

Tuesday, November 29th, 2022 at 2:00 PM • Virtual Meeting

This meeting is compliant with the Ralph M. Brown act as amended by California Assembly Bill No. 361 effective September 16, 2021 providing for a public health emergency exception to the standard teleconference rules required by the Brown Act. The purpose of this is to provide a safe environment for the public, staff, and the Subcommittee, while allowing for public participation. The public may address the Subcommittee using exclusively remote public comment options. The Subcommittee may take action on any item listed in the agenda.

TO ADDRESS THE SUBCOMMITTEE

The meeting will be an exclusively virtual meeting. The agenda materials may be viewed online at <u>www.brisbaneca.org</u> at least 72 hours prior to the meeting.

REMOTE PUBLIC COMMENTS

Meeting participants are encouraged to submit public comments in writing in advance of the meeting. Aside from commenting while in the Zoom meeting, the following email will be monitored during the meeting, and public comments received will be noted for the record during Public Comment or during an Item.

Email: aibarra@brisbaneca.org

Call-in number for oral communications if not using Computer Audio: 1-669-900-9128 After entering the meeting ID and pressing *#*, simply press *#* a second time to enter the meeting waiting room. No participant code is required. Please wait on the call until a Subcommittee or Staff Member announces that the phone line is open. Dial *9 to "raise hand" and dial *6 to

JOINING MEETING

mute/unmute.

Public Meetings may be viewed live by joining the Zoom Meeting listed below.

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/85780357662?pwd=MmNNaFhUb0hETTILbEdNMGd6d3IwQT09

Meeting ID: 857 8035 7662 Passcode: 104457

SPECIAL ASSISTANCE

If you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact Angel Ibarra at (415) 508-2109. Notification in advance of the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting.

SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Mayor Mackin, Mayor Pro-tem Lentz

PRESENTATIONS AND DISCUSSION ITEMS

A. Review of Water and Sewer Billing Frequency

PUBLIC COMMENT

ADJOURNMENT

File Attachments for Item:

A. Review of Water and Sewer Billing Frequency



CITY COUNCIL INFRASTRUCTURE SUBCOMMITTEE AGENDA REPORT

Meeting Date: 11/29/2022 From: Carolina Yuen, Finance Director Subject: Review of Water and Sewer Billing Frequency

Community Goal/Result

Community Prudent

Safe Community

Ecological Sustainability

Purpose

Ensure the City's water and sewer billing and collection process offers the customers manageable payment amounts due when the water and sewer rate increases are implemented.

Recommendation

Provide feedback regarding potential change of billing frequency to separate water usage from fixed fees for sewer, drought reserve and capital project charges.

Background

On March 14 and June 13, 2022, the City Council Infrastructure, Utilities and Franchise Subcommittee discussed the need to increase water and sewer rates to ensure the Utility Fund could cover recent increases in operating expenses. In order to minimize the hardship of the increases, the Subcommittee members requested a review of the possibility of splitting the water and sewer bills and converting from a bi-monthly to a monthly billing frequency.

The possible charges that would be separated from the current bi-monthly bill are fixed services including Sewer, Drought Contingency and Capital Project Charges. These are set annually based on specific periods of water usage. They would be split off to be billed in the "off months."

Discussion

Currently Water and Sewer bills are distributed every other month in February, April, June, August, October and December. The thought is to split the bills so water charges are billed during the current billing months, and other fixed service charges would be included in the "off months" of January, March, May, July, September and November. Currently the City's water and sewer bill has a number of lines or services:

- Water Service Charge The charge for having a meter connected to the system
- Water Usage This is based on the number of units of water used during the billing period. One (1) unit equals 100 cubic ft. of water or approximately 748 gallons
- Sewer Charge For residential customers it is based on the average water usage from October through January, and is reset every April. For commercial customers it is based on the actual water used during the billing period.
- Capital Project Charge This is to pay for capital projects. The current charge is for the repayment of the 2015 water and sewer bonds. Council approved the second charge, which will be incorporated into the December 2022 bill. The charge is based on the amount of water used between February and June and is reset every August.
- Drought Contingency Fund This charge was adopted on February 1, 2018. It was set to create a reserve fund to cover loss of revenue during a drought. Accounts are evaluated annually based on their usage.

Staff explored the various billing services on the utility bills to determine possible ways and the related cost to divide the bills and issue monthly. There were various options explored:

Option 1: Read the meters monthly and issue the bills monthly.

