

EVALUATION COMMITTEE INTERVIEW(S) MEETING REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS – PROFESSIONAL LEGAL SERVICES MINUTES

October 17, 2025 at 8:30 AM

Council Chambers - 340 Ocean Drive

PRESENT:

PEGGY WHEELER, MAYOR (COMMITTEE MEMBER)

JOHN CALLAGHAN, VICE MAYOR (COMMITTEE MEMBER) DIANA DAVIS, VICE MAYOR PRO TEM (COMMITTEE MEMBER) MARIANNE HOSTA, COUNCILMEMBER (COMMITTEE MEMBER)

DD HALPERN, COUNCILMEMBER (COMMITTEE MEMBER)

ALSO PRESENT:

CAITLIN E. COPELAND-RODRIGUEZ, TOWN CLERK

AUDIENCE: (See attached Sign-In Sheet)

CALL TO ORDER - 8:30AM

Mayor Wheeler called the meeting to order at 8:30am. She announced that the public is allowed to attend the meeting, but participation is limited to the Evaluation Committee members and the list of firms only. She asked the Town Clerk to provide an overview of the purpose and process of this meeting.

Town Clerk Copeland-Rodriguez stated that the purpose of the Committee meeting is to interview the selected firms and conduct a final ranking utilizing a scale of 1 to 3, with 1 representing the most preferred and 3 representing the least. She informed the Committee that Weiss Serota Helfman Cole & Bierman had submitted a letter formally withdrawing from consideration. She also stated that interviews would be conducted in alphabetical order and requested that the firms remain in a separate room until they are called in.

The Committee conducted interviews with Davis & Associates, P.A., Jones Foster, and TG Law PLLC.

Meeting was recessed at 9:53am. Meeting was reconvened at 9:57am.

Town Clerk Copeland-Rodriguez asked the committee to complete their ranking sheet.

(See attached ranking sheets and notes.)

FINAL RANKINGS AND RECOMMENDATION TO THE TOWN COUNCIL

Following the initial ranking, further discussion was conducted due to a three-way tie among the firms.

After discussion, Committee Member Davis adjusted her ranking.

Based on the final rankings following the score adjustments, TG Law PLLC received the lowest overall score, indicating they were the most favored by the Evaluation Committee.

The majority of the Committee reached a consensus to have staff initiate contract negotiations with TG Law PLLC, with the resulting agreement to be presented to the Town Council at a future meeting for award consideration.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 11:18am.

ATTEST:

Caitlin E. Copeland-Rodriguez, MMC, Town Clerk



Town of Juno Beach Evaluation Committee Meeting – Professional Legal Services October 17, 2025 at 8:30AM

SIGN-IN SHEET

NAME	REPRESENTING	PHONE # & EMAIL
Cyndie Wolf		
Cyndie Wolf Susan Johnson		,
ALDO ROVERE		
KEITH DIANS	F (A	
HIDO ROVERE LEITH DIANS Mits Bound	Javis & 1300.	
	× v	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	,	
	,	



Town of Juno Beach

EVALUATION COMMITTEE MEETING - INTERVIEW(S) FOR PROFESSIONAL LEGAL SERVICES FINAL RANKING FORM October 17, 2025

FIRM(S)		Committee	Members	& Rankings	Fo	TOTAL SCORE
(In Alphabetical Order)	Peggy	John	Diana	Marianne	DD	
	Wheeler	Callaghan	Davis	Hosta	Halpern	
Davis & Associates, PA.	1	2	3	1	3	10
Jones Foster	2	3	12	a	2	JO
TG Law PLLC	3	(21	3		LO

Completed By:

Signature

Date: 10/17/2025

Caitlin Capeland-Rodri



Please rank the following firms based on the interviews. Use 1 for your top choice, 2 for your second choice, and 3 for your third choice. The firm with the lowest score will be identified as the top-ranked firm.

	Ranking		
Firm	Rank (1, 2, or 3)	Notes	
(in alphabetical order)	(Ranking on a scale of 1 to 3, with 1 representing the most preferred and 3 the least.)	ž s	
Davis & Associates, P.A.		*	2
Jones Foster	2		
TC L and DL L C		¥	
TG Law PLLC	3		
Completed By: Signature	Thele Date: Oct 17.	2025	



Please rank the following firms based on the interviews. Use 1 for your top choice, 2 for your second choice, and 3 for your third choice. The firm with the lowest score will be identified as the top-ranked firm.

