



CITY OF DAHLONEGA

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS AGENDA

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 21, 2022 AT 4:00 PM
CITY HALL - MAYOR MCCULLOUGH COUNCIL CHAMBER

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, those requiring accommodation for Board of Zoning Appeals meetings please contact the City Manager.

Vision - To be an open, honest, and responsive city, balancing preservation, and growth, and delivering quality services fairly and equitably by being good stewards of Dahlonega's resources.

Call to Order

Pledge of Allegiance

Approval of Minutes

1. Board of Zoning Appeals Minutes, October 17, 2022
Danna Foster, Assistant City Clerk

Old Business

New Business

2. BZA 22-3 Hardman Communities
A request to vary Section 1004.2 to allow for the minimum lot size to be reduced from 2,000 square feet to 1,750 square feet at 215 Stephens Street (D10 075).
3. BZA 22-4 Hardman Communities
A request to vary Section 2001(minimum setback requirements) to allow for a reduction in front setbacks from 35 feet to 21 feet and in rear setbacks from 25 feet to 17 feet for the entire subdivision at 215 Stephens Street (D10 075).
4. BZA 22-5 Hardman Communities
A request to vary Section 1004.5 to allow for a private road not built to city specification at 215 Stephens Street (D10 075).
5. Hardman Communities - Stephens Court Development
Request to waiver from the requirement for sidewalks for new development
ARTICLE VII Section 7.12 - Sidewalks
Mark Buchanan, City Engineer
6. Hardman Communities - Stephens Court Development
Request to waiver reduce the the right-of-way width from the required 50 feet to 36 feet.
ARTICLE IV Section 4.1- Minimum right-of-way and pavement widths
Mark Buchanan, City Engineer
7. Hardman Communities - Stephens Court Development
Request to waiver from APPENDIX C - Standard Details of the Development Regulations.

Mark Buchanan, City Engineer

8. BGW Dental Group

Request to waiver from the requirement for sidewalks for new development
ARTICLE VII Section 7.12 - Sidewalks

Mark Buchanan, City Engineer

Information & Training

Adjournment



CITY OF DAHLONEGA

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES

MONDAY, OCTOBER 17, 2022, AT 4:00 PM
CITY HALL - MAYOR MCCULLOUGH COUNCIL CHAMBER

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, those requiring accommodation for Board of Zoning Appeals meetings please contact the City Manager.

Vision - To be an open, honest, and responsive city, balancing preservation, and growth, and delivering quality services fairly and equitably by being good stewards of Dahlonega's resources.

PRESENT

Mayor JoAnne Taylor
Councilmember Roman Gaddis
Councilmember Ron Larson
Councilmember Johnny Ariemma
Councilmember Ryan Reagin
Councilmember Ross Shirley

ABSENT

Councilmember Lance Bagley

Call to Order

Mayor Taylor called the meeting to order at 4 pm.

Pledge of Allegiance

Mayor Taylor led the Pledge of Allegiance

Approval of Minutes

1. Board of Zoning Appeals Meeting Minutes September 19, 2022
Danna Foster, Assistant City Clerk
Mayor Taylor called for a motion.

Motion made by Councilmember Larson, Seconded by Councilmember Shirley.

Voting Yea: Councilmember Larson, Councilmember Gaddis, Councilmember Ariemma, Councilmember Reagin, Councilmember Shirley

Old Business

New Business

2. BZA 22-2 Jim Gribben
Public Hearing for Jim Gribben (BZA-22-2) – A request to vary from the front and side setback along Hawkins Street of a +/- 0.215-acre property located at 257 Hawkins Street (D07 054). This parcel is zoned R-1 (Single-Family Residential District). The purpose of this request is to build a single-car garage.
Mayor Taylor turned the meeting over to City Attorney Parks.
City Attorney Parks described the request and invited Mr. Gribben to the podium. Mr. Gribben described his request.

City Attorney Parks asked Administrator Kinley to provide the recommendation. Administrator Kinley explained that the only property affected would be the adjacent property, also owned by Mr. Gribben. The variance was recommended for approval by staff and the Planning Commission.

City Attorney Parks asked if anyone wanted to speak for or against this item. No one came forward.

Mayor Taylor asked if there were any questions from Council. There were none.

Mayor Taylor indicated she would entertain a motion for approval.

Motion made by Councilmember Shirley, Seconded by Councilmember Ariemma.

Voting Yea: Councilmember Larson, Councilmember Gaddis, Councilmember Ariemma, Councilmember Reagin, Councilmember Shirley

Information & Training

Adjournment

Mayor Taylor called for a motion to adjourn at 4:04 pm

Motion made by Councilmember Gaddis, Seconded by Councilmember Larson.

Voting Yea: Councilmember Larson, Councilmember Gaddis, Councilmember Ariemma, Councilmember Reagin, Councilmember Shirley

[illegible]

Phone:

Phone: _____

Dawsonville, GA 30534

Phone: 706-265-1234

Acreage of Site: 3.02

Variance Request: Per R-3 requirement pertaining to a minimum lot size of 2,000 sq ft, we request a variance be granted to allow for four (4) lots of 1,750 sq ft due to site constraints

(Street address)

Tax Plat and parcel: Parcel: D10 075

A metes and bounds legal description is required. Also attach a boundary survey of the property if available. Please be advised of the following:

- 1) The applicant is bound by the submitted site plan and letter of intent if this application is approved and development must be initiated within twenty-four months or the approved zoning is subject to reversion to its previous zoning by the Governing Body.
- 2) It is the policy (but not a legal requirement) that adjacent property owners and those owners within 150 feet of the subject property are notified by certified mail of the application.
- 3) The following seven questions can be answered within a letter of intent, but failure to answer any one can result in denial of the application.

Complete the following information.

1. There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape or topography that are not applicable to other land or structures in the same district.

The property width is narrow and the western edge being a creek/buffers, making the build-able area very narrow which doesn't allow for lot sizes or setbacks that will meet City ordinance

2. A literal interpretation of the provisions of these zoning regulations would create an unnecessary hardship and would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other property owners within the district in which the property is located.

None

3. Granting the variance requested will not confer upon the property of the applicant any special privileges that are denied to other properties of the district in which the applicant's property is located.

NA

4. Relief, if granted, will be in harmony with the purpose and intent of these regulations and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or general welfare in such a manner as will interfere with or discourage the appropriate development and use of adjacent land and buildings or unreasonably affect their value.

No

5. The special circumstances are not the result of the actions of the applicant.

No

6. The variance requested is the minimum variance that will make possible the legal use of the land, building or structure.

Yes

7. The variance is not a request to permit a use of land, building or structures which are not permitted by right in the district involved.

Correct

Property Owner's Certification

I hereby request the action contained with this application relative to the property shown on the attached plats and site plan and further request that this item be placed on both the Planning Commission and City Council's agenda(s) for a public hearing.

I understand that the Planning and Development staff may either accept or reject my request upon review. My request will be rejected if all the necessary data is not presented.

I understand that I have the obligation to present all data necessary and required by statute to enable the Planning Commission and City council to make an informed determination on my request. I will seek the advice of an attorney if I am not familiar with the zoning and land use requirements.

I understand that my request will be acted upon at the Planning Commission and City Council hearings and that I am required to be present or to be represented by someone able to present all the facts. I understand that failure to appear at the public hearing may result in the postponement or denial of my application. I further understand that it is my responsibility to be aware of relevant public hearing dates and time regardless of notification from the City of Dahlongega.

I hereby certify that I have read the above and that the above information as well as the attached information is true and accurate.

I certify that I am the owner of the property described in the attached legal description, that all information contained in this application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that the applicant and/or agent listed above is authorized to act as the applicant and/or agent in the pursuit of rezoning of this property.


Signature of Property Owner: _____



Printed name of Property Owner : Hardeman Communities, Inc.

Date of Signature: September 28, 2022

Signature of Witness: _____



DISCLOSURE OF CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION

(Applicant(s) and Representative(s) of rezoning)

Pursuant to OCGA Section 36-37 A-3.A, the following disclosure is mandatory when an applicant or any representation of application for rezoning has been made within two (2) years immediately preceding the filing of the applicant's request for re-zoning & campaign contributions aggregating \$250.00 or more to a local government official who will consider the application for rezoning.

It shall be the duty of the applicant and the attorney representing the applicant to file a disclosure with the governing authority of the respective local government showing the following information:

1. The name of the local official to whom the campaign contribution was made:
_____.
2. The dollar amount and/or description of each campaign contribution made by the applicant to the local government official during the two years immediately preceding the filing of the application for the rezoning action and the date of each such contribution:

Amount \$ _____ Date: _____

Amount \$ _____ Date: _____
3. Enumeration and description of each gift when the total value of all gifts is \$250.00 or more made to the local government official during the 2 years immediately preceding the filing application for rezoning:

Signature of Applicant/
Representative of Applicant: _____
Date: _____

By not completing this form you are making a statement that no disclosure is required because no contributions have been made.

This form may be copied and additional pages attached if necessary.

Introduction

The City of Dahlongega Planning Commission and City Council wish to inform you as to our Public Hearings for consideration of rezoning and variance applications. We appreciate your taking the time to attend these important proceedings.

The following information is provided to assist you in being fully informed as to the application process and procedure, and the issues involved in all zoning and variance hearings. Please read this information carefully. Hopefully, at the conclusion of the hearing you will have a better understanding of this governmental proceeding and will have fully participated in it as an interested citizen.

Application Process For Rezoning and Variances

At a preliminary meeting with a planner, the application process is discussed to determine what items are to be provided by the applicant.

Requirements for the application include a plat or deed and tax maps as well as authorization from the property owner. An application fee, determined by the type of application, is required upon filing. Additional data which may be required include a site plan, written report, plan approval by the Health Department, Engineering Department, Water Department, and/or the Department of Transportation.

Rezoning and variances are heard initially before the Planning Commissions and then as to rezonings the final public hearing is before the City Council and as to variances the final public hearing is before the Board of Zoning Appeals.

The application is filed in the Planning Department and must be made at least 30 working days prior to the Planning Commission meeting, in order for the City to prepare and deliver for publication, a legal advertisement which will be published at least 15 days prior to both the Planning Commission and City Council/Board of Zoning Appeals public hearings. The City Council will also:

1. Place on the property a sign with the dates and times of the Planning Commission and City Council/Board of Zoning Appeals meetings is posted on the subject property by the applicant at least 15 days prior to the public hearing.
2. Notify property owners adjacent to the subject property by mail of the request and meeting date and time (must be postmarked no less than five days before the public hearing).

A location map and staff report are prepared and delivered to Planning Commission members along with any information submitted by the applicant by the Planning Commission and/or legal staff.

The Planning Commission holds a public hearing on the request. The meeting is held at times designated in the *Dahlonega Nugget*. The applicant and any other citizens concerned with the request are given an opportunity to speak during the hearing. After all comments are heard, the Planning Commission makes its decision. They can approve, approve with conditions, table, deny a request or recommend a specific action to the City Council and/or the Board of Zoning Appeals, depending on the type of application.

The Planning Commission's recommendation, with all information received at the public hearing (staff reports, maps, etc.), are forwarded to the City Council as to rezoning and the Board of Zoning Appeals as to variances.

The City Council then holds a public hearing on the rezoning requests. The meeting times are set forth in the notices. All meetings are held at City Hall, 465 Riley Road.* The hearing is conducted under the same format as the Planning Commission's public hearing. After all comments are heard, the City Council makes its decision. The Council can approve, approve with conditions, table, refer to the Planning Commission, or deny the request. Their decision is final unless appealed to the Superior Court of Lumpkin County within 30 days following Commission action. Variance hearings before the Board of Zoning Appeals are conducted in the same manner as the rezoning hearings before the City Council.

*Unless public notice is given of some other time and/or location.

Criteria for Rezoning

The Council studies the need and justification for a requested zoning change based on a determination of the facts applicable to the particular application. The following criteria are considered in making that determination as per our Zoning Ordinance:

1. The existing uses and zoning of nearby property and whether the proposed zoning will adversely affect the existing use or usability of nearby property.
2. The extent to which property values are diminished by the particular zoning restrictions.
3. The extent to which the destruction of property values promotes the health, safety, morals or general welfare of the public.

4. The relative gain to the public, as compared to the hardship imposed upon the individual property owner.
5. The physical suitability of the subject property for development as presently zoned and under the proposed zoning district.
6. The length of time the property has been vacant, considered in the context of land development in the area in the vicinity of the property, and whether there are existing or changed conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the rezoning request.
7. The zoning history of the subject property.
8. The extent to which the proposed zoning will result in a use which will or could cause excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, schools, parks, or other public facilities.
9. Whether the zoning proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the comprehensive plan, land use plan, or other adopted plans.

The following related areas of inquiry may be reviewed as well:

1. Off-street parking and loading facilities are adequate in terms of location, amount and design to serve the use.
2. The number, size and type of signs proposed are compatible with the surrounding area.
3. The amount and location of open space and the provision of screening is such that buffering of incompatible uses is achieved.
4. Ingress and egress to the property is suitable and safe, and the effect of the proposed activity on traffic flow along adjoining streets is not adverse.

5. The location and intensity of outdoor lighting is such that it does not cast light on adjacent, adjoining or neighboring properties.
6. Hours and manner of operation of the proposed use are not inconsistent with adjacent and nearby uses.
7. Public facilities and utilities are capable of adequately serving the proposed use.
8. The proposed use will not have a significant adverse effect on the level of property values or the general character of adjacent land uses or the general area.
9. The physical conditions of the site, including size, shape, topography and drainage, are suitable for the proposed development.
10. The proposed use is consistent with the goals and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan of the City of Dahlonega.

Comments addressed to the Board of Zoning Appeals, Planning Commission and the City Council should address these criteria.

The types of questions precipitated by these criteria are as follows:

1. Will the zoning proposal permit a use that is suitable in view of the use, development, and zoning of adjacent and nearby property?
2. Will the zoning proposal adversely affect the existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property?
3. Does the subject property have a reasonable economic use as currently zoned?
4. Will the zoning proposal result in a use which will or could cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, schools, police protection, fire protection, public health facilities, or emergency medical service?

5. Is the zoning proposal in conformity with the policy and intent of the future land use plan for the physical development of the area?
6. What is the effect on the property value of the subject property should the existing zoning be retained?
7. If denied, will the effect on the subject property's value under the existing zoning be offset by the gain to the health, safety, morals, or general welfare of the public?
8. If denied, how does the relative gain to the public compare to the hardship imposed upon the individual property owner?
9. Has the property been undeveloped an unusual length of time as currently zoned considered in the context of land development in the area in the vicinity of the property?
10. Are there other existing or changing conditions affecting the use or development of the property which give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the zoning proposal?
11. Would the change create an isolated district unrelated to the surrounding districts, such as "spot zoning?"
12. Are the present zoning district boundaries illogically drawn in relation to existing conditions in the area?
13. Is the change requested out of scale with the needs of the city as a whole or the immediate neighborhood?
14. Is it impossible to find adequate sites for the proposed use in districts permitting such use and already appropriately zoned?
15. Could the need for rezoning be handled instead by a variance request to the zoning board of adjustment?
16. Would there be an impact on the ecology or would pollution result from major modifications to the land if the request is granted?
17. Is there reasonable evidence based upon existing and anticipated land use that would indicate a mistake was made in the original zoning of the property?

