

EVALUATION COMMITTEE MEETING - JANITORIAL SERVICES MINUTES

May 10, 2024 at 3:00 PM Council Chambers – 340 Ocean Drive

PRESENT:

MICHAEL A. VENTURA, FINANCE DIRECTOR

TIMOTHY HANNON, DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS

MAJOR MARK SALOIO

CAITLIN E. COPELAND-RODRIGUEZ, TOWN CLERK FIORELLA VERDECIA, ADMINISTRATIVE SECRETARY

ALSO PRESENT:

STEVEN HALLOCK, PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR

FRANK DAVILA, PLANNING & ZONING DIRECTOR

Audience: 0

CALL TO ORDER

Finance Director Ventura called the meeting to order at 3:00pm. He announced that the public is allowed to attend the meeting, but participation is limited to the Evaluation Committee members only. He also stated that the purpose of the Committee meeting is to review, rank and vet out the companies which submitted proposals to the Town's RFP, Request for Proposal for Janitorial Services for the Town of Juno Beach.

REVIEW OF PROPOSALS

Finance Director Ventura stated that they received a total of eight (8) responses to the RFP and explained the process for ranking the firms.

The Committee reviewed and discussed the following proposals:

(See attached evaluation sheets.)

AMERICAN JANITORIAL

Major Saloio stated that he gave this company a total of <u>80</u> out of 100.

Deputy Director of Public Works Hannon stated that he gave this company a total of 90 out of 100.

Finance Director Ventura stated that he gave this company a total of <u>88</u> out of 100.

CHI-ADA CORPORATION

Major Saloio stated that he gave this company a total of <u>46</u> out of 100.

Deputy Director of Public Works Hannon stated that he gave this company a total of <u>75</u> out of 100.

Finance Director Ventura stated that he gave this company a total of <u>67</u> out of 100.

CLEANSPACE

Major Saloio stated that he gave this company a total of <u>77</u> out of 100.

Deputy Director of Public Works Hannon stated that he gave this company a total of <u>93</u> out of 100.

Finance Director Ventura stated that he gave this company a total of <u>87</u> out of 100.

ELITE CLEAN INC

Major Saloio stated that he gave this company a total of <u>36</u> out of 100.

Deputy Director of Public Works Hannon stated that he gave this company a total of <u>79</u> out of 100.

Finance Director Ventura stated that he gave this company a total of 66 out of 100.

IMAGE COMPANIES

Major Saloio stated that he gave this company a total of <u>77</u> out of 100.

Deputy Director of Public Works Hannon stated that he gave this company a total of <u>90</u> out of 100.

Finance Director Ventura stated that he gave this company a total of <u>84</u> out of 100.

JUMEP MULTY SERVICES

Major Saloio stated that he gave this company a total of <u>73</u> out of 100.

Deputy Director of Public Works Hannon stated that he gave this company a total of <u>96</u> out of 100.

Finance Director Ventura stated that he gave this company a total of <u>100</u> out of 100.

SOUTHERN CLEANING SERVICE INC.

Major Saloio stated that he gave this company a total of <u>85</u> out of 100.

Deputy Director of Public Works Hannon stated that he gave this company a total of 95 out of 100.

Finance Director Ventura stated that he gave this company a total of <u>86</u> out of 100.

STRATUS BUILDING SOLUTIONS

Major Saloio stated that he gave this company a total of 92 out of 100.

Deputy Director of Public Works Hannon stated that he gave this company a total of <u>92</u> out of 100.

Finance Director Ventura stated that he gave this company a total of 82 out of 100.

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION AND FINAL RANKING

The Committee discussed and performed a final ranking on their evaluation sheets (see attached).

MOTION: Hannon/Saloio made a motion to approve of <u>JUMEP Multy Services</u> for Janitorial services.

ACTION: The motion passed unanimously.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 3:39pm.

