



# SYSTEMIC RACISM REVIEW COMMITTEE AGENDA

September 13, 2022 at 12:00 PM

Zoom Webinar

<https://juneau.zoom.us/j/92303909454> or 1-253-215-8782 Webinar ID: 923 0390 9454

**A. CALL TO ORDER**

**B. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We acknowledge that the City and Borough of Juneau is on Tlingit land, and wished to honor the people of this land, the Auk Kwaan and Taku Kwaan. For more than ten thousand years, Alaska Native people have been and continue to be integral to the well-being of our community. We are grateful to be in this place, a part of this community, and to honor the culture, traditions, and resilience of the Tlingit people.  
*Gunalchéesh!*

**C. ROLL CALL**

**D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

**E. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS**

**F. AGENDA TOPICS**

1. The following ordinances were up for introduction on the consent agenda at the September 12, 2022 Regular Assembly Meeting. The SRRC checklists associated with the ordinances are in this SRRC packet. Legislation and materials associated with the legislation are located in the Assembly packet (copy/paste link into preferred browser for access to the Assembly agenda page):  
<https://juneau.org/assembly/assembly-minutes-and-agendas>

**Ordinance 2022-42** An Ordinance Providing for a Property Tax Abatement Program to Incentivize the Development of Higher Density Housing.

**Ordinance 2022-49** An Ordinance Authorizing the Manager to Convey Approximately One Acre of City and Borough of Juneau Property Located Near 3145 Fritz Cove Road to Chelsy and Brian Maller at Fair Market Value.

**Ordinance 2022-51** An Ordinance Authorizing an Alternative Procurement Method Related to the Emergency Department Addition and Renovation at Bartlett Regional Hospital.

**Ordinance 2022-06(b)(P)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$700,000 to the Manager for a Pre-Development Loan for Gastineau Lodge Apartments, LLC; Funding Provided by the Affordable Housing Fund.

**Ordinance 2022-06(b)(R)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$12,253.50 to the Manager for a Grant to The Glory Hall; Funding Provided by the Affordable Housing Fund.

**Ordinance 2022-06(b)(S)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$128,200 to the Manager for a Grant to the Alaska Development Corporation for Medical Respite Care; Funding Provided by General Funds and Hospital Funds.

**Ordinance 2022-06(b)(T)** An Ordinance Transferring up to \$500,000 from CIP P44-089 Deferred Building Maintenance to CIP F21-041 Downtown and Glacier Fire Stations Mechanical and Electrical Upgrades.

**Recommended Motions:**

*"I move to approve the consent agenda as presented and ask for unanimous consent"*

*"I move to approve the consent agenda as amended and ask for unanimous consent: [use this motion when items are pulled off consent for further discussion]"*

2. For legislation pulled for further discussion or to walk-through the SRRC checklist on particular legislation.

**Recommended Motions:**

*"I move to forward Ordinance xxxx-xx (or Resolution xxxx) to the full Assembly as presented and ask for unanimous consent" or "I move the SRRC recommend to the Assembly it {fill in the recommendation} prior to taking action on proposed legislation"*

**G. STAFF REPORTS**

**H. COMMITTEE MEMBER / LIAISON COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS**

**I. NEXT MEETING DATE**

Tuesday, October 25, 2022 at Noon via Zoom Webinar

**J. ADJOURNMENT**

ADA accommodations available upon request: Please contact the Clerk's office 36 hours prior to any meeting so arrangements can be made for closed captioning or sign language interpreter services depending on the meeting format. The Clerk's office telephone number is 586-5278, TDD 586-5351, e-mail: [city.clerk@juneau.org](mailto:city.clerk@juneau.org).

Systemic Racism Review Committee  
Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-42** An Ordinance Providing for a Property Tax Abatement Program to Incentivize the Development of Higher Density Housing.

Introduced: 9/12/22 Public Hearing Date: 9/28/22 SRRC Review Date: 9/13/22

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Law

Department/Division: Finance/CDD Lead Staff Contact: Rorie Watt

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

The Housing Action Plan and Juneau Economic Development Plan identify property tax abatement as an incentive to encourage new housing development. Building on an existing provision for downtown housing, this ordinance proposes to expand tax abatement for qualifying new housing developments borough-wide. Only housing developments on a single lot that remain under common ownership would qualify. This incentive is intended to help address Juneau’s shortage of adequate and affordable housing, which the Assembly identified as a high priority in its 2022 Goals. This tax abatement would sunset on October 1, 2032; which will induce a future review to determine if the program is accomplishing its aims as designed.

Connection to existing legislation:

This ordinance amends Section 69.10.023 of CBJ’s Code.

