

## TOWN OF ASHLAND CITY Planning Commission Meeting February 03, 2020 5:30 PM Agenda

Chairwoman: Melody Sleeper Committee Members: Steve Allen, Justin Bell, Lisa Walker, Alberto Santacruz, Steven Stratton, Lisa Walker, Hadley Williams

#### CALL TO ORDER

**ROLL CALL** 

#### APPROVAL OF AGENDA

#### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Planning Commission Meeting Minutes 1-6-2020

#### **PUBLIC FORUM:**

#### **OLD BUSINESS:**

 Reclassification of Property Under the Zoning Ordinance Request: Hwy 12 and Caldwell Road Map 64 Parcel 11.01: R-1 to C-1

#### **NEW BUSINESS:**

- 3. Subdivision Application: Eleanor Village: 3454 Bell Street (13 Lots)
- 4. Landscape Ordinance Discussion

#### OTHER.

#### ADJOURNMENT

Those with disabilities who require certain accommodations in order to allow them to observe and/or participate in this meeting, or who have questions regarding the accessibility of the meeting, should contact the ADA Coordinator at 615-792-6455, M-F 8:00 AM – 4:00 PM. The town will make reasonable accommodations for those persons.



## TOWN OF ASHLAND CITY Planning Commission Meeting January 06, 2020 5:30 PM Minutes

#### CALL TO ORDER

Chairwoman Melody Sleeper called the meeting to order at 6:01 p.m.

#### ROLL CALL

PRESENT Chairwoman Melody Sleeper Committee Member Steve Allen Committee Member Justin Bell Committee Member Alberto Santacruz Committee Member Steven Stratton Committee Member Hadley Williams Committee Member Lisa Walker

#### APPROVAL OF AGENDA

A motion was made by Committee Member Allen, seconded by Committee Member Walker, to approve the agenda. All approved by voice vote.

#### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

 12-2-19 Planning Commission Minutes A motion was made by Committee Member Allen, seconded by Committee Member Stratton, to approve the 12-2-19 meeting minutes. All approved by voice vote.

#### PUBLIC FORUM:

None.

#### **NEW BUSINESS:**

2. Annual Training

Mr. Bret Smith stepped forward and allowed the committee members to step down and take a quick break in order for them to get comfortable in the audience so everyone can view the slide show. Mr. Smith explained the difference in the invasive species of trees in the American Nursery Standards. He explained that the committees should look at horizontal landscapes in buffers versus closures in landscaping plans. Mr. Smith explained that in Middle Tennessee we are limited as to what will grow in the area. Mr. Jay Easter explained the strong central leader is what allows the growth of a strong central tree. He further explained a three-inch caliber tree may not be the same in quality. This is mainly because what is delivered may not be what was envisioned, although it meets the standard of the American Nursery Standards. Mr. Smith questioned if the landscape architect goes out and inspects currently. Mr. McClain stated it would be a good idea to require that, but we do not have verbiage that allows us to hold a landscaping bond which could hold up the certificate of occupancy. Mr. Smith explained TDOT has a Landscape Design Guideline that is available on their website that will help to describe the shapes of plants. He further explained the various shapes and some of the pros and cons to using them. Committee Member Walker asked why TDOT will not allow us to put flowering plants in the right of way, but they allow these elaborate plans for the exchanges. Mr. Smith stated sometimes it just depends on the question that was asked and who you asked. He further suggested to reach out to Mr. Mike McClainihan to see if he can help with this; however, it may require a maintenance agreement to be signed. He further stated the other thing to keep in mind is the speed of the road and the breakaway. Mr. Smith stated the crepe myrtles are actually a great plant for the medians because they are drought resistant and tend to grow

back. Mr. Smith explained to keep in mind that landscape calculations should be easy to manage. He showed an example of what a difficult calculation looks like and further commented that the new Hampton Inn development landscape architect did a good job on the calculations to make it easy to understand. Mr. Smith explained allowing existing trees toward requirements and why this is a good idea. Mr. Smith explained why it is important to define where the water, sewer, and electric will need to be in a new design so that it makes it easier for the landscape design to make an elegant design on the front end. After much discussion Planning Committee Member Stratton stated he would like to further address residential landscaping at the next meeting.

#### OTHER.

None.

#### ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Committee Member Williams, seconded by Committee Member Walker to adjourn. All approved and the meeting adjourned at 7:40 p.m.

MAYOR STEVE ALLEN

CITY RECORDER KELLIE REED, CMFO, CMC



## Application for Reclassification of Property Under the Zoning Ordinance

## Application Fee: \$100.00

Application is hereby made to the Mayor and City Council, which first must be reviewed by the City Planning Commission, to reclassify the property described below now in a  $R_1 - C_1$  district.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY (Attach Map): 2.47 Acros with \$600 LF	Map 64 of Hwy 12	Parcel 11.01
and 400 LF of Caldwell Rd from	stage + Ac	sess
REASON FOR RECLASSIFICATION REQUEST	Construction	Equipment

Rental

Services

Address:

NOTE:

- 1. All applications for rezoning must be turned into City Hall no later than thirty (30) days prior to the upcoming planning commission meeting, if they are to be entertained at said meeting.
- 2. An accurate graphic plat prepared and stamped by a registered design professional and a legal description of property to be rezoned must be submitted to the Building Official prior to consideration by the City Commissioners. In certain circumstances (i.e. large annexation requests having irregular boundaries) these legal descriptions must be submitted prior to planning commission consideration.
- 3. The applicant will submit the names and addresses of I owners of adjacent property within 1,000 feet. The applicant must also submit a map showing the property within 200 feet of said property.

Applicant Signature

10-31-19 Date





# Ashland City Fire, Building & Life Safety Department

101 Court Street Ashland City TN 37015 Fire & Life Safety: (615) 792-4531 – Building Codes (615) 792-6455

## SUBDIVISON APPLICATION

APPLICANT NAME: CLEANOR VILLAGE, LLC
ADDRESS: 3494 BELL ST.
ASAMANO CITY, TN 37015
TELEPHONE: (615) 584-4140
PROJECT NAME: CLEANOR VILLAGE
NUMBER OF LOTS: 13
PLANNING COMMISSION FEES: \$ 250.00
Minor Subdivision (Four lots or less): \$150.00 Plat Amendment: \$150.00

Major Subdivision: \$250.00

Note: Mylar shall be presented at the time of Final Subdivision Plat Approval and must be signed by all parties except for Secretary of the Planning Commission.

Having submitted plans for review by the Ashland City Planning Commission, I understand that I am responsible for all review fees incurred by the Town of Ashland City. Inderstand that the fee paid at the time of submittal is not applicable for the fees incurred through review. With my signature, I verify that I fully understand that I am responsible for said fees, and that I have received a copy of Ordinance #165.

Applicant's Signature

1-3-2020

Date



## DRAINAGE REPORT FOR:

# **ELEANOR VILLAGE SUBDIVISION**

ASHLAND CITY, TENNESSEE

MAP 55E, GR A, PAR 15



Original Issuance: December 23, 2019

### PREPARED BY:

HARPETH CIVIL, INC. 179 BELLE FOREST CIR., STE. 204E NASHVILLE, TN. 37221

### PREPARED FOR:

DAKOTA WIND PROPERTIES, LLC 1152 DUNCANWOOD DRIVE NASHVILLE, TN. 37204



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	1
EXISTING CONDITIONS SUMMARY	2
PROPOSED CONDITIONS SUMMARY	3-4

## <u>APPENDIX</u>

NRCS SOILS DATA AND MAP	A
EXISTING CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS	В
PROPOSED CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS	C



## ABSTRACT

This analysis has been prepared for the purpose of determining the prevs. postdevelopment stormwater flow characteristics for the proposed single-family residential subdivision located in Ashland City, Tennessee. The parcel is bounded by Forrest Street to the south, Poole Street to the east, and Gallaher Street to the west. The lot configuration, as prepared by others, indicates the creation of 13 new lots, all of which will already have access to one of the three adjacent public right of ways.

Because no additional roadway infrastructure is needed to serve the proposed development, the baseline for the proposed hydrologic conditions considers only a change in land use from undeveloped to single-family residential with an average lot area of 0.5 acres.

The detention basins have been sized to attenuate stormwater runoff from the proposed development to meet the pre-development target flows as shown in the following sections of this report.



#### **EXISTING CONDITIONS SUMMARY**

The 8.15-acre parcel to be subdivided is bounded by Forrest Street to the south, Poole Street to the east, and Gallaher Street to the west. Stormwater runoff drains primarily from north to south with a drainage divide located in the central portion of the property.

Stormwater runoff to the west of the interior drainage divide discharges to an existing natural swale which flows southwesterly towards an existing 36" concrete storm pipe at the intersection of Gallaher Street and Forrest Street. This is considered Outfall 1.

Stormwater runoff to the east of the interior drainage divide sheet flows through an open grassed area before entering the roadside ditch and discharging to an existing 15" concrete storm pipe at the intersection of Poole Street and Forrest Street. This is considered outfall 2.

Based on data collected from NRCS web soil survey, the underlying soil conditions consist primarily of Hawthorne Gravelly Silt Loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes and 12 to 20 percent slopes of which are classified in the hydrologic soil group B for having moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. The northwesterly most portion of the drainage basin does contain a pocket of Humphreys gravelly silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes which is classified in the hydrologic soil group A for having high infiltration rate when thoroughly wet.

Utilizing the characters indicated above, the hydrologic conditions for the existing drainage basins have been analyzed for the 2-year through 100-year design storm events with rainfall runoff data assumed from the Metro Nashville Stormwater Management Manual Volume 2. The results of the predevelopment flows can be found in the Appendix section of this report.



#### PROPOSED CONDITIONS SUMMARY

Once the pre-development peak flows to Outfalls 1 and 2 were determined, these values are used as a target condition for the post-development drainage design and analysis.

As shown on the drainage exhibits included in the appendix of this report, the natural drainage features of the proposed subdivision will remain and be utilized to the advantage of the stormwater management plan. Runoff to the west of the interior drainage divide will be collected into Detention Basin 1 before discharging to Outfall 1. This detention area will include the construction of earthen berm and outlet pipe to attenuate the stormwater runoff and reduce peak discharge from the proposed development. The detention outlet is designed as such to ensure the 100-year design storm ponded area is within the section of land designate as "Open Area". This will ensure stormwater ponded volume does not encroach on the buildable lots area.

The area east of the interior drainage divide will continue to sheet flow to the southwest as in the existing condition before enter Detention Basin 2 and ultimately discharging to Outfall 2. Detention Basin 2 will consist of the construction of an earthen berm along the frontage of proposed Lot 4 at the intersection of Poole Street and Forrest Street in combination with excavation on the interior site of the basin to provide additional volume.

Utilizing rainfall runoff data from Metro Nashville Stormwater Management Manual Volume 2, the post-development conditions are analyzed to include the routing of stormwater through the proposed detention basins to determine the overall post-development flows. It should be noted that the drainage basin boundaries and time of concentration values did not change in the postdevelopment condition. The only revised variable was the land use from undeveloped grassy areas to single-family residential with average lot areas of 0.50-acres. The detention outlet flows were combined with the bypass flows from the roadside ditches and compared to the pre-development flows at Outfalls 1 and 2.

The table below provides a pre vs. post development summary of the stormwater runoff for the 2-year through 100-year design storm events.



	OUTFALL 1		OUTFALL 2			
STORM EVENT	EXISTING	PROP. P-1	DIFF.	EXISTING	PROPOSED	DIFF.
2-YEAR	12.19	12.09	-0.10	3.58	3.47	-0.11
5-YEAR	22.83	20.06	-2.77	6.57	5.90	-0.67
10-YEAR	30.47	24.81	-5.66	8.71	7.66	-1.05
25-YEAR	40.69	30.59	-10.10	11.55	9.31	-2.24
50-YEAR	48.57	35.81	-12.76	13.77	11.29	-2.48
100-YEAR	56.71	46.55	-10.16	16.03	14.82	-1.21

#### PRE. VS. POST DEVELOPMENT COMPARISON TABLE

As shown in the table above, the post-development flows were decreased in each of the design storm events. Additional details for the assumed variables and calculations can be found in the appendix of this report.



