## AGENDA

A. CALL TO ORDER AND ANNOUNCE A QUORUM PRESENT
B. ESTABLISH VOTING MEMBERS AND DESIGNATE ALTERNATES
C. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

## D. CONSENT AGENDA

All matters listed under the Consent Agenda are considered to be routine and will be enacted in one motion. Should the Chair, a Commission Member, or any citizen desire discussion of any item, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and will be considered separately.

1. Consider and act upon approval of minutes from the Planning \& Zoning Commission Meeting held on December 13, 2021.
2. Consider and act upon a Final Plat for Ashford Park, Phase 1, and a Replat of Lot 3R Block A of the E. Blount Subdivision, for 174 Single Family Residential lots, and twelve (12) HOA/Open Space/Preservation lots, on $\pm 45.794$ acres. The property is generally located on the north side of the intersection of Lake Sharon Drive and Parkridge Drive and south of Valley View Drive. (Case No. FP210001)
3. Consider and act upon a Final Plat for the Magnolia Center Addition, for one (1) lot on $\pm 2.627$ acres. The property is located at the southwest corner of S I-35E and Lake Sharon Drive. (Case No. FP21-0002)
4. Consider and act upon a Replat of Pecan Creek Subdivision, combining Lots 6-A1, 6-B1, and 6-C1 into Lot 6R on $\pm 7.136$ acres. The property is located at 5150 S I-35E. (Case No. RP22-0001)

## E. BUSINESS AGENDA

5. Conduct a Public Hearing to consider testimony and make a recommendation to the City Council on a rezoning request by the Applicant, Skorburg Company, to amend the Zoning Ordinance and Zoning Map of the City of Corinth, each being a part of the Unified Development Code of the City, from SF-3 Single Family to a Planned Development with a base zoning district of SF-A Single Family Residential Attached (townhouses), on approximately $\pm 8.251$ acres located on the west side of Corinth Parkway, north of Lake Sharon Drive, south of Silver Meadow Lane and east of Woodcrest Circle at 2000 Corinth Parkway. (Case No. ZAPD21-0004 - Timber Ridge)

## F. DIRECTOR'S REPORT

6. Director's Report on City Council meeting items from the preceding City Council meetings and other relevant information.

## G. ADJOURNMENT

The Planning \& Zoning Commission reserves the right to recess into executive or closed session to seek the legal advice of the City's attorney pursuant to Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code on any matter posted on the agenda. After discussion of any matters in closed session, any final action or vote taken will be public by the Commission.

As a majority of the Council Members of the City of Corinth may attend the above described meeting, this notice is given in accordance with Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code. No official action will be taken by the City Council at this meeting.

I, the undersigned authority, do hereby certify that the meeting notice was posted on the bulletin board at City Hall of the City of Corinth, Texas, a place convenient and readily accessible to the general public at all times and said Notice was posted on the following date and time: Thursday, January 20, 2022 at 4:00 PM.

# Johns Webb 

John Webb, AICP
Director of Planning and Development Services
City of Corinth, Texas

Corinth City Hall is wheelchair accessible. Person with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf, or hearing impaired, or readers of large print, are requested to contact the City Secretary's Office at 940-498-3200, or fax 940-498-7576 at least two (2) working days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

BRAILLE IS NOT AVAILABLE

## PLANNING \& ZONING COMMISSION REGULAR SESSION

Monday, December 13, 2021 at 6:30 PM
City Hall | $\mathbf{3 3 0 0}$ Corinth Parkway

## MINUTES

## A. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, AND ANNOUNCE A QUORUM PRESENT

Chair Wade May called the meeting to order at 6:31 PM.
Commissioners Present: Wade May, Cody Gober, KatieBeth Bruxvoort, Billy Roussel III, Rebecca Rhule, Alan Nelson.

Commissioner Absent: Rodney Thornton.
A quorum was present.
Staff Present: John Webb - Planning Director, Michelle Mixell - Planning Manager, Miguel Inclan - Planner.

## B. ESTABLISH VOTING MEMBERS AND DESIGNATE ALTERNATES

C. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
D. CONSENT AGENDA

All matters listed under the Consent Agenda are considered to be routine and will be enacted in one motion. Should the Chair, a Commission Member, or any citizen desire discussion of any item, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and will be considered separately.

1. Consider and act upon approval of minutes from the Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting held on November 15, 2021.

Motion by Vice Chair Gober, seconded by Commissioner Bruxvoort to approve the Consent Agenda.
Motion carried unanimously 5-0.

## E. BUSINESS AGENDA

2. Conduct a public hearing to consider testimony and make a recommendation on a proposed amendment to the City's Unified Development Code (UDC), Section 4: Sign and Fence/Screening Regulations, Subsection 4.02.11. Screening Requirements for Residential and Nonresidential Properties, Subsection 4.02.11.C.1. Nonresidential Construction Abuts Residential Zoning Classifications (ZTA21-001).

Ms. Mixell presented the item to the Commission, and provided an overview of the previous discussions in workshop sessions with City Council and the Commission.

She provided key elements of the proposed text amendment including the addition of the alternative compliance option for screening, the ability to allow alternatives city-wide,

Chair Wade May asked what the timeline would be for an alternative compliance application to be processed.

Ms. Mixell replied that at the Planned Development level, a departure from the ordinance could be included, but that this process would apply to deviations at the Site Plan level or other projects that would follow "straight-zoning" and not the Planned Development process.

Chair May stated that he
Mr. Webb stated that there will be times when the masonry wall will be the best option and will be required by staff due to potential nuisances, or the fact that adding a vegetative screen would result in the addition of a landscape buffer that would take space on the site.

Commissioner Roussel asked if applicants voluntarily chose the masonry wall process.
Mr. Webb stated that developers will choose the wall voluntarily.
Commissioner Nelson asked if an Engineer could be added to the list of professionals that could prepare exhibits because applicants may not engage a Landscape Architect early on in the process.

Mr. Webb stated that applicants would engage a landscape architect by the time the issue of screening is discussed during the development process.

Chair May opened the public hearing at 6:48 PM.
There being no public comments, Chair May closed the public hearing at 6:48 PM.
Motion by Commissioner Roussel, seconded by Vice Chair Gober to recommend approval of the proposed amendment.

Motion carried unanimously 5-0.

## F. DIRECTOR'S REPORT

3. Director's Report on City Council meeting items from the preceding City Council meetings and other relevant information.

Mr. Webb informed the Commission that there are three Planned Development cases submitted but likely will not be ready by January.

Beginning in January, the regular schedule will resume.
Staff is working on a development report for publication to keep the public informed.
In January, Staff will bring a Single-Family Rental Registration Ordinance to City Council for approval and explained the key elements.

