I. Call to Order

1. I. Call to Order and Introductions
   Chair Presiding Judge Wells Ashby

II. [Prior Meeting Date] Minutes
    Chair Ashby
    Action: Approve Minutes

    2. II. November Minutes (Attachment 1)
       Chair Ashby
       Action: Approve November Minutes

III. Public Comment

    3. III. Public Comment
       Chair Ashby

IV. [Time] [Agenda Item]

    4. IV. Justice Reinvestment Semi-Annual Report (Attachment 2)
       Trevor Stephens (Community Justice)
       Action: Discuss/Approve Semi-Annual Report

V. HB 4002 / Ballot Measure 110 Reform (Attachment 3)
**Discussion**: Impacts of short session activity for LPSCC member agencies and systems

V. Other Business
Chair Ashby

5. **VI. Agency Updates and Other Business**

Chair Ashby

Deschutes County encourages persons with disabilities to participate in all programs and activities. To request this information in an alternate format please call (541) 617-4747.
Attachment 2: LPSCC Agenda 03/05/2024

Deschutes County Justice Reinvestment Grant
Semi-Annual Report Q1 and Q2
2023-2025 JRI Grant

Formula Grant

1. Is program implementation progressing as expected? (Identify implementation challenges. Note if there have been any changes from what was proposed in your original application that significantly impacts program functioning.)

   a. Our Deschutes County Justice Reinvestment Program (JRP) is operating as designed and as outlined in our application.

   b. Our JRP clients which include downward departures and AIP/STTL continue to be supervised in accordance with the risk-needs principle, based on their LSCMI/WRNA. We continue to partner with community providers to offer transitional and sober housing options whenever possible. Central Oregon still remains a difficult housing environment and thus having these resources helps create some stability and barrier reduction for our client. Clients also receive other resources to address barriers and are regularly taking part in our in house MRT program.

2. Highlight program successes or promising practices (Include any lessons-learned, accomplishments, or individual program outcome measures.)

   a. In house MRT remains one of our most significant and sustained promising practices. During 2023 we had 24 successfully complete MRT (77%).

   b. In the second half of 2023 we completed eleven defendant assessment reports.

   c. We are working closely with the District Attorney’s office and an outside consultant on getting the equity plan/assessment completed. This was funded by 21-23 JRI grant funding.

3. Do you have any stories relating to your JRP program (as a whole or individual programs) that you wish to share with us? (optional)

   a. None at this time.
4. Is there any additional information and/or insight into your program you would like to share? (optional)
   a. Not at this time.

5. Reduce recidivism through evidence-based practices while increasing public safety and holding offenders accountable. Describe the program's progress toward reducing recidivism through evidence-based practices while increasing public safety and holding offenders accountable during the reporting period. Please respond utilizing the most up to date data available on the CJC dashboards, in addition to local quantitative and qualitative data.

   The CJC recidivism dashboards are updated every 6 months.
   The CJC Uniform Crime Report dashboard is updated yearly.

   a. Our program has been in full operation since September of 2016. We have data available for 3 year recidivism rates from the 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020 cohorts. The latest rates are from the first cohort of 2020. The new arrest rate is 43.2%, new conviction rate is 37.8% and the new incarceration rate is 11.7%. When compared to previous cohort these rates are between 3 and 14 percentage points lower than the previous cohort. Overall, we are seeing some slight decline and are happy to not see any major increases.

   b. The state wide average for this the first cohort of 2020 is a new arrest rate of 41.8%, new conviction rate of 32.1% and new incarceration rate of 11.2%. Overall, we are higher than the state average for all measures of recidivism, but Deschutes County has always trended higher when compared historically to the state. Overall, we will continue to monitor these numbers.

6. Reduce prison utilization for property, drug and driving offenses while increasing public safety and holding offenders accountable.

   Describe the program's progress toward reducing county prison usage for property, drug and driving offenses while increasing public safety and holding offenders accountable during the reporting period. Please respond using the most up to date data on the CJC dashboards to analyze trends in usage. Responses should incorporate data specific to prison intakes, revocations, length of stay, and relationship to the statewide rates as appropriate.

   The CJC prison usage dashboards are updated monthly.
The CJC Uniform Crime Report dashboard is updated yearly

a. Overall, Deschutes County’s prison usage has continued to remain below our baseline during the past six months. When looking at males and females as an aggregate the most recent numbers released for December of 2023 put us at 943 months which is 45% below our baseline figure of 1727 months.

b. As of June 2023 male prison usage for the JRI population is at 878 months which is 41% below our baseline figure of 1484 months.

c. As of May 2023 female prison usage for the JRI population is 148.1 months which is 39% below our baseline of 242.4 months.

d. Recent Oregon Criminal Justice Commission prison intake data (July through December 2023) indicates ten probation revocations. Of those ten, four are for drug, property, and driving offenses.

e. In the same time period, we recorded 50 first sentence admissions. Of those 50, 19 are for JRI crime categories.

f. Overall, we remain below our prison baseline and are still showing prison bed savings as compared to previous years. JRI clients continue to receive supervision, housing resources as needed, treatment, cognitive behavioral therapy, and access to resources that help break down barriers that may prevent them from meeting their supervision obligations. Our pretrial JRI program is running and the work group is making adjustments as necessary.

7. Is program implementation progressing as expected? (Identify implementation challenges. Note if there have been any changes from what was proposed in your original application that significantly impacts program functioning.)

a. Competitive grant funds will focus on program coordination and improvement to ensure all eligible defendants are considered, along with a specific focus on gender responsive case management and services for women on downward departures. We have begun these effort and are working closely with the district attorney’s office. This includes:
   i. Widen the scope of who is identified as a potential candidate for the JRP program by increasing coordination and support from Parole & Probation.
   ii. Revise the Defendant Assessment Report (DAR) to include a more streamline recommendation and case coordination process.
iii. Improving supervision for JRP downward departure clients who identify as women. As mentioned previously in 2023, the P&P division completed the Gender Responsive Policy and Protocols Assessment (GRPPA) process through a technical assistance grant from the National Institute of Corrections. We have begun initial implementation efforts for some of the recommendations from this assessment. This includes an initial trauma-informed care training for all staff in the department (November 2023), continuation of a gender responsive workgroup creating policy and practices (ongoing), hosting a Moving On curriculum training (December 2023), relaunching Moving On as a gender specific CBT programing option (February 2024), and transitioning to gender-specific caseloads (spring 2024).

8. Has your program’s target population changed from what was in your application?
   a. No

9. Please describe any implementation delays or changes to services, sanctions, or supervision capacity.
   a. None at this time.

10. Is there any additional information and/or insight into your program implementation you would like to share? (optional)
    a. Not at this time.

11. How many program participants were granted downward departures who otherwise would have gone to prison during the past six months?
    a. 61 new downward departure cases in total for Deschutes, 29 into the JRP program.

12. How many program participants were revoked during the past six months?
    a. Four
       i. New Crimes: Two
       ii. Other Violation: Two

13. Is there any additional information and/or insight into your prison intake reductions that you would like to share? (optional)
    a. Not at this time.
14. During the past six months, how many program participants were engaged in some form of treatment (substance use disorder, cognitive, mental health, and/or pre-treatment)?
   a. 76

15. During the past six months, how many program participants received assistance with housing?
   a. 104

16. During the past six months, how many program participants were assessed by a validated risk assessment tool?
   a. 100%

17. During the past six months, how many program participants received education or employment assistance?
   a. 15

18. Is there any additional information and/or insight into your program information that you would like to share? (optional)
   a. Not at this time.