# **APPENDIX A**

NRCS SOILS DATA AND MAP



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey



# Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
НаС	Hawthorne gravelly silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	В	5.3	47.8%
HaD	Hawthorne gravelly silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	В	4.9	43.4%
HuB	Humphreys gravelly silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	A	1.0	8.8%
Totals for Area of Intere	est	•	11.2	100.0%

## Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

## **Rating Options**

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher





# **APPENDIX B**

# **EXISTING CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS**







PTION	S.F.	Ac.	CN
(Undev.) - HSG A	31,075	0.71	49
(Undev.) - HSG B	262,428	6.02	69
0.25 Ac.) - HSG B	76,160	1.75	75
0.25 Ac.) - HSG A	11,987	0.28	61
AL	381,650	8.76	

utes LL 1	TR55 (SEE HYDRAFLOWS REPORT		
PTION	<b>S.F.</b>	<b>Ac</b> .	CN
л.25 АС.J - ПЗС В	6,33Z	0.15	/5

AL	104,964	2.41	
Undev.) - HSG B	98,632	2.26	69
0.25 Ac.) - HSG B	6,332	0.15	75

1	
utes	
ALL 2	

TR55 (SEE HYDRAFLOWS REPORT)

100

#### PEAK FLOW (CFS)

ALL 1	OUTFALL 2	
19	3.58	
83	6.57	
47	8.71	
69	11.55	
57	13.77	
71	16.03	
		SCALE: 1" = 50'



#### **EXISTING CONDITIONS HYDROLOGY**

<u>SUBBASIN E-1</u>				
SUBAREA ID	DESCRIPTION	S.F.	Ac.	CN
SA-1	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG A	31,075	0.71	49
SA-2	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG B	262,428	6.02	69
SA-3	SF Res (R3 Zoning 0.25 Ac.) - HSG B	76,160	1.75	75
SA-4	SF Res (R3 Zoning 0.25 Ac.) - HSG A	11,987	0.28	61
	TOTAL	381,650	8.76	
COMPOSITE CN =	68			
TIME OF CON. =	6.4 minutes	TR55 (SEE HYE	DRAFLOWS	REPORT)
OUTFALL ID=	OUTFALL 1			
SUBBASIN E-2			_	
SUBAREA ID	DESCRIPTION	S.F.	Ac.	CN
SA-5	SF Res (R3 Zoning 0.25 Ac.) - HSG B	6,332	0.15	75
SA-6	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG B	98,632	2.26	69
	IOIAL	104,964	2.41	
COMPOSITE CN =	69			
TIME OF CON. =	5 minutes	TR55 (SEE HYE	DRAFLOWS	REPORT)
OUTFALL ID=	OUTFALL 2			
	PEAK FLOW (CFS)			
STORM EVENT	OUTFALL 1	OUTFALL 2		
2-YEAR	12.19	3.58		
5-YEAR	22.83	6.57		
10-YEAR	30.47	8.71		
25-YEAR	40.69	11.55		
50-YEAR	48.57	13.77		
100-YEAR	56.71	16.03		

# Watershed Model Schematic

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020





#### Legend

Hyd.OriginDescription1SCS RunoffSubbasin E-12SCS RunoffSubbasin E-2

Project: Existing Conditions.gpw

# Hydrograph Return Period Recap Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Inflow	Peak Outflow (cfs)								Hydrograph
NO.		nya(s)	1-yr	2-yr	3-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr	Description
1 2	SCS Runoff SCS Runoff			12.19 3.581		22.83 6.571	30.47 8.708	40.69 11.55	48.57 13.77	56.71 16.03	Subbasin E-1 Subbasin E-2
Due											4 / 4 4 / 2010

# Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	12.19	2	718	24,986				Subbasin E-1
2	SCS Runoff	3.581	2	718	7,289				Subbasin E-2
Existing Conditions.gpw					Return P	eriod: 2 Ye	ar	Thursday, 1	1 / 14 / 2019

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

## Hyd. No. 1

Subbasin E-1

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 12.19 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 24,986 cuft
Drainage area	= 8.760 ac	Curve number	= 68*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.40 min
Total precip.	= 3.39 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.710 x 49) + (6.020 x 69) + (1.750 x 75) + (0.280 x 61)] / 8.760



4

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

# Hyd. No. 1

Subbasin E-1

<u>Description</u>	Δ		<u>B</u>		<u>C</u>		<u>Totals</u>
Sheet Flow Manning's n-value Flow length (ft) Two-year 24-hr precip. (in) Land slope (%) Travel Time (min)	= 0.240 = 15.0 = 3.39 = 2.00 = <b>3.04</b>	+	0.011 0.0 0.00 0.00 <b>0.00</b>	+	0.011 0.0 0.00 0.00 <b>0.00</b>	=	3.04
Shallow Concentrated Flow Flow length (ft) Watercourse slope (%) Surface description Average velocity (ft/s)	= 165.00 = 1.20 = Unpave =1.77	d	0.00 0.00 Paved 0.00		0.00 0.00 Paved 0.00		
Travel Time (min)	= 1.56	+	0.00	+	0.00	=	1.56
Channel Flow X sectional flow area (sqft) Wetted perimeter (ft) Channel slope (%) Manning's n-value Velocity (ft/s)	= 3.00 = 5.50 = 5.90 = 0.025 =9.64		50.00 36.90 5.50 0.035 12.24		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.035 0.00		
Flow length (ft)	({0})455.0		775.0		0.0		
Travel Time (min)	= 0.79	+	1.06	+	0.00	=	1.84
Total Travel Time, Tc							6.40 min

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

## Hyd. No. 2

Subbasin E-2

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 3.581 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 7,289 cuft
Drainage area	= 2.410 ac	Curve number	= 69*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 3.90 min
Total precip.	= 3.39 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (2.260 x 69)] / 2.410



Thursday, 11 / 14 / 2019

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

# Hyd. No. 2

Subbasin E-2

<u>Description</u>	A		<u>B</u>		<u>C</u>		<u>Totals</u>
<b>Sheet Flow</b> Manning's n-value Flow length (ft) Two-year 24-hr precip. (in) Land slope (%)	= 0.240 = 15.0 = 3.39 = 2.00		0.011 0.0 0.00 0.00		0.011 0.0 0.00 0.00		
Travel Time (min)	= 3.04	+	0.00	+	0.00	=	3.04
Shallow Concentrated Flow Flow length (ft) Watercourse slope (%) Surface description Average velocity (ft/s)	= 0.00 = 0.00 = Paved =0.00		0.00 0.00 Paved 0.00		0.00 0.00 Paved 0.00		
Travel Time (min)	= 0.00	+	0.00	+	0.00	=	0.00
<b>Channel Flow</b> X sectional flow area (sqft) Wetted perimeter (ft) Channel slope (%) Manning's n-value Velocity (ft/s)	= 3.00 = 5.50 = 7.10 = 0.025 =10.58		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.015 0.00		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.015 0.00		
Flow length (ft)	({0})530.0		0.0		0.0		
Travel Time (min)	= 0.83	+	0.00	+	0.00	=	0.83
Total Travel Time, Tc							3.90 min

# Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	22.83	2	718	45,684				Subbasin E-1
2	SCS Runoff	6.571	2	718	13,142				Subbasin E-2
Existing Conditions.gpw				Return P	eriod: 5 Ye	ar	Thursday, 1	1 / 14 / 2019	

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

## Hyd. No. 1

Subbasin E-1

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 22.83 cfs
Storm frequency	= 5 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 45,684 cuft
Drainage area	= 8.760 ac	Curve number	= 68*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.40 min
Total precip.	= 4.50 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.710 x 49) + (6.020 x 69) + (1.750 x 75) + (0.280 x 61)] / 8.760



9

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

## Hyd. No. 2

Subbasin E-2

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 6.571 cfs
Storm frequency	= 5 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 13,142 cuft
Drainage area	= 2.410 ac	Curve number	= 69*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 3.90 min
Total precip.	= 4.50 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (2.260 x 69)] / 2.410



1110130ay, 117 147 20

# Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	30.47	2	718	60,964				Subbasin E-1
2	SCS Runoff	8.708	2	718	17,438				Subbasin E-2
Exi	sting Conditio	ns.gpw			Return P	eriod: 10 Y	′ear	Thursday, 1	1 / 14 / 2019

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 1

Subbasin E-1

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 30.47 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 60,964 cuft
Drainage area	= 8.760 ac	Curve number	= 68*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.40 min
Total precip.	= 5.23 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.710 x 49) + (6.020 x 69) + (1.750 x 75) + (0.280 x 61)] / 8.760



12

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

## Hyd. No. 2

Subbasin E-2

Hydrograph type =	SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 8.708 cfs
Storm frequency =	10 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval =	2 min	Hyd. volume	= 17,438 cuft
Drainage area =	2.410 ac	Curve number	= 69*
Basin Slope =	0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method =	TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 3.90 min
Total precip. =	5.23 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration =	24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (2.260 x 69)] / 2.410



13

# Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	40.69	2	718	81,811				Subbasin E-1
2	SCS Runoff	11.55	2	718	23,276				Subbasin E-2
Existing Conditions.gpw			Return Period: 25 Year		Thursday, 11 / 14 / 2019				

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

## Hyd. No. 1

Subbasin E-1

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 40.69 cfs
Storm frequency	= 25 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 81,811 cuft
Drainage area	= 8.760 ac	Curve number	= 68*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.40 min
Total precip.	= 6.16 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.710 x 49) + (6.020 x 69) + (1.750 x 75) + (0.280 x 61)] / 8.760



15

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

## Hyd. No. 2

Subbasin E-2

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 11.55 cfs
Storm frequency	= 25 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 23,276 cuft
Drainage area	= 2.410 ac	Curve number	= 69*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 3.90 min
Total precip.	= 6.16 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (2.260 x 69)] / 2.410



16
# Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	48.57	2	716	98,056				Subbasin E-1
2	SCS Runoff	13.77	2	716	27,814				Subbasin E-2
Exi	sting Condition	ns.gpw	1	<u> </u>	Return P	eriod: 50 Y	ear	Thursday, 1	1 / 14 / 2019

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

#### Hyd. No. 1

Subbasin E-1

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 48.57 cfs
Storm frequency	= 50 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 98,056 cuft
Drainage area	= 8.760 ac	Curve number	= 68*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.40 min
Total precip.	= 6.85 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.710 x 49) + (6.020 x 69) + (1.750 x 75) + (0.280 x 61)] / 8.760



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 2

Subbasin E-2

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 13.77 cfs
Storm frequency	= 50 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 27,814 cuft
Drainage area	= 2.410 ac	Curve number	= 69*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 3.90 min
Total precip.	= 6.85 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (2.260 x 69)] / 2.410



# Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	56.71	2	716	114,584				Subbasin E-1
2	SCS Runoff	16.03	2	716	32,422				Subbasin E-2
Exi	sting Conditio	ns.gpw			Return P	eriod: 100	Year	Thursday, 1	1 / 14 / 2019

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 1

Subbasin E-1

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 56.71 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 114,584 cuft
Drainage area	= 8.760 ac	Curve number	= 68*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.40 min
Total precip.	= 7.53 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.710 x 49) + (6.020 x 69) + (1.750 x 75) + (0.280 x 61)] / 8.760



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 2

Subbasin E-2

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 16.03 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 32,422 cuft
Drainage area	= 2.410 ac	Curve number	= 69*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 3.90 min
Total precip.	= 7.53 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (2.260 x 69)] / 2.410



### **Hydraflow Rainfall Report**

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Return Period	Intensity-Duration-Frequency Equation Coefficients (FHA)									
(Yrs)	В	D	E	(N/A)						
1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000							
2	69.8703	13.1000	0.8658							
3	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000							
5	79.2597	14.6000	0.8369							
10	88.2351	15.5000	0.8279							
25	102.6072	16.5000	0.8217							
50	114.8193	17.2000	0.8199							
100	127.1596	17.8000	0.8186							

File name: SampleFHA.idf

#### Intensity = B / (Tc + D)^E

Return	Intensity Values (in/hr)											
(Yrs)	5 min	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	5.69	4.61	3.89	3.38	2.99	2.69	2.44	2.24	2.07	1.93	1.81	1.70
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	6.57	5.43	4.65	4.08	3.65	3.30	3.02	2.79	2.59	2.42	2.27	2.15
10	7.24	6.04	5.21	4.59	4.12	3.74	3.43	3.17	2.95	2.77	2.60	2.46
25	8.25	6.95	6.03	5.34	4.80	4.38	4.02	3.73	3.48	3.26	3.07	2.91
50	9.04	7.65	6.66	5.92	5.34	4.87	4.49	4.16	3.88	3.65	3.44	3.25
100	9.83	8.36	7.30	6.50	5.87	5.36	4.94	4.59	4.29	4.03	3.80	3.60

Tc = time in minutes. Values may exceed 60.

Preci	p. file name: C:\Users	Daniel Smola\OneDrive -	N A\Autodesk\Civil\Hydrology	Data\TN - Metro	Nashville.pcp

		R	ainfall P	recipitat	ion Tab	e (in)		
Storm Distribution	1-yr	2-yr	3-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr
SCS 24-hour	0.00	3.39	0.00	4.50	5.23	6.16	6.85	7.53
SCS 6-Hr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Huff-1st	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Huff-2nd	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Huff-3rd	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Huff-4th	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Huff-Indy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Custom	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



# APPENDIX C

### **PROPOSED CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS**



DLOGY				
IN 1				PRELIMINARY -
DESCRIPTION	S F	Ac	CN	NOT FOR
R3 Zoning 0.25 Ac.) - HSG B	72,113	1.66	75	CONSTRUCTION
R3 Zoning 0.50 Ac.) - HSG A	42,790	0.98	54	
R3 Zoning 0.50 Ac.) - HSG B	233,997	5.37	70	DATE
TOTAL	348,900	8.01		DRW: - CHK: -
				DESCRIPTION:
69				-
	TR55 (SEE			DATE: -
	HYDRAFLO	ows		DRW: - CHK: -
6.4 minutes	REPORT)			DESCRIPTION:
OUIFALL I				
				DATE: -
				DRW: - CHK: -
DESCRIPTION	S E	٨٥	CN	DESCRIPTION.
DW (Open Ditches) - HSG B	32 479	0.75	89	-
τοται	32 479	0.75	. 0/	DATE: -
IOIAL	•_,	0.75		DESCRIPTION:
89				-
<i></i>				DATE:
	IR55 (SEE	2/1/10		DRW: - CHK: -
5 Minutes	REPORT)	J V V S		DESCRIPTION:
OUTFALL 1	,			
<u>N 2</u>				
DESCRIPTION	S.F.	Ac.	CN	
3 2011119 0.23 AC H3G B	6,500	0.15	75	IS HZ
(3 2011111g 0.30 AC.) - H3G B	81,760	1.88	70	
IOIAL	00,200	2.03	i	
70				
	HYDRAFI (	SWC		
5 minutes	REPORT)			1 S S
OUIFALL 2				うぼか
				<b>A</b> H H
				NE L
DESCRIPTION	S.F.	٨c	CN	HEAL
OW (Open Ditches) - HSG B	16,722	0.38	89	$\mathbf{>}00$
TOTAL	16,722	0.38		<b>2</b> - 7
				<b>7</b> 85
89				
5 minutes	TR55 (SEE			
	HYDRAFLO	ows		
OUIFALL 2	KLI UKIJ			LE LE SH
				ШÖĂ
OUTFA	LL 2			<u> </u>
AL DETENTION DISCHARGE	BYPAS	S	TOTAL	λ.
9 2.06	1.41		3.47	
6 3.88	2.02		5.90	
5.24	2.42		7.66	
9 6.39	2.92		9.31	
7.99	3.30		11.29	
5 11.15	3.67		14.82	HAR₽ETH
OUTEA				CIVIL ENGINEERS
	PROPOSE	п	DIFF	1/9 BELLE FOREST CIR. SUITE 204 E
3.58	3 47	5	-0.11	NASHVILLE, TN. 37221 (615) 730-3502
<b>7</b> 6.57	5.90		-0.67	
<b>6</b> 8.71	7.66		-1.05	HCI PN 0517-19A
<b>0</b> 11.55	9.31		-2.24	EXISTING CONDITIONS
13.77	11.29		-2.48	DRAINAGE EXHIBIT
6 16.03	14.82		-1.21	
				U-1.0

