

GRASS VALLEY

City Council Regular Meeting, Capital Improvements Authority and Redevelopment "Successor Agency"

Tuesday, June 14, 2022 at 7:00 PM

Council Chambers, Grass Valley City Hall | 125 East Main Street, Grass Valley, California

Telephone: (530) 274-4310 - Fax: (530) 274-4399

E-Mail: info@cityofgrassvalley.com Web Site: www.cityofgrassvalley.com

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER

Meeting called to order at 7:03 pm.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Pledge of allegiance led by Fire Chief, Mark Buttron.

ROLL CALL

PRESENT
Council Member Bob Branstrom
Council Member Hilary Hodge
Vice Mayor Jan Arbuckle
Mayor Ben Aguilar

ABSENT

Council Member Tom Ivy

AGENDA APPROVAL -

Motion made to approve he agenda by Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Seconded by Council Member Branstrom.

Voting Yea: Council Member Branstrom, Council Member Hodge, Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Mayor Aguilar

REPORT OUT OF CLOSED SESSION

Nothing to report.

INTRODUCTIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

1. LGBTQ & Pride Month Proclamation

PUBLIC COMMENT -

Email and voicemails are attached.

CONSENT ITEMS -

Motion made to approve the consent by Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Seconded by Council Member Branstrom.

Voting Yea: Council Member Branstrom, Council Member Hodge, Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Mayor Aguilar

2. Approval of the Regular Meeting Minutes of May 24th, 2022.

Recommendation: Council approve minutes as submitted.

3. Approval of the Special Meeting Minutes of May 19, 2022.

Recommendation: Council approve minutes as submitted.

4. Assembly Bill 361 Resolution

<u>Recommendation</u>: Adopt resolution R2022-49 authorizing remote teleconference meetings of the City Council and other legislative bodies of the City pursuant to government code section 54953(e)

5. Local Emergency Proclamation (COVID-19)

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continuance of Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) proclamation declaring a Local State of Emergency

6. Local Emergency Proclamation (Drought Conditions)

<u>Recommendation</u>: Drought Conditions proclamation declaring a Local State of Emergency

7. Local Emergency Proclamation (Winter Storm of December 27th, 2021)

<u>Recommendation</u>: Winter Storm of December 27th,2021 proclamation declaring a Local State of Emergency

8. Identify the terms of reimbursement and other conditions for the fire department response away from their official duty station and assigned to an emergency incident as part of the California Fire Assistance Agreement (CFAA).

<u>Recommendation</u>: That Council 1) adopt Resolution No. 2022-30, identifying the terms and conditions for the fire departments response away from their official duty station and assigned to emergency incidents as part of California Fire Assistance Agreement (CFAA).

9. Regulations for candidates for elective office pertaining to candidate's statements submitted to the voters at an election to be held on Tuesday, November 8, 2022

<u>Recommendation</u>: Adopt Resolution No. 2022-42 adopting regulations for candidates for elective office pertaining to candidate statements submitted to the voters at an election to be held on Tuesday, November 8, 2022

10. Calling and Giving Notice of Holding a General Municipal Election on November 8, 2022

<u>Recommendation</u>: Adopt Resolution No. 2022-43 calling and giving notice of holding a General Municipal Election on Tuesday, November 8, 2022, for the election of two Council Members for the City of Grass Valley

11. Calling and Giving Notice of Holding a General Municipal Election on Tuesday, November 8, 2022 for two City Council Members and requesting consolidation with the statewide general election to be conducted by the County of Nevada

<u>Recommendation</u>: Adopt Resolution No. 2022-44 calling and giving notice of holding a General Municipal Election on Tuesday, November 8, 2022, for the election of two City Council Members; and requesting consolidation with the statewide general election to be conducted by the County of Nevada.

12. Consideration of a one-year contract extension with Michael Baker International to assist with management and reporting services related to the City's HOME and CDBG programs.

<u>Recommendation</u>: That Council authorize the Community Development Director to sign the one-year extension of the contract with MBI subject to legal review.

