

GRASS VALLEY

City Council Regular Meeting, Capital Improvements Authority and Redevelopment "Successor Agency"

Tuesday, December 13, 2022 at 7:00 PM Council Chambers, Grass Valley City Hall | 125 East Main Street, Grass Valley, California Telephone: (530) 274-4310 - Fax: (530) 274-4399

E-Mail: info@cityofgrassvalley.com

Web Site: www.cityofgrassvalley.com

AGENDA

Any person with a disability who requires accommodations to participate in this meeting should telephone the City Clerk's office at (530)274-4390, at least 48 hours prior to the meeting to make a request for a disability related modification or accommodation.

Mayor Ben Aguilar, Vice Mayor Jan Arbuckle, Councilmember Bob Branstrom, Councilmember Hilary Hodge, Councilmember Tom Ivy

MEETING NOTICE

City Council welcomes you to attend the meetings electronically or in person at the City Hall Council Chambers, located at 125 E. Main St., Grass Valley, CA 95945. Regular Meetings are scheduled at 7:00 p.m. on the 2nd and 4th Tuesday of each month. Your interest is encouraged and appreciated.

This meeting is being broadcast "live" on Comcast Channel 17 by Nevada County Media, on the internet at www.cityofgrassvalley.com, or on the City of Grass Valley YouTube channel at https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCdAaL-uwdN8iTz8bI7SCuPQ.

Members of the public are encouraged to submit public comments via voicemail at (530) 274-4390 and email to public@cityofgrassvalley.com. Comments will be reviewed and distributed before the meeting if received by 5pm. Comments received after that will be addressed during the item and/or at the end of the meeting. Council will have the option to modify their action on items based on comments received. Action may be taken on any agenda item.

Agenda materials, staff reports, and background information related to regular agenda items are available on the City's website: www.cityofgrassvalley.com. Materials related to an item on this agenda submitted to the Council after distribution of the agenda packet will be made available on the City of Grass Valley website at www.cityofgrassvalley.com, subject to City staff's ability to post the documents before the meeting.

Council Chambers are wheelchair accessible and listening devices are available. Other special accommodations may be requested to the City Clerk 72 hours in advance of the meeting by calling (530) 274-4390, we are happy to accommodate.

CALL TO ORDER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL

<u>AGENDA APPROVAL</u> - The City Council reserves the right to hear items in a different order to accomplish business in the most efficient manner.

REPORT OUT OF CLOSED SESSION

INTRODUCTIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

- 1. Recognition of Council Member Aguilar by the City Council for his service on the City of Grass Valley City Council from February 10, 2015 to December 13th, 2022.
- 2. Recognition of Mayor Aguilar by the City Council for his service as Mayor of the City from December 8, 2020 to December 13, 2022.

<u>CONSENT ITEMS</u> -All matters listed under the Consent Calendar are to be considered routine by the City Council and/or Grass Valley Redevelopment Agency and will be enacted by one motion in the form listed. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless, before the City Council and/or Grass Valley Redevelopment Agency votes on the motion to adopt, members of the Council and/or Agency, staff or the public request specific items to be removed from the Consent Calendar for separate discussion and action but Council action is required to do so (roll call vote).Unless the Council removes an item from the Consent Calendar for separate discussion, public comments are invited as to the consent calendar as a whole and limited to three minutes per person.

3. Approval of the Regular Meeting Minutes of November 22, 2022

<u>Recommendation</u>: Council approve minutes as submitted.

4. Approval of the Special Meeting Minutes of December 7, 2022

Recommendation: Council approve minutes as submitted.

5. Assembly Bill 361 Resolution

<u>Recommendation</u>: Adopt resolution R2022-86 authorizing remote teleconference meetings of the City Council and other legislative bodies of the City pursuant to government code section 54953(e)

6. Local Emergency Proclamation (COVID-19)

<u>**Recommendation</u>**: Continuance of Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) proclamation declaring a Local State of Emergency</u>

7. Local Emergency Proclamation (Drought Conditions)

<u>**Recommendation</u>**: Drought Conditions proclamation declaring a Local State of Emergency</u>

<u>8.</u> Reimbursement of Employee Expenses for the Maintenance and Training of Peer Support Dogs Policy

<u>Recommendation</u>: That Council 1) approve a Resolution No. 2022-87 - Adopting a Policy to Reimburse Employee Handlers of Peer Support Dogs; and 2) authorize the Administrative Services Director to make any budget adjustments and/or transfers

associated with the reimbursement of employee expenses for the maintenance and training of peer support dogs policy.

9. Authorize travel for Vice Mayor Arbuckle to the National League of Cities (Congressional City Conference)

<u>**Recommendation**</u>: That Council approve travel and related expenses for Vice Mayor Arbuckle to attend the National League of Cities (Congressional City Conference).

<u>10.</u> Second reading of Ordinance 821 to amend City of Grass Valley Municipal Code, Title 15, Chapters 15.02 to 15.06 that incorporates the 2022 California Building Codes

Recommendation: Conduct the second reading, by title only, and adopt Ordinance 821 amending the Grass Valley Municipal Code Chapters 15.02 to 15.06 that incorporates the 2022 California Building Standards Code Title 24 Parts 1 through 12, 2021 International Swimming Pool and Spa Code, 2021 International Property Maintenance Code, and local amendments.

ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT CALENDAR FOR DISCUSSION OR SEPARATE ACTION AND / OR ANY ADDED AGENDA ITEMS

REORGANIZATION RELATED ITEMS

<u>11.</u> Canvass and Statement of Results for the November 8, 2022 General Municipal Election.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Adopt Resolution No. 2022-85 finding and declaring the results of the November 8, 2022 General Municipal Election.

At this time Council Member Aguilar will vacate his seat at the dias.

12. New Council Member Installation - Haven Caravelli & Hilary Hodge

Recommendation: The Deputy City Clerk will administer the oath of office to new Council Members Haven Caravelli and Hilary Hodge for a four-year term commencing December 13, 2022 and continuing through December 8, 2026 or until their successor is elected and the election has been certified.

At this time Council Member Caravelli and Council Member Hodge will take seats at the dais.

13. Council Reorganization - Selection of Mayor and Vice Mayor

<u>Recommendation</u>: That the Deputy City Clerk conduct the election of the Mayor. The Deputy City Clerk will then turn the meeting over to the new Mayor. The new Mayor will conduct the election of the Vice Mayor.

At this time name plates will be rearranged.

<u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u> - Members of the public are encouraged to submit public comments via voicemail at (530) 274-4390 and email to public@cityofgrassvalley.com. Comments will be reviewed and distributed before the meeting if received by 5pm. Comments received after 5pm will be addressed during the item and/or at the end of the meeting. Council will have the option to modify their action on items based on comments received. Action may be taken on any agenda item. There is a time limitation of three minutes per person for all emailed, voicemail, or in person comments, and only one type of public comment per person. For any items not on the agenda, and within the jurisdiction or interest of the City, please come to the podium at this time. If you wish to speak regarding a scheduled

agenda item, please come to the podium when the item is announced. When recognized, please begin by providing your name and address for the record (optional).

BRIEF REPORTS BY COUNCIL MEMBERS

ADJOURN

POSTING NOTICE

This is to certify that the above notice of a meeting of The City Council, scheduled for Tuesday, December 13, 2022 at 7:00 PM was posted at city hall, easily accessible to the public, as of 5:00 p.m. Friday, December 9, 2022.

Taylor Day, Deputy City Clerk



GRASS VALLEY

City Council Regular Meeting, Capital Improvements Authority and Redevelopment "Successor Agency"

Tuesday, November 22, 2022 at 7:00 PM

Council Chambers, Grass Valley City Hall | 125 East Main Street, Grass Valley, California Telephone: (530) 274-4310 - Fax: (530) 274-4399

E-Mail: info@cityofgrassvalley.com

Web Site: www.cityofgrassvalley.com

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER

Meeting called to order at 7:02 pm.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Marty Lombardi led the pledge of allegiance.

ROLL CALL

PRESENT Council Member Bob Branstrom Council Member Tom Ivy Vice Mayor Jan Arbuckle Mayor Ben Aguilar

ABSENT Council Member Hilary Hodge

AGENDA APPROVAL -

Motion to approve the agenda as approved by Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Seconded by Council Member Branstrom. Voting Yea: Council Member Branstrom, Council Member Ivy, Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Mayor Aguilar

REPORT OUT OF CLOSED SESSION

Nothing to report.

INTRODUCTIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

1. Award Ceremony

Mayor Aguilar presented Marty Lombardi with the Mayor's Award.

2. Proclamation for the 31st annual Nevada County Toy Run

PUBLIC COMMENT -

Virtual public comments attached.

In person public comments by: Robin Davies, Susan Brant,

CONSENT ITEMS -

Councilmember Branstrom requested to pull item # 7 regarding the Facility Dog Program for discussions.

Motion made to approve the consent with #7 removed by Council Member Branstrom, Seconded by Vice Mayor Arbuckle.

Voting Yea: Council Member Branstrom, Council Member Ivy, Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Mayor Aguilar

3. Approval of the Regular Meeting Minutes of November 8, 2022

<u>Recommendation</u>: Council approve minutes as submitted.

4. Assembly Bill 361 Resolution

<u>**Recommendation**</u>: Adopt resolution R2022-84 authorizing remote teleconference meetings of the City Council and other legislative bodies of the City pursuant to government code section 54953(e)

5. Local Emergency Proclamation (COVID-19)

<u>**Recommendation**</u>: Continuance of Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) proclamation declaring a Local State of Emergency

6. Local Emergency Proclamation (Drought Conditions)

<u>**Recommendation**</u>: Drought Conditions proclamation declaring a Local State of Emergency

7. Certification of eligibility list for lateral and entry level Firefighter and Firefighter Paramedic.

<u>**Recommendation</u>**: That Council 1) certify the newly developed eligibility list for Firefighter and Firefighter Paramedic.</u>

ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT CALENDAR FOR DISCUSSION OR SEPARATE ACTION AND / OR ANY ADDED AGENDA ITEMS

8. Facility Dog Program

Recommendation: That Council 1) approve the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between 4 Paws 2 Freedom and the City of Grass Valley, subject to legal review; 2) authorize Mayor to sign the MOU; 3) authorize the City Attorney to prepare an agreement between the City and the handler regarding the terms for splitting of dog expenses and responsibilities; 4) authorize Mayor to sign the agreement; and 5) authorize the Administrative Services Director to make any budget Adjustments and/or transfers associated with the Facility Dog Program.

Tim Kiser, City Manager, gave overview to the council of the Facility Dog Program to the council.

Councilmember Branstrom has a question regarding the veterinary bills and whos responsibilities it would fall to.

Motion made to 1) approve the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between 4 Paws 2 Freedom and the City of Grass Valley, subject to legal review; 2) authorize Mayor to sign the MOU; 3) authorize the City Attorney to prepare an agreement between the City and the handler regarding the terms for splitting of dog expenses and responsibilities; 4) authorize Mayor to sign the agreement; and 5) authorize the Administrative Services Director to make any budget Adjustments and/or transfers associated with the Facility Dog Program by Council Member Branstrom, Seconded by Vice Mayor Arbuckle.

Voting Yea: Council Member Branstrom, Council Member Ivy, Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Mayor Aguilar

REORGANIZATION RELATED ITEMS

PUBLIC HEARING

ADMINISTRATIVE

9. Update to Council related to Police Department use of Automated License Plate Reader (ALPR) technology

Recommendation: Informational

Alex Gammelgard, Police Chief, gave presentation to the council.

Council discussed working with the company to be able to possibly retain high level overview of the date for longer than 30 days, and if this will help change the view over time of whether or not a criminal will choose this town to do crime.

10. Approval of a Professional Services Agreement (PSA) to complete consultant services for the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) 2022 Community Wide Brownfield Assessment Grant.

Recommendation: That Council: 1) Approves the attached PSA Agreement with Geocon Consultants to complete consultant services for the 2022 EPA Brownfield Assessment Grant; 2) Authorize the Mayor to sign the agreement subject to legal review; and 3) Approve and authorize the Administrative Services Director to make any necessary budget amendments and/or transfers to complete this transaction or associated with the Grant.

Tom Last, Community Development Director, gave presentation to the council.

Motion to 1) Approves the attached PSA Agreement with Geocon Consultants to complete consultant services for the 2022 EPA Brownfield Assessment Grant; 2) Authorize the Mayor to sign the agreement subject to legal review; and 3) Approve and authorize the Administrative Services Director to make any necessary budget amendments and/or transfers to complete this transaction or associated with the Grant by Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Seconded by Council Member Branstrom. Voting Yea: Council Member Branstrom, Council Member Ivy, Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Mayor Aguilar 11. Short-Term Rental Restrictions

<u>**Recommendation</u>**: That Council delay the decision to enact a cap or new restriction on the number of short-term rentals within the City</u>

Tim Kiser, City Manager, gave presentation to the council.

Council discussed the need for affordable housing. They also discussed the need to have continuous monitoring and to have a trigger number to have a further discussion of what is occurring at 35 rentals.

Motion to to place a number of 35 vacation home rentals to trigger bringing back to Council this item to discuss further about putting a cap on short-term rentals by Mayor Aguilar, Seconded by Vice Mayor Arbuckle. Voting Yea: Council Member Branstrom, Council Member Ivy, Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Mayor Aguilar

12. Close City Hall to the Public the week of 12/26-12/30

<u>Recommendation</u>: That Council approve the motion to close the City Hall office to the public during the week between Christmas and New Years (12/26-12/30) to allow for flexible staff schedules during this period.

Taylor Day, Deputy City Clerk, gave the overview to the council.

Council discussed making sure that the public has options to get ahold of staff during emergencies.

Council approved the closure of City Hall during the week between Christmas and New Years. No action required.

13. Downtown Streetscape Improvements Project - Contract Amendment

Recommendation: That Council 1) receive a brief update on the project, 2) authorize a contract amendment in the amount of \$122,450.00 for costs associated with custom planter construction and for adding a second work shift to expedite construction time, and 3) authorize the Administrative Services Director to execute the necessary budget transfer to fund the contract amendment

Tim Kiser, City Manager, discussed the requested contract amendments with council.

Public comments: Maryanne Polseas, Robin Davies

Motion made to 1) receive a brief update on the project, 2) authorize a contract amendment in the amount of \$122,450.00 for costs associated with custom planter construction and for adding a second work shift to expedite construction time, and 3) authorize the Administrative Services Director to execute the necessary budget transfer to fund the contract amendment by Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Seconded by Council Member Ivy.

Voting Yea: Council Member Branstrom, Council Member Ivy, Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Mayor Aguilar

BRIEF REPORTS BY COUNCIL MEMBERS

Councilmember Ivy went to the Habitat House Opening, and a League of Cities EV Charging meeting. Councilmember Branstrom attended a League for Broadband meeting, a Legislative Update meeting that discussed bills that have passed, a Veterans Days event, and the

Ribbon Cutting for a new home for Habitat. Vice Mayor Arbuckle attending the Transportation Services Commission and NCTC meeting, JEDI meeting, Nevada County Law Council and said there will be a Red Light Ball this upcoming year, a Center for the Arts Gala, the Kansas Cities Nation League of Cities summit and she now sits on the Board of Directors for the National League of Cities. Mayor Aguilar is participating in No Shave November the attended the Mayors Managers meeting, and a toy run photo shoot.

ADJOURN

Meeting adjourned at 8:50 pm.

