



CITY of BRISBANE

Planning Commission Meeting Agenda

Thursday, June 24, 2021 at 7:30 PM • Virtual Meeting

This virtual meeting is compliant with the Governor's Executive Order N-29-20 issued on March 17, 2020 allowing for deviation of teleconference rules required by the Brown Act. Consistent with the Order, this virtual meeting provides a safe environment for staff, Planning Commissioners, and the public while allowing for public participation. The public may address the Commission using exclusively remote public comment options which are detailed below.

The Commission may take action on any item listed in the agenda.

The Planning Commission Meeting will be an exclusively virtual meeting. Members of the public may view the meeting by logging into the Zoom webinar listed below or on Comcast Channel 27 and the City's YouTube channel at www.youtube.com/Brisbaneca. The agenda materials may be viewed online at www.brisbaneca.org/meetings.

Join Zoom Webinar: www.brisbaneca.org/webinar-pc

Meeting ID: 970 0458 3387

Passcode: 215153

TO ADDRESS THE COMMISSION:

Members of the public are encouraged to submit written comments before the meeting to the project planner. See posted public notices at <https://www.brisbaneca.org/cd/page/public-notices> for planner contact information. For items that are not public hearings, refer to the staff report for planner contact information. Members of the public who join the live Zoom webinar may address the Commission in the meeting when called upon by the Chairperson. Please use the "Chat" box in Zoom to alert staff that you want to address the Commission.

Members of the public watching the meeting on YouTube or Channel 27 may email or text comments **prior to the start of the particular agenda item** to the below email and text line:

Email: jswiecki@brisbaneca.org

Text: 415-713-9266

A call-in number is also available for those watching the meeting on YouTube or Channel 27 for oral communications and public hearing items:

Phone Number: +1 (669) 900-9128

Meeting ID: 970 0458 3387.

After entering the meeting ID and pressing #, simply press # a second time to enter the meeting waiting room. No participant code is required. Please wait to call until the Chairperson and/or staff announces that the phone line is open. When you are let into the meeting, press *6 on your phone to unmute yourself before addressing the Commission. To avoid feedback, please turn off

the volume of the meeting broadcast on your TV or computer. You will still be able to hear the Commissioners through your phone.

SPECIAL ASSISTANCE

If you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the Community Development Department at (415) 508-2120 in advance of the meeting. Notification in advance of the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting.

Commissioners: Funke, Gomez, Gooding, Patel, and Sayasane

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

CONSENT CALENDAR

Please Note: Items listed here as Consent Calendar Items are considered routine and will be acted upon collectively by one motion adopting the Planning Department's recommendation unless a member of the public, the Commission, or its staff asks to remove an item to discuss it. Prior to the motion, the Chairperson will ask if anyone wishes to remove an item from the Consent Calendar.

- A. [Approval of draft meeting minutes of June 10, 2021](#)

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS (Limited to a total of 15 minutes)

WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS

STUDY SESSION

- B. [Proposed redevelopment/expansion project at 2000 Sierra Point Parkway and 8000 Marina Boulevard \(Sierra Point Towers\)](#)

ITEMS INITIATED BY STAFF

ITEMS INITIATED BY THE COMMISSION

ADJOURNMENT

- C. Cancellation of the regular meeting of July 8, 2021 and adjournment to the regular meeting of July 22, 2021

INTERNET & OTHER ACCESS

Agendas and adopted minutes for meetings of the Planning Commission are posted on the Internet at: www.brisbaneca.org/meetings. Meetings are broadcast live on Comcast Channel 27 and by streaming video on the City's YouTube channel (www.youtube.com/Brisbaneca). Meeting video archives are available on the City's YouTube channel. Rebroadcasts on Channel 27 are during weeks following the meetings, on Fridays at 5 pm and Sundays at 1 pm. For a DVD copy, please contact the Community Development Department.

NOTICE OF DISCLOSURE

Written information or comments that may include a person's name, address, email address, etc. submitted to the City, Planning Commission, and/or City staff are public records under the California Public Records Act, are subject to disclosure and may appear on the City's website.

File Attachments for Item:

A. Approval of draft meeting minutes of June 10, 2021

DRAFT
BRISBANE PLANNING COMMISSION
Action Minutes of June 10, 2021
Virtual Regular Meeting

CALL TO ORDER

Chairperson Gooding called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Present: Commissioners Funke, Gomez, Gooding, Patel, and Sayasane.

Absent: None

Staff Present: Director Swiecki, Senior Planner Ayres, and Associate Planner Robbins

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Commissioner Gomez moved to adopt the agenda. Commissioner Sayasane seconded the motion and it was approved 5-0.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Commissioner Sayasane moved to adopt the consent calendar (agenda item A). Commissioner Patel seconded the motion and it was approved 5-0.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

There were no oral communications.

WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS

There were no written communications.

NEW BUSINESS

- B. PUBLIC HEARING: 130 Sierra Point Road; Grading Permit EX-1-21; R-1 -**
Residential District; Grading Review for approximately 475 cubic yards of soil cut and export and removal of six mature trees to allow construction of a new 3,032 square foot home with a 453 square foot attached garage; Wilson Yu, applicant and owner.

Associate Planner Robbins gave the staff presentation and answered questions from the Commission regarding the Brisbane Municipal Code's (BMC) findings for tree removal, its requirements for number, size, and location (onsite versus off-site) of replacement trees, and how conditions of approval regulating replacement trees are enforced after permit final. The Commission also asked what technical reports the City would require and which departments would review those reports to approve the building permit.

DRAFT
Brisbane Planning Commission Minutes
June 10, 2021
Page 2

Chairperson Gooding opened the public hearing.

Wilson Yu, applicant, addressed the Commission in support of the application.

Michelle Salmon, Brisbane resident, spoke in support of the project but suggested the Commission consider requiring fewer onsite replacement trees.

With no others wishing to address the Commission, Commissioner Patel moved to close the public hearing. Commissioner Gomez seconded the motion and it was approved 5-0.

The Commission discussed the replacement tree ratio prescribed under the BMC and conditioning offsite replacement trees.

After deliberation, Commissioner Sayasane moved to approve the application via adoption of Resolution EX-1-21. Commissioner Gomez seconded the motion and it was approved 5-0. Chairperson Gooding read the appeals procedure.

ITEMS INITIATED BY STAFF

Director Swiecki reported the City will implement a hybrid public meeting format in the summer/fall. He also informed the Commission that the City Council approved a reimbursement agreement with the prospective developer for the development of the Brisbane Quarry at its last meeting, and that an informational, conceptual development presentation for the Council is tentatively schedule in July.

Senior Planner Ayres provided an update on the Objective Design and Development Standards project and announced a City Council update on the project is tentatively scheduled for July 15. She also introduced Dara Phung, the Community Development Department's summer intern.

ITEMS INITIATED BY THE COMMISSION

The Commission discussed with staff ways to learn more about cataloging or inventorying existing trees and creating a database of suitable offsite tree planting locations, in coordination with the Open Space and Ecology Committee, to increase the City's urban canopy.

ADJOURNMENT

Commissioner Sayasane moved to adjourn to the regular meeting of Thursday, June 10, 2021. Commissioner Gomez seconded the motion and it was approved 5-0. The meeting adjourned at 8:40 p.m.

Attest:

DRAFT
Brisbane Planning Commission Minutes
June 10, 2021
Page 3

John A. Swiecki, Community Development Director

NOTE: A full video record of this meeting can be found on the City's YouTube channel at www.youtube.com/BrisbaneCA, on the City's website at <http://www.brisbaneca.org/meetings>, or on DVD (by request only) at City Hall.

