



SYSTEMIC RACISM REVIEW COMMITTEE AGENDA

December 13, 2022 at 12:00 PM

Zoom Webinar

<https://juneau.zoom.us/j/92303909454> or: 1-253-215-8782 Webinar ID: 923 0390 9454

A. CALL TO ORDER

B. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge that the City and Borough of Juneau is on Tlingit land, and wished to honor the people of this land, the Auk Kwaan and Taku Kwaan. For more than ten thousand years, Alaska Native people have been and continue to be integral to the well-being of our community. We are grateful to be in this place, a part of this community, and to honor the culture, traditions, and resilience of the Tlingit people. Gunalchéesh!

C. ROLL CALL

D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

E. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

F. CONSENT AGENDA

The following ordinances were up for introduction on the consent agenda at the December 12, 2022 Regular Assembly Meeting. The SRRC checklists associated with the ordinances are in this SRRC packet. Legislation and materials associated with the legislation are located in the Assembly packet: <https://juneau-ak.municodemeetings.com/>

Recommended Motions:

"I move to approve the consent agenda as presented and ask for unanimous consent" OR "I move to approve the consent agenda as amended and ask for unanimous consent": [use this motion when items are pulled off consent for further discussion]

1. Legislation for Review by SRRC

Ordinance 2022-62 An Ordinance Amending the Official Zoning Map of the City and Borough to Change the Zoning of 10.97 acres of the Pederson Hill Property Located near Karl Reishus Boulevard and Hamilton Street, from D-10SF to D-10.

Ordinance 2022-63 An Ordinance Authorizing the Manager to Enter into a Revenue Sharing Agreement with Goldbelt, Inc. to Fund Installation of the Gondola and Associated Infrastructure at the Eaglecrest Ski Area.

Ordinance 2022-64 An Ordinance Reorganizing and Consolidating the Aquatics Board, the Treadwell Arena Advisory Board, the Jensen-Olson Arboretum Advisory Board, and the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee.

Ordinance 2022-65 An Ordinance Authorizing the Eaglecrest Ski Area to Enter Into a Franchise Agreement with Mountain Lift, LLC, for Coffee and Baked Goods Service.

Ordinance 2022-06(b)(Y) An Ordinance Transferring \$60,000 from Eaglecrest's Fleet and Equipment Reserve Account to the Eaglecrest Gondola Capital Improvement Project.

Ordinance 2022-06(b)(Z) An Ordinance Appropriating \$250,000 to the Manager for Junk Vehicle Cleanup and Remediation at River Road; Funding Provided by General Funds.

[Ordinance 2022-06\(b\)\(AA\)](#) An Ordinance Appropriating \$3,164,401 to the Manager for the Power Upgrades for Electric Buses Capital Improvement Project; Grant Funding Provided by the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities.

[Ordinance 2022-06\(b\)\(AB\)](#) An Ordinance Appropriating \$1,039,438 to the Manager for the School Roof Replacement Capital Improvement Project; Grant Funding Provided by the Alaska Department of Education and Early Development.

[Ordinance 2022-06\(b\)\(AC\)](#) An Ordinance Appropriating \$119,986 to the Manager for the Valley Transit Center Capital Improvement Project; Grant Funding Provided by the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities.

G. LEGISLATION PULLED FROM CONSENT FOR DISCUSSION

Legislation pulled from the consent agenda during the meeting for further discussion, questions or to walk through the SRRC checklist on a particular piece of legislation.

Sample Motions:

"I move to forward Ordinance xxxx-xx (or Resolution xxxx) to the full Assembly as presented and ask for unanimous consent" or "I move the SRRC recommend to the Assembly it {fill in the recommendation} prior to taking action on proposed legislation"

H. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

December 2, 2022 SRRC Worksession Agenda [Packet](#)

The committee was unable to meet due to lack of quorum. Committee may wish to look finding another day to hold a worksession.