Criteria for Variances

The Ordinance spells out certain outlines for a variance which is defined as:

A minimal relaxation or modification of the strict terms of the height, area, placement, setback, yard, buffer, landscape strip, parking and loading regulations as applied to specific property when, because of particular physical surroundings, shape or topographical condition of the property, compliance would result in a particular hardship upon the owner, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience or a desire to make profit.

The Board of Zoning Appeals is hereby empowered to authorize upon application in specific cases such variance from the terms of these regulations as will not be contrary to the public interest where, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of the provisions of these regulations will in an individual case, result in unnecessary hardship, so that the spirit of these regulations shall be observed, public safety and welfare secured, and substantial justice done. The existence of a non-conforming use of neighboring land, buildings or structures in the same zoning district or of permitted or non-conforming uses in other districts shall not constitute a reason for the requested variance. A variance may be granted in an individual case of unnecessary hardship, after appropriate application in accordance with Article XXVI, upon specific findings that all of the following conditions exist. The absence of any one (1) of the conditions shall be grounds for denial of the application for variance.

1. There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape or topography that are not applicable to other land or structures in the same district; and
2. A literal interpretation of the provisions of these zoning regulations would create an unnecessary hardship and would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other property owners within the district in which the property is located; and
3. Granting the variance requested will not confer upon the property of the applicant any special privileges that are denied to other properties of the district in which the applicant's property is located; and
4. Relief, if granted, will be in harmony with the purpose and intent of these regulations and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or general welfare in such a manner as will interfere with or discourage the appropriate development and use of adjacent land and buildings or

unreasonably affect their value; and

5. The special circumstances are not the result of the actions of the applicant; and
6. The variance requested is the minimum variance that will make possible the legal use of the land, building, or structure; and
7. The variance is not a request to permit a use of land, building or structures which are not permitted by right in the district involved.

Planning Commission, Board of Zoning Appeals and City Council Procedure for Hearing

1. Public hearings shall be held at times and places provided in the published notices of the hearings.
2. The hearing shall be conducted by the City Attorney or other hearing officer.
3. Upon the convening of the hearing, the hearing officer will read the published notice of the matter to be considered. The matter shall be considered in the order indicated by the filing of the published notice.
4. The matter to be heard shall be stated by the hearing officer, who shall then call for a staff report on the proposed amendment.
5. A verbal staff report shall be made, stating the staff recommendation and summarizing the written report submitted for the hearing.
6. Both persons favoring and persons opposing a matter shall have an opportunity to speak. The party proposing the matter shall be invited to speak first, followed by persons favoring the proposed matter, then by persons opposed to the proposed matter. Each speaker will be asked to identify himself or herself and state his/her current address. When all opposing statements have

been heard, the party proposing the matter may be permitted to rebut those statements.

7. The hearing officer may place reasonable limits on the number of persons who may speak for or against the proposal, the time allowed for each speaker, and the total time allowed for presentation of the matter.

8. Each speaker shall speak only to the merits of the proposed matter and shall address remarks only to the public body hearing the request. Each speaker shall refrain from personal attacks on any other speaker or the discussion of facts or opinions irrelevant to the proposed zoning amendment. The hearing officer may limit or refuse a speaker the right to continue if the speaker, after first being cautioned, continues to violate this requirement.

9. Because of time constraints in hearings, interested parties shall be encouraged to submit petitions, studies, letters, and other materials requiring prior study in time to have them included in the final agenda for the hearing. The City shall have discretion to accept such materials at the hearing if circumstances did not permit earlier submittal. Such materials, if presented orally at the hearing, shall be subject to the time limits provided herein. If materials are not presented in a timely manner, they may not be considered or may result in tabling of the matter.

10. Upon conclusion of public comments, as determined by the hearing officer, conduct of the meeting shall be returned to the presiding officers who shall have discretion to call on previous speakers as necessary for clarification of views expressed or the materials submitted.

Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the ability of the hearing officer to maintain decorum in the conduct of a hearing to assure that the hearing is conducted in a fair and orderly manner.

The public bodies hearing the matter shall have discretion to continue a hearing to a later date if the materials submitted or views expressed require more time for study and consideration than may reasonably be allocated in one meeting, and may call for such additional views, studies, or other information from any source members consider necessary to making a sound decision.

City of Dahlonega Zoning Districts

For the purpose of regulating development, The City of Dahlonega has divided its jurisdictional area into the following zoning districts:

Single-Family Residential District (R-1)

Highway Business District (B-2)

Multiple-Family Residential District (R-2)

Historical Business District (B-3)

Multiple-Family Residential District (R-3)

Mobile Home Park District (MHP)

Planned Unit Development District (PUD)

Neighborhood Business District (B-1)

Central Business District (CBD)

Office-Institutional District (O-I)

Industrial District (I)

Each zoning district differs from all the other districts in which land uses are allowed, what standards and restrictions apply and what approvals are necessary. The City of Dahlonega Zoning Regulations provide a description of land uses permitted and other requirements (minimum lot size, setbacks, frontage, etc.) of each district. You can obtain a full description of the permitted land uses and requirements from the City of Dahlonega Planning Department (telephone: 706-864-6133) located at 465 Riley Road, Dahlonega, Georgia, 30533. The requirements and permitted uses are subject to change with new amendments to the regulations, so always make sure you have the latest version of the requirements and permitted land uses.


Legal Representation

This information sheet is not and should not be considered a substitute for good legal advice. Because of the importance of all zoning matters, the City Council encourages you to seek legal advice from an attorney of your choice.

Rezoning, Variance, Conditional Use and Site Plan Hearing Schedule

Deadline for application Submittal	Public Hearing Planning & Zoning Meeting	Public Hearing Mayor & City Council Meeting
30 days prior to 1 st meeting. <i>Please submit your request ASAP.</i>	Second Monday of each month	1 st Monday of each month

REZONING, VARIANCE, SITE PLAN AND CONDITIONAL USE PROCESS



Section 2605. Application Requirements.

Application materials specified in this section shall be required for the following petitions: amendments to the official zoning map, alterations or extensions of conditional zoning, conditional use permits, development plan approvals within the Planned Unit Development (PUD) District, Mobile Home Park (MHP) District, applications for condominium site plan approval, variances or appeals to the Board of Zoning Appeals:

1. An application form furnished by the Zoning Administrative Officer; and
2. A legal description of the property to be considered in the application. The legal description shall be by metes and bounds unless an alternative legal description is accepted by the Zoning Administrative Officer. Boundary surveys of the property should be submitted with the application whenever available; and
3. A letter of intent which describes general characteristics of the proposed development such as type and time frame of development, background information in support of such application, and any other information deemed pertinent by the applicant. For variance applications, the letter of intent shall address the criteria specified in Section 2406. of these regulations. For zoning map amendment applications, the letter of intent shall address the standards specified in Section 2607. of these regulations. For conditional use permit applications, the letter of intent shall address the standards specified in Section 2608. of these regulations. For PUD applications the letter of intent shall take the form of a development plan summary report which shall also address the items enumerated in Section 1303.
4. A site plan with all information specified in Section 2606. except that zoning map amendment applications for R-1 zoning shall not require a site plan. Unless otherwise noted in the approval, the site plan submitted in support of an approved application shall be considered a part of the approval and must be followed.
5. A fee for said application as established by the Governing Body from time to time.
6. Applications for conditional use permits to exceed the height limitations, applications for conditional signs, and applications for Certificates of Appropriateness shall also require architectural elevations of all proposed structures and buildings requested for approval.
7. Applications which require action by the Governing Body shall also require disclosure of any conflicts of interest as specified in Chapter 67A of the Georgia Code, "Conflict of Interest in Zoning Actions."

Applicants shall ~~submit fifteen (15) copies~~ of any required site plans, development plans, elevation drawings and letters of intent to the Zoning Administrative Officer for distribution to the applicable bodies and/or review agencies. The Zoning Administrative Officer **may require more or less** copies depending on the nature and extent of required review.

Section 2606. Site Plan Requirements.

All site plans required by this Article shall, at a minimum, contain the following information:

1. Title of the proposed development and the name, address and telephone number of the property owner.
2. The name, address and telephone number of the architect, engineer or other designer of the proposed development.
3. Scale, date, north arrow, and general location map showing relationship of the site to streets or natural landmarks.
4. Boundaries of the subject property, all existing and proposed streets, including right-of-way and street pavement widths; buildings; water courses; parking and loading areas; and other physical characteristics of the property and proposed development.
5. Building setbacks, buffers, landscape strips, and tree protection zone.

Section 2607. Criteria To Consider For Map Amendments.

The applicant, staff, Planning Commission and Governing Body should review an application for zoning map amendment with regard to the following criteria:

1. The existing uses and zoning of nearby property and whether the proposed zoning will adversely affect the existing use or usability of nearby property.
2. The extent to which property values are diminished by the particular zoning restrictions.
3. The extent to which the destruction of property values promotes the health, safety, morals or general welfare of the public.
4. The relative gain to the public, as compared to the hardship imposed upon the individual property owner.
5. The physical suitability of the subject property for development as presently zoned and under the proposed zoning district.
6. The length of time the property has been vacant, considered in the context of land development in the area in the vicinity of the property, and whether there are existing or changed conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the rezoning request.

7. The zoning history of the subject property.
8. The extent to which the proposed zoning will result in a use which will or could cause excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, schools, parks, or other public facilities.
9. Whether the zoning proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the comprehensive plan, land use plan, or other adopted plans.

The staff, Planning Commission and Governing Body may consider other factors deemed relevant before formulating recommendations and taking action on a particular request.

Section 2608. Criteria To Consider For Conditional Uses.

The applicant, staff, Planning Commission and Governing Body should review applications for conditional uses with regard to the following criteria:

1. Off-street parking and loading facilities are adequate in terms of location, amount and design to serve the use.
2. The number, size and type of signs proposed are compatible with the surrounding area.
3. The amount and location of open space and the provision of screening is such that buffering of incompatible uses is achieved.
4. Ingress and egress to the property is suitable and safe, and the effect of the proposed activity on traffic flow along adjoining streets is not adverse.
5. The location and intensity of outdoor lighting is such that it does not cast light on adjacent, adjoining or neighboring properties.
6. Hours and manner of operation of the proposed use are not inconsistent with adjacent and nearby uses.
7. Public facilities and utilities are capable of adequately serving the proposed use.
8. The proposed use will not have a significant adverse effect on the level of property values or the general character of adjacent land uses or the general area.
9. The physical conditions of the site, including size, shape, topography and drainage, are suitable for the proposed development.
10. The proposed use is consistent with the goals and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan of the City of Dahlonega.

The staff, Planning Commission and Governing Body may consider other factors deemed relevant before formulating recommendations and taking action on a particular conditional use application.



Original Submittal Date: August 29, 2022
Resubmittal Date: October 3, 2022

City of Dahlonega Planning & Zoning
465 Riley Road
Dahlonega, GA 30533

RE: Letter of Intent – Request for Variances

Hardeman Communities, Inc. (Applicant/Property Owner) hereby seeks variances for the following property:

- Parcel: D10 075
- Address: 215 Stephens Street, Dahlonega, GA 30533
- Existing Zoning: Multiple-Family Residential (R-3)
- Existing Use: Wooded/Grassland
- Proposed Use: Residential – Townhomes

Variance 1: Per R-3 zoning requirement pertaining to minimum setbacks, we request a variance be granted due to site constraints:

- Front Setback: 35' to 21'
- Rear Setback: 25' to 17'

Variance 2: Per R-3 zoning requirement pertaining to a minimum lot size of 2,000 sq ft, we request a variance be granted to allow for four (4) lots of 1,750 sq ft (each) due to site constraints.

Variance 3: Per City municipal code, Sections 1004.5 & 3.9, we request a variance for a proposed new road & common space(s) be considered and legally deemed private. All roads and common spaces to be constructed & maintained by a HOA/POA

- It is our understanding that the City does not wish to take ownership of proposed new road and common space(s)

Clarification of Site Constraints: The property width is narrow, the western edge being a creek/buffers, making the build-able area very narrow which doesn't allow for lot sizes, setbacks and street requirements that will meet City ordinance(s).

Thank you for your time and consideration.



STAFF REPORT

BZA 22-3

BZA 22-4

BZA 22-5

Applicant:	Hardeman Communities, Inc.
Owner:	Hardeman Communities, Inc.
Location:	215 Stephens Street
Acreage:	+/- 3.02Acres
Current Zoning Classification:	R-3
Reason:	<p>BZA 22-3 A request to vary Section 1004.2 to allow for the minimum lot size to be reduced from 2,000 square feet to 1,750 square feet</p> <p>BZA 22-4 A request to vary Section 2001(minimum setback requirements) to allow for a reduction in front setbacks from 35 feet to 21 feet and in rear setbacks from 25 feet to 17 feet for the entire subdivision</p> <p>BZA 22-5 A request to vary Section 1004.5 to allow for a private road not built to city specification</p>
City Services:	City currently provides services to this site

Applicant Proposal

In order to develop the site in the manner the applicant is proposing, multiple variances to our Zoning Code and waivers to the Development Codes will be needed. The purpose of this request is for the parcel to be subdivided into smaller lots than allowed by code.

The applicant is proposing a fee simple townhome neighborhood. Due to the shape of the lot and the buffers from the stream, the site will have to compromise in several areas of our regulations to build a road and subdivide lots.

There are two main areas the applicant is looking to waiver from our development regulations in conjunction with the variances. First is the Right-of-Way width and the other is the construction of sidewalks.

All waivers and variances play in conjunction and are paramount with each other in order to develop what the applicant is proposing.



History and Surrounding Uses

The zoning of this property has always been designated R-3 and has never been rezoned. This property remains undeveloped.

In October 2011, this property was given a variance to the Watershed Ordinance providing a 100 percent relief to the additional 150-foot buffer to the seven-mile watershed surround the drinking water reservoir.

Located at the end of Stephens Street, there are only two directly adjacent properties that would be affected.

- (East) Memorial Park Cemetery owned and maintained by the City of Dahlongega
- (West) Across the stream, Parcel D10-063 contain apartments primarily used as student housing.

The Following are questions from Article XXVI Section 1004.2 of Zoning Code with staff opinions:

1. **There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape or topography that are not applicable to other land or structures in the same district; and**

The exceptional condition would be the parcel unique shape and a primary trout stream with a 50' undisturbed buffer limiting the amount of buildable space.

2. **A literal interpretation of the provisions of these zoning regulations would create an unnecessary hardship and would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other property owners within the district in which the property is located; and**

A literal interpretation would not allow for the property to be developed in the manner that they are proposing.

3. **Granting the variance requested will not confer upon the property of the applicant any special privileges that are denied to other properties of the district in which the applicant's property is located; and**

Other similar variances have been granted individually. However, the combination of variances is somewhat unprecedented.

4. **Relief, if granted, will be in harmony with the purpose and intent of these regulations and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or general welfare in such a manner as will interfere with or discourage the appropriate development and use of adjacent land and buildings or unreasonable affect their value; and**



If granted, this variance would allow for this area to continue to be developed in a way that would benefit the neighborhood and general welfare consistent with the purpose of our regulations.

5. **The special circumstances are not the result of the actions of the applicant; and**

Correct.

6. **The variance requested is the minimum variance that will make possible the legal use of the land, building, or structure; and**

While every lot within the subdivision will not be in need of this variance by doing a blanket variance to the entire development will allow some minor variations to the site plan at the time of development. Thus, keeping the applicant from appearing before Council multiple times.