ATTEST:

Caitlin E. Copeland-Rodriguez, MMC, Town Clerk



Request for Proposal: Janitorial Services

American Janitorial

	Scoring Criteria Tabulation				n
Evaluation	#1	#2	#3	#4	Total
Committee Member					
Mark Saloio	10 /10	15/15	15/15	40/60	80/100
Major			1000		
Michael Ventura	10/10	15/15	15/15	49/60	88 /100
Finance Director				, 0	
Timothy Hannon	8 /10	15/15	13/15	54/60	90/100
Deputy Director of Public Works		,	,-		10
TOTAL					
					258/300

Chi-Ada Corporation

Chi-Aua Coi poi audu				
ring Criteria Tabulation	Scoring Criteria Tabulation			
#2 #3 #4 Total	#1	Evaluation		
		Committee Member		
15/15 15/15 10/60 46/100	6 /10	Mark Saloio		
		Major		
/15 /5/15 32/60 67/100	5/10	Michael Ventura		
a logate com and		Finance Director		
15 12/15 41/60 75/100	7/10	Timothy Hannon		
	'	Deputy Director of Public Works		
TOTAL /20/300	17 /			
10				
#4 10/60 32/60 41/60	#2 #3 15 /15 15 /15 15 /15 15 /15 15 /15 12 /15	#1 #2 #3 6 /10 /5 /15 /5 /15 5 /10 /5 /15 /5 /15 7 /10 /5 /15 /2 /15		

Cleanspace

	Scoring Criteria Tabulation				n
Evaluation	#1	#2	#3	#4	Total
Committee Member					
Mark Saloio	Q/10	13/15	15/15	40/60	77/100
Major	7	•			
Michael Ventura	5/10	15/15	15/15	52/60	87/100
Finance Director				and the second	
Timothy Hannon	7/10	15/15	14/15	57/60	Q.3/100
Deputy Director of Public Works	'				
TOTAL					

Elite Clean Inc.

		Scoring Criteria Tabulation				
Evaluation	#1	#2	#3	#4	Total	
Committee Member						
Mark Saloio	5/10	6/15	15/15	10/60	36e/100	
Major						
Michael Ventura	e /10	15/15	10 /15	36/60	66/100	
Finance Director	3	'	"		(E.O.	
Timothy Hannon	7 /10	12/15	14 /15	46/60	79/100	
Deputy Director of Public Works	•		•		• •	
			T	OTAL	191/300	
					10.	

Image Companies

	Scoring Criteria Tabulation				n
Evaluation	#1	#2	#3	#4	Total
Committee Member					
Mark Saloio	/ 0/10	12/15	15/15	40/60	77/100
Major					٠,
Michael Ventura	/0/10	15/15	5/15	54/60	84/100
Finance Director					0-1
Timothy Hannon	7/10	13/15	12/15	58/60	90 /100
Deputy Director of Public Works	'		100		10
			T	OTAL	251/300

JUMEP Multy Services

	Scoring Criteria Tabulation				
Evaluation	#1	#2	#3	#4	Total
Committee Member					
Mark Saloio	5 /10	13 /15	10/15	45/60	73/100
Major		·		•	•
Michael Ventura	/6/10	15/15	<i>15</i> /15	60/60	/ CO /100
Finance Director			•		-
Timothy Hannon	% /10	14/15	14/15	(an /60	Gto /100
Deputy Director of Public Works		' '	• •		100
			Т	OTAL	269/300
					\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \

Southern Cleaning Service Inc.

Southern Cleaning Service Inc.					
	Scoring Criteria Tabulation				n
Evaluation	#1	#2	#3	#4	Total
Committee Member					
Mark Saloio	5/10	15/15	15/15	50 /60	85/100
Major			,-		
Michael Ventura	5/10	10/15	15/15	56/60	86/100
Finance Director	~		,		
Timothy Hannon	7/10	15/15	<i>15</i> /15	58 /60	Q 5/100
Deputy Director of Public Works	,	1	* Cop		
	T		T	OTAL	266/300
					-

Stratus Building Solutions

_	Scoring Criteria Tabulation				
Evaluation	#1	#2	#3	#4	Total
Committee Member					
Mark Saloio	5/10	15/15	12/15	60 /60	Q_{2} /100
Major					
Michael Ventura	5/10	10/15	15/15	52/60	& 2 /100
Finance Director					
Timothy Hannon	7/10	13/15	15/15	57/60	92/100
Deputy Director of Public Works	•		, -	'	, , ,
***************************************			Γ	OTAL	266300
					27 0

Completed By Town Clerk and/or Designee:

	Max. Points	Category
#1	10	Personnel
		Availability of qualified personnel.
#2	15	Vendor Profile-Qualifications, Background, and Experience of Firm
		 Qualifications and experience with similar projects. Evidence of valid state, county, and local licenses and receipts proving authority to conduct business in the jurisdiction of the work.
#3	15	References (Provide a minimum of three)
		 Provide a minimum of three (3) customers with a brief description of similar work performed (Government Preferred). Provide the designated contact person's name, phone number, email address, location and dates of service (within the last 2 years).
#4	60	Price
		Completed itemized proposal form to include all products and services.