I. STAFF REPORTS

J. COMMITTEE MEMBER / LIAISON COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

K. NEXT MEETING DATE

L. ADJOURNMENT

ADA accommodations available upon request: Please contact the Clerk's office 36 hours prior to any meeting so arrangements can be made for closed captioning or sign language interpreter services depending on the meeting format. The Clerk's office telephone number is 586-5278, TDD 586-5351, e-mail: city.clerk@juneau.org.

Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Section F, Item 1.

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-62** An Ordinance Amending the Official Zoning Map of the City and Borough to Change the Zoning of 10.97 acres of the Pederson Hill Property Located near Karl Reishus Boulevard and Hamilton Street, from D-10SF to D-10.

Introduced: 12/12/2022 Public Hearing Date: _____ SRRC Review Date: _____

Presented By: Director Drafted By: Community Development

Department/Division: Community Development Lead Staff Contact: Jill Maclean

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

The primary goal of this amendment is to rezone 10.97 acres of Pederson Hill from D10 SF to D10 zoning. The goal is not to increase density, but instead to allow the developer more flexibility in how density on the site is distributed. The maximum density of these zoning districts is the same; however, D10 zoning allows more types of units, and more options for how to distribute density across the site. Currently, D10 SF does not allow any density above a single-family structure. D10 allows duplexes, and multifamily structures up to 10 units per acre.

Connection to existing legislation:

None

Connection to adopted planning documents:

Conforms to the [2013 Comprehensive Plan](#) Land Use [Map](#) designation of Medium Density Residential, and conforms with the goals of the 2013 Comprehensive Plan, the [2016 Housing Action Plan](#), and the 2016 [Economic Development Plan](#).

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?
If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:

YES	NO

- b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism
If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.

--	--

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- What are potential unintended consequences?
- What benefits may result?
- What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details:

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details:

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

- a. Who are the impacted group(s)?
☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other
- b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority						Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Elementary School Boundaries	
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley		CT 5: Downtown		Gastineau	Title 1
BG1: Out the road	11.9%	BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%	BG 1: Highlands	20.6%	Harborview	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG 2: Glacier Valley	39.8%	BG2: DT/Starr Hill	24.8%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%	BG 3: Airport	40.8%	BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%	Mendenhall River	
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	BG 4: Raddcliffe	24.6%			Riverbend	Title 1
						Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley within the Loop		CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek				Lower Income Housing Areas	
BG1: Mendenhall Taki	27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	CT 5: Douglas Island		Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	BG 2: Davis	45.0%	BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGinr	33.7%	BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%	BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%	BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT C	27.6%	Switzer Area	
BG 5:Glacierwood/Vir	41.2%					Kodzhoff Area	
						Douglas Hwy Corridor	

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?
If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

YES	NO

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?
If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation’s implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
	Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

- What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?
- Program strategies?
- Policy Strategies?
- Partnership Strategies?

Systemic Racism Review Committee
Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-63** An Ordinance Relating to the Gondola Revenue Sharing Agreement.

Introduced:12/12/2022 Public Hearing Date:_____SRRC Review Date:_____

Presented By: Dave Scanlan Drafted By: _____

Department/Division: _____ Lead Staff Contact: _____

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

This legislation is being brought forward to formalize a revenue sharing agreement between Eaglecrest/CBJ and Goldbelt Inc. In this Agreement Goldbelt is offering \$10 Million in development capital, to install the Gondola that the city purchased in April, in exchange for a sliding scale percentage of gross summer receipts. The agreement will remain in place for at least 25 years with the potential for extension until they have doubled their money. The goal of the Eaglecrest Gondola and Summer Adventure Park has been to generate revenue in the summer months from our cruise visitors to help subsidize affordable winter and summer recreation for Juneau and Southeast Residents. The expansion of Eaglecrest will also create new employment opportunities and the ability to offer more competitive wages.

Connection to existing legislation:

Connected to the Gondola funding ordinance 2021-08(b)(am)(Z)

Connection to adopted planning documents:

*****BELOW IS FOR SRRC MEMBERS TO COMPLETE*****

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?
If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:

YES	NO

- b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism
If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.

