

# PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD MEETING 

City Hall - Council Chamber 405 Bagshaw Way, Edgewood, Florida Monday, August 08, 2022 at 6:30 PM

| David Gragg | David Nelson | Melissa Gibson |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Board Member | Board Member | Board Member |

## AGENDA

WELCOME! We are very glad you have joined us for today's Planning and Zoning meeting. The Planning and Zoning Board is an advisory board to City Council comprised of citizen members who voluntarily and without compensation devote their time and talents to a variety of zoning and land development issues in the community. All P\&Z recommendations are subject to final action by City Council. The results of today's meeting will be presented at the noted City Council meeting for approval of recommended actions. Any person desiring to appeal a recommended action of the Board should observe the notice regarding appeals below. CAUTION: Untimely filing by any appellant shall result in an automatic denial of the appeal.

## A. CALL TO ORDER \& PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

B. ROLL CALL AND DETERMINATION OF QUORUM
C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. July 11, 2022 Planning and Zoning Meeting Minutes
D. NEW BUSINESS
2. Details for 8-8-2022 Planning and Zoning Meeting
3. Variance 2022-07: 510 Gatlin Ave. - Tennis Court Fence
4. Waiver 2022-01: 4800 S. Orange Ave. - Car Wash
5. Parcel Split 2022-01: 5540 Jessamine Ln.
6. Ordinance 2022-06: Fence Regulation
E. UNFINISHED BUSINESS
F. COMMENTS/ANNOUNCEMENTS
G. ADJOURNMENT

UPCOMING MEETINGS

Tuesday, August 16, 2022
City Council Meeting
Monday, September 12, 2022
.Planning and Zoning Meeting

## General Rules of Order

You are welcome to attend and express your opinion. The Board is pleased to hear non-repetitive comments related to business before the Board; however, a five (5) minute time limit per person has been set by the Board. Large groups are asked to name a spokesperson. If you wish to appear before the Board, please fill out an Appearance Request Registration Form and give it to the City Clerk. When recognized, state your name and speak directly into the microphone. The City is guided by Roberts Rules of Order in governing the conduct of the meeting. Persons with disabilities needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact the City Clerk at (407) 851-2920 at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting.

We ask that all electronic devices (i.e. cell phones, pagers) be silenced during our meeting!
Thank you for participating in your government!

## Appeals

According to Edgewood City Code Section 26-24 (2), "any person aggrieved by any recommendation of the Board acting either under its general powers or as a Board of Adjustment may file a notice of appeal to the City Council within seven (7) days after such recommendation is filed with the City Clerk. Per Section 286.0105, Florida Statutes state that if you decide to appeal a decision made with respect to any matter, you will need a record of the proceeding and may need to ensure that a verbatim record is made.

## Americans with Disabilities Act

In accordance with the American Disabilities Act (ADA), if any person with a disability as defined by the ADA needs special accommodation to participate in this proceeding, he or she should telephone the City Clerk at (407) 851-2920.

## PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD MEETING

CITY OF
City Hall - Council Chamber 405 Bagshaw Way, Edgewood, Florida
Monday, July 11, 2022 at 6:30 PM

Steve Kreidt Chair

Ryan Santurri Vice-Chair

| David Gragg | David Nelson | Melissa Gibson |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Board Member | Board Member | Board Member |

## DRAFT MEETING MINUTES

## CALL TO ORDER

Chair Kreidt called the meeting to order at 6:32 pm. He gave an invocation and led everyone in the Pledge of Allegiance.

## ROLL CALL AND DETERMINATION OF QUORUM

Interim Clerk Riffle confirmed there was a quorum with all Board Members present.
The following Planning and Zoning and staff members were present:

## Board Members:

Steve Kreidt, Chair
Ryan Santurri, Vice-Chair
Melissa Gibson, Board Member
David Gragg, Board Member
David Nelson, Board Member

## Staff:

Sandra Riffle, Interim City Clerk
Michael Fraticelli, Police Sergeant
Drew Smith, City Attorney
Ellen Hardgrove, City Planner

## Applicants

Chris Pashley, 525 Mandalay Road
Chris Dawson, 495 Mandalay Road
Sheila Cichra, Streamline Permitting
Sam Sebaali, FEG for Suncoast Building Materials
William Johns, Suncoast Building Materials

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- June 13, 2022 Planning and Zoning Meeting Minutes

Board Member Nelson made a motion to approve the June 13, 2022 Planning and Zoning meeting minutes as presented. The motion was seconded by Board Member Santurri. Approved (5/0).

MINUTES
Note: The order of the agenda was changed. The minutes reflect business items in the order they were presented.

## NEW BUSINESS

- Variance 2022-06: 525 Mandalay Rd. - Boat Dock

The applicant requested a variance for an existing side setback to remain at 6.93' and to allow a future addition for a boathouse and covered deck to be added onto an existing dock that is 10 feet from the property line, instead of the required 15 feet per the City land development code, Sec 14-11 (b)(1).

The existing dock was permitted and built 20 years ago. Engineer Lane stated in his report that it is not feasible to modify the existing dock to bring the existing dock up to City code. With the exception of the setback, the proposed addition to the dock meets the dock regulations. There was mention that the dock encroached 0.2 feet into the maximum $25 \%$ of the canal width, but the applicant's representative, Sheila Cichra corrected this information stating the dock meet the $25 \%$ maximum, projecting only 19.11 feet ( $22 \%$ ) of the canal width.

Engineer Lane confirmed her correction was accurate. He had no objections and recommended approval of the variance request. Because the dock is located on a canal, Engineer Lane said two criteria must be met: no more than $25 \%$ of the width of the canal, and there must be 25 feet between docks.

The applicant provided a letter of no objection from the affected adjacent property owner.
Ms. Cichra explained the existing dock was inadvertently constructed too close to the property line 20 years ago due to a fence that was not installed on the shared property line, but almost $30^{\prime}$ North (near the NHWE) of the shared property line. The dock builder didn't know he was near the property line. She confirmed to Chair Kreidt that the fence was built over the property line.

Discussion ensued regarding the plans and dimensions for the proposed dock addition.
There was no public comment.
Board Member Gibson made a motion to recommend approval of Variance 2202-06 to allow an existing side setback of 6.93' and an extension to the dock 10 feet from the property line, instead of the required 15 feet per the City land development code, Sec 14-11 (b)(1). The motion was seconded by Chair Kreidt. Approved (5/0).

The motion was approved by roll call vote.

| Board Member Gibson | Favor |
| :--- | :--- |
| Board Member Gragg | Favor |
| Board Member Nelson | Favor |
| Chair Kreidt | Favor |
| Vice-Chair Santurri | Favor |

- Variance 2022-04: 495 Mandalay Rd. - Boat Dock

Engineer Lane explained the applicant is requesting a variance to Sec 14-11(b)(2) of the City's code to allow a recently constructed dock to remain 24.4 feet away from the dock across the canal, in lieu of the minimum 25 ft requirement; and to allow the dock to remain $28 \%$ into the canal, in lieu of the $25 \%$ maximum. It was also built 1.7 feet farther into the canal than what was in the original plans. The distance between the boat dock across the canal is 0.6 feet less than the minimum 25 feet.

He explained that the project also included a seawall which reduced the navigable width of the canal. CPH did not see any extenuating circumstances that would cause the contractor to have built in the wrong location. As both of these hardships are due to the construction of the dock and not to existing conditions, he said CPH cannot support the variance request.

Contractor Sheila Cichra with Streamline Permitting said the nonconformity was caused by a series of mistakes. The contractor did not account for the position of the seawall or where the boat dock would go. The new seawall was built in front of the existing wall. She said the dock's location does not present a navigational hazard and the encroachment is less than 1.5 feet. The applicant would have to cut off most of his catwalk to make the boat dock meet code and then it would not be functional.

There was a short discussion regarding options to reduce the encroachment. Engineer Lane said that, theoretically, the catwalk could be removed and the post for the roof could remain. Staff noted to the Board that staff requested the Orange County Sheriff's Department verify the encroachment did not impact the navigability of the canal.