Request for Proposal: Janitorial Services

ı	Scoring Criteria Tabulation								
Firm (in alphabetical order)	#1	#2	#3	#4	Total				
American Janitorial	% /10	1.5/15	1.3/15	54/60	90/100				
Chi-Ada Corporation	7/10	15/15	12/15	41/60	757100				
Cleanspace	7/10	15/15	14/15	57/60	93/100				
Elite Clean Inc	7/10	2/15	14/15	46/60	79/100				
Image Companies	7/10	13/15	12/15	58/60	90/100				
JUMEP Multy Services LLC	8 /10	14/15	14/15	60/60	96/100				
Southern Cleaning Service Inc	7 /10	15/15	15/15	58/60	95/100				
Stratus Building Solutions	7/10	13 /15	15/15	57/60	92/100				

	Max. Points	Category
#1	10	Availability of qualified personnel.
#2		 Vendor Profile-Qualifications, Background, and Experience of Firm Qualifications and experience with similar projects. Evidence of valid state, county, and local licenses and receipts proving authority to conduct business in the jurisdiction of the work.
#3	15	 References (Provide a minimum of three) Provide a minimum of three (3) customers with a brief description of similar work performed (Government Preferred). Provide the designated contact person's name, phone number, email address, location and dates of service (within the last 2 years).
#4	60	Completed itemized proposal form to include all products and services.

Completed By:

Signature

Date: 5/10/24

Print Name

	AJI	, chi-Ada	clean space	Elite Clean
Jusonnel		proposed supervisions	Clearing Crew	Point of contract
	Cevers N.P.B.	Supervior	TBD	
Verdon Qual	(15)	(B)	(E)	(2)
qual	multiple muniple projects	Smilar work Ins/Tax receipt	Similar work Current Vendor	mentioned trill but little detail
fel	(13)	B		(4)
	Secont Relivement	Aug-3.77/5.0 Browned County Cov	SALASOTA SALASFIED	Coper CAY Apture Correr
Price	2713.10	4090.67	2472	36W (46)
	#6	18	*4	* 7
			1	

personnel	people listed guper	Junep Sumep Suprevisors!	SCSI Gupenvisons listed	Stratus Contacts Usted
Jerdon Jerdon	ASIGNED TO JUND 13) Ins, TAX state Gling	Claring staff listed (4) I-Municipality	(3) Large Chent Base	Tas,
(et	Statement Syps No detail (12) Parking garages Lake Park-Simikir Avg,	Ins pax Receipt Cosis (IV) Lawrang V 2 schools-Lep Conot.	Bins, Trasition Plan 18 start up City of DayTona " " Clermont Both Save great review	
Prie	2,400 58 # 3	2160	2325 (58) # 2	2495 57
		2160 VS. 2060		

Services OE Contract: R1214808P4 - Janitorial Services - Large Facilities - Group

3 -Contract 4

Prime Vendor: CHI-ADA CORPORATION

<u>CA:Scott</u> <u>Campbell</u>

Completed Service Evaluation - Status: Approved

Evaluation Rated By Using Agency: FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

Evaluation Score: 3.77

CHI-ADA CORPORATION IS RECOMMENDED For Future Contracts

Remarks:

Rated By: **Building Manager** On 7/28/2021 Reviewed By: **Scott Campbell** On 9/10/2021

Numerical Score: 3.77

Quality	Category Average: 3.88	
How well did the vendor's services meet the contract specifications?	3	
How accurate and timely were any necessary repairs or reconfigurations?	4	
How appropriate were vendor recommended repairs or upgrades?	4	
How accurate were vendor reports of services provided?	4	
How proactive was vendor in self-monitoring to ensure required service quality was met?	4	
How well did vendor pass inspections of services on the first inspection?	4	
How complete and timely did the vendor submit warranties, manuals, etc.?	N/A	
How qualified and knowledgeable were the vendor's staff in the performance of the specified work?	4	
How would you rate the overall quality of work?	4	
Comments:		
Quantity	Category Average: N/A	
How accurate were the estimated service hours to the actual hours of service provided?	N/A	
How accurate were estimated services to those actually needed to complete the project?	N/A	
Comments:		
Delivery	Category Average: 3.57	
How well did the vendor comply with the contract requirements for delivery and/or scheduling for completion of services?	4	
How timely was the vendor's response to replacing damaged goods?	4	
How timely was the vendor in requesting inspections or submittal of. completion reports?	4	
How responsive was the vendor to correcting inspection failures?	4	
How clear and understandable were vendor invoices and reports?	3	
How accurate were vendor invoices?	3	
How prompt and responsive was the vendor when sending invoices and resolving any invoice discrepancies?	3	
How complete and accurate was documentation of pass thrus for invoices?	N/A	

