

# SYSTEMIC RACISM REVIEW COMMITTEE AGENDA

March 21, 2023 at 12:00 PM

**Zoom Webinar** 

https://juneau.zoom.us/j/92303909454 or: 1-253-215-8782 Webinar ID: 923 0390 9454

#### A. CALL TO ORDER

#### **B. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We acknowledge that the City and Borough of Juneau is on Tlingit land, and wished to honor the people of this land, the Auk Kwaan and Taku Kwaan. For more than ten thousand years, Alaska Native people have been and continue to be integral to the well-being of our community. We are grateful to be in this place, a part of this community, and to honor the culture, traditions, and resilience of the Tlingit people. Gunalchéesh!

- C. ROLL CALL
- D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- E. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
  - 1. February 28, 2023 Systemic Racism Review Committee Meeting Minutes Draft
- F. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS
- G. CONSENT AGENDA

The following ordinances were up for introduction on the consent agenda at the March 20, 2023 Regular Assembly Meeting. The SRRC checklists associated with the ordinances are in this SRRC packet. Legislation and materials associated with the legislation are located in the Assembly packet: <a href="https://juneau-ak.municodemeetings.com/">https://juneau-ak.municodemeetings.com/</a>

#### **Recommended Motions:**

"I move to approve the consent agenda as presented and ask for unanimous consent" OR "I move to approve the consent agenda as amended and ask for unanimous consent": [use this motion when items are pulled off consent for further discussion]

#### 2. Legislation for Review by SRRC

Ordinance 2023-19 An Ordinance Authorizing the Manager to Convey Approximately 4,814 Square Feet of Tidelands Located on a Fraction of Lot 3, Block 51, Tidelands Addition Adjacent to 1000 Harbor Way to 1000 Harbor Way, LLC for Fair Market Value. (Exhibit A)

Ordinance 2023-20 An Ordinance Amending the Duties of the Systemic Racism Review Committee.

Ordinance 2023-21 An Ordinance Authorizing the Manager to Enter into a Lease of City and Borough Property Located on West Douglas along the West Douglas Pioneer Road to iRide Alaska, LLC, for the Purpose of Offering Guided Electronic Bike Tours.

Ordinance 2022-06(b)(AR) An Ordinance Appropriating up to \$2,540,737 to the Manager for Juneau School District Special Revenue Fund Deficits and Current Year Student Activities; Funding Provided by General Funds. (JSD Request)

Ordinance 2022-47 An Ordinance Repealing Title 49 Provisions Related to Wetland Review Board Authority.

#### H. LEGISLATION PULLED FROM CONSENT FOR DISCUSSION

Legislation pulled from the consent agenda during the meeting for further discussion, questions or to walk through the SRRC checklist on a particular piece of legislation.

#### **Sample Motions:**

"I move to forward Ordinance xxxx-xx (or Resolution xxxx) to the full Assembly as presented and ask for unanimous consent" OR "I move the SRRC recommend to the Assembly it {fill in the recommendation} prior to taking action on proposed legislation"

#### I. STAFF REPORTS

#### J. COMMITTEE MEMBER / LIAISON COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

#### K. NEXT MEETING DATE

April 18, 2023 - Regular SRRC Meeting for Legislation Review @ Noon via Zoom

#### L. ADJOURNMENT

ADA accommodations available upon request: Please contact the Clerk's office 36 hours prior to any meeting so arrangements can be made for closed captioning or sign language interpreter services depending on the meeting format. The Clerk's office telephone number is 586-5278, TDD 586-5351, e-mail: city.clerk@juneau.org.

# SYSTEMIC RACISM REVIEW COMMITTEE MINUTES



February 28, 2023 at 12:00 PM

**Zoom Webinar** 

https://juneau.zoom.us/j/92303909454 or: 1-253-215-8782 Webinar ID: 923 0390 9454

#### A. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Lee called the Systemic Racism Review Committee to order at 12:01pm

#### **B. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We acknowledge that the City and Borough of Juneau is on Tlingit land, and wished to honor the people of this land, the Auk Kwaan and Taku Kwaan. For more than ten thousand years, Alaska Native people have been and continue to be integral to the well-being of our community. We are grateful to be in this place, a part of this community, and to honor the culture, traditions, and resilience of the Tlingit people. Gunalchéesh!

#### C. ROLL CALL

Present: Chair Lee, Gail Cheney, Ivan Nance, Kelli Patterson and Ephraim Froehlich (joined at 12:07 p.m.)

