



City of King City Council Regular Meeting

6:00 PM Monday, June 1, 2026

City of King City Hall Council Chambers
229 S. Main St., King, NC 27021

MINUTES

The King City Council convened for its regular meeting at King City Hall, Council Chambers, 229 S. Main St, King, on Monday, June 1, 2026, at 6:00 PM. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss and make decisions on various city matters. Present at the meeting were Councilman Tyler Bowles, Mayor Rick McCraw, Councilwoman Terri Fowler, Councilman Michael Lane, City Engineer Ben Marion, City Attorney Brad Friesen, City Manager Scott Barrow, City Clerk Nicole Branshaw, Director of Finance & Personnel Susan O'Brien, Intern City Planner Emerson Wright, Fire Chief Steven Roberson, Police Chief Jordan Boyette, Police Lt. M. Perdue, Senior Center Director Paula Hall, and Chaplain Rick Hughes.

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 6:00 PM on Monday, June 1, 2026. The Pledge of Allegiance was recited, with all remaining standing for the invocation given by Chaplain Rick Hughes.

Motion: Councilwoman Fowler made a motion to excuse Mayor Pro Tem from tonight's Council meeting. Councilman Bowles seconded. Motion carried unanimously 3-0.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Mayor presented the upcoming events and closures, which were noted on the agenda.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Mayor McCraw opened the public comment period at 6:02 PM. No members of the public signed up to address the Council during the public comment period. The public comment period was closed at 6:02 pm. ****SEE DOCUMENT 1****

1. ADJUSTMENTS TO AGENDA

Mayor McCraw asked if there were any adjustments to the agenda. A request was made to add Item 5C — Reappointment to the Stokes Municipal ABC Board — to the evening's agenda. The Mayor entertained a motion to approve the adjustment.

Motion: Councilwoman Fowler made a motion to adjust the agenda to add Item 5C and approve the agenda as amended. Councilman Lane seconded. Motion carried unanimously 3-0.

2. CONSENT AGENDA

Mayor McCraw explained that the consent agenda items were considered noncontroversial and administrative in nature. No items were pulled from the consent agenda for separate discussions.

The following consent items were approved:

A. Approval of Minutes

- a. Approval of Minutes

- b. Set a Public Hearing Date for Kendall Comm. for Galaxi Towers (aka Alpha Towers Prop. Co.) & Adam L. Cain (Property Owner) Request for a Special Use Permit to Construct a Communication Tower
- c. Order of Collections of 2026 Taxes/Order of Collections of 2025 and Prior Years' Taxes by the Forsyth Co Tax Collector

Motion: Councilwoman Fowler moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Councilman Lane seconded. Motion carried unanimously 3-0. ****SEE DOCUMENT 2****

3. Public Hearing

A. Public Hearing – Voluntary Annexation Request by Celia Cruz & Jose Montoya

Mayor McCraw opened the public hearing at 6:05 PM. No members of the public had signed up to speak. The hearing was subsequently closed, and the matter was turned over to City Planner Intern Emerson Wright for staff presentation. A revised version of the ordinance was provided to the City Council and Staff for review by the City Attorney.

City Planner Intern Emerson Wright presented the voluntary annexation request submitted by Celia Cruz and Jose Montoya for a 7.97-acre tract located off Santee Court on Newsome Road. The request was made in accordance with the City's utilities extension policy. Mr. Wright advised the Council that, per the ordinance, the City Council must find and determine that the area meets all standards set out in North Carolina General Statutes 160A-58.1(b), including that the petition bears the signatures of all required property owners, the petition is otherwise valid, and that annexation would best serve the public health, safety, and welfare of the city and the area proposed. Staff recommended approval of Ordinance 2026-06, effective June 1, 2026, and directed that the ordinance and plat map be recorded within 30 days, per the North Carolina General Statutes.

A Council member inquired as to the current zoning of the tract. City Planner Intern Emerson Wright confirmed that the property is zoned light industrial.

Motion: Councilwoman Fowler moved to approve Ordinance No. 2026-06, effective June 1, 2026, and to cause the ordinance and plat map to be recorded within 30 days, per the North Carolina General Statutes. Councilman Lane seconded. Motion carried unanimously 3-0. ****SEE DOCUMENT 3****

B. Public Hearing – Voluntary Annexation Request by Carl & Kristine Mitchell

The public hearing was opened and closed at 6:07 pm with no one signed up to speak, and the matter was turned over to City Planner Intern Emerson Wright for staff presentation. A revised version of the ordinance was provided to the City Council and Staff for review by the City Attorney.

Mr. Wright presented the voluntary annexation request submitted by Carl and Kristine Mitchell for an 8.61-acre tract located off Newsome Road on Cavel Drive. As with the prior request, the annexation was sought in accordance with the City's utilities extension policy. Mr. Wright cited the same statutory findings under North Carolina General Statutes 160A-58.1(b) and confirmed all petition requirements had been satisfied. Staff recommended approving Ordinance 2026-08, with an effective date of June 1, 2026, and directed that the ordinance and plat map be recorded within 30 days.

A Council member asked whether the two properties — the Cruz/Montoya parcel and the Mitchell parcel — shared a connection along Newsome Road. City Planner Intern Emerson Wright confirmed that they do. No further questions were raised.

Motion: Councilman Lane moved to approve Ordinance No. 2026-08, effective June 1, 2026, and to cause the ordinance and plat map to be recorded within 30 days, per the North Carolina General Statutes. Councilman Bowles seconded. Motion carried unanimously 3-0. ****SEE DOCUMENT 4****

C. Public Hearing – Amend Chapter 32 – Zoning; Art. I – General, Sec. 32-8 – Definitions; Article II – Administration & Enforcement, Division 1 – General, Add Section 32-50 Special Use Permits; Article III – Establishment of Districts, Section 32-1

The public hearing was opened at 6:10 PM and closed at 6:10 PM, with no public comment received. City Planner Intern Emerson Wright explained the need for the text amendment, noting that the City's existing special use permit and quasi-judicial hearing sections of the zoning ordinances had become outdated and effectively unenforceable as a result of recent updates to North Carolina General Statutes. Mr. Wright stated that the amendments were brought forward to bring the ordinance into compliance with current state law. He reported that the Planning Board had voted 5-0 unanimously to recommend approval of the text amendment. Staff likewise recommended approval of the text amendment and Ordinance 2026-09. No questions were raised by Council members.

Motion: Councilwoman Fowler moved to approve the text amendment and Ordinance No. 2026-09. Councilman Lane seconded. Motion carried unanimously 3-0. ****SEE DOCUMENT 5****

4. Discussion Items

A. Friends of Stokes Shelter Back to School Bash Nonprofit Fee Waiver Request

Mariah Mara, representing Friends of Stokes Shelter (FOSS), appeared before the Council to request a fee waiver for use of the City's amphitheater for their annual Back to School Bash event. Ms. Mara provided background on FOSS, describing it as a no-kill shelter serving Stokes County that operates entirely on fundraising. She noted that the organization has saved over 2,080 animals over the past five years and has been actively engaged in community outreach through a program called Faces of FOSS, which has included partnerships with the YMCA, local senior centers, and youth reading programs.

Ms. Mara explained that the Back to School Bash had historically been held at the shelter in Walnut Cove, but the organization wished to bring the event to King — a more central location — as a movie night at the amphitheater, scheduled for August 7, 2026. The event would be free for all attendees and feature school-supply giveaways, activities for children, and appearances by adoptable animals from FOSS and other rescue organizations. She noted that the licensing fee for the movie screening was anticipated to be at least \$500 and that absorbing the additional amphitheater rental fee would make the event financially difficult. Ms. Mara distributed materials to Council members outlining the event's rough agenda.

Councilwoman Fowler asked whether the event would include food. Ms. Mara confirmed that food — including hot dogs — would be offered, largely through donations, and that Mitchell's had been a past contributor. She indicated that the food would be available at no charge to attendees.

Councilwoman Fowler inquired whether police officers would be required at the event. City Engineer Ben Marion responded on behalf of the City, noting that the special event permit fee for a nonprofit is \$500, with a \$50 application fee, and that officers may be required depending on attendance. He indicated that under current ordinance, a police presence is generally not mandated at events below a threshold of approximately 300 attendees, and confirmed that because no alcohol would be served, the requirement would not be triggered. Ms. Mara confirmed that the event is designed entirely for children and that there would be no alcohol.

Mayor McCraw asked whether animals would be available for adoption at the event. Ms. Mara clarified that no adoptions would take place on-site, as applications must be submitted in advance, but that animals approved as reading buddies — known to be calm and child-friendly — would be present for attendees to meet and greet. She added that photos and information on all available animals would be displayed at a FOSS table.

City Chaplain Rick Hughes inquired whether the featured film would carry an animal theme, to which Ms. Mara affirmed that it would. He also asked whether Ms. Mara anticipated any difficulties if attendance reached 150

to 200 people, given that prior events in Walnut Cove had drawn approximately 50 children. Ms. Mara expressed confidence that the organization's volunteer base could accommodate a larger crowd and noted that the feedback was helpful for planning purposes. She confirmed that FOSS maintains event insurance and would secure day-of-event coverage through their provider.

Council members expressed strong support for the request, noting both the community benefit of the event and the organization's connection to Stokes County residents. Councilwoman Fowler commented that she had no objection to waiving the fee and anticipated that the event, if well advertised, would draw well beyond 50 attendees. Mayor McCraw recommended that FOSS maintain regular contact with City staff throughout the planning process and suggested that the Chamber of Commerce representative, identified as Kathy, present at the meeting might assist with publicity. Ms. Mara, herself a King resident, expressed gratitude for the Council's consideration.