File Attachments for Item:

B. Proposed redevelopment/expansion project at 2000 Sierra Point Parkway and 8000 Marina Boulevard (Sierra Point Towers)



MEMORANDUM

DATE: 06/24/2021
TO: Planning Commission
FROM: Jeremiah Robbins, Associate Planner, via John Swiecki, Community Development Director
SUBJECT: **Study Session: Proposed redevelopment of 2000 Sierra Point Parkway and 8000 Marina Boulevard**

INTRODUCTION

The owners of 2000 Sierra Point Parkway and 8000 Marina Boulevard are proposing to add two new office towers to the approximately 16-acre project site, which is comprised of two lots of record, located within the SP-CRO Sierra Point Commercial District (see aerial map in Attachment A and parcel map in Attachment B).

No planning applications have been submitted. To move forward, the proposal would require the Planning Commission to approve a Design Permit and Design Guidelines Amendment to the Sierra Point Combined Site and Architectural Design Guidelines. Grading permit review by the Planning Commission would also be required if more than 250 cubic yards of material is involved in any single operation or if more than fifty 50 cubic yards of material is to be exported from the site, and additional Planning permits may be required upon submission of a formal application. Potential project impacts on the environment will be evaluated through preparation of an environmental impact report (EIR) under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) once complete planning applications are received.

Prior to finalizing the project design and submitting the required planning applications for the project, this Planning Commission study session has been scheduled to enable the property owners to present their conceptual design to the Commission and interested community members. While the Commission will have the opportunity to provide feedback on the project, this is not a public hearing and no decision will be made by the Commission at this time, nor should the Commission enter into discussions of whether or not the project would meet the required findings for approval for the various applicable permits. This memo is intended to provide an overview of the preliminary design and provide background information on development regulations and existing development in the SP-CRO District.

CONCEPTUAL PROPOSAL

The site is composed of two lots of record totaling approximately 689,902 square feet, bounded by Sierra Point Parkway and Marina Boulevard, situated within the center of the Sierra Point subarea. They are currently developed with two office towers, a 12-story tower at 2000 Sierra Point Parkway and an 8-story tower at 8000 Marina Boulevard. A parking structure located on the eastern portion of project site and an

Meeting of 6/24/2021

Study Session - 2000 Sierra Point Pkwy & 8000 Marina Blvd

Page 2 of 3

expansive surface parking lot provide a total of 1,397 spaces. No other lots directly abut the subject property.

East of the project site is a vacant lot at 9000 Marina Boulevard and the Brisbane Marina. To the south, The Shore at Sierra Point biotechnology campus is under construction, and southwest of the project site are two hotels. 1000 Marina Boulevard is west of the project site and is developed with an office tower. Another biotechnology campus located to the northwest is under construction at 3000-3500 Marina Boulevard, and 5000 and 7000 Marina Boulevard to the north are respectively developed with office towers.

The owner's preliminary design (see attachment D) includes replacing the existing parking garage and surface lots with a new 11-story parking structure on the eastern portion of the project site, and two new towers with approximately 815,000 square feet of combined commercial space north of the existing towers. The new towers would be 9 and 14 stories tall and the new parking garage would accommodate approximately 96% of the required parking, which would allow most of the existing surface parking lot to be replaced with approximately 372,340 square feet of open area, roughly 54% of the project site. The proposed lot coverage would be about 33% and the floor area ratio (FAR) would be approximately 1.80. The SP-CRO District establishes a maximum lot coverage of 40% and a minimum landscaped area of 25%, and while it does not establish an FAR, the General Plan identifies a maximum FAR of 4.8 for sites within the Sierra Point subarea.

SP-CRO DISTRICT REGULATIONS AND EXISTING DEVELOPMENT

As noted previously, the purpose of tonight's session is for the applicant to present their preliminary proposal and provide the opportunity for Commissioners to offer feedback. Staff has highlighted issues below to provide context to the Planning Commission's discussion.

SP-CRO District Development Regulations

The SP-CRO District is a commercial district devoted to commercial enterprises and permits a wide range of uses including research and development (biotechnology/life sciences), offices, hotels, restaurants and bars, personal services, and retail. Conditionally permitted uses include child care centers, medical facilities, commercial recreation, and transit facilities.

Building form is regulated by both the district development regulations under Brisbane Municipal Code (BMC) Chapter 17.18 and the Sierra Point Combined Site and Architectural Design Guidelines ("the Guidelines"), last amended in 2001; building height (limited by number of stories rather than vertical distance from grade), lot coverage, setbacks, minimum landscaping and open area requirements, and required on-site parking are all regulated by the BMC or the Guidelines. Architectural style and holistic design concepts for the subarea as a whole are governed by the Guidelines (see Attachment C), which provide design standards on a conceptual level to be implemented in a final design. The standards within the Guidelines address form and structure, materials, and color and texture, in addition to standards related to building height (in stories), building separation, and view corridors, and planting and landscaped areas.

Existing Development

The Sierra Point subarea was originally master planned and subdivided into parcels ranging from 5 to 10 acres between 1981 and 1987, with initial development occurring at 1000, 5000, 7000, and 8000 Marina and 2000 Sierra Point during that decade. After the initial round of construction, the remaining parcels mostly sat undeveloped except for the two southern most parcels that saw hotels constructed years later. The concept and intention of a singular, master developer for the whole subarea evolved into individually owned properties and stand-alone development proposals. At the turn of the century, new and stand-alone proposals were proposed at 3000-3500 Marina Boulevard and 800-1800 Sierra Point Parkway with construction starting in the past three years. Today, only one privately held parcel remains vacant east of the subject site; the approximately 6-acre parcel at 9000 Marina Boulevard was originally designated for a luxury hotel.


Building heights in the Sierra Point subarea range from 3 to 12 stories, and per the Guidelines, buildings at the center of the Sierra Point subarea are taller and transition to lower building heights on parcels adjacent to the shoreband. Parking on all sites, excluding the more recent development, is mostly provided by large surface parking lots, and the San Francisco Bay Trail runs along the perimeter of Sierra Point.

NEXT STEPS

If the applicant chooses to proceed with a formal application, it will ultimately be subject to environmental review and discretionary review by the Planning Commission at future public hearings.



Jeremiah Robbins, Associate Planner



John Swiecki, Community Development Director

ATTACHMENTS:

- A. Aerial map of subject property
- B. Assessor's parcel map
- C. Sierra Point Combined Site and Architectural Design Guidelines
- D. Owner's preliminary plans and renderings


Aerial Property and Vicinity Map
2000 Sierra Point Parkway and 8000 Marina Boulevard


Attachment A



Assessor Parcel Map

APNs: 007-164-010 & 007-164-020





Combined Site and
Architectural
Design
Guidelines

Sierra Point
Brisbane, California

OPUS West Corporation

March 12, 2001

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Objectives	3
Conceptual Master Plan	5
Open Space/Landscape	
Matrix	7
Circulation	11
Roadway Landscape	12
Parking	16
Water's Edge	20
Public Access and View Corridors	23
Architecture	29
Design Elements	34
Use of Guidelines	42

Introduction

Introduction

Location


Sierra Point is located on the Sierra Point Peninsula in the cities of Brisbane and South San Francisco. The peninsula is a diked landfill of 130 acres, three miles south of the San Francisco City/County boundary. Sierra Point shares the peninsula with the Brisbane Marina, which forms the project's eastern limits. On the west the project is bounded by U. S. 101. On the north and south, the project is bounded by San Francisco Bay. U. S. 101 provides the link to San Francisco and the Greater San Francisco Bay Area. Freeway connections to and from Sierra Point provide access to this important link to the Bay Area market.