### PROPOSED CONDITIONS HYDROLOGY

SUBBASIN P-1 - DETEN	TION BASIN 1					
SUBAREA ID SA-1	DESCRIPTION SF Res (R3 Zoning 0.25 Ac.) - HSG B	<b>S.F.</b> 72,113	<b>Ac.</b> 1.66	<b>CN</b> 75		
SA-2 SA-3	SA-2SF Res (R3 Zoning 0.50 Ac.) - HSG ASA-3SF Res (R3 Zoning 0.50 Ac.) - HSG BTOTAL					
Composite CN = Time of Con. = Outfall ID=	69 6.4 minutes OUTFALL 1	tr55 (See Hydrafi	<u>-</u> OWS			
<u>SUBBASIN P-2 - BYPAS</u> SUBAREA ID SA-4	<u>SS</u> DESCRIPTION Public ROW (Open Ditches) - HSG B TOTAL	<b>S.F.</b> 32,479 <b>32,479</b>	<b>Ac.</b> 0.75 <b>0.75</b>	<b>CN</b> 89		
Composite CN = Time of Con. = Outfall ID=	89 5 Minutes OUTFALL 1	tr55 (See Hydrafi	E OWS			
SUBBASIN P-3 - DTENT	ION BASIN 2					
SUBAREA ID SA-5 SA-6	DESCRIPTION SF Res (R3 Zoning 0.25 Ac.) - HSG B SF Res (R3 Zoning 0.50 Ac.) - HSG B TOTAL	<b>S.F.</b> 6,500 81,760 <b>88,260</b>	Ac. 0.15 1.88 2.03	<b>CN</b> 75 70		
Composite CN = time of Con. = outfall id=	70 5 minutes OUTFALL 2	tr55 (See Hydrafi	<u>-</u> OWS			
<u>SUBBASIN P-4 - BYPAS</u> SUBAREA ID SA-7	<u>SS</u> DESCRIPTION Public ROW (Open Ditches) - HSG B TOTAL	<b>S.F.</b> 16,722 <b>16,722</b>	<b>Ac.</b> 0.38 <b>0.38</b>	<b>CN</b> 89		
Composite CN = Time of Con. = Outfall ID=	89 5 minutes OUTFALL 2	tr55 (See Hydrafi	<u>-</u> OWS			

#### PEAK DISCHARGE SUMMARY TABLE (CFS)

	OUTFALL	1		OUTFALL 2			
STORM EVENT	DETENTION DISCHARGE	BYPASS	TOTAL	DETENTION DISCHARGE	BYPASS	TOTAL	
2-YEAR	9.31	2.78	12.09	2.06	1.41	3.47	
5-YEAR	16.08	3.98	20.06	3.88	2.02	5.90	
10-YEAR	20.04	4.77	24.81	5.24	2.42	7.66	
25-YEAR	24.82	5.77	30.59	6.39	2.92	9.31	
50-YEAR	29.30	6.51	35.81	7.99	3.30	11.29	
100-YEAR	39.32	7.23	46.55	11.15	3.67	14.82	

#### PRE. VS. POST DEVELOPMENT COMPARISON TABLE

	OUTF	FALL 1		OU	FALL 2	
STORM EVENT	EXISTING	PROP. P-1	DIFF.	EXISTING	PROPOSED	DIFF.
2-YEAR	12.19	12.09	-0.10	3.58	3.47	-0.11
5-YEAR	22.83	20.06	-2.77	6.57	5.90	-0.67
10-YEAR	30.47	24.81	-5.66	8.71	7.66	-1.05
25-YEAR	40.69	30.59	-10.10	11.55	9.31	-2.24
50-YEAR	48.57	35.81	-12.76	13.77	11.29	-2.48
100-YEAR	56.71	46.55	-10.16	16.03	14.82	-1.21

### Watershed Model Schematic

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020



# Hydrograph Return Period Recap Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd.	Hydrograph	Inflow		Peak Outflow (cfs)						Hydrograph	
NO.	(origin)	nya(s)	1-yr	2-yr	3-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr	Description
1	SCS Runoff			12.32		22.60	29.95	39.74	47.38	55.14	Subbasin P-1
2	SCS Runoff			2.782		3.984	4.772	5.772	6.509	7.233	Subbasin P-2
3	SCS Runoff			3.212		5.782	7.608	10.04	11.95	13.86	Subbasin P-3
4	SCS Runoff			1.410		2.019	2.418	2.924	3.298	3.665	Subbasin P-4
5	Reservoir	1		9.314		16.08	20.04	24.82	29.30	39.32	Detention Basin 1
6	Reservoir	3		2.364		3.884	5.242	6.393	7.986	11.15	Detention Basin 2

# Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	12.32	2	718	25,074				Subbasin P-1
2	SCS Runoff	2.782	2	716	5,757				Subbasin P-2
3	SCS Runoff	3.212	2	718	6,500				Subbasin P-3
4	SCS Runoff	1.410	2	716	2,917				Subbasin P-4
5	Reservoir	9.314	2	720	25,073	1	470.09	2,323	Detention Basin 1
6	Reservoir	2.364	2	720	6,497	3	500.17	631	Detention Basin 2
Pro	posed Conditi	ons.gpw			Return P	eriod: 2 Ye	ar	Thursday, 1	1 / 14 / 2019

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 1

Subbasin P-1

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 12.32 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 25,074 cuft
Drainage area	= 8.290 ac	Curve number	= 69*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.40 min
Total precip.	= 3.39 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(1.660 x 75) + (0.980 x 54) + (5.370 x 70) + (0.280 x 61)] / 8.290



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 1

Subbasin P-1

<u>Description</u>	Δ		<u>B</u>		<u>C</u>		<u>Totals</u>
Sheet Flow Manning's n-value Flow length (ft) Two-year 24-hr precip. (in) Land slope (%) Travel Time (min)	= 0.240 = 15.0 = 3.39 = 2.00 = <b>3.04</b>	+	0.011 0.0 0.00 0.00 <b>0.00</b>	+	0.011 0.0 0.00 0.00 <b>0.00</b>	=	3.04
Shallow Concentrated Flow Flow length (ft) Watercourse slope (%) Surface description Average velocity (ft/s)	= 165.00 = 1.20 = Unpave =1.77	d	0.00 0.00 Paved 0.00		0.00 0.00 Paved 0.00		
Travel Time (min)	= 1.56	+	0.00	+	0.00	=	1.56
<b>Channel Flow</b> X sectional flow area (sqft) Wetted perimeter (ft) Channel slope (%) Manning's n-value Velocity (ft/s)	= 3.00 = 5.50 = 5.90 = 0.025 =9.64		50.00 36.90 5.50 0.035 12.24		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.035 0.00		
Flow length (ft)	({0})455.0		775.0		0.0		
Travel Time (min)	= 0.79	+	1.06	+	0.00	=	1.84
Total Travel Time, Tc							6.40 min

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 2

Subbasin P-2

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 2.782 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 5,757 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.750 ac	Curve number	= 89*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.39 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (2.260 x 70)] / 0.750



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 3

Subbasin P-3

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 3.212 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 6,500 cuft
Drainage area	= 2.030 ac	Curve number	= 70*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.39 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (1.880 x 70)] / 2.030



nuisuay, 117 147 20

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

#### Hyd. No. 4

Subbasin P-4

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 1.410 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 2,917 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.380 ac	Curve number	= 89
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.39 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

#### Hyd. No. 5

**Detention Basin 1** 

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 9.314 cfs
Storm frequency :	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 720 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 25,073 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 1 - Subbasin P-1	Max. Elevation	= 470.09 ft
Reservoir name	= Detention Basin 1	Max. Storage	= 2,323 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



### **Pond Report**

#### Pond No. 1 - Detention Basin 1

#### **Pond Data**

Contours -User-defined contour areas. Average end area method used for volume calculation. Begining Elevation = 468.50 ft

#### Stage / Storage Table

Stage (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Contour area (sqft)	Incr. Storage (cuft)	Total storage (cuft)
0.00	468.50	00	0	0
0.50	469.00	561	140	140
1.50	470.00	3,171	1,866	2,006
2.50	471.00	4,241	3,706	5,712
3.50	472.00	6,165	5,203	10,915
4.00	472.50	7,153	3,330	14,245
4.50	473.00	8,383	3,884	18,129
5.00	473.50	9,880	4,566	22,695

#### **Culvert / Orifice Structures**

	[A]	[B]	[C]	[PrfRsr]		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]
Rise (in)	= 24.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest Len (ft)	= 10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Span (in)	= 24.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest El. (ft)	= 472.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
No. Barrels	= 1	0	0	0	Weir Coeff.	= 3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33
Invert El. (ft)	= 468.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	Weir Type	= Rect			
Length (ft)	= 15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Multi-Stage	= No	No	No	No
Slope (%)	= 2.50	0.00	0.00	n/a					
N-Value	= .024	.013	.013	n/a					
Orifice Coeff.	= 0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	Exfil.(in/hr)	= 0.000 (by	Wet area)		
Multi-Stage	= n/a	No	No	No	TW Elev. (ft)	= 0.00			

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s).



Weir Structures

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

#### Hyd. No. 6

**Detention Basin 2** 

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 2.364 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 720 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 6,497 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - Subbasin P-3	Max. Elevation	= 500.17 ft
Reservoir name	= Detention Basin 2	Max. Storage	= 631 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



### **Pond Report**

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

#### Pond No. 2 - Detention Basin 2

#### **Pond Data**

Contours -User-defined contour areas. Average end area method used for volume calculation. Begining Elevation = 499.20 ft

#### Stage / Storage Table

Stage (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Contour area (sqft)	Incr. Storage (cuft)	Total storage (cuft)	
0.00	499.20	00	0	0	
0.50	500.00	1,282	321	321	
1.50	501.00	2,381	1,832	2,152	
2.00	501.50	3,089	1,368	3,520	
2.50	502.00	3,794	1,721	5,240	
3.00	502.50	4,716	2,128	7,368	

#### **Culvert / Orifice Structures**

	[A]	[B]	[C]	[PrfRsr]		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]
Rise (in)	= 15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest Len (ft)	= 10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Span (in)	= 15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest El. (ft)	= 501.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
No. Barrels	= 1	0	0	0	Weir Coeff.	= 3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33
Invert El. (ft)	= 499.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	Weir Type	= Rect			
Length (ft)	= 14.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Multi-Stage	= No	No	No	No
Slope (%)	= 1.40	0.00	0.00	n/a	-				
N-Value	= .024	.013	.013	n/a					
Orifice Coeff.	= 0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	Exfil.(in/hr)	= 0.000 (by	Wet area)	1	
Multi-Stage	= n/a	No	No	No	TW Elev. (ft)	= 0.00			

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s).



#### **Weir Structures**

# Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	22.60	2	718	45,208				Subbasin P-1
2	SCS Runoff	3.984	2	716	8,410				Subbasin P-2
3	SCS Runoff	5.782	2	718	11,563				Subbasin P-3
4	SCS Runoff	2.019	2	716	4,261				Subbasin P-4
5	Reservoir	16.08	2	720	45,207	1	470.99	5,682	Detention Basin 1
6	Reservoir	3.884	2	722	11,560	3	500.66	1,529	Detention Basin 2
Pro	posed Conditi	ons.gpw			Return P	eriod: 5 Ye	ar	Thursday, 1	1 / 14 / 2019

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

#### Hyd. No. 1

Subbasin P-1

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 22.60 cfs
Storm frequency	= 5 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 45,208 cuft
Drainage area	= 8.290 ac	Curve number	= 69*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.40 min
Total precip.	= 4.50 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(1.660 x 75) + (0.980 x 54) + (5.370 x 70) + (0.280 x 61)] / 8.290



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 2

Subbasin P-2

Hydrograph type =	SCS Runoff	Peak discharge =	= 3.984 cfs
Storm frequency =	= 5 yrs	Time to peak =	= 716 min
Time interval =	= 2 min	Hyd. volume =	= 8,410 cuft
Drainage area =	= 0.750 ac	Curve number =	= 89*
Basin Slope =	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length =	= 0 ft
Tc method =	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip. =	= 4.50 in	Distribution =	= Type II
Storm duration =	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (2.260 x 70)] / 0.750



15

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 3

Subbasin P-3

Hydrograph type =	SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 5.782 cfs
Storm frequency =	= 5 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval =	2 min	Hyd. volume	= 11,563 cuft
Drainage area =	= 2.030 ac	Curve number	= 70*
Basin Slope =	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method =	User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip. =	= 4.50 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration =	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (1.880 x 70)] / 2.030



16

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 4

Subbasin P-4

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 2.019 cfs
Storm frequency	= 5 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 4,261 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.380 ac	Curve number	= 89
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 4.50 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

#### Hyd. No. 5

**Detention Basin 1** 

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 16.08 cfs
Storm frequency	= 5 yrs	Time to peak	= 720 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 45,207 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 1 - Subbasin P-1	Max. Elevation	= 470.99 ft
Reservoir name	= Detention Basin 1	Max. Storage	= 5,682 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

#### Hyd. No. 6

**Detention Basin 2** 

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 3.884 cfs
Storm frequency	= 5 yrs	Time to peak	= 722 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 11,560 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - Subbasin P-3	Max. Elevation	= 500.66 ft
Reservoir name	= Detention Basin 2	Max. Storage	= 1,529 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



# Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	29.95	2	718	59,982				Subbasin P-1
2	SCS Runoff	4.772	2	716	10,190				Subbasin P-2
3	SCS Runoff	7.608	2	718	15,257				Subbasin P-3
4	SCS Runoff	2.418	2	716	5,163				Subbasin P-4
5	Reservoir	20.04	2	720	59,981	1	471.47	8,164	Detention Basin 1
6	Reservoir	5.242	2	720	15,254	3	500.99	2,135	Detention Basin 2
Pro	posed Conditi	ons.gpw			Return P	eriod: 10 Y	ear	Thursday, 1	1 / 14 / 2019

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

#### Hyd. No. 1

Subbasin P-1

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 29.95 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 59,982 cuft
Drainage area	= 8.290 ac	Curve number	= 69*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.40 min
Total precip.	= 5.23 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(1.660 x 75) + (0.980 x 54) + (5.370 x 70) + (0.280 x 61)] / 8.290



Thursday, 11 / 14 / 2019

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 2

Subbasin P-2

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 4.772 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 10,190 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.750 ac	Curve number	= 89*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.23 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (2.260 x 70)] / 0.750



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 3

Subbasin P-3

Hydrograph type =	SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 7.608 cfs
Storm frequency :	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 15,257 cuft
Drainage area =	= 2.030 ac	Curve number	= 70*
Basin Slope :	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method =	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.23 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (1.880 x 70)] / 2.030



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 4

Subbasin P-4

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 2.418 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 5,163 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.380 ac	Curve number	= 89
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.23 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

#### Hyd. No. 5

**Detention Basin 1** 

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 20.04 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 720 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 59,981 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 1 - Subbasin P-1	Max. Elevation	= 471.47 ft
Reservoir name	= Detention Basin 1	Max. Storage	= 8,164 cuft

Storage Indication method used.


Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 6

**Detention Basin 2** 

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 5.242 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 720 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 15,254 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - Subbasin P-3	Max. Elevation	= 500.99 ft
Reservoir name	= Detention Basin 2	Max. Storage	= 2,135 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



## Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	39.74	2	718	80,067				Subbasin P-1
2	SCS Runoff	5.772	2	716	12,482				Subbasin P-2
3	SCS Runoff	10.04	2	716	20,260				Subbasin P-3
4	SCS Runoff	2.924	2	716	6,324				Subbasin P-4
5	Reservoir	24.82	2	722	80,066	1	472.19	12,207	Detention Basin 1
6	Reservoir	6.393	2	722	20,257	3	501.35	3,108	Detention Basin 2
Pro	posed Conditi	ons.gpw			Return P	eriod: 25 Y	ear	Thursday, 1	1 / 14 / 2019

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 1

Subbasin P-1

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 39.74 cfs
Storm frequency	= 25 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 80,067 cuft
Drainage area	= 8.290 ac	Curve number	= 69*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.40 min
Total precip.	= 6.16 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(1.660 x 75) + (0.980 x 54) + (5.370 x 70) + (0.280 x 61)] / 8.290



Thursday, 11 / 14 / 2019

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 2

Subbasin P-2

Hydrograph type =	SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 5.772 cfs
Storm frequency =	= 25 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval =	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 12,482 cuft
Drainage area =	= 0.750 ac	Curve number	= 89*
Basin Slope =	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method =	User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip. =	• 6.16 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration =	24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (2.260 x 70)] / 0.750



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 3

Subbasin P-3

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 10.04 cfs
Storm frequency	= 25 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 20,260 cuft
Drainage area	= 2.030 ac	Curve number	= 70*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 6.16 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (1.880 x 70)] / 2.030



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 4

Subbasin P-4

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 2.924 cfs
Storm frequency	= 25 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 6,324 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.380 ac	Curve number	= 89
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 6.16 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



31

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 5

**Detention Basin 1** 

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 24.82 cfs
Storm frequency	= 25 yrs	Time to peak	= 722 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 80,066 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 1 - Subbasin P-1	Max. Elevation	= 472.19 ft
Reservoir name	= Detention Basin 1	Max. Storage	= 12,207 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 6

**Detention Basin 2** 

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 6.393 cfs
Storm frequency :	= 25 yrs	Time to peak	= 722 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 20,257 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - Subbasin P-3	Max. Elevation	= 501.35 ft
Reservoir name	= Detention Basin 2	Max. Storage	= 3,108 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Thursday, 11 / 14 / 2019

## Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	47.38	2	716	95,676				Subbasin P-1
2	SCS Runoff	6.509	2	716	14,195				Subbasin P-2
3	SCS Runoff	11.95	2	716	24,138				Subbasin P-3
4	SCS Runoff	3.298	2	716	7,192				Subbasin P-4
5	Reservoir	29.30	2	722	95,675	1	472.67	15,572	Detention Basin 1
6	Reservoir	7.986	2	720	24,136	3	501.59	3,831	Detention Basin 2
Proposed Conditions.gpw Return Period: 50 Year Thursday, 11 / 14 /					1 / 14 / 2019				

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 1

Subbasin P-1

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 47.38 cfs
Storm frequency	= 50 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 95,676 cuft
Drainage area	= 8.290 ac	Curve number	= 69*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.40 min
Total precip.	= 6.85 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(1.660 x 75) + (0.980 x 54) + (5.370 x 70) + (0.280 x 61)] / 8.290



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 2

Subbasin P-2

Hydrograph type =	SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 6.509 cfs
Storm frequency =	50 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval =	2 min	Hyd. volume	= 14,195 cuft
Drainage area =	0.750 ac	Curve number	= 89*
Basin Slope =	0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method =	User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip. =	6.85 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration =	24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (2.260 x 70)] / 0.750



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 3

Subbasin P-3

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 11.95 cfs
Storm frequency	= 50 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 24,138 cuft
Drainage area	= 2.030 ac	Curve number	= 70*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 6.85 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (1.880 x 70)] / 2.030



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 4

Subbasin P-4

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 3.298 cfs
Storm frequency	= 50 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 7,192 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.380 ac	Curve number	= 89
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 6.85 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 5

**Detention Basin 1** 

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 29.30 cfs
Storm frequency :	= 50 yrs	Time to peak	= 722 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 95,675 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 1 - Subbasin P-1	Max. Elevation	= 472.67 ft
Reservoir name	= Detention Basin 1	Max. Storage	= 15,572 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 6

**Detention Basin 2** 

Hydrograph type =	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 7.986 cfs
Storm frequency :	= 50 yrs	Time to peak	= 720 min
Time interval :	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 24,136 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - Subbasin P-3	Max. Elevation	= 501.59 ft
Reservoir name	= Detention Basin 2	Max. Storage	= 3,831 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



## Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	55.14	2	716	111,527				Subbasin P-1
2	SCS Runoff	7.233	2	716	15,891				Subbasin P-2
3	SCS Runoff	13.86	2	716	28,070				Subbasin P-3
4	SCS Runoff	3.665	2	716	8,052				Subbasin P-4
5	Reservoir	39.32	2	720	111,526	1	472.98	17,977	Detention Basin 1
6	Reservoir	11.15	2	720	28,067	3	501.73	4,316	Detention Basin 2
Proposed Conditions.gpw Return Period: 100 Year				Thursday, 1	1 / 14 / 2019				

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 1

Subbasin P-1

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 55.14 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 111,527 cuft
Drainage area	= 8.290 ac	Curve number	= 69*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.40 min
Total precip.	= 7.53 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(1.660 x 75) + (0.980 x 54) + (5.370 x 70) + (0.280 x 61)] / 8.290



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 2

Subbasin P-2

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 7.233 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 15,891 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.750 ac	Curve number	= 89*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 7.53 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (2.260 x 70)] / 0.750



43

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 3

Subbasin P-3

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 13.86 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 28,070 cuft
Drainage area	= 2.030 ac	Curve number	= 70*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 7.53 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

\* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.150 x 75) + (1.880 x 70)] / 2.030



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 4

Subbasin P-4

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 3.665 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 8,052 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.380 ac	Curve number	= 89
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 7.53 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



\_\_\_\_\_

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 5

**Detention Basin 1** 

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 39.32 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 720 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 111,526 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 1 - Subbasin P-1	Max. Elevation	= 472.98 ft
Reservoir name	= Detention Basin 1	Max. Storage	= 17,977 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

### Hyd. No. 6

**Detention Basin 2** 

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 11.15 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 720 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 28,067 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - Subbasin P-3	Max. Elevation	= 501.73 ft
Reservoir name	= Detention Basin 2	Max. Storage	= 4,316 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



## **Hydraflow Rainfall Report**

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Return Period	Intensity-Duration-Frequency Equation Coefficients (FHA)								
(Yrs)	В	D	E	(N/A)					
1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000						
2	69.8703	13.1000	0.8658						
3	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000						
5	79.2597	14.6000	0.8369						
10	88.2351	15.5000	0.8279						
25	102.6072	16.5000	0.8217						
50	114.8193	17.2000	0.8199						
100	127.1596	17.8000	0.8186						

File name: SampleFHA.idf

#### Intensity = B / (Tc + D)^E

Return	Intensity Values (in/hr)											
(Yrs)	5 min	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	5.69	4.61	3.89	3.38	2.99	2.69	2.44	2.24	2.07	1.93	1.81	1.70
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	6.57	5.43	4.65	4.08	3.65	3.30	3.02	2.79	2.59	2.42	2.27	2.15
10	7.24	6.04	5.21	4.59	4.12	3.74	3.43	3.17	2.95	2.77	2.60	2.46
25	8.25	6.95	6.03	5.34	4.80	4.38	4.02	3.73	3.48	3.26	3.07	2.91
50	9.04	7.65	6.66	5.92	5.34	4.87	4.49	4.16	3.88	3.65	3.44	3.25
100	9.83	8.36	7.30	6.50	5.87	5.36	4.94	4.59	4.29	4.03	3.80	3.60

Tc = time in minutes. Values may exceed 60.

Preci	o. file name: C:\Users'	Daniel Smola\OneDrive -	N A\Autodesk\Civil\Hydrology	/ Data\TN - Metro	Nashville.pcp

		R	ainfall P	recipitat	ion Tabl	e (in)		
Storm Distribution	1-yr	2-yr	3-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr
SCS 24-hour	0.00	3.39	0.00	4.50	5.23	6.16	6.85	7.53
SCS 6-Hr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Huff-1st	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Huff-2nd	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Huff-3rd	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Huff-4th	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Huff-Indy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Custom	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



### NARRATIVE AND SUPPORTING CALCULATIONS FOR PUBLIC WATER AND SEWER IMPROVEMENTS FOR:

## **ELEANOR VILLAGE SUBDIVISION**

ASHLAND CITY, TENNESSEE

MAP 55E, GR A, PAR 15



Original Issuance: December 23, 2019

### PREPARED BY:

HARPETH CIVIL, INC. 179 BELLE FOREST CIR., STE. 204E NASHVILLE, TN. 37221

### PREPARED FOR:

DAKOTA WIND PROPERTIES, LLC 1152 DUNCANWOOD DRIVE NASHVILLE, TN. 37204



#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	. 1
LOW-PRESSURE SEWER SYSTEM (LPS) SUMMARY	.2
WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM SUMMARY	.3

#### **APPENDIX**

SITE LOCATION MAP	A
LOW-PRESSURE SEWER SYSTEM (LPS) CALCULATIONS	В
WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM CALCULATIONS	C



#### ABSTRACT

The scope of this report is limited to the hydraulic analysis of the proposed 6" public water line extension and separate Low-Pressure Sewer (LPS) extensions as shown on the civil engineering project documents. The proposed utility extensions are necessary to provide a point for water and sewer connection to a public system for a portion of the proposed lots of Eleanor Village Subdivision.

The existing 8.1 acre parcel is currently bounded to the east by Poole Street, to the south by Forrest Street, and to the west by Gallaher Street. Poole Street currently provides points of connection to the public system for sewer and water service to proposed Lots 1-4. Forrest Street provides points of connection to the public system for water service to Lots 5-9 and sewer services to Lots 5-6. Gallaher Street is without a point of connection to the public system for water and sewer along the parcel frontage.



#### Design Summary - Low-Pressure Sewer (LPS)

To provide sanitary sewer services to Lots 10-13 on Gallaher Street and Lots 7-9 on Forrest Street, the extension of the adjacent public sanitary sewer as a gravity system was investigated and discussed on-site with Ashland City Public Works representative Kevin Lee.

During the on-site meeting the feasibility of providing a public extension of the sanitary sewer main to the south on Smith Street was rejected due to known utility conflict at the intersection of Gallaher Street and Forrest Street, a substantial drainage culvert crossing, and the likelihood of grade challenges that would reduce the feasibility of gravity flow. For this reason, it was determined a more direct point of connection to the public sanitary main approximately 150 feet north on Gallaher Street for Lots 10-13 and a connection to the public sanitary main on Forrest Street in front Lot 6 for Lots 7-9 would be most desirable. However, this path would also provide grade challenges that prevent a gravity flow extension. Due to the parcels location and that all adjacent land has been previously developed, it was recommended the proposed extensions consist of a Low-Pressure System Sewer System (LPS) to accommodate the connection of future EOne Grinder Pumps as single-family residential structures are constructed.

The Low-Pressure Sewer System (LPS) followed the design methodologies reflected in the LPS Design Manual issued by city approved provider Environment One Corporation and included design flows established by the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC) for single-family residential development. The LPS system includes the construction of a single PVC force-main that extends from the point of connection at the existing public sanitary manhole to the frontage of the proposed lots requiring sanitary sewer service. A check valve assembly will be installed within a below grade enclosure at the frontage of the lot which will serve as a point of connection from the grinder pump of the future residence.

Based on design methodologies, the force-main sizing considers the statistical likelihood of multiple pumps running at any given time and the size of the force main is adjusted to provide the desired velocity range. As reflected on the calculation included in Appendix B, the Gallaher Street and Forrest Street force-mails are 2.0 inches and 1.5 inches respectively.



#### Design Summary – Water Distribution System

As discussed in the Abstract section of this report, Lots 10-13 along Gallaher Street will require the extension of the public water main to provide service for the future single-family home sites. Based upon discussions held on-site with Ashland City Public Works representative Kevin Lee, it was determined the city would prefer the 6 inch public extension provide a looped connection from the existing system approximately 100 feet north of the subject property on Gallaher Street to the existing system located at the intersection of Gallaher Street and Forrest Street.

The looped connection will include the construction of approximately 870 linear feet of 6 inch water line along the east side of Gallaher Road within the public right-of-way. The extension will also include the installation of a new public fire hydrant along the east side of Gallaher Street near the midpoint of the extension.

Fire hydrant flow tests were performed by Hethcoat & Davis and the results of which are included in Appendix C of this report. The hydrants tested were located approximately 200 feet north of the subject parcel on Gallaher Street and near the intersection of Gallaher Street and Forrest Street, both of which are located to either end of the public extension.