Ben Aguilar, Mayor

Taylor Day, Deputy City Clerk

Adopted on:_____

| From: | Susan Brandt |
|----------|------------------------------------|
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 22, 2022 4:22 PM |
| То: | Public Comments |
| Subject: | Plans for a new animal shelter? |

[You don't often get email from the second s

To the GV City Council,

My non-profit has been working to help the GV/NC animal shelter, raising money and fixing kennels. The shelter is old and staff and visitors agree a plan to envision the future of the shelter is desperately needed.

Is there a plan to create a new animal shelter in the future?

Thank you.

Susan Brandt

| From: | Susan Merrill |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Sent: | Wednesday, November 16, 2022 11:45 AM |
| To: | Public Comments |
| Subject: | Picnic Tables |
| Follow Up Flag: | Follow up |
| Flag Status: | Completed |

[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification] Learn why this is important at

Dear City Council,

I am thrilled that Memorial Park has reopened and the improvements are great. As I live nearby, I frequent it year round.

However, I am concerned that there are now only two picnic tables in the section across from the pool. When the pickleball and basketball courts and bathroom were added, all the trees were removed as well the the tables. (But thank you for putting grass back in!) Those tables were always in use whenever I strolled by with my dog in the afternoons and every weekend. During the Covid mandates, I was able to meet with small groups of friends where we are able to sit outside, masked and socially distanced.

More tables may be in the works. Please update me as you can

Thank you, Susan Merrill Bennet Street Grass Valley

GRASS VALLEY Special City Council Meeting

Wednesday, December 07, 2022 at 6:00 PM Council Chambers, Grass Valley City Hall | 125 East Main Street, Grass Valley, California Telephone: (530) 274-4310 - Fax: (530) 274-4399

E-Mail: <u>info@cityofgrassvalley.com</u> Web Site: <u>www.cityofgrassvalley.com</u>

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER

Meeting called to order at 6:05 pm.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Pledge of Allegiance led by Steve Johnson.

ROLL CALL

PRESENT Council Member Bob Branstrom Council Member Hilary Hodge Council Member Tom Ivy Vice Mayor Jan Arbuckle Mayor Ben Aguilar

AGENDA APPROVAL -

Motion to approve the agenda as submitted by Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Seconded by Council Member Hodge. Voting Yea: Council Member Branstrom, Council Member Hodge, Council Member Ivy, Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Mayor Aguilar

INTRODUCTIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

1. Wreaths Across America Proclamation

PUBLIC COMMENT -

Virtual comments attached.

PUBLIC HEARING

2. <u>Title</u>: Introduction of Ordinance to adopt the 2022 California Building Standards Code and amend the City of Grass Valley Municipal Code, Title 15, Chapters 15.02 to 15.06.

<u>**Recommendation</u>**: After closing the public hearing, waive the reading of the ordinance in its entirety and read by title only, and introduce the ordinance to amend Municipal Code Chapters 15.02 to 15.06 that incorporates the 2022 California Building Standards Code.</u>

Jon May, Building Official, gave presentation to the council.

Motion made to waive the reading of the ordinance in its entirety and read by title only, and introduce the ordinance to amend Municipal Code Chapters 15.02 to 15.06 that incorporates the 2022 California Building Standards Code by Council Member Ivy, Seconded by Council Member Branstrom.

Voting Yea: Council Member Branstrom, Council Member Hodge, Council Member Ivy, Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Mayor Aguilar

BRIEF REPORTS BY COUNCIL MEMBERS

Councilmember Ivy had nothing to report. Councilmember Branstrom had nothing to report. Councilmember Hodge loves living here during the Holidays and the local supporting locals. Vice Mayor Arbuckle attended Cornish Christmas, League of California Board Meeting and the Governments committee. Mayor Aguilar attended a LAFCo meeting, gave a Rotary presentation, attended a few Non-Profits Holiday parties, Cornish Christmas, and wants to remind everyone that the Donation day Parade is coming up on December 16th.

ADJOURN

Meeting adjourned at 6:30 pm.

Ben Aguilar, Mayor

Taylor Day, Deputy City Clerk

Adopted on: _____

| From: | Jensen-Elizabeth Near |
|----------|--|
| Sent: | Wednesday, November 30, 2022 3:23 PM |
| To: | Public Comments |
| Subject: | Lights for Memorial Park Basketball park |

You don't often get email from jandenear@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

To Whom it May Concern:

The recent updates to memorial park are amazing, but it seems like one detail was missed: fancy lights for pickleball-nothing but darkness for the basketball court. I am a part of the vibrant basketball community up in GV. We have a group of nearly 50 people who participate in pick-up games at Condon and Memorial park multiple times a week. These players range from 16 all the way to a few in their early 60s. With the recent time change, playing during the week has become impossible for anyone working a 9-5 job.

I would ask that the city council consider putting lights on the court. There are multiple contractors within the basketball community who have said they will help at a discounted rate; we could host a basketball tournament to help raise funds--the only obstacle to this happening is any potential red tape that we need the council's help with!

Thank you, Jensen Near 16850 Retrac Way, Grass Valley, CA 95949

| From: | Michael Angelillo |
|----------|--|
| Sent: | Wednesday, November 30, 2022 1:53 PM |
| To: | Public Comments |
| Subject: | Lights for Basketball Court at Memorial Park |

You don't often get email from michael.angelillo@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

Hello City Council Members,

I am resident of the unincorporated area of Grass Valley and a member of a thriving basketball group. We often play every weekday after work until the sun goes down at Memorial Park. Many of us are also park of the basketball league run by Rich at the Vets Memorial Hall.

I speak for everyone when I say we absolutely love the new courts and hope more parks in the area adopt the same quality construction. We used to play at Condon but these new courts quickly replaced it.

During the winter months and daylight savings our time to play is often less than 1-2 hours which makes things difficult for us.

We were hoping that the city would consider adding lights on a timer like they have for the pickleball courts. We've observed these pickleball courts being lit past 8-9 PM. It would appear that the underground electrical is already there so the trenching would be minimal and would just require the equipment.

Several of the group members are tradespeople who have volunteered their labor for free if that would help. Others of us are willing to donate money for the materials.

I truly hope the city council will consider this as all we are asking for is parity with the pickleball community.

Respectfully, Michael Angelillo

Michael Angelillo, CPA, MHA

| From: | Louie Costanzo |
|----------|--|
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 29, 2022 5:57 PM |
| To: | Public Comments |
| Subject: | Lighting for memorial basketball court |

You don't often get email from louiejoseph3@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

Hello. My name is Louie Costanzo. I've been a member of the basketball community in Grass Valley for almost a decade. We have quite the community between rec league three nights a week and the parks. We are ecstatic over the new court at memorial park and are enjoying it so much. Our only question is why we didn't get any lights? Once time change happens we hardly get to use the courts. We've obviously noticed the pickle ball courts lit up until about 9pm every night and are feeling a little left out. Is there any chance that we could get some lights installed? What if we raised money? We aren't looking for a handout, just an opportunity to play the game we love year round. We're willing to jump through hoops and put in the work if necessary. Thanks for hearing us out! And thank you so much for the new parks! They're beautiful!



Title: Assembly Bill 361 Resolution

<u>**Recommendation**</u>: Adopt resolution R2022-86 authorizing remote teleconference meetings of the City Council and other legislative bodies of the City pursuant to government code section 54953(e)

Prepared by: Taylor Day, Deputy City Clerk

Council Meeting Date: 12/13/2022

Date Prepared: 12/9/2022

Agenda: Consent

Background Information: On March 4, 2020, the Governor of California proclaimed a state of emergency pursuant to government code section 8625. Assembly Bill 361 went into effect October 1st, 2021, it allows legislative bodies to hold public meetings by teleconference without reference to otherwise applicable requirements in the Government Code section 54953(b)(3). The option for teleconferencing is allowed so long as the legislative body complies with certain requirements, there exists a declared state of emergency, and one of the following circumstances is met: 1) State or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing. 2) The legislative body (City Council) is holding the meeting for the purpose of determining, by majority vote, whether meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees. 3) The legislative body (City Council) has determined, by majority vote, that meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees. This action will allow City Council and all other legislative bodies to continue with virtual meetings as has been done throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. Live streamed meetings will continue to be available via the City's website, as will the option to leave public comments in real time via voicemail or email.

<u>Council Goals/Objectives</u>: Approval of AB 361 Resolution executes portions of City Strategic Goal **#6**: Public Safety. The City of Grass Valley is devoted to providing a safe Place to Live, Work and Play.

Fiscal Impact: N/A

Funds Available: N/A

Account #: N/A

Reviewed by: ___ City Manager

Attachments: R2022-86

RESOLUTION NO. 2022-86

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRASS VALLEY AUTHORIZING REMOTE TELECONFERENCE MEETINGS OF THE CITY COUNCIL AND OTHER LEGISLATIVE BODIES OF THE CITY PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54953(e)

WHEREAS, Government Code section 54953(e), as amended by Assembly Bill No. 361, allows legislative bodies to hold open meetings by teleconference without reference to otherwise applicable requirements in Government Code section 54953(b)(3), so long as the legislative body complies with certain requirements, there exists a declared state of emergency, and one of the following circumstances is met:

- 1. State or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing.
- 2. The legislative body is holding the meeting for the purpose of determining, by majority vote, whether meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.
- 3. The legislative body has determined, by majority vote, that meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.

WHEREAS, the Governor of California proclaimed a state of emergency pursuant to Government Code section 8625 on March 4, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the City Council previously adopted Resolution No. 59 on October 26, 2021 finding that the requisite conditions exist for the City Council and other legislative bodies of the City, including the Planning Commission, Development Review Commission, and Historical Commission to conduct teleconference meetings under California Government Code section 54953(e); and

WHEREAS, Government Code section 54953(e)(3) requires the legislative body adopt certain findings by majority vote within 30 days of holding a meeting by teleconference under Government Code section 54953(e), and then adopt such findings every 30 days thereafter; and

WHEREAS, the City Council desires to continue holding its public meetings by teleconference consistent with Government Code section 54953(e), and to authorize other legislative bodies of the City, including the Planning Commission, Development Review Commission, and Historical Commission to do the same.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRASS VALLEY DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. <u>Recitals</u>. The Recitals set forth above are true and correct and are incorporated into this Resolution by this reference.

Section 2. <u>Conditions are Met</u>. The City Council hereby finds and declares the following, as required by Government Code section 54953(e)(3):

- 1. The City Council has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency declared by the Governor pursuant to his or her authority under Government Code section 8625; and
- 2. The state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of members of the City Council and other legislative bodies of the City to meet safely in person.

Section 3. <u>Meeting Requirements</u>. All meetings held pursuant to Government Code section 54953(e) shall comply with the requirements of that section and all other applicable provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act (Government Code section 54950 et seq.).

Section 4. <u>Regular Findings</u>. Pursuant to Government Code section 54953(e)(3), if the Town Council desires to continue holding its public meetings by teleconference consistently with Government Code section 54953(e), it shall make findings not later than 30 days after the meeting at which this Resolution was adopted, and every 30 days thereafter, as required by that section.

Section 5. <u>Effective Date</u>. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of City of Grass Valley, this 13th day of December, 2022, by the following vote:

AYES: NOES: ABSENT: ABSTAIN:

Ben Aguilar, Mayor

ATTEST:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Taylor Day, Deputy City Clerk

Michael Colantuono, City Attorney



Title: Local Emergency Proclamation (COVID-19)

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continuance of Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) proclamation declaring a Local State of Emergency

Prepared by: Timothy M. Kiser, City Manager

Council Meeting Date: 12/13/2022

Date Prepared: 12/9/2022

Agenda: Consent

Background Information: On March 5, 2020 the City Manager, acting as the Director of Emergency Services for the City of Grass Valley and the Disaster Council (Vice Mayor Aguilar and Councilmember Arbuckle), declared a local State of Emergency to ensure emergency personnel can obtain equipment and resources in the most timely and effective manner. In accordance with the Emergency Services Act Section 8630 (b) the governing body must ratify the declared emergency within 7 days for it to remain in effect. On March 10, 2020, at the Grass Valley City Council Meeting, the City Council approved Resolution 2020-09, Proclamation of Local Emergency. The City Council shall review, at its regularly scheduled meeting until the local emergency is terminated, the need for continuing the local emergency.

<u>Council Goals/Objectives</u>: Continuance of the proclamation declaring a Local State of Emergency due to prepare against coronavirus COVID-19 executes portions of City Strategic Goal #6: Public Safety. The City of Grass Valley is devoted to providing a safe Place to Live, Work and Play.

Fiscal Impact: The changing variants of COVID19 make it very difficult to anticipate the Fiscal Impact moving forward. For FY 2022/23, it appears the impacts will be minimal compared to previous years, but due to the constantly changing impacts of COVID-19 the actual fiscal impact may change.

Funds Available: N/A

Account #: N/A

Reviewed by: ___ City Manager

Attachments: None



Title: Local Emergency Proclamation (Drought Conditions)

<u>Recommendation</u>: Drought Conditions proclamation declaring a Local State of Emergency

Prepared by: Timothy M. Kiser, City Manager

Council Meeting Date: 12/13/2022

Date Prepared: 12/9/2022

Agenda: Consent

Background Information: On May 10, 2021, Governor Newsom modified a State of Emergency Proclamation that declared that a State of Emergency to exist in California due to severe drought conditions to include 41 counties, including Nevada County. The Proclamation directed state agencies to partner with local water suppliers to promote conservation through the Save Our Water campaign, a critical resource used by Californians during the 2012-2016 drought. Some municipalities have already adopted mandatory local water-saving requirements, and many more have called for voluntary water use reductions.

Nevada Irrigation District (NID) declared a drought emergency throughout the District's service area on April 28, 2021, which includes portions of the City of Grass Valley, and requested that customers conserve 10 percent of their normal water usage. Both NID and Nevada City have now mandated at least 20% conservation requirements.

On June 22, 2021, City Council approved Resolutions No. 2021-41 declaring a local emergency due to drought conditions and No.2021-42 mandating water conservation. All treated Water Customers are required to reduce water use by 20%.

<u>Council Goals/Objectives</u>: This resolution executes portions of work tasks towards achieving/maintaining Strategic Plan - Water and Wastewater Systems and Underground Infrastructure. The City of Grass Valley is devoted to providing a safe Place to Live, Work and Play.

<u>Fiscal Impact</u>: The Fiscal Impact to the Water Fund should be minor, but if the drought continues for several years the impact could be more significant.

Funds Available: N/A

Account #: N/A

<u>Reviewed by</u>: ___ City Manager



<u>Title</u>: Reimbursement of Employee Expenses for the Maintenance and Training of Peer Support Dogs Policy

Recommendation: That Council 1) approve a Resolution No. 2022-87 - Adopting a Policy to Reimburse Employee Handlers of Peer Support Dogs; and 2) authorize the Administrative Services Director to make any budget adjustments and/or transfers associated with the reimbursement of employee expenses for the maintenance and training of peer support dogs policy.

Prepared by: Timothy M. Kiser, City Manager

Council Meeting Date: 12/13/2022

Date Prepared: 12/07/2022

Agenda: Consent

Background Information: On November 22, 2022, City Council approved the Facility Dog Program and obtaining a Facility Dog for the City through 4 Paws 2 Freedom. As part of the action on November 22, 2022, the City Attorney was authorized to prepare an agreement between the City and the handler regarding the terms for splitting of dog expenses and responsibilities. After discussions with the City Attorney, it was decided a City Policy would be the better way to document each parties' responsibilities. The primary handler would be responsible for the dog and treat it as a pet during non-work shifts, taking responsibility for feeding, grooming, toys, treats, and other non-work-related items. The City would be responsible for liability insurance, veterinary expenses (except for injuries during non-work hours), pet health insurance costs, training equipment, and supplies related to the dog's work duties. Attached is a the resolution and policy on reimbursement of employee expenses for the Maintenance and Training Peer Support Dogs.