	Ranking	
Firm (in alphabetical order)	Rank (1, 2, or 3) (Ranking on a scale of 1 to 3, with 1 representing the most preferred and 3 the least.)	Notes
Davis & Associates, P.A.	2	9
Jones Foster	. 3	e e
TG Law PLLC	/	

Completed By:

Signature John T. Callagha

rint Name

Data



Please rank the following firms based on the interviews. Use 1 for your top choice, 2 for your second choice, and 3 for your third choice. The firm with the lowest score will be identified as the top-ranked firm.

	Ranking	
Firm (in alphabetical order)	Rank (1, 2, or 3) (Ranking on a scale of 1 to 3, with 1 representing the most preferred and 3 the least.)	Notes
Davis & Associates, P.A.	3	
Jones Foster	X 2 00	H
TG Law PLLC	2 1 000	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

Completed By:

Signature

Date: 10-17-2025

Drint Nama



Please rank the following firms based on the interviews. Use 1 for your top choice, 2 for your second choice, and 3 for your third choice. The firm with the lowest score will be identified as the top-ranked firm.

	Ranking	
Firm (in alphabetical order)	Rank (1, 2, or 3) (Ranking on a scale of 1 to 3, with 1 representing the most	Notes
(preferred and 3 the least.)	1
Davis & Associates, P.A.	1	
Jones Foster	2	2
TG Law PLLC	3	

Completed By:

Signature

Marianne Hosta

Print Name

Marianne Hosta



Please rank the following firms based on the interviews. Use 1 for your top choice, 2 for your second choice, and 3 for your third choice. The firm with the lowest score will be identified as the top-ranked firm.

		**
	Ranking	
	Rank (1, 2, or 3)	Notes
(Ranking on a		
, 0	preferred and 3 the least.)	
		- Possible conflict of Interest does to Reill D
		Interest does to Reith D
	3	wood for feggy wheeler.
		CITATI VOLESON MAYOR
		- No references - Doorly
		executed approximation.
		- NO CONSISTERRY TIL WHO
		- NO CONSISTENCY TO WHO WILL BE OUT CULTOT NEG "NO ENTHUSTES THE TOT TOT
		60 0 CO 50 CO 50 CO 703
	-	- Enthusiesm for TOTB
	A	- Fresh perspective
		- Resz mal MUNI experience
	¥ 350	- UNDER LEUGTY OF TOWN PRE- PERSONAL MUNI EXPERTENCE - BOUTIFUE FIRM MA
		" will make you shine
111		· Books and I was beginning
400	-1.1.	
Juger	Date: 10 17 28	5
	(Ranking on d	Rank (1, 2, or 3) (Ranking on a scale of 1 to 3, with 1 representing the most preferred and 3 the least.)

Signature

Print Name

DIANA DAVIS NOTES Thomas Baird Jones Foster Brett Lashley Live in Juno Isla. * Weekly mts w/ Town Manager Town of Juno Beach - Town Attorney Interview Evaluation Sheet > both Candidate Firms: _ Jones Foster Diana Davis Date: 10-17-2025 Interviewer: Counselor - two hold I. Legal Creativity and Risk Management (1) Leapl advise (2) Blicy low I value creative legal solutions that maintain flexibility within the law. Can you share examples where you crafted innovative or 'outside-the-box' legal or procedural options that helped a Council achieve its NORTH policy goals without overstepping statutory limits? Current statute mediani COUNTY Notes: tralled fromework-Sunni sands Tupiter archiback Rating (1-5): How do you communicate and calibrate legal risk so that your municipal clients can maintain preserve entire to accest operational flexibility while still protecting the Town's legal position? Rating (1-5): _ Notes: How do you help your clients weigh the public benefit of pursuing a particular policy direction even when there is a remote or uncertain legal risk — for example, an interpretation of a board action that might be subject to challenge? Consider costs Notes: EXWELL Callaghan Staff - TM goesthrough; depends on TM executives (alla sense of place - cohe sive Rating (1-5): _____ Please describe a time when your legal guidance helped a municipality update its land-development code to balance resident concerns, legal risk/and development pressure — particularly in a coastal or largely built-out community. Abacoa-newaty w/macity Rating (1-5): - who comes to meetines, giv Given that Juno Beach faces constant redevelopment pressure, can you provide an example where you advisory helped a municipality navigate complex land-use or development issued. helped a municipality navigate complex land-use or development issues in a way that preserved local board character or prevented overdevelopment? Notes: Rating (1-5): Juno Beach's Town Council sometimes experiences strong differences in opinion. How do you 7yrs balance remaining a neutral legal advisor while also stepping in assertively when you hear discussion moving toward a legally questionable or procedurally risky direction — even if no one has directly Deadlines - work with clerk; 7 days, small matter has * represent other crients - welteris be a distraction?