13. Consideration of a five-year contract renewal with Accela to continue with its permit tracking software program.

<u>Recommendation</u>: That Council authorize the City Manager to sign the five-year renewal agreement with Accela subject to legal review.

14. Extension of an agreement between the City and Nevada County for the County to provide building inspection, plan review, and other related building services for the City.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Authorize the Mayor to sign the attached Agreement between the City of Grass Valley and Nevada County for the provision of building services.

15. Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account Funding - Adopt Project List

<u>Recommendation</u>: That Council adopt a Resolution to include Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA) funding in the Fiscal Year 2022/23 budget and specifying a list of projects to be funded with RMRA funds.

16. Employment Agreement for Fire Investigation Services

<u>Recommendation</u>: That Council 1) Approve R2022-41 for the Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) for hiring of an annuitant for, fire investigation and special enforcement services related to investigation, prevention, and enforcement pursuant to Government Code Section 21224(a). Agreement subject to legal review

17. Appropriations Limit for Fiscal Year 2022-23

<u>Recommendation</u>: It is recommended that the City Council, by RESOLUTION, approve Resolution No. 2022-47 establishing the Appropriations Limit for the City of Grass Valley for the Fiscal Year 2022-23.

ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT CALENDAR FOR DISCUSSION OR SEPARATE ACTION AND / OR ANY ADDED AGENDA ITEMS

REORGANIZATION RELATED ITEMS

PUBLIC HEARING

18. City of Grass Valley 2022 Strategic Plan Update

<u>Recommendation</u>: That Council 1) receive the executive draft of the 2022 Strategic Plan update, which incorporates all comments to date; and 2) provide direction to staff on the executive draft of the 2022 Strategic Plan Update.

Tim Kiser, City Manager, gave presentation to the council.

Councilmember Branstrom was had about getting high speed internet to our local businesses, and acknowledging the Nisenan tribe and preserving the Native American History. Councilmember Hodge had questions about undergrounding utilities. Vice Mayor Arbuckle belives that high speed internet needs to be a priority for the city and that we need to continue to work on putting our Utilities underground and updating the population. Mayor Aguilar thinks that undergrounding utilities need to be underground and work on getting recognition for the Nisenan tribe as a Federally recognition tribe.

Public comment attached.

No motion requiered.

19. FY 2022-23 Proposed Budget Public Hearing

<u>Recommendation</u>: It is recommended that the City Council open Public Hearing and by RESOLUTION, approve Resolution No. 2022-48 approving the Proposed Budget for the City of Grass Valley and the Successor Agency for fiscal year 2022-23. A 4/5s vote of the City Council is required for adoption of the budget.

Andy Heath, Finance Director, gave presentation to the Council.

Councilmember Branstrom had questions about the contingency fund, Measure E expenditures, and is there the capacity to accomplish the Capital projects.

Public Comment attached.

Motion made to Council open Public Hearing and by RESOLUTION, approve Resolution No. 2022-48 approving the Proposed Budget for the City of Grass Valley and the Successor Agency for fiscal year 2022-23. A 3/5s vote of the City Council is required for adoption of the budget by Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Seconded by Council Member Branstrom.

Voting Yea: Council Member Branstrom, Council Member Hodge, Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Mayor Aguilar

20. Adopt ten Resolutions confirming diagram and assessment and levying assessment, and requesting the County Auditor-Controller to place assessment on tax roll for FY 2021-22 Landscaping and Lighting Districts (LLD) and Benefit Assessment Districts (AD)

Recommendation: After conducting the public hearing, 1) adopt resolutions related to the Commercial (District No. 1988-1) Landscaping and Lighting Districts, as follows: Resolution No. 2022-31 Confirming Diagram and Assessment and Levying Assessment for FY 2022-23 Assessment District No. 1988-1. Resolution No. 2022-32 Requesting the County Auditor to Place Assessment on Tax Roll-Landscaping and Lighting District No. 1988-1. 2) Adopt resolutions related to the Residential (District No. 1988-2) Landscaping and Lighting Districts as follows: Resolution No. 2022-33 Confirming Diagram and Assessment and Levying Assessment for FY 2022-23 Assessment District