<u>Council Goals/Objectives</u>: The action executes portions of work tasks towards achieving/maintaining Strategic Plan - High Performance Government and Quality Service.

Fiscal Impact: Costs associated with the purchase of a facility dog will be primarily offset by funds from 4 Paws 2 Freedom through a grant they received. Other costs, to the extent not funded by the Primary Handler, can be covered with budgeted reserve funds

Funds Available:YesAccount #:Various

Reviewed by: City Manager

<u>Attachments</u>: Resolution No. 2022-87; Policy to Reimburse Employee Handlers of Peer Support Dogs

RESOLUTION No. 2022-87

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRASS VALLEY ADOPTING POLICY TO REIMBURSE EMPLOYEE HANDLERS OF PEER SUPPORT DOGS

WHEREAS, on November 22nd, 2022, the City of Grass Valley approved a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") between 4 Paws 2 Freedom and the City of Grass Valley ("City") for the implementation of a Peer Support Dog training program (the "Program"); and

WHEREAS, the Program is intended to assist First Responders in reducing stress after difficult calls with the goal of healthier outcomes and longevity of First Responders; and

WHEREAS, the Program focuses on teaching the primary dog handler (the "Primary Handler") to train the dog through weekly classes and on-the-job practice to be a certified Peer Support Dog over the course of a one-year period; and

WHEREAS, the Program requires the Primary Handler to attend weekly class for the first year of training and monthly training following certification for at least three years of service, and it requires the Primary Handler and a secondary dog handler (the "Secondary Handler") to take the dog home with them to relax when they are off shift; and

WHEREAS, the City shall select employee volunteers to perform the duties and responsibilities of Primary and Secondary Handlers under the Program; and

WHEREAS, the Program requires certain costs to be borne by the employee handlers to maintain and train Peer Support Dogs; and the City desires to reimburse certain expenses required of the employee handlers.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Grass Valley as follows:

- 1) That the foregoing statements are true and correct and are incorporated here by the reference.
- 2) That the attached policy providing for City reimbursement of certain expenses relative to the maintenance and training of Peer Support Dogs is hereby approved.
- 3) That the City Manager shall incorporate the attached policy in the City's Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual.
- 4) That the City Manager is authorized and empowered to amend the attached policy from time to time, consistent with this resolution.
- 5) That this resolution shall take effect immediately and remain in effect until terminated by the City Manager.

ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Grass Valley at a regular meeting thereof held on the 13th day of December 2022, by the following Vote:

AYES: NOES: ABSENT: ABSTAIN:

Ben Aguilar, Mayor

ATTEST:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Taylor Day, Deputy City Clerk

Michael Colantuono, City Attorney

POLICY No.

SUBJECT: Reimbursement of Employee Expenses for the Maintenance and Training of Peer Support Dogs

- I. **PURPOSE**: This policy is intended to reimburse employee handlers for certain costs to maintain and train a Peer Support Dog.
- II. POLICY: The City, at its discretion, may provide Peer Support Dog training to assist First Responders in reducing stress after difficult calls. Peer Support Dogs are owned and cared for by City employees who volunteer to be handlers of such dogs. The City shall reimburse the volunteer handlers for certain costs related to the maintenance, and training of Peer Support Dogs.
- III. SCOPE: The City's Peer Support Dog program requires volunteer employees to implement the program. Primary Handler and Secondary Handlers are responsible for the care, maintenance, and training of the Peer Support Dogs. The following expenses will be reimbursed to the employee or paid by the City:
 - Toys, treats, dishes, grooming supplies, leash, collar, ID tags, licensing, crate, seat belt, halti, or other training equipment needed for at work or training
 - Liability Insurance
 - Pet Health insurance
 - Travel costs for local training, unless the handler used a City vehicle or he/she is paid a vehicle allowance
 - Veterinarian expenses except for at home related issues or home related injuries

The following expenses will be the responsibility of the employee:

- Dog Food
- Grooming costs
- Toys, treats, dishes, grooming supplies, leash, collar, crate, seat belt, halti, or other items needed for non-work hours

IV. DEFINITIONS:

- A. **Primary Handler**: An employee who is assigned to undergo initial and regular training with the Peer Support Dog under the City's Peer Support Dog program.
- B. **Secondary Handler**: An employee who is assigned to occasionally takes the Peer Support Dog home for relaxation, in coordination with the Primary Handler.

V. GUIDELINES:

A. The Primary Handler shall be the owner of the Peer Support Dog.

- B. The Primary Handler shall be responsible for attending training sessions and train with the Peer Support Dog, perform dog handler duties to assist First Responders, and shall care for and maintain the dog, in accordance with the City's Peer Support Program.
- C. The Primary Handler shall be responsible to take the Peer Support Dog home each night to relax when he/she is not on duty. The Primary Handler shall be responsible to care for and maintain the dog in accordance with the City's Peer Support Program. The Primary Handler shall also coordinate with the Secondary Handler for taking the Peers Support Dog home, as needed.
- D. The Primary Handler will be compensated his/her regular pay during training and travel time to training sessions.
- E. Upon separation of employment, the Peer Support Dog shall continue to be owned by the Primary Handler without continued reimbursement by the City, unless the dog is relinquished to another handler, or the program trainer, and the City Manager approves of the relinquishment.
- F. The Secondary Handler shall be responsible to coordinate with the Primary Handler and, to the extent reasonable, shall take the Peer Support Dog home to relax when he/she is not on duty.
- G. The Secondary Handler shall be responsible to care for and maintain the dog when he/she takes the dog goes home

VI. **PROCEDURES**:

- A. Employees who volunteer to be dog handlers under the City's Peer Support Program shall submit a request for approval to the City Manager.
- B. Upon City approval, handlers shall perform their respective duties under the City's Peer Support Program.
- C. On a monthly basis, Primary and Secondary Handlers should submit expense receipts to the Finance Department for eligible expenses to maintain, and train the Peer Support Dog, as described in Section III above.
- D. Upon receipt of reimbursement requests by the Primary and Secondary Handlers, the City Finance Department shall prepare warrants for reimbursement of eligible maintenance and training expenses for a Peer Support Dog. Such warrants shall be paid to employee handlers consistent with other warrants in the normal course of business.
- VII. **RESPONSIBILITY FOR REVIEW**: This policy shall be reviewed at least once every 3 years by the City Manager



<u>Title</u>: Authorize travel for Vice Mayor Arbuckle to the National League of Cities (Congressional City Conference)

<u>**Recommendation**</u>: That Council approve travel and related expenses for Vice Mayor Arbuckle to attend the National League of Cities (Congressional City Conference).

Prepared by: Timothy M. Kiser, City Manager

Council Meeting Date: 12/13/2022

Date Prepared: 12/8/2022

Agenda: Consent

Background Information: The National League of California Cities provides a variety of training, legislative support and information to elected and appointed officials. The City's travel policy requires Council approval for this travel. Adequate funds are available for the travel. The involvement with the National League of California Cities also provides the opportunity to participate in nationwide policy committees, meetings with legislators, and to share and exchange ideas for enhancing city services.

The National League of Cities Congressional City Conference (CCC) bring local leaders voices to Washington, DC and provides them with the necessary tools and connections to help build a brighter future for our nation's cities, towns and villages. This year the Congressional City Conference will be held in Washington, D.C. March 24th through 28th.

<u>Council Goals/Objectives</u>: This item executes portions of work tasks towards achieving/maintaining Strategic Plan - Community Leadership. The City of Grass Valley is devoted to providing a safe Place to Live, Work and Play.

Fiscal Impact: The Fiscal Impact will be limited to Hotel Room, Airfare, and the conference registration. The anticipated cost to the City's General Fund would around \$3,500. There are sufficient funds budgeted for this proposed expenditure. Vice Mayor Arbuckle will be responsible for all other costs.

Funds Available: Yes

Account #: 100-101-54112

Reviewed by: ___ City Manager

Attachments: None



<u>Title</u>: Second reading of Ordinance 821 to amend City of Grass Valley Municipal Code, Title 15, Chapters 15.02 to 15.06 that incorporates the 2022 California Building Codes

<u>Recommendation</u>: Conduct the second reading, by title only, and adopt Ordinance 821 amending the Grass Valley Municipal Code Chapters 15.02 to 15.06 that incorporates the 2022 California Building Standards Code Title 24 Parts 1 through 12, 2021 International Swimming Pool and Spa Code, 2021 International Property Maintenance Code, and local amendments.

Prepared by: Jon May, Building Official Council Meeting Date: 12/13/2022

Date Prepared: 12/9/2022

Agenda: Consent

Background Information: At the City Council meetings of December 7, 2022, the Council conducted a public hearing, held the first reading, and introduced an Ordinance amending the Grass Valley Municipal Code. The purpose of this agenda item is to conduct the second reading and adopt the 2022 Building Codes and the City's local amendments. The codes being adopted include the 2022 Building Standards Code, Title 24 Parts 1 through 12, the 2021 International Swimming Pool and Spa Code, the 2021 International Property Maintenance Code, and the City's local amendments.

<u>Council Goals/Objectives:</u> Reorganization Related Items like the installation of new City Council Members executes portions of Goal **#5**: High Performance Government & Quality Service.

Fiscal Impact: N/A

Funds Available: N/A

Account #: N/A

<u>Reviewed by</u>: ___ City Manager

Attachments: None.

ORDINANCE 821

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRASS VALLEY CALIFORNIA REPEALING CHAPTERS 15.02 to 15.06 OF TITLE 15 – BUILDINGS AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE CITY OF GRASS VALLEY CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL CODE, AND ADOPTING CHAPTERS 15.02 TO 15.06 "BUILDINGS AND CONSTRUCTION" WHICH ADOPTS BY REFERENCE THE CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS TITLE 24, 2022 EDITION OF THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING STANDARDS CODE INCLUDING THE FOLLOWING PARTS:

- PART 1 California Administrative Code
- PART 2 California Building Code including listed Appendix Chapters
- Part 2.5 California Residential Code and listed Appendix Chapters
- PART 3 California Electrical Code
- PART 4 California Mechanical Code including listed Appendix Chapters
- PART 5 California Plumbing Code including the listed Appendix Chapters
- PART 6 California Energy Code
- PART 8 California Historical Code
- PART 9 California Fire Code including the listed Appendix Chapters
- PART 10 California Existing Building Code
- PART 11 California Green Building Standards Code
- PART 12 California Referenced Standards Code
- International Property Maintenance Code, 2021 Edition
- International Swimming Pool and Spa Code, 2021 Edition

AND AMENDING THOSE PORTIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS TITLE 24 AS IDENTIFIED HEREIN.

The City Council of the City of Grass Valley does ordain as follows:

SECTION 1: Repeal - Chapters 15.02 thru 15.06 of Title 15 of the City of Grass Valley Municipal Code are hereby repealed in their entirety.

SECTION 2: Purpose and Authority - The purpose of this Ordinance is to adopt by reference the 2022 edition of the California Building Standards Code, Title 24 – Parts 1; 2; 2.5; 3; 4; 5; 6; 8; 9; 10; 11 and 12 of the California Code of Regulations, the 2021 International Property Maintenance Code, and the 2021 International Swimming Pool and Spa Code subject to the definitions, clarifications, and the amendments set forth in this Ordinance. The purpose of this Ordinance is also to provide minimum requirements and standards for the protection of the public safety, health, property, and welfare of the City of Grass Valley. This Ordinance is adopted under the authority of Government Code Subsection 50022.2 and Health and Safety Code Section 18941.5.

SECTION 3: Findings of Fact and Need for Modifications to California Building Standards Code - Pursuant to California Health and Safety Code sections 18941.5 and 17958, the City Council of the City of Grass Valley hereby finds that the amendments adopted herein are reasonably necessary because the city has unique local climatic and topographic conditions. These local conditions have an adverse effect on the prevention of (1) major loss fires and (2) the potential for life and property loss, making the changes or modifications in the California Building Standards Code necessary in order to provide a reasonable degree of property security, and fire and life safety in the City. Below are adverse local climatic, geological and topographic conditions that necessitate the modifications to the California Building Standards Code.

A. Climatic Findings of Fact.

1. The City of Grass Valley weather is mild during the summer when daytime temperatures tend to range in the 70-90-degree Fahrenheit range, and cold during the winter, which daytime temperatures tend to be

in the 40-degree Fahrenheit range. The City experiences large temperature variations between night and day during the summer; the difference can be up to 40 degrees Fahrenheit.

2. The City has a mix of urban population growth interspersed with areas of vegetation growth. Intensive use of land in urban areas means bigger buildings, which can also create complex problems for fire safety.

3. Average yearly rainfall for the City is approximately 55 inches. This rainfall normally occurs from November to May. Precipitation creates a condition where emergency responders need to drive more cautiously. Snow and ice can be present during winter months, contributing to freezing and slick roadways and resulting in numerous vehicle collisions.

4. The City of Grass Valley also has a Mediterranean Climate characterized by warm, dry summers that cause an increase in fire risk during the summer and fall months. A significant portion of the City resides in a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone, as classified by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE).

B. Topographical Findings of Fact.

1. The City is bisected by numerous topographical features including creeks, natural parkways, open space, bridges/overpasses, freeways, drainage canals, wildland and hillside areas. These topographical features significantly impact the ability of emergency responders to extinguish or control wildland or structure fires. The City resides at an elevation varying between 2200 to 2800 feet.

2. Moderate traffic congestion on the City's major streets and intersections at peak times acts as a constraint to timely response for fire and emergency vehicles. As a result of increased development both within the City and in the unincorporated area of the County, some roadways and intersections in the City are expected to have significantly increased traffic flow rates in the future. In the event of an accident or other emergency sections of the City could be isolated or response time could be sufficiently slowed so as to increase the risk of injury or damage.

3. Extended response times for a full fire fighting force to a known fire have the strong potential for increased risk to life and increased property damage and built in fire protection systems such as automatic fire alarm and automatic fire sprinkler systems are necessary, where they are otherwise not required by this code, to limit the threat to life and increased property loss.

C. Summary. The local climatic and topographical conditions affect the acceleration, intensity and size of fires in the community. Times of little or no rainfall, or low humidity and high temperature create extremely hazardous conditions, particularly as they relate to wood shake and shingle roof fires. Grass Valley's downtown and surrounding areas contain numerous historic and older buildings that are located close together, which can exacerbate the fire condition from dry conditions, wind, and shake shingle roofs. Additionally, the City's development pattern contributes to its unique fire protection needs as the City is intersected by natural features and is also subject to traffic conditions. Local vegetation contributes to the fire dangers in the City, as the vegetation provides ready fuel for fast-spreading wildfires. These structures and the flammable material are susceptible to ignition by embers from a wild land fire, furthering the spread of fire to adjacent buildings.

SECTION 4: Chapter 15.02 "Building Standards Codes" of City of Grass Valley Municipal Code is hereby added to read as follows:

15.02.010 Title. This Chapter shall be known as the City of Grass Valley Building Standards Code, and may be cited as such, and will be referred to herein as "this Code."

15.02.020 Application. This Code shall be the exclusive source of regulations for all new construction and any alterations, repairs, relocations, or reconstruction of any building or any portion thereof including any electrical, mechanical, gas, plumbing, or fire protection equipment installed on any property or used on or within any building.

15.02.030 Conflicts with other laws, rules, etc. In the event of any conflict between this Code and any law, rule or regulation of the State of California, that requirement which establishes the higher standard of safety shall govern. Failure to comply with such standard of safety shall be a violation of this Code.