		1~.	e to Council feelings do not to embarrass; subtle correction of reference - sevetle correction provide buffer verbally or otherwise provide buffer verbally or otherwise Experienced counts, 1000 mtgs; Talk to each council manuber ahead
	* Sev	isouh Vi	on barrani subtle correction
24	Wo	tw	to entering sentle correction
	The sta	luto	5 buffer verbally or otherwise
	Decoru	m -	provide to each
	asked for your op	inion? {	Experienced counts, (as into)
	Rating (1-5):	Notes:	of meeting to help
			Mayor Cot Conscious
Polit	irs - Ja	inites	34, lake Park 23 yrs. Partners D. D.
Mulalin	III. Firm Struc	ture, Res	ponsiveness, and Cost Control (45 yes Palm Beach
Mariga.			ructure. Do you offer a retainer that covers routine services? What services
pom			ainer, and what would be considered non-routine? For hourly billing, do
_	you bill by the m	inute, tenth	of an hour, or quarter-hour?
HOSTA	Rating (1–5):	Notes:	Operate within your budget. retainer; work in litigation is
C.		L	redainer; work in lingation is
	Do you employ a	VV ny methodo	Swrly logies or strategies to help municipalities manage and reduce overall legal
			ity and responsiveness?
	Rating (1-5):	Notes:	\$15,890 mos (budget = 12)
			The second second
	337 3 45		C T At Will the control of
			of our current Town Attorney. Who will serve as our primary point of imstances would another attorney substitute for them? How do you ensure
			when multiple attorneys serve one municipal client?
	Rating (1-5):	Notes:	
1 1	h- 0:0"	1 10	untrenchman Creek Beach Club;
Mosto	, represent	ea w	process selected defluse, settle
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Summary Eva	vevi duation	the case.
	Overall Impression:		
	Key Strengths:		
	Areas of Concern:	MINISTER STATE OF THE STATE OF	
.2.	Recommendation:		Recommend Further Review Do Not Recommend
	solication	\	list of references was left out
, ,,	and the a	11 / 10	with De linemor by his admin.
	and the		takes responsibility
000	UT 50 lo	wye	is dones Foster. Resolutions
Holl	Birett all	end &	meetings: Ordinance
	Dienan		vittals (in error by his admin. 15 Jones Foster. Resolutions Meetings: Ordinance Resolutions Meetings: Ordinance Reportise
HOST	A - repre	sert	ed by Buet s my find yourself
71.	some	ud,	securities et cm core
1	E PRIN	d-T	ed by Brett's Myself, expertise securities etc. " could find formself securities etc." could find formly vate annel - litrigation, hornly vate
Thor	Mas Du	rex	and -litication
\sim	outhry		

		TO-SIV-la , DIANA DAV	15 MOTES
P	Genna	Torcivia - Primary TGlaw	*
	Central -	ux Good - landuse, homestead - message	ives
29	Zacker	Dranning & 2011 Meetings Tools Sh	ral
Small	Plan firm-	C. J. Attacks Interview Freduction Shoot	
HOTA	Candidate Firms:	: TGLaw . I than	rows-
00	Date: 10-1-	7-2025 Interviewer: Council - Warran Davis 6 at	torneys
	berature	S.Pahr Beach, Belle Glade CRA; Litivity and Risk Management Deltova-city (00,000; Ocean	Brown
hive	Lyalua arantiya la	legal solutions that maintain flexibility within the law. Can you share examples where	acl
inculia	you crafted innov	ovative or 'outside-the-box' legal or procedural options that helped a Council achieve its	nch \
ively of	policy goals with	hout overstepping statutory limits? (S. Palm Be	d)
18	Rating (1-5):	Notes: Glachobee decorum policy	<i></i>
	tres	spaces public facility	ncis
nung	How do you com:	mmunicate and calibrate legal risk so that your municipal clients can maintain ibility while still protecting the Town's legal position?	(920)
L'A.	Rating (1–5):	Notes: Costestimates-goodatif. Work in the po	125
2010		for hehrature: vick lose	-
	How do you help	p your clients weigh the public benefit of pursuing a particular policy direction even	
	when there is a re	remote or uncertain legal risk — for example, an interpretation of a board action that	
	might be subject	t to challenge? Gravitias	
	Rating (1–5):	Notes:	
			l_
· strong	Meliebin to	me vule - FIU, unique chemadentics every pand Development of state, to cal sort make local	
dient	Please describe a	a time when your legal guidance helped a municipality update its land-development	(CO)
gave t	o code to balance re	resident concerns, legal risk, and development pressure — particularly in a coastal or	
and the	, •	MITORIA MERCENOLOGI PIESOLOGI	(
Paw Ar	Nating (1–3):	enhanced PUD res party public	bevefits
Charle V	VSV Civon that Juna F	Beach faces constant redevelopment pressure, can you provide an example where you	ALTE.
WH'SON		ipality navigate complex land-use or development issues in a way that preserved local	~ 200
	character or preve	ipality navigate complex land-use or development issues in a way that preserved local vented overdevelopment?	which is
	Rating (1–5):	Notes:	، کورس کار
		1	Shows,
ILATEU		Town Council sometimes experiences strong differences in opinion. How do you ling a neutral legal advisor while also stepping in assertively when you hear discussion a legally questionable or procedurally risky direction — even if no one has directly	1 61
ANY	moving toward a		21019
	Watched	. Gross meetings, likelong Jupiter; 39	nwes
	If a legal	Lerror we would correct. Sievent on as long as legally sufficient each dialogue & disagree - you?	100
	Discielio	masing as legating some - your	on
	CIVINOON	eage dialogue 3 disay	