7. **The variance is not a request to permit a use of land, building or structures which are not permitted by right in the district involved.**

Correct



Staff Analysis

While staff recommends approval of the variances, it should be noted that the collective approval of the request has the potential to set a detrimental precedence within the city. Understanding that each individual variance comes with its own hardship, the property was approved for another type of development that did not have the need of variances and waivers.





Aerial view of the Parcel:




0 125 250 500 Feet






Current Zoning:



Comprehensive Plan:



Staff Recommended Motion:

Motion to recommend approval/approve Variance Application BZA-22-3

Motion to recommend approval/approve Variance Application BZA-22-4

Motion to recommend approval/approve Variance Application BZA-22-5



Planning Commission Recommendation:

BZA 22-3 Hardman Communities

A request to vary Section 1004.2 to allow for the minimum lot size to be reduced from 2,000 square feet to 1,750 square feet at 215 Stephens Street (D10 075).

*Motion to **approve** with the understanding that this variance is unique to this property and not to be set a precedence setting by Commissioner Guy, Second by Commissioner Crannell. Three in favor, Three opposed. Chairman Conaway broke the tie by voting in favor. Motion carried.*

BZA 22-4 Hardman Communities

A request to vary Section 2001(minimum setback requirements) to allow for a reduction in front setbacks from 35 feet to 21 feet and in rear setbacks from 25 feet to 17 feet for the entire subdivision at 215 Stephens Street (D10 075).

*Motion to **approve** with the understanding that this variance is unique to this property and not to be set a precedence setting by Commissioner Guy, Second by Commissioner Crannell. Four in favor, Two opposed. Motion carried.*

BZA 22-5 Hardman Communities

A request to vary Section 1004.5 to allow for a private road not built to city specification at 215 Stephens Street (D10 075).

*Motion to **approve** with the understanding that this variance is unique to this property and not to be set a precedence setting by Commissioner Carroll, Second by Commissioner Guy. Five in favor, One opposed. Motion carried.*

THIS 3.02 ACRE PROPERTY OFF OF STEPHENS STREET IS CURRENTLY WOODED/GRASSED. THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT CONSISTS OF 12 TOWNHOME UNITS ALONG WITH NEW CURB & GUTTER, ASPHALT DRIVE AND PARKING, AND A STORMWATER DETENTION POND. PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER WILL CONNECT TO AN EXISTING SEWER LINE.

*BUILDING SETBACKS (DAHLONEGA R-3):
FRONT: 35' FROM NON-ARTERIAL STREETS (SEEKING VARIANCE TO 21' FRONT BSL,
SIDE: 10'
REAR: 25' FOR TOWNHOMES (SEEKING VARIANCE TO 17' REAR BSL)*



CONTOUR INTERVAL = 2'

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1) ALL CONSTRUCTION WORK, MATERIALS, AND IMPROVEMENTS AT THIS SITE SHALL CONFORM WITH **CITY OF DALHOUSIE, GEORGIA REQUIREMENTS.**
- 2) ALL STRUCTURES WILL BE REQUIRED TO CONFORM TO THE STANDARD BUILDING CODES HORIZONTAL SEPARATION STANDARDS. APPROVAL OF THIS PERMIT WILL NOT JUSTIFY ANY DEVIATION IN HORIZONTAL SEPARATION STANDARDS AS ADOPTED AND AMENDED BY THE GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS.
- 3) CONTRACTORS SHALL CONDUCT ALL WORK IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF APPLICABLE REGULATIONS OF THE OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (OSHA) AND ALL LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS.
- 4) ALL CONSTRUCTION STAKING ON THIS SITE SHALL BE PERFORMED UNDER THE DIRECT SUPERVISION OF A GEORGIA REGISTERED LAND SURVEYOR.
- 5) MATTERS OF RECORD NOT SHOWN HEREON ARE EXCEPTED.
- 6) THE UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES AS SHOWN ON THIS PLAN WERE FOUND PER ABOVE GROUND EXAMINATION OF THIS SITE, BASED ON VISIBLE INDICATIONS. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO VERIFY ALL EXACT LOCATIONS AND DEPTHS OF ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND OTHER STRUCTURES BEFORE THE START OF CONSTRUCTION ON THIS PROJECT.
- 7) IT SHALL BE THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO VERIFY THAT ALL UTILITIES ARE AS NOTED IN THE PLANS. ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.
- 8) TOILET FACILITIES SHALL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO CONSTRUCTION WORKERS WITHIN 300' OF SITE.
- 9) NO MATERIAL CAN BE BURIED ON SITE WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE OWNER AND GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER.
- 10) CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR REMOVAL OF ALL DEBRIS AS ACCEPTABLE TO THE OWNER.
- 11) CONTRACTOR IS TO REMOVE ALL ROCK, TOPSOIL, AND UNSUITABLE MATERIALS.
- 12) MAXIMUM CUT OR FILL SLOPES SHALL BE 2 HORIZONTAL: 1 VERTICAL.
- 13) THIS SITE **DOES** NOT CONTAIN WEEDS.
- 14) THIS SITE **DOES** HAVE STATE WATERS REQUIRING UNDISTURBED BUFFERS.
- 15) EXISTING FEATURES SHOWN BY DASHED LINES OR SHADED. PROPOSED FEATURES SHOWN BY SOLID OR BOLD LINES.
- 16) CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING ADJACENT AREAS AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO STOP WORK IMMEDIATELY TO A CONDITION EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN THE ORIGINAL CONDITION.
- 17) ALL HOPE PIPE TO CONFORM TO PIPE MANUFACTURER REQUIREMENTS AND GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS.
- 18) CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE BUILDING CONSTRUCTION WITH ARCHITECTURAL PLANS (BY OTHERS).
- 19) ALL SIGNAGE AND STRIPING TO BE PROVIDED BY CONTRACTOR ACCORDING TO THE MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES (MUTCD) AND OTHER GOVERNING MUNICIPAL STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS, LATEST EDITIONS.
- 20) PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR IS TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THESE DRAWINGS WITH ALL COORDINATING DOCUMENTS AND NOTIFY ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES. IF DISCREPANCIES ARE FOUND DURING CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR IS TO STOP WORK IMMEDIATELY AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER.
- 21) TEMPORARY STRIPING AND SIGNAGE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN SAFE VEHICULAR AND PEDESTRIAN TRAFFIC FLOW DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE FURNISHED, INSTALLED, AND MAINTAINED BY THE CONTRACTOR.
- 22) ALL EXISTING MANHOLE COVERS, METER BOXES, AND OTHER UTILITY APPURTENANCES LOCATED WITHIN THE LIMITS OF WORK SHALL BE ADJUSTED SO THAT THEIR TOP SURFACES WILL BE FLUSH WITH FINISHED GRADE.

ALL PROPOSED PARKING SPACES ARE SITED NO MORE THAN 100' FROM A LANDSCAPE ISLAND PER SEC. 2108 OF DAHLONEGA CODE OF ORDINANCES.

OWNER/CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE WITH ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY TO PROVIDE SITE LIGHTING AND PHOTOMETRIC PLAN, ARRANGED TO PREVENT THE DIRECT ILLUMINATION OF ADJACENT RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES OR PUBLIC STREETS.




24-HOUR CONTACT
STEVE EIBERGER

[illegible]

STEPHENS COURT
CITY OF DAHLONEGA
LUMPKIN COUNTY, GEORGIA
LAND LOT 983, 12TH DISTRICT, 1ST SECTION

LEGEND			
-C-	UTILITY POLE (UP)	C&G	CURB AND GUTTER
HP	HIGH POINT	P	IRON PIN
DI	DOWN INLET	P/L	PROPERTY LINE
J8	JUNCTION BOX	F.E.S.S.	FLARED END SAFETY SECTION
OCS	OUTLET CONTROL STRUCTURE	PT	POINT OF TANGENT
DWCD	DOUBLED WING CATCH BASIN	PC	POINT OF CURVATURE
HW	HEADWALL	OCS	OUTLET CONTROL STRUCTURE
RCP	REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE	ELEV.	ELEVATION
CMP	CORRUGATED METAL PIPE	N/F	NOW OR FORMERLY
HDPE	HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE	R/W	RIGHT OF WAY
UGD	UNDERGROUND DETENTION	LLL	LAND LOT LINE
TBM	TUNNELING BENCHMARK	CONC.	CONCRETE
EX	EXISTING	IN	INVERT
FP	PROPOSED	EOP	EDGE OF PAVEMENT
FH	FIRE HYDRANT	TPY.	TYPICAL
WM	WATER METER	—	FENCE
LF	LINEAR FEE	—x—	SILT FENCE
DIP	DUCTILE IRON PIPE	— —	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC SOULS
PVC	POLYVINYL CHLORIDE	— —	EXISTING TREELINE
R	RAISED	— —	BUILDING SETBACK LINE (BSL)
SF	SQUARE FEET	-----	VEGETATIVE BUFFER
FFE	FINISH FLOOR ELEVATION		



1 OF 1

2019-21:

Tax Plat and parcel: Parcel: D10 075

A metes and bounds legal description is required. Also attach a boundary survey of the property if available Please be advised of the following:

- 1) The applicant is bound by the submitted site plan and letter of intent if this application is approved and development must be initiated within twenty-four months or the approved zoning is subject to reversion to its previous zoning by the Governing Body.
- 2) It is the policy (but not a legal requirement) that adjacent property owners and those owners within 150 feet of the subject property are notified by certified mail of the application.
- 3) The following seven questions can be answered within a letter of intent, but failure to answer any one can result in denial of the application.

Complete the following information.

1. There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape or topography that are not applicable to other land or structures in the same district.

The property width is narrow and the western edge being a creek/buffers, making the build-able area very narrow which doesn't allow for lot sizes or setbacks that will meet City ordinance

2. A literal interpretation of the provisions of these zoning regulations would create an unnecessary hardship and would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other property owners within the district in which the property is located.

None

3. Granting the variance requested will not confer upon the property of the applicant any special privileges that are denied to other properties of the district in which the applicant's property is located.

NA

4. Relief, if granted, will be in harmony with the purpose and intent of these regulations and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or general welfare in such a manner as will interfere with or discourage the appropriate development and use of adjacent land and buildings or unreasonably affect their value.

No

5. The special circumstances are not the result of the actions of the applicant.

No

6. The variance requested is the minimum variance that will make possible the legal use of the land, building or structure.

Yes

7. The variance is not a request to permit a use of land, building or structures which are not permitted by right in the district involved.

Correct

Property Owner's Certification

I hereby request the action contained with this application relative to the property shown on the attached plats and site plan and further request that this item be placed on both the Planning Commission and City Council's agenda(s) for a public hearing.

I understand that the Planning and Development staff may either accept or reject my request upon review. My request will be rejected if all the necessary data is not presented.


I understand that I have the obligation to present all data necessary and required by statute to enable the Planning Commission and City council to make an informed determination on my request. I will seek the advice of an attorney if I am not familiar with the zoning and land use requirements.

I understand that my request will be acted upon at the Planning Commission and City Council hearings and that I am required to be present or to be represented by someone able to present all the facts. I understand that failure to appear at the public hearing may result in the postponement or denial of my application. I further understand that it is my responsibility to be aware of relevant public hearing dates and time regardless of notification from the City of Dahlonga.

I herby certify that I have read the above and that the above information as well as the attached information is true and accurate.

I certify that I am the owner of the property described in the attached legal description, that all information contained in this application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that the applicant and/or agent listed above is authorized to act as the applicant and/or agent in the pursuit of rezoning of this property.


Signature of Property Owner: _____



Printed name of Property Owner : Hardeman Communities, Inc.

Date of Signature: September 28, 2022

Signature of Witness: _____



DISCLOSURE OF CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION

(Applicant(s) and Representative(s) of rezoning)

Pursuant to OCGA Section 36-37 A-3.A, the following disclosure is mandatory when an applicant or any representation of application for rezoning has been made within two (2) years immediately preceding the filing of the applicant's request for re-zoning & campaign contributions aggregating \$250.00 or more to a local government official who will consider the application for rezoning.

It shall be the duty of the applicant and the attorney representing the applicant to file a disclosure with the governing authority of the respective local government showing the following information:

1. The name of the local official to whom the campaign contribution was made:
_____.
2. The dollar amount and/or description of each campaign contribution made by the applicant to the local government official during the two years immediately preceding the filing of the application for the rezoning action and the date of each such contribution:

Amount \$ _____ Date: _____

Amount \$ _____ Date: _____
3. Enumeration and description of each gift when the total value of all gifts is \$250.00 or more made to the local government official during the 2 years immediately preceding the filing application for rezoning:

Signature of Applicant/
Representative of Applicant: _____
Date: _____

By not completing this form you are making a statement that no disclosure is required because no contributions have been made.

This form may be copied and additional pages attached if necessary.

Introduction

The City of Dahlonaga Planning Commission and City Council wish to inform you as to our Public Hearings for consideration of rezoning and variance applications. We appreciate your taking the time to attend these important proceedings.

The following information is provided to assist you in being fully informed as to the application process and procedure, and the issues involved in all zoning and variance hearings. Please read this information carefully. Hopefully, at the conclusion of the hearing you will have a better understanding of this governmental proceeding and will have fully participated in it as an interested citizen.

Application Process For Rezonings and Variances

At a preliminary meeting with a planner, the application process is discussed to determine what items are to be provided by the applicant.

Requirements for the application include a plat or deed and tax maps as well as authorization from the property owner. An application fee, determined by the type of application, is required upon filing. Additional data which may be required include a site plan, written report, plan approval by the Health Department, Engineering Department, Water Department, and/or the Department of Transportation.

Rezonings and variances are heard initially before the Planning Commissions and then as to rezonings the final public hearing is before the City Council and as to variances the final public hearing is before the Board of Zoning Appeals.

The application is filed in the Planning Department and must be made at least 30 working days prior to the Planning Commission meeting, in order for the City to prepare and deliver for publication, a legal advertisement which will be published at least 15 days prior to both the Planning Commission and City Council/Board of Zoning Appeals public hearings. The City Council will also:

1. Place on the property a sign with the dates and times of the Planning Commission and City Council/Board of Zoning Appeals meetings is posted on the subject property by the applicant at least 15 days prior to the public hearing.
2. Notify property owners adjacent to the subject property by mail of the request and meeting date and time (must be postmarked no less than five days before the public hearing).

A location map and staff report are prepared and delivered to Planning Commission members along with any information submitted by the applicant by the Planning Commission and/or legal staff.

The Planning Commission holds a public hearing on the request. The meeting is held at times designated in the *Dahlonega Nugget*. The applicant and any other citizens concerned with the request are given an opportunity to speak during the hearing. After all comments are heard, the Planning Commission makes its decision. They can approve, approve with conditions, table, deny a request or recommend a specific action to the City Council and/or the Board of Zoning Appeals, depending on the type of application.

The Planning Commission's recommendation, with all information received at the public hearing (staff reports, maps, etc.), are forwarded to the City Council as to rezoning and the Board of Zoning Appeals as to variances.

The City Council then holds a public hearing on the rezoning requests. The meeting times are set forth in the notices. All meetings are held at City Hall, 465 Riley Road.* The hearing is conducted under the same format as the Planning Commission's public hearing. After all comments are heard, the City Council makes its decision. The Council can approve, approve with conditions, table, refer to the Planning Commission, or deny the request. Their decision is final unless appealed to the Superior Court of Lumpkin County within 30 days following Commission action. Variance hearings before the Board of Zoning Appeals are conducted in the same manner as the rezoning hearings before the City Council.