--	--

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
b. What benefits may result?

c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details:

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details:

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

- ☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
- ☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority						Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Elementary School Boundaries	
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley		CT 5: Downtown		Gastineau	Title 1
BG1: Out the road	11.9%	BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%	BG 1: Highlands	20.6%	Harborview	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG 2: Glacier Valley	39.8%	BG2: DT/Starr Hill	24.8%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%	BG 3: Airport	40.8%	BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%	Mendenhall River	
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	BG 4: Radcliffe	24.6%			Riverbend	Title 1
						Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop		CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek				Lower Income Housing Areas	
BG1: Mendenhall Taki	27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	CT 5: Douglas Island		Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	BG 2: Davis	45.0%	BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGinr	33.7%	BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%	BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%	BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D	27.6%	Switzer Area	
BG 5: Glacierwood/Vir	41.2%					Kodzhoff Area	
						Douglas Hwy Corridor	

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?

If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?

If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?
 Program strategies?
 Policy Strategies?
 Partnership Strategies?

Systemic Racism Review Committee
Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **2022-64** An Ordinance Reorganizing and Consolidating the Aquatics Board, the Treadwell Arena Advisory Board, the Jensen-Olson Arboretum Advisory Board, and the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee.

Introduced: 12/12/2022 Public Hearing Date: _____ SRRC Review Date: 12/13/2022

Presented By: The Manager Drafted By: R. Palmer III

Department/Division: Parks & Recreation Lead Staff Contact: George Schaaf, Director

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

This ordinance reorganizes and consolidates several boards and committees related to programs and facilities managed by the Parks & Recreation Department. The current structure consists of five boards and committees requiring 43 volunteer members. With more than one-third of these positions consistently vacant, meetings are often cancelled due to lack of quorum. This ordinance would reduce the number of boards to two (the Parks & Recreation Advisory Committee (PRAC) and the Youth Activities Board), consisting of 18 members total. This ordinance also re-establishes the PRAC and expands its review and oversight of specific actions affecting public use of parks and recreation facilities and services.

The current structure reduces the influence and effectiveness of Parks & Recreation boards and committees, and members typically come from very narrow interest groups that are not very representative of the larger community. This ordinance will create a more robust PRAC that should attract a wider range of community members representing more diverse interests and backgrounds.

Connection to existing legislation:

This ordinance repeals [Resolution 2377](#), [Resolution 2646](#), [Ordinance 2019-04\(b\)](#), and [Ordinance 2019-03\(b\)](#). Appointments to advisory boards are governed by [Resolution 2686](#).

Connection to adopted planning documents:

The [Parks & Recreation Master Plan](#) (2019-2029) suggests consolidating the functions of other Parks & Recreation boards and committees into the PRAC (Section 8.4.1, p. 119).

*****BELOW IS FOR SRRC MEMBERS TO COMPLETE*****

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?
If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:

YES	NO

- b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism

--	--

If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- What are potential unintended consequences?
- What benefits may result?
- What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:

- What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details:

- Has public input been received?
- If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details:

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

- Who are the impacted group(s)?

☐ White

☐ Black or African American

☐ American Indian or Alaska Native

☐ Asian

☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander

☐ Two or more races

☐ Other
- Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority						Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Elementary School Boundaries	
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley		CT 5: Downtown		Gastineau	Title 1
BG1: Out the road	11.9%	BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%	BG 1: Highlands	20.6%	Harborview	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG 2: Glacier Valley	39.8%	BG2: DT/Starr Hill	24.8%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%	BG 3: Airport	40.8%	BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%	Mendenhall River	
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	BG 4: Radcliffe	24.6%			Riverbend	Title 1
						Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley within the Loop		CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek		CT 5: Douglas Island		Lower Income Housing Areas	
BG1: Mendenhall Taki	27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%	Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	BG 2: Davis	45.0%	BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGinn	33.7%	BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%	BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT C	27.6%	Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%			Switzer Area	
BG 5: Glacierwood/Vir	41.2%					Kodzhoff Area	
						Douglas Hwy Corridor	

- c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?
If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

- d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?
If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation’s implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
	Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

- What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?
- Program strategies?
- Policy Strategies?
- Partnership Strategies?