	JAM Muy	~ ru	Clicient: shade meeting - hold Will in the shade? Will
	\$ 31 Co		or is to stade meeting - hold
1	Phegalle	7501	tilling of will
1000	discussion	m w	notate bargaring position
Po	asked for your opir	\	Quasi Fudicial contact-more
7		Notes:	assessive to concert the
/	3 ()		record would act to convect
			during proceeding.
	III. Firm Struct	ure, Res _l	ponsiveness, and Cost Control
			ucture. Do you offer a retainer that covers routine services? What services
			iner, and what would be considered non-routine? For hourly billing, do of an hour, or quarter-hour?
		Notes:	Tail four, or quarter four.
	Rating (1–5):	rotes.	70:00
Time	Frame 5		itisator / Different than ben Kubin:
1 10000	Do you employ an	•	ogies or strategies to help municipalities manage and reduce overall legal, ty and responsiveness?
		Notes:	y and responsiveness? operational sculdure
		 	
umplo	e balls as M	1 Kes -	to help me than the implemented
last hours	We value the responsible contact, and under	nsiveness o what circui	of our current Town Attorney. Who will serve as our primary point of mstances would another attorney substitute for them? How do you ensure
			when multiple attorneys serve one municipal client?
	Rating (1-5):	Notes:	
word	cout ite	ens	pron to the meeting w/ conti
000	Manager	€ COL	pronto the meeting W/Council Ne. We work for yon. Upside of our firm; all in 800t. CRAdirector; Paul-Planeur
	Summary Eval	uation	my sec 8 - May and 1 1 mas 1
	Overall Impression:		
	Key Strengths:		
	Areas of Concern: Recommendation:		Recommend Further Review Do Not Recommend
Ha Or	seun - ba	lan	ering accuracy of info with ering; 2 govt. are actions - spirited depates
doc	erain of	me	ehine; 2 sout are action to a debates
	Observation loss	משלח)	active public; FACTIONS-spirited depates overiegn citizens "weak decorum policy" overiegn citizens "weak decorum policy" overiegn citizens "weak decorum policy" overiegn citizens "weak decorum policy" overiegn citizens "weak decorum policy"
120	al or a las	"n. St	overieen citizens "weat accor Some for
OKO	Marion S	24 \	ues from in advance!
() ()	1300 Cya	/< T S	et up wwater to meeting
	Jour 00/0	Recht	
	aer w/e	acio	sues from an addated for your of offices provided to meeting to meeting to meeting to distinct the selected offices for elected offices for elected offices
€ Ne	Solly S		West elected officer
1			