Motion: Councilwoman Fowler moved to waive the special event permit fee for the Friends of Stokes Shelter to hold their August Back-to-School Bash at the City amphitheater, with the organization to continue receiving guidance from City staff. Councilman Bpwles seconded. Motion carried unanimously 3-0. ****SEE DOCUMENT 6****

B. Comprehensive Plan Branding

City Planner Intern Emerson Wright presented six branding options — labeled A through F — developed by the firm Kimley-Horn as part of the ongoing Comprehensive Plan process. Mr. Wright invited Council members to share their top one or two preferences, noting that if none of the options were satisfactory, he could return to Kimley-Horn to develop additional alternatives.

The discussion was engaged and collaborative. Councilman Lane stated a clear preference for Option C, noting that it prominently featured the name "King" and incorporated a mountain backdrop that he found visually appealing. He indicated he did not find Options A or B appealing but would accept any of Options C through F. Councilwoman Fowler agreed that Option C was her preference. Councilman Bowles also selected Option C but requested that the mountain imagery be more sharply defined, stating, "They're a little bit less — they're not super defined — if I looked at that I wouldn't necessarily say Pilot Mountain right off."

The Mayor offered a different perspective, noting his personal preference for Option E on account of its use of the word "gateway," remarking, "E was my choice because it said gateway. Gateway to the city." This prompted a suggestion from Councilman Lane that elements from multiple options could be combined — specifically, that the word "Gateway" and the name "King" could both be incorporated into a refined version of Option C, with the mountains rendered larger and more distinctly recognizable as Pilot Mountain.

The Mayor confirmed consensus around that hybrid direction and asked Mr. Wright to bring a revised version back to the Council incorporating the gateway language, the "King" name, and a more prominent mountain silhouette.

Motion: Councilwoman Fowler moved to direct staff to work with Kimley-Horn to develop a hybrid branding option incorporating elements of Options C and D — specifically, the name "King," the word "Gateway," and more prominently defined mountain imagery — and to return with a revised version for Council review. Councilman Lane seconded. Motion carried unanimously 3-0.

5. ACTION ITEMS

A. Consideration of Budget Amendment 2025-06.08

Mayor McCraw introduced the item and recognized Director of Finance and Personnel Susan O'Brien to present. Ms. O'Brien explained that the amendment totals \$121,000 for the general fund and \$27,000 for the water and sewer enterprise fund, with all amounts drawn from fund balance. The general fund portion covers a mix of legal fees and anticipated potential expenditure overruns. The water and sewer enterprise fund amendment reflects a corresponding revenue amount of equal value.

Councilwoman Fowler acknowledged the difficulty of bringing such an amendment forward and expressed appreciation for the finance team's diligence in keeping the adjustment at its current level. She stated, "I know how it pains you and Scott to bring this to us, and I appreciate that it's not more than this. That's what we have fund balance for." No further questions or concerns were raised.

Motion: Councilwoman Fowler moved to approve Budget Amendment 2025-06.08. Councilman Lane seconded. Motion carried unanimously 3-0. ****SEE DOCUMENT 7****

B. Revision of Ordinance 2024-04 to 2024-05

City Planner Intern Emerson Wright presented a housekeeping item to correct an administrative filing error discovered during a review of past annexation ordinances. Mr. Wright explained that the voluntary annexation ordinance for King's Crossing Phase 1, when it came before the Council in 2024, was incorrectly filed as Ordinance 2024-04, but should have been designated as Ordinance 2024-05. He expressed hope that this would be the last such correction required, noting that the Council would be acting on Resolution 2026-15, which formally fixes the designation.

City Attorney Brad Friesen noted that an associated page correction had also been made. No questions were raised.

Motion: Councilman Lane moved to approve Resolution 2026-15, revising Ordinance 2024-04 to Ordinance 2024-05 for the voluntary annexation of King's Crossing Phase 1. Councilman Bowles seconded. Motion carried unanimously 3-0. ****SEE DOCUMENT 8****

C. Reappointment to the Stokes Municipal ABC Board

This item was added to the agenda at the outset of the meeting. City Clerk Nicole Branshaw reported that the term of Jason Carter, a Council-appointed member of the Stokes Municipal ABC Board, had expired. The Stokes Municipal ABC Board Chairman, Brian Booe, recommended his reappointment. Mr. Carter was originally appointed in 2023 for a one-year term and subsequently reappointed in 2024 for a two-year term. The current request was for an additional two-year term.

The Mayor noted that Mr. Carter had been performing well in the role and invited questions. None were raised.

Motion: Councilwoman Fowler moved to approve the reappointing of Jason Carter to the Stokes Municipal ABC Board for a two-year term. Councilman Bowles seconded. Motion carried unanimously 3-0. ****SEE DOCUMENT 9****

6. DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS

The Mayor invited Council members to raise any questions or concerns regarding the departmental reports. The Mayor highlighted the performance of the King Senior Center, noting strong metrics including 386 unduplicated participants, 19 new participants, an average daily attendance of 66, and 13 volunteers. He expressed particular appreciation for the work being done at the Senior Center, stating that the staff there were doing "a bang-up job."

The Council acknowledged receipt of all departmental reports.

Motion: Councilwoman Fowler moved to accept the departmental reports. Councilman Bowles seconded. Motion carried unanimously 3-0.

ITEMS OF GENERAL CONCERN

Councilman Lane offered brief remarks, stating simply that he was thankful to be in attendance.

Councilwoman Fowler spoke at greater length. She expressed gratitude to the Community Appearance Commission for coordinating a recent community shred event, noting broad public appreciation for the initiative. She referenced a cost of approximately \$1,000 for the shredding service and encouraged the

committee to seek continued funding to sustain the program. She also acknowledged her upcoming absence from the country during the Fourth of July holiday but enthusiastically noted widespread public anticipation for the 4th of July parade, commenting that people were talking about it actively on Facebook and around town.

Councilman Bowles offered brief thanks to all City staff for their continued efforts.

The Mayor covered several items. He confirmed that the shred event had been a significant success, with approximately 134 participants at its peak, and shared a lighthearted anecdote about City staff working in the heat. He expressed gratitude for the City's participation in the National Day of Prayer at the YMCA, the Stokes County League of Municipalities meeting in Danbury, the Stokes United raffle, the Memorial Day observance, a birthday recognition for Chad Tucker, and the 100th anniversary of King Book Store.

The Mayor then read aloud a letter of commendation received from the Village of Tobaccoville. The letter, authored by Village Administrator Lori Shore, read in part: "On behalf of the Village of Tobaccoville, I wanted to express our gratitude to your Public Works department for doing such a great job for us with the bulk item pickup. Ricky gave the reins to Elijah during week two, and he did extremely well. We thank you for contracting with us again to make this such a success." The Mayor presented the letter to the City Manager for display in the Public Works shop as recognition of the team.

The City Manager provided several notable updates:

- The City had closed on the purchase of 2.8 acres of property. He confirmed that construction staff would begin working in the building the following day and that demolition of the existing structure on the property would commence the following week.
- The Veterans Memorial project had been completed, with approximately another 500 pavers being replaced. City staff spent three days installing the pavers in hot conditions.

The project had drawn considerable positive public praise, the Mayor stated.

- A late-arriving paver order — received after the initial bulk installation — was being handled with urgency, with the vendor expected to deliver the remaining two pavers by the following day or Wednesday. The City Manager noted that when single orders are placed outside of bulk purchases, the vendor charges for shipping, whereas bulk orders of 500 or more units ship for free. He confirmed the turnaround on the late order would be less than one week. In response to a Council member's question, the City Manager confirmed that the current price for an individual memorial paver is \$150.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion: Councilwoman Fowler moved to adjourn at 6:40 PM. Councilman Bowles seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously, 3-0.

The meeting stood adjourned.

*** Clerk's Note: See Document 1-9 for supporting documents. ***

Attest:


Nicole Branshaw, City Clerk



Approved by:


Richard E. McCraw, Mayor



Date: June 1, 2026

PUBLIC COMMENT SIGN-UP SHEET

All persons who wish to speak MUST sign up for the Public Comment period unless you wish to speak during a public hearing, in which case you must sign up for the Public Hearing. ALL SPEAKERS ARE LIMITED TO 3 MINUTES.

PLEASE PRINT ALL INFORMATION

<u>NAME</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION (If Any)</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>PHONE</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>City Resident?</u>
1.					☐ yes ☐ no
2.					☐ yes ☐ no
3.					☐ yes ☐ no
4.					☐ yes ☐ no
5.					☐ yes ☐ no
6.					☐ yes ☐ no
7.					☐ yes ☐ no
8.					☐ yes ☐ no
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					

BOARD: City Council

DATE: 06/01/2026

DOCUMENT #: 1 PAGES: 1

In many cases, the City Council will receive your comments but take no action at the meeting.

A staff member will contact you to follow up on your concerns.

