IS BEING
STREET	(HEIRS)	MONITORED.
805 COLDWATER	IGVK PROPERTIES LLC	OVERGROWTH NEAR THE
STEET		REAR OF THE STORE.
		PROGRESS HAS BEEN MADE
		WILL CONTINUE TO
		MONITOR. CLOSED 01/17/2025
303 BUFORD DRIVE	FON ERNEST	SCREEN ON THE SIDE OF THE
		PROPERTY IS THE ONLY
		REMAINING VIOLATION.
430 MT MORIAH	THOMAS LINN	HIGH GRASS AND DEBRIS
CHURCH ROAD		AROUND THE PROPERTY.
		SOME DEBRIS HAS BEEN
		CLEANED, AND THEY ARE
		MAKING PROGRESS ON THE
		GRASS.
400 EAST	MARY FRANCES AKERS	FACIAL BOARD FALLING OFF
	(HEIRS)	OF THE ROOF. THE OWNER IS
		MAKING ARRANGEMENTS TO
		CORRECT THE ISSUE.
ABA	NDONED-JUNKED-NUISANC	E VEHICLES
	ZONING	
305 SOUTH MAIN		VINYL SIGN COVERING THE
STREET SUITE B		WINDOW ON THE RIGHT SIDE
		OF THE BUILDING. CLOSED
		1/27/2025
	NON-RESIDENTIAL BUILI	DINGS
2570 SOUTH US 29 HWY	JOSEPH J ROJAS	COMMERCIAL BUILDING
		CONVIENCE STORE. SEVER
		DEILIAPDIATION AND
		DEFECTS.