General Description

The Sierra Point Peninsula is a parcel of land presently at elevation ± 15 above sea level. Settlement over the next 100 years will decrease the elevation to ± 12 . The perimeter of the peninsula is the dike and rip-rap, with approximately 3:1 slopes on the Bay edge, flatter at the corners within the Brisbane Marina development. A drainage channel lies northwest of the site with a floodgate controlling water movement. Highest Expected Water Level is 5.85 elevation line from which Bay Conservation Development Commission (BCDC) jurisdiction extends for 100 feet.

Climate

Climatic conditions at Sierra Point are characteristic of conditions prevailing around the Bay Area. Summers are mild with coastal fog; winters are cool with rain; falls often offer the warmest days of the year. Winds on the site are fairly constant, occurring approximately 85% of the time and from the northwest. Wind velocities tend to increase in the afternoon hours, especially during summer when thermal activity increases in the inland valleys. However, since Sierra Point is sheltered slightly by the San Bruno Mountains and not directly



within the path of winds passing through Visitacion Valley, the site does not experience the velocities associated with other wind passages around the Bay.

Soils

The site is a former solid waste disposal site. The surface is presently composed of soil material and rubble varying in depth from a maximum of 10 feet to a minimum of 1 foot. Settlement is predicted from consolidation of the underlying bay mud and compression of the garbage till.

Objectives

Objectives

It is the objective of these Guidelines to create a pleasant and functional working environment for Sierra Point. A high quality environment at Sierra Point will be an asset to the Cities of Brisbane and South San Francisco. It provides an attraction to possible tenants thereby making Sierra Point competitive with other developments in the area. The Guidelines represent only a portion of the process whereby such an objective is achieved, but it is the foundation on which later design decisions should be based. In addition, the success of the product requires a commitment by all involved of implementing the standards as outlined in these Guidelines.

The Guidelines provide design standards on a conceptual level which are to be implemented in the final design. These occur as prototypical treatments for a variety of situations and address (1) minimums/maximums, (2) form/structure, (3) materials, and (4) color/texture. The design standards are the means of achieving the following objectives:

1. Creation of an identity for Sierra Point.
2. Resolution of problems associated with siting on a waste disposal site, including problems of differential settlement and drainage.
3. Provision for public access to and from the Bay edge in accordance with the San Francisco Bay Plan and the Public Access Design Guidelines.
4. Creation of functional and efficient circulation systems for pedestrians, autos, and bicycles.
5. Provide guidance for building design compatible with the site and the objectives of the owner and the City.
6. Provision for a landscape matrix unifying the various portions of Sierra Point.
7. Provision for an overall framework of design within which phased development may occur.

Specific design standards related to the difficult subsurface condition of the site set a direction for landscape treatment in Sierra Point and influence the methods whereby the other issues are addressed. These specific standards are:

1. Use of asphalt-extruded curbs and/or headers instead of concrete curbs and gutters to accommodate the problem of differential settlement, except as determined otherwise by the Public Works Director.
2. Use of paving materials that can be easily replaced, i.e., asphalt, modular pavers, decomposed granite, except as determined otherwise by the Public Works Director.
3. Use of low volume irrigation systems.
4. Planting of trees in groves and on mounds to alleviate problems of poor soils and wind.
5. Use of shallow-rooted plant materials to avoid problems associated with the limited depth of soil.

Design standards addressing other aspects of the development of Sierra Point are:

1. Use of steel, glass, concrete, and timber for architectural materials to achieve a sophisticated and contemporary image, which conveys a natural, character conducive to the Bay edge.
2. Siting of buildings and use of landscape development to maintain and enhance view corridors to the Bay.
3. Use of a continuous pathway throughout Sierra Point for pedestrian and bicycle access and circulation.

Conceptual Master Plan


Conceptual Master Plan


The Brisbane portion of the conceptual master plan incorporates ten mid-rise office buildings and three hotels. In developing the current Master Plan, the buildings have been carefully sited to produce a compatible mix of building heights that will produce a properly scaled, attractive profile within the project limits and from adjacent land and bay perspectives. In positioning the structures, views are to be maximized from the buildings and through the wide corridors to the bay's edge.

The maximum heights allowed for the office buildings and hotels will vary from five to twelve stories (exclusive of mechanical penthouses), with sites being permitted the tallest buildings being located in the center portion of the site. Buildings no taller than 8 stories would be allowed along the Freeway, and buildings no taller than 6 stories would be allowed along the shoreline. Office buildings along the shoreline will be sited to preserve views from the more interior buildings and to preserve the adjoining bay vistas. The mid-rise concept offers the great advantage of leaving a large portion of the site in landscaped open space while seemingly reducing the project's density.

The seven hundred room convention hotel will be located on the northeast shore and will feature a twelve story guestroom tower and full convention facilities for three thousand visitors. This hotel has been sited to take full advantage of the marina and bay views from the guestrooms. The buildings are widely separated from each other to preserve marina views to the east from the other buildings on site. The hotel building bulk and mass will be limited by utilizing a slender guest room tower. The southwest corner of the site within Brisbane is the proposed location for two hotels containing 179 and 210 rooms. These hotels will be conveniently located near freeway access. They will be no more than nine stories in height.

See figure on following page.





Note: Building heights shown are the maximum permitted.

Master Plan

3.5.01



OPUS.

OPUS.
a Development of Opus West Corporation

Brisbane & South San Francisco, California



Open Space/Landscape Matrix

Open Space/Landscape Matrix

A system of open spaces should be established at Sierra Point to unite the various parts of the development, to screen parking areas, to mitigate noise and wind, and to meet the recreation needs of both Sierra Point tenants and the general public. This system, defined as the landscape matrix, would form the infrastructure of landscape development within Sierra Point. The landscape matrix can influence and contribute to the roadway rights-of-way, public access corridors and utility locations.

Public access considerations are important in treatment of the open space at the Bay's edge. The landscape forms should be modulated so as to provide a variety of passive recreation spaces. Direct access to the water should be developed. In addition, connections should be provided to the active recreation areas at the Marina and Fisherman's Park.

Buildings may be clustered to provide outdoor courtyard areas. In addition, linear connectors should be used to tie these interior open spaces to those on the periphery. These linear connectors could also serve as utility corridors for the development.

The public access corridors, interior courts, and road rights-of-way provide the basis for a landscape matrix within Sierra Point. It rests upon the private developer, however, to fulfill, complete, and maintain the overall landscape quality as proposed by the development. Where private development abuts the landscape matrix, planting and earthform treatments should be extended into the private parcel to create a gradual transition. Within each parcel a minimum of 25% of the site should be devoted to landscaping. This figure could include land devoted to public access corridors, bayfront development, buffers for parking and roads, as well as specific design treatments around the buildings.

Large formal spaces are created at walkway intersections and building clusters, which are highlighted with plazas treated with special pavements. Mounding, low walls and seating also delineate and enclose these mini-plazas whose forms will be designed to accommodate a variety of activities: sitting, lunching, conversation, people watching, etc.

To reinforce the sense of openness at the Bay's edge, minimum distances should be maintained between buildings located near the water,

Open Space / Landscape Matrix

Guidelines:

- I. Incorporate roadway rights-of-way, private land reserves, public access, and utility considerations into an overall open space/landscape matrix for Sierra Point.
2. Utilize the landscape matrix to provide linear and peripheral pedestrian and bicycle circulation and public access to the Bay Edge.
3. Utilize the landscape matrix to collect and carry storm water and to place other utility lines.
4. Vary width of landscape areas not only within the road rights-of-way, but also within private parcels.
5. Maintain a minimum distance of 80 feet between buildings located within 150 feet of the Bay's edge.


