



BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

1300 NW Wall Street, Bend, Oregon
(541) 388-6570

FOR RECORDING STAMP ONLY

BOCC MEETING MINUTES

9:00 AM

WEDNESDAY May 7, 2025

Barnes Sawyer Rooms
Live Streamed Video

Present were Commissioners Anthony DeBone (via Zoom), Patti Adair and Phil Chang. Also present were County Administrator Nick Lelack; Senior Assistant Legal Counsel Kim Riley; and BOCC Executive Assistant Brenda Fritsvold.

This meeting was audio and video recorded and can be accessed at the Deschutes County Meeting Portal website www.deschutes.org/meetings.

CALL TO ORDER: Vice Chair Adair called the meeting to order at 9:00 am and noted Chair DeBone's attendance via Zoom.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

CITIZEN INPUT: None

Commissioner Adair acknowledged Public Service Recognition Week, expressed appreciation for the work done by County staff, and encouraged property owners to avail themselves of the limited window to dispose of yard waste free of charge this month.

Commissioner DeBone spoke to the vital services provided by County staff to the public and expressed appreciation for their valuable work.

Commissioner Chang said public service is also done by federal and state employees as well as by staff of Tribal organizations, some of whom are present today.

CONSENT AGENDA: Before the Board was Consideration of the Consent Agenda.

1. Approval of amendment to the contract with Amergis Healthcare Staffing for DCSO Adult Jail medical staffing
2. Authorize the donation of 0.21 acre of real property located in Newberry Neighborhood 3 in La Pine to the City of Pine, and further authorize granting a temporary construction easement to the City of La Pine
3. Acceptance of Oregon Health Authority substance misuse prevention grant and approval of Resolution No. 2025-012 appropriating the grant funds
4. Consideration of Board Signature on letter thanking Denise Gardiner for service on the Newberry Estates Special Road District
5. Approval of the minutes of the March 31 and April 16, 2025 BOCC meetings

CHANG: Move Board approval of the Consent Agenda as presented

DEBONE: Second

VOTE:

DEBONE: Yes

CHANG: Yes

ADAIR: Acting Chair votes yes. Motion Carried 3 – 0

ACTION ITEMS:

6. Proclamation: Mental Health Awareness Month

Holly Harris, Behavioral Health Director, and Casey Munck, Program Director for NAMI Central Oregon, presented a proclamation declaring May to be Mental Health Awareness Month.

Kenny Haddon, Board Chair for NAMI Central Oregon, said because mental health illness is common and treatable, it's important to be aware of it and open to talking about it. Although severe mental illness occurs in about one in four families, keeping it as secret as possible makes it hard to reach out for the help which is available.

The Commissioners expressed appreciation for NAMI's programs, services and advocacy for mental health and also for the work done by the County's Behavioral Health Division to assist those who are struggling with or suffering from mental illness. The Commissioners read the proclamation into the record.

CHANG: Move approval of a proclamation recognizing May, 2025 as Mental Health Awareness Month
DEBONE: Second
VOTE: DEBONE: Yes
CHANG: Yes
ADAIR: Acting Chair votes yes. Motion Carried 3 – 0

7. Work Session: Deschutes County FY 2026 Proposed Fee Schedule Changes

Laura Skundrick, Management Analyst, presented the proposed changes to the County's Fee Schedule, explaining these are based on inflationary factors, state or federal mandates, or to capture the actual cost of service provided. Saying the proposals will come back before the Board at a public hearing in June, she noted a correction on page 68 in relation to the proposed increase of CDD's refund request processing fee from \$40 to \$46—the accessory notation should state that no refund will be issued if the refund amount is less than \$46. In addition, the schedule should state that the applicable public hearings for the Bend Park & Rec fees will be held on May 20, 2025.

Commissioner Adair urged awareness of the cost that permitting adds to the construction of new homes.

Commissioner DeBone encouraged the community to review the proposed changes.

In response to Commissioner Chang, Skundrick confirmed that the proposal includes raising food service and other inspection fees to ensure continued full operations of the Environmental Health division.

Steve Dennison, County Clerk, spoke to the proposed change to raise the GIS recording fee from \$6 to \$10, saying this fee has not been increased since 2008. While he recognized that this nearly 70% increase will be impactful, he said the Board could consider tapping another revenue source to subsidize it. Dennison added that as the legislature has yet to finalize the State's budget, it is not yet known whether the state-determined \$93 fee to record a document will be raised.