*Unless public notice is given of some other time and/or location.

Criteria for Rezoning

The Council studies the need and justification for a requested zoning change based on a determination of the facts applicable to the particular application. The following criteria are considered in making that determination as per our Zoning Ordinance:

1. The existing uses and zoning of nearby property and whether the proposed zoning will adversely affect the existing use or usability of nearby property.
2. The extent to which property values are diminished by the particular zoning restrictions.
3. The extent to which the destruction of property values promotes the health, safety, morals or general welfare of the public.

4. The relative gain to the public, as compared to the hardship imposed upon the individual property owner.
5. The physical suitability of the subject property for development as presently zoned and under the proposed zoning district.
6. The length of time the property has been vacant, considered in the context of land development in the area in the vicinity of the property, and whether there are existing or changed conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the rezoning request.
7. The zoning history of the subject property.
8. The extent to which the proposed zoning will result in a use which will or could cause excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, schools, parks, or other public facilities.
9. Whether the zoning proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the comprehensive plan, land use plan, or other adopted plans.

The following related areas of inquiry may be reviewed as well:

1. Off-street parking and loading facilities are adequate in terms of location, amount and design to serve the use.
2. The number, size and type of signs proposed are compatible with the surrounding area.
3. The amount and location of open space and the provision of screening is such that buffering of incompatible uses is achieved.
4. Ingress and egress to the property is suitable and safe, and the effect of the proposed activity on traffic flow along adjoining streets is not adverse.

5. The location and intensity of outdoor lighting is such that it does not cast light on adjacent, adjoining or neighboring properties.
6. Hours and manner of operation of the proposed use are not inconsistent with adjacent and nearby uses.
7. Public facilities and utilities are capable of adequately serving the proposed use.
8. The proposed use will not have a significant adverse effect on the level of property values or the general character of adjacent land uses or the general area.
9. The physical conditions of the site, including size, shape, topography and drainage, are suitable for the proposed development.
10. The proposed use is consistent with the goals and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan of the City of Dahlonega.

Comments addressed to the Board of Zoning Appeals, Planning Commission and the City Council should address these criteria.

The types of questions precipitated by these criteria are as follows:

1. Will the zoning proposal permit a use that is suitable in view of the use, development, and zoning of adjacent and nearby property?
2. Will the zoning proposal adversely affect the existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property?
3. Does the subject property have a reasonable economic use as currently zoned?
4. Will the zoning proposal result in a use which will or could cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, schools, police protection, fire protection, public health facilities, or emergency medical service?

5. Is the zoning proposal in conformity with the policy and intent of the future land use plan for the physical development of the area?
6. What is the effect on the property value of the subject property should the existing zoning be retained?
7. If denied, will the effect on the subject property's value under the existing zoning be offset by the gain to the health, safety, morals, or general welfare of the public?
8. If denied, how does the relative gain to the public compare to the hardship imposed upon the individual property owner?
9. Has the property been undeveloped an unusual length of time as currently zoned considered in the context of land development in the area in the vicinity of the property?
10. Are there other existing or changing conditions affecting the use or development of the property which give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the zoning proposal?
11. Would the change create an isolated district unrelated to the surrounding districts, such as "spot zoning?"
12. Are the present zoning district boundaries illogically drawn in relation to existing conditions in the area?
13. Is the change requested out of scale with the needs of the city as a whole or the immediate neighborhood?
14. Is it impossible to find adequate sites for the proposed use in districts permitting such use and already appropriately zoned?
15. Could the need for rezoning be handled instead by a variance request to the zoning board of adjustment?
16. Would there be an impact on the ecology or would pollution result from major modifications to the land if the request is granted?
17. Is there reasonable evidence based upon existing and anticipated land use that would indicate a mistake was made in the original zoning of the property?

Criteria for Variances

The Ordinance spells out certain outlines for a variance which is defined as:

A minimal relaxation or modification of the strict terms of the height, area, placement, setback, yard, buffer, landscape strip, parking and loading regulations as applied to specific property when, because of particular physical surroundings, shape or topographical condition of the property, compliance would result in a particular hardship upon the owner, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience or a desire to make profit.

The Board of Zoning Appeals is hereby empowered to authorize upon application in specific cases such variance from the terms of these regulations as will not be contrary to the public interest where, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of the provisions of these regulations will in an individual case, result in unnecessary hardship, so that the spirit of these regulations shall be observed, public safety and welfare secured, and substantial justice done. The existence of a non-conforming use of neighboring land, buildings or structures in the same zoning district or of permitted or non-conforming uses in other districts shall not constitute a reason for the requested variance. A variance may be granted in an individual case of unnecessary hardship, after appropriate application in accordance with Article XXVI, upon specific findings that all of the following conditions exist. The absence of any one (1) of the conditions shall be grounds for denial of the application for variance.

1. There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape or topography that are not applicable to other land or structures in the same district; and
2. A literal interpretation of the provisions of these zoning regulations would create an unnecessary hardship and would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other property owners within the district in which the property is located; and
3. Granting the variance requested will not confer upon the property of the applicant any special privileges that are denied to other properties of the district in which the applicant's property is located; and
4. Relief, if granted, will be in harmony with the purpose and intent of these regulations and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or general welfare in such a manner as will interfere with or discourage the appropriate development and use of adjacent land and buildings or

unreasonably affect their value; and

5. The special circumstances are not the result of the actions of the applicant; and
6. The variance requested is the minimum variance that will make possible the legal use of the land, building, or structure; and
7. The variance is not a request to permit a use of land, building or structures which are not permitted by right in the district involved.

Planning Commission, Board of Zoning Appeals and City Council Procedure for Hearing

1. Public hearings shall be held at times and places provided in the published notices of the hearings.
2. The hearing shall be conducted by the City Attorney or other hearing officer.
3. Upon the convening of the hearing, the hearing officer will read the published notice of the matter to be considered. The matter shall be considered in the order indicated by the filing of the published notice.
4. The matter to be heard shall be stated by the hearing officer, who shall then call for a staff report on the proposed amendment.
5. A verbal staff report shall be made, stating the staff recommendation and summarizing the written report submitted for the hearing.
6. Both persons favoring and persons opposing a matter shall have an opportunity to speak. The party proposing the matter shall be invited to speak first, followed by persons favoring the proposed matter, then by persons opposed to the proposed matter. Each speaker will be asked to identify himself or herself and state his/her current address. When all opposing statements have

been heard, the party proposing the matter may be permitted to rebut those statements.

7. The hearing officer may place reasonable limits on the number of persons who may speak for or against the proposal, the time allowed for each speaker, and the total time allowed for presentation of the matter.

8. Each speaker shall speak only to the merits of the proposed matter and shall address remarks only to the public body hearing the request. Each speaker shall refrain from personal attacks on any other speaker or the discussion of facts or opinions irrelevant to the proposed zoning amendment. The hearing officer may limit or refuse a speaker the right to continue if the speaker, after first being cautioned, continues to violate this requirement.

9. Because of time constraints in hearings, interested parties shall be encouraged to submit petitions, studies, letters, and other materials requiring prior study in time to have them included in the final agenda for the hearing. The City shall have discretion to accept such materials at the hearing if circumstances did not permit earlier submittal. Such materials, if presented orally at the hearing, shall be subject to the time limits provided herein. If materials are not presented in a timely manner, they may not be considered or may result in tabling of the matter.

10. Upon conclusion of public comments, as determined by the hearing officer, conduct of the meeting shall be returned to the presiding officers who shall have discretion to call on previous speakers as necessary for clarification of views expressed or the materials submitted.

Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the ability of the hearing officer to maintain decorum in the conduct of a hearing to assure that the hearing is conducted in a fair and orderly manner.

The public bodies hearing the matter shall have discretion to continue a hearing to a later date if the materials submitted or views expressed require more time for study and consideration than may reasonably be allocated in one meeting, and may call for such additional views, studies, or other information from any source members consider necessary to making a sound decision.

City of Dahlonga Zoning Districts

For the purpose of regulating development, The City of Dahlonga has divided its jurisdictional area into the following zoning districts:

Single-Family Residential District (R-1)

Highway Business District (B-2)

Multiple-Family Residential District (R-2)

Historical Business District (B-3)

Multiple-Family Residential District (R-3)

Mobile Home Park District (MHP)

Planned Unit Development District (PUD)

Neighborhood Business District (B-1)

Central Business District (CBD)

Office-Institutional District (O-I)

Industrial District (I)

Each zoning district differs from all the other districts in which land uses are allowed, what standards and restrictions apply and what approvals are necessary. The City of Dahlonega Zoning Regulations provide a description of land uses permitted and other requirements (minimum lot size, setbacks, frontage, etc.) of each district. You can obtain a full description of the permitted land uses and requirements from the City of Dahlonega Planning Department (telephone: 706-864-6133) located at 465 Riley Road, Dahlonega, Georgia, 30533. The requirements and permitted uses are subject to change with new amendments to the regulations, so always make sure you have the latest version of the requirements and permitted land uses.

Legal Representation


This information sheet is not and should not be considered a substitute for good legal advice. Because of the importance of all zoning matters, the City Council encourages you to seek legal advice from an attorney of your choice.

MLLSCitizen's Guide Zoning Handout Final

Rezoning, Variance, Conditional Use and Site Plan Hearing Schedule

Deadline for application Submittal	Public Hearing Planning & Zoning Meeting	Public Hearing Mayor & City Council Meeting
30 days prior to 1 st meeting. <i>Please submit your request ASAP.</i>	Second Monday of each month	1 st Monday of each month

REZONING, VARIANCE, SITE PLAN AND CONDITIONAL USE PROCESS



Section 2605. Application Requirements.

Application materials specified in this section shall be required for the following petitions: amendments to the official zoning map, alterations or extensions of conditional zoning, conditional use permits, development plan approvals within the Planned Unit Development (PUD) District, Mobile Home Park (MHP) District, applications for condominium site plan approval, variances or appeals to the Board of Zoning Appeals:

1. An application form furnished by the Zoning Administrative Officer; and
2. A legal description of the property to be considered in the application. The legal description shall be by metes and bounds unless an alternative legal description is accepted by the Zoning Administrative Officer. Boundary surveys of the property should be submitted with the application whenever available; and
3. A letter of intent which describes general characteristics of the proposed development such as type and time frame of development, background information in support of such application, and any other information deemed pertinent by the applicant. For variance applications, the letter of intent shall address the criteria specified in Section 2406. of these regulations. For zoning map amendment applications, the letter of intent shall address the standards specified in Section 2607. of these regulations. For conditional use permit applications, the letter of intent shall address the standards specified in Section 2608. of these regulations. For PUD applications the letter of intent shall take the form of a development plan summary report which shall also address the items enumerated in Section 1303.
4. A site plan with all information specified in Section 2606. except that zoning map amendment applications for R-1 zoning shall not require a site plan. Unless otherwise noted in the approval, the site plan submitted in support of an approved application shall be considered a part of the approval and must be followed.
5. A fee for said application as established by the Governing Body from time to time.
6. Applications for conditional use permits to exceed the height limitations, applications for conditional signs, and applications for Certificates of Appropriateness shall also require architectural elevations of all proposed structures and buildings requested for approval.
7. Applications which require action by the Governing Body shall also require disclosure of any conflicts of interest as specified in Chapter 67A of the Georgia Code, "Conflict of Interest in Zoning Actions."

Applicants shall ~~submit fifteen (15) copies~~ of any required site plans, development plans, elevation drawings and letters of intent to the Zoning Administrative Officer for distribution to the applicable bodies and/or review agencies. The Zoning Administrative Officer **may require more or less** copies depending on the nature and extent of required review.

Section 2606. Site Plan Requirements.

All site plans required by this Article shall, at a minimum, contain the following information:

1. Title of the proposed development and the name, address and telephone number of the property owner.
2. The name, address and telephone number of the architect, engineer or other designer of the proposed development.
3. Scale, date, north arrow, and general location map showing relationship of the site to streets or natural landmarks.
4. Boundaries of the subject property, all existing and proposed streets, including right-of-way and street pavement widths; buildings; water courses; parking and loading areas; and other physical characteristics of the property and proposed development.
5. Building setbacks, buffers, landscape strips, and tree protection zone.

Section 2607. Criteria To Consider For Map Amendments.

The applicant, staff, Planning Commission and Governing Body should review an application for zoning map amendment with regard to the following criteria:

1. The existing uses and zoning of nearby property and whether the proposed zoning will adversely affect the existing use or usability of nearby property.
2. The extent to which property values are diminished by the particular zoning restrictions.
3. The extent to which the destruction of property values promotes the health, safety, morals or general welfare of the public.
4. The relative gain to the public, as compared to the hardship imposed upon the individual property owner.
5. The physical suitability of the subject property for development as presently zoned and under the proposed zoning district.
6. The length of time the property has been vacant, considered in the context of land development in the area in the vicinity of the property, and whether there are existing or changed conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the rezoning request.

7. The zoning history of the subject property.
8. The extent to which the proposed zoning will result in a use which will or could cause excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, schools, parks, or other public facilities.
9. Whether the zoning proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the comprehensive plan, land use plan, or other adopted plans.

The staff, Planning Commission and Governing Body may consider other factors deemed relevant before formulating recommendations and taking action on a particular request.

Section 2608. Criteria To Consider For Conditional Uses.

The applicant, staff, Planning Commission and Governing Body should review applications for conditional uses with regard to the following criteria:

1. Off-street parking and loading facilities are adequate in terms of location, amount and design to serve the use.
2. The number, size and type of signs proposed are compatible with the surrounding area.
3. The amount and location of open space and the provision of screening is such that buffering of incompatible uses is achieved.
4. Ingress and egress to the property is suitable and safe, and the effect of the proposed activity on traffic flow along adjoining streets is not adverse.
5. The location and intensity of outdoor lighting is such that it does not cast light on adjacent, adjoining or neighboring properties.
6. Hours and manner of operation of the proposed use are not inconsistent with adjacent and nearby uses.
7. Public facilities and utilities are capable of adequately serving the proposed use.
8. The proposed use will not have a significant adverse effect on the level of property values or the general character of adjacent land uses or the general area.
9. The physical conditions of the site, including size, shape, topography and drainage, are suitable for the proposed development.
10. The proposed use is consistent with the goals and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan of the City of Dahlonga.

The staff, Planning Commission and Governing Body may consider other factors deemed relevant before formulating recommendations and taking action on a particular conditional use application.



PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

All that tract or parcel of land lying and being in Land Lot 983, 12th District, 1st Section, in the City of Dahlonega, Lumpkin County, Georgia and being more particularly described as follows:

COMMENCING at the center line intersection of Stephens Street and Georgia State Route 60; THENCE, N21°13'48"W a distance of 775.03' to a 1" open top pipe found, said 1" open top pipe found being the POINT OF BEGINNING;

THENCE, N87°54'32"W a distance of 173.07' to a point at the center line of a stream;

THENCE, along the center line of said stream the following courses and distances:

N12°42'56"W a distance of 22.20';
N04°50'01"W a distance of 17.44';
N16°22'09"E a distance of 23.85';
N33°27'57"W a distance of 50.82';
N09°08'06"W a distance of 66.54';
N00°13'10"W a distance of 43.07';
N34°02'43"W a distance of 27.54';
N10°41'27"W a distance of 36.54';
N29°11'31"E a distance of 19.56';
N06°34'15"W a distance of 14.55';
N60°42'56"W a distance of 46.36';
N18°08'53"E a distance of 11.18';
N53°37'15"E a distance of 16.44';
N19°57'13"W a distance of 61.94';
N12°11'51"W a distance of 41.09';
N07°58'03"E a distance of 29.35';
N01°07'47"E a distance of 19.74';
N06°59'59"W a distance of 16.80';
N72°04'35"W a distance of 17.13';
N76°13'16"W a distance of 33.84';
N44°35'58"W a distance of 44.31';
N39°26'50"W a distance of 31.30';

THENCE, leaving said stream, N47°55'30"E a distance of 294.48' to a 1-1/2" open top pipe found;

THENCE S11°17'03"E a distance of 267.19' to a 1/2" rebar found;

THENCE S11°30'39"E a distance of 531.61' to a 1" open top pipe found. Said 1" open top pipe found being the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Said property containing 3.02 acres more or less.



Original Submittal Date: August 29, 2022
Resubmittal Date: October 3, 2022

City of Dahlonega Planning & Zoning
465 Riley Road
Dahlonega, GA 30533

RE: Letter of Intent – Request for Variances

Hardeman Communities, Inc. (Applicant/Property Owner) hereby seeks variances for the following property:

- Parcel: D10 075
- Address: 215 Stephens Street, Dahlonega, GA 30533
- Existing Zoning: Multiple-Family Residential (R-3)
- Existing Use: Wooded/Grassland
- Proposed Use: Residential – Townhomes

Variance 1: Per R-3 zoning requirement pertaining to minimum setbacks, we request a variance be granted due to site constraints:

- Front Setback: 35' to 21'
- Rear Setback: 25' to 17'

Variance 2: Per R-3 zoning requirement pertaining to a minimum lot size of 2,000 sq ft, we request a variance be granted to allow for four (4) lots of 1,750 sq ft (each) due to site constraints.

Variance 3: Per City municipal code, Sections 1004.5 & 3.9, we request a variance for a proposed new road & common space(s) be considered and legally deemed private. All roads and common spaces to be constructed & maintained by a HOA/POA

- It is our understanding that the City does not wish to take ownership of proposed new road and common space(s)

Clarification of Site Constraints: The property width is narrow, the western edge being a creek/buffers, making the build-able area very narrow which doesn't allow for lot sizes, setbacks and street requirements that will meet City ordinance(s).

Thank you for your time and consideration.



STAFF REPORT

BZA 22-3

BZA 22-4

BZA 22-5

Applicant:	Hardeman Communities, Inc.
Owner:	Hardeman Communities, Inc.
Location:	215 Stephens Street
Acreage:	+/- 3.02Acres
Current Zoning Classification:	R-3
Reason:	<p>BZA 22-3 A request to vary Section 1004.2 to allow for the minimum lot size to be reduced from 2,000 square feet to 1,750 square feet</p> <p>BZA 22-4 A request to vary Section 2001(minimum setback requirements) to allow for a reduction in front setbacks from 35 feet to 21 feet and in rear setbacks from 25 feet to 17 feet for the entire subdivision</p> <p>BZA 22-5 A request to vary Section 1004.5 to allow for a private road not built to city specification</p>
City Services:	City currently provides services to this site

Applicant Proposal

In order to develop the site in the manner the applicant is proposing, multiple variances to our Zoning Code and waivers to the Development Codes will be needed. The purpose of this request is for the parcel to be subdivided into smaller lots than allowed by code.

The applicant is proposing a fee simple townhome neighborhood. Due to the shape of the lot and the buffers from the stream, the site will have to compromise in several areas of our regulations to build a road and subdivide lots.

There are two main areas the applicant is looking to waiver from our development regulations in conjunction with the variances. First is the Right-of-Way width and the other is the construction of sidewalks.

All waivers and variances play in conjunction and are paramount with each other in order to develop what the applicant is proposing.



History and Surrounding Uses

The zoning of this property has always been designated R-3 and has never been rezoned. This property remains undeveloped.

In October 2011, this property was given a variance to the Watershed Ordinance providing a 100 percent relief to the additional 150-foot buffer to the seven-mile watershed surround the drinking water reservoir.

Located at the end of Stephens Street, there are only two directly adjacent properties that would be affected.

- (East) Memorial Park Cemetery owned and maintained by the City of Dahlongega
- (West) Across the stream, Parcel D10-063 contain apartments primarily used as student housing.

The Following are questions from Article XXVI Section 1004.2 of Zoning Code with staff opinions:

1. **There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape or topography that are not applicable to other land or structures in the same district; and**

The exceptional condition would be the parcel unique shape and a primary trout stream with a 50' undisturbed buffer limiting the amount of buildable space.

2. **A literal interpretation of the provisions of these zoning regulations would create an unnecessary hardship and would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other property owners within the district in which the property is located; and**

A literal interpretation would not allow for the property to be developed in the manner that they are proposing.

3. **Granting the variance requested will not confer upon the property of the applicant any special privileges that are denied to other properties of the district in which the applicant's property is located; and**

Other similar variances have been granted individually. However, the combination of variances is somewhat unprecedented.

4. **Relief, if granted, will be in harmony with the purpose and intent of these regulations and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or general welfare in such a manner as will interfere with or discourage the appropriate development and use of adjacent land and buildings or unreasonable affect their value; and**



If granted, this variance would allow for this area to continue to be developed in a way that would benefit the neighborhood and general welfare consistent with the purpose of our regulations.

5. **The special circumstances are not the result of the actions of the applicant; and**

Correct.

6. **The variance requested is the minimum variance that will make possible the legal use of the land, building, or structure; and**

While every lot within the subdivision will not be in need of this variance by doing a blanket variance to the entire development will allow some minor variations to the site plan at the time of development. Thus, keeping the applicant from appearing before Council multiple times.

7. **The variance is not a request to permit a use of land, building or structures which are not permitted by right in the district involved.**

Correct



Staff Analysis

While staff recommends approval of the variances, it should be noted that the collective approval of the request has the potential to set a detrimental precedence within the city. Understanding that each individual variance comes with its own hardship, the property was approved for another type of development that did not have the need of variances and waivers.





Aerial view of the Parcel:




0 125 250 500 Feet







Comprehensive Plan:



Staff Recommended Motion:

Motion to recommend approval/approve Variance Application BZA-22-3

Motion to recommend approval/approve Variance Application BZA-22-4

Motion to recommend approval/approve Variance Application BZA-22-5



Planning Commission Recommendation:

BZA 22-3 Hardman Communities

A request to vary Section 1004.2 to allow for the minimum lot size to be reduced from 2,000 square feet to 1,750 square feet at 215 Stephens Street (D10 075).

*Motion to **approve** with the understanding that this variance is unique to this property and not to be set a precedence setting by Commissioner Guy, Second by Commissioner Crannell. Three in favor, Three opposed. Chairman Conaway broke the tie by voting in favor. Motion carried.*

BZA 22-4 Hardman Communities

A request to vary Section 2001(minimum setback requirements) to allow for a reduction in front setbacks from 35 feet to 21 feet and in rear setbacks from 25 feet to 17 feet for the entire subdivision at 215 Stephens Street (D10 075).

*Motion to **approve** with the understanding that this variance is unique to this property and not to be set a precedence setting by Commissioner Guy, Second by Commissioner Crannell. Four in favor, Two opposed. Motion carried.*

BZA 22-5 Hardman Communities

A request to vary Section 1004.5 to allow for a private road not built to city specification at 215 Stephens Street (D10 075).

*Motion to **approve** with the understanding that this variance is unique to this property and not to be set a precedence setting by Commissioner Carroll, Second by Commissioner Guy. Five in favor, One opposed. Motion carried.*

THIS 3.02 ACRE PROPERTY OFF OF STEPHENS STREET IS CURRENTLY WOODED/GRASSED. THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT CONSISTS OF 12 TOWNHOME UNITS ALONG WITH NEW CURB & GUTTER, ASPHALT DRIVE AND PARKING, AND A STORMWATER DETENTION POND. PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER WILL CONNECT TO AN EXISTING SEWER LINE.

PARCEL #: D10 075
TOTAL AREA= 3.02 ACRES
DISTURBED AREA= 2.10± ACRES

BUILDING SETBACKS (DAHLONEGA R-3):
FRONT: 35' FROM NON-ARTERIAL STREETS (SEEKING VARIANCE TO 21' FRONT BSL)
SIDE: 10'
REAR: 25' FOR TOWNHOMES (SEEKING VARIANCE TO 17' REAR BSL)

MINIMUM LOT SIZE: 1,750 SF (VARIANCE REQUIRED)
AVERAGE LOT SIZE: 3,440 SF
MIN LOT FRONTAGE: 25'
R/W WIDTH: 36' (PRIVATE)



CONTOUR INTERVAL = 2'

NO PORTION OF THIS SITE IS LOCATED WITHIN THE 100-YEAR FLOOD PRONE AREA AS PER FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP NO. 13187C0161D, DATED 04/04/2018.

- 1) ALL CONSTRUCTION WORK, MATERIALS, AND IMPROVEMENTS AT THIS SITE SHALL CONFORM WITH **CITY OF DALHOUSIE**, GEORGIA REQUIREMENTS.
- 2) ALL STRUCTURES WILL BE REQUIRED TO CONFORM TO THE STANDARD BUILDING CODE'S HORIZONTAL SEPARATION STANDARDS. APPROVAL OF THIS PERMIT WILL NOT CONSTITUTE ANY DEVIATION IN HORIZONTAL SEPARATION STANDARDS AS ADOPTED AND AMENDED BY THE GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS.
- 3) CONTRACTORS SHALL CONDUCT ALL WORK IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF APPLICABLE REGULATIONS OF THE OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (OSHA) AND ALL LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS.
- 4) ALL CONSTRUCTION STAKING ON THIS SITE SHALL BE PERFORMED UNDER THE DIRECT SUPERVISION OF A GEORGIA REGISTERED LAND SURVEYOR.
- 5) MATTERS OF RECORD NOT SHOWN HEREON ARE EXCEPTED.
- 6) THE UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES AS SHOWN ON THIS PLAN WERE FOUND PER ABOVE GROUND EXAMINATION OF THIS SITE, BASED ON VISIBLE INDICATIONS. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO VERIFY ALL EXACT LOCATIONS AND ELEVATIONS OF ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND OTHER STRUCTURES BEFORE THE START OF CONSTRUCTION ON THIS PROJECT.
- 7) IT SHALL BE THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO VERIFY THAT ALL UTILITIES ARE AS NOTED IN THE PLANS, ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.
- 8) TOILET FACILITIES SHALL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO CONSTRUCTION WORKERS WITHIN 300' OF SITE.
- 9) NO MATERIAL CAN BE BURIED ONSITE WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE OWNER AND GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER.
- 10) CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR REMOVAL OF ALL DEBRIS AS ACCEPTABLE TO THE OWNER.
- 11) CONTRACTOR IS TO REMOVE ALL ROCK, TOPSOIL, AND UNSUITABLE MATERIALS.
- 12) MAXIMUM CUT OR FILL SLOPES SHALL BE 2 HORIZONTAL: 1 VERTICAL.
- 13) THIS SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN WETLANDS.
- 14) THIS SITE **DOES** HAVE STATE WATERWAYS REQUIRING UNDISTURBED BUFFERS.
- 15) EXISTING FEATURES SHOWN BY DASHED LINES OR SHADED, PROPOSED FEATURES SHOWN BY SOLID OR BOLD LINES.
- 16) CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING ADJACENT AREAS AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO REPAIR OR REPLACE DAMAGE TO A CONDITION EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN THE ORIGINAL CONDITION.
- 17) ALL HOPE PIPE TO CONFORM TO PIPE MANUFACTURER REQUIREMENTS AND GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS.
- 18) CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE BUILDING CONSTRUCTION WITH ARCHITECTURAL PLANS (BY OTHERS).
- 19) ALL SIGNAGE AND STRIPING TO BE PROVIDED BY CONTRACTOR ACCORDING TO THE MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES (MUTCD) AND OTHER GOVERNING MUNICIPAL STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS, LATEST EDITIONS.
- 20) PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR IS TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THESE DRAWINGS WITH ALL COORDINATING DOCUMENTS AND NOTIFY ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES. IF DISCREPANCIES ARE FOUND DURING CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR IS TO STOP WORK IMMEDIATELY AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER.
- 21) ALL TEMPORARY STRIPING AND SIGNAGE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN SAFE VEHICULAR AND PEDESTRIAN TRAFFIC FLOW DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE FURNISHED, INSTALLED, AND MAINTAINED BY THE CONTRACTOR.
- 22) ALL EXISTING MANHOLE COVERS, METER BOXES, AND OTHER UTILITY APPURTENANCES LOCATED WITHIN THE LIMITS OF WORK SHALL BE ADJUSTED SO THAT THEIR TOP SURFACES WILL BE FLUSH WITH FINISHED GRADE.

ALL PROPOSED PARKING SPACES ARE SITED NO MORE THAN 100' FROM A LANDSCAPE ISLAND PER SEC. 2108 OF DAHLONEGA CODE OF ORDINANCES.

OWNER/CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE WITH ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY TO PROVIDE SITE LIGHTING AND PHOTOMETRIC PLAN, ARRANGED TO PREVENT THE DIRECT ILLUMINATION OF ADJACENT RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES OR PUBLIC STREETS.




OWNER
HARDEMAN COMMUNITIES INC

24-HOUR CONTACT
STEVE EIBERGER

LEGEND			
○	UTILITY POLE (UP)	C&G	CURB AND GUTTER
HP	HIGH POINT	P	IRON PIN
DI	DROP INLET	P/L	PROPERTY LINE
JB	JUNCTION BOX	F.T.S.S.	FLARED END SAFETY SECTION
OC	OUTLET CONTROL STRUCTURE	PT	POINT OF TANGENT
DWCB	DOUBLE WING CATCH BASIN	P/C	POINT OF CURVATURE
HW	HEADWALL	OC	OUTLET CONTROL STRUCTURE
RC	REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE	ELEV.	ELEVATION
CMP	CORRUGATED METAL PIPE	N/F	NOW OR FORMERLY
HOPE	HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE	P/W	RIGHT OF WAY
UD	UNDERGROUND DETENTION	L/L	LAND LOT LINE
TBM	TEMPORARY BENCHMARK	CONC.	CONCRETE
EX.	EXISTING	INV	INVERT
PROP.	PROPOSED	EOP	EDGE OF PAVEMENT
FH	FIRE HYDRANT	TYP.	TYPICAL
WM	WATER METER	—	FENCE
LF	LEAF FEET	—	SELF FENCE
UP	DUCTILE IRON PIPE	—	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
PVC	POLYVINYL CHLORIDE	—	SOILS
R	RADIUS	~~~~~	EXISTING TREELINE
SF	SQUARE FEET	-----	BUILDING SETBACK LINE (BSL)
FF	FINISH FLOOR ELEVATION	-----	VEGETATIVE BUFFER

GRAPHIC SCALE



**DES
DAVIS**
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING
24 DAWSON VILLAGE
WAY SOUTH
DAWSONVILLE, GA 30534
PHONE: (706) 265-1234
DAVISENGINEERS.COM



10/3/22

[illegible]

CONCEPT PLAN

STEPHENS COURT
CITY OF DAHLONEGA
LUMPKIN COUNTY, GEORGIA
LAND LOT 983, 12TH DISTRICT, 1ST SECTION

<i>DRAWN BY:</i>	<i>GRJ</i>
<i>CHECKED BY:</i>	<i>PSA</i>
<i>LAND LOT:</i>	<i>983</i>
<i>DISTRICT:</i>	<i>12TH</i>
<i>SECTION:</i>	<i>1ST</i>
<i>CITY:</i>	<i>DAHLONEGA</i>
<i>COUNTY:</i>	<i>LUMPKIN</i>
<i>DATE:</i>	<i>10/3/22</i>

SHEET NO.