Commissioner Rhule asked if that ordinance would cover short-term rentals.
Mr. Webb stated that it does not but that Staff would look into it.
Commissioner Rhule stated that she would like the City to be proactive in governing short-term rentals.

## G. ADJOURNMENT

There being no business before the Commission, the meeting was adjourned at 6:52 PM.

## CITY OF CORINTH Staff Report

| Meeting Date: | $1 / 24 / 2022 \quad$ Title:Ashford Park Phase 1 Final Plat and Replat of Lot 3R Block A <br> of the E. Blount Subdivision |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ends: | $\square$ Resident Engagement $\square$ Proactive Government $\square$ Organizational Development |
|  | $\square$ Health \& Safety $\square$ Regional Cooperation $\boxtimes$ Attracting Quality Development |
| Governance Focus: | Focus: $\boxtimes$ Owner $\boxtimes$ Customer $\boxtimes$ Stakeholder |
|  | Decision: $\square$ Governance Policy $\quad \boxtimes$ Ministerial Function |

## Item/Caption

Consider and act upon a Final Plat for Ashford Park, Phase 1, and a Replat of Lot 3R Block A of the E. Blount Subdivision, for 174 Single Family Residential lots, and twelve (12) HOA/Open Space/Preservation lots, on $\pm 45.794$ acres. The property is generally located on the north side of the intersection of Lake Sharon Drive and Parkridge Drive and south of Valley View Drive. (Case No. FP21-0001)

## Item Summary/Background/Prior Action

On March 22, 2021, the Planning \& Zoning Commission approved a Preliminary Plat for the Ashford Park Subdivision, which includes portions of the E. Blount Subdivision. A Replat of the E. Blount Subdivision was approved by the Planning \& Zoning Commission on November 15, 2021. The purpose of the Final Plat is to establish 174 Single Family Residential lots and twelve (12) HOA/Open Space/Preservation lots, and the purpose of the Replat is to create two (2) lots out of Lot 3 Block A of the E. Blount Subdivision to be included in Phase 1 of the Ashford Park Subdivision. The property is zoned Planned Development No. 57: Ashford Park (PD-57).

The property will have access to Lake Sharon Drive and an extension of Parkridge Drive as approved in the PD-57 Ordinance and the Preliminary Plat.

The Final Plat and Replat conform to the PD-57 and City development standards.

## Staff Recommendation/Motion

Staff recommends approval of the Final Plat and Replat subject to the following conditions:

1. Staff approval of all additions and/or alterations to the easements and dedications in the Final Plat and Replat.
2. All covenants required by ordinances have been reviewed and approved by the City.
3. Original tax certificates have been presented from each taxing unit with jurisdiction of the real property showing the current taxes are paid.

Staff recommends the following motion: Motion to approve the Final Plat and Replat as presented subject to the conditions presented by Staff.

## Attachments

1. Final Plat and Replat
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CITY OF CORINTH
Staff Report

| Meeting Date: | $1 / 24 / 2022 \quad$ Title: Magnolia Center Final Plat |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ends: | $\square$ Resident Engagement $\square$ Proactive Government $\square$ Organizational Development |
|  | $\square$ Health \& Safety $\square$ Regional Cooperation $\boxtimes$ Attracting Quality Development |
| Governance Focus: | Focus: $\boxtimes$ Owner $\boxtimes$ Customer $\quad \boxtimes$ Stakeholder |
|  | Decision: $\square$ Governance Policy $\quad \boxtimes$ Ministerial Function |

## Item/Caption

Consider and act upon a Final Plat for the Magnolia Center Addition, for one (1) lot on $\pm 2.627$ acres. The property is located at the southwest corner of S I-35E and Lake Sharon Drive. (Case No. FP21-0002)

## Item Summary/Background/Prior Action

On March 23, 2020, the Planning \& Zoning Commission approved a Preliminary Plat for the Lot 1, Block A of the Magnolia Center Addition. The purpose of the Final Plat is to create a one (1) lot subdivision. The intent is commercial, and the property is zoned Planned Development 53: Magnolia Center (PD-53), as amended.

The property will have access to S I-35E and Tower Ridge Drive. as approved in the PD-53 Ordinance, as amended, and the Preliminary Plat.

The Final Plat conforms to the PD-53 and City development standards.

## Staff Recommendation/Motion

Staff recommends approval of the Final Plat and Replat subject to the following conditions:

1. Staff approval of all additions and/or alterations to the easements and dedications in the Final Plat.
2. Applicant must address all Staff comments prior to submitting for recordation.
3. Original tax certificates have been presented from each taxing unit with jurisdiction of the real property showing the current taxes are paid.

Staff recommends the following motion: Motion to approve the Final Plat as presented subject to the conditions presented by Staff.

## Attachments

## 1. Final Plat
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CITY OF CORINTH
Staff Report

| Meeting Date: | $1 / 24 / 2022 \quad$ Title: Pecan Creek Subdivision Replat |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ends: | $\square$ Resident Engagement $\square$ Proactive Government $\square$ Organizational Development |
|  | $\square$ Health \& Safety $\square$ Regional Cooperation $\boxtimes$ Attracting Quality Development |
| Governance Focus: | Focus: $\boxtimes$ Owner $\boxtimes$ Customer $\boxtimes$ Stakeholder |
|  | Decision: $\square$ Governance Policy $\quad \boxtimes$ Ministerial Function |

## Item/Caption

Consider and act upon a Replat of Pecan Creek Subdivision, combining Lots 6-A1, 6-B1, and 6-C1 into Lot 6R on $\pm 7.136$ acres. The property is located at 5150 S I-35E. (Case No. RP22-0001)

## Item Summary/Background/Prior Action

The purpose of the Replat is to consolidate three (3) lots into one (1) lot in the Pecan Creek Subdivision. The intent is commercial, and the property is zoned Planned Development 54: Enterprise (PD-54).

The property will have access to S I-35E and Tower Ridge Drive, as approved in the PD-54 Ordinance.
The Replat conforms to the PD-54 and City development standards.

## Staff Recommendation/Motion

Staff recommends approval of the Replat subject to the following conditions:

1. All required fees shall be paid.
2. Staff approval of all additions and/or alterations to the easements and dedications in the Replat.
3. Applicant must address all Staff comments prior to submitting for recordation.
4. Original tax certificates have been presented from each taxing unit with jurisdiction of the real property showing the current taxes are paid.

Staff recommends the following motion: Motion to approve the Replat as presented subject to the conditions presented by Staff.