Circulation

Circulation

A hierarchy of vehicular roadways and pedestrian pathways has been established to provide circulation routes throughout the project. Sierra Point Parkway, the major roadway will carry the main vehicular load. Within the right-of-way on the bayward side of the parkway is pedestrian and bicycle paths which continue for the full length of this road.

See figure on following page.

Within the individual parcels, the vehicular roadway and pedestrian pathways will be developed to minimize conflict between pedestrians and automobiles. Sierra Point Parkway, the major roadway, carries the main vehicular load and will have pedestrian/bicycle paths on both sides for its full length. Marina Boulevard loops off of Sierra Point Parkway and has a pedestrian/bicycle path on its bayward side. At these intersections the pedestrian pathway will be emphasized by use of interlocking concrete pavers.




Roadway Landscape

Roadway Landscape


Landscape development should be provided along the entire length of roadways within Sierra Point. The parkway character of roadways resulting from such development reinforces the pleasant atmosphere envisioned for the office buildings located in this development and provides a continuous greenspace, which is incorporated into the landscape matrix

Roadway landscape should be developed both within the road rights-of-way and within landscape reserves, which abut both sides of the rights-of-way. The landscape reserves would be private lands dedicated to landscape development by the individual parcel developers. A minimum of 20 feet of reserve should be required along each side of roadways within Sierra Point to be developed and maintained by the individual developer. At intersections within Sierra Point, the landscape reserve should expand, offering greater opportunities for landscape treatment of these important nodes. The landscape reserves would also allow room for screening expansive parking lots and room for providing mounds for a high quality planting medium in an area of poor and shallow soil.

Roadway landscape within the road right-of-way should be placed within a 16-foot strip devoted to a public access path and landscape, and within the 16-foot wide roadway median. A cross-section of the roadway is illustrated below. The roadway would be 64 feet in width and placed to one side of the 80-foot right-of-way. Offset of the roadway to one side of the right-of-way allows consolidation of two 8-foot strips into one 16-footband that incorporates landscape and an 8-foot pedestrian and bicycle path. The 20-foot landscape reserve, which abuts the right-of-way, in conjunction with the 16 feet within the right-of-way, could produce a 36-foot landscaped area on one side of the roadway. The additional width would provide the opportunity to use landforms to help screen adjacent parking.



12A





1.2B

The prototypical treatment suggested for planting along the roadway is a simple turf groundplane. Groups of trees, vertical in form, could be planted on mounds at intersections. Between intersections, groups of evergreen tree plantings would be used in an informal arrangement along the roadway, with a maximum of 100 feet between plantings. With the roadway at +1 in elevation, the reserves on both sides of the roadway would be mounded to 3 feet with swales placed along the roadway curb edge. On the side of the roadway where the 36-foot landscape area occurs, an 8-foot pathway would be set into the mound and cross-sloped at 2%. Asphalt-extruded curbs or headers should be used to define the edges of the roadway, except where the Public Works Director determines that concrete shall be used instead.

Drainage within the roadway could be directed toward the median by sheet-draining across the roadway. Runoff could be collected in a rock/pipe drainage facility extending the length of the roadway medians. At strategic points, the runoff could be directed under the roadway and along public access connectors to the Bayfront. A proto-typical treatment for this drainage facility is with cobbles, varying in width and in its location within the median. Placement of the perforated pipe first along one side of the median and then along the other, would allow collection of runoff from both roadways. The cobble element also would define planting areas within the median. These planting areas should be mounded to provide a better planting medium and to discourage traffic from crossing over the median. Groundcover and broadleaf evergreen tree plantings in masses would provide the vegetative element within the medians. Where the median is narrowed for a turning lane, the remaining 4 feet would be landscaped with cobbles.

An alternate approach to roadway drainage would be to slope away from the median, collecting water in swales along both sides of the roadway. This approach would negate the use of curbs/asphalt-extruded headers along the edges of the roadway, utilizing a grassed shoulder/swale in its place. Asphalt curbs and/or headers would be used to define the median planting areas with runoff water




collection internal to the planting areas, except where the Public Works Director determines that concrete shall be used instead.

The entry to Sierra Point is an important aspect of the arrival sequence to the office park. Roadway landscape treatment at that critical node requires special emphasis to provide the necessary impact to announce Sierra Point. The entry to Sierra Point offers the opportunity to utilize a sequence of spatial experiences with the presence of the freeway overpass and the higher elevation of Sierra Point in relation to the road entering under the overpass. The latter gives the arrival sequence the added dimension of change in elevation and is to be accentuated.

Existing slopes should be reinforced with berming and tree plantings to increase the perception of height differential and make the participant in the arrival sequence aware of climbing to reach a destination.

The overpass is a spatial constriction to the arrival sequence and represents the gate to Sierra Point. Use of paint on the overpass to brighten this portion of the sequence is recommended to lighten the foreboding quality, which it now exhibits.



Roadway Landscape

Guidelines:

1. Dedicate a minimum of 20 feet of private lands along both sides of the road rights-of-way to landscape development.
2. Offset the roadway to one side of the road right-of-way.
3. Incorporate an 8 foot pathway into the 80 foot road right-of-way.
4. Utilize a planting concept with tall vertical trees at intersections; between intersections, groups of non-deciduous tree planting would be used in an informal arrangement along the roadway spaced a maximum of 100 feet apart between intersections.
5. Utilize landforms to partially screen adjacent parking.
6. Utilize a rock/pipe drainage facility to collect runoff.
7. Provide special emphasis on landscape development at the entry to Sierra Point, utilizing and accentuating the spatial sequence of arrival.

Parking


Parking

Parcelization within Sierra Point requires that each development provide parking for its facilities as required and approved by the City. The layout of internal organization of surface parking lots in Sierra Point is designed to maximize the ease of parking and reaching a destination on foot. This will be accomplished by organizing vehicle circulation and directional signing to minimize the number of driver decisions. Pedestrian flow from a lot to a building is maximized by orientation of parking bays perpendicular to buildings. Parking at Sierra Point should be designed to be efficient, cost-effective and environmentally sensitive.

The requirements for parking, in terms of the number of spaces and their design, are established in the City of Brisbane Zoning Ordinance. However, significant areas of landscaping are provided at on-grade lots, and space for this landscape development is increased by maximizing the use of small car spaces.

The Master Plan incorporates three approaches to parking: conventional on-grade parking lots, parking structures and subterranean lots under buildings. The landscape treatment of these three approaches should generate a pleasant setting for each building, which is consistent with the overall character of Sierra Point.

The subterranean lots could be created by partially depressing the lots and partially raising the buildings. Landscape treatment would be essential to screen the "garage" and to create a sense of contact between the groundplane and the building. A foundation planting concept involving tree planting and landforms is one method for achieving this objective. In addition, the growth of the plant material and the height offered by landforms would help to counter the settlement that is predicted to occur.



16A


Landscape treatment of parking lots will be twofold. First, the lots will be screened from the roadways by mounds and trees. Secondly, planting islands at each end of a parking bay and the random introduction of planting islands throughout parking areas are utilized to relieve the expanse of asphalt, both visually and physically.

Significant areas of landscaping should be provided at on-grade lots and should be consistent with the overall character of Sierra Point. Space for this landscape development could be increased by using small car stalls. The result would be a 12% increase of land otherwise used for parking, which is then available for landscape development. While utilization of this land is possible in a number of ways, three approaches are illustrated below. The use of compact spaces is encouraged to gain additional landscape spaces and to improve the circulation system.