1 OF 1

PROJECT NO.

2019-211

City of Dahlonega Planning & Zoning
465 Riley Road
Dahlonega, GA 30533
Phone: 706/864-6133 • Fax: 706/864-4837 • Email: cityplanning@alltel.net

MEMORANDUM

TO: Applicants for Variance

FROM: Chris Head

RE: Variance procedure and application materials

In order to assist you in preparing your variance application, and to enable a complete understanding of the variance application process, this package has been assembled. The following attachments are included:

1. Variance application form.
2. A flow chart of the application process.
3. Schedule of Zoning application hearings and the deadline dates for submittal.

*A copy of Article XXVI of the zoning ordinance which describes in detail the required amendment application procedures is available upon request at the office.

The application fee of \$200 is due at the time of submittal.

In addition to the application and/or site plans you may want to include a brief narrative explaining what you are requesting and why.

Please be advised that someone will need to be at the meetings to present the request or the item will be tabled. Call if you need additional information.

A metes and bounds legal description is required. Also attach a boundary survey of the property if available Please be advised of the following:

- 1) The applicant is bound by the submitted site plan and letter of intent if this application is approved and development must be initiated within twenty-four months or the approved zoning is subject to reversion to its previous zoning by the Governing Body.
- 2) It is the policy (but not a legal requirement) that adjacent property owners and those owners within 150 feet of the subject property are notified by certified mail of the application.
- 3) The following seven questions can be answered within a letter of intent, but failure to answer any one can result in denial of the application.

Complete the following information.

1. There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape or topography that are not applicable to other land or structures in the same district.

The property width is narrow and the western edge being a creek/buffers, making the build-able area very narrow which doesn't allow for lot sizes, setbacks and street requirement(s) that will meet City ordinance(s)

2. A literal interpretation of the provisions of these zoning regulations would create an unnecessary hardship and would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other property owners within the district in which the property is located.

None

3. Granting the variance requested will not confer upon the property of the applicant any special privileges that are denied to other properties of the district in which the applicant's property is located.

NA

4. Relief, if granted, will be in harmony with the purpose and intent of these regulations and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or general welfare in such a manner as will interfere with or discourage the appropriate development and use of adjacent land and buildings or unreasonably affect their value.

No

5. The special circumstances are not the result of the actions of the applicant.

No

6. The variance requested is the minimum variance that will make possible the legal use of the land, building or structure.

Yes

7. The variance is not a request to permit a use of land, building or structures which are not permitted by right in the district involved.

Correct

Property Owner's Certification

I hereby request the action contained with this application relative to the property shown on the attached plats and site plan and further request that this item be placed on both the Planning Commission and City Council's agenda(s) for a public hearing.

I understand that the Planning and Development staff may either accept or reject my request upon review. My request will be rejected if all the necessary data is not presented.

I understand that I have the obligation to present all data necessary and required by statute to enable the Planning Commission and City council to make an informed determination on my request. I will seek the advice of an attorney if I am not familiar with the zoning and land use requirements.

I understand that my request will be acted upon at the Planning Commission and City Council hearings and that I am required to be present or to be represented by someone able to present all the facts. I understand that failure to appear at the public hearing may result in the postponement or denial of my application. I further understand that it is my responsibility to be aware of relevant public hearing dates and time regardless of notification from the City of Dahlenega.

I herby certify that I have read the above and that the above information as well as the attached information is true and accurate.

I certify that I am the owner of the property described in the attached legal description, that all information contained in this application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that the applicant and/or agent listed above is authorized to act as the applicant and/or agent in the pursuit of rezoning of this property.

Signature of Property Owner: _____




Printed name of Property Owner : Hardeman Communities, Inc.

Date of Signature: _____

~~September 28, 2022~~

Oct. 3, 2022

Signature of Witness: _____



DISCLOSURE OF CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION

(Applicant(s) and Representative(s) of rezoning)

Pursuant to OCGA Section 36-37 A-3.A, the following disclosure is mandatory when an applicant or any representation of application for rezoning has been made within two (2) years immediately preceding the filing of the applicant's request for re-zoning & campaign contributions aggregating \$250.00 or more to a local government official who will consider the application for rezoning.

It shall be the duty of the applicant and the attorney representing the applicant to file a disclosure with the governing authority of the respective local government showing the following information:

1. The name of the local official to whom the campaign contribution was made:
_____.
2. The dollar amount and/or description of each campaign contribution made by the applicant to the local government official during the two years immediately preceding the filing of the application for the rezoning action and the date of each such contribution:

Amount \$ _____ Date: _____

Amount \$ _____ Date: _____
3. Enumeration and description of each gift when the total value of all gifts is \$250.00 or more made to the local government official during the 2 years immediately preceding the filing application for rezoning:

Signature of Applicant/
Representative of Applicant: _____
Date: _____

By not completing this form you are making a statement that no disclosure is required because no contributions have been made.

This form may be copied and additional pages attached if necessary.

Introduction

The City of Dahlongega Planning Commission and City Council wish to inform you as to our Public Hearings for consideration of rezoning and variance applications. We appreciate your taking the time to attend these important proceedings.

The following information is provided to assist you in being fully informed as to the application process and procedure, and the issues involved in all zoning and variance hearings. Please read this information carefully. Hopefully, at the conclusion of the hearing you will have a better understanding of this governmental proceeding and will have fully participated in it as an interested citizen.

Application Process For Rezonings and Variances

At a preliminary meeting with a planner, the application process is discussed to determine what items are to be provided by the applicant.

Requirements for the application include a plat or deed and tax maps as well as authorization from the property owner. An application fee, determined by the type of application, is required upon filing. Additional data which may be required include a site plan, written report, plan approval by the Health Department, Engineering Department, Water Department, and/or the Department of Transportation.

Rezonings and variances are heard initially before the Planning Commissions and then as to rezonings the final public hearing is before the City Council and as to variances the final public hearing is before the Board of Zoning Appeals.

The application is filed in the Planning Department and must be made at least 30 working days prior to the Planning Commission meeting, in order for the City to prepare and deliver for publication, a legal advertisement which will be published at least 15 days prior to both the Planning Commission and City Council/Board of Zoning Appeals public hearings. The City Council will also:

1. Place on the property a sign with the dates and times of the Planning Commission and City Council/Board of Zoning Appeals meetings is posted on the subject property by the applicant at least 15 days prior to the public hearing.
2. Notify property owners adjacent to the subject property by mail of the request and meeting date and time (must be postmarked no less than five days before the public hearing).

A location map and staff report are prepared and delivered to Planning Commission members along with any information submitted by the applicant by the Planning Commission and/or legal staff.

The Planning Commission holds a public hearing on the request. The meeting is held at times designated in the *Dahlonega Nugget*. The applicant and any other citizens concerned with the request are given an opportunity to speak during the hearing. After all comments are heard, the Planning Commission makes its decision. They can approve, approve with conditions, table, deny a request or recommend a specific action to the City Council and/or the Board of Zoning Appeals, depending on the type of application.

The Planning Commission's recommendation, with all information received at the public hearing (staff reports, maps, etc.), are forwarded to the City Council as to rezoning and the Board of Zoning Appeals as to variances.

The City Council then holds a public hearing on the rezoning requests. The meeting times are set forth in the notices. All meetings are held at City Hall, 465 Riley Road.* The hearing is conducted under the same format as the Planning Commission's public hearing. After all comments are heard, the City Council makes its decision. The Council can approve, approve with conditions, table, refer to the Planning Commission, or deny the request. Their decision is final unless appealed to the Superior Court of Lumpkin County within 30 days following Commission action. Variance hearings before the Board of Zoning Appeals are conducted in the same manner as the rezoning hearings before the City Council.

*Unless public notice is given of some other time and/or location.

Criteria for Rezoning

The Council studies the need and justification for a requested zoning change based on a determination of the facts applicable to the particular application. The following criteria are considered in making that determination as per our Zoning Ordinance:

1. The existing uses and zoning of nearby property and whether the proposed zoning will adversely affect the existing use or usability of nearby property.
2. The extent to which property values are diminished by the particular zoning restrictions.
3. The extent to which the destruction of property values promotes the health, safety, morals or general welfare of the public.

4. The relative gain to the public, as compared to the hardship imposed upon the individual property owner.
5. The physical suitability of the subject property for development as presently zoned and under the proposed zoning district.
6. The length of time the property has been vacant, considered in the context of land development in the area in the vicinity of the property, and whether there are existing or changed conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the rezoning request.
7. The zoning history of the subject property.
8. The extent to which the proposed zoning will result in a use which will or could cause excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, schools, parks, or other public facilities.
9. Whether the zoning proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the comprehensive plan, land use plan, or other adopted plans.

The following related areas of inquiry may be reviewed as well:

1. Off-street parking and loading facilities are adequate in terms of location, amount and design to serve the use.
2. The number, size and type of signs proposed are compatible with the surrounding area.
3. The amount and location of open space and the provision of screening is such that buffering of incompatible uses is achieved.
4. Ingress and egress to the property is suitable and safe, and the effect of the proposed activity on traffic flow along adjoining streets is not adverse.

5. The location and intensity of outdoor lighting is such that it does not cast light on adjacent, adjoining or neighboring properties.
6. Hours and manner of operation of the proposed use are not inconsistent with adjacent and nearby uses.
7. Public facilities and utilities are capable of adequately serving the proposed use.
8. The proposed use will not have a significant adverse effect on the level of property values or the general character of adjacent land uses or the general area.
9. The physical conditions of the site, including size, shape, topography and drainage, are suitable for the proposed development.
10. The proposed use is consistent with the goals and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan of the City of Dahlgonega.

Comments addressed to the Board of Zoning Appeals, Planning Commission and the City Council should address these criteria.

The types of questions precipitated by these criteria are as follows:

1. Will the zoning proposal permit a use that is suitable in view of the use, development, and zoning of adjacent and nearby property?
2. Will the zoning proposal adversely affect the existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property?
3. Does the subject property have a reasonable economic use as currently zoned?
4. Will the zoning proposal result in a use which will or could cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, schools, police protection, fire protection, public health facilities, or emergency medical service?

5. Is the zoning proposal in conformity with the policy and intent of the future land use plan for the physical development of the area?
6. What is the effect on the property value of the subject property should the existing zoning be retained?
7. If denied, will the effect on the subject property's value under the existing zoning be offset by the gain to the health, safety, morals, or general welfare of the public?
8. If denied, how does the relative gain to the public compare to the hardship imposed upon the individual property owner?
9. Has the property been undeveloped an unusual length of time as currently zoned considered in the context of land development in the area in the vicinity of the property?
10. Are there other existing or changing conditions affecting the use or development of the property which give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the zoning proposal?
11. Would the change create an isolated district unrelated to the surrounding districts, such as "spot zoning?"
12. Are the present zoning district boundaries illogically drawn in relation to existing conditions in the area?
13. Is the change requested out of scale with the needs of the city as a whole or the immediate neighborhood?
14. Is it impossible to find adequate sites for the proposed use in districts permitting such use and already appropriately zoned?
15. Could the need for rezoning be handled instead by a variance request to the zoning board of adjustment?
16. Would there be an impact on the ecology or would pollution result from major modifications to the land if the request is granted?
17. Is there reasonable evidence based upon existing and anticipated land use that would indicate a mistake was made in the original zoning of the property?

Criteria for Variances

The Ordinance spells out certain outlines for a variance which is defined as:

A minimal relaxation or modification of the strict terms of the height, area, placement, setback, yard, buffer, landscape strip, parking and loading regulations as applied to specific property when, because of particular physical surroundings, shape or topographical condition of the property, compliance would result in a particular hardship upon the owner, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience or a desire to make profit.

The Board of Zoning Appeals is hereby empowered to authorize upon application in specific cases such variance from the terms of these regulations as will not be contrary to the public interest where, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of the provisions of these regulations will in an individual case, result in unnecessary hardship, so that the spirit of these regulations shall be observed, public safety and welfare secured, and substantial justice done. The existence of a non-conforming use of neighboring land, buildings or structures in the same zoning district or of permitted or non-conforming uses in other districts shall not constitute a reason for the requested variance. A variance may be granted in an individual case of unnecessary hardship, after appropriate application in accordance with Article XXVI, upon specific findings that all of the following conditions exist. The absence of any one (1) of the conditions shall be grounds for denial of the application for variance.

1. There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape or topography that are not applicable to other land or structures in the same district; and
2. A literal interpretation of the provisions of these zoning regulations would create an unnecessary hardship and would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other property owners within the district in which the property is located; and
3. Granting the variance requested will not confer upon the property of the applicant any special privileges that are denied to other properties of the district in which the applicant's property is located; and
4. Relief, if granted, will be in harmony with the purpose and intent of these regulations and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or general welfare in such a manner as will interfere with or discourage the appropriate development and use of adjacent land and buildings or

unreasonably affect their value; and

5. The special circumstances are not the result of the actions of the applicant; and
6. The variance requested is the minimum variance that will make possible the legal use of the land, building, or structure; and
7. The variance is not a request to permit a use of land, building or structures which are not permitted by right in the district involved.

Planning Commission, Board of Zoning Appeals and City Council Procedure for Hearing

1. Public hearings shall be held at times and places provided in the published notices of the hearings.
2. The hearing shall be conducted by the City Attorney or other hearing officer.
3. Upon the convening of the hearing, the hearing officer will read the published notice of the matter to be considered. The matter shall be considered in the order indicated by the filing of the published notice.
4. The matter to be heard shall be stated by the hearing officer, who shall then call for a staff report on the proposed amendment.
5. A verbal staff report shall be made, stating the staff recommendation and summarizing the written report submitted for the hearing.
6. Both persons favoring and persons opposing a matter shall have an opportunity to speak. The party proposing the matter shall be invited to speak first, followed by persons favoring the proposed matter, then by persons opposed to the proposed matter. Each speaker will be asked to identify himself or herself and state his/her current address. When all opposing statements have

been heard, the party proposing the matter may be permitted to rebut those statements.

7. The hearing officer may place reasonable limits on the number of persons who may speak for or against the proposal, the time allowed for each speaker, and the total time allowed for presentation of the matter.

8. Each speaker shall speak only to the merits of the proposed matter and shall address remarks only to the public body hearing the request. Each speaker shall refrain from personal attacks on any other speaker or the discussion of facts or opinions irrelevant to the proposed zoning amendment. The hearing officer may limit or refuse a speaker the right to continue if the speaker, after first being cautioned, continues to violate this requirement.

9. Because of time constraints in hearings, interested parties shall be encouraged to submit petitions, studies, letters, and other materials requiring prior study in time to have them included in the final agenda for the hearing. The City shall have discretion to accept such materials at the hearing if circumstances did not permit earlier submittal. Such materials, if presented orally at the hearing, shall be subject to the time limits provided herein. If materials are not presented in a timely manner, they may not be considered or may result in tabling of the matter.