8. Board Order 2025-016; Decision whether to hear an appeal of a Hearings Officer's remand decision associated with the zoning designation for the ODOT Lava Butte Trail

Anthony Raguine, Principal Planner, presented the background of this matter, which involves a ruling sought by ODOT to determine whether a new public path along

Highway 97 between Baker-Knott Road and the Lava Butte Visitor Center would be allowed. The Hearings Officer's conclusion that the subject right-of-way is zoned RR10, which outright allows a public path as proposed, was appealed and subsequently remanded back to the County for "... adequate findings addressing [whether] the application is a collateral attack on the final and unappealed Weigh Station Decision."

Explaining that the referenced Weigh Station Decision was a 1999 Board decision which denied a conditional use request for an ODOT weigh station and also found that the above-referenced segment of Highway 97 was zoned Forest Use, Raguine said the Hearings Officer issued a decision in April of this year concluding that the Declaratory Ruling decision does not amount to a collateral attack on the Weigh Station Decision. The Windlinx Ranch Trust appealed this decision and asks that the Board hear the appeal.

Summarizing that the basic question is whether the decision of the Hearing Officer constitutes a collateral attack on a previous decision of the Board, Raguine said staff does not believe there is sufficient time for the Board to hear this appeal as a decision is due by June 12th. He added that both parties have been well-represented in this matter, and staff recommends that the Board decline to hear the appeal.

In response to Commissioner Adair, Raguine said if the Board does not hear the appeal, the appellant will be reimbursed for the \$5,150 appeal fee less a small amount of staff time.

Responding to Commissioner DeBone, Raguine confirmed that the RR10 zone would allow the proposed public path outright, but it would not be allowed in the Forest Zone.

Commissioner Chang said the process undertaken thus far on this project and the appeal has allowed for comment and testimony by proponents and opponents.

Stephanie Marshall, Senior Assistant Legal Counsel, spoke to the extraordinarily challenging timeframe for hearing this appeal in view of the June 12th deadline.

Adding that the appellant has raised concerns that the Hearings Officer has ties to officials in State government, Commissioner Chang referred to his own service on the Oregon Transportation Commission.

Will Groves, Planning Manager, emphasized the difficulty of achieving a decision by the deadline and was concerned that a procedural error could occur due to the short timeframe. He expected this matter to be appealed to LUBA in the future.

Saying the decision of the Hearings Officer was well-reasoned, Commissioner DeBone supported not hearing the appeal.

Responding to Commissioner Adair, Raguine said if the Board does not take any action at all, the decision of the Hearings Officer would become the final decision of the County.

DEBONE: Move approval of Board Order 2025-016 denying review of a Hearings Officer's remand decision associated with the zoning designation for the ODOT Lava Butte Trail

ADAIR: Second

VOTE: CHANG: (abstained)

DEBONE: Yes

ADAIR: Acting Chair votes yes. Motion Carried 2 - 1 - 0

At staff's request, item #10 was taken up before item #9.

10. Consideration of First and Second Readings and emergency adoption of Ordinance No. 2025-004 to allow RVs as Rental Dwellings

Tanya Saltzman, Senior Planner, reviewed the history of the proposal and the Board's discussions to allow recreational vehicles (RVs) as rental dwellings. The ordinance presented for the Board's consideration would establish regulations as well as a minimum lot size of two acres except for some areas in southern Deschutes County, where the minimum lot size would be five acres. All told, about 700 properties would be eligible to have an RV as a residential dwelling.

Saltzman recommended that the Board adopt the ordinance by emergency to take effect immediately.

In response to Commissioner Adair, Saltzman said the permit to have an RV as a residential dwelling would cost the same as for an ADU, or about \$800 to \$900.

Commissioner DeBone was excited to offer this opportunity. Commissioner Chang said this will provide for workforce and lower income housing opportunities.

CHANG: Move approval of first and second reading of Ordinance No. 2025-004 by title only

DEBONE: Second

VOTE: DEBONE: Yes

CHANG: Yes
ADAIR: Acting Chair votes yes. Motion Carried 3 - 0

Acting Chair Adair read the title of the ordinance into the record twice.