## Attachments

1. Replat


## OWNER'S ACKNOWLEOGEMENT AND OEOICATION.

STATE OF TEAS 8 S
COUNTY OF OENTN $s$




















omere:
DATE:
STATE OF MISSOURUS
COUNTY OF ST. LOUS
${ }_{8}^{8}$

 GIVEN UNDER MY HAND ANO SEAL of OFFICE THIS THIS _ oar or __2022.

```
NOTARY PUBLCC, STATE OF MISSOURI
```

ree or print notarys name
Mr CoMMISSION EXPRES: $\qquad$

## comtificate of surveyor.

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF TARRANT
$\frac{\delta}{8}$


Insin


STATE OF ITXAS
COUNTY OF TARRANT
8
 gNen under mr hano ano seal of office this this __ dar of $\qquad$ 2022.

Notary pueilc, state of texas
trpe or print notarys name
My comulsion Expres: $\qquad$
OWER'S STATEENT FOR EREE LANE EASENENT



ommer (PRNIEO NAME: DANEL C. WESSEL
$\qquad$
DATE:
SATE OF MISSOUR1



$\overline{\text { NOTARY PUBULC, STAIE OF MISSOURI }}$
$\overline{T r P E}$ OR PRNT NOTARYS NAME
My comussoon Express:

CERTFCATE OF RELAT APPROVAL


PRRLIMINARY OR REVUEW PURPOSES ONLY THIS DOCUMENT SHALL NOT BE RECORDED FOR ANY
PURPSE AN SHAL NOT BE MED OR MWEOR
mTNESS MY HAND ANO SEAL THIS ___ oAr $\qquad$ 2022.




 3. ALI BEARNGS SHOMN HEREON ARE CORRELATED TO THE TEXAS STATE PLANE COORONMAE



## CITY OF CORINTH

## Staff Report

| Meeting Date: | $1 / 24 / 2022 \quad$ Title:Timber Ridge Planned Development (PD) Rezoning Request <br> (Case No. ZAPD21-0004) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ends: | $\square$ Resident Engagement $\square$ Proactive Government $\square$ Organizational Development |
|  | $\square$ Health \& Safety $\square$ Regional Cooperation $\boxtimes$ Attracting Quality Development |

## Item/Caption

Conduct a Public Hearing to consider testimony and make a recommendation to the City Council on a rezoning request by the Applicant, Skorburg Company, to amend the Zoning Ordinance and Zoning Map of the City of Corinth, each being a part of the Unified Development Code of the City, from SF-3 Single Family to a Planned Development with a base zoning district of SF-A Single Family Residential Attached (townhouses), on approximately $\pm 8.251$ acres located on the west side of Corinth Parkway, north of Lake Sharon Drive, south of Silver Meadow Lane and east of Woodcrest Circle at 2000 Corinth Parkway. (Case No. ZAPD21-0004 - Timber Ridge)

## Item Summary/Background/Prior Action

The applicant is requesting approval of a Planned Development (PD) rezoning for the future development of $\pm 8.251$ acres for construction of 55 attached single-family dwellings (townhouses) on individually platted lots. This style of development and townhouse product would be the first of its type in the City.

This product type and design is supported in the City's adopted Comprehensive Plan, Envision Corinth 2040. The future land use scenario for this property is shown as "Mixed Residential" which identifies a variety of housing types including, townhouse developments (density range of 6-10 units/acre) with access to open space, connected grid-like streets, open view corridors, and access to creeks and opens space. For reference, Attachment 1 - Timber Ridge PD Design Statement (and associated exhibits) present the overall project proposal.

The proposal includes a total of 14 buildings ranging in size from 3-attached single-family (townhome) dwellings to a maximum of 5 -attached single-family (townhome) dwellings on platted lots. Most dwellings units will front onto a new urbanist style design of neighborhood streets that includes parallel on-street parking with bulb-outs and parkway (between sidewalk and edge of curb to be planted with shade trees). However, one, 4-unit building will front onto a "mews" (common open space lot) located at the western end of Street "C". Exhibit "G" presents a typical view of the proposed new urbanist style street.

The PD Landscape and Screening Concept Plan (Exhibit "D") shows the overall layout and design of the site and provides a breakdown of buildings as follows:

| BUILDING TYPE | NUMBER OF BUILDINGS |
| :--- | :---: |
| 3 Units Attached | 5 |
| 4 Units Attached | 5 |
| 5 Units Attached | 4 |
| TOTAL: | 14 |

The site is currently heavily treed with the Lynchburg Creek running along the southern most boundary of the property. The Applicant is proposing to preserve $20 \%$ of the total Protected Tree Caliper Inches (CI) on the site as presented on Exhibit "D" within two (2) common open space lots that will be owned and maintained by the Homeowners' Association (HOA). Additionally, a trail and two benches are proposed overlooking the Lynchburg Creek open space along the southern edge of Street A.

Much of the southern portion of the site is currently located within the 100-Year Floodplain of the Lynchburg Creek. The limits of the 100 -Year Floodplain are identified on the PD Concept Plan (Exhibit "B"). It is important to note that the density, design, and configuration of the PD Concept Plan is predicated on the Applicant receiving approval a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). It is recognized by Staff and the Applicant that a future PD amendment may be required should the results of the CLOMR require a reduction in density and design layout.

## Applicant Requested Departures from the Unified Development Code (UDC) Provisions

As stated in the UDC, Section 2.06.03, the purpose of a PD District is to " $\ldots$ encourage quality and better development in the City by allowing flexibility in planning and development of projects . . . and permit new or innovative concepts in land utilization or diversification that could not be achieved through the traditional [base] zoning districts." As such, the Applicant is requesting the following departures from the established UDC provisions to create a unique project. Note that the stated departures below are further described in Attachment 1 - Timber Ridge PD Design Statement and include a justification for each request.

| Summary of Departure Requests: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Topic | SF-A Base District | Proposed Departure |
| Min Front Yard Setback | 25 | 7.5 |
| Min Side Yard Setback on Corner Lots | 15 | $10^{\prime}$ ( $5^{\prime}$ adjacent to alleys) |
| Min Rear Yard Setback | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime} / 12^{\prime}$ lots adjacent to alley radii ${ }^{1}$ |
| Min Lot Area | 1,250 SF | 2,000 $\mathrm{SF}^{2}$ |
| Max Density | 10 Units per acre (net) | 7.03 Units (gross) \& 8.88 Units (net) ${ }^{3}$ |
| Min Floor Area | 1,050 SF | $1,300 \mathrm{SF}^{4}$ |
| Max Height/Stories | $35^{\prime} / 2$ (50' with added setback) | $35 / 2^{5}$ |
| Max Building Area | 55\%/60\% including accessory buildings | 80\% ${ }^{6}$ |
| Separation Between Buildings | $30^{\prime}$ | $10^{, 7}$ |

[^0]| Summary of Departure Requests (Continued): |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Topic | Various UDC Standards | Proposed Departure |
| Landscaping - Location of Shade Trees | Front Yard | Along street and common open space |
| Landscape Edge Buffer | 1 Shade Tree/30 Linear Feet | Count 3 Existing Trees/9 New Trees |
| Street Design | Standard Suburban Style | New Urbanist Style (parking/trees) |
| Alley | Standard Suburban Style | Adjusted to fit Fire Safety/Engineering ${ }^{8}$ |
| Tree Preservation | $1: 1$ Replacement/Removal ${ }^{9}$ | $20 \%$ Preservation of Exiting Tree CI ${ }^{10}$ |
| Building Façade Materials | Front onto a Street | Architectural features/materials |
| Lots | Full Payment at $1^{\text {st }}$ Building Permit | Per unit payment with Building Permit ${ }^{12}$ |

Staff has worked with the Applicant over the past several months through various iterations and supports the departure requests as shown. The proposed departures will be described in detail in the PD Ordinance that will be adopted by City Council.