10. Upon conclusion of public comments, as determined by the hearing officer, conduct of the meeting shall be returned to the presiding officers who shall have discretion to call on previous speakers as necessary for clarification of views expressed or the materials submitted.

Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the ability of the hearing officer to maintain decorum in the conduct of a hearing to assure that the hearing is conducted in a fair and orderly manner.

The public bodies hearing the matter shall have discretion to continue a hearing to a later date if the materials submitted or views expressed require more time for study and consideration than may reasonably be allocated in one meeting, and may call for such additional views, studies, or other information from any source members consider necessary to making a sound decision.

City of Dahlonega Zoning Districts

For the purpose of regulating development, The City of Dahlonega has divided its jurisdictional area into the following zoning districts:

Single-Family Residential District (R-1)

Highway Business District (B-2)

Multiple-Family Residential District (R-2)

Historical Business District (B-3)

Multiple-Family Residential District (R-3)

Mobile Home Park District (MHP)

Planned Unit Development District (PUD)

Neighborhood Business District (B-1)

Central Business District (CBD)

Office-Institutional District (O-I)

Industrial District (I)

Each zoning district differs from all the other districts in which land uses are allowed, what standards and restrictions apply and what approvals are necessary. The City of Dahlonega Zoning Regulations provide a description of land uses permitted and other requirements (minimum lot size, setbacks, frontage, etc.) of each district. You can obtain a full description of the permitted land uses and requirements from the City of Dahlonega Planning Department (telephone: 706-864-6133) located at 465 Riley Road, Dahlonega, Georgia, 30533. The requirements and permitted uses are subject to change with new amendments to the regulations, so always make sure you have the latest version of the requirements and permitted land uses.


Legal Representation

This information sheet is not and should not be considered a substitute for good legal advice. Because of the importance of all zoning matters, the City Council encourages you to seek legal advice from an attorney of your choice.

Rezoning, Variance, Conditional Use and Site Plan Hearing Schedule

Deadline for application Submittal	Public Hearing Planning & Zoning Meeting	Public Hearing Mayor & City Council Meeting
30 days prior to 1 st meeting. <i>Please submit your request ASAP.</i>	Second Monday of each month	1 st Monday of each month

REZONING, VARIANCE, SITE PLAN AND CONDITIONAL USE PROCESS



Section 2605. Application Requirements.

Application materials specified in this section shall be required for the following petitions: amendments to the official zoning map, alterations or extensions of conditional zoning, conditional use permits, development plan approvals within the Planned Unit Development (PUD) District, Mobile Home Park (MHP) District, applications for condominium site plan approval, variances or appeals to the Board of Zoning Appeals:

1. An application form furnished by the Zoning Administrative Officer; and
2. A legal description of the property to be considered in the application. The legal description shall be by metes and bounds unless an alternative legal description is accepted by the Zoning Administrative Officer. Boundary surveys of the property should be submitted with the application whenever available; and
3. A letter of intent which describes general characteristics of the proposed development such as type and time frame of development, background information in support of such application, and any other information deemed pertinent by the applicant. For variance applications, the letter of intent shall address the criteria specified in Section 2406. of these regulations. For zoning map amendment applications, the letter of intent shall address the standards specified in Section 2607. of these regulations. For conditional use permit applications, the letter of intent shall address the standards specified in Section 2608. of these regulations. For PUD applications the letter of intent shall take the form of a development plan summary report which shall also address the items enumerated in Section 1303.
4. A site plan with all information specified in Section 2606. except that zoning map amendment applications for R-1 zoning shall not require a site plan. Unless otherwise noted in the approval, the site plan submitted in support of an approved application shall be considered a part of the approval and must be followed.
5. A fee for said application as established by the Governing Body from time to time.
6. Applications for conditional use permits to exceed the height limitations, applications for conditional signs, and applications for Certificates of Appropriateness shall also require architectural elevations of all proposed structures and buildings requested for approval.
7. Applications which require action by the Governing Body shall also require disclosure of any conflicts of interest as specified in Chapter 67A of the Georgia Code, "Conflict of Interest in Zoning Actions."

Applicants shall ~~submit fifteen (15) copies~~ of any required site plans, development plans, elevation drawings and letters of intent to the Zoning Administrative Officer for distribution to the applicable bodies and/or review agencies. The Zoning Administrative Officer **may require more or less** copies depending on the nature and extent of required review.

Section 2606. Site Plan Requirements.

All site plans required by this Article shall, at a minimum, contain the following information:

1. Title of the proposed development and the name, address and telephone number of the property owner.
2. The name, address and telephone number of the architect, engineer or other designer of the proposed development.
3. Scale, date, north arrow, and general location map showing relationship of the site to streets or natural landmarks.
4. Boundaries of the subject property, all existing and proposed streets, including right-of-way and street pavement widths; buildings; water courses; parking and loading areas; and other physical characteristics of the property and proposed development.
5. Building setbacks, buffers, landscape strips, and tree protection zone.

Section 2607. Criteria To Consider For Map Amendments.

The applicant, staff, Planning Commission and Governing Body should review an application for zoning map amendment with regard to the following criteria:

1. The existing uses and zoning of nearby property and whether the proposed zoning will adversely affect the existing use or usability of nearby property.
2. The extent to which property values are diminished by the particular zoning restrictions.
3. The extent to which the destruction of property values promotes the health, safety, morals or general welfare of the public.
4. The relative gain to the public, as compared to the hardship imposed upon the individual property owner.
5. The physical suitability of the subject property for development as presently zoned and under the proposed zoning district.
6. The length of time the property has been vacant, considered in the context of land development in the area in the vicinity of the property, and whether there are existing or changed conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the rezoning request.

7. The zoning history of the subject property.
8. The extent to which the proposed zoning will result in a use which will or could cause excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, schools, parks, or other public facilities.
9. Whether the zoning proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the comprehensive plan, land use plan, or other adopted plans.

The staff, Planning Commission and Governing Body may consider other factors deemed relevant before formulating recommendations and taking action on a particular request.

Section 2608. Criteria To Consider For Conditional Uses.

The applicant, staff, Planning Commission and Governing Body should review applications for conditional uses with regard to the following criteria:

1. Off-street parking and loading facilities are adequate in terms of location, amount and design to serve the use.
2. The number, size and type of signs proposed are compatible with the surrounding area.
3. The amount and location of open space and the provision of screening is such that buffering of incompatible uses is achieved.
4. Ingress and egress to the property is suitable and safe, and the effect of the proposed activity on traffic flow along adjoining streets is not adverse.
5. The location and intensity of outdoor lighting is such that it does not cast light on adjacent, adjoining or neighboring properties.
6. Hours and manner of operation of the proposed use are not inconsistent with adjacent and nearby uses.
7. Public facilities and utilities are capable of adequately serving the proposed use.
8. The proposed use will not have a significant adverse effect on the level of property values or the general character of adjacent land uses or the general area.
9. The physical conditions of the site, including size, shape, topography and drainage, are suitable for the proposed development.
10. The proposed use is consistent with the goals and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan of the City of Dahlonge.

The staff, Planning Commission and Governing Body may consider other factors deemed relevant before formulating recommendations and taking action on a particular conditional use application.



Original Submittal Date: August 29, 2022
Resubmittal Date: October 3, 2022

City of Dahlonega Planning & Zoning
465 Riley Road
Dahlonega, GA 30533

RE: Letter of Intent – Request for Variances

Hardeman Communities, Inc. (Applicant/Property Owner) hereby seeks variances for the following property:

- Parcel: D10 075
- Address: 215 Stephens Street, Dahlonega, GA 30533
- Existing Zoning: Multiple-Family Residential (R-3)
- Existing Use: Wooded/Grassland
- Proposed Use: Residential – Townhomes

Variance 1: Per R-3 zoning requirement pertaining to minimum setbacks, we request a variance be granted due to site constraints:

- Front Setback: 35' to 21'
- Rear Setback: 25' to 17'

Variance 2: Per R-3 zoning requirement pertaining to a minimum lot size of 2,000 sq ft, we request a variance be granted to allow for four (4) lots of 1,750 sq ft (each) due to site constraints.

Variance 3: Per City municipal code, Sections 1004.5 & 3.9, we request a variance for a proposed new road & common space(s) be considered and legally deemed private. All roads and common spaces to be constructed & maintained by a HOA/POA

- It is our understanding that the City does not wish to take ownership of proposed new road and common space(s)

Clarification of Site Constraints: The property width is narrow, the western edge being a creek/buffers, making the build-able area very narrow which doesn't allow for lot sizes, setbacks and street requirements that will meet City ordinance(s).

Thank you for your time and consideration.



STAFF REPORT

BZA 22-3

BZA 22-4

BZA 22-5

Applicant:	Hardeman Communities, Inc.
Owner:	Hardeman Communities, Inc.
Location:	215 Stephens Street
Acreage:	+/- 3.02Acres
Current Zoning Classification:	R-3
Reason:	<p>BZA 22-3 A request to vary Section 1004.2 to allow for the minimum lot size to be reduced from 2,000 square feet to 1,750 square feet</p> <p>BZA 22-4 A request to vary Section 2001(minimum setback requirements) to allow for a reduction in front setbacks from 35 feet to 21 feet and in rear setbacks from 25 feet to 17 feet for the entire subdivision</p> <p>BZA 22-5 A request to vary Section 1004.5 to allow for a private road not built to city specification</p>
City Services:	City currently provides services to this site

Applicant Proposal

In order to develop the site in the manner the applicant is proposing, multiple variances to our Zoning Code and waivers to the Development Codes will be needed. The purpose of this request is for the parcel to be subdivided into smaller lots than allowed by code.

The applicant is proposing a fee simple townhome neighborhood. Due to the shape of the lot and the buffers from the stream, the site will have to compromise in several areas of our regulations to build a road and subdivide lots.

There are two main areas the applicant is looking to waiver from our development regulations in conjunction with the variances. First is the Right-of-Way width and the other is the construction of sidewalks.

All waivers and variances play in conjunction and are paramount with each other in order to develop what the applicant is proposing.



History and Surrounding Uses

The zoning of this property has always been designated R-3 and has never been rezoned. This property remains undeveloped.

In October 2011, this property was given a variance to the Watershed Ordinance providing a 100 percent relief to the additional 150-foot buffer to the seven-mile watershed surround the drinking water reservoir.

Located at the end of Stephens Street, there are only two directly adjacent properties that would be affected.

- (East) Memorial Park Cemetery owned and maintained by the City of Dahlonaga
- (West) Across the stream, Parcel D10-063 contain apartments primarily used as student housing.

The Following are questions from Article XXVI Section 1004.2 of Zoning Code with staff opinions:

1. **There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property in question because of its size, shape or topography that are not applicable to other land or structures in the same district; and**

The exceptional condition would be the parcel unique shape and a primary trout stream with a 50' undisturbed buffer limiting the amount of buildable space.

2. **A literal interpretation of the provisions of these zoning regulations would create an unnecessary hardship and would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other property owners within the district in which the property is located; and**

A literal interpretation would not allow for the property to be developed in the manner that they are proposing.

3. **Granting the variance requested will not confer upon the property of the applicant any special privileges that are denied to other properties of the district in which the applicant's property is located; and**

Other similar variances have been granted individually. However, the combination of variances is somewhat unprecedented.

4. **Relief, if granted, will be in harmony with the purpose and intent of these regulations and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or general welfare in such a manner as will interfere with or discourage the appropriate development and use of adjacent land and buildings or unreasonable affect their value; and**



If granted, this variance would allow for this area to continue to be developed in a way that would benefit the neighborhood and general welfare consistent with the purpose of our regulations.

5. **The special circumstances are not the result of the actions of the applicant; and**

Correct.

6. **The variance requested is the minimum variance that will make possible the legal use of the land, building, or structure; and**

While every lot within the subdivision will not be in need of this variance by doing a blanket variance to the entire development will allow some minor variations to the site plan at the time of development. Thus, keeping the applicant from appearing before Council multiple times.

7. **The variance is not a request to permit a use of land, building or structures which are not permitted by right in the district involved.**

Correct



Staff Analysis

While staff recommends approval of the variances, it should be noted that the collective approval of the request has the potential to set a detrimental precedence within the city. Understanding that each individual variance comes with its own hardship, the property was approved for another type of development that did not have the need of variances and waivers.





Aerial view of the Parcel:




0 125 250 500 Feet






Current Zoning:





Comprehensive Plan:



Staff Recommended Motion:

Motion to recommend approval/approve Variance Application BZA-22-3

Motion to recommend approval/approve Variance Application BZA-22-4

Motion to recommend approval/approve Variance Application BZA-22-5



Planning Commission Recommendation:

BZA 22-3 Hardman Communities

A request to vary Section 1004.2 to allow for the minimum lot size to be reduced from 2,000 square feet to 1,750 square feet at 215 Stephens Street (D10 075).

*Motion to **approve** with the understanding that this variance is unique to this property and not to be set a precedence setting by Commissioner Guy, Second by Commissioner Crannell. Three in favor, Three opposed. Chairman Conaway broke the tie by voting in favor. Motion carried.*

BZA 22-4 Hardman Communities

A request to vary Section 2001(minimum setback requirements) to allow for a reduction in front setbacks from 35 feet to 21 feet and in rear setbacks from 25 feet to 17 feet for the entire subdivision at 215 Stephens Street (D10 075).

*Motion to **approve** with the understanding that this variance is unique to this property and not to be set a precedence setting by Commissioner Guy, Second by Commissioner Crannell. Four in favor, Two opposed. Motion carried.*

BZA 22-5 Hardman Communities

A request to vary Section 1004.5 to allow for a private road not built to city specification at 215 Stephens Street (D10 075).

*Motion to **approve** with the understanding that this variance is unique to this property and not to be set a precedence setting by Commissioner Carroll, Second by Commissioner Guy. Five in favor, One opposed. Motion carried.*

THIS 3.02 ACRE PROPERTY OFF OF STEPHENS STREET IS CURRENTLY WOODED/GRASSED. THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT CONSISTS OF 12 TOWNHOME UNITS ALONG WITH NEW CURB & GUTTER, ASPHALT DRIVE AND PARKING, AND A STORMWATER DETENTION POND. PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER WILL CONNECT TO AN EXISTING SEWER LINE.