Comments:	
Customer Service	
	Catogory Average: 3.83
How promptly were County staff's communications responded to, including requests for information, proposals, and quotes?	3
How proactive was the vendor in addressing County staff's problems or concerns regarding the service?	4
How courteous/professional was the vendor in working with the County, sub-vendors, County tenants/customers?	4
How sensitive/responsive was the vendor to working around County operationaal needs?	5 .
How consistent and clear were the vendor communications with County staff?	3
How responsive and timely was the vendor in providing emergency services and/or support?	4
Comments:	
Support	Category Average; 3,67
How close was the level of vendor technical expertise to what was needed to support the service?	3
How timely was the vendor response compared to the contract requirements?	4
How clear was the vendor information regarding the warranty or replacement policy?	3
How well did the vendor respond to warranty service requests?	4
How timely were repairs completed?	4
How well did the vendor coordinate any sub vendors?	4
Comments:	
Living Wage Compliance	Category Average: 4
How prominent was the Living Wage rate provision poster displayed in workplace?	3
How timely was the vendor in providing the three language statement with each covered employee in the first paycheck or direct deposit slip and every six months thereafter in accordance with the Living Wage Ordinance?	4
How timely and complete were the required compliance payroll reports submitted?	. 5
How proactive was the vendor in ensuring Living Wage compliance, including inserting into subcontracts, by any subcontractor with the Living Wage Ordinance as it applies to the subcontract?	N/A
If applicable, how cooperative was the vendor in responding to and resolving any employee complaints regarding alleged violations of Living Wage contract requirements?	N/A
Comments:	

References Take county BOCC SATISFIED with their WORK Management (esponsive to concerns grown over years but still satisfied Don doesn't over see net Any more stupped about 1/2 you ago Volusia - Country - Ashley Brookman Day To Day Good Mangement very responsive-probably best asset SATISFIEL W WORK just renewed for 3 ys After A Syr content City of Ormand Bench -Bill Rose retired 2 weeks Ago - handled for Syrs

References Miamit Sade County Transit LAZARO- CAN office, just rang, No van aption - Sent email-bounced Back
- CAMED CON Left VM Broward Country Commissiones Angre Splings- empiled- Aug. 3.77/5 Fulton County Government James Morehead - compiled Clean Space City of Boynton Beach - Melvin - Colled Asked For (set emoil leturnes City of SACAS OTA - DOMINISME. Left VM Sext email Comployee Health Center Maybe 2 alls managen in 2 yrs. Mgn. gesponnie Endraid Bruce Centy Left VM Sext email SAFished Elite Clean Green Con Nature Center-Bobby -4-5 other clearing services. Best handling concerns Satisfied - Really good progressing warre Certer-AlissA left um, sent email Arrigo Chrysler - Roul - VM Pull, crit leave message, Sent email References

	Emage Companies
	Tropical shipping Joseph - Left vm Sent Empil
Parla	Town of Cace Pronk Lyps, MANGSement 50150
	SATISTICO EXCENSALI 90 PW
	MARINA schedule change during year, takes some time to
	work out
	Tumer Multy Secrices
	ti (1 to 2000 to 2000 to Carlos and the total Most and Sive
	Town of CAMANA VANESSA COFF VITT, OTTICES/RESTIDENT/ " New yearder
Legolons	Solder (Rive eternestary - Vitigesh - good any 10 mg
	Town of CANTANA-VANESSA COST VM, Offices Restrooms/Mos north sendon To Golden Grove Clementary-V/A jest - good dry to dry Any Issico colle sude/emmanuel and they are Available and handle it.
ego Cont.	Princip - his Genet Contestied Sale of Same
e for the	Poinicia - ly Great Saturied Spoke of Sameer Elementary Another school to Start in June
	O THOUSE SCHOOL TO STATE STUDIOS
	(SCSI) Southern Cleaning Service
	Sunter County-Freddie Gilled CM Sert Email
	Sunta County-Freddie Called CM, Sert Email City of Daytona Beach-Frank - Excellent, Call Someone Avsurs
	City of Clermont-Todd-About Tyr-Outside finside
	City of Clermont-Todd-About lyr-Outside finside
	Condants har bled
	Condaints har bled
*	
9	