Systemic Racism Review Committee
Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(Y)** An Ordinance Transferring \$60,000 from Eaglecrest's Fleet and Equipment Reserve Account to the Eaglecrest Gondola Capital Improvement Project.

Introduced: 12/12/2022 Public Hearing Date: 01/09/2023 SRRC Review Date: 12/13/2022

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Finance

Department/Division: Eaglecrest Lead Staff Contact: David Scanlan

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

Transportation of Eaglecrest’s Gondola exceeded cost estimates by \$261,608 as a result of steep fuel surcharges and detention fees on global shipping containers transported to Juneau. This expense will be covered by the following funding source:

Eaglecrest Deferred Maintenance CIP (D28-101)	\$161,608
Eaglecrest Fleet/Equipment Reserve Account	\$ 60,000
Eaglecrest Gondola CIP (E28-102)	\$ 40,000

This ordinance provides for the \$60,000 transfer from Eaglecrest’s fleet and equipment reserve account. Goldbelt loan proceeds may reimburse this account once the loan agreement is effectuated.

Connection to existing legislation:

[Ordinance 2021-08\(b\)\(am\)\(Z\)\(am\)](#) appropriated \$2M for the purchase of the gondola.
[Ordinance 2021-08\(b\)\(am\)\(AP\)](#) appropriated \$500K for the shipping/transport of the gondola.

Connection to adopted planning documents:

Eaglecrest Capital Improvement Plan, [Eaglecrest Summer Development Plan](#)

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

a.	Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism? <i>If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:</i>	<table><tr><td>YES</td><td>NO</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td></tr></table>	YES	NO		
YES	NO					
b.	Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism <i>If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.</i>	<table><tr><td></td><td></td></tr></table>				

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?

- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:

- d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Public Works and Facilities Committee reviewed this request at the November 28, 2022 meeting.

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be heard on January 9, 2023.

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

- a. Who are the impacted group(s)?
☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other
- b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority						Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Elementary School Boundaries	
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley		CT 5: Downtown		Gastineau	Title 1
BG1: Out the road	11.9%	BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%	BG 1: Highlands	20.6%	Harborview	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG 2: Glacier Valley	39.8%	BG2: DT/Starr Hill	24.8%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%	BG 3: Airport	40.8%	BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%	Mendenhall River	
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	BG 4: Radcliffe	24.6%			Riverbend	Title 1
						Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop		CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek		CT 5: Douglas Island		Lower Income Housing Areas	
BG1: Mendenhall Taki	27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%	Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	BG 2: Davis	45.0%	BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGinnr	33.7%	BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%	BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D	27.6%	Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%			Switzer Area	
BG 5:Glacierwood/Vir	41.2%					Kodzhoff Area	
						Douglas Hwy Corridor	

- c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?
If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

YES	NO

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?

If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Section F, Item 1.

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?

Program strategies?

Policy Strategies?

Partnership Strategies?

Systemic Racism Review Committee
Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(Z)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$250,000 to the Manager for Junk Vehicle Cleanup and Remediation at River Road; Funding Provided by General Funds.

Introduced: 12/12/2022 Public Hearing Date: 1/9/2023 SRRC Review Date: 12/13/2022

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Finance

Department/Division: RecycleWorks Lead Staff Contact: Rorie Watt/Sherri Layne

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

To date, 103 vehicles have been removed from the River Road property, costing a total of \$152,500. CBJ has been reimbursed for \$105,000 of that amount. The remaining balance will be reimbursed pursuant to a court order, which allows CBJ to foreclose on the property beginning with the vehicles.

As of October 27, 2022, there are still approximately 228 vehicles located at the River Road property. CBJ will request a court order to seize and auction any vehicles of value to attempt to recoup some of the remaining balance owed.