The results of the flow test are utilized along with field collected survey information and geometric design data as input variables into EPANet 2 Water Supply Analysis software. The results indicate sufficient pressure and flow along the proposed extension including at the proposed fire hydrant which achieves 750 GPM at 33.6 PSI, which is in excess the minimum city allowable of 750 GPM at 20 psi. Calculation results are included in Appendix C of this report.



# **APPENDIX A**

Site Location Map



SITE LOCATION MAP (NOT TO SCALE)



# **APPENDIX B**

## LOW-PRESSURE SEWER SYSTEM (LPS) CALCULATIONS

#### PRELIMINARY PRESSURE SEWER - PIPE SIZING AND BRANCH ANALYSIS Eleanor Village Sibdivision - Gallaher Street Ashland City, Cheatham County, Tennessee

December 18, 2019

Zone	Connects	Number	Accum	Gals/day	Max Flow	Max	Max Flow	Pipe Size	Max	Length of Main	Friction Loss	Friction	Accum Fric	Max Main	Minimum Pump	Static Head	Total
Number	to Zone	of Pumps	Pumps	per Pump	Per Pump	Sim Ops	(GPM)	(inches)	Velocity	this Zone	Factor	Loss This	Loss (feet)	Elevation	Elevation	(feet)	Dynamic
		in Zone	in Zone		(gpm)	_			(FPS)		(ft/100 ft)	Zone					Head (ft)
This spread	l Isheet was o	alculated	using pip	e diameters	for: SDR2	21PVC			1	Fric	tion loss calcu	lations we	re based on a	Constant for ins	ide roughness "C	" of: 1	50
1.00	1.00	4	4	350	11.00	3	33.00	2.00	2.92	450.00	1.54	6.94	6.94	503.50	478.00	25.50	32.44

#### PRELIMINARY PRESSURE SEWER - ACCUMULATED RETENTION TIME(HR) Eleanor Village Sibdivision - Gallaher Street Ashland City, Cheatham County, Tennessee

December 18, 2019

Zone Number	Connects to Zone	Accumulated Total of Pumps this Zone	Pipe Size (inches)	Gallons per 100 lineal feet	Length of Zone	Capacity of Zone	Average Daily Flow	Average Fluid Changes per Day	Average Retention Time (Hr)	Accumulated Retention Time (Hr)
This sprea	idsheet was ca	alculated using pi	pe diameters for: SD	R21PVC			•	Gals per Day p	ber Dwelling	200
1.00	1.00	4	2.00	18.84	450.00	84.79	1,400	16.51	1.45	1.45

 Page 1
 Note: This analysis is valid only with the use of progressive cavity type grinder pumps as manufactured by Environment One

 X:\Projects\0517-19A Ashland City - Eleanor Village Subd\Calculations\Sewer Design\Eleanor Village - Gallher St.EOne

#### PRELIMINARY PRESSURE SEWER - PIPE SIZING AND BRANCH ANALYSIS Eleanor Village Sibdivision - Forrest Street Ashland City, Cheatham County, Tennessee

December 18, 2019

Zone	Connects	Number	Accum	Gals/day	Max Flow	Max	Max Flow	Pipe Size	Max	Length of Main	Friction Loss	Friction	Accum Fric	Max Main	Minimum Pump	Static Head	Total
Number	to Zone	of Pumps	Pumps	per Pump	Per Pump	Sim Ops	(GPM)	(inches)	Velocity	this Zone	Factor	Loss This	Loss (feet)	Elevation	Elevation	(feet)	Dynamic
		in Zone	in Zone	_	(gpm)	_			(FPS)		(ft/100 ft)	Zone					Head (ft)
This spread	lsheet was c	alculated	using pip	e diameters	for: SDR2	21PVC			1	Fric	tion loss calcu	lations wei	re based on a	Constant for ins	ide roughness "C	" of: 1	50
1.00	1.00	3	3	350	11.00	2	22.00	1.50	3.04	340.00	2.15	7.32	7.32	506.00	473.00	33.00	40.32

#### PRELIMINARY PRESSURE SEWER - ACCUMULATED RETENTION TIME(HR) Eleanor Village Sibdivision - Forrest Street Ashland City, Cheatham County, Tennessee

December 18, 2019

Zone Number	Connects to Zone	Accumulated Total of Pumps this Zone	Pipe Size (inches)	Gallons per 100 lineal feet	Length of Zone	Capacity of Zone	Average Daily Flow	Average Fluid Changes per Day	Average Retention Time (Hr)	Accumulated Retention Time (Hr)
This sprea	adsheet was c	alculated using pi	pe diameters for: SE	DR21PVC				Gals per Day p	er Dwelling	200
1.00	1.00	3	1.50	12.07	340.00	41.04	1,050	25.59	0.94	0.94



# APPENDIX C

### WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM CALCULATIONS


HETHCOAT & DAVIS, INC. 278 Franklin Road Building 4, Suite 200 Brentwood, TN 37027 Phone: (615) 577-4300 Fax: (615) 577-4303

December 9, 2019

# **MEMORANDUM**

From: Hunter Patton, E.I.

To: Daniel Smola, P.E., President, Harpeth Civil, Inc.

### Re: Flow Test – 115 Forrest Street

Two (2) one-hydrant fire flow tests were performed on December 6, 2019 for 115 Forrest Street in Ashland City, TN. The flow tests started at approximately 10:15 A.M., CST. The two tests were executed by Hunter Patton (H&D). The two hydrants analyzed in the tests are described as follows:

Flow Hydrant #1 – Location shown on attached map

Flow Hydrant #2 – Location shown on attached map

Results were as follows:

<u>Flow Hydrant #1</u> – S	Static Pressure = 39 psi
F	Residual Pressure = 38 psi
F	Flow (Q) = 638 GPM
<u>Flow Hydrant #2</u> – S	Static Pressure = 52 psi
F	Residual Pressure = 24 psi
F	Flow (Q) = 494 GPM

It should be noted that the results provided are instantaneous readings and H&D cannot ensure that it represents actual hydrant flow conditions over any period of time. Again, the pressures stated above are at the point of connection to the Ashland City Water system and does not include losses that may occur due to metering, backflow prevention or multiple story construction.

Please advise if you have questions or require additional information.



# **Hydrant Flow Test Report**

Test Date 12/6/2019

Test Time 10:15 PM

### **Location**

115 Forrest Street Ashland City, TN

# Tested by

Hunter Patton - H&D

### <u>Notes</u>

Flow Hydrant #1

**Read Hydrant** 

39 psi static pressure38 psi residual pressure498 ft hydrant elevation



Created with the free hydrant flow test program from www.igneusinc.com

# **Hydrant Flow Test Report**

Test Date 12/6/2019

Test Time 10:45 PM

### **Location**

115 Forrest Street Ashland City, TN

# Tested by

Hunter Patton - H&D

### **Notes**

Flow Hydrant #2

**Read Hydrant** 

52 psi **static pressure** 24 psi **residual pressure** 469 ft **hydrant elevation** 



Created with the free hydrant flow test program from www.igneusinc.com



Link	Start	End	Length	Diameter	
ID	Node	Node	ft	in	
2	NewMainStart	FireHydrant-A	435.42	6	
3	FireHydrant-A	NewMainEnd	433.10	6	
1	FlowHydrant-1	NewMainStart	100	6	
NewMainEnd	NewMainEnd	FlowHydrant-2	100	6	
FlowHydrant-1	FlowHyd-1-Sour	ceFlowHydrant-1	#N/A	. #N/A	Pump
FlowHydrant-2	FlowHyd-2-Sour	ceFlowHydrant-2	#N/A	. #N/A	Pump

Node Results:

Node ID	Demand GPM	Head ft	Pressure psi	Quality	
NewMainStart	0.00	584.02	36.40	0.00	
NewMainEnd FireHydrant-A		573 68	40.50		
FlowHydrant-1	0.00	586.39	38.30	0.00	
FlowHydrant-2	0.00	575.87	46.31	0.00	
FlowHyd-1-Source	-540.47	498.00	0.00	0.00	Reservoir
FlowHyd-2-Source	-209.53	469.00	0.00	0.00	Reservoir

### Link Results:

Link ID	Flow GPM	VelocityU fps	nit Headloss ft/Kft	Status	
2	540.47	6.13	23.73	Open	
3	-209.53	2.38	4.10	Open	
1	540.47	6.13	23.73	Open	
NewMainEnd	-209.53	2.38	4.10	Open	
FlowHydrant-1	540.47	0.00	-88.39	Open Pu	mp
FlowHydrant-2	209.53	0.00	-106.87	Open Pu	np

# **PUBLIC UTILITY AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS FOR** ELEANOR VILLAGE SUBDIVISION **ASHLAND CITY** CHEATHAM COUNTY, TENNESSEE (MAP 55E, GR A, PAR 15 / CURRENTLY ZONED R-3)

EMA NOTE:			]
THE PROPERTY IS LOCATED IN ARE OUTSIDE OF THE 0.2% ANNUAL RIS COMMUNITY PANEL:	EAS DESIGNATED AS "ZONE X 3K FLOODPLAIN) AS NOTED C	" (AREAS TO BE DETERMINED ON THE CURRENT FEMA	
MAP NUMBER: 47021C01	70D EFFECTIVE DA	TE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2010	
			-
ATER AND SEWER AS-B	<u>UILT NOTE:</u>		
IE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE T ALED BY A TENNESSEE REGISTERED NGLES BETWEEN LINES, DEPTH TO TO HANGES.	HE ENGINEER WITH AS-BUILT LAND SURVEYOR INCLUDING OP OF PIPE, AND REFLECT AL	SURVEY INFORMATION G THE ACTUAL FIELD L ALIGNMENT AND GRADE	
IE DEVELOPER'S ENGINEER SHALL P OIST ERASABLE CRONAFLEX MYLAI RAWINGS ON K & E PLAT 1 HERCUL ONSTRUCTION AND THEY SHALL IN ACTUAL FIELD ANGLES BETWEEN THE DEPTH TO TOP OF THE END ( REFLECT ALL ALIGNMENT AND ( IIS ITEM MUST BE SUBMITTED PRIOR TO THE PUBLIC SYSTEM AND CONN	ROVIDE A COMPLETE SET OF R REPRODUCTIONS IN REVER ENE DRAFTING FILM OR EQU CLUDE: V LINES OF THE SERVICE LINE FRADE CHANGES. TO ACCEPTANCE OF THE SEV VECTION BEING MADE THERE	RECORD DRAWINGS ON SE, OR THE ORIGINAL IAL, UPON COMPLETION OF WERS AND WATER MAIN TO.	
			-
TORMWATER AS-BUILT N	<u>IOTE:</u>		
IE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE T TALED BY A TENNESSEE REGISTERED NNESSEE STATE PLANE NAD83 HOR	HE ENGINEER WITH AS-BUILT LAND SURVEYOR IN DWG FI NZONTAL DATUM AND USGS	SURVEY INFORMATION LE FORMAT ASSOCIATED TO NAVD88 VERTICAL DATUM.	
E SURVEY INFORMATION SHALL ING FINAL SPOT SHOTS AND TOPOG DETENTION BASIN TOP OF BERM PIPE INVERTS, SIZES, TYPES, AND	CLUDE: RAPHY FOR DETENTION BASII & OVERFLOW ELEVATIONS SLOPES	n volumes	
			_
DA NOTES:			
ALL CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES SH AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES AC DESIGN ADOPTED BY METRO. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ASSURE CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT SHAL AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES AC DESIGN.	HALL BE COMPLETED IN FULL T (ADA), 2010 ADA STANDAH THAT ALL SERVICES PROVIDE LL BE COMPLETED IN FULL CC T (ADA), 2010 DESIGN STANE	COMPLIANCE WITH THE RDS FOR ACCESSIBILITY ED UNDER THE DMPLIANCE WITH THE DARDS FOR ACCESSIBLE	
			-
DEC CGP NOTE:			
EREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PROJECT RMIT. THE TOTAL DISTURBED AREA	<sup>-</sup> DOES <u>NOT</u> REQUIRE COVER IS: <u>0.86 ACRES</u> .	AGE UNDER A TENNESSEE CC	INSTRUCTION GENERAL
HECK ALL THAT APPLY: THIS S IMPAIRED FOR SILTATION _ MIF	SITE DISCHARGES INTO WATE PAIRED FOR HABITAT ALT	RS IDENTIFIED BY TDEC AS: ERATION C EXCEPTION 12/20/2019	AL
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGI	STERED IN THE STATE OF TENN	NESSEE DATE	
RCLE ONE:	DEVELOPER	PROJECT ENGI	NEER OTHER



	_
Y	(
ථ	
R4	
	4

SITE LOCATION MAP (NOT TO SCALE)





CHK:

CHK:

CHK:

CHK:

DRW: DS

DESCRIPTION:

DESCRIPTION:

DESCRIPTION:

DESCRIPTION:

DRW:

Datf

DRW:

