

SYSTEMIC RACISM REVIEW COMMITTEE WORKSESSION AGENDA

August 29, 2023 at 12:00 PM

Zoom Webinar

https://juneau.zoom.us/j/92303909454 or: 1-253-215-8782 Webinar ID: 923 0390 9454

A. CALL TO ORDER

B. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge that the City and Borough of Juneau is on Tlingit land, and wish to honor the people of this land, the Auk Kwaan and Taku Kwaan. For more than ten thousand years, Alaska Native people have been and continue to be integral to the well-being of our community. We are grateful to be in this place, a part of this community, and to honor the culture, traditions, and resilience of the Tlingit people. Gunalchéesh!

- C. ROLL CALL
- D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- E. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
 - 1. 2023-08-22 Systemic Racism Review Committee Minutes Draft

F. AGENDA TOPICS

2. Revised SRRC Checklist

Revised Checklist for Legislation Review (w/ changes highlighed in RED)

Original Checklist as Comparison

The committee will need to decide if creating a checklist as an additional tool for reviewing and making recommendations on the deeper topic(s) they will be looking at will be helpful and if so, begin to create that checklist.

3. State & National Resources Related to Systemic Racism

First Alaskans Institute website resources page

Advancing Native Dialogues on Racial Equity (ANDORE)

National Association of Social Workers (NASW) Alaska Chapter resources page

Local & Regional Government Alliance Race & Equity - website resources page

Racial Equity Tools - website

National Justice Juvenile Network - <u>training/resources webpage</u> <u>PDF on Anti-Racism Organizational</u>
<u>Assessments</u>

Combating Racism Through Research, Training, Practice, and Public Health Policies - CDC Article

US Census Bureau - Alaska Profile

Race Forward website resources/toolkits

4. Outlining Next Steps & Choosing Topic(s) of Interest for SRRC to Start to Review

Links and helpful resources to CBJ webpages the SRRC has expressed interest in taking a deeper look at:

CBJ Budget Webpage

CBJ Municipal Elections Webpage

Juneau Police Dept. Webpage and Policies

Community Development Dept./Planning Commission <u>Webpage</u> with links to various CBJ <u>Plans/Studies</u> & other helpful resources

Engineering/Public Works Dept. Webpage another helpful resource

CBJ Lands Division Webpage

CBJ Home Rule Charter

CBJ Code of Ordinances and Resolutions

G. STAFF REPORTS

H. COMMITTEE MEMBER / LIAISON COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

I. NEXT MEETING DATE

Committee to determine worksession dates for September/October

September 12, 2023 @ 12pm via Zoom - Regular SRRC Meeting

October 24, 2023 @ 12pm via Zoom - Regular SRRC Meeting

J. ADJOURNMENT

ADA accommodations available upon request: Please contact the Clerk's office 36 hours prior to any meeting so arrangements can be made for closed captioning or sign language interpreter services depending on the meeting format. The Clerk's office telephone number is 586-5278, TDD 586-5351, e-mail: city.clerk@juneau.gov.

SYSTEMIC RACISM REVIEW COMMITTEE MINUTES



August 22, 2023 at 12:00 PM

Zoom Webinar

https://juneau.zoom.us/j/92303909454 or: 1-253-215-8782 Webinar ID: 923 0390 9454

A. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Lee called the Systemic Racism Review Committee to order at 12:01 p.m.

B. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge that the City and Borough of Juneau is on Tlingit land, and wish to honor the people of this land, the Auk Kwáan and Taku Kwáan. For more than ten thousand years, Alaska Native people have been and continue to be integral to the well-being of our community. We are grateful to be in this place, a part of this community, and to honor the culture, traditions, and resilience of the Tlingit people. Gunalchéesh!

C. ROLL CALL

Members Present: Chair Grace Lee, Kelli Patterson, Ivan Nance, AnaVera Morato and Jennifer Pemberton

Members Absent: Ephraim Froehlich, Gail Cheney

Staff/Others: Robert Barr, Di Cathcart, Nicole Lynch and Assemblymember 'Wáahlaal Gíidaak

D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Agenda approved as presented.

E. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Minutes approved as presented.

1. 2023-07-11 Systemic Racism Review Committee Minutes - Draft

F. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

None

G. INTRODUCTION OF NEW SRRC MEMBERS

2. Welcome New SRRC Members

Jennifer Pemberton and AnaVera Morato

Committee members welcomed Ms. Pemberton and Ms. Morato to the committee and how great it is to have them as part of the SRRC. They both recognize faces of fellow committee members and Assemblymember "Wáahlaal Gíidaak having worked or socialized with them in other capacities. Ms. Morato and Ms. Pemberton noted they look forward to bringing their knowledge and work to the committee; and look forward to future discussions.