The Sheriff Department's conclusion was there is ample distance to the other dock. Chair Kreidt said the boat dock is located in a dead-end canal which results in less traffic in that location. It was reviewed by the Sheriff's Office and was assessed to be adequate for navigation and there was no objection from the neighboring property.

Board Member Nelson asked about the permitting process and when Orange County scheduled its inspections during the construction process. It would be helpful if the inspection was early in the process to correct a mistake before the dock is completely built. Interim Clerk Riffle said she will inquire with the County.

There was no public comment.
Board Member Gragg made a motion to recommend approval of Variance 2022-04 to allow a variance to Sec 14-11(b)(2) of the City's code to allow a recently constructed dock to remain 24.4 feet away from the dock across the canal, in lieu of the minimum 25 feet requirement, and to allow the dock to remain at $28 \%$ into the canal, in lieu of the $25 \%$ maximum. The motion was seconded by Board Member Nelson. Approved (4/1).

The motion was approved by roll call vote.

| Board Member Gragg | Favor |
| :--- | :--- |
| Board Member Nelson | Favor |
| Chair Kreidt | Favor |
| Vice-Chair Santurri | Oppose |
| Board Member Gibson | Favor |

## - Special Exception 2022-03: Suncoast Building Materials 101 Mary Jess Road

The applicant requested modification to Condition 11 of the 2016 special exceptions. Condition 11 limits hours of operation to 6:00 am to 6:00 pm, Monday through Saturday. The requested change is to allow the hours of operation to be limited from 5:00 am to 8:00 pm, Monday through Saturday

Planner Hardgrove told the Planning and Zoning Board that a special exception can only be approved if it does not adversely affect the public interest or the character and value of the surrounding land. She said that Staff recommended denial of the proposed amendment as there have been no changes in conditions since the Special Exception was approved in 2016.

In response to Attorney Smith, Planner Hardgrove said that based on public input, amending the hours of operation would negatively impact the neighborhood. There is outdoor activity adjacent to residential and most of the abutting houses are two-story high.

In response to Vice-Chair Santurri's inquiry of the applicant's compliance with other conditions of the 2016 approval, Interim City Clerk Riffle said she drove and observed that the wall was repaired and painted, and shrubbery and vines were planted. She saw no evidence of dead trees. Sam Sebaali, with FEG, representing the property owner said that the landscaping was addressed, and the City engineer inspected the site.

Engineer Sebaali said the main operation is on the south parcel which is about 300 feet from residential. In 2016, the development was intended to be on the north parcel, which is closer to residential, so there was a change of conditions from when the Special Exception was approved. The property was formerly a concrete plant that produced more noise than Suncoast's operations. The challenge for the owner is that his trucks need to leave in the morning to make deliveries and then return to the facility. Sometimes they cannot make it back by 6:00 pm.

Engineer Sebaali said the applicant wished to change the request to keep the opening at 6:00 am as noise is more apparent in the morning but needs the flexibility in the evenings for a later closing time for when the trucks return. Noise regulations hours allow up to 9:00 pm and they are requesting to operate until 7:00 pm or 8:00 pm.

He explained to Attorney Smith that the office closes at 4:00 pm; trucks return to the property and park. Arriving by 6:00 pm has been a challenge due to heavy traffic.

In response to the statement that the trucks beep when they are on the property, property owner, William Johns said the trucks park in a line. They do not move in reverse with beeping. Drivers park the trucks on the south parcel and then go home.

Mr. Johns explained to Board Member Nelson that they have discontinued fueling at the location and the trucks now go to a gas station.

Planner Hardgrove said the special exception applies to both the north and south parcels.

## Public Comment:

Andre Soto, an Edgewood resident, spoke as an opponent. He stated his concern that increased hours could affect the resale value of homes due to the sounds of trucks when families are home after hours. He hears the trucks from his house on Lake Mary Jess Shores Court and there is traffic from the business in the evening.

Mary Woznack, an Edgewood resident, spoke as an opponent. She said the owner of Suncoast knew the hours of operation in 2016. She stated the business operations do not currently follow the hours set with the 2016 special exception approval. She has witnessed activity on the property as late as 2:00 and 3:00 am. She suggested that they should have moved to an industrial rather than a commercial location. She said Code Compliance Officer Salemi has done a fantastic job enforcing property management, and the Police Department has assisted with overnight hours.

Virginia Rice, an Edgewood resident, spoke as an opponent. She said trucks are parked along Mary Jess Road in the early hours of the morning. She leaves early in the morning and has to go around the trucks to get to Orange Avenue. The trucks are just parked waiting to go into the facility. She is concerned about Suncoast's impact on the value of her real estate.

Vice-Chair Santurri commented that if they have to park their trucks on the road, allowing them into the facility could help traffic on the road.

Michael Flanders, an Edgewood resident, spoke as an opponent. He said he has seen lights on at the property as early as 4:00 am. The light shines from the property into his house.

Cindy Beckner, an Edgewood resident, spoke as an opponent. She said Suncoast starts much earlier than 6:00 am and there are often 5-6 tractor trailer trucks lined up. Each truck takes one light cycle to get through at Orange Avenue and she can hear the trucks going up the street. She would suggest starting at 7:00 am rather than at 6:00 am but she does not believe they would adhere to the allowable hours. She said this company may not be the right fit for this location.

Cliff Rathbon, an Edgewood resident, spoke as an opponent. He said he has to make U-turns to get out of his road due to the trucks. He also said each truck takes a whole light cycle to go through the Orange Avenue/Mary Jess Road intersection.

Jim Muszynski, an Edgewood resident, spoke as an opponent. He suggested a satellite location for the trucks to park in the evening. He asked to recommend denial.

Mr. Muszynski asked if the fuel tank was approved and Interim City Clerk Riffle said she would look into it.

Richard Yates, an Edgewood resident, spoke as an opponent. He said there are cars in the parking lot at 4:30 or 5:00 am. The noise has improved but still exists. He hears the backup alarms on the machinery. He objects to extending the hours of operation.

Board Member Nelson agreed that the traffic at the light at Mary Jess Road is bad. Sometimes it takes 3-5 light cycles in the mornings to get through the intersection.

Emails stating objections to the application were sent to City Hall and were given to the Board Members for their review.

The property owner, Mr. Johns, asked when the residents have seen the trucks because he does not think they were there in the last few months. The trucks now stage in the shopping center and they do not leave that location until 6:00 am.

Chair Kreidt confirmed he has seen the trucks at the shopping center preparing to go to the site.

Mr. Johns said he spoke to Code Compliance Office Salemi and they are in compliance. They have permission from the City to store metal, intended for the new building, outside. He said no one has been in the office between 8:00 pm and opening time and traffic west of their entrance would not involve them.

He said that the noise at 4:00 am is coming from Rosen Materials not his site. He stated he is being accused of something he is not doing.

There was a short discussion about landscaping. Engineer Sebaali said the hedge meets the landscape requirements and Mr. Johns added the shrubs will be 25 feet high. They planted trees that were taller than what was required. He noted that he will turn down the lights and that he has addressed everything.

Planner Hardgrove noted that Rosen Materials has no restriction on their operating hours. They are a legally nonconforming use and should not be used as a precedent for this decision.

Board Member Nelson made a motion to strongly recommend denial of the request to amend the special exception and change the operating hours to 5:00 am to 8:00 pm Monday through Saturday, with the finding that the proposed activity would adversely affect the character of the neighborhood. Approved (5/0).

The motion was approved by roll call vote.

| Board Member Gragg | Favor |
| :--- | :--- |
| Board Member Nelson | Favor |
| Chair Kreidt | Favor |
| Vice-Chair Santurri | Favor |
| Board Member Gibson | Favor |

- Ordinance 2022-06: Fence Regulation

Ordinance 2022-06 was removed from the agenda and will be brought back for Planning and Zoning's review in August.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

1. Ordinance 2022-05: Site-Specific Zoning

Ordinance 2022-05 was removed from the agenda. It will go to City Council for first reading in August, but Planning and Zoning can review it before second reading in September.

## COMMENTS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

## ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 8:57 pm.

Memo
To: Planning and Zoning Board Members
CC: $\quad$ Sandy Riffle, Drew Smith, Ellen Hardgrove, Allen Lane
From: Brett Sollazzo, Administrative Assistant
Date: August 3, 2022
Re: $\quad$ Business Items Planning and Zoning Meeting August 8, 2022

For the August 8, 2022 Planning and Zoning Board meeting, the following is provided in your agenda packet for your review and consideration.