Due to the lengthy court process, there is a need for additional funds now to complete remediation work. Similar to the last round of clean up, CBJ will seek reimbursement of these expenses in subsequent legal proceedings, which may result in property foreclosure.

Connection to existing legislation:

Ordinance 2019-06(O) appropriated \$250,000 for vehicle cleanup at River Road in FY20.

Connection to adopted planning documents:

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- | | YES | NO |
|--|-----|----|
| a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?
<i>If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:</i> | | |
| b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism
<i>If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.</i> | | |

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?

- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:

- d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details:

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment will be heard on January 9, 2023

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

- a. Who are the impacted group(s)?
☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other
- b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority						Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Elementary School Boundaries	
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley		CT 5: Downtown		Gastineau	Title 1
BG1: Out the road	11.9%	BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%	BG 1: Highlands	20.6%	Harborview	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG 2: Glacier Valley	39.8%	BG2: DT/Starr Hill	24.8%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%	BG 3: Airport	40.8%	BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%	Mendenhall River	
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	BG 4: Radcliffe	24.6%			Riverbend	Title 1
						Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop		CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek		CT 5: Douglas Island		Lower Income Housing Areas	
BG1: Mendenhall Taki	27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%	Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	BG 2: Davis	45.0%	BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGinr	33.7%	BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%	BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D	27.6%	Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%			Switzer Area	
BG 5:Glacierwood/Vir	41.2%					Kodzhoff Area	
						Douglas Hwy Corridor	

- c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?
If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

- d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?

If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?

Program strategies?

Policy Strategies?

Partnership Strategies?

Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Section F, Item 1.

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(AA)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$3,164,401 to the Manager for the Power Upgrades for Electric Buses Capital Improvement Project; Grant Funding Provided by the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities.

Introduced: 12/12/2022 Public Hearing Date: 1/9/2023 SRRC Review Date: 12/13/2022

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Finance

Department/Division: Capital Transit Lead Staff Contact: Denise Koch

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

This ordinance would appropriate \$3,164,401 to the Power Upgrades for Electric Buses CIP. Grant funding is comprised of Federal Transit Administration funds passed through the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities. The local match requirement of \$558,425 is being met by previously appropriated funds in the Power Upgrades for Electric Buses CIP.

Connection to existing legislation:

Connection to adopted planning documents:

[Capital Improvement Plan](#)

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?

If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:

YES	NO

- b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism
If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.

--	--

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:

- d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Public Works and Facilities Committee will review this request at the December 19, 2022 meeting.

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on January 9, 2023.

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

- a. Who are the impacted group(s)?
☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other
- b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority						Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Elementary School Boundaries	
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley		CT 5: Downtown		Gastineau	Title 1
BG1: Out the road	11.9%	BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%	BG 1: Highlands	20.6%	Harborview	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG 2: Glacier Valley S	39.8%	BG2: DT/Starr Hill	24.8%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%	BG 3: Airport	40.8%	BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%	Mendenhall River	
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	BG 4: Radcliffe	24.6%			Riverbend	Title 1
						Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop		CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek				Lower Income Housing Areas	
BG1: Mendenhall Taki	27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	CT 5: Douglas Island		Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	BG 2: Davis	45.0%	BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGinr	33.7%	BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%	BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%	BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D	27.6%	Switzer Area	
BG 5:Glacierwood/Vir	41.2%					Kodzhoff Area	
						Douglas Hwy Corridor	

- c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?
If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

YES	NO

- d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?
If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation’s implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
	Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

- What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?
- Program strategies?
- Policy Strategies?
- Partnership Strategies?

Systemic Racism Review Committee

Legislation Review Summary

Section F, Item 1.

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(AB)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$1,039,438 to the Manager for the School Roof Replacement Capital Improvement Project; Grant Funding Provided by the Alaska Department of Education and Early Development.