Connection to adopted planning documents:

Housing Action Plan, Juneau Economic Development Plan, Assembly 2022 Goals

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- |  | YES | NO |
|--|-----|----|
| a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?<br><i>If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:</i> |     |    |
| b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism<br><i>If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.</i>   |     |    |

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details: This legislation is intended to incentivize housing development in Juneau. More housing lead to more available and affordable housing for Juneau's residents and the summer workforce. Availability of affordable housing stimulates the economy and promotes the vitality of the community.

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Assembly Finance Committee discussed this topic at the July 7, August 3, and September 7, 2022 meetings.

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on September 28, 2022.

### Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

- ☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
- ☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority						Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Elementary School Boundaries	
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley		CT 5: Downtown		Gastineau	Title 1
BG1: Out the road	11.9%	BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%	BG 1: Highlands	20.6%	Harborview	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG 2: Glacier Valley	39.8%	BG2: DT/Starr Hill	24.8%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%	BG 3: Airport	40.8%	BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%	Mendenhall River	
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	BG 4: Raddcliffe	24.6%			Riverbend	Title 1
						Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop		CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek		CT 5: Douglas Island		Lower Income Housing Areas	
BG1: Mendenhall Taki	27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%	Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	BG 2: Davis	45.0%	BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGinn	33.7%	BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%	BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D	27.6%	Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%			Switzer Area	
BG 5:Glacierwood/Vir	41.2%					Kodzhoff Area	
						Douglas Hwy Corridor	

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?  
If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

YES	NO

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?

If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Section F, Item 1.

**Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: (explain)

**Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications**

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?

Program strategies?

Policy Strategies?

Partnership Strategies?

# Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Section F, Item 1.

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-49** An Ordinance Authorizing the Manager to Convey Approximately One Acre of City and Borough of Juneau Property Located Near 3145 Fritz Cove Road to Chelsy and Brian Maller at Fair Market Value.

Introduced: 9/12 Public Hearing Date: \_\_\_\_\_ SRRC Review Date: 9/13

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Law

Department/Division: Lands Lead Staff Contact: Bleidorn

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

The CBJ Lands Office has received an application from Chelsy and Brian Maller, the owners of property located near 3145 Fritz Cove Road. The Maller property is an undeveloped lot that is adjacent to the City property being requested.

The Lands Housing and Economic Development Committee reviewed this proposed CBJ land disposal at the meeting on January 24, 2022, and passed a motion of support. The Planning Commission reviewed this proposed disposal of the CBJ property at its meeting on April 12, 2022, and recommended that the Assembly approve the sale of a portion of Lot 1 to the applicants. Fair market value of the CBJ property has been determined by appraisal to be \$2.53 per square foot, for a total value of \$110,000.00.

Connection to existing legislation:

Title 53

Connection to adopted planning documents:

Land Management Plan: <https://juneau.org/lands/land-studies-and-plans-2>

\*\*\*\*\*BELOW IS FOR SRRC MEMBERS TO COMPLETE\*\*\*\*\*

## Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?

*If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:*

YES	NO

- b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism  
*If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.*

--	--

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:

- d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details:

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details:

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

- a. Who are the impacted group(s)?  
☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native  
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other
- b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority						Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Elementary School Boundaries	
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley		CT 5: Downtown		Gastineau	Title 1
BG1: Out the road	11.9%	BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%	BG 1: Highlands	20.6%	Harborview	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG 2: Glacier Valley	39.8%	BG2: DT/Starr Hill	24.8%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%	BG 3: Airport	40.8%	BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%	Mendenhall River	
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	BG 4: Raddcliffe	24.6%			Riverbend	Title 1
						Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley within the Loop		CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek				Lower Income Housing Areas	
BG1: Mendenhall Taki	27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	CT 5: Douglas Island		Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	BG 2: Davis	45.0%	BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGinr	33.7%	BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%	BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%	BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D	27.6%	Switzer Area	
BG 5: Glacierwood/Vir	41.2%					Kodzhoff Area	
						Douglas Hwy Corridor	

- c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?  
If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

YES	NO

Details:

- d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?  
If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?


Details:

**Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: (explain)

**Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications**

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?  
Program strategies?  
Policy Strategies?  
Partnership Strategies?



# Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Section F, Item 1.

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-51** An Ordinance Authorizing an Alternative Procurement Method Related to the Emergency Department Addition and Renovation at Bartlett Regional Hospital.