Absent: None

Staff/Other: Robert Barr, Adam Gottschalk, Di Cathcart

**Attendee Mode:** Deputy Clerk Andi Hirsh, CBJ Attorney's Benjamin Brown and Sherri Layne, CDD Director Jill Maclean and CDD staff Joseph Meyers and Scott Ciambor and Finance Administrative Coordinator Stevie Gawryluk

#### D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Agenda approved as presented.

#### E. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Minutes approved as presented.

#### 1. 2023-01-31 SRRC Minutes - Draft

#### F. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS None

#### G. CONSENT AGENDA

The following ordinances were up for introduction on the consent agenda at the February 27, 2023 Regular Assembly Meeting. The SRRC checklists associated with the ordinances are in this SRRC packet. Legislation and materials associated with the legislation are located in the Assembly packet: <a href="https://juneau-ak.municodemeetings.com/">https://juneau-ak.municodemeetings.com/</a>

#### **Recommended Motions:**

"I move to approve the consent agenda as presented and ask for unanimous consent" OR "I move to approve the consent agenda as amended and ask for unanimous consent": [use this motion when items are pulled off consent for further discussion]

#### 2. Legislation for Review by SRRC

Ordinance 2023-02 An Ordinance Amending the Assessing Standards for the City and Borough of Juneau.

Section E, Item 1.

Ordinance 2023-09 An Ordinance Authorizing the Manager to Lease a 1.50 Acre Parcel of Land near 100520 Crazy Horse Drive at a Reduced Rate to Provide for the Southeast Alaska Food Bank Food Warehouse Expansion.

Ordinance 2023-10 An Ordinance Amending the Official Zoning Map of the City and Borough to Change the Zoning of Tidelands Addition to the City of Juneau, Block 68, Lot 8 Fractions, Lots 9, 10, 11, 12 Fraction, 12A, 13, and 14 Fraction; Tidelands Addition to the City of Juneau, Block 74, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Tidelands Addition to the City of Juneau, Block 75, Lot A; Juneau Subport Lot A, and USS 3566, Lot 3 Fraction and 2A Fraction; from Mixed Use 2 (MU2) to Mixed Use (MU).

Ordinance 2023-11 An Ordinance Amending the Official Zoning Map of the City and Borough to Change the Zoning of Indian Village, Blocks 3 & 4, Kasaan City, Lot 2, and Tidelands Addition to the City of Juneau, Block 68, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 12FR, from Mixed Use 2 (MU2) to Mixed Use (MU).

Ordinance 2023-12 An Ordinance Providing for the Issuance and Sale of Port Revenue Bonds in one or more series in the Aggregate Principal Amount of Not to Exceed \$5,000,000; Providing for the Form and Terms of the Bonds; Providing a Method of Payment Therefor; and Reserving the Right to Issue Revenue Bonds on a Parity with the Bonds upon Compliance with Certain Conditions.

Ordinance 2023-13 An Ordinance Providing for the Issuance and Sale of General Obligation Bonds in One or More Series to Provide Not to Exceed \$6,600,000 in Net Proceeds; and Providing for the Form and Terms of the Bonds and for Unlimited Tax Levies to Pay the Bonds.

Ordinance 2022-06(b)(AJ) An Ordinance Appropriating \$5,000,000 to the Manager for the Capital Civic Center Capital Improvement Project; Funding Provided by General Funds and Hotel-Bed Tax Funds.

Ordinance 2022-06(b)(AK) An Ordinance Appropriating \$1,200,000 to the Manager for a Loan for the Ridgeview Subdivision Project; Funding Provided by the Affordable Housing Fund.

Ordinance 2022-06(b)(AM) An Ordinance Appropriating \$2,000,000 to the Manager for the Aurora Harbor Improvements Capital Improvement Project; Grant Funding Provided by the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities.

Ordinance 2022-06(b)(AN) An Ordinance Appropriating \$31,500 to the Manager as Funding for Phase 2 of a Building Survey and Inventory of the Juneau Townsite Historic Neighborhood; Grant Funding Provided by the Alaska Department of Natural Resources.

Ordinance 2022-06(b)(AO) An Ordinance Appropriating \$6,600,000 to the Manager for the Areawide Trail Improvements, Adair-Kennedy Memorial Park, and Public Use Cabins Capital Improvement Projects; Funding Provided by General Obligation Bond Proceeds.

Ordinance 2022-06(b)(AP) An Ordinance Appropriating \$5,000,000 to the Manager for the Dock Electrification Capital Improvement Project; Funding Provided by Port Revenue Bond Proceeds.