SECTION 5: Chapter 15.04 "Adoption of Building Standards Codes" of City of Grass Valley Municipal Code is hereby added to read as follows:

15.04.010 Copy of Building Standards Code and Appendix Chapters.

- A. One copy of the California Building Standards Code is on file with the Community Development Department for use and examination by the public, along with changes, additions and deletions related to the California Building Standards Code as set forth in this chapter. In addition, all subsequent supplements to the California Building Standards and related publications are adopted by reference.
- **B.** When reference in the California Building Standards Code is made to an appendix chapter, the provisions of the said appendix shall not apply unless specifically adopted by this Code.

15.04.020 California Administrative Code Part 1, 2022 Edition. Pursuant to Sections 50022.1 through 50022.10, inclusive, of the Government Code, the city council adopts and enacts the 2022 California Administrative Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 1) specific to administrative regulations of/for California Building Standards Commission (BSC), Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD-2), Office of the State Fire Marshal (SFM), Division of the State Architect (DSA), Office of Statewide Planning and Development (OSHPD), Department of Health Services (DHS), Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board (OSHA), California Energy Commission (CEC), Department of Food and Agriculture (AGR), Department of Youth Authority (AU), et al as adopted by the California Building Standards Commission.

15.04.030 California Fire Code Part 9, 2022 Edition. Pursuant to Sections 50022.1 through 50022.10, inclusive, of the Government Code, the city council adopts and enacts the 2022 California Fire Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 9 and Appendix Chapters B, BB, C, CC, D, F, H, I, K, & N) based on the 2021 International Fire Code (IFC) as published by the International Code Council (ICC) and as adopted and amended by the California Building Standards Commission.

15.04.040 California Building Code Part 2, 2022 Edition. Pursuant to Sections 50022.1 through 50022.10, inclusive, of the Government Code, the city council adopts and enacts the 2022 California Building Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 2 and Appendix Chapters C,G,H,I,J and P) based on the 2021 International Building Code as published by the International Code Council (ICC) and as adopted and amended by the California Building Standards Commission.

15.04.050 California Residential Code Part 2.5 2022 Edition. Pursuant to Sections 50022.1 through 50022.10, inclusive, of the Government Code, the city council adopts and enacts the 2022 California Residential Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 2.5 and Appendix Chapters H, J, K, O, Q, S, and T) based on the 2021 International Residential Code as published by the International Code Council (ICC) and as adopted and amended by the California Building Standards Commission.

15.04.060 California Electrical Code Part 3, 2022 Edition. Pursuant to Sections 50022.1 through 50022.10, inclusive, of the Government Code, the city council adopts and enacts the 2022 California Electrical Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 3) based on the 2020 National Electrical Code as adopted by the California Building Standards Commission.

15.04.070 California Mechanical Code Part 4, **2022 Edition.** Pursuant to Sections 50022.1 through 50022.10, inclusive, of the Government Code, the city council adopts and enacts the 2022 California Mechanical Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 4 and Appendix Chapters B and C) based on the 2021 Uniform Mechanical Code as published by the International Association of Plumbing and Mechanical Officials (IAPMO) and as adopted by the California Building Standards Commission.

15.04.080 California Plumbing Code Part 5, 2022 Edition. Pursuant to Sections 50022.1 through 50022.10, inclusive, of the Government Code, the city council adopts and enacts the 2022 California Plumbing Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 5 and Appendix Chapters A, B, D, G, I, and K) based on the 2021 Uniform Plumbing Code as published by the International Association of Plumbing and Mechanical Officials (IAPMO) as adopted by the California Building Standards Commission.

15.04.090 California Energy Code Part 6, 2022 Edition. Pursuant to Sections 50022.1 through 50022.10, inclusive, of the Government Code, the city council adopts and enacts the 2022 California Energy Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 6) as published by the International Code Council (ICC) and as adopted by the California Building Standards Commission.

15.04.100 California Historical Building Code Part 8, 2022 Edition. Pursuant to Sections 50022.1 through 50022.10, inclusive, of the Government Code, the city council adopts and enacts the 2022 California Historical Building Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 8) as published by the International Code Council (ICC) and as adopted by the California Building Standards Commission.

15.04.110 California Existing Building Code Part 10, 2022 Edition. Pursuant to Sections 50022.1 through 50022.10, inclusive, of the Government Code, the city council adopts and enacts the 2022 California Existing Building Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 10) based on 2021 International Existing Building Code as published by the International Code Council (ICC) and as adopted and amended by the California Building Standards Commission.

15.04.120 California Green Building Standards Code Part 11, 2022 Edition. Pursuant to Sections 50022.1 through 50022.10, inclusive, of the Government Code, the city council adopts and enacts the 2022 California Green Building Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 11) as published by the International Code Council (ICC) and as adopted by the California Building Standards Commission.

15.04.130 California Referenced Standards Code Part 12, 2022 Edition. Pursuant to Sections 50022.1 through 50022.10, inclusive, of the Government Code, the city council adopts and enacts the 2022 California Referenced Standards Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 12) as published by the International Code Council (ICC) and as adopted by the California Building Standards Commission.

15.04.140 International Property Maintenance Code 2021 Edition. Pursuant to Sections 50022.1 through 50022.10, inclusive, of the Government Code, the city council adopts and enacts the 2021 International Property Maintenance Code as published by the International Code Council (ICC).

15.04.150 International Swimming Pool and Spa Code 2021 Edition. Pursuant to Sections 50022.1 through 50022.10, inclusive, of the Government Code, the city council adopts and enacts the 2021 International Swimming Pool and Spa Code as published by the International Code Council (ICC).

15.04.160. Penalties for Violations of this Chapter. A violation of any provision of this Chapter, including the codes adopted herein, is punishable as a misdemeanor and upon conviction may be punished by a fine of not more than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) or by imprisonment in the County jail for not more than six (6) months, or both.

SECTION 6. Chapter 15.06 "Amendments to the California Building Standards Code" of the City of Grass Valley Municipal Code is hereby added and shall read as follows:

<u>15.06.010 - Amendments to the 2022 California Fire Code</u>. Set forth below are the local amendments, additions, and deletions to the 2022 California Fire Code. Chapter and Section numbers used herein are those listed in the 2022 California Fire Code.

Subsection 1.11.2.1.1, "Item 1" is amended to read as follows:

Subsection 1.11.2.1.1. The responsibility for enforcement of building standards adopted by the State Fire Marshal and published in the Building Standards Code relating to the fire and panic safety and other regulations of the State Fire Marshal shall be, except as provided in Section 1.11.2.1.2, as follows:

- The city, county or city and county with jurisdiction in the area affected by the standard or regulation shall delegate the enforcement of the building standards relating to fire and panic safety and other regulations of the State Fire Marshal as they relate to Group R-3 occupancies, as described in Section 310.1 of Part 2 of the California Building Standards Code, to the following:
 - **1.1.** The Chief of the Fire Department of the City of Grass Valley or an authorized representative.
 - **1.2.** The Building Official of the City of Grass Valley or an authorized representative.

Subsection [A] 105.5 "Expiration" is amended to read as follows:

Subsection [A] 105.5 Expiration. Operational Permits – An operational permit shall remain in effect until reissued, renewed or revoked, or for such a period as specified in the permit, is not transferable and any change in occupancy, operation, tenancy and/or ownership shall require that a new permit be issued. **Construction Permits** - The maximum allowable length for all construction permits, as required by Section 105.7 - Required Construction Permits, is 2-years, unless otherwise noted.

Subsection [A] 105.3.2 "Extensions" is amended to read as follows:

Subsection [A] 105.3.2 Extensions. A permittee holding an unexpired construction permit shall have the right to request an extension. Such requests are required to be made to the Building Official of the City of Grass Valley or an authorized representative.

Subsection 105.8 "New Materials, Processes or Occupancies Which May Require Permits" is added to read:

Subsection 105.8 New Materials, Processes or Occupancies Which May Require Permits. The Chief of the Fire Department of the City of Grass Valley or an authorized representative shall determine and specify, after giving affected persons the opportunity to be heard, any new materials, processes or occupancies which shall require permits, in addition to those enumerated in said code.

Subsection 106.2 "Schedule of Permit Fees" is amended to read as follows:

Subsection 106.2 Schedule of Fees. All Operational Permit, Inspection, Special Inspection, Special Operations and Plan Review fees shall be in accordance with the current schedule of fees adopted by resolution of the City Council of the City of Grass Valley. Certain costs for inspections mandated by the State of California and the State Fire Marshall may be reimbursable to school districts pursuant to HSC 13146.4 Sec. 2. (SB1205.)

Subsection 109.1 "Board of Appeals Established" is amended to read as follows:

Subsection 109.1 General. Appeals shall be handled in accordance with 2022 California Building Standards Code, Part 2, Section 113 as amended by the City of Grass Valley.

Subsection 506.1 "Where Required" is amended to read as follows:

Subsection 506.1 Where Required. Where access to or within a structure and/or an area is restricted because of secured openings, immediate access is necessary for life-saving and/or fire-fighting purposes, or the structure is equipped with automatic fire sprinkler and/or fire alarm system(s) a key box shall be installed in an approved location. The key box shall be a KNOX BOX and shall contain keys to gain access as required by the fire code official.

Subsection 509.1.1 "Utility Identification" is amended to read as follows:

Subsection 509.1.1 Utility Identification. Gas meters, shutoff valves and services, electric meters, service switches, shutoff switches, services, and other utility equipment in multi-unit commercial and multi-unit residential buildings shall be clearly and legibly marked to identify the unit and/or space that it serves. Identification shall be made in an approved manner, readily visible and shall be maintained.

Subsection 907.11 "False Alarms" is added to read the following:

Subsection 907.11 False Alarms. When any fire alarm system sounds an audible alarm or transmits an alarm to a remote location causing an emergency response by the fire department, when no emergency exists, the owner, tenant, or lessee of the premises will be charged for fire department response upon the third and all subsequent alarm activation(s) within a one-year period. The cost of the response will be in accordance with the current schedule of fees adopted by resolution of the City Council of the City of Grass Valley.

Subsection 5706.2 Storage and Dispensing of Flammable and Combustible Liquids on Farms and Construction Sites, "Exception" is amended to read as follows:

Subsection 5706.2 Exceptions:

- 1. Storage in conjunction with construction projects complying with Subsection 5706.2 for which the Chief of the Fire Department of the City of Grass Valley or an authorized representative has issued a permit.
- 2. Tanks used for agricultural purposes complying with Subsection 5706.2 where the need for on-site fuel is necessary for continued operations, and for which the Chief of the Fire Department of the City of Grass Valley or an authorized representative has issued a permit.
- 3. Existing installations where the Chief of the Fire Department of the City of Grass Valley or an authorized representative has issued a permit for continued use.
- 4. Service stations, repair garages, oil change facilities and commercial operations which accept the return of used crankcase oil, may be permitted to have one (1) aboveground storage tank of up to a 500-gallon capacity for the storing used crankcase oil. Storage and tank shall be in accordance with Chapter 23 and Chapter 57.

Appendix B, Subsection B107 "Automatic Fire Alarm System" is added to read as follows:

Subsection B107 Automatic Fire Alarm System. Any structure with a required fire flow of 1,500 to 1,749 gallons per minute shall have an approved fully-supervised automatic smoke and/or heat detection fire alarm system installed throughout the structure in accordance with Section 907, NFPA-72 and the City of Grass Valley in the following categories:

- 1. New Structures.
- 2. Existing Structures with new construction exceeding 20% of the gross floor area.
- 3. Existing Structures as required by Chapter 11.

Exceptions:

- 1. Structures that have an automatic fire sprinkler system installed throughout the structure in accordance with Section 903, NFPA-13 and the City of Grass Valley.
- 2. One and Two-family dwellings and related accessory outbuildings.

Appendix B, Subsection B108 "Automatic Fire Sprinkler System" is added to read as follows:

<u>Subsection B108 Automatic Fire Sprinkler System.</u> Any structure with a required fire flow of 1,750 gallons a minute or greater shall have an approved fully-supervised automatic fire sprinkler system installed throughout the structure in accordance with Section 903, NFPA-13 and the City of Grass Valley in the following categories:

- 1. New Structures.
- 2. Existing Structures with new construction exceeding 20% of the gross floor area.
- 3. Existing Structures as required by Chapter 11.
- 4. Change of occupancy classification.

15.06.020 Amendments to the 2022 California Building Code. Set forth below are the local amendments, additions and deletions to the 2022 California Building Code. Chapter and Section numbers used herein are those listed in the 2022 Building Code.

Section 105.5 "Expiration" is amended to read as follows:

Section 105.5 Expiration. Every Grading, Building, Plumbing, Mechanical, and Electrical permit issued by the city under this code shall expire by limitation and become null and void two (2) years after the date of issuance or if the building or work authorized by such permit is not commenced within 180 days from the date of issuance, or if the building or work authorized by such permit is suspended or abandoned at any time after the work is commenced. Suspension and/or abandonment shall be determined by a lack of progress inspections for a period of more than 180 days since a previous passing inspection. The maximum allowable length for all permits is 2 years, unless otherwise noted. Extensions will not normally be considered. Applicants with extenuating circumstances may submit written request and a filing fee prior to the expiration of the permit to the Building Official. Such requests are required to outline extenuating circumstances that did not allow for the completion of the work as permitted.

If a permit has expired, no work can recommence until a new permit is obtained.

105.5.1 - The cost of a new permit, the purpose of which is to facilitate completion of work for which a permit has expired, will be based on a quantitative estimation of inspections deemed necessary for completion. If costs for providing services to the project exceed the estimated fees collected at permit issuance, additional fees will apply. Said fees will be in accordance with the City's Building Division Fee Schedule established by resolution of the City Council. It is the responsibility of the permittee to schedule all inspections necessary for a permit to remain current and valid. All inspections for this purpose must verify progress.

105.5.2 - Permits may be issued for a limited period when deemed necessary by the Building Official to abate dangerous, substandard, and/or illegal conditions. In such cases, the Building Official will establish the expiration at 30, 60, 90, or 180 days depending on the health and/or safety hazards. Such permit term limitations may be shorter if deemed appropriate and/or necessary by the Building Official.

Section 109.2 "Schedule of Permit Fees" is amended to read as follows:

Section 109.2 Schedule of Permit Fees. All permit fees to include Building, Electrical, Mechanical, Plumbing, and Grading permit fees shall be in accordance with the schedule of fees adopted by resolution of the City Council of the City of Grass Valley from time to time. When a plan or other data are required to be submitted, a plan review fee shall be paid at the time of submitting plans and specifications for review. Plan review fees will be in addition to building permit fees for building, electrical, mechanical, and

plumbing work and shall be established by the City's Building Division Fee Schedule established by resolution of the City Council. Where plans are incomplete or changed to require additional plan review, an additional plan review fee shall be charged in accordance with the schedule established by the City Council for such fee.

Section 109.2.1 "Reinspections" is hereby added to read as follows:

Section 109.2.1 Reinspections. A reinspection fee will be assessed for each inspection or reinspection when such portion of work for which inspection is called is not complete or when corrections called for are not made. With regard to reinspection, if the work is not complete and correct at the first reinspection, a minimum reinspection fee which shall be established by resolution of the City Council from time to time, shall be charged for any and all subsequent re-inspections for the same work. To obtain a reinspection the applicant shall first pay the reinspection fee(s) which are established by resolution of the City Council. In instances where reinspection fees have been assessed, no additional inspection of the work will be performed until the required fee(s) have been paid.