Introduced: 9/12 Public Hearing Date: \_\_\_\_\_ SRRC Review Date: 9/13

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Law

Department/Division: Eng/Hospital Lead Staff Contact: Koester/Rynne

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

This ordinance authorizes the Manager to competitively solicit proposals and enter into an alternative procurement method for preconstruction services and construction of the Emergency Room Addition and Renovation project at Bartlett Regional Hospital consistent with CBJ charter and Alaska Statute. General Contractor/Construction Manager is a qualifications based procurement method that allows the contractor to be involved in the design process to limit risk and cost for complicated projects such as the Emergency Room where the department needs to remain operational during construction.

Connection to existing legislation:

--

Connection to adopted planning documents:

--

\*\*\*\*\*BELOW IS FOR SRRC MEMBERS TO COMPLETE\*\*\*\*\*

## Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?

*If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:*

YES	NO

- b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism  
*If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.*

--	--

## Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details:

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details:

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

- a. Who are the impacted group(s)?
  - ☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
  - ☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority										Economic Considerations				
Census Tract/Block Groups			Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups			Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups			Minority Pop.	Elementary School Boundaries		
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road				CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley				CT 5: Downtown				Gastineau		Title 1
BG1: Out the road			11.9%	BG1: N. of Jennifer			42.5%	BG 1: Highlands			20.6%	Harborview		Title 1
BG2: Lena area			15.5%	BG 2: Glacier Valley			39.8%	BG2: DT/Starr Hill			24.8%	Glacier Valley		Title 1
BG3: Montanna Creek			14.5%	BG 3: Airport			40.8%	BG 3: Flats/Village			30.8%	Mendenhall River		
BG4: Fritz Cove area			10.1%	BG 4: Radcliffe			24.6%					Riverbend		Title 1
												Auke Bay		
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop				CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek				CT 5: Douglas Island				Lower Income Housing Areas		
BG1: Mendenhall Taki			27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Freds			60.9%	BG 1: North Douglas			15.9%	Chinook/Coho		
BG2: Upper Riverside			23.1%	BG 2: Davis			45.0%	BG 2: West Juneau			28.0%	Cedar Park Area		
BG 3: Portage/McGinnr			33.7%	BG 3: Belardi Costco			63.8%	BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D			27.6%	Gruening Park Area		
BG 4: Long Run			19.6%	BG 4: Twin Lakes			25.9%					Switzer Area		
BG 5:Glacierwood/Vir			41.2%									Kodzhoff Area		
												Douglas Hwy Corridor		

- c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?  
If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

YES	NO

- d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?  
If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:


**Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation’s implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:**

	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
	Other: (explain)

**Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications**

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

- What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?
- Program strategies?
- Policy Strategies?
- Partnership Strategies?

# Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Section F, Item 1.

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(P)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$700,000 to the Manager for a Pre-Development Loan for Gastineau Lodge Apartments, LLC; Funding Provided by the Affordable Housing Fund.

Introduced: 9/12/22 Public Hearing Date: 9/28/22 SRRC Review Date: 9/13/22

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Law

Department/Division: Affordable Housing Fund Lead Staff Contact: Rorie Watt

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

This ordinance would appropriate funding from the Affordable Housing Fund for a pre-development loan for the Gastineau Lodge Apartments project, a 72-unit apartment building in Downtown Juneau. Phased loan disbursement will mitigate CBJ's risk, and liens against the property and building materials further secure the loan. CBJ's support of this project is intended to help address Juneau's shortage of adequate and affordable housing, which the Assembly identified as a high priority in its 2022 Goals

Connection to existing legislation:

As a supplemental appropriation, this ordinance amends CBJ FY23 Budget Ordinance 2022-06(b).

Connection to adopted planning documents:

Assembly 2022 Goals, Housing Action Plan

## Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?

*If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:*

YES	NO

- b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism  
*If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.*

--	--

## Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- What are potential unintended consequences?
- What benefits may result?
- What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details: Providing pre-development loan financing for this project may result in 72 new housing units being built in Downtown Juneau. These units, if built, would provide much needed downtown housing for Juneau's workforce, individuals, and families.

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Assembly Finance Committee reviewed this request at the August 3 and September 7, 2022 meetings. The 2021 Affordable Housing Fund scoring committee supported a collateralized loan to the private development group.

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on September 28, 2022.

### Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

- ☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
- ☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority						Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Elementary School Boundaries	
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley		CT 5: Downtown		Gastineau	Title 1
BG1: Out the road	11.9%	BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%	BG 1: Highlands	20.6%	Harborview	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG 2: Glacier Valley	39.8%	BG2: DT/Starr Hill	24.8%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%	BG 3: Airport	40.8%	BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%	Mendenhall River	
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	BG 4: Radcliffe	24.6%			Riverbend	Title 1
						Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop		CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek		CT 5: Douglas Island		Lower Income Housing Areas	
BG1: Mendenhall Taki	27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%	Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	BG 2: Davis	45.0%	BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGinn	33.7%	BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%	BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D	27.6%	Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%			Switzer Area	
BG 5: Glacierwood/Vir	41.2%					Kodzhoff Area	
						Douglas Hwy Corridor	

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?  
If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

YES	NO

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?

If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Section F, Item 1.

**Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: (explain)

**Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications**

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?

Program strategies?

Policy Strategies?

Partnership Strategies?

Systemic Racism Review Committee  
Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(R)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$12,253.50 to the Manager for a Grant to The Glory Hall; Funding Provided by the Affordable Housing Fund.

Introduced: 9/12/22 Public Hearing Date: 9/28/22 SRRC Review Date: 9/13/22

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Finance

Department/Division: Assembly Lead Staff Contact: Jeff Rogers

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

The Glory Hall’s former shelter on South Franklin St does not qualify for CBJ’s non-profit exemption in 2022 because it was vacant and not being used for the organization’s exempt purpose on January 1, 2022. As a result, property taxes cannot be exempted for 2022, and must be paid by the Glory Hall. This is an unanticipated financial burden for the Glory Hall. This grant would, in effect, acknowledge the intended non-profit purpose of the South Franklin building even though it did not meet the strict legal criteria to be exempted.

Connection to existing legislation:

As a supplemental appropriation, this ordinance amends CBJ FY23 Budget Ordinance 2022-06(b).

Connection to adopted planning documents:

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- |  | YES | NO |
|--|-----|----|
| a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?<br><i>If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:</i> |     |    |
| b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism<br><i>If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.</i>   |     |    |

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details: Issuing this grant to The Glory Hall ensures the entity remains whole and can provide the necessary support and care to Juneau’s vulnerable populations.

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:
----------

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Assembly Finance Committee reviewed this request at the September 7, 2022 meeting.

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on September 28, 2022.

### Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

- a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

- ☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native  
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other

- b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority										Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups		Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups		Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups		Minority Pop.	Elementary School Boundaries		
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road			CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley			CT 5: Downtown			Gastineau	Title 1	
BG1: Out the road		11.9%	BG1: N. of Jennifer		42.5%	BG 1: Highlands		20.6%	Harborview	Title 1	
BG2: Lena area		15.5%	BG 2: Glacier Valley		39.8%	BG2: DT/Starr Hill		24.8%	Glacier Valley	Title 1	
BG3: Montanna Creek		14.5%	BG 3: Airport		40.8%	BG 3: Flats/Village		30.8%	Mendenhall River		
BG4: Fritz Cove area		10.1%	BG 4: Radcliffe		24.6%				Riverbend	Title 1	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop			CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek			CT 5: Douglas Island			Lower Income Housing Areas		
BG1: Mendenhall Taki		27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Freds		60.9%	BG 1: North Douglas		15.9%	Chinook/Coho		
BG2: Upper Riverside		23.1%	BG 2: Davis		45.0%	BG 2: West Juneau		28.0%	Cedar Park Area		
BG 3: Portage/McGinn		33.7%	BG 3: Belardi Costco		63.8%	BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D		27.6%	Gruening Park Area		
BG 4: Long Run		19.6%	BG 4: Twin Lakes		25.9%				Switzer Area		
BG 5: Glacierwood/Vir		41.2%							Kodzhoff Area		
									Douglas Hwy Corridor		

- c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?  
If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

### Details:

- d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization? If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

YES	NO




**Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation’s implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:**

	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
	Other: (explain)

**Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications**

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

- What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?
- Program strategies?
- Policy Strategies?
- Partnership Strategies?

# Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Section F, Item 1.

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(S)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$128,200 to the Manager for a Grant to the Alaska Development Corporation for Medical Respite Care; Funding Provided by General Funds and Hospital Funds.

Introduced: 9/12/22 Public Hearing Date: 9/28/22 SRRC Review Date: 9/13/22

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Finance

Department/Division: Assembly Lead Staff Contact: Robert Barr/Jeff Rogers

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

Prior to the pandemic, CBJ and Bartlett Regional Hospital shared the cost of medical respite for individuals experiencing homelessness. During the pandemic, the cost of this care was reimbursed by FEMA; however, medical respite is no longer eligible for reimbursement effective July 1. This ordinance would appropriate \$128,200 for medical respite care in FY23, to be funded equally by CBJ and Bartlett. Current medical respite costs exceed pre-pandemic levels due to quarantine and isolation for COVID-positive patients; however, program spending will be reduced in the coming months to align with the historical cost of providing this care. A portion of these costs may be funded by the Healthy and Equitable Communities Grant, upon approval by the Alaska Department of Health.