DEBONE: Move to adopt Ordinance No. 2025-004 amending Deschutes County Code Title 16, Addresses and Road Names, Title 18, Zoning Ordinance, and Title 19, Bend Urban Area Zoning Ordinance, to allow Recreational Vehicles as rental dwellings and declaring an emergency to take effect immediately

CHANG: Second

VOTE: DEBONE: Yes
CHANG: Yes
ADAIR: Acting Chair votes yes. Motion Carried 3 - 0

Saying this will allow someone to legally live in an RV on someone else's property, Commissioner DeBone said this new program expands housing options for people who need them.

Responding to Commissioner Adair, Saltzman said the County will issue a press release today or tomorrow announcing this new opportunity.

Commissioner Chang reminded that this will afford a chance for unauthorized RV rental dwellings to come into compliance.

9. Consideration of first reading of an ordinance amending Deschutes County Code regarding Temporary Hardship Dwellings

Nicole Mardell, Senior Planner, said the primary purpose of the amendments are to conform local requirements to state law and provide consistency for the review of hardship dwellings across multiple county zones. Notable changes include the reorganization of content for readability; the amendment of outdated references; clarification that a hardship dwelling can be used for the "aged" as well as the "infirm"; clarification of the term "existing building"; clarification that a hardship dwelling can be the only second dwelling on the property; and the extension of the renewal period from one year to two years.

Commissioner DeBone acknowledged that with these changes, the County is somewhat relaxing the regulations for temporary hardship dwellings, thus moderately expanding this opportunity.

DEBONE: Move approval of first reading of Ordinance No. 2025-005 by title only

CHANG: Second

VOTE: DEBONE: Yes

CHANG: Yes

ADAIR: Acting Chair votes yes. Motion Carried 3 - 0

Acting Chair Adair read the title of the ordinance into the record.

11. Public Hearing: Remand of a modification to the Final Master Plan of the Thornburgh Destination Resort

Jacob Ripper, Principal Planner, outlined the procedures for the hearing and reported communication from Jennifer Bragar, representing Nunzie Gould and others regarding an email sent to the Board on April 11, 2025 concerning the scope of the record which the Board had considered and acted on at its April 16, 2025 meeting. Bragar asked that the County determine that improper *ex parte* contact was entered into by Commissioners Adair and DeBone.

Stephanie Marshall, Senior Assistant Legal Counsel, spoke to the request that Commissioners Adair and DeBone recuse themselves from this matter, noting it is up to each Commissioner whether to recuse themselves or not.

Commissioner DeBone said the referenced April 11th email was sent to all three of the Commissioners. Commissioner Chang said he did not read that email because he was concerned about procedural impropriety.

Carol McBeth, attorney for Central Oregon Land Watch (COLW), suggested that Commissioners Adair and DeBone have pre-judged this issue. Adding that the Board voted to not allow the Tribes to present new evidence into the record, she objected to not allowing the public to participate in a fair and impartial proceeding.

Noting that the call for Commissioners Adair and DeBone to recuse themselves has been made, Marshall asked them to state whether they will recuse themselves or not.

Commissioner DeBone said he will not recuse himself as he did not view himself to be compromised and unable to hear this matter.

Commissioner Adair also declined to recuse herself.

Ken Katzaroff, representing the applicant, noted that the referenced April 11th email was sent in response to a letter issued by the Tribes. He added that the meeting at

which the Board decided the scope of the hearing on the remand issues was noticed according to the Board's usual process.

Bragar objected that only certain persons will be allowed to speak today. Marshall explained this limitation is imposed in accordance with State law and County Code for matters remanded from LUBA to the County.

Continuing, Ripper reviewed the background of the application and the various legal challenges and decisions associated with it.

Marshall explained that any person who participated in a previous hearing on this matter has standing and can participate today although no new evidence is allowed except to the issue of the development's economic impact.

The public hearing was opened at 10:44 am.

Ken Katzaroff, representing the applicant, provided an overview of the planned development and explained the applicant's proposed modification of the Fish and Wildlife Management Plan (FWMP), which reduces the amount of water which will be used from over 2,120 acre-feet (AF) to 1,460 AF. Saying the applicant's updated FWMP mitigates groundwater effects better than the 2008 plan, Katzaroff reminded that according to the Court of Appeals, only the impacts from the proposed development can be considered. This means that neither basin-wide water policy management issues nor issues related to climate (i.e., drought conditions) can be taken into consideration.

In response to Commissioner Chang, Katzaroff said the impact of the development on groundwater levels has been shown to be a net benefit with respect to both fish habitat quantity and quality.