NORTH CAROLINA

FORSYTH COUNTY

BOARD: City Council

DATE: 06/01/2026

DOCUMENT #: 2 PAGES: 2

ORDER OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KING
IN ACCORDANCE WITH NCGS § 105-321, NCGS § 153A-156 AND NCGS § 160A-215.2
FOR THE COLLECTION OF 2026 TAXES

TO: AMANDA MARKLE, AAS TAX COLLECTOR OF FORSYTH COUNTY, CITY OF WINSTON-SALEM, VILLAGE OF CLEMMONS, VILLAGE OF TOBACCOVILLE, TOWN OF LEWISVILLE, TOWN OF RURAL HALL, TOWN OF WALKERTOWN, CITY OF KING, TOWN OF KERNERSVILLE, TOWN OF BETHANIA, CITY OF HIGH POINT

You are hereby authorized, empowered, and commanded to collect the taxes set forth in the 2026 tax records filed in the Office of the Forsyth County Tax Collector, and in the tax, receipts herewith delivered to you in the amounts and from the taxpayers likewise therein set forth. You are further authorized, empowered, and commanded to collect the 2026 taxes charged and assessed as provided by law for adjustments, changes, and additions to the tax records and tax receipts delivered to you which are made in accordance with law. Such taxes are hereby declared to be a first lien on all real property of the respective taxpayers in Forsyth County, City of Winston-Salem, Town of Rural Hall, Town of Walkertown, Village of Clemmons, Village of Tobacoville, Town of Bethania, Town of Lewisville, City of King, Town of Kernersville, City of High Point, Beeson's Cross Roads Fire Protection District, Beeson's Cross Roads Service District, Belews Creek Fire and Rescue Protection District, City View Fire Protection District, Clemmons Fire and Rescue Protection District, Forest Hill Fire and Rescue Protection District, Griffith Fire Protection District, Gumtree Fire and Rescue Protection District, Horneytown Fire and Rescue Protection District, King of Forsyth County Fire and Rescue Protection District, Lewisville Fire and Rescue Protection District, Mineral Springs Fire Protection District, Mineral Springs Service District, Mount Tabor Fire and Rescue Protection District, Old Richmond Fire and Rescue Protection District, Piney Grove Fire Protection District, Suburban Fire and Rescue Protection District, Salem Chapel Fire and Rescue Protection District, South Fork Fire Protection District, Talley's Crossing Fire and Rescue Protection District, Triangle Fire Protection District, Union Cross Fire and Rescue Protection District, Vienna Fire Protection District, Walkertown (NE) Fire and Rescue Protection District, West Bend Service District, Downtown Winston-Salem Business Improvement District, Lewisville Municipal Service District, and Countywide Service District, and this order shall be a full and sufficient authority to direct, require, and enable you to levy on and sell, any real or personal property, and attach wages and/or other funds, of such taxpayers, for and on account thereof, in accordance with law. You are hereby authorized and directed to use any method of collections authorized by law to collect such taxes including, without limitation, the in-rem method of foreclosure authorized by NCGS § 105-375, the mortgage style of foreclosure authorized by NCGS § 105-374.

You are also hereby authorized, empowered and commanded to administer and to collect taxes on gross receipts derived from retail short-term leases or rentals of motor vehicles as set forth under NCGS § 153A-156 and gross receipts derived from short-term lease or rental of heavy equipment as set forth under NCGS § 160A-215.2. You are hereby authorized to promulgate such rules and procedures necessary to administer these taxes which are not inconsistent or contrary to applicable law.


You are also hereby authorized, to the full extent permitted by law, to collect liens that attach to real property under NCGS chapters 160D, 160A, or 153A, including but not limited to those that arise by reason of removal of a structure to the extent authorized by state and local law, and sanitation liens that arise under § 160A-193 or 153A-140.

Within available funds in the budget ordinance and personnel positions established, the Tax Collector may appoint employees and authorize them to perform those functions authorized by the Machinery Act of Chapter 105 of the North Carolina General Statutes and other applicable laws for current and previous years' taxes. County personnel presently in the Tax Collector's office continue to serve in their respective positions at the discretion of the Tax Collector.

WITNESS my hand and official seal, this the 1st day of June, 2026.

ATTEST:

Nicole Branshaw
CLERK



Richard E. McCreary
MAYOR, CITY OF KING

NORTH CAROLINA

FORSYTH COUNTY

ORDER OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KING
IN ACCORDANCE WITH NCGS § 105-373, NCGS § 105-321, NCGS § 105-330.3 and NCGS § 153A-156
FOR THE COLLECTION OF 2025 AND PRIOR YEARS' TAXES

TO: AMANDA MARKLE, AAS TAX COLLECTOR OF FORSYTH COUNTY, CITY OF WINSTON-SALEM, VILLAGE OF CLEMMONS, VILLAGE OF TOBACCOVILLE, TOWN OF LEWISVILLE, TOWN OF RURAL HALL, TOWN OF WALKERTOWN, CITY OF KING, TOWN OF KERNERSVILLE, TOWN OF BETHANIA, CITY OF HIGH POINT

You are hereby authorized, empowered, and commanded to collect the taxes remaining unpaid as set forth in the 2017 through 2025 tax records filed in the Office of the Forsyth County Tax Collector, and in the tax receipts herewith delivered to you in the amounts and from the taxpayers likewise therein set forth. You are further authorized, empowered, and commanded to collect the 2017 through 2025 taxes charged and assessed as provided by law for adjustments, changes, and additions to the tax records and tax receipts delivered to you which are made in accordance with law. Such taxes are hereby declared to be a first lien on all real property of the respective taxpayers in Forsyth County, City of Winston-Salem, Town of Rural Hall, Town of Walkertown, Village of Clemmons, Village of Tobaccoville, Town of Bethania, Town of Lewisville, City of King, Town of Kernersville, City of High Point, Beeson's Cross Roads Fire Protection District, Beeson's Cross Roads Service District, Belews Creek Fire and Rescue Protection District, City View Fire Protection District, Clemmons Fire and Rescue Protection District, Forest Hill Fire and Rescue Protection District, Griffith Fire Protection District, Gumtree Fire and Rescue Protection District, Horneytown Fire and Rescue Protection District, King of Forsyth County Fire and Rescue Protection District, Lewisville Fire and Rescue Protection District, Mineral Springs Fire Protection District, Mineral Springs Service District, Mount Tabor Fire and Rescue Protection District, Old Richmond Fire and Rescue Protection District, Piney Grove Fire Protection District, Suburban Fire and Rescue Protection District, Salem Chapel Fire and Rescue Protection District, South Fork Fire Protection District, Talley's Crossing Fire and Rescue Protection District, Triangle Fire Protection District, Union Cross Fire and Rescue Protection District, Vienna Fire Protection District, Walkertown (NE) Fire and Rescue Protection District, West Bend Service District, Downtown Winston-Salem Business Improvement District, Lewisville Municipal Service District, and Countywide Service District, and this order shall be a full and sufficient authority to direct, require, and enable you to levy on and sell, any real or personal property, and attach wages and/or other funds, of such taxpayers, for and on account thereof, in accordance with law.

Within available funds in the budget ordinance and personnel positions established, the Tax Collector may appoint employees and authorize them to perform those functions authorized by the Machinery Act of Chapter 105 of the North Carolina General Statutes and other applicable laws for current and previous years' taxes. County personnel presently in the Tax Collector's office continue to serve in their respective positions in the discretion of the Tax Collector.


Taxes on registered classified Motor Vehicles for 2022 and prior years are deemed uncollectible; therefore, the Forsyth County Commissioners, pursuant to NCGS § 105-373(h) do hereby relieve the tax collector of the charge of collecting taxes on registered classified motor vehicles listed pursuant to NCGS § 105-330.3(a)(1) for 2022 and prior years.

WITNESS my hand and official seal, this the 1st day of June, 2026

Richard E. McCraw
MAYOR, CITY OF KING

ATTEST: Nicole Branshaw

CLERK



BOARD: City Council
DATE: 6/1/2026
DOCUMENT #: 3 PAGES: 4

FILED Jun 08, 2026 02:12 pm

BOOK 00804

PAGE 0087 THRU 0089

INST # 02970

EXCISE TAX (None)

STOKES COUNTY, NC
BRANDON S. HOOKER
REGISTER OF DEEDS

**CITY OF KING
ORDINANCE NO. 2026-06
AN ORDINANCE TO EXTEND THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF THE
CITY OF KING, NORTH CAROLINA**

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of King has been petitioned under G.S. 160A-58.1 to annex the area described herein; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of King has, by resolution, directed the city clerk to investigate the sufficiency of said petition(s) and by resolution set the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the city clerk has certified the sufficiency of said petition(s), and a public hearing on the question of this annexation was held at King City Hall, 229 South Main Street, King, North Carolina, at six o'clock p.m. on the 1st day of June 2026; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of King hereby finds and determines that (i) the area described in the petition meets all of the standards set out in G.S. 160A-58.1(b), (ii) the petition bears the signatures of all of the owners of real property within the area proposed for annexation (except those not required to sign by G.S. 160A-58.1(a)), (iii) the petition is otherwise valid, and (iv) the public health, safety and welfare of the inhabitants of the city and of the area proposed for annexation will be best served by the annexation.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of King, North Carolina:

Section 1. By virtue of the authority granted by G.S. 160A-58.2 and all other applicable statutes, the following described property is hereby annexed and made part of the City of King as of the 1st day of June 2026.

(SEE ATTACHED BOUNDARY DESCRIPTIONS AND MAP)

(The 7.97-acre tract being located at the end of Santee Court and being made up of 3 lots containing 2.63, 2.83, and 2.49-acres and being listed on SCTM as 5991-37-3904)

Section 2. Upon and after the 1st day of June 2026, the described property shall be subject to all debts, laws, ordinances, and regulations in force in the City of King and shall be entitled to the same privileges and benefits as other parts of the City of King.

Section 3. The Mayor of the City of King shall cause an accurate map of the annexed territory described in Section 1, together with a duly certified copy of this ordinance, to be recorded in the office of the Register of Deeds of Stokes County and filed in the office of the Secretary of State, as required by G.S. 160A-29. A copy of the map shall also be delivered to the County Board of Elections, as required by G.S. 160A-288.1, and to any other state or local agencies as required by law.


Adopted this, the 1st day of June 2026.