H. CONSENT AGENDA

The following ordinances were up for introduction on the consent agenda at the August 21, 2023 Regular Assembly Meeting. A blank SRRC checklist is included in the packet if an ordinance is pulled for further discussion and committee members want to utilize that tool. Legislation and materials associated with the legislation are located in the Assembly packet: https://juneau-ak.municodemeetings.com/

Recommended Motions:

"I move to approve the consent agenda as presented and ask for unanimous consent" OR "I move to approve the consent agenda as amended and ask for unanimous consent": [use this motion when items are pulled off consent for further discussion]

3. Legislation for Review by SRRC

Ordinance 2022-07(b)(B) An Ordinance Appropriating \$3,680,701 from the Central Treasury for FY23 School District Operations; Funding Provided by State Revenue and Private Grants. Supplemental Information Sheet

Ordinance 2023-14(b)(K) An Ordinance Appropriating \$600,000 to the Manager for the Gate K Culvert Reconstruction Capital Improvement Project; Funding Provided by Airport Funds.

Mr. Barr read the ordinances into the record and gave a summary of each piece of legislation. Chair Lee pulled Ordinance 2022-07(b)(B) for further discussion per Ms. Pemberton's questions related to the ordinance.

<u>MOTION</u>: by Ms. Patterson to approve the consent agenda as amended and asked for unanimous consent. *Hearing no objection, motion passed.*

I. LEGISLATION PULLED FOR DISCUSSION

Legislation pulled from the consent agenda during the meeting for further discussion, questions or to walk through the SRRC checklist on a particular piece of legislation.

Sample Motions:

"I move to forward Ordinance xxxx-xx to the full Assembly as presented and ask for unanimous consent" OR "I move the SRRC recommend to the Assembly it {fill in the recommendation} prior to taking action on proposed legislation."

Ms. Pemberton asked why the funding applies to last and if any of the funds listed in the school district ordinance are affected by the recent letter from the State of AK Dept. of Education & Early Development regarding outside the cap funding. Mr. Barr said the first part of Ms. Pemberton's question is the same one he has and doesn't have an answer for that yet but assumed these are going into school district revenue funds that will roll over into the current fiscal year. Mr. Barr expected that the school district would answer that question at the September 6th Finance Committee meeting. Regarding the second part of the question, Mr. Barr those funds wouldn't generally be subject to the cap because they are primarily private grants and the one State bit of funding listed is for summer school which he thought is an outside the cap expenditure and this too will be part of the September 6th Assembly Finance Committee meeting.

MOTION: by Ms. Patterson to forward Ordinance 2023-07(b)(B) to the full Assembly as presented and ask for unanimous consent. *Hearing no objection, motion passed.*

J. AGENDA TOPICS

Discussion and decision on which topic the SRRC should start to review.

4. Revised SRRC Checklist

The committee began to review the draft checklist. At the next worksession the committee will review and finalize the checklist and decide on creating a new checklist specific to a policy or topic. Chair Lee noted the Assembly may ask us to delve into some specific topics. Ms. Patterson recommended the first topic not be the budget, it would be a lot, and would be better to look at a singular topic, single focus. Chair Lee recommended committee members bring one or two areas of discussion they wish to talk about at the next worksession.

K. STAFF REPORTS

Mr. Barr offered to meet with new members or anyone to sit and discuss topics or the workings of CBJ, please reach out.

L. COMMITTEE MEMBER / LIAISON COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

None

M. NEXT MEETING DATE

August 29, 2023 @ 12pm via Zoom - SRRC Worksession September 12, 2023 @ 12pm via Zoom - Regular SRRC Meeting

N. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the committee, meeting adjourned at 12:33 p.m.

Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary – REVISED DRAFT

Serial N	Number/Title:					
Introdu	uced:	_ Public Hearing Date:	SRRC Review Date:			
Presen	ted By:		Drafted By:			
Depart	ment/Division: _		Lead Staff Contact:			
Purpos	se of Legislation (background/summary of ir	ntent):			
Conne	ction to existing I	egislation:				
Conne	ction to adopted	planning documents:				
Step O	ne: What is the i	mpact of the proposed leg	islation?	Vec	N.	Maybo
a.	particular racial	• •	mpact or unduly advantage a perpetuate systemic racism?	Yes	No	Maybe
b.	Does the legisla	tion work to mitigate and/o	or eliminate structural racism. <i>If</i> rmined, continue through the			
C.			ever, the underlying policy or n move to a separate form.			
Step T	wo: How does th	ne legislation perpetuate s	ystemic racism?			
	b. What bene	ne potential unintended co fits may result? potential long-term impac	nsequences?			
Detai	ls:					
	d. What quan	titative and qualitative evic	dence of inequality exists?			
Detai	ls:					

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

g. Has public input been received?h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?	
Details:	

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a.	Who	are	the	impacted	ground	(5)	١;
a.	VVIIO	aic	uie	IIIIpacteu	group	, o	, :

\square White \square Black or African American	\square American Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Is	slander \Box Two or more races \Box Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