- Challenges Current staffing responsible for reading the meters is set up for bi-monthly readings. Staffing would need to be increased for readings, but AMI (Automated Metering Infrastructure) is on the City's project list to be implemented within two to five years.
- Additional steps and cost The largest cost would be staff time since it takes three (3) people approximately one week to read the meters every other month. Also staff time would be needed to re-design the billing system to convert service codes to monthly billings.

Option 2: Continue to read the meters bi-monthly but charge fixed services on the off-months with manual intervention.

- Challenges: The current billing system assigns a service address for the water/sewer services and allows only one account per service address, tied to a water meter. The fixed service fees are set annually and are tied to water usage from a specific period. In order for the City to use the existing accounts to bill the services in separate months, the billing operator would have to manually inactivate fixed billing services on each account monthly, each service code one by one, then activate the following month while inactivating the water usage component. This is a manual process and prone to human error.
- Additional steps and cost: The largest costs for this is the additional staff time in setting up the system and the additional mailing costs to the City.

Option 3: Continue to read the meters bi-monthly but charge fixed services on the off-months using automated invoicing from non-utility billing system.

- Challenges: The current billing system uses "services" for each service address and allows only one account per service address, tied to a water meter. The billing department would utilize another invoicing module to bill the fixed fees, mirror the account number structure on the new module, but would need to maintain two separate databases in order to keep the service addresses in synch. Because the other invoicing module is not part of the utility billing module, the automated credit card payment feature is not linked to the invoicing module and therefore would not work for the customers. Customers would have to pay in person, or mail in checks. The utility billing module tracks the water usage and is used to calculate the monthly fixed service fees for each customer. Once calculated, the billing operator would have to manually download data from the utility billing module and upload to the other invoicing module in order to generate the bills for fixed services. Maintaining the two databases is a manual process and prone to human error.
- Additional steps and cost: The largest cost is staff time to a) set up the accounts and billing codes on the non-utility invoicing module, b) to ensure the two separate systems are maintained, and c) to process mailed and in-person payments since our current nonutility invoicing system does not support automatic payments.

Option 4: Continue to read the meters bi-monthly, but charged the fixed services annually on property tax bills

- Challenges: The utility billing module tracks the water usage and is used to calculate the
 monthly fixed service fees for each customer. Once calculated, the billing operator
 would have to download data from the utility module to calculate and annualize the
 service fees, and forward a file with account information to the County to have added to
 the property tax bill. Currently utility service addresses pay for the bills. By moving to
 the property tax bill, the property owners would be responsible to pay, and if they don't
 live at the service address, they would have to find ways to collect from their tenants.
 Charges would be generated annually and we may have to shift when we calculate in
 order to have on the property tax roll in time. Also, property owners would have to pay
 semi-annually instead of bi-monthly.
- Additional steps and cost: The largest cost is staff time to take the water usage and fixed service fee reset data off the system to annualize for the property tax rolls. We already use a consultant to help us to manage the property tax rolls for billing of NPDES and Storm fees. There would be an additional cost if we increase their scope to include assisting us with the utility service fees.

General concerns:

Additional bills result in additional printed billing statements and more envelopes being distributed, therefore using more paper products which moves away from the City's initiative to decrease paper usage.

Using the existing current utility billing system, but manually manipulating the process each month moves away from automation which is one of the reasons the current utility billing system was chosen.

Using an alternative invoicing system would take away payment options from the customer, decreasing the progress we've made in offering automated or self-service payment methods to the customers. Also, there was an increase in the number of customers using our automated platforms since we reduced City Hall public hours as a result of COVID.

Having the utility billing specialist work on bills each month minimizes the time allowed for other utility billing projects and responsibilities, and other cross-training requirements and opportunities. Other Finance department resources would need to be re-allocated assignments currently performed by the utility billing specialist to allow her the additional time needed for the billing and collection processes. More cashiering time would also be needed by other front counter supporting staff to process additional manual payments.

The percentage of customers who would prefer a monthly billing frequency is unknown. Although some may appreciate the monthly billing to smooth out the cost of the increases, some others may prefer to stay on the current cycle. Although recurring payment methods are only available on a bi-monthly basis, customers can already pay monthly manually if they choose. Additionally, the City does work with individuals to set up payment plans on an asneeded basis.

Measure of Success

The City is able to offer its customers a billing frequency that allows for manageable payment amounts, maintains payment options, and doesn't stray from the City's other goals, as economically as possible while being financially sustainable.