	STratus)
	FPUA-Sharon 2 buildings Mich 2-yrs. Supervisor. Very Sotisfied.
	FICHT GIMON J WITCH STORY
	Supercoisor -
	Voy Satistied's
	PB Convention Center-Carlo-Leftum. Loggerhend Plaza-Michelle Very Responsie 4.5-5
	Loggerhend Plaza - Michelle Very Responsie
	4.5-5
	·
	·
	·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-	



Request for Proposal: Janitorial Services

	Sc	oring Criteria	Tabulation		
Firm	#1	#2	#3	#4	Total
(in alphabetical order)					
American Janitorial	/0 /10	15/15	15/15	40/60	80/100
Chi-Ada Corporation	6/10	15/15	15/15	16/60	4/6/100
Cleanspace	9/10	13/15	15/15	<i>40</i> /60	77/100
Elite Clean Inc	5/10	6 /15	15/15	%0 /60	36/100
/ 'Image Companies	/ <i>p</i> /10	12/15	15,/15	40 /60	7/ /100
JUMEP Multy Services	5/10	415	/15	/60	100 02
X LLC		13	,	45	10
Southern Cleaning -	/10	15/15	15 /15	50/60	90/1005
Service Inc	-5		,		(05)
Stratus Building	1/10	15/15	45/15	W)60	92/100
- Solutions	5	, ,	12/15	u	# 90

	Max. Points	Category
#1	10	PersonnelAvailability of qualified personnel.
#2	15	 Vendor Profile-Qualifications, Background, and Experience of Firm Qualifications and experience with similar projects. Evidence of valid state, county, and local licenses and receipts proving authority to conduct business in the jurisdiction of the work.
#3	15	 References (Provide a minimum of three) Provide a minimum of three (3) customers with a brief description of similar work performed (Government Preferred). Provide the designated contact person's name, phone number, email address, location and dates of service (within the last 2 years).
#4	60	Completed itemized proposal form to include all products and services.

Completed By: Signature



Request for Proposal: Janitorial Services

	Scoring Criteria Tabulation					
Firm	#1	#2	#3	#4	Total	
(in alphabetical order)						
American Janitorial	10/10	/\^/15	/15	4 V /60	8 ≥ ₹ 100	
Chi-Ada Corporation	/10	(1-/15	18 /15	32/60	62/100	
Cleanspace	5/10	/,/15	//15	\$ 2/60	\$7/100	
Elite Clean Inc	10/سع	/15	10 /15	36/60	66/100	
Image Companies	10^{-10}	/,/15	/15	54/60	g g/100	
JUMEP Multy Services	/10	JH5	/15	60/60	/00/100	
LLC	10	/ 3	()			
Southern Cleaning	/10	/15	/15	56/60	86/100	
Service Inc	2	ro	15			
Stratus Building Solutions	5 /10	10 /15	15/15	52/60	82/100	

	Max. Points	Category
#1	10	Availability of qualified personnel.
#2	15	 Vendor Profile-Qualifications, Background, and Experience of Firm Qualifications and experience with similar projects. Evidence of valid state, county, and local licenses and receipts proving authority to conduct business in the jurisdiction of the work.
#3	15	 References (Provide a minimum of three) Provide a minimum of three (3) customers with a brief description of similar work performed (Government Preferred). Provide the designated contact person's name, phone number, email address, location and dates of service (within the last 2 years).
#4	60	Completed itemized proposal form to include all products and services.

Completed By:

Signature

Michael VENTURA

Date: (10/29



Request for Proposal: Janitorial Services

		Scoring Criteria Tabulation					
	Firm	#1	#2	#3	#4	Total	
	(in alphabetical order)						_
2581	ASI	10	15	15	48	88	90180
V	cli-ADA	5	15	15	32	67-	75%
257 V	Cless Space	5	15	15	52	87	93 { 77
181	E2.72	15	15	10	36	66	79 75
251	Insse	10	15	5	54	84.	90 77
269 V	TMS	10	15	15	60	100.	96.73
2665 V	5<51	5	301/0	-15	56	861	95 85
26/01 V	SYRATUS	15	ph 10	11 3	52	82	92 92
							18

	Max. Points	Category		
#1	10	Personnel Availability of qualified personnel.		
#2	Vendor Profile-Qualifications, Background, and Experience of Firm			
		Qualifications and experience with similar projects. Evidence of valid state, county, and local licenses and receipts proving authority to conduct business in the jurisdiction of the work.		
#3	15	References (Provide a minimum of three) 10 Provide a minimum of three (3) customers with a brief description of similar work performed (Government Preferred). 9 Provide the designated contact person's name, phone number, email address, location and dates of service (within the last 2 years).		
#4	60	Price % • Completed itemized proposal form to include all products and services.		