Use of planting islands within the parking lots at Sierra Point is recommended for providing a planting medium for parking lot plantings. The islands would allow tree massing, negating the detrimental effects of wind on the site. Massing of trees, as opposed to single tree planting, allows the trees to support and protect one another. Additionally, massing provides greater visual impact of vegetation within the parking lots.

Ease of access by the automobile and by foot is a criterion for the layout and internal organization of the lots. Prototypical design treatments, therefore, would minimize the number of driver decisions in reaching a parking stall and maximize pedestrian flow towards buildings.

Ease of accessibility for the disabled should also be addressed by provision of handicapped-accessible stalls and ramps designed and located per State Title 24 standards. Facilities should also be provided for bicycle parking within each parcel.




screen cars with berms and planting




Alternative 1

screen cars with shrub planting



Alternative 2

screen cars with walls and planting



Alternative 3

*Parking Lot Screening
Alternatives*

Public access infers public parking within Sierra Point. At present, the link to Fisherman's Pier to the north is projected to generate parking needs within Sierra Point. Adequate parking spaces, at the northern point of connection to the Sierra Point bayfront pathway will be reserved to meet the weekday needs of those using Fisherman's Pier. In addition, adequate parking stalls shall be reserved at the southern public access focal point. On weekends, parking within the empty lots of Sierra Point and the public parking within Brisbane Marina is expected to more than fill the public parking need for adjacent recreational facilities. Weekday shared use of the public parking by the adjacent office and/or hotel development may be formally recognized through Planning Commission approval of modifications to the parking regulations, as provided by the Brisbane Municipal Code.

Definition of parking lot entries is important to the sequence of arrival. Progression from a parkway-like roadway to an asphalt parking lot with planting islands necessitates a transition, which accommodates landscape development and pulls the character of the roadway into the parking area. At major entries to parking lots, the recommended prototypical design treatment calls for a roadway cross-section as depicted below. Two 12-foot lanes should be separated by a median and flanked by 15 feet of space devoted to landscaping. Where a public access route occurs along the entry drive, 20 feet should be devoted to the 8-foot wide path and landscaping. This treatment should occur for a minimum of 60 feet into the parking area, allowing stack-up space for 3 cars both in the ingress and egress to the lot. Curbs or headers should be utilized to define the sides of the entry drive and the median.



At entries of minor importance (i.e., where there is not the full turning freedom offered by median breaks) the recommended design treatment calls for 40 feet of entry drive landscaping within 15 feet on both sides of the 24-foot drive with no median. Forty feet would allow stack-up space for 2 cars, sufficient for a right-turn-only entry and exit. Curbs or headers are again suggested to define the drive.

Refer to the following section for parking within the 100 foot shoreline band.

Parking

Guidelines:

1. Provide landscape development around the subterranean garages to visually screen as well as to establish contact between the groundplane and the building.
2. Take advantage of the maximum ratio of small car stalls to standard size car stalls.
3. Introduce planting in the on-grade lots in planting islands, massing where possible.
4. Provide parking for the disabled in accordance with State regulations.
5. Provide parking for bicycles.
6. Designate adequate parking spaces for public use within Sierra Point, at both the northern and southern public access focal points and at the northwest inner corner providing public access to Fisherman's Pier.
7. Encourage use of public parking within the Brisbane Marina.
8. Screen cars through use of planted earth helms, shrubs and walls,
9. Provide a minimum 15-foot strip of landscape along both sides of major entries as well as a median into the parking area.
10. Provide a minimum 15-foot strip of landscape along both sides of minor entries extending a minimum of 40 feet into the parking area.



Water's Edge

Water's Edge

The Bay edge is an important aspect of Sierra Point. The Bayfront provides an attraction, both physical and mental to those working in and visiting Sierra Point. This attraction is reinforced by a continuous landscape matrix, which allows movement to and from the Bay Edge. Landscape treatment of this area is of primary importance to the overall effect generated by the landscape matrix.

The spatial quality of the Bayfront is related to the proximity of the buildings fronting the Bay. These buildings determine the limit of Sierra Point. Modulation of this building edge by careful placement and varying setbacks from the Bay is recommended to establish a sense of interplay between the Bay and Sierra Point. In addition, the varying building setbacks, along with planting, would create a variety of spatial sequences along the Bayfront, providing more interesting views to visitors and passers-by than would be provided by a straight building edge.

This interplay between the Bay and Sierra Point should be further developed by placing buildings within the 100-foot band of BCDC jurisdiction. The monotony of building edges held to an arbitrary line would thereby be broken, allowing Sierra Point to modulate into and out of the 100 foot zone. This modulation, in an organized fashion, would establish a variety of spatial sequences at the human scale and would

generate greater interest at the building edge.

If a portion of a structure is sited within the 100-foot zone, there must be an equal siting of another portion of its structure behind the 100-foot BCDC jurisdiction line. Forty feet should be the maximum limit to which a building is allowed to penetrate the 100-foot zone - 60 feet from the highest tidal influence line of 5.85 feet.


At this maximum penetration, the structure should not exceed a height limit of three stories. The use of setbacks and height limits would reduce the apparent mass of a structure penetrating the BCDC zone and maintain a sense of openness along the Bayfront.

The purpose of landscape in the Bayfront area is fivefold; first, to soften the edges created by adjacent structures; second, to define spaces creating a sequence of spatial experiences along the Bayfront; third, to provide a gradual transition between spaces; fourth, to screen obtrusive elements in the Bayfront area and finally to frame views from the roadway of the Bay.



A prototypical treatment for the Bayfront should utilize landforms and tree plantings to soften the building edge while preserving and framing views. Landforms and tree plantings should also be used to define spaces. A 10-foot wide pedestrian and bicycle path, which casually winds along the Bay would pass through these spaces, bringing a human dimension to the Bayfront.

At several places along the waterfront, areas of higher use activity should be developed at the terminus of axis or intersections of major public access routes. A prototypical treatment for such an area would provide an expanded area for people interaction in contrast to that offered by the linear path. Site furnishings such as benches, bike racks and trash receptacles should be carefully placed along the path at these focal points to maximize the user potential (see Public Access Plan).

Where parking areas penetrate the 100-foot zone, a 15-foot minimum planting area for trees and shrubs should be provided on all sides of the parking, still allowing a corridor of 15 feet for the 10-foot pedestrian and bicycle path. Additionally, where parking occurs adjacent to a structure held back from the Bay, landscape should be used to create a gradual transition from the building edge to the one created by the planting screen surrounding the parking area. Landforms and tree plantings could be utilized to generate this transition while at the same time providing a larger buffer and screen of the parking. As it is equally important to maintain view corridors from the interior of Sierra Point, planting will be held back at public access connectors to allow unobstructed views of the Bay.



2/ A





Water's Edge

Guidelines:

1. Allow buildings to penetrate an appropriate amount into the 100-foot band of BCDC jurisdiction.
2. Limit the height of buildings penetrating the 100-foot jurisdiction line to three stories.
3. Utilize plantings and landforms to soften the building edge, screen parking areas, yet preserve and frame view corridors.
4. Provide activity nodes at focal points, providing expanded areas for interaction.
5. Allow parking to penetrate an appropriate amount into the 100-foot band of BCDC jurisdiction.
6. Provide a minimum 15 feet of planting area on all sides of parking penetrating the 100-foot band of BCDC jurisdiction, where views are not obstructed.

See figure on following page.