			1	1	1	DIANA DAVIS NOTES
		Slighted prior l ce to lessy Wh yor in Juno Bed of Juno Beach - Town A		Kieth Da	Wis	DAVISFIRM
÷	Your high	Highled Aprior (egal -		tintu	e to
12050	assistes.	no to lessy Wh	eeler's	ballot un	Haris	ed
7,0	- the Mar	you in Juno Ber	ach. G	wen the	ivon.	ment
elect	Town	of Juno Beach – Town A	Attorney Inte	rview Evaluation S	Sheet 1	N OW
	Candidate i iiiis.	DAVIS	OBJACIO	-Diago Projec	ωn	Now
	Date: 10 - 17 -	interviewer	to the	Won	og y	NU NIM
	I. Legal Creat	ivity and Risk Manageme	ent 5	tain profese law. Can you share ex	ssi m	and perception
	_	opeuch egal solutions that maintain, flex	າຂ່າວເວ cibility within th	ie law. Can you share ex	amples wh	ere of Anto
\checkmark	•	ative or 'outside-the-box' legal (or procedural of	ptions that helped a Cou	ncil achiev	e its political
		out overstepping statutory limit		(1)	/ la.	afigured
	Rating (1–5):	Notes: good - Tey	vesta wa	eter Topita R:	VC (21014)	thild
	no re-iriuevuza	m well, opened	voaa alu	ulives		Setting
	Participant and	municate and calibrate legal ris			intain	as
	· 7	bility while still protecting the T				one
	Rating (1-5):	Notes: Good Pither.	way de	scribe Ling varion		atterne).
L				· divec	but !	
_		your clients weigh the public bemote or uncertain legal risk —				
V	might be subject		Tor example, an	i mediprotation of a boar	a action in	
	Rating (1–5): 3	Notes: Good - What	does Tor	in Maragu	wan	d . a
-dr			to acour	1) %	terrie	N council
918,050		.	- alama	howers with	LUS DY	their news
(P)	II. Land Use a	and Development				C
	Please describe a	time when your legal guidance esident concerns, legal risk, and	e helped a munic	cipality update its land-d		
	largely built-out		i development p	ressure — particularly i	n a coastar	OI .
	Rating (1-5):	Notes:				
<i>a</i> -1	Given that Juno F	Beach faces constant redevelopr	ment pressure, c	an vou provide an exam	iple where	you
1	helped a municip	ality navigate complex land-use				
/	character or preve	ented overdevelopment?		- 0		
	Rating (1–5):	Notes: Strong. Palm	r Bead	n Shores ~ 1 Character	<u>~~~</u>	201
L		J -to	project	Character		
Halbour	Juno Beach's T	own Council sometimes experie				
''		g a neutral legal advisor while a legally questionable or procedu				
	-					
	CTIVEL U	your firms t	usp br	of le offer	esevi	
~C	mul byole	municipaliti	ies, ho	n mould of	on e	~SUCX
0F	Jun	our firm's f constalinability numerality o Beach ve cie	wes ma	and not or	d att	ourin/ 2 hts all.

		·P	vefers side bar W/ Mayor
		. Pv	rocedural - ask Mayor to step-in
		,	elalicate respondate in
	asked for your o	pinion?	· Wheeler responsive in signations
	Rating (1-5): 3	Notes:	shong doesnot want to interrupt
	7		strong, doesnot want to interrupt a Council menuser.
1	Lieth Da	vis -	primary coursel. Proactive.
			ponsiveness, and Cost Control
	would be include	ed in that reta	ructure. Do you offer a retainer that covers routine services? What services ainer, and what would be considered non-routine? For hourly billing, do of an hour, or quarter-hour?
	Rating (1–5):	Notes:	
			logies or strategies to help municipalities manage and reduce overall legal ity and responsiveness?
	Rating (1-5):	Notes:	
	contact, and und	er what circu	of our current Town Attorney. Who will serve as our primary point of imstances would another attorney substitute for them? How do you ensure when multiple attorneys serve one municipal client?
	Rating (1-5):	Notes:	
		1.67	1° con officent
Wh	ealer -		y your firm over other applicant saird-Palm Beach Shores.
A PERSONAL PROPERTY AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PERSONS ASSESSMENT OF THE PE	Thon		Servi apparia that her muni.
	Summary Ev	aluation	Serve agencies that thep. muni.
	Overall Impression:		
	Key Strengths:		
	Areas of Concern:		D. N. D.
	Recommendation:	222 TO THE 222 LANGE	Recommend Further Review Do Not Recommend
		•	deadlines Len Rubin
	Callago	au -	the w/ Len Kubin
	contras	of yo	weriew - out come
	o Harm	ony!	inter - understandable
	odu.	ays de	June O onvivormend - Contenting
E	xperiens	ce p	deadlines our style w/ Len Rubin Avanitedrual veriew - out come Avanitedrual veriew - out come finitive - understandable; of itical environmend - contentions of itical environmend - contentions tays newbood - collegral body town Manager takes the lead. Town Manager takes the lead.
-	"Town AH	ty -15	tays new to the toad.
1	WHEN and		() () Sixtures , V
	Copus	Na	Libration, Libration experience
Whe	elen- Med	hahan	, Arbitration, C. S.