Katzaroff then referenced the various water rights secured by Thornburgh and how these will be utilized or left unused to ensure compliance with groundwater mitigation requirements, specifically the County's "no net loss" standard. He explained how compliance with the FWMP will be reported, saying this will include documentation of the amount of water pumped from each water right.

With regard to the updated economic analysis, Katzaroff said even if a fourth golf course is not developed, Thornburgh will nevertheless provide a substantial financial contribution which will positively benefit the local economy.

Kameron DeLashmutt, property owner, narrated a depiction showing how the development's golf course site plan has changed to have one 18-hole golf course spread over acreage previously planned for two golf courses. He reviewed key

findings of the updated economic analysis which project a peak employment of 2,074 employees with a total payroll of \$142.5 million, the addition of 950 single family homes, and substantial property tax revenues.

Regarding the rights granted under the Treaty with the Tribes of Central Oregon, Katzaroff said the development would not violate the Treaty because the updated FWMP creates a net benefit of quality and quantity of fish habitat.

Responding to Commissioner Chang, DeLashmutt said the houses are expected to sell for about \$600 per square foot, or \$1.5 - \$2.0 million.

Commissioner Chang commented that thousands of homes in destination resorts are used seasonally and empty most of the year.

Discussion ensued of the amount of property taxes expected to be generated by the houses that would be built at Thornburgh and how that revenue would be expended.

In response to Commissioner Adair, DeLashmutt said the project is not permitted for workforce housing.

Commissioner Chang asked how the applicant will meet the burden of proof with respect to the necessary monitoring to show that the no net loss standard is being met.

DeLashmutt said the company already owns 1,700 acre feet of groundwater rights in addition to certificated water rights, and has ceased pumping under all of those rights. He said the water that is no longer being pumped is being left in the aquifer and explained the effects of this.

Commissioner Chang reminded that because the no net loss standard is a local rule, the State defers to the County to determine if that is met or not.

Katzaroff said the issue of the modeling done to determine the impacts on groundwater levels was directly litigated and resolved by the Court of Appeals, and cannot be considered as part of these remand proceedings.

Expressing his concern that the no net loss standard will not be met and saying that the Treaty rights must be considered at a higher level than just the no net loss standard, Commissioner Chang objected to denying the Tribe adequate opportunity to analyze the development proposal and its potential effects on fish habitat.

Ellen Grover, representing the Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs, stated that the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife has ceded its agency testimony time to the Tribes.

Josh Newton, also representing the Tribes, submitted a formal objection that the Board erred in not reopening the record on the issue of the Treaty rights as required by the Court of Appeals and said it was inappropriate of the applicant to refer to certain evidence regarding the Treaty rights as that information is not in the record.

Grover requested that the Board leave the record open for 14 days following today's hearing.

Saying that the applicant sought to amend its FWMP in 2022, which reworks the mitigation for the use of groundwater in a novel way, Grover objected that the County has provided no deference to the 1855 Treaty rights of the Tribes with regard to the no net loss standard. She reviewed the rights reserved by the Tribes in the Treaty and said if the modified FWMP affects the number of harvestable fish protected by the Treaty, it cannot be accepted.

Grover added that the Court had directed that the Tribes be allowed to present evidence as to whether the modified FWMP is in alignment with its Treaty rights. Stressing that the actual, current condition of the resource must be considered by the Board, she said this is not possible because it is not included in the model put forth by the applicant. She concluded that therefore, the applicant cannot state that the modified FWMP will not result in no net loss of fish species which are protected by the Treaty.

- Jolene Estimo, a party of record, conceded her time to Naomi Sherpa, a non-party of record. Sherpa, representing the Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs, requested that the Tribes be allowed 30 minutes to provide testimony and that the public hearing be continued to June 28th. Sherpa further spoke to the Tribes' involvement in managing water resources in the region and their desire to protect and balance the use of resources into the future.
- Jennifer Eales submitted testimony on behalf of Susie Hart, saying it is unethical to refuse to consider new evidence for all of the matters remanded from LUBA and sharing her view that the model used for the modified FWMP is common sense.
- Paul Lipscomb said the notice issued for the public hearing was incorrect because it did not allow for testimony on the Treaty issues, yet LUBA had said that the Tribes would have an opportunity to present on these issues.
- Mary Powell referred to written testimony she submitted yesterday.