No. 1988-2. Resolution No 2022-34 Requesting the County Auditor to Place Assessment on Tax Roll-Landscaping and Lighting District No. 1988-2. 3) Adopt resolutions related to the Morgan Ranch Unit 7 (District 2003-1) Assessment District as follows: Resolution No 2022-35 Confirming Diagram and Assessment and Levying Assessment for FY 2022-23 Assessment District No. 2003-1. Resolution No. 2022-36 Requesting the County Auditor to Place Assessment on Tax Roll - Morgan Ranch-Unit 7 Benefit Assessment District No. 2003-1. 4) Adopt resolutions related to the Morgan Ranch West (District 2010-1) Assessment District as follows: Resolution No. 2022-37 Confirming Diagram and Assessment and Levying Assessment for FY 2022-23 Assessment District No. 2010-1. Resolution No. 2022-38 Requesting the County Auditor to Place Assessment on Tax Roll - Morgan Ranch-West Assessment District No 2010-1. 5) Adopt resolutions related to the Ridge Meadows (District 2016-1) Assessment District as follows: Resolution No. 2022-39 Confirming Diagram and Assessment District as follows: Resolution No. 2022-39 Confirming Diagram and Assessment and Levying Assessment for FY 2022-23 Assessment District No. 2016-1. Resolution No. 2022-40 Requesting the County Auditor to Place Assessment on Tax Roll - Ridge Meadows Assessment District No 2016-1.

Andy Heath, Finance Director, gave presentation to the council.

Public comments attached.

Motion made to 1) adopt resolutions related to the Commercial (District No. 1988-1) Landscaping and Lighting Districts, as follows: Resolution No. 2022-31 Confirming Diagram and Assessment and Levying Assessment for FY 2022-23 Assessment District No. 1988-1. Resolution No. 2022-32 Requesting the County Auditor to Place Assessment on Tax Roll-Landscaping and Lighting District No. 1988-1. 2) Adopt resolutions related to the Residential (District No. 1988-2) Landscaping and Lighting Districts as follows: Resolution No. 2022-33 Confirming Diagram and Assessment and Levving Assessment for FY 2022-23 Assessment District No. 1988-2. Resolution No. 2022-34 Requesting the County Auditor to Place Assessment on Tax Roll-Landscaping and Lighting District No. 1988-2. 3) Adopt resolutions related to the Morgan Ranch Unit 7 (District 2003-1) Assessment District as follows: Resolution No 2022-35 Confirming Diagram and Assessment and Levying Assessment for FY 2022-23 Assessment District No. 2003-1. Resolution No. 2022-36 Requesting the County Auditor to Place Assessment on Tax Roll - Morgan Ranch-Unit 7 Benefit Assessment District No. 2003-1. 4) Adopt resolutions related to the Morgan Ranch West (District 2010-1) Assessment District as follows: Resolution No. 2022-37 Confirming Diagram and Assessment and Levying Assessment for FY 2022-23 Assessment District No. 2010-1. Resolution No. 2022-38 Requesting the County Auditor to Place Assessment on Tax Roll - Morgan Ranch-West Assessment District No 2010-1. 5) Adopt resolutions related to the Ridge Meadows (District 2016-1) Assessment District as follows: Resolution No. 2022-39 Confirming Diagram and Assessment and Levying Assessment for FY 2022-23 Assessment District No. 2016-1. Resolution No. 2022-40 Requesting the County Auditor to Place Assessment on Tax Roll - Ridge Meadows Assessment District No 2016-1 by Council Member Hodge, Seconded by Vice Mayor Arbuckle. Voting Yea: Council Member Branstrom, Council Member Hodge, Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Mayor Aguilar

21. First Reading of Clean Up Ordinance 816

<u>Recommendation</u>: That Council: 1) conduct the public hearing for Ordinance 816; 2) introduce Ordinance 816, which amends the Grass Valley Municipal Code; and 3) waive the reading of the Ordinance in its entirety and read by title only.