1. Variance 2022-07: 510 Gatlin Ave. - Tennis Court Fence

- City Planner report dated 7/29/2022
- Variance application dated 7/22/2022

The notice of Public Hearing was mailed on Thursday, July 282022 to those property owners within 500 feet of the subject property regarding Variance 2022-07. There were 53 notices provided by U.S. Mail. Zero notices were returned as undeliverable, and no objections were received at City Hall as of the date of this memo.
2. Waiver 2022-01: 4800 S. Orange Ave. - Car Wash

- City Planner report dated 7/27/2022
- Waiver request \& preliminary site plan dated $7 / 25 / 2022$

A legal advertisement was placed in the Orlando Sentinel on Thursday, July 282022 to advertise the requested waivers, as required by City Code.
3. Parcel Split 2022-01: 5540 Jessamine Lane.

- Staff report dated 7/27/2022
- Subdivision application dated 4/22/2022

The notice of Public Hearing was mailed on Thursday, July 282022 to those property owners within 300 feet of the subject property regarding Parcel Split 2022-01. There were 42 notices provided by U.S. Mail. Zero notices were returned as undeliverable, and no objections were received at City Hall as of the date of this memo.
4. Ordinance 2022-06: Fence Regulations

- Fence Regulations Ordinance

City Staff is prepared for discussion and to respond to any questions you may have regarding any of the above business items. Applicants will also be in attendance.

TO: $\quad$ Planning and Zoning Board (P\&Z)
XC: $\quad$ Sandy Riffle, Interim City Clerk
Brett Sollazzo, Administrative Assistant
Drew Smith, City Attorney
Allen C. Lane, Jr., P.E., CPH Engineering
FROM: Ellen Hardgrove, AICP, City Planning Consultant
DATE: July 29, 2022
SUBJECT: Tennis Court Variance at 510 Gatlin Avenue

## REQUEST DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND

The request is to approve a variance to allow a ten feet high fence in the front yard of the property located at 510 Gatlin Avenue. Exhibit 1 provides an illustration of the subject property's location. The variance is specifically requested to replace an existing fence around an existing tennis court. The tennis court location is shown in Exhibit 2 with photos of the court and fence in Exhibit 3.

Exhibit 1 - Property Location $\mathbf{N} \uparrow$



Page $\mathbf{2}$ of $\mathbf{7}$

Exhibit 3 - Photos of the Existing Court

Looking north from the court toward Gatlin (8.5ft wall)


Northeast corner of court ( 9.5 ft fence)


Looking at Southeast corner of court


West side of court (10ft)


West side of court ( 8.5 ft )


Looking at southwest corner of court


Looking at Northwest corner of court


The existing fence varies from four (4) to ten (10) feet, with most of the segments in the front setback eight (8) feet, exceeding the maximum permitted in the front setback: four (4) feet per Code Section 134-517. The portions exceeding four feet in the front setback are viewed as legally nonconforming. As a note, the existing tennis court is also viewed as a legal nonconformity as an accessory use is not allowed in front of the principal building.

The date the tennis court was constructed is uncertain; the Orange County Property Appraiser online record identifies its construction as 1935, the same year the original house was built. The property was annexed into Edgewood in 1971. The assumption is that the tennis court and fence existed prior to annexation and likely prior to County regulation of fence height as the County's maximum fence height is identical to the City's.

Code Section 134-38 (Nonconforming uses) allows nonconformities to continue, but does not encourage their survival. Replacement requires conformance with code; i.e., maximum four feet in height in the north $25 \pm$ feet of the court.

## CRITERIA FOR VARIANCE APPROVAL

Variances are allowed where there are practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships in complying with the strict letter of the land development regulations. Per Section 134-104(3), prior to recommending approval of any variance, $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{Z}$ and City Council must find:

1. That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures or buildings in the same zoning district;
2. That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant;
3. That approval of the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this chapter to other lands, buildings or structures in the same zoning district;
4. That literal interpretation of the provisions contained in this chapter would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this chapter and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;
5. That the variance approved is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure; and,
6. That approval of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this chapter and that such variance will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.

## ANALYSIS

1. Special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures or buildings in the same zoning district. The property fronts on a major road: Gatlin Avenue. Orange County records show $\pm 8,000$ vehicles a day use this road, where most residential lots front on a local road. When the subdivision was platted in 1917, Gatlin was more than likely a local road and although the exact date of the court/fence construction, there is no doubt that the traffic on Gatlin Avenue has significantly increased since the court's construction. Another circumstance peculiar to the subject property is the tennis court is in the front yard likely pre-dating zoning restrictions for its placement in this location.
2. The special conditions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant. As stated in the report, it is reasonably probable that the tennis court and fence have been there over 50 years and predate zoning regulations. The current property owner acquired the property in 2006.
3. Approval of the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this chapter to other lands, buildings or structures in the same zoning district. Approval of the request will not confer on the applicant a special privilege. A tennis court is a permitted use on all R1AA zoned property. Typically these courts are located outside the front yard where the fence height is not restricted. It should be noted that the pending fence regulation re-write contemplates higher fences for sports courts; proposed as a special exception approval. If the fence regulation is approved as currently proposed, the requested fence height could be approved via the special exception application instead of a variance.
4. Literal interpretation of the provisions contained in this chapter would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this chapter and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant. Denial of the request could deprive the applicant rights commonly enjoyed by other residential property owners with an existing tennis court on the ir property. In order for the property owner to enjoy the tennis court on the property, the higher fence is needed to constrain balls flying onto a major road.
5. The variance approved is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure. Industry standard for fence height around hard courts is 10 -feet with that height commonly extending at least 20 feet from each corner along the court's sides. The connecting fence lengths are typically the same height, shorter or non-existent.
6. Approval of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this chapter and improves the public welfare by increasing the safety of motorists on the adjacent road.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval of the request and the following motion: "Approval of a ten feet high fence in the front yard conditioned on the location limited to around the existing tennis court on the subject property."

## APPLICATION FOR VARIANCE

Reference: City of Edgewood Code of Ordinances, Section 126-588
REQUIRED FEE: $\mathbf{\$ 3 5 0}$ RESIDENTIAL $\mathbf{\$ 7 5 0}$ COMMERCIAL
(Plus Applicable Pass-Through Fees - Ordinance 2013-01)
Please note this fee is non-refundable

| Office Use Only: |  | Variance Application \#: | $2022-07$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Received Date: | $7 / 22 / 2022$ | Received by: | Brett Sollazzo |
| P\&Z Meeting Date: | $8 / 8 / 2022$ | City Council Meeting Date: | $9 / 20 / 2022$ |

IMPORTANT: A COMPLETE application with all required attachments and ten (10) copies must be submitted to the City Clerk $\qquad$ days before the next Planning \& Zoning meetings. No application shall be deemed accepted unless it is complete and paid for. Notarized letter of authorization from Owner MUST be submitted if application is filed by anyone other than property owner.

Please type or print. Complete carefully, answering each question and attaching all necessary documentation and additional pages as necessary.

| Applicant's Name: | Adriano Swift |  | Owner's Name: | Jamie Smith |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address: | 3217 Castle Oak Ave Orlando, FL 328C |  | Address: | 510 Gatlin Ave Orlando, FL 32806 |
| Phone Number: | 561-856-3525 |  | Phone Number: | 4074745456 |
| Fax: |  |  | Fax: |  |
| Email: | Swiftservicesandconsulting@gmail.corr |  | Email: | jsmith@smithcompanies.com |
| Legal Description: | GORES SUB F/41 LOT 9 \& IN VOORHEES A C SUB G/9 ALL OF LOT 12 \& 8 (LESS BEG NW COR LOT 2 RUNN 80 DEG E 81.47 FT 166.13 FT S 41 DEG W 29.33 FT S 79 DEG W 23.44 FT S 85 DEG W 46.50 FT N 183.07 FT TO POB) \& ALL THAT PART OFN $1 / 2$ OF LYING S OF THE ABOVE DESC LOTS 128 \& 9 |  |  |  |
| Zoned: | 0130-Sfr-Lake Froni R-1AA |  |  |  |
| Location: | 510 Gatlin Ave Orlando, FL 32806 |  |  |  |
| Tract Size: |  |  |  |  |
| City section of the Zoning Code from which Variance is requested: |  | Sec. 134-517 |  |  |
| Request: |  | Approval of variance for installation of a new 10 ' fence to replace the exisng |  |  |
| Existing on Site: |  | Tennis court with 10 ' fencing and lightin! |  |  |

The applicant hereby states that this request for Variance does not violate any deed restrictions on the property. Application must be signed by the legal owner, not agent, unless copy of power of attorney is attached.