Public Access and View Corridors

Public Access and View Corridors

Provisions of public access to bodies of water is felt to be so necessary that it is required to be included in all subdivisions that front on water. Public access along the periphery of Sierra Point is required to be consistent with the San Francisco Bay Plan and with the goal of the City of Brisbane to achieve public access along its entire shoreline.

Vehicular public access should be provided by the road system within Sierra Point. Physical access to the Bay can be provided by designating public parking areas, turnaround and overlook areas, and pathway connections to the Bayfront landscaped areas. The road system would also allow direct public access to the recreation areas at the Marina.

Visual access to the Bay can be provided by designated view corridors. These corridors can also serve to direct pedestrians and drivers from the inland area toward the public access provided at the Bayfront. No portion of any building or ancillary structure (excluding utility boxes no more than 6 feet in height) shall intrude into the view corridors, so as to maintain Bay sightlines down the bayward lanes of specific streets and driveways, as well as pathways. Tall shrubs and trees will be held back from the corridors to allow unobstructed views of the Bay and possibly to frame or direct the views.

Public access also is an important aspect in making Sierra Point a pleasant and efficient environment. Access is important in two respects: first, as a means of providing pedestrian and bicycle movement within Sierra Point; and second, as a means of connecting the interior circulation system to adjacent development.

Throughout Sierra Point an 8-foot wide path could be utilized as a pedestrian route, except along the Bayfront where 10 feet would be the minimum standard. This greater width could accommodate emergency access and maintenance vehicles.

As detailed in the Roadway Landscape section, pathways could be located within the 16-foot right-of-way proposed for landscape development. Connecting pathways could tie the street pathways to those along the Bayfront to complete the circulation system. The entire system could provide continuous pedestrian and bicycle circulation throughout the development.

Along the loop road, the path should be placed on the outer side of the roadway and adjacent to the landscape reserve. This placement would direct pedestrian movement outward and ultimately to the Bayfront pathway. Crosswalks to connecting pathways should be located to minimize pedestrian-automobile conflict. Use of the pathway along one side of the roadway would allow greater flexibility for use of the 16 feet of road right-of-way.


Public access connections to adjacent developments are equally important to Sierra Point as the public access routes within the development. Sierra Point provides a link in the overall framework of public access pathways along the Bay. To the north, the Bayfront pathway should tie into the existing path along Fisherman's Park allowing access from Sierra Point on the south and Candlestick Park on the north. To the south, the Bayfront pathway should offer connections to, at some future date, Oyster Point Marina. To the west, the Bayfront pathway should connect with a pathway from the City of Brisbane. This pathway and its link to the Sierra Point park system would be the key to Bayfront access from areas west of U.S. 101. This route would be one of the few along the northern San Mateo County shoreline allowing access across the freeway.

Materials suggested for the pathways include asphalt pavers and compacted gravel. Selection of material would depend upon the context in which the pathway is placed. At intersections, interlocking pavers should be used for strength and resilience to traffic as well as offering a treatment, which defines the path and ties it across the roadway. In most situations within Sierra Point, the pathway should be asphalt, a material allowing safety for bicyclists and the plasticity necessary to compensate for settlement problems, except where the Public Works Director determines that concrete shall be used instead.

Public Access and View Corridors

Guidelines:

1. Utilize a continuous 8-foot pathway throughout the site for public access, widening it to 10 feet along the Bayfront.
2. Provide connections to existing public access pathways in adjacent developments.
3. Use asphalt, modular pavers and compacted gravel for pathway materials, except as determined otherwise by the Public Works Director.
4. Provide parking for the public at key connections to the Bayfront.
5. Locate parking stalls, structures and landscaping to maintain visual access to the Bay as much as possible.
6. Align major view corridors where possible at the turning points of the primary street loop system to continue visual and physical access to the Bay from the public thoroughfare.



SIERRA POINT

Public Access Plan

3.5.01




a Development of Opus West Corporation

Brisbane & South San Francisco, California



0 150' 300' 600'



Legend



View Corridors



Story Height of Structure



BCDC Jurisdiction Line

SIERRA POINT

Brisbane & South San Francisco, California

View Corridors



NORTH

0 150' 300' 600'

3.5.01



a Development of Opus West Corporation

Architecture

Architecture

The purpose of these architectural guidelines is to assist in the creation of an integrated development, which will have a strong identity. These general guidelines are meant to ensure design continuity, but not to restrict creativity. Departure from the guidelines should be made only after careful evaluation.

Sierra Point must be a development for both the public and private users; it must create the ambience of both an office park and a public/recreational area, and should be developed with careful planning through the use of an integrated conceptual plan.


Overall Design Concerns

Sierra Point is planned as a harmonious, comfortable and inviting work environment. The landscaping, graphics and lighting will be unifying elements for the site and the appearance of the buildings and their architecture will make an overall contribution to the public's perception of Sierra Point.

The buildings will take on a variety of configurations but in all cases, each building will be compatible with others within the development. The architecture will reflect a balance of diversity in form and materials and uniformity in function, scale and style, with exceptions as appropriate for buildings designed for uses other than offices. The buildings within the development will be interrelated by the repeated use of several design features.

The architectural design should be creative with an approach that juxtaposes elements while creating a well composed design. The location of buildings as well as the building type and function should require different design

concerns for each structure. This differential treatment must be purposeful, but within a certain range of choices. For example, proximity to the Bay requires particular sensitivity to the water's edge, while buildings near the entrance could be distinctive. Yet, continuity among all elements is also necessary, and will be provided



by repetition of certain design elements, style, use of materials, etc. The following criteria should be adhered to:

Differential Treatment in Different Areas:

Buildings should be clustered, yet the individual identity of each building maintained. Buildings closest to the Bay should provide public space and open plazas related to the shoreband.

Building setback lines which undulate towards and away from the Bay around the 100' shoreband, are more aesthetically pleasing than a continuous setback line. This undulation allows for a varying landscape treatment and a distribution of parking so that parking does not come between the buildings and the shoreband.

The design should provide for a mix of building heights, styles, and planning that conveys an inviting and attractive profile to passing traffic from both land and bay perspectives.

Mass and Scale

The maximum allowed heights of the buildings will vary from five to twelve stories and floor areas from fifteen thousand to thirty thousand square feet. To reduce the mass of each exterior elevation to human scale, several harmonious geometric features will be used. The mass of the buildings will be compressed, chamfered, notched, and sculptured to minimize the buildings' effect on existing views and to maximize views from the buildings,

Form



The style of architecture will be very contemporary with the design stressing grandeur of simple forms. These simple forms, articulated to reduce mass, will be a strong, unifying element of Sierra Point. These same forms should be further articulated by creating exterior balconies, terraces and many corner office spaces, which increase the tenants' contact with the Bay and the Brisbane Marina.


Balconies may step back from floor to floor to reduce the apparent height and mass of the building and to provide more light to the lower balcony surfaces.

Repetition of Elements – Continuity:

A rhythmic repetition of certain design elements is essential to maintain continuity and the dual office/recreational theme. This repetition will occur in design, landscaping, signing and lighting:

1. Open plazas near the buildings along the Bay should be contiguous to public open space. Design of plazas should provide a transitional zone at the building's edge thereby delineating private space from public space. Transitional elements include arcades, colonnades, steps, benches, or planting.
2. Brick, concrete pavers and wooden decks at the base of the buildings and within plaza areas should serve as an integrating feature throughout the site.
3. Balconies are recommended as a repetitive unifying element on a number of buildings.
4. The clustering and locating of masses should be planned in accordance with the designated view corridors and, in addition, to maintain a minimum distance of 80 feet between buildings located within 150 feet of the Bay's edge.
5. Buildings should be grouped to create substantial plaza areas and to provide opportunities for office users to enjoy the outdoors, as well as to provide places for people to congregate.
6. Groundplane and building mass should be integrated. Berms, mounds, foundation plantings or other screening methods should surround buildings and allow a vertical transition from building form to earth form.
7. A vertical transition should be created from higher buildings in the center of the site to lower heights adjacent to the shoreband.
8. The repetition of materials and colors will foster continuity. The colors will be determined by the type of materials.