## Neighborhood Meeting

The applicant conducted two (2) Zoom neighborhood meetings to seek input and address concerns from surrounding property owners.

The first meeting was held on September 20, 2021, prior to formal submittal of the PD Rezoning Application. According to the applicant, Skorburg Company, five (5) neighbors attended and provided feedback as follows: (1) an increase in the height of the perimeter board-on-board fence from 6' to $8^{\prime}$, (2) metal fence post facing the Timber Ridge development or offered to reverse placement at the request of the property owner, (3) construct the perimeter fence during the early stages of the construction (right after grading/during retention wall construction). The developer agreed to these requests.

As second meeting was held on January 18, 2022, to present the draft final plan. According to the applicant, six (6) neighbors attended and offered feedback regarding the plan and items related to the existing conditions of the site. Feedback included: (1) clean up the open space/creek area, (2) keep the southwest corner of the property open with no fencing as shown on the Landscape Plan, (3) locate the "flush" side of the nails facing the neighbor's property when

[^1]constructing the fence, (4) walk the property with the neighbors before constructing the perimeter fence, and (5) ensure silt/construction fencing will be installed prior to start of construction. The developer agreed to these requests.

Staff will include the agreements in the Timber Ridge PD Ordinance provisions to ensure future compliance.

## Compliance with the Comprehensive Plan

The rezoning request for the subject property, as presented, is in accordance with the "Land Use and Development Strategy" designations, Mixed-Residential, set forth in the "Envision Corinth 2040" Comprehensive Plan.

## Public Notice

Notice of the public hearing was published in the January 8, 2022 edition of the Denton Record-Chronicle. Written public notices were mailed to the property owners of all twenty-six (26) properties located within 200 feet of the subject property proposed for the zoning change on January 7, 2022. The Public Hearing notice was posted on the City Website.

## Letters of Support/Protest

As of the date of this report, the City has received one (1) letter of support and one (1) letter of opposition from property owners located within 200 feet of the subject property.

## Staff Recommendation/Motion

Staff recommends approval as presented with the provision that Staff have the opportunity to work with the Applicant to address the following site design items that need further discussion and/or adjustment. Items include:

1. 12' rear yard setback for lots adjacent to alley radii considering best practices for function and form
2. Extending sidewalk along "common open space mews lot" at western terminus of Street C.

## Supporting Documentation

## ATTACHMENT 1 - TIMBER RIDGE PD DESIGN STATEMENT WITH EXHIBITS

## ATTACHMENT 2 - LETTERS RECEIVED FROM PROPERTY OWNERS WITHIN 200 FT OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY

- Exhibit "A" - Legal Description
- Exhibit "B" - PD Concept Plan
- Exhibit "C" - Existing Site Conditions
- Exhibit "D" - Conceptual Landscape and Screening Plan
- Exhibit "E" - Representative Product (Elevations of Building Styles)
- Exhibit "F" - Existing Tree Coverage
- Exhibit "G" - New Urbanist Street Right-of-Way


## TIMBER RIDGE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT ZONING MAP (REZONING) AMENDMENT PD DESIGN STATEMENT

## SECTION 1 - OVERVIEW

## A. PROJECT NAME/TITLE -

Timber Ridge

## B. LIST OF OWNERS/DEVELOPERS -

Owner -

The current property owner of the proposed Timber Ridge Planned Development site:

- John Bennett

The individual property ownership is shown in the exhibit below.


Developer -

- Skorburg Company

With over 35 years of experience in development, the Skorburg Company has fostered a reputation for building a variety of high-quality, long-lasting communities. In total, the Skorburg Company has developed 120+ properties in 30 different municipalities around the Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex.

## Skorburg Company background

- DFW based, privately owned development firm with 35 years of experience
- Over 120 development projects in more than 30 different cities around DFW metroplex
- Over 25 current projects in active development or build out
- Reputation for building high-quality, long-lasting communities
- Projects range from small, infill locations to 800 -acre multi-phase master planned communities



## C. PROJECT ACREAGE AND LOCATION -

The proposed Timber Ridge Planned Development is located west of Corinth Parkway. The property consists of approximately 8.251 acres with the majority of the property located in the floodplain. The site is currently zoned SF-3 and there is an existing house on the property. Corinth Shore Estates, an existing single-family community, zoned SF-3, boarders the site along the northern and western property boundary. The Boulevard, an existing multi-family development, is
adjacent to the property along the southern property boundary. The Parkway District, a future mixed-use development consisting of multi-family, for-rent townhomes, hotel, retail, and restaurants, is located on the eastern boundary across Corinth Parkway.


## D. PROJECT OVERVIEW / DESCRIPTION -

The Timber Ridge Planned Development will be a high-quality, long-lasting community consistent with the Envision Corinth 2040 Comprehensive Plan. The future land use designation is Mixed Residential. Mixed Residential allows for a range of single-family dwellings, including large lot, patio homes, townhomes and multi-family transition as well as neighborhood commercial. Overall residential density for Mixed Residential ranges from six (6) to ten (10) units per acre.

SF-A, Single-Family Residential (Attached) Zoning District, is the base zoning district for the Timber Ridge Planned Development. This district is intended to provide for the development of single-family attached dwelling units on lots no less than one thousand two hundred fifty $(1,250)$ square feet and a maximum of ten (10) dwelling units per net acre. The minimum lot size proposed for the Timber Ridge Planned Development is two thousand $(2,000)$ square feet.

The Timber Ridge Planned Development will consist of new residential townhomes with a maximum gross density of 7.03 dwelling units per acre as presented in Exhibit B - PD Concept

Plan. This development will provide a great opportunity to organically transition between the existing single-family, existing multi-family and future mixed-used developments.

The Timber Ridge Planned Development will provide high-quality, attached residential townhomes that are very desirable for growing suburban areas and offer a differentiated product type not currently available in the City of Corinth. This type of housing product accommodates consumers who desire less maintenance, while providing more privacy and sense of place than a multi-family community. The Timber Ridge Planned Development will be an excellent neighborhood for young professionals, empty nesters as well as new and established families looking to transition from apartment living in a great location with easy access to the Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex.