## To justify this variance, applicant must demonstrate the following (Sec. 134-404 (3)(b):

1. That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures or buildings in the same zoning district.
2. That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant
3. That approval of the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this chapter to other lands, buildings or structures in the same zoning district.
4. That literal interpretation of the provisions contained in this chapter would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this chapter and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant.
5. That the variance approved is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure.
6. That approval of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this chapter and that such variance will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
7. That the variance sought will be consistent with the Edgewood Comprehensive Plan.

## Applicant must agree that:

1. In granting any variance, the City may prescribe appropriate conditions and safeguards in conformity with the Ordinances, and any regulations enacted under its authority. Violation of such conditions and safeguards, when made a partot the terms under which the variance is granted shall be deemed a violation of Edgewood ordinances.

2. The variance recommended by the Planning and Zoning Board and approved by the City Council shall expire in 12 months in accorfance with Cpapter 134-104 (3) (e).

AGREE:

| The applicant hereby states that the above request for Variance does not violate any deed restrictions on the |
| :--- |
| property. |
| Applicant's Signature: |
| Applicant's Printed Name: |
| Adriano Swift |
| Adriano Swift |
| Owner's Signature: |

Please submit your completed application to City Hall via email at bmeeks@edgewood-fl.govor sriffle@edgewood-fl.gov, via facsimile to 407-851-7361, or hand deliver to City Hall located at 405 Bagshaw Way. For additional questions, please contact City Hall at 407-851-2920.

510 Gatlin Narrative Variance Request
The variance would be for Sec. 134-517 of our Code, and will cover two parts:

1) To allow a fence beyond the building line to be greater than 4 feet;
2) To allow a fence on any part of the property to be greater than 8 feet.

Here are some key points explaining why the variance is needed:

- The tennis court was on the property when the current owners bought it, and they did not create the need for this variance.
- With increased traffic on Gatlin, they will need a 10ft fence to help protect cars.

Thank you for your consideration.

Adriano Swift
561-856-3525
SSC


## FENCE PERMIT REVIEW

Reference: City of Edgewood Code of Ordinances, Sections 134-137 and 134-517
Please note: Commercial Fences: Applicants are required to use Orange County's Permit Application but must go through the City of Edgewood for Zoning Approval.

Please note: A review fee of $\$ 50.00$ may be required for review.
Review fee is non-refundable.

| Applicant's Name: | Adriano Swift | Owner's Name: | Jamie Smith |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address: | 2066 Chagall Cir <br> West Palm Beac, FL 33409 | Address: | 510 Gatlin Ave Edgewood, FL 32608 |
| Phone: | 561-856-3525 | Phone: | 407.347.2849 |
| Email: | swiftservicesandconsulting@gmail.com | Email: | jsmith@smithcompanies.com |
| Parcel Address: 12-23-29-3096-00-090 |  |  |  |
| Brief Project Description: 10 ' fence installation replacing the existing fence around a tennis court. Separate Orange County permit is being submitted for a new court replacing the existing court and new light posts |  |  |  |

Fence Permit Review Process:

1. Submission of all permit applications must be presented to Edgewood City Hall for review and approval.
2. Once the City of Edgewood has performed a review of the permit application and found it to be in compliance with City code requirements, the application will receive City approval via a planning stamp and sign off.
3. Commercial fences must be brought to Orange County for permitting after receiving approval for zoning in City of Edgewood
4. Please note: Original (old) fencing must be removed from the property as Edgewood's waste removal services will not pick up fence panels or other remains of fence as part of their service.

Staff Use Only:

| Parcel ID: $12-23-29-3096-00-090$ | Zoning: $R-1 A A$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Received Set of Plans: Y/N y |  |
| Date Received: $7 / 22 / 22$ | By: BreH Sollaurs |



CITY OF
EDGEWOOD
FOUNDED 1924

## Site Plans

For any proposed fence, the City of Edgewood requires a site plan drawn to scale.
Applicant to provide the required information below and/or on the site plan. Applicant must initial or write "N/A" for each item to confirm the information has been provided and accurate.

| Applicant <br> Initial | Staff <br> Initial | Site Plan Requirement <br> (Provide information here and/or on site plan) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AS | All Property Lines |  |
| $A S S S$ | All Road Rights-Of-Way |  |

Please submit your completed application to City Hall via email at info@edgewood-fl.gov, via facsimile to 407-851-7361, or hand deliver to City Hall located at 405 Bagshaw Way. For additional questions, please contact City Hall at 407-851-2920.

JUL 22 m
CITY OF EDGEWOOL

## MEMORANDUM

## TO: ALL APPLICANTS FOR A FENCE PERMIT <br> FROM: Sandra Riffle, Interim City Clerk <br> SUBJECT: Fence Permit

Pursuant to your request, for a fence permit received $7 / 22 / 22$ for the property located at
510 Gattin Ave Edgewood, FL 32608
Approval is subject to the following conditions and comments:

1. Fence shall meet all provisions of Section 134-517 of the Edgewood City Code for location and height of fences. Fences greater than 4 feet in height shall not extend beyond the front of the house. Fence shall not block sight distance on any public street.
2. The Contractor is responsible for obtaining all necessary underground locates.
3. No fill or runoff will be allowed to encroach onto adjacent lots. Existing drainage patterns and swales shall be maintained and shall not be blocked by the fence. Providing positive drainage is the Builder's responsibility.
4. IF this project is located on a private street that is owned and maintained by a Homeowners Association, the applicant or his contractors, subcontractors, agents or employees shall be responsible for any damage incurred to public or private property due to the performance of this work. This will include City streets and right-of-way improvements as well as privately maintained improvements (roadway, curb, sidewalks, swales, pipes, inlets, utilities, driveways, etc.).
5. No tree removal is authorized; separate permit and approval required if trees are to be removed.
6. It is the Builder's responsibility to ensure that erosion and sediment runoff are contained on site pursuant to Edgewood's Erosion \& Sediment Control Ordinance (see City requirements). Erosion control barriers shall be placed prior to construction are to remain in place throughout the earthwork and construction activities and are not to be removed until all bare soil areas are stabilized with an acceptable surface (i.e. sod, etc.) and approved by the Building Official or City Engineer.
7. If approved by the City, the approval applies only to the City's requirements for grading and setbacks and does not grant authority to enter, construct or otherwise alter the property of others, nor does it waive any permits that may be required by federal, state, regional, county, municipal or other agencies that may have jurisdiction.

Please review this information and contact our office if you have any questions. Thank you.

## END OF MEMORANDUM



Revised $2 / 3 / 2022$
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405 Bagshaw Way Edgewood, FL 32812•Tel: 407-851-2920•www.edgewood-fl.gov •info@edgewood-fl.gov

Date: July 27, 2022
To: Planning and Zoning Board (P\&Z)
From: Ellen Hardgrove, City Planning Consultant
XC: Sandy Riffle, Interim City Clerk Brett Sollazzo, Administrative Assistant Drew Smith, City Attorney Allen Lane, City Engineering Consultant
Re: ECD Waivers Related to Proposed Car Wash at 4800 South Orange Avenue.

## INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND

This agenda item is a request for waivers to ECD standards for a proposed car wash at 4800 South Orange Avenue, the current location of the Coq Au Vin Restaurant as shown in Exhibit 1.

Exhibit 1 - Subject Property


The building on the property has been there since 1973 according to Orange County Property Appraiser records and the Coq Au Vin opened in 1976. It is positioned along the southern property line, with the north $2 / 3$ 's of the site either undeveloped or a parking lot as shown in Exhibit 2. The proposal is to demolish the building and redevelop the site for a car wash.