9. Signing will be utilized to encourage dual use of plaza and parking areas as well as to guide the public to different routes to views, open space areas, plaza areas and to the Marina.

10. Service areas should be screened from walkways, parking areas, plazas, and views from buildings.

Summary of Architectural Guidelines

1. The building architecture will reflect a carefully controlled balance of diversity with a repetition of design features and details, which will foster continuity.
2. The buildings will be articulated to reduce their mass and effect on existing views while maximizing views from the buildings.
3. Major unifying elements in the building design will be featured by simple, sculptured forms with chamfered and notched elevations and exterior balconies and terraces, where appropriate.
4. Continuous horizontal fenestration with tinted or low reflected energy efficient glass must be utilized to take advantage of the spectacular views from the office buildings.
5. The exterior panels forming the building skin will be made of precast concrete, brick, metal or tile veneer utilizing warm earth tones, neutral colors and some pastels. The use of shiny, highly metallic or reflective materials will be avoided.
6. Concrete entry bridges connecting the building entrance stairs with on-grade entry walks must be incorporated to span the landscaped areas.
7. All mechanical equipment and exposed ductwork, utility equipment and trash receptacles must be concealed and screened.

Design Elements

Design Elements

The unique character of Sierra Point and its associated design problems require special attention to details, many of which have been especially developed for this project.

A. Materials

The materials utilized should promote a warm and inviting ambience and insure that Sierra Point will not be formidable to the public. The modern office and accompanying recreational motif can be fostered through the selection of certain materials. The transition to the lower-key scale of buildings near the Bay should also be emphasized by the choice of materials.

Traditional building materials will be used throughout the project. Each of the buildings would have a steel frame structure with a panelized curtain wall system for the exterior. With the steel frames, it is anticipated that office floor to floor heights of approximately thirteen feet will prevail. Therefore, the extent of glass areas will be constant from office building to office building. Continuous horizontal

fenestration will be featured to take advantage of the spectacular views. Tinted or low reflectance, energy efficient glass will be utilized.

Alternating bands of glass and warm panels are appropriate for this site, and their use will unify the various office buildings. These exterior panels would be of precast concrete, brick, metal or tile veneer. Specific criteria to be considered with respect to materials are:

1. Buildings should use glass in combination with either brick, wood or concrete. Near the Bay, warmer materials such as brick or wood are preferable.
2. Grey lite 14 or solar-cool grey glass, which coordinates well with these materials, could be utilized.
3. The use of reflective glass should be considered within the context of the development. Its use should be limited and near the shoreband. Exterior glass should not create significant glare.

4. The use of materials must consider the parks adjacency to the Marina and should therefore be coordinated with that parcel. However, as most of the Marina structures will be very small, they will be of a different building type than that of Sierra Point,

5. Durable materials, which require minimum maintenance, are considered appropriate and the range of materials discussed fit this criterion.

B. Building Colors

The buildings will be interrelated by the common use of traditional building exterior colors. Although the various buildings may be encased with different skin materials, the colors and visual perception of all buildings will harmonize with each other.

Building colors will be drawn from a common palette of colors, which are complementary. Warm earth tones, neutral colors and some pastels will be utilized. The use of shiny, highly metallic or reflected materials would be minimized. Accent colors at balcony railings, metal soffits and aluminum windowwalls would be judiciously used to further define the geometry of and add character to each individual building.

C. Building Entrances

Because of unique site soil conditions, all buildings will incorporate similar concrete entry bridges connecting the building entrance stairs with the on-grade interlocking concrete paved entry walks. Entrances, designed to maximize pedestrian flow towards the building, will feature attractive, spacious planters fully integrated into the overall building architecture.

Definition of the entries is important to the arrival sequence. Major building entrances will be articulated to create an inviting, exciting space, which will attract people without confusion.

D. Building Base

Office buildings may incorporate one level of subterranean parking. In such cases, the topmost portion (+30 inches) of the exterior face of the concrete garage will be exposed above grade. This exposed concrete garage wall will be visually interpreted as a base or pedestal from which the building rises. The repeated use of this base with horizontal reveals, creates light and shadow effects which will act as a unifying architectural element. A gradually sloping, landscape area will surround the building and act as a transition space between the parking area and the building face.

E. Equipment


The buildings and surrounding site improvements are highly visible from within the site and from U.S. 101 and Old Bayshore Highway. This visibility due to the openness of the project will require special treatment of mechanical equipment and service areas. Mechanical equipment, exposed ductwork and rooftop equipment, regardless of building height, must be concealed. Trash enclosures, utility meters and other service devices must be located away from building entrances. Utility equipment can be screened by the use of landscaping and trash receptacles enclosed by attractive masonry or wooden enclosures.

F. Lighting

Lighting should be chosen to fit the context of this development. Specific materials are encouraged, but variations can occur if necessary to insure compatibility with the design development. In addition, the selected fixtures, if maintained by Pacific Gas and Electric, must be acceptable to their maintenance division.

Color corrected high-pressure sodium lamps are recommended for the roads and parking lots. In the pedestrian areas, especially along the Bay edge, a metal halide lamp is recommended.

Lighting must be adequate for night-time activity, with sufficient wattage to provide adequate illumination to make clearly visible the presence of any person on or about the property.



36A

Guidelines:

- I. Generally, the light source should be a "cut-off" type fixture, i.e., one that reflects light downward and out, rather than upward.
2. The fixture should be in proportion to the standard to which it is attached.
3. The shape of the fixture should have simple, clean lines.
4. The fixtures should be compatible with the various styles of architecture.
5. Street lighting standards should be 30 feet in height, tapered round steel, painted black in color.
6. Light standards in parking lots should be 1630 feet in height and consistent throughout the entire Office Park. Poles should be square, painted brown or black in surface parking areas and white in parking structures,
7. Pathway lighting can vary from simple step lights, bollard lighting and pathway standards to a maximum height of 15 feet.
8. Appropriate lights such as up-lighting should be incorporated to accent planting and other landscape or architectural features without casting glare or creating a safety hazard to passing pedestrians.

G. Signage

Signage shall be consistent with the sign programs adopted by the City of Brisbane for Sierra Point.

H. Plant Materials

Following BCDC's recommendations, native plant species will be utilized from the Bayfront path to the shoreline with a transition zone from the Bayfront path to the 100-foot shoreline band boundary.

The following plant list has been compiled, for recommended use as ornamental landscaping inland from the 100-foot shoreline band. A major concern in the selection of plant material has been the adaptability of plants to the bayfront wind and fog conditions. A second consideration is the tolerance of the plants for the *poor soils* and drainage associated with landfill sites. Emphasis has been placed on plant materials, which have done, well in similar conditions around the Bay. This plant list is meant to be representative rather than all inclusive. Depending on the conditions and desired landscape effect, additional plant materials, especially "native" materials, should be carefully considered.

For any plant to survive, adequate soil depths must be provided over landfill, to act as a buffer between plant roots and refuse. Turf and ground cover areas require a minimum depth of 2 feet of soil on top of the sealant layer, Shrub and tree areas should have a minimum depth of 3 feet of soil.