ATTEST:


Nicole Branshaw, City Clerk


Richard E. McCraw, Mayor

City Seal:



SLATE SURVEYING COMPANY P.A

1944 Mountain View Road

King, NC 27021

(336) 983-9743

slatesc@aol.com

Apr. 01, 2026

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

Lying and being in the Yadkin Township of Stokes County and in the City of King, North Carolina. Bounded on the north by Holder Solar Farm, LLC, on the east by Redmon-Shand Properties, LLC and Donald Dunnagan Jr., on the south by Newsome Road, S.R. 1222, and on the west by Santee Court, BJW Properties, LLC and Marshall K. Butner and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning on a 3/4" pipe 3" below ground, the southeast corner of the within described in the northern R/W of Newsome Road, Dunnagans southwest corner said iron having NC grid coordinates of N =917,755.90 E = 1.1593.577.20, the southwest corner of the existing King City Limits, Thence, from said POINT OF BEGINNING, along the northern R/W of Newsome Road N 63 55' 52" W 238.44' to a 5/8" rebar 8" below ground, thence continuing with said R/W N 67 05' 41" W 90.12' to a 5/8" rebar 3" below ground at the intersection of the northern R/W of Newsome and the eastern R/W of Santee Court, thence with the eastern R/W of Santee Court N 14 12' 54" E 241.24' to a 5/8" rebar flush, thence continuing with said R/W on a curve to the right 21.00' said curve having a radius of 25.00' and a chord bearing and distance of N 38 30' 03" E 20.38' to a 5/8" rebar flush thence continuing around the cul-de-sac on a curve to the left 48.02' said curve having a radius of 50.00' and a chord bearing and distance of N 34 21' 48" E 46.20' to a point, thence continuing 5.29' having a radius of 50.00' and a chord bearing and distance of N 03 48' 59" E 5.29' to a 5/8" rebar, thence continuing 30.64' a radius of 50.00' and a chord bearing and distance of N 16 46' 27" W 30.17' to a point, thence continuing 59.49' a radius of 50.00' and a chord bearing and distance of N 68 25' 01" W 56.04' to a 5/8" capped rebar, BLW Properties northeast corner (DB. 645, Pg. 1651, thence the following two(2) courses with the northern lines of BJW Properties, N 53 56' 08" W 54.24' to a 3/4" pipe 2" above ground, thence N 74 36' 10" W 300.67' to a 5/8" rebar, 2" above ground, BJW Properties northwest corner in Marshall K. Butners eastern line, also in the eastern line of the existing King

City Limits, thence with Butner (DB. 589, Pg. 1900, PB. 8, Pg. 48) N 14 16'00" E 394.62' to a 3/4" pipe flush, Butners northeast corner in Holder Solar Farms southern line ,thence Holder Solar Farms southern line (DB. 713, Pg. 1744, PB. 5, Pg. 479) S 75 50'39" E 553.09' to a capped rebar 3" above ground,Redmond-Shand Properties northwest corner, thence the following three (3) courses with Redmond-Shand Properties (DB. 775, Pg. 1053 S 07 40'06" W 418.90' to a 1/2" rebar 4" below ground, thence S 76 15'06" E 85.36 to a 1/2" rebar flush, thence S 09 23'37" W crossing a 3/4" pipe 8" below ground, (Dunnagans northwest corner at 58.88 (DB. 497, Pg. 820) and the northwest corner of the existing King City Limits,thence continuing S 09 23'37"W 352.72' to the point of beginning containing 7.973 Ac. As per survey by Slate Surveying Co. P.A. dated October 20, 20265 oriented to NC Grid north.

The above property being described in DB. 726, Pg. 1454 of the Stokes County Registry and designated as parcel 24919 on the Stokes County Tax Maps.

J. Dean Slate PLS L-2818



Date: June 1, 2026

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

PUBLIC HEARING

PUBLIC HEARING - Voluntary Annexation Request by Celia Cruz & Jose Montoya

All persons who wish to speak MUST sign up for the Public Hearing. ALL SPEAKERS ARE LIMITED TO 3 MINUTES.

PLEASE PRINT ALL INFORMATION

[illegible]

BOARD: City Council
DATE: 6/1/2026
DOCUMENT #: 4 PAGES: 4

FILED Jun 08, 2026 02:11 pm
BOOK 00804
PAGE 0084 THRU 0086 STOKES COUNTY, NC
INST # 02968 BRANDON S. HOOKER
EXCISE TAX (None) REGISTER OF DEEDS

CITY OF KING
ORDINANCE NO. 2026-08
AN ORDINANCE TO EXTEND THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF THE
CITY OF KING, NORTH CAROLINA

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of King has been petitioned under G.S. 160A-58.1 to annex the non-contiguous area described herein; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of King has, by resolution, directed the city clerk to investigate the sufficiency of said petition(s) and by resolution set the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the city clerk has certified the sufficiency of said petition(s) under G.S. 160A-58.1, and a public hearing on the question of this annexation was held at the City Hall, 229 South Main Street, King, North Carolina, at six o'clock p.m. on the 1st day of June 2026; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of King hereby finds and determines that (i) the area described in the petition meets all of the standards set out in G.S. 160A-58.1(b), (ii) the petition bears the signatures of all of the owners of real property within the area proposed for annexation (except those not required to sign by G.S. 160A-58.1(a)), (iii) the petition is otherwise valid, and (iv) the public health, safety and welfare of the inhabitants of the city and of the area proposed for annexation will be best served by the annexation.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of King, North Carolina:

Section 1. By virtue of the authority granted by G.S. 160A-58.2 and all other applicable statutes, the following described non-contiguous property is hereby annexed and made part of the City of King as of the 1st day of June 2026.

(SEE ATTACHED BOUNDARY DESCRIPTIONS AND MAP)

(The tract being located at the end of Cattle Drive and including the R-O-W and being made up of 1 lot containing 8.611-acres and being listed on SCTM as 5991-37-9569)

Section 2. Upon and after the 1st day of June 2026, the described property shall be subject to all debts, laws, ordinances, and regulations in force in the City of King and shall be entitled to the same privileges and benefits as other parts of the City of King.

Section 3. The Mayor of the City of King shall cause to be recorded in the office of the Register of Deeds of Stokes County, and in the office of the Secretary of State at Raleigh, North Carolina, an accurate map of the annexed territory, described in Section 1 hereof, together with a duly certified copy of the ordinance, as provided by G.S. 160A-29. Such a map shall also be delivered to the County Board of Elections, as required by G.S. 163-288.1, and various other state and local departments.


Adopted this on the 1st day of June 2026.

ATTEST:

Nicole Branshaw
Nicole Branshaw, City Clerk

Richard E. McCraw
Richard E. McCraw, Mayor

City Seal:



SLATE SURVEYING COMPANY P.A

1944 Mountain View Road

King, NC 27021

(336) 983-9743

slatesc@aol.com

Mar. 03, 2026

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

Lying and being in the Yadkin Township of Stokes County, North Carolina. Bounded on the north by Holder Solar Farm, LLC and Iron Mule, LLC, on the east by Pathway Chapel Church of God. And J&E Properties of NC, LLC, on the south by YMV Group, LLC, Camel City Property Investments, and Donald Dunnagan Jr. on the west by Jose Luis Montoya Mendez and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning on a 3/8" pipe 6" above ground, said point being N 83 03' 29" W 326.95' from a 3/4" pipe flush, the southwest corner of J&E Properties of NC, LLC (DB. 792, Pg. 1019, PB. 22, Pg. 146 of the Stokes County Registry, said 3/8" pipe having NC grid coordinates of N = 917,573.95 E = 1,594,023.56 and in the northern R/W of Newsome Eoad, S.R. 1222 Thence, from said POINT OF BEGINNING, with the northern R/W of said road N 78 53' 34" W 50.38" to a 3/4" pipe flush, Camel City Property Investments southeast corner in said northern R/W thence, with the eastern line of Camel City Property Investments (DB. 408, Pg. 1133) the eastern line of the existing King City Limits, N 03 14' 01" E 456.13' to a 3/4" pipe flush, Camel Citys northeast corner, thence continuing with said Camel City on the existing City limit line N 79 56' 28" W ' 264.44' to a 1 & 1/2" pipe 30" above ground, thence with Dunnagan (DB. 497, Pg. 820) N 79 50' 52" W 106.38' to a 3/4" 8" below ground, Dunnagans northwest corner, thence with Mendez (DB. 726, Pg. 1454), the following three courses: N 09 23' 41" E 58.88' to a 1/2" rebar flush, thence, N 76 15' 06" W 85.36' to a 1/2" rebar 4: below ground, thence N 07 40' 06" E 418.90' to a capped rebar 3" above ground Mendez northeast corner in Holder Solar Farms southern line, thence with Holder Solar Farms southern line (DB. 713, Pg. 1744, PB. 5, Pg. 479) , S 75 09' 12" E 27.71' to a "T" post 35" above ground in a 2" PVC, Holder Solar Farms southeast corner, Iron Mule, LLC southwest corner, thence with Iron Mules southern line (DB. 554, Pg. 1240) '

1. S 78' 51' 16" E 752.15' to a 3/4" pipe flush in the approximate center of a 20' sewer easement (DB. 290, Pg. 286, PB. 5A, Pg. 24) Pathway Chapel Church of Gods northwest

corner in Iron Mules southern line, thence with said Pathway Chapels western line S 02 11'47" W 364.82' to a capped rebar 2" above ground, YMV Group, LLC northeast corner, thence with YMV groups northern line (DB 796, Pg. 1826, PB. 24, Pg. 70) N 86 51'21" W 264.39' to a capped rebar 3" above ground, thence continuing with YMV Group S 38 05'10" W 39.57' to a capped rebar in the R/W of the cul-de-sac of Cattle Drive, thence with the eastern R/W of Cattle Drive the following five (5) courses S 08 11'58" W 86.67' along the chord of a curve to the right Arc. Distance = 104.85' Radius = 50.00' to a capped rebar 1" above ground, thence along the arc of a curve to the left 23.12' Radius = 25.00' Chord Bearing of S 41 47'06" W 2.30' to a capped rebar 1" above ground, thence along the arc of a curve to the left 69.27. radius = 335.00' Chord Bearing and distance of S 09 09'03" W 69.15' to a capped rebar 2" above ground, thence S 02 55' 53" W 19.48' to a capped rebar flush, YMV Groups southwest J&E Properties of NC, LLC northwest corner, (DB. 792, Pg. 1019, PB. 22, Pg. 146) thence S 03 14'03" W 300.23' to the point of beginning containing a total of 8.611 acres as per a survey by Slate Surveying Co. P.A. dated October 5, 2023, oriented NC Grid.