		Race	e Considerati	ons - Total C	ommuni	ty is 69.7	7% White Only	- 30.3% Mir	nority				erations
Census	Tract/Block G	roups	Minority	Census Tr	act/Block (Groups	Minority	Census T	ract/Block G	iroups	Minority	Elementary Sc	hool Boundarie
			Pop.				Pop.				Pop.	Gastineau	Title 1
CT 1: Au	ke Bay/Out tl	ne Road		CT 3: Men	denhall Va	alley Airpo	rt/ East Valley	CT 5: Dov	vntown			Harborview	Title 1
	BG1: Out the	e road	11.9%		BG1: N. of	Jennifer	42.5%		BG 1: High	lands	20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
	BG2: Lena a	rea	15.5%		BG 2: Glad	ier Valley	39.8%		BG2: DT/S	tarr Hill	24.8%	Mendenhall R	iver
	BG3: Monta	nna Creek	14.5%		BG 3: Airp	ort	40.8%		BG 3: Flats	/Village	30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
	BG4: Fritz Co	ove area	10.1%		BG 4: Rad	cliffe	24.6%					Auke Bay	
CT 2: Me	endenhall Val	ley withn	the Loop	CT 4: Salm	on Creek/	Lemon Cre	ek					Lower Income	Housing Areas
	BG1: Mende	nhall Tak	27.8%		BG 1: DZ/I	reds	60.9%	CT 5: Dou	ıglas Island			Chinook/Coho	
	BG2: Upper	Riverside	23.1%		BG 2: Dav	is	45.0%		BG 1: Nort	h Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Are	a
	BG 3: Portag	ge/McGinr	33.7%		BG 3: Bela	ırdi Costco	63.8%		BG 2: Wes	t Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park	Area
	BG 4: Long F	tun	19.6%		BG 4: Twi	n Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Crov	v Hill/ DT C	27.6%	Switzer Area	
	BG 5:Glacie	wood/Vii	41.2%									Kodzhoff Area	
												Douglas Hwy (Corridor

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone? If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

NO

Details:

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization? If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meeting	s,
assembly/ committee meetings)	

Section F, Item 2.

Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provi	ction F
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.	
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-	
referenced within the proposed legislation.	
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.	
Other: (explain)	

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?

Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Seriai N	vum	per/litie:			
Introdu	ıced	Public Hearing Date:	SRRC Review Date:		
Presen	ted I	Зу:	Drafted By:		
Depart	men	t/Division:	Lead Staff Contact:		
Purpos	e of	Legislation (background/summary of int	ent):		
		to existing legislation:			
Connec	ction	to adopted planning documents:			
		**************************************	MBERS TO COMPLETE***********************************	*****	***
a.	rac	es the proposed legislation negatively im ial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuates, review is completed. If yes, go on to the second content of the secon	e systemic racism?	YES	NO
b.	If Y	es the legislation work to mitigate and/o es, review is completed. If No, or Undeto naining steps.			
Step Tv	wo:	How does the legislation perpetuate sy	stemic racism?		
	a. b. c.	What are potential unintended consequently what benefits may result? What is the potential long term impact			
Detail	ls:				
	d.	What quantitative and qualitative evide	ence of inequality exists?		
Detail	ls:				

o	_		_
Section	⊢,	Item	2.

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

etails:
g. Has public input been received?h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?
etails:

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a.	Who	are	the	impacted	ground	(5)	١;
a.	VVIIO	aic	uie	IIIIpacteu	group	, o	, :

\square White \square Black or African American	☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Is	slander \Box Two or more races \Box Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority								Economic Considerations					
Census	Tract/Block 0	Groups	Minority	Census Tr	act/Block (Groups	Minority	Census T	ract/Block (Groups	Minority	Elementary Sch	ool Boundarie
			Pop.				Pop.				Pop.	Gastineau	Title 1
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road			CT 3: Men	denhall Va	alley Airpo	rt/ East Valley	CT 5: Dov	vntown			Harborview	Title 1	
	BG1: Out th	ne road	11.9%		BG1: N. of	fJennifer	42.5%		BG 1: High	lands	20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
	BG2: Lena a	area	15.5%		BG 2: Glad	ier Valley	39.8%		BG2: DT/S	tarr Hill	24.8%	Mendenhall Riv	er
	BG3: Monta	anna Creek	14.5%		BG 3: Airp	ort	40.8%		BG 3: Flats	s/Village	30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
	BG4: Fritz C	Cove area	10.1%		BG 4: Rad	cliffe	24.6%					Auke Bay	
CT 2: Me	ndenhall Va	lley withn	the Loop	CT 4: Salm	non Creek/	Lemon Cre	ek					Lower Income H	lousing Areas
BG1: Mendenhall Takı 27.8%			BG 1: DZ/I	Freds	60.9%	CT 5: Dou	glas Island			Chinook/Coho			
	BG2: Upper	Riverside	23.1%		BG 2: Dav	is	45.0%		BG 1: Nort	th Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
	BG 3: Porta	ge/McGinr	33.7%		BG 3: Bela	rdi Costco	63.8%		BG 2: Wes	t Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park A	rea
	BG 4: Long	Run	19.6%		BG 4: Twi	n Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Crov	w Hill/ DT D	27.6%	Switzer Area	
	BG 5:Glacie	rwood/Vir	41.2%									Kodzhoff Area	
												Douglas Hwy Co	rridor

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone? If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

YES	NO

Details:

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization? If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)

Section F, Item 2.

Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provi	on F					
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.						
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-						
referenced within the proposed legislation.						
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.						
Other: (explain)						

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?