Section 109.2.2 "Investigation Fee" is hereby added to read as follows:

Section 109.2.2 Investigation Fee. An investigation fee, in addition to the permit fee, shall be collected whether a permit is subsequently issued. The investigation fee shall be equal to one (1) times the amount of the permit(s) fees required by this code for a first violation and two (2) times the amount of the permit(s) fee(s) required by this code for any subsequent violation. The payment of such investigation fee shall not exempt any person from compliance with all other provisions of either this code or the technical codes nor from any penalty prescribed by law.

Section 109.4 "Work Commencing Before Permit Issuance" is hereby amended to read as follows:

<u>Section 109.4 Work Commencing Before Permit Issuance</u>. Whenever any work for which a permit is required by this code has been commenced without first obtaining such permit, a special investigation shall be made before a permit may be issued for such work.

Section 113 "Means of Appeals" is amended, in its entirety, to read as follows:

Section 113 Means of Appeals

Section 113.1 General. The Construction Board of Appeals shall hear and decide appeals of orders, decisions or determinations made by the Building Official or the Chief of the Fire Department of the City of Grass Valley or an authorized representative relative to the application and interpretation of this Code and shall provide reasonable determinations of decisions rendered by the officials charged with the responsibility of enforcing the Building and Fire Codes adopted by the City of Grass Valley, as amended from time to time including, but not limited to those adopted pursuant to Chapter 15.02 of the Grass Valley Municipal Code. The Construction Board of Appeals may be appointed in accordance with section 113, or the City may utilize the Nevada County Building and Accessibility Standards Board of Appeals (County Board) to address appeals of this Code. Should the County Board be utilized to conduct an appeal hearing, the County's adopted appeal process shall be utilized.

Section 113.2 Limitations on Authority. An application for appeal shall be based on a claim that the true intent of this Code or the rules legally adopted thereunder have been incorrectly interpreted, the provisions of this Code do not fully apply, or an equally good or better form of construction has been proposed. The Construction Board of Appeals shall have no authority relative to interpretation of the administrative provisions of these codes nor shall the Board be empowered to waive requirements of these codes. Any cost for tests or research required by the Board to substantiate the claim of the appellant shall be the sole responsibility of the appellant.

Section 113.3 Qualifications. The Construction Board of Appeals shall consist of five (5) members, all of whom must be residents of Nevada County. The five (5) members shall consist of one (1) civil engineer (in the case of an appeal of an interpretation of the California Fire Code, the engineer shall be a fire protection engineer), one (1) architect and one (1) contractor, and two (2) persons representing the general public. All members shall be appointed by the city council. No city officer or employee shall serve on the Construction Board of Appeals. Three (3) appointees shall serve for four (4) years. Two (2) appointees shall serve for four (4) years.

<u>Section 113.4 Building Official Ex-Officio member</u>. The Building Official for the City of Grass Valley shall be an ex-officio member of the Board, and shall act as secretary of said Board, but shall have no vote.

<u>Section 113.5 Rules, Decisions, Legislative Recommendations</u>. The Board shall adopt reasonable rules and regulations for conducting its investigations and render all decisions and findings in writing to the department head with a duplicate copy to the appellant.

Section 113.6 Appeals to Board. Any person aggrieved by a decision of the official charged with the responsibility of enforcing the respective codes may, within ten (10) working days of the decision, appeal to the Board of Appeals for a hearing. The appeal must be in writing and accompanied by a filing fee which shall be established by resolution of the City Council from time to time. The appeal shall be filed with the City Clerk and respective official. A form will be provided at the City Clerk's office. No other form shall be used. All supporting documents shall be submitted with the form at the time of filing the appeal.

Section 113.7 Hearing. The City Clerk shall schedule a hearing within fifteen (15) days of receiving the request for hearing and give notice of the time, place, and subject matter of the hearing on the appeal to the person filing the appeal, subject official whose decision is involved and each member of the Board. The hearing shall be informal. The Board shall announce its decision within five (5) days after the hearing has concluded.

<u>Section 113.8 Finality of Decision</u>. The decision of the Construction Board of Appeals hereunder shall be the final administrative decision, and no provision of any ordinance of the City shall be interpreted as permitting a further administrative appeal to the city council or any other city board or commission. Nothing in this section shall be interpreted as providing a request to the city council to amend any ordinance, a change in zoning classification, or an application for relief from a court.

Section 114 "Violations" is amended, in its entirety, to read as follows:

<u>Section 114.1 Unlawful Acts</u>. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to erect, construct, enlarge, alter, repair, move, improve, remove, convert, demolish, equip, use, occupy or maintain any building, structure, or building service equipment or cause or permit the same to be done in violation of this code and the technical codes as amended and adopted by the city. The use or occupancy of any building in violation of any of the provisions of this code or the technical codes as adopted by the city is declared to be a public nuisance and may be abated in the manner provided by law.

<u>Section 114.2 Notice of Violation</u>. The Building Official and his or her deputy inspectors shall be vested with the necessary powers and duties for the exclusive purpose of enforcing provisions of this Code and it shall be their duty to issue any warnings or citations for violations to serve a notice of violation or order on the person responsible for the erection, construction, alteration, expansion, repair, moving, removal, demolition or occupancy of a building or structure in violation of the provisions of this code, or in violation of a permit or certificate issued under the provisions of this code. Such order shall direct the discontinuance of the illegal action or condition and the abatement of the violation. Any citation issued by the Building Official or deputy inspector shall state the time, date and place the person cited shall appear in court. The appearance date shall be at least ten (10) days after the date of the citation.

Section 114.3 Prosecution of Violation. If a notice of violation is not complied with as directed, the Building Official is authorized to request the legal counsel of the jurisdiction to institute the appropriate proceeding at law or in equity to restrain, correct or abate such violation, or to require the removal or termination of the unlawful occupancy of the building or structure in violation of the provisions of this code or of the order or direction made pursuant thereto. The Building Official or the deputy inspectors in issuing any citation shall comply with the applicable provisions of the Penal Code Section 853.6 excepting that provision which requires arrest whenever a person has refused to sign the citation in which event neither the Building Official nor any deputy inspector shall take or attempt to take into custody any such person refusing to sign the citation.

Section 114.4 Violation Penalties. Any person who violates any of the provisions of this chapter is guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine not to exceed one thousand (\$1,000.00) dollars or by

imprisonment not to exceed one hundred eighty (180) days, or both fine and imprisonment. Each separate day or any portion thereof during which any violation occurs or continues is a separate offense. The application of the aforementioned penalty shall not be held to prevent the enforced removal of the prohibited conditions.

Section 1.11.2.1.1 of the General Code Provisions is amended to read as follows:

Section 1.11.2.1.1 The responsibility for enforcement of building standards adopted by the State Fire Marshal and published in the California Building Standards Code relating to fire and panic safety and other regulations of the Office of the State Fire Marshal shall be, except as provided in Section 1.11.2.1.2, as follows:

 The City with jurisdiction in the area affected by the standard or regulation shall delegate the joint enforcement of the building standards relating to fire and panic safety and other regulations of the State Fire Marshal as they relate to Group R-3 occupancies, as described in Section 310.1 of Part 2 of the California Building Standards Code, to the following:

1.1. The Chief of the Fire Department of the City of Grass Valley or an authorized representative.

1.2. The Building Official of the City of Grass Valley or an authorized representative.

Section 1608 "Snow Loads" in Chapter 16 Volume II Structural Design is amended to read as follows:

Section 1608.2 Ground snow loads. The incorporated limits of the City of Grass Valley are declared a snow area. Buildings, other structures, and all portions thereof that are subject to snow loading shall be designed to resist snow loads. Except as provided in this section, snow load requirements shall be pursuant to California Building Code Section 1608A. In no case may the roof snow load be less than 34.2 psf. (Based on 49 psf. Ground Snow Load).

Section J103 "Permits Required" of Appendix J is hereby amended to read as follows:

<u>Section JI03.1 Permits required.</u> Except as exempted in Section J103.2, no grading shall be performed without first having obtained a permit therefor from the City of Grass Valley City Engineer or authorized representative. All approved grading plan submittals shall be included as part building permit plan submittals prior to the issuance of the building permit by the Building Official. A grading permit does not include the construction of retaining walls or other structures.

 Joint enforcement of the building standards relating to Grading Appendix J, Volume II of the 2022 California Building Code of Part 2 of the California Building Standards Code and the City of Grass Valley Development Code, Title 17, Article 6, "Site Development Regulations".

1.1. The City Engineer of the City of Grass Valley or an authorized representative.

1.2. The Building Official of the City of Grass Valley or an authorized representative.

15.06.030 Amendments to the 2022 California Residential Code. Set forth below are the local amendments, additions and deletions to the 2022 California Residential Code. Chapter and Section numbers used herein are those listed in the 2022 California Residential Code.

Section R313.3.1.3 "Alarm Required" is hereby added and shall read as follows:

Section R313.3.1.3 Alarm required. Local water flow alarms shall be provided on all fire sprinkler systems required by this code and must be in accordance with *NFPA 72, "National Fire Alarm and Signaling Code"*

15.06.040 Amendments to the 2022 California Electrical Code. Set forth below are the local amendments, additions and deletions to the 2022 California Electrical Code. Chapter and Section numbers used herein are those listed in the 2022 Electrical Code.

Section 89.108.4.2 "Fees" is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 89.108.4.2 Fees. Subject to other provisions of law, the governing body of any city, county or city and county may prescribe fees to defray the cost of enforcement of rules

and regulations promulgated by the Department of Housing and Community Development. The amount of the fees shall not exceed the amount reasonably necessary to administer or process permits, certificates, forms, or other documents, or to defray the costs of enforcement. For additional information, see State Housing Law, Health and Safety Code, Division 13, Part 1.5, Section 17951 and California Code of Regulations, Title 25, Division 1, Chapter 1, Subchapter 1, Article 3, commencing with Section 6.

Section 89.108.4.2 "Fees" is hereby amended to read as follows:

<u>Section 89.108.4.2 Permit Fees.</u> All permit fees to include Building, Electrical, Mechanical, Plumbing, and Grading permit fees shall be in accordance with the schedule of fees adopted by resolution of the City Council of the City of Grass Valley.

Section 89.108.4.2.1 "Plan Review Fee" is hereby added to read as follows:

Section 89.108.4.2.1 Plan Review Fee. When a plan or other data are required to be submitted, a plan review fee shall be paid at the time of submitting plans and specifications for review. Plan review fees will be in addition to building permit fees for building, electrical, mechanical and plumbing work and shall be established by the City's Building Division Fee Schedule established by resolution of the City Council. The plan review fee for grading shall be in accordance with the schedule established by the City Council. Where plans are incomplete or changed to require additional plan review, an additional plan review fee shall be charged in accordance with the schedule established by the City Council for such fee.

15.06.050 Amendments to the 2022 California Mechanical Code. Set forth below are the local amendments, additions and deletions to the 2022 California Mechanical Code. Chapter and Section numbers used herein are those listed in the 2022 Mechanical Code.

Section 104.5 "Fees" is hereby amended to read as follows:

<u>Section 104.5 Fees.</u> All permit fees to include Building, Electrical, Mechanical, Plumbing, and Grading permit fees shall be in accordance with the schedule of fees adopted by resolution of the City Council of the City of Grass Valley.

Section 104.3.2 "Plan Review Fee" is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 104.3.2 Plan Review Fee. When a plan or other data are required to be submitted, a plan review fee shall be paid at the time of submitting plans and specifications for review. Plan review fees will be in addition to building permit fees for building, electrical, mechanical and plumbing work and shall be established by the City's Building Division Fee Schedule established by resolution of the City Council. The plan review fee for grading shall be in accordance with the schedule established by the City Council. Where plans are incomplete or changed to require additional plan review, an additional plan review fee shall be charged in accordance with the schedule established by the City Council for such fee.

Section 107.0 "Board of Appeals" is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 107.0 Board of Appeals. Refer to Title 15, Section 15.06.020 of the City of Grass Valley Municipal Code.

15.06.060 Amendments to the 2022 California Plumbing Code. Set forth below are the local amendments, additions and deletions to the 2022 California Plumbing Code. Chapter and Section numbers used herein are those listed in the 2022 Plumbing Code. The listed sections and subsections are hereby amended as follows:

Section 104.5 "Fees" is hereby amended to read as follows:

<u>Section 104.5 Fees</u>. All permit fees to include Building, Electrical, Mechanical, Plumbing, and Grading permit fees shall be in accordance with the schedule of fees adopted by resolution of the City Council of the City of Grass Valley.

Section 104.3.2 "Plan Review Fee" is hereby amended to read as follows:

<u>Section 104.3.2 Plan Review Fee.</u> When a plan or other data are required to be submitted, a plan review fee shall be paid at the time of submitting plans and specifications for review. Plan review fees will be in addition to building permit fees for building, electrical,

mechanical, and plumbing work and shall be established by the City's Building Division Fee Schedule established by resolution of the City Council. The plan review fee for grading shall be in accordance with the schedule established by the City Council. Where plans are incomplete or changed to require additional plan review, an additional plan review fee shall be charged in accordance with the schedule established by the City Council for such fee.

Section 107.0 "Board of Appeals" is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 107.0 Board of Appeals. Refer to Title 15, Section 15.06.020 of the City of Grass Valley Municipal Code.

<u>15.06.070 Amendments to the 2021 International Swimming Pool Code.</u> Set forth below are the local amendments, additions and deletions to the 2021 International Swimming Pool Code. Chapter and Section numbers used herein are those listed in the 2021 International Swimming Pool Code The listed sections and subsections are hereby amended as follows:

1. Where the term *International Building Code* is used it shall be replaced with the term *California Building Code*.

2. Where the term *International Residential Code* is used it shall be replaced with the term *California Residential Code*.

3. Where the term *International Plumbing Code* is used it shall be replaced with the term *California Plumbing Code*.

4. Where the term *International Energy Conservation Code* is used it shall be replaced with the term *California Energy Code.*

5. Where the term International Fire Code is used it shall be replaced with the term California Fire Code.

6. Where the term *International Fuel Gas Code* is used it shall be replaced with the term *California Plumbing Code*.

7. Where the term *International Mechanical Code* is used it shall be replaced with the term *California Mechanical Code*.

8. Where the term *NFPA* 70 is used it shall be replaced with the term *California Electrical Code*.

Section 101.1 "Title" is hereby amended to read as follows:

<u>Section 101.1 Title.</u> These regulations shall be known as the International Swimming Pool and Spa Code of the City of Grass Valley hereinafter referred to as "this code."

Section 105.6 "Fees" is hereby amended to read as follows:

<u>Section 105.6 Fees</u>. All permit fees to include Building, Electrical, Mechanical, Plumbing, and Grading permit fees shall be in accordance with the schedule of fees adopted by resolution of the City Council of the City of Grass Valley.

Section 105.6.4 "Plan Review Fee" is hereby added to read as follows:

Section 105.6.4 Plan Review Fee. When a plan or other data are required to be submitted, a plan review fee shall be paid at the time of submitting plans and specifications for review. Plan review fees will be in addition to building permit fees for Building, Electrical, Mechanical, Plumbing, and Grading permit fees and shall be in accordance with the schedule of fees adopted by resolution of the City Council of the City of Grass Valley.

Section 108.0 "Means of Appeal" is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 108.0 Means of Appeal. Refer to Title 15, Section 15.06.020 of the City of Grass Valley Municipal Code.

SECTION 7. Severability. If any part of this Ordinance is held to be invalid for any reason, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion of this Ordinance, and this City Council hereby declares that it would have passed the remainder of this Ordinance if such invalid portion thereof had been deleted.

SECTION 8. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect after its passage, but no sooner than January 12, 2023.

SECTION 9. Publication. The City Clerk is hereby ordered and directed to cause this Ordinance to be published in the manner and time required by law.