DRW:

ssued for permit

SHEET LIST TA	BLE
SHEET NUMBER	SHEE

EET NUMBERSHEET TITLEC-0.0COVER SHEETC-0.1EXISTING CONDITIONSC-1.0OVERALL GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLANC-1.1ENLARGED GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLANC-1.2GRADING AND DRAIANGE DETAILS AND NOTESC-2.0PHASED EPSC PLAN - INITIAL CONDITIONSC-2.1PHASED EPSC PLAN - INTERM. & FINAL CONDITIONSC-2.2EPSC DETAILS AND NOTESC-3.0OVERALL SEWER PLANC-3.1ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN AC-3.2ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN BC-3.3SANITARY SEWER DETAILS AND NOTESC-4.0OVERALL WATER PLAN AND NOTESC-4.1ENLARGED WATER PLANC-4.2PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILSD-1.0EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBITD-1.1PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT		
<ul> <li>C-0.0 COVER SHEET</li> <li>C-0.1 EXISTING CONDITIONS</li> <li>C-1.0 OVERALL GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN</li> <li>C-1.1 ENLARGED GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN</li> <li>C-1.2 GRADING AND DRAIANGE DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-2.0 PHASED EPSC PLAN - INITIAL CONDITIONS</li> <li>C-2.1 PHASED EPSC PLAN - INTERM. &amp; FINAL CONDITIONS</li> <li>C-2.2 EPSC DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-3.0 OVERALL SEWER PLAN</li> <li>C-3.1 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN A</li> <li>C-3.2 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN B</li> <li>C-3.3 SANITARY SEWER DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.0 OVERALL WATER PLAN AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.1 ENLARGED WATER PLAN</li> <li>C-4.2 PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS</li> <li>D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> <li>D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	EET NUMBER	SHEET TITLE
<ul> <li>C-0.1 EXISTING CONDITIONS</li> <li>C-1.0 OVERALL GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN</li> <li>C-1.1 ENLARGED GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN</li> <li>C-1.2 GRADING AND DRAIANGE DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-2.0 PHASED EPSC PLAN - INITIAL CONDITIONS</li> <li>C-2.1 PHASED EPSC PLAN - INTERM. &amp; FINAL CONDITIONS</li> <li>C-2.2 EPSC DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-3.0 OVERALL SEWER PLAN</li> <li>C-3.1 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN A</li> <li>C-3.2 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN B</li> <li>C-3.3 SANITARY SEWER DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.0 OVERALL WATER PLAN AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.1 ENLARGED WATER PLAN</li> <li>C-4.2 PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS</li> <li>D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> <li>D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	C-0.0	COVER SHEET
<ul> <li>C-1.0 OVERALL GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN</li> <li>C-1.1 ENLARGED GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN</li> <li>C-1.2 GRADING AND DRAIANGE DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-2.0 PHASED EPSC PLAN - INITIAL CONDITIONS</li> <li>C-2.1 PHASED EPSC PLAN - INTERM. &amp; FINAL CONDITIONS</li> <li>C-2.2 EPSC DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-3.0 OVERALL SEWER PLAN</li> <li>C-3.1 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN A</li> <li>C-3.2 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN B</li> <li>C-3.3 SANITARY SEWER DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.0 OVERALL WATER PLAN AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.1 ENLARGED WATER PLAN</li> <li>C-4.2 PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS</li> <li>D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> <li>D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	C-0.1	EXISTING CONDITIONS
<ul> <li>C-1.1 ENLARGED GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN</li> <li>C-1.2 GRADING AND DRAIANGE DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-2.0 PHASED EPSC PLAN - INITIAL CONDITIONS</li> <li>C-2.1 PHASED EPSC PLAN - INTERM. &amp; FINAL CONDITIONS</li> <li>C-2.2 EPSC DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-3.0 OVERALL SEWER PLAN</li> <li>C-3.1 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN A</li> <li>C-3.2 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN B</li> <li>C-3.3 SANITARY SEWER DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.0 OVERALL WATER PLAN AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.1 ENLARGED WATER PLAN</li> <li>C-4.2 PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS</li> <li>D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> <li>D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	C-1.0	OVERALL GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN
<ul> <li>C-1.2 GRADING AND DRAIANGE DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-2.0 PHASED EPSC PLAN - INITIAL CONDITIONS</li> <li>C-2.1 PHASED EPSC PLAN - INTERM. &amp; FINAL CONDITIONS</li> <li>C-2.2 EPSC DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-3.0 OVERALL SEWER PLAN</li> <li>C-3.1 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN A</li> <li>C-3.2 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN B</li> <li>C-3.3 SANITARY SEWER DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.0 OVERALL WATER PLAN AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.1 ENLARGED WATER PLAN</li> <li>C-4.2 PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS</li> <li>D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> <li>D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	C-1.1	ENLARGED GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN
<ul> <li>C-2.0 PHASED EPSC PLAN - INITIAL CONDITIONS</li> <li>C-2.1 PHASED EPSC PLAN - INTERM. &amp; FINAL CONDITIONS</li> <li>C-2.2 EPSC DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-3.0 OVERALL SEWER PLAN</li> <li>C-3.1 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN A</li> <li>C-3.2 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN B</li> <li>C-3.3 SANITARY SEWER DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.0 OVERALL WATER PLAN AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.1 ENLARGED WATER PLAN</li> <li>C-4.2 PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS</li> <li>D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> <li>D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	C-1.2	GRADING AND DRAIANGE DETAILS AND NOTES
<ul> <li>C-2.1 PHASED EPSC PLAN - INTERM. &amp; FINAL CONDITIONS</li> <li>C-2.2 EPSC DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-3.0 OVERALL SEWER PLAN</li> <li>C-3.1 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN -LPS MAIN A</li> <li>C-3.2 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN B</li> <li>C-3.3 SANITARY SEWER DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.0 OVERALL WATER PLAN AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.1 ENLARGED WATER PLAN</li> <li>C-4.2 PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS</li> <li>D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> <li>D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	C-2.0	PHASED EPSC PLAN - INITIAL CONDITIONS
<ul> <li>C-2.2 EPSC DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-3.0 OVERALL SEWER PLAN</li> <li>C-3.1 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN -LPS MAIN A</li> <li>C-3.2 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN B</li> <li>C-3.3 SANITARY SEWER DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.0 OVERALL WATER PLAN AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.1 ENLARGED WATER PLAN</li> <li>C-4.2 PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS</li> <li>D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> <li>D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	C-2.1	PHASED EPSC PLAN - INTERM. & FINAL CONDITIONS
<ul> <li>C-3.0 OVERALL SEWER PLAN</li> <li>C-3.1 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN -LPS MAIN A</li> <li>C-3.2 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN B</li> <li>C-3.3 SANITARY SEWER DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.0 OVERALL WATER PLAN AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.1 ENLARGED WATER PLAN</li> <li>C-4.2 PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS</li> <li>D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> <li>D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	C-2.2	EPSC DETAILS AND NOTES
<ul> <li>C-3.1 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN -LPS MAIN A</li> <li>C-3.2 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN B</li> <li>C-3.3 SANITARY SEWER DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.0 OVERALL WATER PLAN AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.1 ENLARGED WATER PLAN</li> <li>C-4.2 PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS</li> <li>D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> <li>D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	C-3.0	OVERALL SEWER PLAN
<ul> <li>C-3.2 ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN B</li> <li>C-3.3 SANITARY SEWER DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.0 OVERALL WATER PLAN AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.1 ENLARGED WATER PLAN</li> <li>C-4.2 PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS</li> <li>D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> <li>D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	C-3.1	ENLARGED SEWER PLAN -LPS MAIN A
<ul> <li>C-3.3 SANITARY SEWER DETAILS AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.0 OVERALL WATER PLAN AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.1 ENLARGED WATER PLAN</li> <li>C-4.2 PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS</li> <li>D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> <li>D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	C-3.2	ENLARGED SEWER PLAN - LPS MAIN B
<ul> <li>C-4.0 OVERALL WATER PLAN AND NOTES</li> <li>C-4.1 ENLARGED WATER PLAN</li> <li>C-4.2 PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS</li> <li>D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> <li>D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	C-3.3	SANITARY SEWER DETAILS AND NOTES
<ul> <li>C-4.1 ENLARGED WATER PLAN</li> <li>C-4.2 PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS</li> <li>D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> <li>D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	C-4.0	OVERALL WATER PLAN AND NOTES
<ul> <li>C-4.2 PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS</li> <li>D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> <li>D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	C-4.1	ENLARGED WATER PLAN
D-1.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT	C-4.2	PUBLIC WATER LINE DETAILS
D-1.1 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT	D-1.0	EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT
	D-1.1	PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE EXHIBIT

**DEVELOPER INFORMATION:** 

DAKOTA WIND PROPERTIES, LLC 1152 DUNCANWOOD DRIVE NASHVILLE, TN 37204

**CIVIL ENGINEER INFORMATION:** 

HARPETH CIVIL, INC. 179 BELLE FOREST CIRCLE, SUITE 204E NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE, 37221 615-730-3502

### LAND SURVEYOR INFORMATION CHANDLER SURVEYING

3421 COOPER NICHOLSON ROAD PLEASANT VIEW, TN. 37146 615-746-5900

Know what's Delow. Call before you dig







Lot Description	Sq. Feet	Acres
1	33271.5	0.76
2	26590.7	0.61
3	19718.0	0.45
4	14297.2	0.33
5	15679.3	0.36
6	43052.4	0.99
7	26579.0	0.61
8	19061.0	0.44
9	25917.7	0.59
10	20372.4	0.47
11	22385.9	0.51
12	28727.5	0.66
13	35504.9	0.82
OPEN AREA	23691.6	0.54





S 85°54'41" E 332.71' 246.72 N 85°54'41" W ည က 80 **RIP-RAP INLET PROTECTION**, TDOT CLASS A, D50 = 9 INCHES SEE DETAIL SHEET C-1.2 100STREET FORREST



# **GENERAL GRADING NOTES:**

- 1. ALL UNSUITABLE SUBSURFACE MATERIAL IS TO BE EXCAVATED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS OR THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE CONTRACTORS TENNESSEE REGISTERED GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER. UNSUITABLE MATERIAL IS TO BE STOCKPILED, REMOVED, AND PROPERLY DISPOSED OF OFF-SITE. EXCAVATED AREAS ARE TO BE BACK FILLED WITH APPROVED MATERIALS AND COMPACTED AS INDICATED ON THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS OR THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE CONTRACTORS GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER.
- 2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT STOCK PILE DEBRIS AND/OR SOIL NEAR ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS (I.E. STREAM BUFFERS, WETLANDS, AREAS OF EXCESSIVE SLOPE, PROTECTED TREES OR THEIR RESPECTIVE CANOPY DRIP LINES, ETC...).
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING TRENCH EXCAVATIONS AGAINST COLLAPSE AND SHALL PROVIDE BRACING, SHEETING, OR SHORING WHERE NECESSARY. DEWATERING METHODS SHALL BE USED AS REQUIRED TO KEEP TRENCHES DRY WHILE PIPE AND APPURTENANCES ARE BEING INSTALLED.
- 4. ALL NECESSARY FILL AND EMBANKMENT THAT IS PLACED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONSIST OF MATERIAL SPECIFIED BY THE CONTRACTORS TENNESSEE REGISTERED GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ENSURING THAT ALL CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES, WHICH INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO EARTHWORK ACTIVITIES, SUB GRADE PREPARATION, ETC. CONFORM TO THE STRICTER OF THE GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS OR TDOT (TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION) STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION (LATEST EDITION).
- 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE OWNER AND ENGINEER OF RECORD IMMEDIATELY IF UNSUITABLE SOIL IS ENCOUNTERED DURING EXCAVATION. UNSUITABLE SOIL SHALL NOT BE USED ON-SITE WITHOUT A WRITTEN RECOMMENDATION BY THE CONTRACTORS TENNESSEE REGISTERED GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER AND CONSENT GRANTED IN WRITING BY THE OWNER AND THE ENGINEER OF RECORD.
- 6. REFER TO THE PROJECT EPSC (EROSION PREVENTION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLANS) PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.
- 7. ALL MATERIALS SHALL CONFORM TO TDOT STANDARDS.
- 8. PROPOSED SPOT ELEVATIONS REPRESENT FINISHED PAVEMENT OR GROUND SURFACE GRADE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL STABILIZE ALL DISTURBED GROUND BY SEEDING / MULCHING, SODDING, OR OTHER APPROVED MATERIAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH TDEC (TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND CONSERVATION) VOLUME 4 HANDBOOK. DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE STABILIZED WITHIN ONE WEEK (OR SOONER) FOLLOWING CONSTRUCTION OF THE UNDERLYING ACTIVITY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN SUCH AREAS BY REPAIRING AND WATER SOD OR SEEDED AREAS UNTIL THE AREA IS STABLE AND EROSION FREE.
- 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE ALL NECESSARY MEASURES TO PREVENT EROSION. ANY DAMAGE FROM FAILURE TO ADEQUATELY STABILIZE, PROTECT, AND MAINTAIN THESE AREAS SHALL BE THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR. NEITHER THE ENGINEER OF RECORD OR THE OWNER ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MEANS AND METHODS OF ADEQUATELY STABILIZING THE PROJECT.
- 11. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT ALTER THE EXISTING HISTORICAL DRAINAGE PATTERNS IN REGARDS TO THE EXISTING PAVING CROSS SECTIONS, SIDEWALKS AND GRASS SWALES ON OR ADJACENT TO THE PROJECT UNLESS OTHERWISE DEPICTED ON THE CONSTRUCTIONS PLANS OR AS REQUIRED BY LOCAL AGENCIES.
- 12. ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO WATER MAINS AND SERVICES, SEWER MAINS AND SERVICES, GAS, POWER, CONDUIT, DATA / COMMUNICATIONS, ETC... SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO PAVEMENT CONSTRUCTION. CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE INSTALLATION OF ANY ADDITIONAL CONDUIT LOCATIONS WITH THE OWNER.
- 13. ALL MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MORE STRICT LOCAL AGENCY OR TDOT STANDARD AND specifications.
- 14. JOINTS OF THE STORM SEWER SHALL BE STAGGERED FOR CROSSINGS OF THE SANITARY SEWER WITH LESS THAN 18" VERTICAL CLEARANCE SO THAT PIPE BELL IS NOT LOCATED AT THE CROSSING.