The above property also being described in DB 775, page 1053 of the Stokes County Registry and designated as Parcel # 24912 on the Stokes County Tax Maps, and designated as lots 2 thru 5 on PB. 21, Pg. 182

J. Dean Slate PLS L-2818



Date: June 1, 2026

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

PUBLIC HEARING

PUBLIC HEARING - Voluntary Annexation Request by Carl & Kristine Mitchell.

All persons who wish to speak MUST sign up for the Public Hearing. ALL SPEAKERS ARE LIMITED TO 3 MINUTES.

PLEASE PRINT ALL INFORMATION

[illegible]

Date: June 1, 2026

**CITY OF KING
ORDINANCE NO. 2026-09**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CODIFIED ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF KING
BY AMENDING CHAPTER 32. – ZONING; ART. I. – GENERAL, SEC. 32-8. – DEFINITIONS; ARTICLE II.-
ADMINISTRATION & ENFORCEMENT, DIVISION 1. – GENERAL, ADD SECTION 32-50 SPECIAL USE
PERMITS; ARTICLE III. – ESTABLISHMENT OF DISTRICTS, SECTION 32-164; ARTICLE IV. – USES BY
ZONING DISTRICT, SEC. 32-206; ARTICLE V. – DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS, DIVISION 1. – GENERAL,
SEC. 32-245,246,247, AND 259.1 REGARDING SPECIAL USE PERMITS.**

WHEREAS, the City of King has the authority pursuant to Article 1 of Chapter 160D of the North Carolina General Statutes to adopt development regulations; and

WHEREAS, the City of King City Council may amend said regulations from time to time in the interest of the public health, safety, and welfare; and

WHEREAS, the King City Council initiated a recommendation to amend Chapters 32. - Zoning, Art. I. – In General, Sec. 32-8; Chapter 32. – Zoning, Art. II. – Administration & Enforcement, Division I. – General, Add Section 32-50. – Special Use Permits; Art. III. – Establishment of Districts, Section 32-164; Art. IV. – Uses by Zoning District, Sec. 32-206; Art. V. – Development Standards, Division 1. – General, Sec. 32-245,246,247, and 259.1 regarding special use permits to the City of King Code of Ordinances per the attached exhibits; and

WHEREAS, the City of King Planning Board reviewed these text amendments at its May 26, 2026, public meeting and voted unanimously to recommend the request to the city council due to meeting the NC General Statutes in the development of the City of King; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of King, after holding a public hearing on June 1, 2026, finds that the proposed text amendments is consistent with the City of King Land Use Comp Plan; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of King, after hearing all persons wishing to comment on the proposed text amendments, desires to approve the request and amend the City's code of ordinances accordingly.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of King, North Carolina:

Section 1. In accordance N.C.G.S. 160D-Article VI, as amended and King City Code, the city's code of ordinances is hereby amended to add the following proposed amendments as submitted or amended during the public hearing.

Add – See exhibit A


Section 2. The Ordinance shall become effective upon its adoption and approval.

Adopted and approved this the 1st day of June 2026

ATTEST:



Nicole Branshaw, City Clerk





Richard E. McCraw, Mayor

City of King

Amendments to Chapter 32 related to special use permits.

~~Strikethrough~~ = deletion.

Underline = insertion.

Footnotes are informational and not part of the amended ordinance text.

Sec. 32-8. – Definitions.

~~*Special use.* A development that would not be generally appropriate without restriction throughout the zoning district, but which, if controlled as to number, area, and location, in relation to the neighborhood, would promote the health, safety, morals, general welfare, order, comfort, convenience, appearance or prosperity. Such uses may be permitted in this chapter by the city council, board of adjustment or planning board as a special use.¹~~

Special use permit. A permit issued to authorize development or land use in a particular zoning district upon presentation of competent, material, and substantial evidence establishing compliance with one or more general standards requiring that judgment and discretion be exercised, as well as compliance with specific standards. The term includes permits previously referred to as conditional use permits or special exceptions.²

¹ With the exception of 32-196, the Ordinances do not refer to “special uses” apart from uses that require “special use permits.” The definition here is vague and could cause confusion. With the definition of “special use permit,” this extra definition is unnecessary. Section 196 will be amended to refer to special use permits.

² This amendment conforms to the definition of “special use permit” in N.C.G.S. § 160D-102(30).

Sec. 32-~~129~~ 50.³ Special use permits and Other Quasi-Judicial Proceedings.⁴

~~A special use permit shall be issued in when in certain zoning uses have unique characteristics and cannot be properly classified in any particular district, without consideration, of the impact of those uses upon neighboring land and of the particular use in the proposed location. This section governs all applications for special use permits. This section applies to Special Use Permits, Variances, and Other Quasi-judicial Proceedings. In this section, references to the board refer to the particular body hearing the quasi-judicial matter, whether governing board, planning board, or board of adjustment. shall follow the following quasi-judicial rules when issuing a special use permit:~~

- (a) *Process required.* Boards shall follow quasi-judicial procedures in determining appeals of administrative decisions, special use permits, certificates of appropriateness, variances, or any other quasi-judicial decision.
- (b) *Notice of hearing.* Notice of evidentiary hearings conducted pursuant to this chapter shall be mailed to the person or entity whose appeal, application, or request is the subject of the hearing; to the owner of the property that is the subject of the hearing if the owner did not initiate the hearing; to the owners of all parcels of land abutting the parcel of land that is the subject of the hearing; and to any other persons entitled to receive notice as provided by the local development regulation. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the local government may rely on the county tax listing to determine owners of property entitled to mailed notice. The notice must be deposited in the mail at least ten days, but not more than 25 days, prior to the date of the hearing. Within that same time period, the local government shall also prominently post a notice of the hearing on the site that is the subject of the hearing or on an adjacent street or highway right-of-way. The board may continue an evidentiary hearing that has been convened without further advertisement. If an evidentiary hearing is set for a given date and a quorum of the board is not then present, the hearing shall be continued until the next regular board meeting without further advertisement.
- (c) *Administrative materials.* The administrator or staff to the board shall transmit to the board all applications, reports, and written materials relevant to the matter being considered. The administrative materials may be distributed to the members of the board prior to the hearing if at the same time they are distributed to the board a copy is also provided to the appellant or applicant and to the landowner if that person is not the appellant or applicant. The administrative materials shall become a part of the hearing record. The administrative materials may be provided in written or electronic form. Objections to inclusion or exclusion of administrative materials may be made before or

³ Currently, this section is codified within the chapter division for the board of adjustment. However, special use permits, depending on the context, may be heard by the governing board or planning board. As such, it would be appropriate to relocate this section to "Division 1," which covers general matters. PLEASE NOTE that, if relocated, all cross references to 32-129— including in 32-127—should also be amended to cross reference the new code section.

⁴ Title is amended for accuracy.

during the hearing. Rulings on unresolved objections shall be made by the board at the hearing.

- (d) *Presentation of evidence.* The applicant, the local government, and any person who would have standing to appeal the decision under G.S. 160D-1402(c) shall have the right to participate as a party at the evidentiary hearing. Other witnesses may present competent, material, and substantial evidence that is not repetitive as allowed by the board.

Objections regarding jurisdictional and evidentiary issues, including, but not limited to, the timeliness of an appeal or the standing of a party, may be made to the board. The board chair shall rule on any objections, and the chair's rulings may be appealed to the full board. These rulings are also subject to judicial review pursuant to G.S. 160D-1402. Objections based on jurisdictional issues may be raised for the first time on judicial review.

- (e) *Appearance of official new issues.* The official who made the decision or the person currently occupying that position, if the decision maker is no longer employed by the local government, shall be present at the evidentiary hearing as a witness. The appellant shall not be limited at the hearing to matters stated in a notice of appeal. If any party or the local government would be unduly prejudiced by the presentation of matters not presented in the notice of appeal, the board shall continue the hearing.
- (f) *Oaths.* The chair of the board or any member acting as chair and the clerk to the board are authorized to administer oaths to witnesses in any matter coming before the board. Any person who, while under oath during a proceeding before the board determining a quasi-judicial matter, willfully swears falsely is guilty of a Class 1 misdemeanor.
- (g) *Subpoenas.* The board making a quasi-judicial decision under this chapter through the chair or, in the chair's absence, anyone acting as chair may subpoena witnesses and compel the production of evidence. To request issuance of a subpoena, the applicant, the local government, and any person with standing under G.S. 160D-1402(c) may make a written request to the chair explaining why it is necessary for certain witnesses or evidence to be compelled. The chair shall issue requested subpoenas he or she determines to be relevant, reasonable in nature and scope, and not oppressive. The chair shall rule on any motion to quash or modify a subpoena. Decisions regarding subpoenas made by the chair may be immediately appealed to the full board. If a person fails or refuses to obey a subpoena issued pursuant to this subsection, the board or the party seeking the subpoena may apply to the General Court of Justice for an order requiring that its subpoena be obeyed, and the court shall have jurisdiction to issue these orders after notice to all proper parties.
- (h) *Appeals in nature of certiorari.* When hearing an appeal pursuant to G.S. 160D-947(e) or any other appeal in the nature of certiorari, the hearing shall be based on the record below, and the scope of review shall be as provided in G.S. 160D-1402(j).
- (i) *Voting.* The concurring vote of four-fifths of the board shall be necessary to grant a variance. A majority of the members shall be required to decide any other quasi-judicial matter or to determine an appeal made in the nature of certiorari. For the purposes of this subsection, vacant positions on the board and members who are

disqualified from voting on a quasi-judicial matter under G.S. 160D-109(d) shall not be considered members of the board for calculation of the requisite majority if there are no qualified alternates available to take the place of such members.