Taylor Day, Deputy City Clerk, gave presentation to the council.

Public comments attached.

Motion made 1) conduct the public hearing for Ordinance 816; 2) introduce Ordinance 816, which amends the Grass Valley Municipal Code; and 3) waive the reading of the Ordinance in its entirety and read by title only by Council Member Hodge, Seconded by Vice Mayor Arbuckle.

Voting Yea: Council Member Branstrom, Council Member Hodge, Vice Mayor Arbuckle, Mayor Aguilar

ADMINISTRATIVE

22. Consideration of Waste Management's annual fee adjustments and new fees for service

<u>Recommendation</u>: That Council adopt Resolution 2022-45 adjusting service rates to Waste Management's fee schedule

Tom Last, Community Development Director, gave overview for to the council.

Vice Mayor Arbuckle wants to remind the public that the adoption of this is a formality and is part of an agreement that is in place. Councilmember Hodge thinks that the extra fee for having wildlife locks needs to be removed and should be considered a part of doing business in rural areas.

Public comment attached.

Motion made to adopt Resolution 2022-45 adjusting service rates to Waste Management's fee schedule by Council Member Hodge, Seconded by Vice Mayor Arbuckle.

Voting Yea: Council Member Branstrom, Council Member Hodge, Vice Mayor Arbuckle Voting Nay: Mayor Aguilar

BRIEF REPORTS BY COUNCIL MEMBERS

Council Member Branstrom attended an introductory pickleball class, cal cities environmental meeting, Center for the Arts ribbon cutting ceremony, and went to John McCutcheon concert, farmers markets, Cannabis round table, Wild Edges abbreviated performance. Council Member Hodge attended the Center for the arts ribbon cutting ceremony, very busy with summer fest for Music in the Mountains, attended the Nevada Union Graduations and congrats to the class of 2022. Vice Mayor Arbuckle attended a GVDA & Grass Valley Chamber meeting, league of transportation meeting, ILG webinar, Cannabis round table, and coming up is the CPUC meeting. She also attended the Center for the Arts ribbon cutting ceremony. Mayor Aguilar attended the Center for the Arts ribbon cutting ceremony, has LAFCo later this week, and thank you to all of the volunteers who put all the flags out in our community today.

<u>ADJOURN</u>

Meeting adjourned at 8:40 pm.

Ben Aguilar, Mayor

Taylor Day, Deputy City Clerk

Disposing of Blackberry

Virginia Whitehead <-

Fri 6/3/2022 6:42 AM

To: Public Comments <public@cityofgrassvalley.com>

You don't often get email from wahillfire@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

Fre griefichten

Good Evening.

When it comes to blackberry, it must be sent to landfill. It is horrible to deal with and illegal! Washington Hill residents would do more if we had a practical disposal method for blackberry. We would like the City of Grass Valley to check into getting "BAGSTER" service into GV through Waste Management. This is a large flexible bag that can be purchased by residents for approximately \$30.00. WM picks up for a fee which I suppose would be covered by us. If you could -2247check out this as a possible remedy to our blackberry issue it would be greatly appreciated! Perhaps there are other ideas being discussed that we are unaware of. We are also looking into hiring a goat herder. It is all guite expensive! We are really trying to harden our homes and neighborhood. Thank You and have a good day and a nice weekend! Virginia Whitehead

BAGSTER

Voice Mail (50 seconds)

PATRICIA COTTER

Mon 6/13/2022 11:43 AM

To: Public Comments < public@cityofgrassvalley.com>

Hello, my name is Patricia Carter. I live at 501 K St in Grass Valley and I was calling to voice my support for LGBTQ pride and come month proclamation. I'm really excited about it. It's been really thrilling to see the flags and downtown Nevada city. We brought our granddaughter. It's progressive and in the right vein of how LGBTQ pride is moving, and I think it's a really, really important signal to the people of Nevada County. So thank you. And I am fully in support and I look forward to next year's Pride too. Thank you. Bye.