# **EROSION PREVENTION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES:**

- 1. EROSION PREVENTION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES TO BE SELECTED, INSTALLED, AND MAINTAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND CONSERVATION (TDEC) EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL HANDBOOK, LATEST EDITION.
- 2. SITE EROSION CONTROLS SHALL BE CHECKED DAILY AND WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER EACH RAINFALL EVENT GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 0.5 INCHES OF CONTINUOUS RAINFALL. EROSION CONTROLS SHALL BE REPAIRED IMMEDIATELY.
- 3. ANY OFF-SITE SEDIMENT ACCUMULATIONS SHALL BE REMOVED DAILY. IF OFF-SITE ACCUMULATIONS OCCUR ON ADJACENT PRIVATE PROPERTY, IT SHALL BE IMMEDIATELY REMOVED BY METHODS AGREED UPON BY THE CONTRACTOR AND ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNER.
- 4. STABILIZED ALL DISTURBED AREAS WITHIN 14 DAYS AND STEEP SLOPES EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN 3:1 WITHIN 7 DAYS.
- 5. CONTROL OF OTHER SITE WASTE SUCH AS DISCARDED BUILDING MATERIALS, CHEMICALS, LITTER, AND SANITARY WASTES THAT MAY CAUSE ADVERSE IMPACTS TO WATER QUALITY IS ALSO REQUIRED.
- 6. INLET PROTECTION TO BE REMOVED AFTER FINAL SITE STABILIZATION.
- 7. AN ON-SITE COPY OF THE EPSC PLANS SHALL BE KEPT CURRENT AND AVAILABLE TO THOSE RESPONSIBLE FOR EROSION PREVENTION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AND INSPECTION.
- 8. FOR PROJECTS REQUIRING COVERAGE UNDER THE TENNESSEE CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PERMIT (CGP), A COPY OF THE NOI, SWPPP, AND NOC SHALL BE AVAILABLE TO THOSE RESPONSIBLE FOR EROSION PREVENTION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AND INSPECTIONS. THE NOC AND TRACKING NUMBER SHALL BE POSTED AT THE ENTRANCE OF THE SITE.
- 9. THE INITIAL CONDITIONS EPSC MEASURES AND/OR SWPPP MUST BE IMPLEMENTED, INSTALLED, AND INSPECTED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.
- 10. DISCHARGES FROM DEWATERING ACTIVITIES, IF NECESSARY, SHALL BE MANAGED WITH APPROPRIATE CONTROLS AS REQUIRED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL WORK WITH THE INSPECTOR FROM THE LOCAL AUTHORITY FOR PROPER MANAGEMENT PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF DEWATERING ACTIVITIES.
- 11. ALL EXISTING AND NEWLY CONSTRUCTED DRAINAGE STRUCTURES, PIPES, SWALES, AND RIP RAP SHALL HAVE ALL SEDIMENT REMOVED AND PROPERLY DISPOSED OF OFF-SITE UPON PROJECT STABILIZATION. THIS WILL BE REQUIRED PRIOR TO THE ACCEPTANCE OF FINAL CONSTRUCTION.
- 12. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE CLEANED WITH AT APPROXIMATELY 50% CAPACITY OR AS DIRECTED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY OR TDEC EROSION PREVENTION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL HANDBOOK, LATEST EDITION. THE MOST STRINGENT REQUIREMENT SHALL APPLY.
- 13. THE DESIGNATED PLACEMENT OF EPSC MEASURES SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADJUSTMENT BY THE SITE EPSC INSPECTOR. ADJUSTMENTS SHALL BE RECORDED IN THE ON-SITE SET OF EPSC PLANS.

TOTAL HYDROLOGICALLY DISTURBED AREA = 0.86 Ac.





# **EROSION PREVENTION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES:**

- 1. EROSION PREVENTION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES TO BE SELECTED, INSTALLED, AND MAINTAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND CONSERVATION (TDEC) EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL HANDBOOK, LATEST EDITION.
- 2. SITE EROSION CONTROLS SHALL BE CHECKED DAILY AND WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER EACH RAINFALL EVENT GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 0.5 INCHES OF CONTINUOUS RAINFALL. EROSION CONTROLS SHALL BE REPAIRED IMMEDIATELY.
- 3. ANY OFF-SITE SEDIMENT ACCUMULATIONS SHALL BE REMOVED DAILY. IF OFF-SITE ACCUMULATIONS OCCUR ON ADJACENT PRIVATE PROPERTY, IT SHALL BE IMMEDIATELY REMOVED BY METHODS AGREED UPON BY THE CONTRACTOR AND ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNER.
- 4. STABILIZED ALL DISTURBED AREAS WITHIN 14 DAYS AND STEEP SLOPES EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN 3:1 WITHIN 7 DAYS.
- 5. CONTROL OF OTHER SITE WASTE SUCH AS DISCARDED BUILDING MATERIALS, CHEMICALS, LITTER, AND SANITARY WASTES THAT MAY CAUSE ADVERSE IMPACTS TO WATER QUALITY IS ALSO REQUIRED.
- 6. INLET PROTECTION TO BE REMOVED AFTER FINAL SITE STABILIZATION.
- 7. AN ON-SITE COPY OF THE EPSC PLANS SHALL BE KEPT CURRENT AND AVAILABLE TO THOSE RESPONSIBLE FOR EROSION PREVENTION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AND INSPECTION.
- 8. FOR PROJECTS REQUIRING COVERAGE UNDER THE TENNESSEE CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PERMIT (CGP), A COPY OF THE NOI, SWPPP, AND NOC SHALL BE AVAILABLE TO THOSE RESPONSIBLE FOR EROSION PREVENTION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AND INSPECTIONS. THE NOC AND TRACKING NUMBER SHALL BE POSTED AT THE ENTRANCE OF THE SITE.
- 9. THE INITIAL CONDITIONS EPSC MEASURES AND/OR SWPPP MUST BE IMPLEMENTED, INSTALLED, AND INSPECTED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.
- 10. DISCHARGES FROM DEWATERING ACTIVITIES, IF NECESSARY, SHALL BE MANAGED WITH APPROPRIATE CONTROLS AS REQUIRED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL WORK WITH THE INSPECTOR FROM THE LOCAL AUTHORITY FOR PROPER MANAGEMENT PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF DEWATERING ACTIVITIES.
- 11. ALL EXISTING AND NEWLY CONSTRUCTED DRAINAGE STRUCTURES, PIPES, SWALES, AND RIP RAP SHALL HAVE ALL SEDIMENT REMOVED AND PROPERLY DISPOSED OF OFF-SITE UPON PROJECT STABILIZATION. THIS WILL BE REQUIRED PRIOR TO THE ACCEPTANCE OF FINAL CONSTRUCTION.
- 12. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE CLEANED WITH AT APPROXIMATELY 50% CAPACITY OR AS DIRECTED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY OR TDEC EROSION PREVENTION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL HANDBOOK, LATEST EDITION. THE MOST STRINGENT REQUIREMENT SHALL APPLY.
- 13. THE DESIGNATED PLACEMENT OF EPSC MEASURES SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADJUSTMENT BY THE SITE EPSC INSPECTOR. ADJUSTMENTS SHALL BE RECORDED IN THE ON-SITE SET OF EPSC PLANS.

TOTAL HYDROLOGICALLY DISTURBED AREA = 0.86 Ac.

NO UTILITIES ON GALLAHER STREET PER ASHLAND CITY

GALLAHER









- AND SEDIMENT CONTROL HANDBOOK, LATEST EDITION.
- GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 0.5 INCHES OF CONTINUOUS RAINFALL. EROSION CONTROLS SHALL BE
- CONTRACTOR AND ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNER.
- 7 DAYS.
- SANITARY WASTES THAT MAY CAUSE ADVERSE IMPACTS TO WATER QUALITY IS ALSO REQUIRED.
- EROSION PREVENTION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AND INSPECTION.
- AT THE ENTRANCE OF THE SITE.
- BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.
- CONTROLS AS REQUIRED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL WORK WITH THE INSPECTOR activities.
- REQUIRED PRIOR TO THE ACCEPTANCE OF FINAL CONSTRUCTION.
- BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY OR TDEC EROSION PREVENTION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL HANDBOOK, LATEST EDITION. THE MOST STRINGENT REQUIREMENT SHALL APPLY.











# STANDARD WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM DETAILS

THE TOWN OF ASHLAND CITY, TENNESSEE

# ASHLAND CITY UTILITY NOTES:

- 1. ALL APPLICABLE FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS, MUNICIPAL ORDINANCES, AND THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF ALL AUTHORITIES HAVING JURISDICTION OVER CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT SHALL APPLY TO THE CONSTRUCTION THROUGHOUT.
- 2. SIZES AND LOCATIONS OF ALL WATER AND SEWER LINES AND APPURTENANCES, AND ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE TOWN.
- 3. PERMITS FOR PAVEMENTS CUTS OR CROSSINGS OF PUBLIC ROADS, INCLUDING ANY SPECIAL BACKFILL AND PAVEMENT REPAIR REQUIRED BY THE AGENCY HAVING JURISDICTION, ARE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DEVELOPER. A BOND MAY BE REQUIRED FROM THE DEVELOPER TO COVER ALL COSTS OF REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE FOR A PERIOD OF ONE (1) YEAR FROM THE DATE OF ACCEPTANCE OF THE PROJECT FOR ALL WORK PERFORMED IN EXISTING RIGHT-OF-WAYS OF ALL ROAD.
- 4. IF CONSTRUCTION HAS NOT STARTED WITHIN ONE (1) YEAR FROM THE DATE OF APPROVAL, UTILITY PLANS SHALL BE RESUBMITTED TO RENEW APPROVAL. RENEWAL IS NOT GUARANTEED.
- 5. THE CONTRACTORS NAME, PROJECT COST, AND ESTIMATING WORKING TIME FOR EACH PROJECT SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE TOWN. THE TOWN WILL BE REIMBURSED FOR EACH DAY THAT AN INSPECTOR IS REQUIRED ON THE JOB SITE UNTIL COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT.
- 6. LABORATORY TEST REPORTS SHALL BE PROVIDED ON ALL PIPE TO ASSURE THAT IT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE TOWN'S SPECIFICATIONS.
- 7. SHOP DRAWINGS FOR UTILITY MATERIALS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE TOWN OF ASHLAND CITY FOR REVIEW AFTER BEING THOROUGHLY CHECKED BY THE CONTRACTOR AND STAMPED WITH HIS APPROVAL.
- THE TOWN RESERVES THE RIGHT TO RELOCATE WATER AND SEWER LINES ON THE CONSTRUCTION PLANS TO FACILITATE MAINTENANCE.
- 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATING AND VERIFYING THE ELEVATIONS OF EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A SET OF CONSTRUCTION CUT SHEETS TO THE PRECONSTRUCTION MEETING AND THE CUT SHEETS SHALL INCLUDE THE STATIONS OF ALL PROPOSED SERVICE CONNECTIONS.

# **GENERAL UTILITY NOTES:**

- 1. THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY PROTECTIVE MEASURES TO SAFEGUARD EXISTING UTILITIES FROM DAMAGE DURING THE CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT. IN THE EVENT THAT SPECIAL EQUIPMENT IS REQUIRED TO WORK OVER OR AROUND THE EXISTING UTILITIES, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE SUCH EQUIPMENT. THE COST OF PROTECTING UTILITIES FROM DAMAGE AND FURNISHING OF ANY REQUIRED SPECIAL EQUIPMENT WILL BE INCLUDED IN THE PRICE BID FOR OTHER ITEMS OF CONSTRUCTION. 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY EACH INDIVIDUAL UTILITY OWNER OF HIS PLAN OF OPERATION IN THE AREA OF THEIR RESPECTIVE UTILITIES. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT EACH UTILITY OWNERS AND REQUEST THEM TO PROPERLY LOCATE THEIR RESPECTIVE UTILITIES ON THE GROUND. THIS NOTIFICATION SHALL BE GIVEN AT LEAST THREE (3) BUSINESS DAYS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF OPERATIONS AROUND THE UTILITY.
- EXISTING UTILITY LINES SHOWN ARE APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS ONLY AND SHALL BE FIELD VERIFIED PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION. IF UPON FIELD LOCATION, ANY DEVIATIONS FROM THE SHOWN DESIGN LOCATIONS SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE OWNER OR ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY EACH INDIVIDUAL UTILITY OWNER OF HIS PLAN OF OPERATION IN THE AREA OF THEIR RESPECTIVE UTILITIES. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT EACH UTILITY OWNERS AND REQUEST THEM TO PROPERLY LOCATE THEIR RESPECTIVE UTILITIES ON THE GROUND. THIS NOTIFICATION SHALL BE GIVEN AT LEAST THREE (3) BUSINESS DAYS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF OPERATIONS AROUND THE UTILITY.
- ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES (WATER, SANITARY SEWER, STORM SEWER, ELECTRICAL CONDUITS, IRRIGATION SLEEVES, ETC..) SHALL BE IN PLACE PRIOR TO THE PLACEMENT OF ALL BASE COURSE MATERIAL.
- 5. THE UTILITY CONTRACTOR SHALL BE HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL TAP AND TIE-IN FEES REQUIRED, AS WELL AS COST OF THE UNDERGROUND SERVICE CONNECTIONS TO THEIR FACILITIES.
- 6. THOSE UTILITY OWNERS WHO PARTICIPATE IN THE "TENNESSEE ONE CALL" SYSTEM CAN BE NOTIFIED TOLL FREE AT 1-800-351-1111.
- ALL SEWER AND WATER CONNECTIONS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS OUTLINED BY THE TOWN OF ASHLAND CITY STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND INSTALLATION GUIDELINES FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE (LATEST EDITION).
- 8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR REIMBURSING THE TOWN OF ASHLAND CITY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS FOR THE COST OF INSPECTION.
- 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE TOWN OF ASHLAND CITY PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT AND ARRANGE INSPECTION PRIOR TO BEGINNING.
- 10. COORDINATES AND DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE TO CENTERLINE OF PIPE, OR FITTING, OR TO CENTERLINE OF MANHOLE.
- 11. THE MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPARATION BETWEEN THE CLOSEST TWO POINTS OF THE WATER AND SEWER LINES SHALL BE 10'. THE MINIMUM VERTICAL SEPARATION BETWEEN THE CLOSEST TWO POINTS OF THE WATER AND SEWER LINES SHALL BE 18".