INTRODUCED and first read on this 7th day of December 2022

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 13th day of December 2022, by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

/s/

Ben Aguilar, Mayor

ATTEST:

<u>/s/</u> Taylor Day, Deputy City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

<u>/s/</u>

Michael Colantuono, City Attorney

PUBLISH DATE: _____



City of Grass Valley City Council Agenda Action Sheet

<u>Title</u>: Canvass and Statement of Results for the November 8, 2022 General Municipal Election.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Adopt Resolution No. 2022-85 finding and declaring the results of the November 8, 2022 General Municipal Election.

Prepared by: Taylor Day, Deputy City Clerk

Council Meeting Date: 12/13/2022

Date Prepared: 12/9/2022

Agenda: Reorganization Related Items

Background Information: The official canvass and certified results of the November 8, 2022 General Municipal Election for two City of Grass Valley Council Seats are attached for your review. Haven Caravelli and Hilary Hodge have been declared the winners of the two Council Seats as shown in attached Exhibit "A".

Fiscal Impact: N/A

Funds Available: N/A

Account #: N/A

Reviewed by: ___ City Manager

Attachments: Nevada County General Elections Official Results Report

| Cumulative Results - With Judge Names | Nevada County | Off <i>Item # 11.</i> Reg |
|--|---|---|
| Official Results | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time 3:12 PM Run Date 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 1 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |

Governor - Vote for one

| | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Votin | g Earl | y Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|--------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------|----------|---------|----------|------------|----------|--------|---------|
| BRIAN DAHLE | | 1,894 73.30 | % 670 | 67.54% | 21,372 | 45.64% | 146 | 44.11% | 24,082 | 47.46% |
| GAVIN NEWSOM | | 690 26.70 | % 322 | 32.46% | 25,458 | 54.36% | 185 | 55.89% | 26,655 | 52.54% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,584 100.00 | % 992 | 100.00% | 46,830 | 100.00% | 331 | 100.00% | 50,737 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 24 | 7 | | 591 | | 7 | | 629 | |
| | Overvotes: | 3 | 1 | | 0 | | 0 | | 4 | |

Lieutenant Governor - Vote for one

| | Precincts | 6 | | Voters | | |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|
| CountedTotal136136 | | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | |
| | | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | g Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| ANGELA E. UNDERWOOD JACOBS | | 1,825 71.07% | 658 66.33% | % 20,097 43.36% | 140 42.55% | 22,720 45.22% |
| ELENI KOUNALAKIS | | 743 28.93% | 334 33.67% | % 26,256 56.64% | 189 57.45% | 27,522 54.78% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,568 100.009 | 992 100.00% | % 46,353 100.00% | 329 100.00% | 50,242 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 43 | 8 | 1,068 | 8 | 1,127 |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

ng

| Cumulative Res Judge Names | sults - With | Nevada County | Off Item # 11. |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Official Results | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time Run Date | 3:12 PM 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 2 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |

Secretary of State - Vote for one

| 1 | Precincts | ; | | Voters | |
|--------------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|
| Counted Tota | | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voti | ng Early | Voting Ab | sentee | Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|------------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|--------|---------|------------|----------|--------|---------|
| ROB BERNOSKY | | 1,830 71.0 | 7% 656 | 66.00% | 20,006 | 43.19% | 132 | 40.37% | 22,624 | 45.05% |
| SHIRLEY N. WEBER | | 745 28.9 | 3% 338 | 34.00% | 26,318 | 56.81% | 195 | 59.63% | 27,596 | 54.95% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,575 100.0 | 0% 994 | 100.00% | 46,324 | 100.00% | 327 | 100.00% | 50,220 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 36 | 6 | | 1,097 | | 11 | | 1,150 | |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |

Controller - Vote for one

| | Precincts | 5 | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|---------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Counted | Counted Total | | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|----------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| MALIA M. COHEN | | 721 28.16% | 314 31.65% | 24,465 52.99% | 185 57.45% | 25,685 51.33% |
| LANHEE J. CHEN | | 1,839 71.84% | 678 68.35% | 21,704 47.01% | 137 42.55% | 24,358 48.67% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,560 100.00% | 992 100.00% | 46,169 100.00% | 322 100.00% | 50,043 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 48 | 8 | 1,252 | 16 | 1,324 |
| | Overvotes: | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

| Cumulative Results - With Judge Names | Nevada County | Off <i>Item</i> # 11. Reg |
|--|---|--|
| Official Results | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time 3:12 PM Run Date 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 3 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |

Treasurer - Vote for one

| 1 | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | red Percent | | | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| FIONA MA | | 730 28.54% | 338 33.97% | 25,688 55.73% | 181 56.04% | 26,937 53.91% |
| JACK M. GUERRERO | | 1,828 71.46% | 657 66.03% | 20,404 44.27% | 142 43.96% | 23,031 46.09% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,558 100.00% | 995 100.00% | 46,092 100.00% | 323 100.00% | 49,968 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 52 | 5 | 1,329 | 15 | 1,401 |
| | Overvotes: | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Attorney General - Vote for one

| Precincts | | | | Voters | |
|-----------|-------|---------|---------|------------|---------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|----------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| ROB BONTA | | 750 29.19% | 333 33.67% | 25,840 55.88% | 188 58.39% | 27,111 54.09% |
| NATHAN HOCHMAN | | 1,819 70.81% | 656 66.33% | 20,400 44.12% | 134 41.61% | 23,009 45.91% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,569 100.00% | 989 100.00% | 46,240 100.00% | 322 100.00% | 50,120 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 42 | 11 | 1,181 | 16 | 1,250 |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Cumulative Results - With Judge Names | Nevada County | Offs |
|--|---|-------------------------|
| | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% |
| Official Results | | Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time 3:12 PM | 11/8/2022 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |
| Run Date 12/02/2022 | Page 4 | |

Insurance Commissioner - Vote for one

| Precincts | | | | Voters | |
|-----------|-------|---------|----------------------------|--------|--------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots Registered Percent | | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| ROBERT HOWELL | | 1,808 71.24% | 658 66.67% | 20,112 43.97% | 133 42.36% | 22,711 45.80% |
| RICARDO LARA | | 730 28.76% | 329 33.33% | 25,631 56.03% | 181 57.64% | 26,871 54.20% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,538 100.00% | 987 100.00% | 45,743 100.00% | 314 100.00% | 49,582 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 73 | 13 | 1,677 | 24 | 1,787 |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Member, State Board of Equalization, District 1 - Vote for one

| Precincts | | | | Voters | |
|-----------|-------|---------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | t Ballots Registered Percen | | Percent |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| JOSE S. ALTAMIRANO | | 713 27.93% | 320 32.42% | 24,330 53.18% | 185 58.36% | 25,548 51.50% |
| TED GAINES | | 1,840 72.07% | 667 67.58% | 21,424 46.82% | 132 41.64% | 24,063 48.50% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,553 100.00% | 987 100.00% | 45,754 100.00% | 317 100.00% | 49,611 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 58 | 12 | 1,667 | 21 | 1,758 |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

| _ | Cumulative Results - With Judge Names | Nevada County | Off Item # 11. |
|---|--|---|--|
| | Official Results | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| | tun Time 3:12 PM tun Date 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 5 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |

United States Senate, Full Term - Vote for one

| Precincts | | | | Voters | |
|-----------|-------|---------|---------|------------|---------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|----------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| ALEX PADILLA | | 758 29.39% | 341 34.24% | 26,604 57.24% | 195 59.63% | 27,898 55.38% |
| MARK P. MEUSER | | 1,821 70.61% | 655 65.76% | 19,870 42.76% | 132 40.37% | 22,478 44.62% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,579 100.00% | 996 100.00% | 46,474 100.00% | 327 100.00% | 50,376 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 32 | 4 | 945 | 11 | 992 |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |

United States Senate, Partial/Unexpired Term

| Precincts | | | | Voters | |
|-----------|-------|---------|----------------------------|--------|---------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Percent Ballots Registered | | Percent |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|----------------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| ALEX PADILLA | | 751 29.35% | 341 34.38% | 26,425 57.35% | 190 59.19% | 27,707 55.47% |
| MARK P. MEUSER | | 1,808 70.65% | 651 65.63% | 19,654 42.65% | 131 40.81% | 22,244 44.53% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,559 100.00% | 992 100.00% | 46,079 100.00% | 321 100.00% | 49,951 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 51 | 8 | 1,341 | 17 | 1,417 |
| | Overvotes: | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

| Cumulative Res Judge Names | sults - With | Nevada County | Off <i>Item # 11.</i> Reg |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---|---------------------------------|
| | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% |
| Official Results | | | Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time | 3:12 PM | 11/8/2022 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |
| Run Date | 12/02/2022 | Page 6 | |
| | | | |

House of Representatives of the United States District 3 - Vote for one

| 1 | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------|------------|---------|--------|--|--|--|
| Counted Total Percent | | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | | | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| KERMIT JONES | | 787 30.42% | 339 34.10% | 27,039 57.84% | 198 60.37% | 28,363 55.99% |
| KEVIN KILEY | | 1,800 69.58% | 655 65.90% | 19,705 42.16% | 130 39.63% | 22,290 44.01% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,587 100.00% | 994 100.00% | 46,744 100.00% | 328 100.00% | 50,653 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 22 | 5 | 677 | 10 | 714 |
| | Overvotes: | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

State Senate District 4 - Vote for one

| | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|--------------------|---------|--------------------|--------|---------|--|--|--|
| Counted | nted Total Percent | | Ballots Registered | | Percent | | | |
| 15 | 15 | 100.00% | 7,724 | 11,980 | 64.47% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Vo | oting Early | y Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------|----------|------------|----------|-------|---------|
| TIM ROBERTSON | | 119 45 | 15.42% 81 | 52.94% | 3,371 | 58.36% | 43 | 56.58% | 3,614 | 57.67% |
| MARIE ALVARADO-GIL | | 143 54 | i 4.58% 72 | 47.06% | 2,405 | 41.64% | 33 | 43.42% | 2,653 | 42.33% |
| | Cast Votes: | 262 100 | 00.00% 153 | 100.00% | 5,776 | 100.00% | 76 | 100.00% | 6,267 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 85 | 33 | | 1,323 | | 16 | | 1,457 | |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |

| Cumulative Res Judge Names | sults - With | Nevada County | Offitem # 11. |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Official Results | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time Run Date | 3:12 PM 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 7 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |

Assembly District 1 - Vote for one

| | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------|------------|---------|--------|--|--|
| Counted Total Percent | | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Votin | ng Early | y Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|----------------------|-------------|---------------------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|------------|----------|--------|---------|
| MEGAN DAHLE | | 1,858 72.44 | 665 | 67.17% | 21,215 | 46.17% | 129 | 40.57% | 23,867 | 47.90% |
| BELLE STARR SANDWITH | | 707 27.56 | 325 | 32.83% | 24,736 | 53.83% | 189 | 59.43% | 25,957 | 52.10% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,565 100.00 | 990 | 100.00% | 45,951 | 100.00% | 318 | 100.00% | 49,824 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 46 | 9 | | 1,469 | | 20 | | 1,544 | |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 1 | | 1 | | 0 | | 2 | |

For Chief Justice of California - Patricia Guerrero

| I | Precincts | i | Voters | | | | |
|---------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| YES | | 785 38.37% | 385 46.16% | 24,182 67.94% | 159 66.25% | 25,511 65.90% |
| NO | | 1,261 61.63% | 449 53.84% | 11,410 32.06% | 81 33.75% | 13,201 34.10% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,046 100.00% | 834 100.00% | 35,592 100.00% | 240 100.00% | 38,712 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 561 | 165 | 11,829 | 98 | 12,653 |
| | Overvotes: | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

| | Cumulative Results - Judge Names | With | Nevada County | Off; <i>Item</i> # 11. |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|---|---------------------------|
| | | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% |
| | Official Results | | | Precincts Reporting |
| F | Run Time | 3:12 PM | 11/8/2022 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |
| F | Run Date | 12/02/2022 | Page 8 | |

For Associate Justice of the Supreme Court - Goodwin Liu

| | I | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | |
|------|------|-----------------|---------|---------|--------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Cour | nted | d Total Percent | | Ballots | Ballots Registered | | | | |
| 13 | 6 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| YES | | 774 38.53% | 383 46.76% | 23,775 68.11% | 153 66.81% | 25,085 66.07% |
| NO | | 1,235 61.47% | 436 53.24% | 11,134 31.89% | 76 33.19% | 12,881 33.93% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,009 100.00% | 819 100.00% | 34,909 100.00% | 229 100.00% | 37,966 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 597 | 180 | 12,512 | 109 | 13,398 |
| | Overvotes: | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

For Associate Justice of the Supreme Court - Martin J. Jenkins

| I | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|---------------|---------|---------|-------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total Percent | | Ballots | Ballots Registered Perc | | | | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| YES | | 765 38.85% | 369 45.33% | 23,278 67.70% | 139 63.76% | 24,551 65.67% |
| NO | | 1,204 61.15% | 445 54.67% | 11,104 32.30% | 79 36.24% | 12,832 34.33% |
| | Cast Votes: | 1,969 100.00% | 814 100.00% | 34,382 100.00% | 218 100.00% | 37,383 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 641 | 186 | 13,038 | 120 | 13,985 |
| | Overvotes: | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

| Cumulative | Results - With | Nevada County | Off |
|------------------|----------------|---|--|
| Judge Name | es | | <i>Item # 11.</i> |
| Official Results | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time | 3:12 PM | 11/8/2022 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |
| Run Date | 12/02/2022 | Page 9 | |

For Associate Justice of the Supreme Court - Joshua P. Groban

| I | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| YES | | 729 37.48% | 362 44.86% | 22,798 66.85% | 136 63.26% | 24,025 64.81% |
| NO | | 1,216 62.52% | 445 55.14% | 11,306 33.15% | 79 36.74% | 13,046 35.19% |
| | Cast Votes: | 1,945 100.00% | 807 100.00% | 34,104 100.00% | 215 100.00% | 37,071 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 665 | 193 | 13,317 | 123 | 14,298 |
| | Overvotes: | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Third District - Stacy Boulware Eurie

| | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | |
|---------|-----------------|---------|---------|-------------------------|--------|--|--|
| Counted | d Total Percent | | Ballots | allots Registered Perce | | | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------|-------------|----------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| YES | | 751 38.91% | 377 47.72% | 6 23,031 69.04% | 138 66.99% | 24,297 66.97% |
| NO | | 1,179 61.09% | 413 52.28% | 6 10,326 30.96% | 68 33.01% | 11,986 33.03% |
| | Cast Votes: | 1,930 100.00% | 5 790 100.00% | 6 33,357 100.00% | 206 100.00% | 36,283 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 680 | 210 | 14,064 | 132 | 15,086 |
| | Overvotes: | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

| Cumulative Res Judge Names | sults - With | Nevada County | | Off Item # 11. |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| Official Results | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 5 | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time Run Date | 3:12 PM 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 10 | | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |
| For Associate Ju | ustice, Court of A | ppeal, Third District - Laurie M. Earl | | |

| 1 | Precincts | ; | | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|---------------|---------|---------|-------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total Percent | | Ballots | Registered Percer | | | | | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day | Voting | Early | Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|--------|-------------|--------------|---------|-------|---------------|---------|----------|------------|----------|--------|---------|
| YES | | 729 | 38.05% | 336 | 42.97% | 22,231 | 67.07% | 134 | 65.05% | 23,430 | 64.99% |
| NO | | 1,187 | 61.95% | 446 | 57.03% | 10,914 | 32.93% | 72 | 34.95% | 12,619 | 35.01% |
| | Cast Votes: | 1,916 | 100.00% | 782 | 100.00% | 33,145 | 100.00% | 206 | 100.00% | 36,049 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 694 | | 216 | | 14,276 | | 132 | | 15,318 | |
| | Overvotes: | 1 | | 2 | | 0 | | 0 | | 3 | |