A proposed car wash in this location would not only have to comply with the standard ECD design standards, but also those specifically for car washes; the applicant is asking for waivers to some of these design standards. Code Section 134-475 authorizes City Council to approve waivers to ECD regulations when it finds, based upon substantial competent evidence presented to it, that strict application of such standards would create an illogical, impossible, impractical or unreasonable result on an applicant.

Per Code Section 134-475, in order to grant the waivers, City Council must find:
(1) That the granting of the proposed waiver will not have the effect of nullifying the intent and purpose of the standard that is the subject of the requested waiver;
(2) That the granting of the proposed waiver will serve the health, safety and welfare of the city;
(3) That any waiver granted is the minimum waiver that will reasonably eliminate or reduce the illogical, impossible, impractical, or unreasonable result caused the applicable standard contained in this division; and
(4) That any waivers granted will not adversely impact the use or property values of adjacent properties.

## REQUESTED WAIVERS

The primary waiver requested is to allow the building to only face $11.25 \%$ of the Orange Avenue frontage ( 28 feet building frontage with 248.8 feet lot width) instead of the required $70 \%$ (Code Section 134-468(f)) in order to help achieve the City's goal to provide a solution to the traffic issues created by Holden/Orange/Gatlin Avenues offset intersections. As shown in Exhibit 3, with use of the waiver, the applicant is proposing to reserve the north 50 feet of the property for future acquisition to accommodate a conceptual Holden/Gatlin Avenue realignment known as Orange County's Intersection Analysis Study Alternative 1, which is shown in Exhibit 4.

Exhibit 3 - Proposed Car Wash Layout


Exhibit 4 - Alternative 1 Holden/Gatlin Realignment
figure 14: Design Concept - Future Aiternative 1


While the applicant states, "...the future cost to acquire the needed property to realign Holden/Orange and Gatlin would be much greater if the property is developed in accordance [with ECD standards]," granting the waiver would not eliminate the need to acquire developed land. The proposed car wash tunnel encroaches into the area that would be needed for Alternative 1; that alignment occupies the northern $100 \pm$ feet of the subject property.

The applicant is also requesting the following two (2) waivers:

- The applicant is requesting a waiver to allow the vacuum stations to be screened from Orange Avenue with an alternate design than that required by Code Section 134472(a)(4). The applicant is proposing a 7 feet high masonry stucco wall matching the colors of the building at the east end of each row of vacuum parking stalls as shown in Exhibit 5. ECD design standards require those walls to be consistent with ECD front elevation standards, such as, but limited to window transparency and avoidance of blank walls. The applicant justifies the need for this waiver as a consequence to turning the building in order to preserve the northern 50 feet for the Holden Avenue realignment.

A magnolia tree, 3 inch caliper/ 12 feet high at planting, is proposed to be placed in front of each wall along with a hedge along the entire length. It should be noted that the City's Landscape Architect has concerns related to the viability of the hedges in this location: the hedges will not receive enough sunlight with a wall blocking all the afternoon sun and magnolia trees blocking most of the morning sun.

Exhibit 5 - Proposed Vacuum Screen Wall Locations


- The applicant is requesting a waiver to allow the vacuum stations to be screened from adjacent Low Density Residential Future Land Use (LDR FLU) designated land (west property line) with a six feet high beige vinyl fence instead of a seven (7) feet high opaque brick wall as required by Code Section 134-472(a)(4). The applicant's justification for this screen waiver is that the adjacent land is the railroad and then Cypress Grove Park, not residential used land.

The required ECD brick wall was contemplated in this location even with the presence of the railroad tracks and park. It should be noted that this wall is required for all ECD development adjacent to Low Density Residential Development, not just to screen the vacuum stations. Deviation from this ECD design standard in this location should be viewed as a policy change that would be applied in other locations adjacent to the railroad track and park.

A second justification for this waiver is a fence would be easier to remove if the land eventually became the Holden Avenue alignment. As stated above the County's conceptual design for the realignment is the northern $100+$ feet, while the applicant is requesting the less permanent screen (fence vs wall) along the entire perimeter.

The application also includes a waiver to Code Section 134-472(a)(2) which requires the car wash tunnel entrance/exit to not face Orange Avenue. A Council decision on the need for this waiver is requested by staff. Staff's opinion is that the proposed design of the tunnel as shown in Exhibit 6 provides for conformance with the ECD car wash design standard.

Exhibit 6 - Tunnel Design for Conformance with Code Section 134-472(a)(2)


NORTHEAST ELEVATION
$1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$


## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The staff recommendation is denial of all requested waivers based on Staff's finding that the applicant has not yet presented sufficient competent substantial evidence to justify disregarding the ECD intended land use pattern and design standards, particularly the principal goal of the ECD to create a land use pattern that encourages interest in walking as well as line Orange Avenue with buildings instead of parking lots.

P\&Z's public hearing for these waivers will be used to gain evidence and testimony. After reviewing the recommendation from $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{Z}$ and any testimony/evidence provided at City Council's public hearing, City Council will act upon the request either to approve, approve with conditions, deny, or approve in part and deny in part the request made by the applicant.

July 24, 2022
City of Edgewood
Ms. Ellen Hardgrove, City Planner
405 Bagshaw Way
Edgewood, FL 32809

## RE: 4800 South Orange Avenue Waiver Request

Dear Ms. Hardgrove,
Thank you for meeting with us on June $30^{\text {th }}$ to review and discuss our proposed development of a car wash. The redevelopment of 4800 South Orange Avenue will be a great addition to the corridor and further the vision of the ECD "to establish land use pattern that includes creation of activity nodes, where the primary focus is a high energy mix of live/work/play uses around well designed public spaces..." Additionally, the redevelopment of 4800 South Orange Avenue will take into consideration the realignment of Holden/Orange and Gatlin, as outlined in County Alternative 1, supported by the City of Edgewood. In order to accomplish this redevelopment with consideration of the realignment, we are asking for four waivers to comply with the ECD Ordinances.

## Sec. 134-468(f) Site Development (Ordinance No. 2018-09)

Minimum percentage of lot width occupied by building at the build line. Buildings located within the road view area shall meet the following building facade width to lot ratio.

| Lot Width | Minimum \% of Lot Width Occupied by Building Facade |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<130$ | 50 |
| $130-174.9$ | 60 |
| $\geq 175$ | 70 |

The subject lot is $248.8^{\prime}$ in width, a waiver is being requested to allow for the building structure to have less than the required ratio of the façade within the road view area. This waiver will allow for the 50 ' green space to be reserved and remain undeveloped on the north side of the property. This 50 ' green space lines up with Gatlin Avenue taking into consideration the realignment of Holden/Orange and Gatlin, as outlined in County Alternative 1, supported by the City of Edgewood. Complying with the ratio requirement and building along Orange Avenue would not allow for green space to be reserved for the realignment. Additionally, without the waiver, the future cost to acquire the needed property for the realignment of Holden/Orange and Gatlin would be much greater if the property is developed in accordance with the required ratio. For the reason stated above we respectfully request a waiver for Sec. 134-468(f).

Sec. 134-472(a)(2) Car/Automotive washing and detailing
Tunnel entrancelexits shall not face SR 527, Holden Avenue, Gatlin Avenue, or Hoffner Avenue.

In order to reserve 50 ' green space on the north side of the property, for the realignment of Holden/Orange and Gatlin as outlined in County Alternative 1 and supported by the City of Edgewood, the building design requires that the car wash tunnel face SR 527 (Orange Avenue). The design of the building takes into consideration the intent of the ECD to prevent an open car wash tunnel from facing a major roadway along the corridor. In doing so, the building is designed to extend in front of the exit of the car wash tunnel to block the view of the tunnel from the road way. For the reason stated above, we respectfully request a waiver for Sec.
134-472(a)(2).

## Sec. 134-472(a)(4) Car/Automotive washing and detailing

Vacuum stations and related equipment shall be completely screened from all streets or property with a low density residential future land use designation. To screen from a street, a wall may be used if such wall meets the façade standards in the building design section of this district. A seven-foot high opaque brick wall of colors normally found in manufactured fired brick shall be utilized along the rear or side property line to screen the use from property with a low density residential future land use designation.