Mr. Barr read the ordinance titles into the record and gave a brief synapsis of each ordinance.

**MOTION**: by Ephraim Froehlich to approve the consent agenda as presented and ask for unanimous consent. **Hearing no objection, motion passed**.

#### H. LEGISLATION PULLED FROM CONSENT FOR DISCUSSION

Legislation pulled from the consent agenda during the meeting for further discussion, questions or to walk through the SRRC checklist on a particular piece of legislation.

#### **Sample Motions:**

Section E, Item 1.

"I move to forward Ordinance xxxx-xx (or Resolution xxxx) to the full Assembly as presented and ask for unanimous consent" or "I move the SRRC recommend to the Assembly it {fill in the recommendation} prior to taking action on proposed legislation"

#### I. STAFF REPORTS

Reminder - Mark your calendars for Monday, March 6, 2023 at 6pm for the Assembly Committee of the Whole Worksession. At this worksession the Assembly will take up the proposed changes to the SRRC's charging document - Ordinance 2020-32(c)(am).

#### J. COMMITTEE MEMBER / LIAISON COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

None

#### K. NEXT MEETING DATE

Regular SRRC Meeting for Legislation Review - March 21, 2023 @ Noon

#### L. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the committee, meeting adjourned at 12:26 p.m.

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2023-19** An Ordinance Authorizing the Manager to Convey Approximately 4,814 Square Feet of Tidelands Located on a Fraction of Lot 3, Block 51, Tidelands Addition Adjacent to 1000 Harbor Way to 1000 Harbor Way, LLC for Fair Market Value.

Introdu	uced: 09/26/2023 Public Hearing Date: 04/17/2023	SRRC	Review	Date:	03/21/202	23
Present	ted By: <u>Dan Bleidorn</u> Draft	ed By:	Roxie [	<u> Duckwort</u>	h	
Departr	ment/Division: <u>Admin/Lands</u> Lead	Staff Cor	itact:	Dan Ble	idorn	
Purpose	e of Legislation (background/summary of intent):					
recent locate Gress	dinance Authorizing the Manager for dispose city property purchased the building and property located at 100 ed on leased CBJ land with the legal description of Tidel has applied to purchase this property in order to be absectural improvements to the aging building. This area inds.	O Harbor ands Ado le to ma	Way. A p lition Blo ke major	ortion of ck 51, Lot structura	this buildi 5. Hanser I and	_
Connec	ction to existing legislation:					
none						
Connec	ction to adopted planning documents:					
PAD20	022-0004					
***	**************************************	COMPL	ETE****	******	*****	***
Step Or	ne: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?					
					YES	NO
a.	Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unracial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next quality of the proposed legislation negatively impact or unracial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic legislation negatively impact or unracial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic legislation negatively impact or unracial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic legislation negatively impact or unracial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic legislation negatively impact or unracial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic legislation negatively impact or unracial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic legislation negatively impact or unracial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic legislation in the legislation of the legislation in the legislation of the legislation in the legislation of the legislation in the legislation	racism?	antage a	particula	r	
b.	Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate of the series of the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate of the series of the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate of the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate of the series of the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate of the legislation work to mitigate and legislation work to mitigate of the legislation work to mitigate					

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

		Section
Details:		
d.	What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?	
Details:		
e.	What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted proposed changes?	
f.	Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation engaged?	ı been
Details:		
g. h.	Has public input been received? If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?	
Details:		

#### Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

<ol> <li>a. Who are the impa</li> </ol>	cted group(s)?
---	----------------

$\square$ White $\square$ Black or African American $\square$	American Indian or Alaska Na	ative
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Isla	ander $\square$ Two or more races $\square$	Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