Carolina Guen Carolina Yuen, Finance Director

Varte L. Holsti

Clay Holstine, City Manager

- Purpose: To explore possibility to convert entire/portion of Utility Billing (UB) to monthly frequency and related costs
- Steps:1. Reviewed with Tyler the capabilities and limitations of billing system to convert to
monthly billing
 - 2. Reviewed steps and related time and financial resources required
 - 3. Updated costs related to steps and supplies

The following would be required to convert the entire or a portion of UB to a monthly mailing.

Standard Costs associated with adding six (6) months to billing process (billings and late notices):

	# of accounts (approx)	2,000				
					6 months	_
	Blue Envelopes	\$150 per l	billing		\$900	
	Regular Envelopes	\$100 per	billing		\$600	
	Postage	\$1,140 per billing			\$6,840	
	Paper for bills	\$200 per billing			\$1,200	
	Printer ink	\$155 every 3 months			\$310	
	Labor time needed for ea					
	Billing	4 hours	x \$40 =	\$160	\$960	
	Printing	2 hours	x \$40 =	\$80	\$480	
	Folding & stuffing	2 hours	x \$40 =	\$80	\$480	
	Mailing	1 hour	x \$40 =	\$40	\$240	
	Late Notices	1 hour	x \$40 =	\$40	\$240	
	Printing	1 hour	x \$40 =	\$40	\$240	
	Folding & stuffing	1 hour	x \$40 =	\$40	\$240	
	Mailing	.5 hour	x \$40 =	\$20	\$120	
	Shutoffs	on pause	during COVID			
					\$12,850	_
Option 1	Convert entire billing to	monthly freq	luency			
•	additional costs:	•		Other	6 months	One time
				\$83,574		
	Coding changes for rate tables (8 hours)					\$320
	ACH costs (no change in pmt method)				\$962	
	Credit Card costs (no change in pmt method)				\$6,000	
	Insite Coding changes & testing (4 hours)					\$160
	Check deposit costs (no change in pmt method)				\$600	
	Standard Costs			\$12,850		
	May consider once AN	Al is in place			. ,	
				\$83,574	\$20,412	\$480

А.

Option 2: Convert fixed costs to off months (with UB database)

Either turn on/off the water charges and offsetting sewer/cap/drought charges on on alternating months manually or create 2nd account per site address *Tyler's UB module does not allow to have a UB bill without a water meter Tyler's UB module does not allow service address to have multiple accounts*

Additional costs:	Other	6 months	One time
Coding changes for rate tables (6 hours)			\$240
ACH costs (no change in pmt method)		\$962	
Credit Card costs (no change in pmt method)		\$6,000	
Insite Coding changes & testing (8 hours)			\$320
Check deposit costs (no change in pmt method)		\$600	
Standard Costs		\$12,850	
		\$20,412	\$560

Option 3: Convert fixed costs to off months (with AR module and database)

Use separate module since Tyler's UB module can't have multiple accts per address Insite will not work with AR mod, so will move to manual txns

Insite will not work with AR mod, so customer will not see all costs on their site address

Cashiering will require separate transactions to apply payments because cannot apply to both UB and AR mods

Additional costs:	Other	6 months	One time
Create account on A/R module for each UB			\$4,000
account (one-time est 100 hours)			

Tyler doesn't have a mass account option nor offers special projects for this process

Maintain A/R account database as UB	\$960		
accounts change			
(est 2 hr per month)			
Coding changes for rate tables (6 hours)			\$240
Download usage level changes to create		\$1,440	
import file for A/R (three services @ 2 hours)			
Create Import definition (one-time)			\$40
Import usage levels to create invoices (6 times/	/year)	\$240	
ACH costs (no pmt method change)		\$962	
Credit Card costs		\$2,000	
expect to change since automated Insite will	l no longer be d	an option	
Check deposit costs (no pmt method change)		\$600	
Standard Costs		\$12,850	
	\$960	\$18,092	\$4,280

Option 4:	Convert fixed costs to property tax bill Will require download of usage data to create data file to County (consultant) for billing Must cross reference property APN and service address					
	Coding changes for rate tables (6 hours)			\$240		
	Maintain property address cross reference	\$480				
	(est 1 hr per month)					
	Download usage level changes to create		\$240			
		import file for A/R (three services @ 2 hours)				
	Create Import definition (one-time)			\$40		
	Consultant monitoring	unknown at this time				
		\$480	\$240	\$280		