A waiver is being requested for the 7 feet high opaque brick wall required in the rear of the property if adjacent property future land use is low density residential. In lieu of the 7 feet high brick wall screen from low density residential future land use, a 6 feet high beige vinyl fence will be used in the rear of the property. The immediate adjacent rear property to this property is the railroad which does not have a future land use designation. The property to the west of the railroad has a low density residential future land use, however Orange County owns that property and is used as Cypress Grove Park. The possibility of Cypress Grove Park to be developed into a residential use is very low and the immediate adjacent property to the west (rear of this property) does not have a future land use designation which is the railroad. The fence to be used will be a modular fence that can be moved or removed, which is best suited for the future realignment of Holden/Orange and Gatlin. The waiver is being requested for the entire length of the property line and not just the 50' of green space for the future realignment of Holden/Orange and Gatlin to provide to continuity and not a wall made of mixed materials. For the reason stated above we respectfully request a waiver for Sec . 134-472(a)(4).

## Sec. 134-472(a)(4) Car/Automotive washing and detailing

Vacuum stations and related equipment shall be completely screened from all streets or property with a low density residential future land use designation. To screen from a street, a wall may be used if such wall meets the façade standards in the building design section of this district.

A waiver is being requested for the wall to screen the vacuum stations on the east end of the two vacuum stations. To comply with the ECD design standards the wall would need to include a window which would make the wall transparent and not opaque. We proposed to install a 7 feet high masonry with stucco wall matching the colors of the building at the east end of each row of vacuum parking stalls as shown in Exhibit 5. A magnolia tree, 3-inch caliper/12 feet high at planting, is proposed to be placed in front of each wall along with a hedge along the entire length. For the reason stated above we respectfully request a waiver for Sec. 134-472(a)(4).

Thank you for your consideration,








回


Date: July 27, 2022
To: Planning and Zoning Board
From: Ellen Hardgrove, City Planning Consultant Allen Lane, City Engineering Consultant
XC: Sandy Riffle, Interim City Clerk Brett Sollazzo, Administrative Assistant Drew Smith, City Attorney
Re: Split of Tax Parcel 14-23-29-0000-00-060

This is a review of a proposed subdivision of an 8.35 acre tax parcel on the north shore of Lake Jessamine as shown in Exhibit 1. Access to the parcel is via a 30 feet wide access easement connected to Jessamine Lane recorded October 30, 1964 in Book 1385 Page 646 and modified May 27, 1994 as recorded in Book 4747 Page 3964.

Exhibit 1 - Subject Property


Approximately 2 acres of the tax parcel is landward of the lake's normal high water line. As illustrated by Exhibit 2, a house and pool exist onsite. The request is to subdivide the parcel into two as shown by Exhibit 3.

Exhibit 2 - Existing Land Use


Exhibit 3 - Proposed Subdivision


Code Section 126-61 provides an exemption to the full subdivision review process when no new streets, water, sewer or drainage structures are involved, known as a Parcel Split. The proposed subdivision qualifies for this exemption; as such, preliminary and final subdivision plans (the plat) are not necessary.

The property is zoned R1AA. Both proposed parcels will meet the R1AA minimum lot area ( 10,000 square feet). Proposed Parcel 1 (east side) is $\pm 1.2$ acres above the normal high water line and proposed Parcel 2 is $\pm 0.8$ acre. Proposed Parcel 1 includes the existing house, while proposed Parcel 2 includes the existing pool. Both parcels will also meet State's minimum lot area when using a septic tank for sewage disposal: 4 lots/acre when using central water, which is the case for the subject property.

A new house can be constructed to meet the minimum R1AA site standards, which are shown in the table below. As well, the existing structure will continue to meet the R1AA standards.

| R1AA (County) Site Standards |  | Proposed Parcel 1 (eastern <br> portion) | Proposed Parcel 2 (western <br> portion) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Minimum Lot Size <br> (above normal high <br> water line) | 10,000 square feet | $\pm 52,272$ square feet | $\pm 34,848$ square feet |
| Minimum Lot Width | 85 feet | $\pm 142$ feet | $\pm 113$ feet |
| Minimum Front Yard | 25 feet* | No change | Will be able to meet |
| Minimum Side Yard | 7.5 feet | 39.8 feet west side, no change <br> east side | Will be able to meet |
| Minimum setback from <br> Normal High Water <br> Line (NHWL) | 50 feet | Existing house exceeds the <br> minimum | An effective building envelope <br> is possible outside the NHWL <br> minimum setback |

The City Engineer has verified that the submitted legal descriptions accurately describe the two proposed parcels.

## Suggested Motion:

Approval of the creation of two parcels as legally described on the submitted survey dated Received April 22, 2022.

> END

## Application for Preliminary Plan for Subdivision Approval

Reference: City of Edgewood Chapter 126 - Subdivisions

> PLANNING \& ZONING BOARD/CITY COUNCIL MAKE PAYMENTS TO: CITY OF EDGEWOOD FEE: \$500-RESIDENTIAL $\quad \$ 1,000$ COMMERCIAL Please note this fee is nonrefundable.

Please type or print. Complete carefully, answering each question and attaching all necessary documentation and additional pages as necessary.

| PLANNING \& ZONING MEETING DATE: | May 9, 2022 |
| :--- | :--- |
| CITY COUNCIL DATE: | June 21, 2022 |

A COMPLETE APPLICATION WITH ALL REQUIRED ATTACHEMENTS AND TEN (10) COPIES MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE CITY CLERK 40 DAYS BEFORE THE NEXT PLANNING AND ZONING MEETING. NO APPLICATION SHALL BE ACCEPTED UNLESS IT IS COMPLETE AND THE REQUIRED FEE IS PAID.

| Applicant's <br> Name: | David C Gragg | Owner's | Name: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | David C Gragg | Address: | 5540 Jessamine Lane |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Edgewood FL 32839 |
| Email: | dgragg@onefloridabank.com |
| Telephone: | $407-718-3646$ |
| Telephone: |  |
| Parcel ID: | 14-23-29-0000-00-060 |
| Zoned: | R11AA |


| The applicant hereby states that the above request for Lot Split does not violate any deed |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Applicant's Signature: | restrictions on the property. | Date: | 4.18 .22 |
| Applicant's Printed Name: | David C Gragg |  |  |
| Owner's Signature: |  | Date |  |
| Owner's Printed Name: |  |  |  |

## APPLICATION MUST INCLUDE:

1. A scaled drawing showing the lot size, location of the proposed buildings, location of easements, names of bordering streets, building setbacks, names and locations of all bodies of water, marshlands, drain fields, and all other waterways and watercourse abutting or encroaching upon subject property. The drawing must show existing buildings and lot dimensions. The scaled drawing must coincide with the certified boundary survey required below prepared by a licensed professional surveyor or mapper.
2. A brief description of all utilities and city or county services, including sewer, potable water facilities, fire hydrants, electric and telephone poles, streetlights, storm drains and any other utilities or services relevant to the maintenance of subject properties.
3. A listing of the names and addresses for abutting property owners
4. A certified boundary survey of the land subject to this procedure both as existing (i.e. prior to the proposed lot split) and as proposed (i.e. after proposed lot split), performed and prepared under the responsible direction and supervision of a licensed professional surveyor and mapper. Surveys shall include the depiction of existing improvement thereon.