(j) Required findings for special use permits.⁵ The board shall issue a special use permit only when the board makes an affirmative finding as follows:

- i. That the use will not materially endanger the public health or safety if located where proposed and developed according to the application and plan as submitted and approved;
- ii. That the use meets all required conditions and specifications;
- iii. That the use will not substantially injure the value of adjoining or abutting property,⁶ or that the use is a public necessity; and
- iv. That the location and character of the use, if developed according to the application and plan submitted and approved, will be in harmony with the area in which it is to be located⁷ and in general conformity with the comprehensive plan.

(k) Additional conditions for special use permits. In approving an application for the issuance of a special use permit, the board may, as part of its approval, require the following conditions to reduce impacts associated with the project:⁸

- i. Public right-of-way dedication to meet projected needs for roads shown on King's Transportation Plan, the Winston-Salem Area Transportation Planning Organization Comprehensive Transportation Plan, or for other roads as determined by the City of King or North Carolina Department of Transportation.
- ii. Road and/or sidewalk improvements as recommended by the City of King or North Carolina Department of Transportation.
- iii. Access control, including the location, number, and dimensions of driveways; and combining driveways with and providing connections to

⁵ These derive from a line of North Carolina Court of Appeals decisions finding them to be sufficiently certain—i.e., not discretionary—and have been codified nearly verbatim by most NC local governments.

⁶ Note that N.C.G.S. § 160D-1402(j)(3) provides that testimony of lay witnesses on whether a use affects the value of property is not competent evidence for this purpose.

⁷ An ordinance's inclusion of a use as permitted with a special use permit establishes a rebuttable presumption that the use is compatible with the surrounding area.

⁸ These are nearly the same as the permitted additional conditions set forth in Winston-Salem's ordinance. SUPs cannot be subject to conditions that the city does not have statutory authority to regulate or that courts have held to be unenforceable if imposed as a direct regulation. Any condition imposed must be related to the standards set forth in the applicable development ordinance and supported by adequate evidence in the record.

adjacent properties, as recommended by Planning staff or other appropriate agencies.

- iv. Reorientation of parking areas or building access to ensure on-site traffic flow and pedestrian safety.
- v. Dedication or granting of easements for greenways identified on the Recreation Master Plan.
- vi. Screening and location of dumpsters, loading areas, on-site utilities, or other visually obtrusive features.
- vii. Stormwater management plans as recommended by Planning staff and appropriate agencies.
- viii. Location and screening of improvements or activities which may generate substantial noise.
- ix. Compliance with recommendations of the city or State of North Carolina or other governmental departments reviewing the projects.
- x. Compliance with all applicable conditions previously approved for the property in question.
- i.xi. Water and sewer service, if necessary for the development project, are available in adequate capacity.

(lj) *Decisions.* The board shall determine contested facts and make its decision within a reasonable time. When hearing an appeal, the board may reverse or affirm, wholly or partly, or may modify the decision appealed from and shall make any order, requirement, decision, or determination that ought to be made. The board shall have all the powers of the official who made the decision. Every quasi-judicial decision shall be based upon competent, material, and substantial evidence in the record. Each quasi-judicial decision shall be reduced to writing, reflect the board's determination of contested facts and their application to the applicable standards, and be approved by the board and signed by the chair or other duly authorized member of the board. A quasi-judicial decision is effective upon filing the written decision with the clerk to the board or such other office or official as the development regulation specifies. The decision of the board shall be delivered within a reasonable time by personal delivery, electronic mail, or first-class mail to the applicant, landowner, and any person who has submitted a written request for a copy prior to the date the decision becomes effective. The person required to provide notice shall certify to the local government that proper notice has been made, and the certificate shall be deemed conclusive in the absence of fraud.

(mk) *Judicial review.* Every quasi-judicial decision shall be subject to review by the superior court by proceedings in the nature of certiorari pursuant to G.S. 160D-1402. Appeals shall be filed within the times specified in G.S. 160D-1405(d).

Sec. 32-164. Conditional zoning districts.⁹

- (a) Conditional zoning districts (CZ) run parallel to each of the conventional zoning districts and are subject to the same standards applicable to the parallel district including overlay district regulations, as modified by the approved district-specific plans and conditions. Conditional zoning is established to provide for flexibility in the development of property while ensuring that the development is compatible with neighboring uses. Conditional zoning allows for a degree of certainty in land use decisions not possible in conventional districts. The following provision shall apply when using conditional zoning:
- (1) The petition is proposed or agreed to by all the owner(s) of the subject land. Specific conditions may be proposed by the petitioner or the local government or its agencies, but only those conditions approved by the local government and consented to by the petitioner in writing may be incorporated into the zoning regulations. Unless consented to by the petitioner in writing, in the exercise of the authority granted by this section, a local government may not require, enforce, or incorporate into the zoning regulations any condition or requirement not authorized by otherwise applicable law, including, without limitation, taxes, impact fees, building design elements within the scope of G.S. 160D-702(b), driveway-related improvements in excess of those allowed in G.S. 136-18(29) and G.S. 160A-307, or other unauthorized limitations on the development or use of land.
 - (2) The petition shall incorporate any proposed modifications to use, intensity, or development standards applicable in the parallel conventional use district. Conditions and site-specific standards imposed in a conditional district shall be limited to those that address the conformance of the development and use of the site to local government ordinances, plans adopted pursuant to G.S. 160D-5-1, or the impacts reasonably expected to be generated by the development or use of the site. The zoning regulation may provide that defined minor modifications in conditional district standards that do not involve a change in uses permitted or the density of overall development permitted may be reviewed and approved administratively. Any other modification of the conditions and standards in a conditional district shall follow the same process for approval as are applicable to zoning map amendments. If multiple parcels of land are subject to a conditional zoning, the owners of individual parcels may apply for modification of the conditions so long as the modification would not result in other properties failing to meet the terms of the conditions. Any modifications approved apply only to those properties whose owners petition for the modification.
 - a. *Limitations.* For parcels where multifamily structures are an allowable use, a local government may not impose a harmony requirement for permit approval if the development contains affordable housing units for families or individuals with incomes below 80 percent of the area median income.

⁹ Amending all references to “conditional use” to refer instead to “conditional zoning,” consistent with the apparent intention behind the last revision of this section and in accord with N.C.G.S. 160D-703.

- (3) Proposed development plans shall be prepared by a licensed design professional and shall be prepared in accordance with ordinance, comprehensive or land-use plan, city design policies and state laws.
 - (4) When adopting or rejecting any zoning amendment, the governing board shall also approve a statement describing whether its action is consistent with and an adopted comprehensive or land-use plan and any such agreed upon conditions and that by approval they will or will not be in promoting the public health, safety, and general welfare of its citizens. Any violations of a provisions of a conditional zoning ordinance amendment shall be treated the same as any other violation of this chapter and be subject to any penalties as set forth in section 32-47.
- (b) *Application procedures.* All applicants are required to complete a request for a public hearing form to initiate the rezoning process. Once completed and submitted by the stated deadline, the governing board will review the request and determine the following:
- (1) The completion of the appropriate forms;
 - (2) Determine if the petitioner needs to hold a neighborhood meeting. This meeting will be with representatives of the petitioner and the surrounding property owners at a designated time and place prior to the public hearing and any government review. The petitioner may use a third party to facilitate the meeting or conduct the meeting themselves to gather information and any concerns the surrounding neighbors may have regarding the proposed project and;
 - (3) Schedule the public hearing date and also affix a date for planning board review prior to the public hearing.
- (c) *Review by the governing board.* After receiving the planning board's recommendation, the governing board shall hold the public hearing per the requirements of section 32-72. After the public hearing the board may approve, approve with conditions that are agreed upon, or deny the request. Applicant shall be notified in writing of the governing board's decision. Decisions denied may reapply per section 32-75.

These conditional ~~use-zoning~~ districts are listed below:

CZ R-R rural residential.

CZ R-20 residential - low density.

CZ R-15 residential - medium density.

CZ R-MF-A residential - multifamily - apartments.

CZ R-MF-C residential - multifamily - condominiums.

CZ R-MF-T residential - multifamily - townhouses.

CZ R-MH residential - manufactured home park.

CZ R-MH-1 residential - single-wide manufactured home.

CZ R-MH-2 residential - double-wide manufactured home.

CZ O-I office - institutional.

CZ B-1 business - old business district.

CZ B-2 general business.

CZ PD-RC planned development - retail center.

CZ L-I light industrial.

CZ H-I heavy industrial.

Sec. 32-196. - Key to district uses.

The following key shall be utilized in this article to indicate permitted, conditional, special exception and prohibited uses:

x—represents permitted uses

s—use requires a special use permit from ~~approved by either~~ the planning board (PB), board of adjustment (BOA), or governing board (GB), as specified.

p—prohibited uses in all zoning districts

The city has an inclusive ordinance as far as uses listed in section 198-207, in that if the use is not a listed use in the tables but is very similar to a like use listed then it would be an allowed use. Uses similar to but have unique qualities may need to be addressed as a text amendment subject to the governing board's approval.