You received a voice mail from PATRICIA COTTER.

Thank you for using Transcription! If you don't see a transcript above, it's because the audio quality was not clear enough to transcribe.

Voice Mail (3 minutes and 11 seconds)

WIRELESS CALLER

Tue 6/14/2022 4:45 PM

To: Public Comments < public@cityofgrassvalley.com>

This is Sandra Spargo. I live at 230 illicium place and Morgan ranch. As you review this evening's resolutions regarding Morgan Ranch West and Morgan Ranch Unit 7's myriad of resolutions about drainage improvements, police consider strong water drainage on the city block long landscape easement that Parallels Ridge Rd. The easement is composed of 19 private lots, 19 owners who have no expertise regarding stormwater laws and frankly, they cannot stop the ditches pollution directly into Slate Creek. The ditch is shallow, nonline built of river rock sinking into mud. The ditch is mainly original, built in 2001 and now covered with pine needles and flora. There is a dilemma between Morgan ranch restrictions and the city of Grass Valley resolutions, Morgan. Branches declaration of restriction states owners are responsible for maintaining all such easements in all facilities there in at the same time, homeowners pay property tax to the city on the landscape easement and a city tax for its upkeep. Morgan ranch restrictions pirate odds with resolution of zone one regarding Morgan ranch lighting and landscaping act of nineteen seventy two, the resolution includes the city 's care of quote, the repair, removal, or replacement of any improvement, UN quote. Page two sixty five did the agenda Morgan ranch unit seven states quote the maintenance operation and servicing of drainage improvements dot dot dot in the routine administration of the district including the maintenance, operations and servicing of the drainage improvements. That's accordingly storm drainage paralleling Ridge Road is an improvement per the city 's definition of Morgan ranch unit seven 's definition of drainage as an improvement. So what takes precedence? A strong water dish is an improvement. The city 's resolution, supported by their landscaping admitting act of nineteen seventy two and the Benefit Assessment Act of nineteen eighty two or Morgan Ranch Ranch is declaration of restrictions. He is still ladder. Backyard fencing needs to include in date for easement access and standards followed to current laws to replace this 22 year old stormwater ditch your help in clearing up this matter is appreciated and I have a sent to tailor a three page letter with pictures. Thank you very much. Good evening.

You received a voice mail from WIRELESS CALLER.

Thank you for using Transcription! If you don't see a transcript above, it's because the audio quality was not clear enough to transcribe.

June 14, 2022

Sandra Spargo 230 Elysian Place PO Box 2244 Grass Valley, CA 95945

City Council Members City of Grass Valley 125 East Main Street Grass Valley, CA 95945

Re: What Takes Landscape Easement Precedence--the City's Resolution or Morgan Ranch Restrictions?

Dear City Council Members:

As you review this evening's resolutions regarding Morgan Ranch West's and Morgan Ranch Unit 7's myriad of resolutions about drainage improvements, please consider stormwater drainage on the City's block-long landscape easement that parallels Ridge Road. The easement is composed of 19 private lots, 19 owners, who have no expertise regarding stormwater laws, and, frankly, cannot stop the ditch's pollution directly into Slate Creek.

Stormwater Ditch Location and Construction Standards

The block-long stormwater ditch flows into Slate Creek, passing through 19 lots. A portion of the ditch runs within backyard fencing and a portion runs outside backyard fencing. (The City approved no gates within backyard fencing to access landscape easements.) Of the portion outside backyard fencing, Scope Landscaping occasionally maintains the ditch approximately on five lots near the front entrance to Morgan Ranch. The rest of the ditch is neglected on 14 lots.

Please find below the current condition of the shallow, non-lined, river rock stormwater ditch, portions located outside backyard fencing. These portions are original, built in 2001. In the first two pictures, the ditch is covered with pine needles and flora.