BUILDING SETBACKS (DAHLONEGA R-3):
FRONT: 35' FROM NON-ARTERIAL STREETS (SEEKING VARIANCE TO 21' FRONT BSL)
SIDE: 10'
REAR: 25' FOR TOWNHOMES (SEEKING VARIANCE TO 17' REAR BSL)




CONTOUR INTERVAL = 2'

GENERAL NOTES:








- 1) ALL CONSTRUCTION WORK, MATERIALS, AND IMPROVEMENTS AT THIS SITE SHALL CONFORM WITH **CITY OF DAHLONEGA, GEORGIA REQUIREMENTS.**
- 2) ALL STRUCTURES WILL BE REQUIRED TO CONFORM TO THE STANDARD BUILDING CODES HORIZONTAL SEPARATION STANDARDS. APPROVAL OF THIS PERMIT WILL NOT JUSTIFY ANY DEVIATION IN HORIZONTAL SEPARATION STANDARDS AS ADOPTED AND AMENDED BY THE GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS.
- 3) CONTRACTORS SHALL CONDUCT ALL WORK IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF APPLICABLE REGULATIONS OF THE OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (OSHA) AND ALL LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS.
- 4) ALL CONSTRUCTION STAKING ON THIS SITE SHALL BE PERFORMED UNDER THE DIRECT SUPERVISION OF A GEORGIA REGISTERED LAND SURVEYOR.
- 5) MATTERS OF RECORD NOT SHOWN HEREON ARE EXCEPTED.
- 6) THE UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES AS SHOWN ON THIS PLAN WERE FOUND PER ABOVE GROUND EXAMINATION OF THIS SITE, BASED ON VISIBLE INDICATIONS. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO VERIFY ALL EXACT LOCATIONS AND DEPTHS OF ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND OTHER STRUCTURES BEFORE THE START OF CONSTRUCTION ON THIS PROJECT.
- 7) IT SHALL BE THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO VERIFY THAT ALL UTILITIES ARE AS NOTED IN THE PLANS. ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.
- 8) TOILET FACILITIES SHALL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO CONSTRUCTION WORKERS WITHIN 300' OF SITE.
- 9) NO MATERIAL CAN BE BURIED ONSITE WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE OWNER AND GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER.
- 10) CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR REMOVAL OF ALL DEBRIS AS ACCEPTABLE TO THE OWNER.
- 11) CONTRACTOR IS TO REMOVE ALL ROCK, TOPSOIL, AND UNSUITABLE MATERIALS.
- 12) MAXIMUM CUT OR FILL SLOPES SHALL BE 2 HORIZONTAL: 1 VERTICAL.
- 13) THIS SITE **DOES** NOT CONTAIN WETLANDS.
- 14) THIS SITE **DOES** HAVE STATE WATERS REQUIRING UNDISTURBED 1 BUFFER.
- 15) EXISTING FEATURES SHOWN BY DASHED LINES OR SHADED. PROPOSED FEATURES SHOWN BY SOLID OR BOLD LINES.
- 16) CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING ADJACENT AREAS AND SHALL BE REQUIRED TO REPAIR ANY DAMAGE TO A CONDITION EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN THE ORIGINAL CONDITION.
- 17) ALL HOPE PIPE TO CONFORM TO PIPE MANUFACTURER REQUIREMENTS AND GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS.
- 18) CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE BUILDING CONSTRUCTION WITH ARCHITECTURAL PLANS (BY OTHERS).
- 19) ALL SIGNAGE AND STRIPING TO BE PROVIDED BY CONTRACTOR ACCORDING TO THE MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES (MUTCD) AND OTHER GOVERNING MUNICIPAL STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS, LATEST EDITIONS.
- 20) PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR IS TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THESE DRAWINGS WITH ALL COORDINATING DOCUMENTS AND NOTIFY ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES. IF DISCREPANCIES ARE FOUND DURING CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR IS TO STOP WORK IMMEDIATELY AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER.
- 21) ALL TRAFFIC STRIPING, SIGNAGE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN SAFE VEHICULAR AND PEDESTRIAN TRAFFIC FLOW DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE FURNISHED, INSTALLED, AND MAINTAINED BY THE CONTRACTOR.
- 22) ALL EXISTING MANHOLE COVERS, METER BOXES, AND OTHER UTILITY APPURTENANCES LOCATED WITHIN THE LIMITS OF WORK SHALL BE ADJUSTED SO THAT THEIR TOP SURFACES WILL BE FLUSH WITH FINISHED GRADE.

ALL PROPOSED PARKING SPACES ARE SITED NO MORE THAN 100' FROM A LANDSCAPE ISLAND PER SEC. 2108 OF DAHLONEGA CODE OF ORDINANCES.

OWNER/CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE WITH ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY TO PROVIDE SITE LIGHTING AND PHOTOMETRIC PLAN, ARRANGED TO PREVENT THE DIRECT ILLUMINATION OF ADJACENT RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES OR PUBLIC STREETS.



24-HOUR CONTACT
STEVE EIBERGER

LEGEND			
○	UTILITY POLE (UP)	G&G	CURB AND GUTTER
HP	HIGH POINT	P	IRON PIN
DI	DROP INLET	P/L	PROPERTY LINE
JB	JUNCTION BOX	F.E.S.S.	FLARED END SAFETY SECTION
OCS	OUTLET CONTROL STRUCTURE	PT	POINT OF TANGENT
DWBC	DOWEL WING CATCH BASIN	PT	POINT OF CURVATURE
HW	HEADWALL	OCS	OUTLET CONTROL STRUCTURE
RCP	REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE	ELEV.	ELEVATION
CMP	CORRUGATED METAL PIPE	N/F	NOW OR FORMERLY
HUPE	HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE	R/W	RIGHT OF WAY
UD	UNDERGROUND DETENTION	LL	LAND LOT LINE
TBM	TEMPORARY BENCHMARK	CON	CONCRETE
EX	EXISTING	INV	INVERT
PROP.	PROPOSED	ED	EDGE OF PAVEMENT
FH	FIRE HYDRANT	TYP.	TYPICAL
WM	WATER METER		FENCE
LF	LINEAR FET		SILT FENCE
DIP	DUCTILE IRON PIPE		OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
PVC	POLYVINYL CHLORIDE		SOILS
R	RADIUS		EXISTING TREELINE
SF	SQUARE FEET		BUILDING SETBACK LINE (BSL)
FF	FINISH FLOOR ELEVATION		VEGETATIVE BUFFER

(IN FEET)
1 inch = 40 ft.

[illegible]

STEPHENS COURT
CITY OF DAHLONEGA
LUMPKIN COUNTY, GEORGIA
LAND LOT 983, 12TH DISTRICT, 1ST SECTION

DRAWN BY:	GRJ
CHECKED BY:	PSA
LAND LOT:	983
DISTRICT:	12TH
SECTION:	1ST
CITY:	DAHLONEGA
COUNTY:	LUMPKIN
DATE:	10/3/22

1 OF 1

2019-211



Board of Zoning Appeals Agenda Memo

DATE: November 4, 2022
TITLE: Stephens Court Waiver of Development Regulation 7.12
PRESENTED BY: City Engineer Mark Buchanan and David & Steve Eiberger

AGENDA ITEM DESCRIPTION:


The applicant has submitted plans for a townhome community at 215 Stephens Street. Due to site constraints, the applicant has requested a waiver from Section 7.12 of the Development Regulations. It requires construction of sidewalks as follows: *"Sidewalks shall be provided for all developments within a mile from an existing or proposed school, park, or community center. Sidewalks shall be provided along public streets for all multi-family, commercial, and industrial developments, and in such other locations as deemed necessary by the City for safe pedestrian movement."* Please note...this street is not intended to be owned or maintained by the City. There are also no existing or planned sidewalks on Stephens Street linking this neighborhood to the rest of the City due to right-of-way limitations.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommendation is to approve this waiver, allowing construction of the development with no sidewalks.

ATTACHMENTS:

Site Plan Below. There will be a full-sized set at the meeting for clarity.





Submittal Date: November 8, 2022

City of Dahlonega Planning & Zoning
Board of Zoning Appeals
465 Riley Road
Dahlonega, GA 30533

RE: Letter of Intent – Request for Waivers

Hardeman Communities, Inc. (Applicant/Property Owner) hereby seeks waivers for the following property:

- Parcel: D10 075
- Address: 215 Stephens Street, Dahlonega, GA 30533
- Existing Zoning: Multiple-Family Residential (R-3)
- Existing Use: Wooded/Grassland
- Proposed Use: Residential – Townhomes

Waiver 1: Per Municipal Code Section 7.12 – Sidewalks: *Sidewalks shall be provided for all developments within a mile from an existing or proposed school, park, or community center. Sidewalks shall be provided along public streets for all multi-family, commercial, and industrial developments, and in such other locations as deemed necessary by the City for safe pedestrian movement.*

We request a waiver to Section 7.12. Our development concept plan does not include sidewalks due to site constraints. Also, Stephens Street does not have sidewalks.

Waiver 2: Per Municipal Code Section 4.1 – Right-of-Way and Pavement Widths: *Minimum widths for construction (new streets or widening sections) specified in Table 4-A:*

- *Residential*
- *Minimum Right-of-Way: 50 feet*
- *Minimum Roadway: 24 feet*

We request a waiver to Section 4.1 Minimum Right-of-Way from 50 feet to 36 feet due to site constraints.

Waiver 3: Per Municipal Code Section 7.11 – Curb and Gutter: Cross-section & Appendix C

We request a waiver to the minimum street cross-section as defined in Appendix C of the Development Regulations due to site constraints. We will install street, curb and gutter so that stormwater will be diverted appropriately and that stormwater does not pool at/on the proposed driveways.

Clarification of Site Constraints: The property width is narrow, the western edge being a creek/buffers, making the build-able area very narrow which doesn't allow for the proposed development concept to meet City ordinances.

Thank you for your time and consideration.



Board of Zoning Appeals Agenda Memo

DATE: November 4, 2022
TITLE: Stephens Court Waiver of Development Regulation 4.1, Right-of-Way
PRESENTED BY: City Engineer Mark Buchanan and David & Steve Eiberger

AGENDA ITEM DESCRIPTION:


The applicant has submitted plans for a townhome community at 215 Stephens Street. Due to site constraints and setback requirements, the applicant is requesting a reduction of right-of-way width indicated in Section 4.1 of the Development Regulations. This section indicates a minimum R/W of 50'. It is the desire of the applicant that streets remain private, thereby creating a situation in which ownership and maintenance of the R/W will be consistent with the entity owning and responsible for the development's greenspace and other areas NOT purchased by individual homeowners. The desired width is 36'. Again, this R/W will not be owned or maintained by the City of Dahlonega.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommendation is to approve this waiver, allowing construction of the development with a privately owned and maintained street within a 36' right-of-way.

ATTACHMENTS:

Site Plan Below. There will be a full-sized set at the meeting for clarity.





Submittal Date: November 8, 2022

City of Dahlonega Planning & Zoning
Board of Zoning Appeals
465 Riley Road
Dahlonega, GA 30533

RE: Letter of Intent – Request for Waivers

Hardeman Communities, Inc. (Applicant/Property Owner) hereby seeks waivers for the following property:

- Parcel: D10 075
- Address: 215 Stephens Street, Dahlonega, GA 30533
- Existing Zoning: Multiple-Family Residential (R-3)
- Existing Use: Wooded/Grassland
- Proposed Use: Residential – Townhomes

Waiver 1: Per Municipal Code Section 7.12 – Sidewalks: *Sidewalks shall be provided for all developments within a mile from an existing or proposed school, park, or community center. Sidewalks shall be provided along public streets for all multi-family, commercial, and industrial developments, and in such other locations as deemed necessary by the City for safe pedestrian movement.*

We request a waiver to Section 7.12. Our development concept plan does not include sidewalks due to site constraints. Also, Stephens Street does not have sidewalks.

Waiver 2: Per Municipal Code Section 4.1 – Right-of-Way and Pavement Widths: *Minimum widths for construction (new streets or widening sections) specified in Table 4-A:*

- *Residential*
- *Minimum Right-of-Way: 50 feet*
- *Minimum Roadway: 24 feet*

We request a waiver to Section 4.1 Minimum Right-of-Way from 50 feet to 36 feet due to site constraints.

Waiver 3: Per Municipal Code Section 7.11 – Curb and Gutter: Cross-section & Appendix C

We request a waiver to the minimum street cross-section as defined in Appendix C of the Development Regulations due to site constraints. We will install street, curb and gutter so that stormwater will be diverted appropriately and that stormwater does not pool at/on the proposed driveways.

Clarification of Site Constraints: The property width is narrow, the western edge being a creek/buffers, making the build-able area very narrow which doesn't allow for the proposed development concept to meet City ordinances.

Thank you for your time and consideration.



Board of Zoning Appeals Agenda Memo

DATE: November 4, 2022
TITLE: Stephens Court Waiver of Minimum Street Section (Appendix C)
PRESENTED BY: City Engineer Mark Buchanan and David & Steve Eiberger

AGENDA ITEM DESCRIPTION:


The applicant has submitted plans for a townhome community at 215 Stephens Street. Due to site constraints, they desire a waiver from Appendix C of the Development Regulations...specifically the minimum street cross-section, included below. Staff is available to discuss the desired variations from this standard. Upon completion, there is no desire on the part of the applicant to dedicate these streets to the City of Dahlonega.


RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommendation is to approve this waiver, allowing construction in accordance with a section provided by the applicant in the design drawings and approved by the City Engineer.

ATTACHMENTS:

Site Plan Below. There will be a full-sized set at the meeting for clarity.







Submittal Date: November 8, 2022

City of Dahlonega Planning & Zoning
Board of Zoning Appeals
465 Riley Road
Dahlonega, GA 30533

RE: Letter of Intent – Request for Waivers

Hardeman Communities, Inc. (Applicant/Property Owner) hereby seeks waivers for the following property:

- Parcel: D10 075
- Address: 215 Stephens Street, Dahlonega, GA 30533
- Existing Zoning: Multiple-Family Residential (R-3)
- Existing Use: Wooded/Grassland
- Proposed Use: Residential – Townhomes

Waiver 1: Per Municipal Code Section 7.12 – Sidewalks: *Sidewalks shall be provided for all developments within a mile from an existing or proposed school, park, or community center. Sidewalks shall be provided along public streets for all multi-family, commercial, and industrial developments, and in such other locations as deemed necessary by the City for safe pedestrian movement.*

We request a waiver to Section 7.12. Our development concept plan does not include sidewalks due to site constraints. Also, Stephens Street does not have sidewalks.

Waiver 2: Per Municipal Code Section 4.1 – Right-of-Way and Pavement Widths: *Minimum widths for construction (new streets or widening sections) specified in Table 4-A:*

- *Residential*
- *Minimum Right-of-Way: 50 feet*
- *Minimum Roadway: 24 feet*

We request a waiver to Section 4.1 Minimum Right-of-Way from 50 feet to 36 feet due to site constraints.

Waiver 3: Per Municipal Code Section 7.11 – Curb and Gutter: Cross-section & Appendix C

We request a waiver to the minimum street cross-section as defined in Appendix C of the Development Regulations due to site constraints. We will install street, curb and gutter so that stormwater will be diverted appropriately and that stormwater does not pool at/on the proposed driveways.

Clarification of Site Constraints: The property width is narrow, the western edge being a creek/buffers, making the build-able area very narrow which doesn't allow for the proposed development concept to meet City ordinances.

Thank you for your time and consideration.



Board of Zoning Appeals Agenda Memo

DATE: November 4, 2022
TITLE: BGW Dental Waiver of Development Regulation 7.12
PRESENTED BY: City Engineer Mark Buchanan

AGENDA ITEM DESCRIPTION:

The applicant has submitted plans for a dentist office on Morrison Moore Parkway just west of the Riley Road intersection. Due to site constraints, the applicant has requested a waiver from Section 7.12 of the Development Regulations. It requires construction of sidewalks as follows: *"Sidewalks shall be provided for all developments within a mile from an existing or proposed school, park, or community center. Sidewalks shall be provided along public streets for all multi-family, commercial, and industrial developments, and in such other locations as deemed necessary by the City for safe pedestrian movement."*

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommendation is to approve this waiver, allowing construction of the development with no sidewalks.

ATTACHMENTS:

Site Plan Below. There will be a full-sized set at the meeting for clarity.