# ASHLAND CITY UTILITY NOTES:

- 1. ALL APPLICABLE FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS, MUNICIPAL ORDINANCES, AND THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF ALL AUTHORITIES HAVING JURISDICTION OVER CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT SHALL APPLY TO THE CONSTRUCTION THROUGHOUT.
- 2. SIZES AND LOCATIONS OF ALL WATER AND SEWER LINES AND APPURTENANCES, AND ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE TOWN.
- 3. PERMITS FOR PAVEMENTS CUTS OR CROSSINGS OF PUBLIC ROADS, INCLUDING ANY SPECIAL BACKFILL AND PAVEMENT REPAIR REQUIRED BY THE AGENCY HAVING JURISDICTION, ARE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DEVELOPER. A BOND MAY BE REQUIRED FROM THE DEVELOPER TO COVER ALL COSTS OF REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE FOR A PERIOD OF ONE (1) YEAR FROM THE DATE OF ACCEPTANCE OF THE PROJECT FOR ALL WORK PERFORMED IN EXISTING RIGHT-OF-WAYS OF ALL ROAD.
- 4. IF CONSTRUCTION HAS NOT STARTED WITHIN ONE (1) YEAR FROM THE DATE OF APPROVAL, UTILITY PLANS SHALL BE RESUBMITTED TO RENEW APPROVAL. RENEWAL IS NOT GUARANTEED.
- 5. THE CONTRACTORS NAME, PROJECT COST, AND ESTIMATING WORKING TIME FOR EACH PROJECT SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE TOWN. THE TOWN WILL BE REIMBURSED FOR EACH DAY THAT AN INSPECTOR IS REQUIRED ON THE JOB SITE UNTIL COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT.
- 6. LABORATORY TEST REPORTS SHALL BE PROVIDED ON ALL PIPE TO ASSURE THAT IT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE TOWN'S SPECIFICATIONS.
- 7. SHOP DRAWINGS FOR UTILITY MATERIALS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE TOWN OF ASHLAND CITY FOR REVIEW AFTER BEING THOROUGHLY CHECKED BY THE CONTRACTOR AND STAMPED WITH HIS APPROVAL.
- 8. THE TOWN RESERVES THE RIGHT TO RELOCATE WATER AND SEWER LINES ON THE CONSTRUCTION PLANS TO FACILITATE MAINTENANCE.
- 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATING AND VERIFYING THE ELEVATIONS OF EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A SET OF CONSTRUCTION CUT SHEETS TO THE PRECONSTRUCTION MEETING AND THE CUT SHEETS SHALL INCLUDE THE STATIONS OF ALL PROPOSED SERVICE CONNECTIONS.

# **GENERAL UTILITY NOTES:**

- THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY PROTECTIVE MEASURES TO SAFEGUARD EXISTING UTILITIES FROM DAMAGE DURING THE CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT. IN THE EVENT THAT SPECIAL EQUIPMENT IS REQUIRED TO WORK OVER OR AROUND THE EXISTING UTILITIES, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE SUCH EQUIPMENT. THE COST OF PROTECTING UTILITIES FROM DAMAGE AND FURNISHING OF ANY REQUIRED SPECIAL EQUIPMENT WILL BE INCLUDED IN THE PRICE BID FOR OTHER ITEMS OF CONSTRUCTION. 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY EACH INDIVIDUAL UTILITY OWNER OF HIS PLAN OF OPERATION IN THE AREA OF THEIR RESPECTIVE UTILITIES. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT EACH UTILITY OWNERS AND REQUEST THEM TO PROPERLY LOCATE THEIR RESPECTIVE UTILITIES ON THE GROUND. THIS NOTIFICATION SHALL BE GIVEN AT LEAST THREE (3) BUSINESS DAYS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF OPERATIONS AROUND THE UTILITY.
- EXISTING UTILITY LINES SHOWN ARE APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS ONLY AND SHALL BE FIELD VERIFIED PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION. IF UPON FIELD LOCATION, ANY DEVIATIONS FROM THE SHOWN DESIGN LOCATIONS SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE OWNER OR ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY EACH INDIVIDUAL UTILITY OWNER OF HIS PLAN OF OPERATION IN THE AREA OF THEIR RESPECTIVE UTILITIES. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT EACH UTILITY OWNERS AND REQUEST THEM TO PROPERLY LOCATE THEIR RESPECTIVE UTILITIES ON THE GROUND. THIS NOTIFICATION SHALL BE GIVEN AT LEAST THREE (3) BUSINESS DAYS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF OPERATIONS AROUND THE UTILITY.
- 4. ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES (WATER, SANITARY SEWER, STORM SEWER, ELECTRICAL CONDUITS, IRRIGATION SLEEVES, ETC...) SHALL BE IN PLACE PRIOR TO THE PLACEMENT OF ALL BASE COURSE MATERIAL
- THE UTILITY CONTRACTOR SHALL BE HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL TAP AND TIE-IN FEES REQUIRED, AS WELL AS COST OF THE UNDERGROUND SERVICE CONNECTIONS TO THEIR FACILITIES.
- 6. THOSE UTILITY OWNERS WHO PARTICIPATE IN THE "TENNESSEE ONE CALL" SYSTEM CAN BE NOTIFIED TOLL FREE AT 1-800-351-1111.
- 7. ALL SEWER AND WATER CONNECTIONS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS OUTLINED BY THE TOWN OF ASHLAND CITY STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND INSTALLATION GUIDELINES FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE (LATEST EDITION).
- 8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR REIMBURSING THE TOWN OF ASHLAND CITY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS FOR THE COST OF INSPECTION.
- 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE TOWN OF ASHLAND CITY PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT AND ARRANGE INSPECTION PRIOR TO BEGINNING.
- 10. COORDINATES AND DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE TO CENTERLINE OF PIPE, OR FITTING, OR TO CENTERLINE OF MANHOLE.
- 11. THE MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPARATION BETWEEN THE CLOSEST TWO POINTS OF THE WATER AND SEWER LINES SHALL BE 10'. THE MINIMUM VERTICAL SEPARATION BETWEEN THE CLOSEST TWO POINTS OF THE WATER AND SEWER LINES SHALL BE 18".

TEST DATE: 12/6/2019 STATIC PRESSURE = 39 PSI **RESIDUAL PRESSURE = 38 PSI** FLOW (Q) = 638 GPM FLOW (Q) @ 20 PSI = 3,133 GPM

GALLAHER STREET

PLAN SHEET C-3.1

PROPOSED LPS MAIN 'A'

SEE ENLARGED SEWER

NO UTILITIES ON GALLAHER STREET PER ASHLAND CITY

PUBLIC WORKS



SEE ENLARGED WATER PLAN -

SHEET C-4.1 FOR ADDITIONAL DETAIL

ິ IR (N

	INV 465.
NVERT 466	.85'
24" C	MP

<u>STA: 8+69</u> END CONSTRUCTION **PROPOSED 6" WATER LINE** 

a PP





![](_page_127_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_128_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_128_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_128_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_128_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_129_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_129_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_129_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_129_Picture_3.jpeg)

	DESCRIPTION	S.F.	Ac.	CN
	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG A	31,075	0.71	49
	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG B	262,428	6.02	69
	SF Res (R3 Zoning 0.25 Ac.) - HSG B	76,160	1.75	75
	SF Res (R3 Zoning 0.25 Ac.) - HSG A	11,987	0.28	61
	TOTAL	381,650	8.76	
	68			
-	6.4 minutes	TR55 (SEE HYD	RAFLOWS R	EPORT)
	DESCRIPTION	S.F.	Ac.	CN
	SF Res (R3 Zoning 0.25 Ac.) - HSG B	6,332	0.15	75
			<i>i</i>	10
	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG B	98,632	2.26	69
	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG B <b>TOTAL</b>	98,632 1 <b>04,964</b>	2.26 <b>2.41</b>	69
	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG B <b>TOTAL</b> 69	98,632 <b>104,964</b>	2.26 <b>2.41</b>	69
	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG B <b>TOTAL</b> 69 5 minutes	98,632 <b>104,964</b> TR55 (SEE HYD	2.26 <b>2.41</b> RAFLOWS R	69 Eport)
	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG B TOTAL 69 5 minutes OUTFALL 2	98,632 <b>104,964</b> TR55 (SEE HYD	2.26 <b>2.41</b> PRAFLOWS R	69 EPORT)
:	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG B TOTAL 69 5 minutes OUTFALL 2 PEAK FLOW (CFS)	98,632 <b>104,964</b> TR55 (SEE HYD	2.26 <b>2.41</b> PRAFLOWS R	69 EPORT)
	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG B TOTAL 69 5 minutes OUTFALL 2 PEAK FLOW (CFS) OUTFALL 1	98,632 <b>104,964</b> TR55 (SEE HYD OUTFALL 2	2.26 <b>2.41</b> PRAFLOWS R	69 EPORT)
	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG B TOTAL 69 5 minutes OUTFALL 2 PEAK FLOW (CFS) OUTFALL 1 12.19	98,632 104,964 TR55 (SEE HYD OUTFALL 2 3.58	2.26 <b>2.41</b> PRAFLOWS R	69 EPORT)
	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG B TOTAL 69 5 minutes OUTFALL 2 PEAK FLOW (CFS) OUTFALL 1 12.19 22.83	98,632 104,964 TR55 (SEE HYD OUTFALL 2 3.58 6.57	2.26 <b>2.41</b> RAFLOWS R	69 EPORT)
	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG B TOTAL 69 5 minutes OUTFALL 2 PEAK FLOW (CFS) OUTFALL 1 12.19 22.83 30.47	98,632 104,964 TR55 (SEE HYD OUTFALL 2 3.58 6.57 8.71	2.26 <b>2.41</b> PRAFLOWS R	69 EPORT)
	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG B TOTAL 69 5 minutes OUTFALL 2 PEAK FLOW (CFS) OUTFALL 1 12.19 22.83 30.47 40.69	98,632 104,964 TR55 (SEE HYD OUTFALL 2 3.58 6.57 8.71 11.55	2.26 <b>2.41</b> PRAFLOWS R	69 EPORT)
:	Grass, Fair Cond (Undev.) - HSG B <b>TOTAL</b> 69 5 minutes OUTFALL 2 <b>PEAK FLOW (CFS)</b> <b>DUTFALL 1</b> 12.19 22.83 30.47 40.69 48.57	98,632 104,964 TR55 (SEE HYD OUTFALL 2 3.58 6.57 8.71 11.55 13.77	2.26 <b>2.41</b> PRAFLOWS R	69 EPORT)

![](_page_130_Picture_0.jpeg)

OPOSED C	CONDITIO	NS HYDROLO	DGY					WINNING TIN OF
BBASIN P-	1 - DETEN	TION BASIN	1					
					S F	٨c	CN	Ethic the
500AKL7 SA_1		SF Res (R3)	70nina 0.25 Ac.)	- HSG B	72 113	1.66	75	0.0
54-1 64.0		SF Res (R37	onina 0.50 Ac ).	- HSG A	, ∠, i i 0 10 79∩	1.00 0 0 0	, J 5 /	12-23=2019
3A-2 SA-3		SF Res (R3)	7  oning  0.50  Ac	- HSG B	42,770	5 37	54 70	
3A-3		51 105 (107	TOTAL	-1130 D	348,900	5.37 <b>8.01</b>	70	DATE: 12/23/201 DRW: DS CHK: D
COMPOSI	TE CN =		69					DESCRIPTION: ISSUED FOR PERMIT
					TR55 (SEE			DATE:
TIME OF	CON. =		6.4 minutes			OWS		DRW: - CHK: DESCRIPTION:
OUTF	ALL ID=		OUTFALL 1					-
								DATE:
BBASIN P-	2 - BYPAS	<u>ss</u>						DESCRIPTION:
SUBAREA	A ID		DESCRIPTION		S.F.	Ac.	CN	-
SA-4	1	Public ROW	(Open Ditches)	- HSG B	32,479	0.75	89	DATE:
			TOTAL		32,479	0.75		DRW: - CHK: DESCRIPTION:
COMPOSI	TE CN =		89					-
					TR55 (SEE			DATE:
	CON. =		5 Minutes			OWS		DESCRIPTION:
OUTF	ALL ID=		OUTFALL 1		KEF OKT)			-
								X
BBASIN P-	3 - DTENT	ION BASIN 2						n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n
SUBAREA	A ID	/	DESCRIPTION		<b>S.F.</b>	Ac.	CN	1 E O
SA-5		SF Res (R3 Z	Zoning 0.25 Ac.)	- HSG B	6,500	0.15	75	N N N
SA-6		SF Res (R3 Z	Zoning 0.50 Ac.)	- HSG B	81,760	1.88	70	
			TOTAL		88,260	2.03		
COMPOSI	TE CN =		70					
					TR55 (SEE			
TIME OF	CON. =		5 minutes			OWS		
OUTF	ALL ID=		OUTFALL 2					
BBASIN P-	4 - BYPAS	<u>ss</u>						
SUBAREA	A ID		DESCRIPTION		S.F.	Ac.	CN	
SA-7		Public ROW	(Open Ditches)	- HSG B	16,722	0.38	89	
			TOTAL		16,722	0.38		RAT RA
COMPOSI	TE CN =		89					
TIME OF	CON. =		5 minutes		TR55 (SEE HYDRAFI (	DWS		
OUTF	ALL ID=		OUTFALL 2		REPORT)			
E (CFS)								<b>H</b>
OUTFAL	L 1			OUTFA	ALL 2			ه.
HARGE	BYPASS	5 TOTAL	DETENTION DIS	CHARGE	BYPAS	S	TOTAL	
	2.78	12.09	2.06		1.41		3.47	
	3.98	20.06	3.88		2.02		5.90	
	4.77	24.81	5.24		2.42		7.66	
	5.77	30.59	6.39		2.92		9.31	
	6.51 7 02	35.81 12 55	7.99		3.30		11.29 17.80	
	1.23	40.33	11.15		3.6/		1 <b>4.</b> 0∠	
PARISON	TABLE							CIVIL ENGINEERS
OUTFAL	L1	4		OUTFA	ALL 2	-	D:	179 BELLE FOREST CIR. SUITE 204 E
12.19	PROP. P	- I DIFF.	EXISTIN 3.58	G	<b>PROPOSE</b> 3.47	D	DIFF. -0.11	NASHVILLE, TN. 37221 (615) 730-3502
	20.06	-2.77	6.57		5.90		-0.67	
	24.81	-5.66	8.71		7.66		-1.05	HCIPN 0517-19A
	30.59	-10.10	11.55		9.31		-2.24	PROPOSED CONDITIONS
	35.81	-12.76	13.77		11.29		-2.48	
	46.55	-10.16	16.03		14.82		-1.21	D-1.1