	, ,	Race	e Considerati	ons - Total C	Communit	y is 69.7	7% White Only	- 30.3% Min	ority			Econom Considerat	
Census 1	Fract/Block Gro	oups	Minority	Census T	ract/Block G	iroups	Minority	Census Tr	act/Block G	iroups	Minority	Elementary School	Boundarie
			Pop.				Pop.				Pop.	Gastineau	Title 1
CT 1: Au	ke Bay/Out the	e Road		CT 3: Mer	ndenhall Va	lley Airpo	rt/ East Valley	CT 5: Dow	ntown			Harborview	Title 1
	BG1: Out the	road	11.9%		BG1: N. of	Jennifer	42.5%		BG 1: High	lands	20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
	BG2: Lena are	ea	15.5%		BG 2: Glaci	ier Valley S	39.8%		BG2: DT/S	tarr Hill	24.8%	Mendenhall River	
	BG3: Montan	na Creek	14.5%		BG 3: Airpo	ort	40.8%		BG 3: Flats	/Village	30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
	BG4: Fritz Cov	ve area	10.1%		BG 4: Rado	liffe	24.6%					Auke Bay	
CT 2: Me	ndenhall Valle	ey withn	the Loop	CT 4: Saln	non Creek/l	Lemon Cre	ek					Lower Income Hous	ing Areas
	BG1: Mender	nhall Tak	27.8%		BG 1: DZ/F	reds	60.9%	CT 5: Dou	glas Island			Chinook/Coho	
	BG2: Upper R	iverside	23.1%		BG 2: Davis	s	45.0%		BG 1: Nort	h Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
	BG 3: Portage	/McGinr	33.7%		BG 3: Bela	rdi Costco	63.8%		BG 2: Wes	t Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park Area	
	BG 4: Long Ru	ın	19.6%		BG 4: Twin	Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Crov	v Hill/ DT C	27.6%	Switzer Area	
	BG 5:Glaciery	vood/Vir	41.2%									Kodzhoff Area	
												Douglas Hwy Corrid	or

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone? If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

YES	NO

#### Details:

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization? If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

# Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings,
assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions,
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-
referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

#### Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2023-21** An Ordinance Authorizing the Manager to Enter into a Lease of City and Borough Property Located on West Douglas along the West Douglas Pioneer Road to iRide Alaska, LLC, for the Purpose of Offering Guided Electronic Bike Tours.

Introduced: <u>02/17/2023</u> Public Hearing Date: <u>04/17/2023</u> SRRC Review Date: <u>03/21/202</u>	<u>23</u>
Presented By: <u>Dan Bleidorn</u> Drafted By: <u>Roxie Duckworth</u>	
Department/Division: Admin/Lands Lead Staff Contact: Dan Bleidorn	
Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):	
An Ordinance Authorizing the Manager for a nonexclusive lease of City Property to iRide Alaska of West Douglas Pioneer Road for the purpose of offering guided electronic bike tours.	i the
Connection to existing legislation:	
none	
Connection to adopted planning documents:	
none	
**************************************	***
Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?	
a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?  If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:	NO
b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism  If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.	
Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?	
<ul><li>a. What are potential unintended consequences?</li><li>b. What benefits may result?</li><li>c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?</li></ul>	
Details:	

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

г	)ei	ŀ٦	il	_	
н.	$\omega$	га		•	•

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details:			

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details:		

#### Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

_	1 A / I= =		+1	:		۱ ـ ۱	١-
a.	wno	are	tne	impacted	group	S	) :

$\square$ White $\square$ Black or African American	$\square$ American Indian or Alaska Native
$\square$ Asian $\square$ Native Hawaiian or Pacific I	slander $\square$ Two or more races $\square$ Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

		Race	e Considera	tions - Total C	ommuni	ty is 69.7	7% White Only	- 30.3% Min	ority			Econom Considerat	
Census 1	Tract/Block Group	os	Minority	Census T	ract/Block G	Groups	Minority	Census Ti	act/Block	Groups	Minority	Elementary School	Boundarie
			Pop.				Pop.			T	Pop.	Gastineau	Title 1
CT 1: Au	ke Bay/Out the Ro	oad		CT 3: Mer	denhall Va	lley Airpo	rt/ East Valley	CT 5: Dow	ntown			Harborview	Title 1
	BG1: Out the roa	ad	11.9%		BG1: N. of	Jennifer	42.5%		BG 1: Hig	hlands	20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
	BG2: Lena area		15.5%		BG 2: Glac	ier Valley	39.8%		BG2: DT/S	Starr Hill	24.8%	Mendenhall River	
	BG3: Montanna	Creek	14.5%		BG 3: Airp	ort	40.8%		BG 3: Flat	s/Village	30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
	BG4: Fritz Cove a	area	10.1%		BG 4: Rado	cliffe	24.6%					Auke Bay	
CT 2: Me	endenhall Valley v	withn	the Loop	CT 4: Saln	non Creek/	Lemon Cre	ek					Lower Income Hou	sing Areas
	BG1: Mendenha	II Takı	27.8%		BG 1: DZ/F	reds	60.9%	CT 5: Dou	glas Island			Chinook/Coho	
	<b>BG2: Upper Rive</b>	rside	23.1%		BG 2: Davi	s	45.0%		BG 1: Nor	th Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
	BG 3: Portage/M	lcGinr	33.7%		BG 3: Bela	rdi Costco	63.8%		BG 2: We	st Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park Area	a
	BG 4: Long Run		19.6%		BG 4: Twir	1 Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Cro	w Hill/ DT [	27.6%	Switzer Area	
	BG 5:Glacierwoo	d/Vir	41.2%									Kodzhoff Area	
												Douglas Hwy Corrid	dor