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Third District - Harry Hull

| | Precincts | i | | Voters | |
|---------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% |

| Choice | Party | Election Day | Voting | Early | Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|--------|-------------|--------------|---------|-------|---------|---------|----------|------------|----------|--------|---------|
| YES | | 1,012 | 52.93% | 439 | 56.21% | 21,348 | 65.67% | 112 | 56.57% | 22,911 | 64.73% |
| NO | | 900 | 47.07% | 342 | 43.79% | 11,158 | 34.33% | 86 | 43.43% | 12,486 | 35.27% |
| | Cast Votes: | 1,912 1 | 100.00% | 781 | 100.00% | 32,506 | 100.00% | 198 | 100.00% | 35,397 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 698 | | 219 | | 14,915 | | 140 | | 15,972 | |
| | Overvotes: | 1 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 1 | |

| Cumulative Re Judge Names | | Nevada County | Off Item # 11. |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Official Results | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time Run Date | 3:12 PM 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 11 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Third District - Peter Krause

| I | Precincts | ; | | Voters | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| YES | | 768 40.68% | 369 47.19% | 21,917 67.34% | 122 62.89% | 23,176 65.45% |
| NO | | 1,120 59.32% | 413 52.81% | 10,628 32.66% | 72 37.11% | 12,233 34.55% |
| | Cast Votes: | 1,888 100.00% | 782 100.00% | 32,545 100.00% | 194 100.00% | 35,409 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 722 | 217 | 14,876 | 144 | 15,959 |
| | Overvotes: | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

Superintendent of Public Instruction - Vote for one

| | Precincts | ; | | Voters | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| LANCE RAY CHRISTENSEN | | 1,213 62.33% | 465 57.55% | 13,473 36.70% | 80 37.74% | 15,231 38.38% |
| TONY K. THURMOND | | 733 37.67% | 343 42.45% | 23,242 63.30% | 132 62.26% | 24,450 61.62% |
| | Cast Votes: | 1,946 100.00% | 808 100.00% | 36,715 100.00% | 212 100.00% | 39,681 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 663 | 192 | 10,706 | 126 | 11,687 |
| | Overvotes: | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

| Cumulative Res Judge Names | sults - With | Nevada County | Off Item # 11. |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Official Results | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time Run Date | 3:12 PM 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 12 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |

Board Member, Sierra Joint Community College District, Trustee Area 2 - Vote for one

| | P | recincts | ; | Voters | | | | |
|------|------|----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|
| Coun | nted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | |
| 52 | 2 | 52 | 100.00% | 16,919 | 25,233 | 67.05% | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| PAUL BANCROFT | | 242 44.16% | 135 56.96% | 7,469 69.58% | 56 66.67% | 7,902 68.10% |
| JEREMY JEFFREYS | | 306 55.84% | 102 43.04% | 3,265 30.42% | 28 33.33% | 3,701 31.90% |
| | Cast Votes: | 548 100.00% | 237 100.00% | 10,734 100.00% | 84 100.00% | 11,603 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 227 | 75 | 4,958 | 56 | 5,316 |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| I | Precinct | s | Voters | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|------------|----------|--------------|----------|-------|----------|----------|----------|------------|----------|--------|---------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | | | | | | | | | |
| 83 | 83 | 100.00% | 34,451 | 49,421 | 69.71% | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | | | | F | Party | Election Day | y Voting | Early | y Voting | Absentee | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Tota |
| CAROLYI | N BRON | ISON | | | | 1,050 | 70.56% | 379 | 64.57% | 10,928 | 43.18% | 69 | 56.10% | 12,426 | 45.18 |
| NANCY F | PALMER | R | | | | 438 | 29.44% | 208 | 35.43% | 14,378 | 56.82% | 54 | 43.90% | 15,078 | 54.82 |
| | | | | Cas | t Votes: | 1,488 | 100.00% | 587 | 100.00% | 25,306 | 100.00% | 123 | 100.00% | 27,504 | 100.009 |
| | | | | Und | ervotes: | 345 | | 101 | | 6,422 | | 75 | | 6,943 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Cumulative Res Judge Names | sults - With | Nevada County | Off Item # 11. |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Official Results | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time Run Date | 3:12 PM 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 13 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |

Board Member, Tahoe Truckee Unified School District, Trustee Area 1 - Vote for one

| | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | |
| 20 | 20 | 100.00% | 7,818 | 12,142 | 64.39% | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|----------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| PATRICK MOONEY | | 149 49.83% | 102 59.30% | 4,741 75.69% | 52 73.24% | 5,044 74.11% |
| RICHARD LUDKE | | 150 50.17% | 70 40.70% | 1,523 24.31% | 19 26.76% | 1,762 25.89% |
| | Cast Votes: | 299 100.00% | 172 100.00% | 6,264 100.00% | 71 100.00% | 6,806 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 53 | 16 | 922 | 21 | 1,012 |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| I | Precinct | s | | Voters | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|------------|----------|--------------|----------|-------|----------|---------|----------|------------|----------|-------|---------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | 20 | 100.00% | 7,818 | 12,142 | 64.39% | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | | | | F | Party | Election Day | y Voting | Early | y Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Tota |
| DENYELL | e nishi | IMORI | | | | 149 | 51.92% | 103 | 58.19% | 4,488 | 72.52% | 50 | 71.43% | 4,790 | 71.25% |
| HEATHER | | NEY | | | | 138 | 48.08% | 74 | 41.81% | 1,701 | 27.48% | 20 | 28.57% | 1,933 | 28.75% |
| | | | | Cas | t Votes: | 287 | 100.00% | 177 | 100.00% | 6,189 | 100.00% | 70 | 100.00% | 6,723 | 100.00% |
| | | | | Und | ervotes: | 65 | | 11 | | 997 | | 22 | | 1,095 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Cumulative Res Judge Names | sults - With | Nevada County | Off Item # 11. |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Official Results | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time Run Date | 3:12 PM 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 14 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |

Board Member, Tahoe Truckee Unified School District, Trustee Area 5 - Vote for one

| | Precincts | 5 | Voters | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | |
| 20 | 20 | 100.00% | 7,818 | 12,142 | 64.39% | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day \ | Voting | Early | / Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------|-------|----------|---------|----------|------------|----------|-------|---------|
| DIANA "DEEDEE" DRILLER | | 148 | 51.03% | 99 | 56.57% | 4,515 | 72.96% | 54 | 79.41% | 4,816 | 71.66% |
| SHANNON M. HANSEN | | 142 | 48.97% | 76 | 43.43% | 1,673 | 27.04% | 14 | 20.59% | 1,905 | 28.34% |
| | Cast Votes: | 290 1 | L00.00% | 175 | 100.00% | 6,188 | 100.00% | 68 | 100.00% | 6,721 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 62 | | 13 | | 998 | | 24 | | 1,097 | |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |

Board Trustee, Nevada Joint Union High School District, Area 1 - Vote for one

| | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | | |
| 26 | 26 | 100.00% | 9,383 | 13,165 | 71.27% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Vo | oting Early | Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | Voting | | Total |
|------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|----------|------------|---------|-------|---------|
| OLIVIA PRITCHETT | | 131 41 | 1.32% 55 | 45.08% | 4,413 | 61.59% | 31 | 64.58% | 4,630 | 60.51% |
| JENNY SCICLUNA | | 186 58 | 67 | 54.92% | 2,752 | 38.41% | 17 | 35.42% | 3,022 | 39.49% |
| | Cast Votes: | 317 100 | 00.00% 122 | 100.00% | 7,165 | 100.00% | 48 | 100.00% | 7,652 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 84 | 13 | | 1,619 | | 15 | | 1,731 | |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |

| Cumulative Re Judge Names | | Nevada County | Off Item # 11. |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Official Results | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time Run Date | 3:12 PM 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 15 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |

Board Trustee, Nevada Joint Union High School District, Area 2 - Vote for one

| I | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|----------------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Counted | ounted Total Percent | | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | | |
| 25 | 25 | 100.00% | 7,417 | 10,995 | 67.46% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day V | oting Earl | y Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | Voting | | Total |
|--------------------|-------------|----------------|------------|----------|---------|----------|------------|---------|-------|---------|
| WENDY WILLOUGHBY | | 156 4 | 49.68% 65 | 50.78% | 2,981 | 52.98% | 10 | 50.00% | 3,212 | 52.75% |
| STEPHANIE LEISHMAN | | 158 5 | 50.32% 63 | 49.22% | 2,646 | 47.02% | 10 | 50.00% | 2,877 | 47.25% |
| | Cast Votes: | 314 10 | 00.00% 128 | 100.00% | 5,627 | 100.00% | 20 | 100.00% | 6,089 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 80 | 23 | | 1,203 | | 22 | | 1,328 | |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |

Board Trustee, Nevada Joint Union High School District, Area 5 - Vote for one

| | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots Registered Perce | | | | | |
| 13 | 13 | 100.00% | 9,299 | 13,131 | 70.82% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voti | ng Earl | y Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|------------|----------|-------|---------|
| JAY ADAMSON | | 345 70.4 | 114 | 67.86% | 2,924 | 41.89% | 6 | 22.22% | 3,389 | 44.21% |
| KEN JOHNSON | | 145 29.5 | 9% 54 | 32.14% | 4,057 | 58.11% | 21 | 77.78% | 4,277 | 55.79% |
| | Cast Votes: | 490 100.0 | 0% 168 | 100.00% | 6,981 | 100.00% | 27 | 100.00% | 7,666 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 89 | 23 | | 1,505 | | 16 | | 1,633 | |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |

| Cumulative Res Judge Names | sults - With | Nevada County | Off <i>Item</i> # 11. Reg |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| Official Results | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time Run Date | 3:12 PM 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 16 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |
| | | | |

Trustee, Chicago Park Elementary School District - Vote for no more than three

| | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | | |
| 2 | 2 | 100.00% | 636 | 876 | 72.60% | | | |

| Choice I | Party | Election Day | Voting | Early | y Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|----------------|----------|--------------|---------|-------|----------|---------|----------|------------|----------|-----|---------|
| MICHAEL DUNHAM | | 12 | 52.17% | 7 | 58.33% | 302 | 32.30% | 2 | 66.67% | 323 | 33.20% |
| CYNTHIA MCCOY | | 4 | 17.39% | 3 | 25.00% | 230 | 24.60% | 1 | 33.33% | 238 | 24.46% |
| DUSTIN MOOERS | | 4 | 17.39% | 2 | 16.67% | 203 | 21.71% | 0 | 0.00% | 209 | 21.48% |
| JOE HENSON | | 3 | 13.04% | 0 | 0.00% | 200 | 21.39% | 0 | 0.00% | 203 | 20.86% |
| Cas | t Votes: | 23 | 100.00% | 12 | 100.00% | 935 | 100.00% | 3 | 100.00% | 973 | 100.00% |
| Und | ervotes: | 37 | | 15 | | 871 | | 12 | | 935 | |
| Ove | ervotes: | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |

Board Member, Pleasant Ridge Union School District - Vote for no more than three

| | Precincts | 6 | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | | |
| 19 | 19 | 100.00% | 11,198 | 15,998 | 70.00% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day | / Voting | Early | y Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------|----------|-------|----------|---------|----------|------------|----------|--------|---------|
| PEGGY DELGADO FAVA | | 366 | 46.04% | 134 | 44.22% | 4,850 | 34.12% | 11 | 25.00% | 5,361 | 34.91% |
| DEANNE OPDAHL | | 118 | 14.84% | 52 | 17.16% | 3,412 | 24.01% | 8 | 18.18% | 3,590 | 23.38% |
| ALYISE TEMORES | | 158 | 19.87% | 58 | 19.14% | 2,419 | 17.02% | 13 | 29.55% | 2,648 | 17.25% |
| KELLY MCKINLEY | | 153 | 19.25% | 59 | 19.47% | 3,532 | 24.85% | 12 | 27.27% | 3,756 | 24.46% |
| | Cast Votes: | 795 | 100.00% | 303 | 100.00% | 14,213 | 100.00% | 44 | 100.00% | 15,355 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 1,242 | | 387 | | 16,492 | | 112 | | 18,233 | |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | | 0 | | 2 | | 0 | | 2 | |

| Cumulative Results - With Judge Names | Nevada County | Off <i>Item # 11.</i> Rec |
|--|---|--|
| Official Results | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time 3:12 PM Run Date 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 17 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |

County Supervisor District 3 - Vote for one

| | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | | | |
| 31 | 31 | 100.00% | 8,664 | 13,160 | 65.84% | | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day V | /oting | Early | Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------|--------|-------|---------|---------|----------|------------|----------|-------|---------|
| PATTI INGRAM SPENCER | | 217 5 | 54.66% | 69 | 44.81% | 2,600 | 37.45% | 6 | 20.69% | 2,892 | 38.44% |
| LISA SWARTHOUT | | 180 4 | 45.34% | 85 | 55.19% | 4,343 | 62.55% | 23 | 79.31% | 4,631 | 61.56% |
| | Cast Votes: | 397 10 | 00.00% | 154 | 100.00% | 6,943 | 100.00% | 29 | 100.00% | 7,523 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 78 | | 20 | | 1,013 | | 30 | | 1,141 | |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |

Member, City of Grass Valley City Council - Vote for no more than two

| | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | | |
| 15 | 15 | 100.00% | 5,363 | 8,336 | 64.34% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day | Voting | Early | y Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | Provisional Voting | | Total |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|---------|-------|----------|---------|----------|------------|---------------------------|-------|---------|
| HAVEN CARAVELLI | | 147 | 43.11% | 58 | 43.61% | 2,652 | 41.91% | 18 | 52.94% | 2,875 | 42.06% |
| MATTHEW COULTER | | 106 | 31.09% | 31 | 23.31% | 866 | 13.69% | 7 | 20.59% | 1,010 | 14.77% |
| HILARY HODGE | | 88 | 25.81% | 44 | 33.08% | 2,810 | 44.41% | 9 | 26.47% | 2,951 | 43.17% |
| | Cast Votes: | 341 | 100.00% | 133 | 100.00% | 6,328 | 100.00% | 34 | 100.00% | 6,836 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 239 | | 89 | | 3,514 | | 44 | | 3,886 | |
| | Overvotes: | 2 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 2 | |

| Cumulative Re Judge Names | sults - With | Nevada County | Off; Item # 11. |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Official Results | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time Run Date | 3:12 PM 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 18 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |
| Member, Town | of Truckee Towr | Council - Vote for no more than three | |

| I | Precincts | • | | Voters | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent |
| 9 | 9 | 100.00% | 7,463 | 11,549 | 64.62% |

| Choice | Party | Election Day | ion Day Voting | | Early Voting | | Absentee Voting | | l Voting | | Total |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-----|--------------|--------|-----------------|-----|----------|--------|---------|
| DAVID POLIVY | | 156 | 28.42% | 90 | 26.71% | 3,644 | 25.51% | 38 | 29.46% | 3,928 | 25.67% |
| SUZIE TARNAY | | 120 | 21.86% | 63 | 18.69% | 2,412 | 16.89% | 23 | 17.83% | 2,618 | 17.11% |
| JAN ZABRISKIE | | 134 | 24.41% | 92 | 27.30% | 3,991 | 27.94% | 32 | 24.81% | 4,249 | 27.77% |
| ANNA KLOVSTAD | | 139 | 25.32% | 92 | 27.30% | 4,237 | 29.66% | 36 | 27.91% | 4,504 | 29.44% |
| | Cast Votes: | 549 | 100.00% | 337 | 100.00% | 14,284 | 100.00% | 129 | 100.00% | 15,299 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 471 | | 200 | | 6,287 | | 132 | | 7,090 | |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |

Director, Nevada County Consolidated Fire Protection District - Vote for no more than three

| | Precincts | 6 | | Voters | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent |
| 55 | 55 | 100.00% | 18,278 | 25,711 | 71.09% |

| Choice | Party | Election Day | Voting | Early | Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|-------|---------------|---------|----------|------------|----------|--------|---------|
| BARRY DORLAND | | 266 | 23.37% | 120 | 22.90% | 8,154 | 29.48% | 24 | 30.77% | 8,564 | 29.13% |
| DONN BOHNET | | 211 | 18.54% | 72 | 13.74% | 3,261 | 11.79% | 16 | 20.51% | 3,560 | 12.11% |
| JON HALL | | 305 | 26.80% | 149 | 28.44% | 7,312 | 26.43% | 19 | 24.36% | 7,785 | 26.48% |
| KEITH GRUENEBERG | | 356 | 31.28% | 183 | 34.92% | 8,935 | 32.30% | 19 | 24.36% | 9,493 | 32.29% |
| | Cast Votes: | 1,138 | 100.00% | 524 | 100.00% | 27,662 | 100.00% | 78 | 100.00% | 29,402 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 1,304 | | 445 | | 23,503 | | 177 | | 25,429 | |
| | Overvotes: | 1 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 1 | |

| Cumulative Res Judge Names | sults - With | Nevada County | Off <i>Item # 11.</i> |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| Official Results | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time Run Date | 3:12 PM 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 19 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |

Director, North San Juan Fire Protection District - Vote for no more than three

| | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | | |
|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Counted | nted Total Percent | | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | | | |
| 6 | 6 | 100.00% | 1,274 | 2,104 | 60.55% | | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day | Voting | Early | y Voting | Absentee | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|-------|----------|----------|----------|------------|----------|-------|---------|
| BRUCE E. BOYD | | 43 | 27.56% | 8 | 36.36% | 644 | 29.42% | 2 | 20.00% | 697 | 29.32% |
| TIM TSCHANTZ | | 39 | 25.00% | 5 | 22.73% | 583 | 26.63% | 3 | 30.00% | 630 | 26.50% |
| SAMANTHA HINRICHS | | 45 | 28.85% | 7 | 31.82% | 650 | 29.69% | 3 | 30.00% | 705 | 29.66% |
| RUSTY FITES-KAUFMAN | | 29 | 18.59% | 2 | 9.09% | 312 | 14.25% | 2 | 20.00% | 345 | 14.51% |
| | Cast Votes: | 156 | 100.00% | 22 | 100.00% | 2,189 | 100.00% | 10 | 100.00% | 2,377 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 132 | | 11 | | 1,273 | | 29 | | 1,445 | |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |

Board Member, Tahoe Forest Hospital District, Short Term - Vote for no more than one

| | Precincts | 6 | | Voters | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent |
| 20 | 20 | 100.00% | 7,818 | 12,142 | 64.39% |

| Choice | Party | Election Day | ction Day Voting | | Early Voting | | Absentee Voting | | l Voting | То | |
|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------|-----|--------------|-------|-----------------|----|----------|-------|---------|
| ROBERT E. BARNETT | | 121 | 50.84% | 77 | 52.74% | 3,112 | 56.91% | 36 | 60.00% | 3,346 | 56.60% |
| CINDY BASSO | | 117 | 49.16% | 69 | 47.26% | 2,356 | 43.09% | 24 | 40.00% | 2,566 | 43.40% |
| | Cast Votes: | 238 | 100.00% | 146 | 100.00% | 5,468 | 100.00% | 60 | 100.00% | 5,912 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 114 | | 42 | | 1,718 | | 32 | | 1,906 | |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |

| Cumulative Re Judge Names | sults - With | Nevada County | Off tem # 11. |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Official Results | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time Run Date | 3:12 PM 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 20 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |
| Director, Truck | ee Sanitary Distri | ct - Vote for no more than three | |

| | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | | | | |
| 6 | 6 | 100.00% | 6,536 | 10,189 | 64.15% | | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day | v Voting | Early | y Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|------------------|-------------|--------------|----------|-------|----------|---------|----------|------------|----------|--------|---------|
| NELSON VAN GUNDY | | 124 | 26.78% | 75 | 27.08% | 2,956 | 27.20% | 23 | 24.47% | 3,178 | 27.16% |
| PHIL FAY | | 119 | 25.70% | 67 | 24.19% | 2,301 | 21.17% | 27 | 28.72% | 2,514 | 21.48% |
| MARCUS WATERS | | 102 | 22.03% | 63 | 22.74% | 2,628 | 24.18% | 23 | 24.47% | 2,816 | 24.06% |
| JERRY GILMORE | | 118 | 25.49% | 72 | 25.99% | 2,983 | 27.45% | 21 | 22.34% | 3,194 | 27.29% |
| | Cast Votes: | 463 | 100.00% | 277 | 100.00% | 10,868 | 100.00% | 94 | 100.00% | 11,702 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 470 | | 212 | | 7,075 | | 149 | | 7,906 | |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |

Director, Truckee Tahoe Airport District - Vote for no more than two

| | Precincts | 5 | Voters | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|--------------------|--------|--|--|
| Counted | Counted Total Percent | | Ballots | Ballots Registered | | | |
| 18 | 18 | 100.00% | 7,817 | 12,134 | 64.42% | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day | Voting | Early | y Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|-------|----------|---------|----------|------------|----------|--------|---------|
| MIKE DANIEL | | 148 | 31.42% | 86 | 29.15% | 2,421 | 22.28% | 29 | 27.62% | 2,684 | 22.87% |
| KAT ROHLF | | 125 | 26.54% | 83 | 28.14% | 2,969 | 27.33% | 23 | 21.90% | 3,200 | 27.27% |
| CHRISTOPHER HENDERSON | | 95 | 20.17% | 58 | 19.66% | 2,293 | 21.10% | 24 | 22.86% | 2,470 | 21.05% |
| MARY HETHERINGTON | | 103 | 21.87% | 68 | 23.05% | 3,182 | 29.29% | 29 | 27.62% | 3,382 | 28.82% |
| | Cast Votes: | 471 | 100.00% | 295 | 100.00% | 10,865 | 100.00% | 105 | 100.00% | 11,736 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 227 | | 81 | | 3,495 | | 79 | | 3,882 | |
| | Overvotes: | 3 | | 0 | | 5 | | 0 | | 8 | |

| | lative Results - With Names | Nevada County | Off Item # 11. Reg |
|------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% |
| Official F | Results | | Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time | e 3:12 PM | 11/8/2022 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |
| Run Date | e 12/02/2022 | Page 21 | |
| _ | | | |

| - | Precincts | • | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|------------------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots Registered Per | | | | | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Votin | g Early | y Voting | Absente | e Voting | Provisiona | l Voting | | Total |
|--------|-------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|------------|----------|--------|---------|
| YES | | 1,051 41.549 | % 421 | 43.49% | 30,970 | 67.66% | 238 | 74.84% | 32,680 | 65.90% |
| NO | | 1,479 58.469 | % 547 | 56.51% | 14,801 | 32.34% | 80 | 25.16% | 16,907 | 34.10% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,530 100.009 | % 968 | 100.00% | 45,771 | 100.00% | 318 | 100.00% | 49,587 | 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 75 | 31 | | 1,649 | | 20 | | 1,775 | |
| | Overvotes: | 6 | 1 | | 1 | | 0 | | 8 | |

Proposition 26

| | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Percent | | | | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| YES | | 676 27.03% | 201 20.70% | 11,193 24.71% | 130 42.62% | 12,200 24.86% |
| NO | | 1,825 72.97% | 770 79.30% | 34,110 75.29% | 175 57.38% | 36,880 75.14% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,501 100.00% | 971 100.00% | 45,303 100.00% | 305 100.00% | 49,080 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 106 | 28 | 2,118 | 33 | 2,285 |
| | Overvotes: | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

| Cumulative Results - With Judge Names | Nevada County | Off <i>Item # 11.</i> Regs |
|--|---|--|
| Official Results | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time 3:12 PM Run Date 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 22 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |

| I | Precincts | • | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots Registered Perce | | | | | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| YES | | 389 15.42% | 109 11.15% | 4,878 10.57% | 94 30.52% | 5,470 10.95% |
| NO | | 2,133 84.58% | 869 88.85% | 41,276 89.43% | 214 69.48% | 44,492 89.05% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,522 100.00% | 978 100.00% | 46,154 100.00% | 308 100.00% | 49,962 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 86 | 22 | 1,267 | 30 | 1,405 |
| | Overvotes: | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

Proposition 28

| | Precincts | ; | Voters | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--|--|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Percent | | | | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | | | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| YES | | 1,118 43.67% | 427 43.13% | 28,719 62.11% | 224 69.14% | 30,488 60.84% |
| NO | | 1,442 56.33% | 563 56.87% | 17,522 37.89% | 100 30.86% | 19,627 39.16% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,560 100.00% | 990 100.00% | 46,241 100.00% | 324 100.00% | 50,115 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 48 | 9 | 1,180 | 14 | 1,251 |
| | Overvotes: | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

| Cumulative Results - With Judge Names | Nevada County | Off <i>Item # 11.</i> Regs |
|--|---|--|
| Official Results | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time 3:12 PM Run Date 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 23 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |

| I | Precincts | | Voters | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| YES | | 556 22.33% | 188 19.36% | 11,545 25.51% | 138 44.52% | 12,427 25.34% |
| NO | | 1,934 77.67% | 783 80.64% | 33,718 74.49% | 172 55.48% | 36,607 74.66% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,490 100.00% | 971 100.00% | 45,263 100.00% | 310 100.00% | 49,034 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 117 | 27 | 2,158 | 28 | 2,330 |
| | Overvotes: | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

Proposition 30

| Precincts | | | | Voters | |
|-----------|-------|---------|---------|------------|---------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| YES | | 696 27.27% | 289 29.31% | 21,537 46.61% | 176 53.99% | 22,698 45.33% |
| NO | | 1,856 72.73% | 697 70.69% | 24,667 53.39% | 150 46.01% | 27,370 54.67% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,552 100.00% | 986 100.00% | 46,204 100.00% | 326 100.00% | 50,068 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 59 | 11 | 1,216 | 12 | 1,298 |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |

| Cumulative Re Judge Names | | Nevada County | Off <i>Item # 11.</i> Reg. |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Official Results | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.81% Precincts Reporting |
| Run Time Run Date | 3:12 PM 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 24 | 136 of 136 = 100.00% |

| I | Precincts | • | Voters | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent | |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| YES | | 1,068 41.85% | 506 51.37% | 30,045 65.07% | 185 58.18% | 31,804 63.57% |
| NO | | 1,484 58.15% | 479 48.63% | 16,126 34.93% | 133 41.82% | 18,222 36.43% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,552 100.00% | 985 100.00% | 46,171 100.00% | 318 100.00% | 50,026 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 57 | 13 | 1,249 | 20 | 1,339 |
| | Overvotes: | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |

Measure V

| Precincts | | | | Voters | |
|-----------|-------|---------|---------|------------|---------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent |
| 136 | 136 | 100.00% | 51,370 | 74,654 | 68.81% |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| YES | | 877 34.50% | 320 32.62% | 22,758 49.43% | 189 61.17% | 24,144 48.41% |
| NO | | 1,665 65.50% | 661 67.38% | 23,287 50.57% | 120 38.83% | 25,733 51.59% |
| | Cast Votes: | 2,542 100.00% | 981 100.00% | 46,045 100.00% | 309 100.00% | 49,877 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 67 | 18 | 1,375 | 29 | 1,489 |
| | Overvotes: | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |

| Cumulative Re Judge Names | | Nevada County | Off <i>Item # 11.</i> Rec |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Official Results | | NOVEMBER 8, 2022 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION | 51370 of 74654 = 68.819 Precincts Reportin |
| Run Time Run Date | 3:12 PM 12/02/2022 | 11/8/2022 Page 25 | 136 of 136 = 100.009 |
| Measure W | | | |

| - | Precincts | • | | Voters | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|
| Counted | Total | Percent | Ballots | Registered | Percent |
| 5 | 5 | 100.00% | 1,786 | 2,433 | 73.41% |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| YES | | 23 31.94% | 12 38.71% | 529 32.67% | 6 31.58% | 570 32.74% |
| NO | | 49 68.06% | 19 61.29% | 1,090 67.33% | 13 68.42% | 1,171 67.26% |
| | Cast Votes: | 72 100.00% | 31 100.00% | 1,619 100.00% | 19 100.00% | 1,741 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 2 | 1 | 39 | 3 | 45 |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Measure X

| Precincts | | | | Voters | | | |
|-----------|-------|---------|--|---------|------------|---------|--|
| Counted | Total | Percent | | Ballots | Registered | Percent | |
| 8 | 8 | 100.00% | | 6,544 | 9,271 | 70.59% | |

| Choice | Party | Election Day Voting | Early Voting | Absentee Voting | Provisional Voting | Total |
|--------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| YES | | 242 69.34% | 89 71.77% | 4,973 84.60% | 24 82.76% | 5,328 83.51% |
| NO | | 107 30.66% | 35 28.23% | 905 15.40% | 5 17.24% | 1,052 16.49% |
| | Cast Votes: | 349 100.00% | 124 100.00% | 5,878 100.00% | 29 100.00% | 6,380 100.00% |
| | Undervotes: | 9 | 0 | 148 | 6 | 163 |
| | Overvotes: | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

ng



City of Grass Valley City Council Agenda Action Sheet

Title: New Council Member Installation - Haven Caravelli & Hilary Hodge

<u>**Recommendation**</u>: The Deputy City Clerk will administer the oath of office to new Council Members Haven Caravelli and Hilary Hodge for a four-year term commencing December 13, 2022 and continuing through December 8, 2026 or until their successor is elected and the election has been certified.

Prepared by:Taylor Day, Deputy City ClerkCouncil Meeting Date:12/13/2022Agenda:Reorganization Related Items

<u>Background Information</u>: The oath of office is given by the Deputy City Clerk at the first Council Meeting in December to the newly elected Council Members.

<u>Council Goals/Objectives:</u> Reorganization Related Items like the installation of new City Council Members executes portions of Goal **#5:** High Performance Government & Quality Service.

Fiscal Impact: N/A

Funds Available: N/A

Account #: N/A

Reviewed by: ___ City Manager

Attachments: None.



City of Grass Valley City Council Agenda Action Sheet

Title: Council Reorganization - Selection of Mayor and Vice Mayor

<u>Recommendation</u>: That the Deputy City Clerk conduct the election of the Mayor. The Deputy City Clerk will then turn the meeting over to the new Mayor. The new Mayor will conduct the election of the Vice Mayor.

Prepared by: Taylor Day, Deputy City Clerk

Council Meeting Date: 12/13/2022

Date Prepared: 12/9/2022

Agenda: Reorganization Related Items

Background Information: The Deputy City Clerk conducts the election of the Mayor then the newly elected Mayor conducts the election of the Vice Mayor.

<u>Council Goals/Objectives:</u> Reorganization Related Items like the installation of new City Council Members executes portions of Goal **#5**: High Performance Government & Quality Service.

Fiscal Impact: N/A

Funds Available: N/A

Account #: N/A

<u>Reviewed by</u>: ___ City Manager

Attachments: None.