## SECTION 2 - PROJECT DESIGN

## A. Based Zoning District -

The Timber Ridge Planned Development shall be subject to all regulations of the Corinth Unified Development Code ("UDC"), as existing or may be amended, for the SF-A Single Family Residential (Attached) Zoning District, except as modified herein.

## B. DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS -

## 1. Permitted Use and Use Regulations -

All Permitted Uses for the SF-A Single Family Residential (Attached) District, described in subsection 2.07 of the UDC, shall apply.

The residential townhome lot layout shall be in general conformance with the PD Concept Plan shown in Exhibit "B" attached hereto.

## 2. Dimensional Regulations -

The Dimensional Regulations for the SF-A Single Family Residential (Attached) District, described in subsection 2.08 of the UDC, shall apply, except as modified below:

1. Table A - Dimensional Requirements

2. The minimum separation between noncontiguous, adjacent structures shall be ten (10) ft (five ( 5 ') side yard on each end unit).

## Justification:

These departures from the base district in subsection 2.08 are necessary to provide lots for townhome product commonly found throughout the Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex. These departures will also allow the property to be developed in accordance with the overall density outlined in the Envision Corinth 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

## 3. Accessory Building and Uses -

The Accessory Building and Uses Regulations described in subsection 2.07.07 of the UDC shall apply.

## 4. Landscaping Regulations -

The Landscaping Regulations described in subsection 2.09 .01 of the UDC shall apply, except as modified below:

1. Subsection 2.09.01.B.1. shall not apply.
2. Subsection 2.09.01.B.2.a. shall be modified to require the developer to plant nine (9) shade trees ( 3 " caliper minimum), in addition to the (3) existing trees to be kept within the required twenty (20') foot landscape buffer along Corinth Parkway to meet the perimeter landscaping requirements, as shown in Exhibit "D".
3. Subsection 2.09.01.B.2.b. shall be modified to require the builder to plant trees at a rate of five (5) caliper inches per residential lot equating to one (1) shade tree (3" caliper minimum) and one (1) ornamental tree ( 2 " caliper minimum). A minimum of one (1) ornamental tree shall be planted in the front yard of all residential lots along with the required twelve (12) shrubs. However, due to the reduced front yard setback, an alternative planting location for the required shade trees shall be permitted within the bulb-out section of the street as well as between the sidewalk and curb (parkway area) and in deeded common open space lots (X-Lots), as shown on Exhibit "D", which shall be cared for by the Homeowners' Association in perpetuity. The Builder will coordinate with the City Chief Building Official prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy to confirm the location of the Shade Tree plantings (shown on Exhibit "D"), as the required number of Shade Trees may not necessarily be located within an area immediately adjacent to the respective unit/building ready for occupancy. The Chief Building Official shall maintain a tally of Street Trees planted to ensure compliance. City approved root barrier systems will be utilized when trees are within $5^{\prime}$ of pavement. The typical cross section showing streetscape planting is as follows:


55' R.O.W. EXHIBIT - STREET 'A' \& STREET 'C'


50' R.O.W. FXHIRIT - STRFFT 'R'
4. The developer shall construct a five-foot (5') trail through the floodplain with 2 park benches, as shown in Exhibit "D".

## Justification:

Subsection 2.09.01.B.1. and 2.09.01.B.2. of the UDC both state landscape requirements for single-family attached developments. The Timber Ridge Planned Development shall apply with subsection 2.09.01.B.2. - landscaping requirements for attached and detached singlefamily lots, except as modified herein.

These departures in subsection 2.09 .01 are necessary to allow for trees to be spaced so at mature growth their canopies do not interfere with one another or with the townhome units. Additionally, these departures provide a unique look and feel, consistent with an urban townhome community.

## 5. Tree Preservation -

The Tree Preservation Regulations described in Subsection 2.09.02 of the UDC shall apply, except as modified below (subject to change upon completion of Tree Mitigation Plan and Tree Survey):

1. The City agrees to the proposed removal of trees, in accordance with the approval of a Tree Survey, Tree Protection Plan or Tree Mitigation Plan.
2. A Protected Tree shall mean any species of tree, except those identified in Table 16 of subsection 2.09.02 of the UDC, having a trunk caliper of six inches ( 6 '') or more measured approximately four feet six inches ( $4^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) (breast height) above existing natural grade and currently in a healthy condition.
3. The Developer shall be allowed to provide mitigation by using any combination of replacement trees or fee in lieu of. The use of replacement trees will be considered as the first choice for mitigation, where practical, as determined at time of Alternative Compliance Approval.
a. A replacement tree shall mean any tree planted within, open space or landscape edge, in addition to the trees required in subsection 2.09 .01 of the UDC, that are of a species of Canopy (shade) tree from table 15 of subsection 2.09.01. Canopy (shade) trees shall not be smaller than three (3) caliper inches at the time of planting.
b. The fee in lieu of replacement trees shall be one hundred fifty dollars (\$150) per caliper inch.
4. The following replacement rate multiplier for Protected Trees (sliding scale size categories) shall apply:

| Size of Protected Tree | CI Replacement Rate Per CI Removed |
| :---: | :---: |
| $6^{\prime \prime}-20^{\prime \prime}$ | $1: 1$ |
| $20.1^{\prime \prime}-36^{\prime \prime}$ | $1.5: 1$ |
| $36.1^{\prime \prime}-48^{\prime \prime}$ | $2: 1$ |
| $48.1^{\prime \prime}+$ | $4: 1$ |

5. The following credits shall apply for tree preservation efforts:
a. Saved Tree Base Credit (Sliding Scale): When $15 \%-20 \%$ or more total healthy (1) Protected Tree CI on site the following Sliding Scale Credit shall apply:

| Size of Protected Tree | Credit Offered to Off-Set CI <br> Replacement Required |
| :---: | :---: |
| $6^{\prime \prime}-12^{\prime \prime}$ | $2: 1$ |
| $12.1^{\prime \prime}-20^{\prime \prime}$ | $3: 1$ |
| $20.1^{\prime \prime}-36^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5: 1$ |
| $36.1^{\prime \prime}-48^{\prime \prime}$ | $4: 1$ |
| $48.1^{\prime \prime}+$ | $5: 1$ |

(1) Healthy is rated in "good or excellent condition" by a Certified Arborist or Registered Landscape Architect.
b. Standard Deduction Credit: If at least $20 \%$ of existing Protected Tree CI on site have been saved on site, a $50 \%$ reduction of any remaining mitigation requirements may be offered after Saved Tree Base Credits are applied. If $20 \%$ of the existing Protected Tree CI saved on site is not met, then no Standard Deduction Credit will be given. There shall not be less than $15 \%$ of existing Protected Tree CI saved on site.
6. The Protected Trees as generally depicted on Exhibit "D" within the common open space Lots $1 \mathrm{x}, 46 \mathrm{x}$ and 59 x contain a minimum of $20 \%$ of the existing Protected Tree CI on site. Existing Protected Trees shall remain in perpetuity and cared for by the Homeowners' Association as will be further defined in the Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions at the time of Final Plat.