Sec. 126-94. - Submission and review.
The final plans and supporting data required for approval shall be prepared as specified in sections 126 190 through 126-199. .The final plat and all other materials required by sections 126-90 through 126199 shall be submitted to the office of the mayor. Review procedures shall be the same as for preliminary plans. A public hearing will not be required for final approval by the city council. The office of the mayor shall forward the final engineering plans and cost estimate to the city engineer and the planning and zoning board for review. The departments shall report within 15 working days on whether the final plans comply with requirements established in this chapter.
(Code 1985, § 21-41; Ord. No. 17-1A-8-78, Art. VI, § 6.3.2, 10-17-1978)

## Sec. 126-95. - Action by council.

The final plans, supporting data, and reports from the planning and zoning board and reviewing agencies may be submitted to the city council at any regular meeting. The council shall approve the final plans and plat if it complies with this chapter and is in substantial conformity with the approval preliminary plan. In disapproving any final plans, the council shall provide reasons for such action making reference to specific sections in this chapter. The city council shall have the final authority to approve, approve subject to conditions or disapprove the final plans and plat.
(Code 1985, § 21-42; Ord. No. 17-1A-8-78, Art. VI, 6.3.3, 10-17-1978)

## Sec. 126-96. - Recording of final plat.

The office of the mayor shall submit the approved final plat to the comptroller of the county for recording. Such plats shall comply with section $126-190$ and F.S. ch. 177, and be accompanied by two paper prints. No plat of lands in the county subject to this chapter shall be recorded, whether as an independent instrument or by attachment to another instrument entitled to record, unless and until such plat has been approved by the council. (See Appendix 1 , on file in the city clerk's office). In addition, all fees inc idental to recording will be paid by the subdivider.
(Code 1985, § 21-43; Ord. No. 17-1A-8-78, Art. VI, § 6.4, 10-17-1978)

Please submit your completed application to City Hall via email at bmeeks@edgewood-fl.gov or sriffle@edgewood-fl.gov, via facsimile to 407-851-7361, or hand deliver to City Hall located at 405 Bagshaw Way. For additional questions, please contact City Hall at 407-851-2920.

| Office Use Only: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rec'd Date: | $4 / 22 / 2022$ |
| Rec'd By: | Sandy Riffle \& Brett Sollazzo |
| Forwarded to: | Allen Lane - Engineer ; Ellen Hardgrove - City Planner |
| Notes: |  |

Sandra Riffle, CMC, CBTO
Interim City Clerk
City of Edgewood
405 Bagshaw Way
Edgewood FL 32809

RE: Gragg Application for Subdivision Approval

Dear Clerk Riffle:

Please find

1) A scaled drawing showing the lot size, existing buildings, locations of easements and other requested items abutting or encroaching upon this property (Gragg Parent Tract Survey 03.2022) is included with this application package.
2) Orlando Utilities Commission is available for potable water supply.

No sewer access is available. There are no storm drains located near these parcels.
Fire hydrants are available on Jessamine Lane.
Streetlights, electric and telephone poles are detailed on the attached surveys.
3) Imogene C. Nunnally

5538 Jessamine Lane
Edgewood FL 32839

William Mahaffey
5526 Jessamine Lane
Edgewood FL 32839
Mailing address:
831 Brightwaters Boulevard NE
St Petersburg FL 33704
4) Certified boundary surveys subject to this request, both as existing (Gragg Parent Tract Survey 03.2022) and as proposed (Gragg Proposed Parcel A Survey 03.2022 and Gragg Proposed Parcel B Survey 03.2022) performed and prepared under the direction and supervision of Ireland \& Associates Surveying, Inc. are attached.





Date: July 7, 2022
To: Planning and Zoning (P\&Z) Board
From: Ellen Hardgrove, City Planning Consultant
XC: Sandy Riffle, Interim City Clerk
Brett Sollazzo, Administrative Assistant
Drew Smith, City Attorney
Re: Proposed Fence Regulation Changes
This agenda item is for consideration of amending the City's fence regulations; i.e., Code Section 134-517. City Council directed staff to review and recommend changes to the current regulation based on the number of variances related to type and location of fences throughout the city. As well, the proposed changes reflect the need to update the standards applicable to the installation of fences and screening walls to ensure the best interests of the health, safety and welfare of the residents and visitors to the City.

ESH

ORDINANCE 2022-06


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF EDGEWOOD AMENDING CHAPTER 134 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATED TO LOCATION, HEIGHT, AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS AND REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO FENCES AND SCREENING WALLS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION, CONFLICTS AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Edgewood, Florida, finds it necessary and in the best interests of the health, safety and welfare of the residents and visitors to the City to update the standards applicable to the installation of fences and screening walls; and

WHEREAS, within this Ordinance, deletions are identified by strikethrough text and additions are identified by underline text.

## NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EDGEWOOD, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION ONE. The findings set forth in the recitals above are hereby adopted as legislative findings of the City Council pertaining to this Ordinance.

SECTION TWO. Chapter 134-517 is hereby amended as follows:

## Sec. 134-517. - Location of fences/screening walls.

In any residential district, no closed fence or wall shall be erected or maintained within 20 feet from a corner intersection of street right-of way. Fences or walls beyond the front building line shall be limited to a maximum height of four feet. A fence or wall shall be limited to a maximum height of eight feet in the rear and side yards. In any residential district, all chainlink fences shall be installed with the pointed ends to the ground. No electrically charged wire fence shall be erected in any residential district. No barbed wire fence shall be erected in any residential district, except for security of public utilities, provided such use is limited to three strands, a minimum of six feet above the ground. Barbed wire may be used on security fences erected in any commereial or industrial distriet provided such use is limited to three strands, a minimum of six feet above the ground.
(a) Purpose and Intent. The purpose and intent of this section is to regulate the location, height, and appearance of fences and walls to maintain visual harmony within neighborhoods and throughout the City, protect adjacent land from the indiscriminate placement and unsightliness of fences and walls, and ensure the safety, security, and privacy of properties.
(b) Applicability.
a. Unless exempted below, the provisions of this section shall apply to all new construction or repair or replacement of 50 percent or more of any existing wall or fence. The term wall in this section applies to screening walls and not walls required for support of a principal or accessory structure.
b. Permit Required. All fences and walls subject to these standards shall obtain a building permit prior to construction. Requests for permits for walls and fences must be accompanied by a scaled site plan and drawings clearly showing the locations, heights and materials for which approval is requested.
(c) District Location Standards.

1. Residential Districts.
a. Fences and Walls in a Required Front Yard: Except where allowed in this section, fences and walls within the required minimum front setback shall not exceed 4 feet in height.
b. For residential zoned lots with a front or side yard on a FDOT functionally classified arterial or collector road, the maximum height for a fence or wall in a required minimum front and street side yard may be increased to six feet if the following is met.
2. Fences shall be of decorative materials such as wrought iron or powder coated aluminum in a style of wrought iron.
3. Walls shall be concrete block, stone, cultured stone, brick, or prefabricated with a textured manufactured finish. If concrete blocks are used, the wall shall have a minimum 1" thick stucco finish or be clad in brick, stone and/or cultured stone veneer.
4. Brick, stone and/or cultured stone columns shall be constructed when using either a fence or a wall, and such columns shall, at a minimum, be placed where the fence/wall ends at the property lines and at driveways. If the lot's road frontage is in excess of one hundred (100) feet, additional columns shall be required to be spaced evenly along the frontage, with the wall/fence segment not exceeding 40 feet in length. The columns may extend up to 12 inches above the fence height.
5. The fence/wall shall be constructed a minimum of 7 feet from the road right-ofway line.
6. One (1) evergreen shade tree shall be planted along the fence/wall, on the street side of the fence/wall every 40 feet on center maximum. The tree shall be a minimum caliper of 5 inches (as measured 1 foot above grade) and minimum 14 feet in height at planting with 6 ' minimum clear trunk. If overhead utilities exist along the right-of-way, the required shade trees shall be understory trees spaced every twenty (20) feet on center, with said understory trees a minimum of nine
feet in height and at least a three-inch caliper (measured 6 inches above grade) at planting if single stem; for multi-stem understory trees, at least three stems are required with each stem at least of one-inch caliper (measured 6 inches above grade).
7. If hedges are planted along the fence or wall, such shall be maintained at a height not to exceed six feet in height in the required front setback or street side setback and shall not exceed eight feet in other required setbacks.
8. If a gate is used, the gate shall be automated and setback a minimum of 20 feet from the public sidewalk.
9. If on a corner lot, the fence/wall shall meet the Proximity to Right-of-Way Intersection standard in Section Sec. 134-517(d).
c. Unless abutting a FDOT functionally classified arterial or collector, on any corner lot, abutting the side of another lot, a fence/wall along the side street exceeding four (feet) in height shall be setback 15 feet from the street side property line.
d. Maximum fence and wall height outside the minimum front setback shall be eight (8) feet in height unless a more restricted height limit applies. A fence or wall height greater than eight feet may be approved by special exception.
e. Subdivision walls and buffers.
10. Subdivisions that include lots with rear or side yards adjacent to a public right-ofway must include a screen wall and vegetative buffer to provide both a desirable buffer for the residents of the subdivision from the street as well as provide an aesthetic buffer for the users of the adjacent right-of-way. Height of the screen wall shall be six feet from the finished grade of the location of the wall or street, whichever provides the highest screening height. Compliance with this section will require a buffer with hedges, evergreen shade trees (at 40-foot on center spacing) and one understory tree centered between the shade tree, on the street side of the wall. The minimum width of the buffer shall be ten (10) feet, as measured from the right of way line to the wall. The shade tree shall be a minimum caliper of 5 inches (as measured 1 foot above grade) and minimum 14 feet in height at planting with 6' minimum clear trunk. If overhead utilities exist along the right-of-way, the required shade trees shall be understory trees spaced every twenty (20) feet on center, with said understory trees a minimum of nine feet in height and at least a three-inch caliper (measured 6 inches above grade) at planting if single stem; for multi-stem understory trees, at least three stems are required with each stem at least of one-inch caliper (measured 6 inches above grade).
11. The following are not considered adequate buffers for compliance with this section:

- Chain linked fences;
- Wood fences;
- Painted or untreated block walls; and,
- Insufficient planting area for maturing landscaping.

3. Maintenance of these improvements will be the responsibility of the homeowners' association and must be clearly defined on the preliminary subdivision plan and final plat.
f. No barbed wire fencing shall be allowed except by special exception approval.
4. Nonresidential Districts Except the ECD and Industrial districts.
a. Fences or walls within the front setback shall be limited to a maximum height of four (4) feet. For fences and walls, the front yard setback shall apply to all sides fronting a road.
b. Outside the front or street side setback, the maximum height of a fence or wall shall be eight (8) feet, except as noted for public utilities.
c. No barbed wire fence shall be allowed except for security of public utilities. Such fencing around the public utility shall be consistent with the standards listed in Section 134-517(d).

## 3. Industrial Districts.

a. Fences within the front setback shall be permitted to be a maximum height of eight (8) feet provided such fencing is non-opaque. Opaque fences and walls shall be limited to a maximum height of four (4) feet in the front setback.
b. If a landscape buffer is required by Chapter 114, the fence/wall shall be placed on the lot side of the buffer yard.
c. Barbed wire along the top of a fence may be used provided following is met.

1. The barbed wire shall not exceed one additional foot in height of the fence and the fence height shall be limited to a maximum height of nine feet without a special exception approval.
2. The outward limit of the barbed wire shall not be within 20 feet of any street right-of-way line, sidewalk, or adjacent property.
3. Without a special exception, no barbed wire may be used in locations adjacent to a residential zoning or future land use designation.
4. ECD. Fences in the Edgewood Central District shall comply with ECD regulations.

## (d) Standards Applicable to All Districts.

1. Chain Link Fencing. Except where specifically allowed by this section, chain link fences are prohibited between the front lot line and the front of the structure in all districts. Where chain link fencing is allowed, such shall be green, black, or brown vinyl coated.
2. Wood Fencing. Wooden fences shall be constructed of stained or painted pressure treated pine, cedar, or cypress. Plywood, particle board, or similar wood materials are
prohibited. The finished side shall face outward, and stringers and posts shall not be visible from the outside.
3. Masonry concrete block walls. Concrete block walls shall be clad in brick, stone or cultured stone veneer or have a 1 inch thick stucco finish with brick stone or cultured stone accent features.
4. Pre-cast Concrete Walls. Pre-cast Concrete Walls shall have manufactured imprinted texture and patterns to mimic brick, stone or cultured stone patterns.
5. Barbed Wire. The following standards apply when barbed wire fencing is used around public utilities.
a. Without a special exception, no barbed wire may be used in locations within or adjacent to a residential zoning or future land use designation.
b. The minimum fence height shall be eight (8) feet.
c. The barbed wire shall not exceed one additional foot in height without a special exception approval.
d. The outward limit of the barbed wire shall not be within 20 feet of any street right-ofway line, sidewalk, or adjacent property.
6. Fence/Wall Colors. Fence or wall colors shall be matte finish of any earth tone color (i.e., color found in soil, such as sand, clay, slate), matte black, matte white, or pastels with a minimum matte white content of 90 percent. Colors shall complement the primary color of the development and shall not be so extreme in contrast or intensity that the color competes with the building for attention or acts as a sign.
7. Fences/Walls adjacent to Required Landscape Buffers. Where landscape buffers are required adjacent to public rights-of-way, the fence/wall shall be located on the lot side of the buffer. Fences or walls located along interior side or rear lot lines may be placed atop the property line with required plantings located inside the fence or wall.
8. Proximity to Right-of-Way Intersections. To prevent obstruction of view of an oncoming vehicle or train at an intersection of two rights of way or a right-of-way and ingress/egress access points, at a minimum, fences and walls shall comply with the most current FDOT criteria and standards for Intersection Clear Sight Lines.
9. Maximum Height in All Districts. Outside the minimum yard standards (setbacks), the maximum height of fences and walls shall be eight (8) feet unless specifically approved by special exception or elsewhere in this code.
10. Adjacent to Water Bodies. All fences and walls located adjacent to water bodies shall not extend into such water body beyond the normal high water elevation (NHWE). The maximum height of a fence/wall within 10 feet of the NHWE shall be four (4) feet.
11. Swimming Pools Fencing. All swimming pools of any type whatsoever, including but not limited to portable swimming pools, constructed in such a manner as to permit a water depth of two (2) feet or more and an area of 36 sq . ft. or more shall be completely enclosed by a minimum 48" high fence, wall, or other equivalent barrier with an access gate designed in accordance with the current publication of the Florida Building Code

Swimming Pool Barrier Requirements, this code for fence and wall material requirements, and as approved by the City Engineer.
12. Firefighting access. Fences and walls shall be designed and constructed so that adequate access to buildings is maintained for firefighting purposes.
13. Electric fences. It shall be unlawful for any person to erect, install or maintain any electrically charged fence within the city, except for an electrically charged fence to retain animals upon proof submitted to City Council, that the fence will not be hazardous to life.
14. Temporary Fencing.
a. A temporary fence not exceeding 8 feet in height may be erected during construction in any district.
b. The temporary fence shall be restricted to chain link, vinyl picket, industrial aluminum, or wrought iron. All other materials are prohibited. Orange construction fencing for tree protection during construction shall be allowed.
c. Any portion of the temporary fencing facing a right-of-way must allow a reasonably unrestricted view of the grounds of the undeveloped lot from the right-of-way. Picketstyle materials fronting a right-of-way shall have minimum spacing of four inches between each picket with a maximum picket width of one inch.
d. A pedestrian access gate is required. The gate must swing into the lot.
e. At all times, the ground cover of the lot shall be maintained, and the lot shall be kept free of trash and debris.
f. The temporary fence shall be removed prior to any Certificate of occupancy.
15. Fences/Walls in regulated floodways. Fences and walls in regulated floodways shall be designed to avoid blocking the passage of floodwaters as determined by the City Engineer.
16. Maintenance. All walls and fences shall be maintained at the proper height, in a plumb and upright position, free of any defects, damage, mold and mildew and any other discoloration.

SECTION THREE. The provisions of this Ordinance shall be codified as and become and be made a part of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Edgewood.

SECTION FOUR. If any section, sentence, phrase, word or portion of this ordinance is determined to be invalid, unlawful or unconstitutional, said determination shall not be held to invalidate or impair the validity, force or effect of any other section, sentence, phrase, word or portion of this Ordinance not otherwise determined to be invalid, unlawful or unconstitutional.

SECTION FIVE. All ordinances that are in conflict with this Ordinance are hereby repealed.

SECTION SIX. This Ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its passage and adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2022, by the City Council of the City of Edgewood, Florida.

PASSED ON FIRST READING: $\qquad$

PASSED ON SECOND READING: $\qquad$

Richard A. Horn, Council President
ATTEST:

Sandy Riffle
Interim City Clerk