Connection to existing legislation:

As a supplemental appropriation, this ordinance amends CBJ FY23 Budget Ordinance 2022-06(b).

Connection to adopted planning documents:

--

## Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?  
*If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:*

YES	NO

- b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism  
*If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.*

--	--

## Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- What are potential unintended consequences?
- What benefits may result?
- What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details: Providing medical respite care for individuals experiencing homelessness ensures they have somewhere safe to stay after being discharged from the hospital. Providing medical respite care also frees up beds at the hospital and saves the hospital costs associated with retaining patients that otherwise could be discharged.

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details:

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on September 28, 2022.

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

- a. Who are the impacted group(s)?  
☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native  
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other
- b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority						Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Elementary School Boundaries	
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley		CT 5: Downtown		Gastineau	Title 1
BG1: Out the road	11.9%	BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%	BG 1: Highlands	20.6%	Harborview	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG 2: Glacier Valley	39.8%	BG2: DT/Starr Hill	24.8%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%	BG 3: Airport	40.8%	BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%	Mendenhall River	
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	BG 4: Radcliffe	24.6%			Riverbend	Title 1
						Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop		CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek		CT 5: Douglas Island		Lower Income Housing Areas	
BG1: Mendenhall Taki	27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%	Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	BG 2: Davis	45.0%	BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGinr	33.7%	BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%	BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D	27.6%	Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%			Switzer Area	
BG 5:Glacierwood/Vir	41.2%					Kodzhoff Area	
						Douglas Hwy Corridor	

- c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?  
If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

YES	NO

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?

If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Section F, Item 1.

**Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: (explain)

**Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications**

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?

Program strategies?

Policy Strategies?

Partnership Strategies?

# Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Section F, Item 1.

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(T)** An Ordinance Transferring up to \$500,000 from CIP P44-089 Deferred Building Maintenance to CIP F21-041 Downtown and Glacier Fire Stations Mechanical and Electrical Upgrades.

Introduced: 9/12/22 Public Hearing Date: 9/28/22 SRRC Review Date: 9/13/22

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Finance

Department/Division: Engineering Lead Staff Contact: Jeanne Rynne/Katie Koester

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

This request would provide up to \$500,000 in funding for the Downtown and Glacier Fire Stations Mechanical and Electrical Upgrades CIP to replace the heating plant system at the Glacier Fire Station and the emergency generators at both Glacier and the Downton Fire Stations. The project estimate has increased as a result of inflation, supply chain issues, and other economic factors. The proposed transfer is reallocating funds from the Deferred Building Maintenance CIP, an ongoing project that will retain sufficient funding to cover remaining project work.

Connection to existing legislation:

As a supplemental appropriation, this ordinance amends CBJ FY23 Budget Ordinance 2022-06(b).

Connection to adopted planning documents:

Capital Improvement Plan

## Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?

*If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:*

YES	NO

- b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism  
*If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.*

--	--

## Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- What are potential unintended consequences?
- What benefits may result?
- What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details: Replacing the emergency generators at the Glacier and Downtown fire stations ensures power is lost, staff can still respond to emergencies. Replacing the heating plant system, which is at the end of its useful life, will keep working conditions safe and in compliance with OSHA requirements.

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Public Works and Facilities Committee will review this request at the September 26, 2022 meeting.

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on September 28, 2022.

### Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

- ☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native  
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority						Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Elementary School Boundaries	
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley		CT 5: Downtown		Gastineau	Title 1
BG1: Out the road	11.9%	BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%	BG 1: Highlands	20.6%	Harborview	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG 2: Glacier Valley	39.8%	BG2: DT/Starr Hill	24.8%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%	BG 3: Airport	40.8%	BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%	Mendenhall River	
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	BG 4: Raddcliffe	24.6%			Riverbend	Title 1
						Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop		CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek		CT 5: Douglas Island		Lower Income Housing Areas	
BG1: Mendenhall Taki	27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%	Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	BG 2: Davis	45.0%	BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGinr	33.7%	BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%	BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D	27.6%	Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%			Switzer Area	
BG 5: Glacierwood/Vir	41.2%					Kodzhoff Area	
						Douglas Hwy Corridor	

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?  
 If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

YES	NO

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?

If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Section F, Item 1.

**Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: (explain)

**Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications**

The SRRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?

Program strategies?

Policy Strategies?

Partnership Strategies?