## Justification:

These departures from subsection 2.09.02 of the UDC are necessary to achieve an overall density consistent with the Envision Corinth 2040 Comprehensive Plan, while offsetting the significant economic impact that would make this project nonviable.

## 6. Vehicular Parking Regulations -

The Vehicular Parking Regulations described in Subsection 2.09.03 of the UDC shall apply.

## 7. Garages -

The Garage Regulations described in Subsection 2.04.05.c. 6 of the UDC shall apply.

## 8. Building Façade Material Standards -

The Building Façade Material Standards described in Subsection 2.09.04 of the UDC shall apply, except as modified below:

1. Exterior wall materials $-75 \%$ of each façade (excluding doors and windows) shall consist of masonry construction materials and/or fiber-reinforced cementitious board.
2. Each building shall include at least four of the following architectural elements
a. Awnings/canopies;
b. Balconies (a minimum of 25 square feet in size);
c. Dormers;
d. Offsets within each building (a minimum 5 feet to receive credit);
e. Patio (a minimum of 25 square feet in size);
f. Porches (a minimum of 25 feet in size);
g. Stoops (a minimum of 2 feet tall by 4 feet wide);
h. Varied roof height in building (a minimum 10-foot difference);
i. Sconce lighting;
j. Decorative banding or molding

## 9. Residential Adjacency Standards -

The Residential Adjacency Standards described in Subsection 2.09.05 of the UDC shall apply.

## 10. Nonresidential Architectural Standards -

The Nonresidential Architectural Standards described in Subsection 2.09.06 of the UDC shall apply.

## 11. Lighting and Glare Regulations -

The Lighting and Glare Regulations described in Subsection 2.09.07 of the UDC shall apply.

## 12. Alleys -

The Alley Standards described in Subsection 3.05.05 of the UDC shall apply, except as modified below:

1. Alleys serving the single-family residential townhomes shall have a minimum right-ofway width of fifteen feet ( $15^{\prime}$ ) with a minimum paving width of ten feet (10') with twelve feet ( $12^{\prime}$ ) where city standards require.

Justification:
Subsection 2.04.05.C.4. states a minimum concrete paved alley width of twenty feet (20'), but subsection 3.05.05.E. 2 states a minimum right-of-way width of fifteen feet $\left(15^{\prime}\right)$. This language is included for clarification purposes.

## 13. Lots -

The Lot Standards described in Subsection 3.05.09 of the UDC shall apply, except as modified below:

1. Mews lots fronting open space, with rear entry access provided by an alley, shall be allowed, for Lots 17-20 as shown in Concept Plan, Exhibit "B" attached hereto.

## Justification:

This departure from subsection 3.05 .09 of the UDC is necessary to provide a unique design with additional landscaping, while continuing to achieve an overall density consistent with the Envision Corinth 2040 Comprehensive Plan. This departure also allows for additional landscaped open space.

## 14. Park and Trail Land Dedication -

The Park and Trail Dedication described in subsection 3.05.10 requires that Park and Trail dedication for Residentially Zoned Property to be provided at a rate of 1 acre per/50 DU and/or fees-in-lieu-of or combination shall apply, except as modified below:

1. Payment of money in lieu of dedication of land for park purposes shall be made at time of building permit issuance. If all permits are not issued within 36 months of the first building permit, then the remaining fees will be paid by the Developer at that time.
2. Common open space lots (X-Lots) as shown on Exhibit "B" will remain as common open space/parkland to be owned and maintained by the Homeowners Association and that existing protected trees and any required mitigation trees to be replanted within common open space lots shall be preserved in perpetuity and cared for by the Homeowner's Association.

## Justification:

These departures from subsection 3.05 .10 of the UDC are necessary to allow the payment of fees required by the homebuilder to be made at the same time.

## 15. Street Design Criteria -

The Street Design Criteria described in Subsection 3.05.13 of the UDC shall apply, except as modified below:

1. The street design shown in Exhibit "E" shall be permitted and included on street parallel parking/bulb-outs.
2. Fifty foot ( 50 ') or fifty-five foot ( $55^{\prime}$ ) right of way with
a. 25 ' back-to-back pavement street section, and
b. $8-8.5^{\prime}$ parking spaces in certain areas

Justification:
This departure from subsection 3.05 .13 of the UDC is to permit a new urbanist street design.

## 16. Screening of Outdoor Waste Storage for Nonresidential, Single-Family Attached, and Multi-Family Residential Properties -

The Screening of Outdoor Waste Storage for Nonresidential, Single-Family Attached, and Multi-Family Residential Properties regulations described in Subsection 4.02.13 of the UDC, shall not apply as the Single-Family Attached units will be individually served.

## Justification:

These departures from subsection 4.02 .13 of the UDC are necessary because the Singlefamily Attached units will be individually served.

## 17. Fence and Screening Regulations -

The Fence and Screening Regulations described in Subsection 4.02 of the UDC shall apply, except as modified below:

1. The Developer shall construct a six foot (6') high ornamental metal screening fence with masonry columns located every fifty feet (50') along Corinth Parkway. Additionally, landscaping shall be planted directly in front the decorative metal screening fence, as shown in Exhibit "D".
2. The Developer shall construct an eight-foot ( $8^{\prime}$ ) board on board cedar stained fence along the northern and western property boundaries, as shown in Exhibit "D". The Developer intends to place metal posts for the fence at $\pm 8$ 'on-center and located on the Timber Ridge side of the northern and western property line perimeters unless a neighbor prefers such posts on its side of the common property line. The fence shall be constructed prior to the first Building Permit.

## Justification:

The decorative metal screening fence with landscaping, aligns with the existing screening established along Corinth Parkway. This screening plan will provide a beautiful, natural feel to the community that a closed off masonry screening fence would not.

At the request of the surrounding neighbors at our neighborhood meetings, we have agreed to increase the board on board cedar fence to eight feet ( $8^{\prime}$ ) along the northern and western property boundaries.

## C. OTHER DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS:

## 1. Phasing -

The Timber Ridge Community will be developed in one phase and is currently anticipated to start construction Q2-Q3 2022, subject to necessary City approvals.