In the third picture, a portion of the ditch is located within a lot's backyard fencing. Thus, due to flooding, the owner dug a channel under the backyard fence to guide stormwater flow outside the ditch to the landscape easement. Stormwater runs over the easement and onto the sidewalk along Ridge Road. Neighbors have been flooded up to their homes' foundations, and, so, seek a way to control stormwater away from their homes. Most of the block-long ditch is original construction--shallow, unlined and river rock that has sunk into the mud. Owners do not want to spend money to repair/update their portion of the ditch due to cost. No inspection occurs of the entire ditch to ensure no flooding, water blockage, nor pollution into Slate Creek.







Pollution flows from Woodcrest Way and from the First Baptist Church's property. Three buildings on First Baptist church property encompass 31,928 sq. ft. Thus, at least 31,928 sq. ft. of roofing sheds stormwater. In addition, the 47,815 sq. ft. parking lot sheds stormwater. Total hard surface stormwater runoff equals 79,743 sq. ft.

Pollution comes from cars in the parking lot, as well as trash, including used condoms. Moreover, besides churchgoers using the parking lot, students of Nevada Union High School use the lot.

Portions of the ditch's 22-year-old construction is no match for stormwater volume that carries pollution directly into Slate Creek.



This picture shows the construction of the original stormwater ditch in 2001. Note its shallowness, allowing record rains to flood, as did the torrents of rain during the winter of 2017.

Note that the ditch is being constructed within backyard fencing yet to be built. My observation is that the majority of owners neglect maintenance, unless heavy rains are threatened or flooding occurs. One new owner on Elysian Place did not know there was a stormwater ditch within her backyard, because it was covered with weeds.

The Dilemma with Between Morgan Ranch Restrictions and the City of Grass Valley

Morgan Ranch's Declaration of Restrictions states the following in Section 4.9, Restrictions on Grading and Drainage: (a) Certain lots contain drainage easements as shown on the Subdivision Map. OWNERS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING ALL SUCH EASEMENTS AND ALL FACILITIES THEREIN. (Written in bold.)

Perhaps, in 2001, when the developer constructed an inadequate stormwater system, there were an absence of laws--such as the City of Grass Valley's Design Standards for Storm Drainage or California's Storm Drain Regulations or California's Storm Water Discharges from Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems.

I am positive that this block-long stormwater ditch that parallels Ridge Road meets none of the law's current standards. The City has left this stormwater ditch behind, burdening homeowners without expertise with upkeep, burdening market values and pocket books when forced to deal with flooding.

At the same time, homeowners pay property tax on the landscape easement and a tax for its upkeep.

So, What Takes Precedence?

Morgan Ranch Restrictions are at odds with Resolution of Zone 1 - Morgan Ranch, No. 1 includes the City's care of *The repair, removal or replacement of any improvement*.

Page 265 of the agenda, Morgan Ranch Unit 7, states, *The maintenance, operation and servicing of drainage improvements . . . , . . . in the routine administration of the district, including the maintenance, operations and servicing of the drainage improvements.*

Thus, accordingly, storm drainage paralleling Ridge Road is an *improvement* per the City's definition of Morgan Ranch Unit 7's definition of drainage as an improvement.

So, what takes precedence? A stormwater ditch is an improvement. The City's Resolutions, supported by the *Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972* and the *Benefit Assessment Act of 1982* or Morgan Ranch's *Declaration of Restrictions*?

If the latter, backyard fencing needs to include a gate for easement access and standards followed according to current laws to replace this 22-year-old stormwater ditch.

Who will pay for a block-long, updated stormwater ditch and gates to allow owners' access to landscape easements? The City disallowed gates when approving developer design.