Town of Juno Beach Town Attorney Candidate Evaluation Reference Sheet

Evaluation Categories & Descriptive Vocabulary

,			
Category	Key Evaluation Vocabulary & Descriptors		
1. Municipal Fluency	Knowledgeable • Procedurally fluent • Clear • Precise • Articulate • Understands F.S. Ch. 163, 166, 286, 119 • Applies Sunshine Law & public records rules correctly • Explains issues plainly • Anticipates procedural implications under Robert's Rules.		
2. Legal Creativity & Risk Management	Innovative • Pragmatic • Resourceful • Strategic • Solution- oriented • Anticipatory • Balances risk and flexibility • Offers options, not ultimatums • Identifies exposure early with mitigation pathways.		
3. Land Use & Development Specialty	Understands comp plan, zoning, overlay, PUDs • Experienced with opment opment guasi-judicial process, development agreements, vested rights.		
4. Responsiveness & Council Relationship			
5. Cost Control & Value	Efficient • Economical • Disciplined • Transparent • Accountable • Clear billing narratives • Uses appropriate staff levels • Prioritizes fiscal responsibility while maintaining quality.		

Rating Legend (for use on Interview Score Sheet)

Score	Descriptor	Meaning / Justinication
5 – Excellent	Exceptional / Exceeds expectations	Comprehensive mastery; anticipates needs; superior insight.

Score	Descriptor	Meaning / Justification
4 – Strong	Above average / Very competent	Solid command; minor areas for growth.
3 – Satisfactory	Meets expectations	Competent; adequate responses; consistent.
2 – Weak	Below average / Limited depth	Superficial or incomplete understanding; reactive.
1 – Poor	Unacceptable / Lacks capability	Fails to demonstrate required proficiency or judgment.
Comments / 0	bservations	
Category	1	Notes
Municipal Flue	ncy _	
Legal Creativity	y & Risk Management _	
Land Use & De	velopment _	
Responsivenes	ss & Council Relationship _	
Cost Control &	Value _	
Evaluator:		Date:
Candidate:		Total Score: / 25

φ.

Town Attorney Interview Questions - Standard Set

I. Legal Creativity and Risk Management

1. Creative Legal Solutions

I value creative legal solutions that maintain flexibility within the law.

Can you share examples where you crafted innovative or "outside-the-box" legal or procedural options that helped a Council achieve its policy goals without overstepping statutory limits?

2. Communicating Legal Risk Effectively

How do you communicate and calibrate legal risk so that your municipal clients can maintain operational flexibility while still protecting the Town's legal position?

3. Balancing Risk and Public Benefit

How do you help your clients weigh the public benefit of pursuing a particular policy direction even when there is a remote or uncertain legal risk — for example, an interpretation of a board action that might be subject to challenge?

II. Land Use and Development

4. Land-Use Code Reform and Resident Concerns

Please describe a time when your legal guidance helped a municipality update its land-development code to balance resident concerns, legal risk, and development pressure — particularly in a coastal or largely built-out community.

5. Managing Development Pressure

Given that Juno Beach faces constant redevelopment pressure, can you provide an example where you helped a municipality navigate complex land-use or development issues in a way that preserved local character or prevented overdevelopment?

6. Proactive and Assertive Counsel

Juno Beach's Town Council sometimes experiences strong differences in opinion. How do you balance remaining a neutral legal advisor while also stepping in assertively when you hear discussion moving toward a legally questionable or procedurally risky direction — even if no one has directly asked for your opinion?

III. Firm Structure, Responsiveness, and Cost Control

7. Retainer Structure and Routine Services

Please clarify your pricing structure.

- Do you offer a retainer that covers routine services?
- What services would be included in that retainer, and what would be considered non-routine?
- For hourly billing, do you bill by the minute, tenth of an hour, or quarter-hour?

8. Cost-Control Strategies

Do you employ any methodologies or strategies to help municipalities manage and reduce overall legal costs while maintaining quality and responsiveness?

9. Primary Point of Contact and Availability

We value the responsiveness of our current Town Attorney.

Who will serve as our primary point of contact, and under what circumstances would another attorney substitute for them?

How do you ensure continuity and accountability when multiple attorneys serve one municipal client?