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone? If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

YES	NO

#### Details:

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization? If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions,
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-
referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

#### Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(AR)** An Ordinance Appropriating up to \$2,340,737 to the Manager for Juneau School District Special Revenue Fund Deficits and Current Year Student Activities; Funding Provided by General Funds.

Introduced: 3/20/23 Public Hearing Date: 4/7/23 SR	RC R	Review Date: 3/21/23						
Dresented Dry Manager Drefted Dry		Finance						
Presented By: Manager Drafted By:		Finance						
Department/Division:Juneau School District Lead Staff Contact: Cassee Olin								
Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):								
This ordinance would appropriate up to \$2,340,737 of additional o								
current and prior year deficits in the School District's special reven								
new wrestling mats for middle and high schools totaling \$80,000. The street factor of the fall of the street schools and high schools totaling \$80,000.	his a	appropriation would allocate						
funding for the following purposes:								
FY2021 Deficits		274 220						
Pupil Transportation	\$	374,939						
Rally	\$	464,770						
FY2021 Total	\$	839,709						
FY2022 Deficits								
Pupil Transportation	\$	425,914						
Community Schools	\$	126,884						
FY2022 Total	\$	552,798						
FY2023 Deficits								
Pupil Transportation	\$	483,000						
Rally	\$	285,230						
Community Schools	\$	100,000						
FY2023 Total	\$	868,230						
New Request								
Middle/High School Activities (wrestling mats)	\$	80,000						
New Requests Total	\$	80,000						
Total JSD Supplemental Funding Request	\$	2,340,737						
After consultation with the Alaska Department of Education and Eather request for funding of summer school in 2023; which has bee		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

This request does not correct the School District's operating fund deficit of \$620,400 at June 30, 2022, as CBJ already contributes the maximum local contribution inside the cap. Due to the increase in the value of real property in Juneau, funding JSD instruction "to the cap" in FY24 will

cost that must be paid for inside the educational funding cap.

Section G, Item 2.

increase CBJ's appropriation by approximately \$2.3 Million (about an 8% increase). The JSD budg FY24 will be received in accordance with Law by April 1, 2023.

Connection	to	existing	legislation:

As a supplemental appropriation, this ordinance amends FY23 CBJ Budget Ordinance 2022-06.

Connection to adopted planning documents:

#### Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?

If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:



b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism *If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.* 



#### Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

#### Details:

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

#### Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: This request was reviewed and approved by the Juneau School District Board on January 10, 2023. This request was reviewed by the Assembly Finance Committee at the March 1, 2023 meeting.

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on April 17, 2023.

#### Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a.	Who are the impacted group(s)?
	$\square$ White $\square$ Black or African American $\square$ American Indian or Alaska Native
	☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

	Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority							Economic Considerations				
Census T	ract/Block G	iroups	Minority	Census Ti	ract/Block Group	s Minority	Census Tr	act/Block	Groups	Minority	Elementary School	Boundarie
			Pop.			Pop.				Pop.	Gastineau	Title 1
CT 1: Auk	e Bay/Out t	he Road		CT 3: Mer	ndenhall Valley A	irport/ East Valley	CT 5: Dow	ntown			Harborview	Title 1
	BG1: Out th	e road	11.9%		BG1: N. of Jenni	ifer 42.5%		BG 1: High	hlands	20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
	BG2: Lena a	irea	15.5%		BG 2: Glacier Va	illey 5 39.8%		BG2: DT/S	Starr Hill	24.8%	Mendenhall River	
	BG3: Monta	nna Creel	14.5%		BG 3: Airport	40.8%		BG 3: Flat	s/Village	30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
	BG4: Fritz C	ove area	10.1%		BG 4: Radcliffe	24.6%					Auke Bay	
CT 2: Me	ndenhall Va	lley withn	the Loop	CT 4: Saln	non Creek/Lemo	n Creek					Lower Income Hou	sing Areas
	BG1: Mende	enhall Tak	27.8%		BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	CT 5: Dou	glas Island			Chinook/Coho	
	BG2: Upper	Riverside	23.1%		BG 2: Davis	45.0%		BG 1: Nor	th Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
	BG 3: Portag	ge/McGini	33.7%		BG 3: Belardi Co	stco 63.8%		BG 2: Wes	st Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park Area	1
	BG 4: Long I	Run	19.6%		BG 4: Twin Lake	s 25.9%		BG 3: Cro	w Hill/ DT [	27.6%	Switzer Area	
	BG 5:Glacie	rwood/Vi	r 41.2%								Kodzhoff Area	
									Ì		Douglas Hwy Corrid	dor

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone? If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

YES	NO

#### Details:

Details:

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization? If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

# Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings,
assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions,
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-
referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

#### Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?