## 2. Proposed Utility Infrastructure -

Water and sanitary sewer infrastructure will be constructed to provide a service to all lots within the development. The location of the water and sanitary sewer infrastructure shall be confirmed with engineering. These improvements will be designed and constructed in accordance with the City's published criteria. All water and sanitary sewer improvements are intended to be public and will be dedicated to the City upon completion of construction.

Storm drainage improvements will be designed and constructed in accordance with the City's published criteria. All storm drain sewer improvements are intended to be public and will be dedicated to the City upon completion of construction, except for detention facilities, if any, which will be owned and maintained by the Homeowners Association.

Franchise utilities (e.g. electrical, gas, communications, etc.) will be constructed to provide service to all lots. These utility lines will remain privately owned by the franchise providers, except for streetlights that will be metered (unless schedule D requires unmetered) and dedicated to the City of Corinth.

## 3. Floodplain/Drainage -

Due to the significant land area that falls within the floodplain, the Developer will be required per Chapter 152 (Floodplain Damage Prevention) of the Code of Ordinances, to obtain a Conditional Letter of Map Amendment identifying no rise ( $0.00^{\prime}$ ) in the floodplain due to the proposed development and provide valley storage at a 1:1 Cut/Fill Ratio within the floodplain.

## SECTION 3 - BACKGROUND INFORMATION

## A. EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS -

The site is currently used for residential and agricultural purposes. Below is a brief description of the existing physical characteristics of the site, as shown in Exhibit "B" and Exhibit "C".

1. Elevations \& Slope Analysis

The highest point of the site is generally located in the northwest corner and is approximately a 584 elevation. The lowest point of the site is generally located in the southeast corner and is approximately a 576 elevation. The natural topography of the site has a gradual slope, falling from the northwest corner toward the southeast corner of the property.

## 2. Soil Characteristics

The soil characteristics of the site are typical of the those found in North Texas, specifically those found in the City of Corinth. USDA is referencing sandy loam or clay loam for the site (brown sugar).
3. Tree Cover

The site is "heavily treed", with an existing tree coverage of over fifty percent (50\%). Oak trees are the prominent species.
4. Floodplain/Drainage

A majority of the property is located within a floodplain. Based on the existing topography, the site naturally drains to the southeast corner, directly into the floodway.

## B. CURRENT ZONING -

The site is currently zoned SF-3, single-family residential (detached), which permits a range of uses, by right. This including single-family (detached), modular (industrialized) home, agricultural use, child care home, church or other places of worship - including parsonage/rectory, concrete batching plant - temporary, country club, gas or oil well and production, golf course, home-base business, library, park - playground - community center (public), photovoltaic systems (attached), play field or stadium (public), police or fire station, school (public or private), telephone exchange (no offices or storage facilities), and temporary building for new construction.


The existing zoning on the subject site permits the following:

| Existing SF-3 Zoning Dimensional Regulations: |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback | $25^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback: <br> Interior Lot | $7.5^{\prime}$ |
| Corner Lot | $15^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Lot Area | 10,000 sq. ft. |
| Maximum Density | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Minimum Lot Width: | $80^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Lot Depth | $100^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Floor Area | 1,700 |
| Maximum Height (feet/stories) | (50' with additional setbacks) |


| Maximum Building Area (all <br> buildings) | $30 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |

## C. FUTURE LAND USE -

The future land use designation is Mixed Residential. This allows for a range of single-family dwellings, including large lot, patio homes, townhomes and multi-family transition. It also allows neighborhood commercial. Overall residential density ranges from six (6) to ten (10) units per acre. The Timber Ridge Planned Development aligns with the Envision Corinth 2040 Comprehensive Plan's future land use designation.



## SECTION 4 - SUPPORTING APPLICATION DOCUMENTS

A. Exhibit A-Legal description
B. Exhibit B - PD Concept Plan
C. Exhibit C - Existing Site Conditions
D. Exhibit D-Conceptual Landscape and Screening Plan
E. Exhibit E - Representative Product
F. Exhibit F - Existing Tree Coverage
G. Exhibit G - R.O.W. Exhibit

## LEGAL LAND DESCRIPTION

BEING all of that certain tract of land in the J. B. Thetford Survey, Abstract Number 1308, City of Corinth, Denton County, Texas, described in a Special Warranty Deed to John Gordon Bennett (hereinafter referred to as Bennett tract), as recorded in Volume 4730, Page 2345, Deed Records, Denton County, Texas (D.R.D.C.T.), and being all of that certain tract of land described in a Quit Claim Deed to John Gordon Bennett (hereinafter referred to as John Bennett tract), as recorded in Instrument Number 2010-108055, Official Public Records, Denton County, Texas (O.P.R.D.C.T.), and being more particularly described, by metes and bounds, as follows:

BEGINNING at a one-half inch iron rod found for the Northwest corner of said Bennett tract, same being an inner-ell corner of that certain tract of land described as Corinth Shores Estates, an addition in Denton County, Texas, according to the plat recorded in Cabinet A, Page 47, Plat Records, Denton County, Texas (P.R.D.C.T.);

THENCE South 88 degrees 09 minutes 03 seconds East with the common line between said Bennett tract and said Corinth Shores Estates, pass at a distance of 619.27 feet, a one-half inch iron rod found for reference, continue with said course, continue with the common line between said Bennett tract and said Corinth Shores Estates for a total distance of 627.47 feet to the Northeast corner of the remainder of said Bennett tract, same being the existing right-of-way line of South Corinth Parkway (variable width right-of-way), as recorded in Instrument Number 2009-119589, O.P.R.D.C.T.;

THENCE South 00 degrees 27 minutes 06 seconds East with the common line between the remainder of said Bennett tract and the existing right-of-way line of said South Corinth Parkway, a distance of 404.12 feet;

THENCE South 00 degrees 52 minutes 18 seconds West, continue with the common line between the remainder of said Bennett tract and the existing right-of-way line of said South Corinth Parkway, a distance of 171.52 feet to the Southeast corner of the remainder of said Bennett tract;

THENCE North 88 degrees 09 minutes 25 seconds West, departing the existing right-of-way line of said South Corinth Parkway, pass at a distance of 16.60 feet, the Southeast corner of said John Bennett tract, same being the Northeast corner of that certain tract of land described as The Boulevard Apartments Addition, an addition to the City of Corinth, Denton County, Texas, according to the plat recorded in Instrument Number 2011-208, O.P.R.D.C.T., continue with said course, with the common line between said John Bennett tract and said The Boulevard Apartments Addition, pass at distance of 207.97 feet, the West corner of said John Bennett tract, continue with said course, leaving said common line and with the South line of said Bennett tract for a total distance of 619.23 to the Southwest corner of said Bennett tract, same being the Southerly Southeast corner of the aforesaid Corinth Shores Estates;

THENCE North 00 degrees 52 minutes 32 seconds West with the common line between said Bennett tract and said Corinth Shores Estates, a distance of 576.00 feet to the PLACE OF BEGINNING, 8.251 acres or (359,425 square feet) of land.