Sec. 32-206. Residential.

*The following text of the table is amended as follows:*¹⁰

Dwelling units, multifamily (two or more buildings on a single lot), provided they comply with [section 32-245](#), [section 32-246](#), and [section 32-247](#). This would apply to tracks that are currently zoned R-MF, R-MF-A, R-MF-C, and R-MF-T. Tracts that are rezoned by conditional zoning will not need to get a special use permit. The conditional ~~use~~ ~~rezoning~~~~zoning~~ ~~permit~~ approval will act as approval of the project.

¹⁰ This amendment makes this text internally consistent, referring to conditional zoning.

Sec. 32-245. Residential multifamily apartments (R-MF-A).

Only subsection (j) is amended as follows:

- (j) Streets. Streets shall either be public or private. However, all streets shall be paved and built to the minimum construction standards of the state department of transportation, division of highways, or the city street standards, whichever is more stringent. When streets are private, a covenant shall be agreed upon between the city and the developer/owner of the project for the maintenance of streets and parking areas within the project. A copy of this proposed covenant shall be submitted to the city for review during the conditional zoning or special use permit review phase and shall be submitted to the City Planner at least 10 days before the Planning Board meeting for conditional zoning or the quasi-judicial hearing for special use permit~~conditional use review phase.~~¹¹

¹¹ Again, amending the abrogated reference to “conditional use.”

Sec. 32-246. Residential multifamily condominiums (R-MF-C).

Only subsection (j) is amended as follows:

- (j) Streets. Streets shall either be public or private. However, all streets shall be paved and built to the minimum construction standards of the state department of transportation, division of highways, or the city street standards, whichever is more stringent. When streets are private, a covenant shall be agreed upon between the city and the developer/owner of the project for the maintenance of streets and parking areas within the project. A copy of this proposed covenant shall be submitted to the city for review during the conditional zoning or special use permit review phase and shall be submitted to the City Planner at least 10 days before the Planning Board meeting for conditional zoning or the quasi-judicial hearing for special use permit~~conditional use review phase~~.

Sec. 32-247. Residential multifamily townhouses (R-MF-T).

Only subsection (j) is amended as follows:

- (j) Streets. Streets shall either be public or private. However, all streets shall be paved and built to the minimum construction standards of the state department of transportation, division of highways, or the city street standards, whichever is more stringent. When streets are private, a covenant shall be agreed upon between the city and the developer/owner of the project for the maintenance of streets and parking areas within the project. A copy of this proposed covenant shall be submitted to the city for review during the conditional zoning or special use permit review phase and shall be submitted to the City Planner at least 10 days before the Planning Board meeting for conditional zoning or the quasi-judicial hearing for special use permit~~conditional use review phase~~.

Sec. 32-259. - Buffering and screening requirements.

Only subsection (e)(1) is amended as follows:

- (e) *Responsibility of requirements.* All of the required buffer/screening shall be the responsibility of the developer and/or owner of the land. Buffers/screening shall be installed per the following guidelines:
- (1) Buffers/screening shall be installed per the governing body's requirements as approved during the conditional zoning or special use permit approval process or in their entirety prior to a certificate of occupancy being issued.

Table 32-259.1 – Level of Buffering by Zoning District*

Only the asterisked footnote is amended as follows:

* Also includes all conditional ~~use~~-zoning districts.

FOSS Mutts and Movie Night

Back to School Bash

Proposed Date: 8/7/2026 - 6:30-10:30pm at King Central Park

Agenda:

- Set up at 6:30pm
- Doors Open at 7:30pm
- Movie Starts at 8:15pm
- Movie Ends at 9:45pm
- Clean Up by 10:30pm

Overview:

Each year the Friends of Stokes Shelter offers a Back to School Bash for local residents. Historically this event has taken place at the Friends of Stokes Shelter Adoption Center in Walnut Cove. Local families would stop by to get a bit of food, a backpack and school supplies, and tour the facilities. This year, we would like to expand the Back to School Bash program by pairing it with a movie night at King Central Park.

We would offer food, have school supplies available for pick up, invite a few other rescues to table and share information about what they do, and have a few dogs that are available for adoption there to great folks. We would then start the movie around sunset.

Movie: Currently working to secure a license to show a modern movie; however, the movie we would like to show is the Secret Life of Pets (rated PG).

Activities:

- Backpack and school supplies available for students
- 4-5 local rescue groups tabling with information about what they do
- 3-4 adoptable dogs from FOSS to great attendees
- Lawn games
- Movie presented using FOSS sound system, blow-up screen, and projector

Reservations Needed:

- King Central Park Amphitheatre
- Large Picnic Shelter near the Amphitheatre and Grill
- Grassy area in front of Amphitheatre

Food:

- Hot dogs, buns, and condiments
- Chips, Popcorn, Cotton Candy, and Cupcakes
- Water and soda

CITY OF KING
BUDGET AMENDMENT 2025-06.08

Be it hereby ordained by the City Council of the City of King that the following amendment be made to the Budget Ordinance adopted on the 4th day of June 2025, as follows:

Section 1. To amend the General Fund, the expenditures are to be changed as follows:

<u>General Fund Expenditures</u>	<u>Decrease</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>New Approp.</u>
Governing Body		15,000	175,510
Police		26,682	4,321,055
Solid Waste		5,000	804,175
Total	0	46,682	

This will result in an increase in the expenditures of the General Fund. The above changes in expenditures will require no adjustment to revenues.

<u>General Fund Revenues</u>	<u>Decrease</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>New Approp.</u>
GF Revenues		12,620	12,481,089
GF Fund Balance Appropriated		34,062	166,056
Total	0	46,682	

Section 2. To amend the Enterprise Fund, the expenditures are to be changed as follows:

<u>Enterprise Fund Expenditures</u>	<u>Decrease</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>New Approp.</u>
Governing Body		27,000	118,867
Total	0	27,000	

* This will result in an increase in the expenditures of the Enterprise Fund. The above changes in expenditures will require an adjustment to revenues as follows:

<u>Enterprise Fund Revenues</u>	<u>Decrease</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>New Approp.</u>
EF Revenues		27,000	8,187,163
EF Fund Balance Appropriated			0
Total	0	27,000	

Section 2. Copies of the budget amendment shall be furnished by the City Clerk to the City Council to the Mayor, Budget Officer and Finance Director for their directions.

Adopted this the 1st day of June, 2026.

Attest:

Nicole Branshaw
Nicole Branshaw, City Clerk



Richard E. McCraw
Richard E. McCraw, Mayor

General Fund

	<u>Expenditure</u>	<u>Revenue</u>
Governing Body Legal fees	15,000	anticipated expenditure overrun
PD Leased Equipment	4,000	unbudgeted expenditure
PD DCI hosting fee	1,000	unbudgeted expenditure
PD Toughbook air cards	9,000	unbudgeted expenditure
PD FMRT new hires	1,820	unanticipated expenditure
PD required electrical plans for generator	3,500	unanticipated expenditure
PD ABC Alcohol Education	7,362	based on actual and estimated revenue
Solid Waste garbage collection	5,000	potential expenditure overrun
PD ABC Alcohol Education Revenue		7,362 actual 3rd quarter and estimated 4th quarter
PD ABC General Revenue		5,258 actual 3rd quarter and estimated 4th quarter
Fund Balance Appropriated		34,082

Enterprise Fund

	<u>Expense</u>	<u>Revenue</u>
Governing Body Legal fees	27,000	anticipated expense overrun
EF Interest Earnings		27,000 revenue overrun

BOARD: City Council
DATE: 6/1/2026
DOCUMENT #: 8 PAGES: 8

FILED Jun 08, 2026 02:13 pm
BOOK 00804
PAGE 0090 THRU 0097 STOKES COUNTY, NC
INST # 02972 BRANDON S. HOOKER
EXCISE TAX (None) REGISTER OF DEEDS

AFTER RECORDING RETURN TO:
Bell Davis & Pitt, P.A., Attention: Bradley C. Friesen
PO Box 21029, Winston-Salem, NC 27120-1029

**CITY OF KING
ORDINANCE NO. 2024-05
AN ORDINANCE TO EXTEND THE CORPORATE LIMITS
OF THE CITY OF KING, NORTH CAROLINA**

This ordinance was originally recorded as Ordinance 2024-04 at Book 776, Page 404, Stokes County Registry.

This ordinance is being re-recorded to (1) designate the correct ordinance number as Ordinance 2024-05; (2) correct the incorrect date references from July 3, 2024, to June 3, 2024; and (3) attach the inadvertently omitted boundary description.



City of King

Resolution 2026-15

Resolution assigning a new ordinance number for previously-adopted Ordinance to Extend the Corporate Limits of the City of King, North Carolina, dated June 3, 2024, and originally numbered 2024-04 (the King's Cross Properties, LLC voluntary annexation request of June 3, 2024), to correct typos, and to re-record with the inadvertently omitted boundary description

Whereas, on June 3, 2024, the City Council duly adopted and enacted An Ordinance to Extend the Corporate Limits of the City of King, North Carolina, annexing a tract located on Moore Road owned by King's Cross Properties, LLC (the "King's Cross Annexation Ordinance"), a copy of which is attached to this Resolution as Exhibit A;

Whereas, when adopted on June 3, 2024, the King's Cross Annexation Ordinance was incorrectly designated as Ordinance No. 2024-04 and should have been designated as Ordinance No. 2024-05;

Whereas, the copy of the King's Cross Annexation Ordinance that was recorded on June 4, 2024, at Book 776, Page 404, Stokes County Registry, incorrectly states that the King's Cross Annexation Ordinance was adopted and effective as of *July* 3, 2024, instead of the correct date of *June* 3, 2024;

Whereas, the boundary description which is attached to this Resolution as Exhibit B (the "Boundary Description") was included as an exhibit to the approved minutes from the June 3, 2024, King City Council Meeting;

Whereas, the Boundary Description was inadvertently omitted from the copy of the King's Cross Annexation Ordinance that was recorded on June 4, 2024, at Book 776, Page 404, Stokes County Registry; and

Whereas, to avoid confusion, the City Council finds it prudent to (1) designate the King's Crossing Annexation Ordinance as Ordinance 2024-05; (2) correct the incorrect date references in the King's Crossing Annexation Ordinance from July 3, 2024, to June 3, 2024, without altering or amending its text or effective date; and (3) record this Resolution and re-record the corrected and re-numbered King's Cross Annexation Ordinance with the Boundary Description;

Now, therefore, be it resolved by the City Council of the City of King, North Carolina that:

Section 1. The ordinance number for the King's Cross Annexation Ordinance, which is attached to this Resolution as Exhibit A, and which was initially designated

as Ordinance Number 2024-04, shall now be designated as Ordinance No. 2024-05. The Clerk of the City of King shall file a copy of this Resolution with the original King's Cross Annexation Ordinance.