Introduced: 12/12/2022 Public Hearing Date: 1/9/2023 SRRC Review Date: 12/13/2022

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Finance

Department/Division: Juneau School District/Engineering Lead Staff Contact: Katie Koester

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

This ordinance would appropriate \$1,039,438 to the School Roof Replacement CIP for the Gastineau School roof replacement project. Grant funding provided by the Alaska Department of Education and Early Development replaces previously appropriated general funds, which will be reallocated to the Juneau School District Deferred Maintenance CIP. The local match requirement of \$559,697 is being met by previously appropriated bond proceeds in the School Roof Replacement CIP.

Connection to existing legislation:

--

Connection to adopted planning documents:

[Capital Improvement Plan](#)

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?

If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:

YES	NO

- b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism
If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.

--	--

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- What are potential unintended consequences?
- What benefits may result?
- What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Public Works and Facilities Committee will review this request at the December 19, 2022 meeting.

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on January 9, 2023.

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

- a. Who are the impacted group(s)?
☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other
- b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority						Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Elementary School Boundaries	
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley		CT 5: Downtown		Gastineau	Title 1
BG1: Out the road	11.9%	BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%	BG 1: Highlands	20.6%	Harborview	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG 2: Glacier Valley	39.8%	BG2: DT/Starr Hill	24.8%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%	BG 3: Airport	40.8%	BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%	Mendenhall River	
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	BG 4: Radcliffe	24.6%			Riverbend	Title 1
						Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop		CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek				Lower Income Housing Areas	
BG1: Mendenhall Taki	27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	CT 5: Douglas Island		Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	BG 2: Davis	45.0%	BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGinnr	33.7%	BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%	BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%	BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D	27.6%	Switzer Area	
BG 5:Glacierwood/Vir	41.2%					Kodzhoff Area	
						Douglas Hwy Corridor	

- c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?
If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

YES	NO

- d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?
If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation’s implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
	Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

- What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?
- Program strategies?
- Policy Strategies?
- Partnership Strategies?

Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Section F, Item 1.

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(AC)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$119,986 to the Manager for the Valley Transit Center Capital Improvement Project; Grant Funding Provided by the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities.

Introduced: 12/12/2022 Public Hearing Date: 01/09/2023 SRRC Review Date: 12/13/2022

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Finance

Department/Division: Capital Transit Lead Staff Contact: Denise Koch

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

This ordinance would appropriate \$119,986 to the Valley Transit Center CIP. Grant funding is comprised of Federal Transit Administration funds passed through the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities. The local match requirement of \$29,997 is being met by previously appropriated funds in the Valley Transit Center CIP. The original grant award erroneously excluded administrative overhead as an eligible expense; this grant amendment corrects this error by allocating additional funds for this purpose.

Connection to existing legislation:

Connection to adopted planning documents:

[Capital Improvement Plan](#)

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?

If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:

YES	NO

- b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism
If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.

--	--

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- What are potential unintended consequences?
- What benefits may result?
- What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Public Works and Facilities Committee will review this request at the December 19, 2022 meeting.

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on January 9, 2023.

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

- a. Who are the impacted group(s)?
 - ☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
 - ☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other
- b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority						Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Elementary School Boundaries	
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley		CT 5: Downtown		Gastineau	Title 1
BG1: Out the road	11.9%	BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%	BG 1: Highlands	20.6%	Harborview	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG 2: Glacier Valley	39.8%	BG2: DT/Starr Hill	24.8%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%	BG 3: Airport	40.8%	BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%	Mendenhall River	
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	BG 4: Radcliffe	24.6%			Riverbend	Title 1
						Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop		CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek		CT 5: Douglas Island		Lower Income Housing Areas	
BG1: Mendenhall Taki	27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%	Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	BG 2: Davis	45.0%	BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGinr	33.7%	BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%	BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT C	27.6%	Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%			Switzer Area	
BG 5:Glacierwood/Vir	41.2%					Kodzhoff Area	
						Douglas Hwy Corridor	

- c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?
If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

YES	NO

- d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?
If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation’s implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
	Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

- What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?
- Program strategies?
- Policy Strategies?
- Partnership Strategies?