Section G, Item 2.

Program strategies?
Policy Strategies?
Partnership Strategies?

	mber/Title: <b>Ordinance 2022-4</b> 7 An Ordinance Repealing Title 49 Provisions Related to Wetland oard Authority.
Introduce	ed: 3/20/2023 Public Hearing Date: 4/17/2023 SRRC Review Date: 3/21/2023
Presente	d By: <u>Director Jill Maclean</u> Drafted By: <u>Director Jill Maclean</u>
Departm	ent/Division: <u>Community Development</u> Lead Staff Contact: <u>Teri Camery, Senior Planner</u>
Purpose	of Legislation (background/summary of intent):
To repe	al <u>CBJ 49.10.700</u> , Wetlands Review Board.
Connecti	on to existing legislation:
CBJ49.1	0.700
Connecti	on to adopted planning documents:
<u>2013 Ju</u>	neau Comprehensive Plan
a. [	YES NO Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular acial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?  If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:
IJ	Ooes the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism  f Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.
Step Two	: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?
a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
b c	
	The same production of the same production of the production of the production of the same
Details:	
C	I. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?
Details:	

Section	G.	Item 2.
Occuon	Ο,	nom 2.

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details:	
g. h.	Has public input been received? If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?
Details:	

#### Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a.	Who	are	the	impacted	ground	(5)	١;
a.	VVIIO	aic	uie	IIIIpacteu	group	, o	, :

$\square$ White $\square$ Black or African American $\square$	American Indian or Alaska Native
$\square$ Asian $\square$ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islan	der □Two or more races □Othe

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

	1	Race	e Considerati	ons - Total C	ommuni	ty is 69.7	7% White Only	- 30.3% Mir	nority	1		Econ Conside	
Census 1	Tract/Block G	Groups	Minority	Census Ti	ract/Block	Groups	Minority	Census T	ract/Block (	Groups	Minority	Elementary Sch	ool Boundarie
			Pop.				Pop.				Pop.	Gastineau	Title 1
CT 1: Au	ke Bay/Out t	he Road		CT 3: Mer	ndenhall V	alley Airpo	rt/ East Valley	CT 5: Dov	vntown			Harborview	Title 1
	BG1: Out th	e road	11.9%		BG1: N. o	f Jennifer	42.5%		BG 1: High	nlands	20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
	BG2: Lena a	irea	15.5%		BG 2: Glad	ier Valley	39.8%		BG2: DT/S	tarr Hill	24.8%	Mendenhall Riv	er
	BG3: Monta	anna Creek	14.5%		BG 3: Airp	ort	40.8%		BG 3: Flat	s/Village	30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
	BG4: Fritz C	ove area	10.1%		BG 4: Rad	cliffe	24.6%					Auke Bay	
CT 2: Me	endenhall Va	lley withn	the Loop	CT 4: Saln	non Creek/	Lemon Cre	ek					Lower Income H	ousing Areas
	BG1: Mend	enhall Tak	27.8%		BG 1: DZ/	Freds	60.9%	CT 5: Dou	ıglas Island			Chinook/Coho	
	BG2: Upper	Riverside	23.1%		BG 2: Dav	is	45.0%		BG 1: Nor	th Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
	BG 3: Porta	ge/McGinr	33.7%		BG 3: Bela	rdi Costco	63.8%		BG 2: Wes	t Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park A	rea
	BG 4: Long	Run	19.6%		BG 4: Twi	n Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Crov	w Hill/ DT [	27.6%	Switzer Area	
	BG 5:Glacie	rwood/Vir	41.2%									Kodzhoff Area	
												Douglas Hwy Co	rridor

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone? If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

YES	NO

#### Details:

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization? If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

1	

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)

Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provi	Section	n G, Item 2.
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.		
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross	ss-	
referenced within the proposed legislation.		
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.		
Other: (explain)		

#### Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

> What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?