CORINTSAN, ${ }^{\text {No }}$ TEXAS
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EXHIBIT "G"
55' AND 50' R.O.W. EXHIBIT TIMBER RIDGE Being approximately 8.251 Acres of land situated in the J. B. Thetford Survey, Abstract No. 1308, City of Corinth, Denton County, Texas
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# ATTACHMENT 2 <br> LETTERS RECEIVED FROM PROPERTY OWNERS WITHIN 200 FT OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY 

City of Corinth • 3300 Corinth Parkway • Corinth, Texas 76208
940-498-3200 • 940-498-7576 Fax • www.cityofcorinth.com
Bill Heidemann, Mayor

Miguel Inclan

| From: | Heather Swann [hdswann@gmail.com](mailto:hdswann@gmail.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, January 19, 2022 2:04 PM |
| To: | Miguel Inclan |
| Subject: | Zoning Change Proposal Case No. ZAPD21-0004-Timber Ridge |

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr. Inclan,

I live at 2805 Woodcrest Cir, Corinth, TX 76210 and I am writing in support of the zoning change proposal Case No. ZAPD21-0004-Timber Ridge. My husband and I have been pleased by the communication from Neil Stenberg with the Skorburg company. As of now he has been willing to work with us and our neighbors on anything we have requested. He is also quick to respond when we reach out to him. We knew that property would eventually be developed when we bought our home almost 13 years ago. Us and our next door neighbor share the majority of the fence line with the proposed community. Town homes seem like a good compromise for the size of the property.

Thank you,
Heather Swann

## Miguel Inclan

| From: | Summer Milroy [munchknaz@yahoo.com](mailto:munchknaz@yahoo.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, January 20, 2022 10:06 AM |
| To: | Miguel Inclan |
| Subject: | Timber Ridge development |

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Mr. Inclan,

I am writing in opposition of the Timber Ridge development. I will not be able to attend Mondays planning and zoning meeting. I did attend a zoom meeting with the developer on Monday the 17th regarding the process.

I purchased this home mostly due to the property behind it. Not having someone behind me was a big selling point. I understand that there was no guarantee that the property behind me would not be developed at some point but was also told that due to the flood plain, that it would most likely be a city park. My concerns about this property development are traffic, noise, \& crime. With the new commercial development scheduled to go in across the street and the new large residential development around the corner on Lake Sharon, what is the city's plan for all the new traffic on Corinth St? Once all these new developments go in is the city going to increase police patrol in the area? We already get enough noise pollution from 135 so by adding more developments with increase that as well. The traffic on Silver Meadow is crazy already and from my understanding the new development on Lake Sharon connects to the subdivision west of Corinth St. This will likely increase the traffic and noise for us on Silver Meadow as well.

With talking to the developers they said the new property will have an HOA, the properties are for sale and not rent (since apparently there will be "for rent" townhomes in the development across the street, \& they are responsible for anything that happens to the fencing that they will put up around the perimeter of the property. I know there is not much I can do at this point about the progress of this development but wanted to get you my concerns.

Thank You
Summer Milroy
2810 Silver Meadow Ln
Corinth

TEXAS
Planning | Building Inspections | Code Enforcement | Engineering


## PLANNING

- Planned Development Rezoning Cases: 5
- Site Plans: 2
- Comprehensive Plan Amendments: 1
- Plats Approved (Preliminary, Final, Replat, Amending): 10
- Single-Family Lots Approved: 710


## PRIVATE DEVELOPMENT

(Under Construction or Finalized)

- Lake Sharon Ph 3 (74 •Magnolia Development

Lots) • Oaks at Tower Ridge (17

- Amherst (50 Lots) lots)
- Ashford Park (174 Lots) • Walton Ridge (164 Lots)
- Children's Lighthouse
- Fairfield Inn


## Developer

Contributions:
\$1,331,000 Paving
\$1,891,000 Utilities

## ENGINEERING

## Capital Projects Under Design

- Lake Sharon/Dobbs Rd Realignment
- Commons at Agora Park \& Infrastructure
- NCTC Way
- LCMUA Water Interconnect
- Lynchburg Creek Flood Mitigation FEMA Grant
- Roundabout at Parkridge \& Lake Sharon


## BUILDING INSPECTIONS

351 Commercial Inspections 3816 Residential Inspections PERMITS ISSUED
60 Commercial - Valuation \$181,660 982 Residential - Valuation \$182,666

PLAN REVIEWS
36 Commercial - 426 Residential
4 New Commercial Buildings
39,428 Total Sq. Ft.
Total Valuation \$2,179,920

## CODE ENFORCEMENT

2278 Code Cases
5852 Inspections
24 Citations Issued


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Requires additional discussion with Applicant.
    ${ }^{2}$ Proposing to increase the minimum lot size (provide larger lots than what base district requires)
    ${ }^{3}$ Proposing to reduce the density permitted by the base zoning district (provide a smaller number of units than what base district permits)
    ${ }^{4}$ Proposing to increase the minimum floor area of each unit (require larger dwellings than base zoning district)
    ${ }^{5}$ Proposing to eliminate the option to increase height up to 50 ' as permitted by base zoning district.
    ${ }^{6}$ Proposing to increase the maximum building area to create more urban style development.
    ${ }^{7}$ Proposing to reduce the distance with provision of "common open space lot" between buildings.

[^1]:    ${ }^{8}$ Adjusted to meet fire and engineering requirements while generally keeping with New Urbanist Style of design. ${ }^{9}$ UDC requires 1:1 replacement for CI of Protected Trees removed and a $50 \%$ reduction of mitigation requirements if site heavily treed. No requirement to preserve any trees on site.
    ${ }^{10}$ Following draft Tree Preservation provisions as currently being written by Staff. Requires (1) higher sliding scale mitigation rates (depending on size of trees removed), (2) $15 \%-20 \%$ of the Protected Tree CI to be preserved on site within common open space lots in order to receive sliding scale credits based on size of tree preserved. A Standard Deduction credit of $50 \%$ offered after sliding credits are applied. However, in an offer of good faith to show intent to preserve $20 \%$ of Protected Tree CI on site, the Developer has offered to eliminate the Standard Deduction option off remaining mitigation, should the Protected Tree CI b fall below the $20 \%$ CI threshold, in no instance would the percentage of CI to be preserved go below $15 \%$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Permit four lots to front onto a mews (common open space lot) at western terminus of Street C.
    ${ }^{12}$ Proposing a timing change that would permit fees to be collected with permit for each building rather than upfront, ,though 36-month cap and remaining fees would need to be paid by Developer.