Section 2. The Mayor shall execute an additional copy of the King's Cross Annexation Ordinance bearing Ordinance No. 2024-05, with the dates corrected from July 3, 2024, to June 3, 2024, which shall be stated within the re-executed copy as "June 3, 2024 (corrected)," and which the Clerk shall file with a copy of this Resolution. The King's Cross Annexation Ordinance bearing Ordinance No. 2024-05 shall be recorded and filed with the Boundary Description as set forth in G.S. 160A-29. To the extent necessary, the Clerk is authorized to record an affidavit of correction to correct the date from July 3, 2024, to June 3, 2024, the resolution number, as set forth herein, and the omitted boundary description on the copy of the King's Cross Annexation Ordinance recorded at Book 776, Page 404, Stokes County Registry.

{seal}



Richard E. McCraw, Mayor

ATTEST:

Nicole Branshaw, City Clerk

Exhibit A

**CITY OF KING
ORDINANCE NO. 2024-04
AN ORDINANCE TO EXTEND THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF THE
CITY OF KING, NORTH CAROLINA**

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of King has been petitioned under G.S. 160A-31, as amended, to annex the areas described herein; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of King has by resolution directed the City Clerk to investigate the sufficiency of said petition(s); and

WHEREAS, the City Clerk has certified the sufficiency of said petition(s), and a public hearing on the question of this annexation(s) was held at the City Hall, 229 South Main Street, King, North Carolina, at six o'clock p.m. on the 3rd day of July 2023; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of King does hereby find as a fact that said petition(s) meets the requirements of G.S. 160A-31, as amended;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of King, North Carolina:

Section 1. By virtue of the authority granted by G.S. 160A-31, as amended, the following described properties are hereby annexed and made part of the City of King as of the 3rd day of July 2023.


(SEE ATTACHED BOUNDARY DESCRIPTIONS)
(Tract Located on Moore Road owned by King's Cross Properties LLC)

Section 2. Upon and after the 3rd day of July 2024, the described territories and its citizens and property shall be subject to all debts, laws, ordinances, and regulations in force in the City of King and shall be entitled to the same privileges and benefits as other parts of the City of King. Said territories shall be subject to municipal taxes according to G.S. 160A-58.10.

Section 3. The Mayor of the City of King shall cause to be recorded in the office of the Register of Deeds of Forsyth County, and in the office of the Secretary of State at Raleigh, North Carolina, an accurate map of the annexed territory, described in Section 1, hereof, together with a duly certified copy of the Ordinance. Such a map shall also be delivered to the County Board of Elections, as required by G.S. 160A-288.1.

Adopted this the 3rd day of July 2024.

ATTEST:



Nicole Branshaw, City Clerk





Richard E. McCraw, Mayor

Exhibit B

SLATE SURVEYING COMPANY P.A

1944 Mountain View Road

King, N.C. 27021

(336)983-9743

slatesc@aol.com

Apr. 18, 2024

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION


Lying and being in the Yadkin Township of Stokes County and in the City of King, North Carolina bounded on the north by Matthew Smith, Rickie Smith, Kathleen O'Connell and the Yadkin Valley Railroad, on the East by Moore Road, on the south by Kings Cross Properties, on the west by Kings Cross Properties and Matthew Smith and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning on a point in the eastern R/W of Moore Road, said point being the following two (2) courses from a bent $\frac{3}{4}$ " pipe, The northwest corner of Wake Forest University DB. 490, Pg. 1721, N 55 49'10" E 340.99' to a $\frac{3}{4}$ " pipe (flush) the northeast corner of Wake Forest University, thence N 11 23'26" W 155.19' to the point of beginning, said having NC State Plane coordinates of N 921038.92, E 1606637.18, said point being the southeast corner of the existing King City Limits, thence from said POINT OF BEGINNING with the northern line of the existing city limits the following Ten (10) courses, S 54 03'26" W 282.97' to a point, thence along the arc of a curve to the right S 53 36'44" W 23.52' to a point, said curve have a radius of 1511.50' an arc length of 23.52' and a delta angle of 0 53'30", thence S 58 26'21" W 50.00' to a point, thence along the arc of a curve to the left S 31 58'29" E 16.98' to a point said curve having a radius of 1175.00' an arc length of 16.98' and a delta angle of 0 49'41", thence along the arc of a curve to the right S 11 15'40" W 17.95' to a point, said curve having a radius of 13.00', an arc length of 19.81' and a delta angle of 87 19'16", thence S 54 54'38" W 83.96' to a point, thence along the arc of a curve to the right S 61 05'15" W 164.95' to a point, said curve having a radius of 766.50', an arc length of 165.27' and a delta angle of 12 21'14", thence S 67 15'53" W 263.43' to a point, thence along the arc of a curve to the left S 66 34'41" W 18.17' to a point, said curve having a radius of 725.00', an arc length of 18.17' and a delta angle of 1 26'10", thence S 23 02'01" E 50.01' to a point, thence S 58 32'44" W 159.61' to a point in a creek, thence N 04 40'31" W 73.50' to a point in a creek, thence N 31 57'53" W 85.10' to a point in a creek at the intersection of southern R/W of KINGSCOTE WAY, (a 55' Public R/W) thence following said R/W the following three (3) courses N 87 29'25" W 557.57' to a point, thence along the arc of a curve to the left S 83 17'53" W 83.83' to a point, said curve having a radius of 269.67' an arc length of 84.17' and a delta angle of 17 53'03", thence S 70 35'26" W 189.82' to a 5/8" rebar, thence S 19 30'51" E 220.00' to a 5/8" rebar, thence S 70 35'26" W 225.00' to a 5/8" rebar, thence S 83 00'50" W 138.95' to a 5/8" rebar,

thence S 64°34'22" W 83.84' to a 5/8" rebar, thence S 19°00'04" W 84.94' to a 5/8" rebar, thence S 25°21'42" W 72.13' to a 5/8" rebar, thence N 69°45'12" W 170.84' to a 5/8" rebar in the southeastern R/W line of Kingscote Way, thence crossing said R/W N 12°36'52" E 192.10' to a 5/8" rebar in the northwestern R/W line of said street, thence leaving said R/W N 52°06'10" W 220.44' to a 5/8" rebar in Matthew Smiths eastern line, thence with said Smith N 00°32'00" E 231.50' to a 5/8" rebar, thence continuing with Smith N 81°20'14" E 322.51' to a ¾" pipe, thence continuing with Smith and O'Connell S 87°48'26" E 1056.36' to a point, said point being S 41°28'06" E 28.10' from a ¾" pipe, O'Connells and the Catholic Church corner, thence continuing with O'Connell N 04°09'59" E 1400.09' to a point in the southern R/W of the Yadkin Valley Railroad, thence along the southern R/W of said Railroad the following three (3) courses S 82°32'45" E 170.49' to a point, thence along a curve to the right S 74°58'48" E 505.46' to a point, said curve having a radius of 1919.42', an arc length of 506.93' and a delta angle of 15°07'56", thence continuing along said R/W S 63°24'20" E crossing Moore Road 316.24' to a point in the eastern R/W of said road, thence with said R/W along

the arc of a curve to the left S 02°18'54" E 735.85' to the point of beginning, said curve having a radius of 794.42' an arc length of 765.07' and a delta angle of 55°10'45" and containing a total of 37.464 Ac. As per survey by Slate Surveying Co. P.A. dated April 17, 2024, oriented to NC GRID.

This property is a portion of that property as described in DB. 691, Pg. 126 of the Stokes County Registry and designated as Parcel # 17400 & 34263 on the Stokes County Tax Maps.


J. Dean Slate PLS L-2818



Stokes Municipal Alcohol Control Board

Established February 2024

521 North Main Street

P.O.Box 511

Walnut Cove, NC 27052

336-591-7447 (Business)

336-591-5159 (Fax)

walnutcoveabcchairman@gmail.com

Purpose: *The Stokes Municipal Alcoholic Beverage Control Board manages the sale of spirituous liquor through business principles that promote excellence in customer service; establishes effective alcohol education partnerships; administers regulatory provisions; and instills financial accountabilities that benefit those we serve.*

June 1, 2026

Scott Barrow
City Manager
City of King, NC 27021

Mr. Barrow,

I am requesting the following items be added to the June agenda for the ABC Board:

1. The Board is requesting Mr. Jason Carter to be re-appointed to the ABC board. This appointment should be a two-year term.

Thanks,

Brian

*Tanner Hairford Brian Booe, Chairman Supervisor/General Manager Keith Morgan,
Board Member Christine Boles, Board Member
Mark Saunders, Board Member
Jason Carter, Board Member*