Completed By:			Date:
	Signature		
23			
	Print Name	-	

5/7/2024 Janitorial Services

ITEM	TEM DESCRIPTION		AJI	ਹ	Chi-Ada	Cle	Clean Space	Eli	Elite Clean	п	Image Co.		JMS	S	SCSI	S	Stratus
τ	Town Center - Common Areas	\$	1,055.01	\$	954.67	⇔	1,236 \$	υ	1,000	မှ	674.18	69	540	↔	988	69	773
7	Town Center - Administrative Areas	↔	1,055.01	\$	1,298.15 \$	↔	618	\$	009	₩	841.39	₩	540	₩.	886	€9	773
3	Police Department	÷	437.25	θ	1,329.96 \$	€9	309	↔	1,000	↔	629.31	€	540	⇔	140	↔	500
4	Gym and Locker Room	↔	165.83	↔	\$ 68'.209	↔	309	↔	1,000	↔	255.13	↔	540	s	209	↔	450
	Hourly Rate	↔	28.00	€	18.50 \$	€9	. 25 \$	₩	ı	€9-	23.50	69	25	€9	26	↔	23
	Monthly Total	↔	2,713.10	€9	4,090.67	↔	2,472.00 \$	↔	3,600.00	₩	2,400.01	€>	2,400.01 \$ 2,160.00	↔	2,325.00	€9	2,495.00
	Annual Total	↔	32,557.20	69	49,088.04	↔	29,664.00 \$	€9	43,200.00	↔	28,800.12	69-	25,920.00	€9	27,900.00	49	29,940.00

5/7/2024

Janitorial Services

1. Attach required Town forms to this RFF

1) Cover Letter

2) Proposal Form

3) Drug Free Workplace
4) Public Entity Crime Statement
5) Scrutinized Vendor Certification
6) FBI- CJIS Level One Certification

Chi	Chi-Ada	Clean Space	Elite Clean	Image Co.	JMS	SCSI	Stratus
Yes	S	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	oN	No
Yes	S	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	S	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	s	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	S	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No	0	No	No	Yes	Yes	٥N	oN.

- Describe the firm's organizational background.
- Number of years in business.
- Include a description of the firm's experience in providing similar work and projects.
- Copy of business license from your city of origin and proof the company is licensed to sell/perform their services in the State of Florida. c. Include a description of the firm's experience i.
 d. Federal tax ID number.
 e. Copy of business license from your city of origing.
 f. The staff person assigned to the Town's project.
- Disclose any relevant information that your firm believes demonstrates its qualifications for the project and/or distinguishes the firm's proposal from other proposals. in &
 - Confirmation of any addendums posted.
- State this proposal is valid for 60 days from the due date of the RFP.
- The letter must be signed by a person authorized to bind the company in a contract with the Town.

						Section 1997 and 1997			
	AJI	-	. Chi-Ada	Clean Space	Elite Clean	Image Co.	JMS	SCSI	Stratus
	×		×		×	×	×	×	×
	×		×	×	×	×	×	×	×
	×		×	×	×	×	×	Boiler	×
COLUMN TO SERVICE STATE OF THE	×		×	×	×	×	×	×	×
	×		×	×	×	×	×		
	×		×	×	Poc	×	×		
	none	d)			×	×	×		
	×		×	>	×	×	×		
	×		×	×	×	×	×	×	×

Janitorial Services	AJI	Chi-Ada	Clean Space	Elite Clean	Image Co.	SMC	SCSI	Stratus
Cover Letter	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
References	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Itemized Summary of Proposal Items	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Attach Required Forms	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
1 Original and 3 copies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Availability of qualified personnel								
Qualifications, Background and Experience of Firm						·		
								8
 Evidence of valid state, county and local licenses and receipts proving authority to conduct business in the iurisdiction of the work. 								
References (provide a minimum of 3)								
 Provide a minimum of three (3) projects with a brief description of similar work performed. 			1819					
Provide the designated contact person's name from the referenced projects including: title, organization, address telephone number, email address, location and date.								