Trees:

Botanical Name

Common Name

<i>Aesculus californica</i>	California Buckeye
<i>Agonis flexuosa</i>	Peppermint Tree
<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>	White Alder
<i>Cunninghamia</i>	River She Oak
<i>Ceratonia siliqua</i>	Carob Tree
<i>Cupaniopsis anacardioides</i>	Carrot Wood
<i>Eucalyptus</i> (excluding <i>camaldulensis</i> , <i>globulus</i> and <i>pulverulenta</i>)	N.C.N.
<i>Koelreuteria paniculata</i>	Goldenrain Tree
<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>	Sweet Gum
<i>Mains baccata</i>	Siberian Crabapple
<i>Melaleuca atruillaris</i>	Drooping Melaleuca
<i>Melaleuca leucodendron</i>	N.C.N.
<i>Melaleuca nesophila</i>	Pink Melaleuca
<i>Melaleuca styphelioides</i>	N.C.N.
<i>Meterosideros excelsus</i>	New Zealand Christmas Tree
<i>Nyssa sylvatica</i>	Sour Gum
<i>Pinus canariensis</i>	Canary Island Pine
<i>Pinus eldarica</i>	Mundell Pine
<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo Pine
<i>Pinus muricata</i>	Bishop Pine
<i>Pinus pinea</i>	Italian Stone Pine
<i>Populus nigra 'italica'</i>	Lombardy Poplar
<i>Salix babylonica</i>	Weeping Willow
<i>Ulmus parvifolia</i>	Chinese Elm
<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	Siberian Elm
<i>Washingtonia robusta</i>	Mexican Fan Palm
<i>Filifera</i>	California Fan Palm

Shrubs:

Botanical Name

Common Name

<i>Abelia species</i>	Abelia
<i>Agapanthus africanus</i>	Lily of the Nile
<i>Arbutus unedo</i>	Strawberry Tree
<i>Aretostaphylos species</i>	Manzanita
<i>Baccharis emmeri</i>	Broom
<i>Callistemon citrinus</i>	Lemon Bottlebrush
<i>Cassia coquiembensis</i>	Senna
<i>Cistus purpureus</i>	Orchid Rose
<i>Dodonea viscosa</i>	Hop Bush
<i>Escallonia 'Jubilee'</i>	N.C.N.
<i>Escallonia rubra</i>	N.C.N.
<i>Griselinia littoralis</i>	N.C.N.
<i>Hakea species</i>	N.C.N.

Common Name

Hebe species
Juniperus species
Melaleuca species

Liriodendron iridioides
 Myoporum insulare
 Myrica californica
 Nerium oleander
 Pittosporum crassitollum
 Raphiolepis
 Rhamnus californica
 Rosmarinus officinalis
 'Collingwood Ingram'
 Weigela 'Bristol Ruby'
 Xylosma congestum

Groundcover:

Achillea tomentosa
 Agapanthus 'Peter Pan'
 Arctostaphylos species
 Baccharis pilularis 'Twin Peaks'
 Coprosma kirkii
 Drosanthemum floribundum
 Euonymus fortunei
 Gazania
 Hypericum calycinum
 Juniperus species
 Lampranthus spectabilis Myoporum
 parvifolium Osteospermum
 fruticosum Rosmarinus officinalis
 'Prostrata' Trachelospermum
 jasminoides

N.C.N.
 Juniper
 N.C.N.
 African Iris
 N.C.N.
 Pacific Wax Myrtle
 Oleander
 N.C.N.
 Pink India Hawthorne
 Coffeeberry
 Rosemary

N.C.N.
 Shiny xylosma

Woolly Yarrow
 Dwarf Agapanthus
 Manzanita
 Dwarf Coyote Bush
 N.C.N.
 Rosea Ice Plant
 N.C.N.
 N.C.N.
 Creeping St. John's-Wort
 Juniper
 Ice Plant
 N.C.N. African
 Daisy
 Dwarf Rosemary
 Star Jasmine

Irrigation

Within Sierra Point, irrigation systems should be designed to recognize the problems of settlement associated with landfill sites. Plastic pipes, which are relatively flexible and noncorroding, are recommended. Flexible fittings, such as 'accordian-type' couplings, can be utilized to compensate for movement. Irrigation pipes should be laid in trenches that are backfilled with sand or gravel and covered with a soil layer.

The use of a drip irrigation system is recommended for shrub and tree areas. The problems of wind and soil dehydration associated with coastal conditions are reduced by an emitter system. The deep watering provided by this type of system can help leach toxic salts from the soil.

Use of Guidelines

Use of Guidelines

These Guidelines have established standards of quality for development at Sierra Point. Adherence to these standards by implementation of the treatments outlined in these Guidelines will ensure a development, which will be an asset to the City of Brisbane. A high quality environment will provide an attraction to potential tenants, making Sierra Point competitive with other developments in the area.

The Guidelines are meant to be used as a tool to ensure that Sierra Point meets the requirements of various public and private bodies involved in its development. These bodies include:

1. BCDC - which will hold the Guidelines as standards by which to judge compliance with permits and the Bay Plan.
2. City of Brisbane - which, in addition to utilizing its municipal code and other applicable regulations, will use the Guidelines as a basis for reviewing subdivision and building plans in achieving coordinated development within Sierra Point.
3. Lenders - which will use the Guidelines as a measure of quality and, therefore, marketability of the development.
4. The Developer - which will use the Guidelines to attract tenants who understand the need to contribute to a unified development increasing its level of quality.
5. The Consultants - who will use the Guidelines as a basis for implementation of specific plans ensuring a quality development.

The Design Guidelines, because they are guidelines, only set direction for an integrated and cohesive development and are not themselves regulations. However, for a successful and high quality product, it is imperative that a commitment be made by all involved not only to comply fully with all applicable regulations, but to follow the standards and treatments outlined in these Guidelines. Departure from these Guidelines should be made only after careful evaluation.

THE TOWERS AT SIERRA POINT

PLANNING COMMISSION STUDY SESSION

06.24.2021

EXISTING CONDITIONS



VICINITY PLAN





SITE DATA	
ZONING DESIGNATION:	Sierra Point Commercial District (SP-CRO)
SITE AREA:	689,902 sf
BUILDING FAR:	1.80 FAR
BUILDING AREA:	
(E) 2000 Sierra Point Pkwy	225,423 sf
(E) 8000 Marina Blvd	201,860 sf
Total Existing Building Area	427,283 sf
(N) Building 1	495,000 sf
(N) Building 2	320,000 sf
Total New Building Area	815,000 sf
Total Building Area	1,242,283 sf
LOT COVERAGE:	228,120 sf (33%)
OPEN SPACE:	
Existing Total Open Space	137,340 sf (3.2 AC) = 20%
Proposed Total Open Space	372,340 sf (8.5 AC) = 54%
BUILDING HEIGHTS:	
(E) 2000 Sierra Point Pkwy	12 Story (181.5 ft)
(E) 8000 Marina Blvd	8 Story (130 ft)
(N) Building 1	14 Story (240 ft)
(N) Building 2	9 Story (160 ft)
(N) Parking Structure	S+10 (123.5 ft to T. O. Elevator Tower)
PARKING REQUIRED:	
Existing Buildings	@3.27/1000 = 1,397 cars
New Buildings	@2.83/1000 = 2,307 cars
Total Parking Required	3,704 cars
PARKING PROVIDED:	
Surface	154 cars
Parking Garage (S+10)	3,550 cars
Total Parking Provided	3,704 cars

NORTH FACADE



AERIAL