Your help in clearing up this matter is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sandra Spargo

From:

WIRELESS CALLER

Sent:

Tuesday, June 14, 2022 7:20 PM

To:

Public Comments

Subject:

Voice Mail (1 minute and 13 seconds)

Attachments:

audio.mp3

Yes, Matthew called her like to comment on the strategic planning, strategic strategic plan. As Tim would say is very lacking. Lacking in bike trails, connectivity, safety, crosswalks, walkability. It just seems to be more one way in one way out developments, onto streets that have no crosswalks, no infrastructure, no sidewalks, no stop signs, and it's just a recipe for disaster. So I would highly recommend that you add in some Traffic Safety. Is that something that happens here daily of injury accidents, multiple times a day right here in town, close in downtown. So please consider safety as something that we might want to deal with and maybe not. Maybe you can call it safety arts and that would help it go down the pallet of some people. Try it might work.

You received a voice mail from WIRELESS CALLER.

Thank you for using Transcription! If you don't see a transcript above, it's because the audio quality was not clear enough to transcribe.

From:

WIRELESS CALLER

Sent:

Tuesday, June 14, 2022 8:14 PM

To:

Public Comments

Subject:

Voice Mail (46 seconds)

Attachments:

audio.mp3

You are aware there's a delay on your feed versus the telephone and then you have to listen to your message before you even pick up to make a public comment. So when the mayor says it's good that there's no public comment, I guess that's what he means. I'd like to make a comment on the whole year worth of Grass Valley City budget. It's a lot of money and no ones gonna comment on it because you don't allow people to comment on it, so that's baffling, yet not. You guys all enjoy your new trucks now that you picked up or will pick up soon or are on order. Alrighty then.

You received a voice mail from WIRELESS CALLER.

Thank you for using Transcription! If you don't see a transcript above, it's because the audio quality was not clear enough to transcribe.

From:

WIRELESS CALLER <

Sent:

Tuesday, June 14, 2022 8:17 PM

To:

Public Comments

Subject:

Voice Mail (58 seconds)

Attachments:

audio.mp3

With County Hi, I'm calling during that topic, so maybe it'll get in there because I don't want to call too late on the lighting district. The LED lights are horrible. The LED lights they put in Memorial Park on the veterans flag or horrible too powerful, the LED lights on the Bread Heart Hotel dominate downtown when it should be. The Dell'oro tower should be the brightest, tallest light in town But those lights dominate as well as other lights that are being put in around town that are LED, that are extremely bright white that ruin your night vision when you're driving. And often many, many lights in town are running 24 hours a day. And I don't care if they're Ellie D's or not. They're taking juice. They should have be on a timer or sensors so they come on when it's dark. If you want him on all night, not 24 hours a day. Thank you.

You received a voice mail from WIRELESS CALLER.

Thank you for using Transcription! If you don't see a transcript above, it's because the audio quality was not clear enough to transcribe.

From:

WIRELESS CALLER

Sent:

Tuesday, June 14, 2022 8:19 PM

To:

Public Comments

Subject:

Voice Mail (46 seconds)

Attachments:

audio.mp3

Where some ordnance did not actually get adopted into our municipal code. So this ordinance here is the simple fact that we have a Public Comments. I don't know why you're not doing my public comments, but I guess that's between the lawyers at this point. I don't know what your problem is. I'm the only one in the fricking meeting. Let my comments go through so other people can hear them. And the Grass Valley, CA Taylor. Any questions for Taylor? I had questions. It's ridiculous that you're hiring this company. You can use a piece of paper pencil.

You received a voice mail from WIRELESS CALLER.

Thank you for using Transcription! If you don't see a transcript above, it's because the audio quality was not clear enough to transcribe.

From:

WIRELESS CALLER

Sent:

Tuesday, June 14, 2022 8:26 PM

To:

Public Comments

Subject:

Voice Mail (35 seconds)

Attachments:

audio.mp3

Sure that people understand. Yes. Since we're asking questions to waste management, I'd like to see the contract used to be 96 pages. I believe for Grass Valley with a 10% kickback for recycling for waste management, which is a slightly different from Nevada city inside different from the county. But I would like to see that contract and when can that be made available for this, what it is, this is what it is as you guys say. That's my question. I'll be listening British.

You received a voice mail from WIRELESS CALLER.

Thank you for using Transcription! If you don't see a transcript above, it's because the audio quality was not clear enough to transcribe.