- Mary Powell, representing the League of Women Voters of Deschutes County (LWVDC), read a statement saying that this development would lower groundwater levels and reduce groundwater discharge to surface water sources such as Whychus Creek. She listed fish species which rely on the protection of groundwater resources and urged that the County respect the Treaty with the Tribes.
- Susan Strauss was very concerned about declining groundwater levels and believed that more information is needed on this, including information from the Tribes.
- Thomas Bishop echoed the comments from Judge Lipscomb.
- Mary Fleischmann was concerned about the limited amount of water available to protect fish and said the Board should reject the modified FWMP and protect the Treaty rights of the Tribes.
- Jennifer Bragar, representing Nunzie Gould et al., objected that the wording of the notices published for this hearing resulted in the public being denied a fair hearing. She requested a two-week open record and response period.
- Joe Craig expressed concern about additional pressure on the existing water supply, noting that many private wells have gone dry in this area with the result that people have had to drill deeper. Saying it is clear that the development will fall short of the no net loss of wildlife habitat requirement, he urged allowing the Tribes to speak to these issues.
- Carol MacBeth, representing Central Oregon Land Watch, objected to the sufficiency of the notice for the hearing and said the County also failed to make all documents of record available as required. She said the Board should have reopened the record on all three topics remanded by LUBA instead of just one.
- Susan Burdick said after her private well in Redmond went dry three years ago, she had to drill a new one to a depth of 477 feet. Saying she cannot afford to drill another, she added that many of her neighbor's wells have also gone dry, and those who cannot drill wells in a timely manner must have water delivered until a new well is drilled.

During the applicant's opportunity for rebuttal, Katzaroff suggested that County staff provide a memo to the record explaining the adequacy of the notice issued for the public hearing. He next reminded that the matter of the baseline conditions was litigated and resolved by the Court, and that the issues of drought, climate change, etc. are not relevant to this proceeding.

DeLashmutt said the groundwater modeling was done based on a low-flow year as requested by interested parties. He said despite significant efforts to resolve concerns of the Tribes, representatives of the developer were not successful in engaging them.

Katzaroff added that some of the experts used by the developer are regularly hired by the Tribes. Noting that the applicant cannot extend the record in a remand proceeding such as this one, he said the oral arguments made before the Court are in fact part of the record although these are not fully replicated in the Court's decision.

In response to Commissioner Chang, Katzaroff explained that LUBA did not order the County to reopen the record with regard to the Treaty rights; it only remanded the three issues for additional findings and left it up to the Board to determine which issues required reopening the record to secure new information for the required findings. He disagreed that the information in the record is insufficient to make findings as to whether the 2022 FWMP violates the Treaty with the Tribes of Middle Oregon.

Responding to Commissioner Chang, DeLashmutt said ODFW has not yet signed off on the modified FWMP.

The public hearing was closed at 12:40 pm.

The Board was in consensus to leave the written record open for 14 days, after which the applicant will have another seven days to provide rebuttal statements and after that another seven days to submit a final argument. Ripper reminded that the record is open for new evidence on the subject of economic analysis only.

In response to Commissioner DeBone, Senior Assistant Legal Counsel Marshall disagreed with the challenge that the notice for the hearing was inadequate and said she would submit a memo to the record with regard to that challenge.

A lunch recess was announced at 12:45 pm. The meeting reconvened at 1:45 pm.

12. Public Hearing on the Community Development Department Draft FY 2025-26 Work Plan

Peter Gutowsky reminded that the Work Plan was initially presented to the Board on April 21st and has also been reviewed by the Planning Commission. He explained that the purpose of the Work Plan is to guide CDD in prioritizing staff resources in the upcoming year.

The public hearing was opened at 1:50 pm.

Adam Smith advocated for elevating the "Lot Line Adjustments and Re-Platting" zoning text amendments project from low priority to a higher priority, saying that amending this section of County Code would not take a great deal of staff resources,

yet would benefit many property owners. He explained that currently, the County's Code is much more restrictive than required by the State as the County restricts lot line adjustments to 10% of any property which is zoned EFU and sized less than 80 acres, and gave examples of how this has negatively impacted various property owners.

Planning Manager Will Groves said while this change would be relatively simple in terms of amending the text, it could have unwanted results as loosening this restriction would have the effect of allowing some development on EFU land which is not currently permitted. Groves added that lot line adjustments are frequently desired to secure access to existing roads.

Smith said in some cases, development is allowed but would not make sense economically without a lot line adjustment due to adverse tax circumstances.

Discussion ensued of the practical effects of the County's 10% lot line adjustment rule, how those differ from the State's regulations, and the Board's ability to direct that staff prioritize this work in the near future.

There being no one else who wished to speak, the public hearing was closed at 2:16 pm.

Gutowsky said he will bring the Work Plan back to the Board on May 28th for possible approval, with any desired modifications.

13. Consideration of options to close or limit use of County-owned property

Erik Kropp, Deputy County Administrator, presented options for the Board's consideration to close or limit use of County-owned land, including property on Rickard Road. Reminding that the County cannot disperse anyone who is camping on County-owned property unless an alternate location is provided, he said the County can post signage limiting an area to day use only and enforce that once it's posted.

In response to Commissioner Adair, Property Manager Kristie Bollinger said just one person appears to be camping in a trailer at Rickard Road at this time.

Saying that the County has never authorized camping at this location, Commissioner DeBone supported restricting rural County-owned properties to day use only.

Commissioner Chang said while he supported restricting the Rickard Road property to day use only, he was not sure if it was necessary to extend this restriction to all County-owned properties.

Commissioner Adair agreed with imposing day use only restrictions on properties which are vulnerable to being used by people camping overnight.

The Board concurred to direct staff to install signage on the County-owned land on Rickard Road today for day use only, and further directed staff to return with a list of all County-owned properties which do not currently have encampments for consideration of restricting them for day use only or closing them to public use.

Discussion ensued regarding efforts to establish additional affordable housing options (RVs as rental dwellings, temporary medical hardship dwellings) as well as various shelter facilities; the number of growing encampments outside of Sisters and La Pine; and the possible relocation of the one encampment on County-owned property on Rickard Road to the TSSA at Juniper Ridge.

14. Consideration of Board Order No. 2025-019 establishing Temporary No Parking Zones within the public Right of Way of China Hat Road, Horse Butte Road, and Knott Road

Chris Doty, Road Director, presented a draft Board Order which would prohibit parking on China Hat Road, Horse Butte Road, and Knott Road through the end of this year.

Commissioner Adair asked if someone will be cited if they are parked there now. Doty responded that all of the vehicles parked in the referenced rights-of-way have already been tagged, and signage will soon be installed.

DEBONE: Move approval of Board Order No. 2025-2019, establishing temporary No Parking Zones within the public right-of-way of China Hat Road, Horse Butte Road, and Knott Road in Deschutes County, Oregon and directing that signs be posted

CHANG: Second

VOTE:	DEBONE:	Yes
	CHANG:	Yes
	ADAIR:	Acting Chair votes yes. Motion Carried 3 – 0

OTHER ITEMS:

- Commissioner Chang referred to the Board's approval on Monday of a letter regarding the routing of PacificCorp's new powerline. While he agreed that Route D is a reasonable option and was not opposed to it, he also viewed a different option utilizing part of Routes B or C and then A as another good alternative. Commissioner DeBone agreed that the Board could send two letters on this topic.

- Referring to the letter approved by Commissioners DeBone and Adair to Congressman Bentz regarding the acceptance by the County of 40 acres of federal land south of La Pine, Commissioner Chang said he envisioned a potential land exchange opportunity with regard to this parcel, but did not support a one-way conveyance as proposed.
- Commissioner DeBone shared that he was currently in Salem attending the Oregon Radio Conference.
- Commissioner Adair reported on yesterday's Visit Central Oregon meeting where it was recognized that some industries need more help in the off-season.
- Commissioner Chang reported on yesterday's managed camp workgroup meeting with the City of Redmond, saying that construction of 21st Street is on track.

A break was announced at 3:05 pm. The meeting resumed at 3:17 pm.

EXECUTIVE SESSION:

At 3:17 pm, the Board entered executive session under ORS 192.660 (2) (e) Real Property Negotiations.

At 3:36 pm, the executive session concluded. The Board directed staff to proceed as discussed during the executive session.

ADJOURN:

Being no further items to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 3:36 pm.

DATED this 18th Day of June 2025 for the Deschutes County Board of Commissioners.

ATTEST:

Brenda Fitzvald
RECORDING SECRETARY

Anthony DeBone
ANTHONY DEBONE, CHAIR

Patti Adair
PATTI ADAIR, VICE CHAIR

Phil Chang
PHIL CHANG, COMMISSIONER